

A DISTRIBUTIVE LAW FOR COMPACT SYMMETRIC MULTICATEGORIES

SOPHIE RAYNOR

ABSTRACT. *Compact symmetric multicategories (CSMs)* generalise a number of operad-like devices, such as wheeled properads and modular operads, that admit a contraction operation as well as an operadic multiplication. They were introduced in 2011 by Joyal and Kock, who constructed them as the algebras for an endofunctor on a certain presheaf category. CSMs are known to exhibit strange behaviour, related to the contraction of units, that presents some difficulty for describing their combinatorics, and hence for proving a nerve theorem. Here, non-unital CSMs are constructed as algebras for a monad defined in terms of connected graphs, and this provides the foundation for a new construction of CSMs based on a distributive law. This enables a proof, in the style of Joyal and Kock, of a corresponding nerve theorem characterising CSMs in terms of a Segal condition.

INTRODUCTION

Compact symmetric multicategories (CSMs) were introduced by André Joyal and Joachim Kock in an extended abstract [19]. CSMs are operad-like devices that, as well as an operadic multiplication, admit a unary contraction operation. They generalise (in an appropriate sense) such structures as modular operads [12, 13], wheeled properads [14, 36], and small compact closed categories [20]. In fact, they may legitimately be described as ‘*the connected parts of compact closed categories*’ (see Section 5).¹

The project outlined in [19], like the works [14, 15, 16, 17], fits into two significant research strands of recent years: that of defining and classifying operad-like structures [23, 27], and that of describing their ∞ -analogues (for example [28, 8, 14, 15, 17]).² Whilst the concepts explored in [19] are firmly grounded in this context, Joyal and Kock’s construction of CSMs involved the introduction of a particularly innovative and elegant graphical toolkit.

However, there is a problem, related to contraction of multiplicative units, with one part of the construction outlined in [19].³ The present paper fills this gap. Using a modification of the CSM endofunctor of [19], the category of CSMs is constructed as the Eilenberg-Moore (EM) category of algebras for a composite monad, and a nerve theorem in the style of [4] is proved, characterising CSMs in terms of a Segal condition.

In the spirit of [19], the construction given in this paper relies only on basic categorical arguments. As such, it lays bare the combinatorics of ‘loops’, and, in particular, highlights the complications that may arise when working with contracted units.⁴ This work is complementary to [16], which also presents a monadic construction and nerve theorem for CSMs (there called *modular operads*). There, however, the constructions proceed ‘by hand’ so that, in [17], the authors are able to construct a suitable model category whose fibrant objects are *Segal CSMs (Segal modular operads)*, providing a model for $(\infty, 1)$ -CSMs.

The author acknowledges the support of Australian Research Council grants DP160101519 and FT160100393.

¹This statement is made precise in [30].

²There is a nice overview of the background to these ideas in the preface to [14].

³See Remark 3.4 of Subsection 3.1 for a discussion of the main theorem in [19, Section 6] and restated in [17, Theorem 4.1], in the context of this ‘*problem of loops*’

⁴For example, in proving a nerve theorem for wheeled properads [14, 15].

0.1. Definitions and context. *Graphical species* provide the suitable notion of ‘*coloured collection*’ on which the structure of a CSM may be defined [19, Section 4].

An (*involutive*) *palette* is a pair (C, ω) consisting of a set C and involution $\omega : C \rightarrow C$, and denoted by (C, ω) .⁵ Let \mathbf{Set} be the category of sets and set maps, and $\mathbf{FinSet}_{iso} \subset \mathbf{Set}$ be the groupoid of finite sets and bijections.

Given a palette (C, ω) , a (C, ω) -*coloured graphical species* is a presheaf $S : \mathbf{FinSet}_{iso}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, $X \mapsto S_X$, together with *projections* $p_x : S_X \rightarrow C$, defined for all X and all $x \in X$, that are equivariant with respect to the action of \mathbf{FinSet}_{iso} . An element $\phi \in S_X$ may be thought of as a *corolla* or *star graph* whose unique vertex is decorated by ϕ and whose ‘legs’ are indexed by X so that, for each $x \in X$, the corresponding leg is ‘coloured’ by $p_x(\phi)$ (as in Figure 0.1 below). Graphical species are the objects of a category \mathbf{GS} whose morphisms are given by pairs of palette maps and presheaf morphisms that respect all structure.

A (C, ω) -*coloured CSM* is a (C, ω) -coloured graphical species S together with two (partial) operations: the *multiplication* $\phi \diamond_{x,y} \psi \in S_{X \amalg Y}$ *along* (x, y) is defined for $\phi \in S_{X \amalg \{x\}}$ and $\psi \in S_{Y \amalg \{y\}}$ whenever $p_x(\phi) = \omega p_y(\psi)$, and, for any $\phi \in S_{X \amalg \{x,y\}}$ such that $p_x(\phi) = \omega p_y(\phi)$, there is a *contraction* $\zeta_{x,y}(\phi) \in S_X$ *of* ϕ *along* (x, y) . Multiplication and contraction are equivariant with respect to the \mathbf{FinSet}_{iso} action, and satisfy some axioms governing their composition.




FIGURE 1. CSM multiplication and contraction.

Crucially for the present work, CSM multiplication is *unital*: if a CSM S has palette (C, ω) , then, for each $c \in C$ of S , there is a unique element ι_c of S_2 that acts a c -coloured unit for the partial multiplication. In particular, $p_1(\iota_c) = c$ and $p_2(\iota_c) = \omega c$ and so $\zeta(\iota_c) \in S_0$ exists for all c . (It is these *contracted units* $\zeta(\iota_c)$ that present the challenge for describing the combinatorics of CSMs.)

CSMs are the objects in a category \mathbf{CSM} whose morphisms are morphisms of graphical species that respect the multiplication, contraction and units. They appear in a variety of contexts:

- **Wheeled properads.** For any palette (C, ω) , the terminal (C, ω) -coloured graphical species $Z^{(C, \omega)}$ given by

$$Z_X^{(C, \omega)} = C^X, \quad \text{and} \quad p_x(\underline{c})(x) = \underline{c}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X \text{ and } \underline{c} \in C^X,$$

⁵ I will use the single-word ‘*palette*’ in place of the more standard ‘*colour set*’.

trivially has the structure of a CSM. In particular, if σ_2 is the unique non-identity permutation on two elements, objects of the slice category $\text{CSM} \downarrow Z^{(2, \sigma_2)}$ are wheeled properads [14, 36]. (See Examples 1.43, 1.46, 3.60.)

- **Compact closed categories.** A (small) compact closed category $(\mathbf{C}, \otimes, 1, *)$ describes an $(\text{ob}(\mathbf{C}), *)$ -coloured CSM $S^{\mathbf{C}}$ by

$$S_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{C}} = \coprod_{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{C})^n} \mathbf{C}(c_1 \otimes \dots \otimes c_n, 1),$$

where, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, \mathbf{n} denotes the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Multiplication in $S^{\mathbf{C}}$ is induced by categorical composition in \mathbf{C} and contraction is obtained from the obvious compositions with ‘cup’ and ‘cap’. (Details are given in [30].)

- **Modular operads.** The ‘connected part’⁶ of the 2-dimensional cobordism category [33] is described by a one-coloured CSM Sur . Elements of $\text{Sur}_{\mathbf{n}}$ are, up to homeomorphism, compact oriented 2-manifolds with n closed boundary components.

Since the topological type of a compact oriented surface with boundary is determined only by its genus and number of boundary components, $\text{Sur}_{\mathbf{n}} = \{\mathcal{S}(g, n)\}_{g \in \mathbb{N}} \cong \mathbb{N}$ for all n . The multiplication \diamond in Sur , which corresponds to gluing two surfaces along a pair of boundary components, is additive on genus, whereas the contraction ζ , corresponding to gluing two distinct boundary components of a single surface, raises the genus by one.

$$\mathcal{S}(g_1, m) \diamond \mathcal{S}(g_2, n) = \mathcal{S}(g_1 + g_2, m + n - 2), \text{ and } \zeta(\mathcal{S}(g, n')) = \mathcal{S}(g + 1, n' - 2).$$

In particular, the cylinder $\mathcal{S}(0, 2)$ is the unit for \diamond . It is clear how this may be generalised to more interesting geometric structures on surfaces.

This example illustrates the relationship of CSMs, described as ‘*coloured modular operads*’ in the abstract of [19], to (single-coloured) *modular operads* as originally defined by Getzler and Kapranov [12]. The latter are bigraded (by ‘genus’ and ‘boundary components’) objects $M = \{M(g, n)\}_{g, n}$ equipped with an additive-on-genus multiplication and a contraction that increases the genus by one. A further ‘*stability condition*’ – that says that $M(g, n)$ is only defined when $2g + n - 2 \leq 0$ – implies that modular operads in the sense of [12], unlike the CSMs in this paper, are non-unital.

[10] consider related 2-coloured stable modular operads arising from gluing of surfaces along *open and closed* boundary components. A sequel paper [9] gives many of the same constructions, but this time in terms of open-closed 2 cobordism categories.

- In recent years, a number of authors have considered (generalised) operadic methods in the context of applications involving networks (for example [32, 1]). Inspired by ideas from neuroscience, (specifically brain plasticity), [18] describe topological categories of weighted directed graphs where connections may be broken and created. Another motivation for studying such structures comes from understanding varying effects of noise in a network of signal connections. Using the methods described in this paper and [30], the categories of [18] may be obtained as free objects in an EM category of algebras for a composite monad on *topological graphical species*.

As demonstrated by the examples above, [19] represents a break from the standard of studying *directed* (e.g. wheeled properads) and *undirected* (e.g. modular operads) families of operads separately. The definition of CSMs in terms of an involutive palette means that these are specific instances of one and the same construction,⁷ and is a direct consequence of Joyal and Kock’s simple but innovative definition

⁶See Section 5 and [30].

⁷Compare, for example, [14] and [16, 17], but also [15] and [11] mentioned below. In each case, the earlier work involved palettes with trivial involution.

of graphs, also adopted in [16, 17]. (See [22] and [2, Section 15] for a comparison of Joyal-Kock graphs and, for example, the graphs used in [14, 6].)

Moreover, where similar approaches to constructing operad families involve restrictions to a given palette, Joyal and Kock’s definition of graphs enables the construction of the category \mathbf{CSM} of all CSMs of all palettes, as the EM category of algebras for a single monad on \mathbf{GS} . This makes CSMs accessible to a nerve theorem in the style of [4, 35]. And, unlike palette-specific constructions – that do have the advantage of being easily generalisable to other enriching categories, but require more data and often proceed ‘by hand’ – the method of [19] is based entirely on simple diagrams of finite sets and some basic categorical constructions. (See also [17, Remark 2.15].)

This approach has implications beyond generality, and beyond CSMs. For example, the category of simply connected graphs used in [15] does not imbed fully in the category of cyclic operads (see [15, ex. 5.7, rmk. 5.10]). This is not the case in [11] where cyclic operads are defined in terms of an involutive palette. (The implications of an involutive palette in such constructions are also discussed in some detail in [17, p. 2].)

0.2. Outline of the problem, and summary of the construction. As usual, let Δ denote the simplex category of finite ordinals $[n]$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$) and order preserving maps, and $\mathbf{sSet} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{PSh}(\Delta)$ is the category of preheaves on Δ , or *simplicial sets*. Objects of Δ may be viewed as directed linear graphs $[n] = \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n \rightarrow \cdot$.

The classical nerve theorem for categories (see e.g. [31]) states that there is a fully faithful functor $\mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ whose essential image consists of precisely those $P \in \mathbf{sSet}$ that satisfy the Segal condition: for $n \geq 1$, each n -cell in P_n is an n -fold fibred product of 1-cells.

$$P_n \cong \underbrace{P_1 \times_{P_0} \cdots \times_{P_0} P_1}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

In general, if \mathbb{M} is monad on a category \mathbf{C} with dense subcategory $\mathbf{D} \subset \mathbf{C}$, then the canonical identity on objects/fully faithful factorisation

$$\mathbf{D} \xrightarrow{\text{i.o.}} \mathbf{D}^{\mathbb{M}} \xrightarrow{\text{f.f.}} \mathbf{C}^{\mathbb{M}}$$

induces a nerve functor

$$N_{\mathbb{M}} : \mathbf{C}^{\mathbb{M}} \rightarrow \mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{D}^{\mathbb{M}}), \quad d \mapsto \mathbf{C}^{\mathbb{M}}(-, d)$$

from the EM category $\mathbf{C}^{\mathbb{M}}$ of \mathbb{M} , to the presheaf category $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{D}^{\mathbb{M}})$ on $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbb{M}}$.

In [4, Sections 1,2], it is shown that if \mathbb{M} has certain properties – specifically, if it preserves certain colimits and therefore is said to ‘*have arities \mathbf{D}* ’ – then this nerve $N_{\mathbb{M}}$ is fully faithful and its essential image is characterised by a Segal condition.

[19, Section 2] gives a concise outline of the situation for categories and the role of the free category functor $\mathbf{PSh}([0] \rightrightarrows [1]) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$. It is shown that, in the context of [4], the classical nerve theorem corresponds to the statement that the category of linear graphs and ‘*successor-preserving*’ maps provides arities for the free category monad on $\mathbf{PSh}([0] \rightrightarrows [1])$.

The combinatorics of CSMs are related to connected graphs (admitting cycles) as categories are related to directed linear graphs. Hence, to obtain a suitable ‘generalisation’ of the nerve theorem to CSMs, [19] defines a category of \mathbf{elGr} of *elementary graphs* whose presheaf category $\mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{elGr})$ is precisely \mathbf{GS} , and whose role is analogous to that of the full subcategory $([0] \rightrightarrows [1]) \subset \Delta$ in the classical nerve theorem. Moreover, \mathbf{elGr} embeds densely in a larger category \mathbf{Gr} of *connected graphs*, and, there is a canonical chain of fully faithful embeddings

$$\mathbf{elGr} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Gr} \xrightarrow{\text{dense}} \mathbf{GS} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{Gr}),$$

where the last of these describes how to ‘*decorate*’ a graph \mathcal{G} by elements of a graphical species S .

In particular, if \mathbb{M} is a monad on \mathbf{GS} whose EM category is of algebras is isomorphic to \mathbf{CSM} , the Segal condition says that a presheaf $S \in \mathbf{PSh}(\mathbf{Gr}^{\mathbb{M}})$ is a CSM precisely when

$$(0.1) \quad S(\mathcal{G}) = \lim_{(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \mathbf{elGr} \downarrow \mathcal{G}} S(\mathcal{C}), \text{ for all } \mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{ob}(\mathbf{Gr}).$$

Unfortunately, though there is a monad on \mathbf{GS} whose algebras are CSMs (see Section 3.5), it does not have arities \mathbf{Gr} . So, without modification, the theory of [4] does not apply to CSMs.

A brief sketch of the obstruction is worthwhile. As with related constructions, such as [27] and [15] (and also the free category monad [19, Section 2]), monadic multiplication for the CSM monad on \mathbf{GS} is described in terms of a rule for substituting the vertices of a given graph by other graphs.




FIGURE 2. Graph substitution.

[19] obtain multiplicative units of CSMs by *degenerate* substitution of bivalent vertices by the exceptional ‘*stick*’ graph (i) with no vertices. However, together with CSM contraction, this implies the existence of a special case arising from a degenerate substitution into the unique vertex of the *wheel with one vertex* \mathcal{W} .

In other formalisms (for example [14, 26, 36]) – where graph substitution is defined via orderings on the graph ‘legs’ and the edges incident at each vertex – this substitution results in an *exceptional loop* with no vertices, that, in order to define the monadic multiplication, must be included as a graph in the formalism.⁸




FIGURE 3. Visualising the exceptional loop \bigcirc .

The situation in [19], where graph substitution is defined in terms of colimits of certain small diagrams, is somewhat more subtle: the degenerate substitution into the unique vertex of the wheel actually results

⁸ This is generally unproblematic, though the loop must still be treated with care. For example, it is an obstruction to the *wheeled graphical category* Γ_{\bigcirc} (which generalises the *dendroidal category* Ω of [28]) of [14] being Reedy (though this is simply remedied in [15]) and it has an ambiguous role in the proof of the corresponding nerve theorem [14, 15].

in the stick graph (i)!⁹ The loop arises because, in this one special case, the construction does not respect the notion of graph equivalence required to define the monad multiplication. Moreover, it is not possible to resolve this issue by adding the appropriate (loop) equivalence class to the category Gr , since the resulting category does not embed in GS . (See Subsection 3.1.)

Nonetheless, by forbidding degenerate substitutions, the single problematic case of graph substitution is eliminated, and a well-defined monad \mathbb{T} on GS is obtained. Algebras for \mathbb{T} are almost CSMs – except that they do not admit units for the multiplication.

Fortunately, just as the free category monad on directed graphs admits a decomposition, via a distributive law, into a monad that governs (semi-)categorical composition and one that adjoins distinguished ‘unit morphisms’, the same is true for the CSM monad on GS . It is quite straightforward to construct a monad \mathbb{D} on GS that adjoins distinguished elements to a graphical species S according to the defining properties of multiplicative units, and *also* their contractions, and to define a distributive law $\mathbb{T}\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}$ with the desired features.

Theorem A. *The EM category of algebras for the composite monad $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}$ on GS is precisely the category CSM of CSMs.*

Since $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}$ does not have arities Gr , it is not immediately obvious how to prove a nerve theorem for this composite monad $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}$ in the style of [4]. However, [3, Section 3] implies the following corollary.

Corollary A. *There is a monad \mathbb{T}_* on $\text{GS}_* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{GS}^{\mathbb{D}}$ whose EM category of algebras $\text{GS}_*^{\mathbb{T}_*}$ is canonically isomorphic to CSM.*

In particular, there are categories elGr_* , Gr_* and $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$, obtained via canonical factorisations, such that the following diagram of functors (where all the horizontal inclusions are full) commutes.

$$(0.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_* & \hookrightarrow & \text{CSM} & \xrightarrow{N} & \text{PSh}(\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*) \\ & & \uparrow \text{i.o.} & & \uparrow \text{free} \downarrow \text{forget} & & \downarrow j^* \\ \text{elGr}_* & \hookrightarrow & \text{Gr}_* & \hookrightarrow & \text{GS}_* & \hookrightarrow & \text{PSh}(\text{Gr}_*) \\ & & \uparrow \text{i.o.} & & \uparrow \text{free} \downarrow \text{forget} & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{elGr} & \hookrightarrow & \text{Gr} & \hookrightarrow & \text{GS} & \hookrightarrow & \text{PSh}(\text{Gr}). \end{array}$$

This leads to the desired nerve theorem and Segal condition (Theorem 4.3 in the text).

Theorem B. *The monad \mathbb{T}_* has arities Gr_* and hence the nerve functor $N : \text{CSM} \rightarrow \text{PSh}(\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*)$ is fully faithful. Its essential image consists of precisely those presheaves on $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ that satisfy the Segal condition. In other words, a presheaf S on $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ is in the essential image of N if and only if $S(\mathcal{G}) = \lim_{\text{elGr} \downarrow \mathcal{G}} S$ for all graphs \mathcal{G} .¹⁰*

⁹In [17], the stick and the loop have the same description as diagrams of finite sets and are distinguished from each other only by specifying their ‘boundary’. Though more data is required to define the graphs, this is a clever approach to solving the issue of loops, at least in order to obtain a well-defined monad, though not sufficient to obtain a Weber-style nerve theorem [4, 35].

¹⁰Corollary A and Theorem B first appeared in [29], though without explicit reference to the distributive law. See Remark 3.4 for how these results relate to [19, Section 6] and [17, Theorem 4.1].

0.3. Structure of the paper. The first section of the paper introduces the categories $\text{elGr} \subset \text{Gr} \subset \text{GS}$. Most of this section stays very close to the original construction of [19]. Since this was just a short note, it contained very few proofs, and so most of the results underlying the graph constructions are proved in full here.

Rather than immediately describing the construction in [19] and the problem of loops, Section 2 is devoted to a description of the monad $\mathbb{T} = (T, \mu, \eta)$ on GS – obtained by a slight modification of the CSM endofunctor in [19] – whose algebras are *non-unital CSMs*.

In Section 3, the focus turns to the construction of (unital) CSMs. It is from this point that the distinct approach of this work – as compared with [19, 16, 17] and other related work – becomes clear. Subsection 3.1 explains the ‘*problem of loops*’ in [19] in more detail, and it is shown why the ‘obvious’ fix will not work. In Subsection 3.2, important properties of multiplicative units *and their contractions* are encoded via the addition of morphisms to the category of elementary graphs elGr . The resulting category $\text{elGr}_* \supset \text{elGr}$ of *pointed* elementary graphs embeds in a new category $\text{Gr}_* \supset \text{Gr}$ of *pointed graphs*, obtained via a factorisation. This category Gr_* and, therein some surprising morphisms that appear to encode the original problem of loops (Subsection 3.1), are explained in detail in Subsections 3.3 and 3.4. The category GS_* is, itself, the EM category of algebras for a monad \mathbb{D} on GS that adjoins (contracted) units. In Subsection 3.5 it is shown that there is a distributive law $\lambda : \mathbb{T}\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}$ and, moreover, that the composite $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}$ is the desired CSM-monad on GS , and hence prove Theorem A and Corollary A.

In Section 4, the proof of the nerve theorem B (Theorem 4.3 in the main text), by showing that the monad \mathbb{T}_* from Corollary A has arities Gr_* , proceeds without problems, and relies heavily on the more unusual features of the category Gr_* . The desired nerve theorem and Segal condition in terms of Gr and GS then follows using Diagram 0.2.

0.4. Acknowledgements. This work owes its existence to the ideas of A. Joyal and J. Kock and I thank Joachim for taking time to speak with me about it. P. Hackney, M. Robertson and D. Yau’s work has been an invaluable resource, and conversations with Marcy have been particularly helpful. The article builds on my PhD research at the University of Aberdeen, UK and funded by the EPFL, Switzerland, and I thank my supervisors R. Levi and K. Hess. Thanks to the members of the Centre for Australian Category Theory at Macquarie University for providing the ideal mathematical home for these results to mature.

1. GRAPHS AND GRAPHICAL SPECIES

1.1. Feynman graphs. Following Joyal and Kock [19], a (*Feynman*) *graph* \mathcal{G} is a diagram of finite sets

$$\tau \circlearrowleft E \longleftarrow^s H \longrightarrow^t V$$

such that $s : H \rightarrow E$ is injective and $\tau : E \rightarrow E$ is an involution without fixed points.

The set V is called the *vertex set* of \mathcal{G} and E is called the *edge set* of \mathcal{G} . The elements of H are called *half edges* of \mathcal{G} and $h \in H$ will often be written as an ordered pair $h = (s(h), t(h))$. The set of τ -orbits in E is denoted E/τ and $\tilde{e} \in E/\tau$ denotes the image of $e \in E$ under the quotient $q : E \rightarrow E/\tau$.¹¹

Let $\mathbf{n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$. The empty set \emptyset will also be denoted $\mathbf{0}$.

Example 1.1. The *stick graph* (i) has no vertices and edge set $\mathbf{2} = \{1, 2\}$.

$$(i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \circlearrowleft \mathbf{2} \longleftarrow \mathbf{0} \longrightarrow \mathbf{0}.$$

¹¹This definition contrasts with, for example [6], where leaves of the graph are defined as fixed points for the involution on E . A good comparison of various graph formalisms, including that of [19], can be found in [2, Section 15].

Example 1.2. Let X be a finite set. The (Feynman) X -corolla \mathcal{C}_X has the form

$$\mathcal{C}_X : \quad \tau_X \circlearrowleft X \amalg X^H \xleftarrow{s_X} X^H \longrightarrow \{*\}$$

where X^H is a copy of X and $s_X : X^H \hookrightarrow X^H \amalg X$ is the inclusion. The involution τ_X takes an element in X to the same element in X^H .

Remark 1.3. A graph \mathcal{G} can be realised geometrically by a one-dimensional space $|\mathcal{G}|$ where the set $\{*_v\}_{v \in V}$ is the set of 0-cells of $|\mathcal{G}|$ and, for each $e \in E$, we take a copy $[0, \frac{1}{2}]_e$ of the interval $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and identify

- $0_{s(h)} \sim *_t(h)$ for $h \in H$,
- $(\frac{1}{2})_e \sim (\frac{1}{2})_{\tau e}$ for all $e \in E$.

Example 1.4. Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_1$ be the wheel graph with one vertex

$$\circlearrowleft \{e, \tau e\} \xleftarrow{s} \{h^e, h^{\tau e}\} \longrightarrow \{*\}.$$

All edges of \mathcal{W} are inner edges. It has the realisation

$$|\mathcal{W}| : \quad \text{A circle with a central dot.}$$

Definition 1.5. An inner edge of \mathcal{G} is an element $e \in E$ such that the τ -orbit $\{e, \tau e\}$ of e is contained in the image of s . The set of inner edges of \mathcal{G} is denoted E_I .

The set $E_0 = E - \text{im}(s)$ is the set of ports of \mathcal{G} .

Example 1.6. The stick graph (I) has no internal edges and all edges are ports. That is $E(\text{I}) = E_0(\text{I})$. For a finite set X , $E_0(\mathcal{C}_X) = X$ and $E_I(\mathcal{C}_X) = \emptyset$. All edges of the wheel graph \mathcal{W} are internal $E_0(\mathcal{W}) = \emptyset$ and $E_I(\mathcal{W}) = E(\mathcal{W})$.

Let \mathcal{G} be a graph. For each $v \in V$, let $H\{v\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} t^{-1}(v) \subset H$, and $E\{v\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s(H\{v\})$. There is a natural grading $V = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n$ of V , where $V_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \in V \mid |H\{v\}| = n\}$. So V_0 is the set of *isolated vertices* of \mathcal{G} . The pullback along t induces gradings $H = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}} H_n$ and $E = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ where $H_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} t^{-1}(V_n)$ and $E_n = s(H_n)$ for $n \geq 1$.

The category Gr^\sharp has Feynman graphs as objects. Morphisms are triples of finite set maps

$$f = (f_E, f_H, f_V) \in \text{Gr}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$$

such that diagrams of the form

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{G} & & E & \xleftarrow{\tau} & E & \xleftarrow{s} & H & \xrightarrow{t} & V \\ f \downarrow & & f_E \downarrow & & f_E \downarrow & & f_H \downarrow & & f_V \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}' & & E' & \xleftarrow{\tau'} & E' & \xleftarrow{s'} & H' & \xrightarrow{t'} & V' \end{array}$$

commute. In particular, $\tau' f(e) = f(\tau e)$ for all $e \in E$.

Definition 1.8. If \mathcal{G} is a graph with edge set E , then the morphism $1 \mapsto e \in E$ in $\text{Gr}^\sharp(\text{I}, \mathcal{G})$ that ‘chooses’ $e \in E$ is denoted ch_e , or sometimes $ch_e^{\mathcal{G}}$.

The map $E \rightarrow \text{Gr}(\text{I}, \mathcal{G})$, $e \mapsto ch_e$ is a bijection for all graphs \mathcal{G} .

Lemma 1.9. (Corresponds to [21, Proposition 1.1.11].) For any morphism $f = (f_E, f_H, f_V) \in \text{Gr}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$, the map f_H is completely determined by f_E . Moreover if $V_0 = \emptyset$, then f_E also determines f_V .

Proof. By injectivity of s , $f_H(h) = s'^{-1}f_Es(h)$ is well-defined for $h \in H$. If $V_0 = \emptyset$, then for each $v \in V$, $H\{v\}$ is non-empty and $f_V(v) = t's'^{-1}f_Es(h)$ does not depend on the choice of $h \in H\{v\}$. \square

Definition 1.10. A weak injection $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ is a morphism $w \in \text{Gr}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ for which w_V and w_H , but not necessarily w_E , are injective.

Remark 1.11. A morphism in Gr^\sharp is a weak injection precisely if it is a monomorphism.

Definition 1.12. A morphism $f \in \text{Gr}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ is called *étale* if the righthand square in the defining diagram

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G} & & E & \xleftarrow{\tau} & E & \xleftarrow{s} & H & \xrightarrow{t} & V \\ f \downarrow & & f_E \downarrow & & f_E \downarrow & & f_H \downarrow & & f_V \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}' & & E' & \xleftarrow{\tau'} & E' & \xleftarrow{s'} & H' & \xrightarrow{t'} & V' \end{array}$$

is a pullback in the category FinSet of finite sets and set maps.

The category $\text{Gr}_{et}^\sharp \subset \text{Gr}^\sharp$ is the wide subcategory of Feynman graphs and étale morphisms.

The following proposition is immediate from the definition and tells us that the étale morphisms are precisely those that *preserve (vertex) velancy*.

Proposition 1.14. A morphism $f \in \text{Gr}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ is étale if and only if, for all $v \in V$, f_H induces a bijection $H\{v\} \xrightarrow{\cong} H\{f(v)\}$, that is

$$H\{v\} \cong f_H(H\{v\}) \quad \text{and} \quad f_H(H\{v\}) = H\{f(v)\}.$$

In particular, for composable morphisms f, g in Gr^\sharp , if any two of f, g and $g \circ f$ are étale, then so is the third.

Let diag be the small category with objects and non-identity morphisms given by $\bigcirc \bullet \longleftarrow \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet$. The functor category $\text{GrShape} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Fun}(\text{diag}, \text{FinSet})$ is the category of *graph-like diagrams* in FinSet .

Clearly, there are inclusions of categories $\text{Gr}_{et}^\sharp \subset^{\text{wide}} \text{Gr}^\sharp \subset^{\text{full}} \text{GrShape}$ and Gr^\sharp and Gr_{et}^\sharp inherit a cocartesian monoidal structure from GrShape where the coproduct $+$ is induced pointwise by disjoint union of sets, and the initial object is the empty graph $\emptyset \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\emptyset \leftarrow \emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset)$.

Since FinSet admits finite (co)limits, so does GrShape , and these (co)limits can be computed pointwise (see, for example [5, Proposition 2.15.1]). A (co)limit in Gr^\sharp is a (co)limit in GrShape since the inclusion $\text{Gr}^\sharp \subset \text{GrShape}$ is full. By the two out of three property for étale morphisms (Proposition 1.14) (co)limits in Gr_{et}^\sharp , when they exist, correspond under inclusion to (co)limits in GrShape .

Definition 1.15. A graph \mathcal{G} is *connected* if, for every finite sum of graphs $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i$,

$$(1.16) \quad \text{Gr}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}_i) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Gr}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}_i).$$

Definition 1.17. A (connected) component of a graph \mathcal{G} is a maximal connected subgraph of \mathcal{G} .

A second characterisation of connectedness is sometimes useful.

Lemma 1.18. A graph $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr})$ is connected if and only if for each $f \in \text{GrShape}(\mathcal{G}, \star + \star)$, the pullback \mathcal{P} in GrShape , of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
\star & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_1} & \star + \star
\end{array}$$

is either the empty graph-like diagram \emptyset or isomorphic to \mathcal{G} itself.

Proof. For any $\sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}^\sharp)$, and $1 \leq j \leq k$, let $p_j \in \text{GrShape}(\sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i, \star + \star)$ be the morphism that projects \mathcal{H}_j onto the first summand, and $\sum_{i \neq j} \mathcal{H}_i$ onto the second summand. Then, for any graph \mathcal{G} and any $f \in \text{Gr}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i)$, the diagram

$$(1.19) \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{P}_j & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
\mathcal{H}_j & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_j} & \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow p_j \\
\star & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}_1} & \star + \star
\end{array}$$

where the top square is a pullback, commutes in GrShape . Since the lower square is a pullback by construction, so is the outer rectangle.

In particular, if \mathcal{G} satisfies the condition of the lemma, then \mathcal{P}_j is either empty or isomorphic to \mathcal{G} itself. But this implies that there is some unique $1 \leq j \leq k$ such that f factors through the inclusion $\text{inc}_j \in \text{Gr}^\sharp(\mathcal{H}_j, \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i)$. In other words, $\text{Gr}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i) = \coprod_{i=1}^k \text{Gr}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}_i)$.

Conversely, observe that any morphism $f \in \text{GrShape}(\mathcal{G}, \star + \star)$ factors as a morphism $\tilde{f} \in \text{Gr}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{W})$ followed by the componentwise projection $\mathcal{W} + \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \star + \star$ in GrShape (where \tilde{f} is unique if and only if $E(\mathcal{G}) = \emptyset$). So, let $\sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{W}$ in Diagram 1.19. Then, if \mathcal{G} is connected, $\mathcal{P}_j = \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{P}_j \cong \mathcal{G}$ for $j = 1, 2$ so \mathcal{G} satisfies the condition of the lemma. \square

Definition 1.20. *The category Gr is the full subcategory of Gr_{et}^\sharp whose objects are connected.*

Remark 1.21. Clearly Gr does not inherit the monoidal structure from Gr_{et}^\sharp . In contrast to Gr^\sharp and Gr_{et}^\sharp , it is also not closed under pullbacks.

Example 1.22. For $k \geq 0$, let \mathcal{L}^k be the connected *line graph with k vertices* such that $V(\mathcal{L}^k) = V_2(\mathcal{L}^k)$, and $E_0(\mathcal{L}^k) \cong \mathbf{2}$.

$$\mathcal{L}^k = \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \mathbf{2} + 2\mathbf{k} \longleftarrow 2\mathbf{k} \longrightarrow \mathbf{k} \end{array}$$

In particular, there is an ordering $(v_i)_{i=1}^k$ on $V(\mathcal{L}^k)$ such that $E(\mathcal{L}^k) = \coprod_{i=1}^k \{e_i, \tau e_i\}$ with $e_1 = 1 \in E_0$ and $\tau e_k = 2 \in E_0$, and $E\{v_i\} = \{\tau e_i, e_{i+1}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

For $k > k'$, $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{L}^k, \mathcal{L}^{k'}) = \emptyset$ and, if $k \leq k'$, then $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{L}^k, \mathcal{L}^{k'}) \cong 2(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k})$. Informally, a morphism in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{L}^k, \mathcal{L}^{k'})$ corresponds to a choice of ‘oriented’ inclusion of \mathcal{L}^k in $\mathcal{L}^{k'}$.

Example 1.23. For $l \geq 1$, \mathcal{W}^l is the connected *wheel graph with l vertices*, and such that $V(\mathcal{W}^l) = V_2(\mathcal{W}^l)$ and $E_0(\mathcal{W}^l) = \emptyset$.

$$\mathcal{W}^l = \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \mathbf{2}\mathbf{l} \longleftarrow \mathbf{2}\mathbf{l} \longrightarrow \mathbf{l} \end{array}$$

So, with the labelling of edges described in Example 1.22, $\mathcal{W}^l \cong \mathcal{L}^l / (e_1 \sim \tau e_l)$.

For $l, m \geq 1$, $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{W}^l, \mathcal{W}^m) = \emptyset$ if m does not divide l . If m does divide l then $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{W}^l, \mathcal{W}^m) \cong 2\mathbf{m}$. For all $k \geq 0, m \geq 1$, $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{L}^k, \mathcal{W}^m) \cong 2\mathbf{m}$.

1.2. Elementary graphs and essential categories.

Definition 1.24. *An elementary graph is a connected, non-empty Feynman graph without inner edges. The category elGr is the full subcategory of Gr whose objects are elementary graphs.*

Elementary graphs with one vertex are corollas. Up to isomorphism, the stick graph (i) is the only elementary graph with no vertices.

Since there are no connected graphs with multiple vertices and no internal edges, and étale morphisms preserve vertex valency, $\text{elGr} \subset \text{Gr}$ is completely described by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{elGr}(i, \mathcal{C}) & \begin{cases} = \{id, \tau\} & \mathcal{C} = (i), \\ = \{ch_x\}_{x \in X} \amalg \{ch_x \circ \tau\}_{x \in X} & \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_X, X \text{ a finite set,} \end{cases} \\ \text{elGr}(\mathcal{C}_X, \mathcal{C}_Y) & = \text{FinSet}_{iso}(X, Y), \quad \text{for finite sets } X, Y. \end{aligned}$$

Elementary graphs form the basic building blocks from which all other graphs are built. In the graphical construction of CSMs, the role of elGr is analogous to that of $([0] \rightrightarrows [1]) \subset \Delta$ for categories. In particular, the appropriate Segal condition for CSMs will be defined in terms of elGr .

Remark 1.25. The constructions in this paper are given in terms of the category $\text{elGr} \supset \text{FinSet}_{iso}$ rather than its skeleton Σ^+ , the full subcategory on the objects (i) and $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. It greatly simplifies the description of the multiplication and contraction operations to work with arbitrary finite sets rather than finite cardinals. Moreover, many of the constructions used here involve elementary graphs whose ports have a canonical labelling by an unordered finite set.

For any graph \mathcal{G} , let $\text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{elGr} \downarrow \mathcal{G}$ be the restriction to elGr of the slice above \mathcal{G} in $\text{Gr}_{\text{ét}}^\sharp$. We may define the category $\text{es}(\mathcal{G}) \subset \text{el}(\mathcal{G})$ of canonical or *essential elements* of \mathcal{G} . Objects of $\text{es}(\mathcal{G})$ are indexed by $E/\tau \amalg V$ and non-trivial morphisms are indexed by H .

Precisely, for $v \in V$, denote the formal involution of $E\{v\}$ by $P\{v\} \xrightarrow{\tau(v)} E\{v\}$, and let $\mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}}$ be the corresponding corolla. The inclusion $E\{v\} \subset E$ induces a weak subgraph $\mathbf{v} : \mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. For each $e \in E$, $\tilde{\mathbf{e}} : (i_{\tilde{e}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the canonical inclusion of the stick graph $(i_{\tilde{e}})$ with edge set $\{e, \tau e\} \subset E$.

If $h = (e, v) \in H$, then $s(h) = e$ is the unique element in the intersection $E(i_{\tilde{e}}) \cap E(\mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}}) \cap E$. So, h describes the unique morphism $\mathbf{h} \in \text{elGr}(i_{\tilde{e}}, \mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}})$ above \mathcal{G} that fixes e .

Definition 1.26. *For a graph \mathcal{G} , the category $\text{es}(\mathcal{G})$ of essential elements of \mathcal{G} is the full subcategory of $\text{el}(\mathcal{G})$ whose objects are of the form \mathbf{x} (or $\mathbf{x}^{\mathcal{G}}$) with $x \in E/\tau \amalg V$, and whose non-identity morphisms are of the form $\mathbf{h} : \tilde{\mathbf{e}} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ for $h = (e, v) \in H$.*

Remark 1.27. Since the restriction of the domain functor $\text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{elGr}$ is faithful on $\text{es}(\mathcal{G})$, $\text{es}(\mathcal{G})$ may be regarded interchangeably as a subcategory of elGr .

Lemma 1.28. *Let \mathcal{G} be a graph. Then $\text{es}(\mathcal{G})$ is a skeleton for $\text{el}(\mathcal{G})$.*

Proof. The essential category $\text{es}(\mathcal{G})$ is skeletal by definition. Each $(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr} \downarrow \mathcal{G})$ factors uniquely as an isomorphism followed by some \mathbf{x} in $\text{es}(\mathcal{G})$ so the inclusion is essentially surjective on objects.

To see that the inclusion is full, let $\tilde{e} \in E/\tau$ and $v \in V$. A morphism $g \in \text{elGr} \downarrow \mathcal{G}(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{v})$ is given by a commuting diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (i_{\tilde{e}}) & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}} \\ & \searrow \tilde{\mathbf{e}} & \swarrow \mathbf{v} \\ & \mathcal{G} & \end{array}$$

where g fixes an element of $\{e, \tau e\}$. Therefore $g = \mathbf{h}$ for $h = (e, v)$ (or $h = (\tau e, v)$) in $H(\mathcal{G})$. Hence the inclusion $\text{es}(\mathcal{G}) \hookrightarrow \text{elGr} \downarrow \mathcal{G}$ is also full and therefore an equivalence. \square

It is also useful to describe the inverse of the inclusion $\text{es}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{elGr} \downarrow \mathcal{G}$.

Definition 1.29. *The essential retract of $\text{elGr} \downarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the functor $[\cdot]^\mathcal{G} : \text{elGr} \downarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{es}(\mathcal{G})$ described by $[\mathcal{C}, b]^\mathcal{G} = \mathbf{x}$ where $b = \mathbf{x} \circ b_{\text{iso}} \in \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^\sharp(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{G})$ and b_{iso} is an isomorphism in elGr .*

So, a morphism $f \in \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ induces a functor $\text{es}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{es}(\mathcal{G}')$ by $\mathbf{x} \mapsto [f \circ \mathbf{x}]^{\mathcal{G}'}$.

Proposition 1.30. *The inclusion $\text{elGr} \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^\sharp$ is dense. That is, for all graphs \mathcal{G}*

$$\mathcal{G} = \text{colim}_{(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G})} \mathcal{C}$$

canonically.

Proof. By Lemma 1.28, it suffices to prove that

$$\mathcal{G} = \text{colim}_{\mathbf{x} \in \text{es}(\mathcal{G})} \text{dom}(\mathbf{x}).$$

By definition, $\text{es}(\mathcal{G})$ forms a cocone in $\text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^\sharp$ above \mathcal{G} and it follows immediately from the definitions that this is universal. \square

1.3. Graphical Species. The inclusion $\Phi : \text{elGr} \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}$ induces a *geometric morphism* (e.g. by [25, Theorem 2, page 359]),

$$\Phi_* : \text{PSh}(\text{elGr}) \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ \perp \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} \text{PSh}(\text{Gr}) : \Phi^*$$

where

$$\Phi^* : \text{PSh}(\text{Gr}) \rightarrow \text{PSh}(\text{elGr}), \quad P \mapsto (\mathcal{C} \mapsto P(\Phi \mathcal{C})), \quad \mathcal{C} \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr})$$

is the pullback, and Φ_* is the right Kan extension along Φ of a presheaf S on elGr to a presheaf on Gr

$$(1.31) \quad \Phi_* : \text{PSh}(\text{elGr}) \rightarrow \text{PSh}(\text{Gr}), \quad S \mapsto (\mathcal{G} \mapsto \lim_{(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G})} S(\mathcal{C})).$$

By [25,], Φ^* also has a left adjoint $\Phi_! \dashv \Phi^*$

$$(1.32) \quad \Phi_! : \text{PSh}(\text{elGr}) \rightarrow \text{PSh}(\text{Gr}), \quad S \mapsto \left(\mathcal{G} \mapsto \begin{cases} S(\mathcal{G}) & \mathcal{G}' \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr}) \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right)$$

and $\Phi_*, \Phi_! : \text{PSh}(\text{elGr}) \rightarrow \text{PSh}(\text{Gr})$ are fully faithful since $\Phi : \text{elGr} \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}$ is [25, pages 377 and 378].

Since Φ is dense, the pullback $Y = \Phi^* y : \text{Gr} \rightarrow \text{PSh}(\text{elGr})$ of the Yoneda embedding $y : \text{Gr} \hookrightarrow \text{PSh}(\text{Gr})$ along Φ

$$Y : \mathcal{G} \mapsto (\mathcal{C} \mapsto \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{G}), \quad \mathcal{C} \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr}), \mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{G}))$$

is fully faithful (see e.g. [24, Chapter X., Section 6]).

The full inclusion $\Phi : \text{elGr} \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}$ canonically induces a Grothendieck topology J on Gr where, for each graph \mathcal{G} , a subfunctor $\mathcal{U} \subset y\mathcal{G}$ is a cover at \mathcal{G} for J if and only if $\Phi_! Y\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{U}$. Equivalently \mathcal{U} is a cover for J if precisely if

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{G}) \text{ for all } \mathcal{C} \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr}).$$

Definition 1.33. *Sheaves for (Gr, J) are called graphical species, and the category GS is the category of sheaves $\text{Sh}(\text{Gr}, J) \subset \text{PSh}(\text{Gr})$.*

Proposition 1.34. *The categories GS and $\text{PSh}(\text{elGr})$ are canonically isomorphic.*

Proof. A presheaf $S \in \text{PSh}(\text{Gr})$ is a sheaf for (Gr, J) , if and only if for all graphs \mathcal{G} ,

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathcal{G}) &\cong \text{PSh}(\text{Gr})(y\mathcal{G}, S) && \text{by Yoneda,} \\ &= \text{PSh}(\text{Gr})(\Phi_! Y\mathcal{G}, S) && \text{by definition of a sheaf for } J, \\ &= \text{PSh}(\text{elGr})(Y\mathcal{G}, \Phi^* S) && \text{since } \Phi^* \vdash \Phi_!, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that Φ_* induces an isomorphism $\text{PSh}(\text{elGr}) \cong \text{GS}$. □

So, a graphical species $S \in sh(\text{Gr}, J)$ with $S(i) = C$ and $S(\tau_{(i)}) = \omega$ is described by (C, ω) together with a set S_X , for every finite set X , equipped with projections $S(ch_x) : S_X \rightarrow C$, for each $x \in X$, and a right action of FinSet_{iso} : for each bijection $f : Y \xrightarrow{\cong} X$ of finite sets, there is an isomorphism $S_f : S_X \xrightarrow{\cong} S_Y$ that preserves the projections $S(ch_x)$.

Remark 1.35 (Remark on notation). It is convenient to abuse notation slightly and write $S(i) = (C, \omega)$. The pair (C, ω) is called the *(involutive) palette of S* and S a (C, ω) -coloured graphical species.

Definition 1.36. For a graphical species S , objects of the category $\text{el}(S)$ of elGr -elements of S are pairs (\mathcal{C}, ϕ) with $\mathcal{C} \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr})$ and $\phi \in S(\mathcal{C})$, and morphisms $(\mathcal{C}, \phi) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}', \phi')$ in $\text{el}(S)$ are morphisms $g \in \text{elGr}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}')$ such that $S(g)(\phi') = \phi$.

Remark 1.37 (Remark on notation). By an application of the Yoneda Lemma, the category $\text{el}(Y\mathcal{G})$ of elGr -elements, is canonically isomorphic to the slice category $\text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{elGr} \downarrow \mathcal{G}$, and these will not be distinguished notationally. In general, since Gr is a full subcategory of GS under Y , where there is no risk of confusion, I will henceforth write $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{GS})$ rather than $Y\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{GS})$.

Example 1.38. If $Z \in \text{ob}(\text{GS})$ is the terminal graphical species $Z \in \text{ob}(\text{GS})$, then $Z(\mathcal{C}) = \{*\}$ for all $\mathcal{C} \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr})$.

Definition 1.39. For each element $\underline{c} = (c_x)_{x \in X} \in C^X$, the \underline{c} -coloured arity $S_{\underline{c}}$ is the fibre above $\underline{c} \in C^X$ of the map $(S(ch_x))_{x \in X} : S_X \rightarrow C^X$.

Definition 1.40. A morphism $\gamma \in \text{GS}(S, S')$ is called palette-preserving if the component $\gamma_{(i)} : S(i) \rightarrow S'(i)$ of γ at (i) is the identity map.

If $\gamma \in \text{GS}(S, S')$ is a palette-preserving morphism of (C, ω) -coloured graphical species, then $\gamma_X S_{\underline{c}} \subset S'_{\underline{c}}$ for all finite sets X and all $\underline{c} \in C^X$.

Definition 1.41. For a given palette (C, ω) , $\text{GS}^{(C, \omega)} \subset \text{GS}$ is the category of (C, ω) -coloured graphical species and palette-preserving morphisms.

Example 1.42. Let (C, ω) be an involutive palette. If $Z^{(C, \omega)}$ is the terminal (C, ω) -coloured graphical species in $\text{GS}^{(C, \omega)}$, then

$$Z_X^{(C, \omega)} = C^X, \text{ for all finite sets } X.$$

Example 1.43. Let $Di \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z^{(C, \omega)}$ where $C = \{\text{in}, \text{out}\} \cong \mathbf{2}$ and $\omega = \sigma$ the unique non-trivial involution on C .

Then $\text{el}(Di)$ is the category of *directed elementary graphs* whose objects, up to isomorphism, are the *directed exceptional edge* (\uparrow) and the *directed corollas* $\mathcal{C}_{(X, Y)}$ where X and Y are finite sets. Morphisms in $\text{el}(Di)$ are morphisms of elementary graphs that preserve the direction.

A morphism $\xi \in \text{GS}(S, Di)$ equips a graphical species S with the structure of a presheaf on $\text{el}(Di)$. Hence S is described by a set D (if S has palette (C, ω) then $D \cong C/\omega$ canonically) and, for each pair of finite sets X, Y , and each $\underline{c} \in D^X, \underline{d} \in D^Y$, sets $S[\underline{c}; \underline{d}]$, together with an induced action of $\text{FinSet}_{iso} \times \text{FinSet}_{iso}^{op}$.

Definition 1.44. Let S be a graphical species. The category $\text{Gr}(S)$ of S -structured graphs is the category of Gr -elements of S whose objects, called S -structured graphs are pairs (\mathcal{G}, α) with $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr})$ and $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{G})$, and whose morphisms $(\mathcal{G}, \alpha) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}', \alpha')$ are morphisms $g \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ such that $S(g)(\alpha') = \alpha$.

Given a graph $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr})$, $S(\mathcal{G})$ is called the set of S -structures on \mathcal{G} .

Remark 1.45 (Remark on notation). By Yoneda, $S(\mathcal{G}) \cong \text{GS}(\mathcal{G}, S)$ canonically the same notation α (or (\mathcal{G}, α)) will be used for both an S -structure $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{G})$, and the corresponding morphism $\alpha \in \text{GS}(\mathcal{G}, S)$.

Example 1.46. Let Di be the graphical species defined in Example 1.43. Then $\text{Gr}(Di)$ is the category of *connected directed graphs* whose objects are pairs (\mathcal{G}, ξ) , $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr})$, $\xi \in Di(\mathcal{G})$. In particular, a Di -structure $\xi \in Di(\mathcal{G})$ induces a partition $E = E_{\text{in}} \amalg E_{\text{out}}$ and $H = H_{\text{in}} \amalg H_{\text{out}}$ according to $e \in E_X$ if $\xi(ch_e) = X$, and $h \in H_X$ if $s(h) \in E_X$, for $X \in \{\text{in}, \text{out}\}$. So, an object $(\mathcal{G}, \xi) \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}(Di))$ is given by a diagram of finite sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \tau & & & \\ & & & \text{---} & & & \\ E_{\text{in}} & \xleftarrow{s_{\text{in}}} & H_{\text{in}} & \xrightarrow{t_{\text{in}}} & V & \xleftarrow{t_{\text{out}}} & H_{\text{out}} & \xrightarrow{s_{\text{out}}} & E_{\text{out}} \end{array} ,$$

where the maps $s_{\text{in}}, s_{\text{out}}$, respectively $t_{\text{in}}, t_{\text{out}}$ denote the appropriate restrictions of $s : H \rightarrow E$, respectively $t : H \rightarrow V$. Since $E_{\text{in}} \cong E_{\text{out}} \cong E/\tau$ canonically, this is equivalently a diagram

$$(1.47) \quad E/\tau \xleftarrow{s_{\text{in}}/\tau} H_{\text{in}} \xrightarrow{t_{\text{in}}} V \xleftarrow{t_{\text{out}}} H_{\text{out}} \xrightarrow{s_{\text{out}}/\tau} E/\tau ,$$

where s/τ is the obvious composition $H \xrightarrow{s} E \twoheadrightarrow E/\tau$ of s with the quotient $q : E \twoheadrightarrow E/\tau$.

Morphisms in $\text{Gr}(Di)$ are quadruples of finite set maps making the obvious diagrams commute, and such that the outer left and right squares are pullbacks. In this case $\text{Gr}(Di)$ is the full subcategory of *connected directed graphs* in the category of directed graphs and étale morphisms described in [21, Section 1.5].¹²

1.4. Gluing constructions. The categories Gr^{\sharp} and $\text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}$ are not closed under finite (co)limits.

Example 1.48. Since a singleton set does not admit a non-trivial involution, the terminal object \star in GrShape

$$\star = \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \mathbf{1} \end{array} \longleftarrow \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}$$

is not a graph, so Gr^{\sharp} and $\text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}$ are not closed under finite limits.

Example 1.49. Recall from Example 1.4 that \mathcal{W} is the wheel graph given by

$$\tau_{(\mathcal{W})} \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \{e, \tau e\} \end{array} \longleftarrow \{h^e, h^{\tau e}\} \longrightarrow \{*\}.$$

The coequaliser of the two distinct morphisms $id_{\mathcal{W}}, \tau_{(\mathcal{W})} \in \text{Gr}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W})$ is \star . So Gr^{\sharp} and $\text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}$ are not closed under finite colimits.

Example 1.50. Of particular significance to this work is the pair of parallel morphisms $id, \tau : (i) \rightrightarrows (i)$. In GrShape , the coequaliser of these morphisms is the diagram

$$\circ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \mathbf{1} \end{array} \longleftarrow \mathbf{0} \longrightarrow \mathbf{0} .$$

Clearly $\circ \notin \text{ob}(\text{Gr}^{\sharp})$ since a singleton set does not admit a non-trivial involution.¹³

One important class of diagrams always admit colimits in $\text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}$.

¹²See [2] and [17, Section 1] for details concerning comparisons of the various different notions of graph.

¹³A construction enlarging Gr^{\sharp} to include \circ is discussed in Section 3.1.

Definition 1.51. Let \mathcal{G} be a Feynman graph. A \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs is a functor $\Gamma : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}$ such that

$$\Gamma(a) = (i), \quad \text{for all } (i, a) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G})),$$

and

$$E_0(\Gamma(b)) = X \quad \text{for all } (\mathcal{C}_X, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G})).$$

A \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs $\Gamma : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}$ is called non-degenerate if, for all $v \in V$, $\Gamma(v)$ has no stick components. Otherwise, Γ is called degenerate.

Example 1.52. For any graph \mathcal{G} , the (non-degenerate) identity \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs is defined by the functor $\text{dom} : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}$, $(\mathcal{C}, b) \mapsto \mathcal{C}$ and has colimit \mathcal{G} .

Proposition 1.53. A non-degenerate \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs $\Gamma : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}$ admits a colimit in $\text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}$.

Some auxiliary notions will be used to prove the proposition.

Lemma 1.54. Let L be a finite set, \mathcal{G} a graph, and $\text{in}, \text{out} \in \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}(\sum_{l \in L}(i_l), \mathcal{G})$ be injective morphisms from an L -indexed disjoint union of stick graphs such that the images of in and out are disjoint in \mathcal{G} , and

$$\text{in}(1_l) \in E_0, \quad \text{out}(2_l) \in E_0, \quad \text{for all } l \in L.$$

Then the diagram

$$(1.55) \quad \sum_{l \in L}(i_l) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{in}} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{out}} \end{array} \mathcal{G}$$

admits a coequaliser $\bar{p} \in \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{G}, \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{i,j})$.

Proof. The diagram 1.55 has a coequaliser $\bar{p} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{G}} = (\bar{E}, \bar{H}, \bar{V}, \bar{s}, \bar{t}, \bar{\tau})$ in the category GrShape , and so, for $X = E, H, V$, elements of \bar{X} are equivalence classes of elements of X .

Since in and out are injective, for each $\bar{e} \in \bar{E}$, either $\bar{p}^{-1}(\bar{e}) = \{e\}$ in which case $e \in E - (\text{im}(\text{in}) \cup \text{im}(\text{out}))$, or $\bar{p}^{-1}(\bar{e}) = \{\text{in}(j_l), \text{out}(j_l)\} \subset E$, for some $l \in L$, $j = 1, 2$, in which case $\text{in}(j_l) \neq \tau \text{out}(j_l) \in E(\mathcal{G})$ since in and out have disjoint images.

If there exist distinct elements $\bar{h}, \bar{h}' \in \bar{H}$ such that $\bar{s}(\bar{h}) = \bar{s}(\bar{h}') = \bar{e} \in \bar{E}$, then there is some $l \in L$ such that $\bar{p}^{-1}(\bar{e}) = \{\text{in}(l), \text{out}(l)\} \subset \text{im}(s)$. In which case, there are $h, h' \in H$ such that $s(h) = \text{in}(j_l)$, $s(h') = \text{out}(j_l)$ for some $l \in L$, $j = 1, 2$. Since in, out have disjoint images, this contradicts the condition that $\text{in}(1_l) \in E_0, \text{out}(2_l) \in E_0$ for all $l \in L$.

If $\bar{p}^{-1}(\bar{e}) = \{e\}$ for some $e \in E - (\text{im}(\text{in}) \cup \text{im}(\text{out}))$, then also $\tau e \in E - (\text{im}(\text{in}) \cup \text{im}(\text{out}))$ so the restriction of $\bar{\tau}$ to points of this form is just the corresponding restriction of τ . Since in and out have disjoint images, if $\bar{e} \in \bar{E}$ is such that $\bar{p}^{-1}(\bar{e}) = \{\text{in}(j_l), \text{out}(j_l)\}$ for some $l \in L$, $j = 1, 2$, then

$$\bar{p}^{-1}(\bar{\tau e}) = \{\tau_{(\mathcal{G})}\text{in}(j_l), \tau_{(\mathcal{G})}\text{out}(j_l)\}.$$

So $\bar{\tau}$ is fixed point free since $\tau_{(\mathcal{G})}$ and $\tau_{(\sum_{l \in L}(i_l))}$ are. Hence $\bar{\mathcal{G}} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}^{\sharp})$ and, since Gr^{\sharp} is a full subcategory of GrShape , $\bar{p} \in \text{Gr}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{G}, \bar{\mathcal{G}})$ is a coequaliser for in, out in Gr^{\sharp} . By the two out of three property for étale maps $\bar{p} \in \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{G}, \bar{\mathcal{G}})$ is étale. □

Definition 1.56. A shrub \mathcal{S} is a graph that is isomorphic to a disjoint union of stick graphs. Following [21, Section 1.5], a pair of disjoint injective morphisms $\text{in}, \text{out} : \mathcal{S} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ is called a gluing datum if there exists a graph isomorphism $\xi : \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\text{cong}} \sum_{l \in L}(i_l)$ such that the induced maps $\sum_{l \in L}(i_l) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{G}$ satisfy the conditions of the lemma above.

Definition 1.57. (See [21, page 8]) The core $\mathcal{G}^\bullet = (E^\bullet, H^\bullet, V^\bullet, s^\bullet, t^\bullet, \tau^\bullet) \subset \mathcal{G}$ of a graph \mathcal{G} is the maximal closed subgraph of \mathcal{G} in Gr^\sharp . So $V^\bullet = V$, $E^\bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_I$ and the corestriction $s^\bullet : H \supset H^\bullet \rightarrow E^\bullet$ of $s : H \rightarrow E$ is an isomorphism. The inclusion $i_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet : \mathcal{G}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is called a core inclusion.

A core inclusion $i_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet : \mathcal{G}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ canonically induces a fully faithful functor

$$I_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{es}(\mathcal{G}) \hookrightarrow \text{el}(\mathcal{G}).$$

Recall [24, Section 3, Chapter IX] that a functor $\Theta : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is *final* if the slice category $\Theta \downarrow d$ is non-empty and connected for all $d \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{D})$.

Lemma 1.58. For any graph \mathcal{G} without stick components, the functor

$$I_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{es}(\mathcal{G}) \hookrightarrow \text{el}(\mathcal{G})$$

induced by the core inclusion $i_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet : \mathcal{G}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is final.

Proof. It suffices to check that, given a graph \mathcal{G} , $I_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet \downarrow \mathbf{x}$ is non-empty and connected for $\mathbf{x} \in \text{ob}(\text{es}(\mathcal{G}))$. An object \mathbf{x} of $\text{es}(\mathcal{G})$ is either in the image of $i_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet$ or of the form $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ where $\tilde{e} = q(e) \in E/\tau$ for some port $e \in E_0$. If \mathcal{G} has no stick components, $\tau e = s(h)$ for some $h \in H$ so $\mathbf{h}^{\mathcal{G}} \in \text{es}(\mathcal{G})(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}, \mathbf{v})$ for $v = t(h) \in V = V^\bullet$, so $I_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet \downarrow \mathbf{x}$ is non-empty for all $\mathbf{x} \in \text{ob}(\text{es}(\mathcal{G}))$. Connectivity is immediate. \square

By e.g. [24, page 217, Theorem 1] $\Theta : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is final if and only if for any functor $\Phi : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ such that $\text{colim}_{\mathbf{C}}(\Phi \circ \Theta)$ exists in \mathbf{E} , then $\text{colim}_{\mathbf{D}}\Phi$ exists in \mathbf{E} , and the universal morphism

$$\text{colim}_{\mathbf{C}}\Phi \circ \Theta \rightarrow \text{colim}_{\mathbf{D}}\Phi$$

induced by the inclusion $\text{im}(\Theta) \subset \mathbf{D}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, by the lemma, for any category \mathbf{C} the colimit of any functor $F : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, if it exists, may be computed as the colimit of $F \circ I_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

Proof of Proposition 1.53. To prove that, for all \mathcal{G} , a non-degenerate \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ has a colimit in Gr , assume first that \mathcal{G} has no stick components.

Let $\mathcal{S}(E_I) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\tilde{e} \in E_I/\tau} (l_{\tilde{e}})$ be the shrub on the internal edges of \mathcal{G} , and $\xi : \mathcal{S}(E_I) \xrightarrow{\text{cong}} \sum_{\tilde{e} \in E_I/\tau} (l)$ be an isomorphism. Then ξ induces a partition $H^\bullet = H^1 \amalg H^2$ on $H^\bullet = s^{-1}(E_I)$ by $h = (e, v) \in H^j$ whenever $e = xi^{-1}(j_l)$ for some $l \in L$.

Therefore, for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, the morphism

$$(1.59) \quad \mathbf{H}^j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\sum_{h \in H^j} \mathbf{h} \right) \in \text{Gr}_{et}^\sharp \left(\sum_{\tilde{e} \in E_I/\tau} (l_{\tilde{e}}), \sum_{v \in V} \mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}} \right)$$

is well defined, and $\mathbf{H}^1(e) \in E_0$ whenever $h \in H^2$, $\mathbf{H}^2(e) \in E_0$ whenever $h \in H^1$, and, since s is injective, the images of $\mathbf{H}^1, \mathbf{H}^2$ are disjoint, and they define a gluing datum.

Now, given a non-degenerate \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ and a half-edge $h = (e, v) \in H^\bullet = s^{-1}(E_I)$ there is a canonical morphism $\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{h}) \in \text{Gr}_{et}^\sharp((l_{\tilde{e}}), \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}))$ given by $\tau e \mapsto \tau_{\mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}}} e \in E_0(\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v})) = E_0(\mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}})$.

Since, $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is non-degenerate, the pair of induced morphisms $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}^1, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}^2 : \text{Gr}_{et}^\sharp(\sum_{\tilde{e} \in E_I/\tau} l_{\tilde{e}}, \sum_{v \in V} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}))$, with

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}^j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{h=(e,v) \in H^j} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{h}) \in \text{Gr}_{et}^\sharp \left(\sum_{\tilde{e} \in E_I/\tau} l_{\tilde{e}}, \sum_{v \in V} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v}) \right) \cong \sum_{h=(e,v) \in H^j} \text{Gr}_{et}^\sharp(l_{\tilde{e}}, \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{v})),$$

for $j = 1, 2$, also defines a gluing datum in Gr_{et}^\sharp .

Let $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ be the colimit of this gluing datum in \mathbf{Gr}_{et}^\sharp . For $j = 1, 2$ and each $h = (e, v) \in H^j$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{colim } (\Gamma \circ I_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet) & \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 l_{\bar{e}} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma \circ I_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet(h^\bullet)} & \Gamma \circ I_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_{P\{v^\bullet\}}) \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \Gamma \circ I_{\mathcal{G}}^\bullet(v^\bullet) \\
 l_{\bar{e}} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma(h)} & \Gamma(v) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 S(E_I) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma(H^j)} & \sum_{v \in V} \Gamma(v) \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & \overline{\mathcal{G}} &
 \end{array}$$

whose unlabelled arrows are the canonical weak inclusions, commutes in \mathbf{Gr}_{et}^\sharp , and hence, by Lemma 1.58, $\mathcal{G} = \overline{\mathcal{G}}$.

By density of $\text{elGr} \subset \mathbf{Gr}_{et}^\sharp$, $\text{el}(\mathcal{G})$ is a connected category if and only if \mathcal{G} is a connected graph. In particular, for general $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{Gr}^\sharp)$, the colimit of a \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs $\Gamma : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}_{et}^\sharp$, if it exists, may be constructed component-wise on \mathcal{G} . To complete the proof, it therefore remains to observe that any (i)-shaped graph of graphs is isomorphic the ‘identity’ $(i) \mapsto (i)$ (see Example 1.52). \square

Remark 1.60. In fact, all graphs of graphs admit a colimit in \mathbf{Gr} . However, the non-degeneracy condition significantly simplifies the proof of the proposition, and is included here since a stronger result is not needed in what follows.

Informally, a non-degenerate \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs is a rule for ‘inserting graphs into vertices of \mathcal{G} ’ by replacing the essential corolla at each vertex of \mathcal{G} with a graph with matching ports. However, this intuitive description of a graph of graphs in terms of graph insertion does not always apply to degenerate graphs of graphs (see also Subsection 3.1).

Corollary 1.61. *If \mathcal{G} is a graph, and Γ is a non-degenerate \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs with colimit $\overline{\Gamma}$, then Γ induces an identity $E_0(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\cong} E_0(\overline{\Gamma})$, and, for each $(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G}))$, the universal map $\Gamma(b) \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}$ is a weak inclusion.*

In particular,

$$E(\overline{\Gamma}) \cong E(\mathcal{G}) \amalg \coprod_{v \in V} E_I(\Gamma(v)).$$

Proof. The final statement follows directly from the first two.

By the proof of Proposition 1.53, only the internal edges of \mathcal{G} , and, for each $(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G}))$, the edges $(E - E_I)(\Gamma(b)) \cong E(\mathcal{C})$ are involved in forming the colimit $\overline{\Gamma}$ of Γ . Hence the induced map

$$\sum_{\bar{e} \in E/\tau} (l_{\bar{e}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \sum_{\bar{e} \in E/\tau} \Gamma(\bar{e}) \hookrightarrow \overline{\Gamma}$$

is a strict inclusion and restricts to an identity $E_0(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\cong} E_0(\overline{\Gamma})$. The second statement is immediate. \square

Lemma 1.62. *(Corresponds to [21, Lemma 1.5.12].) If Γ is non-degenerate and $\Gamma(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{Gr})$ is connected for all $(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G})$, then $\overline{\Gamma} = \text{colim}(\Gamma) \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{Gr}_{et}^\sharp)$ is connected if and only if \mathcal{G} is connected.*

Proof. We may assume that \mathcal{G} has no stick components since a (i)-shaped graph of graphs is, up to isomorphism the identity functor $(i) \mapsto (i)$ with colimit (i).

So, let $\Gamma : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ be a non-degenerate \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs with colimit $\bar{\Gamma}$.

A morphism $\gamma \in \text{GrShape}(\bar{\Gamma}, \star + \star)$ is equivalently a coequaliser in GrShape of a gluing datum

$$(1.63) \quad \mathcal{S}(E_I) \rightrightarrows \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{G})} \Gamma(\mathbf{v}) \longrightarrow \star + \star.$$

If $\Gamma(\mathbf{v})$ is connected for each $v \in V$, then all maps $\Gamma(\mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \star + \star$ are constant and hence morphisms $\sum_{v \in V} \Gamma(\mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \star + \star$ are in one-to-one correspondence with morphisms $\sum_{v \in V} \mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}} \rightarrow \star + \star$.

So (by the proof of Proposition 1.53), there is a bijection between morphisms $\bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \star + \star$ in GrShape and morphisms $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \star + \star$ in GrShape . It then follows from Proposition 1.18 that $\bar{\Gamma}$ is connected if and only if \mathcal{G} is connected. \square

1.5. Port preserving morphisms.

Remark 1.64 (Notation in this subsection). For any graph \mathcal{G} , $\mathbf{x} \in \text{ob}(\text{es}(\mathcal{G}))$ usually denotes the essential element corresponding to $x \in E/\tau \amalg V$. For this section only, given $x \in E \amalg V$, \mathbf{x} will denote the essential element corresponding to x . This differs from the convention elsewhere in that $\mathbf{x} \in \text{ob}(\text{es}(\mathcal{G}))$ may correspond to some $x \in E$ rather than $x \in E/\tau$. For each $x \in E \amalg V$, the domain of \mathbf{x} will be denoted $\mathcal{D}_x \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr})$.

In general, morphisms $f \in \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ do not satisfy $f(E_0) \subset E'_0$. By Proposition 1.65 below, those that do behave like ‘graphical covering maps’.

Let $f \in \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$. Define $k = k(f) : E' \amalg V' \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ to be the function $x' \mapsto |f^{-1}(x')|$. In particular, $k(e') = k(\tau' e')$ for all $e' \in E'$.

Proposition 1.65. *For any morphism $f \in \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$, $f(E_0) \subset E'_0$ if and only if $k : E' \amalg V' \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is constant on each connected component of \mathcal{G}' .*

Proof. If $f(E_0) \not\subset E'_0$ then there is an $e \in E_0$ and $v' \in V'$ such that $f(e) = e' \in E\{v'\}$. Since f is étale, this implies that $k(e') > k(v')$.

For each $x' \in E' \amalg V'$, let $\mathbf{w} \in \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}(\sum_{x \in f^{-1}(x')} \mathcal{D}_x, \mathcal{G})$, be the canonical (weak) inclusion and let $p \in \text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}(\sum_{x \in f^{-1}(x')} \mathcal{D}_x, \mathcal{D}_{x'})$ be the canonical projection.

To prove that, if $f(E_0) \subset E'_0$, then k is constant on connected components of \mathcal{G}' , the first step is to show that $f(E_0) \subset E'_0$ implies that, for each $x' \in E' \amalg V'$, the lower square in the diagram 1.66 is a pullback.

$$(1.66) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H} & & \mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow a & \searrow \text{dashed } \gamma & \downarrow f \\ \sum_{x \in f^{-1}(x')} \mathcal{D}_x & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{w}} & \mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{D}_{x'} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{G}' \end{array}$$

(Note: A curved arrow labeled b also points from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{G} .)

This is straightforward for $x' = e'$ since $\text{Gr}_{\text{et}}^{\sharp}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}_{x'})$ is nonempty if and only if \mathcal{H} is a disjoint union of stick graphs, and the map $b : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ factors uniquely through $\sum_{e \in f^{-1}(e')} \bar{e}$.

Now let $x' = v' \in V'$. Given a commuting diagram of the form 1.66, we may assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\mathcal{H} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m (i_i) \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (\mathcal{C}_{P\{v'\}})_j \right), \text{ for some } m, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and that the restriction

$$a^{v'} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a|_{\sum_{j=1}^n (\mathcal{C}_{P\{v'\}})_j} : \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathcal{C}_{P\{v'\}})_j \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{P\{v'\}}$$

is the canonical fold morphism. Then $a^{v'}$ factors uniquely through \mathbf{w} since $f \circ b = \mathbf{v}' \circ a : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow G$ and so $\text{im}(b_{V(\mathcal{H})}) \subset f^{-1}(v')$.

Now, if $e' \in E\{v'\}$, then for each $v \in f^{-1}(v')$ there is a unique element $e_v \in E\{v\} \cap f^{-1}(e')$. Since $f(E_0) \subset E'_0$, all elements of $p^{-1}(e')$ are of this form. Hence the restriction, $b|_{\sum_{i=1}^m (i_i)} \in \text{Gr}_{et}^\sharp(\sum_{i=1}^m (i_i)\mathcal{G})$ factors uniquely through $\sum_{v \in V} \mathbf{v}$. Therefore, the lower square of 1.66 is a pullback.

It is now straightforward to show that k is constant on connected components of \mathcal{G} . Namely, if $h' = (e', v') \in H'$ and $h \in f^{-1}(h') \subset H$ then h has the form (e, v) where $e \in f^{-1}(e') \subset E$ and $v \in f^{-1}(v') \subset V$. Let $x' = e' = s'(h')$, then the lower square of Diagram 1.65 factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \sum_{e \in f^{-1}(e')} (i_e) & \xrightarrow{\sum_{h \in f^{-1}(h')} \mathbf{h}} & \sum_{v \in f^{-1}(v')} \mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}} & \xrightarrow{\sum_h \mathbf{v}} & \mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ (i_{e'}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{h}'} & \mathcal{C}_{P\{v'\}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{v}'} & \mathcal{G}' \end{array}$$

In particular, since this is a pullback, $k(e') = k(v')$ for all $h' = (e', v') \in H'$. Therefore k defines a functor from $\text{es}(\mathcal{G}')$ to the discrete category \mathbb{N} . So, k is constant on connected components of \mathcal{G}' . \square

Definition 1.67. A morphism $f \in \text{Gr}_{et}^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ is port preserving if f_{E_0} is an isomorphism $E_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} E'_0$. If S is a graphical species, a morphism in $\text{Gr}(S)$ is port preserving if its underlying morphism of graphs is port preserving.

Corollary 1.68. If \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' are connected graphs and $E_0(\mathcal{G}) \neq \emptyset$, a morphism $f \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ is port preserving if and only if it is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $f \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$. Since $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}'$ are connected, $k : E' \amalg V' \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ has constant value K by Proposition 1.65. In particular, if $E_0 \neq \emptyset$ then f is port preserving if and only if $K = 1$. In other words, f is an étale morphism of graphs that is bijective on E and V (and hence on H), and therefore an isomorphism. \square

Remark 1.69. The condition that $E_0 \neq \emptyset$ is necessary in the statement of Proposition 1.68. For example, for any $l > 1$, each of the two morphisms in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{W}^l, \mathcal{W})$ is trivially port preserving, but certainly not an isomorphism. (However, the method of the proof of Lemma 1.65 can be applied to show that a port preserving morphism from a non-empty graph to a connected graph is always surjective.)

Corollary 1.70. Let \mathcal{G} be a connected graph with only bivalent vertices, i.e. $V = V_2$. Then $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{L}^k$ or $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{W}^l$ (see Examples 1.22, 1.23) for some $k \geq 0$ or $l \geq 1$.

Proof. As usual, let \mathcal{L}^k be the connected line graph with k vertices. Observe that, for any $f \in \text{Gr}_{et}^\sharp(\mathcal{L}^k, \mathcal{G})$, the image of f in \mathcal{G} is either isomorphic to \mathcal{L}^k (if f is injective) or a connected component of \mathcal{G} of the form \mathcal{W}^l (the wheel graph with l vertices) for $1 \leq l \leq k$. (This can be proved directly using the ordering $(v_i)_{i=1}^k$ of the vertex set of \mathcal{L}^k described in Example 1.22.)

Now, let \mathcal{G} be any connected graph with $V = V_2$. Recall that $E_0(\mathcal{L}^k) = \mathbf{2}$. If $E_0 \neq \emptyset$, let $e \in E_0$ and let K be the largest number such that there is a morphism $f \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{L}^K, \mathcal{G})$ satisfying $f(1) = e$. We may assume that $K > 0$ since otherwise $V = V_2 = \emptyset$, in which case $\mathcal{G} = (i) = \mathcal{L}^0$. Now $e' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(2) \in E_0$. If not, then $e' \in E\{v'\}$ for some $v' \in V'$ so we can define an etale morphism $\mathcal{L}^{K+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that $1 \mapsto e$ and $v_{K+1} \mapsto v'$ contradicting maximality of K . Furthermore $e \neq e'$, since otherwise f is not injective, in which case $\text{im}(f) \cong \mathcal{W}^l$ for some $1 \leq l \leq k$. So, $E_0(\mathcal{L}^k) \subset E_0$ and therefore, by Corollary 1.68, $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{L}^K$.

On the other hand, if $E_0 = \emptyset$, let M be the largest number such that there is a weak injection $g \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{L}^M, \mathcal{G})$. In particular, $M > 0$ since $\mathcal{G} \neq (i)$. Set $e = g(1)$. Then $g(2) = \tau e$, since otherwise, either $g(2) \in E_0$ – contradicting the assumption that $E_0 = \emptyset$ – or there is a weak injection $\mathcal{L}^{M+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ with $1 \mapsto e$ and $v_{K+1} \mapsto v' \in V' - \text{im}(g)$, contradicting maximality of M . It follows that g factors as a weak injection $\mathcal{L}^M \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^M \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} \mathcal{G}$. In particular since $\tilde{g}(E_0(\mathcal{W}^M)) \subset E_0$ trivially and g is a weak injection, $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{W}^M$ by Proposition 1.65.

□

2. NON-UNITAL CSMS

The goal of the current section is the construction of a monad $\mathbb{T} = (T, \mu^{\mathbb{T}}, \eta^{\mathbb{T}})$ on GS whose algebras satisfy the definition of CSMS up to admitting a unit for the operadic multiplication.

2.1. X -graphs.

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a finite set. An X -graph is a pair $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{G}, \rho)$, where $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr})$ is a connected graph such that $V \neq \emptyset$ and $\rho : E_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} X$ is a bijection of finite sets called an X -labelling for \mathcal{G} .*

Given X -graphs $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{G}, \rho)$, $\mathcal{X}' = (\mathcal{G}', \rho')$, an X -isomorphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ is an isomorphism $g \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ that preserves the X -labelling. That is, $\rho' \circ g_{E_0} = \rho : E_0 \rightarrow X$.

The groupoid $X\text{Gr}_{iso}$ is the groupoid of X -graphs and X -isomorphisms.

Remark 2.2 (Remark on notation). It is often convenient to use the same notation for labelled and unlabelled graphs. In particular, an X -graph $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{G}, \rho)$ is denoted simply by \mathcal{G} when the labelling ρ is trivial or completely canonical. For example, for all finite sets X , the corolla \mathcal{C}_X canonically defines an X -graph $\mathcal{C}_X = (\mathcal{C}_X, id)$.

Though the notation \mathcal{X} always denotes a labelled graph (\mathcal{G}, ρ) , where there is no risk of confusion, \mathcal{X} may sometimes be used in place of \mathcal{G} , even for constructions defined in terms of unlabelled graphs. For example, if S is a graphical species, $S(\mathcal{X}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S(\mathcal{G}) \times \{\rho\}$, and $\text{el}(\mathcal{X})$ may be used to denote $\text{el}(\mathcal{G})$.

Example 2.3. The line graph \mathcal{L}^k , with $E_0(\mathcal{L}^k) = \mathbf{2}$, $k \geq 0$ is canonically labelled by $id_{\mathbf{2}}$ and therefore has the structure of a $\mathbf{2}$ -graph when $k \geq 1$. The other labelling on \mathcal{L}^k is the unique non-identity permutation $\sigma_{\mathbf{2}} \in \Sigma_{\mathbf{2}}$.

Notice, however, that $\mathcal{L}^0 = (i)$ is not a $\mathbf{2}$ -graph since its vertex set is empty.

Example 2.4. Let X be a finite set and \mathcal{X} an X -graph. If $\Gamma : \text{el}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ is a non-degenerate \mathcal{X} -shaped graph of graphs, then the colimit $\bar{\Gamma} = \text{colim}_{\text{el}(\mathcal{X})} \Gamma$ exists by Proposition 1.53 and, by Corollary 1.61, $\bar{\Gamma}$ inherits the labelling ρ from \mathcal{X} .

Definition 2.5. *Let S be a graphical species and X a finite set. Objects of the groupoid $X\text{Gr}_{iso}(S)$ of S -structured X -graphs are elements $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{X})$ for $\mathcal{X} \in \text{ob}(X\text{Gr}_{iso})$ and morphisms in $X\text{Gr}_{iso}(S)(\alpha, \alpha')$ are isomorphisms $g \in X\text{Gr}_{iso}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}')$ such that $S(g)(\alpha') = \alpha \in S(\mathcal{G})$.*

Remark 2.6. For $\mathcal{X} \in \text{ob}(X\text{Gr}_{iso})$, let $\text{Aut}_X(\mathcal{X}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X\text{Gr}_{iso}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ denote the automorphism group of \mathcal{X} in the groupoid $X\text{Gr}_{iso}$.

If $g, g' \in X\text{Gr}_{iso}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}')$ are X -isomorphisms, then there is some $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_X(\mathcal{X})$ and $\sigma' \in \text{Aut}_X(\mathcal{X}')$ such that $g' = \sigma'g\sigma$. In particular,

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{S(\mathcal{X})}{\text{Aut}_X(\mathcal{X})} = \frac{S(\mathcal{X}')}{\text{Aut}_X(\mathcal{X}')},$$

insofar as there is a completely canonical (independent of $g \in X\text{Gr}_{iso}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}')$) choice of natural (in \mathcal{X}) isomorphism

$$(2.8) \quad [\alpha] \mapsto [g(\alpha)], \text{ for } \alpha \in S(\mathcal{X}).$$

Definition 2.9. For a graph \mathcal{G} , and graphical species S , a (non-degenerate) \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of S -structured graphs is a functor $\Gamma : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(S)$ such that $\Gamma_*(ch_e) \in S(i)$ for all $e \in E$, and, for all $(\mathcal{C}_X, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G}))$,

$$\Gamma(b) \in \text{ob}(X\text{Gr}_{iso}(S)).$$

The category of non-degenerate \mathcal{G} -shaped graphs of S -structured graphs $\text{Gr}^{(\mathcal{G})}(S)$ is the subcategory of the functor category $\text{Fun}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G}), \text{Gr}(S))$ whose objects are non-degenerate \mathcal{G} -shaped graphs of S -structured graphs $\Gamma : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(S)$ and whose morphisms are natural transformations.

The following Corollary of Proposition 1.53 follows immediately from the universal property of colimits.

Corollary 2.10 (Corollary to Proposition 1.53). For any graphical species S and any graph \mathcal{G} , a \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of S -graphs Γ has a colimit $\text{colim}_{\text{el}(\mathcal{G})}\Gamma$ in $\text{Gr}(S)$ given by $\alpha \in S(\bar{\Gamma}_Z)$, where $\bar{\Gamma}_Z$ is the colimit of the \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs $\Gamma : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ obtained by composition of Γ with the forgetful functor $\text{dom} : \text{Gr}(S) \rightarrow \text{Gr}$. In particular, if $i_b \in \text{Gr}(\Gamma_Z(b), \bar{\Gamma}_Z)$ is the universal map to the colimit for $(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G}))$, then $\alpha \in S(\bar{\Gamma}_Z)$ is the unique S -structure satisfying

$$S(i_b \circ \mathbf{v}^{\Gamma_Z(b)})(\alpha) = S(\mathbf{v})(\Gamma(b))$$

for all (\mathcal{C}, b) and all $v \in V(\Gamma_Z(b))$.

Lemma 2.11. For $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$, two \mathcal{G} -shaped graphs of S -structured graphs $\Gamma^1, \Gamma^2 \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}^{(\mathcal{G})})$ are in the same connected component if and only if $\Gamma^1(\mathcal{C}, b) \cong \Gamma^2(\mathcal{C}, b)$ for all $(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G}))$.

In particular, if Γ^1 and Γ^2 are in the same connected component of $\text{Gr}^{(\mathcal{G})}(S)$, then Γ^1 and Γ^2 have isomorphic colimits in $\text{Gr}(S)$.

Proof. Let $\phi : \Gamma^1 \Rightarrow \Gamma^2$ be a morphism in $\text{Gr}^{(\mathcal{G})}(S)$. For each $(\mathcal{C}_X, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G}))$, $\phi_{(\mathcal{C}_X, b)} \in \text{Gr}(S)(\Gamma^1(b), \Gamma^2(b))$ is, by definition a port-preserving morphism in $\text{Gr}(S)$. Since $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$, $E_0(\Gamma^j(b)) \neq \emptyset$ for $j = 1, 2$, and so, by Corollary 1.68, $\phi_{(\mathcal{C}, b)}$ is an isomorphism of S -structured graphs.

The converse is immediate, as is the final statement. □

2.2. A monad for non-unital CSMs. Recall that a monad (M, μ^M, η^M) on a category \mathcal{C} is an endofunctor $M : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ together with natural transformations $\mu^M : M^2 \Rightarrow M$ (the monad multiplication) and $\eta^M : id \Rightarrow M$ (the monad unit), such that, for all $c \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, the diagrams

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M^3c & \xrightarrow{\mu^M M c} & M^2c \\ M\mu^M c \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu^M c \\ M^2c & \xrightarrow{\mu^M c} & Mc, \end{array}$$

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Mc & \xrightarrow{M\eta^M c} & M^2c & \xleftarrow{\eta^M M c} & Mc \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \mu^M c & \swarrow & \\ & & Mc & & \end{array}$$

commute in \mathcal{C} .

Definition 2.14. *The free non-unital CSM functor $T : \mathbf{GS} \rightarrow \mathbf{GS}$ is the endofunctor defined by*

$$TS(\mathfrak{i}) = S(\mathfrak{i}),$$

and

$$(2.15) \quad TS_X = \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{X} \in X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}} S(\mathcal{X})$$

for all $S \in \operatorname{ob}(\mathbf{GS})$ and finite sets X .¹⁴

For a finite set X and graphical species S , it follows from a direct but lengthy verification (see [19, Section 5], and details in [29]) that

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} TS_X &= \coprod_{[\mathcal{X}] \in \pi_0(X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso})} \frac{S(\mathcal{X})}{\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X})} \\ &= \pi_0(X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}(S)) \end{aligned}$$

where, for $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{G}, \rho)$, $[\mathcal{X}] \in \pi_0(X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso})$ is the connected component of \mathcal{X} in $X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}$. The second expression is well defined by 2.6.

So, elements of TS_X may be viewed as isomorphism classes of S -structured X -graphs and two X -labelled S -structured graphs (\mathcal{X}, α) and (\mathcal{X}', α') represent the same class $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \in TS_X$ precisely when there is an isomorphism $g \in X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}')$ such that $S(g)(\alpha') = \alpha$.

Isomorphisms of corollas induce relabelings of graph ports in TS .

To describe the projections $TS(ch_x) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{i})$, let \mathcal{X} be an X -graph and

$$ch_x^{\mathcal{X}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ch_{\rho^{-1}(x)} \in \mathbf{Gr}(\mathfrak{i}, \mathcal{G}), \quad 1 \mapsto \rho^{-1}(x) \in E_0(\mathcal{G}).$$

Then $TS(ch_x) : TS_X \rightarrow TS(\mathfrak{i}) = S(\mathfrak{i})$ is the morphism

$$[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \mapsto S(ch_x^{\mathcal{X}})(\alpha).$$

This is well defined since, if (\mathcal{X}, α) and (\mathcal{X}', α') represent the same element of TS_X , then there is a $g \in X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}')$ such that $S(g)(\alpha') = \alpha \in S_X$. Therefore $ch_x^{\mathcal{X}'} = g \circ ch_x^{\mathcal{X}} \in \mathbf{Gr}(\mathfrak{i}, \mathcal{G}')$, and

$$S(ch_x^{\mathcal{X}'}) (\alpha') = S(ch_x^{\mathcal{X}}) \circ S(g)(\alpha') = S(ch_x^{\mathcal{X}})(\alpha) \in S(\mathfrak{i}).$$

Let us define a natural transformation $\mu^{\mathbb{T}} : T^2 \Rightarrow T$ in terms of graphs of graphs.

Let S be a graphical species and X a finite set. An element of T^2S_X is represented by an X -graph \mathcal{X} together with an element $\beta \in TS(\mathcal{X})$, that can be thought of as a functor

$$\operatorname{el}(\beta) : \operatorname{el}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \operatorname{el}(TS), \quad (\mathcal{C}_{X_b}, b) \mapsto (\mathcal{C}_{X_b}, S(b)(\beta)), \quad \text{where } S(b)(\beta) \in TS_{X_b}.$$

In other words, β is represented by \mathcal{X} -shaped graphs of S -structured graphs. If, for $j = 1, 2$, $(\mathcal{X}^j, \mathbf{\Gamma}^j) : \operatorname{el}(\mathcal{X}^j) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}(S)$ both represent $[\mathcal{X}, \beta] \in T^2S_X$ then, $\mathcal{X}^1 \cong \mathcal{X}^2$ and,

$$(2.17) \quad \operatorname{colim}_{\operatorname{el}(\mathcal{X}^1)} \mathbf{\Gamma}^1 = \operatorname{colim}_{\operatorname{el}(\mathcal{X}^2)} \mathbf{\Gamma}^2 \in X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}(S)$$

by Lemma 2.11, and the assignment

$$\mu^{\mathbb{T}} S : T^2S \rightarrow TS, \quad [\mathcal{X}, \beta] \mapsto [\operatorname{colim}_{\operatorname{el}(\mathcal{X})} \mathbf{\Gamma}]$$

is well-defined.

¹⁴This functor is very similar to the CSM endofunctor defined in [19, Section 5], but avoids the problematic case. Kock applies a similar construction, though not restricted to connected graphs, in [22]. (See also Section 5.)

To see that $\mu^\mathbb{T}S$ defines a morphism $T^2S \rightarrow TS$ in \mathbf{GS} , let $[\mathcal{X}, \beta] \in T^2S_X$ be represented by a graph of graphs $\mathbf{\Gamma} : \text{el}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(S)$ with colimit $(\overline{\mathbf{\Gamma}}, \alpha) \in X\text{Gr}_{\text{iso}}(S)$. By Corollary 1.61, there is a canonical inclusion $E(\mathcal{X}) \hookrightarrow E(\overline{\mathbf{\Gamma}})$ and, for each $e \in E(\mathcal{X})$,

$$S(\text{ch}_e^{\overline{\mathbf{\Gamma}}})(\alpha) = S(\text{ch}_e^{\mathcal{X}})(\beta) \in S(i).$$

Hence, for all $x \in X$, there is a commutative diagram of sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^2S_X & \xrightarrow{\mu^\mathbb{T}S_X} & TS_X \\ & \searrow T^2S(\text{ch}_x) & \swarrow TS(\text{ch}_x) \\ & T^2S(i) = TS(i). & \end{array}$$

And so $\mu^\mathbb{T}S$ defines a morphism in $\mathbf{GS}(T^2S, TS)$.

Naturality of $\mu^\mathbb{T}S$ in S is immediate and a straightforward modification of [21, section 2.2.3-4] then shows that $\mu^\mathbb{T} : T^2 \Rightarrow T$ satisfies the monad axiom 2.12 for T .

The unit $\eta^\mathbb{T} : \text{id}_{\mathbf{GS}} \Rightarrow T$ for T is induced by inclusion of the corollas $\mathcal{C}_X \in \text{ob}(X\text{Gr}_{\text{iso}})$. Given a graphical species S , $\eta^\mathbb{T}S(i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S(i)$, and

$$\eta^\mathbb{T}S_X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[\mathcal{C}_X, \alpha]\}_{\alpha \in S_X} \subset TS_X.$$

[21, section 2.2.3-4] may be easily modified to obtain a proof that $\mathbb{T} = (T, \mu^\mathbb{T}, \eta^\mathbb{T})$ satisfies the axioms 2.12 and 2.13 for a monad.

Recall that an algebra for a monad $\mathbb{M} = (M, \mu^\mathbb{M}, \eta^\mathbb{M})$ on a category \mathbf{C} is a pair (c, θ) with $c \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{C})$ and $\theta \in \mathbf{C}(Mc, c)$ such that the diagrams

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M^2c & \xrightarrow{\mu^\mathbb{M}c} & Mc \\ M\theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta \\ Mc & \xrightarrow{\theta} & c, \end{array}$$

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} c & \xrightarrow{\eta^\mathbb{M}c} & Mc \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \theta \\ & & c \end{array}$$

commute in \mathbf{C} .

The *Eilenberg-Moore (EM) category* $\mathbf{C}^\mathbb{M}$ of algebras for the monad $\mathbb{M} = (M, \mu^\mathbb{M}, \eta^\mathbb{M})$ has \mathbb{M} -algebras as objects and morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\mathbb{M}((c, \theta), (c', \theta'))$ are morphisms $f \in \mathbf{C}(c, c')$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Mc & \xrightarrow{Mf} & Mc' \\ \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta' \\ c & \xrightarrow{f} & c' \end{array}$$

commutes in \mathbf{C} .

Remark 2.20. Since $\mu^\mathbb{T}S$ and $\eta^\mathbb{T}S$ are palette-preserving morphisms in \mathbf{GS} , then for all palettes (C, ω) and all graphical species S , \mathbb{T} restricts to a monad $\mathbb{T}^{(C, \omega)}$ on $\mathbf{GS}^{(C, \omega)}$. then $A \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{GS}^{(C, \omega)})$, together with a morphism $h \in \mathbf{GS}(TA, A)$ is a \mathbb{T} -algebra if and only if (A, h) is a $\mathbb{T}^{(C, \omega)}$ -algebra.

Example 2.21. Recall from Example 1.38, that Z is the terminal graphical species, so $\text{Gr}(Z) \cong \text{Gr}$ and elements of TZ are port-preserving isomorphism classes of graphs in Gr . The unique morphism $r \in \mathbf{GS}(TZ, Z)$ makes Z into an algebra for \mathbb{T} by assigning to $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(X\text{Gr}_{\text{iso}})$ the corolla \mathcal{C}_X

Example 2.22. Recall Examples 1.41, 1.43 and 1.46. The unique palette-preserving morphism $r^{(C,\omega)} \in \text{GS}^{(C,\omega)}(TZ^{(C,\omega)}, Z^{(C,\omega)})$ makes $Z^{(C,\omega)}$ into an algebra for \mathbb{T} . In particular, (Di, r^{Di}) is an algebra for \mathbb{T} , where a directed graph is assigned to the directed corolla with the same oriented ports.

Definition 2.23. Let S be a graphical species. A multiplication \diamond on S is given by a family of partial maps

$$-\diamond_{x,y}^{X,Y} - : S_{X\Pi\{x\}} \times S_{Y\Pi\{y\}} \rightarrow S_{X\Pi Y},$$

defined for all $\phi \in S_{X\Pi\{x\}}, \psi \in S_{Y\Pi\{y\}}$ satisfying $S(ch_x)(\phi) = S(ch_y \circ \tau_{(\bar{e})})(\psi)$.

The multiplication \diamond is commutative if

$$\psi \diamond_{y,x}^{Y,X} \phi = \phi \diamond_{x,y}^{X,Y} \psi$$

for all finite sets X, Y and all pairs $\phi \in S_{X\Pi\{x\}}, \psi \in S_{Y\Pi\{y\}}$ for which the map is defined.

It is equivariant if it commutes with the action of FinSet_{iso} on S .

A contraction ζ on S is given by a family of partial maps

$$\zeta_{x,y}^X : S_{X\Pi\{x,y\}} \rightarrow S_X$$

defined for all finite sets X and all $\phi \in S_{X\Pi\{x,y\}}$, satisfying $S(ch_x)(\phi) = \omega S(ch_y \circ \tau_{(\bar{e})})(\phi)$.

The contraction ζ is equivariant if it commutes with the action of FinSet_{iso} on S .

In particular, if ζ is an equivariant contraction on S , then it is commutative:

$$\zeta_{x,y}^X : \phi = \zeta_{y,x}^X(\phi)$$

for all X and for all $\phi \in S_{X\Pi\{x,y\}}$ for which the map is defined.

Remark 2.24 (Remark on notation). Let S be a (C, ω) -coloured graphical species, and let $c \in C$, and $\underline{c} \in C^X, \underline{d} \in C^Y$ for finite sets X, Y .

The notation

$$\diamond_c^{\underline{c}, \underline{d}} : S_{(\underline{c}, c)} \times S_{(\underline{d}, \omega c)} \rightarrow S_{\underline{c}\underline{d}}$$

for the corresponding restriction of $\diamond_{x,y}$ is useful. In particular, if \diamond is commutative, then

$$(2.25) \quad \phi \diamond_c^{\underline{c}, \underline{d}} \psi = \psi \diamond_{\omega c}^{\underline{d}, \underline{c}} \phi$$

whenever either is defined.

Similarly, the notation

$$\zeta_c^{\underline{c}} : S_{(\underline{c}, c, \omega c)} \rightarrow S_{\underline{c}}$$

is used for the corresponding restriction of $\zeta_{x,y}^X$. If ζ is commutative, then

$$(2.26) \quad \zeta_c^{\underline{c}} \phi = \zeta_{\omega c}^{\underline{c}} \phi$$

whenever either is defined.

Where the context is clear, it is convenient to drop superscripts and write simply $\diamond_{x,y}$ (or \diamond_c), and $\zeta_{x,y}$ (or ζ_c).

Proposition 2.27. A (C, ω) -coloured graphical species S underlies a (C, ω) -coloured algebra for \mathbb{T} if and only if S is a non-unital CSM. That is, S admits an equivariant commutative multiplication \diamond , and an equivariant contraction ζ that together satisfy the following four coherence conditions.

The first two conditions concern the multiplication and contraction separately:

(C1.) The multiplication \diamond in S is associative. Precisely, for finite sets X_1, X_2 and X_3 , $\underline{b} \in C^{X_1}, \underline{c} \in C^{X_2}, \underline{d} \in C^{X_3}$ and $c, d \in C$, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S_{(\underline{b},c)} \times S_{(\underline{c},\omega c,d)} \times S_{(\underline{d},\omega d)} & \xrightarrow{\diamond_c \times id} & S_{(\underline{bc},d)} \times S_{(\underline{d},\omega d)} \\
 \downarrow id \times \diamond_d & & \downarrow \diamond_d \\
 S_{(\underline{b},c)} \times S_{(\underline{c},\omega c,\underline{d})} & \xrightarrow{\diamond_c} & S_{\underline{bcd}}
 \end{array}$$

(C2.) For a finite set X , $\underline{c} \in C^X$ and $c, d \in C$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S_{(\underline{c},c,\omega c,d,\omega d)} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_c} & S_{(\underline{c},d,\omega d)} \\
 \downarrow \zeta_d & & \downarrow \zeta_d \\
 S_{(\underline{c},c,\omega c)} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_c} & S_{\underline{c}}
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

The second pair of coherence conditions relate the multiplication \diamond and the contraction ζ to each other.

(C3.) For finite sets X_1 and X_2 , $\underline{c} \in C^{X_1}, \underline{d} \in C^{X_2}$ and $c, d \in C$, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S_{(\underline{c},c,\omega c,d)} \times S_{(\underline{d},\omega d)} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_c \times id} & S_{(\underline{c},d)} \times S_{(\underline{d},\omega d)} \\
 \downarrow \diamond_d & & \downarrow \diamond_d \\
 S_{(\underline{c},c,\omega c,\underline{d})} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_c} & S_{\underline{cd}}
 \end{array}$$

(C4.) For finite sets X_1, X_2 , $\underline{c} \in C^{X_1}, \underline{d} \in C^{X_2}$, and $c, d \in C$, the diagram


$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S_{(\underline{c},c,d)} \times S_{(\underline{d},\omega c,\omega d)} & \xrightarrow{\diamond_c} & S_{(\underline{c},d,\underline{d},\omega d)} \\
 \downarrow \diamond_d & & \downarrow \zeta_d \\
 S_{(\underline{c},c,\underline{d},\omega c)} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_c} & S_{\underline{cd}}
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

This correspondence extends to an isomorphism between the EM category $\mathbf{GS}^{\mathbb{T}}$ of \mathbb{T} -algebras and the category of non-unital CSMs whose morphisms $(S, \diamond, \zeta) \rightarrow (S', \diamond', \zeta')$ are morphisms $\gamma \in \mathbf{GS}(S, S')$ that respect the multiplication and contraction.

Proof. Let X and Y be finite sets and let $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{X,Y}$ be the $X \amalg Y$ -graph obtained by gluing the corollas $\mathcal{C}_{X \amalg \{x\}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{Y \amalg \{y\}}$ along the ports labelled by x and y , and applying the induced labelling. Precisely, $\mathcal{M}^{X,Y}$ is the coequaliser of the gluing datum

$$ch_x, (ch_y \circ \tau) : (i) \rightrightarrows (\mathcal{C}_{X \amalg \{x\}} + \mathcal{C}_{Y \amalg \{y\}}).$$

FIGURE 4. Construction of the $(X \amalg Y)$ -graph $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{X,Y}$.

For a graphical species S , elements of $S(\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{X,Y})$ are determined by ordered pairs $(\phi, \psi) \in S_{(\underline{c},c)} \times S_{(\underline{d},\omega c)}$, where $\underline{c} \in C^X$, $\underline{d} \in C^Y$, and $c \in C$. Let $\overline{\varphi}_{x,y}^S(\phi, \psi) \in TS_{\underline{c}\underline{d}}$ be the image of $(\phi, \psi) \in S(\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{X,Y})$ under the quotient map $S(\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{X,Y}) \rightarrow TS_{X \amalg Y}$. This map is an inclusion unless X and Y are empty, in which case two distinct ordered pairs $(\phi_1, \psi_1), (\phi_2, \psi_2) \in S_{(c)} \times S_{(\omega c)}$ represent the same element of $TS_{\mathbf{0}}$ if and only if $(\phi_2, \psi_2) = (\psi_1, \phi_1)$.

If (A, h) is a (C, ω) -coloured \mathbb{T} -algebra, the family of maps defined by the composition


$$h \diamond : S_{(\underline{c},c)} \times S_{(\underline{d},\omega c)} \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}^S} TS_{\underline{c}\underline{d}} \xrightarrow{h} S_{\underline{c}\underline{d}},$$

(where X, Y are finite sets, $\underline{c} \in C^X$, $\underline{d} \in C^Y$, and $c \in C$) defines a multiplication on A . This multiplication is commutative and equivariant by construction.

Similarly, for a finite set X , let $\mathcal{N}_{x,y}^X$ be the X -graph obtained by gluing the ports of $\mathcal{C}_{X \amalg \{x,y\}}$ labelled by x and y .

Precisely, $\mathcal{N}_{x,y}^X$ is the coequaliser of the gluing datum

$$ch_x, ch_y \circ \tau : (1) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{C}_{X \amalg \{x,y\}}.$$

FIGURE 5. Construction of the X -graph $\mathcal{N}_{x,y}^X$.

For a graphical species S , elements of $S(\mathcal{N}_{x,y}^X)$ are given by elements $\phi \in S_{(\underline{c},c,\omega c)} \subset S_{X \amalg \{x,y\}}$, for $\underline{c} \in C^X$ and $c \in C$. The only non-trivial port-preserving automorphism of $\mathcal{N}_{x,y}^X$ is the permutation $\sigma_{x,y} \in \text{Aut}(X \amalg \{x,y\})$ that swaps x and y and leaves the other elements unchanged.

In other words, two distinct elements $\phi, \psi \in S_{X \amalg \{x,y\}}$ induce the same element, denoted $\overline{\zeta}^S(\phi)$ of TS_X if and only if $S(\sigma_{x,y})(\phi) = \psi$.

If (A, h) is a (C, ω) -coloured algebra for T , the family of maps defined by the composition

$$h \zeta : A_{(\underline{c},c,\omega c)} \xrightarrow{\overline{\zeta}^A} TA_{\underline{c}} \xrightarrow{h} A_{\underline{c}} \quad (\text{where } X \text{ is a finite set and } \underline{c} \in C^X, c \in C),$$

defines an equivariant contraction on A .

For condition (C1.) (see Figure 6),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\phi_1 \diamond_{w,x} \phi_2) \diamond_{y,z} \phi_3 &= h((\overline{\sigma}^A((\phi_1 \diamond_{w,x} \phi_2), \phi_3))) \\
 &= h\mu^T A((\overline{\sigma}^{TA}(\overline{\sigma}_{w,x}^A(\phi_1, \phi_2), \eta^T A(\phi_3)))) \\
 &= h\mu^T A((\overline{\sigma}^{TA}(\eta^T A\phi_1, \overline{\sigma}_{y,z}^A(\phi_2, \phi_3)))) \\
 &= h((\overline{\sigma}^A(\phi_1, (\phi_2 \diamond_{y,z} \phi_3))) \\
 &= \phi_1 \diamond_{w,x} (\phi_2 \diamond_{y,z} \phi_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

using the defining properties of monad algebras, and the definition of $\diamond = h \circ \overline{\sigma}$.




FIGURE 6. Coherence condition (C1.) Applying $\mu^T A : T^2 A \rightarrow A$ amounts to *erasing inner nesting*.

The coherence conditions (C2.)-(C4.) all follow in the same way from the defining properties 2.18 and 2.19 of monad algebras. Figures 7 - 9 illustrate each condition.

The proof of the converse closely resembles [12, Theorem 3.7].

Namely, let (S, \diamond, ζ) satisfy the conditions in the statement of the proposition. The idea is to construct a structure morphism $h \in \text{GS}(TS, S)$ by successively ‘collapsing’ internal edge orbits of S -structured graphs (\mathcal{G}, α) to obtain a finite sequence of S -structured graphs terminating in an S -structured corolla.

As usual, let X be a finite set and (\mathcal{X}, α) a representative of $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \in TS_X$.

If \mathcal{G} has no internal edges, then $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}_X$, and so $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] = \eta^T S(\phi)$ for some $\phi \in S_X$. In this case, define

$$(2.28) \quad h[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi \in S_X.$$

Otherwise, let $\{e, \tau e\}$ be an internal edge τ -orbit of \mathcal{G} . Let $v = t(e)$ and $v' = t(\tau e)$, and let $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{e}}$ be the graph obtained from \mathcal{G} by ‘collapsing’ the τ -orbit $\{e, \tau e\}$ and identifying the endpoints.

If $v \neq v'$, then

$$\mathcal{G}_{\bar{e}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau \bigcirc (E - \{e, \tau e\}) \xleftarrow{s} (H - s^{-1}\{e, \tau e\}) \xrightarrow{\bar{t}} V/(v \sim v'),$$

where \bar{t} is the composition of $t = t : H \rightarrow V$ with the quotient $V \twoheadrightarrow V/(v \sim v')$. The class of v, v' in $V/(v \sim v')$ is given by \bar{v} , and $\bar{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathcal{G}_{\bar{e}}}$ is the corresponding essential element of $\text{es}(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{e}})$. Then, the edge collapse




FIGURE 7. Coherence condition (C2.)




FIGURE 8. Coherence condition (C3.).

induces an S -decoration $\alpha_{\bar{e}}$ on $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{e}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} S(\bar{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathcal{G}_{\bar{e}}})(\alpha_{\bar{e}}) &= \phi \diamond_{e, \tau e} \psi, \quad \text{and} \\ S(\bar{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathcal{G}_{\bar{e}}})(\alpha_{\bar{e}}) &= S(\mathbf{w}^{\mathcal{G}})(\alpha) \quad w \in V - \{v, v'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, if $v = v'$, the graph $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{e}}$ obtained from \mathcal{G} by ‘collapsing’ $\{e, \tau e\}$ has the form

$$\mathcal{G}_{\bar{e}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tau \bigcirc (E - \{e, \tau e\}) \xleftarrow{s} (H - s^{-1}\{e, \tau e\}) \xrightarrow{\bar{t}} V.$$




FIGURE 9. Coherence condition (C4.).

If $S(\mathbf{v})(\alpha) = \phi \in S_{E^P}$, then we may define an S -decoration $\alpha_{\tilde{e}}$ on $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{e}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{e}}})(\alpha_{\tilde{e}}) &= \zeta_{e, \tau e} \phi, & \text{and} \\ S(\mathbf{w}^{\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{e}}})(\alpha_{\tilde{e}}) &= S(\mathbf{w}^{\mathcal{G}})(\alpha) \quad w \in V - \{v\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, an ordering $(\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_N)$ of the set E_I/τ of internal τ orbits of \mathcal{G} , defines a terminating sequence of S -structured X -graphs

$$(\mathcal{X}, \alpha) \mapsto (\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{e}_1}, \alpha_{\tilde{e}_1}) \mapsto ((\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{e}_1})_{\tilde{e}_2}, (\alpha_{\tilde{e}_1})_{\tilde{e}_2}) \mapsto \dots \mapsto (((\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{e}_1}) \dots)_{\tilde{e}_N}, ((\alpha)_{\tilde{e}_1} \dots)_{\tilde{e}_N}).$$

Since $((\mathcal{X}_{\tilde{e}_1}) \dots)_{\tilde{e}_N}$ has no internal edges, and is therefore an X -corolla, there exists an element $\phi_{(\mathcal{X}, \alpha)} \in S_X$ such that

$$((\alpha)_{\tilde{e}_1} \dots)_{\tilde{e}_N} = \eta^{\mathbb{T}} S(\phi_{(\mathcal{X}, \alpha)}) \in TS_X.$$

The coherence conditions (C1.)–(C4.) are equivalent to the statement that $\phi_{(\mathcal{X}, \alpha)} \in S_X$ so obtained is unchanged if the order of collapse of consecutive pairs $\tilde{e}_j, \tilde{e}_{j+1} \in E_I/\tau$ of inner τ -orbits is switched. In other words, $\phi_{(\mathcal{X}, \alpha)}$ is independent of the choice of ordering of E_I/τ .

If (\mathcal{X}, α) and (\mathcal{X}', α') represent $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \in TS_X$, then there is an isomorphism $g \in X\text{Gr}_{iso}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}')$ such that $S(g)(\alpha') = \alpha$ and so g uniquely defines isomorphisms $((\alpha_{\tilde{e}_1}) \dots)_{\tilde{e}_k} \cong ((\alpha'_{\tilde{e}'_1}) \dots)_{\tilde{e}'_k}$ at each successive edge collapse. In particular since g preserves ports, $\phi_{(\mathcal{X}, \alpha)} = \phi_{(\mathcal{X}', \alpha')} \in S_X$ so the assignment

$$h[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_{(\mathcal{X}, \alpha)}$$

is well-defined and it is clear from the construction that it extends to a morphism $h \in \text{GS}(TS, S)$.

To complete the proof of the proposition, it remains to establish that h satisfies the algebra axioms 2.18, 2.19 for the monad \mathbb{T} . Compatibility of h with $\eta^{\mathbb{T}}$ (2.19) is immediate from Equation 2.28. Compatibility of h with $\mu^{\mathbb{T}}$ (2.18), follows since the coherence conditions (C1.) – (C4.) ensure that $h[\mathcal{X}, \alpha]$ is independent of the order of collapse of the internal edges of \mathcal{X} . Let $\Gamma : \text{el}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(S)$ represent $[\mathcal{X}, \beta] \in T^2S_X$ where (\mathcal{X}, β) has colimit $(\overline{\Gamma}, \alpha) \in \text{Gr}(S)$, with $\alpha \in S(\overline{\Gamma})$. By Corollary 1.61 $E(\overline{\Gamma}) = E(\mathcal{X}) \amalg \coprod_{v \in V(\mathcal{X})} E_I(\text{dom} \Gamma(\mathbf{v}))$ and, by the coherence conditions (C1.)–(C4.), it doesn't matter

if we first collapse the internal edges of each $\Gamma(b)$, and then the internal edges of \mathcal{X} , or if we first take the colimit $\bar{\Gamma}$ and collapse the edges in any order.

So (S, \diamond, ζ) defines a \mathbb{T} -algebra (S, h) . It is straightforward to observe that these correspondences are inverse to one another and extend to the desired isomorphism of categories. Hence the proposition is proved. \square

The following definition will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.46.

Definition 2.29. *Let S be a (C, ω) -coloured graphical species. For all finite sets X, Y and pairs $(\phi, \psi) \in S(\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{X,Y})$ with $\phi \in S(\underline{c}, c)$ and $\psi \in S(\underline{d}, \omega c)$, as in the proof above, the image*

$$\bar{\varphi}_{x,y}^S(\phi, \psi) \in TS_{\underline{cd}}$$

under the quotient map $S(\mathcal{M}_{x,y}^{X,Y}) \rightarrow TS_{X\Pi Y}$ is called the (S) -premultiplication of ϕ and ψ .

Likewise, for all finite sets X , and $\phi \in S(\mathcal{N}_{x,y}^X)$ with $\phi \in S(\underline{c}, c, \omega c)$, the image

$$\bar{\zeta}_{x,y}^S(\phi) \in TS_{\underline{c}},$$

under the quotient map $S(\mathcal{N}_{x,y}^X) \rightarrow TS_X$ is called the (S) -precontraction of ϕ .

3. MONADS ASSOCIATED TO CSMs

Proposition 2.27 identifies the category of non-unital CSMs with the EM category of algebras for the monad \mathbb{T} on \mathbf{GS} . The question now is how to modify this in order to obtain (unital) CSMs.

Definition 3.1. *A CSM $(S, \diamond, \zeta, \iota)$ is a graphical species S equipped with a commutative, equivariant multiplication \diamond and an equivariant contraction ζ that together satisfy conditions (C1.) – (C4.) in the statement of Proposition 2.27, and such that, if (C, ω) is the palette of S , then, for each $c \in C$, there is an element $\iota_c \in \mathbf{S}_2$ such that, for all finite sets X and all $\phi \in S_{X\Pi\{x\}}$ with $S(ch_x)(\phi) = c \in C$,*

$$(3.2) \quad \phi \diamond_{x,2}^{X,\{1\}} \iota_c = \phi.$$

3.1. The ‘problem of loops’. Before giving the main construction and results of the present paper, it is worthwhile to say a little more about the obstruction to the construction outlined in [19]. This also provides insight into why, for example, the graphical categories used to define the (wheeled properad and modular operad) monads in [14, 16, 17] do not embed into the presheaf categories on which those monads are defined.

The method for constructing multiplicative units in [19] will be familiar to anyone who has seen other constructions of operad families as EM categories for monads ([15], [27], [28]), and relies on a small adjustment to the Definition 2.1 of X -graphs to allow degenerate substitutions.

Definition 3.3. *Let X be a finite set. Objects of the groupoid $X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}^{JK}$ are pairs $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{G}, \rho)$ where $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{Gr})$ is a connected graph with possibly empty vertex set, and $\rho : E_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} X$ is a bijection of finite sets. Morphisms in $X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}^{JK}$ are graph isomorphisms that preserve the labelling of the ports.*

In other words, for all $X \not\cong \mathbf{2}$, $X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}^{JK} = X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}$, and $\mathbf{2Gr}_{iso}^{JK} = \mathbf{2Gr}_{iso} \amalg \{(1, id), (1, \sigma_2)\}$. (Note that $(1, \sigma_2) = (\tau \ 1, id) \in \mathbf{2Gr}_{iso}^{JK}$.)

If it were possible to replace $X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}$ by $X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}^{JK}$ everywhere in the definition of the monad \mathbb{T} (Section 2) and thereby obtain a well-defined monad \mathbb{T}^{JK} on \mathbf{GS} , then the EM category of \mathbb{T}^{JK} -algebras would be isomorphic to CSM. In this case, given a \mathbb{T}^{JK} -algebra (A, h) , for each $c \in A(1)$, the element

$$\iota_c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h(1, c) \in A_2$$

would define the c -coloured multiplicative unit for the corresponding CSM.

However, the definition of a CSM $(S, \diamond, \zeta, \iota)$ requires, not only that S admits multiplicative units, but also that these units may be contracted. In other words as well as elements $\iota_c \in S_2$, there are also distinguished elements $\zeta(\iota_c) \in S_0$, that satisfy certain conditions. It is precisely these *contracted units* that form an obstruction to defining a multiplication for the desired monad \mathbb{T}^{JK} .

Namely, let $T^{JK} : \mathbf{GS} \rightarrow \mathbf{GS}$ be the endofunctor (defined in [19, Section 5]) defined on objects by

$$\begin{aligned} T^{JK}S_{(1)} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S_{(1)}, \\ T^{JK}S_X &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{colim}_{\mathcal{X} \in X \mathbf{Gr}_{iso}^{JK}S} S(\mathcal{X}), \quad \text{for all finite sets } X. \end{aligned}$$

For all graphical species S , $TS \subset T^{JK}S$, and hence also $T^2S \subset (T^{JK})^2S$. And, by Proposition 2.27, if T^{JK} admits a multiplication $\mu_{JK} : (T^{JK})^2 \Rightarrow T^{JK}$, it must restrict to $\mu^{\mathbb{T}}S$ on T^2S .

Given an X -graph \mathcal{X} , a functor $\mathbf{\Gamma} : \text{el}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}(S)$ satisfying $\mathbf{\Gamma}(a) \in S_{(1)}$ for $a \in \mathbf{Gr}(1, \mathcal{G})$ and

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}(b) = (\mathcal{X}_b, \alpha_b) \in \text{ob}(X \mathbf{Gr}_{iso}^{JK}(S)), \text{ for } (\mathcal{C}_Y, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{X}))$$

is called an \mathcal{X} -shaped graph of S -structured JK -graphs, and represents an element $[\mathcal{X}, \beta] \in (T^{JK})^2S_X$.

It could be hoped that there is a natural transformation $\mu_{JK} : (T^{JK})^2 \Rightarrow T^{JK}$ such that the restriction of $\mu_{JK}S$ to T^2S is just

$$\mu^{\mathbb{T}}S : T^2S \Rightarrow S, \quad [\mathcal{X}, \beta] \mapsto [\text{colim}_{\text{el}(\mathcal{X})} \mathbf{\Gamma}, \rho],$$

where $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is an \mathcal{X} -shaped graph of S -structured graphs that represents β . However, this is not the case.

As usual, let \mathcal{W} be the wheel graph with edge set $\{e, \tau e\}$ (Example 1.4). The essential category $\text{es}(\mathcal{W})$ is isomorphic to the small category with objects $(1, ch_e)$ and $(\mathcal{C}_2, b : 1_{\mathcal{C}_2} \mapsto \tau e)$ and non-identity morphisms

$$ch_2^{\mathcal{C}_2} : (1, ch_e) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, b) \text{ induced by } 1_1 \mapsto 2_{\mathcal{C}_2}, \text{ and } ch_1^{\mathcal{C}_2} \circ \tau_{(\bar{e})} : (1, ch_e) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, b) \text{ induced by } 2_1 \mapsto 1_{\mathcal{C}_2}.$$

Now, for each $c \in C$, there is an element $[\mathcal{W}, \beta^c] \in (T^{JK})^2S_0$ represented by the \mathcal{W} -shaped graph of S -structured JK -graphs $\mathbf{O}^c : \text{el}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}(S)$ defined by

$$\mathbf{O}^c(b) = (1, c) \text{ and } \mathbf{O}^c(ch_e) = (1, c)$$

(so the $\mathbf{O}^c(b)$ -induced isomorphism $E_0(\mathcal{C}_2) \cong E_0(1)$ is the canonical one).

Then,

$$\mathbf{O}^c(ch_2^{\mathcal{C}_2})\mathbf{O}^c(b) = S(ch_2^{(1)})\mathbf{O}^c(b) = (1, \omega c),$$

and likewise

$$\mathbf{O}^c(ch_1^{\mathcal{C}_2} \circ \tau_{(\bar{e})})\mathbf{O}^c(b) = S(ch_1^{(1)} \circ \tau_{(\bar{e})})\mathbf{O}^c(b) = (1, \omega c).$$

In other words, $\mathbf{O}^c(ch_2^{\mathcal{C}_2}) = \mathbf{O}^c(ch_1^{\mathcal{C}_2} \circ \tau_{(\bar{e})})$, whence it follows that the colimit $\text{colim}_{\text{el}(\mathcal{W})} \mathbf{O}^c$ exists in $\mathbf{Gr}(S)$ and is given by $(1, c)$.

In the first place this is surprising since $E_0(1) \neq E_0(\mathcal{W})$ so Corollary 1.61 does not hold for \mathbf{O}^c . Moreover, if $\mathbf{O}^{\omega c} : \text{el}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}(S)$ is the functor defined by $(\mathcal{C}_2, b) \mapsto (1, \omega c)$, then

$$\text{colim}_{\text{el}(\mathcal{W})} \mathbf{O}^c = (1, c) \neq (\tau_{(1)}(1), c) = (1, \omega c) = \text{colim}_{\text{el}(\mathcal{W})} \mathbf{O}^{\omega c},$$

whenever $c \neq \omega c$.

However, \mathcal{W} admits a unique non-trivial – but trivially port preserving – automorphism $\tau_{(\mathcal{W})} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$, such that \mathbf{O}^c and $\mathbf{O}^{\omega c}$ are related by

$$\mathbf{O}^{\omega c}(\mathcal{C}, b) = \mathbf{O}^c(\mathcal{C}, \tau_{(\mathcal{W})} \circ b) \text{ for all } (\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{W})).$$

In particular, \mathbf{O}^c and $\mathbf{O}^{\omega c}$ represent the same element of $(T^{JK})^2S_0$.

So, to extend $\mu^{\mathbb{T}}S$ to a well-defined natural transformation $\mu_{JK}S : (T^{JK})^2S \Rightarrow T^{JK}S$, it is necessary to identify $[i, c]$ and $[\tau(i), c]$ in $T^{JK}S$. But, $\tau \in \mathbf{Gr}_{(i, i)}$ is emphatically not port-preserving, and the coequaliser $id_{(i)}, \tau : (i) \rightrightarrows (i)$ in **GrShape** is precisely the *exceptional loop* $\bigcirc \notin \mathit{ob}(\mathbf{Gr})$ described in Example 1.50. So, whenever $c \neq \omega c$ there is no element of $T^{JK}S$ represented by both (i, c) and $(i, \omega c)$.

An obvious first attempt at a resolution of this problem would be to enlarge $\mathbf{Gr}_{et}^{\sharp}$, and \mathbf{Gr} , to include the exceptional loop \bigcirc . To this end, let us define the category $\mathbf{Gr}_{et}^{\bigcirc}$ of *fully generalised Feynman graphs* and étale morphisms whose objects are diagrams of the form

$$\tau \bigcirc E \xleftarrow{s} H \xrightarrow{t} V$$

where $s : H \rightarrow E$ is injective and $\tau : E \rightarrow E$ is an involution whose restriction to $\mathit{im}(s) \subset E$ has no fixed points. The involution τ may, however, have fixed points in $E - \mathit{im}(s)$.

All other definitions remain unchanged. So, $\mathbf{Gr}^{\bigcirc} \subset \mathbf{Gr}_{et}^{\sharp \bigcirc}$ is the full subcategory of fully generalised connected graphs obtained from \mathbf{Gr} by adding the object \bigcirc and a unique morphism $(i) \rightarrow \bigcirc$.

Define $\mathit{el}(\bigcirc) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathit{elGr} \downarrow_{\mathbf{Gr}^{\bigcirc}} \bigcirc$. Any graphical species S , viewed as a presheaf on elGr , may be extended to a presheaf on \mathbf{Gr}^{\bigcirc} by $S(\mathcal{G}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathit{lim}_{\mathit{el}(\mathcal{G})} S(\mathcal{G})$. Since the loop graph \bigcirc is the coequaliser in $\mathbf{Gr}_{et}^{\bigcirc}$ of the maps $id, \tau : (i) \rightrightarrows (i)$, $\mathit{el}(\bigcirc) \cong \mathit{el}(\mathcal{G})(i)$ canonically (and both are isomorphic to the connected groupoid of singleton sets) and therefore $S(\bigcirc) = S(i)$. It follows that the inclusion $\mathit{elGr} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Gr}^{\bigcirc}$ is not dense and \mathbf{Gr}^{\bigcirc} does not embed in \mathbf{GS} .

In particular, if $T^{\bigcirc} : \mathbf{GS} \rightarrow \mathbf{GS}$ is the endofunctor

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\bigcirc}S(i) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S(i), \\ T^{\bigcirc}S_X &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathit{colim}_{(\mathcal{G}, \rho) \in X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}^{\bigcirc}} S(\mathcal{G}), \end{aligned}$$

and $(\mathcal{C}_2, b) \in \mathit{ob}(\mathit{el}(\mathcal{W}))$ as before, then the pair $\mathbf{O}^c, \mathbf{O}^{\omega c}$ of \mathcal{W} -shaped graphs of S -structured JK-graphs

$$\mathbf{O}^c : (\mathcal{C}_2, b) \mapsto (i, c), \quad \text{with colimit } (i, c) \in \mathbf{Gr}^{\bigcirc}(S)$$

$$\mathbf{O}^{\omega c} : (\mathcal{C}_2, b) \mapsto (i, \omega c), \quad \text{with colimit } (i, \omega c) \in \mathbf{Gr}^{\bigcirc}(S)$$

represent the same element $[\mathcal{W}, \beta] \in (T^{\bigcirc})^2S_{\mathbf{O}}$. But since $S(\bigcirc) \cong S(i) = C$, the elements $[\bigcirc, c], [\bigcirc, \omega c] \in T^{\bigcirc}S_{\mathbf{O}}$ are distinct whenever $c \neq \omega c$. It follows that $\mu^{\mathbb{T}}$ does not extend to a multiplication $\mu^{\bigcirc} : (T^{\bigcirc})^2 \Rightarrow T^{\bigcirc}$.

Remark 3.4 (Some details on [19] and [17]). Let $\eta_{JK} : 1_{\mathbf{GS}} \Rightarrow T^{JK}$ be the natural transformation $\eta_{JK} : 1_{\mathbf{GS}} \Rightarrow T^{JK}$ of endofunctors on \mathbf{GS} obtained as the composition of $\eta^{\mathbb{T}} : 1_{\mathbf{GS}} \Rightarrow T$ with the inclusion $T \subset T^{JK}$. Then (T^{JK}, η_{JK}) is a pointed endofunctor on \mathbf{GS} and algebras for (T^{JK}, η_{JK}) have a canonical CSM structure.

It is shown in [17, Section 4] that, if $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}} \supset \mathbf{Gr}$ is the category described in [19, Section 6] whose objects are connected graphs and whose morphisms factor as ‘refinements’ followed by étale maps,¹⁵ then the induced nerve $N_{JK} : \mathbf{CSM} \rightarrow \mathbf{PSh}(\overline{\mathbf{Gr}})$ is fully faithful and is obtained as a right Kan extension of the fully faithful nerve $N_U : \mathbf{CSM} \rightarrow \mathbf{PSh}(U)$ described in [17, Theorem 3.6] (see [17, Section 4] for the details). Here $U \subset \overline{\mathbf{Gr}}$ is the Reedy wide subcategory that is used in [16] to define Segal modular operads. In each case, the essential image of the induced nerve consists precisely of those presheaves on $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}$, respectively U ,

¹⁵ In [19], (a version of) $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}$ is called \mathbf{Gr} . This is described both as a category of graphs whose morphisms admit a particular factorisation, and as a category of graphs obtained in an identity on objects/faithful factorisation of functors. Though the first is a subcategory of the second, they are not identical. Here $\overline{\mathbf{Gr}}$ refers to the smaller category described in terms of morphisms admitting a particular factorisation.

that satisfy the Segal condition 0.1. In other words for this description of the category $\overline{\text{Gr}}$ the statement of the main theorem in [19, Section 6] and restated as [17, Theorem 4.1] holds.

However, since T^{JK} does not underly a monad, there is no canonical CSM-structure on objects in its image, so the theorem can't be proved directly by the method outlined in [19, Section 5]. In particular, $\overline{\text{Gr}}$ is a proper wide subcategory of the category $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ obtained in the identity on objects/fully faithful factorisation of $\text{Gr} \hookrightarrow \text{GS} \xrightarrow{\text{free CSM}} \text{CSM}$ (see Section 4 – $\overline{\text{Gr}}$ does not include the morphisms in $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ that describe the contracted units). In fact, the functor $\overline{\text{Gr}} \hookrightarrow \text{CSM}$ is not fully faithful (see [17]) as is easily observed by considering, for example, the free CSMs on the graphs \mathcal{C}_0 and (1) (see also Remark 4.5).

3.2. Pointed graphical species. Let us pursue a different approach and examine the definition 3.1 of CSMs more closely.

Lemma 3.5. *If $(S, \diamond, \zeta, \iota)$ is a (C, ω) -coloured CSM, then, for each $c \in C$, the c -coloured unit ι_c is unique, and commutes with the involutions $\omega : C \rightarrow C$ and the non-identity automorphism $\sigma_2 \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_2)$.*

Proof. For all finite sets X, Y , and all $\phi \in S_{X \amalg \{x\}}$ with $S(\text{ch}_x) = c$, $\psi \in S_{Y \amalg \{y\}}$ with $S(\text{ch}_y)(\psi) = \omega c$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.6) \quad \phi \diamond_{x,y}^{X,Y} \psi &= (\phi \diamond_{x,2}^{X,\{1\}} \iota_c) \diamond_{1,y}^{X,Y} \psi && \text{by definition of units,} \\
 &= \phi \diamond_{x,2}^{X,Y} (\iota_c \diamond_{1,y}^{\{2\},Y} \psi) && \text{by (C1.),} \\
 &= \phi \diamond_{x,2}^{X,Y} (\sigma_2 \iota_c \diamond_{2,y}^{\{1\},Y} \psi) && \text{by equivariance of } \diamond.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $X = \{1\}$, $x = 2$ and $\phi = \iota_{\omega c} \in S_2$, then 3.6 gives

$$(3.7) \quad \psi = \sigma_2 \iota_c \diamond_{2,y}^{\{1\},Y} \psi$$

so $\sigma_2 \iota_{\omega c}$ is a ωc -coloured unit for \diamond .

Now, let λ_c be another c -coloured unit for \diamond . Then, $\sigma_2 \lambda_c$ is an ωc coloured unit and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad \iota_c &= \iota_c \diamond_{2,2}^{\{1\},\{1\}} \sigma_2 \lambda_c && \text{by 3.7,} \\
 &= \iota_c \diamond_{2,1}^{\{1\},\{2\}} \lambda_c && \text{by equivariance of } \diamond, \\
 &= \lambda_c && \text{by commutativity of } \diamond.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Moreover, for all $c \in C$, $\zeta_c(\iota_c) = \zeta_{\omega c}(\iota_{\omega c}) \in S_0$ by the lemma and equivariance of ζ .

It follows that

- (1) if a (C, ω) -coloured graphical species S admits a unital multiplication \diamond then, for each $c \in C$, there is a distinguished element $\iota_c \in S_{(c, \omega c)} \subset S_2$ satisfying $S(\sigma_2)(\iota_c) = \iota_{\omega c}$. In other words, S is equipped with an injective map $\iota : C \rightarrow S_2$ that is compatible with the involutions.
- (2) if a (C, ω) -coloured graphical species S admits an equivariant contraction ζ , and, for each $c \in C$, a distinguished element $\iota_c \in S_2$ such that $S(\sigma_2)(\iota_c) = \iota_{\omega c}$, then S is equipped with a map $\zeta^\iota : \iota(C) \rightarrow S_0$ such that $\zeta^\iota(\iota_c) = \zeta^\iota(\iota_{\omega c})$ for all $c \in C$ and hence a map $\circ : C \rightarrow S_0$ factoring through C/ω .

The idea now is to add morphisms to elGr that encode this additional structure on GS and then modify the constructions in Sections 1 and 2 for this new category elGr_* .

Definition 3.9. *The category $\text{elGr}_* \supset \text{elGr}$ of pointed elementary graphs is obtained from elGr by formally adjoining morphisms $u : \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow (1)$ and $z : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow (1)$ subject to the relations*

- $u \circ \text{ch}_1^{\mathcal{C}_2} = \text{id} \in \text{elGr}(1, 1)$ and $u \circ \text{ch}_2^{\mathcal{C}_2} = \tau \in \text{elGr}(1, 1)$,
- $\tau \circ u = u \circ \sigma_2 \in \text{elGr}_*(\mathcal{C}_2, 1)$, and
- $z = \tau \circ z \in \text{elGr}_*(\mathcal{C}_0, 1)$.

The morphism sets of elGr_* are easily described. A direct verification reveals that $\text{elGr}_*(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}') = \text{elGr}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}')$ for all $\mathcal{C}' \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr})$, and all $\mathcal{C} \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr})$ such that $\mathcal{C} \not\cong \mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C} \not\cong \mathcal{C}_2$. In particular

$$\text{elGr}_*(1, 1) = \text{elGr}(1, 1) = \{id, \tau\}.$$

Since $z = \tau \circ z$,

$$\text{elGr}_*(\mathcal{C}_0, 1) = \{z\},$$

and it follows that

$$\text{elGr}_*(\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_X) = \begin{cases} \{ch_x \circ z\}_{x \in X} \cong X, & \text{for } X \neq \mathbf{0} \\ \text{elGr}(\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_0) = \{*\}, & \text{for } X = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

Again,

$$\text{elGr}_*(\mathcal{C}_2, 1) = \{u, \tau \circ u\} = \{u, u \circ \sigma_2\}$$

follows from a straightforward verification, and therefore

$$\text{elGr}_*(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_X) = \text{elGr}(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_X) \amalg \{ch_e \circ u\}_{ch_e \in \text{elGr}(1, \mathcal{C}_X)}.$$

Definition 3.10. *The category GS_* of pointed graphical species is the category $\text{PSh}(\text{elGr}_*)$ of presheaves on elGr_* .*

Thus, a pointed graphical species is a graphical species $S \in \text{ob}(\text{GS})$ equipped with

- (1) an injection $\iota \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S(u) : S(1) \rightarrow S_2$, such that
 - $S(ch_1^{C_2}) \circ \iota = S(u \circ ch_1^{C_2}) = id_{S(1)}$, and $S(ch_2^{C_2}) \circ \iota = S(u \circ ch_2^{C_2}) = S(\tau)$,
 - $S(\sigma_2) \circ \iota = \iota \circ S(\tau)$,
- (2) and a map

$$o \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S(z) : S(1) \rightarrow S_0,$$

that factors through $S(1)/S(\tau)$.

A morphism $\gamma : (S, \iota, o) \rightarrow (S', \iota', o')$ of pointed graphical species is a morphism $\gamma \in \text{GS}(S, S')$ of the underlying graphical species that *preserves (contracted) units*.

Remark 3.11 (Remark on notation and terminology). For a (C, ω) -pointed graphical species, let

$$\iota_c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \iota(c) \in S_{(c, \omega c)} \subset S_2,$$

and

$$o_{\bar{c}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} o(c) = o(\omega c) \in S_0.$$

To emphasise the underlying graphical species S , the notation $\iota^S = \iota$, and $o^S = o$ will sometimes be used.

Although a pointed graphical species (S, ι, o) is not, in general, equipped with a multiplication, it will often be convenient to slightly abuse terminology and call the map $\iota : C \rightarrow S_2$, and elements ι_c , *units* for S . Similarly, $o : C \rightarrow S_0$, and $o_{\bar{c}}$, will be called *(contracted) units* for S . By contrast, when S is viewed as a (unpointed) graphical species under the forgetful functor $\text{GS}_* \rightarrow \text{GS}$, the elements $\iota_c, o_{\bar{c}}$ are simply called *distinguished* (or *specified*) *elements*.

Definition 3.12. *A morphism $\gamma \in \text{GS}_*((S, \iota, o), (S', \iota', o'))$ is palette-preserving if the underlying morphism of graphical species is palette-preserving (see Definition 1.40). That is,*

$$\gamma_{(1)} = id : S(1) \rightarrow S'(1).$$

Given a palette (C, ω) , $\text{GS}_^{(C, \omega)} \subset \text{GS}_*$ is the category of (C, ω) -coloured pointed graphical species and palette-preserving morphisms of graphical species.*

Example 3.13. The terminal species $Z \in \text{ob}(\text{GS})$ trivially has the structure of a pointed graphical species, and is therefore terminal in GS_* . For any palette (C, ω) , the terminal (C, ω) -coloured graphical species $Z^{(C, \omega)}$ is also trivially a pointed species and so is terminal in $\text{GS}_*^{(C, \omega)}$.

3.3. The category Gr_* .

Lemma 3.14. *The category GS_* is the EM category of algebras for a monad \mathbb{D} on GS .*

Proof. Let $(\cdot)^+ : \text{GS} \rightarrow \text{GS}_*$ be given by left Kan extension along the inclusion $\text{elGr}^{op} \hookrightarrow \text{elGr}_*^{op}$. Its right adjoint $U^{\mathbb{D}} : \text{GS}_* \rightarrow \text{GS}$ is just the functor that forgets (contracted) units. The monad \mathbb{D} is induced by $U^{\mathbb{D}}(\dots)^+$. □

If S is a (C, ω) -coloured graphical species, then S^+ is described explicitly by

$$S^+(1) = S(1) = C,$$

and

$$(3.15) \quad S_X^+ = \begin{cases} S_X^+, & X \not\cong \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \\ S_2 \amalg \{\iota_c^+\}_{c \in C} \cong S_2 \amalg C, & X = \mathbf{2}, \\ S_0 \amalg \{o_{\bar{c}}^+\}_{\bar{c} \in C/\omega} \cong S_0 \amalg C/\omega, & X = \mathbf{0}, \end{cases}$$

together with the obvious maps

$$\begin{aligned} \iota^+ : C &\hookrightarrow S_2^+, & c &\mapsto \iota_c^+, \\ o^+ : C &\rightarrow S_0^+, & c &\mapsto o_{\bar{c}}^+, \\ S^+(ch_x^{C_x}) : S_X^+ &\rightarrow C, & \begin{cases} S^+(ch_x^{C_x})(\phi) = S(ch_x^{C_x})(\phi), & \phi \in S_X, \\ S^+(ch_1^{C_2})(\iota_c^+) = c, \\ S^+(ch_1^{C_2})(o_{\bar{c}}^+) = c, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The right action of FinSet_{iso} on S is extended to S_X^+ by $S^+(\sigma_2)(\iota_c^+) = \iota_{\omega c}^+$.

Since $(\cdot)^+$ does nothing more than adjoin (contracted) units to S , the monadic unit $\eta^{\mathbb{D}}$ is provided by the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow DS$, and the multiplication $\mu^{\mathbb{D}}$ is induced by the identity on $S \hookrightarrow DS \hookrightarrow D^2S$ together with the canonical projections $D^2S_2 \rightarrow DS_2 : \iota_c^{D^2S}, \iota_c^{DS} \mapsto \iota_c^{DS}, D^2S_0 \rightarrow DS_0 : o_{\bar{c}}^{D^2S}, o_{\bar{c}}^{DS} \mapsto o_{\bar{c}}^{DS}$.

Definition 3.16. *The category Gr_* of pointed graphs is the category obtained in the identity on objects/fully-faithful factorisation of $(Y-)^+ : \text{Gr} \hookrightarrow \text{GS} \rightarrow \text{GS}_*$.*

Proposition 3.17. *The category elGr_* of pointed elementary graphs is dense in Gr_* , and canonically induces a Grothendieck topology J_* on Gr_* such that $\text{Sh}(\text{Gr}_*, J_*) \cong \text{GS}_*$.*

Proof. (Recall Subsection 1.3.)

By definition, the diagram

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{f.f.} & & \\ & \text{elGr}_* & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \text{Gr}_* & \xrightarrow{Y_*} & \text{GS}_* \\ & \uparrow \text{i.o.} & & \uparrow \text{i.o.} & & \downarrow (\cdot)^+ \text{forget} \\ & \text{elGr} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{Gr} & \xrightarrow{Y} & \text{GS} \end{array}$$

commutes, and, since $Y_* : \text{Gr}_* \rightarrow \text{GS}_*$ is fully faithful by construction, it follows immediately by factoring $(Y \circ \Phi)(-)^+ : \text{elGr} \rightarrow \text{GS}_*$ that $Y_*|_{\text{elGr}_*} = Y_* \circ \Psi$ is the Yoneda embedding, and the inclusion $\Psi : \text{elGr}_* \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}_*$ is dense.

The pullback $\Psi_* : \text{PSh}(\text{elGr}_*) \rightarrow \text{PSh}(\text{Gr}_*)$ has fully faithful right and left adjoints

$$\Psi_* : \text{PSh}(\text{elGr}_*) \xleftarrow{\perp} \text{PSh}(\text{Gr}_*) : \Psi^*, \quad \Psi_* : S \mapsto (\mathcal{G} \mapsto \lim_{(C,b) \in \text{elGr}_* \downarrow \mathcal{G}} S(C)),$$

and

$$\Psi^* : \text{PSh}(\text{Gr}_*) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \end{array} \text{PSh}(\text{elGr}_*) : \Psi_! , \Psi_! : S \mapsto \left(\mathcal{G} \mapsto \begin{cases} S(\mathcal{G}) & \mathcal{G}' \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr}_*) \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \right)$$

and there is a canonical isomorphism $sh(\text{Gr}_*, J_*) \cong \text{GS}_*$ where J_* is the induced topology on Gr_* given by

$$y\mathcal{G} \supset \mathcal{U}\mathcal{G} \in J_*(\mathcal{G}) \text{ if and only if } \Psi_! Y_* \mathcal{G} \subset U.$$

□

For any graph \mathcal{G} ,

$$Y_* \mathcal{G} = \text{Gr}_*(-, \mathcal{G}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (Y\mathcal{G})^+.$$

It follows that, if \mathcal{C} is elementary, morphisms $b \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{G})$ have a unique decomposition $b = \mathbf{x} \circ a$ where a is a morphism in elGr_* and $\mathbf{x} \in \text{es}(\mathcal{G})$.

Definition 3.19. *Objects of the category $\text{el}_*(S)$ of pointed elements of a pointed graphical species $S = (S, \iota, o)$ are pairs, (\mathcal{C}, ϕ) with $\mathcal{C} \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr}_*)$ and $\phi \in S(\mathcal{C})$. Morphisms $g \in \text{el}_*(S)(\phi, \phi')$ are morphisms $g \in \text{elGr}_*(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}')$ such that $S(g)(\phi') = \phi$. For all graphs \mathcal{G} , $\text{el}_*(\mathcal{G}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{el}_*(Y_* \mathcal{G}) \cong \text{elGr}_* \downarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the category of pointed elements of \mathcal{G} .*

Remark 3.20 (Remark on notation). Since $Y_* : \text{Gr}_* \hookrightarrow \text{GS}_*$ is a fully faithful embedding, in general, where there is no danger of confusion, $Y_* \mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{GS}_*)$ will not be distinguished notationally from $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr})$ and both will be denoted simply \mathcal{G} .

It is an immediate consequence of the definitions that, for any graph \mathcal{G} , the inclusion $\text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \hookrightarrow \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})$ is final, and, for all graphs \mathcal{G} , there is a canonical functor $[\cdot]_*^{\mathcal{G}} : \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{es}(\mathcal{G}) \hookrightarrow \text{el}(\mathcal{G})$ that extends $[\cdot]^{\mathcal{G}} : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{es}(\mathcal{G})$ and, for all $e \in E$,

- $[\mathcal{C}_2, ch_e \circ u]_*^{\mathcal{G}} = [!_1, ch_e]^{\mathcal{G}} = \tilde{\mathbf{e}} \in \text{ob}(\text{es}(\mathcal{G}))$, and

$$[u]_*^{\mathcal{G}} = id_{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}} : [\mathcal{C}_2, ch_e \circ u]_*^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow [!_1, ch_e]^{\mathcal{G}},$$

- $[\mathcal{C}_0, ch_e \circ z]_*^{\mathcal{G}} = [!_1, ch_e]^{\mathcal{G}} = \tilde{\mathbf{e}}$ and

$$[z]_*^{\mathcal{G}} = id_{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}} : [\mathcal{C}_0, ch_e \circ z]_*^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow [!_1, ch_e]^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

For a graph \mathcal{G} , and $j = 0, 2$, let $E^j = E$ and $q^j : E \rightarrow E^j/\tau$ denote the quotient. Recall (Subsection 1.1) that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$V_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \in V \mid |t^{-1}(v)| = n\}, \text{ and } H_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} t^{-1}(V_n) \subset H.$$

Lemma 3.21. *Morphisms $f \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ are characterised by commuting diagrams \mathfrak{f} of the form*

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{G} \\ \mathfrak{f} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} E & \xleftarrow{\tau} & E & \xleftarrow{s} & H & \xrightarrow{t} & V \\ \mathfrak{f}_E \downarrow & & \mathfrak{f}_E \downarrow & & \mathfrak{f}_H \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{f}_V \\ E' & \xleftarrow{\tau'} & E' & \xleftarrow{s' \amalg id' \amalg id'} & H' \amalg E'^2 \amalg E'^0 & \xrightarrow{t' \amalg q'^2 \amalg q'^0} & V' \amalg E'^2 / \tau' \amalg E'^0 / \tau' \end{array}$$

such that $\mathfrak{f}_V^{-1}(E'/\tau')^j \subset V_j$ for $j = 0, 2$, and the righthand square is a pullback.

Proof. Observe first that composition of diagrams of the form 3.22 is well-defined and associative and that, for the defining diagrams of the form 1.7 for morphisms in $\text{Gr} \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}_*$ satisfy 3.22.

The diagrams

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \emptyset & \longleftarrow & \emptyset & \longrightarrow & \{v\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tau \circlearrowleft \{1, 2\} & \xleftarrow{id} & \{1, 2\} & \xrightarrow{q_i} & \{\bar{1}\} \end{array}$$

and

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \tau_2 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e_1, \quad e_2, \\ \tau_2 e_1, \quad \tau_2 e_2 \end{array} \right\} & \longleftarrow & \{(e_1, v), (e_2, v)\} & \longrightarrow & \{v\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tau \circlearrowleft \{1, 2\} & \xleftarrow{id} & \{1, 2\} & \xrightarrow{q_i} & \{\bar{1}\} \end{array}$$

have the form 3.22 and satisfy the axioms for $z : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow (i)$ and $u : \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow (i)$ in elGr_* .

Therefore, since morphisms in Gr have the form 3.22, so do morphisms in elGr_* . Moreover, for all \mathcal{G} , and all pointed elements $(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})$, b factors as $b = \mathbf{x} \circ a$ with $a \in \text{mor}(\text{Gr})$ and $\mathbf{x} = [b]^\mathcal{G} \in \text{es}(\mathcal{G})$. So, there is a diagram \mathbf{b} satisfying 3.22 for b , obtained by a composition of diagrams 3.24, 3.23 and diagrams in Gr .

Now, let $\mathbf{f} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ be a diagram as in 3.22. Then, for all pointed elements, $(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})$, the composition of diagrams $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{b}} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{f}} \mathcal{G}'$ is easily seen to describe a morphism $f_b \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{G}')$. Hence, \mathbf{f} uniquely determines a natural transformation $Y_*\mathcal{G} \Rightarrow Y_*\mathcal{G}'$ satisfying $(\mathcal{C}, b) \mapsto (\mathcal{C}, f_b)$ for all $(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})$. By definition, this is precisely a morphism $f \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$.

For the converse, a morphism $f \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ is a natural transformation $Y_*\mathcal{G} \Rightarrow Y_*\mathcal{G}' : \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})^{op} \rightarrow \text{Set}$. Let $f_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the component of f at $\mathcal{C} \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr}_*)$. By the above, we may assume that $\mathcal{G} \notin \text{ob}(\text{elGr}_*)$ and therefore that $V_0 = \emptyset$.

Define a map $\mathbf{f}_E : E \rightarrow E'$ by

$$\mathbf{f}_E(e) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_{i_e}(\tilde{\mathbf{e}})(e).$$

(Or, equivalently $\mathbf{f}_E(e) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_i(\text{ch}_e)(1)$.)

And define $\mathbf{f}_V : V \rightarrow V' \amalg E'/\tau'$ by $\mathbf{f}_V(v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_{\mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}}}(\mathbf{v})(v)$ so that

$$\mathbf{f}_V(v) \in \begin{cases} E'/\tau' & \text{if } [\mathcal{G}']_*^{\mathcal{G}} f_{\mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}}}(\mathbf{v}) = \tilde{\mathbf{e}}', \text{ for some } e' \in E', \\ V' & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that $\mathbf{f}_H : H \rightarrow H' \amalg E'$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{f}_H(e, v) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{f}_E(e) \in E' & \text{if } \mathbf{f}_V(v) \in E'\tau', \\ (\mathbf{f}_E(e), \mathbf{f}_V(v)) \in H' & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By construction, the diagram so formed is commutative and satisfies the conditions for 3.22. \square

Remark 3.25. The image of a morphism $f \in \text{Gr}_*^\sharp(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ in \mathcal{G}' is the strict subgraph of \mathcal{G}' induced by $\mathbf{f}_E(E) \subset E'$, $\mathbf{f}_H(H) \subset H' \amalg E'^2$ and $\mathbf{f}_V(V) \subset V' \amalg E'/\tau'^2 \amalg E'/\tau'^0$ together with the appropriate restrictions of s', t', τ' , and corestriction of $q' \amalg q'$.

Explicitly, $\text{im}(f) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ is given by the commuting diagram of finite sets

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 f(E) \cup (q'^{-1}(f(V) \cap E'/\tau')) & \xleftarrow{\tau'} & f(E) \cup (q'^{-1}(f(V) \cap E'/\tau')) & \xleftarrow{s'} & f(H) \cap H' & \xrightarrow{t'} & f(V) \cap V' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 E' & \xleftarrow{\tau'} & E' & \xleftarrow{s'} & H' & \xrightarrow{t'} & V'.
 \end{array}$$

Observe, in particular, that, since \mathcal{G} is connected, the two copies E'^0, E'^2 of E' (and the corresponding quotients) in the description 3.22 are only necessary to define the étale condition on diagrams 3.22 in terms of a pullback. For any given morphism $f \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$, it suffices to adjoin one copy of E' to H' (and one copy of E'/τ' to V').

Example 3.26. A surprising consequence of the definitions is that the morphism set $\text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{W}, \mathfrak{i})$ is non-empty. Recall from Subsection 3.1 that the essential category $\text{es}(\mathcal{W})$ of the wheel graph \mathcal{W} with $E(\mathcal{W}) = \{e, \tau e\}$ is isomorphic to the small category with objects (\mathfrak{i}, ch_e) and $(\mathcal{C}_2, b : 1_{\mathcal{C}_2} \mapsto \tau e)$, and non-identity morphisms

$$ch_2^{\mathcal{C}_2} : (\mathfrak{i}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 \text{ given by } 1_{\mathfrak{i}} \mapsto 2_{\mathcal{C}_2}, \text{ and } ch_1^{\mathcal{C}_2} \circ \tau_{(\bar{e})} : (\mathfrak{i}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 \text{ given by } 2_{\mathfrak{i}} \mapsto 1_{\mathcal{C}_2}.$$

So, there are two morphisms $\epsilon, \tau \circ \epsilon \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{W}, \mathfrak{i})$ corresponding to the diagrams

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{W} & \\ ch_e \nearrow & & \nwarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}_2} \mapsto \tau e \\ (\mathfrak{i}) & \xrightleftharpoons[ch_2]{ch_1 \circ \tau} & \mathcal{C}_2 \\ \parallel & & \nwarrow u \\ & (\mathfrak{i}) & \end{array}$$

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{W} & \\ ch_e \nearrow & & \nwarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}_2} \mapsto \tau e \\ (\mathfrak{i}) & \xrightleftharpoons[ch_2]{ch_1 \circ \tau} & \mathcal{C}_2 \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \sigma_2 \\ (\mathfrak{i}) & \xrightleftharpoons[ch_1]{ch_2 \circ \tau} & \mathcal{C}_2 \\ \parallel & & \nwarrow u \\ & (\mathfrak{i}) & \end{array}$$

It follows that, for all graphs $\mathcal{G} \not\cong \mathcal{W}$,

$$(3.29) \quad \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{G}) \cong E(\mathcal{G}) \text{ by } ch_e \circ \epsilon \mapsto e.$$

These morphisms play a crucial role in the proof of the nerve theorem, Theorem 4.3.

The following, slightly weakened version of Lemma 1.9 holds in Gr_* .

Lemma 3.30. *If $f \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ is a morphism, then f is completely determined by \mathfrak{f}_E and \mathfrak{f}_V . If $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$ and $\mathcal{G}' \not\cong \mathcal{W}$, then \mathfrak{f}_E is sufficient to define f .*

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 1.9, it is immediate that \mathfrak{f}_H is determined by \mathfrak{f}_E and \mathfrak{f}_V .

So, assume that $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$ (hence $E \neq \emptyset$) and $\mathcal{G}' \not\cong \mathcal{W}$. By Proposition 1.9, it suffices to check that \mathfrak{f}_E determines $\mathfrak{f}_V(v)$ for $v \in V_2$.

Let $v' \in V_2'$ with $E\{v'\} = \{e'_1, e'_2\}$. Then $e'_1 \neq \tau' e'_2$, since $\mathcal{G}' \neq \mathcal{W}$.

So, if $v \in V_2$ with $E\{v\} = \{e_1, e_2\} \subset E_2$ and

$$\mathfrak{f}_E(e_1) = \mathfrak{f}_E(\tau e_2) \in E',$$

then it must be the case that

$$\mathfrak{f}_V(v) = q'(\mathfrak{f}_E(e_1)) = q'(\mathfrak{f}_E(\tau e_2)) \in E'/\tau'.$$

Otherwise, if $f_E(e_1) \neq f_E(\tau e_2)$, then $f_V(v) = t' s'^{-1}(f_E(e_1)) \in V'$. \square

Example 3.31. The lemma does not hold if $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{W}$, since in this case, for all graphs \mathcal{G} , all $f \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{W})$, and all $v \in V_2$ with $E\{v\} = \{e_1, e_2\}$, $f_E(e_1) = f_E(\tau e_2)$ by definition of \mathcal{W} .

For example, let \mathcal{G} be the wheel graph \mathcal{W}^2 with two vertices $V(\mathcal{W}^2) = \{w^1, w^2\}$ such that, for $j = 1, 2$, $E\{w^j\} = \{\tau e_1, e_2\}$ and therefore $E\{w^2\} = \{e_1, \tau e_2\}$, and \mathcal{W} is the wheel graph with one vertex v and edge set $\{e', \tau_{\mathcal{W}} e'\}$.

The morphism f in $\text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{W}^2, \mathcal{W})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} w^1 &\mapsto v, & w^2 &\mapsto \tilde{e}', & \text{and} \\ e_1 &\mapsto e', & \tau e_2 &\mapsto \tau_{\mathcal{W}} e' \end{aligned}$$

is distinct from the unique morphism g in $\text{Gr}(\mathcal{W}^2, \mathcal{W}) \cong \mathbf{2}$ such that $e_1 \mapsto e'$. Nonetheless, $f_E = g_E : E(\mathcal{W}^2) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{W})$.

3.4. A factorisation system on Gr_* . Let $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}_*)$ be any connected graph and $W \subset V_2$ a subset of bivalent vertices.

Definition 3.32. A vertex deletion functor (for W) is a \mathcal{G} -shaped (degenerate) graph of graphs $\Gamma_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}} : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{elGr}_* \subset \text{Gr}_*$ such that for $v \in V$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \text{ob}(\text{es}(\mathcal{G}))$, the corresponding essential element,

$$\Gamma_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathbf{v} \mapsto \begin{cases} (1) & \text{if } v \in W, \\ \mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If Γ is a vertex deletion functor for W , then there is a canonical natural transformation $\gamma : \text{dom} \Rightarrow \Gamma_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}} : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{elGr}_*$ whose components γ_b on objects $(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G}))$ are morphisms in elGr_*

$$\gamma_b = \begin{cases} u \in \text{elGr}_*(\mathcal{C}_2, 1) & \text{when } [b]^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{v} \text{ for } v \in W \text{ (hence } \text{dom}(b) \cong \mathcal{C}_2), \\ id_{\text{dom}(b)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $\Gamma_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}$ has a colimit $\mathcal{G}_{/W}$ in Gr_* , then this corresponds to a cocone of $\text{el}(\mathcal{G})$ above $\mathcal{G}_{/W}$ and hence, by finality of $\text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \hookrightarrow \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})$, a morphism $\text{del}_{/W} \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_{/W})$.

Definition 3.33. If the vertex deletion functor $\Gamma_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}} : \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{elGr}_* \subset \text{Gr}_*$ admits a colimit $\mathcal{G}_{/W}$ in Gr_* , then the induced morphism $\text{del}_{/W} \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_{/W})$ is called the vertex deletion morphism corresponding to W .

Example 3.34. For $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{C}_2$ and $W = V = \{*\}$, $\Gamma_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is determined by

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (\mathcal{C}_2, id) \\ (1, ch_1) \\ (1, ch_2 \circ \tau) \end{array} \right\} \mapsto (1),$$

and

$$f \mapsto id_{(1)} \text{ for all } f \in \text{mor}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G})).$$

So, trivially, $\Gamma_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}$ has colimit (1) in Gr_* and $\text{del}_{/W} = u \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{C}_2, 1)$.

More generally, let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{L}^k$ and let the vertex set $V = (v_i)_{i=1}^k$, and edge set $E = (e_i, \tau e_i)_{i=1}^k$ have the labelling described in Example 1.22. So $e_1 = 1 \in E_0$, $\tau e_k = 2 \in E_0$ and $E\{v_i\} = \{e_{i+1}, \tau e_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. In particular this labelling is fixed by morphisms

$$b_i \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{G}), \quad 1 \mapsto e_i, \quad v \mapsto v_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

Then the collection of objects $b_i \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{L}^k)$, together with

$$a_i \in \text{Gr}(1, \mathcal{G}), \quad 1 \mapsto e_i,$$

and morphisms

$$f_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ch_1 \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G})(a_i, b_i) \quad \text{and} \quad g_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ch_2 \circ \tau \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G})(a_{i+1}, b_i)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq k$, describe a skeleton for $\text{el}(\mathcal{L}^k)$.

If $W = V$, then, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{C}_2, b_i) = \mathbf{\Gamma}_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}(1, a_i) = (1),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}(f_i) : (1) &\rightarrow (1) && \text{is determined by } 1 \mapsto 1 \\ \mathbf{\Gamma}_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}(g_i) : (1) &\rightarrow (1) && \text{is determined by } 2 \mapsto 2. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}(f) = id_{(1)}$ for all $f \in \text{mor}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G}))$.

Hence $\mathcal{L}_{/V}^k = \text{colim}_{\text{el}(\mathcal{L}^k)} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{/V}^{\mathcal{L}^k}$ exists in Gr_* and is isomorphic to (1).

Example 3.35. By Example 3.26, $\mathcal{W}_{/\{v\}} = \text{colim}_{\text{el}(\mathcal{W})} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{/\{v\}}^{\mathcal{W}}$ exists and is isomorphic to (1) in Gr . (See also Section 3.1.) The induced morphism $\text{del}_{/\{v\}}$ is precisely $\epsilon : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow (1)$.

More generally, let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{W}^l$ be the wheel graph with l cyclically ordered vertices $(v_i)_{i=1}^l$. As usual, the edges of \mathcal{W}^l are labelled so that, for each v_i , $E\{v_i\} = \{\tau e_i, e_{i+1}\}$, with $i, i+1 \in \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$. Then the elements

$$b_i \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{G}), \quad 1 \mapsto e_i$$

and

$$a_i \in \text{Gr}(1, \mathcal{G}), \quad 1 \mapsto e_i,$$

in $\text{el}(\mathcal{W}^l)$, together with the morphisms

$$f_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ch_1 \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G})(a_i, b_i) \quad \text{and} \quad g_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ch_2 \circ \tau_{i_e} \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G})(a_{i+1}, b_i)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq l$, form a skeleton for $\text{el}(\mathcal{W}^l)$.

If $W = V$, then, for all $1 \leq i \leq l$, $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{C}_2, b_i) = \mathbf{\Gamma}_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}(1, a_i) = (1)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}(f_i) : (1) &\rightarrow (1) && \text{is determined by } 1 \mapsto 1, \\ \mathbf{\Gamma}_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}(g_i) : (1) &\rightarrow (1) && \text{is determined by } 2 \mapsto 2. \end{aligned}$$

So, all morphisms in $\text{el}(\mathcal{G})$ are mapped by $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{/W}^{\mathcal{G}}$ to the identity on (1). Hence $\mathcal{W}_{/V}^l = \text{colim}_{\text{el}(\mathcal{W}^l)} \mathbf{\Gamma}_{/V}^{\mathcal{W}^l}$ exists in Gr_* and is isomorphic to (1).

In particular, for all $l \geq 1$, there are two distinct morphisms in $\text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{W}^l, 1)$ and, for all graphs \mathcal{G} , and all $l \geq 1$

$$\text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{W}^l, \mathcal{G}) \cong \text{Gr}(\mathcal{W}^l, \mathcal{G}) \amalg E.$$

Now, let $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}'$ be graphs such that $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$ and $f \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ any morphism. Let $W_f \subset V_2$ be the set of bivalent vertices such that $f(v) \in E'/\tau'$. So $v \in W_f$ if and only if

$$[f \circ \mathbf{v}]_*^{\mathcal{G}'} = \tilde{\mathbf{e}}' \in \text{es}(\mathcal{G}') \quad \text{for some } e' \in E'.$$

In other words, if $[b]^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{v}$ for $(\mathcal{C}_2, b) \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G})$ and $v \in W$, then,

$$f \circ b = ch_{e'} \circ u, \quad \text{or} \quad f \circ b = ch_{e'} \circ \tau \circ u.$$

By construction, if $\mathcal{G}_{/W_f}$ and $\text{del}_{/W_f} \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_{/W_f})$ exist, then they are universal for all graphs \mathcal{H} and all morphisms $g \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ such that $W_f \subset W_g$.

Proposition 3.36. *For all $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}_*)$ and all $W \subset V_2$, $\mathcal{G}_{/W}$ exists in Gr_* .*

Moreover, $E_0(\mathcal{G}) = E_0(\mathcal{G}_{/W})$ unless $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{W}^l$ and $W = V$ for some $l \geq 1$.

Proof. If $W = V$ then, by Corollary 1.70, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{L}^k$ or $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{W}^l$ for some $k \geq 0$, $l \geq 1$. In these cases, it follows from the Examples 3.34 and 3.35 that $\mathcal{G}/_W = (\text{id})$ in Gr_* . In particular, $\text{del}/_W(\mathcal{L}^k)$ induces an identity on ports.

Clearly if W is empty, then $\mathcal{G}/_W = \mathcal{G}$ and $\text{del}/_W = \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}} \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$. So, let us assume that $W \neq V$ and $W \neq \emptyset$.

The graph $\mathcal{G}/_W$ may be constructed as follows. Let $\mathcal{G}^W \subset \mathcal{G}$ be the subgraph with $V(\mathcal{G}^W) = W$, $H(\mathcal{G}^W) = \coprod_{w \in W} H\{w\}$. The edge set $E(\mathcal{G}^W)$ is the closure under τ of $\coprod_{w \in W} E\{w\}$. By connectedness of \mathcal{G} and Corollary 1.70, $\mathcal{G}^W = \coprod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{L}_i^{k_i}$ is a disjoint union of line graphs such that $k_i \geq 1$ for all i . In particular $E_0(\mathcal{G}^W) = \coprod_{i=1}^m \{1_i, 2_i\}$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} V/_W &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V - W, \\ H/_W &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H - H(\mathcal{G}^W) &= H - \coprod_{v \in W} H\{v\}, \\ E/_W &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E - (E(\mathcal{G}^W) - E_0(\mathcal{G}^W)) &= E - \coprod_{v \in W} E\{v\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E(\mathcal{G}^W) \cap E/_W = \coprod_{i=1}^m \{1_i, 2_i\}$, the map $\tau/_W : E/_W \rightarrow E/_W$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau/_W(e) &= \tau e && \text{for } e \in E - E(\mathcal{G}^W), \\ \tau/_W(1_i) &= 2_i && \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m \end{aligned}$$

defines a fixed-point free involution.

Then,

$$\tau/_W \circlearrowleft E/_W \xleftarrow{s/_W} H/_W \xrightarrow{t/_W} V/_W,$$

(where $s/_W, t/_W$ are just the restrictions of s and t) is a well-defined graph and canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}/_W$.

Moreover, when $W \neq V$, the morphism $\text{del}/_W \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}/_W)$ restricts to the identity on ports. Hence the final statement, that $E_0(\mathcal{G}) = E_0(\mathcal{G}/_W)$ except when $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{W}^l$ and $W = V$ for some $l \geq 1$ follows immediately.¹⁶

□

The following corollary is immediate from the universal property of $\text{del}/_W \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}/_W)$ and Proposition 1.68.

Corollary 3.37. *Let $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}_*)$ with $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$, and let $f \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$. Then f factors uniquely as a vertex deletion morphism $\text{del}/_{W_f} \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}/_{W_f})$ followed by a morphism $f/_W \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{G}/_{W_f}, \mathcal{G}')$.*

In particular, if $E_0(\mathcal{G}) \neq \emptyset$ and $f \in \text{Gr}_(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ induces an isomorphism $E_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} E'_0$ (i.e. f is port preserving), then $f/_W \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{G}/_{W_f}, \mathcal{G}')$ is an isomorphism.*

In particular, if VD is the class of morphisms in Gr_* consisting of $z : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow (\text{id})$, the vertex deletion morphisms, and the isomorphisms, then $(VD, \text{mor}(\text{Gr}))$ forms an orthogonal factorisation system on Gr_* .

Example 3.38. For $\mathcal{G} \not\cong \mathcal{C}_0$, let $\langle \mathcal{G} \rangle^-$ be the set of graphs in $\text{ob}(\text{Gr})$ obtained from $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr})$ by deleting a (possibly empty) subset of V_2 . Then

$$(3.39) \quad \text{Gr}^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}') = \coprod_{\mathcal{G}^- \in \langle \mathcal{G} \rangle^-} \text{Gr}(\mathcal{G}^-, \mathcal{G}').$$

¹⁶In [17], the extra specification of ‘boundary’ as a subset of E_0 means that morphisms corresponding to $\text{del}/_W$ always induce isomorphisms on boundaries, even when $W = V$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{W}$.

In particular, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathrm{Gr}_*(\mathcal{L}^k, \mathcal{G}) \cong \prod_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{L}^j, \mathcal{G}).$$

Definition 3.40. (Compare Definition 1.44.) Let (S, ι, o) be a pointed graphical species. Objects of the category $\mathrm{Gr}_*(S) = \mathrm{Gr}_*(S, \iota, o)$ of S structured graphs are pairs (\mathcal{G}, α) with $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathrm{Gr}_*)$ and $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{G}) = \lim_{\mathrm{el}_*(\mathcal{G})} S$, and morphisms in $\mathrm{Gr}_*(S)((\mathcal{G}, \alpha), (\mathcal{G}', \alpha'))$ are morphisms $g \in \mathrm{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ such that $S(g)(\alpha') = \alpha$.

Example 3.41. Let \mathcal{G} be a graph and $W \subset V_2$, $W \neq V$. If $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{G})$ is such that the vertex deletion morphism $\mathrm{del}_{/W}$ induces a morphism of pointed S -structured graphs in $\mathrm{Gr}_*(S)((\mathcal{G}, \alpha), (\mathcal{G}_{/W}, \alpha'))$, then

$$S(\mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{G}})(\alpha) = S(\mathbf{v}^{\mathcal{G}_{/W}})(\alpha') \text{ for all } v \in V - W,$$

and, by definition of $\mathrm{del}_{/W}$, there is a unique $e \in E_{/W}$ such that

$$S(b)(\alpha) = S(b)S(\mathrm{del}_{/W})(\alpha') = S(\mathrm{ch}_e \circ u)(\alpha') = \iota \circ S(\mathrm{ch}_e)(\alpha').$$

So,

$$S(b)(\alpha) \in \mathrm{im}(\iota)$$

for each $(\mathcal{C}_2, b) \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathrm{el}(\mathcal{G}))$ such that $[b]^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{v}$, with $v \in W$.

Definition 3.42. Given $(\mathcal{G}, \alpha) \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathrm{Gr}_*(S))$, $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$, the set

$$W_\alpha = \{v \mid \text{there exists } (\mathcal{C}_2, b) \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathrm{el}(\mathcal{G})) \text{ such that } [b]^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{v} \text{ and } S(b)(\alpha) \in \mathrm{im}(\iota)\} \subset V_2$$

is the set of vertices decorated by units (for α).

For $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{G})$ such that $W \subset W_\alpha$, the unique element $\alpha' \in S(\mathcal{G}_{/W})$ satisfying $\mathrm{del}_{/W} \in \mathrm{Gr}_*(S)((\mathcal{G}, \alpha), (\mathcal{G}_{/W}, \alpha'))$ is denoted by $\alpha_{/W}$. If $W = W_\alpha$, then $\alpha_{/W} \in S(\mathcal{G}_{/W_\alpha})$ will be denoted by α_0 .

Informally, $\mathrm{del}_{/W} \in \mathrm{Gr}_*(S)((\mathcal{G}, \alpha), (\mathcal{G}_{/W}, \alpha_{/W}))$ deletes a subset of vertices ‘ α -decorated’ by units. Clearly, for any $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{G})$, then $\alpha_0 \in S(\mathcal{G}_{/W_\alpha})$ is obtained by deleting all vertices α -decorated by units and hence, if $\mathrm{del}_{/W'} \in \mathrm{Gr}_*(S)((\mathcal{G}_{/W_\alpha}, \alpha_0), (\mathcal{G}_{/W_\alpha / W'}, \alpha_{0/W'}))$ then $W' = \emptyset$.

Corollary 3.43. [Corollary to Proposition 3.36] Let (S, ι, o) be a pointed graphical species and $(\mathcal{G}, \alpha) \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathrm{Gr}_*(S))$. Further, let W^1, W^2 be disjoint subsets of W_α . Then $(\mathcal{G}_{/W^1})_{/W^2} = \mathcal{G}_{/W^1 \amalg W^2}$ and the induced vertex deletion morphism


$$\mathrm{del}_{/W^2}^{\mathcal{G}_{/W^1}} \in \mathrm{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}_{/W^1}, \mathcal{G}_{/W^1 \amalg W^2})$$

defines a morphism in $\mathrm{Gr}_*(S)((\mathcal{G}_{/W^1}, \alpha_{/W^1}), (\mathcal{G}_{/W^1 \amalg W^2}, \alpha_{/(W^1 \amalg W^2)}))$.

Proof. This is straightforward. For $W^1 \amalg W^2 = \mathcal{G}$ then $(\mathcal{G}_{/W^1})_{/W^2} = \mathcal{G}_{/W^1 \amalg W^2}$ by Examples 3.34 and 3.35. Otherwise, if $W^1 \amalg W^2 \neq V$, then the graphs $(\mathcal{G}_{/W^1})_{/W^2}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{/W^1 \amalg W^2}$ are constructed via the method of the proof of Proposition 3.36. In particular, they have the same vertex, and half edge sets, and $\mathrm{del}_{/W^1}^{\mathcal{G}}$ induces a port-preserving morphism $\mathrm{del}_{/W^1 \cap W^2}^{\mathcal{G}^{W^2}} \in \mathrm{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}^{W^2}, \mathcal{G}_{/W^1}^{W^2})$. Therefore $E_0((\mathcal{G}^{W^2})_{/W^1}) \cong E_0(\mathcal{G}^{W^2})$, and hence $E(\mathcal{G}_{/W^1})_{/W^2} = E(\mathcal{G}_{/W^1 \amalg W^2})$ canonically, such that all the induced structure maps agree. \square

Definition 3.44. Let $(S, \iota, o) \in \mathrm{ob}(\mathrm{GS}_*)$ be a (C, ω) -coloured pointed graphical species and let \mathcal{L}^k be the line graph with labelled edges $\{e_i, \tau e_i\}_{i=1}^k$ and vertices $(v_i)_{i=1}^k$ together with, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, morphisms $b_i \in \mathrm{Gr}(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{L}^k)$, $1 \mapsto e_i$, $v \mapsto v_i$ as in Example 3.34. For each $c \in C$, the c -unit S -structure $\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c) \in S(\mathcal{L}^k)$ is defined by

$$S(b_i)(\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c)) = \iota_c \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq k.$$


 FIGURE 10. $\mathcal{L}^4(t_c)$

Now let \mathcal{W}^l be the wheel graph with labelled edges $\{e_i, \tau e_i\}_{i=1}^l$ (so $\tau e_l = e_1$), l -labelled vertices $(v_i)_{i=1}^l$, and morphisms $b_i \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{W}^l)$, $1 \mapsto e_i$, $v \mapsto v_i$ as in Example 3.35. For each $c \in C$, the c -unit S -structure $\mathcal{W}^l(t_c) \in S(\mathcal{W}^l)$ is defined by

$$S(b_i)(\mathcal{W}^l(t_c)) = t_c \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq l.$$

For all graphs \mathcal{G} , all $e \in E$, and all S -structures $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{G})$, if $c = S(ch_e)(\alpha)$, then $ch_e \circ \text{del}_{/W} \in \text{Gr}_*(S)(\mathcal{H}(t_c), \alpha)$ for $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^k, \mathcal{W}^l$, $k \geq 0$, $l \geq 1$.

3.5. A distributive law for CSMs.

Lemma 3.45. *There is a distributive law $\lambda : TD \Rightarrow DT$ describing a composite monad $\mathbb{D}T$ on GS .*

Proof. Let S be a (C, ω) -coloured graphical species.

For a finite set X , let $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \in TDS_X$ be represented by $(\mathcal{X}, \alpha) \in DS(\mathcal{X})$. The set $W = W_\alpha$ of vertices of \mathcal{X} ‘decorated by adjoined distinguished elements’ is defined as

$$W = W_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \mid DS(\mathbf{v})(\alpha) \notin S(\mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}})\} \subset V.$$

By definition of D , $TS \subset DTS$. There is also a canonical inclusion $TS \hookrightarrow TDS$ where, for each finite set X , $TS_X \subset TDS_X$ corresponds to the elements $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha]$ with $W_\alpha = \emptyset$. The natural transformation $\lambda : TD \Rightarrow DT$ will restrict to the identity on TS .

Assume first that $W = V$. Then, $X = \mathbf{0}$ or $X \cong \mathbf{2}$ by Corollary 1.70. If $X = \mathbf{0}$, then either $(\mathcal{X}, \alpha) = (\mathcal{C}_0, o_c^{DS})$, or $(\mathcal{X}, \alpha) = \mathcal{W}^l(t_c^{DS})$ for some $c \in C$. In each case, set

$$\lambda[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] = o_c^{DTS}.$$

If $X = \mathbf{2}$, then $(\mathcal{X}, \alpha) = \mathcal{L}^k(t_c^{DS})$ for some $c \in C$ and $k \geq 1$, and we can set

$$\lambda[\mathcal{L}^k(t_c^{DS})] = t_c^{DTS}.$$

Otherwise, if $W \neq V$ and $W \neq \emptyset$, set

$$\lambda[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] = [\mathcal{X}_{/W_\alpha}, \alpha_0] \in TS_X \text{ (see Definition 3.42).}$$

The verification that λ so defined extends to a natural transformation $TD \Rightarrow DT$, and that it moreover satisfies the four axioms [3, Section 1] for a distributive law is straightforward, and is omitted here, except to observe the role of Corollary 3.43 in the proof that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} TD^2 & \xrightarrow{\lambda D} & DTD & \xrightarrow{D\lambda} & D^2T \\ T(\mu^D) \Downarrow & & & & \Downarrow (\mu^D)T \\ TD & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & & & DT \end{array}$$

commutes. Namely, for any $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \in TD^2S_X$ denote by $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha']$ the element $T\mu^D D[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \in TDS_X$, and define the sets

$$W^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \mid D^2S(\mathbf{v})(\alpha) \notin DS(\mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}})\} \subset V,$$

and

$$W^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \mid D^2 S(\mathbf{v})(\alpha) \notin S(\mathcal{C}_{P\{v\}})\} - W^2 \subset V.$$

So, for $j = 1, 2$, W^j is the set of *vertices decorated by distinguished elements* adjoined in the j^{th} application of D .

Then, if $W^1 \cup W^2 \neq V$, the diagram gives

$$[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \xrightarrow{\lambda D} [\mathcal{X}/_{W^2}, \alpha/_{W^2}] \xrightarrow{D\lambda} [(\mathcal{X}/_{W^2})/_{W^1}, (\alpha/_{W^2})/_{W^1}] \in TS_X$$

and

$$[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \xrightarrow{T(\mu^{\mathbb{D}})} [\mathcal{X}, \alpha'] \xrightarrow{\lambda} [\mathcal{X}/_{(W^1 \amalg W^2)}, \alpha/_{(W^1 \amalg W^2)}],$$

and the result follows from an application of Lemma 3.43. \square

The remainder of this section is concerned with the proof of the first main theorem.

Theorem 3.46. *The EM category $\mathbf{GS}^{\mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}}$ of algebras for the composite monad $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}$ is canonically isomorphic to CSM.*

The extra structure of the (contracted) units in \mathbf{GS}_* enables an enlargement of the categories $X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}(S)$ (2.1). Namely, for all pointed graphical species (S, ι, o) , there exist port-preserving morphisms g in $\mathbf{Gr}_*(S)$ from S -structured graphs (\mathcal{G}, α) that are not isomorphisms, even when $E_0(\mathcal{G}) \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 3.47. *Let $(S, \iota, o) \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{GS}_*)$ be a (C, ω) -coloured pointed graphical species. The category $X\mathbf{Gr}_*^{sim}(S, \iota, o)$, or $X\mathbf{Gr}_*^{sim}(S)$, of similar S -structured X -graphs is the category whose objects are elements $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{X})$ with $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{G}, \rho) \in X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}$ and whose morphisms in $X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}(\alpha, \alpha')$ are induced by port preserving morphisms $g \in \mathbf{Gr}_*(S)((\mathcal{G}, \alpha), (\mathcal{G}', \alpha'))$ such that $g = g/_{W_g} \circ \text{del}/_{W_g}$ where $g/_{W_g} \in \mathbf{Gr}(\mathcal{G}/_{W_g}, \mathcal{G}')$ is an isomorphism, and $\text{del}/_{W_g} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/_{W_g}$ is a vertex deletion morphism.*

Objects $(\mathcal{X}^1, \alpha^1), (\mathcal{X}^2, \alpha^2) \in \text{ob}(X\mathbf{Gr}_*^{sim}(S))$ are called *similar*, written $(\mathcal{X}^1, \alpha^1) \sim (\mathcal{X}^2, \alpha^2)$, or just $\alpha^1 \sim \alpha^2$ if they are in the same connected component of $X\mathbf{Gr}_*^{sim}(S)$.

So, $X\mathbf{Gr}_*^{sim}(S)$ is obtained from $X\mathbf{Gr}_{iso}(S)$ by adjoining vertex deletion morphisms.

Example 3.48. For all $c \in C$ and all $k, k', l, l' \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c) \sim \mathcal{L}^{k'}(\iota_c) \in \mathbf{2Gr}_*^{sim}(S) \text{ and } \mathcal{W}^{l'}(\iota_c) \sim \mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c) \sim \mathcal{W}^l(\iota_{\omega c}) \in \mathbf{0Gr}_*^{sim}(S).$$

However $\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c) \not\sim \mathcal{L}^k(\iota_{\omega c})$ unless $c = \omega c$.

Lemma 3.49. *For any finite set X , and $(\mathcal{X}, \alpha) \in \text{ob}(X\mathbf{Gr}_*^{sim}(S))$, the connected component $(X\mathbf{Gr}_*^{sim}(S))_{(\mathcal{X}, \alpha)}$ containing (\mathcal{X}, α) has a terminal object. In particular, objects $(\mathcal{X}^1, \alpha^1), (\mathcal{X}^2, \alpha^2) \in X\mathbf{Gr}_*^{sim}(S)$ are similar if and only if there is a $(\mathcal{X}, \alpha) \in X\mathbf{Gr}_*^{sim}(S)$ and a cospan*

$$(\mathcal{X}^1, \alpha^1) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{X}, \alpha) \longleftarrow (\mathcal{X}^2, \alpha^2)$$

in $X\mathbf{Gr}_*^{sim}(S)$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}_0$, then the component of (\mathcal{X}, α) is trivial.

Assume therefore that $\mathcal{X} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$. For $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{X})$, $W_\alpha \subset V_2$ is, as usual, the set of vertices decorated by units (Definition 3.42). If $W_\alpha \neq V$, then clearly $(\mathcal{X}/_{W_\alpha}, \alpha_0)$ is terminal in the component of (\mathcal{X}, α) in $X\mathbf{Gr}_*^{sim}(S)$ by definition.

When $W = V$, then $(\mathcal{X}, \alpha) = \mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c)$ or $(\mathcal{X}, \alpha) = \mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c)$ for $\mathbf{2Gr}_*^{sim}(S)$, and that $\mathcal{W}(\iota_c) \cong \mathcal{W}(\iota_{\omega c})$ and it is also immediate that (\mathcal{C}_2, ι_c) is the terminal object in the component of (\mathcal{X}, α) in $\mathbf{0Gr}_*^{sim}(S)$.

The converse is true by definition. \square

Definition 3.50. For a graph \mathcal{G} and pointed graphical species (S, ι, o) , a (non-degenerate) \mathcal{G} -shaped pointed graph of S -structured graphs is a functor $\Gamma_* : \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_*(S)$ whose restriction to $\text{el}(\mathcal{G})$ is a (non-degenerate) \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of S -structured graphs (Definition 2.9). Precisely, $\Gamma_*(ch_e) \in S(\iota)$ for all $e \in E$, and for all $(\mathcal{C}_X, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}_*(\mathcal{G}))$,

$$\Gamma_*(b) = (\mathcal{X}_b, \alpha_b) \text{ where } \mathcal{X}_b \text{ is an } X\text{-graph and } \alpha_b \in S(\mathcal{X}_b)$$

By Corollary 2.10, and finality of the inclusion $\text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})$, the colimit $\text{colim}_{\text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})} \Gamma_* = \text{colim}_{\text{el}(\mathcal{G})} \Gamma_*$ of any non-degenerate \mathcal{G} -shaped pointed graph of S -structured graphs Γ_* exists in $\text{Gr}_*(S)$.

Remark 3.51. If S is the terminal graphical species Z , then $\Gamma_* : \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_*(S) = \text{Gr}_*$ is called a (non-degenerate) \mathcal{G} -shaped pointed graph of graphs and its restriction to $\text{el}(\mathcal{G})$ is then precisely a non-degenerate \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs (Definition 1.51).

Remark 3.52. Given a \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of S -structured graphs Γ with colimit $\overline{\Gamma}$, Γ may always be extended to a \mathcal{G} -shaped pointed graph of S -structured graphs Γ_* , with the same colimit, by

$$\Gamma_*(\mathcal{C}, b) = \Gamma(\mathcal{C}, b), \text{ for all } (\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{G})),$$

and, for all $e \in E$,

$$\Gamma_*(\mathcal{C}_2, ch_e \circ u) = \iota(\Gamma_*(ch_e)) \in S_2, \text{ and } \Gamma_*(\mathcal{C}_0, ch_e \circ z) = o(\Gamma_*(ch_e)) \in S(\mathcal{C}_0).$$

Definition 3.53. For $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}_*)$ and $(S, \iota, o) \in \text{ob}(\text{GS}_*)$, the category $\text{Gr}_*^{(\mathcal{G})}(S)$ of \mathcal{G} -shaped pointed graph of S -structured graphs is the subcategory of the functor category $\text{Fun}(\text{el}_*(\mathcal{G}), \text{Gr}_*(S))$ whose objects are \mathcal{G} -shaped pointed graphs of S -structured graphs $\Gamma_* : \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_*(S)$ and whose morphisms are natural transformations.

If S is the terminal pointed species Z , then $\text{Gr}_*^{(Z)}(S)$ is denoted $\text{Gr}_*^{(\mathcal{G})}$.

Lemma 3.54. Given a pointed species (S, ι, o) and a graph $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$, pointed graphs of S -structured graphs $\Gamma_*^1, \Gamma_*^2 \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}_*^{(\mathcal{G})}(S))$ are in the same connected component of $\text{Gr}_*^{(\mathcal{G})}(S)$ if and only if there is a $\Gamma_* \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}_*^{(\mathcal{G})}(S))$, and a cospan

$$(3.55) \quad \Gamma_*^1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_* \longleftarrow \Gamma_*^2$$

in $\text{Gr}_*^{(\mathcal{G})}(S)$.

In particular, if, for $j = 1, 2$, $\overline{\Gamma}_*^j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{colim}_{\text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})} \Gamma_*^j$, and $\overline{\Gamma}_* = \text{colim}_{\text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})} \Gamma_*$, then there is a cospan

$$\overline{\Gamma}_*^1 \longrightarrow \overline{\Gamma}_* \longleftarrow \overline{\Gamma}_*^2$$

of port preserving morphisms in $\text{Gr}_*(S)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr})$, $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$. Given $\Gamma_*, \Gamma'_* \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}_*^{(\mathcal{G})}(S))$, a morphism in $\text{Gr}_*^{(\mathcal{G})}(S)(\Gamma_*, \Gamma'_*)$ is determined by port preserving morphisms

$$\gamma_b : \Gamma_*(\mathcal{C}_X, b) \rightarrow \Gamma'_*(\mathcal{C}_X, b)$$

in $\text{Gr}_*(S)$ for all $(\mathcal{C}_X, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}_*(\mathcal{G}))$. Since $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$, by Corollaries 3.37 and 1.70, these are morphisms in $X\text{Gr}_*^{\text{sim}}(S)(\Gamma_*(b), \Gamma'_*(b))$.

For each $e \in E$, $\Gamma_*(u)$ is a morphism in $\text{Gr}_*(S)(\Gamma_*(ch_e \circ u), \Gamma_*(ch_e))$. So, since $\Gamma_*(ch_e) \in S(\iota)$,

$$\Gamma_*(\mathcal{C}_2, ch_e \circ u) = \mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c) \text{ for some } k \geq 0, c \in S(\iota).$$

(In this case, $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{C}_2, ch_{\tau e} \circ u) = \mathcal{L}^k(\iota_{\omega_c})$)

Likewise, for each $e \in E$, $\Gamma_*(z)$ describes a morphism in $\text{Gr}_*(S)(\Gamma_*(ch_e \circ z), \Gamma_*(ch_e))$, so

$$\Gamma_*(\mathcal{C}_0, ch_e \circ z) = (\mathcal{C}_0, o_{\bar{c}}) \text{ or } \mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c) \text{ for some } l \geq 1 \text{ and } c \in S(i),$$

but since $ch_e \circ z = ch_{\tau_e} \circ z$, and, in general, $\iota_c \neq \iota_{\omega c}$, it must be the case that

$$\Gamma_*(\mathcal{C}_0, ch_e \circ z) = (\mathcal{C}_0, o_{\bar{c}}).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.49, there is a span 3.55 in $\text{Gr}_*^{(\mathcal{G})}(S)$.

The converse is immediate, as is the final statement. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.46. By [3, Proposition, Section 2], a $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}$ algebra $(A, h : DTA \rightarrow A)$ induces structure morphisms $h \circ D\eta^{\mathbb{T}}A : DA \rightarrow A$ and $h \circ D\eta^{\mathbb{D}}A = h|_{TA} : TA \rightarrow A$ in GS . In particular, by Lemma 3.14, A is equipped with the structure of a pointed graphical species (A, ι, o) , with $\iota = h(\iota^{DTA}) : A(i) \rightarrow A_2$ and $o = h(o^{DTA}) : A(i) \rightarrow A_0$. As a \mathbb{T} -algebra, A admits a multiplication \diamond and contraction ζ induced by h by Proposition 2.27.

Since (A, h) is an algebra for $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}$, there are commuting diagrams

$$(3.56) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\eta^{\mathbb{D}}\eta^{\mathbb{T}}A} & DTA \\ & \searrow & \downarrow h \\ & & A, \end{array} \quad (3.57) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (DT)^2A & \xrightarrow{D\lambda TA} & D^2T^2A & \xrightarrow{\mu^{\mathbb{D}}\mu^{\mathbb{T}}A} & DTA \\ \downarrow DTh & & & & \downarrow h \\ DTA & \xrightarrow{h} & & & A. \end{array}$$

Let A have palette (C, ω) . To show that ι gives the units for \diamond and o the contracted units, let (C, ω) be the palette of A , and let $\phi \in A_{X\Pi\{x\}}$ with $A(ch_x)(\phi) = c$, and $\psi \in A_{Y\Pi\{y\}}$ with $A(ch_y)(\psi) = \omega c$. Then, by the proof of Proposition 2.27, $\phi \diamond_{x,y} \psi = h \bar{\varphi}_{x,y}(\phi, \psi)$ (Definition 2.29).

In particular, since $S \subset DS$,

$$\bar{\varphi}_{x,2}^{(DTA)} \left(\eta^{\mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}}(\phi), (\iota_c^{(DTA)}) \right) \in ((DT)^2A)_{X\Pi\{x\}}$$

and, since Diagram 3.57 commutes

$$\phi \diamond X, \{1\}_{x,2} \iota_c = h \circ \bar{\varphi}_{x,2}^A(\phi, \iota_c) = \phi$$

and so ι_c is the c -coloured unit for the multiplication \diamond induced by h . In other words (A, h) naturally has the structure of a CSM.

For the converse, let $(S, \diamond, \zeta, \iota)$ be a (C, ω) -coloured CSM. Then S has the structure of a pointed graphical species (S, ι, o) with $o = \zeta \iota : C \rightarrow C/\omega \rightarrow S_0$, and by Proposition 2.27, (S, \diamond, ζ) corresponds to a \mathbb{T} -algebra $(S, h_{\mathbb{T}})$ where $h_{\mathbb{T}} : TS \rightarrow S$ satisfies

$$\diamond = h_{\mathbb{T}} \circ \bar{\varphi}, \text{ and } \zeta = h_{\mathbb{T}} \circ \bar{\zeta}.$$

In particular, since ι is a unit for \diamond ,

$$o_{\bar{c}} = h_{\mathbb{T}}(\bar{\zeta}(\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c))) = h_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{W}^k(\iota_c)), \text{ for all } c \in C, \text{ and all } k \geq 1.$$

So, $h : DTS \rightarrow S$ may be defined by

$$h|_{TS} = h_{\mathbb{T}} : TS \rightarrow S,$$

and

$$h(\iota^{DTS}) = \iota : C \rightarrow S_2, \text{ and } h(o^{DTS}) = o : C \rightarrow S_0.$$

Then, clearly $h\eta^{\mathbb{D}}\eta^{\mathbb{T}}S = id_S$, and it remains to check that the diagram

$$(3.58) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (DT)^2S & \xrightarrow{D\lambda TS} & D^2T^2S & \xrightarrow{\mu^{\mathbb{D}}\mu^{\mathbb{T}}S} & DTS \\ DT h \downarrow & & & & \downarrow h \\ DTS & \xrightarrow{h} & & & S \end{array}$$

commutes.

On distinguished elements $\iota^{(DT)^2S}, o^{(DT)^2S}$, this is clear. So, let $[\mathcal{X}, \beta] \in TDT S_X$ be represented by $(\mathcal{X}, \beta) \in DTS(\mathcal{X})$. If $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}_0$ then either $\beta \in im(o^{DTS})$, or $\beta \in S_0$ and, in each case, the result is immediate.

Therefore, assume that $\mathcal{X} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$ (and hence that $V_0 = \emptyset$), and define the subset $W = W(\beta) \subset V_2$

$$W = W(\beta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \mid \text{there is } (\mathcal{C}_2, b) \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \text{ such that } [b]^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{v} \text{ and } DTS(b)(\beta) \in im(\iota^{DTS})\}.$$

In other words, $v \in W$ if and only if $DTS(\mathbf{v})(\beta)$ is not in $TS_{P\{v\}}$.

In case $W \neq V$, then $\lambda TS[\mathcal{X}, \beta] = [\mathcal{X}/W, \beta/W]$. Now, if $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{X})$ is given by $Th[\mathcal{X}, \beta] = [\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \in TS_X$, then $S(b)(\alpha) \in im(\iota)$ for all $v \in W$, and $(\mathcal{C}_2, b) \in \text{el}(\mathcal{X})$, such that $[b]^{\mathcal{X}} = \mathbf{v}$.

It follows that the vertex deletion morphism del/W induces a similarity morphism in $XGr_*^{sim}(S)((\mathcal{X}, \alpha), (\mathcal{X}/W, \alpha/W))$, and therefore the images of $[\mathcal{X}, \beta]$ under $\lambda TS \circ Th$ and $Th \circ \text{del}/W$ correspond in TS_X .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathcal{X}, \beta] & \xrightarrow{Th} & [\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \\ \lambda TS \downarrow & & \downarrow [\text{del}/W] \\ [\mathcal{X}/W, \beta/W] & \xrightarrow{Th} & [\mathcal{X}/W, \alpha/W]. \end{array}$$

Now, since $h_{\mathbb{T}}$ is induced by the CSM structure on S , it is invariant under similarity morphisms in $XGr_*^{sim}(S)$. In particular, $h_{\mathbb{T}}[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] = h_{\mathbb{T}}[\mathcal{X}/W, \alpha/W] \in S_X$, and therefore, since $(S, h_{\mathbb{T}})$ is an algebra for \mathbb{T} , chasing $[\mathcal{X}, \beta]$ around Diagram 3.58 gives commuting paths

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [\mathcal{X}, \beta] & \xrightarrow{\lambda TS} & [\mathcal{X}/W, \beta/W] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mu^{\mathbb{T}}S[\mathcal{X}/W, \beta/W] \\ Th \downarrow & & Th_{\mathbb{T}} \downarrow & & \downarrow h_{\mathbb{T}} \\ [\mathcal{X}, \alpha] & \xrightarrow{[\text{del}/W]} & [\mathcal{X}/W, \alpha/W] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & h_{\mathbb{T}}[\mathcal{X}/W, \alpha/W]. \end{array}$$

Finally, when $W = V$, then, by Corollary 1.70, either $[\mathcal{X}, \beta] = \mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c^{DTS})$ for some $c \in C$ and $k \geq 1$, or $[\mathcal{X}, \beta] = \mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c^{DTS})$ for some $c \in C$, $l \geq 1$, and it is immediately verified that Diagram 3.58 commutes for these cases.

So, (S, h) naturally admits the structure of a \mathbb{DT} -algebra. It is straightforward to verify that the functors $\text{CSM} \rightleftharpoons \text{GS}^{\mathbb{DT}}$ so defined are each others' inverses. □

Remark 3.59. There is also a distributive law $\mathbb{DT} \rightarrow \mathbb{TD}$. Algebras for the composite monad \mathbb{TD} are just the cofibred coproducts of algebras for \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{T} . There is no further relationship between the two structures.¹⁷

¹⁷This corresponds precisely to the categorical case: the monad that adjoins distinguished units to directed graphs distributes over the free semi-category monad, but the two structures do not interact in the composite.

Example 3.60. The terminal graphical species $Z^{(C,\omega)}$ trivially admits the structure of a CSM for all palettes (C,ω) . In particular, the slice category $\text{CSM} \downarrow Di$ (see Examples 1.43 and 1.46) is canonically equivalent to the category of (coloured) wheeled properads [36, 14].

3.6. A structured-graph construction of \mathbb{T}_* . By [3, Section 3], $\lambda : TD \rightarrow DT$ induces a commuting square of monadic adjunctions,

$$(3.61) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{CSM} & \xleftarrow{\perp} & \text{GS}^{\mathbb{T}} \\ \uparrow \dashv & & \uparrow \dashv \\ \text{GS}_* & \xleftarrow{\perp} & \text{GS} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^+} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array}$$

where for each pair, the right adjoint is the corresponding forgetful (monadic) functor.

In particular, the following corollary of Theorem 3.46 is immediate.

Corollary 3.62. *There is a monad \mathbb{T}_* on GS_* whose EM category $\text{GS}_*^{\mathbb{T}_*}$ is canonically isomorphic to CSM.*

The remainder of this work is devoted to giving an explicit description, in the style of [19] and the construction of \mathbb{T} in Subsection 2.2, of the monad \mathbb{T}_* on GS_* , and to showing that it *has arities* Gr_* (see [4, Definition 1.8]). The desired nerve theorem for CSMs will then follow from [4, Theorem 1.10].

Let (S, ι, o) be a (C, ω) -coloured pointed graphical species and let $\pi : DS \rightarrow S$ denote the canonical structure map that restricts to the identity on $S \subset DS$ and $\iota^{DS} \mapsto \iota, o^{DS} \mapsto o$. By [3, Section 3], the endofunctor T_* for \mathbb{T}_* is described by the coequaliser

$$(3.63) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} DTDS & \xrightarrow{DT\pi} & DTS & \longrightarrow & T_*S. \\ & \searrow^{TD\eta^{\mathbb{T}}S} & & \nearrow^{\mu^{\mathbb{D}}\mu^{\mathbb{T}}S} & \\ & & (DT)^2S & \xrightarrow{D\lambda TS} & D^2T^2S \end{array}$$

So, elements of T_*S are equivalence classes of elements of DTS .

In fact, each element of T_*S has a representative in TS , and is therefore represented in turn by an S -structured graph with *non-empty* vertex set. Namely, for all $c \in C$ and $k \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c^{DS}) \xrightarrow{T\pi} \mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c),$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c^{DS}) \xrightarrow{TD\eta^{\mathbb{T}}S} \mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c^{DTS}) \xrightarrow{D\lambda TS} \mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c^{D^2T^2S}) \xrightarrow{\mu^{\mathbb{D}}\mu^{\mathbb{T}}S} \mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c^{DTS}),$$

so, by Diagram 3.63, $\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c) \in TS_2 \subset DTS_2$ and $\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c^{DTS}) \in DTS_2$ represent the same element $\iota_c^{T_*S}$ of T_*S_2 .

Similarly, for all $l \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c^{DS}) \xrightarrow{T\pi} \mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c),$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c^{DS}) \xrightarrow{TD\eta^{\mathbb{T}}S} \mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c^{DTS}) \xrightarrow{D\lambda TS} \mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c^{D^2T^2S}) \xrightarrow{\mu^{\mathbb{D}}\mu^{\mathbb{T}}S} \mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c^{DTS}).$$

Moreover,

$$[\mathcal{C}_0, o_c^{DS}] \xrightarrow{T\pi} [\mathcal{C}_0, o_c],$$

and

$$[\mathcal{C}_0, o_{\bar{c}}^{DS}] \xrightarrow{TD\eta^{\top}S} [\mathcal{C}_0, o_{\bar{c}}^{DTS}] \xrightarrow{D\lambda TS} o_{\bar{c}}^{D^2T^2S} \xrightarrow{\mu^{\mathbb{D}}\mu^{\top}S} o_{\bar{c}}^{DTS},$$

so $\mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c)$ and $(\mathcal{C}_0, o_{\bar{c}})$ represent the same element as $o_{\bar{c}}^{DTS}$ in T_*S_0 . In particular, these correspond to the (contracted) units for T_*S .

To describe the remaining elements of T_*S , let X be a finite set and let (\mathcal{X}, α^D) , $\mathcal{X} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$ represent an element of TDS_X . As, usual

$$(3.64) \quad W_{\alpha^D} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \mid \text{there exists } (\mathcal{C}_2, b) \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \text{ such that } [b]^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{v} \text{ and } DS(b)(\alpha^D) \in \text{im}(\iota^D S)\}$$

is the set of bivalent vertices of \mathcal{X} , α^D -decorated by adjoined distinguished elements.

Assume, moreover, that $W_{\alpha^D} \neq V$. Then, let $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{X})$ be the element defined by the $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T\pi[\mathcal{X}, \alpha^D]$,

$$(3.65) \quad S(b)(\alpha) = \begin{cases} S(b)(\alpha^D) & \text{for } b = \mathbf{v}, v \in V - W, \\ \iota_c & \text{whenever } S(\alpha^D)(b) = \iota_c^{DS}. \end{cases} \quad \text{for } b \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G}).$$

So, $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] = T\pi[\mathcal{X}, \alpha^D] \in TS_X$.

Further let $\alpha_{\eta^{\top}}^D \in DTS(\mathcal{X})$ be the element defined by $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha_{\eta^{\top}}^D] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} DTD\eta^{\top}S[\mathcal{X}, \alpha^D]$ that replaces vertex decorations by S in α with decorations by $\eta^{\top}S$.

$$DTS(\alpha_{\eta^{\top}}^D)(b) = \begin{cases} \eta^{\top}(S(\alpha^D)(b)) & \text{for } b = \mathbf{v}, v \in V - W, \\ S(b)(\alpha^D) & \text{whenever } S(\alpha^D)(b) = \iota_c^{DS}. \end{cases}$$

Then, $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha^D] \xrightarrow{DT\pi} [\mathcal{X}, \alpha]$, so

$$[\mathcal{X}, \alpha^D] \xrightarrow{DTD\eta^{\top}S} [\mathcal{X}, \alpha_{\eta^{\top}}^D] \xrightarrow{D\lambda TS} [\mathcal{X}/W_{\alpha^D}, (\alpha_{\eta^{\top}}^D)/W_{\alpha^D}] \xrightarrow{\mu^{\mathbb{D}}\mu^{\top}S} [\mathcal{X}/W_{\alpha^D}, \alpha_{\eta^{\top}}^D/W_{\alpha^D}],$$

and, by definition

$$\alpha_{\eta^{\top}}^D/W_{\alpha^D} = \alpha/W_{\alpha^D} \in TS(\mathcal{X}/W_{\alpha^D}).$$

Note, in particular, that for all $\alpha \in S(\mathcal{X})$ and all $W \subset W_{\alpha}$, such that $W \neq V$, then there is a unique $(\mathcal{X}, \alpha^D) \in DS(\mathcal{X})$ with $W_{\alpha^D} = W$ (Equation 3.64) and satisfying 3.65. Therefore, by the defining Diagram 3.63 for T_* and Lemma 3.49, if (\mathcal{X}, α) , (\mathcal{X}', α') are similar in $XGr_*^{sim}(S)$, then they represent the same element of T_*S_X .

With this in mind, let $T_P : \mathbf{GS}_* \rightarrow \mathbf{GS}_*$ be the endofunctor

- $T_P S(\iota) = S(\iota)$, and, for all finite sets X ,

$$(3.66) \quad T_P S_X = \text{colim}_{\mathcal{X} \in XGr_*^{sim} S} S(\mathcal{X}).$$

The \mathbb{D} -algebra structure on $T_P S$ is given by

- $\iota^{T_P S} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_P S(u) : S(\iota) \rightarrow T_P S_2$, $c \mapsto [\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c)]_P$.
- $o^{T_P S} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_P S(z) : S(\iota) \rightarrow T_P S_0$, $c \mapsto [\mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c)]_P$,

where $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha]_P$ denotes the class in $T_P S_X$ of $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \in TS_X$ under the quotient $TS_X \twoheadrightarrow T_P S_X$.

Now, for all pointed graphical species (S, ι, o) ,

$$T_P S_X = \begin{cases} T_* S_X & X \neq \emptyset, \\ T_* S_X / ([\mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c)]_P \sim [\mathcal{C}_0, o_{\bar{c}}]_P)_{c \in C} & X = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

For any palette (C, ω) , the category $\mathbf{GS}_*^{(C, \omega)} \subset \mathbf{GS}_*$ of (C, ω) -coloured pointed graphical species has an initial object $(I, \iota^I, o^I) = (I^{(C, \omega)}, \iota^{(c, \omega)}, o^{(c, \omega)})$ that trivially admits the structure of a CSM.

For finite sets X

$$I_X = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & X \not\cong \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \\ \{\iota_c^I\}_{c \in C} \cong C & X = \mathbf{2}, \\ \{o_{\bar{c}}^I\}_{\bar{c} \in C/\omega} \cong C/\omega & X = \mathbf{0}, \end{cases}$$

and therefore

$$T_P I_X = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & X \not\cong \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \\ \{[\mathcal{L}^1(\iota_c^I)]_P\}_{c \in C} \cong C, & X = \mathbf{2}, \\ \{(\mathcal{C}_0, o_{\bar{c}}^I)\}_{\bar{c} \in C/\omega} \amalg \{[\mathcal{W}(\iota_c^I)]_P\}_{c \in C} \cong C/\omega \amalg C/\omega, & X = \mathbf{0}, \end{cases}$$

and there is a canonical palette-preserving morphism in $\mathbf{GS}_*(T_P I, I)$ such that, for all $cinC$, $[\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c^I)]_P \mapsto \iota_c^I$ and $[\mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c^I)]_P, [\mathcal{C}_0, o_{\bar{c}}^I] \mapsto o_{\bar{c}}^I$.

Lemma 3.67. *For a pointed graphical species (S, ι, o) with palette (C, ω) , let $j = j^S \in \mathbf{GS}_*(I, S)$ be the unique morphism. Then $T_* S$ corresponds naturally (in \mathbf{GS}_*) to the pushout*

$$(3.68) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T_P I & \xrightarrow{T_P j} & T_P S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I & \longrightarrow & T_{p.o.} S \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{GS}_* .

Proof. Observe first that, since the image of I in $T_{p.o.} S$ consists precisely of the (contracted) units of $T_{p.o.} S$, the universal map $T_P S_X \rightarrow T_{p.o.} S_X$ is a surjection for all X . Indeed, since the canonical maps $T_P I_2 \rightarrow T_P S_2$ and $T_P I_2 \rightarrow I_2$ are injective, the projection $T_P S_X \rightarrow T_{p.o.} S_X$ is an isomorphism whenever $X \neq \mathbf{0}$. The units in $T_{p.o.} S_2$ are represented by the classes $[\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_c)]_P$.

Since $[\mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c^I)]_P$ and $[\mathcal{C}_0, o_{\bar{c}}^I]_P$ in $T_P(I^{(C, \omega)})_{\mathbf{0}}$ both map to $o_{\bar{c}}^I$ in $I_{\mathbf{0}}$, their images $[\mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c)]_P$ and $[\mathcal{C}_0, o_{\bar{c}}]_P$ in $T_P S_{\mathbf{0}}$ are identified in $T_{p.o.} S_{\mathbf{0}}$. These represent the contracted unit $o_{\bar{c}}^{T_{p.o.} S}$ and are the only extra identifications formed by the pushout. In particular, the identifications are compatible with all the projections to C . Hence, $T_* S$ is described by the pushout. Naturality is immediate. \square

By Diagram 3.61, if $\eta_* : 1_{\mathbf{GS}_*} \Rightarrow T_*$ and $\mu_* : T_* \Rightarrow T_*^2$ are the unit and multiplication for \mathbb{T}_* , then, for all pointed species (S, ι, o) , and all finite sets X , there are commuting diagrams of sets

$$(3.69) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S_X & \xrightarrow{\eta^{\mathbb{T}} S_X} & T S_X \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ S_X & \xrightarrow{\eta_*^{\mathbb{T}} S_X} & T_* S_X, \end{array} \quad (3.70) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T^2 S_X & \xrightarrow{\mu^{\mathbb{T}} S_X} & T S_X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_*^2 S_X & \xrightarrow{\mu_*^{\mathbb{T}} S_X} & T_* S_X, \end{array}$$

in which the vertical arrows are the canonical projections. So, if $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha]_*$ denotes the image of $[\mathcal{X}, \alpha] \in T S_X$ under the projection defined in Lemma 3.67, then $\eta_* : 1_{\mathbf{GS}_*} \Rightarrow T_*$, $\phi \mapsto [\eta^{\mathbb{T}} \phi]_*$, by 3.69.

To describe the multiplication μ_* for \mathbb{T}_* explicitly, observe that, for all finite sets X , the canonical diagram of projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^2 S_X & \longrightarrow & T_* T S_X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T T_* S_X & \longrightarrow & T_*^2 S_X \end{array}$$

commutes. So, an element $[\mathcal{X}, \beta] \in T^2 S_X$, represents a well-defined element $[\mathcal{X}, \beta]_{**} \in T_*^2 S_X$, and, by 3.70, $\mu_*[\mathcal{X}, \beta]_{**} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\mu[\mathcal{X}, \beta]]_*$.

Let $(\mathcal{X}^j, \beta^j) \in \text{ob}(X\text{Gr}_*^{\text{sim}}(T_*S))$ be T_*S -structured X -graphs representing the same element $[\mathcal{X}, \beta]_{**}$ of $T_*^2 S_X$. If (\mathcal{X}^j, β^j) is not of the form $(\mathcal{C}_0, o_c^{T_*S})$, then by Lemma 3.49, there is a T_*S -structured X -graph (\mathcal{X}, β) and a cospan

$$(\mathcal{X}^1, \beta^1) \xrightarrow{g^1} (\mathcal{X}, \beta) \xleftarrow{g^2} (\mathcal{X}^2, \beta^2)$$

in $X\text{Gr}_*^{\text{sim}}(T_*S)$ and therefore, for $j = 1, 2$, and all $(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}_*(\mathcal{X}^j))$,

$$S(b)(\beta^j) = S(g^j \circ b)(\beta) \in TS(\mathcal{C}).$$

In particular, if $(\mathcal{C}_2, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}_*(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{X}^j))$ is such that $g^j \circ b = ch_e \circ u$ for some $e \in E = E(\mathcal{X})$, then

$$S(b)(\beta^j) = S(u)S(ch_e)(\beta) = \iota_c^{T_P S} \in T_P S_2$$

for $c = S(ch_e)(\beta) \in S(1)$.

For all $(\mathcal{C}_Y, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{X}^j))$, if pointed graphs of S -structured graphs (Definition 3.50) $\Gamma_*^j : \text{el}_*(\mathcal{X}^j) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_*(S)$ represent $[\mathcal{X}^j, \beta^j]$ and $\Gamma_* : \text{el}_*(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_*(S)$ represents $[\mathcal{X}, \beta]$, then, if $(\mathcal{C}_Y, g^j \circ b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}(\mathcal{X}))$,

$$\Gamma_*^j(\mathcal{C}_Y, b) \sim \Gamma_*(\mathcal{C}_Y, g^j \circ b) \in Y\text{Gr}_*^{\text{sim}}(S).$$

On the other hand, if $(\mathcal{C}_2, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}_*(\mathcal{X}^j))$ is such that $(\mathcal{C}_2, g^j \circ b) = (\mathcal{C}_2, ch_e \circ u) \in \text{ob}(\text{el}_*(\mathcal{X}))$ for some $e \in E$,

$$\Gamma_*^j(\mathcal{C}_2, b) \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}_*(S)) \text{ represents } \iota_c^{T_S} \in T_P S_2, \text{ where } c = \Gamma_*(1, ch_e) \in S(1).$$

So,

$$\Gamma_*^j(\mathcal{C}_2, b) = \mathcal{L}_j^{k_b^j}(\iota_c)$$

for some $k_b^j \geq 1$.

Then, by Lemma 3.55,

$$\text{colim}_{(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G}^j)} \Gamma_*^j(\mathcal{C}, b) \sim \text{colim}_{(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G}^j)} \Gamma_*(\mathcal{C}, g^j \circ b) \in X\text{Gr}_*^{\text{sim}}(S)$$

as expected.

If $(\mathcal{X}^1, \beta^1) = (\mathcal{C}_0, o_c^{T_*S})$ for some $c \in C$, we may assume that $(\mathcal{X}^2, \beta^2) = \mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c^{T_*S})$ for some $l \geq 1$. In particular, if $V(\mathcal{W}^l) = (v_i)_{i=1}^l$, a \mathcal{W}^l -shaped pointed graph of S -structured graphs Γ_* representing $\mathcal{W}^l(\iota_c^{T_*S})$ is defined by a collection of morphisms $b_i \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{W}^l)$ (as in Examples 1.23 and 3.35), with $[b_i]^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{v}_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq l$ and

$$\Gamma_*(b_i) = \mathcal{L}^{k_i}(\iota_c).$$

Hence, if $L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^l k_i$, then

$$\text{colim}_{\text{elGr}_*[\mathcal{W}^l]} \Gamma_* = \mathcal{W}^L(\iota_c) \in TS_0,$$

and therefore represents $o_c^{T_*S} \in T_*S_0$.

So, the multiplication μ_*S is described by the assignment $\mu_*S : [\mathcal{X}, \beta]_{**} \mapsto [\text{colim}_{\text{el}(\mathcal{X})} \Gamma_*]_* \in T_*S_X$, where $\Gamma_* : \text{el}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_*(S)$.

Example 3.71. Given a set C with involution ω , the terminal (C, ω) -species $Z^{(C, \omega)}$, together with the unique morphism $r^{(C, \omega)} : T_*Z^{(C, \omega)} \rightarrow Z^{(C, \omega)}$ is an algebra for T_* .

Example 3.72. The full subcategory $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_* \subset \text{CSM}$, with objects the free CSMs on $\text{Gr} \subset \text{GS}$, plays an important role (analogous to that of Δ in the classical case) in the nerve theorem for CSMs (Theorem 4.3).

Let $\mathcal{H} = (E, H, V, s, t, \tau) \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}_*)$ be a graph. Of course, $T_*\mathcal{H}(1) = \text{Gr}_*(1, \mathcal{H}) \cong E$ with involution $\tau : ch_e \mapsto ch_{\tau e}$.

For each $e \in E$,

$$\iota_e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ch_e \circ u \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{H})$$

is the corresponding unit element of $\mathcal{H} = Y_*\mathcal{H} \in \text{ob}(\text{GS}_*)$, and

$$o_{\bar{e}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ch_e \circ z \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{H})$$

is the corresponding contracted unit of $\mathcal{H} \in \text{ob}(\text{GS}_*)$.

So, by Lemma 3.67, the (contracted) units in $T_*\mathcal{H}$ are given by

$$\iota_e^{T_*\mathcal{H}} = [\mathcal{L}^k(\iota_e)]_*, \quad \text{and} \quad o_{\bar{e}}^{T_*\mathcal{H}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\mathcal{W}^l(\iota_e)]_* = [\mathcal{C}_0, o_{\bar{e}}]_*$$

for each $e \in E$.

In other words, $\iota_e^{T_*\mathcal{H}}$ is represented by morphisms of the form $ch_e \circ \text{del}_{/V}(\mathcal{L}^k) \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{L}^k, \mathcal{H})$ and $ch_e \circ \text{del}_{/V}(\mathcal{W}^l) \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{W}^l, \mathcal{H})$. The contracted units $o_{\bar{e}}^{T_*\mathcal{H}}$ are represented by $ch_e \circ z \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{H})$.

For X a finite set, an element $[\mathcal{X}, f]_* \in T_*\mathcal{H}_X$ is represented by a pair (\mathcal{X}, f) of an X -graph $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{G}, \rho)$, together with a morphism $f \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ and, by Lemma 3.49, pairs (\mathcal{X}^1, f^1) and (\mathcal{X}^2, f^2) such that for $j = 1, 2$, (\mathcal{X}^j, f^j) is not of the form $(\mathcal{C}_0, ch_e \circ z)$, represent the same element $[\mathcal{X}, f]_* \in \text{GS}_*(\mathcal{C}_X, T_*\mathcal{H})$ if and only if there is a commuting diagram

$$(3.73) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G}^1 & \xrightarrow{g^1} & \mathcal{G} & \xleftarrow{g^2} & \mathcal{G}^2 \\ & \searrow f^1 & \downarrow f & \swarrow f^2 & \\ & & \mathcal{H} & & \end{array}$$

in Gr_* such that $g^j \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}^j, \mathcal{G})$ are port-preserving vertex-deletion morphisms for $j = 1, 2$. So, for all finite sets X and all $\phi \in T_*\mathcal{H}[X]$, $\phi \notin \text{im}(o^{T_*\mathcal{H}})$, the subcategory of representatives of ϕ in $\text{Gr}_* \downarrow \mathcal{H}$ is connected.

Surprisingly, this is also the case for the contracted units $o_{\bar{e}}^{T_*\mathcal{H}} \in T_*\mathcal{H}_0$ since, although $(\mathcal{C}_0, o_{\bar{e}})$ and $\mathcal{W}^l(\iota_e)$ lie in distinct components of $0\text{Gr}_*^{\text{sim}}(\mathcal{H})$, for any edges $e \in E(\mathcal{H})$ and $e_{\mathcal{W}} \in E(\mathcal{W}^l)$, the diagram

$$(3.74) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_0 & \xrightarrow{ch_{e_{\mathcal{W}} \circ z}} & \mathcal{W}^l \\ & \searrow ch_e \circ z & \swarrow ch_e \circ \text{del}_{/V}(\mathcal{W}^l) \\ & & \mathcal{H}, \end{array}$$

commutes in Gr_* . This observation is essential in the proof of Theorem 4.3.

4. A NERVE THEOREM

Let $F_* : \text{GS}_* \rightleftarrows \text{CSM} : U_*$ be the free-faithful adjunction arising from the monad \mathbb{T}_* on GS_* .

Definition 4.1. *The (CSM) graphical category $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ is the category obtained in the factorisation of $F_*Y_* : \text{Gr}_* \rightarrow \text{CSM}$ as an identity on objects functor $j : \text{Gr}_* \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ followed by a fully faithful functor $i : \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_* \rightarrow \text{CSM}$.*

In the following diagram of functors, the left hand square commutes by construction.

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_* & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{CSM} & \xrightarrow{N} & \text{PSh}(\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*) \\ \uparrow j & & \uparrow F_* \downarrow U_* & & \downarrow j^* \\ \text{elGr}_* \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}_* & \xrightarrow{Y_*} & \text{GS}_* & \xrightarrow{sh} & \text{PSh}(\text{Gr}_*) \end{array}$$

The right hand vertical arrow is the pullback along j and the right hand horizontal arrows are the associated nerve functors. In particular $sh : \text{GS}_* \rightarrow \text{PSh}(\text{Gr}_*)$ is the fully faithful inclusion of sheaves functor. It is straightforward to observe that the right hand square commutes up to natural isomorphism. (See [4, Section 1] for details.)

The goal of this section is to prove the following.

Theorem 4.3. *The functor $N : \text{CSM} \rightarrow \text{PrSh}(\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*)$ is full and faithful. Its essential image consists of precisely those presheaves on $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ whose restriction to $\text{PSh}(\text{Gr})$ is a graphical species.*

The monad \mathbb{T}_* is said to *have arities* Gr_* if, for each pointed graphical species (S, ι, o) , the image under NF_* of the ‘representable’ colimit cocone $\text{Gr}_* \downarrow S$ is a colimit cocone in $\text{PSh}(\text{Gr}_*)$ (see [4, Definition 1.8]).

By [4, Propositions 1.5 and 1.9, Theorem 1.10], if \mathbb{T}_* has arities Gr_* then N is fully faithful and its essential image consists of those presheaves S on $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ whose restriction to Gr_* is a sheaf with respect to J_* . It then follows from Proposition 3.17 and Diagram 3.18 specifically, that this is the case if and only if the restriction of S to $\text{PSh}(\text{Gr})$ is a sheaf, hence a graphical species.

So, the remainder of this section is devoted to proving that \mathbb{T}_* has arities Gr_* . The observation, at the end of Example 3.72, that 3.74 commutes in Gr_* will be significant. Indeed, it is precisely because \mathcal{W}^l and \mathcal{C}_0 are in different connected components of Gr , that the CSM monad $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}$ on GS cannot have arities Gr .

4.1. The category $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$. By definition, $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ is the restriction to Gr_* of the Kleisli category of \mathbb{T}_* . Hence, $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}) = \text{GS}_*(\mathcal{G}, T_*\mathcal{H}) \cong T_*\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{G})$ for all pairs $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ of graphs. If $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{elGr})$ is elementary, then $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}) \cong T_*\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{G})$ has been described in Example 3.72.

So, \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{H} = (E, H, V, s, t, \tau)$ be any graphs, $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$. Then,

$$\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}) \cong T_*\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{G}) = \lim_{(C,b) \in \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})} T_*(\mathcal{H})(C) = \lim_{(C,b) \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G})} T_*(\mathcal{H})(C),$$

so $\alpha \in T_*\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{G})$ is represented by a \mathcal{G} -shaped pointed graph of graphs Γ_* , and, by the universal property of the colimit $\overline{\Gamma}_* = \text{colim}_{\text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})} \Gamma_*$, a morphism $f \in \text{Gr}_*(\overline{\Gamma}_*, \mathcal{H})$.

By Lemma 3.54, since $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{C}_0$, pairs (Γ_*^1, f^1) , (Γ_*^2, f^2) represent the same element $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ whenever there is a cospan

$$\Gamma_*^1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_* \longleftarrow \Gamma_*^2$$

in $\text{Gr}_*^{(\mathcal{G})}$, and a morphism $f \in \text{Gr}_*(\overline{\Gamma}_*, \mathcal{H})$ (where $\overline{\Gamma}_* = \text{colim}_{\text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})} \Gamma_*$) such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\Gamma}_*^1 & \longrightarrow & \overline{\Gamma}_* \longleftarrow \overline{\Gamma}_*^2 \\ & \searrow f^1 & \downarrow f \swarrow f^2 \\ & & \mathcal{H} \end{array}$$

commutes in Gr_* .

The assignment $f \mapsto (\text{dom}, f)$ where $\text{dom} : \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_*$ is the identity \mathcal{G} -shaped graph of graphs with colimit \mathcal{G} (Example 1.52) induces the inclusion $\text{Gr}_* \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$.

The following terminology is from [21].

Definition 4.4. A (pointed) free map in $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ is a morphism in $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ represented by (dom, f) , for $f \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$, and a refinement in $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ is a morphism in $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ with a representative of the form $(\Gamma_*, \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}})$.

So, a free map ‘is’ a morphism in Gr_* . A refinement in $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ corresponds to a connected component $[\Gamma_*]$ in the category $\text{Gr}_*^{(\mathcal{G})}$ of \mathcal{G} -shaped pointed graphs of graphs such that each object $\Gamma_* \in [\Gamma_*]$ has colimit \mathcal{H} .

From the discussion above it follows that, for all $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}_*)$ and for all morphisms $\alpha \in \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$, α factors as a refinement followed by a free map.

Remark 4.5. The graphical category $\overline{\text{Gr}}$ whose morphisms are described in [19, Section 6] (and discussed in Remark 3.4, and the footnote on page 32) is the wide subcategory of $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ that does not contain the morphisms $z : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow (1)$ or any morphisms in $\text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{W}^l, \mathcal{G})$ that factor through some $ch_e \in \text{Gr}_*(1, \mathcal{G})$. Therefore, $\overline{\text{Gr}}$ does not embed fully faithfully in CSM. It is worthwhile to note that, though the morphisms in Gr_* that are missing from $\overline{\text{Gr}}$ are somewhat mysterious when viewed as maps of graphs, they are easy to understand as morphisms of CSMs in $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$. For example, the morphism $z \in \text{CSM}(T_*\mathcal{C}_0, T_*1)$ maps the unique arity $\mathbf{0}$ element of the CSM $T_*\mathcal{C}_0$ with empty palette, to the unique contracted unit in $T_*(1)_{\mathbf{0}}$.

In [16, 17], the wide subcategory $U \subset \overline{\text{Gr}} \subset \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ is constructed precisely so that it is Reedy, and, in order to obtain the desired structure, *covering morphisms* in $\text{Gr} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ are excluded from U . These are those – somewhat mysterious – morphisms $g \in \text{Gr}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}')$ such that $E_0(\mathcal{G}) = E_0(\mathcal{G}')$ but \mathcal{G} is not an isomorphism (so $E_0(\mathcal{G}) = \emptyset$ by Corollary 1.68).

In [16], it is shown that, both U and $\overline{\text{Gr}}$ yield a fully faithful nerve functor on CSM whose essential image consists of those presheaves that satisfy the Segal condition.

Remark 4.6. The factorisation used to obtain the Reedy structure on U [16, 17], does not correspond with the restriction to U of the (pointed) free/refinement factorisation on $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ described above. For example, $u \in \text{Gr}_*(\mathcal{C}_2, 1)$ is (pointed) free in $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ by definition 4.4, but the corresponding map in U is a refinement in [16].

However, there is also a canonical factorisation system on $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ that does restrict to the factorisation on U in [16]. By Corollary 3.37, there is a *ternary factorisation system* on $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ factoring morphisms uniquely as refinement followed by vertex deletion followed by morphism in Gr . The refinements described above (Definition 4.4), taken together with the vertex deletion morphisms restrict to ‘refinements’ in U . Indeed, this is not surprising since, by 3.18, $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ is also the category obtained in the identity on objects/fully faithful factorisation of $DTY : \text{Gr} \rightarrow \text{CSM}$.

4.2. Factorisation categories. More generally, let $\text{GS}_{*\mathbb{T}_*}$ be the *Kleisli category of T_** with morphism sets $\text{GS}_{*\mathbb{T}_*}(S, S') = \text{GS}_*(S, T_*S')$. For any graph \mathcal{G} and pointed graphical species (S, ι, o) , a morphism $\beta \in \text{GS}_{*\mathbb{T}_*}(\mathcal{G}, S)$ is represented by a refinement Γ_* in $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ with colimit $\overline{\Gamma}_*$, followed by a morphism $\alpha \in \text{GS}_*(\overline{\Gamma}_*, S)$.

Namely, for all finite sets X ,

$$\text{GS}_*(\mathcal{G}, T_*S) \cong \lim_{(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G})} T_*S(\mathcal{C}),$$

and elements of $T_*S(\mathcal{C}_X)$ are represented by S structured X -graphs (\mathcal{X}, α) . So an element $\beta \in \text{GS}_*(\mathcal{G}, T_*S)$ is represented by an \mathcal{X} -shaped pointed graph of S -structured graphs Γ_* that admits a colimit $\overline{\Gamma}_*$ in $\text{Gr}_*(S)$.

Let $\Gamma_*^Z : \text{el}_*(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_*$ be the \mathcal{X} -shaped pointed graph of graphs obtained by composition of Γ_* with the forgetful morphism $\text{dom} : \text{Gr}_*(S) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_*(Z) = \text{Gr}_*$, so $\Gamma_*^Z : (\mathcal{C}_X, b) \mapsto (\mathcal{X}_b, \alpha_b) \mapsto (\mathcal{X}_b)$, and let $\overline{\Gamma}_*^Z$ be the colimit of Γ_*^Z in Gr_* . Then Γ_*^Z is a refinement in $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*(\mathcal{G}, \overline{\Gamma}_*^Z)$, and $\overline{\Gamma}_*^Z$ is given by an

Proof of Theorem 4.3. The category Gr_* is dense in GS_* . By [4, Proposition 2.5], \mathbb{T}_* has arities Gr_* if and only if, for all $\mathcal{G} \in \text{ob}(\text{Gr}_*)$ and all $\beta \in T_*S(\mathcal{G})$, the category $\text{fact}(\beta)$ is connected. So \mathbb{T}_* has arities Gr_* by Lemma 4.8.

In particular, since $\text{Gr}_* \subset \text{GS}_*$ is dense and T_* has arities Gr_* , it follows from [4, Propositions 1.5 and 1.9], that the functor $N : \text{CSM} \rightarrow \text{PrSh}(\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*)$ is fully faithful. Moreover, by [4, Theorem 1.10] its essential image is the subcategory of those presheaves on $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ whose restriction to Gr_* are in the image of the fully faithful embedding $\text{GS}_* \hookrightarrow \text{PrSh}(\text{Gr}_*)$. In other words, the essential image of the inclusion $\text{CSM} \hookrightarrow \text{PrSh}(\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*)$ consists of precisely those presheaves whose restriction to Gr_* is a sheaf on (Gr_*, J_*) .

So $S \in \text{ob}(\text{PSh}(\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*))$ is in the image of N if and only if

$$S(\mathcal{G}) = \lim_{(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})} S(\mathcal{C})$$

and, by finality of $\text{el}(\mathcal{G}) \subset \text{el}_*(\mathcal{G})$, this is the case precisely if

$$S(\mathcal{G}) = \lim_{(\mathcal{C}, b) \in \text{el}(\mathcal{G})} S(\mathcal{C}).$$

□

5. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER WORK

In Remark 3.4, I have mentioned [16, 17] and their relationship to [19]. Relative advantages and disadvantages of the method of [16, 17] compared with a Joyal-Kock style construction are discussed in detail in [17, Remark 2.15]. The graph substitution underlying the monad multiplication in [16, 17] proceeds largely ‘by hand’ and involves a lot of data. In contrast to the method presented here, this approach does not rely so heavily on the combinatorial power of the involutive stick graph (\imath) . In particular, the ‘modular operad monads’ are defined in terms of coloured graphs and are therefore palette-specific. And, in this case, it is obvious how to construct monads for CSMs enriched in categories other than Set . Indeed, the *modular operads* of [17] are introduced there as an ‘*enriched version of the compact symmetric multicategories introduced in [19]*’.¹⁸ By contrast, CSMs as defined here are, in particular, presheaves on Gr . So, a generalisation of the definition to apply to functors $P : \text{Gr}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ (for some \mathcal{E} other than Set) would still require that $P(\imath)$ is an object of \mathcal{E} . As a result, the framework presented here is not the correct one for studying *enriched* CSMs in the sense of [16, 17]. However, it does provide a natural context for considering CSMs *internal* to a category \mathcal{E} with finite limits.

In particular, it is possible to define *Segal CSMs* (*Segal modular operads*) in the sense of [16, Section 3.2], in terms of sSet -valued presheaves on $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*^{op}$. The goal of [16] is to establish that these are legitimately ‘*up to homotopy*’ CSMs. To this end, graphical category U of [16] is precisely constructed to admit a Reedy structure, enabling the authors to define a model structure on $\text{sSet}^{U^{op}}$ – as a localisation of the Reedy model structure – whose fibrant objects are the Segal CSMs ([16, Theorem 3.8]). This approach to the nerve is very different from the one presented here. Nonetheless, they do admit comparison. In [17, Section 4] it has already been shown that the nerve theorem [17, Theorem 3.6], implies the nerve theorem of [19, Section 6] (under the correct interpretation of the latter) and these comments can be extended to presheaves on $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*$ and Theorem 4.3. It is not yet known whether it is possible to obtain a (suitable) model structure on $\text{sSet}^{\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_*^{op}}$ without first restricting to U .

This paper’s sequel [30] gives a precise sense to the claim made in the introduction that ‘*CSMs are the connected part of compact closed categories*’, by defining *CSMs with product*. These stand in the same relation to CSMs as props do to properads. Vallette introduced properads in [34] as the *connected part of props* and they contain “ *all the information to code [relevant] algebraic structure*”. In particular, he used properads to prove Koszul duality for quadratic props.

¹⁸[17, Section 2]

In relation to ‘*prop-like structures*’, [22] defines a monad \mathbb{T}^\times on \mathbf{GS} whose algebras are *non-unital CSMs with product*. For any palette (C, ω) , the terminal (C, ω) -coloured graphical species $Z^{(C, \omega)}$ trivially admits a \mathbb{T}^\times -algebra structure. In particular, objects of the slice category $\mathbf{GS}^{\mathbb{T}^\times} \downarrow Di$ have a *wheeled semi-prop*, (or ‘non-unital wheeled prop’) structure (see Examples 1.43, 1.46 and 3.60). The monad \mathbb{T}^\times , though similar to \mathbb{T} , does not distribute over \mathbb{D} . However (as noted, though not explicitly described in [22, Sections 1,2.7]), \mathbb{T}^\times is itself a composite monad. In fact, there are iterated distributive laws (see [7]) that enable the construction of the desired *monad for CSMs with product* as a composite of three monads on \mathbf{GS} . Moreover, there is a canonical adjunction between the EM-category of algebras for this composite monad and the category of small compact closed categories. (Details to appear in [30].)

REFERENCES

- [1] John C. Baez, John Foley, Joseph Moeller, and Blake S. Pollard. Network Models. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1711.00037, Oct 2017.
- [2] M. A. Batanin and C. Berger. Homotopy theory for algebras over polynomial monads. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 32:Paper No. 6, 148–253, 2017.
- [3] Jon Beck. Distributive laws. In *Sem. on Triples and Categorical Homology Theory (ETH, Zürich, 1966/67)*, pages 119–140. Springer, Berlin, 1969.
- [4] Clemens Berger, Paul-André Melliès, and Mark Weber. Monads with arities and their associated theories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 216(8-9):2029–2048, 2012.
- [5] Francis Borceux. *Handbook of categorical algebra. 1*, volume 50 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. Basic category theory.
- [6] Dennis V. Borisov and Yuri I. Manin. Generalized operads and their inner cohomomorphisms. In *Geometry and dynamics of groups and spaces*, volume 265 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 247–308. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008.
- [7] Eugenia Cheng. Iterated distributive laws. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 150(3):459–487, 2011.
- [8] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Ieke Moerdijk. Dendroidal sets and simplicial operads. *J. Topol.*, 6(3):705–756, 2013.
- [9] Martin Doubek, Branislav Juro, and Lada Peksova. Properads and homotopy algebras related to surfaces. 2017.
- [10] Martin Doubek, Branislav Jurčo, and Korbinian Münster. Modular operads and the quantum open-closed homotopy algebra. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (12):158, front matter+54pp, 2015.
- [11] Gabriel C. Drummond-Cole and Philip Hackney. Dwyer–Kan homotopy theory for cyclic operads. 2018.
- [12] E. Getzler and M. M. Kapranov. Modular operads. *Compositio Math.*, 110(1):65–126, 1998.
- [13] Jeffrey Giansiracusa. Moduli spaces and modular operads. *Morfismos*, 17(2):101–125, 2013.
- [14] Philip Hackney, Marcy Robertson, and Donald Yau. *Infinity properads and infinity wheeled properads*, volume 2147 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [15] Philip Hackney, Marcy Robertson, and Donald Yau. On factorizations of graphical maps. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 20(2):217–238, 2018.
- [16] Philip Hackney, Marcy Robertson, and Donald Yau. A graphical category for higher modular operads. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1906.01143, Jun 2019.
- [17] Philip Hackney, Marcy Robertson, and Donald Yau. Modular operads and the nerve theorem. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1906.01144, Jun 2019.
- [18] K. Hess, R. Levi, and S. Raynor. Enriched categories of plastic networks. *In preparation*, 2019.
- [19] A. Joyal and J. Kock. Feynman graphs, and nerve theorem for compact symmetric multicategories (extended abstract). *Electronic Note in Theoretical Computer Science*, 270(2):105 – 113, 2011. Proceedings of the 6th International Workshop on Quantum Physics and Logic (QPL 2009).
- [20] G. M. Kelly and M. L. Laplaza. Coherence for compact closed categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 19:193–213, 1980.
- [21] Joachim Kock. Graphs, hypergraphs, and properads. *Collect. Math.*, 67(2):155–190, 2016.
- [22] Joachim Kock. Cospan construction of the graph category of Borisov and Manin. *Publ. Mat.*, 62(2):331–353, 2018.
- [23] Tom Leinster. *Higher operads, higher categories*, volume 298 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [24] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.
- [25] Saunders Mac Lane and Ieke Moerdijk. *Sheaves in geometry and logic*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. A first introduction to topos theory, Corrected reprint of the 1992 edition.
- [26] M. Markl, S. Merkulov, and S. Shadrin. Wheeled PROPs, graph complexes and the master equation. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 213(4):496–535, 2009.

- [27] Martin Markl. Operads and PROPs. In *Handbook of algebra. Vol. 5*, volume 5 of *Handb. Algebr.*, pages 87–140. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2008.
- [28] Ieke Moerdijk and Ittay Weiss. Dendroidal sets. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 7:1441–1470, 2007.
- [29] S. Raynor. *Compact Symmetric Multicategories and the problem of loops*. PhD thesis, University of Aberdeen, 2018.
- [30] S. Raynor. CSMs with product: an iterated distributive law for compact closed categories. *In preparation, expected submission December 2019*, 2019.
- [31] Graeme Segal. Classifying spaces and spectral sequences. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (34):105–112, 1968.
- [32] D. Spivak. The operad of wiring diagrams. *Preprint*, 2013. arXiv:1305.0297.
- [33] Ulrike Tillmann. The classifying space of the $(1+1)$ -dimensional cobordism category. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 479:67–75, 1996.
- [34] Bruno Vallette. A Koszul duality for PROPs. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(10):4865–4943, 2007.
- [35] Mark Weber. Familial 2-functors and parametric right adjoints. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 18:No. 22, 665–732, 2007.
- [36] Donald Yau and Mark W. Johnson. *A foundation for PROPs, algebras, and modules*, volume 203 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.