

On Irreducible Symplectic Varieties of $K3^{[n]}$ -type in Positive Characteristic

Ziquan Yang

Abstract

We show that there is a good notion of irreducible symplectic (IS) varieties of $K3^{[n]}$ -type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic p when $p > 2n$. Then we generalize Ogus' supersingular crystalline Torelli theorem and Saint-Donat's boundedness results for K3 surfaces to these varieties. As applications, we answer a slight variant of a question asked by F. Charles on moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces and give a crystalline Torelli theorem for supersingular cubic fourfolds.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Generalities on Irreducible Symplectic Varieties	7
2.1	Lemmas on quadratic forms	7
2.2	IS varieties in characteristic zero	8
3	Deformation Theory	12
3.1	Preliminary Definitions	12
3.2	A local crystalline Torelli theorem	15
3.3	Global deformations	20
4	Preliminaries on Shimura Varieties	22
4.1	A review of spinor Shimura varieties	22
4.2	Isogeny Classes and the Supersingular Locus	24
5	Motives, Monodromy and Periods	28
5.1	Period morphisms	28
5.2	Invariance of deformation types	31
5.3	Étale parallel transport	33
5.4	Proofs of Thm. 1.3 and Thm. 1.5	35
A	Crystalline Discriminants and Extension of K3 Crystals	38
A.1	Crystalline discriminants	38
A.2	Extension of K3 crystals	40
B	Supersingular Cubic Fourfolds	43
B.1	Crystalline Torelli for supersingular cubic fourfolds	43
B.2	CM theory and existence of superspecial cubic fourfolds	44

1 Introduction

A complex *irreducible symplectic* (IS) manifold, or a *hyperkähler* manifold, is a compact simply connected Kähler manifold X whose $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ is generated by a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form. IS manifolds are natural generalizations of K3 surfaces. The most classically known and extensively studied IS manifolds are deformations of the Hilbert scheme of n points on a K3 surface (K3^[n]-type) or those of the generalized Kummer n variety associated to an abelian surface (GKⁿ-type).

There is currently no widely accepted definition of an IS variety in positive characteristic.¹ The first goal of our paper is to show that there is a good notion of IS varieties of K3^[n]-type at least when $p > 2n$. Then we establish some basic properties about these varieties. In particular, we prove a supersingular crystalline global Torelli theorem and a boundedness result which generalize theorems due to Ogus [Ogu83, Thm. II] and to Saint-Donat [SD74, Thm. 8.3] respectively.

Definitions In the following, k denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. We write its ring of Witt vectors $W(k)$ as W . Let $\bar{K}_0 := W[1/p]$ and fix an algebraic closure \bar{K}_0 . Denote the lift of Frobenius on W by σ . Choose an isomorphism $\tau : \bar{K}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1.1. A smooth projective variety X over k is said to be of an *IS variety of K3^[n]-type* if it has the same Hodge numbers as a complex IS manifold of K3^[n]-type, and for some finite flat extension V of W with an embedding $\iota : V \hookrightarrow \bar{K}_0$ of W -algebras, there exists a smooth projective lift X_V of X over V such that (i) there exists a primitive polarization on X which extends to X_V , and (ii) the complex analytic manifold associated to $X_V \otimes_{\tau, \iota} \mathbb{C}$ is an IS manifold of K3^[n]-type.

Our definition is motivated by Deligne's result [Del81, Thm. 1.6, Cor. 1.7] that every (polarized) K3 surface in characteristic p lifts to characteristic zero, up to a finite extension of the base field. Since k is algebraically closed, Definition 1.1 is indeed equivalent to the usual definition for K3 surfaces over k when $n = 0$. To justify our definition, we generalize Deligne's result and show that the choices in Definition 1.1 are not important:

Theorem 1.2. *Assume $p > 2n$. Let X be an IS variety of K3^[n]-type over k .*

- (a) *For any line bundle $\zeta \in \text{Pic}(X)$, there exists a finite flat extension W' of W together with a formal deformation $\hat{X}_{W'} \rightarrow \text{Spf } W'$ of X such that ζ extends to $\hat{X}_{W'}$.*
- (b) *Suppose $X_{V'}$ is another deformation of X over a finite flat extension V' of W such that there exists a primitive polarization on X which extends to $X_{V'}$. Then for any embedding $\iota' : V' \hookrightarrow \bar{K}_0$ of W -algebras, the complex manifold associated to $X_{V'} \otimes_{\tau, \iota'} \mathbb{C}$ is an IS manifold of K3^[n]-type.*

Note that since we are free to choose the embedding $\iota' : V' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ once $\tau : \bar{K}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$ is fixed, the choice of τ is also not important.

¹Fu and Li have given a broad tentative definition [FL18, Def. 3.1], but their paper is more focused on studying concrete examples.

Examples The following are examples of IS varieties $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k :

- (i) The Hilbert scheme of n points on a K3 surface S over k .
- (ii) ($p > 2n$) The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_H(S, v)$ of stable sheaves on a polarized K3 surface (S, H) with Mukai vector v such that $\text{rank } v > 0, \langle v, v \rangle = 2n - 2$ under the Mukai pairing and H is in general position with respect to v (cf. Prop. 5.10).
- (iii) ($p \geq 7, n = 2$) The Fano variety of lines $F(Y)$ on a cubic fourfold Y in \mathbb{P}^5 .

The above constructions over \mathbb{C} are well known. In positive characteristics, (ii) has been treated in detail in [Cha16] and [FL18].

Crystalline Torelli Theorems An IS variety X of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type is said to be *supersingular* if the Newton polygon of $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$ is a straight line.

Theorem 1.3. (Theorem 5.21) *Assume $p > 2n$. Let X and X' be two supersingular IS varieties of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k . An isomorphism $\psi : \text{NS}(X') \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{NS}(X)$ is induced by an isomorphism $f : X \xrightarrow{\sim} X'$ if and only if ψ is a supersingular specialization operator and fits into a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{NS}(X') & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \text{NS}(X) \\ \downarrow c_1 & & \downarrow c_1 \\ H_{\text{cris}}^2(X'/W) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W) \end{array} .$$

Clearly this requires some explanation. Ogus' theorem was modeled on the classical Hodge theoretic Torelli theorem for K3 surfaces, so we first recall the Hodge theoretic global Torelli theorem for general complex analytic IS manifolds, due to Verbitsky (cf. [Ver13, Thm. 1.18], see also [Mar11, Thm. 1.3]):

Theorem 1.4. (Verbitsky) *Let X, X' be complex IS varieties. An isomorphism of Hodge structures $\psi : H^2(X', \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is induced by an isomorphism $f : X \xrightarrow{\sim} X'$ if and only if ψ is a polarized parallel transport operator.*

As the name suggests, ψ is a polarized parallel transport operator if and only if there exists a polarized complex analytic family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ of IS manifolds which contains X, X' as fibers and realizes ψ via parallel transport along a topological path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$. Therefore, a transposition of the theorem to characteristic p is necessarily delicate. Our solution is to replace topological paths by étale paths and define the étale version of the notion of polarized transport operators (cf. Def. 5.12). Supersingular specialization operators are special polarized transport operators which arise for supersingular IS varieties of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type in a natural way (cf. Def. 5.17).

Boundedness and Positivity Saint-Donat showed that if X is a K3 surface and ξ is a polarization of X , 3ξ is very ample and its Hilbert polynomial is completely determined by the intersection number ξ^2 . This implies that polarized K3 surfaces have bounded moduli. We partially generalize Saint-Donat's result to varieties of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type:

Theorem 1.5. *Let n, r be fixed positive numbers and assume $p > 2n$. There exist numbers $m, N > 0$ such that for any polarized IS variety (X, ξ) of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k with $\xi^{2n} = r$, $m\xi$ is very ample and $H^0(X, m\xi) \leq N$.*

The above theorem addresses a slight variant of a question asked by F. Charles in the introduction of [Cha16]. Charles asked if X is a moduli space of stable sheaves on a K3 surface and ξ is big and nef, whether there exist bounds m, N, d which depend only on n, r such that $m\xi$ always induces a birational map from X to some subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{n'}$ for some $n' \leq N$ of degree bounded by d .

Other Results Finally, we mention some byproducts. As a result of a refined deformation theory for IS varieties developed in this paper, we can improve upon Charles' result [Cha13, Cor. 5] by dropping the assumption of the existence of a polarization of suitable degree:

Theorem 1.6. *Assume $p > 2n$. Let X be a variety over a finite extension of \mathbb{F}_p such that $X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ is an IS variety of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type. Then X satisfies the Tate conjecture for divisors.*

In appendix A, we reinterpret a calculation made by Ogus and Bloch in [Ogu83, (1.4)] and produce the following example, which may be of independent interest.

Proposition 1.7. *For every $p > 2$, there exists a K3 surface X defined over \mathbb{Z}_p such that $H_{\text{dR}}^2(X/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ are non-isomorphic as quadratic forms over \mathbb{Z}_p under the Poincaré pairing.*

In appendix B, we give a crystalline Torelli theorem for supersingular cubic fourfolds (Thm. B.1), whose formulation is close to that of Thm. 1.3, albeit in terms of codimension 2 cycles. Then we make use of CM theory to show that

Proposition 1.8. *For a set of primes p of Dirichlet density 1, there exists a superspecial cubic fourfold over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$.*

Here “superspecial” means that the discriminant of the integral lattice formed by codimension 2 cycles achieves the minimal order allowed by cohomological constraints, i.e., p^2 .

Sketch of Main Ideas If X is a variety of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type, $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$ can be equipped with a quadratic form q_X , which behaves like the Poincaré pairing for K3 surfaces. In particular, it is compatible with the Frobenius action F on $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$ in the following sense:

$$q_X(F(x), F(y)) = p^2 \sigma(q_X(x, y)), \text{ for all } x, y \in H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W). \quad (1.9)$$

When $p > 2n$, q_X can be chosen to be self-dual and $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$ has the structure of a K3 crystal (cf. [Ogu79, Def. 3.1]). Building on the work of Nygaard and Ogus [NO85], we prove a local crystalline Torelli theorem for X (Thm. 3.19), which states that deformations of X are equivalent to deformations of $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$ as a K3 crystal. This is an analogue of Serre-Tate theory for abelian varieties. The main input we add to Nygaard-Ogus' theory is an extension result of K3 crystals (Prop. 3.13), which says that the restriction functor in [NO85, Thm. 5.2] is not only fully faithful, but also essentially surjective. Using the local crystalline Torelli theorem, we show that

- (a) The second crystalline cohomology of the universal deformation of X over k is equipped with a natural extension of q_X .
- (b) The natural pairing $H^1(\Omega_X) \times H^1(T_X) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is perfect.
- (c) The deformation space of X together with any primitive line bundle is quasi-healthy.

(a) and (b) ensures that Deligne's proof of [Del81, Thm. 1.6] works verbatim for X , which gives Theorem 1.2(a). We remark that if X were a complex hyperkähler manifold, (b) would have been an easy consequence of Serre duality and a complex conjugation argument (cf. [Huy99, 1.8]). In positive characteristic, (b) seems new in literature and our proof is deformation theoretic. (c) is a generalization of [MP15, Thm. 3.8]. This allows us to construct Kuga-Satake period morphisms as in [MP15, Sect. 4], which refines the construction in [Cha13, Sect. 3].

Next, we show that

- (d) If X is supersingular, $c_1 : \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes W \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X/W)$ has finite cokernel.
- (e) Every pair of polarizations of X can be lifted to a finite extension of W together.

The pair lifting property (e), together with a density result [MP18, Cor. 1.3] due to Mongardi and Pacienza, are the main input to Theorem 1.2(b).

We now sketch how Thm. 1.3 reduces to Verbitsky's theorem: Let X, X' be two supersingular IS varieties of $\mathrm{K3}^{[m]}$ -type. Every isomorphism $\mathrm{NS}(X')_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ induced by a correspondence Z on $X \times X'$. Suppose $\psi : \mathrm{NS}(X') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{NS}(X)$ is an isomorphism which induces an isomorphism $\psi_{\mathrm{cris}} : H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X'/W) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X/W)$ of K3 crystals and let Z be a correspondence which induces ψ . By the local crystalline Torelli theorem, we can choose lifts X_W, X'_W of X, X' over W such that ψ_{cris} preserves the Hodge filtrations on $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X/W)$ and $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X'/W)$ which are induced by X_W, X'_W via crystalline-de Rham comparison. If we assume the p -adic variational Hodge conjecture, Z lifts to an algebraic cycle on $X_W \times X'_W$ such that if we pick an isomorphism $\bar{K}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$, Z induces an isomorphism of Hodge structures

$$\psi_W(\mathbb{C}) : H^2(X'_W(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(X_W(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$$

which preserves the Beauville-Bogomolov forms (cf. Rmk. 5.20). If $\psi_W(\mathbb{C})$ is a polarized transport operator, then by Verbitsky's theorem, $\psi_W(\mathbb{C})$ is induced by an isomorphism $f_{\mathbb{C}} : X_W(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} X'_W(\mathbb{C})$. A theorem of Mumford-Matsusaka [MM64, Thm. 2] allows us to specialize $f_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the desired isomorphism $f : X \xrightarrow{\sim} X'$, which induces ψ .

Although we cannot prove p -adic variational Hodge conjecture directly, we can show that Z lifts to an absolute Hodge cycle on the generic fiber of $X_W \times X'_W$, which is enough for our arguments. We choose appropriate polarizations and construct for X, X' Kuga-Satake abelian varieties A, A' , which are abelian varieties with CSpin structures. Using Kisin's explicit description of isogeny classes of mod p points of Shimura varieties of Hodge type, we can show that ψ is induced by an isogeny $\tilde{\psi} : A \rightarrow A'$ which commutes with CSpin structures. Moreover, by Cartan decomposition, we can choose $\tilde{\psi}$ to be prime-to- p (Lem. 4.13). A lifting of $\tilde{\psi}$ will then induce the desired absolute Hodge cycle.

Finally, we need to show that if ψ is a supersingular specialization operator, then $\psi_W(\mathbb{C})$ is induced by parallel transport along a topological path. The key ingredient here is Markman's result which implies that if Y is a manifold of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type, its monodromy group $\mathrm{Mon}^2(Y)$ is (up to sign) a congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}))$ (see. [Mar11, Sect. 9]). Moreover, if $p \nmid 2(n-1)$, then the p -component of this congruence subgroup is the full group. This allows us to check whether $\psi_W(\mathbb{C})$ is a parallel transport operator by passing to étale cohomology with prime-to- p coefficients.

Theorem 1.5 also reduces to Verbitsky's theorem. If we set up period morphisms carefully, then two polarized varieties of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type are mapped to the same point in the period domain, i.e., some spinor/orthogonal Shimura variety, only if they are isomorphic. Then we conclude using the quasi-compactness of the period domains.

Outline of Paper In Sect. 2, we discuss some generalities on IS varieties over \mathbb{C} . In particular, we show that Definition 1.1 is independent of the choice of τ or ι (Prop. 2.7). In Sect. 3, we prove the local crystalline Torelli theorem and (a),(b), and (c) in the non-supersingular case. In Sect. 4, we review the basics of spinor Shimura varieties and discuss the implications of Kisin's work [Kis17]. In Sect. 5, we first construct the Kuga-Satake period morphism, establish (d) and (e) and finish the proof of Thm. 1.2. Then we define and study étale polarized parallel transport operators, and go on to prove Thm. 1.3 and Thm. 1.5. Proofs of the aforementioned extension result on K3 crystals and (c) in the supersingular case are left to Appendix A.

Notations and Conventions

- $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the completion of \mathbb{Z} . $\mathbb{A}_f := \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. \mathbb{A}_f^p denotes the prime-to- p component of \mathbb{A}_f . For any field κ , $\bar{\kappa}$ denotes an algebraic closure of κ .
- When the letter k denotes a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, we write W for $W(k)$ and K_0 for $W[1/p]$. Moreover, we implicitly assume that k has finite transcendence degree over \mathbb{F}_p , so that $W(k)$ has the same cardinality as \mathbb{C} . We often use V to denote a subring of \bar{K}_0 which is a finite flat extension of W .
- When X is a scheme and \mathcal{F} is a quasi-coherent sheaf on X , we will write $H^i(\mathcal{F})$ for $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ when the space X is understood. The structure sheaf of X is denoted by \mathcal{O}_X and if X is a smooth variety over a field, its canonical bundle is denoted by K_X . When X is a scheme over a base V and there is an understood morphism $\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$, we write $X(\mathbb{C})$ for the complex analytic space associated to $X \otimes_V \mathbb{C}$.
- Let S be any scheme and $f : Y \rightarrow S$ be any smooth projective scheme over S . When S is understood, for each number $i \geq 0$ we simply write $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(Y)$ for the relative de Rham cohomology $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^i(Y/S) := \mathbb{R}^i f_* \Omega_{Y/S}^\bullet$. If moreover S is a scheme over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$, we denote by $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^i(Y/W)$ the crystal $\mathbb{R}^i f_{\mathrm{cris}*} \mathcal{O}_Y$ on the crystalline site $\mathrm{Cris}(S/W)$. For every object $(U, T, \gamma) \in \mathrm{Cris}(S/W)$, where U is an open subscheme of S and $U \hookrightarrow T$ is a PD thickening defined by an ideal sheaf on T with PD structure γ , we may simply write T for (U, T, γ) when U and γ are understood. If \mathcal{F} is a crystal of quasi-coherent sheaves on $\mathrm{Cris}(S/W)$, we write $\mathcal{F}(T)$

for the induced quasi-coherent sheaf on T . In particular, if T is affine, then $\mathcal{F}(T)$ is understood as an \mathcal{O}_T -module.

- If X is a smooth projective variety and ξ is a line bundle on X , then for any number n the n -th tensor power of ξ is denoted by $n\xi$ and the top self-intersection number of ξ is denoted by $\xi^{\dim X}$. A line bundle is said to be primitive if it is not a nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ multiple of another line bundle.
- Let $R = \mathbb{Z}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ or \mathbb{Z}_ℓ for a prime ℓ and let L be a non-degenerate quadratic form over R . Let $\text{disc}(L)$ denote the discriminant of L , which is a class in $R/(R^\times)^2$. Let D_L denote the discriminant form of L , which is a $R_{\mathbb{Q}}/R$ -valued symmetric bilinear form on the quotient L^\vee/L . The natural map $\text{O}(L) \rightarrow \text{O}(D_L)$ is denoted by χ_L .

Acknowledgements It is a pleasure to thank Lin Chen and Yuchen Fu for helpful conversations on topology, Chenglong Yu and Zhiwei Zheng for discussions on quadratic forms and hyperkähler geometry, and Sasha Petrov for his help in the proof of Lem. 3.29. I also had helpful conversations with Qirui Li, Lucia Mocz, and Ananth Shankar. Many thanks to my advisor Mark Kisin who offered valuable comments on the writing of the paper. I am also grateful to the organizers of the 2019 Michigan Arithmetic and Algebraic Geometry Conference, during which I had inspiring conversations with other participants, including Dan Bragg, Daniel Huybrechts, Davesh Maulik and Alex Perry.

2 Generalities on Irreducible Symplectic Varieties

2.1 Lemmas on quadratic forms

The following two lemmas are well known to experts.

Lemma 2.1. *Let L be a quadratic lattice over \mathbb{Z} . The discriminant kernel $\ker \chi_{L \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ is a compact open subgroup of $\text{O}(L \otimes \mathbb{A}_f)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\ker \chi_{L \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ contains a congruence subgroup. We can always find an n such that

$$L \subseteq L^\vee \subseteq \frac{1}{n}L.$$

If $g \in \text{O}(L)$ satisfies $g \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, then for any $x \in L$

$$g \cdot \frac{1}{n}x - \frac{1}{n}x \in L.$$

In particular, for any $y \in L^\vee$ with image $\bar{y} \in L^\vee/L$, we have $g \cdot \bar{y} = \bar{y}$. Therefore, $\ker \chi_{L \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ contains the congruence subgroup $\ker(\text{O}(L \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \text{O}(L/nL))$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let L_1 and L_2 be two quadratic lattices over \mathbb{Z} . Suppose $L_1 \oplus L_2$ embeds into another quadratic lattice M over \mathbb{Z} as a finite index sublattice. Then for any pair $g_i \in \ker \chi_{L_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, $g_1 \oplus g_2 \in \text{O}(L_1 \oplus L_2)$ extends to an element in $\text{O}(M)$.*

Proof. This follows from the standard theory of gluing lattices. For a quick summary, see [McM11, 2]. \square

By a pointed lattice we mean a pair (Λ, λ) , where Λ is a quadratic lattice and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is a point. Morphisms between pointed lattices are defined in the obvious sense. Next, we give some lemmas on pointed lattices. In the following, U denotes the standard hyperbolic plane, i.e., the quadratic form over \mathbb{Z} which a basis x, y such that $x^2 = y^2 = 0$ and $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $L = U \oplus L'$ be a quadratic lattice over \mathbb{Z} . For any open subgroup $K \subseteq O(L \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ which contains $O(U \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$, the double quotient $O(L \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \backslash O(L \otimes \mathbb{A}_f) / K$ is a singleton.*

Proof. This follows easily from the proof of [Ogu79, Lem. 7.7]. Let $\text{ad} : \text{Spin}(L \otimes \mathbb{A}_f) \rightarrow \text{SO}(L \otimes \mathbb{A}_f)$ be the natural map given by conjugation. The main point is to show that the following two maps are both surjections:

$$\text{Spin}(L \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \backslash \text{Spin}(L \otimes \mathbb{A}_f) / \widetilde{K} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \text{SO}(L \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \backslash \text{SO}(L \otimes \mathbb{A}_f) / K' \xrightarrow{j} O(L \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \backslash O(L \otimes \mathbb{A}_f) / K$$

where $K' := K \cap \text{SO}(L \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\widetilde{K} := \text{ad}^{-1}(K')$. Then we conclude by applying the strong approximation theorem to $\text{Spin}(L \otimes \mathbb{Q})$. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let (Λ, λ) be a pointed lattice over \mathbb{Z} such that Λ contains $U^{\oplus 2}$ as an orthogonal direct summand. Then any other pointed lattice (Λ', λ') is isomorphic to (Λ, λ) if and only if Λ and Λ' have the same signature and $(\Lambda \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \lambda) \cong (\Lambda' \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \lambda')$.*

Proof. Let U_1, U_2 be the first and the second copy of U in $U^{\oplus 2}$. Suppose $\Lambda = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus M$ and $\lambda = u + m$ for some $u \in U_1 \oplus U_2$ and $m \in M$. We can move u into U_1 by applying an automorphism of $U_1 \oplus U_2$. Let $L = \lambda^\perp \subset \Lambda$. Then L contains a copy of U . By Lemma 2.2, $K = O(\Lambda \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \lambda)$ contains the discriminant kernel and hence is open. Now we conclude by Lemma 2.3. \square

2.2 IS varieties in characteristic zero

We discuss the definition of irreducible symplectic varieties over characteristic zero fields. The main point is to show that the “topology of \mathbb{C} ” does not affect the deformation type and Beauville-Bogomolov forms in the cases of our interest.

Definition 2.5. A complex Kähler manifold X is called an *irreducible symplectic (hyperkähler)* manifold if it is simply connected, and $H^2(\Omega_X^2)$ is spanned by a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form.

Definition 2.6. (cf. [And96, 2.1]) Let κ be any field of characteristic zero. A smooth projective variety X over κ is said to be *irreducible symplectic* if for $h^{2,0} = 1$, $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{\kappa}}) = 1$.

Of course, in the above definition, if there is an embedding $\kappa \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we want the complex analytic manifold $X(\mathbb{C})$ to be an IS manifold. The subtle issue here is that for a general complex projective variety V , $\pi_1^{\text{ét}} = 1$ does not necessarily imply that $\pi_1^{\text{top}} = 1$. Luckily, this is not to be worried about when V has trivial canonical bundle, thanks to the Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition theorem [Bea83, Thm. 2].

A complex IS variety is said to be of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type if its associated complex manifold is an IS manifold of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type.

Proposition 2.7. *Let X be a complex IS variety of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$. Then $X^\sigma := X \times_\sigma \mathbb{C}$ is also a complex IS variety of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type.*

Proof. The proposition immediately follows from the following claim: There exists a complex algebraic family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T$ with points $t, t' \in S(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\mathcal{X}_s \cong X$ and $\mathcal{X}_{s'} \cong S^{[n]}$ for some complex algebraic K3 surface S . Indeed, $S^{[n]} \otimes_\sigma \mathbb{C} \cong (S \otimes_\sigma \mathbb{C})^{[n]}$, and $S \otimes_\sigma \mathbb{C}$ is still a K3 surface, so X^σ deforms to $(S \otimes_\sigma \mathbb{C})^{[n]}$ along the algebraic family $\mathcal{X} \otimes_\sigma \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T \otimes_\sigma \mathbb{C}$.

One can easily prove the claim by considering the period morphism of polarized hyperkähler varieties (cf. [And96, Cor. 3.3.3]) and making use of a density result due to Mongardi and Pacienza [MP18, Cor. 1.2]. \square

Remark 2.8. The above proposition and proof work verbatim for complex generalized Kummer varieties, though they are not of main concern of the paper.

Now we review the Beauville-Bogomolov form for a complex IS manifold, which assumes the role of the Poincaré pairing for K3 surfaces. Although many results, including Verbitsky's global Torelli theorem, can be phrased without referring to the Beauville-Bogomolov form, these forms assume a fundamental role in the study of irreducible symplectic geometry.

Definition 2.9. Let X be a complex IS manifold of dimension $2n$. The *Beauville-Bogomolov form* q on $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the unique indivisible \mathbb{Z} -valued quadratic form such that

$$\int_X \alpha^{2n} = c \lambda_n q(\alpha)^n, \text{ where } \lambda_n = \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and $q(\alpha) > 0$ if α is Kähler. c is called the *Fujiki constant* of X .

It is a highly nontrivial result that such a quadratic form exists. Note that the above condition can uniquely specify a quadratic form provided that it exists only because we are using \mathbb{Z} -coefficients. This definition does not work, for example, for \mathbb{Z}_ℓ or W coefficients because there is no way to distinguish primitive roots of unity. To remedy the non-algebraic nature of this definition, we mention the following observation:

Lemma 2.10. *Let X be a complex IS manifold of dimension $2n$. The Beauville-Bogomolov form q is characterized by the following conditions: For every polarization ξ on X ,*

- (i) *the primitive cohomology $P^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ defined by ξ is orthogonal to $c_1(\xi)$ under q ;*
- (ii) *$q(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\xi^{2n} = c \lambda_n q(c_1(\xi))^n$;*
- (iii) *for every $\alpha, \beta \in P^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$,*

$$\alpha \cup \beta \cup c_1(\xi)^{2n-2} = c \lambda_{n-1} q(\alpha, \beta) q(c_1(\xi))^{n-1} \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. See [O'G12, Rmk. 2.1(3)]. \square

Remark 2.12. We remark that if X is a complex projective variety, then the Fujiki constant c can be characterized in terms of étale cohomology as follows: Choose a polarization ξ on X . For every $c \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ such that $\xi^n / (c \lambda_n)$ has an integral n -th root, define for every ℓ a quadratic form $q_{c,\ell}$ on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ by replacing $P^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ in the above lemma by $P_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. The Fujiki constant of X is the maximal c such that $q_{c,\ell}$ is \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -valued on each $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$.

Definition 2.13. Let κ be a field of characteristic zero with algebraic closure $\bar{\kappa}$ and X be an IS variety over κ . Assume there exists an embedding $\kappa \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and let c be the Fujiki constant of the associated IS manifold of $X(\mathbb{C})$. For every prime ℓ , the *Beauville-Bogomolov form* $q_{X,\ell}$ on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\bar{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is the quadratic form defined by the condition in Lemma 2.10 with $P^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ replaced by $P_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\bar{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$.

Rmk. 2.12 implies that the Fujiki constant of X_κ is independent of the choice of $\kappa \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\bar{\kappa}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$ by $q_{X,\ell}$ is $\text{Gal}(\bar{\kappa}/\kappa)$ -invariant.

Lemma 2.14. *Let Y be a smooth projective variety over a perfect field k in characteristic p . Suppose for some finite totally ramified extension K of K_0 with ring of integers V , there exists a smooth projective lift Y_V of Y over V such that the generic fiber Y_K of X_V is an IS variety and $p \nmid \text{disc}(q_{Y_K,p})$. Let c_{Y_K} be the Fujiki constant of Y_K . Then there exists a unique quadratic form q_Y (resp. $q_{Y,\ell}$) on $H_{\text{cris}}^2(Y/W)$ (resp. $H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$) such that for every polarization ζ on Y , Lem. 2.10 holds with $c = c_{Y_K}$ and $P^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ replaced by $P_{\text{cris}}^2(Y/W)$ (resp. $P_{\text{ét}}^2(Y_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$). Moreover, q_Y is self-dual.*

Proof. The tensor $q_{Y_K,p}$ on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$ is $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_0)$ -invariant, so it induces a K_0 -valued tensor q_Y on $H_{\text{cris}}^2(Y/W) \otimes K_0$ via (a Tate twist of) the p -adic comparison isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\text{cris}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{cris}}^2(Y/W) \otimes_W B_{\text{cris}}.$$

To check that q_Y is in fact W -valued on $H_{\text{cris}}^2(Y/W)$, we may assume that k is algebraically closed. Then the conclusion for q_Y follows from [Kis10, Cor. 1.3.6].

Let $q_{Y,\ell}$ be the quadratic forms given by the smooth base change theorem. If ζ is a polarization on Y which extends to Y_V , then clearly $q_X(c_1(\zeta)) = q_{X_\ell}(c_1(\zeta)) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For any other line bundle η such that $c_1(\eta)$ lies in the primitive cohomology defined by ζ , we have

$$c_1(\eta) \cup c_1(\eta) \cup c_1(\zeta)^{2n-2} = c\lambda_{n-1} q_Y(c_1(\eta), c_1(\eta)) q_Y(c_1(\zeta))^{n-1}.$$

This readily implies that $q_Y(c_1(\eta), c_1(\eta)) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$.² Note that $q_Y(c_1(\eta), c_1(\eta)) = q_{Y,\ell}(c_1(\eta), c_1(\eta))$ for every ℓ , as the above equation holds for ℓ -adic cohomology as well. This shows that q_Y and $q_{Y,\ell}$ are \mathbb{Q} -valued on $\text{NS}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Now since q_Y is W -valued on $H_{\text{cris}}^2(Y/W)$ and $q_{Y,\ell}$ is \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -valued on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$, q_Y and $q_{Y,\ell}$ are in fact \mathbb{Z} -valued on $\text{NS}(Y)$. \square

The Beauville-Bogomolov form of an IS manifold of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type is isomorphic to

$$U^{\oplus 3} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(-2(n-1)) \tag{2.15}$$

where E_8 denotes the unique positive definite even unimodular lattice of rank 8 and $\mathbb{Z}(-2(n-1))$ denotes the quadratic form of rank 1 such that for some generator x , $x^2 = -2(n-1)$ (cf. [Rap08]). The Fujiki constant is equal to 1.

Lem. 2.14 allows us to make the following definition:

Definition 2.16. Let k be an algebraically closed field in characteristic $p \nmid 2(n-1)$. For every IS variety X of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type, the crystalline (resp. ℓ -adic) Beauville-Bogomolov form on

²This argument was also used in the proof of [Cha16, Thm. 2.4(iv)].

X is the W -valued (resp. \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -valued) quadratic form q_X (resp. $q_{X,\ell}$) on $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$ (resp. $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$) such that for every polarization ξ on X Lem. 2.9 holds for $c = 1$ and $P^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ replaced by $P_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$ (resp. $P_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$).

- Definition 2.17.** (a) Let X and X' be IS manifolds. An isomorphism $\psi : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X', \mathbb{Z})$ is a *parallel transport operator* from X to X' if there exists a family $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ of IS manifolds with points $s, s' \in S$ such that $\mathcal{X}_s \cong X$, $\mathcal{X}_{s'} \cong X'$, and ψ is realized as parallel transport along a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ which connects s and s' .
- (b) Let (X, ξ) and (X', ξ') be polarized IS manifolds. An isomorphism $\psi : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X', \mathbb{Z})$ is a *polarized parallel transport operator* from (X, ξ) to (X', ξ') if there exists a family $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ of IS manifolds with points $s, s' \in S$ such that
- (i) $\mathcal{X}_s \cong X$, $\mathcal{X}_{s'} \cong X'$, and ψ is realized as parallel transport along a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ which connects s and s' ;
 - (ii) there is a flat section $h \in \mathbb{R}^2 f_* \mathbb{Z}$ such that $h(s) = c_1(\xi)$, $h(s') = c_1(\xi')$ and h remains the class of an ample divisor along S .

We recall a basic fact about parallel transport operators:

Proposition 2.18. *A parallel transport operator ψ in Def. 2.17(a) is a polarized transport operator from (X, ξ) to (X', ξ') if and only if $\psi(c_1(\xi)) = c_1(\xi')$.*

Proof. See [Mar11, Cor. 7.4]. □

We do not recall the definition of the spin orientation. The reader can consult [Mar11, Sect. 4] for details. The following lemma follows easily from the descriptions in *loc. cit.* and is all that we need.

Lemma 2.19. *Let X, X' be two complex IS manifolds. An isomorphism $\psi : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X', \mathbb{Z})$ which preserves the Beauville-Bogomolov forms preserves the spin orientation if one the following holds:*

- (a) ψ is a parallel transport operator.
- (b) ψ preserves Hodge structures and sends some Kähler class to another Kähler class.

Finally, we remark that whenever they are defined, the Beauville-Bogomolov forms always have signature $(1, \rho - 1)$ on Néron-Severi groups, where ρ is the Picard number. It is an easy consequence of the following general fact:

Theorem 2.20. (Generalized Hodge Index Theorem) *Let X be an irreducible projective variety of dimension n over an algebraically closed field, A be an ample divisor and D be any divisor. If $A^{n-1} \cdot D = 0$, then $A^{n-2} \cdot D^2 \leq 0$, where equality holds only when D is numerically equivalent to zero.*

Proof. Over \mathbb{C} , the above theorem is well known. For a completely algebraic proof, see [Laz04, Cor. 1.6.3(i)]. Although the corollary cited does not explicitly state the equality condition, but it is not hard to check that the equality condition is as stated above by tracing through the proof in *loc. cit.* □

3 Deformation Theory

In this section, we work out the deformation theory that we need. We fix a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$.

3.1 Preliminary Definitions

We first make some definitions for our convenience.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a smooth projective variety over k .

(a) We say X is a *pre-IS* variety if $h^{0,2} = h^{0,2} = 1$, $h^{0,1} = h^{1,0} = h^{0,3} = 0$, the canonical bundle $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, and $H_{\text{cris}}^j(X/W)$ is torsion free for $j = 2, 3$.

(b) A W -valued quadratic form q on $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$ is *Frobenius-compatible* if

$$q(F(x), F(y)) = p^2 \sigma(q(x, y)).$$

For every pre-IS variety X , we write $\text{Def}(X)$ for the versal deformation functor of X over W , i.e., the functor from Art_k , the category of Artin algebras with residue field k , to the category of sets defined by

$$R \mapsto \{(X_R, i : X_R \otimes k \cong X) : X_R \text{ is a smooth projective scheme over } R\}.$$

Since X has no global vector fields, $\text{Def}(X)$ is universal. We write \underline{X} for the universal family over $\text{Def}(X)$. If ξ_1, \dots, ξ_r are line bundles on X , we write $\text{Def}(X; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_r)$ for the deformation functor for the tuple $(X; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_r)$, defined in the obvious way. Denote the special fiber $\text{Def}(X) \otimes_W k$ by $\text{Def}_0(X)$ and the fiber of the universal family \underline{X} over $\text{Def}_0(X)$ by \underline{X}_0 .

Definition 3.2. We say that a pre-IS variety is *excellent* if $H_{\text{dR}}^j(\underline{X}/\text{Def}(X))$ is locally free for every $j \geq 0$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a pre-IS variety over k with $h^{1,2} = 0$.*

(a) $\text{Def}(X)$ is pro-represented by a formal scheme over W isomorphic to $W[[x_1, \dots, x_{b_2-2}]]$, where $b_2 = \text{rank } H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$.

(b) For any $\xi \in \text{Pic}(X)$, $\text{Def}(X; \xi)$ of X together with ξ is pro-represented by a closed formal subscheme of $\text{Def}(X)$ defined by one equation.

Proof. Deligne's proof of [Del81, Corollary 1.2, Proposition 1.5] proceeds without change. \square

Remark 3.4. We assume the torsion-freeness of $H_{\text{cris}}^3(X/W)$ in order to ensure

$$H_{\text{dR}}^2(X/k) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W) \otimes k.$$

The $h^{1,2} = 0$ assumption is used to ensure unobstructed deformation for X . By a theorem of Bogomolov (cf. [Bog78]), for complex projective varieties, the conditions $h^{0,2} = 1$ and

tiviality of canonical bundle are enough to ensure unobstructed deformation, whether or not $h^{1,2}$ vanishes. In fact, for generalized Kummer type varieties, $h^{1,2} = 4$. So far this result has not been generalized to positive characteristic yet, so we keep the simplifying assumption $h^{1,2} = 0$.

If X is a pre-IS variety which has the same Hodge numbers as a complex IS manifold of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type, then $h^{i,j} = 0$ for all $i + j$ odd. It follows easily from [Gro61, III 7.5.4] that X is excellent. Moreover, if the structure morphism $\underline{X} \rightarrow \text{Def}(X)$ is denoted by π , then $\mathbb{R}^i \pi_* \Omega_{\underline{X}/\text{Def}(X)}^j$ is locally free over $\text{Def}(X)$ for all i, j (cf. [Del81, Prop. 2.2]). The singular cohomology groups of a complex IS manifold of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type are torsion free (cf. [Mar07, Thm. 1]). If X is obtained as a good reduction of a complex IS variety of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type and $p > 2n$, then by Caruso's main result in [Car08], $H_{\text{cris}}^i(X/W)$ is torsion free for all i and vanishes if i is odd.

Lemma 3.5. *Let Y be an excellent pre-IS variety over k and $\zeta \in \text{Pic}(Y)$ be any line bundle.*

- (a) *The natural morphism $\text{Def}(Y; \zeta) \rightarrow \text{Def}(Y; r\zeta)$ is an isomorphism if $p \nmid r$.*
- (b) *Let K be a totally ramified extension of K_0 with ramification degree $< p - 1$. Suppose Y deforms to a formal scheme $\widehat{Y}_V \rightarrow \text{Spf } V$ where V is the ring of integers of K . Then ζ lifts to \widehat{Y}_V if and only if $r\zeta$ does for some number r .*

Proof. (a) follows from [Ogu79, Prop. 1.12] and an inductive argument. The point is r is a unit in \mathbb{F}_p , so if there is an obstruction to deforming a line bundle, the obstruction cannot be killed by multiplication by r . (b) also follows from [Ogu79, Prop. 1.12]. Alternatively, it can be viewed as a special case of [BO83, Thm. 3.8] with $t = 1$. \square

For a pre-IS variety, the formal Brauer group Φ_X is pro-representable by a formal Lie group over k . Moreover, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{D}(\Phi_X) = H^2(X, W(\mathcal{O}_X))$$

where $\mathbb{D}(-)$ denotes the Dieudonné module and the RHS denotes the Serre's Witt vector cohomology (cf. [AM77, Cor. 4.2, 4.3]). Moreover, we know that $T_{\Phi} = H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is one-dimensional. If Φ_X has infinite height, then we say that X is supersingular. It is equivalent to saying that the Newton polygon of $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$ is a straight line.

The following proposition is due to van der Geer and Katsura [vdGK00, Prop. 10.3]:

Proposition 3.6. *If X is a non-supersingular pre-IS variety, then the map $c_1 : \text{NS}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k \rightarrow H^1(\Omega_X^1)$ is injective.*

Proof. Technically, [vdGK00, Prop. 10.3] is phrased in terms of K3 surfaces. We sketch the main steps in *loc. cit.* for readers' convenience:

Step 1: The results in Sect. 4 and Sect. 5 hold verbatim. We just remark that $h^{0,1} = 0$ is used in the proof of Lem. 4.1 and 4.2 and $h^{0,3} = 0$ is used in the proof of Lem. 4.2.

Step 2: For each $i \geq 0$, let B_i be the sheaf defined in Sect. 6. The main point is to

show Thm. 6.2, which implies that if Φ_X is of finite height, then $H^1(B_i)$ eventually stabilizes. The inputs to Thm. 6.2 are results from Sect. 4 and 5, as well as Thm. 6.1. The proof of Thm. 6.1 uses the assumption that $H^0(\Omega_X^1) = 0$.

Step 3: Use Thm. 6.2 to prove Cor. 9.2, which says for every $n \geq 1$, the natural map $H^1(B_n) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega_X^1)$ is injective. Then use Cor. 9.2 to prove the first statement of Prop. 10.2, i.e., $\langle c_1(\mathrm{NS}(X)) \rangle \cap \mathrm{Im}(H^1(B_n)) = 0$ in $H^1(\Omega_X^1)$ for all n . Finally, we deduce Prop. 10.3 from Prop. 10.2. Note that we do not need the second statement in Prop. 10.2. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be a non-supersingular pre-IS variety with the natural pairing $H^1(T_X) \times H^1(\Omega_X) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$ being perfect. If ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m span a direct summand of $\mathrm{NS}(X)$, then $\mathrm{Def}(X; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$ is smooth over W .*

Proof. This argument is due to Lieblich and Maulik [LM18, Prop. 4.1] (see also [Ogu79, 2.2.1]). Consider the map $d \log : \mathrm{NS}(X) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega_X^1)$. Note that the de Rham Chern class map $c_1 : \mathrm{NS}(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{dR}}^2(X)$ takes values in $\mathrm{Fil}^1 H_{\mathrm{dR}}^2(X)$ and $d \log$ can be viewed as c_1 modulo $\mathrm{Fil}^2 H_{\mathrm{dR}}^2(X)$. By [Ogu79, Cor. 1.14], the tangent space of $\mathrm{Def}(X; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)(k[\varepsilon])$ is given by the subspace of $H^1(T_X)$ which annihilates $d \log(\xi_i)$ for every i under the cup product. By assumption, the cup product pairing $H^1(T_X) \times H^1(\Omega_X) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is perfect, so $\mathrm{Def}(X; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)(k[\varepsilon])$ has codimension m in $H^1(T_X)$ by the preceding proposition. Therefore, $\mathrm{Def}(X; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$ is smooth over W . \square

Next, we review the theory of K3 crystals discussed in [NO85, Sect. 5].

Definition 3.8. For any ring A , we say that an A -algebra B is a *truncated polynomial ring* if it is isomorphic to $A[t_1, \dots, t_m]/(t_1^{a_1}, \dots, t_m^{a_m})$ for some m and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If $A = W$, then B is endowed with a Frobenius action $F_{B/W}$ which acts as σ on W and sends t_i to t_i^p .

For any k -scheme Z_0 , there is a canonical morphism of topoi $\sigma_{Z_0/k} : (Z_0/k)_{\mathrm{cris}} \rightarrow Z_{0,\mathrm{zar}}$ such that $\sigma_{Z_0/k}^* \circ i_{Z_0/k}^* = F_{Z_0}$, where $i_{Z_0/k} : Z_{0,\mathrm{zar}} \rightarrow (Z_0/k)_{\mathrm{cris}}$ is the natural projection. $\sigma_{Z_0/k}$ is defined as follows: Let (U, T, γ) be an object in $\mathrm{Cris}(Z_0/k)$, i.e., $U \subseteq Z_0$ is an open subscheme and T is a PD-thickening of U with PD structure γ . Then the absolute Frobenius morphism F_T factors as

$$T \xrightarrow{\sigma_T} U \hookrightarrow T$$

for some morphism σ_T . If $E \in (Z_{0,\mathrm{zar}})$ is a Zariski sheaf, then $\sigma_{Z_0/k}^* E(T) = \sigma_T^{-1} E$. If E is a quasi-coherent sheaf, then we will take σ_T^{-1} to mean the pullback of quasi-coherent sheaves. We refer the reader to [NO85, Lem. 4.1, 4.2] for details.

Now suppose Z_0 is the spectrum of a truncated polynomial ring over k . The following definition is due to Nygaard-Ogus ([NO85, Def. 5.1]).

Definition 3.9. A K3 crystal on Z_0/W is a tuple $(\mathbf{L}, F, q, \mathrm{Fil}^2)$ where \mathbf{L} is a crystal of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0/W}$ -modules, $F : F_{Z_0/W}^*(\mathbf{L}) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}$ is a Frobenius action which endows \mathbf{L} the structure of an F -crystal, $q : S^2 \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_0/W}$ is a symmetric bilinear form and Fil^2 is an isotropic direct summand of $\mathbf{L}(Z_0)$ such that

- (i) q induces an isomorphism $\mathbf{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{L}, \mathcal{O}_{Z_0/W})$;

- (ii) the transpose $V : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow F_{Z_0/W}^* \mathbf{L}$ of F with respect to q satisfies $F \circ V = V \circ F = p^2$;
- (iii) $[\sigma_{Z_0/k}^*(\text{Fil}^2)]^\perp = \ker F|_{F_{Z_0/W}^*(\mathbf{L})(Z_0)}$.

We denote the category of K3 crystals over Z_0 by $\text{K3Crys}(Z_0)$, whose only morphisms are isomorphisms.

Nygaard-Ogus in fact defined K3 crystals over a base scheme Z_0 which satisfies a technical condition (cf. [NO85, Assump. 4.4.1]):

$$\text{The PD envelope of } Z_0/k \text{ in a smooth } W\text{-scheme } Y \text{ is } p\text{-torsion-free.} \quad (3.10)$$

As remarked in *loc. cit.*, local complete intersection rings satisfy this assumption. For simplicity, we just work with truncated polynomial rings, which are enough for our applications.

Example 3.11. According to [Ogu79, Def. 3.1], a K3 crystal over a point $\text{Spec } k$ is a tuple (L, F, q) where L is a finitely generated free W -module, $F : \sigma^* W \rightarrow W$ is an injective σ -linear map, and q is a self-dual quadratic form on L such that (i) $q(F(x), F(y)) = p^2 \sigma(q(x, y))$ for every $x, y \in L$; (ii) $p^2 L \subseteq F(L)$; (iii) $\dim(\text{im } F) \otimes_W k = 1$. The reader may easily check that this definition is indeed compatible with Definition 3.9. The main point is that Fil^2 can be recovered as the orthogonal complement to $\ker F|_{L \otimes k}$.

Set-up 3.12. Let Z'_0, Z_0 be spectrums of truncated polynomials over k such that Z'_0 is a subscheme of Z_0 defined by a square zero ideal J . Endow J with the trivial PD structure δ , so that we can view (Z'_0, Z_0, δ) as an object in $\text{Cris}(Z'_0/W)$. Let $\text{P}(Z'_0, Z_0)$ denote the category of pairs $(\mathcal{L}', \tilde{\text{Fil}})$, where $\mathcal{L}' = (\mathbf{L}', F', q', \text{Fil}'^2)$ is an object of $\text{K3Crys}(Z'_0)$ and $\tilde{\text{Fil}}$ is an isotropic direct summand of $\mathbf{L}'(Z_0)$ which lifts Fil'^2 . The morphisms in $\text{P}(Z'_0, Z_0)$ are isomorphisms defined in the obvious way. There is a natural functor $\mu_\delta : \text{K3Crys}(Z_0) \rightarrow \text{P}(Z'_0, Z_0)$ given by restriction of data.

The new input we introduce to Nygaard-Ogus' deformation theory of K3 crystals is the following extension result:

Proposition 3.13. *Assume $p \geq 5$. The functor μ_δ in Set-up 3.12 is an equivalence of categories.*

The full faithfulness of μ_δ is the content of [NO85, Thm. 5.2]. We will prove in Appendix A that μ_δ is also essentially surjective. The main idea is to perform a Kuga-Satake construction for K3 crystals and reduce the problem to p -divisible groups, for which the problem has been solved thanks to the advancement in the study of local Shimura varieties. Unlike its Hodge-theoretic analogue, the Kuga-Satake construction for K3 crystals does not involve choosing polarizations.

3.2 A local crystalline Torelli theorem

For the rest of section 3, we keep the assumption $p \geq 5$.

The inductive argument Nygaard-Ogus' proof of local crystalline Torelli theorem [NO85, Thm. 5.3] can be summarized as follows: Let Z'_0, Z_0 be as in Set-up 3.12 and X be a K3 surface over k with associated K3 crystal \mathcal{L} . Let $X_{Z'_0}$ be a deformation of X over Z'_0 . Let

$(\mathbf{L}', F', q', \text{Fil}'^2) \in \text{K3Crys}(Z'_0)$ be the K3 crystal induced by $X_{Z'_0}$, i.e., $\mathbf{L}' = H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{Z'_0}/W)$, F' is the Frobenius action, q' is the Poincaré pairing, and $\text{Fil}'^2 = \text{Fil}^2 H_{\text{dR}}^2(X_{Z'_0})$. We implicitly identify $H_{\text{dR}}^2(X_{Z'_0})$ with $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{Z'_0}/W)(Z'_0)$. Then they showed that the natural map

$$\{\text{deformations of } X_{Z'_0} \text{ over } Z_0\} \leftrightarrow \{(\mathcal{L}', \tilde{\text{Fil}}) \in \mathbb{P}(Z'_0, Z_0) : \mathcal{L}' = (\mathbf{L}', F', q', \text{Fil}'^2)\}$$

is a bijection. An element in the LHS naturally induces an object $\mathcal{L} \in \text{K3Crys}(Z_0)$ which lifts \mathcal{L}' and the map is given by composition with μ_δ . Therefore, if we have established a natural correspondence between deformations of X over Z'_0 and K3 crystals over Z'_0 which lift \mathcal{L}' , then the correspondence extends to Z_0 by the full-faithfulness of μ_δ .

This argument becomes slightly insufficient once we replace X by a good reduction of a complex IS variety $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ which satisfies the hypotheses of Lem. 2.14. The main problem is that we have not yet defined a Beauville-Bogomolov form on $H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X})$, or $H_{\text{cris}}^2(\underline{X}_0/W)$, so it is not a priori clear why a lift X_{Z_0} of $X_{Z'_0}$ induces a lift of K3 crystals instead of just an F-crystal. When $p \nmid q_X(c_1(\xi))$, one can partially solve this problem by defining a quadratic form on $H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X}|_{\text{Def}(X;\xi)})$ by adapting conditions in Lemma 2.10. When $p \mid q_X(c_1(\xi))$, technical complications arise in managing the p -divisibility of both sides of Eqn. (2.11) once (X, ξ) is deformed over a nonreduced Artin algebra. We can avoid this technical issue using the essential surjectivity of μ_δ .

Remark 3.14. The following claim easily follows from standard arguments: Let A be a local ring with a square zero ideal I . Let M be a finitely generated free A -module with a self-dual quadratic form q . If $N_0 \subseteq M_0 := M/IM$ is an isotropic direct summand of rank one, then the set of isotropic direct summands $N \subseteq M$ which lift $N_0 \subseteq M_0$ is a natural torsor under $\text{Hom}(N_0, N_0^\perp/N_0) \otimes I$.

Set-up 3.15. Let X be an excellent pre-IS variety over k with $h^{2,1} = 0$ and a self-dual Frobenius compatible quadratic form q_X on $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$, so that $(H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W), F, q_X)$ has the structure of a K3 crystal over $\text{Cris}(k/W)$. Write \mathbb{D} (resp. \mathbb{D}_0) for $\text{Def}(X)$ (resp. $\text{Def}(X_0)$) and b for the special point of \mathbb{D} or \mathbb{D}_0 . Set $R = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $R_0 := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}_0} = R \otimes_W k$.

Lemma 3.16. *Let X be as in Set-up 3.15. Let Z'_0 and Z_0 be as in Set-up 3.12. Let $X_{Z'_0}$ be a deformation of X over Z'_0 and $(\mathbf{L}', q', F', \text{Fil}'^2)$ be a K3 crystal over $\text{Cris}(Z'_0/W)$ with an identification*

$$\theta'_0 : (H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{Z'_0}/W), F, \text{Fil}^2 H_{\text{dR}}^2(X_{Z'_0})) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbf{L}', F', \text{Fil}'^2).$$

Then there exists a bijection

$$\rho_0 : \{\text{deformations of } X_{Z'_0} \text{ over } Z_0\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{(\mathcal{L}', \tilde{\text{Fil}}) \in \mathbb{P}(Z'_0, Z_0) : \mathcal{L}' = (\mathbf{L}', q', F', \text{Fil}'^2)\}$$

such that for every deformation X_{Z_0} of $X_{Z'_0}$ over Z_0 , θ'_0 lifts to a unique isomorphism

$$\theta_0 : (H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{Z_0}/W), F, \text{Fil}^2 H_{\text{dR}}^2(X_{Z_0})) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbf{L}, F, \text{Fil}^2)$$

where $(\mathbf{L}, F, q, \text{Fil}^2) = \mu_\delta(\rho_0(X_{Z_0}))$.

Proof. Choose spectrums of truncated polynomial rings Z, Z' over W which lift Z_0, Z'_0 such that $Z' \hookrightarrow Z$ is defined by a square-zero ideal I .

Step 1: We first define ρ_0 . Note that (p, I) can be endowed with a PD structure. Indeed, (\mathcal{O}_Z, I) has a trivial PD structure $\delta_i = 0$ for every $i \geq 2$ and let γ be the standard PD structure on $(\mathcal{O}_Z, (p))$. By [BO83, Proposition 3.12], there is a unique PD structure on (p, I) extending δ and γ .

For any lift X_{Z_0} of $X_{Z'_0}$, there is a tautological isomorphism

$$H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{Z_0}/W)(Z) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{Z'_0}/W)(Z).$$

In particular, $H_{\text{dR}}^2(X_{Z_0})$ can be identified with $H_{\text{cris}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{Z'_0}/W)(Z) \otimes_W k$. We need to show that $\text{Fil}^2 H_{\text{dR}}^2(X_{Z_0})$ is indeed isotropic under q' when evaluated on $\mathbf{L}'(Z_0)$. Now we take advantage of the Frobenius-compatibility of q : For any lift φ_Z of the absolute Frobenius on Z_0 (e.g., $F_{Z/W}$), F induces a map $\varphi_Z^* \mathbf{L}_{Z'_0}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{Z'_0}(Z)$ such that

$$q(F(\tilde{x}), F(\tilde{y})) = p^2 \varphi_Z(q(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})) \quad (3.17)$$

for any $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \mathbf{L}_{Z'_0}(Z)$. Now by Mazur-Ogus' inequality [BO83, Theorem 8.26], for every $x \in \text{Fil}^2 H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{Z'_0}/W)(Z_0)$ there exists a lift $\tilde{x} \in H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{Z'_0}/W)(Z)$ such that $x = (\tilde{x} \bmod p)$ and $F(\tilde{x}) \in p^2 H_{\text{cris}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{Z'_0}/W)(Z)$. By comparing p -divisibility of both sides of Equation 3.17 for $\tilde{y} = \tilde{x}$, we conclude that $q(x) = 0$, as \mathcal{O}_Z is p -torsion free. By Proposition 3.13, we obtain a lift of \mathcal{L}' to Z_0 . This defines the map ρ_0 .

Step 2: Let $\text{Fil}^{i,j} = \text{Fil}^j H_{\text{dR}}^2(X_{Z'_0})$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. The reader may check by Mazur-Ogus inequality arguments in Step 1 that

$$\text{Fil}^1 = \ker F'|_{(F_{Z'_0/W}^* \mathbf{L}')_{(Z'_0)}} \text{ and } \text{Fil}^2 \subseteq (\text{Fil}^1)^\perp$$

under the identification θ'_0 . Now we check that the inclusion $\text{Fil}^2 \subseteq (\text{Fil}^1)^\perp$ is in fact an equality. Since Fil^1 is a direct summand of $H_{\text{dR}}^2(X_{Z'_0})$ as a finite generated free module over $\mathcal{O}_{Z'_0}$, and q' is self-dual when evaluated on Z'_0 , $(\text{Fil}^1)^\perp$ is also a direct summand (cf. [Bae78, I Proposition 3.2]). Now we conclude by Nakayama's lemma.

Step 3: We show that ρ_0 is indeed a bijection. This part of the proof is essentially the same as that of [NO85, Theorem 5.3]. Note that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(\text{Fil}^2, \text{Fil}^1/\text{Fil}^2) \cong H^1(T_{X_{Z'_0}}) \quad (3.18)$$

Indeed, by assumption the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence of $X_{Z'_0}$ at E_1 page, so there is a natural isomorphism $\text{Fil}^1/\text{Fil}^2 \cong H^1(\Omega_{X_{Z'_0}}^1)$. Since every generator of $H^0(\Omega_{X_{Z'_0}}^2)$ induces an isomorphism $T_{X_{Z'_0}} \cong \Omega_{X_{Z'_0}}^1$, the natural map

$$H^1(T_{X_{Z'_0}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^0(\Omega_{X_{Z'_0}}^2), H^1(\Omega_{X_{Z'_0}}^1))$$

is an isomorphism. By composing these two isomorphisms, we get (3.18).

By standard deformation theory, the set of deformations of $X_{Z'_0}$ to Z_0 is a torsor under $H^1(T_{X_{Z'_0}}) \otimes I$. Rmk. 3.14 implies that the set of isotropic direct summands $\tilde{\text{Fil}} \subset \mathbf{L}'(Z_0)$ such that $((\mathbf{L}', F', q', \text{Fil}'^2), \tilde{\text{Fil}}) \in \mathcal{P}(Z'_0, Z_0)$ is a torsor under $\text{Hom}(\text{Fil}'^2, \text{Fil}'^1/\text{Fil}'^2) \otimes I$. The map ρ_0 is compatible with torsor actions via (3.18) by [Ogu78, 2.12]. Therefore, ρ_0 is a bijection. \square

Theorem 3.19. *Let (X, q_X) be as in Set-up 3.15. Let $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ be the K3 crystal given by $(H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W), q_X)$.*

- (a) *For any Z_0 the spectrum of a truncated polynomial algebra, the natural map which sends an isomorphism class of deformations of X over Z_0 to an isomorphism class of K3 crystals over Z_0 which extend $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is a bijection.*
- (b) *There exists a unique symmetric bilinear form $q : S^2 H_{\text{cris}}^2(\underline{X}_0/W) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{R_0/W}$ such that $(H_{\text{cris}}^2(\underline{X}_0/\mathbb{D}_0), F, q, \text{Fil}^2 H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X}_0))$ has the structure of a K3 crystal on $\text{Cris}(\mathbb{D}_0/W)$ which extends $(H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W), F, q_X)$. Moreover, if $q_0 : H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X}_0/\mathbb{D}_0) \rightarrow R_0$ is the quadratic form obtained by evaluating q on \mathbb{D}_0 , then $\text{Fil}^2 H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X}_0) = [\text{Fil}^1 H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X}_0)]^\perp$.*

Proof. Both follow easily from the preceding lemma and an inductive argument. \square

Theorem 3.20. *Let (X, q_X) be as in Set-up 3.15.*

- (a) *The natural pairing $H^1(T_X) \times H^1(\Omega_X) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong k$ is perfect.*
- (b) *For any line bundle $\xi \in X$, the deformation space $\text{Def}(X; \xi)$ is flat over W .*

Proof. For (a), let ∇ be the Gauss-Manin connection on the vector bundle $H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X}_0)$ over \mathbb{D}_0 and q be the symmetric bilinear form on $H_{\text{cris}}^2(\underline{X}_0/W)$ given by Theorem 3.19. Then the evaluation of q on \mathbb{D}_0 gives a symmetric bilinear form q_0 on $H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X}_0)$ such that $q_0|_b = q_X$, $\nabla q_0 = 0$ and $\text{Fil}^2 H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X}_0) = [\text{Fil}^1 H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X}_0)]^\perp$ under q_0 . The existence of such q_0 implies that

$$q_X(\nabla_D x, y) = -q_X(x, \nabla_D y) \quad (3.21)$$

for every tangent vector $D \in T_b \mathbb{D}_0$, $x \in \text{Fil}^2 H_{\text{dR}}^2(X)$, $y \in \text{Fil}^1 H_{\text{dR}}^2(X)$.

Recall that by Kodaira-Spencer theory, $T_b \mathbb{D}_0$ can be identified with $H^1(T_X)$. Let us write Fil^j for $\text{Fil}^j H_{\text{dR}}^2(X)$ for each number $j \geq 0$. For $j = 1, 2$, the following diagram commutes (cf. [Del81, 2.3.12]).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Fil}^j & \xrightarrow{\nabla_D} & \text{Fil}^{j-1} & \longrightarrow & \text{Fil}^{j-1}/\text{Fil}^j \\ \downarrow & & & & \parallel \\ \text{Fil}^j/\text{Fil}^{j+1} & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^{2-j}(\Omega_X^j) & \xrightarrow{\cup_D} & H^{3-j}(\Omega_X^{j-1}) \end{array}$$

Note that the above diagram is completely defined in terms of the Gauss-Manin connection and the cup product—it does not make any reference to q_X . We are implicitly making use of Griffiths transversality and the degeneration of the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence of X at E_1 page.

Since q_X is self-dual, $\text{Fil}^2 \subset \text{Fil}^1$ and $\text{Fil}^1 = [\text{Fil}^2]^\perp$, q_X descends to a pairing

$$H^{2-j}(\Omega_X^j) \times H^j(\Omega_X^{2-j}) \rightarrow k. \quad (3.22)$$

which is perfect for both $j = 1$ and $j = 2$. Now consider the natural pairing

$$H^{2-j}(\Omega^j) \times H^1(T_X) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^{3-j}(\Omega_X^{j-1})$$

for $j = 1, 2$. We already know that the above pairing is perfect for $j = 2$. The perfectness of the pairing in (3.22) for $j = 1, 2$ and Equation (3.21) force the above pairing to be perfect when $j = 1$ as well.

Given Theorem 3.19 and (a), one can deduce (b) by the arguments in the proof of [Del81, Theorem 1.6]. \square

Remark 3.23. One can slightly adapt the arguments in the proof of part (a) of the above theorem to treat the complex analytic case as well using the existence of Beauville-Bogomolov forms.

Lemma 3.24. *Let (X, q_X) be as in Set-up 3.15. Let V be a finite flat extension of W with fraction field K . Suppose there exists a smooth projective family $X_V \rightarrow \text{Spec } V$ such that*

- (i) $X_V \otimes K$ is an IS variety and there is an isomorphism $i : X_V \otimes k \cong X$;
- (ii) the Beauville-Bogomolov form q_K on $H_{\text{dR}}^2(X_K)$ is compatible with q_X via the crystalline-de Rham comparison isomorphism $H_{\text{dR}}^2(X_K) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W) \otimes_W K$ induced by i .

Then $\text{Fil}^2 H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X})$ is a direct summand of $H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X})$ of rank one over \mathbb{D} which is isotropic with respect to the quadratic form q_0 on $H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X}) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^2(\underline{X}_0/W)(\mathbb{D})$.

Proof. Let $q'_0, q''_0 : H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X}/\mathbb{D})^{\otimes 2n} \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{4n}(\underline{X}/\mathbb{D}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}$ be defined by

$$q'_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n}) = \alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_{2n}$$

and

$$q''_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n}) = \sum_{\gamma \in S_{2n}} q_0(\alpha_{\gamma(1)}, \alpha_{\gamma(2)}) \cdots q_0(\alpha_{\gamma(2n-1)}, \alpha_{\gamma(2n)}).$$

Equivalently, we can also view q'_0 and q''_0 as global sections of the crystal $(H_{\text{cris}}^2(\underline{X}_0/\mathbb{D}_0)^{\otimes 2n})^\vee$ on $\text{Cris}(\mathbb{D}_0/W)$. By assumptions (i) and (ii), we deduce from [O'G12, 2.1.3] that $q'_0 = cq''_0$ when restricted to $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$ for some scalar $c \in K_0$. Therefore, by applying [MP16, Lemma 5.10], we see that $q'_0 = cq''_0$ over the entire disk \mathbb{D} . The isotropicity of $\text{Fil}^2 H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X})$ with respect to q_0 follows from the fact that q'_0 vanishes when restricted to $\text{Fil}^2 H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X})$. \square

Now let V' be any finite extension of W and let $V'_0 := V' \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$. Let $X_{V'_0}$ be a deformation of X to V'_0 . Equip the ideal $(p) \subset V$ the standard PD structure γ , so that $(V', (p), \gamma)$ defines an object in $\text{Cris}(V'_0/W)$ and there is a natural isomorphism $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{V'_0})(V') \cong H_{\text{dR}}^2(X'_{V'})$ for any lifting $X_{V'}$ over V' of $X_{V'_0}$. Note that V'_0 is a truncated polynomial ring over k and by Theorem 3.19 $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{V'_0}/W)$ has the structure of a K3 crystal.

Proposition 3.25. *Let (X, q_X) be a pair which satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.24. The map*

$$X_{V'} \mapsto \mathrm{Fil}^2 H_{\mathrm{dR}}^2(X_{V'})$$

defines a bijection from the liftings $X_{V'}$ of $X_{V'_0}$ over V' to isotropic direct summands of $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X_{V'_0}/W)(V')$ of rank one which lifts $\mathrm{Fil}^2 H_{\mathrm{dR}}^2(X_{V'_0})$.

Proof. This follows from the same deformation theoretic arguments as in Step 3 of the proof of Lemma 3.16. \square

The following proposition is a generalization of [MP15, Theorem 3.8 (3) (4)], which is a refinement of Theorem 3.20(b).

Proposition 3.26. *Let (X, q_X) be a pair which satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.24. Let $r = \dim H_{\mathrm{dR}}^2(X)$. Let $\zeta \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ be a primitive line bundle, i.e., it is not a nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ -multiple of another line bundle.*

- (a) *If $c_1(\zeta) \notin \mathrm{Fil}^2 H_{\mathrm{dR}}^2(X)$, then $\mathrm{Def}(X; \xi)$ is smooth over W .*
- (b) *Assume k contains \mathbb{F}_{p^2} as a subfield. If $c_1(\zeta) \in \mathrm{Fil}^2 H_{\mathrm{dR}}^2(X)$, then the p -adic valuation $\mathrm{val}_p(q_X(\zeta)) = 1$, and $\mathrm{Def}(X; \xi)$ is isomorphic to*

$$W[[x_1, \dots, x_{r-2}]] / \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-2} x_i^2 - p \right)$$

and is quasi-healthy regular.

Proof. (a) is a special case of Prop. 3.7. Proof of (b) is left to Appendix A. \square

Part (a) of the above proposition can be strengthened if X is not supersingular.

3.3 Global deformations

The following is a generalized form of Rizov's result in [Riz06].

Proposition 3.27. *Let P be a polynomial over \mathbb{Q} . Let \mathcal{M}_P be the moduli functor over W which assigns to each scheme S the groupoid of pairs $(f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S, \mathcal{L}_S)$ where*

- (i) *\mathcal{X} is an algebraic space such that for some étale cover $S' \rightarrow S$, $\mathcal{X} \times_S S'$ is a scheme such that for each geometric point $s \rightarrow S$ the fiber \mathcal{X}_s has Hodge numbers $h^{0,2} = h^{2,0} = 1$, $h^{i,j} = 0$ for $i + j$ odd and trivial canonical bundle.*
- (ii) *\mathcal{L}_S is relatively very ample.*

Then \mathcal{M}_P is a Deligne-Mumford stack of finite type over W .

Proof. If we change the conditions a little bit such that $P = dt^2 + 2$ and only $3\mathcal{L}$ is assumed to be very ample, then \mathcal{M}_P is nothing but moduli stack of K3 surfaces with a polarization of degree $2d$ over W . This special case has been treated by Rizov (cf. [Riz06]).³ There is no obstruction to generalizing the Rizov's arguments. In fact, our situation is easier in that we

³Rizov's paper deals with the base \mathbb{Z} . We work over W for simplicity.

do not insist on \mathcal{L} being primitive. For convenience of the reader, we sketch the key steps. We use bold face letters for Steps in Rizov's paper.

Step 1: First, we adapt the proof of Prop. 4.2.1. Set $N = P(1) - 1$. Let \mathbf{Hilb}_N^P be the Hilbert scheme parametrizing closed subschemes of \mathbb{P}^N over W with polynomial scheme P and let $\pi : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}_N^P$. Let U_1 be the open locus over which the universal family is smooth. Let U_2 be the maximal open subscheme of U_1 such that $h^{0,2} = h^{2,0} = 1$, $h^{i,j} = 0$ for $i + j$ odd and the canonical bundle is trivial for every geometric fiber. Set $\mathcal{X}_2 = \mathcal{Z}|_{U_2}$. Since we do not insist on \mathcal{L} being primitive, we can spare the constructions of U_3, U_4 as in *loc. cit.*

Step 2: We explain that Lem. 4.3.8 holds verbatim. Namely, for any W -scheme S , $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{M}_P(S)$, the functor $\text{Isom}_S(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is representable by a separated scheme which is unramified and of finite type over S . In the proof of *loc. cit.*, **Step 1** and **Step 2** are completely general, and **Step 3** reduces to showing that $\text{Aut}_S(\mathcal{X})$ is unramified over S . The latter point reduces to the fact that for every geometric point $s \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_P$, the fiber \mathcal{X}_s has no infinitesimal automorphisms. This follows from the assumption that $H^0(T_{\mathcal{X}_s}) = H^0(\Omega_{\mathcal{X}_s}^1) = 0$. Recall that $T_{\mathcal{X}_s} \cong \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_s}^1$ because of the existence of a nowhere vanishing global 2-form.

Step 3: Now we extend the proof Thm. 4.3.3 in *loc. cit.* In fact, we only need **Steps 1 - 4**. The point is to replace $H_{3,d}^{\text{pt}}$ in Rizov's proof by U_2 constructed in our Step 1. As explained in **Step 1-3** of *loc. cit.*, there is a natural morphism of stacks $\pi_{\text{Hilb}} : U_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_P$ which is surjective, representable and smooth. Locally U_2 is a projective bundle over \mathcal{M}_P . Since we have explained Lem. 4.3.8 works in our situation in Step 2, the diagonal $\Delta : \mathcal{M}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_P \times \mathcal{M}_P$ is unramified. Then we conclude by the general arguments in **Step 4**. \square

Set-up 3.28. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 2n$. Let X be an IS variety of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k and ξ be a primitive ample line bundle on X . Apply Artin algebraization theorem (cf. [Art69]) to $\text{Def}(X; \xi)$ to obtain a family $(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T, \xi_T)$ which extends (X, ξ) . Let b be the k -point on T which corresponds to (X, ξ) . Moreover, we assume that

- (i) T is flat over W , the family \mathcal{X} is smooth over T and the Hodge numbers of geometric fibers are constant functions;
- (ii) $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T$ is everywhere a universal deformation;
- (iii) the generic fiber of T is geometrically connected.

We only need to explain that up to replacing T by a Zariski neighborhood of b , (iii) can always be satisfied. Recall that by Prop. 3.26, the completion of T at b is either smooth over W or is isomorphic to $\text{Spf } W[[x_1, \dots, x_{21}]]/(\sum_{i=1}^{21} x_i^2 - p)$. In either case, $T \otimes_W k$ is reduced at b . Therefore, it suffices to provide the following lemma:

Lemma 3.29. *Let k be an algebraically closed field in characteristic $p > 0$. Let $B = \text{Spec } R$ be a connected flat affine scheme of finite type over W . If the special fiber $B \otimes_W k$ is nonempty and reduced, then the generic fiber of B is geometrically connected.*

Proof. It suffices to show that for every field extension K of K_0 with ring of integers V , the base change B_V is connected. Indeed, then we can conclude using [Sta19, Lem. 36.26.7].

Let $b \in B(k)$ be a closed point. Since k is algebraically closed, b can also be viewed as a k -point on B_V , defined by some maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Note that \mathfrak{m} is invariant under the Galois action of $\text{Gal}(K/K_0)$ on $R_V := R \otimes_W V$. If B_V is not connected, then there exists a nontrivial idempotent element $e \in R_V$ such that $\text{ann}(e) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ and $R_V/\text{ann}(e)$ is connected. In other words, e is the idempotent defining the connected component of B_V containing b .

Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/K_0)$ be any element. The connected component defined by $\sigma(e)$ is either the same as the one defined by e , in which case $e = \sigma(e)$, or is disjoint from the one defined by e , in which case $e\sigma(e) = 0$. In the latter case, $\text{ann}(e) + \text{ann}(\sigma(e)) = R_V$, as every element $f \in R_V$ can be written as $(f - ef) + ef$, so that $(f - ef) \in \text{ann}(e)$ and $ef \in \text{ann}(\sigma(e))$. However, \mathfrak{m} is a proper ideal which is invariant under the $\text{Gal}(K/K_0)$ -action, so $\text{ann}(e) + \text{ann}(\sigma(e)) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. Therefore, $e = \sigma(e)$ for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/K_0)$, but then $e \in R$, which contradicts the connectedness of B . \square

Remark 3.30. The hypotheses in the above lemma are added to rule out schemes like $W[x]/(x^2 - p)$ (nonreduced special fiber) and $K_0[x]/(x^2 - p)$ (empty special fiber). We also made crucial use of the assumption that k is algebraically closed. Otherwise, $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2 - a)$ would serve as a counterexample if $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is not a quadratic residue.

Remark 3.31. The following properties of T will be used in Sect. 5.

- If we choose an isomorphism $\bar{K}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$, then $T \otimes_W \mathbb{C}$ is smooth and connected as a complex manifold. Smoothness follows from the fact that the deformation space of a polarized irreducible symplectic variety over \mathbb{C} is smooth, and connectedness follows from the fact that for complex varieties Zariski connectedness implies connectedness in analytic topology.
- Choose $r \gg 0$ such that $p \nmid r$ and $r\xi_T$ is very ample relative to T . Let P be the Hilbert polynomial of $r\xi_T$. Then the family $(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T, r\xi_T)$ induces a morphism $T \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_P$ which is an étale neighborhood of the moduli point on \mathcal{M}_P defined by $(X, r\xi)$. The étaleness follows from Lem. 3.5(a).

4 Preliminaries on Shimura Varieties

4.1 A review of spinor Shimura varieties

Let R be an integral domain such that $R_{\mathbb{Q}} := R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a field. Let L be a lattice over R of rank n with a non-degenerate quadratic form q . Let H denote $\text{Cl}(L)$, viewed as a $\text{Cl}(L)$ -bimodule. Note that $\text{Cl}(L)$ is naturally decomposed into a part with even degree $\text{Cl}^+(L)$ and a part with odd degree $\text{Cl}^-(L)$, so that H has a natural $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading. There is a natural injection $\text{Cl}(L) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(H)$ given by left multiplication. We remark that this injection embeds $\text{Cl}(L)$ as a direct summand into $\text{End}(H)$: If left multiplication $t \in \text{Cl}(L)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ preserves the R -integral structure on H , then $t \in \text{Cl}(L)$ as $t \cdot 1 \in H$. Similarly, the composition $L \hookrightarrow \text{Cl}(L) \hookrightarrow \text{End}(H)$ embeds L as a direct summand into $\text{End}(H)$. Define the group $\text{CSpin}(L)$ by

$$\text{CSpin}(L) = \{v \in \text{Cl}^+(L)^{\times} : vLv^{-1} \subseteq L\}.$$

If we set $\mathrm{CSpin}(L)(R') := \mathrm{CSpin}(L_{R'})$ for every R -algebra R' , then $\mathrm{CSpin}(L)$ is endowed with the structure of a group scheme over R .

Equip $\mathrm{End}(H_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with a symmetric pairing given by $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto 2^{-n} \mathrm{tr}(\alpha \circ \beta)$, so that $L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ embed into $\mathrm{End}(H_{\mathbb{Q}})$ isometrically. Let π denote the orthogonal projection $H_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\otimes(2,2)} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The R -module L inside $\mathrm{End}(H_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is recovered by taking the dual of the image of $\mathrm{End}(H)$ under π (cf. [MP16, Lem. 1.4, Rem. 1.5]). If L is self-dual over R , then π is in fact defined over R . In this case, another way to characterize the group scheme $\mathrm{CSpin}(L)$ is (see also [MP16, Lem. 1.4(iii)]):

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $2^{-1} \in R$ and L is self-dual over R . The group scheme $\mathrm{CSpin}(L)$ over R can be defined as the stabilizer of the following tensors inside $\mathrm{GL}(H)$: (i) right multiplication of $\mathrm{Cl}(L)$ on H ; (ii) the natural $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on H ; (iii) the projection operator $\pi \in H^{\otimes(2,2)}$.*

The group $\mathrm{CSpin}(L)$ is naturally equipped with two representations: a spin representation $\mathrm{sp} : \mathrm{CSpin}(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H)$ given by left multiplication and an adjoint representation $\mathrm{ad} : \mathrm{CSpin}(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(L)$ given by conjugation. The adjoint representation fits into an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{CSpin}(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(L) \rightarrow 1 \quad (4.2)$$

Remark 4.3. Since the adjoint representation of $\mathrm{CSpin}(L)$ takes values only in the special orthogonal group of L inside the full orthogonal group, there is an “orientation tensor” $\det(\mathrm{im} \pi)$ which is fixed by $\mathrm{CSpin}(L)$. Technically, $\det(\mathrm{im} \pi)$ can be viewed as a tensor in $(H^{\otimes(1,1)})^{\otimes(0,n)} = H^{\otimes(n,n)}$.

Then L is an even lattice has signature $(2, n - 2)$.⁴ Let Ω be the period domain

$$\{\omega \in \mathbb{P}(L \otimes \mathbb{C}) : \langle \omega, \bar{\omega} \rangle > 0, \langle \omega, \omega \rangle = 0\}$$

which parametrizes Hodge structures of K3 type on L . Ω is a $\mathrm{CSpin}(L_{\mathbb{R}})$ -conjugacy class, or equivalently, a $\mathrm{SO}(L_{\mathbb{R}})$ -conjugacy class, of Hodge structures. The pair $(\mathrm{CSpin}(L_{\mathbb{Q}}), \Omega)$ gives a Shimura datum of Hodge type with reflex field \mathbb{Q} . We can equip H with a non-degenerate symplectic form ψ such that the spin representation $\mathrm{sp} : \mathrm{CSpin}(L_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H_{\mathbb{Q}})$ factors through $\mathrm{GSp} := \mathrm{GSp}(H_{\mathbb{Q}}, \psi)$. Let S^{\pm} be the associated Siegel half spaces. There is an inclusion of Shimura data $i : (\mathrm{CSpin}(L_{\mathbb{Q}}), \Omega) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{GSp}, S^{\pm})$. Let $\mathsf{K} := \mathrm{CSpin}(L \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{Cl}(L)^{\times}$. The inclusion i endows the Shimura stack $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathsf{K}}(L) := \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathsf{K}}(\mathrm{CSpin}(L_{\mathbb{Q}}), \Omega)$ with a family of abelian schemes, which we denote by $a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}_{\mathsf{K}}(L)$.

The spin representation $\mathrm{sp} : \mathrm{CSpin}(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H)$ (resp. $\mathrm{ad} : \mathrm{CSpin}(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(L)$) endows $\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathsf{K}}(L)_{\mathbb{C}}$ with a \mathbb{Z} -local system \mathbf{H}_B (resp. \mathbf{L}_B). We denote this local system by \mathbf{H}_B (cf. [MP16, 3.3]), because H_B can be identified with the Betti cohomology $\mathbb{R}^1 a_{\mathbb{C}*} \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathbf{H}_{\ell} := \mathbb{R}^1 a_{\mathbb{C}*} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{dR}} := \mathbb{R}^1 a_* \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}$. Over $(\mathrm{Sh}_{\mathsf{K}})_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have canonical isomorphisms $\mathbf{H}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \cong \mathbf{H}_{\ell}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{dR}} \cong \mathbf{H}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}$ over \mathcal{O} , where \mathcal{O} denotes the structure sheaf. The tensors stabilized by $\mathrm{CSpin}(L)$ naturally spread as global sections of these sheaves. More precisely,

⁴Our sign convention is different from that of [MP16], so that we do not need to negate the Beauville-Bogomolov forms for the Kuga-Satake construction.

\mathcal{A} is equipped with a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, a left $\mathrm{Cl}(L)$ -action, and global sections π_B , π_ℓ and π_{dR} of $(\mathbf{H}_B \otimes \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes(2,2)}$, $(\mathbf{H}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{\otimes(2,2)}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{\otimes(2,2)}$ respectively. We call the triple of the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, left $\mathrm{Cl}(L)$ action and various realizations of π the **CSpin structure** on the universal abelian scheme \mathcal{A} .

\mathbf{L}_B equals to the *dual* of $\pi_B(\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{H}_B))$. We denote the *dual* of the images of $\pi_\ell(\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{H}_\ell))$ and $\pi_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathrm{End}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}))$ by \mathbf{L}_B , \mathbf{L}_ℓ and \mathbf{L}_{dR} . Of course, for de Rham cohomology taking the dual has no effect.

Now we consider the integral models of Sh_K . We first assume that L_p is self-dual. In this case, K_p is hyperspecial, and a canonical integral model \mathcal{S}_K is already constructed in [Kis10]. The abelian scheme \mathcal{A} has a natural extension \mathcal{A} over \mathcal{S}_K . The étale and de Rham cohomology of \mathcal{A} give us extensions of \mathbf{H}_ℓ for every $\ell \neq p$ and \mathbf{H}_{dR} . We also have extensions of tensors π_ℓ and π_{dR} and their images \mathbf{L}_ℓ and \mathbf{L}_{dR} . Denote these extensions by the same letters. The special fiber $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ gives us a crystal $\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{cris}} := \mathbb{R}^1 a_{\mathrm{cris}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$. The evaluation of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ at the pro-nilpotent PD thickening $\varprojlim_n (\mathcal{S}_K \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z})$ can be identified with \mathbf{H}_{dR} , as vector bundles of integrable connections, which endows \mathbf{H}_{dR} with the structure of a filtered F -crystal. The global section π_{dR} is horizontal, so we obtain a global section π_{cris} of the F -crystal $\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{cris}}$.

Madapusi-Pera has shown that more generally, if D_{L_p} is *cyclic*, and $p^2 \nmid \mathrm{disc}(L_p)$ (resp. $p^2 \mid \mathrm{disc}(L_p)$), then Sh_K has a *canonical integral model* (resp. *smooth integral model*) \mathcal{S}_K over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. For the construction of the corresponding \mathcal{A} , $\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ and \mathbf{L}_ℓ , we refer the reader to [MP16, 8.7].

Definition 4.4. Let s be a point on \mathcal{S}_K . If s is a geometric point and the residue field $k(s)$ has characteristic $p > 2$ (resp. 0), an endomorphism $f \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{A}_s)$ is called a *special endomorphism* if its cohomological realizations lie in $\mathbf{L}_{\ell,s}$ for every $\ell \neq p$ and $\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{cris},s}$ (resp. $\mathbf{L}_{\ell,s}$ for every ℓ). If s is not a geometric point, then $f \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{A}_s)$ is a special endomorphism if its base change to a geometric point over s is a special endomorphism.

We write $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)$ for the space of special endomorphisms in the above situation. If $f \in \mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)$, then $f \circ f$ is a scalar. The map $f \mapsto f \circ f$ endows $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)$ the structure of a quadratic form over \mathbb{Z} . We will often implicitly make use of the fact that to check whether $f \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{A}_s)$ is special, it suffices to check for one cohomological realization. In particular, if t is a point which specializes to another point s , and $\tilde{f} \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{A}_t)$ specializes to $f \in \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{A}_s)$, then \tilde{f} is a special endomorphism if and only if f is. For details, see [MP16, 5].

4.2 Isogeny Classes and the Supersingular Locus

We consider the supersingular locus of \mathcal{S}_K , which we denote by $\mathcal{S}_K^{\mathrm{ss}}$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be abelian scheme over $k[[t]]$. Let η be generic point and $\bar{\eta}$ be a geometric point over η . If $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\eta}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\bar{\eta}}$ are both supersingular, then the cokernel of the specialization map $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\eta}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{A}_k, \mathcal{B}_k)$ is a finite p -group.*

Proof. This is well known and can be proved with the aid of p -divisible groups. We just remark that now we can give a quick argument by taking advantage of Morrow's general

result on the deformation of line bundles. Recall that there is a natural decomposition

$$\mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\eta}} \times \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\vee}) = \mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\eta}}) \times \mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{B}_{\bar{\eta}}^{\vee}) \times \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathcal{B}_{\bar{\eta}}) \quad (4.6)$$

Now apply [Mor, Theorem 3.10, Example 3.12] to $A \times B^{\vee}, A, B$. \square

Proposition 4.7. *For any $s \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{ss}}(k)$, the quadratic form $(\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s), f \mapsto f \circ f)$ has the following properties:*

- (a) $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is negative definite.
- (b) The natural maps $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{L}_{\ell, s}$ and $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{F=1}$ are isomorphisms.
- (c) If $L_p := L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is self-dual, $|\mathrm{disc}(\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)| = p^{2\sigma}$ for some σ . Moreover, if $s' \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{ss}}(k)$ is another point, $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_{s'})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as quadratic forms over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. (a) Let $f \in \mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)$. By [MP16, Prop. 7.18], there exists a characteristic zero field F which embeds into \mathbb{C} and an F -valued point s_F which specializes to s such that f lifts to an element $f_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_{s_{\mathbb{C}}})$, where $s_{\mathbb{C}} := s_F \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Recall that $\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{dR}, s_{\mathbb{C}}}^{1, -1}$ is generated by an element ω such that $\langle \omega, \omega \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \omega, \bar{\omega} \rangle > 0$, we see that $\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{dR}, s_{\mathbb{C}}}^{1, -1} \oplus \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{dR}, s_{\mathbb{C}}}^{-1, 1}$ descends to a 2-dimensional positive definite \mathbb{R} -vector subspace of $\mathbf{L}_{B, s_{\mathbb{C}}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Since $\mathbf{L}_{B, s_{\mathbb{C}}}$ has signature $(2, n-2)$ and the class of $f_{\mathbb{C}}$ lies in $\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{dR}, s_{\mathbb{C}}}^{(0,0)}$, we see that $f \circ f < 0$.

(b) If $k = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$, then by [MP15, Cor. 6.11] $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{\ell, s} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ is an isomorphism. To check that it holds for a general k , run induction on the transcendence degree of k using Lemma 4.5. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & \mathbf{L}_{\ell, s} \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & & \swarrow \\
 \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & \mathrm{End}H_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^1(\mathcal{A}_s, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) & & \mathbf{L}_{\ell, s} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & \mathbf{L}_{\ell, s} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \\
 \swarrow & & \downarrow & & \swarrow \\
 \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & \mathrm{End}H_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^1(\mathcal{A}_s, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) & & \mathbf{L}_{\ell, s} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}
 \end{array}$$

All vertical diagrams except the one at the back are fiber diagrams, so the one at the back is also a fiber diagram. The argument for crystalline cohomology is entirely analogous.

(c) We first note that by [Kis10, Cor. 1.3.6(2)] as W -quadratic forms $L \otimes W \cong \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{cris}, s}$ (see also [MP16, Prop. 4.7]). Therefore, $\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{cris}, s}$ is self-dual. It follows from a purely lattice-theoretic consideration that if the index of $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes W$ in $\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{cris}, s}$ is p^{σ} , then $|\mathrm{disc}(\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)| = p^{2\sigma}$. Since $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \cong L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ for all $\ell \neq p$, $|\mathrm{disc}(\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s))| = |\mathrm{disc}(L)|p^{2\sigma}$. Now consider the \mathbb{Z} -lattice $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)$. Part (a) tells us that the sign of $\mathrm{disc}(\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s))$ is $(-1)^n$. Therefore, $\mathrm{disc}(\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)) = (-1)^n |\mathrm{disc}(L)|p^{2\sigma}$.

Let s' be another supersingular point. We already know that

$$\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_v \cong \mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_{s'}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_v \quad (4.8)$$

for all places v of \mathbb{Q} except $v = p$. The quadratic reciprocity law forces $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_{s'}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ to have the same Hasse invariant. In fact, $\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{cris},s}(-1)$ has the structure of a supersingular K3 crystal, so that by [Ogu79, 3.13.2], $(\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{cris},s} \otimes K_0)^{F=1}$ has Hasse invariant -1 . Clearly $(-1)^n |\mathrm{disc}(L)| p^{2\sigma}$ defines the same class in $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times / (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$ for different σ 's. Therefore, (4.8) holds for $v = p$ as well. Now we conclude by the Hasse principle of quadratic forms that $\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_{s'})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. \square

For the rest of this subsection, assume that $L_p := L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is self-dual and that the symplectic pairing ψ on $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$ can be chosen such that it induces a perfect pairing on $H_{\mathbb{Z}(p)}$.

Remark 4.9. We make this assumption because [Kis17, 1.3.3] does. We want to ensure that the assumption there holds without replacing $H_{\mathbb{Z}(p)}$ by $H_{\mathbb{Z}(p)}^{\otimes(4,4)}$, so that it is enough to let the tensors in Lem. 4.1 assume the role of s_α 's in *loc. cit.* If $L = \lambda^\perp \subset \Lambda$, where Λ is the lattice defined in (2.15), $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is an element with $p \nmid \lambda^2$, then this assumption can always be satisfied. For an explicit construction of ψ which is perfect on $H_{\mathbb{Z}(p)}$, see [Mau14, 5.1].

Definition 4.10. Let k be a perfect field and $s, s' \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{K}}(k)$. Let $f : \mathcal{A}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{s'}$ be a quasi-isogeny over k which respects the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading and $\mathrm{Cl}(L)$ -action on $\mathcal{A}_s, \mathcal{A}_{s'}$. Fix an algebraic closure $k \subset \bar{k}$. If $\mathrm{char} k = 0$, we say f is a *CSpin-isogeny* if it sends $\pi_{\ell, s \otimes \bar{k}}$ to $\pi_{\ell, s' \otimes \bar{k}}$ for every prime ℓ . If $\mathrm{char} k = p$, we say f is a *CSpin-isogeny* if it sends $\pi_{\ell, s \otimes \bar{k}}$ to $\pi_{\ell, s' \otimes \bar{k}}$ for every prime $\ell \neq p$ and $\pi_{\mathrm{cris}, s}$ to $\pi_{\mathrm{cris}, s'}$.

We briefly explain how Kisin's work on the Langlands-Rapoport conjecture [Kis17] specializes to our case. Consider the limit

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{K}_p} := \varprojlim_{\mathbb{K}^p} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{K}_p \mathbb{K}^p}$$

where the limit is taken over all compact open subgroups $\mathbb{K}^p \subset \mathrm{CSpin}(L \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$. In the language of *loc. cit.*, two points $s, s' \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{K}_p}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ are said to be *in the same isogeny class* if there exists an isogeny $\mathcal{A}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{s'}$ which commutes with the CSpin-structures—namely, the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, $\mathrm{Cl}(L)$ -action and the ℓ -adic and crystalline realizations of π .

To each isogeny class we can associate a *Kottwitz triple*. Let G denote the \mathbb{Q} -group $\mathrm{CSpin}(L_{\mathbb{Q}})$. A Kottwitz triple is a tuple $(\gamma_0, (\gamma_\ell)_{\ell \neq p}, \delta)$ where $(\gamma_\ell)_{\ell \neq p}$ (resp. δ , resp. γ_0) is an element of $G(\mathbb{A}_F^p)$ (resp. $G(\mathbb{Z}_{p^r})$ for some r well defined up to Frobenius conjugacy, resp. $G(\mathbb{Q})$ defined up to $G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ conjugacy). Two Kottwitz triples are equivalent if they are suitably conjugate to each other. We refer the reader to [Kis17, (4.3)] for the precise definitions. Roughly speaking, $(\gamma_\ell)_{\ell \neq p}$ (resp. δ) remembers the Frobenius action on the ℓ -adic and (resp. crystalline) cohomology of \mathcal{A}_s for a point $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{K}_p}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and $\gamma_0 \in G(\mathbb{Q})$ is a *compatibility condition* for $(\gamma_\ell)_{\ell \neq p}$ and δ . [Kis17, (4.4)] gives a precise description of the fiber of the natural map

$$\mathfrak{k} : \{ \text{isogeny class } \mathcal{I}_s \text{ of } s \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{K}_p}(G, X)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \} \rightarrow \{ \text{Kottwitz triples } \mathfrak{k} \} / \sim \quad \mathcal{I}_s \mapsto \mathfrak{k}(\mathcal{I}_s)$$

Take a point s with Kottwitz triple $(\gamma_0, (\gamma_\ell)_{\ell \neq p}, \delta)$. If H is any \mathbb{Q} -group, let us write $\text{III}^\infty(\mathbb{Q}, H)$ for $\ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, H) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}, H))$. Let I_s be the \mathbb{Q} -group of automorphisms of \mathcal{A}_s which commute with the CSpin structure (cf. [Kis17, (2.1.2)]) and I_0 be the centralizer of γ_0 in G . It turns out that \mathbb{Q} -group I_0 is an inner twist of I_x over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ and by [Kis10, Lem. 4.4.3] there exists a natural map $\text{III}^\infty(\mathbb{Q}, I_x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{III}^\infty(\mathbb{Q}, I_0)$. Set $\text{III}_G^\infty(\mathbb{Q}, I_x)$ to be the kernel of the composite

$$\text{III}^\infty(\mathbb{Q}, I_x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{III}^\infty(\mathbb{Q}, I_0) \rightarrow \text{III}^\infty(\mathbb{Q}, G)$$

The fiber of \mathfrak{k} over $(\gamma_0, (\gamma_\ell)_{\ell \neq p}, \delta)$ is a torsor under the group

$$\text{III}_G(\mathbb{Q}, I_s) := \ker(\text{III}_G^\infty(\mathbb{Q}, I_s) \rightarrow \prod_{v \text{ finite}} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, I_s)) \quad (4.11)$$

Let k be any algebraically closed field of finite transcendence degree over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

Proposition 4.12. *For any two points $s, s' \in \mathcal{S}_K^{\text{ss}}(k)$, there exists a CSpin -isogeny $\psi : \mathcal{A}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{s'}$.*

Proof. First we do the case $k = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{S}_{K_p}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ consists of a single isogeny class. Clearly, the Kottwitz triples attached to supersingular points are equivalent to each other. Therefore, we only need to check that group $\text{III}_G(\mathbb{Q}, I_s)$ is trivial when s is supersingular. Let $L'_\mathbb{Q}$ be the \mathbb{Q} -lattice $\text{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)_\mathbb{Q}$. We note that $I_s = \text{CSpin}(L'_\mathbb{Q})$. By tracing through definitions, we see that

$$\text{III}_G^\infty(\mathbb{Q}, I_s) \subset \ker(H^1(\mathbb{Q}, I_s) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, I_s)),$$

where v runs through all places of \mathbb{Q} . Note that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \text{CSpin}(L'_\mathbb{Q})) & \longrightarrow & \prod_v H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, \text{CSpin}(L'_\mathbb{Q})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \text{SO}(L'_\mathbb{Q})) & \longrightarrow & \prod_v H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, \text{SO}(L'_\mathbb{Q})) \end{array}$$

Both vertical arrows are injective by Hilbert's theorem 90. The bottom arrow is injective by the Hasse principle. Hence we have that $\text{III}_G^\infty(\mathbb{Q}, I)$ is trivial in our case. Finally we induct on the transcendence degree of k over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ using Lem. 4.5. Clearly if ψ is a CSpin -isogeny, then so is $p^h \psi$. \square

Lemma 4.13. *Let $s, s' \in \mathcal{S}(k)$ be any two points for which there exists a CSpin -isogeny $\psi : \mathcal{A}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{s'}$. If the induced map $\mathbf{L}_{\text{cris}, s'} \otimes K \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{L}_{\text{cris}, s} \otimes K$ restricts to a map $\mathbf{L}_{\text{cris}, s'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{L}_{\text{cris}, s}$, then $p^h \psi$ is a prime-to- p isogeny for some h .*

Proof. Now we may identify $\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}, s} \otimes K_0$ with $\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}, s'}$ through ψ . Let G denote $\text{CSpin}(\mathbf{L}_{\text{cris}, s})$ and G^{ad} denote $\text{SO}(L_{\text{cris}, s})$. Let T be the centralizer of a maximal split torus in G and Ω_G be the Weyl group of T . By Cartan's decomposition, the relative position between the W -lattices $\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}, s}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}, s'}$ is given by a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G(W)$ up to the action of Ω_G , i.e.

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}, s} = g \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}, s'} \text{ for some } g \in G(W)\mu(p)G(W)$$

Let $\Omega_{G^{\text{ad}}}$ be the Weyl group of the image of T . Now the condition that ψ induces a W -integral isometry $\mathbf{L}_{\text{cris},s} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{L}_{\text{cris},s'}$ implies that the composition $\text{ad} \circ \mu$ is the trivial cocharacter up to the action by $\Omega_{G^{\text{ad}}}$. By the exact sequence (4.2), up to the action of Ω_G , μ factors through $\mathbb{G}_m \subset G$. Therefore, $p^h \psi$ induces an isomorphism $H_{\text{cris}}^1(\mathcal{A}_s/W) \rightarrow H_{\text{cris}}^1(\mathcal{A}_{s'}/W)$ which commutes with the CSpin structures for some h . In particular, $p^h \psi$ is a prime-to- p CSpin-isogeny $\mathcal{A}_{s'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_s$. \square

5 Motives, Monodromy and Periods

Let n be a number ≥ 2 . Unless otherwise noted, k is an algebraically closed field with $\text{char } k = p > 2n$.

5.1 Period morphisms

We discuss the global period morphisms.

Set-up 5.1. Choose an isomorphism $\tau : \bar{K}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$. Let X be an IS variety of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k with Def. 1.1 satisfied by a tuple (V, X_V, ι) . Recall that we may always assume that τ agrees with the one fixed for Def. 1.1 by Prop. 2.7. Let ξ be a primitive polarization on X which extends to a polarization ξ_V on X_V and let $(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T, \xi_T)$ be the family constructed in Set-up 3.28. Again let b denote the point on T whose fiber is identified with X . Let b_V denote the V -valued point on T which is given by (X_V, ξ_V) and set $b_{\mathbb{C}} := b_V \otimes_{\tau} \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathbf{H}_B^2, \mathbf{H}_\ell^2, \mathbf{H}_{\text{dR}}^2, \mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}}^2$ be the sheaves on the appropriate fibers of T given by the second cohomology of the family and let $\mathbf{P}_B^2, \mathbf{P}_\ell^2, \mathbf{P}_{\text{dR}}^2, \mathbf{P}_{\text{cris}}^2$ be the primitive parts with respect to ξ_T . These sheaves are endowed with a pairing from the Beauville-Bogomolov form. For any group G , \underline{G} denotes the locally constant étale sheaf with values in G .

Let (Λ, λ) be the pointed lattice isomorphic to $(H^2(X_V(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}), c_1(\xi_V \otimes \mathbb{C}))$. Set $L := \lambda^\perp$. Choose an isomorphism of quadratic forms $\epsilon : \det(P_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2)$. We call such a choice an artificial orientation for (X, ξ) . Choose an isomorphism

$$\eta : (H^2(\mathcal{X}_{b_{\mathbb{C}}}, \mathbb{Z}), c_1(\xi_{T, b_{\mathbb{C}}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Lambda, \lambda) \quad (5.2)$$

which is compatible with ϵ via the natural isomorphism

$$(H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_V \otimes \mathbb{C}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p), c_1(\xi_V \otimes \mathbb{C})) \cong (H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p), c_1(\xi)).$$

Note that this can always be done because there is a copy of standard hyperbolic plane U in L (cf. the proof of Lem. 2.4). Any automorphism of U extends to an automorphism of (Λ, λ) by Lem. 2.2. It can be easily checked that $\det(\text{O}(U)) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is surjective.

Let $l = 4$ and K_l be the subgroup of $\text{CSpin}(L \otimes \mathbb{A}_f) \cap \text{Cl}(L \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ which consists of elements which are congruent to 1 modulo l . Let K_l^{ad} be the image of K_l in $\text{SO}(L \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. Up to replacing T by a finite étale cover, we may assume that the monodromy representation

$$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(T, b) \rightarrow \text{O}(H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p), c_1(\xi))$$

factors through K_l^{ad} . We remark that by Lem. 2.2, K_l^{ad} lies in $\text{O}(H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p), c_1(\xi))$ which

is naturally viewed as a subgroup of $O(P_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p))$, as K_l is a subgroup of the discriminant kernel of $O(P_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p))$. Now we can endow T with a spin level l structure (cf. [MP15, 3.10], [Cha13, 3.2]). That is, a global section of $I^p/\underline{K_l^{\text{ad},p}}$, where I^p is the étale sheaf on T defined by

$$I^p(T') = \{(\Lambda \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p, \lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{H}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p, T'}^2(1), c_1(\xi_{T'})\} \text{ for every étale morphism } T' \rightarrow T.$$

Let $\text{Sh}_l^{\text{ad}}(L) := \text{Sh}_{K_l^{\text{ad}}}(\text{SO}(L), \Omega)$ be the orthogonal Shimura variety associated to $\text{Sh}_{K_l}(L)$. Using the universal property of $\text{Sh}_l^{\text{ad}}(L)_{\mathbb{C}}$ [MP15, Prop. 4.3], one can construct a morphism $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{ad}} : T_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_l^{\text{ad}}(L)_{\mathbb{C}}$. The natural morphism $\text{Sh}_l(L)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_l^{\text{ad}}(L)_{\mathbb{C}}$ restricts to isomorphisms on connected components, so $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{ad}}$ lifts to a morphism $\rho_{\mathbb{C}} : T_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{Sh}_l(L)_{\mathbb{C}}$. As argued in [Cha13, Prop. 9], $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}$ descends to a morphism ρ_{K_0} over K_0 (see also the proof of [MP15, Cor. 5.4]). The main input here is André result [And96, Thm. 8.4.3]. Finally [MP15, Thm. 3.8] is generalized by Prop. 3.26, so that ρ_{K_0} extends (necessarily uniquely) to a morphism $\rho : T \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_l(L)_W$ by the extension property of $\mathcal{S}_l(L)_W$.

We combine the results in [MP15, 4] and [Cha13, 3.3, 3.4]:

Proposition 5.3. *The period morphism $\rho : T \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_l(L)_W$ is étale with the following properties:*

- (a) *Over $T_{\mathbb{C}}$, there exists an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -local systems $\alpha_B : \rho^* \mathbf{L}_B(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{P}_B^2$ together with an isometry of filtered vector bundles with integrable connection $\alpha_{\text{dR}, \mathbb{C}} : \rho^* \mathbf{L}_{\text{dR}}|_{T_{\mathbb{C}}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{P}_{\text{dR}}^2|_{T_{\mathbb{C}}}$.*
- (b) *For every prime number ℓ , there exists an isometry $\alpha_{\ell} : \rho^* \mathbf{L}_{\ell}(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{P}_{\ell}^2$ over T_{K_0} such that over $T_{\mathbb{C}}$, α_{ℓ} and α_B are compatible in the obvious way; if $\ell \neq p$, the isomorphism extends uniquely over T ;*
- (c) *$\alpha_{\text{dR}, \mathbb{C}} : \rho^* \mathbf{L}_{\text{dR}}|_{T_{\mathbb{C}}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{P}_{\text{dR}}^2(1)|_{T_{\mathbb{C}}}$ over $T_{\mathbb{C}}$ descends to $\alpha_{\text{dR}, K} : \rho^* \mathbf{L}_{\text{dR}}|_{T_K}(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{P}_{\text{dR}}^2|_{T_K}$ over T_{K_0} .*
- (d) *For any $t \in T(k)$ with a lifting $t_W \in T(W)$, the isometry $\alpha_{\text{cris}} : \rho^* \mathbf{L}_{\text{cris}, t}(-1) \otimes K_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{P}_{\text{cris}, t}^2 \otimes K_0$ obtained via the crystalline-de Rham comparison is an isomorphism of F -isocrystals and restricts to an isomorphism of F -crystals $\alpha_{\text{cris}} : \rho^* \mathbf{L}_{\text{cris}, t_W}(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{P}_{\text{cris}, t_W}^2$.*
- (e) *α_{dR, K_0} can be extended to $\alpha_{\text{dR}} : \rho^* \mathbf{L}_{\text{dR}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{P}_{\text{dR}}^2$, which induces an isomorphism of F -crystals $\rho^* \mathbf{L}_{\text{cris}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{P}_{\text{cris}}^2$.*
- (f) *For every $t \in T(k)$ and $s = \rho(t)$, there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \xrightarrow{\sim} \xi_{T, t}^{\perp} \subset \text{NS}(\mathcal{X}_t) \tag{5.4}$$

which is compatible with the isomorphisms in (b) and (e).

Proof. (a) is true by construction. (b) is also again a consequence of [And96, 8.4.3].

(c) can be shown by following the arguments in the proof of [MP15, Thm. 5.6 (2), (3)].

The point is that for any field F of characteristic zero, $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $t : \text{Spec } F \rightarrow T$, the isomorphism $P_{\text{dR}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{t \otimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{\text{dR}, \rho(t) \otimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{C}} \subset \text{End}(H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{A}_{\rho(t) \otimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{C}}))$ is given by an absolutely Hodge class (cf. [And96, 6.2.1]). Using (b) for any ℓ and [MP15, 2.2], we obtain a canonical isomorphism $P_{\text{dR}}^2(\mathcal{X}_t) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{\text{dR}, \rho(t)} \subset \text{End}(H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{A}_{\rho(t)}))$.

(d) There are canonical isomorphisms $\mathbf{P}_{\text{dR}, t_W}^2 \cong \mathbf{P}_{\text{cris}, t}^2$ and $\mathbf{L}_{\text{dR}, t_W} \cong \mathbf{L}_{\text{cris}, t}$ given by crystalline-de Rham comparison. Therefore, the $\alpha_{\text{dR}}|_{t_{K_0}}$ gives an isomorphism $\rho^* \mathbf{L}_{\text{cris}, t} \otimes K_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} P_{\text{cris}, t}^2 \otimes K_0$. Since $\alpha_p|_{t_K} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is Gal_{K_0} -equivariant, by the p -adic comparison isomorphism, $\alpha_{\text{dR}}|_{t_{K_0}}$ is Frobenius-invariant. Now by integral p -adic Hodge theory (cf. [BMS18, Thm. 1.1]), we can recover the W -module $P_{\text{cris}, t}^2$ by

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{cris}, t}^2 = \text{BK}(\mathbf{P}_{p, t_{K_0}}^2) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} W \subset \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathbf{P}_{p, t_{K_0}}^2 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbf{P}_{\text{cris}, t}^2 \otimes K_0$$

where $\mathfrak{S} := W[[u]]$ and $\text{BK}(-)$ denotes the Breuil-Kisin module. The W -module $\mathbf{L}_{\text{cris}, t}$ can be obtained from $\mathbf{L}_{p, t_{K_0}}$ in the same way. Using the Gal_{K_0} -equivariant \mathbb{Z}_p -isomorphism $\alpha_{p, t_{K_0}}$ we obtain the W -integral isomorphism $\alpha_{\text{cris}, t} : \rho^* \mathbf{L}_{\text{cris}, t}(-1) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{cris}, t}^2$, which is compatible with the rational isomorphism $\alpha_{\text{dR}, t_{K_0}}$.

(e) Take an affine open subscheme $\text{Spec } R$ of T on which both $\rho^* L_{\text{dR}}$ and P_{dR}^2 can be trivialized. Then over $\text{Spec } R$, $\alpha_{\text{dR}, K}$ is given by a matrix in $M \in M_{b-1, b-1}(R[1/p])$. Part (d) implies that for every $t : \text{Spec } W \rightarrow R$, the pullback $t^* M$ lies in $M_{b-1, b-1}(W)$. Therefore, $M \in M_{b-1, b-1}(R)$ is integral. This argument is a simplification of [MP15, 5.11], which followed [Mau14, 6.15]: Instead of considering ordinary points first and then using a density result about ordinary points, we can use all smooth points, thanks to integral p -adic Hodge theory. Now we know α_{dR, K_0} extends to $\alpha_{\text{dR}} : \rho^* \mathbf{L}_{\text{dR}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{P}_{\text{dR}}^2$. To see that it induces an isomorphism of F-crystals, apply the same arguments in the proof of [MP15, Lem. 5.13].

(f) This generalizes [MP15, Thm. 5.17(4)]. As in *loc. cit.*, one can construct a map $L(\mathcal{A}_s) \rightarrow \langle \xi_t \rangle^{\perp} \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_t)$ such that the following diagrams commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L(\mathcal{A}_s) & \longrightarrow & \langle \xi_t \rangle^{\perp} \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_t) & & L(\mathcal{A}_s) & \longrightarrow & \langle \xi_t \rangle^{\perp} \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_t) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{\text{cris}, s}(-1)^{F=p} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\text{cris}, t}} & P_{\text{cris}}^2(\mathcal{X}_t/W)^{F=p} & & L_{\ell, s}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\text{cris}, t}} & P_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \end{array}$$

We now sketch the construction of the map $L(\mathcal{A}_s) \rightarrow \langle \xi_t \rangle^{\perp} \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_t)$. Let $f \in L(\mathcal{A}_s)$. By [MP16, 7.18], there exists a finite flat extension⁵ V' of W and a V' -valued point $s_{V'}$ lifting s such that f lifts to an element $f_{K'} \in \text{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_{s_{V'}} \otimes K')$, where $K' := V'[1/p]$. Since ρ is étale, $s_{V'}$ induces a lift $t_{V'}$ of t , which corresponds to a deformation $\mathcal{X}_{t_{V'}}$ of \mathcal{X}_t . Now $f_{K'}$ induces an absolute Hodge class on $\mathcal{X}_{t_{V'}}$. By Lefschetz (1, 1) theorem, up to replacing V', K' by finite extensions, there exists a line bundle $\zeta_{K'}$ on $\mathcal{X}_{t_{V'}}$ realizing this class. Specialize $\zeta_{K'}$ to a line bundle $\zeta \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_t)$. The map $f \mapsto \zeta$ is well-defined and has the desired properties because ζ is uniquely determined by its Chern class.

If \mathcal{X}_s is not supersingular, then we can run the argument backwards and construct a map

⁵We can choose F to be a finite extension of K_0 by the same arguments in the proof of [Del81, Cor. 1.7].

$[\langle \xi_t \rangle^\perp \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_t)] \rightarrow L(\mathcal{A}_s)$ because we can lift every line bundle on \mathcal{X}_s to characteristic zero by Prop. 3.7. If \mathcal{X}_s is supersingular, then we conclude by Prop. 4.7(b) that the map $L(\mathcal{A}_s) \rightarrow \langle \xi_t \rangle^\perp \subset \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X}_t)$ has to be surjective. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Apply [MP15, Thm. 6.4(2)] and Proposition 5.3(f) with $k = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$. \square

With additional arguments, one can improve the first statement in (f) of the above proposition to take care of the integral structure of $\text{NS}(X)$:

Corollary 5.5. *In Set-up 5.1, if X is supersingular, then the Chern class maps induces isomorphisms $c_1 : \text{NS}(X) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$ and $c_1 : \text{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)^{F=p}$.*

Proof. See the proofs of [LMS14, Lem. 2.2.2, 2.2.4]. \square

5.2 Invariance of deformation types

Lemma 5.6. *Let S be a smooth scheme of finite type over k and let \mathcal{F} be a crystal of coherent sheaves over $\text{Cris}(S/W)$. If for every $s : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow S$, the pullback $s^* \mathcal{F}$ is a locally free sheaf over $\text{Cris}(k/W)$, then \mathcal{F} is locally free.*

Proof. This is a local question, so we may assume that there exists a smooth affine \tilde{S} lift of S over W (cf. first paragraph of [BO78, p. 7.15]). It suffices to check that $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{S})$ is locally free. Since W -points are dense on \tilde{S} , if for every W -point s_W on \tilde{S} , the pullback $s_W^* \mathcal{F}(\tilde{S})$ is locally free, then $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{S})$ must be locally free. \square

The following is a direct generalization of [Cha16, Prop. 1.5] (see also [LO15, Prop. A.1]).

Proposition 5.7. *Let ζ_1, \dots, ζ_m be line bundles on X which span a direct summand of $\text{NS}(X)$ with ζ_1 ample. If $m \leq 10$, then there exists a finite flat extension W' of W and a lift $X_{W'}$ of X over W' such that ζ_1, \dots, ζ_m extend to $X_{W'}$.*

Proof. By Prop. 3.7, it suffices to treat the case when X is supersingular. Consider the family $(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T, \xi_T)$ over W with special fiber $(\mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow T_0, \xi_{T_0})$ over k defined in Set-up 3.28 with $\xi = \zeta_1$. To extend the proof of [Cha16, Prop. 1.5] it suffices to show that the supersingular locus T_0^{ss} of the crystal $H_{\text{cris}}^2(\mathcal{X}_0/W)$ on $\text{Cris}(T_0/W)$ has dimension ≤ 10 . By lemma 5.6, $H_{\text{cris}}^2(\mathcal{X}_0/W)$ is locally free.

Take the smooth locus U of T_0^{ss} and let η be the generic point of U . By Morrow's result [Mor, Thm. 3.10], which generalizes [Art74, Thm. 1.1], we see that up to shrinking U , $\text{NS}(\mathcal{X}_\eta) \rightarrow \text{NS}(\mathcal{X}_t)$ is an isomorphism for every $t \in U(k)$. Now take such a point t . Let $\text{Def}_0(\mathcal{X}_t; \text{NS}(\mathcal{X}_t))$ denote the locus of $\text{Def}_0(\mathcal{X}_t)$ parametrizing deformations of \mathcal{X}_t together with the entire Néron-Severi group. Let $\widehat{T}_{t,0}$ (resp. \widehat{U}_t) denote the formal completion of T_0 (resp. U) at t . Then the restriction of the family \mathcal{X} gives us an unramified morphism $\widehat{T}_{t,0} \rightarrow \text{Def}_0(\mathcal{X}_t)$. We argue that \widehat{U}_t maps into $\text{Def}_0(\mathcal{X}_t; \text{NS}(\mathcal{X}_0))$. Indeed, since $\widehat{U}_t \cong \text{Spf } k[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$ for some r , it suffices to check that the composition

$$\text{Spf } k[[x]] \rightarrow \widehat{U}_t \rightarrow \widehat{T}_{t,0} \rightarrow \text{Def}_0(\mathcal{X}_t)$$

factors through $\text{Def}_0(\mathcal{X}_t; \text{NS}(\mathcal{X}_t))$ for each morphism $\text{Spf } k[[x]] \rightarrow \widehat{U}_t$. This again follows from [Mor, Thm. 3.10].

Recall that $H_{\text{cris}}^2(\mathcal{X}_t/W)$ has the structure of a supersingular K3 crystal. The Artin invariant $\sigma(\mathcal{X}_t)$ is equal to the length of $H_{\text{cris}}^2(\mathcal{X}_t/W)/\text{NS}(\mathcal{X}_t)\otimes W$. By [Ogu79, Thm. 3.4], $\sigma(\mathcal{X}_t) \leq 11$. The reader can check that the diagrams drawn in the proof [Ogu79, Thm. 5.6] can easily be adapted for \mathcal{X}_t , so that $\dim \text{Def}_0(\mathcal{X}_t; \text{NS}(\mathcal{X}_t)) \leq \sigma(\mathcal{X}_t) - 1 = 10$. This implies that $\dim T_0^{\text{ss}} \leq 10$. \square

Remark 5.8. Morally the dimension bound as above should follow immediately from [Ogu79, 4.6.1]. We caution the reader that unlike K3 crystals with no additional restrictions, *supersingular* K3 crystals are not defined over a non-reduced Artin algebra over k in [Ogu79] (cf. the discussion after Prop. 5.1 in *loc. cit.*). Therefore, although $\text{Def}(\mathcal{X}_t; \text{NS}(\mathcal{X}_t))$ readily makes sense, making precise the operation of cutting “supersingular deformations” of $H_{\text{cris}}^2(\mathcal{X}_t/W)$ out of all its deformations as a K3 crystal entails additional justification. We argued in terms of $\text{Def}(\mathcal{X}_t; \text{NS}(\mathcal{X}_t))$ to minimize technical complications.

We are now ready to prove that in Definition 1.1, the choice of (V, X_V, ι) is not important.

Proof of Thm. 1.2(b). Let ζ, ζ' be primitive on X which extend to $X_V, X_{V'}$ respectively. By Prop. 5.7, there exists a third extension V'' and a deformation $X_{V''}$ over V'' such that both ζ and ζ' lift to $X_{V''}$. In other words, $X_{V''}$ defines a V -valued point in both $\text{Def}(X; \zeta)$ and $\text{Def}(X; \zeta')$. Choose an embedding $\iota'' : V'' \hookrightarrow \bar{K}_0$ of W -algebras. Let $(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T, \zeta_T)$ be family constructed in Set-up 3.28 with $\xi = \zeta$. By construction $X_V \otimes_{\tau, \iota} \mathbb{C}$ and $X_{V''} \otimes_{\tau, \iota''} \mathbb{C}$ both define \mathbb{C} -points on $T \otimes_{\tau} \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, by Rmk. 3.31, $X_{V, \tau, \iota}(\mathbb{C})$ and $X_{V'', \tau, \iota''}(\mathbb{C})$ are deformation equivalent. By a symmetric argument, $X_{V', \tau, \iota'}(\mathbb{C})$ and $X_{V'', \tau, \iota''}(\mathbb{C})$ are also deformation equivalent. \square

Remark 5.9. The reason that we require that some primitive polarization on X extends to X_V in Def. 1.1 is that we do not have a good control on $\text{Def}(X; \zeta)$ for a line bundle ζ is a p th power of another line bundle. In particular, $\text{Def}_0(X; \zeta)$ may be non-reduced and we cannot apply Lem. 3.29. However, it will be clear from the proof of the proposition below that in practice this requirement is not very restrictive, thanks to Lem. 3.5.

Proposition 5.10. *Let (S, H) be a polarized K3 surface over k and let v be a Mukai vector on S with $v^2 = 2n - 2$ with respect to the Mukai pairing. If H is in general position with respect to v (cf. [FL18, Def. 4.3]), then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_H(S, v)$ of H -stable sheaves with Mukai vector v is an IS variety of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k .*

Proof. Suppose V is a finite flat extension of W and S_V is a lift of S over V together with a lift of H and $c_1(v)$. Then the moduli problem of $\mathcal{M}_H(S, v)$ also lifts so that we can define a relative moduli problem $\mathcal{M}_H(S_V, v)$ in the obvious way. By combining results of Langer [Lan04, Thm. 0.2] and Mukai [Muk84, Thm. 1.17], one can show that $\mathcal{M}_H(S_V, v)$ is represented by a smooth projective scheme over V . Moreover, for any embedding $V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{M}_H(S_V, v) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a moduli space of sheaves on a complex K3 surfaces, so it is of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type.

The above arguments are already given in the proof of [Cha16, Thm. 2.4] (see also [FL18, Sect. 4.2]). We only need to make sure that S_V can be chosen such that $\mathcal{M}_H(S_V, v)$ carries an extension of a primitive polarization from $\mathcal{M}_H(S, v)$.

If S is non-supersingular, then so is $\mathcal{M}_H(S, v)$ by [FL18, Prop. 4.4(iv)]. Therefore, by Prop. 3.7, we can choose an S_V so that $V = W$. Then we are done by Lem. 3.5(b).

Now suppose S is supersingular. Let $N(S)$ be the lattice defined as in [Cha16, Def. 2.1(ii)]. By [Cha16, Thm. 2.4(vi)], there is an injective isometry

$$[v^\perp \subset N(S)] \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{M}_H(S, v)). \quad (5.11)$$

One easily checks that there exists a vector $w \in [v^\perp \subset N(S)]$ such that $p \nmid w^2$, since $p \nmid v^2$ and $D_{N(S)} = D_{\mathrm{NS}(S)} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{2\sigma}$ for some $\sigma \leq 10$. Take $\zeta \in \mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{M}_H(S, v))$ to be the image of w . By [Cha16, Prop. 1.5], we can choose a lift S_V over which H, v and w all extend. There is an injective isometry as in (5.11) with S replaced by the geometric generic fiber of S_V . Therefore, up to replacing V by a finite extension, ζ extends to a line bundle ζ_V on $\mathcal{M}_H(S_V, v)$. Let ξ_V be any polarization on $\mathcal{M}_H(S_V, v)$ with special fiber ξ . If $p \mid \xi^2$, then replace ξ_V by $a\xi_V + \zeta_V$ for some $a \gg 0$. Therefore, we can make sure that ξ_V is not a p -power of another line bundle. By Lem. 3.5, the primitive line bundle associated to ξ lifts to $\mathcal{M}_H(S_V, v)$. \square

5.3 Étale parallel transport

We define the étale version of polarized parallel transport operators.

Notation: We use ω_B (resp. ω_ℓ , resp. ω_{cris}) to denote the Betti (resp. ℓ -adic, resp. crystalline) cycle class map. Let $\omega_{\mathrm{ét}}^p$ (resp. $\omega_{\mathrm{ét}}$) denote the product of ω_ℓ 's with $\ell \neq p$ (resp. for all ℓ).

Definition 5.12. Let $k = \mathbb{C}$ or an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 2n$. Let (X_i, ξ_i) be polarized IS varieties of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k for $i = 1, 2$. A morphism $\psi_{\mathrm{ét}} : H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(X_1, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(X_2, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ for $\ell \neq \mathrm{char} k$ is called an (*étale*) *polarized parallel transport operator* from (X_1, ξ_1) to (X_2, ξ_2) if there exists a polarized smooth projective family $(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S, \xi_S)$ over a smooth variety S over k which contains k -points s_i such that

- (i) for every k -point s on S , the fiber \mathcal{X}_s is an IS variety of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k ;
- (ii) $(\mathcal{X}_{s_i}, \xi_{S, s_i}) \cong (X_i, \xi_i)$ and $\psi_{\mathrm{ét}}$ is realized by an *étale path*⁶ linking s_1 and s_2 .

$\psi_{\mathrm{ét}}$ is said to be *prime-to- p* if ξ_i can be chosen such that $p \nmid q_{X_i}(\xi_i)$.

We will also consider natural variants of the above definition. For example, \mathbb{Z}_ℓ may be replaced by products $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(j)$, etc. We first explain how to use the above definition to give a motivic reformulation of Verbitsky's result for $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type varieties. In the above definition, we will also say $\psi_{\mathrm{ét}}$ is a polarized parallel transport operator from X_1 to X_2 when the choice of ξ_1, ξ_2 is not important.

Theorem 5.13. *Let X, X' be IS varieties of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over \mathbb{C} . Suppose ψ is an absolute Hodge cycle on $X \times X'$ of degree $2n$ such that $\omega_{\mathrm{ét}}(\psi) : H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(X', \mathbb{A}_f) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{A}_f)$ preserves the $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -integral structures. Then $\omega_{\mathrm{ét}}(\psi)$ is induced by an isomorphism $f : X \xrightarrow{\sim} X'$ if and only if $\omega_{\mathrm{ét}}(\psi)$ is a polarized parallel transport operator.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ be a projective family of varieties of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type with $s, s' \in S$ such that $\mathcal{X}_s \cong X, \mathcal{X}_{s'} \cong X'$ and $\omega_{\mathrm{ét}}(\psi)$ is realized by an étale path on S which connects s and s' . Set

⁶We mean an isomorphism between the fiber functors defined by s_1 and s_2 . See [Sta19, Tag 03VD].

$\Lambda := H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. By Artin comparison we may identify $\Lambda \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. Since étale paths always lift to étale covers, we may replace S by an étale cover and assume that the monodromy representation

$$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S, s) \rightarrow \text{O}(\Lambda \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

factors through the discriminant kernel χ_Λ . Now choose a topological path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ connecting s, s' and consider the induced map $\gamma_B : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X', \mathbb{Z})$. Note that γ induces an étale path, whose cohomological realization we denote by $\gamma_{\text{ét}}$. By Lem. 2.19, $\omega_B(\psi)$ and γ_B are both orientation preserving, so $\omega_B(\psi) \circ \gamma_B \in \text{O}_+(\Lambda)$. On the other hand, $\omega_{\text{ét}}(\psi) \circ \gamma_{\text{ét}}$ is by definition induced by an element of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(S, s)$, so $\omega_{\text{ét}}(\psi) \circ \gamma_{\text{ét}} \in \ker \chi_\Lambda$. By Markman's result (cf. [Mar11, Lem. 9.2]), we know that

$$\ker \chi_{\Lambda \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}} \cap \text{O}_+(\Lambda) \subseteq \text{Mon}^2(X) \quad (5.14)$$

Since γ_B is by construction induced by a polarized parallel transport operator, we may now conclude by Prop. 2.18 that $\omega_B(\psi)$ is also a polarized parallel transport operator. Now we may conclude using Verbitsky's theorem. \square

Remark 5.15. The above formulation is indeed equivalent to Verbitsky's theorem in the $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -case. André's work ([And96]) implies that every Hodge isomorphism $H^2(X', \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ between hyperkähler varieties which preserves the Beauville-Bogomolov form and sends an ample class to another ample class is *absolute Hodge*. The main observation in the above proof is that Markman's result tells us that the monodromy group $\text{Mon}^2(X)$ is cut out in $\text{O}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}))$ by a condition at finite places (induces ± 1 on discriminant) and a condition at the infinite place (preserves spin orientation). The condition at the infinite place is easy to satisfy and the condition at finite places can be checked after passing to étale cohomology. In fact, only the ℓ 's such that $\text{disc}(\Lambda_\ell) \neq 0$ assume a nontrivial role in cutting out $\text{Mon}^2(X)$. Since $\text{disc}(\Lambda) = \mathbb{Z}/2(n-1)\mathbb{Z}$, (5.14) still holds after we replace $\ker \chi_{\Lambda \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ by $\ker \chi_{\Lambda \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p}$ as long as $p \nmid 2(n-1)$. This allows us to perform the above trick in a mixed characteristic setting.

Lemma 5.16. *Let k be an algebraically closed field with $\text{char } k = p > 2n$. Let (X_i, ξ_i) be a polarized IS varieties of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k with $p \nmid q_{X_i}(\xi_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\psi_{\text{ét}}^p : H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_1, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_2, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$ be a polarized parallel transport operator between them. Then there exists a scheme \widetilde{S} of finite type which is flat over W and a smooth projective family $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \widetilde{S}, \xi_{\widetilde{S}})$ such that*

- (a) *The generic fiber of \widetilde{S} is smooth and geometrically connected.*
- (b) *For each point $\mu \in \widetilde{S}(k)$, the complete local ring of \widetilde{S} at μ admits a smooth surjective morphism to $\text{Def}(\mathcal{X}_\mu; \xi_\mu)$;*
- (c) *There exists points $s_1, s_2 \in \widetilde{S}$ such that $(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{s_i}, s_i^* \xi_{\widetilde{S}}) \cong (X_i, \xi_i)$ and $\psi_{\text{ét}}^p$ is realized by an étale path connecting s_1, s_2 .*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S, \xi_S, s_1, s_2)$ be a tuple for $\psi_{\text{ét}}^p$ to satisfy Definition 5.12. Choose $r \gg 0$ such that $p \nmid r$ and $r\xi_S$ is very ample. Let P be the Hilbert polynomial of $r\xi_S$ and $N = P(1) - 1$. Let U_2 be the open subscheme of the Hilbert scheme \mathbf{Hilb}_N^P constructed in the proof of

Prop. 3.27. Then U_2 is a smooth cover of \mathcal{M}_P . Let the universal family over U_2 be denoted by $(\mathcal{X}_2 \rightarrow U_2, \mathcal{L})$.

By the universal property of Hilbert schemes we get a morphism $\iota : S \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}_N^P$, which factors through U_2 by assumption. For each $s \in S(k)$, the completion $\widehat{U}_{2, \iota(s)}$ of U_2 at $\iota(s)$ is a projective bundle over $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{X}_s; r(s^*\xi_S)) \cong \mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{X}_s; s^*\xi_S)$. Therefore, by Prop. 3.26, $\widehat{U}_{2, \iota(s)}$ is flat and has reduced special fiber. By Lem. 3.29, there exists an open neighborhood U_s of $\iota(s)$ in U_2 such that U_s is connected and has connected generic fiber. Moreover, by [And96, Cor. 2.3.3], we may assume that U_s has smooth generic fiber.

For each $s \in S(k)$, choose such a U_s . Then for a finite union \widetilde{S} of such U_s 's, ι factors through \widetilde{S} . We now conclude by the functoriality of étale paths. \square

Finally, we introduce a special class of étale polarized parallel transport operators which arise naturally for supersingular IS varieties of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type.

Definition 5.17. Let X_i be a supersingular IS variety of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type for $i = 1, 2$ and let $\psi : \mathrm{NS}(X_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(X_2)$ be an isomorphism. We say ψ is a *supersingular specialization operator* if there exist a smooth irreducible variety S with generic point η over k and a smooth projective family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ such that

- (i) for each k -point μ of S , \mathcal{X}_μ is a supersingular $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -variety of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k ;
- (ii) S contains k points s_i for $i = 1, 2$, such that $\mathcal{X}_{s_i} \cong X_i$ and ψ can be realized as a restriction of $\psi_{\eta, s_2} \circ \psi_{\eta, s_1}^{-1}$ for specialization maps $\psi_{\eta, s_i} : \mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{X}_\eta)[1/p] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{NS}(X_i)[1/p]$.

Again, as we have argued in the proof of Prop. 5.7, there is a specialization map $\mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{X}_\eta) \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(X_i)$ which has a finite p -primary cokernel by [Mor, Thm. 3.10].

Lemma 5.18. *The étale realization of a supersingular specialization operator defined in Def. 5.17 is a prime-to- p polarized parallel transport operator.*

Proof. Let $\bar{\eta}$ be a geometric point over η . Then there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}) \cong \mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{X}_\eta)$. The étale realization $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{\eta}}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(X_i, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$ of ψ_{η, s_i} can be viewed as realized by an étale path $\bar{\eta} \rightsquigarrow s_i$. Therefore, the étale realization $\psi_{\mathrm{ét}} : H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(X_1, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{ét}}^2(X_2, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p)$ of ψ is an étale polarized parallel transport operator. Finally, we remark that $\psi_{\mathrm{ét}}$ is prime-to- p : Let s' be a general k -point on S , so that $\mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{X}_\eta) \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{X}_{s'})$ is an isomorphism. Then there exists a line bundle $\zeta \in \mathrm{NS}(\mathcal{X}_{s'})$ such that $p \nmid q_{\mathcal{X}_{s'}}(\zeta)$. Let ζ_S be the extension of ζ over S and let ξ_S be any polarization of the family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$. If the fibers of ξ_S do not have prime-to- p norm under the Beauville-Bogomolov forms already, then replace ξ_S by $a\xi_S + \zeta_S$ for some $a \gg 0$. \square

5.4 Proofs of Thm. 1.3 and Thm. 1.5

Now we are ready to prove the supersingular crystalline Torelli theorem. We first remark that every map $\psi : \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{NS}(X')_{\mathbb{Q}}$ between two IS varieties of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type X and X' can be viewed as given by a correspondence between X and X' . Indeed, let e'_1, \dots, e'_b be an orthogonal basis of $\mathrm{NS}(X')_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and e_1, \dots, e_b be the images in $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $(e'_i)^*$ be the dual

of e_i . By Prop. 2.20, $(e_i)^*$ is represented by $c_i(e_i)^{n-1}$ for some $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. The correspondence which corresponds to ψ is given by

$$z := \sum_i c_i(e_i)^{n-1} \times e'_i \in \mathrm{Ch}^{\dim X}(X \times X').$$

ψ preserves the Beauville-Bogomolov forms on $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ and $\mathrm{NS}(X')$ if and only if it preserves the top self-intersection numbers.

Lemma 5.19. *Let X and X' be supersingular IS varieties of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k . Let ξ, ξ' be polarizations on X, X' such that $p \nmid q_X(\xi) = q_{X'}(\xi')$. Suppose that $\psi : \mathrm{NS}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{NS}(X')$ be an isometry such that $\omega_{\mathrm{cris}}(\psi) : H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X/W) \otimes K_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X'/W) \otimes K_0$ preserves the W -structures.*

Then there exist lifts $(X_W, \xi_W), (X'_W, \xi'_W)$ of $(X, \xi), (X', \xi')$, together with an absolute Hodge class ψ_{AH} on the generic fiber of $X_W \times X'_W$ whose ℓ -adic realization agrees with $\omega_\ell(\psi)$ for every $\ell \neq p$ via smooth base change. Moreover, $\omega_p(\psi_{\mathrm{AH}}) : H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}_0}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(X'_{\bar{K}_0}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ preserves the \mathbb{Z}_p -structures.

Proof. Clearly, we may assume that ξ, ξ' are both primitive. Choose an isomorphism $\tau : \bar{K}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$. Choose a finite flat extension $V \subset \bar{K}_0$ of W such that (X, ξ) and (X', ξ') lifts to (X_V, ξ_V) and (X'_V, ξ'_V) respectively. By Thm. 1.2(b), $X_V(\mathbb{C})$ and $X'_V(\mathbb{C})$ are both IS manifolds of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type. One easily checks by Lemma 2.3 that

$$(H^2(X_V(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}), c_1(\xi_V \otimes \mathbb{C})) \cong (H^2(X'_V(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}), c_1(\xi'_V \otimes \mathbb{C}))$$

as pointed lattices over \mathbb{Z} . Denote this pointed lattice by (Λ, λ) and set $L := \lambda^\perp \subset \Lambda$.

Equip (X, ξ) and (X', ξ') with an artificial orientations ϵ, ϵ' such that $\omega_2(\psi)(\epsilon') = \epsilon$. Apply the constructions in Set-up 5.1 to (X, ξ, ϵ) and (X', ξ', ϵ') to obtain tuples $(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T, b, \rho : T \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_1(L))$ and $(\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow T', b', \rho' : T' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_1(L))$. Let $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_1(L)$ be the universal abelian scheme. Let $s = \rho(b)$ and $s' = \rho'(b')$. By Prop. 5.3(f), a restriction of ψ induces an isomorphism $\tilde{\psi} : \mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_{s'})$. Moreover, $\tilde{\psi}$ extends to an isomorphism $\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{cris}, s} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{cris}, s'}$ and by construction $\tilde{\psi}_2 : \mathbf{L}_{2, s} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{2, s'}$ preserves the artificial orientation. By Prop. 4.12, there exists a CSpin -isogeny $f : \mathcal{A}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{s'}$. f induces an isomorphism $f^{\mathrm{ad}} : \mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_{s'})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by conjugation. Since both f^{ad} and $\tilde{\psi}$ are orientation-preserving (cf. Rmk. 4.3), $\tilde{\psi}^{-1} \circ f^{\mathrm{ad}} \in \mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Recall that the group of CSpin -isogenies from \mathcal{A}_s to itself can be identified with $\mathrm{CSpin}(\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)_{\mathbb{Q}})$, which maps onto $\mathrm{SO}(\mathrm{LEnd}(\mathcal{A}_s)_{\mathbb{Q}})$ by conjugation. Therefore, up to adjusting f by a CSpin -isogeny from \mathcal{A}_s to itself, we may assume that $\tilde{\psi} = f^{\mathrm{ad}}$. Now we conclude using Lem. 4.13 and Prop. 5.3(a). \square

Remark 5.20. The \mathbb{Z}_p -integrality of $\omega_p(\psi_{\mathrm{AH}})$ in this case is clear from the Kuga-Satake construction. However, it also follows from completely general considerations in integral p -adic Hodge theory. Indeed, the $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}_0/K_0)$ -representation $H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}_0}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is completely determined by the filtered Frobenius module $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X/W) \otimes K_0$, whose filtration comes from the Hodge filtration coming from the lift X_W . The question is whether the W -integral structure of $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X/W) \otimes K_0$ recovers the \mathbb{Z}_p -structure of $H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}_0}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. When $p \geq 5$, this can be done by the main result of [Liu08]. In fact, an earlier result of Breuil in [Bre99] will suffice in our case as $e = 1$ (cf. the discussion below Conj. 1.0.1 in *loc. cit.*).

Lem. 5.18 renders Thm. 1.3 a special case of the following theorem, which is phrased in a way which resembles Thm. 5.13.

Theorem 5.21. *Let X and X' be two supersingular IS varieties of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k . Let $\psi : \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(X')_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be an isometry such that $\omega_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^p(\psi) : H_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^2(X, \mathbb{A}_f^p) \rightarrow H_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^2(X', \mathbb{A}_f^p)$ preserves the $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p$ -structures and $\omega_{\mathrm{cris}}(\psi) : H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X/W) \otimes K_0 \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X'/W) \otimes K_0$ preserves the W -structures.*

If $n - 1 = 0, 1$ or a prime power and ψ sends an ample class to another ample class, or $\omega_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^p(\psi)$ is a prime-to- p polarized parallel transport operator, then ψ is induced by an isomorphism $f : X' \xrightarrow{\sim} X$.

By an isometry, we mean of course an isomorphism which preserves the Beauville-Bogomolov forms, or equivalently, the top self-intersection numbers.

Proof. Choose any isomorphism $\bar{K}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$. First we remark that by Lem. 5.5, ψ induces an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} -lattices $\mathrm{NS}(X') \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(X)$.

If $n - 1 = 0, 1$, or a prime power, then we are essentially done by Lem. 5.19. Indeed, suppose ψ sends an ample class ξ to another ample class. Replacing ξ by the class $a\xi + \zeta$ for some $a \gg 0$ and $p \nmid q_X(c_1(\zeta))$ if necessary, we assume that $p \nmid q_{X'}(c_1(\xi))$. Set $\xi' := \psi(\xi)$. Then we apply Lem. 5.19 to find deformations (X_W, ξ_W) and (X'_W, ξ'_W) of (X, ξ) and (X', ξ') over W , such that the generic fiber is equipped with an absolute Hodge cycle ψ_{AH} such that its Betti realization on $(X_W \times X'_W) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\psi_{\mathrm{AH}}(\mathbb{C}) : H^2(X_W(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X'_W(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$$

of Hodge structures. Moreover, the above isomorphism sends the ample class $c_1(\xi'_W(\mathbb{C}))$ to $c_1(\xi_W(\mathbb{C}))$. The theorem then follows from [Ver13, Thm. 1.23] and [MM64, Thm. 2].

Now suppose $\psi_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$ is a prime-to- p étale polarized parallel transport operator. The above proof works verbatim except that we need additional argument to check that $\psi_{\mathrm{AH}}(\mathbb{C})$ is a polarized parallel transport operator in the sense of Def. 2.17. Let $(\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{S}, \xi_{\tilde{S}}, s, s')$ be the tuple obtained by applying Lem. 5.16 to $\psi_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$ with $X = X_1$, $X' = X_2$, $s = s_1$ and $s' = s_2$. By Lem. 5.16(ii), (X_W, ξ_W) and (X'_W, ξ'_W) give rise to W -valued points s_W and s'_W on \tilde{S} . Set $s_{\mathbb{C}} := s_W \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $s'_{\mathbb{C}} := s'_W \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

First we claim that $\omega_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^p(\psi_{\mathrm{AH}}(\mathbb{C}))$ is an étale polarized parallel transport operator. Indeed, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^2(X_W \otimes \mathbb{C}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p) & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^2(X'_W \otimes \mathbb{C}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^2(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p) & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^2(X', \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p) \end{array}$$

The bottom horizontal arrow is a polarized parallel transport operator by assumption. The two vertical isomorphisms are given by specialization of points $s'_{\mathbb{C}} \rightsquigarrow s'$ and $s_{\mathbb{C}} \rightsquigarrow s$ respectively. Therefore, $\omega_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^p(\psi_{\mathrm{AH}}(\mathbb{C}))$ is induced by an étale path by composition. By an easy adaption of the proof of Thm. 5.13, $\psi_{\mathrm{AH}}(\mathbb{C})$ is a polarized parallel transport operator. We now conclude by Thm. 1.4 and [MM64, Thm. 2]. \square

Now we turn to Thm. 1.5. It is an easy consequence of the theorem below.

Theorem 5.22. *Let n, r be fixed positive numbers. There exists a scheme of finite type S over k and a polarized smooth projective family $(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S, \xi_S)$, such that if (X, ξ) is a polarized IS variety of $\text{K3}^{[n]}$ -type over k and $q_X(\xi) = r$, there exists a point $s : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow S$ such that $(\mathcal{X}_s, s^*\xi_S) \cong (X, \xi)$.*

Proof. Clearly it suffices to prove the theorem when ξ is primitive. Choose an isomorphism $\tau : \bar{K}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$. By Kóllar-Matsusaka's theorem [KM83], over \mathbb{C} there are finitely polarized irreducible symplectic varieties $(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \zeta_{\mathbb{C}})$ up to (algebraic) deformation equivalence, when $\dim Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the top intersection number of $\zeta_{\mathbb{C}}$ is fixed (see also [Cha16, Lem. 3.5]). Therefore, it suffices to prove the above theorem under the stronger assumption that (X, ξ) lifts to (X_V, ξ_V) over some finite extension $V \subset \bar{K}_0$ of W such that $(X_V \otimes \mathbb{C}, \xi_V \otimes \mathbb{C})$ deforms to a fixed $(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \zeta_{\mathbb{C}})$ in an algebraic family. Denote set of such pairs (X, ξ) by $\mathcal{S}(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \zeta_{\mathbb{C}})$. Let $(\Lambda, \lambda) := (H^2(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \zeta_{\mathbb{C}}), c_1(\zeta_{\mathbb{C}}))$ and $L := \lambda^{\perp}$. For each $(X, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \zeta_{\mathbb{C}})$, we construct $(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T, b, \eta, \rho : T \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_l(L)_W)$ as in section 5.1 except that we additionally require that η is given by a polarized parallel transport operator.

Now suppose $(\mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow T_i, b_i, \eta_i, \rho_i : T_i \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_l(L)_W)$ for $i = 1, 2$ are two tuples as above and t_i is a k -point on T_i such that $\rho_1(t_1) = \rho_2(t_2) = s$ for some $s \in \mathcal{S}_l(L)_W(k)$. Let (X_i, ξ_i) for $(\mathcal{X}_{t_i}, t_i^*\xi_{T_i})$. Since $\mathcal{S}_l(L)_W$ is flat, for some finite extension $W' \subset \bar{K}_0$ of W , we can choose a W' -valued point $s_{W'}$ which lifts s . The étaleness of ρ_i allows us to lift $s_{W'}$ to points W' -valued points $t_{i,W'}$ of T_i which extends t_i . The point $t_{i,W'}$ induce a lift $(X_{i,W'}, \xi_{i,W'})$ of (X_i, ξ_i) . Now by construction there is an isomorphism

$$(H^2(X_{1,W'}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}), c_1(\xi_{1,W'}(\mathbb{C}))) \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^2(X_{2,W'}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}), c_1(\xi_{2,W'}(\mathbb{C})))$$

which preserves Hodge structures. Since η_i 's are chosen to be parallel transport operators, the reader easily checks that the above isomorphism is also a parallel transport operator. We conclude by Thm. 1.4 and [MM64, Thm. 2] again that $(X_1, \xi_1) \cong (X_2, \xi_2)$.

Since each $\text{im } \rho$ is open, we may consider the open subscheme

$$U := \bigcup_{(X, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \zeta_{\mathbb{C}})} \text{Im } \rho$$

Since U is quasi-compact, we may replace the above cover by a finite subcover. Take the disjoint union of families in this finite subcover. By the previous paragraph, we get the desired family. \square

A Crystalline Discriminants and Extension of K3 Crystals

A.1 Crystalline discriminants

We define the notion of “the sign of a σ -linear isometry” by the following lemma:

Lemma A.1. *Assume k is perfect field containing \mathbb{F}_{p^2} and $p > 2$. Let M be a self-dual quadratic space over W of dimension $n < \infty$ equipped with an injection $\varphi : \sigma^*M \otimes K_0 \rightarrow M \otimes K_0$ such that $\langle \varphi(x), \varphi(y) \rangle = \sigma(\langle x, y \rangle)$.*

(a) *For any self-dual quadratic form V_p over \mathbb{Z}_p which admits an isomorphism $V_p \otimes W \cong M$,*

so that $\varphi = b\sigma$ for some $b \in \mathrm{O}(L \otimes K_0)$, $\mathrm{sgn}_M(\varphi, V_p) := \det(b)$ depends only on M, φ and V_p .

- (b) Set $\mathrm{sgn}_M(\varphi) := \mathrm{sgn}_M(\varphi, I_n)$ where I_n denotes the quadratic form whose intersection matrix is the identity under some basis. If V_p is a self-dual quadratic form over \mathbb{Z}_p with a generator v such that $v^2 \notin (\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^2$, then $\mathrm{sgn}_M(\varphi) = -\mathrm{sgn}_M(\varphi, V_p)$ whenever the latter is defined.

Proof. (a) The first statement is well known. For the second, let i, i' be two different isomorphisms $V_p \otimes K_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ and let b, b' be the corresponding elements in $\mathrm{O}(V_p \otimes K)$ given by φ . Then for some element $g \in \mathrm{O}(V_p \otimes K_0)$, $b' = g^{-1}b\sigma(g)$. Since $\det(g) = \pm 1$, $\det(b) = \det(b')$.

(b) It suffices to check the 1-dimensional case, as $\mathrm{sgn}_M(\varphi, V_p) = \mathrm{sgn}_M(\det(\varphi), \det(M))$. Choose an isomorphism $V_p \otimes K \cong M$ and a generator m of M such that $\langle m, m \rangle = 1$. If $\mathrm{sgn}(V_p) \neq 1$, then V_p contains a generator of the form $v = \beta m$ for some $\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}_p$ but $\beta^2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Now

$$\varphi(v) = \frac{\sigma(\beta)}{\beta} \mathrm{sgn}(\varphi)v,$$

but $\sigma(\beta) = -\beta$ by Galois theory. □

If k is not algebraically closed, then $\mathrm{sgn}_M(\varphi, V_p)$ may not be defined for every V_p . Nonetheless, $\mathrm{sgn}_M(\varphi)$ still makes sense.

Proposition A.2. *Let X be an IS variety of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type for some $n \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 5$. Assume $p \nmid 2(n-1)$. Set $(M, \varphi) := (H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X/W), p^{-1}F)$. Then $\mathrm{sgn}_M(\varphi) = 1$ if and only if $2(n-1)$ is a quadratic residue in \mathbb{F}_p .*

Proof. Suppose for X Def. 1.1 is satisfied by a tuple (V, X_V, ι) . Let K be the fraction field of V . Recall that the Beauville-Bogomolov form on $H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$ is $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -invariant.

Up to replacing K by a quadratic extension, we may assume that $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ factors through $\mathrm{SO}(H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)))$. By [Kis10, Cor. 1.3.6(2)], $\mathrm{sgn}_M(\varphi, H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)) = 1$. Now we conclude by Lemma A.1 and the explicit description (2.15) of the Beauville-Bogomolov form of an IS manifold of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type. □

The proof method of the above proposition readily gives the crystalline discriminant for K3 surfaces, which was first computed by Ogus and Bloch (cf. [Ogu83, (1.4)]).

Proposition A.3. (Ogus-Bloch) *If X is a K3 surface over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 2$, then for any $m \in \wedge^{22} H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X/W)$ such that $F(m) = p^{22}m$, $\langle m, m \rangle = -1$ under the Poincaré pairing.*

Remark A.4. The point of Lemma A.2 is that by p -adic Hodge theory, even after we replace K by a finite extension, we can still recover the Frobenius action on $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(X/W)$. It should really be seen as an analogue of the following simple observation in the classical Hodge theory: If $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complex IS manifold, the Deligne torus representation $h : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(H^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{R}(1)))$ giving the Hodge structure on $H^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ always factors through $\mathrm{SO}(H^2(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{R}(1)))$, because \mathbb{S} is connected. However, one should beware of the following example:

Corollary A.5. *There exists a K3 surface $X_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ over \mathbb{Z}_p , such that*

- (i) *the associated Galois representation $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \text{O}(H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)))$ does not factor through $\text{SO}(H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)))$;*
- (ii) *$H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $H_{\text{dR}}^2(X_{\mathbb{Z}_p}/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are not isomorphic as quadratic lattices over \mathbb{Z}_p .*

Proof. By [Sch12], there exists a superspecial K3 surface X over \mathbb{F}_p , such that $\text{rank NS}(X) = 21$. Since $\text{disc}(\text{NS}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$, so the sublattice $\text{NS}(X) \subset \text{NS}(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p})$ of corank 1 necessarily contains a polarization of degree prime-to- p . By [Ogu79, 2.2], X lifts to \mathbb{Z}_p . We claim that any lift $X_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ of X to \mathbb{Z}_p has the desired properties.

We first argue that the Frobenius action F on $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/\mathbb{Z}_p)$, which is \mathbb{Z}_p -linear, has determinant $-p$. Indeed, the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius action can be computed by any cohomology theory. Since the action of a topological generator of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p)$ on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$ for $\ell \neq p$ is an isometry which fixes a codimension 1 subspace, it has to be a reflection and hence has determinant -1 .

By way of contradiction, suppose that the $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -representation does factor through $\text{SO}(H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)))$. Then by [Kis10, Cor. 1.3.6(3)], there exists an isomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

of \mathbb{Z}_p lattices. The Frobenius action on $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}/W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p))$ is simply $F \otimes \sigma$ under the natural isomorphism

$$H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/\mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}/W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p))$$

Let $(M, \varphi) := (H_{\text{cris}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}/W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)), p^{-1}F \otimes \sigma)$. Since the determinant of the \mathbb{Z}_p -linear map F acting on $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is $-p$, $\text{sgn}_M(\varphi, H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/\mathbb{Z}_p)) = -1$. On the other hand, it follows either from Prop. A.3 or directly from the proof of Prop. A.2 that $\text{sgn}_M(\varphi, H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p)) = 1$. Therefore, we get the desired contradiction. \square

Note that [Kis10, Cor. 1.3.6(3)] cannot be applied to $\text{O}(H_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)))$ because this group scheme is not connected.

A.2 Extension of K3 crystals

We are going to perform a Kuga-Satake construction for the K3 crystals.

Set-up A.6. Let k be a perfect field over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} for some $p > 2$. Let (L_W, F, \bar{q}) be a K3 crystal of rank r over k . Choose a self-dual quadratic form L_p over \mathbb{Z}_p and an isomorphism $L_p \otimes W \cong L_W$ such that $F = pb\sigma$ for some $b \in \text{SO}(L_W[1/p])$. This is possible by Lem. A.1.

Again let H_p denote the $\text{Cl}(L_p)$ -module, $H_W = H_p \otimes W$, and let $\pi \in H_p^{\otimes(2,2)}$ be the projection operator defined in Section 4.1. The morphism $\text{CSpin}(L_W[1/p]) \rightarrow \text{SO}(L_W[1/p])$ is surjective, so we can find a lift \tilde{b} . We may assume that \tilde{b} preserves H_W but $p^{-1}\tilde{b}$ does not. By Cartan decomposition, there exists a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{CSpin}(L_W[1/p])$ such that $\tilde{b} \in g\mu(p)g'$ for $g, g' \in \text{CSpin}(L_W)$. We fix a choice of μ .

Lemma A.7. *In Set-up A.6, there exists a p -divisible group $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{G}}) \cong H_W$ through which the Frobenius endomorphism $F_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}}$ on $\mathbb{D}(\overline{\mathcal{G}})$ acts as $\tilde{b}\sigma$.*

Proof. Set $F = \tilde{b}\sigma$. By standard Dieudonné theory, we only need to check that there exists a σ^{-1} -linear map $V : H_W \rightarrow H_W$ such that $F \circ V = V \circ F = p$. We reduce to showing that the associated filtration Fil_μ^\bullet satisfies $\text{Fil}_\mu^i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. Note that if $\bar{\mu} := \text{ad} \circ \mu$, then $b \in \text{SO}(L_W)/\bar{\mu}(p)\text{SO}(L_W)$. That $(L_W, pb\sigma, q)$ is a K3 crystal implies that $\dim \text{Fil}_{\bar{\mu}}^1 = 1$. By [MP16, 1.9], $\text{Fil}_{\bar{\mu}}^i H_W = \text{im}(\wedge^i \text{Fil}_{\bar{\mu}}^1)$. We get the desired result from the property that $\dim \text{Fil}_{\bar{\mu}}^1 = 1$. \square

Remark A.8. In [Del72, 7.6], Deligne remarked that the existence of the Kuga-Satake construction relies crucially on the property that $h^{2,0} = 1$: It is impossible to embed a polarized Hodge structure of weight two into $W \otimes W$ for some weight one Hodge structure W if $h^{2,0} > 1$ (see also [vG00, Proposition 4.2]). The proof of the above lemma easily yield the F -crystal version of Deligne’s remark: If $\dim \text{Fil}_{\bar{\mu}}^1 > 1$, then $\text{Fil}_{\bar{\mu}}^i \neq 0$ for some $i > 1$.

The identification of $(\mathbb{D}(\bar{\mathcal{G}}), F_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}}) \cong (H_W, \tilde{b}\sigma)$ endows \mathcal{G} with a CSpin -structure—a $\text{Cl}(L_p)$ -action, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading and an orthogonal projection operator $\pi \in \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{G}_0)(W)^{\otimes(2,2)}$.

We now describe the local deformation space of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$, together with the CSpin -structure, by specializing the general theory in [Kis10, (1.5)]. Let $\widehat{U} \subset \text{CSpin}(L_W)$ be the opposite unipotent defined by the cocharacter μ and let $\widehat{U}_0 = \widehat{U} \otimes_W k$. Let R be the complete local ring at the identity section of U . Concretely, by considering Lie algebras, we see that choosing a coordinates for R amounts to choosing a basis for $\text{Hom}(\text{Fil}_\mu^1, \text{Fil}_\mu^0/\text{Fil}_\mu^1)$. Therefore, $R \cong W[[x_1, \dots, x_{r-2}]]$. We fix such an isomorphism and endow R with a Frobenius action $F_{R/W}$ as in Def. 3.8.

Lemma A.9. *For every $R' \in \text{Art}_W$, there is a natural bijection between $\widehat{U}(R')$ and the set of isotropic direct summand $\tilde{\text{Fil}} \subset L_W \otimes_W R'$ which lifts $\text{Fil}_\mu^1 L_W \otimes k$.*

Proof. Let P_μ be the parabolic subgroup defined by μ . Then U can be identified with the complete local ring of the moduli point of Fil_μ^\bullet on the flag variety $\text{CSpin}(L_W)/P_\mu$. Let $P_{\bar{\mu}}$ be the parabolic subgroup defined by $\bar{\mu} := \text{ad} \circ \mu$. It follows from [MP16, Prop. 1.10] that $\text{CSpin}(L_W)/P_\mu \rightarrow \text{SO}(L_W)/P_{\bar{\mu}}$ is an isomorphism. We conclude by the observation that for any R' -point s of $\text{SO}(L_W)/P_{\bar{\mu}}$, the associated filtration $\text{Fil}^\bullet(s)$ on $L_W \otimes_W R'$ is completely determined by the conditions $\text{Fil}^i(s) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$, $\text{Fil}^i(s) = L_W \otimes_W R'$ for $i \leq -1$, $\text{Fil}^1(s) \subset \text{Fil}^0(s)$ and $\text{Fil}^0(s) = (\text{Fil}^1(s))^\perp$. \square

Set $H_R := H_W \otimes_W R$. Then H_R is equipped with an induced filtration $\text{Fil}^\bullet H_R$, a connection $\nabla_R : H_R \rightarrow H_R \otimes \Omega_{R/W}^1$ and a Frobenius endomorphism F_{H_R} defined by the composite

$$H_R = H_W \otimes_W R \xrightarrow{F_{R/W}} H_R \xrightarrow{u} H_R$$

where $u \in U(R)$ is the tautological point. The tensors cutting out $\text{CSpin}(L_W)$ in $\text{GL}(H_W)$, i.e., the $\text{Cl}(L_p)$ -action, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading and tensor π , extend to global sections of H_R^\otimes which are horizontal with respect to ∇_R . The tuple $(H_R, F, \nabla_R, \text{Fil}^\bullet)$ defines a p -divisible group \mathcal{G}_R over R together with a CSpin -structure such that $\mathcal{G}_R \otimes_R k$ can be identified with $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$. All that we are doing is describing the local structure of the aforementioned Rapoport-Zink space at the moduli point of $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$. \widehat{U} can be identified with the deformation space of this moduli point.

By taking the image of $H_R^{\otimes(1,1)}$ under π we obtain a tuple $(L_R, \nabla, \text{Fil}^\bullet)$ over R . We denote the Dieudonné crystal $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{G}_{R_0} \otimes k)$ by \mathbf{H}_{R_0} and the image of π of \mathbf{H}_{R_0} by \mathbf{L}_{R_0} . The pair $(\mathbf{H}, \nabla_{H_R})$ (resp. (L_R, ∇_{L_R})) determines, and is determined by, the crystal \mathbf{H}_{R_0} (resp. \mathbf{L}_{R_0}) on $\text{Cris}(R_0/W)$. The action F_{H_R} induces a $F_{R/W}$ -linear morphism $L_R[1/p] \rightarrow L_R[1/p]$, which we denote by $p^{-1}F_{L_R}$. Set $F_{L_R} := p(p^{-1}F_{L_R})$. Then F_{L_R} restricts to a $F_{R/W}$ -linear morphism $L_R \rightarrow L_R$ and defines a morphism $F_{R_0/W}^* \mathbf{L}_{R_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{R_0}$, which denote by the same letter. $L_R = L_W \otimes R$ carries a pairing given by $\bar{q} \otimes R$. This equips \mathbf{L}_{R_0} with a symmetric bilinear form $q : S^2 \mathbf{L}_{R_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{R_0/W}$. Now the tuple $\mathcal{L}_{R_0} := (\mathbf{L}_{R_0}, F_{L_R}, q, \text{Fil}_\mu^1 \otimes R_0)$ defines a K3 crystal on $\text{Cris}(R_0/W)$.

Given the above preparations, we can prove Proposition 3.13 now.

Proof of Proposition 3.13. We need to show that for each $(\mathcal{L}', \tilde{\text{Fil}}) \in \mathbf{P}(Z'_0, Z_0)$, there exists $\mathcal{L} \in \text{K3Crys}(Z_0)$ such that $\mu_\delta(\mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathcal{L}', \tilde{\text{Fil}})$. Suppose $\mathcal{L}' = (\mathbf{L}', F', q', \text{Fil}^2)$ and the K3 crystal (L_W, F, q) in Set-up A.6 is obtained by $i^* \mathcal{L}'$. Let U be defined as above.

We first assume that there exists a morphism $s' : Z'_0 \rightarrow U_0$ such that $\mathcal{L}' \cong s'^* \mathcal{L}_{R_0}$. Then by Lemma A.9 $\tilde{\text{Fil}}$ induces a morphism $s : Z_0 \rightarrow U_0$ which lifts s' . We can take \mathcal{L} to be $s^* \mathcal{L}_{R_0}$. Note that since μ_δ is known to be fully faithful, \mathcal{L} is uniquely determined.

Now we take a sequence of closed embeddings $T_0 \hookrightarrow T_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow T_r = Z'_0$ such that each T_i is the spectrum of a truncated polynomial ring, $T_i \hookrightarrow T_{i+1}$ is defined by a square zero ideal, $T_0 = \text{Spec } k$ and $T_r = Z'_0$. By running the argument in the previous paragraph with T_0, T_1 playing the role of Z'_0, Z_0 , there exists a morphism $s_1 : T_1 \rightarrow U_0$ such that $s_1^* \mathcal{L}_{R_0} \cong \mathcal{L}'|_{T_1}$. It is clear how to proceed by induction. \square

Remark A.10. The point of the above proof is that \hat{U} can be viewed either as the “universal deformation space” of \mathcal{G} with CSpin -structures or as that of the K3 crystal (L_W, F, \bar{q}) .

Proof of Proposition 3.26(b). Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}}$ denote the K3 crystal over \mathbb{D}_0 . By combining the proof of the previous proposition and Lemma 3.16, we can construct an isomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{U}$ together with an isomorphism $\alpha : H_{\text{dR}}^2(\underline{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_R = L_W \otimes R$ which is compatible with filtrations and connections.

As Madapusi-Pera explained in the proof of [MP15, Thm. 3.8(4)], that $\text{val}_p(\zeta) = 1$ follows from a slight adaptation of the argument in [MP16, Prop. 5.21].

Similarly, we claim that given the first paragraph the second statement essentially follows from [MP16, Cor. 6.23], which can be understood purely in terms of p -divisible groups as opposed to abelian varieties. The main point is to use the crystalline criterion on deformations of line bundles [Ogu79, Prop. 1.12] to replace Grothendieck-Messing theory on deformations of morphisms between p -divisible groups in the proof [MP16, Prop. 5.16], which is the main geometric input to [MP16, Cor. 6.23]. By tracing through the arguments in *loc. cit.*, one sees that the deformation ring $\text{Def}(X; \zeta)$ is isomorphic to

$$W[[x_1, \dots, x_{r-2}]] / \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-2} x_i^2 - p \right).$$

This equation comes from the local description of M_G^{loc} when $t = 1$ in (2.10) of *loc. cit.*, which made use of the assumption that k contains \mathbb{F}_{p^2} as a subfield. Note that the above

equation is equivalent to the equation displayed in [MP15, Thm. 3.8(4)] up to a change of coordinates. We change coordinates to allow r to be odd.

To see that $\text{Def}(X; \zeta)$ is quasi-healthy regular, apply Vasiu-Zink's criterion (cf. [MP15, Thm. 3.7]). \square

B Supersingular Cubic Fourfolds

Notation: Let $\text{Ch}^2(X)$ denote the codimension 2 Chow group of X and let $\text{Ch}^2(X)_{\text{num}}$ be $\text{Ch}^2(X)$ modulo numerical equivalence.

B.1 Crystalline Torelli for supersingular cubic fourfolds

We will prove the crystalline Torelli theorem for supersingular cubic fourfolds:

Theorem B.1. *Assume $p \geq 7$. Let Y and Y' be two supersingular cubic fourfolds over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p . Let h, h' be their hyperplane sections.*

An isomorphism $\psi : \text{Ch}^2(Y')_{\text{num}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ch}^2(Y)_{\text{num}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ which preserves the intersection pairing and sends h'^2 to h^2 is induced by an isomorphism $f : Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y'$ if and only if $\omega_{\text{ét}}(\psi) : H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y', \mathbb{A}_f^p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \mathbb{A}_f^p)$ preserves the $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^p$ -structures and $\omega_{\text{cris}}(\psi) : H_{\text{cris}}^4(Y'/W) \otimes K_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{cris}}^4(Y/W) \otimes K_0$ preserves the W -structures.

We first review some basic theory about complex cubic fourfolds. Let $Y_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^5$ be a smooth cubic hypersurface. Let h be its hyperplane section. $F(Y_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a hyperkähler variety which is deformation equivalent to $S_{\mathbb{C}}^{[2]}$ for some complex K3 surface $S_{\mathbb{C}}$. Recall that there is also a natural pairing on $H^4(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$, namely the Poincaré pairing. The natural incidence on $Y_{\mathbb{C}} \times F(Y_{\mathbb{C}})$ induces an Abel-Jacobi map

$$\text{AJ} : H^4(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow H^2(F(Y_{\mathbb{C}}), \mathbb{Z})$$

which restricts to a Hodge isometry $P^4(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} P^2(F(Y_{\mathbb{C}}), \mathbb{Z})$. Here the pairing on the LHS is the usual Poincaré pairing and the pairing on the RHS is the Beauville-Bogomolov form.

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 7$. Let Y be a cubic fourfold over k with hyperplane section h . Clearly, we can lift Y to a cubic fourfold Y_W over W . The assumption $p \geq 7$ ensures that $H_{\text{cris}}^4(Y/W)$ and $H_{\text{cris}}^5(Y/W)$ are torsion free by Caruso's main result in [Car08] (see also [BMS18, Rmk. 1.3]). We say that Y is supersingular if $H_{\text{cris}}^4(Y/W)$ is a straight line.

A lift Y_W of Y over W induces a lift $F(Y_W)$ of $F(Y)$. In particular, the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 page. We denote the orthogonal complement of $h^2 \in H_*^4(Y)$ ($*$ = dR, ℓ , cris, etc.) by P_*^4 . The Abel-Jacobi map gives us integral isometries:

$$\text{AJ} : P_{\text{ét}}^4(Y, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} P_{\text{ét}}^2(F(Y), \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \tag{B.2}$$

$$\text{AJ} : P_{\text{cris}}^4(Y/W(1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} P_{\text{cris}}^2(F(Y)/W) \tag{B.3}$$

Clearly, the Newton polygon of $P_{\text{cris}}^2(Y/W)$ is a straight line if and only if $P_{\text{cris}}^2(F(Y)/W)$ has this property. Therefore, Y is supersingular if and only if $F(Y)$ is as an IS variety of

K3^[2]-type.

There is a Kuga-Satake period map for cubic fourfolds ([MP15, 6.13]). Let M be the primitive lattice of a cubic fourfold, which is isomorphic to

$$U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8^{\oplus 2} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where U and E_8 are lattices introduced in (2.15).

Let $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathrm{SO}(M \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ be the discriminant kernel. Let $\mathrm{CF}_{\mathbb{Z}(p)}$ be the moduli stack of cubic fourfolds over $\mathbb{Z}[1/6]$. There is a period morphism $\rho : \widetilde{\mathrm{CF}}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/6]} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{K}}(M)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/6]$, where $\widetilde{\mathrm{CF}}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/6]}$ is an orientation double cover of $\mathrm{CF}_{\mathbb{Z}[1/6]}$. In fact we only need the map over $\mathbb{Z}(p)$. Prop. 5.3 holds verbatim when P_*^2 is replaced by P_*^4 ($*$ = dR, ℓ , cris, etc.)

Proof of Theorem B.1. Choose an isomorphism $\bar{K}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$. Choose liftings Y_W, Y'_W of Y, Y' such that ψ preserves the induced Hodge filtrations. By the same proof of Thm. 5.19, there exists a Hodge isomorphism $\psi(\mathbb{C}) : H^2(Y'_W(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(Y_W(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ which is compatible with ψ in the obvious sense. By the Torelli theorem for cubic fourfolds (cf. [Voi86, 8], see also [Cha12]), $\psi(\mathbb{C})$ is induced by an isomorphism $f_{\mathbb{C}} : Y_W(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Y'_W(\mathbb{C})$ which preserves the hyperplane sections. Clearly $f_{\mathbb{C}}$ induces an isomorphism $F(f_{\mathbb{C}}) : F(Y_W(\mathbb{C})) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(Y'_W(\mathbb{C}))$. By [MM64, Thm. 2] again, $F(f_{\mathbb{C}})$ specializes to an isomorphism $F(f) : F(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(Y')$. Note that $F(f_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $F(f)$ preserve hyperplane sections induced by Plücker embeddings. By [Cha12, Prop. 4], $F(f)$ is induced by an isomorphism $f : Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y'$. \square

Remark B.4. Our arguments will have to go through Fano varieties of lines because Mumford-Matsusaka's theorem cannot be applied directly to cubic fourfolds—they may be rational, and hence in particular uniruled.

B.2 CM theory and existence of superspecial cubic fourfolds

Let k be an algebraically closed field in characteristic $p \geq 7$. Let Y be a supersingular cubic fourfold over k . By an easy adaptation of Prop. 5.3(f), $\mathrm{Ch}^2(Y)_{\mathrm{num}} \otimes W \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{cris}}^4(Y/W)$ has finite cokernel. More precisely, $\mathrm{Ch}^2(Y)_{\mathrm{num}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ maps isomorphically to $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(Y/W)^{F=p^2}$. Note that $H_{\mathrm{cris}}^2(Y/W(1))$ has the structure of a supersingular K3 crystal, so we can freely make use of results in [Ogu79] and define Artin invariants in the same way. We say that Y is superspecial if it has Artin invariant 1.

For a quick example of a supersingular cubic fourfold, the Fermat cubic

$$X_0^3 + X_1^3 + \cdots + X_5^3 = 0$$

is supersingular in every characteristic $p \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, by a general result of Shioda and Katsura (cf. [SK79]). In fact, the Fermat cubic is *superspecial* whenever they are supersingular. We will show that superspecial cubic fourfolds exist for almost every prime (cf. Theorem B.10).

We will use the theory of complex multiplication to produce supersingular cubic fourfolds, in the spirit of Honda-Tate theory. First, we make some preliminary definitions.

Definition B.5. Let $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complex cubic fourfold. The transcendental lattice of $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$, which we denoted by $T(Y_{\mathbb{C}})$, is the orthogonal complement of $H^4(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{2,2}(Y_{\mathbb{C}})$ in $H^4(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z})$. $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is said to have complex multiplication (CM) if the Mumford-Tate group $\text{MT}(T(Y_{\mathbb{C}}))$ is commutative.

There is a fundamental theorem of CM for K3 surfaces, which was first stated and proved by Rizov [Riz10, Cor. 3.9.2]. It is a consequence of the rationality of the period morphism from the moduli stack of K3 surfaces to the orthogonal Shimura variety.

In particular, if $s \in \widetilde{\text{CF}}(\mathbb{C})$ is any point which corresponds to a cubic fourfold $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that $\rho(s)$ is a special point with reflex field E , then $\rho(s)$ and s descends an extension F of E . Choose such a descent and let Y be the F -model of $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by s . There is a $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$P_{\text{ét}}^4(Y_{\bar{F}}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong \mathbf{L}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \rho(s) \otimes \bar{F}}(-2)$$

The reciprocity law for special points describes how $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ acts on $P_{\text{ét}}^4(Y_{\bar{K}}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))$. We will obtain a commutative diagram as in [Ito, Thm. 2.1], which $T(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ for a complex K3 surface $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ replaced by $T(Y_{\mathbb{C}})$ of a complex cubic fourfold $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$. Ito's main theorems [Ito, Thm. 1.1, 1.2] are accordingly adapted to the cubic fourfold case for without any change for $p \geq 7$.

We give a direct self-contained proof of the following lemma, which is enough for our applications. This can be deduced from [Ito, Thm. 1.2].

Lemma B.6. *Suppose $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is complex cubic fourfold such that $\text{rank } T(Y_{\mathbb{C}}) = 2$ and $p \geq 7$ is prime to $\text{disc}(T(Y_{\mathbb{C}}))$. Let k be an algebraically closed field over \mathbb{F}_p . Choose an isomorphism $\bar{K}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}$. If Y is a supersingular cubic fourfold over k such that for some finite flat extension $V \subset \bar{K}_0$ of W such that there exists a lift Y_V of Y over V and $Y_V \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong Y_{\mathbb{C}}$, then Y has Artin invariant 1.*

Proof. There is an injection $\text{Ch}_{\text{num}}^2(Y_{\mathbb{C}}) \hookrightarrow \text{Ch}_{\text{num}}^2(Y)$. Since p is prime to $|\text{disc}(T(Y_{\mathbb{C}}))|$ and $|\text{disc}(H^2(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Z}))| = 6$, $\text{Ch}_{\text{num}}^2(Y_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is self dual. Therefore, the Tate module $H_{\text{cris}}^4(Y/W)^{F=p^2}$ admits a self-dual sublattice of corank 2. Since $H_{\text{cris}}^4(Y/W(1))$ is a supersingular K3 crystal, it admits a decomposition as in [Ogu79, Prop. 3.13.3]. In the notation of *loc. cit.*, the Artin invariant σ of $H_{\text{cris}}^4(Y/W(1))$ is equal to $\text{rank } T_0/2$ and hence is bounded above by 1. Hence $\sigma = 1$. \square

Lemma B.7. *For every quadratic imaginary field E , there exists a complex cubic fourfold $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\text{End}_{\text{Hdg}} T(Y_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}} = E$ and $F(Y_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong X_{\mathbb{C}}^{[2]}$ for some complex K3 surface $X_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. Set $d = 14$. Let $\mathcal{C}_d^{\text{mar}}$ be the moduli space of marked special cubic fourfolds of discriminant d (cf. [Has00, Sect. 5.2]). By [Has00, Thm. 1.0.2], there is an open immersion ι from $\mathcal{C}_d^{\text{mar}}$ to the moduli space of polarized K3 surfaces of degree d . If the moduli point of a cubic fourfold $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is sent to that of a polarized K3 surface $(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \xi_{\mathbb{C}})$, then there is an isomorphism of Hodge structures

$$T(Y_{\mathbb{C}}(1)) \cong T(X_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

In particular, $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ has CM by E if and only if $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ does.

By [Riz10, Cor. 3.8.3], the set of polarized K3 surfaces $(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \xi_{\mathbb{C}})$ of degree d with CM by E is dense in its moduli. Therefore, the set of cubic fourfolds with CM is also dense in $\mathcal{C}_d^{\text{mar}}$. Now we conclude by [Has00, Thm. 1.0.3]. \square

Lemma B.8. *Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be any positive integer. For all but finitely many p which is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, there exists a supersingular cubic fourfold Y over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with Artin invariant 1 such that $\Phi : X^{[2]} \xrightarrow{\sim} F(Y)$ for some supersingular K3 surface X .*

Proof. Let $E := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ and let $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a cubic fourfold such that $\text{End}_{\text{Hdg}} T(Y_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}} = E$ and $F(Y_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong X_{\mathbb{C}}^{[2]}$ for some K3 surface $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $s \in \tilde{\text{CF}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C})$ be a point which corresponds to $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\rho(s) \in \text{Sh}(M)(\mathbb{C})$ is a special point with reflex field E . Let $t \in \tilde{\text{Sh}}(M)(\mathbb{C})$ be a point which maps to $\rho(s)$. Let $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the fiber of the universal abelian scheme over $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}(M)$, i.e. the Kuga-Satake abelian variety for $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$. Choose a polarization of $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ and apply the similar construction to $X_{\mathbb{C}}$, we obtain a Kuga-Satake abelian variety $B_{\mathbb{C}}$ for $X_{\mathbb{C}}$.

By Morrison's computation (cf. [Huy, Prop. 4.3.3]) and the theory of Kummer lattices (cf. [Huy, Rmk. 14.3.22]), $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $B_{\mathbb{C}}$ are both isogenous to a power of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}$ for an elliptic curve \mathcal{E} with CM by E .

Since $A_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $B_{\mathbb{C}}$ correspond to special points on spin Shimura varieties with reflex field E , $A_{\mathbb{C}}, B_{\mathbb{C}}$, and hence $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ all descend to some finite extension F of E . Denote their F -models by A, B, Y, X . The main point of the Kuga-Satake construction is that there are natural $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ -equivariant inclusions

$$P_{\text{ét}}^4(Y_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(2)) \subset \text{End} H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \text{ and } P_{\text{ét}}^2(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1)) \subset \text{End} H_{\text{ét}}^1(B_{\overline{F}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$$

We may assume that A is isogenous to a power of an F -model \mathcal{E} of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let O_F be the ring of integers of F . Y spread to a cubic fourfold \mathcal{Y} over an open subscheme $U \subset \text{Spec } O_F$. By shrinking U we may assume that A, B, X, \mathcal{E} spread to $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}$ over U as well.

By the reciprocity law, we may check that for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } U$ above p with residue field $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$, $\mathcal{A} \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ and $\mathcal{B} \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ are supersingular if and only if p is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. If $\mathcal{A} \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ and $\mathcal{B} \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ are supersingular, then so are $\mathcal{Y} \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ and $\mathcal{X} \otimes \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$. Let $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be any inclusion. By [MM64, Thm. 2] and the fact that ampleness is an open condition, we see that the isomorphism $F(Y_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong X_{\mathbb{C}}^{[2]}$ specializes to an isomorphism $F(Y) \cong X^{[2]}$ for all but finitely many \mathfrak{p} . By Lemma B.7, Y has Artin invariant 1. \square

Example By Shioda and Katsura's results, we know that the Fermat cubic fourfold in characteristic p is supersingular if and only if $p \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ (cf. [SK79, Thm. 2.10, Rmk. 3.8]). We explain how their results relate to our arguments: we view the Fermat equation as defining a cubic fourfold \mathcal{Y} over $\mathbb{Z}[1/3, e^{\pi i/3}]$. The quadratic form $T(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is given by (cf. [LZ19])

$$- \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 3 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is a Kummer lattice which is equipped with a *unique* Hodge structure of K3 type (cf. [Huy, Sect. 14.3.4]), so

$$\text{End}_{\text{Hdg}} T(\mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$$

By the proof of Lemma B.8, we see that the reduction $\mathcal{Y} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is supersingular if and only if p is inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ if and only if $p \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, which agrees with Shioda and Katsura’s result. Lemma B.7 also tells us that the Fermat cubic is *superspecial* whenever it is supersingular.

Remark B.9. Laza and Zheng studied a number of cubic fourfolds with big symmetric groups. In particular, they gave explicit formulas of these fourfolds and their transcendental lattices (cf. [LZ19, Thm. 1.8]). Using these explicit formulas, one can compute the range of primes for which those cubic fourfolds have supersingular reduction.

We use μ to denote Dirichlet density.

Theorem B.10. *If S is the set of primes p such that there exists a superspecial cubic fourfold over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, then $\mu(S) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $\Pi_r = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ be a set of r -primes. Consider the set of primes

$$S(\Pi_r) := \{p \text{ is inert in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p_i}) \text{ for some } p_i \in \Pi_r\}$$

Then $\mu(S(\Pi_r)) = 1 - 2^{-r}$ (cf. [Wri16, Lem. 4.10]). By Lemma B.8, $\mu(S) \geq \mu(S(\Pi_r))$. Since r can be arbitrarily large, $\mu(S) = 1$. \square

References

- [AM77] M. Artin and B. Mazur, *Formal groups arising from algebraic varieties*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977), no. 1, 87–131. MR 0457458
- [And96] Yves André, *On the Shafarevich and Tate conjectures for hyper-Kähler varieties*, Math. Ann. **305** (1996), no. 2, 205–248. MR 1391213
- [Art69] M. Artin, *Algebraization of formal moduli. I*, Global Analysis (Papers in Honor of K. Kodaira), Univ. Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1969, pp. 21–71. MR 0260746
- [Art74] ———, *Supersingular K3 surfaces*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **7** (1974), 543–567 (1975). MR 0371899
- [Bae78] Ricardo Baeza, *Quadratic forms over semilocal rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 655, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1978. MR 0491773
- [Bea83] Arnaud Beauville, *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 4, 755–782 (1984). MR 730926
- [BMS18] Bhargav Bhatt, Matthew Morrow, and Peter Scholze, *Integral p -adic Hodge theory*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **128** (2018), 219–397. MR 3905467
- [BO78] Pierre Berthelot and Arthur Ogus, *Notes on crystalline cohomology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1978. MR 0491705

- [BO83] P. Berthelot and A. Ogus, *F-isocrystals and de Rham cohomology. I*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 2, 159–199. MR 700767
- [Bog78] F. A. Bogomolov, *Hamiltonian Kählerian manifolds*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **243** (1978), no. 5, 1101–1104. MR 514769
- [Bre99] Christophe Breuil, *Une remarque sur les représentations locales p -adiques et les congruences entre formes modulaires de Hilbert*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **127** (1999), no. 3, 459–472. MR 1724405
- [Car08] Xavier Caruso, *Conjecture de l’inertie modérée de Serre*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), no. 3, 629–699. MR 2372809
- [Cha12] François Charles, *A remark on the Torelli theorem for cubic fourfolds*, arXiv e-prints (2012), arXiv:1209.4509.
- [Cha13] François Charles, *The Tate conjecture for K3 surfaces over finite fields*, Invent. Math. **194** (2013), no. 1, 119–145. MR 3103257
- [Cha16] ———, *Birational boundedness for holomorphic symplectic varieties, Zarhin’s trick for K3 surfaces, and the Tate conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **184** (2016), no. 2, 487–526. MR 3548531
- [Del72] Pierre Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil pour les surfaces K3*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), 206–226. MR 0296076
- [Del81] P. Deligne, *Relèvement des surfaces K3 en caractéristique nulle*, Algebraic surfaces (Orsay, 1976–78), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 868, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981, Prepared for publication by Luc Illusie, pp. 58–79. MR 638598
- [FL18] Lie Fu and Zhiyuan Li, *Supersingular irreducible symplectic varieties*, arXiv e-prints (2018), arXiv:1808.05851.
- [Gro61] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. I*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1961), no. 11, 167. MR 217085
- [Has00] Brendan Hassett, *Special cubic fourfolds*, Compositio Math. **120** (2000), no. 1, 1–23. MR 1738215
- [Huy] Daniel Huybrechts, *Motives of isogenous K3 surfaces*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, to appear.
- [Huy99] ———, *Compact hyper-Kähler manifolds: basic results*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), no. 1, 63–113. MR 1664696
- [Ito] Kazuhiro Ito, *On the supersingular reduction of K3 surfaces with complex multiplication*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, to appear.
- [Kis10] Mark Kisin, *Integral models for Shimura varieties of abelian type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 4, 967–1012. MR 2669706

- [Kis17] ———, *mod p points on Shimura varieties of abelian type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), no. 3, 819–914. MR 3630089
- [KM83] J. Kollár and T. Matsusaka, *Riemann-Roch type inequalities*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), no. 1, 229–252. MR 692112
- [Lan04] Adrian Langer, *Semistable sheaves in positive characteristic*, Ann. of Math. (2) **159** (2004), no. 1, 251–276. MR 2051393
- [Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Classical setting: line bundles and linear series. MR 2095471
- [Liu08] Tong Liu, *On lattices in semi-stable representations: a proof of a conjecture of Breuil*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), no. 1, 61–88. MR 2388556
- [LM18] Max Lieblich and Davesh Maulik, *A note on the cone conjecture for $K3$ surfaces in positive characteristic*, Math. Res. Lett. **25** (2018), no. 6, 1879–1891. MR 3934849
- [LMS14] Max Lieblich, Davesh Maulik, and Andrew Snowden, *Finiteness of $K3$ surfaces and the Tate conjecture*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **47** (2014), no. 2, 285–308. MR 3215924
- [LO15] Max Lieblich and Martin Olsson, *Fourier-Mukai partners of $K3$ surfaces in positive characteristic*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **48** (2015), no. 5, 1001–1033. MR 3429474
- [LZ19] Radu Laza and Zhiwei Zheng, *Automorphisms and Periods of Cubic Fourfolds*, arXiv e-prints (2019), arXiv:1905.11547.
- [Mar07] Eyal Markman, *Integral generators for the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves over Poisson surfaces*, Adv. Math. **208** (2007), no. 2, 622–646. MR 2304330
- [Mar11] ———, *A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for holomorphic-symplectic varieties*, Complex and differential geometry, Springer Proc. Math., vol. 8, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 257–322. MR 2964480
- [Mau14] Davesh Maulik, *Supersingular $K3$ surfaces for large primes*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), no. 13, 2357–2425, With an appendix by Andrew Snowden. MR 3265555
- [McM11] Curtis T. McMullen, *$K3$ surfaces, entropy and glue*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **658** (2011), 1–25. MR 2831510
- [MM64] T. Matsusaka and D. Mumford, *Two fundamental theorems on deformations of polarized varieties*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 668–684. MR 0171778
- [Mor] Matthew Morrow, *A variational Tate conjecture in crystalline cohomology*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), to appear.

- [MP15] Keerthi Madapusi Pera, *The Tate conjecture for K3 surfaces in odd characteristic*, Invent. Math. **201** (2015), no. 2, 625–668. MR 3370622
- [MP16] ———, *Integral canonical models for spin Shimura varieties*, Compos. Math. **152** (2016), no. 4, 769–824. MR 3484114
- [MP18] Giovanni Mongardi and Gianluca Pacienza, *Density of Noether-Lefschetz loci of polarized irreducible holomorphic symplectic varieties and applications*, arXiv e-prints (2018), arXiv:1804.09440.
- [Muk84] Shigeru Mukai, *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), no. 1, 101–116. MR 751133
- [NO85] Niels Nygaard and Arthur Ogus, *Tate’s conjecture for K3 surfaces of finite height*, Ann. of Math. (2) **122** (1985), no. 3, 461–507. MR 819555
- [O’G12] Kieran G. O’Grady, *Higher-dimensional analogues of K3 surfaces*, Current developments in algebraic geometry, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 59, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2012, pp. 257–293. MR 2931873
- [Ogu78] A. Ogus, *Griffiths transversality in crystalline cohomology*, Ann. of Math. (2) **108** (1978), no. 2, 395–419. MR 506993
- [Ogu79] Arthur Ogus, *Supersingular K3 crystals*, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes (Rennes, 1978), Vol. II, Astérisque, vol. 64, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1979, pp. 3–86. MR 563467
- [Ogu83] ———, *A crystalline Torelli theorem for supersingular K3 surfaces*, Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. II, Progr. Math., vol. 36, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983, pp. 361–394. MR 717616
- [Rap08] Antonio Rapagnetta, *On the Beauville form of the known irreducible symplectic varieties*, Math. Ann. **340** (2008), no. 1, 77–95. MR 2349768
- [Riz06] Jordan Rizov, *Moduli stacks of polarized K3 surfaces in mixed characteristic*, Serdica Math. J. **32** (2006), no. 2-3, 131–178. MR 2263236
- [Riz10] ———, *Kuga-Satake abelian varieties of K3 surfaces in mixed characteristic*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **648** (2010), 13–67. MR 2774304
- [Sch12] Matthias Schütt, *A note on the supersingular K3 surface of Artin invariant 1*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **216** (2012), no. 6, 1438–1441. MR 2890513
- [SD74] B. Saint-Donat, *Projective models of K3 surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **96** (1974), 602–639. MR 364263
- [SK79] Tetsuji Shioda and Toshiyuki Katsura, *On Fermat varieties*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **31** (1979), no. 1, 97–115. MR 526513
- [Sta19] The Stacks project authors, *The stacks project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2019.

- [vdGK00] G. van der Geer and T. Katsura, *On a stratification of the moduli of K3 surfaces*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **2** (2000), no. 3, 259–290. MR 1776939
- [Ver13] Misha Verbitsky, *Mapping class group and a global Torelli theorem for hyperkähler manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 15, 2929–2986, Appendix A by Eyal Markman. MR 3161308
- [vG00] Bert van Geemen, *Kuga-Satake varieties and the Hodge conjecture*, The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998), NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 548, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000, pp. 51–82. MR 1744943
- [Voi86] Claire Voisin, *Théorème de Torelli pour les cubiques de \mathbf{P}^5* , Invent. Math. **86** (1986), no. 3, 577–601. MR 860684
- [Wri16] Steve Wright, *Quadratic residues and non-residues*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2171, Springer, Cham, 2016, Selected topics. MR 3585908