

\mathbb{A}^1 -Local Degree via Stacks

Andrew Kobin and Libby Taylor

March 10, 2020

Abstract

We extend results of Kass–Wickelgren to define an Euler class for a non-orientable (or non-relatively orientable) vector bundle on a smooth scheme, valued in the Grothendieck–Witt group of the ground field. We use a root stack construction to produce this Euler class and discuss its relation to other versions of an Euler class in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. This allows one to apply Kass–Wickelgren’s technique for arithmetic enrichments of enumerative geometry to a larger class of problems; as an example, we use our construction to give an arithmetic count of the number of lines meeting 6 planes in \mathbb{P}^4 .

1 Introduction

Many classical enumerative problems in algebraic geometry were originally only solved over \mathbb{C} . In [11], Kass and Wickelgren develop a toolkit for solving enumerative geometry problems over arbitrary fields (possibly of characteristic not 2). Their program, which has expanded to several other articles ([12], [15], [22], [14], [16], [10]) and is a source of ongoing research, gives arithmetic enrichments of many enumerative problems which can be solved by counting zeroes of a suitable vector bundle. These authors do this by defining an enriched Euler class of that vector bundle, provided that the vector bundle satisfies certain hypotheses. Under these hypotheses, their Euler class is valued in the Grothendieck–Witt group of the base field and captures arithmetic information about the counting problem in question that is invisible in the classical version of the problem. In [11], they introduce this Euler class and use it to produce an arithmetic count of the lines on a smooth cubic surface. Since then, there has been a flurry of results in this area, enriching various enumerative problems using this machinery: Srinivasan–Wickelgren [22] provide an enriched count of the lines meeting 4 general lines in \mathbb{P}^3 , and more generally, the lines meeting $2n - 2$ general hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^n ; Larson–Vogt [14] construct an enriched count of the bitangents to a plane quartic; and McKean [16] gives an enrichment of Bézout’s theorem.

The authors of these articles require the following hypotheses on a vector bundle in order for their Euler class to be well-defined and lie in $GW(k)$. Suppose E is a vector bundle on a smooth scheme X of dimension r . Then it is required that (1) the rank of E is r , and (2) E is relatively orientable, meaning that there exists a line bundle M on X and an isomorphism $M^{\otimes 2} \cong \text{Hom}(\det TX, \det E)$. When these conditions are met, Kass–Wickelgren ([12], [11]) define a class $e(E) \in GW(k)$ by summing the local indices of a nonzero section of E . This definition is proven to be independent of the section ([11], Thm. 3) but this requires the relatively oriented hypothesis.

In many naturally-arising enumerative geometry problems, condition (2) fails; some examples are given in Section 2. In the non-relatively orientable case, the Kass–Wickelgren Euler class is not well-defined: its computation depends on the choice of trivialization of the vector bundle and of the tangent bundle of X . In this paper, we circumvent this ambiguity by utilizing a root

stack construction to produce a well-defined Euler class of the induced pullback bundle. The root stack construction, applied locally, produces a Deligne–Mumford stack \mathcal{X} whose coarse space is the original scheme X . Further, we interpret the failure for the Euler class of the original vector bundle to be well-defined in terms of descent of the relevant quadratic space from the stack to the coarse space. Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Given a vector bundle E on X with isolated zeroes, there exists a Deligne–Mumford stack \mathcal{X} and a coarse moduli map $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ such that the Euler class of E is well-defined after pulling E back along π . Moreover, the stack \mathcal{X} may be covered by root stacks \mathcal{U} :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m] \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \cdot 2 \\ U & \xrightarrow{(L|_U, s_U)} & [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m] \end{array}$$

where L is the line bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(\det TX, \det E)$, U is an étale neighborhood over which TX and E are trivialized, and s_U is a canonically chosen section of L over U . That is, there exists a well-defined enriched Euler class of $\mathcal{E} := \pi^*E$ on \mathcal{X} lying in $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ which can be computed by summing the local indices of any nonzero section of \mathcal{E} . Moreover, in the non-relatively orientable case, \mathcal{E} is a quadratic space whose multiple descents from \mathcal{X} to X give the multiple possible Kass–Wickelgren Euler classes associated to E on X .

As an application, we extend results of Srinivasan–Wickelgren [22] on lines intersecting $2n - 2$ general hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^n to the non-relatively oriented case. The relevant vector bundle for this problem is relatively orientable if and only if n is odd; we give a computation in the case that $n = 4$, and a conjecture for a more general formula for all even n .

Proposition 1.2. *Let $n = 4$. Then the enriched count for the number of lines meeting 6 hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^4 is well-defined and is equal to $2\mathrm{H} + \langle 1 \rangle$.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2, 3 and 4 we provide some background on Grothendieck–Witt groups, \mathbb{A}^1 -local degree, and root stacks, and discuss connections to other work. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.1, and in Section 6 we compute some examples and prove Proposition 1.2 as a corollary to our Theorem 1.1 and a generalization of Theorem 2 of [22]. Finally, we state a conjecture for the general case of line meeting $2n - 2$ hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^n where n is arbitrary.

The authors would like to thank David Zureick-Brown and Padma Srinivasan for helpful comments throughout the preparation of this paper, as well as Andrew Obus, Stephen McKean and Kirsten Wickelgren for pointing out several errors in an earlier version. The first author would also like to thank Brandon Boggess, Thomas Brazelton, Marc Levine, Soumya Sankar and Maria Yakerson for conversations about \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory and related things that directly improved certain parts of this paper. Finally, special thanks go to Abdelmalek Abdesselam for the French translation.

2 Background and Motivation

Let k be a field of characteristic not 2. The Grothendieck–Witt group of k , denoted $\mathrm{GW}(k)$, is the group completion of the monoid of isomorphism classes of nondegenerate symmetric bilinear

forms on k , with respect to direct sum. The Kass–Wickelgren Euler class of a vector bundle defined over k takes values in $GW(k)$. As an example, when $k = \mathbb{C}$, then bilinear forms are all diagonalizable, and therefore are classified by their rank. This implies that the rank homomorphism $\text{rk} : GW(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism. For this reason, applying the Kass–Wickelgren machinery to a scheme and vector bundle over \mathbb{C} arising from some enumerative problem and taking the rank often recovers a classical result. As another example, a bilinear form over \mathbb{R} is determined by its rank and signature, so $GW(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ via the isomorphism $\text{rk} \times \text{sgn} : GW(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$; this allows one to recover results such as those in [19].

For any $a \in k^\times$, define a rank 1 bilinear form sending $(x, y) \mapsto axy$ for any x, y in some k -vector space. This bilinear form is denoted $\langle a \rangle$. For any $b \in k^\times$, we have $\langle b^2 a \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ in $GW(k)$, i.e. any two bilinear forms that differ by a square define the same class in $GW(k)$. The rank 2 bilinear form $\langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle$ is the class of the hyperbolic plane, and is denoted \mathbb{H} . Note that for any $a \in k^\times$, one has $\langle a \rangle + \langle -a \rangle = \mathbb{H}$ (cf. [17], Lem. 1.1, Ch. IV).

In his article [18], Morel introduces an \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theoretic version of the degree map from algebraic topology which takes values in Milnor–Witt K -theory $K_0^{MW}(k)$, which is isomorphic to $GW(k)$. We recall Morel’s description of the \mathbb{A}^1 -local degree of a morphism $f : \mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ here. In this context, $\mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ denotes the homotopy pushout of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^{n-1} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^n \\ \downarrow & & \\ * & & \end{array}$$

The space $\mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is one type of sphere encountered in the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy category of schemes; it is weakly equivalent to $\mathbb{A}^n / (\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\})$ via

$$\mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \simeq \mathbb{P}^n / (\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \{*\}) \simeq \mathbb{A}^n / (\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\})$$

where $* = [0 : \dots : 0 : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^n$. In turn, $\mathbb{A}^n / (\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\})$ can be identified with the motivic sphere $S^{2n, n}$, where $S^{p+q, q}$ denotes $(S^1)^{\wedge p} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q}$.

The main result in [18] is the construction of a map

$$\text{deg}^{\mathbb{A}^1} : [S^{n+j, j}, S^{n+i, i}] \longrightarrow K_{i-j}^{MW}(k)$$

from the group of homotopy classes of maps $S^{n+j, j} \rightarrow S^{n+i, i}$ to the $(i - j)$ th Milnor–Witt K -group, which is surjective (and an isomorphism when $n \geq 2$). In the case when $i = j = n$, $K_0^{MW}(k)$ is canonically identified with $GW(k)$, and this allows Morel to define the \mathbb{A}^1 -degree of the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy class of $f : \mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

There is another definition of the \mathbb{A}^1 -local degree of f , which is given by the class in $GW(k)$ of the Jacobian determinant of the induced morphism $\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$; the equivalence of this definition with that of Morel in [18] is proved in [12]. This equivalence allows the reader to avoid \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory and instead work explicitly with quadratic forms. We now describe the explicit Jacobian construction of the \mathbb{A}^1 -degree of f .

A map $f : \mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n / \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ can be thought of as an $(n + 1)$ -tuple of homogeneous functions $[f_0 : \dots : f_n]$ – or equivalently, as a function $(f_0, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ homogeneous in each variable. Fix a point p in the target at which f is nonsingular; we will compute the local degree of f at p as follows. Let $\text{Jac}(f)$ denote Jacobian matrix of f ; this is an $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrix with entries in the base field k . Define

$$\text{deg}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f) := \sum_{q \in f^{-1}(p)} \langle \det \text{Jac}(f)|_q \rangle.$$

Example 2.1. Let $f : \mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{P}^1$ be defined by $[x : y : z] \mapsto [x^2 : y^2 - x^2 : z^2 + x^2]$. The Jacobian matrix for f is

$$\text{Jac}(f) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x & -2x & 2x \\ 0 & 2y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2z \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}^2/\mathbb{P}^1$ be the point $[1 : 0 : 0]$ in the target. Then $f^{-1}(p) = \{[\pm 1 : \pm 1 : \pm i]\}$. We have $\det \text{Jac}(f) = 8xyz$ and the local degree of f at a preimage of p is computed by evaluating $\det \text{Jac}(f)$ at that point. So

$$\sum_{q \in f^{-1}(p)} \deg_q(f) = 4\langle 8i \rangle + 4\langle -8i \rangle = 4\mathbb{H}$$

is the \mathbb{A}^1 -degree of f . Notice that the rank of $\deg^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f)$ as a quadratic space is 8, which agrees with the ordinary topological degree of f over $k = \mathbb{C}$.

Next, we recall the construction of the Kass–Wickelgren Euler class of a vector bundle as a sum of indices computed at the zeroes of a fixed section. Suppose we have a vector bundle E on X satisfying the hypotheses stated in the introduction. The Kass–Wickelgren Euler class $e(V) \in GW(k)$ is defined as

$$e(V) = \sum_{\sigma(p)=0} \text{ind}_p^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\sigma)$$

where σ is a section of E with isolated zeroes and $\text{ind}_p^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\sigma)$ is the \mathbb{A}^1 -index of σ , defined as follows. Assuming $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, E)$ has isolated zeroes, the zero locus of σ , denoted Z , is a zero-dimensional subscheme of X . At each point $p \in Z$, one can prove (cf. [11], Lem. 25) that $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is a finite complete intersection. Pick trivializations $TX \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$ and $E \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$. Then one can identify σ with an r -tuple of functions g_1, \dots, g_r , which give a presentation $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]/\langle g_1, \dots, g_r \rangle$ for the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Z,p}$. By work of Scheja and Storch ([21]), this presentation determines a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{Z,p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{Z,p}, k)$. Take $\eta \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{Z,p}, k)$ to be the image of 1 under this isomorphism, and define a bilinear form β on $\mathcal{O}_{Z,p}$ by $\beta(x, y) = \eta(xy)$. This β defines the local index of σ at p as a class in $GW(k)$.

There are two reasons that this version of the Euler class may fail to be well-defined. First, the local index may not be well-defined, in that it may be dependent on the choice of trivializations. Second, the Euler class could depend on the choice of section. In [11], well-definedness of the local index relies crucially on the existence of a relative orientation of E . There, the Euler class is independent of the choice of section if the space of sections with isolated zeroes is \mathbb{A}^1 -connected (loc. cit., Corollary 36).

The main goal of this article is to remove the relative orientability hypothesis; this is done in Section 5. The \mathbb{A}^1 -connected condition must be checked for each given enumerative problem. However, if the locus of sections with non-isolated zeroes has codimension at least 2 in the space of all sections, then \mathbb{A}^1 -connectedness is guaranteed, a situation which is typical of the types of problems currently studied. This codimension condition is preserved under pullback to the root stack, so one does not lose this property on passing to the root stack.

There is a third issue regarding the Kass–Wickelgren Euler class which nevertheless does not arise in the relatively oriented case. In [11], Sec. 1.1, the authors point out that a notion of Euler class already exists in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. Namely, for a relatively oriented vector bundle E of rank r on a smooth, proper, r -dimensional scheme X , Barge–Morel ([2]) and Fasel ([7]) define an Euler class $e(E)$ in the Chow–Witt group $\widetilde{CH}^r(X, \det E^\vee)$, where E^\vee is the dual bundle. Further,

Levine shows in [15] that when E is relatively oriented, one obtains a class in $GW(k)$ via the pushforward map

$$\widetilde{CH}^r(X, \det E^\vee) \cong \widetilde{CH}^r(X, \omega_{X/k}) \longrightarrow \widetilde{CH}^0(k) \cong GW(k).$$

Here, $\omega_{X/k}$ is the canonical sheaf which is identified with $\det E^\vee$ by the relatively oriented hypothesis. In [11], Kass and Wickelgren state that their Euler class should coincide in $GW(k)$ with the pushforward of the Euler class from $\widetilde{CH}^r(X, \det E^\vee)$. Thus in the non-relatively oriented case there are three problems to overcome: (1) the local indices $\text{ind}_x^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\sigma)$ of a section of E may not be well-defined; (2) the definition of the Kass–Wickelgren Euler class $e(E, \sigma)$ may depend on the choice of σ (indeed, this happens in practice as we will see in Section 6); and (3), the Kass–Wickelgren Euler class may not even be comparable with the Euler class of [2] and [7]. One goal of the present article is to show how passing to an appropriate stack resolves the first and second of these issues. For (3), it would be desirable to have a description of when all of the different notions of Euler class coincide.

We should point out that in many naturally-arising intersection theory problems, the relative orientability hypothesis fails. For example, Bézout’s theorem allows one to count the number of intersection points of two plane curves over an algebraically closed field from knowledge of the degrees of the curves. Over an arbitrary field, the relevant vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 is relatively orientable if and only if the degrees of the two curves have opposite parity. In the case that the degrees of the two curves have the same parity, one would like a way of fixing the lack of relative orientability in order to get an enriched count of the intersections. Similarly, the relevant vector bundle for counting bitangents to a plane quartic fails to be relatively orientable. McKean and Larson–Vogt have found ways of fixing this problem in [16] and [14], respectively; the relation of their approaches to ours is discussed in Section 3.

For another example in which relative orientability fails, consider the case of an arithmetic count of the lines meeting $2n - 2$ codimension 2 planes in \mathbb{P}^n . The relevant vector bundle in this case is $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2n-2} \mathcal{S}^\vee \wedge \mathcal{S}^\vee$ on $\text{Gr}(2, n + 1)$, where $\text{Gr}(2, n + 1)$ is the (affine) Grassmannian and \mathcal{S} is the tautological bundle on $\text{Gr}(2, n + 1)$. This vector bundle is relatively orientable only when n is odd ([22]). In Section 6.2, we extend the count of Srinivasan–Wickelgren to the case that $n = 4$ and suggest a solution to the general case when n is even.

Our goal is to produce a well-defined Euler class for a non-relatively orientable vector bundle. A key fact in our construction is that relative orientability is equivalent to the line bundle $\text{Hom}(\det TX, \det E)$ being a square in $\text{Pic } X$. If this bundle is not a square, one could fix the issue by passing to a cover $p : X' \rightarrow X$ over which $p^* \text{Hom}(\det TX, \det E)$ admits a square root, although then one potentially has different orientations, coordinates and ultimately Euler classes defined on each such cover. Instead, we remedy the issue by passing to a suitable stack over X , which is locally a root stack, on which the Hom bundle admits a square root in a canonical way. With this square root in hand, one can then follow the ideas present in Kass–Wickelgren’s articles to prove new enriched formulas. Root stacks are discussed in the next section. Some of these facts are well-known, but we include them for the convenience of the reader.

3 Connections to other work

Recall from the introduction that there is a pushforward map

$$\widetilde{CH}^r(X, \det E^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{CH}^r(X, \omega_{X/k})$$

on oriented Chow groups when E is relatively orientable. The right hand side admits a map to $\widetilde{CH}^0(k) \cong GW(k)$, but in the non-relatively orientable case, the Euler class is only valued in $\widetilde{CH}^r(X, \det E^\vee)$, rather than in $GW(k)$. Using \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory for stacks as in [9], one can show that after passing to our stack \mathcal{X} (which is, again, locally a root stack over the base), there is a map

$$\widetilde{CH}^r(\mathcal{X}, \det E^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{CH}^r(\mathcal{X}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/k})$$

so that the Euler class is once again well-defined and valued in the Grothendieck–Witt group of k . We expect our construction of the Euler class to coincide with the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theoretic definition valued in $\widetilde{CH}^r(\mathcal{X}, \det E^\vee)$, and all notions of Euler class to agree when they can be compared, though to our knowledge this is not known.

In [14], Larson and Vogt define a notion of a vector bundle being “relatively oriented relative to a divisor.” The main idea of their construction is the following. Suppose you have a vector bundle E which is not relatively orientable. By twisting $\mathrm{Hom}(\det TX, \det E)$ by a line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D)$ for an effective divisor D on X , one can arrange for $\det E \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)$ to be a square in $\mathrm{Pic} X$. Away from the zero locus of $\mathcal{O}(D)$, $\det E \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)$ is relatively orientable. The issue now is that the space of sections is no longer \mathbb{A}^1 -connected, since one has to remove all sections which vanish anywhere on the support of D , so the remaining space is the complement of a hypersurface, which is not \mathbb{A}^1 -connected. Let S denote the space of sections of E . The vanishing locus of $\mathcal{O}(D)$ has now been removed from S , so we are considering S minus the hypersurface Q cut out by $\mathcal{O}(D)$. The Euler number is no longer independent of the section chosen; any sections chosen from the same connected component of $S \setminus Q$ will have the same Euler number, but as we cross the “wall” given by Q , the Euler number will change. For example, over \mathbb{R} , $\langle 1 \rangle$ will go to $\langle -1 \rangle$, and vice versa (cf. [14], Example 2.1).

One can interpret their construction using Chow–Witt groups (also known as oriented Chow groups) as follows. As noted previously, the \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theoretic Euler class for any vector bundle (not necessarily relatively orientable) lives in $\widetilde{CH}^r(X, \det E^\vee)$, which is only isomorphic to $\widetilde{CH}^r(X, \omega_{X/k})$ if E is orientable. From this isomorphism, we get the pushforward map $\widetilde{CH}^r(X, \omega_{X/k}) \rightarrow \widetilde{CH}^0(k) \cong GW(k)$. The Larson–Vogt construction of an Euler class can be interpreted via the composition

$$\widetilde{CH}^r(X, \det E^\vee) \rightarrow \widetilde{CH}^r(X, \det(E \otimes \mathcal{O}(D))^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{CH}^r(X, \omega_{X/k}) \rightarrow \widetilde{CH}^0(k) \cong GW(k)$$

where the first map is induced by the twisting map $\det E \rightarrow \det E \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)$. Similarly, our construction of the Euler class can be interpreted using the composition

$$\widetilde{CH}^r(X, \det E^\vee) \rightarrow \widetilde{CH}^r(\mathcal{X}, \det(\mathcal{E}^\vee)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{CH}^r(\mathcal{X}, \omega_{\mathcal{X}/k}) \rightarrow \widetilde{CH}^0(k) \cong GW(k)$$

in the notation of Section 5, where the first map is induced by π^* for $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ the coarse moduli map. Although Larson–Vogt do not use the language of oriented Chow groups, their construction is essentially another way of regaining the pushforward map to $GW(k)$ for a non-orientable vector bundle.

Larson and Vogt’s work also implies that in the non-relatively orientable case, the (Kass–Wickelgren) Euler number fails to be well-defined on the coarse space. Our construction of the Euler class on the stack \mathcal{X} confirms this, as described in Section 5.1. Our construction further exhibits the possibility of obtaining multiple counts on the coarse space in terms of descent of \mathcal{E} with its quadratic space structure.

4 Root Stacks

Suppose L is a line bundle on a scheme X . We saw that it is useful to know whether there exists another line bundle, say M , such that $M^{\otimes 2} = L$. More generally, one might ask if $M^{\otimes r} = L$ for a given integer $r \geq 1$. From now on we will write $M^r := M^{\otimes r}$. In this section we describe a construction, originally developed in [3] and independently in [1], that produces a stacky modification of X called a *root stack* on which objects like $L^{1/r}$ may be defined.

For a scheme X , let $\mathfrak{Div}^{[1]}(X)$ denote the category whose objects are pairs (L, s) , with $L \rightarrow X$ a line bundle and $s \in \Gamma(X, L)$ is a global section. A morphism $(L, s) \rightarrow (M, t)$ in $\mathfrak{Div}^{[1]}(X)$ is given by a bundle isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & & X \end{array}$$

under which $\varphi(s) = t$. The notation $\mathfrak{Div}^{[1]}(X)$ is adapted from existing notation in the literature (cf. [20]) and was used by the first author in [13] to allow for the choice of multiple sections of different tensor powers of the line bundle.

Proposition 4.1. *There is an isomorphism of categories fibred in groupoids $\mathfrak{Div}^{[1]} \cong [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]$.*

Proof. This is [20], 10.3.7, or [13], Prop. 5.3. □

Corollary 4.2. *$\mathfrak{Div}^{[1]}$ is a stack.*

Let us now return to the question of when an r th root of a line bundle exists.

Definition. *For $r \geq 1$, the **universal Kummer stack** is the cover of stacks*

$$\begin{aligned} r : [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m] &\longrightarrow [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m] \\ x &\longmapsto x^r. \end{aligned}$$

The following definition first appeared in [3] and [1].

Definition. *For a scheme X , a line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ with section s and an integer $r \geq 1$, the **r th root stack of X along (L, s)** , written $\sqrt[r]{(L, s)/X}$, is defined to be the pullback*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sqrt[r]{(L, s)/X} & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow r \\ X & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m] \end{array}$$

where the bottom row is the morphism corresponding to (L, s) via Proposition 4.1.

Explicitly, for a test scheme T , the category $\sqrt[r]{(L, s)/X}(T)$ consists of tuples $(T \xrightarrow{\varphi} X, M, t, \psi)$ where $M \rightarrow T$ is a line bundle with section t and $\psi : M^r \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi^*L$ is an isomorphism of line bundles such that $\psi(t^r) = \varphi^*s$.

Lemma 4.3. *For any morphism of schemes $h : Y \rightarrow X$ and line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ with section s , there is an isomorphism of stacks*

$$\sqrt[r]{(h^*L, h^*s)/Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \sqrt[r]{(L, s)/X} \times_X Y.$$

Proof. Follows easily from either the definition of root stack as a pullback, or from the description of its T -points above. \square

Example 4.4. ([3], 2.3.1; [13], 5.8) Let X be the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } k[x]$. Then $L = \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_X$ is a line bundle and the coordinate x gives a section of L . Then for any $r \geq 1$ that is coprime to $\text{char } k$, $\sqrt[r]{(\mathcal{O}, x)/\mathbb{A}^1} \cong [\mathbb{A}^1/\mu_r]$.

Example 4.5. ([3], 2.4.1; [13], 5.9) More generally, when $X = \text{Spec } A$ and $L = \mathcal{O}_X$ with any section s , we have

$$\sqrt[r]{(\mathcal{O}_X, s)/X} \cong [\text{Spec } B/\mu_r] \quad \text{where } B = A[x]/(x^r - s).$$

In general, any root stack $\sqrt[r]{(L, s)/X}$ may be covered by such ‘‘affine’’ root stacks $[\text{Spec } B/\mu_r]$ ([13], 5.10).

Theorem 4.6. ([3], 2.3.3) *If X is a scheme with line bundle L and section s and r is invertible on X , then $\sqrt[r]{(L, s)/X}$ is a Deligne–Mumford stack.*

Remark 4.7. When $\text{char } k = p > 0$ and p divides r , the root stack $\sqrt[r]{(L, s)/X}$ of a k -scheme X is no longer Deligne–Mumford; this is essentially because the ‘universal Frobenius’ $[\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m] \rightarrow [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]$ induced by $x \mapsto x^p$ is generically inseparable. In [13], the first author has constructed a replacement for $\sqrt[r]{(L, s)/X}$ in characteristic p using Artin–Schreier theory that allows one to take p th roots of line bundles, and in future work, plans to generalize this to higher order roots of line bundles.

Example 4.8. If s is a nonvanishing section of a line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ over a scheme, then $\sqrt[r]{(L, s)/X} \cong X$ as stacks (cf. [3], 2.4.2, or [13], 5.12). Therefore for any section s , the non-trivial stacky structure of $\sqrt[r]{(L, s)/X}$ occurs along the zero locus of s .

5 \mathbb{A}^1 -Local Degree with Root Stacks

We saw in Section 2 that to solve an enumerative problem, one might define a vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ and compute its Euler class $e(E)$, which is classically done by counting the zeroes of a fixed section σ of E . To get an enriched count, [12] assumes σ has isolated zeroes and uses the formula

$$e(E) = \sum_{\sigma(x)=0} \text{ind}_x^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\sigma)$$

where $\text{ind}_x^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ denotes the \mathbb{A}^1 -index of σ at x . However, as noted in Section 2, the well-definedness of $\text{ind}_x^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\sigma)$ requires that E be a *relatively oriented* vector bundle; otherwise, the local index will depend on the choice of trivializations of TX and of E (see Section 5.1).

Given a relative orientation

$$j : \text{Hom}(\det TX, \det E) \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{\otimes 2}$$

for some line bundle $M \rightarrow X$, we say a section $s \in \Gamma(X, \text{Hom}(\det TX, \det E))$ is a *square* over U if it is the image under j of a tensor square of a section of M over U . Then, as outlined in Section 2, [12]

gives a recipe for computing $e(E)$ using a section $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, E)$, provided E is relatively orientable and the corresponding section of $\text{Hom}(\det TX, \det E)$ over a Nisnevich coordinate patch U is a square. We seek to remove these hypotheses as follows. If $L = \text{Hom}(\det TX, \det E)$ is not a square in $\text{Pic}(X)$, we use local trivializations of TX and E , say over $\{U\}$, to determine a local section $s_U \in H^0(U, L)$ for each U and then construct root stacks $\mathcal{U} = \sqrt[2]{(L|_U, s_U)/U}$. These \mathcal{U} glue together to define a stack \mathcal{X} which is Deligne–Mumford and has coarse space X . Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ be the coarse map and set $\mathcal{L} = \pi^*L$.

Over each \mathcal{U} , the pair $(\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{U}}, \pi^*s_U)$ admits a canonical square root (\mathcal{M}_U, r_U) , i.e. such that $\mathcal{M}_U^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $r_U^{\otimes 2} = s_U$. These \mathcal{M}_U glue together to form a line bundle \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{L}$; however, the r_U and π^*s_U need not lift to global sections of \mathcal{M} or \mathcal{L} . Indeed, if the s_U came from a global section $s \in H^0(X, L)$, this would correspond to a global trivialization of L . In Section 5.1, we will show how the well-definedness of $\text{ind}_x^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\sigma)$ in $GW(k)$ is restored over \mathcal{X} .

Fix a point $x \in X$ which is an isolated zero of σ . As usual, we may choose Nisnevich coordinates $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^n$ about x and assume that $TX|_U$ and $E|_U$ are trivial, by shrinking U if necessary. Let $\mathcal{U} = \pi^{-1}(U) \cong \sqrt[2]{(L|_U, s_U)/U} \rightarrow U$ be the root stack defined over U above. Note that if $U = \mathbb{A}^n$, then Example 4.5 shows that $\mathcal{U} \cong [V/\mu_2]$, where

$$V = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y]/(y^2 - s_U)$$

and μ_2 acts by $y \mapsto -y$. (Here, we are viewing s_U as an element of $\Gamma(\mathbb{A}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^n}) \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.) More generally, when φ is any choice of Nisnevich coordinates, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & [V/\mu_2] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{A}^n \end{array}$$

where $V = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y]/(y^2 - s_U)$, s_U is viewed as an element of $H^0(\mathbb{A}^n, \varphi_*L|_U) \cong H^0(U, L|_U)$, $[V/\mu_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is the map determined by $x_i \mapsto x_i$, and $\tilde{\varphi}$ is induced by naturality of the root stack (Lemma 4.3). The morphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [V/\mu_2]$ will be the correct analogue of Nisnevich coordinates around any stacky point in the stacky locus of the root stack.

We now proceed to define the \mathbb{A}^1 -local degree of σ , extending the Jacobian construction from Section 2. Recall that in Morel’s construction of $\text{deg}^{\mathbb{A}^1}$, the weak \mathbb{A}^1 -equivalence $\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \{0\} \cong \mathbb{P}^n/\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{A}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n, (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto [a_1 : \dots : a_n : 1]$. This suggests replacing \mathbb{P}^n by the weighted projective stack $\mathbb{P}(1^n, 2) := \mathbb{P}(1, \dots, 1, 2)$ to define the \mathbb{A}^1 -local degree on the root stack, since there is a natural inclusion $[V/\mu_2] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^n, 2)$ given by $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \mapsto [x_1 : \dots : x_n : y]$. We identify the following copy of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} in this weighted projective stack:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^{n-1} &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^n, 2) \\ [x_0 : \dots : x_{n-1}] &\mapsto [x_0 : \dots : x_{n-1} : 0]. \end{aligned}$$

Then there is an \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy equivalence of pairs of stacks, in the sense of [9]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\pi^* \sigma|_{\mathcal{U}}} & \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{U}} := \pi^{-1}(E)|_{\mathcal{U}} \\
 \tilde{\varphi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\psi} \\
 [V/\mu_2] & \xrightarrow{f} & [V/\mu_2]
 \end{array}$$

This descends to a map

$$\mathbb{P}(1^n, 2)/\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \cong [V/\mu_2]/\left([V/\mu_2] \setminus \{\tilde{\varphi}(x)\}\right) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_x} [V/\mu_2]/\left([V/\mu_2] \setminus \{\tilde{\psi}(\pi^* \sigma(x))\}\right) \cong \mathbb{P}(1^n, 2)/\mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

In the next section, we will define $\text{ind}_x(\sigma) := \text{deg}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\bar{f}_x) \in GW(k)$ over a stack, extending the construction for schemes in [11]. Proposition 14 of [12] also carries over to our context:

Proposition 5.1. *If $\bar{f} : \mathbb{P}(1^n, 2)/\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^n, 2)/\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ restricts to a map $f : [V/\mu_2] \rightarrow [V/\mu_2]$, then*

$$\text{deg}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(\bar{f}) = \sum_{y \in f^{-1}(z)} \text{deg}_y^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f)$$

for any $z \in [V/\mu_2]$.

Further, if $f : [V/\mu_2] \rightarrow [V/\mu_2]$ is étale at $y \in f^{-1}(z)$, then $\text{deg}_y^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f) = \text{Tr}_{k(y)/k} \langle \det \text{Jac}(f)|_y \rangle$ where the Jacobian $\text{Jac}(f)$ of f is defined as follows. Write $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}) : V \rightarrow V$. Then

$$\text{Jac}(f) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \\ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y} \end{array} \right).$$

This is well-defined since $V \rightarrow [V/\mu_2]$ is an étale presentation of the Nisnevich coordinate patch $[V/\mu_2]$ about the stacky point x .

5.1 The Euler Class is Well-Defined

We begin with two definitions from Section 4 of [11].

Definition. *Let $p \in X$ be a closed point in X . A choice of **Nisnevich coordinates** about p is an étale morphism $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^r$, for some étale neighborhood U of p , which induces an isomorphism of residue fields $\kappa(p) \rightarrow \phi(\kappa(p))$.*

Definition. *A **relative orientation** of E is an isomorphism $L := \text{Hom}(\det TX, \det E) \cong M^2$ for M a line bundle on X . E is said to be **relatively orientable** if it admits such an M , and otherwise is called **non-relatively orientable**.*

Now we set up notation. Throughout this section, $E \rightarrow X$ is a rank r vector bundle and X is a smooth r -dimensional scheme over a field k . Let $U \subset X$ be an open set over which both E and TX are trivializable. Let $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^r$ be Nisnevich coordinates on U . Since ϕ is étale, the standard basis for \mathbb{A}^r gives a distinguished trivialization for $TX|_U$, and let $\psi : E|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U^r$ denote a trivialization for E on U . The bases for $TX|_U$ and for $E|_U$ determined by the standard bases for \mathcal{O}_U^r will be referred to as the distinguished bases of TU and E_U , respectively. Define the line bundle $L := \text{Hom}(\det TX, \det E)$ and let $s = s_U \in L|_U$ be the section of L over U taking the distinguished basis of $TX|_U$ to the distinguished basis of $E|_U$. Let \mathcal{U} be the square root stack over U with respect to the (line bundle, section) pair $(L|_U, s_U)$ and let $\pi_U : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow U$ is the projection map. Then these

\mathcal{U} glue together using the same gluing data as $\{U\}$ to define a stack \mathcal{X} . Likewise, the π_U define a map $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ which is by construction a coarse moduli map. Let \mathcal{E} be the pullback of E to \mathcal{X} .

Assume that the zeroes of any section of E admit Nisnevich coordinates; this is automatic if, for example, the underlying field k is perfect. By the discussion in Section 5, the existence of Nisnevich coordinates near each zero of σ on X implies that there is an open neighborhood \mathcal{U} around the pullback of any such zero to \mathcal{X} and an étale morphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow [V/\mu_2]$, where as before $V := \operatorname{Spec} k[x_1, \dots, x_r, y]/(y^2 - s_U)$.

Assume E is relatively orientable.

Definition. A trivialization of $E|_U$ is said to be **compatible with ϕ and the relative orientation** if the section s of $\operatorname{Hom}(\det TX|_U, \det E|_U)$ taking the distinguished basis of $TX|_U$ to the distinguished basis of $E|_U$ is a square in $\Gamma(U, L^2)$. Given compatible trivializations of TX and of E over an open U , let $r_U \in \Gamma(U, L)$ be an element whose square is the section described above.

Since the trivializations of TX and E are trivializations as \mathcal{O}_U -modules, the trivializations pull back along the root stack map to give trivializations of $T\mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{E} as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -modules. In particular, the distinguished bases for TX and E pull back to distinguished bases for $T\mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{E} , respectively, so the section s_U pulls back to the section on \mathcal{U} sending distinguished basis to distinguished basis.

Remark 5.2. Note that this construction implies that $T\mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{E} are trivializable Zariski-locally. A priori, vector bundles on a stack are only trivializable étale locally, so this is a stronger statement than one might expect.

The important thing here is the existence of this r_U , not the existence of a relative orientation itself. In the non-relatively orientable case, this suggests pulling back to an appropriate root stack to get a square root of the section s_U . This process is described below. After formally introducing such a square root, we show how to construct a canonical Euler class of the pullback, and that this class is well-defined, that is, independent of all the choices made.

We introduce a “square root” of a non-relatively orientable line bundle L , and in particular of the distinguished section s_U , by taking the root stack with respect to the (line bundle, section) pair $(L|_U, s_U)$, as in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{M}_U, r_U)} & [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow 2 \\ U & \xrightarrow{(L|_U, s_U)} & [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m] \end{array}$$

Let \mathcal{X} be the pushout of the stacks \mathcal{U} over all U covering X . Let \mathcal{M}_U (resp. \mathcal{M}) denote the tensor square root of the pullback of $L|_U$ to \mathcal{U} (resp. the pullback of \mathcal{L} to \mathcal{X}). The pullback of s_U to \mathcal{U} has a canonical square root in \mathcal{M}_U , which we denote by r_U .

We now prove Theorem 1.1, which we restate for convenience.

Theorem 5.3 (Theorem 1.1). *Given a vector bundle E on X with isolated zeroes, there exists a well-defined Euler class of E after pulling back along the coarse map $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$. That is, there exists a well-defined enriched Euler class of $\mathcal{E} := \pi^*E$ on \mathcal{X} lying in $GW(k)$. Moreover, in the non-relatively orientable case, one can descend the corresponding quadratic space in multiple ways along π , to obtain the multiple possible Kass–Wickelgren Euler classes $e(E, \sigma)$ associated to E over X .*

The proof will proceed in a sequence of lemmas generalizing similar results in [11]. Let $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, E)$ be a section and let Z be its zero locus. Following our font conventions for stacks vs. schemes, \mathcal{Z} will denote the zero locus of a section of $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$.

Definition. A closed point p of X is said to be an **isolated zero** of σ if p is a point of Z whose local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Z,p}$ is a finite k -algebra. We say that σ has **isolated zeroes** if \mathcal{O}_Z is a finite k -algebra. A section of \mathcal{E} is said to have **isolated zeroes** if the analogous condition holds, that is, if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a finite k -algebra.

Lemma 5.4. A section of E with isolated zeroes pulls back to a section of \mathcal{E} with isolated zeroes.

Proof. Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ denote the coarse moduli map, and let $\sigma \in \Gamma(X, E)$ be a section with isolated zeroes. First we show that the zeroes of $\pi^*\sigma$ are precisely the pullback of the zeroes of σ on X . There are two cases.

(1) Suppose $\pi^*(p)$ lies in an open subscheme of \mathcal{X} . Let $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ be the local ring of p on the coarse space, which has presentation $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]/\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$. Since π^* is an isomorphism away from the stacky locus of \mathcal{X} , the same presentation holds for $\pi^*(p)$ on \mathcal{X} , so $\pi^*\sigma$ vanishes on $\pi^*(p)$.

(2) Now suppose $\pi^*(p)$ lies in the stacky locus of \mathcal{X} . Again, let $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ be the local ring of p downstairs, with presentation $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]/\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$. Then the pullback $\pi^*\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ has a presentation $k[x_1, \dots, x_r, y]/\langle f_1, \dots, f_n, y^2 - s \rangle$. In this case, the pullback of σ still lands in the ideal $\langle f_1, \dots, f_n, y^2 - s \rangle$ since this ideal contains $\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$, so it vanishes at any preimage $q \in \pi^{-1}(p)$.

Since the equations defining $\pi^*\sigma$ are defined in terms of the x_i , any point in $\{\pi^*\sigma = 0\}$ is a preimage of σ . This proves that $\pi^{-1}(Z) = \mathcal{Z}$. Note that \mathcal{X} is Deligne–Mumford (Theorem 4.6), so there is an étale presentation $T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and therefore $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathcal{O}_{T \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Z}}$. Now $T \rightarrow X$ is finite, so $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow Z$ is also a finite covering, and a finite cover of a finite k -algebra is again a finite k -algebra. \square

Proposition 5.5. $\mathcal{O}_{Z,p} \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_r]/\langle g_1, \dots, g_r \rangle$ is a finite complete intersection.

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 22 - 25 of [12]. \square

The x_1, \dots, x_r here are a basis for an affine space, and the g_1, \dots, g_r are obtained as a presentation of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{Z,p}$. The choice of g_1, \dots, g_r is not canonical, but we will show momentarily that the local index is independent of this choice.

Lemma 5.6. For p an isolated zero of $\pi^*\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$, the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Z,p}$ is a finite complete intersection.

Proof. A zero of $\pi^*\sigma$ on \mathcal{X} is pulled back from a zero of σ on X . The zero on X has local ring a finite complete intersection by Proposition 5.5; let $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]/\langle g_1, \dots, g_r \rangle$ be a presentation for $\mathcal{O}_{Z,p}$. By Example 4.5, this local ring pulls back to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p} = k[x_1, \dots, x_r, y]/\langle g_1, \dots, g_r, y^2 - s_U \rangle$. Then $y^2 - s_U$ is a nonzero divisor on $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]/\langle g_1, \dots, g_r \rangle$, so the ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$ is a μ_2 -quotient of a finite complete intersection, which is again a finite complete intersection. \square

In [21], Scheja–Storch describe how a presentation for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$ determines an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$$

of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$ -modules; we summarize their argument below. (Their argument works for any finite complete intersection.)

Let \mathcal{O} denote either of the finite complete intersections $\mathcal{O}_{Z,p}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$ and let R denote the polynomial ring arising in the presentation of \mathcal{O} . So R is either $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ or, in our setup, $k[x_1, \dots, x_r, y]$. Let $\rho : R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ denote the quotient map. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R \otimes R & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & R \\ \downarrow \rho \otimes \rho & & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathcal{O} \end{array}$$

where μ' and μ are the multiplication maps. Since $\ker(\mu')$ is generated by $1 \otimes x_i - x_i \otimes 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, for any $t \in \ker(\mu')$, there exist $a_{ij} \in R \otimes R$ such that $t_j = \sum a_{ij}(1 \otimes x_i - x_i \otimes 1)$. Let $\Delta := (\rho \otimes \rho)(\det(a_{ij})) \in \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}$. By the tensor-hom adjunction, there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\phi : \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathcal{O}, k), \mathcal{O})$$

defined by

$$b \otimes c \mapsto (\varphi \mapsto \varphi(b)c)$$

Then $\phi(\Delta)$ is the desired isomorphism, and we can take $\eta \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, k)$ to be the image of 1 under this isomorphism.

Let $\eta \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, k)$ be the image of $1 \in \mathcal{O}$ under this isomorphism.

Lemma 5.7. *The element η is independent of the choice of g_1, \dots, g_r .*

Proof. The proof of Lemma 26 in [11] works in both cases. \square

In our case, η determines a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form β on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$ defined by $\beta(x, y) = \eta(xy)$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$. We define the *local index* of σ at p , denoted $\mathrm{ind}_p(\sigma)$, to be the class of β in $GW(k)$. In order to show that the local index is well-defined, we must show that the class of β in $GW(k)$ is independent of the choices of trivializations of $T\mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{E} . It suffices to show that any β, β' arising in this way from different trivializations differ by a square, since two elements differing by a square define the same element in $GW(k)$.

First, to set up notation: let $\phi, \phi' : TU \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U^r$ be two trivializations of TU with $p \in U$ a closed point. Suppose $\psi, \psi' : E|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U^r$ are trivializations of E . Let s be the local section of $\mathrm{Hom}(\det TX, \det E)$ taking $\phi \rightarrow \psi$ and suppose s' is the local section taking $\phi' \rightarrow \psi'$.

Lemma 5.8. *There is an isomorphism of root stacks $\sqrt{(LU, s)/U} \cong \sqrt{(LU, s')/U}$.*

Proof. The sections s and s' only differ by an element of $GL_r(\mathcal{O}_U)$, so they have the same zero locus. In particular, $\sqrt{(LU, s)/U}$ and $\sqrt{(LU, s')/U}$ are root stacks with the same coarse space U , the same stacky locus and the same stacky structure along their stacky loci, so by [8, Thm. 1], they are isomorphic. \square

Let r and r' denote the square roots of s and s' , respectively. Note that the order of vanishing of a section on a Deligne–Mumford stack is well-defined (cf. Def. 5.4.2 in [24]).

Lemma 5.9. *For r and r' as above, $r/r' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_U^\times)$, i.e. r/r' is invertible.*

Proof. Away from the stacky locus, both r and r' are nonvanishing. On the stacky locus, the order of vanishing of r will be equal to the order of vanishing of r' at any such point. To see why, note that r' can be obtained from r by pre- or post-composing with an action of $GL_r(\mathcal{O}_U)$, where this action gives the change of basis taking trivialization ψ to ψ' and ϕ to ϕ' . Certainly the action of GL_r does not change the order of vanishing, so order of vanishing of r equals that of r' , which implies that their quotient is invertible. \square

Proposition 5.10. *Let β and β' be the elements of $GW(k)$ constructed as above from (ϕ, ψ, s) and (ϕ', ψ', s') , respectively. Then β is the pullback of β' by the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$ given by multiplication by $(r/r')^2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_U^\times)$.*

Proof. The claim is equivalent to the statement that

$$\eta(y) = \eta'((r/r')^2 y)$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$. Suppose first that $\phi = \phi'$ and $r = r'$, but ψ and ψ' are different trivializations of \mathcal{E} . Let σ be any section of \mathcal{E} . Let (f_1, \dots, f_r) and (f'_1, \dots, f'_r) denote $\psi(\sigma)$ and $\psi'(\sigma)$ as r -tuples of regular functions on \mathcal{U} . Both of these are bases for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}^r$, so there exists a change of basis matrix $M = (M_{ji})$ whose entries are regular functions on \mathcal{U} and such that

$$f'_j = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} M_{ji} f_i.$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$ is a finite complete intersection, there exists an integer m such that $\mathfrak{p}^{2m} = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$, where \mathfrak{p} denotes the maximal ideal at p . The argument in Lemma 22 of [11] implies that there exists a matrix M'_{ij} whose entries are regular functions on \mathcal{U} such that $M'_{ij} - M_{ij}$ has all its entries in \mathfrak{p}^{2m} ; we may even assume that $M'_{ij} - M_{ij}$ has entries lying in $\mathfrak{p}^{2m} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$. Define

$$g'_j := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} M'_{ji} g_i.$$

By construction $f'_j - g'_j \in \mathfrak{p}^{2m}$, so we can use g'_1, \dots, g'_r to compute η' . Since $\det M = 1$, the difference $\det M' - 1$ is in \mathfrak{p}^{2m} and is therefore 0 in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$. Hence $\eta = \eta'$ as claimed.

Now suppose that $\phi = \phi'$ and $\psi = A\psi'$ where $A \in GL_r(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is the matrix restricting to the identity on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}^{r-1}$ and multiplying the last coordinate by α^2 for $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}^\times(\mathcal{U})$. As above, let (f_1, \dots, f_r) and (f'_1, \dots, f'_r) denote $\psi(\sigma)$ and $\psi'(\sigma)$ as r -tuples of regular function on \mathcal{U} , so that $(f'_1, \dots, f'_r) = (\alpha^2 f_1, \dots, f_r)$. Then $\eta'(\alpha^2 y) = \eta(y)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$. Furthermore, by construction, the distinguished basis of $\det \mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ determined by ψ is $(r/r')^2$ times the distinguished basis determined by ψ' . This proves the claim. \square

By combining the previous two cases, we see that the lemma holds when $\phi = \phi'$. The case that $\phi \neq \phi'$ follows exactly the same proof as in [11], so it is omitted here.

Corollary 5.11. *The local index $\text{ind}_p(\sigma) \in GW(k)$ is defined for any isolated zero p of σ , and is independent of the choice of trivializations of $T\mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{E} and the choice of g_1, \dots, g_r that appear in the presentation of the finite complete intersection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$.*

Proof. Lemma 5.7 shows that η is independent of the choice of presentation for the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$, and Proposition 5.10 shows that the local index is independent of the choice of trivializations of $T\mathcal{X}$ and of \mathcal{E} . \square

Proposition 5.12. *Let $k(p)$ denote the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z},p}$ at p . Then $\text{ind}_p(\sigma) = \text{Tr}_{k(p)/k} \text{ind}_{p_{k(p)}}(\sigma_{k(p)})$.*

Proof. See [11], Prop. 32. \square

Definition. *The Kass–Wickelgren Euler class of \mathcal{E} is defined to be $e(\mathcal{E}, \sigma) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{Z}} \text{ind}_p(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$ with isolated zeroes.*

To prove that the Kass–Wickelgren Euler class of \mathcal{E} is well-defined, it remains to show that the sum of local indices over the zeroes of a section is independent of the choice of section. The following lemma says that if the space of sections with isolated zeroes is \mathbb{A}^1 -connected, then the Euler class $e(\mathcal{E}, \sigma)$ is independent of the choice of section with isolated zeroes.

Lemma 5.13. *Suppose \mathcal{X} is proper over $\mathrm{Spec} k$. Let \mathcal{E}' denote the pullback of \mathcal{E} to $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and let t be a section of \mathcal{E}' such that t_q has isolated zeroes for all closed points q of \mathbb{A}^1 . Then there is a finite $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ -module equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form β such that for any closed point q of \mathbb{A}^1 , there is an equality $\beta_t = e(\mathcal{E}'_q, t_q)$ in $k(t)$.*

Proof. The proof of Lemma 34 in [11] carries over verbatim to our setting. The finite $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^1)$ -module in question is $p_*\mathcal{L}$ where \mathcal{L} is the pullback of \sqrt{L} to $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^1$ and p_* is the second projection from $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$. A version of Harder's theorem (cf. [12], Lemma 31) implies that for any quadratic form on \mathbb{A}^1 , the restrictions of the quadratic form to any two closed points are stably isomorphic. \square

For example, if the locus of sections with non-isolated zeroes has codimension at least 2 in the space of all sections, then \mathbb{A}^1 -connectedness holds. It is not obvious that \mathbb{A}^1 -connectedness is preserved by pullback to the root stack; however, it is clear from Lemma 5.4 that if the locus of sections of E with non-isolated zeroes has codimension at least 2, then the same is true of the same locus of sections of π^*E . In practice, one typically proves \mathbb{A}^1 -connectedness via this codimension condition, so for most applications this is sufficient.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Corollary 5.11 proves that the local index of a given section is well-defined, i.e. independent of the choice of trivializations. Lemma 5.13 implies that if any two sections of \mathcal{E} are \mathbb{A}^1 -connected, then the sums of local indices for each section agree. The space of sections of \mathcal{E} is \mathbb{A}^1 -connected since we assume the same of E , so this gives well-definedness of the Euler class.

We now discuss the descent statement in Theorem 1.1. We can view the Euler class of \mathcal{E} as a quadratic space on \mathcal{X} , where the quadratic space structure is some map $E \otimes E \rightarrow E$ or $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. The quadratic space is trivializable away from the zero locus of any fixed section of \mathcal{E} , and on this zero locus, the quadratic space is given by the \mathbb{A}^1 -local degree of the chosen section at that point. The Euler class over X being dependent on the choice of trivialization is equivalent to the statement that the quadratic space on \mathcal{X} fails to descent uniquely to the coarse space X . A descent datum for \mathcal{E} to X (as a quadratic space, not just as a vector bundle) lives in $H^1(X, \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{E}))$.

In the case that E is actually relatively orientable, we have that $H^1(X, \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{E}))$ is trivial. In this case, the map π is an isomorphism, so descending along the identity map is simply the pushforward. One can see this cohomologically as follows: when π is the identity map, $\mathcal{E} = E$, so the descents to the coarse space are parameterized by $H^1(X, \mathrm{Aut} E)$. Elements of $\mathrm{Aut} E$ are just changes of orientation of the original quadratic space, and Kass–Wickelgren showed that their Euler class is invariant under change of orientation (or of relative orientation). Therefore there is a single Euler class resulting from these different descents. When π is not the identity map, however, there are in general multiple quadratic spaces on X which are descents along π of \mathcal{E} .

We prove that all descent data are effective. It suffices to check that all descent data are effective after pulling back along any map from a scheme T . Write \mathcal{E}_T for the pullback. By [23, Tag 08K9], $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{E}_T) = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathcal{E}_T, \mathcal{E}_T)$ is affine of finite presentation over T . Descent data are always effective in such a situation, giving the claim.

To understand geometrically the various ways in which \mathcal{E} can descend to X , we note first that the automorphism group of any quadratic space Q is the orthogonal group $O(Q)$. The elements of $O(Q)$ correspond to changing the orientation of \mathcal{E} . Therefore a descent datum along such an $H^1(X, \mathrm{Aut} \mathcal{E})$ class corresponds to changing the orientation of E on X . In general, the local indices of E at points of X in the non-relatively orientable case are dependent on the local trivializations of E , so there are multiple Euler classes which may result from the various descents of \mathcal{E} to X . \square

6 Examples

6.1 Line Bundles on \mathbb{P}^1

Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ and consider the vector bundle $E = \mathcal{O}(n)$ with section $\sigma = x_1^n$. Then both E and the tangent bundle $T\mathbb{P}^1 \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$ are trivialized over the affine open sets $U_0 = D(x_0)$ and $U_1 = D(x_1)$; explicitly:

$$T\mathbb{P}^1|_{U_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{U_0}, d\left(\frac{x_1}{x_0}\right) \mapsto 1,$$

$$T\mathbb{P}^1|_{U_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{U_1}, d\left(\frac{x_0}{x_1}\right) \mapsto 1,$$

$$\mathcal{O}(n)|_{U_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{U_0}, \frac{x_1^n}{x_0^n} \mapsto 1$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{O}(n)|_{U_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{U_1}, 1 \mapsto 1.$$

Set $X = \frac{x_1}{x_0}$ and $Y = \frac{x_0}{x_1}$. We define $L := \text{Hom}(T\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(n)) \cong \mathcal{O}(n-2)$ and identify a section $s \in \Gamma(U_0, L)$ taking distinguished basis of $T\mathbb{P}^1$ to distinguished basis of $\mathcal{O}(n)$ as follows. Over U_0 , s takes dX to X^n . Note that on $U_0 \cap U_1$, we have $dX = -X^2 dY$, so s can be identified with the restriction of the section $-x_1^{n-2} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(n-2))$ to U_0 (however, the restriction of this section to U_1 does not trivialize L). Around the point $[1:0] \in \mathbb{P}^1$, the map $U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } k[x]$ sending $X \mapsto -x$ is Nisnevich and under this identification, σ corresponds to the map $f: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1, x \mapsto x^n$. Thus $\det \text{Jac}(f) = nx^{n-1}$ and we can compute the Euler class of $E = \mathcal{O}(n)$ with respect to σ as the sum of local degrees:

$$e(E, \sigma) = \text{ind}_{[1:0]}(\sigma) = \sum_{x^n=1} \text{Tr}_{k(y)/k} \langle nx^{n-1} \rangle.$$

Proposition 6.1. *The Euler class of $E = \mathcal{O}(n)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 with respect to the section $\sigma = x_1^n$ is*

$$e(E, \sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}\mathbb{H}, & n \text{ is even} \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \mathbb{H} + \langle 1 \rangle, & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The even case is computed in Example 31 of [11]. We illustrate the odd case by computing the Euler class when $n = 3$. Let ζ be a primitive 3rd root of unity and set $K_3 = k(\zeta)$. If $\zeta \in k$, it's easy to compute $e(E, \sigma) = \mathbb{H} + \langle 1 \rangle$ directly. On the other hand, if $\zeta \notin k$, we need to evaluate $\text{Tr}_{k(p)/k} \langle 3p^2 \rangle$ where p is the point $(x^2 + x + 1)$ in $\text{Spec } k[x]$. But this is just $\text{Tr}_{K_3/k} \langle 3\zeta^2 \rangle$. By definition, if $\langle a \rangle: V \times V \rightarrow K_3$ is the quadratic form defined by $a \in K_3^\times / K_3^{\times 2}$, then $\text{Tr}_{K_3/k} \langle a \rangle$ is the quadratic form $V \times V \rightarrow k$ defined by $(x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}(axy)$, where V is now viewed as a k -vector space. In our situation, $V = K_3 \cong k \oplus k \cdot \zeta$ so for any $x = a + b\zeta$ and $y = c + d\zeta$, we have

$$3\zeta^2 xy = 3\zeta^2(a + b\zeta)(c + d\zeta) = 3(ad + bc - ac) + 3(bd - ac)\zeta.$$

Applying the trace gives

$$\text{Tr}(3\zeta^2 xy) = 3(2ad - ac + 2bc - bd)$$

which as a quadratic form has corresponding symmetric matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -3 & 6 \\ 6 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The minimal polynomial here is $(x+9)(x-3)$, so $\text{Tr}(3\zeta^2xy)$ is isomorphic to the quadratic form $\langle -9 \rangle + \langle 3 \rangle = \langle -1 \rangle + \langle 3 \rangle$. Note that in $GW(k)$, this is also equal to $\langle 1 \rangle + \langle -3 \rangle$. Therefore

$$e(\mathcal{O}(3), x_1^3) = \langle 3 \rangle + \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -3 \rangle = \mathbb{H} + \langle 1 \rangle.$$

However, this Euler class is not well-defined up to choice of section. For example, performing the same calculation above using $\sigma' = -x_1^3$ yields

$$e(\mathcal{O}(3), -x_1^3) = \mathbb{H} + \langle -1 \rangle.$$

In general:

Proposition 6.2. *The Euler class of $E = \mathcal{O}(n)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 with respect to the section $\sigma' = -x_1^n$ is*

$$e(E, \sigma') = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}\mathbb{H}, & n \text{ is even} \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \mathbb{H} + \langle -1 \rangle, & n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

That is, $e(\mathcal{O}(n))$ is not well-defined for n odd unless -1 is a square in k . Over \mathbb{R} , for example, one can interpret this discrepancy in terms of the “sign of the slope” of the section at infinity: x^n crossing infinity with “positive slope” is reflected in the extra $\langle 1 \rangle$ in its Euler class, while the “negative slope” of $-x^n$ corresponds to the extra $\langle -1 \rangle$.

However, passing to root stacks resolves this ambiguity, as we show now. Let $\mathcal{U}_0 = \sqrt{(L, s)/U_0}$ and, after specifying a distinguished section $s' \in H^0(U_1, L)$, let $\mathcal{U}_1 = \sqrt{(L, s')/U_1}$. Taking \mathcal{X} to be the pushout of \mathcal{U}_0 and \mathcal{U}_1 , let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the coarse space map and set $\mathcal{E} = \pi^*E$. Then the pullback of $L = \text{Hom}(T\mathbb{P}^1, E) \cong \mathcal{O}(n-2)$ admits a canonical square root in $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$, namely a line bundle \mathcal{L} such that $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \cong \pi^*L$. Since the stacky locus of \mathcal{X} is a single point covering ∞ , we can think of \mathcal{L} as something like $\mathcal{O}(\frac{n-2}{2})$. By definition of the root stack, \mathcal{L} also comes equipped with a section t over \mathcal{U}_0 such that t^2 can be identified with π^*s in $H^0(\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{L})$. We obtain “stacky Nisnevich coordinates” on \mathcal{X} by pulling back the Nisnevich coordinates on U_0 as described Section 5.1. Explicitly, there is a map

$$\mathcal{U} = U_0 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathcal{X} \cong \sqrt{(L|_{U_0}, s|_{U_0})/U_0} \longrightarrow [V/\mu_2]$$

sending t to y , where $V = \text{Spec } k[x, y]/(y^2 + x^{n-2})$. When $n = 3$, $\pi^*\sigma$ determines a map

$$[V/\mu_2] \xrightarrow{f} [V/\mu_2], (x, y) \mapsto (x^3, y^3)$$

which has Jacobian determinant $\det \text{Jac}(f) = 9x^2y^2 = -9x^3$. Let Q be the stacky point lying over $[1 : 0]$ in \mathcal{X} . Now we compute

$$e(\mathcal{E}, \pi^*\sigma) = \text{ind}_Q(\pi^*\sigma) = \sum_{p=(x,y) \in f^{-1}(-1,1)} \text{Tr}_{k(p)/k} \langle -9x^3 \rangle.$$

Note that $f^{-1}(-1, 1) = \{(-1, 1), (\zeta_6, \zeta_3), (\zeta_6^5, \zeta_3^2)\}$ where once again $\zeta_r = e^{2\pi i/r}$ is a primitive r th root of unity. If $\zeta_6 \in k$ then $e(\mathcal{E}, \pi^*\sigma) = 3\langle 9 \rangle = 3\langle 1 \rangle$. Otherwise, (ζ_6, ζ_3) and (ζ_6^5, ζ_3^2) comprise a Galois orbit, so we need only evaluate:

$$\text{Tr}_{K_6/k} \langle -9\zeta_6^3 \rangle = \text{Tr}_{K_6/k} \langle 9 \rangle = [K_6 : k] \langle 9 \rangle = 2\langle 1 \rangle.$$

Adding this to the contribution from the preimage point $(-1, 1)$, we again get $e(\mathcal{E}, \pi^*\sigma) = 3\langle 1 \rangle$. If we replace σ by $\sigma' = -x_1^3$ on the coarse space, the map $f : [V/\mu_2] \rightarrow [V/\mu_2]$ becomes $(x, y) \mapsto (-x^3, -y^3)$, so $\det \text{Jac}(f) = -9x^3$ and the rest of the calculation is identical to the above so we get $e(\mathcal{E}, \pi^*\sigma') = 3\langle 1 \rangle$. In general:

Proposition 6.3. *The Euler class of $\mathcal{E} = \pi^*\mathcal{O}(n)$ on the stack \mathcal{X} is independent of section and equals $e(\mathcal{E}) = n\langle 1 \rangle$.*

Proof. Assume n is odd. Theorem 1.1 guarantees that $e(\mathcal{E})$ is well-defined so we can compute it by pulling back the section $\sigma = x_1^n$. Then the map $f : [V/\mu_2] \rightarrow [V/\mu_2]$ induced by $\pi^*\sigma$ will be $(x, y) \mapsto (x^n, y^n)$ with $\det \text{Jac}(f) = n^2 x^{n-1} y^{n-1} = (-1)^{(n-1)/2} n^2 x^{n(n-1)/2}$. We can evaluate the index of $\pi^*\sigma$ by summing the local degrees of f at preimages of $(-1, 1)$ for example; these preimages are:

$$f^{-1}(-1, 1) = \{(-1, 1)\} \cup \left\{ \left(\zeta_{2n}^{(4j-n)/(n-2) \bmod 2n}, \zeta_n^j \right) \right\}_{j=1}^{n-1}.$$

As in the $n = 3$ case, the calculation comes down to evaluating each $\text{Tr}_{K_{2r}/k} \langle (-1)^{(n-1)/2} n^2 \zeta_{2r}^{n(n-1)/2} \rangle$ for $(r, n) = 1$ which is straightforward and yields the claimed formula. \square

Next, we discuss how the Euler class $n\langle 1 \rangle$ descends to the coarse space using a descent theory argument. The vector bundle $\mathcal{O}(n)$ in question has rank 1, so the quadratic space in question also has rank 1. The automorphisms of a quadratic space, i.e. the orthogonal group, now are given by $O(1)$. The descent of $n\langle 1 \rangle$ is therefore determined by an element of $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, O(1))$. We will produce explicit $H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, O(1))$ classes that give the descent to $\frac{n}{2}\mathbb{H} + \langle 1 \rangle$ and $\frac{n}{2}\mathbb{H} + \langle -1 \rangle$. Let z_1, \dots, z_n denote the zeroes of $\pi^*\sigma$ on $\mathbb{P}(1, 2)$. Choose an étale cover $\{U_i\}$ of $\mathbb{P}(1, 2)$ such that $z_i \in U_i$ for each i and such that $z_j \notin U_i$ for all $j \neq i$. To determine a descent of the Euler class, it now suffices to choose a section of $O(1)$ on $U_i \cap U_j$ for each $i \neq j$ in the open cover. Identify two elements of $O(1)$ with 1 and -1 , corresponding to positive and negative definite-ness, respectively. (These are distinct as long as -1 is not a square.) The section 1 preserves the orientation when transitioning from U_i to U_j , and the section -1 reverses the orientation. To produce the descent to $\frac{n}{2}\mathbb{H} + \langle 1 \rangle$, choose the section -1 on each double overlap and the section 1 over the point at infinity. To produce the descent to $\frac{n}{2}\mathbb{H} + \langle -1 \rangle$, choose the section -1 on each double overlap and the section -1 over the point at infinity.

Over \mathbb{R} , this result can be used to prove that the only possible counts are $\frac{n}{2}\mathbb{H} + \langle \pm 1 \rangle$ as follows. Let e denote the Euler class on the coarse space \mathbb{P}^1 . There is a homomorphism of Milnor–Witt K -groups

$$\delta_t : K_0^{MW}(k(t)) \rightarrow K_{-1}^{MW}(k)$$

coming from the local ring $k[t]_{(t)}$ (cf. [18], Theorem 3.15). This morphism is the unique one satisfying the following properties:

1. For any class $f \in GW(k)$, the class $\langle t \rangle f_{k(t)}$ in $K_0^{MW}(k(t))$ has image ηf in $K_{-1}^{MW}(k(t))$ under the map δ_t .
2. For any class f in $GW(k)$, $\delta_t f_{k(t)} = 0$.

Recall that $K_0^{MW}(k(t))$ is isomorphic to $GW(k(t))$, and that $K_{-1}^{MW}(k)$ is isomorphic to the Witt group of k : $W(k) := GW(k)/\langle \mathbb{H} \rangle$. We have

$$\eta(e) = \delta_t(\langle t \rangle e_{k(t)}) = \delta_t(\langle t \rangle) + \delta_t(e_{k(t)}) = \delta_t(\langle t \rangle)$$

where the first equality is (1), the second equality comes from the fact that δ is a homomorphism, and the last equality is from (2). Since $\langle t \rangle$ has rank 1, its image under the homomorphism δ_t must also have rank 1 (since this is a morphism of graded groups and $\delta_t(\langle t \rangle)$ is nontrivial), and its image is either $\langle 1 \rangle$ or $\langle -1 \rangle$. This proves that the only possible Euler classes for $\mathcal{O}(n)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 for n odd are $\frac{n}{2}\mathbb{H} + \langle 1 \rangle$ and $\frac{n}{2}\mathbb{H} + \langle -1 \rangle$, as claimed.

In general (over fields other than \mathbb{R}), one could get Euler classes of the form $\frac{n}{2}\mathbb{H} + \langle a \rangle$ for $a \in k^\times$. However, since the only elements of $O(1)$ over \mathbb{R} are the positive and negative definite forms of rank 1, the result recovers Descartes’s law of signs.

6.2 Lines in \mathbb{P}^n

In [22], Srinivasan and Wickelgren give an arithmetic count of the lines meeting $2n - 2$ codimension 2 hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^n in the case that n is odd, generalizing the solution to a classical enumerative problem appearing in [4], among other places. Their setup is as follows. Let $\text{Gr}(2, n + 1)$ denote the Grassmannian of affine planes in k^{n+1} , for k a field of characteristic not 2. Fix codimension 2 hyperplanes π_1, \dots, π_{2n-2} in \mathbb{P}^n . For a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ intersecting each π_i , the intersection points $\pi_i \cap L$ determine $2n - 2$ points in \mathbb{P}^1 , say $[c_{i0}, c_{i1}]$. On the other hand, each pair (π_i, L) span a plane, say with coordinates $\{[d_{ij}]\}_{j=0}^{n-2}$. The authors in [22] define a certain normalized lift of the coordinates (c_{i0}, c_{i1}) and $(d_{i0}, \dots, d_{i,n-2})$ and set

$$i(L) = \det \left(\frac{d_{ij}c_{i0}}{d_{ij}c_{i1}} \right).$$

The main result in [22] is the following:

Theorem 6.4 ([22], Thm. 2). *Let k be a field. Let n be odd and let π_1, \dots, π_{2n-2} be general codimension 2 hyperplanes defined over k in \mathbb{P}_k^n . Assume either that k is perfect, or that the extension $k \subset k(L)$ is separable for every line L that meets all the planes π_i . Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{L \cap \pi_i \neq \emptyset \\ i=1, \dots, 2n-2}} \text{Tr}_{k(L)/k} \langle i(L) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2n-2)!}{n!(n-1)!} (\langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle).$$

In [10], the result is shown to hold more generally when the configuration of planes π_1, \dots, π_{2n-2} is defined over k but the individual planes need not be. The key to this formula is interpreting the sum on the left as an Euler class of a particular vector bundle V on a Grassmannian. The relevant vector bundle for this problem is $V := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2n-2} \wedge^2 \mathcal{S}^\vee$, where \mathcal{S} is the tautological bundle on $\text{Gr}(2, n + 1)$ and \mathcal{S}^\vee is its dual. One can show that V is relatively orientable if and only if n is odd. Therefore, to extend Theorem 6.4 to the case when n is even, we will pull back V to the appropriate square root stack over $\text{Gr}(2, n + 1)$ and perform the computation of [22] there.

Following the notation of [22], we fix a basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ of k^{n+1} and a dual basis $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ of $(k^{n+1})^*$. Next, fix $2n - 2$ codimension two subspaces π_1, \dots, π_{2n-2} of k^{n+1} and choose a basis of dual vectors α_i, β_i in $(k^{n+1})^*$ vanishing on the π_i . Then the section $\sigma = \alpha \wedge \beta := (\alpha_1 \wedge \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n-2} \wedge \beta_{2n-2})$ of V vanishes at a point $W \in \text{Gr}(2, n + 1)$ if and only if the corresponding line in \mathbb{P}^n intersects each π_i ([22], Lem. 5). Write each $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_{ij} \phi_j$ and $\beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij} \phi_j$ for $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in k$. Then the proof of Prop. 9 in [22] goes through and we have

$$\text{ind}_W(\sigma) = \left\langle \det \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & (a_{i1}b_{i(n+1)} - a_{i(n+1)}b_{i1}) & \cdots \\ & \vdots & \\ \cdots & (a_{i(n-1)}b_{i(n+1)} - a_{i(n+1)}b_{i(n-1)}) & \cdots \\ \cdots & (a_{in}b_{i1} - a_{i1}b_{in}) & \cdots \\ & \vdots & \\ \cdots & (a_{in}b_{i(n-1)} - a_{i(n-1)}b_{in}) & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

where W is the point of $\text{Gr}(2, n + 1)$ spanned by $\{e_n, e_{n+1}\}$. We observe here that because n is even, swapping a_{ij} and b_{ij} for any single pair of indices (i, j) , or indeed any odd number of pairs (i, j) , changes the sign of the determinant of this $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ matrix and therefore changes the local index $\text{ind}_W(\sigma)$. For example, the section $\sigma' = (\beta_1 \wedge \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \wedge \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_{2n-2} \wedge \beta_{2n-2})$ has a different local index and consequently, it produces a different Euler class than σ . Therefore, as we saw in Section 6.1, $e(V, \sigma)$ depends on the section σ .

Proposition 6.5. *Let $n = 4$. For the sections σ and σ' of V defined above, either $e(V, \sigma) = 2\mathbb{H} + \langle 1 \rangle$ and $e(V, \sigma') = 2\mathbb{H} + \langle -1 \rangle$, or vice versa.*

Proof. As in [22], Prop. 9, given the fixed basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_5\}$ of k^{4+1} with dual basis $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_5\}$, define another basis $\{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_5\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{e}_1 &= e_1 \\ \tilde{e}_2 &= e_2 \\ \tilde{e}_3 &= e_3 \\ \tilde{e}_4 &= e_4 + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3 \\ \tilde{e}_5 &= e_5 + b_1 e_1 + b_2 e_2 + b_3 e_3\end{aligned}$$

for some scalars $a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in k$. Also let $\{\tilde{\phi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\phi}_5\}$ denote the corresponding dual basis of $(k^{n+1})^*$. We choose coordinates $\mathbb{A}^6 \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, 5)$ in the Grassmannian by sending $(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3)$ to the hyperplane $W = \text{Span}(\tilde{e}_4, \tilde{e}_5) \in \text{Gr}(2, 5)$. Viewing $\mathbb{A}^6 = \text{Spec } k[x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3]$, let $f_1, \dots, f_6 \in k[x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3]$ be the functions defined by

$$\alpha_i \wedge \beta_i = f_i(\tilde{\phi}_4 \wedge \tilde{\phi}_5)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq 6$. By [4], Prop. 4.12, the rank of $e(V, \sigma)$ is the $(n - 1)$ st *Catalan number*:

$$c(n - 1) := \frac{(2n - 2)!}{n!(n - 1)!}.$$

So when $n = 4$, $e(V, \sigma)$ has rank 5. Let W_1, \dots, W_5 be the 5 zeroes of σ . We may first assume $W_1 = \text{Span}(e_1, e_2)$ and $W_2 = \text{Span}(e_3, e_4)$ in k^5 . Then, using f_1, \dots, f_6 defined above, the argument in the proof of [22], Prop. 15, shows $\text{ind}_{W_1}(\sigma) + \text{ind}_{W_2}(\sigma) = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle$. Likewise, we may assume $\text{ind}_{W_3}(\sigma) + \text{ind}_{W_4}(\sigma) = \langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle$. Finally, by a similar computation in $K_{-1}^{MW}(k)$ to the one at the end of Section 6.1, we see that $\text{ind}_{W_5}(\sigma)$ is either $\langle 1 \rangle$ or $\langle -1 \rangle$. As observed above, each of the local indices of σ differs by a sign from that of σ' , so we get either $e(V, \sigma) = 2\mathbb{H} + \langle 1 \rangle$ and $e(V, \sigma') = 2\mathbb{H} + \langle -1 \rangle$, or the other way around. \square

Remark 6.6. Over $k = \mathbb{R}$, this formula shows up as the (topological) degree of a so-called *real Wronski map* in the work of Eremenko–Gabrielov ([5], [6]). In particular, they show that the (signed) degree of the relevant Wronski map for our enumerative problem on $\text{Gr}(2, n)$ is $c(n - 1)$, the n th Catalan number, when n is odd (recovering the main result in [22]) and is given by a related combinatorial formula when n is even. Based on this, we conjecture the following enumerative formula, generalizing our Proposition 6.5.

Conjecture 6.7. *For $n \geq 2$ and the section $\sigma = \alpha \wedge \beta$ of V ,*

$$e(V, \sigma) = \frac{c(n - 1) - i(n)}{2}(\langle 1 \rangle + \langle -1 \rangle) + i(n)\langle 1 \rangle$$

where

$$c(n - 1) = \frac{(2n - 2)!}{n!(n - 1)!}$$

is the $(n - 1)$ st Catalan number and $i(n)$ is a “correction factor” only depending on n .

In particular, when n is odd, $i(n) = 0$, again reflecting the formula in [22]. In a forthcoming article, T. Brazelton investigates a generalization of this enumerative problem involving hyperplane arrangements in \mathbb{P}^n by studying the \mathbb{A}^1 -local degree of certain Wronski maps defined on Grassmannians $\text{Gr}(m, m+p)$. Our current discussion is recovered when $m = 2$. This in turn can be viewed as an enriched version of the real enumerative problem considered in [5] and [6].

When we pass to the stack $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, n+1)$ defined by taking local root stacks $\mathcal{U} = \sqrt{(\mathcal{L}, s)/\mathcal{U}}$, the section $\sigma = \alpha \wedge \beta$ pulls back to $\pi^*\sigma = (\tilde{\alpha}_1 \wedge \tilde{\beta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{2n-2} \wedge \tilde{\beta}_{2n-2})$ where $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ and $\tilde{\beta}_i$ are defined by

$$\tilde{\alpha}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_{ij} \pi^* \phi_j \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^* \beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} b_{ij} \pi^* \phi_j.$$

On the patch $\mathcal{U} = [B/\mu_2]$ (in the notation of Section 5, B was written V), we have functions F_1, \dots, F_{2n-2} lifting the f_1, \dots, f_{2n-2} from above; alternatively,

$$\tilde{\alpha}_i \wedge \tilde{\beta}_i = F_i(\pi^* \phi_4 \wedge \pi^* \phi_5).$$

By Theorem 1.1, $e(\pi^*V) = e(\pi^*V, \pi^*\sigma)$ is well-defined (meaning independent of σ) so we can compute $e(\pi^*V)$ for our chosen σ or for σ' . We perform the computation below for $n = 4$; due to the tedium of this computation, it would be desirable to have a better method for computing local indices in the future.

Proposition 6.8. *Let $n = 4$. Then $e(\pi^*V, \pi^*\sigma) = 2\mathbb{H} + \langle 1 \rangle$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 6.5, define a basis $\{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_5, \tilde{e}\}$ of k^6 by deforming e_4 and e_5 and appending an independent vector \tilde{e} , corresponding to the additional coordinate in $\text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_5, y] \cong \mathbb{A}^6$. Abusing notation, we will also write $\{\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_5, \tilde{e}\}$ for the corresponding basis of $V = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_5, y]/(y^2 - s)$ where s is the section of $\mathcal{L} = \det V$ over \mathcal{U} taking distinguished basis to distinguished basis. As before, four of the zeroes of $\pi^*\sigma$ pair off to give $2\mathbb{H}$, while the fifth, say \tilde{W} , is a stacky point with image $W = \text{Span}(\tilde{e}_4, \tilde{e}_5) \in \text{Gr}(2, 5)$. It has local index $\text{ind}_{\tilde{W}}(\pi^*\sigma) = \langle 1 \rangle$ since the Jacobian determinant calculation over $[V/\mu_2]$ resolves the ± 1 ambiguity, just as in Proposition 6.3. \square

Conjecture 6.9. *For $n \geq 2$ even,*

$$e(\pi^*V) = \frac{c(n-1) - i(n)}{2} \mathbb{H} + i(n) \langle 1 \rangle$$

where $c(n-1)$ is the $(n-1)$ st Catalan number and $i(n)$ is the same correction factor as in the statement of Conjecture 6.7.

As a closing comment on this example, the authors hope to find a more tractable approach to the computation of local indices than taking Jacobian determinants of large matrices.

References

- [1] D. Abramovich, T. Graber, and A. Vistoli. Gromov–Witten theory of Deligne–Mumford stacks. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 130(5):1337–1398, 2008.
- [2] J. Barge and F. Morel. Groupe de Chow des cycles orientés et classe d’Euler des fibrés vectoriels. *Comptes Rendus de l’Académie des Sciences - Series I - Mathematics*, 330(4):287–290, 2000.

- [3] C. Cadman. Using stacks to impose tangency conditions on curves. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 129(2):405–427, 2007.
- [4] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris. *3264 and all that: a second course in algebraic geometry*. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [5] A. Eremenko and A. Gabrielov. The Wronski map and Grassmannians of real codimension 2 subspaces. *Computational Methods and Function Theory*, 1(1):1–25, 2001.
- [6] A. Eremenko and A. Gabrielov. Degrees of real Wronski maps. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 28(3):331–347, 2002.
- [7] J. Fasel. Groupes de Chow–Witt. *Mémoires de la Société mathématique de France*, 1:1–197, 2008.
- [8] A. Geraschenko and M. Satriano. A “bottom up” characterization of smooth deligne–mumford stacks. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, page rnw201, Sep 2016.
- [9] S. Hollander. A homotopy theory for stacks. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 163(1):93–124, 2008.
- [10] B. Kadets, P. Srinivasan, A. A. Swaminathan, L. Taylor, and D. Tseng. Enriched local indices for non-rational sections, 2019. Preprint.
- [11] J. L. Kass and K. Wickelgren. An arithmetic count of the lines on a smooth cubic surface. 2017.
- [12] J. L. Kass and K. Wickelgren. The class of Eisenbud–Khimshiashvili–Levine is the local \mathbb{A}^1 -brouwer degree. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 168(3):429–469, 2019.
- [13] A. Kobin. Artin–Schreier root stacks. 2019.
- [14] H. Larson and I. Vogt. An enriched count of the bitangents to a smooth plane quartic curve. 2019.
- [15] M. Levine. Toward an enumerative geometry with quadratic forms, 2017.
- [16] S. McKean. An arithmetic enrichment of Bézout’s theorem. 2019. Preprint.
- [17] J. W. Milnor and D. Husemöller. *Symmetric Bilinear Forms*. Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [18] F. Morel. \mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians Madrid*, page 1035–1059, Aug 2006.
- [19] C. Okonek and A. Teleman. Intrinsic signs and lower bounds in real algebraic geometry. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2014(688), Jan 2014.
- [20] M. Olsson. *Algebraic Spaces and Stacks*. American Mathematical Society, 2016.
- [21] G. Scheja and U. Storch. Über spurfunktionen bei vollständigen durchschnitten. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 1975:174 – 190, 1975.
- [22] P. Srinivasan and K. Wickelgren. An arithmetic count of the lines meeting four lines in \mathbb{P}^3 , 2018.
- [23] Stacks Project Authors. Stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2019.
- [24] J. Voight and D. Zureick-Brown. The canonical ring of a stacky curve. 2019. To appear in *Memoirs of the AMS*.