

A LIOUVILLE TYPE THEOREM FOR FRACTIONAL ELLIPTIC EQUATION WITH EXPONENTIAL NONLINEARITY

ANH TUAN DUONG AND VAN HOANG NGUYEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we are concerned with stable solutions to the fractional elliptic equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u = e^u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $(-\Delta)^s$ is the fractional Laplacian with $0 < s < 1$. We establish the nonexistence of stable solutions provided that $N < 10s$. This result is optimal when $s \uparrow 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are interested in the classification of stable solutions of the fractional Gelfand problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u = e^u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.1}$$

where $0 < s < 1$. Here, the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$ is defined as a nonlocal pseudodifferential operator on the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions by

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = c_{N,s} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(x,\varepsilon)} \frac{u(x) - u(\xi)}{|x - \xi|^{N+2s}} d\xi,$$

where $c_{N,s}$ is the normalization constant and

$$B(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N; |y - x| < \varepsilon\}.$$

This operator is extended in the distributional sense to the space $\mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with

$$\mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N); \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|}{(1 + |x|)^{N+2s}} dx < \infty \right\}.$$

In addition, if $u \in C^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\sigma > s$, then $(-\Delta)^s u(x)$ is well-defined at every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ see e.g., [18]. In this paper, we mean a solution u to (1.1) by $u \in C^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\sigma > s$ which satisfies (1.1) point-wise.

In recent years, the classification of stable solutions to elliptic equations involving the Laplace operator has been much studied by many mathematicians. The pioneering work in this direction is due to A. Farina [9] where the nonexistence of stable solutions to the Lane-Emden equation was completely established. After that, there have been many contributions to the classification of stable solutions to elliptic equations/ systems in various cases of nonlinearities [2–5, 7, 10, 11, 14–17].

In contrast to the local case $s = 1$, there has been only a few works dealing with the classification of stable solutions to elliptic equations involving the fractional

Date: 15th Nov, 2019 at 02:51.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35B53, 35J60; Secondary: 35B35.

Key words and phrases. Liouville type theorems, Stable solutions, fractional elliptic equations, exponential nonlinearity.

Laplacian, see [6] and also [12, 13]. In these articles, the authors classified stable solutions, finite Morse index solutions to the fractional Lane-Emden equations by using the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension [1], some nonlinear integral estimates and the monotonicity formula to overcome the difficulty caused by the presence of the fractional operator. However, the techniques in [6] do not seem to be directly applicable to the fractional elliptic equation with exponential nonlinearity (known as the Gelfand nonlinearity).

Recall that in [10], the nonexistence of stable solutions to the Gelfand equation

$$-\Delta u = e^u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.2)$$

was proved when $1 \leq N \leq 9$. This condition is also shown to be sharp in the sense that when $N \geq 10$, (1.2) admits a radial stable solution [10]. The purpose of this paper is then to study whether similar results hold true to the fractional Gelfand equation (1.1). Before stating our main result, we recall that a solution u of (1.1) is called stable if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^u \phi^2 dx \leq \frac{c_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(\phi(x) - \phi(y))^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dx dy, \text{ for all } \phi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (1.3)$$

Let us state the main result in this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *For $N < 10s$, there is no stable solution of (1.1).*

As mentioned above, the Gelfand equation (1.2) admits a stable solution when $N \geq 10$. So, our Theorem 1.1 is optimal in the limit $s \uparrow 1$.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we do not use monotonicity formula as in [6] since it seems not applicable due to the exponential nonlinearity. Instead, we use the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension [1], establish a key estimate (see Lemma 2.4) and develop the idea in [10]. It is worth noticing that some difficulties arise due to the presence of the fractional Laplacian, especially in the choice of test functions in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to make some integral estimates for the solution. We overcome this difficulty by showing that in fact we can test the equation (1.1) by a special function $\eta(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}}$ (which does not belong to $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$). This fact will be done by some technical lemmas in Section 2. Note that the choice of function η is a crucial technique in the present paper. In forthcoming paper [8], the authors develop this approach to prove some Liouville results for the stable solutions of the equation $(-\Delta)^s u = f(u)$ and of the fractional Lane-Emden system.

Let us close the introduction by recalling a standard tool to study the nonlocal problems due to Caffarelli and Silvestre [1]. This result allows us to reduce a nonlocal problem in \mathbb{R}^N to a local problem with a nonlinear Neumann boundary condition on \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} .

Theorem A. *Let $0 < s < \sigma < 1$ and $u \in C^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$, we define*

$$U(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_s(x - z, t) u(z) dz,$$

where $P_s(x, t)$ is the Poisson kernel

$$P_s(x, t) = p(N, s) \frac{t^{2s}}{(|x|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}}$$

and $p(N, s)$ is the normalization constant. Then $U \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}})$, $t^{1-2s}\partial_t U \in C(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}})$ and

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(t^{1-2s}\nabla U) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ U = u & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \\ -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{1-2s}\partial_t U = \kappa_s(-\Delta)^s u & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1} \end{cases}. \quad (1.4)$$

Here $\kappa_s = \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{2^{2s-1}\Gamma(s)}$ and Γ is the usual Gamma function.

The rest of this paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us first fix some terminologies. In what follows, we denote by C a generic positive constant which may change from line to line. Denote also by

$$\eta(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (2.1)$$

which plays the role of test function in the sequel. We first give an elementary property of η .

Lemma 2.1. *Let η be defined in (2.1). There holds*

$$|(-\Delta)^s \eta(x)| \leq C\eta(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (2.2)$$

where C depends only on N and s .

Proof. Denote by $\rho_m = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}}$ with $m > N$. Then $\rho_{N+2s} = \eta$. The estimate (2.2) is a direct consequence of the following

$$|(-\Delta)^s \rho_m(x)| \leq C\eta(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (2.3)$$

where C depends only on m, N and s . Hence, it is sufficient to prove (2.3).

Let R be a positive constant and define

$$f(x, h) = \frac{\rho_m(x) - \rho_m(x+h)}{|h|^{N+2s}} \chi_{\{|h|>R\}} + \frac{\rho_m(x) - \rho_m(x+h) + \nabla \rho_m(x) \cdot h}{|h|^{N+2s}} \chi_{\{|h|\leq R\}},$$

where χ_A is the characteristic function of the set A . Then, it is not hard to see that

$$(-\Delta)^s \rho_m(x) = c_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, h) dh.$$

A straightforward computation gives

$$\partial_{kl}^2 \rho_m(x) = -2m(1 + |x|^2)^{-m-1} \delta_{kl} + 4m(m+1)(1 + |x|^2)^{-m-2} x_k x_l,$$

which implies

$$\|\nabla^2 \rho_m(x)\|_{op} \leq 2m(2m+3)(1 + |x|^2)^{-m-1},$$

here for a $N \times N$ matrix A , $\|A\|_{op}$ denotes its operator norm, i.e. $\|A\|_{op} = \max\{|Ax|/|x|; x \neq 0\}$. Hence, if $|h| \leq R$ then

$$|f(x, h)| = \frac{|\int_0^1 \nabla^2 \rho_m(x + \theta h) h \cdot h (1 - \theta) d\theta|}{|h|^{N+2s}} \leq m(2m+3)|h|^{-N+2-2s}. \quad (2.4)$$

In addition, when $|h| > R$, there holds

$$|f(x, h)| \leq \frac{\rho_m(x) + \rho_m(x+h)}{|h|^{N+2s}} \leq 2|h|^{-N-2s}. \quad (2.5)$$

We first consider $|x| \leq 1$. We choose $R = 2$ and define $f(x, h)$ as above. It results from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} |(-\Delta)^s \rho_m(x)| &\leq c_{N,s} \int_{\{|h| \leq 2\}} |f(x, h)| dh + c_{N,s} \int_{\{|h| > 2\}} |f(x, h)| dh \\ &\leq m(2m+3)c_{N,s} \int_{\{|h| \leq 2\}} |h|^{-N+2-2s} dh + 2c_{N,s} \int_{\{|h| > 2\}} |h|^{-N-2s} dh \\ &= c_{N,s} |S^{N-1}| \left(\frac{m(2m+3)2^{1-2s}}{1-s} + \frac{2^{-2s}}{s} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Here $|S^{N-1}|$ is the surface area of the unit sphere S^{N-1} in \mathbb{R}^N .

For $|x| \geq 1$, we take $R = |x|/2$ and define $f(x, h)$ as above. We divide \mathbb{R}^N into three subdomains as follows

$$\Omega_1 = \{h; |h| < |x|/2\}, \Omega_2 = \{h; |x|/2 \leq |h| < 2|x|\}, \Omega_3 = \{h; |h| \geq 2|x|\}.$$

If $h \in \Omega_1$ then $|x + \theta h| \geq |x| - \theta|h| \geq |x|/2$ for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$ which implies

$$\|\nabla^2 \rho_m(x + \theta h)\|_{op} \leq 2m(2m+3) \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{4}\right)^{-m-1} \leq 2^{2m+3} m(2m+3) (1 + |x|^2)^{-m-1}.$$

Hence $|f(x, h)| \leq 2^{2m+2} m(2m+3) (1 + |x|^2)^{-m-1} |h|^{-N+2-2s}$ on Ω_1 which combines with $|x| \geq 1$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_1} f(x, h) dh \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega_1} |f(x, h)| dh \\ &\leq \frac{2^{2m-1+2s}}{1-s} m(2m+3) |S^{N-1}| (1 + |x|^2)^{-m-1} |x|^{-2s} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{2m-1+3s}}{1-s} m(2m+3) |S^{N-1}| (1 + |x|^2)^{-m-1-s} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{m+\frac{N}{2}-2+3s}}{1-s} m(2m+3) |S^{N-1}| (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N}{2}-s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

We next estimate the integral on the second subdomain Ω_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_2} f(x, h) dh \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega_2} |f(x, h)| dh \\ &\leq 2^{N+2s} |x|^{-N-2s} \left((1 + |x|^2)^{-m} |x|^N |B_1| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho_m dx \right) \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{3}{2}(N+2s)} \left(|B_1| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho_m(x) dx \right) (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N}{2}-s}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where we have used $|x| \geq 1$, $\rho_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $|B_1|$ denotes the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N .

If $h \in \Omega_3$ then $|x + h| \geq |h| - |x| \geq |x|$. Thus $0 \leq f(x, h) \leq (1 + |x|^2)^{-m}$ on the third subdomain Ω_3 . Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega_3} f(x, h) dh \right| &= \int_{\Omega_3} f(x, h) dh \\ &\leq (1 + |x|^2)^{-m} \frac{1}{2(1-s)} |S^{N-1}| (2|x|)^{-2s} \\ &\leq 2^{-m-s+\frac{N}{2}} |S^{N-1}| (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N}{2}-s}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Here we have used again $|x| \geq 1$. Finally, the estimate (2.3) follows from (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9). \square

Next, we prove an approximation lemma which enables us to use the function η as a test function for the equation (1.1) (as mentioned in the introduction).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a test function satisfying $\phi(t) = 1$ if $|t| \leq 1$ and $\phi(t) = 0$ if $|t| > 2$. For $R > 1$, we define $\phi_R(x) = \phi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)$. Then there hold*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} (-\Delta)^s(\eta\phi_R)(x) \rightarrow (-\Delta)^s(\eta)(x) \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$|(-\Delta)^s(\eta\phi_R)(x)| \leq C(1 + R^{-2s})\eta(x), \quad (2.11)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Here, C is independent of R .

Proof. A simple computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^s(\eta\phi_R)(x) &= \eta(-\Delta)^s\phi_R(x) + \phi_R(-\Delta)^s\eta(x) \\ &\quad - c_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(\eta(x) - \eta(y))(\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

It is easy to see that the first term in the right hand side of (2.12) satisfies

$$|\eta(x)(-\Delta)^s\phi_R(x)| = R^{-2s}\eta(x)|((-\Delta)^s\phi)(x/R)| \leq CR^{-2s}\eta(x), \quad (2.13)$$

since $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Concerning the second term, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$|\phi_R(x)(-\Delta)^s\eta(x)| \leq C\eta(x). \quad (2.14)$$

We now control the integral in the last term

$$I(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(\eta(x) - \eta(y))(\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy$$

by dividing into three cases of x .

Case 1. $|x| \leq \frac{R}{2}$.

In this case, we have

$$|I(x)| \leq 2\eta(x) \int_{|y| \geq R} \frac{|1 - \phi_R(y)|}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy,$$

where we have used $\eta(y) \leq \eta(x)$ when $|y| \geq R$. From a change of variable $x = R\tilde{x}$ and $y = R\tilde{y}$, we obtain

$$|I(x)| \leq 2R^{-2s}\eta(x) \int_{1 \leq |\tilde{y}| \leq 2} \frac{|1 - \phi(|\tilde{y}|)|}{|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}|^{N+2s}} d\tilde{y}.$$

This and the fact that $|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}| \geq 1/2$ follow that

$$|I(x)| \leq CR^{-2s}\eta(x). \quad (2.15)$$

Case 2. $|x| \geq 3R$.

In this case, one has

$$|I(x)| \leq \int_{|y| \leq 2R} \frac{2\eta(y)\phi_R(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy,$$

where we have used $\eta(x) \leq \eta(y)$ for $|y| \leq 2R$. In addition, $|x - y| \geq \frac{|x|}{3}$. Then,

$$I(x) \leq \left(\frac{|x|}{3}\right)^{-N-2s} \int_{|y| \leq 2R} |2\eta(y)\phi_R(y)| dy \leq C\eta(x).$$

Case 3. $\frac{R}{2} < |x| < 3R$. We divide the whole space into three subdomains and decompose

$$I(x) = I_1(x) + I_2(x) + I_3(x),$$

where

$$I_1(x) = \int_{|y| \leq \frac{R}{4}} \frac{(\eta(x) - \eta(y))(\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy,$$

$$I_2(x) = \int_{|y| \geq 4R} \frac{(\eta(x) - \eta(y))(\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy$$

and

$$I_3(x) = \int_{\frac{R}{4} < |y| < 4R} \frac{(\eta(x) - \eta(y))(\phi_R(x) - \phi_R(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy.$$

By using the fact that $|x - y| \geq C|x|$ when $|y| \leq \frac{R}{4}$ or $|y| \geq 4R$, we obtain as above that

$$|I_1(x)| + |I_2(x)| \leq C\eta(x).$$

It remains to control $I_3(x)$. First, by a change of variable $x = R\tilde{x}$ and $y = R\tilde{y}$, one has

$$I_3(x) = R^{-2s} \int_{\frac{1}{4} < |\tilde{y}| < 4} \frac{(\eta(R\tilde{x}) - \eta(R\tilde{y}))(\phi(|\tilde{x}|) - \phi(|\tilde{y}|))}{|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}|^{N+2s}} d\tilde{y}.$$

Note that η is a radial function, $\eta(r) = (1 + r^2)^{-(N+2s)/2}$,

$$\eta'(r) = -(N + 2s)r(1 + r^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}-1}, \quad |\eta'(r)| \leq (N + 2s)(1 + r^2)^{-\frac{N+2s+1}{2}}.$$

Then, the mean value theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta(x) - \eta(y)| &= |\eta(|y|) - \eta(|x|)| \\ &= |\eta'(|x| + \theta(|y| - |x|))| ||y| - |x|| \\ &\leq (N + 2s)(1 + (|x| + \theta(|y| - |x|))^2)^{-\frac{N-2s-1}{2}} ||y| - |x|| \end{aligned}$$

for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Obviously, we have in this case that

$$|x| + \theta(|y| - |x|) \geq C|x| \geq CR/2$$

for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Hence, we obtain

$$|\eta(x) - \eta(y)| \leq CR^{-1}\eta(x)|x - y| = C\eta(x)|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}|.$$

Furthermore, it holds

$$|\phi(|\tilde{x}|) - \phi(|\tilde{y}|)| \leq C|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}|.$$

From these estimate, one gets

$$|I_3(x)| \leq CR^{-2s}(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{4} < |\tilde{y}| < 4} \frac{d\tilde{y}}{|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}|^{N+2s-2}}.$$

Recall that $\tilde{x} \in (1/2, 3)$ then the integral in the right hand side of this inequality is convergent which yields

$$|I_3(x)| \leq CR^{-2s}\eta(x).$$

Combining three cases, we obtain

$$|I(x)| \leq C(1 + R^{-2s})\eta(x), \tag{2.16}$$

where C is independent of R . Thus, (2.11) follows from (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16).

Next, fix x and choose R large enough such that $|x| \leq \frac{R}{2}$. Then, (2.13), (2.15) and (2.12) imply (2.10). \square

The following lemma deals with some integrability.

Lemma 2.3. *Let u be a solution of (1.1). Then there holds*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{u(x)} \eta(x) dx < C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| \eta(x) dx, \quad (2.17)$$

where $\eta(x)$ is defined in (2.1).

Proof. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a test function satisfying $\phi(t) = 1$ if $|t| \leq 1$ and $\phi(t) = 0$ if $|t| > 2$. We define $\phi_R(x) = \phi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)$ for $R > 0$. Multiplying both sides of (1.1) by $\eta\phi_R \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and using the integration by parts, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^u(x) \eta(x) \phi_R(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) (-\Delta)^s (\eta\phi_R)(x) dx. \quad (2.18)$$

By Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem, it holds

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^u(x) \eta(x) \phi_R(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{u(x)} \eta(x) dx.$$

Using Lemma 2.2, the fact $u \in \mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) (-\Delta)^s (\eta\phi_R)(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) (-\Delta)^s \eta(x) dx.$$

So, by letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.18), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{u(x)} \eta(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) (-\Delta)^s \eta(x) dx.$$

This equality together with Lemma 2.2 proves (2.17). \square

With Lemma 2.3 at hand and under stability assumption, we get an uniform integral estimate of solutions as follows.

Lemma 2.4. *Let u be a stable solution of (1.1). Then for any $0 < \alpha < 2$, there exists a positive constant C independent of u such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u(x)} \eta(x) dx \leq C. \quad (2.19)$$

Here η is defined in (2.1).

Proof. Suppose that u is a stable solution of (1.1). Let U be an extension of u in the sense of Theorem A. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a cutoff function such that $\phi(t) = 1$ when $|t| \leq 1$ and $\phi(t) = 0$ when $|t| \geq 2$. For $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, we put

$$\Phi_R(x, t) = \phi\left(\frac{|(x, t)|}{R}\right) \text{ and } \phi_R(x) = \phi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right).$$

We also define

$$\zeta(x, t) = (1 + |x|^2 + t^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{4}}$$

which satisfies $\zeta^2(x, 0) = \eta(x)$.

The proof of (2.19) is quite long and technical. It is then divided into three steps.

Step 1. We prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u} \eta \phi_R^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} e^{2\alpha U} |\nabla(\zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt. \quad (2.20)$$

Indeed, multiplying the first equation in (1.4) by $e^{2\alpha U} \zeta \Phi_R^2$ and integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u} \eta \phi_R^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \nabla U \cdot \nabla(e^{2\alpha U} \zeta^2 \Phi_R^2) t^{1-2s} dx dt \\ &= 2\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla U|^2 e^{2\alpha U} \zeta^2 \Phi_R^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \nabla U \cdot \nabla(\zeta \Phi_R) e^{2\alpha U} \zeta \Phi_R t^{1-2s} dx dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla(e^{\alpha U})|^2 (\zeta \Phi_R)^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt + \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \nabla(e^{\alpha U}) \cdot \nabla(\zeta \Phi_R) \zeta \Phi_R e^{\alpha U} t^{1-2s} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla(e^{\alpha U} \zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla(e^{\alpha U})|^2 (\zeta \Phi_R)^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt \\ &+ 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \nabla(e^{\alpha U}) \cdot \nabla(\zeta \Phi_R) \zeta \Phi_R e^{\alpha U} t^{1-2s} dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} e^{2\alpha U} |\nabla(\zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Inserting (2.22) into (2.21), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u} \eta \phi_R^2 dx &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla(e^{\alpha U} \zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt \\ &- \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \nabla(e^{\alpha U}) \cdot \nabla(\zeta \Phi_R) \zeta \Phi_R e^{\alpha U} t^{1-2s} dx dt - \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} e^{2\alpha U} |\nabla(\zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla(e^{\alpha U} \zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt - \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \nabla(e^{\alpha U} \zeta \Phi_R) \cdot \nabla(\zeta \Phi_R) e^{\alpha U} t^{1-2s} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

From the Young inequality for $\varepsilon > 0$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} \nabla(e^{\alpha U} \zeta \Phi_R) \cdot \nabla(\zeta \Phi_R) e^{\alpha U} t^{1-2s} dx dt &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla(e^{\alpha U} \zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} e^{2\alpha U} |\nabla(\zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

It follows from (2.24) and (2.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u} \eta \phi_R^2 dx &\geq \frac{2}{\alpha} (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla(e^{\alpha U} \zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt \\ &- \frac{1}{2\alpha\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} e^{2\alpha U} |\nabla(\zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Next, we use the stability inequality with the test function $e^{2\alpha u}\phi_R$ to obtain

$$\kappa_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u} \eta \phi_R^2 dx \leq \kappa_s \|e^{\alpha u} \eta \phi_R\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla(e^{\alpha U} \zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt, \quad (2.26)$$

since $e^{\alpha U} \zeta \Phi_R$ has trace $e^{\alpha u} \eta \phi_R$ on $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$. Hence, (2.25) and (2.26) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}(1-\varepsilon) - 1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} |\nabla(e^{\alpha U} \zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt \\ \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} e^{2\alpha U} |\nabla(\zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

The constant ε is taken small enough such that $\frac{2}{\alpha}(1-\varepsilon) - 1 > 0$. Then (2.26) and (2.27) give (2.20).

Step 2. We proceed by estimating the right hand side of (2.20) as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} e^{2\alpha U} |\nabla(\zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2\alpha u} \eta(x) dx. \quad (2.28)$$

Indeed, the Jensen inequality implies that

$$e^{2\alpha U(x,t)} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_s(x-y, t) e^{2\alpha u(y)} dy.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}} e^{2\alpha U} |\nabla(\zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_s(x-y, t) e^{2\alpha u(y)} dy \right) |\nabla(\zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2\alpha u(y)} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_s(x-y, t) |\nabla(\zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt \right) dy, \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

here, we use the Fubini theorem in the last equality. Let us put

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_R(y) &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_s(x-y, t) |\nabla(\zeta \Phi_R)|^2 t^{1-2s} dx dt \\ &= p(N, s) \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t |\nabla(\zeta \Phi_R)|^2}{(|x-y|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

Recall that $\Phi_R \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1})$. Then, ρ_R is continuous on \mathbb{R}^N and $\rho_R(y) > 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. By the Young inequality, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_R(y) &\leq C \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t |\nabla \zeta|^2 \Phi_R^2}{(|x-y|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dx dt \\ &\quad + C \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t \zeta^2 |\nabla \Phi_R|^2}{(|x-y|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

We estimate the first term as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1(y) &:= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t|\nabla\zeta|^2\Phi_R^2}{(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dxdt \\
&\leq C \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t(1+|x|^2+t^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}-1}\Phi_R^2}{(|x-y|^2+t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dxdt \\
&= C \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t(1+|y+h|^2+t^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}-1}\phi^2\left(\frac{|(y+h,t)|}{R}\right)}{(|h|^2+t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dhdt.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us split

$$\mathbb{R}^N = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3,$$

where

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{ h \in \mathbb{R}^N; |h| \leq \frac{|y|}{2} \right\}; \quad \Omega_2 = \left\{ h \in \mathbb{R}^N; \frac{|y|}{2} < |h| < 2|y| \right\}$$

and

$$\Omega_3 = \{h \in \mathbb{R}^N; |h| > 2|y|\}.$$

In Ω_1 , one has $|y+h| \geq \frac{|y|}{2}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{t(1+|y+h|^2+t^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}-1}\phi^2\left(\frac{|(y+h,t)|}{R}\right)}{(|h|^2+t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dhdt \\
&\leq C\eta(y) \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{t(1+t^2)^{-1}}{(|h|^2+t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dhdt \\
&\leq C\eta(y) \int_0^\infty t^{1-2s}(1+t^2)^{-1} dt \\
&\leq C\eta(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in Ω_2 , one has $|y+h| \geq |y|$ and then

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega_2} \frac{t(1+|y+h|^2+t^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}-1}\phi^2\left(\frac{|(y+h,t)|}{R}\right)}{(|h|^2+t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dhdt \leq C\eta(y).$$

In Ω_3 , we have $|y+h| \geq \frac{|y|}{2}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega_3} \frac{t(1+|y+h|^2+t^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}-1}\phi^2\left(\frac{|(y+h,t)|}{R}\right)}{(|h|^2+t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dhdt \\
&\leq C\eta(y) \int_0^\infty \int_{\Omega_3} t(1+|y+h|^2+t^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}-1}\phi^2\left(\frac{|(y+h,t)|}{R}\right) dhdt \\
&\leq C\eta(y) \int_{\Omega_3} (1+|y+h|^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}} dh \\
&\leq C\eta(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$J_1(y) \leq C\eta(y). \quad (2.32)$$

Similarly, we next control the second term in (2.31) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2(y) &:= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t\zeta^2 |\nabla \Phi_R|^2}{(|x-y|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dx dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{R^2} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t(1+|y+h|^2 + t^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}} \left| \nabla \phi \left(\frac{|(y+h,t)|}{R} \right) \right|^2}{(|h|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dh dt \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{R^{2s}} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{t(1+|y+h|^2 + t^2)^{-\frac{N+2s}{2}-1} \left| \nabla \phi \left(\frac{|(y+h,t)|}{R} \right) \right|^2}{(|h|^2 + t^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} dh dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\nabla \phi \left(\frac{|(y,t)|}{R} \right) = 0$ when $|(y+h,t)| \geq 2R$ or $|(y+h,t)| \leq R$. It is then sufficient to use the same arguments as above to arrive at

$$J_2(y) \leq CR^{-2s}\eta(y). \quad (2.33)$$

Combining (2.29), (2.31), (2.32) and (2.33), we obtain (2.28).

Step 3. *End of the proof of Lemma 2.4*

We deduce from (2.20) and (2.28) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u} \eta \phi_R^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2\alpha u} \eta(x) dx, \quad (2.34)$$

where C does not depend on R and u . Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ and using Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem, we receive

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u} \eta dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{2\alpha u} \eta(x) dx. \quad (2.35)$$

Notice that the right hand side of (2.35) is finite when $\alpha = 1$ thanks to Lemma 2.3 and $u \in \mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. It is then follows from a standard bootstrap argument that both sides of (2.35) are finite for all $0 < \alpha < 2$. Finally, the Hölder inequality and (2.35) imply (2.19). \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that the equation (1.1) has a stable solution u . For $R > 0$ large, we put $u_R(x) = u(Rx) + 2s \ln R$ which is also a stable solution to the equation (1.1). Then, (2.19) implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u_R} \eta(x) dx \leq C.$$

Making the change of variables, we get

$$R^{-N+2(2\alpha+1)s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u} \eta(x/R) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u_R} \eta(x) dx,$$

which implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u} \eta(x/R) dx \leq CR^{N-2(2\alpha+1)s}. \quad (2.36)$$

By the assumption $N < 10s$, we choose α close to 2 such that $N - 2(2\alpha + 1)s < 0$. Let $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.36) we get $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{(2\alpha+1)u} dx = 0$ which is impossible. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] CAFFARELLI, L., AND SILVESTRE, L. An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 32, 7-9 (2007), 1245–1260. [2](#)
- [2] COWAN, C. Liouville theorems for stable Lane-Emden systems with biharmonic problems. *Nonlinearity* 26, 8 (2013), 2357–2371. [1](#)
- [3] COWAN, C. Stability of entire solutions to supercritical elliptic problems involving advection. *Nonlinear Anal.* 104 (2014), 1–11. [1](#)
- [4] COWAN, C., AND FAZLY, M. On stable entire solutions of semi-linear elliptic equations with weights. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 140, 6 (2012), 2003–2012. [1](#)
- [5] DÁVILA, J., DUPAIGNE, L., AND FARINA, A. Partial regularity of finite Morse index solutions to the Lane-Emden equation. *J. Funct. Anal.* 261, 1 (2011), 218–232. [1](#)
- [6] DÁVILA, J., DUPAIGNE, L., AND WEI, J. On the fractional Lane-Emden equation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 369, 9 (2017), 6087–6104. [2](#)
- [7] DUONG, A. T., NGUYEN, N. T., AND NGUYEN, T. Q. Liouville type theorems for two elliptic equations with advectons. *Ann. Polon. Math.* 122, 1 (2019), 11–20. [1](#)
- [8] DUONG, A. T., AND NGUYEN, V. H. Liouville type theorems for fractional elliptic problems. *preprint* (2019). [2](#)
- [9] FARINA, A. On the classification of solutions of the Lane-Emden equation on unbounded domains of $\mathbb{R}R^n$. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 87, 5 (2007), 537–561. [1](#)
- [10] FARINA, A. Stable solutions of $-\Delta u = e^u$ on \mathbb{R}^N . *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 345, 2 (2007), 63–66. [1](#), [2](#)
- [11] FAZLY, M., AND GHOUSSOUB, N. On the Hénon-Lane-Emden conjecture. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 34, 6 (2014), 2513–2533. [1](#)
- [12] FAZLY, M., AND WEI, J. On stable solutions of the fractional Hénon-Lane-Emden equation. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 18, 5 (2016), 1650005, 24. [2](#)
- [13] FAZLY, M., AND WEI, J. On finite Morse index solutions of higher order fractional Lane-Emden equations. *Amer. J. Math.* 139, 2 (2017), 433–460. [2](#)
- [14] HAJLAOUI, H., HARRABI, A., AND MTIRI, F. Liouville theorems for stable solutions of the weighted Lane-Emden system. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 37, 1 (2017), 265–279. [1](#)
- [15] HU, L.-G. Liouville type results for semi-stable solutions of the weighted Lane-Emden system. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 432, 1 (2015), 429–440. [1](#)
- [16] HU, L.-G. Liouville type theorems for stable solutions of the weighted elliptic system with the advection term: $p \geq \vartheta > 1$. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* 25, 1 (2018), Art. 7, 30. [1](#)
- [17] HU, L.-G., AND ZENG, J. Liouville type theorems for stable solutions of the weighted elliptic system. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 437, 2 (2016), 882–901. [1](#)
- [18] MOLICA BISCI, G., RADULESCU, V. D., AND SERVADEI, R. *Variational methods for nonlocal fractional problems*, vol. 162 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. With a foreword by Jean Mawhin. [1](#)

ANH TUAN DUONG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HANOI NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, 136 XUAN THUY STREET, CAU GIAY DISTRICT, HA NOI, VIET NAM
E-mail address: tuanda@hnue.edu.vn

VAN HOANG NGUYEN, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, VIETNAM ACADEMY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, HA NOI, VIET NAM
E-mail address: vanhoang0610@yahoo.com and nvhoang@math.ac.vn