

UNBOUNDED KOBAYASHI HYPERBOLIC DOMAINS IN \mathbb{C}^n

HERVÉ GAUSSIER AND NIKOLAY SHCHERBINA

ABSTRACT. We first give a sufficient condition, issued from pluripotential theory, for an unbounded domain in the complex Euclidean space \mathbb{C}^n to be Kobayashi hyperbolic. Then, we construct an example of a rigid pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^3 that is Kobayashi hyperbolic and has a nonempty core. In particular, this domain is not biholomorphic to a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^3 and the mentioned above sufficient condition for Kobayashi hyperbolicity is not necessary.

INTRODUCTION

According to the Riemann mapping theorem, every simply-connected domain in \mathbb{C} , different from \mathbb{C} , is biholomorphically equivalent to the unit disk $\Delta_1(0) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}$. It is well known that this result has no direct generalization to higher dimension, since for instance every domain in \mathbb{C}^n containing a nonconstant entire curve cannot be biholomorphic to a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . There are different tools to distinguish domains, among which invariant metrics (under the action of biholomorphisms) play an important role. We recall that if M is a complex manifold, $\Delta_r(0) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < r\}$ for every $r > 0$ and $\mathcal{H}(\Delta_r(0), M)$ denotes the set of holomorphic maps from $\Delta_r(0)$ to M , then the Kobayashi pseudometric k_M is defined on TM by

$$k_M(z; v) := \inf\{1/r > 0 : \exists f \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta_r(0), M), f(0) = z, f'(0) = v\}.$$

A complex manifold M of complex dimension n is *Kobayashi hyperbolic* if for every point $p \in M$, there is a neighbourhood U of p in M and a constant $c > 0$ such that $k_M(z, v) \geq c \|v\|_g$ for every $z \in U$ and every $v \in T_z M$, where $\|\cdot\|_g$ is any Hermitian norm on U induced from \mathbb{C}^n . If K_M denotes the inner distance induced by k_M , then M is Kobayashi hyperbolic if K_M is a distance on M . Notice that the topology induced by K_M on M is then equivalent to the natural topology of M . From the definition of k_M we see that every bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n is Kobayashi hyperbolic, whereas a complex manifold containing a nonconstant entire curve is not Kobayashi hyperbolic. Since the Kobayashi metric is a biholomorphic invariant, it follows that a complex manifold that is not Kobayashi hyperbolic does not admit any bounded representation, i.e., is not biholomorphic to any bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . The first purpose of the paper is to give a sufficient condition from pluripotential theory for an unbounded domain to be Kobayashi hyperbolic. For $r > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 1$, we denote by $B_r^n(z)$ the Euclidean open ball centered at z with radius r , i.e. $B_r^n(z) := \{w \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|w - z\| < r\}$ where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{C}^n ; in particular, $\Delta_r(z) := B_r^1(z)$. Finally, if D is a domain in \mathbb{C}^n , we denote by ∂D its Euclidean boundary.

Date: November 14, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32Q45, 32U05.

Key words and phrases. Kobayashi hyperbolicity, plurisubharmonic functions.

Definition 1. Let Ω be an unbounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . A bounded continuous positive plurisubharmonic (for short, psh.) function φ on Ω will be called *strong antipeak at infinity* for Ω if $\lim_{\|z\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(z) = 0$.

The first result of the paper is the following

Theorem 1. *Let Ω be an unbounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . If Ω has a strong antipeak function at infinity, then Ω is Kobayashi hyperbolic.*

Note that not every Kobayashi hyperbolic domain admits a bounded representation. One of the obstructions for the existence of such representations was introduced and studied by T.Harz, N.Shcherbina and G.Tomassini (see [5, 6, 7]) and later also by N.Shcherbina and E.Poletsky [9]. It was named the core of a domain and can be defined as follows.

Definition 2. Let Ω be an unbounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . The core $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{c}(\Omega) := \{z \in \Omega : \text{every bounded continuous plurisubharmonic function on } \Omega \text{ fails to be smooth and strictly plurisubharmonic near } z\}.$$

Since the function $z \mapsto \|z\|^2$ is strictly plurisubharmonic in \mathbb{C}^n , every bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n has an empty core. It follows from the biholomorphic invariance of the core that an unbounded domain with a nonempty core will not admit any bounded representation. For instance, in [4, Theorem 1.2], the authors construct for every $n \geq 2$ an unbounded strictly pseudoconvex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ with smooth boundary such that $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega)$ is not empty and contains no analytic variety of positive dimension. Another surprising example of a strictly pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^2 with smooth boundary and nonempty core which is Kobayashi and Bergman complete, but has no nonconstant holomorphic functions, was constructed recently in [12]. It is also worth mentioning that S.Mongodi explained to us a construction of a domain in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ which is Kobayashi hyperbolic, but has no bounded representations. The second goal of the present paper is to construct an unbounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^3 , whose boundary is globally defined by a graph, which is Kobayashi hyperbolic and has a nonempty core. More precisely, we say that a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is rigid if there exists a function Ψ defined in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} such that

$$\Omega = \{(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(\zeta) > \Psi(z)\}.$$

The domain Ω is pseudoconvex if and only if the function Ψ is plurisubharmonic in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} . Rigid domains appear naturally as local models for pseudoconvex domains and reflect the geometry of such domains at some boundary points. For instance, the strictly pseudoconvex domain $\Omega := \{(\zeta, z) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \operatorname{Re}(\zeta) > \|z\|^2\}$, unbounded representation of the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n , is a local model for domains in \mathbb{C}^n near every strictly pseudoconvex boundary point. Likewise, if $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary of finite D'Angelo type $2m$ at $p \in \partial D$ (see [2] for the definition of the D'Angelo type), then there are a neighbourhood U of p in \mathbb{C}^2 and holomorphic coordinates (ζ, z) defined on U such that

$$D \cap U = \{(z, \zeta) \in U : \operatorname{Re}(\zeta) > H(z) + \phi(z, \zeta)\},$$

where H is a subharmonic homogeneous polynomial of degree $2m$ which is not harmonic and $|\phi(z, \zeta)| \leq c(|\zeta|^2 + |\zeta||z| + |z|^{2m+1})$ on U . Notice that if $\Omega_H := \{(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \operatorname{Re}(\zeta) > H(z)\}$,

then the metric space (Ω_H, K_{Ω_H}) is complete. Indeed, since H is homogeneous, there is a sequence of automorphisms of Ω_H that accumulates at the origin. Moreover, according to the MAIN THEOREM in [1], there is a global holomorphic peak function at the origin for Ω_H , i.e. a holomorphic function f from Ω_H to $\Delta_1(0)$, continuous on $\overline{\Omega}_H$, such that $f(0) = 1$ and for every bounded open neighbourhood U of the origin in \mathbb{C}^2 , $\sup_{\overline{\Omega} \setminus U} |f| < 1$. Notice that, by construction, $f(\Omega_H) \subset \Delta_1(0) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lim_{\|p\| \rightarrow \infty} f(p) = 0$. The completeness of the metric space (Ω_H, K_{Ω_H}) follows now from Proposition 3.1.4 in [3].

Observe, moreover, that Ω_H has an empty core. Indeed, assume to get a contradiction that $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega_H) \neq \emptyset$. Since Ω_H is a pseudoconvex domain of finite type in \mathbb{C}^2 , it admits a local holomorphic peak function at each boundary point. It follows then that $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega_H) \cap \partial\Omega_H = \emptyset$. Moreover, we know by Theorem II in [9] (see also Theorem 3.3 in [14]) that the set $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega_H)$ is the disjoint union of some closed sets $E_j, j \in J$, that are 1-pseudoconcave in the sense of Rothstein and have the following Liouville property: every bounded continuous psh. function on Ω is constant on each of E_j . Let E_{j_0} be one of the sets in the decomposition above. Then, in view of the 1-pseudoconcavity of E_{j_0} , E_{j_0} is unbounded. Since $|f|^2$ is a bounded continuous psh. function on Ω_H , the restriction of $|f|^2$ to E_{j_0} is constant. Hence, it follows from the fact that $\lim_{\|p\| \rightarrow \infty} f(p) = 0$ that f vanishes identically on E_{j_0} . This is a contradiction since f does not vanish on Ω_H .

It was proved in a recent paper [11] that the existence of the Kobayashi and the Bergman metrics for pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^2 of more general form

$$\Omega_H := \{(z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \operatorname{Re}(\zeta) > H(z, \operatorname{Im}(\zeta))\},$$

with H being just a continuous function on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$, is equivalent to the fact that the core $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega_H)$ of Ω_H is empty.

The second result of the present paper shows that this kind of relations does not hold in the case of higher dimensions.

Theorem 2. *There exists a nonnegative plurisubharmonic function Ψ in \mathbb{C}^2 such that the rigid domain*

$$\Omega_\Psi := \{(z, w, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \operatorname{Re}(\zeta) > \Psi(z, w)\}$$

is Kobayashi hyperbolic and has a nonempty core. In particular, the domain Ω_Ψ is not biholomorphic to a bounded domain.

The following corollary shows that the existence of a strong antipeak function at infinity is not a necessary condition for an unbounded domain to be Kobayashi hyperbolic. Indeed, from the construction of Ω_Ψ in Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 1. *The domain Ω_Ψ does not admit any strong antipeak function at infinity.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we prove Theorem 1. In Section 2 we construct explicitly the function Ψ used in Theorem 2 and a Wermer type set contained in Ω_Ψ . Finally, in Section 3 we prove Theorem 2 and Corollary 1.

1. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We first notice that a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic if and only if it satisfies the following condition:

$$(1.1) \quad \forall p \in \Omega, \exists r > 0, \exists c > 0 / \forall q \in B_r^n(p), \forall v \in \mathbb{C}^n, k_\Omega(q, v) \geq c\|v\|,$$

where $\|v\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{C}^n .

Let now Ω be a domain satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1. Assume, to get a contradiction, that Ω is not Kobayashi hyperbolic. It follows from (1.1) that there is a point $p \in \Omega$ and for every positive integer k there is a holomorphic map $f_k : \Delta_k(0) \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\|f_k'(0)\| = 1$ and the sequence $\{f_k(0)\}_k$ converges to p when k goes to infinity. Moreover, we may assume that f_k is continuous up to $\partial\Delta_k(0)$.

Denote by φ a psh. function on Ω that is strong antipeak at infinity. Let $C > 0$ be a constant which bounds the function φ from above, i.e. $\varphi < C$ on Ω . Observe that, in view of the continuity of φ , there is a positive constant α such for sufficiently large k we have:

$$\varphi(f_k(0)) \geq \alpha.$$

For each $R > 0$ we let $c_R := \sup_{\mathbb{C}^n \setminus B_R^n(0)} \varphi$. Notice that, by Definition 1, we get: $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} c_R = 0$. Since the Euclidean ball $B_R^n(0)$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic, it follows that for every sufficiently large positive integer k we have:

$$f_k(\partial\Delta_k(0)) \cap \left(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{B_R^n(0)}\right) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $U_{k,R}$ denote the connected component of $f_k^{-1}(f_k(\Delta_k(0)) \cap B_R^n(0))$ containing the origin.

Claim 1. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R > 0$, the domain $U_{k,R}$ is simply connected.

Indeed, if $\partial U_{k,R}$ has at least two components, then, after maybe substituting R with a generic value $\tilde{R} < R$, there is a disc $V_{k,R}$, contained in $\Delta_k(0)$, such that $f_k(V_{k,R}) \subset \Omega \setminus B_{\tilde{R}}^n(0)$ and such that $f_k(\partial V_{k,R}) \subset \partial B_{\tilde{R}}^n(0)$. Let $R' = \sup_{V_{k,R}} |f_k|$. Then the complex disc $f_k(V_{k,R})$ is tangent to $\partial B_{R'}^n(0)$ from inside which is not possible. This proves Claim 1.

Hence $U_{k,R}$ is bounded by a piecewise smooth Jordan curve for generic values of R . We denote by Φ a Riemann map from $\Delta_1(0)$ to $U_{k,R}$ such that $\Phi(0) = 0$. According to the Carathéodory Theorem, Φ extends as a homeomorphism between $\partial\Delta_1(0)$ and $\partial U_{k,R}$.

Claim 2. There is $R_0 > 0$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $R > R_0$ one has $\overline{U_{k,R}} \cap \partial\Delta_k(0) \neq \emptyset$.

Indeed, assume to get a contradiction that $\partial U_{k,R}$ is a closed curve contained in $\Delta_k(0)$. Then $f_k(\Phi(e^{i\theta})) \subset \partial B_R^n(0)$ for all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ and from the Mean Value Inequality

$$(1.2) \quad (\varphi \circ f_k \circ \Phi)(0) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(f_k(\Phi(e^{i\theta}))) d\theta$$

we get the inequality

$$\alpha \leq c_R.$$

If we choose R_0 so large that $c_{R_0} < \alpha$, then we get a contradiction. This proves Claim 2.

Let $\omega(0, \overline{U_{k,R}} \cap \partial\Delta_k(0), U_{k,R})$ denote the harmonic measure of $\overline{U_{k,R}} \cap \partial\Delta_k(0)$ at the point 0 with respect to the domain $U_{k,R}$. We recall that if D is a bounded domain in \mathbb{C} , $p \in D$ and E is a Borel set in ∂D , then $\omega(p, E, D)$ denotes the harmonic measure given by the value at

p of the solution to the Dirichlet problem on D , whose boundary value on ∂D is equal to the characteristic function of E . Then we have

$$\omega(0, \bar{U}_{k,R} \cap \partial \Delta_k(0), U_{k,R}) = \frac{l(\Phi^{-1}(\bar{U}_{k,R} \cap \partial \Delta_k(0)))}{2\pi},$$

where $l(\Phi^{-1}(\bar{U}_{k,R} \cap \partial \Delta_k(0)))$ denotes the length of the set $\Phi^{-1}(\bar{U}_{k,R} \cap \partial \Delta_k(0))$. Since $U_{k,R}$ is simply connected and contained in $\Delta_k(0)$, it follows from Lemma 3.4 of [10] that the Euclidean distance $\rho(0, \partial U_{k,R})$ from 0 to the boundary of $U_{k,R}$ satisfies:

$$\rho(0, \partial U_{k,R}) \geq \frac{\pi^2 k}{16} (\omega(0, \bar{U}_{k,R} \cap \partial \Delta_k(0), U_{k,R}))^2.$$

To finish the proof of Theorem 1 we consider two cases.

Case 1. *There exist $R > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that $\omega(0, \bar{U}_{k_p,R} \cap \partial \Delta_{k_p}(0), U_{k_p,R}) \geq c$ for some sequence of positive integers k_p with $k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < \dots$.*

In this case we conclude from the previous inequality that for these numbers k_p one has:

$$(1.3) \quad \rho(0, \partial U_{k_p,R}) \geq \frac{\pi^2 k_p}{16} c^2 = c^* k_p,$$

where $c^* = \frac{\pi^2 c^2}{16} > 0$.

Hence the ball $B_R^n(0)$ contains the set $f_{k_p}(\Delta_{c^* k_p})$ for arbitrarily large numbers k_p . This contradicts the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of $B_R^n(0)$.

Case 2. For each $R > 0$ one has $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \omega(0, \bar{U}_{k,R} \cap \partial \Delta_k(0), U_{k,R}) = 0$.

We first observe that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\omega(0, \partial U_{k,R} \cap \Delta_k(0), U_{k,R}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ for every sufficiently large k . Since $f_k(\partial U_{k,R} \cap \Delta_k(0)) \subset \partial B_R^n(0)$, we conclude that

$$\sup_{f_k(\partial U_{k,R} \cap \Delta_k(0))} \varphi \leq c_R.$$

It follows also from the choice of C that

$$\sup_{f_k(\bar{U}_{k,R} \cap \partial \Delta_k(0))} \varphi \leq C.$$

Then the Mean Value Inequality (1.2) implies the inequality

$$\alpha \leq C\varepsilon + c_R(1 - \varepsilon).$$

If we choose now ε so small that $C\varepsilon < \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and then R so large that $c_R(1 - \varepsilon) < \frac{\alpha}{2}$, then we get a contradiction. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Remark 1. If the domain Ω is, moreover, assumed to be strictly pseudoconvex, then we can also give a completely different proof of Theorem 1 which uses recent nontrivial results on the structure of the core obtained in [6], [9] and [14]. Indeed, we first prove the following

Claim. The core $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega)$ is empty.

Proof of the Claim. The argument here is similar to the one used to prove that $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega_H) = \emptyset$ in the example considered in the introduction. Assume to get a contradiction that $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$

and let E_{j_0} be one of the closed 1-pseudoconcave sets in the decomposition of $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega)$ granted by Theorem II in [9]. Then the restriction of the antipeak function φ to E_{j_0} is constant. In view of strict pseudoconvexity of Ω , one has that $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega) \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$. Then, since E_{j_0} is 1-pseudoconcave in the sense of Rothstein, we conclude that the set E_{j_0} has to be unbounded. It follows now from the requirement $\lim_{\|z\| \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(z) = 0$ on the strong antipeak function φ that $\varphi \equiv 0$ on E_{j_0} , which is impossible by the definition of the antipeak function, since φ is positive on Ω . This proves the Claim. \square

Now, using the argument of Lemma 1 in [11], we get a bounded continuous strictly psh. function ϕ on Ω . It follows then from Theorem 3 on p. 362 in [13] that Ω is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

We do not know if a similar argument can also be applied for general (not necessarily strictly pseudoconvex) unbounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n .

Remark 2. A weaker notion of an antipeak function was introduced and studied in [3]. That notion is not strong enough to guarantee the claim of Theorem 1 as it can be seen from the following example:

Let $\Omega := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \log |w| + (|z|^2 + |w|^2) < 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and let $\varphi(z, w) := -\log |z|$. It is easy to see that φ is an antipeak function for Ω in the sense of [3], but Ω is obviously not Kobayashi hyperbolic due to the fact that $\{w = 0\} \subset \Omega$.

We do not know if for an unbounded domain Ω in \mathbb{C}^n (which we can assume in addition to be pseudoconvex or, even, strictly pseudoconvex) the fact that $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega) = \emptyset$ implies that there is a strong antipeak function at infinity for Ω .

Finally, we point out that in the paper [8], the authors considered (non necessarily continuous) bounded above psh. functions ϕ defined on some unbounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n and having the property $\lim_{\|z\| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(z) = -\infty$ with the aim to study a Dirichlet type problem on some family of unbounded domains.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF Ψ AND OF A WERMER TYPE SET IN Ω_Ψ

Let $\{a_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the sequence of points with entire coordinates in \mathbb{C} such that $a_1 = 0$ and, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}) \cap \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : -n \leq \operatorname{Re}(\zeta), \operatorname{Im}(\zeta) \leq n\}$ consists of the points $a_1, \dots, a_{(2n+1)^2}$. We may select the points to form a spiral turning anticlockwise, starting with $a_2 : (1, 0)$, $a_3 : (1, 1)$, ... (See Figure 1.)

2.1. Construction and properties of a Wermer type set in Ω_Ψ . We consider the following Wermer type set, whose construction is similar to the one used in [4]. Let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers, decreasing to zero. First conditions on the speed of convergence of $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ are provided by Lemma 2.2 in [4]. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$E_n := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : w = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j \sqrt{z - a_j}\}.$$

Following [4], we define the Wermer type set




FIGURE 1.

$$\mathcal{E} := \cup_{R>0} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(E_n \cap \overline{B_R^2(0)} \right) \right) \subset \mathbb{C}^2,$$

where the limit is understood with respect to the Hausdorff distance.

Moreover, the same argument as in Lemma 5.1 of [4] shows that there exists a psh. function $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ such that $\mathcal{E} = \{\phi = -\infty\}$ and ϕ is pluriharmonic on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}$.

For $\rho : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $\Psi(\rho)$ be the function defined on \mathbb{C}^2 by

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi(\rho)(z, w) := e^{\phi(z, w) + \rho(|\operatorname{Re}(z)|) + \rho(|\operatorname{Im}(z)|)}.$$

To prove Theorem 2 we will construct a convex function $\rho : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, with $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(x) = +\infty$, such that the function $\Psi(\rho)$ satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 2.

But first we prove the following property of the domain $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ which is claimed in Theorem 2.

Lemma 1. *The core $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)})$ is not empty. In particular, $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ is not biholomorphic to a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^3 .*

Proof. It follows from the same argument as in [6, Theorem 2.2] that the set $\mathcal{E} \times \{1\}$, which is contained in $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$, satisfies the Liouville type property, meaning that every continuous psh. function defined in a neighbourhood of $\mathcal{E} \times \{1\}$ and bounded there from above is constant on $\mathcal{E} \times \{1\}$. Hence, $\mathcal{E} \times \{1\}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{c}(\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)})$. \square

Let \mathcal{F}_{conv} denote the set of convex functions $\rho : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, with $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(x) = +\infty$. For $\rho \in \mathcal{F}_{conv}$, let $(z_0, w_0, \zeta_0) \in \Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ and let $U \ni (z_0, w_0, \zeta_0)$ be a neighbourhood of (z_0, w_0, ζ_0) , relatively compact in $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$. We first prove a localization lemma for a sequence of “large” holomorphic disks with center in U . Let $d := \sup_{(z, w, \zeta) \in U} \operatorname{Re}(\zeta)$ and let $\pi_{z, w} : \mathbb{C}_{z, w, \zeta}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{z, w}^2$ denote the canonical projection.

Lemma 2. *Let $r > 0$ and let $f : \Delta_r(0) \rightarrow \Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ be holomorphic. Assume that $f(0) \in U$ and $|f'(0)| = 1$. Then*

$$\pi_{z, w} \left(f \left(\Delta_{r/7}(0) \right) \right) \subset F_d := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \Psi(\rho)(z, w) < 2d\}.$$

Proof. Set $f(\lambda) =: (z(\lambda), w(\lambda), \zeta(\lambda))$ and observe that

$$f(0) \in U \Rightarrow \Psi(\rho)(z(0), w(0)) < \operatorname{Re}(\zeta(0)) < d.$$

Hence, we can consider the connected component Σ^d of the set $\{\lambda \in \Delta_r(0) : \Psi(\rho)(z(\lambda), w(\lambda)) < 2d\} = \{\lambda \in \Delta_r(0) : \pi_{z,w}(f(\lambda)) \in F_d\}$ which contains the origin. Then, in view of the plurisubharmonicity of $\Psi(\rho)$, Σ^d is simply connected.

It follows now from [10, Lemma 3.4] that

$$(2.2) \quad \omega(0, \partial\Delta_r(0) \cap \bar{\Sigma}^d, \Sigma^d) \leq \frac{4}{\pi\sqrt{r}} \sqrt{\rho(0, \partial\Sigma^d)},$$

where $\rho(0, \partial\Sigma^d) := \inf\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \partial\Sigma^d\}$.

Using the harmonicity of the function $\operatorname{Re}(\zeta)$, the Mean Value Inequality and the fact that $\Psi(z(\lambda), w(\lambda)) = 2d$, for every $k \geq 1$ and every $\lambda \in \Delta_r(0) \cap \partial\Sigma^d$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d \geq \operatorname{Re}(\zeta(0)) &\geq 2d\omega(0, \partial\Sigma^d \cap \Delta_r(0), \Sigma^d) + \omega(0, \partial\Delta_r(0) \cap \bar{\Sigma}^d, \Sigma^d) \inf_{\lambda \in \Sigma^d} \operatorname{Re}(\zeta(\lambda)) \\ &\geq 2d\omega(0, \partial\Sigma^d \cap \Delta_r(0), \Sigma^d), \end{aligned}$$

since $\operatorname{Re}(\zeta)$ is a positive function on $\Delta_r(0)$.

It follows that

$$\omega(0, \partial\Sigma^d \cap \Delta_r(0), \Sigma^d) \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

which implies

$$\omega(0, \partial\Delta_r(0) \cap \bar{\Sigma}^d, \Sigma^d) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We finally conclude from (2.2) that

$$\rho(0, \partial\Sigma^d) \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)^2 r > \frac{r}{7}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2. \square

2.2. Construction of the convex function ρ . We now construct the function ρ that will enter the definition of the function Ψ in Theorem 2.

Lemma 3. *Let $\{c(n), n \geq 0\}$ be an arbitrary increasing sequence of positive numbers. Then there is a strictly convex function ρ of class \mathcal{C}^∞ on $[0, +\infty)$ such that $\rho'(0) = 0$ and for every $n \geq 0$ and every $t \in (n, n+1]$, one has*

$$\rho(t) > c(n).$$

Proof. We first construct inductively an auxiliary convex function ρ_1 such that for every $n \geq 0$, ρ_1 is affine on the segment $[n, n+1]$. For $n = 0$ we set $\rho_1|_{[0,1]} = c(1)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that ρ_1 is already constructed on $[0, n]$. In particular, there exist $a_n, b_n > 0$ such that for every $t \in (n-1, n]$

$$\rho_1(t) = a_n t + b_n.$$

- If $a_n(n+1) + b_n \geq c(n+1)$, we set $\rho_1(t) = a_n t + b_n$ for every $t \in (n, n+1]$,
- If $a_n(n+1) + b_n < c(n+1)$, we set $\rho_1(t) = (a_n n + b_n)(1 - (t-n)) + c(n+1)(t-n)$ for every $t \in (n, n+1]$.

This defines the function ρ_1 on $[0, +\infty)$ by induction. Let now $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative C^∞ -smooth function with support contained in $[-1/4, 1/4]$ and satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi = 1$. Then the restriction to $[0, +\infty)$ of the function ρ defined on \mathbb{R} by $\rho(t) := \tilde{\rho}_1 * \chi(t) + t^2$, where $\tilde{\rho}_1(t) = \rho_1(|t|)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, will satisfy all the conditions of Lemma 3. \square

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$(2.3) \quad S_n := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : -\left(n + \frac{3}{4}\right) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z), \operatorname{Im}(z) \leq n + \frac{3}{4} \right\} \setminus \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : -\left(n + \frac{1}{4}\right) < \operatorname{Re}(z), \operatorname{Im}(z) < n + \frac{1}{4} \right\}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad T_n := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) = \pm\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right), |\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq n + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq n + \frac{1}{2}, \operatorname{Im}(z) = \pm\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right\}.$$

(See Figure 2.)




FIGURE 2.

Since, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set S_n does not contain any point with entire coordinates, then for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $p \in S_n$, the restriction to $\Delta_{1/4}(p)$ of the defined above set E_m , denoted $E_m|_{\Delta_{1/4}(p)}$, is a union of holomorphic graphs of the form $\{w = f(z)\}$. More precisely, for every $p \in S_n$, there are holomorphic functions f_1, \dots, f_{2^m} , defined on $\Delta_{1/4}(p)$, such that $E_m|_{\Delta_{1/4}(p)} = \cup_{1 \leq j \leq 2^m} \{w = f_j(z)\}$. Since S_n is compact in \mathbb{C} , it follows from the Montel Theorem that for every $p \in S_n$, $\mathcal{E}|_{\Delta_{1/4}(p)} = \cup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{A}} \{w = f_\lambda(z)\}$, where \mathcal{A} denotes a Cantor set parametrising the branches of \mathcal{E} over $\Delta_{1/4}(p)$. Moreover, for every $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$, f_λ is holomorphic on $\Delta_{1/4}(p)$ and, hence, in view of the compactness of both the set S_n and the family $\{w = f_\lambda(z)\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{A}}$ with respect to the parameter λ , one can define

$$(2.5) \quad \alpha(n) := \sup\{|f'_\lambda(z)| : \lambda \in \mathcal{A}, z \in \Delta_{1/8}(p), p \in S_n\} < +\infty.$$

Lemma 4. *We can choose the sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ decreasing and converging to zero so fast that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(n) = 0.$$

Proof. We first point out that, since every map f_λ is the uniform limit on $\Delta_{1/8}(p)$ of functions whose graph over $\Delta_{1/8}(p)$ is a branch of the multivalued holomorphic function $\sum_{k=1}^m \varepsilon_k \sqrt{z - a_k}$, it is sufficient to prove Lemma 4 for these functions on $\Delta_{1/8}(p)$ uniformly with respect to $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since ε_k decreases sufficiently fast to zero according to [4], there exists $k_0 \geq 1$ such that $\sum_{k \geq (2k_0+1)^2+1} \varepsilon_k < \varepsilon/4$. Moreover, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $p \in S_n$ we have

$$\inf_{z \in \Delta_{1/8}(p)} d(z, \mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}) \geq \frac{1}{8}.$$

Hence, for every $m > (2k_0+1)^2$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $p \in S_n$, each branch of the multivalued holomorphic function $\sum_{k=(2k_0+1)^2+1}^m \varepsilon_k \sqrt{z - a_k}$ is given by the graph of a holomorphic function such that the modulus of its derivative is bounded from above by $\varepsilon/2$ on $\Delta_{1/8}(p)$.

Now, there exists $n_0 > k_0$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$,

$$\frac{1}{\inf\{\sqrt{d(a_j, S_n)} : a_j \in \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : -k_0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(\lambda), \operatorname{Im}(\lambda) \leq k_0\}\}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{\sum_{j \geq 1} \varepsilon_j}.$$

This implies that for every $n > n_0$ and for every $p \in S_n$, each branch of the multivalued holomorphic function $\sum_{k=1}^{(2k_0+1)^2} \varepsilon_k \sqrt{z - a_k}$ is the graph of a holomorphic function such that the modulus of its derivative is bounded from above by $\varepsilon/2$ on $\Delta_{1/8}(p)$.

We finally obtain that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, for every $n \geq n_0$, for every $p \in S_n$ and for every $m > (2k_0+1)^2$, every branch of the multivalued holomorphic function $\sum_{k=1}^m \varepsilon_k \sqrt{z - a_k}$ is the graph of a holomorphic function whose derivative is bounded from above by ε on $\Delta_{1/8}(p)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4. \square

For every $w \in \mathbb{C}$, $\delta > 0$, we consider the set

$$\mathcal{E}^\delta := \cup_{(z,w) \in \mathcal{E}} (\{z\} \times \Delta_\delta(w)).$$

In view of Lemma 4, we can define $q_0 := \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \alpha(j) < \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } j \geq k\}$. Now, for every $n \geq q_0$, let \mathcal{H}_n denote the set of holomorphic disks $D = \{(f(w), w), w \in \Delta_1(w_0)\}$ such that

$$(1) \quad (f(w_0), w_0) \in \mathcal{E} \cap (T_n \times \mathbb{C}),$$

$$(2) \quad \text{for every } w \in \Delta_1(w_0), |f'(w)| < 1.$$

Let $\pi_w : \mathbb{C}_{z,w}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_w$ denote the canonical projection and, for every $D \in \mathcal{H}_n$, let

$$\beta^\delta(D) := \sup \left\{ \operatorname{diam}(c) : c \text{ connected component of the closure of } \pi_w \left(\frac{1}{4}D \cap \mathcal{E}^\delta \right) \right\},$$

where for a holomorphic disk $D = \{(f(w), w), w \in \Delta_1(w_0)\} \subset \mathcal{H}_n$ we denote by $\frac{1}{4}D$ the set $\frac{1}{4}D := \{(f(w), w), w \in \Delta_{\frac{1}{4}}(w_0)\}$. Here, in view of Conditions (1) and (2) above, we choose

the radius equal to $1/4$ to insure that the disc $\frac{1}{4}D$ is contained in the set $S_n \times \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, it will also insure that the family of disks $\frac{1}{4}D_k$ considered in the proof of Lemma 5 will converge smoothly up to the boundary to $\frac{1}{4}D_\infty$.

Finally, define

$$\beta_n^\delta := \sup_{D \in \mathcal{H}_n} \beta^\delta(D).$$

Lemma 5. *For every $n \geq q_0$ we have*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \beta_n^\delta = 0.$$

Proof. Assume, to get a contradiction, that there exists $n \geq q_0$, a sequence of positive real numbers δ_k decreasing to 0, a sequence of points $w_k \in \pi_w(\mathcal{E} \cap (T_n \times \mathbb{C}))$, a sequence of holomorphic disks $D_k = \{(f_k(w), w), w \in \Delta_1(w_k)\} \in \mathcal{H}_n$ and, for every $k \geq 1$, a connected component c_k of the closure of $\pi_w(\frac{1}{4}D_k \cap \mathcal{E}^{\delta_k})$, such that

$$(2.6) \quad \inf_{k \geq 1} \text{diam}(c_k) =: \alpha_\infty > 0.$$

We can assume that for every $k \geq 1$, c_k is simply connected.

Since $\mathcal{E} \cap (T_n \times \mathbb{C})$ is compact, and since $D_k \in \mathcal{H}_n$ for every $k \geq 1$, it follows from Condition (2) and from the Montel Theorem that up to extracting a subsequence, D_k will converge to a holomorphic disc $D_\infty := \{(f_\infty(w), w), w \in \Delta_1(w_\infty)\}$ for some point $w_\infty \in \pi_w(\mathcal{E} \cap (T_n \times \mathbb{C}))$, where $w_k \rightarrow w_\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, f_∞ is holomorphic on $\Delta_1(w_\infty)$ and satisfies $\sup_{\Delta_1(w_\infty)} |f'_\infty| \leq 1$. This implies, by the choice of q_0 , that the disk D_∞ is transversal to every branch of \mathcal{E} and, hence, $\frac{1}{4}\overline{D}_\infty$ intersects \mathcal{E} on a Cantor set. However, up to extracting a subsequence, c_k converges to a subset of some connected component c_∞ of the closure of $\pi_w(\frac{1}{4}D_\infty \cap \mathcal{E})$ and then, by (2.7), $\text{diam}(c_\infty) \geq \alpha_\infty$. This is a contradiction. \square

For our next argument we need to define some notions. We will call a continuous curve $(z(t), w(t)) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{z,w}^2$ a *lifting* to $\mathbb{C}_{z,w}^2$ of the curve $z(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_z$ (without restrictions of generality we can assume here that, up to a reparametrisation, if necessary, all the curves are parametrised by the segment $[0, 1]$). Let now $z(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_z$ be a closed (i.e. $z(0) = z(1)$) continuous curve. For a compact set F in $\mathbb{C}_{z,w}^2$ which projects to the given curve $z(t)$ we consider the family $\{\gamma_\alpha^F(t)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ of all liftings $\gamma_\alpha^F(t) = (z(t), w_\alpha^F(t))$ of the curve $z(t)$ which are contained in the set F (i.e. such that $\gamma_\alpha^F(t) \in F$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$). Then we define the *shift error* $\theta(F)$ of the set F as

$$\theta(F) := \inf_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} |w_\alpha^F(1) - w_\alpha^F(0)|.$$

Observe that for two sets $F_1 \subset F_2$ which project to the same curve $z(t)$ one obviously has that

$$(2.7) \quad \theta(F_2) \leq \theta(F_1).$$

Now we can finally make precise the construction of the Wermer type set \mathcal{E} , specifying conditions on the sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We first set $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ and set $E_1 := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : w = \varepsilon_1 \sqrt{z - a_1}\}$. Then we will choose ε_2 as follows. Fix $0 < r_2 < 1/2$ such that the set $E_1 \cap (\Delta_{r_2}(a_2) \times \mathbb{C})$ is the

union of the graphs of holomorphic functions $f_1^1, f_2^1 : \Delta_{r_2}(a_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and such that, moreover, one has

$$\kappa_2 := \inf\{|f_1^1(z) - f_2^1(z)| : |z - a_2| = r_2\} > 0.$$

Now, let us choose ε_2 such that $2\varepsilon_2\sqrt{r_2} < \kappa_2/2$. Then for each $(z, w) \in E_2 := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : w = \sum_{j=1}^2 \varepsilon_j \sqrt{z - a_j}\}$ with $|z - a_2| = r_2$ we consider $w' \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(a_2 + (z - a_2)e^{2i\pi}, w') \in E_2$ and observe that

$$|w - w'| = 2\varepsilon_2\sqrt{r_2}.$$

Here we denote by $(a_2 + (z - a_2)e^{2i\pi}, w') \in E_2$ a point which is obtained from (z, w) after one turn around a_2 starting at z and keeping it, during this turn, on the set E_2 .

We can continue the process inductively. Assume that for some $k \geq 3$, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1} and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1}$ are already constructed. We choose $0 < r_k < 1/2$ such that

$$E_{k-1} \cap (\Delta_{r_k}(a_k) \times \mathbb{C}) = \cup_{j=1}^{2^{k-1}} \{(z, f_j^{k-1}(z)) : z \in \Delta_{r_k}(a_k)\},$$

where $f_1^{k-1}, \dots, f_{2^{k-1}}^{k-1}$ are functions holomorphic in a neighbourhood of $\overline{\Delta_{r_k}(a_k)}$ and such that for every $1 \leq j \neq l \leq 2^{k-1}$ and every $z \in \partial\Delta_{r_k}(a_k)$, $f_j^{k-1}(z) \neq f_l^{k-1}(z)$. Then we set

$$(2.8) \quad \kappa_k := \inf_{1 \leq j \neq l \leq 2^{k-1}} \{|f_j^{k-1}(z) - f_l^{k-1}(z)| : |z - a_k| = r_k\} > 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon_k > 0$ be such that

$$(2.9) \quad 2\varepsilon_k\sqrt{r_k} < \frac{\kappa_k}{2}$$

and, for every $2 \leq p \leq k-1$,

$$(2.10) \quad 2\varepsilon_k\sqrt{|a_k - a_p| + r_p} < \frac{\varepsilon_p\sqrt{r_p}}{2^{k-p+1}}.$$

Condition (2.10) will insure that the set E_k , over the circle $\partial\Delta_{r_p}(a_p)$, will be a sufficiently small perturbation of the set E_p and, hence, the shift error for liftings of the closed circle $\partial\Delta_{r_p}(a_p)$ to a sufficiently small neighbourhood of the set E_k will be bounded from below by a positive constant independent of k . Let us make this argument more precise. We first introduce the following notations: for each $k \geq p$ we denote by $E_{k,p}$ the set

$$E_{k,p} := E_k \cap (\{|z - a_p| = r_p\} \times \mathbb{C}_w),$$

for each $p \geq 1$ we denote by \mathcal{E}_p the set

$$\mathcal{E}_p := \mathcal{E} \cap (\{|z - a_p| = r_p\} \times \mathbb{C}_w),$$

and for each compact set $F \subset \mathbb{C}_{z,w}^2$ and each $\delta > 0$ we denote by F^δ the set

$$F^\delta := \cup_{(z,w) \in F} (\{z\} \times \Delta_\delta(w)).$$

Since, by Condition (2.10), we know that for each $k > p$ one has

$$|\varepsilon_k\sqrt{z - a_k}| \leq \varepsilon_k\sqrt{|a_k - a_p| + r_p} < \frac{\varepsilon_p\sqrt{r_p}}{2^{k-p+2}}$$

for $z \in \partial\Delta_{r_p}(a_p)$, we conclude that

$$E_{k,p} \subset E_{k-1,p}^{\frac{\varepsilon_p\sqrt{r_p}}{2^{k-p+2}}} \subset E_{k-2,p}^{\varepsilon_p\sqrt{r_p}(\frac{1}{2^{k-p+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{k-p+1}})} \subset E_{p,p}^{\varepsilon_p\sqrt{r_p}(\frac{1}{2^{k-p+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{k-p+1}} + \dots + \frac{1}{8})} \subset E_{p,p}^{\frac{\varepsilon_p\sqrt{r_p}}{4}}.$$

Then, after passing to the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we will get that

$$\mathcal{E}_p \subset \text{cl}\left(E_{p,p}^{\frac{\varepsilon_p \sqrt{r_p}}{4}}\right),$$

where for avoiding ambiguity we use the notation $\text{cl}(X)$ for the closure of X . Hence, we also have that

$$\text{cl}\left(\mathcal{E}_p^{\frac{\varepsilon_p \sqrt{r_p}}{4}}\right) \subset \text{cl}\left(E_{p,p}^{\frac{\varepsilon_p \sqrt{r_p}}{2}}\right).$$

By the construction of the Wermer type set \mathcal{E} , it finally follows from the last inclusion, Property (2.7) and Conditions (2.8), (2.9) that for the shift error of the constructed above set \mathcal{E} we have the following

Property (P): For every $p \geq 1$, the inequality

$$\theta\left(\text{cl}\left(\mathcal{E}_p^{\frac{\varepsilon_p \sqrt{r_p}}{4}}\right)\right) \geq \varepsilon_p \sqrt{r_p} > 0$$

holds.

We can now specify the choice of the sequence $\{c(n)\}_n$ and then, using Lemma 3, construct the function ρ .

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\tilde{S}_n := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -n - 2 \leq \text{Re}(z), \text{Im}(z) \leq n + 2\} \setminus \{z \in \mathbb{C} : -n + 1 < \text{Re}(z), \text{Im}(z) < n - 1\}.$$

and let

$$\kappa(n) := \inf_{\{p: a_p \in \tilde{S}_n\}} \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon_p \sqrt{r_p}}{4} \right\}.$$

The definition of \tilde{S}_n insures that every disc of radius one contained in \mathbb{C} will be contained in some \tilde{S}_n . This property will be used in Section 3 to prove the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$.

Now we choose $q(n)$ so large that

$$(2.11) \quad \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : e^{\phi(z, w) + q(n)} < 1\} \cap (\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{E}^{\kappa(n)} \cap (\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C}),$$

the function ϕ being introduced at the beginning of Subsection 2.1.

Then, in view of Lemma 5, we can define for every $n > q_0$

$$\delta(n) := \inf\{\delta > 0 : \beta_k^\delta < \frac{1}{2}, \text{ for all } n - 1 \leq k \leq n + 2\}.$$

It follows now that for every $n > q_0$ and every $n - 1 \leq k \leq n + 2$ the inequality

$$(2.12) \quad \beta_k^{\delta(n)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

holds and then we choose $\tilde{q}(n) \geq q(n)$ such that

$$(2.13) \quad \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : e^{\phi(z, w) + \tilde{q}(n)} < 1\} \cap (\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{E}^{\delta(n)} \cap (\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C}).$$

Hence, setting $c(n) = q(n) + n$ for $n < q_0$ and $c(n) = \tilde{q}(n) + n$ for $n \geq q_0$, and applying then Lemma 3, we obtain a strictly convex function $\rho : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(2.14) \quad \rho|_{[n-1, n+2]} \geq c(n).$$

Then the corresponding function $\Psi(\rho)$ defined by (2.1) is plurisubharmonic on \mathbb{C}^2 and the domain $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)} := \{(z, w, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \operatorname{Re}(\zeta) > \Psi(\rho)(z, w)\}$ is a rigid pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^3 . In Section 3, we prove that $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 2 AND COROLLARY 1

3.1. Proof of Theorem 2. We prove that the domain $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ satisfies all the conclusions of Theorem 2. According to Lemma 1, the core of $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ is not empty. Hence, it remains to prove that $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic. Assume, to get a contradiction, that there is a point $p \in \Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$, a neighbourhood U of p in \mathbb{C}^3 , U relatively compact in $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$, and for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a holomorphic function $f_k = (z_k, w_k, \zeta_k) : \Delta_k(0) \rightarrow \Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$ such that $f_k(0) \in U$ and $\|f'_k(0)\|^2 := |z'_k(0)|^2 + |w'_k(0)|^2 + |\zeta'_k(0)|^2 = 1$. Since $\Psi(\rho)$ is nonnegative, it follows that the function $\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_k)$ is positive on $\Delta_k(0)$ i.e., $\zeta_k(\Delta_k(0)) \subset \mathbb{H} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0\}$. For $v \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{H}$ (resp. $\eta \in \Delta_k(0)$), let $\|v\|_{\zeta, \mathbb{H}}$ (respectively $\|v\|_{\eta, \Delta_k(0)}$) denote the hyperbolic norm of v at $\zeta \in \mathbb{H}$ (respectively at $\eta \in \Delta_k(0)$). From the decreasing property of the hyperbolic metric (under the action of holomorphic maps) we get

$$\frac{|\zeta'_k(0)|}{\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_k(0))} = \|\zeta'_k(0) \cdot \mathbf{1}\|_{\zeta_k(0), \mathbb{H}} \leq \|\mathbf{1}\|_{0, \Delta_k(0)} = \frac{1}{k}.$$

Hence, for every $k \geq 1$

$$(3.1) \quad |\zeta'_k(0)| \leq \frac{|\zeta_k(0)|}{k}.$$

Since U is relatively compact in $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$, the set $\{|\zeta_k(0)|, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{C} and, from (3.1), there exists $k_0 \geq 0$ such that $|z'_k(0)|^2 + |w'_k(0)|^2 > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $k \geq k_0$. If we set $r_k := \sqrt{|z'_k(0)|^2 + |w'_k(0)|^2}$, then the holomorphic map $g_k = (\tilde{z}_k, \tilde{w}_k, \tilde{\zeta}_k) : \lambda \in \Delta_{kr_k}(0) \mapsto f_k(\lambda/r_k)$ satisfies

$$|\tilde{z}'_k(0)|^2 + |\tilde{w}'_k(0)|^2 = 1.$$

It follows now from Lemma 2 that, setting $d := \sup_{(z, w, \zeta) \in U} \operatorname{Re}(\zeta)$, we will have the following inclusion

$$(3.2) \quad \left\{ (\tilde{z}_k(\lambda), \tilde{w}_k(\lambda)), \lambda \in \Delta_{k/7\sqrt{2}}(0) \right\} \subset F_d := \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \Psi(\rho)(z, w) < 2d\}.$$

Notice that Condition (3.2) is satisfied for every $\lambda \in \Delta_{kr_k/7}$ and hence, since $r_k > 1/\sqrt{2}$, for every $\lambda \in \Delta_{k/7\sqrt{2}}$.

We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. *There exists an increasing sequence $\{k_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ diverging to $+\infty$, such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\tilde{z}'_{k_m}(0)| > |\tilde{w}'_{k_m}(0)|$.*

We will now need the following classical result.

The Bloch Theorem. *There exists $0 < \theta < 1$ such that for every $r > 0$ and every holomorphic function $f : \Delta_r(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $|f'(0)| = 1$ there are $b \in \mathbb{C}$ and a holomorphic function $g : \Delta_{\theta r}(b) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f \circ g(\lambda) = \lambda$ for every $\lambda \in \Delta_{\theta r}(b)$.*

Since for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < |\tilde{z}'_{k_m}(0)| \leq 1$, the function $\lambda \in \Delta_{k_m/14}(0) \mapsto \tilde{z}_{k_m}(\lambda/|\tilde{z}'_{k_m}(0)|)$ satisfies the assumptions of the Bloch Theorem and takes values in $\tilde{z}_{k_m}(\Delta_{k_m/7\sqrt{2}}(0))$. It follows that there exists $0 < \theta < 1$ such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $b_{k_m} \in \mathbb{C}$ and a holomorphic function $g_{k_m} : \Delta_{\theta k_m/14}(b_{k_m}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose graph $\Gamma(g_{k_m}) := \{(z, g_{k_m}(z)) : z \in \Delta_{\theta k_m/14}(b_{k_m})\}$ will satisfy the condition

$$(3.3) \quad \Gamma(g_{k_m}) \subset F_d.$$

Now, since $\Psi(\rho)(z, w) := e^{\phi(z, w) + \rho(|\operatorname{Re}(z)|) + \rho(|\operatorname{Im}(z)|)}$, it follows from Condition (2.11) and from the definition of $c(n)$ in Condition (2.14) that for every positive integer n such that $\frac{2d}{e^n} < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_d \cap (\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C}) &= \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : e^{\phi(z, w) + \rho(|x|) + \rho(|y|)} < 2d\} \cap (\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C}) \\ &\subset \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : e^{\phi(z, w) + q(n)} < \frac{2d}{e^n}\} \cap (\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C}) \\ &\subset \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : e^{\phi(z, w) + q(n)} < 1\} \cap (\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C}) \\ &\subset \mathcal{E}^{\kappa(n)} \cap (\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C}), \end{aligned}$$

the last inclusion coming from Condition (2.11).

In particular, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.4) \quad \Gamma(g_{k_m}) \subset \cup_{n \geq n_0} \left(\mathcal{E}^{\kappa(n)} \cap (\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C}) \right) \cup K,$$

where n_0 satisfies $\frac{2d}{e^{n_0}} < 1$ and $K := F_d \cap (\{|z| \leq n_0\} \times \mathbb{C})$.

It follows now from the definition of $\kappa(n)$ and Property (P) that the set

$$\cup_{n \geq n_0} \left(\mathcal{E}^{\kappa(n)} \cap (\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C}) \right)$$

cannot contain large disks. This contradicts (3.4), since for sufficiently large m the set $\Gamma(g_{k_m}) \setminus K$ will obviously contain an arbitrarily large disk.

Case 2. *There exists $k_0 \geq 1$ such that for every $k \geq k_0$, $|\tilde{z}'_k(0)| \leq |\tilde{w}'_k(0)|$.*

In particular, we have $|\tilde{w}'_k(0)| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ for every $k \geq k_0$ and, as in the Case 1 above, according to the Bloch Theorem, there exists $0 < \theta < 1$ such that for every $k \geq k_0$, there are $b'_k \in \mathbb{C}$ and holomorphic functions $h_k : \Delta_{\theta k/14}(b'_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose graph $\Gamma(h_k) := \{(h_k(\lambda), \lambda) : \lambda \in \Delta_{\theta k/14}(b'_k)\}$ satisfies

$$(3.5) \quad \Gamma(h_k) \subset F_d.$$

There are two subcases to consider.

Subcase 2a. *There is an increasing sequence $(k_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ diverging to $+\infty$ and, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a point $\lambda_{k_m} \in \Delta_{\theta k_m/28}(b'_{k_m})$ such that $|h'_{k_m}(\lambda_{k_m})| \geq 1$.*

In this case we can repeat the argument of Case 1, replacing \tilde{z}_{k_m} with h_{k_m} , $\Delta_{k_m/7\sqrt{2}}(0)$ with $\Delta_{\theta k_m/28}(\lambda_{k_m})$ (here we use a trivial observation that for $\lambda_{k_m} \in \Delta_{\theta k_m/28}(b'_{k_m})$ one has $\Delta_{\theta k_m/28}(\lambda_{k_m}) \subset \Delta_{\theta k/14}(b'_k)$) and then, using (3.5), we obtain the same contradiction as in Case 1.

Subcase 2b. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough and every $\lambda \in \Delta_{\theta k/28}(b'_k)$ the inequality $|h'_k(\lambda)| < 1$ holds.

It follows then from Condition (2.14), from the definition of $c(n)$ and from Condition (2.13) that for every $n \geq q_0$ such that $\frac{2d}{e^n} < 1$ one has

$$(3.6) \quad F_d \cap \left(\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C} \right) \subset \mathcal{E}^{\delta(n)} \cap \left(\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C} \right).$$

Hence, for every $n \geq q_0$ there exists a compact set $K_n \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$F_d \cap \left(\left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : -n - \frac{1}{2} < \operatorname{Re}(z) < n + \frac{1}{2}, -n - \frac{1}{2} < \operatorname{Im}(z) < n + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \times \mathbb{C} \right) \subset K_n.$$

(See Figure 3.)




FIGURE 3.

Since $\left\{ \Gamma \left(h_k \Big|_{\Delta_{\theta k/28}(b'_k)} \right) \right\}_{k \geq 1}$ forms a sequence of unbounded holomorphic disks, the set $\cup_{k \geq 1} \left(\Gamma \left(h_k \Big|_{\Delta_{\theta k/18}(b'_k)} \right) \cap (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus K_n) \right)$ will also contain arbitrarily large discs. Hence, by (3.5), (3.6) and the definition of $\mathcal{E}^{\delta(n)}$, the set $\cup_{k \geq 1} \pi_z \left(\Gamma \left(h_k \Big|_{\Delta_{\theta k/28}(b'_k)} \right) \cap (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus K_n) \right)$ is not bounded in \mathbb{C}_z .

In particular, we can choose $n \geq q_0$ such that (3.6) is satisfied, and $k(n) \geq 1$, $b''_{k(n)} \in \Delta_{(\theta k(n)/28)-1}(b'_{k(n)})$, such that $h_{k(n)}(b''_{k(n)}) \in T_n$, where T_n is defined in (2.4). Notice that,

according to the assumption of Subcase 2b, the holomorphic disk $\{(h_{k(n)}(\lambda), \lambda); \lambda \in \Delta_1(b''_{k(n)})\}$ belongs to \mathcal{H}_n .

Since $\Delta_1(b''_{k(n)}) \subset \tilde{S}_n$, it follows from (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$\Gamma \left(h_{k(n)}|_{\Delta_1(b''_{k(n)})} \right) \subset \mathcal{E}^{\delta(n)} \cap \left(\tilde{S}_n \times \mathbb{C} \right).$$

However, $\text{diam} \left(\pi_w \left(\Gamma \left(h_{k(n)}|_{\Delta_1(b''_{k(n)})} \right) \right) \right) = 1$, which contradicts Condition (2.12). This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Remark 3. Observe, that the statement which is actually proved in the Case 1 and Case 2 above using the Bloch Theorem, can be formulated as the following property of the domain F_d :

Property (\mathcal{F}): For each $d > 0$ there exists $r = r(d) > 0$ such that the domain F_d contains no holomorphic disks of radius $r > r(d)$ (the last part of the statement means, more precisely, that for every holomorphic map $h : \Delta_r(0) \rightarrow F_d$ such that $\|h'(0)\| = 1$ one has $r \leq r(d)$).

We give here an explicit formulation of this property because it will be needed in the forthcoming paper [12].

3.2. Proof of Corollary 1. Assume, to get a contradiction, that φ is a strong antipeak function at infinity for $\Omega_{\Psi(\rho)}$. Then $\varphi|_{\mathcal{E}}$ is continuous psh. and bounded from above in a neighbourhood of \mathcal{E} . It follows now from the same argument as in [6, Theorem 2.2] that $\varphi \equiv C$ on \mathcal{E} for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Since, by the definition of a strong antipeak function, we know that $\varphi(z) \rightarrow 0$ for $z \in \mathcal{E}$ as $\|z\| \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $\varphi \equiv 0$ on \mathcal{E} . This contradicts the definition of an antipeak function and, hence, completes the proof of Corollary 1. \square

Acknowledgements. *Part of this work was done while the second author was a visitor at the Capital Normal University (Beijing). It is his pleasure to thank this institution for its hospitality and good working conditions. The authors also would like to thank Fusheng Deng for his remark related to the definition of the antipeak function which slightly strengthen the statement of Theorem 1.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Bedford, E.; Fornæss, J.E. *A Construction of Peak Functions on Weakly Pseudoconvex Domains*, Ann. Math. **107** (1978), 555-568.
- [2] D'Angelo, J.P. *Finite type conditions for real hypersurfaces*, J. Differ. Geom. **14** (1979), 59-66.
- [3] Gaussier, H. *Tautness and complete hyperbolicity of domains in \mathbb{C}^n* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 105-116.
- [4] Harz, T.; Shcherbina, N.; Tomassini, G. *Wermer type sets and extension of CR functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **61** (2012), 431-459.
- [5] Harz, T.; Shcherbina, N.; Tomassini, G. *On defining functions and cores for unbounded domains. I*, Math. Z. **286** (2017), 987-1002.
- [6] Harz, T.; Shcherbina, N.; Tomassini, G. *On defining functions and cores for unbounded domains. II*, J. Geom. Anal. DOI 10.1007/s12220-017-9846-8.
- [7] Harz, T.; Shcherbina, N.; Tomassini, G. *On defining functions and cores for unbounded domains. III*, submitted for publication.

- [8] Nguyen, Q.D.; Hung, D.H. *Jensen measures and unbounded B -regular domains in \mathbb{C}^n* , Ann. Inst. Fourier **58** (2008), 1383-1406.
- [9] Poletsky, E.A.; Shcherbina, N. *Plurisubharmonically separable complex manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), 2413-2424.
- [10] Shcherbina, N. *On the polynomial hull of a graph*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42** (1993), 477-503.
- [11] Shcherbina, N. *On the existence of Kobayashi and Bergman metrics for Model domains*, arXiv:1904.12950.
- [12] Shcherbina, N.; Zhang, L. *A Kobayashi and Bergman complete domain without bounded representations*, in preparation.
- [13] Sibony, N. *A class of hyperbolic manifolds*, Recent developments in several complex variables (Proc. Conf., Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J., 1979), 357-372, Ann. of Math. Stud., **100**. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1981.
- [14] Slodkowski, Z. *Pseudoconcave decompositions in complex manifolds*, Hopkins-Maryland Complex Geometry Seminar Proceedings - Volume of the AMS Contemporary Mathematics Series, 2019. To appear.

H. GAUSSIER: UNIV. GRENOBLE ALPES, CNRS, IF, F-38000 GRENOBLE, FRANCE
E-mail address: `herve.gaussier@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr`

N. SHCHERBINA: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WUPPERTAL, 42119 WUPPERTAL, GERMANY
E-mail address: `shcherbina@math.uni-wuppertal.de`