

# From Peccei Quinn symmetry to strong CP problem through hierarchical mass problem

Y.A. Garnica\*, R. Martinez† and H. Vargas ‡

November 15, 2019

*Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, K. 45 No. 26-85, Bogotá D.C., Colombia*

---

## Abstract

We present a non-universal  $U(1)_X$  gauge extension and an additional global Peccei-Quinn (PQ) symmetry to the Standard Model (SM). The scheme proposed allows us to distinguish among fermion families and to generate the correct ansatz of mass matrices to obtain the fermionic mass spectrum in SM. The symmetry breakdown is performed by two scalar Higgs doublets and two scalar singlets, where one of these has the excitation associated with the axion which turns out to be a candidate for dark matter. The exotic sector is composed of an invisible axion  $a$ , one up-type  $T$  and two down-type  $J^{1,2}$  heavy quarks, two heavy charged leptons  $E, \mathcal{E}$  and one additional right-handed  $\nu_R^{e,\mu,\tau}$  neutrino per family. In addition, the large energy scale associated with the spontaneously breaking of the PQ-symmetry provides a solution to the strong CP-problem, also giving masses to the right-handed neutrinos in such manner that the active neutrinos acquire  $eV$ -mass values due to the see-saw mechanism.

---

**Keywords**— CP Violation,  $U(1)$  model, Peccei Quinn,  $Z_2$  symmetry, mass hierarchy.

## 1 Introduction

Recently, a scalar field was discovered in the LHC collider at ATLAS [1] and CMS [2] with a mass of 125 GeV, which is a fundamental piece to understand the mechanism of symmetry breaking and mass generation in the Standard Model of electro-weak interactions (SM) [3]. The Higgs discovery suggests the possibility of considering new scalar fields. Among the most studied extensions are Two Higgs Doublet Models (THDM) and an additional singlet scalar field [4]. Initially, it was proposed to understand the mass differences between the up and down quark sectors [5] by considering two vacuum expectation values (VEV) for each scalar doublet. Another possibility is to assume an additional scalar singlet, in this case we have the Next-to-Minimal model (N2HDM) [7], where a new scale is associated with its VEV. This is useful when considering an additional  $U(1)$  symmetry [8] and the imaginary part would correspond to the would-be Goldstone of the new gauge boson. These models, with additional

---

\*ygarnicag@unal.edu.co

†remartinezm@unal.edu.co

‡rhvargasp@unal.edu.co

$U(1)$  gauge symmetry, have been extensively studied in problems such as flavour physics [9], neutrino physics [10], dark matter [11], among other effects [12]. These models also involve a new neutral gauge boson, which contains a large number of phenomenological consequences [13]. For the new  $U(1)$  symmetry to be free of chiral anomalies, it is necessary to extend the fermionic spectrum. In addition, the new symmetry requires an extended scalar sector to generate the symmetry breaking and obtain heavy masses for the new gauge boson and the exotic fermions.  $U(1)_X$  - non universal models in the quark sector have been proposed in [8], giving textures with zeros that generate hierarchical structures. Additional, phenomenological consequences of these models have been studied in [14], [15], [16], including the scalar sector to study effects in Dark Matter (DM). A complete review of these possibilities can be found in reference [17].

From the phenomenological point of view, it is possible to describe some characteristics of the mass hierarchy by assuming Yukawa matrices with zero textures [18]. Models with flavour horizontal symmetries can produce mass hierarchies. For example, SM with discrete symmetries can obtain the Fritzsch ansatz [19] and they can describe the mass of the quark sector and the CP violation phase observed in the experiments. These mass structures can also be obtained in the lepton sector, as Fukugita, Tanimoto and Yanagida shows [20], where very small mass values for neutrinos are found by a seesaw mechanism. On the other hand, these models need Majorana right handed neutrinos which by their Yukawa couplings can induce matter-antimatter asymmetry through leptogenesis [21]. The square mass differences imply the neutrino oscillations which have been confirmed by many experiments. From solar neutrinos as in Homestake [22], SAGE [23], GALLEX & GNO [24], SNO [25], Borexino [26] and Super-Kamiokande [27]; from atmospheric neutrinos as in IceCube [28]; neutrinos from reactors as KamLAND [29], CHOOZ [30], Palo Verde [31], Daya Bay [32], RENO [33], and SBL [34], and neutrinos from accelerators as in MINOS [35], T2K [36], and NO $\nu$ A [37]. The experimental data are compatible with the hypothesis that at least two species of neutrinos have mass, where the neutrinos left-handed are linear combinations of mass eigenstates, and the mixing angles are given by the matrix of Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) [38], [39]. On the other hand, the experiments have not determined if the active neutrinos are Majorana or Dirac. The small masses of the neutrinos, without using fine tuning, can be obtained by two methods, by means of radiative corrections [40] or through the seesaw mechanism [41]. The seesaw mechanism is implemented by introducing a high-energy scale that violates the leptonic number and gives mass to the right handed neutrino, and the mixture to the electro-weak scale of this right handed neutrino with the left handed neutrino. The parameters are available in NuFIT [42].

There are also other problems of fine tuning such as strong CP violation or naturalness problems of the mass of the Higgs field. To address these problems it is necessary to introduce new physics beyond the SM. Supersymmetric models allow to explain the cancellation of quadratic divergences of the mass of the Higgs field [43]. On the other hand, the anomaly associated with the  $U(1)_A$  axial symmetry of the QCD generates the  $\theta$ -term [44], [45] known as the CP-problem [46] which is restricted by the electrical dipole moment of the neutron [48] and must be less than  $10^{-10}$  [49]. Through an anomalous global Peccei - Quinn (PQ) symmetry [47] the strong CP problem can be solved. The sum of these two anomalies can explain the accidental cancellation and generates the small  $\theta$ -term. However, the spontaneous global symmetry breaking produces a Nambu-Goldstone boson known as axion that must be invisible due to the restrictions of the colliders. On the other hand, from the cosmological limitations [51], the scale of symmetry rupture must be of the order of  $10^7 - 10^{11}$  GeV. One way to make the axion invisible is to assume that there are additional exotic quark and singlet scalar fields

with PQ charged, so that the axion is disconnected from the low energy physics, making it invisible [50]. Different variations of this model considering THDM allow the construction of other models with invisible axion [52]. An interesting framework is that the breaking scale of the PQ symmetry coincides with the scale that gives masses to the right-handed neutrinos necessary to generate the masses of neutrinos through seesaw mechanism. In this way, the solution of the strong CP problem is related to the generation of the light neutrino masses [53].

In the reference [54] is studied the mass hierarchy of the fermions and their mixing angles using a  $U(1)_X$  gauge symmetry anomaly free. The model contains additionally exotic up-like quark, two bottom-like quarks, two charged leptons and one right handed neutrino per family. Three right-handed sterile neutrinos were introduced to implement the inverse seesaw mechanism to understand the squared mass differences of the active neutrinos and the mixing angles. To generate the zeros of the mass matrices of the fermions,  $Z_2$  symmetry was implemented. In addition, the model contains two Higgs doublets which give mass at three level to the third generation of fermions, and a scalar singlet to break the  $U(1)_X$  gauge symmetry and give mass to the exotic particles. In the present work, we build  $U(1)_{PQ}$  anomala PQ symmetry to replace the  $Z_2$  symmetry, which generates the necessary zeros in the mass matrices to explain the mass hierarchy and solve the CP-problem. With the PQ symmetry breaking scale, the masses of the right neutrinos are generated, which, by seesaw, gives masses to the active neutrinos of the order of the  $eV$ .

## 2 $U(1)_X$ model construction

The naturalness problem associated with the mass hierarchy in the SM requires the use of new physics. In this context the addition of new  $U(1)$  gauge group allows to generate the correct hierarchy without introduce unpleasant fine tuning. This additional  $U(1)_X$  symmetry is non-universal because the charge assigned in all fermion sectors are not the same. The gauge boson and coupling constant are identified as  $Z'_\mu$  and  $g_X$ , respectively. The conditions to generate the cancellation of anomalies under this new group requires the cancellation of the following triangle anomaly equations:

$$[\text{SU}(3)_C]^2 U(1)_X \rightarrow A_C = \sum_Q X_{Q_L} - \sum_Q X_{Q_R}, \quad (1)$$

$$[\text{SU}(2)_L]^2 U(1)_X \rightarrow A_L = \sum_\ell X_{\ell_L} + 3 \sum_Q X_{Q_L}, \quad (2)$$

$$[U(1)_Y]^2 U(1)_X \rightarrow A_{Y^2} = \sum_{\ell,Q} [Y_{\ell_L}^2 X_{\ell_L} + 3Y_{Q_L}^2 X_{Q_L}] - \sum_{\ell,Q} [Y_{\ell_R}^2 X_{\ell_R} + 3Y_{Q_R}^2 X_{Q_R}], \quad (3)$$

$$U(1)_Y [U(1)_X]^2 \rightarrow A_Y = \sum_{\ell,Q} [Y_{\ell_L} X_{\ell_L}^2 + 3Y_{Q_L} X_{Q_L}^2] - \sum_{\ell,Q} [Y_{\ell_R} X_{\ell_R}^2 + 3Y_{Q_R} X_{Q_R}^2], \quad (4)$$

$$[U(1)_X]^3 \rightarrow A_X = \sum_{\ell,Q} [X_{\ell_L}^3 + 3X_{Q_L}^3] - \sum_{\ell,Q} [X_{\ell_R}^3 + 3X_{Q_R}^3], \quad (5)$$

$$[\text{Grav}]^2 U(1)_X \rightarrow A_G = \sum_{\ell,Q} [X_{\ell_L} + 3X_{Q_L}] - \sum_{\ell,Q} [X_{\ell_R} + 3X_{Q_R}]. \quad (6)$$

Thus, the introduction of exotic fermions is necessary in order that the assignment of X charges is non-universal to the different families. The new exotic sector has an up  $T$  and two down  $J^{1,2}$  quarks. In the same way, in the leptonic sector two heavy charged leptons  $E, \mathcal{E}$  and one right neutrino  $\nu_R^{e,\mu,\tau}$  per family are required. The model in ref [54] introduces a discrete  $Z_2$  symmetry in order to obtain the lagrangian with the appropriate ansatz to be able to

Scalar bosons	$X$	$U(1)_{PQ}$
Higgs Doublets		
$\phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^+ \\ \frac{h_1 + v_1 + i\eta_1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$	2/3	$x_1$
$\phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2^+ \\ \frac{h_2 + v_2 + i\eta_2}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$	1/3	$x_2$
Higgs Singlets		
$\chi = \frac{\xi_\chi + v_\chi + i\zeta_\chi}{\sqrt{2}}$	-1/3	$x_\chi$
$\sigma$	-1/3	$x_\sigma$
$S = \frac{\xi_S + v_S + i\zeta_S}{\sqrt{2}}$	-2/3	$x_S$

Table 1: Non-universal  $X$  quantum number and  $U(1)_{PQ}$  for Higgs fields.

Quarks	$X$	$PQ$ -label	Leptons	$X$	$PQ$ -label
SM Fermionic Isospin Doublets					
$q_L^1 = \begin{pmatrix} U^1 \\ D^1 \end{pmatrix}_L$	+1/3	$x_{q_L^1}$	$\ell_L^e = \begin{pmatrix} \nu^e \\ e^e \end{pmatrix}_L$	0	$x_{\ell_L^e}$
$q_L^2 = \begin{pmatrix} U^2 \\ D^2 \end{pmatrix}_L$	0	$x_{q_L^2}$	$\ell_L^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \nu^\mu \\ e^\mu \end{pmatrix}_L$	0	$x_{\ell_L^\mu}$
$q_L^3 = \begin{pmatrix} U^3 \\ D^3 \end{pmatrix}_L$	0	$x_{q_L^3}$	$\ell_L^\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \nu^\tau \\ e^\tau \end{pmatrix}_L$	-1	$x_{\ell_L^\tau}$
SM Fermionic Isospin Singlets					
$U_R^{1,2,3}$	+2/3	$x_{U_R^{1,2,3}}$	$e_R^{e,\tau}$	-4/3	$x_{e_R^{e,\tau}}$
$D_R^{1,2,3}$	-1/3	$x_{D_R^{1,2,3}}$	$e_R^\mu$	-1/3	$x_{e_R^\mu}$
Non-SM Quarks			Non-SM Leptons		
$T_L$	+1/3	$x_{T_L}$	$\nu_R^{e,\mu,\tau}$	1/3	$x_{\nu_R^{e,\mu,\tau}}$
$T_R$	+2/3	$x_{T_R}$	$E_L$	-1	$x_{E_L}$
$J_L^{1,2}$	0	$x_{J_L^{1,2}}$	$E_R$	-2/3	$x_{E_R}$
$J_R^{1,2}$	-1/3	$x_{J_R^{1,2}}$	$\mathcal{E}_L$	-2/3	$x_{\mathcal{E}_L}$
			$\mathcal{E}_R$	-1	$x_{\mathcal{E}_R}$

Table 2: Non-universal  $X$  quantum number and  $PQ$ -labels for SM and non-SM fermions.

generate masses in the fermionic sector.

In the present article we introduce a new global anomalous symmetry  $U(1)_{PQ}$  instead of  $Z_2$ , whose charges are in table (1) for the scalar sector and in table (2) for fermion sector. These charges are taken in such a way that the allowed Yukawa parameters are equal to those generated by discrete symmetry  $Z_2$  in [54], *i.e.* through PQ symmetry is possible to explain the fermion mass hierarchy and solve the strong CP-problem at the same time. Similarly, the scale associated with the SSB of the PQ symmetry allows small masses for active neutrinos through the see-saw mechanism. Table (1) shows the necessary scalar fields for the electroweak symmetry breaking and the  $U(1)_X$  gauge symmetry. Also, a  $\sigma$  scalar field is introduced in order to give masses to the lighter fermions through radiative corrections. An additional scalar singlet  $S$  is implemented to break the  $U(1)_{PQ}$ -symmetry, generating a pseudo-Goldstone boson that turns out to be an invisible-axion [50] which obtains mass via non-perturbative effects.

The model also requires two Higgs doublets where the first VEV generate the top mass at tree-level and the second one gives mass to the bottom quark and the  $\mu, \tau$ -leptons at tree-level. On the other hand, the  $\chi$ -singlet provides mass to the exotic charged particles at  $U(1)_X$ -breaking scale, *i.e.* the order of the  $Z'$ -mass, which has to be  $\sim \mathcal{O}(TeV)$  according to the LHC restrictions [55]. Lagrangian density with  $Z_2$ -discrete symmetry, as in the previous article [54], for the quark sector is:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{L}_Q &= \overline{q}_L^1 (\tilde{\phi}_2 h_2^U)_{12} U_R^2 + \overline{q}_L^1 (\tilde{\phi}_2 h_2^T)_1 T_R + \overline{q}_L^2 (\tilde{\phi}_1 h_1^U)_{22} U_R^2 + \overline{q}_L^2 (\tilde{\phi}_1 h_1^T)_2 T_R \\
&+ \overline{q}_L^3 (\tilde{\phi}_1 h_1^U)_{31} U_R^1 + \overline{q}_L^3 (\tilde{\phi}_1 h_1^U)_{33} U_R^3 + \overline{T}_L (\chi h_\chi^U)_2 U_R^2 + \overline{T}_L (\sigma h_\sigma^U)_{1,3} U_R^{1,3} \\
&+ \overline{T}_L (\chi h_\chi^T) T_R + \overline{q}_L^1 (\phi_1 h_1^J)_{1n} J_R^n + \overline{q}_L^2 (\phi_2 h_2^J)_{2n} J_R^n + \overline{q}_L^3 (\phi_2 h_2^D)_{33} D_R^3 \\
&+ \overline{J}_L^n (\sigma^* h_\sigma^D)_{n(1,2)} D_R^{1,2} + \overline{J}_L^n (\chi^* h_\chi^J)_{nn} J_R^n + h.c., \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

(with  $n = 1, 2$ ). And the lagrangian for the neutral and charged leptonic sector has the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{L}_{Y,E} &= g_{e\mu}^{2e} \overline{\ell}_L^e \phi_2 e_R^\mu + g_{\mu\mu}^{2e} \overline{\ell}_L^\mu \phi_2 e_R^\mu + g_{\tau e}^{2e} \overline{\ell}_L^\tau \phi_2 e_R^e + g_{\tau\tau}^{2e} \overline{\ell}_L^\tau \phi_2 e_R^\tau + g_{Ee}^1 \overline{\ell}_L^e \phi_1 E_R \\
&+ g_{E\mu}^1 \overline{\ell}_L^\mu \phi_1 E_R + h_E^{\sigma e} \overline{E}_L \sigma^* e_R^e + h_E^{\sigma\mu} \overline{\mathcal{E}}_L \sigma e_R^\mu + h_E^{\sigma\tau} \overline{E}_L \sigma^* e_R^\tau + h^{\chi E} \overline{E}_L \chi E_R \\
&+ h^{\chi\mathcal{E}} \overline{\mathcal{E}}_L \chi^* \mathcal{E}_R + h_{2e}^{\nu i} \overline{\ell}_L^e \tilde{\phi}_2 \nu_R^i + h_{2\mu}^{\nu i} \overline{\ell}_L^\mu \tilde{\phi}_2 \nu_R^i + h_{Si}^{\nu j} \overline{\nu}_R^i C S \nu_R^j, \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

with  $i, j = e, \mu, \tau$ . In this model we do not introduce the Majorana fields  $N_R^{e,\mu,\tau}$  and  $\nu_R^{e,\mu,\tau}$  get masses through the VEV of the  $S$  scalar field at PQ scale. These Lagrangians produce the mass matrices with the correct ansatz that allow to generate the mass hierarchy in the set of fermion families. In this work, the same Lagrangians are generated by restrictions imposed by the charges associated with the anomalous PQ symmetry instead of the discrete  $Z_2$ -symmetry. Thus, the implementation of an anomalous PQ symmetry through a DFSZ model [58] generates the ansatz for the mass matrices of fermions, giving an explanation for hierarchy values and mixing angles.

The assignment of the PQ charges generates in the scalar sector several restriction on the

scalar potential given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
V = & \mu_1^2 \phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 + \mu_2^2 \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2 + \mu_\chi^2 \chi^* \chi + \mu_\sigma^2 \sigma^* \sigma + \mu_S S^* S + \lambda_1 \left( \phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 \right)^2 \\
& + \lambda_2 \left( \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2 \right)^2 + \lambda_3 (\chi^* \chi)^2 + \lambda_4 (\sigma^* \sigma)^2 + \lambda_5 \left( \phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 \right) \left( \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2 \right) \\
& + \lambda'_5 \left( \phi_1^\dagger \phi_2 \right) \left( \phi_2^\dagger \phi_1 \right) + \left( \phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 \right) \left[ \lambda_6 (\chi^* \chi) + \lambda'_6 (\sigma^* \sigma) \right] \\
& + \left( \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2 \right) \left[ \lambda_7 (\chi^* \chi) + \lambda'_7 (\sigma^* \sigma) \right] + \lambda_8 (\chi^* \chi) (\sigma^* \sigma) + \lambda_9 (S^* S)^2 \\
& + (S^* S) \left[ \lambda_{10} \left( \phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 \right) + \lambda_{11} \left( \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2 \right) + \lambda_{12} (\chi^* \chi) + \lambda_{13} (\sigma^* \sigma) \right] \\
& + \lambda_{14} \left( \chi S^* \phi_1^\dagger \phi_2 + h.c. \right), \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

where the term proportional to  $\lambda_{14}$  is necessary to avoid trivial PQ charges for the scalar sector. At this point it is worth mentioning that the VEV of  $S$  singlet is the one that generates the mass of the right-handed neutrinos.

After symmetry breaking all Higgs doublets and singlets acquire a VEV which allows to construct a squared mass matrix  $M_R^2$  for CP-even scalar particles in the  $(h_1, h_2, \xi_\chi, \xi_S)$  basis:

$$M_R^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 v_1^2 - \frac{\lambda_{14} v_2 v_\chi v_S}{4 v_1} & \frac{\bar{\lambda}_5}{2} v_1 v_2 + \frac{\lambda_{14}}{4} v_\chi v_S & \frac{\lambda_6}{2} v_1 v_\chi + \frac{\lambda_{14}}{4} v_2 v_S & \frac{\lambda_{14}}{4} v_2 v_\chi + \frac{\lambda_{10}}{2} v_1 v_S \\ * & \lambda_2 v_2^2 - \frac{\lambda_{14} v_1 v_\chi v_S}{4 v_2} & \frac{\lambda_7}{2} v_2 v_\chi + \frac{\lambda_{14}}{4} v_1 v_S & \frac{\lambda_{14}}{4} v_1 v_\chi + \frac{\lambda_{11}}{2} v_2 v_S \\ * & * & \lambda_3 v_\chi^2 - \frac{\lambda_{14} v_1 v_2 v_S}{4 v_\chi} & \frac{\lambda_{14}}{4} v_1 v_2 + \frac{\lambda_{12}}{2} v_\chi v_S \\ * & * & * & \lambda_9 v_S^2 - \frac{\lambda_{14} v_1 v_2 v_\chi}{4 v_S} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where  $\bar{\lambda}_5 = \lambda_5 + \lambda'_5$ . This matrix has Rank equal to 4. In order to obtain the eigenvalues, we use the VEV hierarchy  $v_S \gg v_\chi \gg v$  to calculate them perturbatively. Through the scaling of couplings in the scalar potential in eq. (9), it is possible to make our model technically natural generating an explicit decoupling between SM and the neutral singlet on the PQ-scale. Then, requiring the relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_6 & \equiv a_6 \frac{v_1^2}{v_\chi^2}, & \lambda_7 & \equiv a_7 \frac{v_2^2}{v_\chi^2}, & \lambda_{10} & \equiv a_{10} \frac{v_1^2}{v_S^2}, & \lambda_{11} & \equiv a_{11} \frac{v_2^2}{v_S^2} \\
\lambda_{12} & \equiv a_{12} \frac{v_\chi^2}{v_S^2}, & \lambda_{14} & \equiv a_{14} \frac{v^2}{v_\chi v_S},
\end{aligned}$$

is possible to build a natural hierarchy between the PQ and electroweak scale, without unpleasant fine tuning [56]. The leading-order contribution to the  $M_R^2$ -matrix is giving by:

$$M_R^2 \approx \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 v_1^2 - \frac{a_{14} v^2 v_2}{4 v_1} & \frac{\bar{\lambda}_5}{2} v_1 v_2 + \frac{a_{14}}{4} v^2 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\bar{\lambda}_5}{2} v_1 v_2 + \frac{a_{14}}{4} v^2 & \lambda_2 v_2^2 - \frac{a_{14} v^2 v_1}{4 v_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 v_\chi^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_9 v_S^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

So, at LO, the heaviest eigenvalues are decoupled from the electroweak scale and keeping only  $\mathcal{O}(v^2)$  terms, the eigenvalues of the CP-even sector can be listed as:

$$\{ \lambda_1 v^2, -a_{14} t_\beta v^2, \lambda_3 v_\chi^2, \lambda_9 v_S^2 \}, \tag{12}$$

where the lightest corresponds to the Higgs boson with mass of 125GeV ,  $t_\beta = v_1/v_2$ ,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_3, \lambda_9 > 0$  and  $a_{14} < 0$ .

The pseudo-scalar matrix in the basis  $(\eta_1, \eta_2, \zeta_\chi, \zeta_S)$  is giving by:

$$M_I^2 = -\frac{\lambda_{14}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{v_2 v_\chi v_S}{v_1} & -v_\chi v_S & -v_2 v_S & v_2 v_\chi \\ -v_\chi v_S & \frac{v_1 v_\chi v_S}{v_2} & v_1 v_S & v_1 v_\chi \\ -v_2 v_S & v_1 v_S & \frac{v_1 v_2 v_S}{v_\chi} & -v_1 v_2 \\ v_2 v_\chi & -v_1 v_\chi & -v_1 v_2 & \frac{v_1 v_2 v_\chi}{v_S} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

where Rank of the matrix  $M_I^2$  is equal to 1. Thus, there are three zero modes, which implies the existence of three would-be Goldstone bosons associated with the vector bosons  $Z_\mu$  and  $Z'_\mu$  and the other corresponding to the axion related with the breaking of the  $U(1)_{PQ}$  which obtains mass by non-perturbative QCD effects. The massive state is related with the pseudoscalar boson  $A^0$  with mass:

$$m_{A^0}^2 = -\frac{\lambda_{14}}{2} \left( \frac{2v_\chi v_S}{s_{2\beta}} + \frac{v^2(v_\chi^2 + v_S^2)s_{2\beta}}{2v_\chi v_S} \right) \approx -\frac{a_{14}v^2}{s_{2\beta}}. \quad (14)$$

For the charged scalar sector, we have the rank 1 matrix:

$$M_C^2 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda'_5 v_2^2 - \lambda_{14} \frac{v_2 v_\chi v_S}{v_1} & \lambda'_5 v_1 v_2 + \lambda_{14} v_\chi v_S \\ * & \lambda'_5 v_1^2 - \lambda_{14} \frac{v_1 v_\chi v_S}{v_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

which implies one would-be Goldstone associated to the  $W_\mu^\pm$  boson and one charged Higgs with mass equal to:

$$m_{H^\pm}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \lambda'_5 v^2 - \lambda_{14} \frac{2v_\chi v_S}{s_{2\beta}} \right) \approx \frac{v^2}{2} \lambda'_5 + m_{A^0}^2. \quad (16)$$

## 2.1 Gauge boson masses ( $W_\mu^3, B_\mu, Z'_\mu$ )

The  $U(1)_X$  symmetry generates an additional term in the covariant derivative:

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + igW_\mu^a T_a - ig' \frac{Y}{2} B_\mu + ig_X X Z'_\mu, \quad (17)$$

and, after symmetry breaking, the  $W_\mu^\pm = (W_\mu^1 \mp W_\mu^2)/\sqrt{2}$  acquires masses  $M_W = \frac{gv}{2}$ . The neutral gauge bosons ( $W_\mu^3, B_\mu, Z'_\mu$ ) masses are obtained for the following matrix:

$$M_0^2 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} g^2 v^2 & -gg'v^2 & -\frac{2}{3}gg_X v^2(1+c_\beta^2) \\ * & g'^2 v^2 & \frac{2}{3}g'g_X v^2(1+c_\beta^2) \\ * & * & \frac{4}{9}g_X^2 v_\chi^2 \left[ 1 + (1+3c_\beta^2)\frac{v^2}{v_\chi^2} \right] \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

which have one eigenvalue equal to zero and two eigenvalues corresponding to the masses of the  $Z, Z'$  bosons:

$$M_Z \approx \frac{gv}{2 \cos \theta_W}, \quad M_{Z'} \approx \frac{g_X v_\chi}{3}. \quad (19)$$

The matrix that diagonalized  $M_0$  is given in [54] and has the form:

$$R_0 = \begin{pmatrix} s_W & c_W & 0 \\ c_W c_Z & -s_W c_Z & s_Z \\ -c_W s_Z & s_W s_Z & c_Z \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

where  $t_W = \frac{g'}{g}$  is the Weinberg angle and  $s_Z$  is the mixing angle between  $Z$  and  $Z'$  gauge bosons:

$$s_Z \approx (1 + s_\beta^2) \frac{2g_X c_W}{3g} \left( \frac{m_Z}{m_{Z'}} \right)^2. \quad (21)$$

In order to define the mass eigenstates associated with the Goldstone bosons of the  $Z$  and  $Z'$  gauge fields (19), it is necessary to use the bilinear terms  $Z_\mu \partial^\mu G_Z$  that coming from the kinetic terms of the scalar fields. These contributions are expected to be canceled out with the bilinear terms originated in the gauge fixing. The gauge fixing condition has the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{GF} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu Z^\mu + M_Z G_Z)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu Z'^\mu + M_{Z'} G_{Z'})^2, \quad (22)$$

and, integrating by parts we have the relevant components as:

$$M_Z Z^\mu \partial_\mu G_Z + M_{Z'} Z'^\mu \partial_\mu G_{Z'}. \quad (23)$$

These terms are expected to be canceled with a contribution from covariant derivative. In order to get the Goldstone boson mass eigenstates it is necessary to rotate the expression (17) in function of the mass eigenstates as:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu = \partial_\mu - & \left( \frac{ig}{c_W} c_Z (T_{3L} - s_W^2 Q) + \frac{g_X}{g} c_W s_Z X \right) Z_\mu \\ & - ig_X \left( -\frac{g}{g_X} \frac{s_Z}{c_W} (T_{3L} - s_W^2 Q) + c_Z X \right) Z'_\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Taking the scalar  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \chi$  fields, the contribution of the covariant derivative applied to the neutral components is:

$$\begin{aligned} (D_\mu \phi_1)(D^\mu \phi_1)^\dagger \approx & -v_1 Z_\mu \partial_\mu \eta_1 \left( -\frac{g}{2c_W} c_Z + \frac{2g_X}{3} s_Z \right) \\ & - v_1 Z'_\mu \partial_\mu \eta_1 \left( \frac{g}{2c_W} s_Z + \frac{2g_X}{3} c_Z \right), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (D_\mu \phi_2)(D^\mu \phi_2)^\dagger \approx & -v_2 Z_\mu \partial_\mu \eta_2 \left( -\frac{g}{2c_W} c_Z + \frac{g_X}{3} s_Z \right) \\ & - v_2 Z'_\mu \partial_\mu \eta_2 \left( \frac{g}{2c_W} s_Z + \frac{g_X}{3} c_Z \right), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$(D_\mu \chi)(D^\mu \chi)^\dagger \approx -v_\chi Z_\mu \partial_\mu \zeta_X g_X \left( -\frac{1}{3} \right) s_Z - v_\chi Z'_\mu \partial_\mu \zeta_X g_X \left( -\frac{1}{3} \right) c_Z. \quad (27)$$

Thus, matching the contributions of the covariant derivatives with the bilinear terms from



the gauge fixing and replacing  $M_Z = \frac{gv}{2c_W}$ ,  $M_{Z'_\mu} = \frac{gv_\chi}{3}$ , we obtain for  $Z_\mu$  and  $Z'_\mu$ :

$$G_{Z_\mu} = \frac{2c_W c_Z}{gv} \left[ \frac{g}{2c_W} (v_1 \eta_1 + v_2 \eta_2) \right] + \frac{2c_W s_Z}{gv} \left[ -\frac{g_X}{3} (2v_1 \eta_1 + v_2 \eta_2) \right] + \frac{2c_W}{gv} v_\chi \zeta_\chi \frac{g_X}{3} s_Z, \quad (28)$$

$$G_{Z'_\mu} = \frac{3}{g_\chi v_\chi} \left[ \frac{g}{2c_W} s_Z (-v_1 \eta_1 - v_2 \eta_2) + \frac{g_X c_Z}{3} \left( -2\frac{v_1}{v_\chi} \eta_1 - \frac{v_2}{v_\chi} \eta_2 \right) \right] + \frac{3}{g_\chi v_\chi} \left( v_\chi \zeta_\chi \frac{g_X}{3} c_Z \right). \quad (29)$$

Under the approximation  $s_Z \sim 0$ ,  $c_Z \sim 1$ , it is possible to write:

$$G_{Z_\mu} \approx s_\beta \eta_1 + c_\beta \eta_2 + \frac{M_{Z'}}{M_Z} s_Z \zeta_\chi, \quad (30)$$

$$G_{Z'_\mu} \approx \zeta_\chi - 2\frac{v_1}{v_\chi} \eta_1 - \frac{v_2}{v_\chi} \eta_2. \quad (31)$$

The definition of Goldstone bosons allows us to impose new conditions for PQ-charges in order to decouple the axion.

### 3 PQ charges

Under the  $U(1)_{PQ}$  symmetry, the scalar fields transform as:

$$\phi_1 \rightarrow e^{ix_1 \alpha} \phi_1, \quad \phi_2 \rightarrow e^{ix_2 \alpha} \phi_2, \quad \chi \rightarrow e^{ix_\chi \alpha} \chi, \quad S \rightarrow e^{ix_S \alpha} S. \quad (32)$$

and the current associated with the PQ-transformation is given by:

$$J_\mu^{PQ} = x_S v_S i \partial_\mu \zeta_S + x_\chi v_\chi i \partial_\mu \zeta_\chi + x_2 v_2 \partial_\mu \eta_2 + x_1 v_1 \partial_\mu \eta_1, \quad (33)$$

which must be orthogonal with neutral currents at low energy

$$\langle J_\mu^{PQ} | G_Z \rangle = x_1 v_1 s_\beta \langle \partial_\mu | \eta_1 \rangle + x_2 v_2 c_\beta \langle \partial_\mu | \eta_2 \rangle + \frac{m_{Z'_\mu}}{m_{Z_\mu}} s_Z x_Z v_\chi \langle \partial_\mu \zeta_\chi | \zeta_\chi \rangle = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$\langle J_\mu^{PQ} | G_{Z'} \rangle = -\frac{2v_1}{v_\chi} x_1 v_1 \langle \partial_\mu \eta_1 | \eta_1 \rangle - \frac{v_2}{v_\chi} x_2 v_2 \langle \partial_\mu \eta_2 | \eta_2 \rangle + x_\chi v_\chi \langle \partial_\mu \zeta_\chi | \zeta_\chi \rangle = 0. \quad (35)$$

We can simplify the last expression using  $s_Z$  from [54]:

$$\frac{m_{Z'}}{m_Z} s_Z \approx \left( \frac{2v_1^2 + v_2^2}{v^2} \right) \frac{v}{v_\chi}. \quad (36)$$

Therefore, we can write the following restrictions:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= v_1^2 x_1 + v_2^2 x_2 + (2v_1^2 + v_2^2) x_\chi, \\ 0 &= 2v_1^2 x_1 + v_2^2 x_2 - v_\chi^2 x_\chi. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

In addition, the  $\lambda_{14}$  term in the scalar potential (9) generates the following equation:

$$x_\chi - x_S - x_1 + x_2 = 0. \quad (38)$$

So using eqs. (37), (38) and choosing the normalization condition

$$x_S - x_\chi = 1, \quad (39)$$

it is possible to write the PQ charges of the scalar fields as:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 &= -\frac{v_2^2(2v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_\chi^2)}{(2v_1^2 + v_2^2)^2 + v^2 v_\chi^2}, & x_\chi &= -\frac{v_1^2 v_2^2}{(2v_1^2 + v_2^2)^2 + v^2 v_\chi^2}, \\
x_2 &= 1 + x_1, & x_S &= 1 + x_\chi.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

These charges with the ansatz for the fermion mass matrices coming from the PQ symmetry define the PQ charges of the fermions. Now, the idea is to express all charges of the quark and lepton sectors based on these four values. Through the lagrangian and the scalar potential structure it is possible to write down the following restrictions over the PQ charges for the up sector:

$$\begin{aligned}
-x_{q_L^1} - x_2 + x_{u_R^2} &= 0, & -x_{q_L^1} - x_2 + x_{T_R} &= 0, & (41a-b) \\
-x_{q_L^2} - x_1 + x_{u_R^2} &= 0, & -x_{q_L^2} - x_1 + x_{T_R} &= 0, & (41c-d) \\
-x_{q_L^3} - x_1 + x_{u_R^1} &= 0, & -x_{q_L^3} - x_1 + x_{u_R^3} &= 0, & (41e-f) \\
-x_{T_L} + x_\chi + x_{u_R^2} &= 0, & -x_{T_L} + x_\chi + x_{T_R} &= 0, & (41g-h) \\
-x_{T_L} + x_\sigma + x_{u_R^1} &= 0. & & & (41i)
\end{aligned}$$

From eqs (41a), (41b), (41c) and (41d) it is possible to infer that  $x_{u_R^2} = x_{T_R}$  and from (41e),(41f) we have  $x_{u_R^1} = x_{u_R^3}$ . Leaving the terms  $x_{q_L^1}$  and  $x_{q_L^3}$  free and without loss of generality we can use  $x_{q_L^1} = x_{q_L^3}$ . Solving in function of the free parameters adding the last restrictions and the equation (41f), we can write:

$$x_{q_L^2} = -x_1 + x_2 + x_{q_L^1}, \quad x_{u_R^1} = x_{q_L^1} + x_1, \tag{42}$$

$$x_{u_R^2} = x_{q_L^1} + x_2, \quad x_{T_R} = x_{q_L^1} + x_2, \tag{43}$$

$$x_{T_L} = x_\chi + x_{q_L^1} + x_2, \quad x_\sigma = x_\chi + x_2 - x_1 = x_S. \tag{44}$$

The values of the PQ-charges allow the  $T_L \sigma U_R^1$ -vertex (41i) which is used to induce radiative corrections to 1-loop level and generate the up quark mass.

In the same way, lagrangian (7) enforces the following restrictions for the down-sector:

$$-x_{q_L^1} + x_1 + x_{J_R^a} = 0, \quad -x_{q_L^2} + x_2 + x_{J_R^a} = 0, \tag{45a-b}$$

$$-x_{q_L^3} + x_2 + x_{D_R^3} = 0, \quad -x_{J_L^a} - x_\chi + x_{J_R^b} = 0, \tag{45c-d}$$

$$-x_{J_L^a} - x_\sigma + x_{D_R^1} = 0, \quad -x_{J_L^a} - x_\sigma + x_{D_R^2} = 0. \tag{45e-f}$$

where  $a, b = 1, 2$ . The  $J_L \sigma D_R$  couplings (45e) are necessary give masses of the down and strange quarks at 1-loop level. From eqs. (45a),(45c), (45d) and (45e-f) we obtain, respectively:

$$x_{J_R^1} = x_{J_R^2} = x_{q_L^1} - x_1, \tag{46}$$

$$x_{D_R^3} = x_2 - x_{q_L^3}, \tag{47}$$

$$x_{J_L^1} = x_{J_L^2} = x_{J_R^a} - x_\chi = x_{q_L^1} - x_1 - x_\chi, \tag{48}$$

$$x_{D_R^1} = x_{D_R^2} = -2x_1 + x_2 + 2x_{q_L^1} - x_{q_L^3}, \tag{49}$$

where we use the value of the  $x_\sigma$  charge given in eq (44).

Using the fact that the PQ-current is axial, we can put  $x_{q_L^1} = x_{q_L^3} = 0$  without loss of generality. Therefore, we can obtain the whole set of the values of the PQ-charges in the fermionic quark sector as is shown in table (3).

For the charged leptonic sector, the restrictions followed from the Yukawa lagrangians associated with the PQ-charges are:

$$-x_{\ell_L^e} + x_2 + x_{e_R^\mu} = 0, \quad -x_{\ell_L^\tau} + x_2 + x_{e_R^e} = 0, \quad -x_{\ell_L^e} + x_1 + x_{E_R} = 0, \quad (50a-c)$$

$$-x_{\ell_L^\mu} + x_2 + x_{e_R^\mu} = 0, \quad -x_{\ell_L^\tau} + x_2 + x_{e_R^\tau} = 0, \quad -x_{\ell_L^\mu} + x_1 + x_{E_R} = 0, \quad (50d-f)$$

$$-x_{E_L} - x_\sigma + x_{e_R^e} = 0, \quad -x_{\mathcal{E}_L} + x_\sigma + x_{e_R^\mu} = 0, \quad -x_{E_L} + x_\chi + x_{E_R} = 0, \quad (50g-i)$$

$$-x_{E_L} - x_\sigma + x_{e_R^\tau} = 0, \quad -x_{\mathcal{E}_L} - x_\chi + x_{\mathcal{E}_R} = 0. \quad (50j-k)$$

In this case we take  $x_{\ell_L^\mu}, x_{\ell_L^e}$  as free parameters. Thus, the other additional charges will be expressed in function of these ones. From eqs. (50a) and (50d) it is possible to see that  $x_{\ell_L^\mu} = x_{\ell_L^e}$  and from eqs (50b) and (50e) we obtain  $x_{e_R^e} = x_{e_R^\tau}$ . In table (3), we summarize the PQ charges for charged leptons.

In order to give masses to neutrinos, the restrictions over the PQ-charges are:

$$-x_{\ell_L^e} - x_2 + x_{\nu_R^e} = 0, \quad -x_{\ell_L^\mu} - x_2 + x_{\nu_R^e} = 0, \quad (51a-b)$$

$$-x_{\ell_L^e} - x_2 + x_{\nu_R^\mu} = 0, \quad -x_{\ell_L^\mu} - x_2 + x_{\nu_R^\mu} = 0, \quad (51c-d)$$

$$-x_{\ell_L^e} - x_2 + x_{\nu_R^\tau} = 0, \quad -x_{\ell_L^\mu} - x_2 + x_{\nu_R^\tau} = 0, \quad (51e-f)$$

$$x_{\nu_R^i} + x_S + x_{\nu_R^j} = 0. \quad (51g)$$

From eqs. (51a), (51c) and (51d) we conclude easily that  $x_{\nu_R^e} = x_{\nu_R^\mu} = x_{\nu_R^\tau}$ . The eqs. (51b), (51d) and (51f) are equivalents because  $x_{\ell_L^e} = x_{\ell_L^\mu}$ . Therefore:

$$x_{\nu_R^i} = x_2 + x_{\ell_L^\mu}, \quad i = e, \mu, \tau, \quad (52)$$

$$x_{\nu_R^i} = -\frac{x_S}{2}, \quad (53)$$

$$x_{\ell_L^\mu} = x_{\ell_L^e} = x_{\nu_R^i} - x_2 = -\frac{x_S}{2} - x_2. \quad (54)$$

Finally, a set of PQ-charges that reproduce the same Lagrangian densities given in [54] due to the  $Z_2$ -symmetry are obtained as shown in Table 3.

Under this conditions, it is possible to build mass matrices for the up and down sector,

<i>PQ</i> -label	<i>PQ</i> -charge	<i>PQ</i> -label	<i>PQ</i> -charge		
SM Fermionic Isospin Doublets					
$x_{q_L^1}$	0	$x_{\ell_L^e}$	$-\frac{x_S}{2} - x_2$		
$x_{q_L^2}$	1	$x_{\ell_L^\mu}$	$-\frac{x_S}{2} - x_2$		
$x_{q_L^3}$	0	$x_{\ell_L^\tau}$	$-x_1 + \frac{x_S}{2} + x_\chi$		
SM Fermionic Isospin Singlets					
$x_{U_R^{1,3}}$	$x_1$	$x_{e_R^{e,\tau}}$	$-x_1 - x_2 + x_\chi + \frac{x_S}{2}$		
$x_{U_R^2}$	$x_2$				
$x_{D_R^{1,2}}$	$1 - x_1$			$x_{e_R^\mu}$	$-\frac{x_S}{2} - 2x_2$
$x_{D_R^3}$	$-x_2$				
Non-SM Quarks		Non-SM Leptons			
$x_{T_L}$	$x_\chi + x_2$	$x_{\nu_R^{e,\mu,\tau}}$	$-\frac{x_S}{2}$		
			$-x_1 - x_2 + x_\chi - \frac{x_S}{2}$		
$x_{T_R}$	$x_2$	$x_{E_L}$	$-x_1 - x_2 - \frac{x_S}{2}$		
			$-2x_2 + \frac{x_S}{2}$		
$x_{J_L^{1,2}}$	$-x_1 - x_\chi$	$x_{E_L}$	$-2x_2 + \frac{x_S}{2}$		
			$-2x_2 + x_\chi + \frac{x_S}{2}$		
$x_{J_R^{1,2}}$	$-x_1$	$x_{E_R}$	$-2x_2 + x_\chi + \frac{x_S}{2}$		

Table 3: Fermionic *PQ*-charge assignment according to the proposed lagrangian densities

which are the same matrices given in [54] with  $Z_2$ . Then:

$$M_U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (h_2^U)_{12}v_2 & 0 & | & (h_2^T)_1v_2 \\ 0 & (h_1^U)_{22}v_1 & 0 & | & (h_1^T)_2v_1 \\ (h_1^U)_{31}v_1 & 0 & (h_1^U)_{33}v_1 & | & 0 \\ - & - & - & - & - \\ 0 & (h_\chi^U)_2v_\chi & 0 & | & h_\chi^T v_\chi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (55)$$

$$M_D = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & | & (h_1^J)_{11}v_1 & (h_1^J)_{12}v_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & (h_2^J)_{21}v_2 & (h_2^J)_{22}v_2 \\ 0 & 0 & (h_2^D)_{33}v_2 & | & 0 & 0 \\ - & - & - & - & - & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & (h_\chi^J)_{11}v_\chi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 & (h_\chi^J)_{22}v_\chi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (56)$$

After the diagonalization of the  $M_U^2, M_D^2$  matrices, the eigenvalues for the up-sector are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} m_u^2 &= 0, & m_c^2 &\approx \frac{1}{2}v_1^2 \frac{(h_1^U)_2 h_\chi^T - (h_2^T)_1 (h_\chi^U)_2}{((h_\chi^U)_2)^2 + (h_\chi^T)^2}, \\ m_t^2 &\approx \frac{1}{2}v_1^2 [(h_1^U)_{31}]^2 + ((h_1^U)_{33})^2, & m_T^2 &\approx \frac{1}{2}v_\chi^2 [((h_\chi^U)_2)^2 + (h_\chi^T)^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

For the down sector, the eigenvalues can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} m_d &= 0, & m_s &= 0, \\ m_b &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(h_2^D)_{33}v_2, & m_J^i &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(h_\chi^J)_{ii}v_\chi. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$




Figure 1: Mass 1-loop corrections for the (a) up, (b) down sector

The  $u, d$  and  $s$  quarks turn out to be massless, so it is necessary to introduce radiative corrections to the model. These corrections are shown in the Figure (1) in the diagrams (a) for the up sector, which adds small contributions to the  $M_U$  matrix through the term:

$$\Sigma_{11}^{(u)} = \frac{-1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\lambda'_7 \langle \sigma \rangle v_2 (h_\sigma^U)_1 (h_2^T)_1}{\sqrt{2} M_T} C_0 \left( \frac{M_2}{M_T}, \frac{M_\sigma}{M_T} \right), \quad (59)$$

where:

$$C_0(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{(1-x_1^2)(1-x_2^2)(x_1^2-x_2^2)} \left[ x_1^2 x_2^2 \ln \left( \frac{x_1^2}{x_2^2} \right) - x_1^2 \ln x_1^2 + x_2^2 \ln x_2^2 \right], \quad (60)$$

and  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  is the small VEV of the  $\sigma$  scalar field. In this case  $m_u \approx \Sigma_{11}^{(u)}$ .

For the down sector, taking into account the coupling with the  $\sigma$  scalar field showed in diagram (b), the self energies generated at one-loop level for down and strange quarks have the form:

$$\Sigma_{1a}^{(d)} = \frac{-1}{16\pi^2} \sum_{n=1,2} \frac{\lambda'_6 \langle \sigma \rangle v_1 (h_1^J)_{1n} (h_\sigma^D)_{na}}{\sqrt{2} M_{J^n}} C_0 \left( \frac{M_1}{M_{J^n}}, \frac{M_\sigma}{M_{J^n}} \right), \quad (61)$$

$$\Sigma_{2a}^{(d)} = \frac{-1}{16\pi^2} \sum_{n=1,2} \frac{\lambda'_7 \langle \sigma \rangle v_2 (h_2^J)_{2n} (h_\sigma^D)_{na}}{\sqrt{2} M_{J^n}} C_0 \left( \frac{M_2}{M_{J^n}}, \frac{M_\sigma}{M_{J^n}} \right), \quad (62)$$

with  $a = 1, 2$ .

In relation to the charged leptonic sector, the PQ charges configuration allow us to build the extended mass matrix for the charged leptons as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_{LC} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g_{e\mu}^{2e} v_2 & 0 & | & g_{Ee}^1 v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{\mu\mu}^{2e} v_2 & 0 & | & g_{E\mu}^1 v_1 & 0 \\ g_{\tau e}^{2e} v_2 & 0 & g_{\tau\tau}^{2e} v_2 & | & 0 & 0 \\ - & - & - & | & - & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & h^{\chi E} v_\chi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 & h^{\chi \mathcal{E}} v_\chi \end{pmatrix}. \quad (63)$$

In the same way as in the model [54], the electron does not acquire mass at tree level, so is necessary to implement radiative correction in order to produce finite mass, that corresponds to the  $\Sigma_{11}$  entries as shown in the Figure (2). Taking in account the interactions with the




Figure 2: Mass 1-loop corrections for the electron

$\sigma$ -singlet, the associated mass eigenvalues are:

$$\begin{aligned}
m_e &\approx \Sigma_{11}, & m_\mu &= \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ (g_{e\mu}^{2e})^2 + (g_{\mu\mu}^{2e})^2 \right]^{1/2}, \\
m_\tau &= \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ (g_{\tau e}^{2e})^2 + (g_{\tau\tau}^{2e})^2 \right]^{1/2}, & m_E &= (h^{\chi E}) \frac{v_\chi}{\sqrt{2}}, \\
m_\mathcal{E} &= (h^{\chi \mathcal{E}}) \frac{v_\chi}{\sqrt{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where the self-energy is given by:

$$\Sigma_{11}^{(e)} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\lambda'_6 \langle\sigma\rangle v_1 (g_{Ee}^1) (h_E^{\sigma e})}{M_E} C_0 \left( \frac{M_1}{M_E}, \frac{M_\sigma}{M_E} \right). \tag{65}$$

For the neutrino sector, the mass lagrangian at  $N = (\nu_L, \nu_R^C)^T$  base is given by:

$$-\mathcal{L} = h_{2e}^{\nu i} \bar{\ell}_L^e \tilde{\phi}_2 \nu_R^i + h_{2\mu}^{\nu i} \bar{\ell}_L^\mu \tilde{\phi}_2 \nu_R^i + h_S^{ij} \bar{\nu}_R^{Ci} S \nu_R^j, \tag{66}$$

where  $i, j = e, \mu, \tau$ . After the SSB, the mass lagrangian has the structure:

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = h_{2e}^{\nu i} \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_L^e \nu_R^i + h_{2\mu}^{\nu i} \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_L^\mu \nu_R^i + h_S^{ij} \frac{v_S}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\nu}_L^{Ci} \nu_R^j. \tag{67}$$

Without loss of generality, we consider  $h_S^{ij}$  a diagonal matrix, where:

$$\frac{h_S^{ij} v_S}{\sqrt{2}} = M^i \delta_i^j, \tag{68}$$

is the right-handed neutrino mass. Thus, in the  $(\nu_L, \nu_R^C)$  basis the mass matrix can be written down as:

$$M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D^T \\ m_D & M_M \end{pmatrix}, \tag{69}$$

where

$$m_D = \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} h_{2e}^{\nu e} & h_{2e}^{\nu\mu} & h_{2e}^{\nu\tau} \\ h_{2\mu}^{\nu e} & h_{2\mu}^{\nu\mu} & h_{2\mu}^{\nu\tau} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{70}$$

$$M_M = \frac{v_S}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{71}$$

Performing the see-saw mechanism, the active neutrino mass matrix is given by:

$$m_{light} \approx -m_D^T M_M^{-1} m_D \approx \frac{v_2^2}{\sqrt{2}h_1 v_S} \begin{pmatrix} (h_{2e}^{\nu e})^2 + (h_{2\mu}^{\nu e})^2 \rho & * & * \\ h_{2e}^{\nu e} h_{2e}^{\nu \mu} + h_{2\mu}^{\nu e} h_{2\mu}^{\nu \mu} \rho & (h_{2e}^{\nu \mu})^2 + (h_{2\mu}^{\nu \mu})^2 \rho & * \\ h_{2e}^{\nu e} h_{2e}^{\nu \tau} + h_{2\mu}^{\nu e} h_{2\mu}^{\nu \tau} \rho & h_{2e}^{\nu \mu} + h_{2e}^{\nu \tau} \rho & (h_{2e}^{\nu \tau})^2 + (h_{2\mu}^{\nu \tau})^2 + (h_{2\mu}^{\nu \mu})^2 \rho \end{pmatrix}. \quad (72)$$

In the reference [54], light neutrinos obtained mass through inverse see-saw mechanism and in this case through see-saw type I mechanism. It is possible to identify the parameters of the two models (inverse with type I) in the following way:

$$\frac{\mu_N v_2^2}{h_{N\chi_1} v_\chi^2} \rightarrow \frac{v_2^2}{\sqrt{2}h_1 v_S} \approx \frac{m_\tau^2}{M_1}. \quad (73)$$

Assuming that  $v_2 \approx m_\tau$  and  $v_S \approx 10^{10} GeV$ , then light neutrinos will have a mass of the order of  $eV$  and the squared mass differences will be fixed by the  $h_{2e(\mu)}^{\nu i}$  Yukawa couplings. We define the  $\rho$ -parameter as:

$$\rho = \frac{M_1}{M_2} = \frac{h_1}{h_2}, \quad (74)$$

where we assume the hierarchycal behaviour  $M_1 < M_2 < M_3$ , so the light-neutrino masses does not depend on the  $M_3$  component. Taking into account this labelling, the neutrino oscillation treatment is reduced to that already studied in [54]. Thus, in order to make the model consistent with the neutrino oscillation data, the values of the Yukawa parameters are restricted to the same region. In particular for  $NO$ , we have:

$$\rho = 0.5, \quad (75)$$

and, for  $IO$ :

$$\rho = 0.625. \quad (76)$$

The tables (4) and (5) in [54] show the  $NO$  and  $IO$  respectively, where is obtained assuming that  $|h_2| \approx 0.1$  and the right-handed neutrinos obey the mass hierarchy:

$$M_1 \approx 10^{10} GeV = 0.5M_2. \quad (77)$$

Assuming that the Yukawa parameters are complex, the model provides a mechanism to study Barionic Asymmetry of the Universe (BAU) which can be obtained through leptonic asymmetry generated by right-handed neutrino. This right-handed neutrino remnant is coupled to sphalerons in the EW phase, thus producing baryogenesis. Defining the decay factor:

$$k_1 = \frac{\Gamma_{D1}}{H|_{T=M_1}} = \frac{v_2^2 (h_{2e}^\dagger h_{2e})_{11}}{m_* M_1}, \quad (78)$$

which satisfies the condition  $k_1 < 1$  to maintain the leptonic asymmetry and avoid washout. Assuming that  $m_* \approx 1 \times 10^{-3} eV$ ,  $v_2 \approx m_\tau$  and  $|h_{2e}| \approx 0.1$ , then the mass for the lightest right-handed neutrino is:

$$M_1 > m_\tau (h_{2e}^\dagger h_{2e})_{11} \times 10^3 \times 10^9 GeV \approx 10^{10} GeV. \quad (79)$$

which is the appropriate value to explain both leptogenesis and see-saw type I mechanism.

## 4 Conclusions

The SM leaves several issues unexplained *e.g.* fermions mass hierarchy, the strong  $CP$ -problem among others. Using a  $U(1)_X$  gauge extension, anomaly free which differentiates among families is introduced. In [54] a  $Z_2$  discrete symmetry was used in order to generate the accurate ansatz in mass matrices to explain fermion mass hierarchy without use fine tuning in the Yukawa sector. Having the Yukawa Lagrangian, the  $Z_2$  symmetry is exchanged for an anomalous PQ symmetry, for which the charges are fixed by using the scalar potential and the Yukawa Lagrangian. In order to make the axion invisible, it is decoupled at low energies from the  $Z$  and  $Z'$  Goldstone bosons. The anomalous PQ-symmetry found allows to solve the strong  $CP$ -problem.

The model requires two Higgs doublets, one of them gives masses at tree-level to the top and charm quarks where charm quark adjusts its mass through a see-saw mechanism with the exotic  $T$  quark and its mass becomes small with respect to the top, due to the cancellation of Yukawas, as is observed in eq. (57). The second Higgs doublet gives mass to the bottom quark and the charged leptons  $\mu$  and  $\tau$  at tree-level.

Light fermions are massless and these are generated by radiative corrections at one-loop level. For this, a  $\sigma$ -scalar field is required that mediates the transition from the ordinary to the exotic fermion that goes inside the loop.

In the case of active neutrinos, we changed the original inverse see-saw mechanism of the model by a see-saw type I mechanism, eliminating the Majorana fields  $N_R^{e,\mu,\tau}$ . The neutrino  $\nu_R^{e,\mu,\tau}$  acquires mass through VEV of the scalar field  $S$  at the PQ scale *i.e.*  $\langle S \rangle \sim 10^{10}\text{GeV}$ . The mass structure of active neutrinos is the same if we identify:

$$\frac{\mu_N}{h_{N\chi} v_\chi^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} h_1 v_S}. \quad (80)$$

Therefore, the regions allowed for the Yukawa couplings  $h_{2e}^{\nu j}, h_{2\mu}^{\nu j}$  in the NO and IO orderings is the same as that obtained in Tables (4) and (5) of ref. [54], respectively. The right-handed neutrino  $\nu_R^1$  with mass  $M_1 \sim 10^{10}\text{GeV}$  may be a candidate to explain the  $CP$ -barionic violation through leptogenesis.

## References

- [1] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 716, 1 (2012).
- [2] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 716, 30 (2012).
- [3] S. L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. 22, 579 (1961); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1264 (1967); A. Salam, in Elementary Particle Theory: Relativistic Groups and Analyticity (Nobel Symposium No. 8), edited by N. Svartholm (Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1968), p. 367.
- [4] Gunion, J. F., Haber, H. E., Kane, G., Dawson, S. (1990). The Higgs hunters guide (Vol. 2, pp. 99-011). New York: Addison-Wesley.
- [5] Branco, G. C., Ferreira, P. M., Lavoura, L., Rebelo, M. N., Sher, M., Silva, J. P. (2012). Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models. Physics reports, 516(1), 1-102.
- [6] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, J. High Energy Phys. 11, 042 (2000).



- [7] X.-G. He, T. Li, X.-Q. Li, J. Tandean, and H.-C. Tsai, Phys. Rev. D79, 023521 (2009),0811.0658; B. Grzadkowski and P. Osland, Phys. Rev. D82, 125026 (2010), 0910.4068; H. E. Logan, Phys. Rev. D83, 035022 (2011), 1010.4214; M. S. Boucenna and S. Profumo, Phys. Rev. D84, 055011 (2011), 1106.3368; X.-G. He, B. Ren, and J. Tandean, Phys. Rev. D85, 093019 (2012), 1112.6364; Y. Bai, V. Barger, L. L. Everett, and G. Shaughnessy, Phys. Rev. D88, 015008 (2013), 1212.5604; X.-G. He and J. Tandean, Phys. Rev. D88, 013020 (2013), 1304.6058; Y. Cai and T. Li, Phys. Rev. D88, 115004 (2013), 1308.5346; L. Wang and X.-F. Han, Phys. Lett. B739, 416 (2014), 1406.3598; A. Drozd, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion, and Y. Jiang, JHEP 11, 105 (2014), 1408.2106; R. Campbell, S. Godfrey, H. E. Logan, A. D. Peterson, and A. Poulin, Phys. Rev. D92, 055031 (2015), 1505.01793; S. von Buddenbrock et al., (2016), 1606.01674; Marcela Carena, Howard E. Haber, Ian Low, Nausheen R. Shah, Carlos E. M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D 93, 035013 (2016).
- [8] R. Martinez, J. Nisperuza, F. Ochoa, and J. P. Rubio. Phys. Rev. D 89, 056008.
- [9] P. Langacker and M. Plumacher, Phys. Rev. D62, 013006 (2000); K. Leroux and D. London, Phys. Lett. B526, 97 (2002); S. Baek, J. H. Jeon, and C. S. Kim, Phys. Lett. B641, 183 (2006).
- [10] E. Ma, Phys. Lett. B380, 286 (1996); V. Barger, P. Langacker and H.-S. Lee, Phys. Rev. D67, 075009 (2003), S.F. King., S. Moretti and R. Nevzorov, Phys. Rev. D73, 035009 (2006). T. Hur, H.-S. Lee, and S. Nasri, Phys. Rev. D77, 015008 (2008); G. Belanger, A. Pukhov, and G. Servant, JCAP 0801, 009 (2008).
- [11] T. Hur, H.-S. Lee, and S. Nasri, Phys. Rev. D77, 015008 (2008); G. Belanger, A. Pukhov, and G. Servant, JCAP 0801, 009 (2008).
- [12] Lorenzo Basso, Stefano Moretti, Giovanni Marco Pruna JHEP 1108, 122 (2011); Elena Acomando, Claudio Coriano, Luigi Delle Rose, Juri Fiaschi, Carlo Marzo and Stefano Moretti, JHEP 1607, 086 (2016); Elena Accomando, Luigi Delle Rose, Stefano Moretti, Emmanuel Olaiya, Claire H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, JHEP 1704, 081 (2017); P. Langacker, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 1199 (2009) [hep-ph/0801.1345] [40] A. Leike, Phys. Rep. 317, 143 (1999); J. Erler, P. Langacker, and T. J. Li, Phys. Rev. D 66, 015002 (2002); S. Hesselbach, F. Franke, and H. Fraas, Eur. Phys. J. C 23, 149 (2002); Martinez, R., Nisperuza, J., Ochoa, F., and Rubio, J. P. Scalar dark matter with CERN-LEP data and Z 0 search at the LHC in an U(1)0 model. Physical Review D 90.9 (2014): 095004; Martinez, R., Nisperuza, J., Ochoa, F., Rubio, J. P., and Sierra, C. F. Phys. Rev. D92.3 (2015): 035016.
- [13] A. Leike, Phys. Rep. 317, 143 (1999); J. Erler, P. Langacker, and T. J. Li, Phys. Rev. D 66, 015002 (2002); S. Hesselbach, F. Franke, and H. Fraas, Eur. Phys. J. C 23, 149 (2002).
- [14] Martinez, R., Nisperuza, J., Ochoa, F., and Rubio, J. P. Scalar dark matter with CERN-LEP data and Z 0 search at the LHC in an U(1)0 model. Physical Review D 90.9 (2014): 095004.
- [15] Martinez, R., Nisperuza, J., Ochoa, F., Rubio, J. P., and Sierra, C. F. Phys. Rev. D92.3 (2015): 035016.
- [16] Martinez, R., Ochoa, F., JHEP 05, 113 (2016).
- [17] P. Langacker, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 1199 (2009) [hep-ph/0801.1345]

- [18] H. Fritzsch, Phys. Lett. B70, 436 (1977); B73, 317 (1978); Nucl. Phys. B155, 189 (1979); T. P. Cheng and M. Sher, Phys. Rev. D 35, 3484 (1987); D. Du and Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D 48, 2349 (1993); H. Fritzsch and Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. 555, 63 (2003); J. L. Diaz-Cruz, R. Noriega-Papaqui, and A. Rosado, Phys. Rev. D 71, 015014 (2005); K. Matsuda and H. Nishiura, Phys. Rev. D 74, 033014 (2006); A. Carcamo, R. Martinez, and J.-A. Rodriguez, Eur. Phys. J. C 50, 935 (2007).
- [19] H. Fritzsch, Phys. Lett. B73, 317 (1978).
- [20] M. Fukugita, M. Tanimoto, and T. Yanagida, Prog. Theor. Phys. 89, 263 (1993).
- [21] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B174, 45 (1986).
- [22] Davis Jr, R., Harmer, D. S., Hoffman, K. C. Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 1205 (1968).
- [23] J. N. Abdurashitov et al., Phys. Rev. C 80, 015807 (2009).
- [24] F. Kaether, W. Hampel, G. Heusser, J. Kiko, and T. Kirsten, Phys. Lett. B 685, 47 (2010); B. T. Cleveland, T. Daily, R. Davis Jr., J. R. Distel, K. Lande, C. K. Lee, P. S. Wildenhain, and J. Ullman Astrophys. J. 496, 505 (1998).
- [25] B. Aharmim et al., Phys. Rev. C 88, 025501 (2013).
- [26] G. Bellini et al. Borexino Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 82, 033006 (2010); Nature (London) 512, 383 (2014).
- [27] J. Hosaka et al., Phys. Rev. D 73, 112001 (2006); J. Hosaka et al., Phys. Rev. D 74, 032002 (2006); J. P. Cravens and K. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. D 78, 032002 (2008).
- [28] M. G. Aartsen et al., Phys. Rev. D 91, 072004 (2015).
- [29] A. Gando et al., Phys. Rev. D 83, 052002 (2011).
- [30] M. Apollonio et al. Phys. Lett. B 466, 415 (1999).
- [31] A. Piepke et al. (Palo Verde Collaboration), Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 48, 113 (2002).
- [32] F. P. An et al. (Daya Bay Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 111802 (2015).
- [33] S.-B. Kim et al. (RENO Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. B908, 94 (2016).
- [34] J. Kopp et al. J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2013) 50.
- [35] P. Adamson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 251801 (2013); P. Adamson et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 110,171801 (2013).
- [36] K. Abe et al. (T2K Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 061802 (2014); K. Iwamoto (T2K Collaboration), ICHEP2016, Chicago (2016).
- [37] J. Bian (NO $\nu$ A Collaboration), ICHEP2016, Chicago (2016); P. Adamson et al. (NO $\nu$ A Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 151806 (2016).
- [38] Gonzalez-Garcia, M. C., Michele Maltoni, and Thomas Schwetz. Nucl. Phys. B908 (2016): 199- 217.
- [39] Fritzsch, Harald, and Shun Zhou. Phys. Lett. B718.4: 1457-1464 (2013).

- [40] Diaz, Carlos E., Mantilla, S. F., Martinez, R. Radiative neutrino masses with forbidden seesaw mechanism in an abelian extension of the Standard Model. arXiv:1712.05433 [hep-ph].
- [41] J. Schechter and J. Valle, “Neutrino Masses in SU(2) x U(1) Theories”, Phys.Rev. D22 (1980) 2227. T. Cheng and L.-F. Li, “Neutrino Masses, Mixings and Oscillations in SU(2) x U(1) Models of Electroweak Interactions”, Phys.Rev. D22 (1980) 2860. R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, “Neutrino Masses and Mixings in Gauge Models with Spontaneous Parity Violation”, Phys.Rev. D23 (1981) 165. A. Zee, “A Theory of Lepton Number Violation, Neutrino Majorana Mass, and Oscillation”, Phys.Lett. B93 (1980) 389. E. Ma, “Pathways to naturally small neutrino masses”, Phys.Rev.Lett. 81 (1998) 1171–1174, arXiv:hep-ph/9805219 [hep-ph].
- [42] [www.nu-fit.org](http://www.nu-fit.org).
- [43] I. Masina and M. Quiros, On the Veltman Condition, the Hierarchy Problem and High-Scale Supersymmetry, Phys. Rev. D88, 093003 (2013).
- [44] S. Weinberg, A New Light Boson?, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 223 (1978).
- [45] F. Wilczek, Problem of Strong P and T Invariance in the Presence of Instantons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 279 (1978).
- [46] S. Weinberg, The U(1) problem, Phys. Rev. D 11, 3583 (1975); G. t Hooft, Computation of the quantum effects due to a four-dimensional pseudoparticle, Phys. Rev. D 14, 3432 (1976); C. G. Callan Jr., R. F. Dashen, and D. J. Gross, The structure of the gauge theory vacuum, Phys. Lett. 63B, 334 (1976); G. t Hooft, How instantons solve the U(1) problem, Phys. Rep. 142, 357 (1986).
- [47] R. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, CP Conservation in the Presence of Pseudoparticles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1440 (1977); R. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Constraints imposed by CP conservation in the presence of instantons, Phys. Rev. D 16, 1791 (1977).
- [48] C. A. Baker, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 131801 (2006)
- [49] V. Baluni, Phys. Rev.D19, 2227 (1969); R. Crewther, P. di Vecchia, G. Veneziano and E. Witten, Phys. Lett.88B, 123(1979);91B, 487 (E) (1980)
- [50] J. E. Kim, Weak Interaction Singlet and Strong CP invariance, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 103 (1979); M. A. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, Can confinement ensure natural CP invariance of strong interactions?, Nucl. Phys. B166, 493 (1980). Stefano Bertolini et al. Physical Review D 91, 055014 (2015) 055014-16; J. E. Kim and G. Carosi, Axions and the strong CP problem, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 557 (2010).
- [51] P. Sikivie, Axion Cosmology, Lect.Notes Phys.741:19-50,2008.
- [52] A. Zhitnitsky, On possible suppression of the axion hadron interactions (in Russian), Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 31, 260 (1980); M. Dine, W. Fischler, and M. Srednicki, A simple solution to the strong CP problem with a harmless axion, Phys. Lett. 104B, 199 (1981); R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, The superlight axion and neutrino masses, Z. Phys. C 17, 53 (1983); Q. Shafi and F. Stecker, Implications of a Class of Grand Unified Theories for Large Scale Structure in the Universe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 1292 (1984); P. Langacker, R. Peccei, and T. Yanagida, Invisible axions and light neutrinos: Are they connected?, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 01, 541 (1986); M. Shin, Light Neutrino Masses and Strong CP Problem, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2515 (1987).

- [53] X. He and R. Volkas, Models featuring spontaneous CP violation: An invisible axion and light neutrino masses, *Phys. Lett. B* 208, 261 (1988); C. Geng and J. N. Ng, Flavor connections and neutrino mass hierarchy invariant invisible axion models without domain wall problem, *Phys. Rev. D* 39, 1449 (1989); Z. Berezhiani and M. Y. Khlopov, Cosmology of spontaneously broken gauge family symmetry with axion solution of strong CP-problem, *Z. Phys. C* 49, 73 (1991); S. Bertolini and A. Santamaria, The strong CP problem and the solar neutrino puzzle: Are they related?, *Nucl. Phys. B* 357, 222 (1991); H. Arason, P. Ramond, and B. Wright, A standard model extension with neutrino masses and an invisible axion, *Phys. Rev. D* 43, 2337 (1991); E. Ma, Making neutrinos massive with an axion in supersymmetry, *Phys. Lett. B* 514, 330 (2001); A. G. Dias and V. Pleitez, The invisible axion and neutrino masses, *Phys. Rev. D* 73, 017701 (2006); E. Ma, Supersymmetric axion-neutrino model with a Higgs hybrid, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 27, 1250059 (2012); C.-S. Chen and L.-H. Tsai, Peccei-Quinn symmetry as the origin of Dirac neutrino masses, *Phys. Rev. D* 88, 055015 (2013); W.-I. Park, PQ-symmetry for a small Dirac neutrino mass, dark radiation and cosmic neutrinos, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* 06 (2014) 049.
- [54] S. F. Mantilla, R. Martinez and F. Ochoa. Neutrino and CP-even Higgs boson masses in a nonuniversal  $U(1)'$  extension. *Phys. Rev. D* 95, 095037 (2017).
- [55] N. T. Hod, on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration, *EPJ Web Conf.* 49,15004 (2013) [hep-ex/1303.4287]
- [56] S. Bertolini and A. Santamaria, The strong CP problem and the solar neutrino puzzle: Are they related?, *Nucl. Phys. B* 357, 222 (1991)
- [57] Stefano Bertolini, Luca Di Luzio, Helena Kolečová, and Michal Malinský *Phys. Rev. D* 91, 055014 – Published 13 March 2015
- [58] Dine, M., Fischler, W., & Srednicki, M. (1981). A simple solution to the strong CP problem with a harmless axion. *Physics Letters B*, 104(3), 199–202.