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#### Abstract

We first give a sufficient condition, issued from pluripotential theory, for an unbounded domain in the complex Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ to be Kobayashi hyperbolic. Then, we construct an example of a rigid pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ that is Kobayashi hyperbolic and has a nonempty core. In particular, this domain is not biholomorphic to a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ and the mentioned above sufficient condition for Kobayashi hyperbolicity is not necessary.


## Introduction

According to the Riemann mapping theorem, every simply-connected domain in $\mathbb{C}$, different from $\mathbb{C}$, is biholomorphically equivalent to the unit disk $\Delta_{1}(0):=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:|\lambda|<1\}$. It is well known that this result has no direct generalization to higher dimension, since for instance every domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ containing a nonconstant entire curve cannot be biholomorphic to a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. There are different tools to distinguish domains, among which invariant metrics (under the action of biholomorphisms) play an important role. We recall that if $M$ is a complex manifold, $\Delta_{r}(0):=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:|\lambda|<r\}$ for every $r>0$ and $\mathcal{H}\left(\Delta_{r}(0), M\right)$ denotes the set of holomorphic maps from $\Delta_{r}(0)$ to $M$, then the Kobayashi pseudometric $k_{M}$ is defined on $T M$ by

$$
k_{M}(z ; v):=\inf \left\{1 / r>0: \exists f \in \mathcal{H}\left(\Delta_{r}(0), M\right), f(0)=z, f^{\prime}(0)=v\right\} .
$$

A complex manifold $M$ of complex dimension $n$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic if for every point $p \in M$, there is a neighbourhood $U$ of $p$ in $M$ and a constant $c>0$ such that $k_{M}(z, v) \geq c\|v\|_{g}$ for every $z \in U$ and every $v \in T_{z} M$, where $\|\cdot\|_{g}$ is any Hermitian norm on $U$ induced from $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. If $K_{M}$ denotes the inner distance induced by $k_{M}$, then $M$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic if $K_{M}$ is a distance on $M$. Notice that the topology induced by $K_{M}$ on $M$ is then equivalent to the natural topology of $M$. From the definition of $k_{M}$ we see that every bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic, whereas a complex manifold containing a nonconstant entire curve is not Kobayashi hyperbolic. Since the Kobayashi metric is a biholomorphic invariant, it follows that a complex manifold that is not Kobayashi hyperbolic does not admit any bounded representation, i.e., is not biholomorphic to any bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. The first purpose of the paper is to give a sufficient condition from pluripotential theory for an unbounded domain to be Kobayashi hyperbolic. For $r>0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, n \geq 1$, we denote by $B_{r}^{n}(z)$ the Euclidean open ball centered at $z$ with radius $r$, i.e. $B_{r}^{n}(z):=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\|w-z\|<r\right\}$ where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$; in particular, $\Delta_{r}(z):=B_{r}^{1}(z)$. Finally, if $D$ is a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, we denote by $\partial D$ its Euclidean boundary.

[^0]Definition 1. Let $\Omega$ be an unbounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. A bounded continuous positive plurisubharmonic (for short, psh.) function $\varphi$ on $\Omega$ will be called strong antipeak at infinity for $\Omega$ if $\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(z)=0$.

The first result of the paper is the following
Theorem 1. Let $\Omega$ be an unbounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. If $\Omega$ has a strong antipeak function at infinity, then $\Omega$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

The next statement is a direct consequence of Theorem 1:
Corollary 1. Let $\Omega$ be an unbounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. If there is a bounded holomorphic function on $\Omega$ that never takes zero value and decays to 0 as $\|z\| \rightarrow \infty$, then $\Omega$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Notice that the assumption of boundedness in the last two statements is essential. This can be seen from the following example.
Example 1. For $\varepsilon>0$, let $\Omega_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:|w|<|z|,|z|>\varepsilon\right\}$. Then $\varphi: z \mapsto 1 /|z|$ is a positive plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\varphi(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $|z|^{2}+|w|^{2} \rightarrow \infty$. By Theorem $1, \Omega_{\varepsilon}$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic when $\varepsilon>0$, but $\Omega_{0}$ is not because it contains the punctured line $\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: w=0,|z|>0\right\}$.

Note that not every Kobayashi hyperbolic domain admits a bounded representation. One of the obstructions for the existence of such representations was introduced and studied by T.Harz, N.Shcherbina and G.Tomassini (see [7, 8, 9]) and later also by N.Shcherbina and E.Poletsky [11]. It was named the core of a domain and can be defined as follows.

Definition 2. Let $\Omega$ be an unbounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. The core $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{c}(\Omega):= & \{z \in \Omega: \text { every bounded continuous plurisubharmonic function } \\
& \text { on } \Omega \text { fails to be smooth and strictly plurisubharmonic near } z\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the function $z \mapsto\|z\|^{2}$ is strictly plurisubharmonic in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, every bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ has an empty core. It follows from the biholomorphic invariance of the core that an unbounded domain with a nonempty core will not admit any bounded representation. For instance, in [6, Theorem 1.2], the authors construct for every $n \geq 2$ an unbounded strictly pseudoconvex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with smooth boundary such that $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega)$ is not empty and contains no analytic variety of positive dimension. Another surprising example of a strictly pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with smooth boundary and nonempty core which is Kobayashi and Bergman complete, but has no nonconstant holomorphic functions, was constructed recently in [14]. As pointed out by the referee, the seminal paper [5] presents examples of domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ which are Kobayashi hyperbolic, but do not have any bounded representation. Indeed, if $D$ denotes the complement of $(2 n+1)$ hyperplanes in general position in $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$, then $D$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic according to [5]. Moreover, we may assume that one of the hyperplanes is the hyperplane at infinity, and hence that $D$ is contained in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. If there were a biholomorphism $\Phi$ from $D$ to a bounded domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then the hyperplanes would be removable singularities for $\Phi$, since $\Phi$ would be bounded in a neighborhood of the hyperplanes. Hence $\Phi$ would extend to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ as a bounded map. Then it would be constant according to the Liouville Theorem.

The second goal of the present paper is to construct an unbounded pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$, whose boundary is globally defined by a graph, which is Kobayashi hyperbolic and has a nonempty core. More precisely, we say that a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is rigid if there exists a function $\Psi$ defined in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that

$$
\Omega=\left\{(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re}(\zeta)>\Psi(z)\right\}
$$

The domain $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex if and only if the function $\Psi$ is plurisubharmonic in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Rigid domains appear naturally as local models for pseudoconvex domains and reflect the geometry of such domains at some boundary points. For instance, the strictly pseudoconvex domain $\Omega:=\left\{(\zeta, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}: \operatorname{Re}(\zeta)>\|z\|^{2}\right\}$, unbounded representation of the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, is a local model for domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ near every strictly pseudoconvex boundary point. Likewise, if $D \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary of finite D'Angelo type $2 m$ at $p \in \partial D$ (see [3] for the definition of the D'Angelo type), then there are a neighbourhood $U$ of $p$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and holomorphic coordinates $(\zeta, z)$ defined on $U$ such that

$$
D \cap U=\{(z, \zeta) \in U: \operatorname{Re}(\zeta)>H(z)+\phi(z, \zeta)\}
$$

where $H$ is a subharmonic homogeneous polynomial of degree $2 m$ which is not harmonic and $|\phi(z, \zeta)| \leq c\left(|\zeta|^{2}+|\zeta||z|+|z|^{2 m+1}\right)$ on $U$. Notice that if $\Omega_{H}:=\left\{(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: \operatorname{Re}(\zeta)>H(z)\right\}$, then the metric space $\left(\Omega_{H}, K_{\Omega_{H}}\right)$ is complete. Indeed, since $H$ is homogeneous, there is a sequence of automorphisms of $\Omega_{H}$ that accumulates at the origin. Moreover, according to the Main Theorem in [2], there is a global holomorphic peak function at the origin for $\Omega_{H}$, i.e. a holomorphic function $f$ from $\Omega_{H}$ to $\Delta_{1}(0)$, continuous on $\bar{\Omega}_{H}$, such that $f(0)=1$ and for every bounded open neighbourhood $U$ of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^{2}, \sup _{\bar{\Omega} \backslash U}|f|<1$. Notice that, by construction, $f\left(\Omega_{H}\right) \subset \Delta_{1}(0) \backslash\{0\}$ and $\lim _{\|p\| \rightarrow \infty} f(p)=0$. The completeness of the metric space $\left(\Omega_{H}, K_{\Omega_{H}}\right)$ follows now from Proposition 3.1.4 in [4].

Observe, moreover, that $\Omega_{H}$ has an empty core. Indeed, assume to get a contradiction that $\mathfrak{c}\left(\Omega_{H}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\Omega_{H}$ is a pseudoconvex domain of finite type in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, it admits a local holomorphic peak function at each boundary point. It follows then that $\overline{\mathfrak{c}\left(\Omega_{H}\right)} \cap \partial \Omega_{H}=\emptyset$. Moreover, we know by Theorem II in [11] (see also Theorem 3.3 in [16]) that the set $\mathfrak{c}\left(\Omega_{H}\right)$ is the disjoint union of some closed sets $E_{j}, j \in J$, that are 1-pseudoconcave in the sense of Rothstein and have the following Liouville property: every bounded continuous psh. function on $\Omega$ is constant on each of $E_{j}$. Let $E_{j_{0}}$ be one of the sets in the decomposition above. Then, in view of the 1-pseudoconcavity of $E_{j_{0}}, E_{j_{0}}$ is unbounded. Since $|f|^{2}$ is a bounded continuous psh. function on $\Omega_{H}$, the restriction of $|f|^{2}$ to $E_{j_{0}}$ is constant. Hence, it follows from the fact that $\lim _{\|p\| \rightarrow \infty} f(p)=0$ that $f$ vanishes identically on $E_{j_{0}}$. This is a contradiction since $f$ does not vanish on $\Omega_{H}$.

It was proved in a recent paper [13] that the existence of the Kobayashi and the Bergman metrics for pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ of more general form

$$
\Omega_{H}:=\left\{(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: \operatorname{Re}(\zeta)>H(z, \operatorname{Im}(\zeta))\right\},
$$

with $H$ being just a continuous function on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, is equivalent to the fact that the core $\mathfrak{c}\left(\Omega_{H}\right)$ of $\Omega_{H}$ is empty.

The second result of the present paper shows that this kind of relations does not hold in the case of higher dimensions.

Theorem 2. There exists a nonnegative plurisubharmonic function $\Psi$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ such that the rigid domain

$$
\Omega_{\Psi}:=\left\{(z, w, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}: \operatorname{Re}(\zeta)>\Psi(z, w)\right\}
$$

is Kobayashi hyperbolic and has a nonempty core. In particular, the domain $\Omega_{\Psi}$ is not biholomorphic to a bounded domain.

The following corollary shows that the existence of a strong antipeak function at infinity is not a necessary condition for an unbounded domain to be Kobayashi hyperbolic. Indeed, from the construction of $\Omega_{\Psi}$ in Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 2. The domain $\Omega_{\Psi}$ does not admit any strong antipeak function at infinity.
The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we prove Theorem 1. In Section 2 we construct explicitly the function $\Psi$ used in Theorem 2 and a Wermer type set contained in $\Omega_{\Psi}$. Finally, in Section 3 we prove Theorem 2 and Corollary 2.
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## 1. Proof of Theorem 1

We first notice that a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic if and only if it satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall p \in \Omega, \exists r>0, \exists c>0 / \forall q \in B_{r}^{n}(p), \forall v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, k_{\Omega}(q, v) \geq c\|v\|, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|v\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Let now $\Omega$ be a domain satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1 . Assume, to get a contradiction, that $\Omega$ is not Kobayashi hyperbolic. It follows from (1.1) that there is a point $p \in \Omega$ and for every positive integer $k$ there is a holomorphic map $f_{k}: \Delta_{k}(0) \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\left\|f_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right\|=1$ and the sequence $\left\{f_{k}(0)\right\}_{k}$ converges to $p$ when $k$ goes to infinity. Moreover, we may assume that $f_{k}$ is continuous up to $\partial \Delta_{k}(0)$.

Denote by $\varphi$ a psh. function on $\Omega$ that is strong antipeak at infinity. Let $C>0$ be a constant which bounds the function $\varphi$ from above, i.e. $\varphi<C$ on $\Omega$. Observe that, in view of the continuity of $\varphi$, there is a positive constant $\alpha$ such for sufficiently large $k$ we have:

$$
\varphi\left(f_{k}(0)\right) \geq \alpha
$$

For each $R>0$ we let $c_{R}:=\sup _{\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash B_{R}^{n}(0)} \varphi$. Notice that, by Definition 1, we get: $\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} c_{R}=$ 0 . Since the Euclidean ball $B_{R}^{n}(0)$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic, it follows that for every sufficiently large positive integer $k$ we have:

$$
f_{k}\left(\partial \Delta_{k}(0)\right) \cap\left(\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \overline{B_{R}^{n}(0)}\right) \neq \emptyset
$$

Let $U_{k, R}$ denote the connected component of $f_{k}^{-1}\left(f_{k}\left(\Delta_{k}(0)\right) \cap B_{R}^{n}(0)\right)$ containing the origin.
Claim 1. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R>0$, the domain $U_{k, R}$ is simply connected.
Indeed, if $\partial U_{k, R}$ has at least two components, then, after maybe substituting $R$ with a generic value $\tilde{R}<R$, there is a disc $V_{k, R}$, contained in $\Delta_{k}(0)$, such that $f_{k}\left(V_{k, R}\right) \subset \Omega \backslash B_{R}^{n}(0)$ and such that $f_{k}\left(\partial V_{k, R}\right) \subset \partial B_{R}^{n}(0)$. Let $R^{\prime}=\sup _{V_{k, R}}\left|f_{k}\right|$. Then the complex disc $f_{k}\left(V_{k, R}\right)$ is tangent to $\partial B_{R^{\prime}}^{n}(0)$ from inside which is not possible. This proves Claim 1.

Hence $U_{k, R}$ is bounded by a piecewise smooth Jordan curve for generic values of $R$. We denote by $\Phi$ a Riemann map from $\Delta_{1}(0)$ to $U_{k, R}$ such that $\Phi(0)=0$. According to the Carathéodory Theorem, $\Phi$ extends as a homeomorphism between $\partial \Delta_{1}(0)$ and $\partial U_{k, R}$.
Claim 2. There is $R_{0}>0$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $R>R_{0}$ one has $\bar{U}_{k, R} \cap \partial \Delta_{k}(0) \neq \emptyset$.
Indeed, assume to get a contradition that $\partial U_{k, R}$ is a closed curve contained in $\Delta_{k}(0)$. Then $f_{k}\left(\Phi\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right) \in \partial B_{R}^{n}(0)$ for all $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$ and from the Mean Value Inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi \circ f_{k} \circ \Phi\right)(0) \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \varphi\left(f_{k}\left(\Phi\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\right) d \theta \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get the inequality

$$
\alpha \leq c_{R} .
$$

If we choose $R_{0}$ so large that $c_{R_{0}}<\alpha$, then we get a contradiction. This proves Claim 2 .
Let $\omega\left(0, \bar{U}_{k, R} \cap \partial \Delta_{k}(0), U_{k, R}\right)$ denote the harmonic measure of $\bar{U}_{k, R} \cap \partial \Delta_{k}(0)$ at the point 0 with respect to the domain $U_{k, R}$. We recall that if $D$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}, p \in D$ and $E$ is a Borel set in $\partial D$, then $\omega(p, E, D)$ denotes the harmonic measure given by the value at $p$ of the solution to the Dirichlet problem on $D$, whose boundary value on $\partial D$ is equal to the characteristic function of $E$. Then we have

$$
\omega\left(0, \bar{U}_{k, R} \cap \partial \Delta_{k}(0), U_{k, R}\right)=\frac{l\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\bar{U}_{k, R} \cap \partial \Delta_{k}(0)\right)\right)}{2 \pi}
$$

where $l\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(\bar{U}_{k, R} \cap \partial \Delta_{k}(0)\right)\right)$ denotes the length of the set $\Phi^{-1}\left(\bar{U}_{k, R} \cap \partial \Delta_{k}(0)\right)$. Since $U_{k, R}$ is simply connected and contained in $\Delta_{k}(0)$, it follows from Lemma 3.4 of [12] that the Euclidean distance $\rho\left(0, \partial U_{k, R}\right)$ from 0 to the boundary of $U_{k, R}$ satisfies:

$$
\rho\left(0, \partial U_{k, R}\right) \geq \frac{\pi^{2} k}{16}\left(\omega\left(0, \bar{U}_{k, R} \cap \partial \Delta_{k}(0), U_{k, R}\right)\right)^{2} .
$$

To finish the proof of Theorem 1 we consider two cases.
Case 1. There exist $R>0$ and $c>0$ such that $\omega\left(0, \bar{U}_{k_{p}, R} \cap \partial \Delta_{k_{p}}(0), U_{k_{p}, R}\right) \geq c$ for some sequence of positive integers $k_{p}$ with $k_{1}<k_{2}<k_{3}<\cdots$.

In this case we conclude from the previous inequality that for these numbers $k_{p}$ one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(0, \partial U_{k_{p}, R}\right) \geq \frac{\pi^{2} k_{p}}{16} c^{2}=c^{*} k_{p}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{*}=\frac{\pi^{2} c^{2}}{16}>0$.
Hence the ball $B_{R}^{n}(0)$ contains the set $f_{k_{p}}\left(\Delta_{c^{*} k_{p}}\right)$ for arbitrarily large numbers $k_{p}$. This contradicts the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of $B_{R}^{n}(0)$.
Case 2. For each $R>0$ one has $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega\left(0, \bar{U}_{k, R} \cap \partial \Delta_{k}(0), U_{k, R}\right)=0$.
We first observe that for each $\varepsilon>0$ we have $\omega\left(0, \partial U_{k, R} \cap \Delta_{k}(0), U_{k, R}\right) \geq 1-\varepsilon$ for every sufficiently large $k$. Since $f_{k}\left(\partial U_{k, R} \cap \Delta_{k}(0)\right) \subset \partial B_{R}^{n}(0)$, we conclude that

$$
\sup _{f_{k}\left(\partial U_{k, R} \cap \Delta_{k}(0)\right)} \varphi \leq c_{R} .
$$

It follows also from the choice of $C$ that

$$
\sup _{f_{k}\left(\bar{U}_{k, R} \cap \partial \Delta_{k}(0)\right)} \varphi \leq C .
$$

Then the Mean Value Inequality (1.2) implies the inequality

$$
\alpha \leq C \varepsilon+c_{R}(1-\varepsilon)
$$

If we choose now $\varepsilon$ so small that $C \varepsilon<\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and then $R$ so large that $c_{R}(1-\varepsilon)<\frac{\alpha}{2}$, then we get a contradiction. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 1. If the domain $\Omega$ is, moreover, assumed to be strictly pseudoconvex, then we can also give a completely different proof of Theorem 1 which uses recent nontrivial results on the structure of the core obtained in [8], [11] and [16]. Indeed, we first prove the following
Claim. The core $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega)$ is empty.
Proof of the Claim. The argument here is similar to the one used to prove that $\mathfrak{c}\left(\Omega_{H}\right)=\emptyset$ in the example considered in the introduction. Assume to get a contradiction that $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$ and let $E_{j_{0}}$ be one of the closed 1-pseudoconcave sets in the decomposition of $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega)$ granted by Theorem II in [11]. Then the restriction of the antipeak function $\varphi$ to $E_{j_{0}}$ is constant. In view of strict pseudoconvexity of $\Omega$, one has that $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega) \cap \partial \Omega=\emptyset$. Then, since $E_{j_{0}}$ is 1-pseudoconcave in the sense of Rothstein, we conclude that the set $E_{j_{0}}$ has to be unbounded. It follows now from the requirement $\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(z)=0$ on the strong antipeak function $\varphi$ that $\varphi \equiv 0$ on $E_{j_{0}}$, which is impossible by the definition of the antipeak function, since $\varphi$ is positive on $\Omega$. This proves the Claim.

Now, using the argument of Lemma 1 in [13], we get a bounded continuous strictly psh. function $\phi$ on $\Omega$. It follows then from Theorem 3 on p. 362 in [15] that $\Omega$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

We do not know if a similar argument can also be applied for general (not necessarily strictly pseudoconvex) unbounded domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

Remark 2. A weaker notion of an antipeak function was introduced and studied in [4]. That notion is not strong enough to guarantee the claim of Theorem 1 as it can be seen from the following example:

Let $\Omega:=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: \log |w|+\left(|z|^{2}+|w|^{2}\right)<0\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ and let $\varphi(z, w):=-\log |z|$. It is easy to see that $\varphi$ is an antipeak function for $\Omega$ in the sense of [4], but $\Omega$ is obviously not Kobayashi hyperbolic due to the fact that $\{w=0\} \subset \Omega$.

We do not know if for an unbounded domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ (which we can assume in addition to be pseudoconvex or, even, strictly pseudoconvex) the fact that $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega)=\emptyset$ implies that there is a strong antipeak function at infinity for $\Omega$.

Finally, we point out that in the paper [10], the authors considered (non necessarily continuous) bounded above psh. functions $\phi$ defined on some unbounded domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and having the property $\lim _{\|z\| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(z)=-\infty$ with the aim to study a Dirichlet type problem on some family of unbounded domains.

## 2. Construction of $\Psi$ and of a Wermer type set in $\Omega_{\Psi}$

Let $\left\{a_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be the sequence of points with entire coordinates in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $a_{1}=0$ and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $(\mathbb{Z}+i \mathbb{Z}) \cap\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}:-n \leq \operatorname{Re}(\zeta), \operatorname{Im}(\zeta) \leq n\}$ consists of the points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{(2 n+1)^{2}}$. We may select the points to form a spiral turning anticlockwise, starting with $a_{2}:(1,0), a_{3}:(1,1), \ldots$ (See Figure 1.)


Figure 1.
2.1. Construction and properties of a Wermer type set in $\Omega_{\Psi}$. We consider the following Wermer type set, whose construction is similar to the one used in [6]. Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers, decreasing to zero. First conditions on the speed of convergence of $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ are provided by Lemma 2.2 in [6]. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
E_{n}:=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: w=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} \sqrt{z-a_{j}}\right\}
$$

Following [6], we define the Wermer type set

$$
\mathcal{E}:=\cup_{R>0}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(E_{n} \cap \overline{B_{R}^{2}(0)}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}
$$

where the limit is understood with respect to the Hausdorff distance.
Moreover, the same argument as in Lemma 5.1 of [6] shows that there exists a psh. function $\phi: \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty)$ such that $\mathcal{E}=\{\phi=-\infty\}$ and $\phi$ is pluriharmonic on $\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash \mathcal{E}$.

For $\rho:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $\Psi(\rho)$ be the function defined on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\rho)(z, w):=e^{\phi(z, w)+\rho(|\operatorname{Re}(z)|)+\rho(|\operatorname{Im}(z)|)} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Theorem 2 we will construct a convex function $\rho:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$, with $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \rho(x)=+\infty$, such that the function $\Psi(\rho)$ satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 2 .

But first we prove the following property of the domain $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ which is claimed in Theorem 2.
Lemma 1. The core $\mathfrak{c}\left(\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}\right)$ is not empty. In particular, $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ is not biholomorphic to a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$.

Proof. It follows from the same argument as in [8, Theorem 2.2] that the set $\mathcal{E} \times\{1\}$, which is contained in $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$, satisfies the Liouville type property, meaning that every continuous psh. function defined in a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{E} \times\{1\}$ and bounded there from above is constant on $\mathcal{E} \times\{1\}$. Hence, $\mathcal{E} \times\{1\}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{c}\left(\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}\right)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{\text {conv }}$ denote the set of convex functions $\rho:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$, with $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \rho(x)=$ $+\infty$. For $\rho \in \mathcal{F}_{\text {conv }}$, let $\left(z_{0}, w_{0}, \zeta_{0}\right) \in \Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ and let $U \ni\left(z_{0}, w_{0}, \zeta_{0}\right)$ be a neighbourhood of $\left(z_{0}, w_{0}, \zeta_{0}\right)$, relatively compact in $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$. We first prove a localization lemma that will be used for a sequence of "large" holomorphic disks with center in $U$. The present form of Lemma 2 and its proof were suggested by the referee. Let $\pi_{z, w}: \mathbb{C}_{z, w, \zeta}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{z, w}^{2}$ denote the canonical projection.
Lemma 2. Let $r>0$ and let $f: \Delta_{r}(0) \rightarrow \Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ be holomorphic. Then

$$
\pi_{z, w}\left(f\left(\Delta_{r / 3}(0)\right)\right) \subset\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: \Psi(\rho)(z, w)<2 \operatorname{Re}(\zeta(0))\right\} .
$$

Proof. In view of the harmonicity and positivity of the function $\lambda \mapsto \operatorname{Re}(\zeta(\lambda))$ on $\Delta_{r}(0)$ and Harnack's inequality (see Theorem 1.4.1 in [1]), we have: $2 \operatorname{Re}(\zeta(0))>\operatorname{Re}(\zeta(\lambda))$ on $\Delta_{r / 3}(0)$. Hence, by the definition of $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$, we have on $\Delta_{r / 3}(0)$ :

$$
\Psi(\rho)(z(\lambda), w(\lambda))<2 \operatorname{Re}(\zeta(0)) .
$$

2.2. Construction of the convex function $\rho$. We now construct the function $\rho$ that will enter the definition of the function $\Psi$ in Theorem 2 .

Lemma 3. Let $\{c(n), n \geq 0\}$ be an arbitrary increasing sequence of positive numbers. Then there is a strictly convex function $\rho$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ on $[0,+\infty)$ such that $\rho^{\prime}(0)=0$ and for every $n \geq 0$ and every $t \in(n, n+1]$, one has

$$
\rho(t)>c(n) .
$$

Proof. We first construct inductively an auxiliary convex function $\rho_{1}$ such that for every $n \geq 0$, $\rho_{1}$ is affine on the segment $[n, n+1]$. For $n=0$ we set $\left.\rho_{1}\right|_{[0,1]}=c(1)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $\rho_{1}$ is already constructed on $[0, n]$. In particular, there exist $a_{n}, b_{n}>0$ such that for every $t \in(n-1, n]$

$$
\rho_{1}(t)=a_{n} t+b_{n} .
$$

- If $a_{n}(n+1)+b_{n} \geq c(n+1)$, we set $\rho_{1}(t)=a_{n} t+b_{n}$ for every $t \in(n, n+1]$,
- If $a_{n}(n+1)+b_{n}<c(n+1)$, we set $\rho_{1}(t)=\left(a_{n} n+b_{n}\right)(1-(t-n))+c(n+1)(t-n)$ for every $t \in(n, n+1]$.
This defines the function $\rho_{1}$ on $[0,+\infty)$ by induction. Let now $\chi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$-smooth function with support contained in $[-1 / 4,1 / 4]$ and satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi=1$. Then the restriction to $[0,+\infty)$ of the function $\rho$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$ by $\rho(t):=\tilde{\rho}_{1} * \chi(t)+t^{2}$, where $\tilde{\rho}_{1}(t)=\rho_{1}(|t|)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, will satisfy all the conditions of Lemma 3 .

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{n}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:-\left(n+\frac{3}{4}\right) \leq\right. \operatorname{Re}(z),  \tag{2.2}\\
&\left.\operatorname{Im}(z) \leq n+\frac{3}{4}\right\} \backslash \\
& \qquad \backslash\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:-\left(n+\frac{1}{4}\right)<\operatorname{Re}(z), \operatorname{Im}(z)<n+\frac{1}{4}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re}(z)= \pm\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right. & \left.,|\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq n+\frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup  \tag{2.3}\\
& \cup\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq n+\frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{Im}(z)= \pm\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

## (See Figure 2.)



Figure 2.
Since, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $S_{n}$ does not contain any point with entire coordinates, then for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $p \in S_{n}$, the restriction to $\Delta_{1 / 4}(p)$ of the defined above set $E_{m}$, denoted $\left.E_{m}\right|_{\Delta_{1 / 4}(p)}$, is a union of holomorphic graphs of the form $\{w=f(z)\}$. More precisely, for every $p \in S_{n}$, there are holomorphic functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{2^{m}}$, defined on $\Delta_{1 / 4}(p)$, such that $\left.E_{m}\right|_{\Delta_{1 / 4}(p)}=\cup_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{m}}\left\{w=f_{j}(z)\right\}$. Since $S_{n}$ is compact in $\mathbb{C}$, it follows from the Montel Theorem that for every $p \in S_{n},\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{\Delta_{1 / 4}(p)}=\cup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{A}}\left\{w=f_{\lambda}(z)\right\}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ denotes a Cantor set parametrising the branches of $\mathcal{E}$ over $\Delta_{1 / 4}(p)$. Indeed, since the sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{m}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to

0 sufficiently fast, then for every sufficiently large $m$, the set $E_{m+1}$ consists of $2^{m+1}$ disks that are sufficiently small perturbations of the $2^{m}$ disks consituting $E_{m}$. Passing to the limit when $m$ goes to infinity, we obtain a structure of a Cantor set on the vertical fibers.

Moreover, for every $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}, f_{\lambda}$ is holomorphic on $\Delta_{1 / 4}(p)$ and, hence, in view of the compactness of both the set $S_{n}$ and the family $\left\{w=f_{\lambda}(z)\right\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{A}}$ with respect to the parameter $\lambda$, one can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(n):=\sup \left\{\left|f_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)\right|: \lambda \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \Delta_{1 / 8}(p), p \in S_{n}\right\}<+\infty . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. We can choose the sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ decreasing and converging to zero so fast that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(n)=0
$$

Proof. We first point out that, since every map $f_{\lambda}$ is the uniform limit on $\Delta_{1 / 8}(p)$ of functions whose graph over $\Delta_{1 / 8}(p)$ is a branch of the multivalued holomorphic function $\sum_{k=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{k} \sqrt{z-a_{k}}$, it is sufficient to prove Lemma 4 for these functions on $\Delta_{1 / 8}(p)$ uniformly with respect to $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\varepsilon_{k}$ decreases sufficiently fast to zero according to [6], there exists $k_{0} \geq 1$ such that $\sum_{k \geq\left(2 k_{0}+1\right)^{2}+1} \varepsilon_{k}<\varepsilon / 4$. Moreover, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $p \in S_{n}$ we have

$$
\inf _{z \in \Delta_{1 / 8}(p)} d(z, \mathbb{Z}+i \mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{1}{8} .
$$

Hence, for every $m>\left(2 k_{0}+1\right)^{2}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $p \in S_{n}$, each branch of the multivalued holomorphic function $\sum_{k=\left(2 k_{0}+1\right)^{2}+1}^{m} \varepsilon_{k} \sqrt{z-a_{k}}$ is given by the graph of a holomorphic function such that the modulus of its derivative is bounded from above by $\varepsilon / 2$ on $\Delta_{1 / 8}(p)$.

Now, there exists $n_{0}>k_{0}$ such that for every $n \geq n_{0}$,

$$
\frac{1}{\inf \left\{\sqrt{d\left(a_{j}, S_{n}\right)}: a_{j} \in\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:-k_{0} \leq \operatorname{Re}(\lambda), \operatorname{Im}(\lambda) \leq k_{0}\right\}\right.}<\frac{\varepsilon}{\sum_{j \geq 1} \varepsilon_{j}} .
$$

This implies that for every $n>n_{0}$ and for every $p \in S_{n}$, each branch of the multivalued holomorphic function $\sum_{k=1}^{\left(2 k_{0}+1\right)^{2}} \varepsilon_{k} \sqrt{z-a_{k}}$ is the graph of a holomorphic function such that the modulus of its derivative is bounded from above by $\varepsilon / 2$ on $\Delta_{1 / 8}(p)$.

We finally obtain that for every $\varepsilon>0$, for every $n \geq n_{0}$, for every $p \in S_{n}$ and for every $m>\left(2 k_{0}+1\right)^{2}$, every branch of the multivalued holomorphic function $\sum_{k=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{k} \sqrt{z-a_{k}}$ is the graph of a holomorphic function whose derivative is bounded from above by $\varepsilon$ on $\Delta_{1 / 8}(p)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

For every $w \in \mathbb{C}, \delta>0$, we consider the set

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\delta}:=\cup_{(z, w) \in \mathcal{E}}\left(\{z\} \times \Delta_{\delta}(w)\right) .
$$

In view of Lemma 4 , we can define $q_{0}:=\inf \left\{k \in \mathbb{N}: \alpha(j)<\frac{1}{2}\right.$ for all $\left.j \geq k\right\}$. Now, for every $n \geq q_{0}$, let $\mathcal{H}_{n}$ denote the set of holomorphic disks $\left.D=\left\{(f(w), w), w \in \Delta_{1}\left(w_{0}\right)\right\}\right\}$ such that
(1) $\left(f\left(w_{0}\right), w_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{E} \cap\left(T_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right)$,
(2) for every $w \in \Delta_{1}\left(w_{0}\right),\left|f^{\prime}(w)\right|<1$.

Let $\pi_{w}: \mathbb{C}_{z, w}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{w}$ denote the canonical projection and, for every $D \in \mathcal{H}_{n}$, let

$$
\beta^{\delta}(D):=\sup \left\{\operatorname{diam}(c): c \text { connected component of the closure of } \pi_{w}\left(\frac{1}{4} D \cap \mathcal{E}^{\delta}\right)\right\}
$$

where for a holomorphic disk $D=\left\{(f(w), w), w \in \Delta_{1}\left(w_{0}\right)\right\} \subset \mathcal{H}_{n}$ we denote by $\frac{1}{4} D$ the set $\frac{1}{4} D:=\left\{(f(w), w), w \in \Delta_{\frac{1}{4}}\left(w_{0}\right)\right\}$. Here, in view of Conditions (1) and (2) above, we choose the radius equal to $1 / 4$ to insure that the disc $\frac{1}{4} D$ is contained in the set $S_{n} \times \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, it will also insure that the family of disks $\frac{1}{4} D_{k}$ considered in the proof of Lemma 5 will converge smoothly up to the boundary to $\frac{1}{4} D_{\infty}$.

Finally, define

$$
\beta_{n}^{\delta}:=\sup _{D \in \mathcal{H}_{n}} \beta^{\delta}(D) .
$$

Lemma 5. For every $n \geq q_{0}$ we have

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \beta_{n}^{\delta}=0 .
$$

Proof. Assume, to get a contradiction, that there exists $n \geq q_{0}$, a sequence of positive real numbers $\delta_{k}$ decreasing to 0 , a sequence of points $w_{k} \in \pi_{w}\left(\mathcal{E} \cap\left(T_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right)\right)$, a sequence of holomorphic disks $\left.D_{k}=\left\{\left(f_{k}(w), w\right), w \in \Delta_{1}\left(w_{k}\right)\right\}\right\} \in \mathcal{H}_{n}$ and, for every $k \geq 1$, a connected component $c_{k}$ of the closure of $\pi_{w}\left(\frac{1}{4} D_{k} \cap \mathcal{E}^{\delta_{k}}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{k \geq 1} \operatorname{diam}\left(c_{k}\right)=: \alpha_{\infty}>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can assume that for every $k \geq 1, c_{k}$ is simply connected.
Since $\mathcal{E} \cap\left(T_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right)$ is compact, and since $D_{k} \in \mathcal{H}_{n}$ for every $k \geq 1$, it follows from Condition (2) and from the Montel Theorem that up to extracting a subsequence, $D_{k}$ will converge to a holomorphic disc $D_{\infty}:=\left\{\left(f_{\infty}(w), w\right), w \in \Delta_{1}\left(w_{\infty}\right)\right\}$ for some point $w_{\infty} \in \pi_{w}\left(\mathcal{E} \cap\left(T_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right)\right)$, where $w_{k} \rightarrow w_{\infty}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty, f_{\infty}$ is holomorphic on $\Delta_{1}\left(w_{\infty}\right)$ and satisfies $\sup _{\Delta_{1}\left(w_{\infty}\right)}\left|f_{\infty}^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$. In particular, the disk $D_{\infty}$ is "almost" vertical. Moreover, by the choice of $q_{0}$, the set $\mathcal{E}$ is "almost" horizontal. This implies that the disk $D_{\infty}$ is transversal to every branch of $\mathcal{E}$ and, hence, $\frac{1}{4} \bar{D}_{\infty}$ intersects $\mathcal{E}$ on a Cantor set. However, since $c_{k}$ is a compact set for every $k$, then, up to extracting a subsequence, $c_{k}$ converges in the Hausdorff metric to a subset of some connected component $c_{\infty}$ of the closure of $\pi_{w}\left(\frac{1}{4} D_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{E}\right)$ and then, by (2.5), $\operatorname{diam}\left(c_{\infty}\right) \geq \alpha_{\infty}$. This is a contradiction.

For our next argument we need to define some notions. We will call a continuous curve $(z(t), w(t)):[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{z, w}^{2}$ a lifting to $\mathbb{C}_{z, w}^{2}$ of the curve $z(t):[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{z}$ (without restrictions of generality we can assume here that, up to a reparametrisation, if necessary, all the curves are parametrised by the segment $[0,1])$. Let now $z(t):[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{z}$ be a closed (i.e. $\left.z(0)=z(1)\right)$ continuous curve. For a compact set $F$ in $\mathbb{C}_{z, w}^{2}$ which projects to the given curve $z(t)$ we consider the family $\left\{\gamma_{\alpha}^{F}(t)\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ of all liftings $\gamma_{\alpha}^{F}(t)=\left(z(t), w_{\alpha}^{F}(t)\right)$ of the curve $z(t)$ which are contained in the set $F$ (i.e. such that $\gamma_{\alpha}^{F}(t) \in F$ for all $t \in[0,1]$ ). Then we define the shift error $\theta(F)$ of the set $F$ as

$$
\theta(F):=\inf _{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}\left|w_{\alpha}^{F}(1)-w_{\alpha}^{F}(0)\right| .
$$

Observe that for two sets $F_{1} \subset F_{2}$ which project to the same curve $z(t)$ one obviously has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(F_{2}\right) \leq \theta\left(F_{1}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can finally make precise the construction of the Wermer type set $\mathcal{E}$, specifying conditions on the sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We first set $\varepsilon_{1}=1$ and set $E_{1}:=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: w=\varepsilon_{1} \sqrt{z-a_{1}}\right\}$. Then we will choose $\varepsilon_{2}$ as follows. Fix $0<r_{2}<1 / 2$ such that the set $E_{1} \cap\left(\Delta_{r_{2}}\left(a_{2}\right) \times \mathbb{C}\right)$ is the union of the graphs of holomorphic functions $f_{1}^{1}, f_{2}^{1}: \Delta_{r_{2}}\left(a_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and such that, moreover, one has

$$
\kappa_{2}:=\inf \left\{\left|f_{1}^{1}(z)-f_{2}^{1}(z)\right|:\left|z-a_{2}\right|=r_{2}\right\}>0 .
$$

Now, let us choose $\varepsilon_{2}$ such that $2 \varepsilon_{2} \sqrt{r_{2}}<\kappa_{2} / 2$. Then for each $(z, w) \in E_{2}:=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}\right.$ : $\left.w=\sum_{j=1}^{2} \varepsilon_{j} \sqrt{z-a_{j}}\right\}$ with $\left|z-a_{2}\right|=r_{2}$ we consider $w^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\left(a_{2}+\left(z-a_{2}\right) e^{2 i \pi}, w^{\prime}\right) \in E_{2}$ and observe that

$$
\left|w-w^{\prime}\right|=2 \varepsilon_{2} \sqrt{r_{2}} .
$$

Here we denote by $\left(a_{2}+\left(z-a_{2}\right) e^{2 i \pi}, w^{\prime}\right) \in E_{2}$ a point which is obtained from $(z, w)$ after one turn around $a_{2}$ starting at $z$ and keeping it, during this turn, on the set $E_{2}$.

We can continue the process inductively. Assume that for some $k \geq 3, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k-1}$ and $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k-1}$ are already constructed. We choose $0<r_{k}<1 / 2$ such that

$$
E_{k-1} \cap\left(\Delta_{r_{k}}\left(a_{k}\right) \times \mathbb{C}\right)=\cup_{j=1}^{2^{k-1}}\left\{\left(z, f_{j}^{k-1}(z)\right): z \in \Delta_{r_{k}}\left(a_{k}\right)\right\},
$$

where $f_{1}^{k-1}, \ldots, f_{2^{k-1}}^{k-1}$ are functions holomorphic in a neighbourhood of $\overline{\Delta_{r_{k}}\left(a_{k}\right)}$ and such that for every $1 \leq j \neq l \leq 2^{k-1}$ and every $z \in \partial \Delta_{r_{k}}\left(a_{k}\right), f_{j}^{k-1}(z) \neq f_{l}^{k-1}(z)$. Then we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{k}:=\inf _{1 \leq j \neq l \leq 2^{k-1}}\left\{\left|f_{j}^{k-1}(z)-f_{l}^{k-1}(z)\right|:\left|z-a_{k}\right|=r_{k}\right\}>0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon_{k}>0$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \varepsilon_{k} \sqrt{r_{k}}<\frac{\kappa_{k}}{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for every $2 \leq p \leq k-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \varepsilon_{k} \sqrt{\left|a_{k}-a_{p}\right|+r_{p}}<\frac{\varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{r_{p}}}{2^{k-p+1}} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (2.9) will insure that the set $E_{k}$, over the circle $\partial \Delta_{r_{p}}\left(a_{p}\right)$, will be a sufficiently small perturbation of the set $E_{p}$ and, hence, the shift error for liftings of the closed circle $\partial \Delta_{r_{p}}\left(a_{p}\right)$ to a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the set $E_{k}$ will be bounded from below by a positive constant independent of $k$. Let us make this argument more precise. We first introduce the following notations: for each $k \geq p$ we denote by $E_{k, p}$ the set

$$
E_{k, p}:=E_{k} \cap\left(\left\{\left|z-a_{p}\right|=r_{p}\right\} \times \mathbb{C}_{w}\right),
$$

for each $p \geq 1$ we denote by $\mathcal{E}_{p}$ the set

$$
\mathcal{E}_{p}:=\mathcal{E} \cap\left(\left\{\left|z-a_{p}\right|=r_{p}\right\} \times \mathbb{C}_{w}\right),
$$

and for each compact set $F \subset \mathbb{C}_{z, w}^{2}$ and each $\delta>0$ we denote by $F^{\delta}$ the set

$$
F^{\delta}:=\cup_{(z, w) \in F}\left(\{z\} \times \Delta_{\delta}(w)\right) .
$$

Since, by Condition (2.9), we know that for each $k>p$ one has

$$
\left|\varepsilon_{k} \sqrt{z-a_{k}}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{k} \sqrt{\left|a_{k}-a_{p}\right|+r_{p}}<\frac{\varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{r_{p}}}{2^{k-p+2}}
$$

for $z \in \partial \Delta_{r_{p}}\left(a_{p}\right)$, we conclude that

$$
E_{k, p} \subset E_{k-1, p}^{\frac{\varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{p_{p}}}{\varepsilon^{k-p+2}}} \subset E_{k-2, p}^{\varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{r_{p}}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k-p+2}}+\frac{1}{2^{k-p+1}}\right)} \subset E_{p, p}^{\varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{r_{p}}\left(\frac{1}{2^{k-p+2}}+\frac{1}{2^{k-p+1}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{8}\right)} \subset E_{p, p^{4}}^{\frac{\varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{r_{p}}}{}} .
$$

Then, after passing to the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we will get that

$$
\mathcal{E}_{p} \subset \operatorname{cl}\left(E_{p, p^{4}}^{\varepsilon_{p}}\right),
$$

where for avoiding ambiguity we use the notation $\operatorname{cl}(X)$ for the closure of $X$. Hence, we also have that

$$
\operatorname{cl}\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}^{\frac{\varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{T_{p}^{p}}}{4}}\right) \subset \operatorname{cl}\left(E_{p, p^{\frac{\varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{r_{p}}}{}}}^{)}\right) .
$$

It follows from Conditions (2.7) and (2.8) that the shift error of the set $E_{p, p}$ is equal to $2 \varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{r_{p}}$. Hence, the shift error of the set $E_{p, p^{2}}^{\frac{\varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{T}_{p}}{2}}$ satisfies

$$
\theta\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(E_{p, p}^{\frac{\varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{r_{p}}}{}}\right)\right) \geq \varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{r_{p}}
$$

By the construction of the Wermer type set $\mathcal{E}$, it finally follows from the last inclusion and from Property (2.6) that for the shift error of the set $\mathcal{E}$ we have the following

Property ( $\mathcal{P}$ ): For every $p \geq 1$, the inequality

$$
\theta\left(\operatorname{cl}\left(\mathcal{E}_{p}^{\frac{\varepsilon_{p \sqrt{r_{p}}}^{4}}{4}}\right)\right) \geq \varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{r_{p}}>0
$$

holds.
We can now specify the choice of the sequence $\{c(n)\}_{n}$ and then, using Lemma 3, construct the function $\rho$.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
\tilde{S}_{n}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:-n-2 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z), \operatorname{Im}(z) \leq n+2\} \backslash\{z \in \mathbb{C}:-n+1<\operatorname{Re}(z), \operatorname{Im}(z)<n-1\} .
$$

and let

$$
\kappa(n):=\inf _{\left\{p: a_{p} \in \tilde{S}_{n}\right\}}\left\{\frac{\varepsilon_{p} \sqrt{r_{p}}}{4}\right\} .
$$

The definition of $\tilde{S}_{n}$ insures that every disc of radius one contained in $\mathbb{C}$ will be contained in some $\tilde{S}_{n}$. This property will be used in Section 3 to prove the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$.

Now we choose $q(n)$ so large that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: e^{\phi(z, w)+q(n)}<1\right\} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right) \subset \mathcal{E}^{\kappa(n)} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

the function $\phi$ being introduced at the beginning of Subsection 2.1.
Then, in view of Lemma 5, we can define for every $n>q_{0}$

$$
\delta(n):=\inf \left\{\delta>0: \beta_{k}^{\delta}<\frac{1}{2}, \text { for all } n-1 \leq k \leq n+2\right\}
$$

It follows now that for every $n>q_{0}$ and every $n-1 \leq k \leq n+2$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{k}^{\delta(n)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds and then we choose $\tilde{q}(n) \geq q(n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: e^{\phi(z, w)+\tilde{q}(n)}<1\right\} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right) \subset \mathcal{E}^{\delta(n)} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, setting $c(n)=q(n)+n$ for $n<q_{0}$ and $c(n)=\tilde{q}(n)+n$ for $n \geq q_{0}$, and applying then Lemma 3 , we obtain a strictly convex function $\rho:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{[[n-1, n+2]} \geq c(n) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the corresponding function $\Psi(\rho)$ defined by $(2.1)$ is plurisubharmonic on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and the domain $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}:=\left\{(z, w, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{3}: \operatorname{Re}(\zeta)>\Psi(\rho)(z, w)\right\}$ is a rigid pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. In Section 3, we prove that $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.

## 3. Proofs of Theorem 2 and Corollary 2

3.1. Proof of Theorem 2. We prove that the domain $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ satisfies all the conclusions of Theorem 2. According to Lemma 1, the core of $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ is not empty. Hence, it remains to prove that $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic. Assume, to get a contradiction, that there is a point $p \in \Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$, a neighbourhood $U$ of $p$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3}, U$ relatively compact in $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$, and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a holomorphic function $f_{k}=\left(z_{k}, w_{k}, \zeta_{k}\right): \Delta_{k}(0) \rightarrow \Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ such that $f_{k}(0) \in U$ and $\left\|f_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right\|^{2}:=\left|z_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left|w_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left|\zeta_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}=1$. Since $\Psi(\rho)$ is nonnegative, it follows that the function $\operatorname{Re}\left(\zeta_{k}\right)$ is positive on $\Delta_{k}(0)$ i.e., $\zeta_{k}\left(\Delta_{k}(0)\right) \subset \mathbb{H}:=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)>0\}$. For $v \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{H}$ (resp. $\left.\eta \in \Delta_{k}(0)\right)$, let $\|v\|_{\zeta, \mathbb{H}}$ (respectively $\|v\|_{\eta, \Delta_{k}(0)}$ ) denote the hyperbolic norm of $v$ at $\zeta \in \mathbb{H}$ (respectively at $\eta \in \Delta_{k}(0)$ ). From the decreasing property of the hyperbolic metric (under the action of holomorphic maps) we get

$$
\frac{\left|\zeta_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|}{\operatorname{Re}\left(\zeta_{k}(0)\right)}=\left\|\zeta_{k}^{\prime}(0) \cdot \mathbf{1}\right\|_{\zeta_{k}(0), \mathbb{H}} \leq\|\mathbf{1}\|_{0, \Delta_{k}(0)}=\frac{1}{k} .
$$

Hence, for every $k \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq \frac{\left|\zeta_{k}(0)\right|}{k} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $U$ is relatively compact in $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$, the set $\left\{\left|\zeta_{k}(0)\right|, k \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{C}$ and, from (3.1), there exists $k_{0} \geq 0$ such that $\left|z_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left|w_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}>\frac{1}{2}$ for every $k \geq k_{0}$. If we set $r_{k}:=$ $\sqrt{\left|z_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left|w_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}}$, then the holomorphic map $g_{k}=\left(\tilde{z}_{k}, \tilde{w}_{k}, \tilde{\zeta}_{k}\right): \lambda \in \Delta_{k r_{k}}(0) \mapsto f_{k}\left(\lambda / r_{k}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\left|\tilde{z}_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}+\left|\tilde{w}_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}=1
$$

It follows now from Lemma 2 that, setting $d:=\sup _{(z, w, \zeta) \in U} \operatorname{Re}(\zeta)$, we will have the following inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(\tilde{z}_{k}(\lambda), \tilde{w}_{k}(\lambda)\right), \lambda \in \Delta_{k / 3} \sqrt{2}(0)\right\} \subset F_{d}:=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: \Psi(\rho)(z, w)<2 d\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that Condition (3.2) is satisfied for every $\lambda \in \Delta_{k r_{k} / 3}$ and hence, since $r_{k}>1 / \sqrt{2}$, for every $\lambda \in \Delta_{k / 3 \sqrt{2}}$.

We distinguish two cases.
Case 1. There exists an increasing sequence $\left\{k_{m}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ diverging to $+\infty$, such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N},\left|\tilde{z}_{k_{m}}^{\prime}(0)\right|>\left|\tilde{w}_{k_{m}}^{\prime}(0)\right|$.

We will now need the following classical result.
The Bloch Theorem. There exists $0<\theta<1$ such that for every $r>0$ and every holomorphic function $f: \Delta_{r}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\left|f^{\prime}(0)\right|=1$ there are $b \in \mathbb{C}$ and a holomorphic function $g: \Delta_{\theta r}(b) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f \circ g(\lambda)=\lambda$ for every $\lambda \in \Delta_{\theta r}(b)$.

Since for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}<\left|\tilde{z}_{k_{m}}^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq 1$, the function $\lambda \in \Delta_{k_{m} / 6}(0) \mapsto$ $\tilde{z}_{k_{m}}\left(\lambda /\left|\tilde{z}_{k_{m}}^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)$ satisfies the assumptions of the Bloch Theorem and takes values in $\tilde{z}_{k_{m}}\left(\Delta_{k_{m} / 3 \sqrt{2}}(0)\right)$. It follows that there exists $0<\theta<1$ such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $b_{k_{m}} \in \mathbb{C}$ and a holomorphic function $g_{k_{m}}: \Delta_{\theta k_{m} / 6}\left(b_{k_{m}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose graph $\Gamma\left(g_{k_{m}}\right):=$ $\left\{\left(z, g_{k_{m}}(z)\right): z \in \Delta_{\theta k_{m} / 6}\left(b_{k_{m}}\right)\right\}$ will satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(g_{k_{m}}\right) \subset F_{d} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $\Psi(\rho)(z, w):=e^{\phi(z, w)+\rho(|\operatorname{Re}(z)|)+\rho(|\operatorname{Im}(z)|)}$, it follows from Condition (2.10) and from the definition of $c(n)$ in Condition (2.13) that for every positive integer $n$ such that $\frac{2 d}{e^{n}}<1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{d} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right) & =\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: e^{\phi(z, w)+\rho(|x|)+\rho(|y|)}<2 d\right\} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right) \\
& \subset\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: e^{\phi(z, w)+q(n)}<\frac{2 d}{e^{n}}\right\} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right) \\
& \subset\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}: e^{\phi(z, w)+q(n)}<1\right\} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right) \\
& \subset \mathcal{E}^{\kappa(n)} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

the last inclusion coming from Condition (2.10).
In particular, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(g_{k_{m}}\right) \subset \cup_{n \geq n_{0}}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\kappa(n)} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right)\right) \cup K \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{0}$ satisfies $\frac{2 d}{e^{n_{0}}}<1$ and $K:=F_{d} \cap\left(\left\{|z| \leq n_{0}\right\} \times \mathbb{C}\right)$.
It follows now from the definition of $\kappa(n)$ and $\operatorname{Property}(\mathcal{P})$ that the set

$$
\cup_{n \geq n_{0}}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\kappa(n)} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right)\right)
$$

cannot contain large disks. Here by "large" we mean a holomorphic disk of the form $\{(z, w) \in$ $\left.\mathbb{C}^{2}: w=g(z), z \in \mathcal{D}\right\}$ such that its domain of definition $\mathcal{D}$ contains the disk $\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(a_{k}\right)$ for some $k \geq n_{0}$. This contradicts (3.4), since for sufficiently large $m$ the set $\Gamma\left(g_{k_{m}}\right) \backslash K^{2}$ will obviously contain an arbitrarily large disk.
Case 2. There exists $k_{0} \geq 1$ such that for every $k \geq k_{0},\left|\tilde{z}_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq\left|\tilde{w}_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right|$.
In particular, we have $\left|\tilde{w}_{k}^{\prime}(0)\right| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ for every $k \geq k_{0}$ and, as in the Case 1 above, according to the Bloch Theorem, there exists $0<\theta<1$ such that for every $k \geq k_{0}$, there are $b_{k}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}$ and holomorphic functions $h_{k}: \Delta_{\theta k / 6}\left(b_{k}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose graph $\Gamma\left(h_{k}\right):=\left\{\left(h_{k}(\lambda), \lambda\right): \lambda \in \Delta_{\theta k / 6}\left(b_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(h_{k}\right) \subset F_{d} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two subcases to consider.
Subcase 2a. There is an increasing sequence $\left(k_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ diverging to $+\infty$ and, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a point $\lambda_{k_{m}} \in \Delta_{\theta k_{m} / 12}\left(b_{k_{m}}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\left|h_{k_{m}}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{k_{m}}\right)\right| \geq 1$.

In this case we can repeat the argument of Case 1, replacing $\tilde{z}_{k_{m}}$ with $h_{k_{m}}, \Delta_{k_{m} / 3 \sqrt{2}}(0)$ with $\Delta_{\theta k_{m} / 12}\left(\lambda_{k_{m}}\right)$ (here we use a trivial observation that for $\lambda_{k_{m}} \in \Delta_{\theta k_{m} / 12}\left(b_{k_{m}}^{\prime}\right)$ one has $\left.\Delta_{\theta k_{m} / 12}\left(\lambda_{k_{m}}\right) \subset \Delta_{\theta k_{m} / 6}\left(b_{k_{m}}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and then, using (3.5), we obtain the same contradiction as in Case 1.

Subcase 2b. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough and every $\lambda \in \Delta_{\theta k / 12}\left(b_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ the inequality $\left|h_{k}^{\prime}(\lambda)\right|<1$ holds.

It follows then from Condition (2.13), from the definition of $c(n)$ and from Condition (2.12) that for every $n \geq q_{0}$ such that $\frac{2 d}{e^{n}}<1$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{d} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right) \subset \mathcal{E}^{\delta(n)} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for every $n \geq q_{0}$ there exists a compact set $K_{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ such that

$$
F_{d} \cap\left(\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:-n-\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{Re}(z)<n+\frac{1}{2},-n-\frac{1}{2}<\operatorname{Im}(z)<n+\frac{1}{2}\right\} \times \mathbb{C}\right) \subset K_{n}
$$

(See Figure 3.)
Since $\left\{\Gamma\left(\left.h_{k}\right|_{\Delta_{\theta k / 12}\left(b_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\right)\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ forms a sequence of unbounded holomorphic disks, the set $\cup_{k \geq 1}\left(\Gamma\left(\left.h_{k}\right|_{\Delta_{\theta k / 12}\left(b_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\right) \cap\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash K_{n}\right)\right)$ will also contain arbitrarily large discs. Hence, by (3.5), (3.6) and the definition of $\mathcal{E}^{\delta(n)}$, the set $\cup_{k \geq 1} \pi_{z}\left(\Gamma\left(\left.h_{k}\right|_{\Delta_{\theta k / 12}\left(b_{k}^{\prime}\right)}\right) \cap\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash K_{n}\right)\right)$ is not bounded in $\mathbb{C}_{z}$.

In particular, we can choose $n \geq q_{0}$ such that (3.6) is satisfied, and $k(n) \geq 1, b_{k(n)}^{\prime \prime} \in$ $\Delta_{(\theta k(n) / 12)-1}\left(b_{k(n)}^{\prime}\right)$, such that $h_{k(n)}\left(b_{k(n)}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in T_{n}$, where $T_{n}$ is defined in (2.3). Notice that, according to the assumption of Subcase 2 b , the holomorphic disk $\left\{\left(h_{k(n)}(\lambda), \lambda\right) ; \lambda \in \Delta_{1}\left(b_{k(n)}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{n}$.


Figure 3.

Since $\Delta_{1}\left(b_{k(n)}^{\prime \prime}\right) \subset \tilde{S}_{n}$, it follows from (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$
\Gamma\left(\left.h_{k(n)}\right|_{\Delta_{1}\left(b_{k(n)}^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right) \subset \mathcal{E}^{\delta(n)} \cap\left(\tilde{S}_{n} \times \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

However, $\operatorname{diam}\left(\pi_{w}\left(\Gamma\left(\left.h_{k(n)}\right|_{\Delta_{1}\left(b_{k(n)}^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right)\right)\right)=1$, which contradicts Condition (2.11). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark 3. Observe, that the statement which is actually proved in the Case 1 and Case 2 above using the Bloch Theorem, can be formulated as the following property of the domain $F_{d}$ :

Property $(\mathcal{F})$ : For each $d>0$ there exists $r=r(d)>0$ such that the domain $F_{d}$ contains no holomorphic disks of radius $r>r(d)$ (the last part of the statement means, more precisely, that for every holomorphic map $h: \Delta_{r}(0) \rightarrow F_{d}$ such that $\left\|h^{\prime}(0)\right\|=1$ one has $\left.r \leq r(d)\right)$.

We give here an explicit formulation of this property because it will be needed in the forthcoming paper [14].
3.2. Proof of Corollary 2. Assume, to get a contradiction, that $\varphi$ is a strong antipeak function at infinity for $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$. Then $\left.\varphi\right|_{\mathcal{E}}$ is continuous psh. and bounded from above in a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{E}$. It follows now from the same argument as in [8, Theorem 2.2] that $\varphi \equiv C$ on $\mathcal{E}$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Since, by the definition of a strong antipeak function, we know that $\varphi(z) \rightarrow 0$ for $z \in \mathcal{E}$ as $\|z\| \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $\varphi \equiv 0$ on $\mathcal{E}$. This contradicts the definition of an antipeak function and, hence, completes the proof of Corollary 2.
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