

# Projective Analytic Vectors and Infinitesimal Generators

Rodrigo A. H. M. Cabral

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo (IME-USP), BR-05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. <sup>a</sup>

## Abstract

We establish the notion of a “projective analytic vector”, whose defining requirements are weaker than the usual ones of an analytic vector, and use it to prove generation theorems for one-parameter groups on locally convex spaces. More specifically, we give a characterization of the generators of strongly continuous one-parameter groups which arise as the result of a projective limit procedure, in which the existence of a dense set of projective analytic vectors plays a central role (Theorem 5). An application to strongly continuous Lie group representations on Banach spaces is given, with a focused analysis on concrete algebras of functions and of pseudodifferential operators.

## Introduction

In the Banach space context, there are very well-known generation theorems for one-parameter groups which rely on the hypothesis of existence of a dense set of analytic vectors. In Hilbert spaces, for example, E. Nelson proved in [21, Lemma 5.1] that a closed hermitian operator is self-adjoint if, and only if, it possesses a dense set of analytic vectors inside its domain - generators of strongly continuous unitary one-parameter groups are all of the form  $iT$ , with  $T$  a self-adjoint operator (see [24, Theorem VIII.7, Theorem VIII.8]). J. Rusinek then improved this result in [25] to the Banach space context for an arbitrary strongly continuous one-parameter group - see also [5, Theorem 3.1.22].

The main goal of this paper is to extend these results to the locally convex realm, by introducing “projective analytic vectors”, a concept which fits very naturally into this framework. Then, a characterization of the generators of strongly continuous one-parameter groups belonging to a class defined by V.A. Babalola in [3] will be given, with applications to algebras of functions and of pseudodifferential operators.

**Some Conventions:** The notations  $(\mathcal{X}, \Gamma)$  or  $(\mathcal{X}, \tau)$  will frequently be used to indicate, respectively, that  $\Gamma$  is a fundamental system of seminorms for the locally convex space  $\mathcal{X}$  (in other words, a family of seminorms on  $\mathcal{X}$  which generates its topology) or that  $\tau$  is the locally convex topology of  $\mathcal{X}$ . The symbol  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  will always denote the algebra of continuous linear operators defined on  $\mathcal{X}$ , and the term “ $\tau$ -continuous” will often be used to indicate that the continuity of an operator is related to the  $\tau$  topology. A family of seminorms  $\Gamma$  defined on a locally convex space  $\mathcal{X}$  is said to be **saturated** if, for any given finite subset  $F$  of  $\Gamma$ , the seminorm defined by

$$p_F: x \longmapsto \max \{p(x) : p \in F\}$$

<sup>a</sup>E-mails: rahmc@ime.usp.br; rodrigoahmc@gmail.com

**Keywords:** strongly continuous representations, locally convex spaces, projective analytic vectors, pseudodifferential operators.

This work is part of a PhD thesis [6], which was supported by CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico).

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 47D03, 46M40. Secondary: 47L60, 47G30, 35S05.

also belongs to  $\Gamma$ . A fundamental system of seminorms can always be enlarged to a saturated one by including the seminorms  $p_F$ , as defined above, in such a way that the resulting family generates the same topology. Hence, it will always be assumed in this paper that the families of seminorms to be considered are already saturated, whenever convenient, without further notice.

A linear operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  will always be assumed as being defined on a vector subspace  $\text{Dom } T$  of  $\mathcal{X}$ , called its **domain**. When its domain is dense, the operator will be called **densely defined**. Also, if  $S$  and  $T$  are two linear operators then their sum will be defined by

$$\text{Dom } (S + T) := \text{Dom } S \cap \text{Dom } T, \quad (S + T)(x) := S(x) + T(x), \quad x \in \text{Dom } (S + T)$$

and their composition by

$$\text{Dom } (TS) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : S(x) \in \text{Dom } T\}, \quad (TS)(x) := T(S(x)), \quad x \in \text{Dom } (TS),$$

following the usual conventions of the classical theory of unbounded linear operators on Hilbert and Banach spaces. The range of  $T$  will be denoted by  $\text{Ran } T$ .

**Definition (One-parameter Semigroups and Groups):** A one-parameter semigroup on a Hausdorff locally convex space  $(\mathcal{X}, \Gamma)$  is a family of continuous linear operators  $\{V(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfying

$$V(0) = I, \quad V(s + t) = V(s)V(t), \quad s, t \geq 0.$$

If, in addition, the semigroup satisfies the property that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} p(V(t)x - V(t_0)x) = 0, \quad t_0 \geq 0, \quad p \in \Gamma, \quad x \in \mathcal{X},$$

then  $\{V(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is called **strongly continuous** or, more explicitly, a **strongly continuous one-parameter semigroup**. Such a semigroup is called **exponentially equicontinuous** [2, Definition 2.1] if there exists  $a \geq 0$  satisfying the following property: for all  $p \in \Gamma$  there exist  $q \in \Gamma$  and  $M_p > 0$  such that

$$p(V(t)x) \leq M_p \exp(at) q(x), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

If  $a$  can be chosen equal to 0, such a semigroup will be called **equicontinuous**. A strongly continuous one-parameter semigroup is said to be **locally equicontinuous** if, for every compact  $K \subseteq [0, +\infty)$ , the set  $\{V(t) : t \in K\}$  is equicontinuous. These definitions are analogous for one-parameter groups, switching from “ $t \geq 0$ ” to “ $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ”, “ $\exp(at)$ ” to “ $\exp(a|t|)$ ” and “[ $0, +\infty$ )” to “ $\mathbb{R}$ ”.

In the group case, much of the above terminology can be adapted from one-parameter groups to general Lie groups. For example, if  $G$  is a Lie group with unit  $e$ , then a family of continuous linear operators  $\{V(g)\}_{g \in G}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfying

$$V(e) = I, \quad V(gh) = V(g)V(h), \quad g, h \in G$$

and

$$\lim_{g \rightarrow h} V(g)x = V(h)x, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad h \in G,$$

is called a **strongly continuous representation** of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Such a group representation is called **locally equicontinuous** if for each compact  $K \subseteq G$  the set  $\{V(g) : g \in K\}$  is equicontinuous.

**Definition (The Kernel Invariance Property (KIP)):** If  $(\mathcal{X}, \Gamma)$  is a Hausdorff locally convex space, define for each  $p \in \Gamma$  the closed subspace

$$N_p := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : p(x) = 0\},$$

often referred to as the **kernel** of the seminorm  $p$ , and the quotient map  $\pi_p: \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto [x]_p \in \mathcal{X}/N_p$ . Then,  $\mathcal{X}/N_p$  is a normed space with respect to the norm  $\|[x]_p\|_p := p(x)$ , and is not necessarily complete. Denote its completion by  $\mathcal{X}_p := \overline{\mathcal{X}/N_p}$ . A densely defined linear operator  $T: \text{Dom } T \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is said to possess the **kernel invariance property (KIP)** with respect to  $\Gamma$  if it leaves their seminorms' kernels invariant, that is,

$$T[\text{Dom } T \cap N_p] \subseteq N_p, \quad p \in \Gamma.^1$$

If this property is fulfilled, then the linear operators

$$T_p: \pi_p[\text{Dom } T] \subseteq \mathcal{X}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_p, \quad T_p: [x]_p \mapsto [T(x)]_p, \quad p \in \Gamma, x \in \text{Dom } T$$

on the quotients are well-defined, and their domains are dense in  $\mathcal{X}_p$ .

**Definition ( $\Gamma$ -semigroups and  $\Gamma$ -groups):** Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \Gamma)$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space. For each  $p \in \Gamma$ , define

$$V_p := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : p(x) \leq 1\}.$$

Following [3], the following conventions will be used:

1.  $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma(\mathcal{X})$  denotes the family of linear operators  $A$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfying the property that, for all  $p \in \Gamma$ , there exists  $\lambda(p, A) > 0$  such that

$$A[V_p] \subseteq \lambda(p, A) V_p$$

or, equivalently,

$$p(Ax) \leq \lambda(p, A) p(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

2. A strongly continuous one-parameter semigroup  $t \mapsto V(t)$  is said to be a  **$\Gamma$ -semigroup**<sup>2</sup> if, for each  $p \in \Gamma$ , there exist  $M_p, \sigma_p \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $p(V(t)x) \leq M_p e^{\sigma_p t} p(x)$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $t \geq 0$ .  **$\Gamma$ -groups** are defined in an analogous way, but with  $p(V(t)x) \leq M_p e^{\sigma_p |t|} p(x)$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that these definitions automatically imply local equicontinuity of the one-parameter (semi)group  $V$ , and that the operators  $V(t)$  have the (KIP) with respect to  $\Gamma$ . These definitions are equivalent to the ones given in [3, Definitions 2.1 and 2.2] (note that a ‘‘local equicontinuity-type’’ requirement, which is present in Definition 2.1, is incorrectly missing in Definition 2.2), as is proved in [3, Theorem 2.6]. Exploring the (KIP), the author of [3] associates to the  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $t \mapsto V(t)$  a net of strongly continuous semigroups  $\{\tilde{V}_p\}_{p \in \Gamma}$ , each one of them being defined on the Banach space  $(\mathcal{X}_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ . For each  $p \in \Gamma$ , the number

$$\inf_{t>0} \frac{1}{t} \log \|\tilde{V}_p(t)\|_p$$

is well-defined, and is denoted by  $w_p$  - it is called the **type** of  $\tilde{V}_p$  [17, page 306]. The family  $\{w_p\}_{p \in \Gamma}$  is called the **type of  $V$** . If  $w := \sup_{p \in \Gamma} w_p < \infty$ , then  $V$  is said to be of **bounded**

<sup>1</sup>[3] calls them ‘‘compartmentalized operators’’.

<sup>2</sup>In [3] they are called  $(C_0, 1)$  semigroups - similarly for groups.

**type  $w$** , following [3, page 170] - analogously, substituting “ $t > 0$ ” by “ $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ” and “ $1/t$ ” by “ $1/|t|$ ”, one defines  $\Gamma$ -groups of bounded type. A very nice aspect of  $\Gamma$ -semigroups of bounded type  $w$  is that they satisfy the resolvent formula

$$R(\lambda, T)x = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} V(t)x dt, \quad x \in \mathcal{X},$$

for all  $\lambda > w$ , where  $T$  is the infinitesimal generator of  $V$ , as is shown in [3, Theorem 3.3].

Note that, unlike exponentially equicontinuous (semi)groups, the definitions of  $\Gamma$ -semigroups and  $\Gamma$ -groups depend on the choice of the fundamental system of seminorms  $\Gamma$ .

A very important concept is that of a resolvent set, so let  $T: \text{Dom } T \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a linear operator. Then, the set

$$\rho(T) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (\lambda I - T): \text{Dom } T \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \text{ is bijective and } (\lambda I - T)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) \}$$

is called the **resolvent set** of the operator  $T$  (note that it may happen that  $\rho(T) = \emptyset$ , or even  $\rho(T) = \mathbb{C}$ ), and the map

$$R(\cdot, T): \rho(T) \ni \lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, T) := (\lambda I - T)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$$

is called the **resolvent of  $T$** . When  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ , it will be said that **the resolvent operator of  $T$  exists at  $\lambda$** , and  $R(\lambda, T)$  is called the **resolvent operator of  $T$  at  $\lambda$**  or the  **$\lambda$ -resolvent** of  $T$ . Finally, the complementary set

$$\sigma(T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(T)$$

is called the **spectrum** of the operator  $T$  (see page 258 of [1]).

**Definition (Infinitesimal Generators, Smooth and Analytic Vectors):** Given a strongly continuous one-parameter semigroup  $t \mapsto V(t)$  on  $(\mathcal{X}, \Gamma)$ , consider the subspace of vectors  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  such that the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{V(t)x - x}{t}$$

exists. Then, the linear operator  $T$  defined by

$$\text{Dom } T := \left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{V(t)x - x}{t} \text{ exists in } \mathcal{X} \right\}$$

and  $T(x) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{V(t)x - x}{t}$  is called the **infinitesimal generator** (or, simply, the generator) of the semigroup  $t \mapsto V(t)$  (the definition for groups is analogous). Also, if  $G$  is a Lie group then, for each fixed element  $X$  of its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the infinitesimal generator of the one-parameter group  $t \mapsto V(\exp tX)$  will be denoted by  $dV(X)$  ( $\exp$  denotes the exponential map of the Lie group  $G$ ). A vector  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  is called a  **$C^\infty$  vector for  $V$ , or a smooth vector for  $V$** , if the map  $G \ni g \mapsto V(g)x$  is of class  $C^\infty$ : a map  $f: G \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is of class  $C^\infty$  at  $g \in G$  if it possesses continuous partial derivatives of all orders with respect to a chart around  $g$ . The subspace of smooth vectors for  $V$  will be denoted by  $C^\infty(V)$ . Moreover, following [20, page 54], we will say that a vector  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  is **analytic for  $V$**  if  $x \in C^\infty(V)$  and the map  $F_x: G \ni g \mapsto V(g)x$  is analytic: in other words, if  $x \in C^\infty(V)$  and, for each  $g \in G$  and every analytic chart  $h: g' \rightarrow (t_k(g'))_{1 \leq k \leq d}$  around  $g$  sending it to 0 there exists  $r_x > 0$  such that the series

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{p(\partial^\alpha F_x(g'))}{\alpha!} t(g')^\alpha$$

is absolutely convergent to  $F_x(g')$ , for every  $p \in \Gamma$ , whenever  $|t(g')| < r_x$ , where  $t(g')^\alpha = t_1(g')^{\alpha_1} \dots t_d(g')^{\alpha_d}$  and  $|t(g')| := \max_{1 \leq k \leq d} |t_k(g')|$  (note that  $r_x$  is independent of  $p \in \Gamma$ ).<sup>3</sup> The subspace of analytic vectors for  $V$  will be denoted by  $C^\omega(V)$ . If  $\tau$  is the topology defined by  $\Gamma$ , then the elements of  $C^\omega(V)$  will sometimes be called  **$\tau$ -analytic**. Also, if the Lie group under consideration is  $\mathbb{R}$ , then the subspace of analytic vectors will be denoted by  $C^\omega(T)$ , where  $T$  is the infinitesimal generator of  $V$ , and called the **the subspace of analytic vectors for  $T$** .

A linear operator  $T: \text{Dom } T \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is **closed** if its graph is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ ; an operator  $S: \text{Dom } S \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is **closable** if it has a closed extension or, equivalently, if for every net  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  in  $\text{Dom } S$  such that  $x_\alpha \rightarrow 0$  and  $S(x_\alpha) \rightarrow y$ , one has  $y = 0$ . If  $S$  is closable, then it has a minimal closed extension, called the **closure** of  $S$  and denoted by  $\overline{S}$ . Two very important results regarding infinitesimal generators on locally convex spaces are Propositions 1.3 and 1.4 of [18], which prove that infinitesimal generators of strongly continuous locally equicontinuous one-parameter semigroups on sequentially complete locally convex spaces are densely defined and closed.

**Definition (Cores):** If  $T: \text{Dom } T \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is a closed linear operator and  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \text{Dom } T$  is a linear subspace of  $\text{Dom } T$  such that

$$\overline{T|_{\mathcal{D}}} = T,$$

then  $\mathcal{D}$  is called a **core** for  $T$ .

An adaptation of [23, Corollary 1.2] to the locally convex realm gives the following result, which will be invoked in the proof of Theorem 1 (see, for example, [6, Corollary 1.2.4.2] or [7, Theorem 1.4.1], and the remarks following it):

**Lemma 0:** *Let  $t \mapsto V(t)$  be a strongly continuous locally equicontinuous one-parameter group on a complete Hausdorff locally convex space  $(\mathcal{X}, \Gamma)$  having  $T$  as its generator. Suppose  $\mathcal{D}$  is a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$  which is contained in  $C^\infty(V)$  and is group invariant, that is,*

$$V(t)[\mathcal{D}] \subseteq \mathcal{D}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then,  $\overline{T^n} = \overline{T^n|_{\mathcal{D}}}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A corollary of the lemma above is that, under these hypotheses,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a core for  $T$ , if  $T$  is closed.

**Definition (Projective Limits):** Let  $\{\mathcal{X}_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of Hausdorff locally convex spaces, where  $I$  is a directed set under the partial order  $\preceq$  and, for all  $i, j \in I$  satisfying  $i \preceq j$ , suppose that there exists a continuous linear map  $\mu_{ij}: \mathcal{X}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i$  satisfying  $\mu_{ij} \circ \mu_{jk} = \mu_{ik}$ , whenever  $i \preceq j \preceq k$  ( $\mu_{ii}$  is, by definition, the identity map, for all  $i \in I$ ). Then,  $(\mathcal{X}_i, \mu_{ij}, I)$  is called a **projective system (or an inverse system)** of Hausdorff locally convex spaces. Consider the vector space  $\mathcal{X}$  defined as

$$\mathcal{X} := \left\{ (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{X}_i : \mu_{ij}(x_j) = x_i, \text{ for all } i, j \in I \text{ with } i \preceq j \right\}$$

and equipped with the relative Tychonoff's product topology or, equivalently, the coarsest topology for which every canonical projection  $\pi_j: (x_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto x_j$  is continuous, relativized to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then,

<sup>3</sup>Note, also, that [20, Lemma 3, page 52] proves that the convergence mentioned holds in any Hausdorff locally convex topology between the weak and the strong ones, and with the same radius of convergence.

$\mathcal{X}$  is a Hausdorff locally convex space, and is called the **projective limit (or inverse limit)** of the family  $\{\mathcal{X}_i\}_{i \in I}$ . In this case, the notation  $\varprojlim \mathcal{X}_i := \mathcal{X}$  is employed.

Any complete Hausdorff locally convex space  $(\mathcal{X}, \Gamma)$  is isomorphic to the projective limit of Banach spaces  $\varprojlim \mathcal{X}_p$ , so they will be treated as if they were the same space, in the proofs.

Now, suppose there are linear operators  $T_i: \text{Dom } T_i \subseteq \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i$  which are connected by the relations  $\mu_{ij}[\text{Dom } T_j] \subseteq \text{Dom } T_i$  and  $T_i \circ \mu_{ij} = \mu_{ij} \circ T_j$ , whenever  $i \preceq j$ . Then, the family  $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$  is said to be a **projective family of linear operators**. The latter relation ensures that the linear transformation  $T$  defined on  $\text{Dom } T := \varprojlim \text{Dom } T_i \subseteq \varprojlim \mathcal{X}_i$  by  $T(x_i)_{i \in I} := (T_i(x_i))_{i \in I}$  has its range inside  $\varprojlim \mathcal{X}_i$ , thus defining a linear operator on  $\varprojlim \mathcal{X}_i$ . This operator is called the **projective limit of  $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$** , as in [3, page 167].

## Main Results

The next task will be to define projective analytic vectors on locally convex spaces, so that some useful theorems become available:

**Definition (Projective Analytic Vectors):** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \tau)$  be a locally convex space with a fundamental system of seminorms  $\Gamma$  and  $T$  a linear operator defined on  $\mathcal{X}$ . An element  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  is called a  **$\tau$ -projective analytic vector** for  $T$  if*

$$x \in C^\infty(T) := \bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} \text{Dom } T^n$$

and, for every  $p \in \Gamma$ , there exists  $r_{x,p} > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{p(T^n(x))}{n!} |u|^n < \infty, \quad |u| < r_{x,p}.$$

Note that, for this definition to make sense, it is necessary to show that it does not depend on the choice of the particular system of seminorms: if  $x$  is  $\tau$ -projective analytic with respect to  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  is another saturated family of seminorms generating the topology of  $\mathcal{X}$  then, for each  $q' \in \Gamma'$ , there exists  $C_{q'} > 0$  and  $q \in \Gamma$  such that  $q'(y) \leq C_{q'} q(y)$ , for all  $y \in \mathcal{X}$ . Therefore, making  $r_{x,q'} := r_{x,q}$ , one obtains for every  $u \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $|u| < r_{x,q'}$  that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q'(T^n(x))}{n!} |u|^n \leq C_{q'} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q(T^n(x))}{n!} |u|^n < \infty.$$

By symmetry, the assertion is proved. This motivates the use of the notation “ $\tau$ -projective analytic” to indicate that the analytic vector in question is related to the topology  $\tau$  (when there is no danger of confusion, the symbol  $\tau$  will be omitted). The subspace formed by all of the projective analytic vectors for  $T$  is going to be denoted by  $C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(T)$ . The prefix “projective” stands for the fact that  $C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(T)$  can be seen as a dense subspace of  $\varprojlim \pi_p[C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(T)]$  via the canonical map  $x \mapsto ([x]_p)_{p \in \Gamma}$  and, if  $T$  has the (KIP) with respect to  $\Gamma$ , then  $\pi_p[C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(T)]$  consists entirely of analytic vectors for  $T_p$ , for every  $p \in \Gamma$  - note that the projective limit is well-defined, since the family  $\{\pi_p[C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(T)]\}_{p \in \Gamma}$  gives rise to a canonical projective system.

Differently of what is required from the usual definition of analytic vectors, **no uniformity in  $p$**  is asked in the above definition. Indeed, using the definition of analytic vectors given before, it is possible to adapt the proof of [16, Theorem 2, page 209] and conclude that for every analytic vector  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  for  $T$  there exists  $r_x > 0$  such that, whenever  $|u| < r_x$ , the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{p(T^n(x))}{n!} |u|^n$$

is convergent, for every  $p \in \Gamma$ . Hence, the definition just given is weaker than the usual one, so the subspace of  **$\tau$ -analytic vectors**  $C^\omega(T)$  satisfies  $C^\omega(T) \subseteq C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(T)$ .

**Theorem 1:** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \Gamma)$  be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and  $T$  a linear operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  having the (KIP) with respect to  $\Gamma$ . Suppose that  $T$  has a dense set of projective analytic vectors and that, for each  $p \in \Gamma$ , there exist numbers  $\sigma_p \geq 0$ ,  $M_p > 0$ , such that*

$$p((\lambda - T)^n x) \geq M_p^{-1} (|\lambda| - \sigma_p)^n p(x), \quad x \in \text{Dom } T^n,$$

for all  $|\lambda| > \sigma_p$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Then,  $T$  is closable and  $\overline{T}$  is the generator of a  $\Gamma$ -group.

**Proof of Theorem 1:** For each  $p \in \Gamma$ , the densely defined linear operator  $T_p$  induced on the quotient  $\mathcal{X}/N_p$  possesses a dense subspace  $\pi_p[C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(T)]$  of analytic vectors. Hence, by [25, Theorem 1, Theorem 2],  $T_p$  is closable and  $\overline{T}_p$  is the generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group on  $\mathcal{X}_p$ , so the projective limit  $\tilde{T}$  of the family  $\{\overline{T}_p\}_{p \in \Gamma}$  is the generator of a  $\Gamma$ -group  $t \mapsto V(t)$  such that  $T \subset \tilde{T}$ , by [3, Theorem 2.5] (adapted to one-parameter groups). In particular, this shows that  $T$  is closable and

$$\overline{T} \subset \tilde{T},$$

since  $\tilde{T}$  is closed. Therefore, since  $V$  leaves the dense subspace  $C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(T) \subseteq C^\infty(\tilde{T})$  invariant, it follows from Lemma 0 above that it is a core for  $\tilde{T}$ , so

$$\tilde{T} = \overline{\tilde{T}|_{C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(T)}} = \overline{\overline{T}|_{C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(T)}} \subset \overline{T}.$$

This establishes the result. ■

**Corollary 2:** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \Gamma)$  be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and  $T$  a linear operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  having the (KIP) with respect to  $\Gamma$ . Suppose that  $T$  has a dense set of analytic vectors and that, for each  $p \in \Gamma$ , there exist numbers  $\sigma_p \geq 0$ ,  $M_p > 0$ , such that*

$$p((\lambda - T)^n x) \geq M_p^{-1} (|\lambda| - \sigma_p)^n p(x), \quad x \in \text{Dom } T^n,$$

for all  $|\lambda| > \sigma_p$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Then,  $T$  is closable and  $\overline{T}$  is the generator of a  $\Gamma$ -group.

**Proof of Corollary 2:** Follows at once from Theorem 1, since  $C^\omega(T) \subseteq C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(T)$ . ■

The converse statement of Theorem 1 is also true:

**Theorem 3:** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \Gamma)$  be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and  $T$  a linear operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  which is the generator of a  $\Gamma$ -group. Then,  $T$  has a dense set of projective analytic vectors and there exist numbers  $\sigma_p \geq 0$ ,  $M_p > 0$ , such that*

$$p((\lambda - T)^n x) \geq M_p^{-1} (|\lambda| - \sigma_p)^n p(x), \quad x \in \text{Dom } T^n,$$

for all  $|\lambda| > \sigma_p$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

**Proof of Theorem 3:** By [3, Theorem 4.2], there exists a projective family  $\{T_p\}_{p \in \Gamma}$  of linear operators such that  $\overline{T_p}$  is the generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group on  $\mathcal{X}_p$ , for each  $p \in \Gamma$ , and  $T = \varprojlim \overline{T_p}$ . Therefore, applying [21, Theorem 4] and [23, Theorem 1.4] to the one-dimensional Lie group  $\mathbb{R}$ , it follows that  $\overline{T_p^2}$  is the generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter semigroup  $t \mapsto S_p(t)$  on  $\mathcal{X}_p$  satisfying

$$S_p(t)[\mathcal{X}_p] \subseteq C^\omega(\overline{T_p}), \quad t > 0,$$

for each  $p \in \Gamma$ .<sup>4</sup> Hence,

$$\bigcup_{t>0} S_p(t)[\mathcal{X}_p] \subseteq C^\omega(\overline{T_p}), \quad p \in \Gamma.$$

Repeating the argument made in the proof of [3, Theorem 4.2] on formula

$$S_p(t)x_p = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \exp(-\lambda t) \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^k [\lambda \mathcal{R}(\lambda, \overline{T_p^2})]^k}{k!} (x_p), \quad p \in \Gamma, t \geq 0, x_p \in \mathcal{X}_p,$$

(this formula may also be found in [17, (11.7.2), page 352]) one sees that  $\{S_p(t)\}_{p \in \Gamma}$  is a projective family of linear operators, for each fixed  $t > 0$ . Hence, the projective limit semigroup

$$S: t \mapsto S(t) := \varprojlim S_p(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

on  $\varprojlim \mathcal{X}_p$  is well-defined and satisfies

$$\bigcup_{t>0} S(t)[\mathcal{X}] \subseteq C_\leftarrow^\omega(T).$$

It is also strongly continuous [20, Lemma 7b), page 26], so that the union above is dense in  $\mathcal{X}$ . This shows the density of  $C_\leftarrow^\omega(T)$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

The claimed estimates follow at once from [3, Theorem 4.2]. ■

Still under the hypotheses of the theorem just proved, it is possible to show that  $\overline{T^2}$  is the generator of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup: since  $\overline{T^2} \subset \varprojlim \overline{T_p^2}$  and  $C^\infty(T)$  is left invariant by the operators  $S(t)$  (in view of  $S_p(t)[\mathcal{X}_p] \subseteq C^\infty(\overline{T_p})$ , for all  $t > 0$  and  $p \in \Gamma$ ), an application of Lemma 0 above yields  $\overline{T^2} = \varprojlim \overline{T_p^2}$ , since Theorem 3 shows that  $C^\infty(T)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Also, Theorem 3 proves that the subspace  $\mathcal{X}_0 := \{S(t)x : x \in \mathcal{X}, t > 0\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{X}$ , so another application of Lemma 0 shows that  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is a core for  $\overline{T^2}$ . Summarizing:

**Corollary 4:** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \Gamma)$  be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and  $T$  a linear operator on  $\mathcal{X}$  which is the generator of a  $\Gamma$ -group. Then,  $\overline{T^2}$  is the generator of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $\mathcal{X}_0 := \{S(t)x : x \in \mathcal{X}, t > 0\}$  is a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$  which is a core for  $\overline{T^2}$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}_0 \subseteq C_\leftarrow^\omega(T) \subseteq C^\infty(T) \subseteq C^\infty(\overline{T^2})$ , the dense subspaces  $C^\infty(T)$  and  $C_\leftarrow^\omega(T)$  are also cores for  $\overline{T^2}$ .*

Therefore, the following theorem holds:

**Theorem 5:** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \Gamma)$  be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and  $T$  a closed linear operator on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then,  $T$  is the generator of a  $\Gamma$ -group if, and only if, the following two conditions*

<sup>4</sup>See also [22, Theorem 3.1] and [4, Corollary 2.6].



are satisfied:

1.  $T$  has the (KIP) with respect to  $\Gamma$  and, for each  $p \in \Gamma$ , there exist numbers  $\sigma_p \geq 0$ ,  $M_p > 0$ , such that

$$p((\lambda - T)^n x) \geq M_p^{-1} (|\lambda| - \sigma_p)^n p(x), \quad x \in \text{Dom } T^n,$$

for all  $|\lambda| > \sigma_p$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and

2.  $T$  has a dense set of projective analytic vectors.

In this case,  $\overline{T^2}$  generates a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $t \mapsto S(t)$  such that the dense subspaces  $\mathcal{X}_0 := \{S(t)x : x \in \mathcal{X}, t > 0\}$ ,  $C_{\leftarrow}^{\omega}(T)$  and  $C^{\infty}(T)$  are cores for  $\overline{T^2}$ .

In order to apply these results for equicontinuous groups, some concepts will be introduced:

**Definitions (Dissipative and Conservative Operators):** Following [2, Definition 3.9], a linear operator  $T : \text{Dom } T \subseteq \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is called  **$\Gamma$ -dissipative** if, for every  $p \in \Gamma$ ,  $\mu > 0$  and  $x \in \text{Dom } T$ ,

$$p((\mu I - T)x) \geq \mu p(x).$$

Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is Hausdorff, this implies  $\mu I - T$  is an injective linear operator, for every  $\mu > 0$ .  $T$  is called  **$\Gamma$ -conservative** if both  $T$  and  $-T$  are  $\Gamma$ -dissipative or, equivalently, if the inequality

$$p((\mu I - T)x) \geq |\mu| p(x)$$

holds for all  $p \in \Gamma$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \text{Dom } T$ . Note that the definitions of dissipativity and conservativity both depend on the particular choice of the fundamental system of seminorms - see Remark 3.10 of [2], for an illustration of this fact. For any given generator  $T$  of an equicontinuous one-parameter semigroup (on a Hausdorff sequentially complete locally convex space), Remark 3.12 of [2] shows with a simple calculation that there always exists a fundamental system of seminorms  $\Gamma$  for  $\mathcal{X}$  with respect to which  $T$  is  $\Gamma$ -dissipative. A small adaptation of this remark yields an analogous result regarding  $\Gamma$ -conservativity for generators of equicontinuous one-parameter groups. Therefore, every equicontinuous one-parameter group  $t \mapsto V(t)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -group, for an appropriate choice of  $\Gamma$  (analogously for semigroups), with

$$p(V(t)x) = p(x), \quad p \in \Gamma, t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Whenever convenient, the prefix  $\Gamma$  will be omitted from the terminology.

Hence, the theorems above apply directly to equicontinuous one-parameter groups, with the appropriate choice of  $\Gamma$ , making  $M_p = 1$  and  $\sigma_p = 0$ , for every  $p \in \Gamma$ . Together with [2, Theorem 3.14] (which is an extension of the Lumer-Phillips Theorem to the locally convex setting), they give the following useful corollary:

**Corollary 6:** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \tau)$  be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and  $T$  a linear operator on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then,*

1. *If  $T$  is closed,  $T$  will be the generator of an equicontinuous group if, and only if, it has a dense set of projective analytic vectors and there is a fundamental system of seminorms  $\Gamma$  for which it is  $\Gamma$ -conservative.*

2. If  $T$  is a conservative operator having a dense set of projective analytic vectors, then  $\overline{T}$  is the generator of an equicontinuous one-parameter group and  $\text{Ran}(\lambda I - T)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{X}$ , for every nonzero  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ; if  $T$  is a  $\Gamma$ -conservative operator for which  $\text{Ran}(\lambda I - T)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{X}$ , for some nonzero  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $T$  is closable and  $\overline{T}$  has a dense set of projective analytic vectors.

**Proof of Corollary 6:** (1) Follows directly from Theorem 5.

(2) If  $T$  is a conservative operator having a dense set of projective analytic vectors, then Theorem 1 says that it is closable and that  $\overline{T}$  is the generator of an equicontinuous one-parameter group. Hence, by [2, Theorem 3.14] (adapted for one-parameter groups),  $\text{Ran}(\lambda I - T)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{X}$ , for every nonzero  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, if  $T$  is a  $\Gamma$ -conservative operator for which  $\text{Ran}(\lambda I - T)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{X}$ , for some nonzero  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , then [2, Theorem 3.14] for groups shows that  $T$  is closable and that  $\overline{T}$  is the generator of an equicontinuous  $\Gamma$ -group. Therefore, by Theorem 3,  $\overline{T}$  has a dense set of projective analytic vectors.  $\blacksquare$

**Example:** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space,  $G$  a real finite-dimensional Lie group of dimension  $d$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $V: G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  a strongly continuous representation of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Fix a basis  $\mathcal{B} := \{X_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq d}$  for  $\mathfrak{g}$ . An adaptation of [26, Proposition 10.1.6, page 263] to the Banach space context shows that the map  $\partial V: X \mapsto \partial V(X) := dV(X)|_{C^\infty(V)}$  is a Lie algebra representation which extends to a representation of (the complexification of) the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . To begin with, fix a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ , equivalent to the original one, having the property that there exists  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that, for each operator  $\partial V(X_k)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ , and every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $|\text{Re } \lambda| > \beta$ , one has

$$\|(\lambda I - dV(X_k))^m x\| \geq (|\text{Re } \lambda| - \beta)^m \|x\|, \quad x \in C^\infty(V), m \in \mathbb{N},$$

just as done in [15, Theorem 3.1] (this follows from a rescaling argument [12, page 78] together with an application of the Feller-Miyadera-Phillips Theorem for one-parameter groups [12, page 79]). Equip  $C^\infty(V)$  with the topology  $\tau_\infty$  defined by the family

$$\Gamma_\infty := \{\|\cdot\|_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

of seminorms, where

$$\|x\|_0 := \|x\|, \quad dV(X_0) := I$$

and

$$\|x\|_n := \max\{\|dV(X_{i_1}) \cdots dV(X_{i_n})x\| : 0 \leq i_j \leq d\}$$

- this is called the **projective  $C^\infty$ -topology** on  $C^\infty(V)$ , and it does not depend upon the fixed basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . Each generator  $dV(X)$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , is a closed densely defined linear operator on  $\mathcal{X}$ , as mentioned before, and  $C^\infty(V)$  is a Fréchet space - to prove this, adapt the argument of [14, Corollary 1.1], exploring the closedness of the operators  $dV(X_k)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ . Moreover,  $C^\infty(V)$  is a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$ , since it contains the so called Gårding domain, which is dense in  $\mathcal{X}$  - see [13]. A straightforward adaptation of [14, Theorem 1.1] shows that

$$C^\infty(V) = \bigcap_{k=1}^d \bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} \text{Dom } dV(X_k)^n.$$

As a consequence of the above description of  $C^\infty(V)$ , it is clear that the generators  $dV(X)$  leave  $C^\infty(V)$  invariant. Also, the operators

$$V_\infty(g) := V(g)|_{C^\infty(V)} \in \text{End}(C^\infty(V)), \quad g \in G,$$

---

<sup>5</sup> $\text{End}(C^\infty(V))$  denotes the vector space of all linear operators  $T$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\text{Dom } T := C^\infty(V)$  and  $\text{Ran } T \subseteq C^\infty(V)$ .

are continuous with respect to  $\tau_\infty$  (use an inductive argument together with the identity

$$g \exp tX g^{-1} = \exp(\text{Ad}(g)(tX)), \quad g \in G, X \in \mathfrak{g},$$

to prove this - see [26, page 31]).

As an application of the above theorems, we are going to show that  $V_\infty: g \mapsto V_\infty(g)$  is a strongly continuous representation of  $G$  on  $(C^\infty(V), \tau_\infty)$ , with each  $\partial V(X)$  being the generator of the  $\Gamma_\infty$ -group  $t \mapsto V_\infty(\exp tX)$  - note that  $\partial V(X)$  is a continuous operator on  $(C^\infty(V), \tau_\infty)$ . Theorem 2 of [16] shows that, given  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $x \in C^\omega(V)$ , there exists  $r_x > 0$  such that the series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\|dV(X^m)x\|}{m!} |u|^m,$$

converges, if  $|u| < r_x$ . Since  $C^\omega(V)$  is left invariant by the operators  $dV(X)$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  (see [16, page 209]), it is possible to iterate the calculations of Observations 1 and 2 of [15, Theorem 3.1] to obtain, respectively: (a) for each fixed  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in C^\omega(V)$ , the series

$$\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\|dV(X^m)x\|_n}{m!} |u|^m,$$

converges for sufficiently small  $|u|$  and, (b) for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in C^\infty(V)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $|\text{Re } \lambda| > l_n(k) := \beta + n \tau_k$ , one has

$$\|(\lambda I - dV(X_k))^m x\|_n \geq (|\text{Re } \lambda| - l_n(k))^m \|x\|_n, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\tau_k \equiv \tau(X_k)$  is the operator norm of  $\text{ad } \partial V(X_k) := [\partial V(X_k), \cdot]$ , when seen as a linear operator on  $(\partial V[\mathfrak{g}], \|\cdot\|_1)$ , with  $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^d c_j \partial V(X_j) \right\|_1 := \sum_{j=1}^d |c_j|$ . In particular, (a) shows that  $C^\omega(V) \subseteq \cap_{k=1}^d C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(dV(X_k))$ . Also, note that  $\cap_{k=1}^d C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(dV(X_k)) \subseteq C^\infty(V)$ . By [23, Theorem 1.3],  $C^\omega(V)$  is  $\tau_\infty$ -dense in  $C^\infty(V)$ , since it is a  $\tau$ -dense subspace of  $\mathcal{X}$  [21, Theorem 4] which satisfies  $V(g)[C^\omega(V)] \subseteq C^\omega(V)$ , for all  $g \in G$ . Hence, it follows that  $C_{\leftarrow}^\omega(dV(X_k))$  is  $\tau_\infty$ -dense in  $C^\infty(V) = \text{Dom } \partial V(X_k)$ , for all  $1 \leq k \leq d$ . The operators  $\partial V(X_k)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ , also have the (KIP) with respect to  $\Gamma_\infty$  which, combined with (b), show that their closures  $\overline{\partial V(X_k)}^\infty$  with respect to  $\tau_\infty$  are generators of  $\Gamma_\infty$ -groups, by Theorem 1. Since they are all  $\tau_\infty$ -continuous, every  $\partial V(X_k) = \overline{\partial V(X_k)}^\infty$  is the generator of a  $\Gamma_\infty$ -group  $V_k: t \mapsto V_k(t)$  on  $C^\infty(V)$ . To see that  $V_k(t) = V_\infty(\exp tX_k)$ , for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leq k \leq d$ , just extend both  $V_k$  and  $V_\infty$  to strongly continuous one-parameter groups on all of  $\mathcal{X}$ , use the fact that  $\tau_\infty$  is finer than  $\tau$  and that two strongly continuous one-parameter groups on a Banach space having the same infinitesimal generator must be equal. Now, there exist  $d$  real-valued analytic functions  $\{t_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq d}$  defined on a relatively compact open neighborhood  $\Omega$  of the identity of  $G$  such that  $g \mapsto (t_k(g))_{1 \leq k \leq d}$  maps  $\Omega$  diffeomorphically onto a neighborhood of the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , with

$$g = \exp(t_1(g) X_1) \dots \exp(t_k(g) X_k) \dots \exp(t_d(g) X_d), \quad g \in \Omega,$$

so

$$V_\infty(g) = V(\exp(t_1(g) X_1)) \dots V(\exp(t_k(g) X_k)) \dots V(\exp(t_d(g) X_d))$$

on this neighborhood, which establishes the strong continuity of  $V_\infty$  with respect to  $\tau_\infty$ . Choosing an adequate norm for each fixed  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , just like it was done with the basis elements, and repeating the above reasoning, one sees that each  $\partial V(X)$  is the generator of a  $\Gamma_\infty$ -group such that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in C^\infty(V)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $|\text{Re } \lambda| > l_n(X) := \beta(X) + n \tau(X)$ , one has

$$\|(\lambda I - \partial V(X))^m x\|_n \geq \frac{(|\text{Re } \lambda| - l_n(X))^m}{M} \|x\|_n, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

for certain numbers  $\beta(X) \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $M > 0$ , where  $\tau(X)$  is the operator norm of  $\text{ad } \partial V(X) := [\partial V(X), \cdot]$ , when seen as a linear operator on  $(\partial V[\mathfrak{g}], \|\cdot\|_1)$ .<sup>6</sup> Summarizing:

**Theorem:** *If  $(\mathcal{X}, \tau)$  is a Banach space and  $V: G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$  is a strongly continuous representation, then it restricts to a strongly continuous representation  $V: G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(C^\infty(V))$  on  $(C^\infty(V), \tau_\infty)$  which is implemented by (one-parameter)  $\Gamma_\infty$ -groups. If  $\tau(X) = 0$ , then  $t \mapsto V(\exp tX)$  is of bounded type.*

To illustrate this situation, consider the Schwartz function space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as a subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and equip it with the family  $\Gamma$  of seminorms  $f \mapsto \|x^\alpha \partial^\beta f\|_2$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  denotes the  $L^2$ -norm and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$  are multiindices. Also, define a matrix group by

$$H_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{a} & c \\ 0 & I_n & \mathbf{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : \mathbf{a} \in M_{1,n}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbf{b} \in M_{n,1}(\mathbb{R}), c \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

with the usual matrix multiplication, where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix of  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . This is known as the Heisenberg group of dimension  $2n + 1$ . Define a strongly continuous unitary representation  $U$  of  $H_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$U_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, c} := U \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{a} & c \\ 0 & I_n & \mathbf{b} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : f \mapsto e^{ic} e^{i(\mathbf{b}, \cdot)} f(\cdot + \mathbf{a}).$$

Then, its Lie algebra is sent onto the  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional real Lie algebra

$$\mathcal{L} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \partial_k \Big|_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, i x_k \Big|_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, i \Big|_{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)} : 1 \leq k \leq n \right\}$$

via the Lie algebra representation  $\partial U$ , since  $C^\infty(U) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and it is a realization of the Canonical Commutation Relations (CCR) by unbounded operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Therefore, the calculations above show, in particular, that the linear operators  $c_0 i + \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \partial_k + \sum_{k=1}^n d_k x_k$ ,  $c_k, d_k \in \mathbb{R}$ , all generate  $\Gamma_\infty$ -groups on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , since  $U$  is unitary, thus complementing some of the examples given in [3]. Moreover, their squares generate  $\Gamma_\infty$ -semigroups on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

For yet another example, consider the torus  $\mathbb{T}^n := \mathbb{R}^n / (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^n$  and, for each  $y \in \mathbb{T}^n$ , let  $T_y$  denote the unitary operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$  defined by  $(T_y u)(x) = u(x - y)$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $e_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$  be defined by  $e_j(x) = e^{i(j, x)}$ , where we denote by the same letter  $x$  both an element  $x$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and its class  $[x] \in \mathbb{T}^n$ . Then, by [8, Theorem 2],<sup>7</sup> a bounded operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$  is such that the map  $\mathbb{T}^n \ni y \mapsto T_y A T_{-y}$  is smooth with respect to the norm topology of  $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$  if, and only if,  $A = \text{Op}(a_j)$  for some symbol  $(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  of order zero: in other words if, and only if,  $A$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$  defined by

$$Au(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_j(x) e_j(x) \hat{u}_j, \quad \hat{u}_j := \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} e_{-j} u,$$

<sup>6</sup>If  $\|\cdot\|'$  and  $\|\cdot\|''$  are two equivalent norms on  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfying  $M_1 \|\cdot\|'' \leq \|\cdot\|' \leq M_2 \|\cdot\|''$ , for certain  $M_1, M_2 > 0$ , then  $M_1 \|\cdot\|'_n \leq \|\cdot\|''_n \leq M_2 \|\cdot\|'_n$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The constant  $M$ , above, appears as a consequence of this reasoning.

<sup>7</sup>[8] also characterizes the analytic vectors with respect to the representation  $y \mapsto T_y(\cdot)T_{-y}$ . A characterization of the smooth vectors in the case of the circle was first obtained in [19], which is a discrete version of the characterization obtained in [10] (see, also, [11, Chapter 8]).

for all  $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$ , with  $(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  satisfying  $a_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , and

$$\sup \{ |\partial^\alpha a_j(x)|; j \in \mathbb{Z}^n, x \in \mathbb{T}^n \} < \infty,$$

for every multiindex  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . For such  $A = \text{Op}(a_j)$ , one has  $T_y A T_{-y} = \text{Op}((T_y a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n})$ , so  $\partial_y^\alpha (T_y A T_{-y}) = T_y [\text{Op}((\partial^\alpha a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n})] T_{-y}$ , for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and  $y \in \mathbb{T}^n$  - this can be seen by repeated use of the equality

$$a_j(x + h f_k) - a_j(x) - h \partial_k a_j(x) = h \int_0^1 [\partial_k a_j(x + t h f_k) - \partial_k a_j(x)] dt = h^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \partial_k^2 a_j(x + t s h f_k) ds dt,$$

where  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_k$  denotes the  $k^{\text{th}}$  element of the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , combined with estimates [8, Theorem 1 - (4)]. Therefore, the infinitesimal generators of the (not everywhere strongly continuous) adjoint representation  $V: y \mapsto T_y(\cdot) T_{-y}$  restrict to the  $*$ -algebra of smooth operators  $C^\infty(V)$  as operators of the form

$$\text{Op}(a_j) \mapsto \text{Op} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \partial_k a_j \right), \quad c_k \in \mathbb{R},$$

and are all generators of  $\Gamma_\infty$ -groups of bounded type (since the range of  $\partial V$  is an abelian Lie algebra), with  $\Gamma_\infty := \{ \|\cdot\|_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|$  being the usual operator norm - this follows from the fact that  $y \mapsto T_y(\cdot) T_{-y}$  is a unitary representation. Moreover, their squares are generators of  $\Gamma_\infty$ -semigroups. Also, by [3, Theorem 3.3] and the calculations above, their resolvent operators exist for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}$  and belong to  $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma_\infty}(C^\infty(V))$ .

An analogous application can be given for the canonical (not everywhere strongly continuous) action  $\alpha$  of the Heisenberg group  $H_{2n+1}(\mathbb{R})$  on the  $C^*$ -algebra of bounded operators  $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ : Cordes proved in [10] that a bounded linear operator  $A$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is such that

$$\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \ni (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, c) \mapsto U_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, c} A U_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, c}^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

is a smooth function with values in the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if, and only if, there exists  $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , bounded and with all its partial derivatives also bounded - denote this space by  $\mathcal{CB}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  - such that, for all  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , one has

$$A u(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} a(x, \xi) \widehat{u}(\xi) d\xi, \quad \text{with} \quad \widehat{u}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-is \cdot \xi} u(s) ds$$

- in this case, such  $A$  is denoted by  $a(x, D)$ . Therefore, the operators sending these  $a(x, D)$  to

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^{2n} c_k \partial_k a \right) (x, D), \quad c_k \in \mathbb{R},$$

are generators of  $\Gamma_\infty$ -groups of bounded type, for a natural fundamental system of seminorms  $\Gamma_\infty$  for  $C^\infty(\alpha)$  (note, also, that their resolvent operators exist for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}$ , just as in the previous example), and the squares of these operators generate  $\Gamma_\infty$ -semigroups - to prove that the first  $2n$  canonical directions give rise to generators which act on  $a(x, D)$  via a partial differentiation  $\partial_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 2n$ , on the symbol of  $a(x, D)$ , use the same strategy employed above, in the case of the torus, but with the estimates of the Calderón-Vaillancourt Theorem [9]. Note that the  $(2n+1)^{\text{th}}$  direction gives the zero operator as a generator. There exists an isomorphism of Fréchet spaces between  $C^\infty(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{CB}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , when the latter is equipped with the topology of uniform convergence of the derivatives. Therefore, as a corollary, the  $\lambda$ -resolvents of the operators

$a \mapsto \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 2n} c_k \partial_k a$  on  $\mathcal{CB}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  exist for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{R}$ , so that they are continuous bijective linear operators on  $\mathcal{CB}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ .

Following [11], denote  $C^\infty(\alpha)$  by  $\Psi GT$  and equip the set

$$gl := \{(g, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, c) : g \in GL(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n, c \in \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})\}$$

with a Lie group structure, as in [11, page 265]. If  $\mathcal{T}$  is the unitary representation of  $gl$  defined by

$$(\mathcal{T}_{g, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, c} u)(x) := |\det g|^{1/2} e^{ic} e^{i\langle \mathbf{b}, x \rangle} u(gx + \mathbf{a}),$$

then the smooth vectors for the adjoint representation, denoted by  $\Psi GL$ , consists precisely of the elements  $a(x, D)$  in  $\Psi GT$  such that their symbols  $a \in \mathcal{CB}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  remain in  $\mathcal{CB}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  after any finite number of applications of the operators

$$\epsilon_{jl} := \xi_j \partial_{\xi_l} - x_l \partial_{x_j}, \quad j, l = 1, \dots, n,$$

by [11, Theorem 5.3, page 269]. Moreover, by [11, Equation (5.24), page 268], the operators

$$a(x, D) \mapsto \left( \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 2n} c_k \partial_k a + \sum_{1 \leq j, l \leq n} d_{jl} \epsilon_{jl} a \right) (x, D), \quad c_k, d_{jl} \in \mathbb{R},$$

are generators of  $\Gamma_\infty$ -groups, and their squares generate  $\Gamma_\infty$ -semigroups. A similar result may be obtained if one substitutes  $gl$  by the subgroup

$$gs := \{(\sigma Q, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, c) : \sigma > 0, Q \in SO(n), \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n, c \in \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})\}$$

and considers the subsequent space of smooth vectors  $\Psi GS$  - see [11, page 265] and [11, Theorem 5.4, page 269]. Note that  $\Psi GL \subseteq \Psi GS \subseteq \Psi GT$ .<sup>8</sup>

## References

- [1] Albanese, A.A.; Bonet, J.; Ricker, W.J., “*Montel Resolvents and Uniformly Mean Ergodic Semigroups of Linear Operators*”, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* **36** (2013), 253-290;
- [2] Albanese, A.A.; Jornet, D., “*Dissipative Operators and Additive Perturbations in Locally Convex Spaces*”, *Mathematische Nachrichten* **289** (2016), 920-949;
- [3] Babalola, V.A., “*Semigroups of Operators on Locally Convex Spaces*”, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **199** (1974), 163-179;
- [4] Bratteli, O.; Goodman, F.M.; Jorgensen, P.E.T.; Robinson, D.W., “*The Heat Semigroup and Integrability of Lie Algebras*”, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **79** (1988), 351-397;
- [5] Bratteli, O.; Robinson, D.W., “*Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics I*”, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2002;
- [6] Cabral, R.A.H.M., “*Lie Algebras of Linear Operators on Locally Convex Spaces*”, PhD Thesis, 2019; <https://teses.usp.br/teses/disponiveis/45/45132/tde-10102019-031720/pt-br.php>

<sup>8</sup>The author would like to thank professor Severino T. Melo for suggesting the study of the examples in this last paragraph.

- [7] Cabral, R.A.H.M., “*Exponentiation of Lie Algebras of Linear Operators on Locally Convex Spaces*”, 2019; <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.07931>
- [8] Cabral, R.A.H.M.; Melo, S.T., “*Operators with Analytic Orbit for the Torus Action*”, *Studia Mathematica* **243** (2018), 243-250;
- [9] Calderón, A.P.; Vaillancourt, R., “*On the Boundedness of Pseudo-Differential Operators*”, *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan* **23** (1971), 374-378;
- [10] Cordes, H.O., “*On Pseudo-Differential Operators and Smoothness of Special Lie-Group Representations*”, *Manuscripta Mathematica* **28** (1979), 51-69;
- [11] Cordes, H.O., “*The Technique of Pseudodifferential Operators*”, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **202**, Cambridge University Press, 1995;
- [12] Engel, K.-J.; Nagel, R., “*One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*”, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **194**, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 2000;
- [13] Gårding, L., “*Note on Continuous Representations of Lie Groups*”, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **33** (1947), 331-332;
- [14] Goodman, R., “*Analytic and Entire Vectors for Representations of Lie Groups*”, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **143** (1969), 55-76;
- [15] Goodman, F.M.; Jorgensen, P.E.T., “*Lie Algebras of Unbounded Derivations*”, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **52** (1983), 369-384;
- [16] Harish-Chandra, “*Representations of a Semisimple Lie Group on a Banach Space. I*”, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **75** (1953), 185-243;
- [17] Hille, E.; Phillips, R.S. “*Functional Analysis and Semi-groups*”, American Mathematical Society **31**, 1957;
- [18] Komura, T., “*Semigroups of Operators in Locally Convex Spaces*”, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **2** (1968), 258-296;
- [19] Melo, S.T., “*Characterizations of Pseudodifferential Operators on the Circle*”, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **125** (1997), 1407-1412;
- [20] Moore, R.T., “*Measurable, Continuous and Smooth Vectors for Semigroups and Group Representations*”, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* **78**, 1968;
- [21] Nelson, E., “*Analytic Vectors*”, *Annals Of Mathematics* **70** (1959), 572-615;
- [22] Nelson, E.; Stinespring, W.F., “*Representation of Elliptic Operators in an Enveloping Algebra*”, *American Journal of Mathematics* **81** (1959), 547-560;
- [23] Poulsen, N.S., “*On  $C^\infty$ -Vectors and Intertwining Bilinear Forms for Representations of Lie Groups*”, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **9** (1972), 87-120;
- [24] Reed, M.; Simon, B., “*Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I: Functional Analysis*”, Academic Press, Inc., 1980;
- [25] Rusinek, J., “*Analytic Vectors and Generation of One-Parameter Groups*”, *Studia Mathematica* **LXXIX** (1984), 77-82;
- [26] Schmüdgen, K., “*Unbounded Operator Algebras and Representation Theory*”, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications* **37**, Springer Basel AG, 1990.