

**ON COMMUTATOR FIBERS OVER REGULAR
SEMISIMPLE AND CENTRAL ELEMENTS OF SL_n**

ZHIPENG LU

1. INTRODUCTION

In [4], we studied the commutator map on special linear groups and proved the equality of dimension of almost all of its fibers. In particular, we showed that the commutator morphism is flat over $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ ($n \geq 2$) away from its center. Let q denote a prime power and define G_n to be $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The crucial step in [4] is to first establish an analogous result, so called *numerical flatness* on $SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, by estimating character values of non-central elements in G_n . In more details, let $[\cdot, \cdot]: G_n^2 \rightarrow SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be defined by the commutator $[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$, then for any $c \in SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ non-central, [4] shows that

$$|[\cdot, \cdot]^{-1}(c)| = O(q),$$

in which $|A|$ denotes the number of elements of the set A . Here the implicit constant in the estimate $O(q^m)$ will always be meant to depend on n but not on q .

In this paper, we account some detailed calculation of irreducible character values of G_n with application to estimate fiber size of the commutator map over regular semisimple and central elements of SL_n . For regular semisimple elements, we show

Theorem 1.

$$|[\cdot, \cdot]^{-1}(c)| = (q + O(1))|G_n|,$$

for c regular semisimple in $SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

In the arithmetic aspect, this improves numerical flatness to *numerical geometric connectedness* over regular semisimple elements. However the overall geometric connectedness away from center of SL_n is still a tentative guess, although regular semisimples appear dense.

For central elements of $SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, we show

Theorem 2. If $c \sim \xi I_n \in SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $\xi^n = 1$, then

$$|[\cdot, \cdot]^{-1}(c)| = (q^{n/\text{ord}(\xi)} + O(1))|G_n|,$$

where $\text{ord}(\xi)$ is the order of ξ in \mathbb{F}_q^\times .

In the geometric aspect we describe components of fibers of the commutator map over center of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ as follows. In [4], geometry of the commutator fibers over primitive n -th roots is described by conjugation action of G_n .

Here we generalize the method to describe fibers over all central elements. See section 3 for details.

We outline the proofs here. To prove Theorem 2, we first use the following character formula by Frobenius [1] to count fiber size of the commutator map:

for any finite group G , denote by $\text{Irr } G$ the set of its irreducible characters, then for any $g \in G$,

$$(1.0.1) \quad |\{(x, y) \in G^2 \mid [x, y] = g\}| = |G| \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr } G} \frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)}.$$

Then the task becomes to calculate character values of G_n , which we establish in section 2.3 and 2.4. We use the results to prove Theorem 1 in section 2.5 and Theorem 2 in section 2.6. The basic tool used to evaluate irreducible characters is the generic character formula of G_n established by J. A. Green [2] which we will give a brief introduction in the next section. At the end, we describe orbits of the conjugation action of G_n on the commutator fibers over center.

2. CHARACTERS OF G_n

2.1. Irreducible characters of G_n .

Let $c = (\dots f^{\nu(f)} \dots)$ denote a conjugacy class of G_n , which assigns a partition $\nu(f)$ to each monic irreducible polynomial f over \mathbb{F}_q . For details of Jordan canonical form over \mathbb{F}_q , see [2]. Let $\mathfrak{F} \supset \mathbb{F}_q$ be a splitting field of all irreducible polynomials over \mathbb{F}_q of degree not larger than n (e.g. $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n!}}$) and η be a primitive element of \mathfrak{F}^\times . Let $\theta : \mathfrak{F}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be an embedding of multiplication.

For any irreducible polynomial f of degree $s \leq n$ over \mathbb{F}_q , if $f(\eta^k) = 0$ for some integer κ , then roots of f are $\eta^{\kappa q^i}, i = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$. Such a set $g = \{\kappa, \kappa q, \dots, \kappa q^{s-1}\}$ is called a *s-simplex* by Green [2]. s is called the *degree* of g and denoted $\deg(g) = s$. The integers in g are all distinct residues modulo $q^s - 1$ by irreducibility of f , called *roots* of g . Vice versa, any s -simplex determines an irreducible polynomial of degree s over \mathbb{F}_q .

Analogous to conjugacy classes of G_n , define a *dual class* of degree n by $e = (g_1^{\nu_1} \dots g_m^{\nu_m})$ with g_i distinct simplices and ν_i partitions. If a simplex g appears as a constituent of e , we denote it by $g \in e$. The degree of e is defined by the formula

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \deg(g_i) |\nu_i|,$$

and denoted by $\deg(e) = n$. Here $|\lambda|$ is the sum of all parts of the partition λ . If $m = 1$, e is called *primary*. By construction, there are as many dual classes of degree n as conjugacy classes of G_n .

Hereinafter $\rho = \{1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \dots\}$ denotes the partition having r_1 parts equal to 1, r_2 parts equal to 2 etc, and N_ρ the number of parts in ρ . Also for any positive integer s , $s \cdot \rho$ denotes the partition $\{s^{r_1} (2s)^{r_2} \dots\}$. Define a *substitution* into conjugacy classes as a map α sending partitions to rows of irreducible polynomials over \mathbb{F}_q , via

$$\alpha(\rho) = (f_{1,1}, \dots, f_{1,r_1}; f_{2,1}, \dots, f_{2,r_2}; \dots),$$

with

$$d(f_{i,j}) \mid i, \forall i \geq 1, j \leq r_i,$$

where $d(f)$ denotes the degree of f . In other words, it substitutes each part of the partition by an irreducible polynomial of degree dividing the part. For any part k of ρ , denoted $k \in \rho$, write $\alpha(k)$ for the polynomial substituting k . For any irreducible polynomial f over \mathbb{F}_q and positive integer k , let $r_k(\alpha, f)$ be the number of parts equal to $k \cdot d(f)$ in ρ which are substituted by f and $\rho(\alpha, f)$ be the partition $\{1^{r_1(\alpha, f)} 2^{r_2(\alpha, f)} \dots\}$. Then

$$d(f) \cdot \rho(\alpha, f) := \{(d(f))^{r_1(\alpha, f)} (2d(f))^{r_2(\alpha, f)} \dots\}$$

is the sub-partition of ρ consisting of parts substituted by f . We call α a substitution of ρ into $c \sim (f_1^{\nu_1} \dots f_N^{\nu_N})$ if

$$|\rho(\alpha, f_i)| = |\nu_i|, \forall i = 1, \dots, N.$$

We say two substitutions of ρ are *equivalent* if they are the same under a permutation on equal parts of ρ . A collection of all equivalent substitutions is called a *mode* of substitutions. For any two substitutions of the same mode m , say α_1, α_2 of ρ into c , $\rho(\alpha_1, f) = \rho(\alpha_2, f)$ for any irreducible polynomial f occurring in c , hence without ambiguity we denote it by $\rho(m, f)$.

The notion of substitution into dual classes then parallels naturally with parts of partitions substituted by simplices rather than irreducible polynomials. Note that substitution of a partition into a primary dual class is obviously just substituting all parts by the unique simplex.

Proposition 3 (Theorem 14 of [2]). *Irreducible characters of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with dual classes of degree n . For any dual class $e = (g_1^{\lambda_1} \dots g_k^{\lambda_k})$, denote by I_e the corresponding irreducible character. Then for any conjugacy class $c \sim (f_1^{\nu_1} \dots f_l^{\nu_l})$ of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ we have*

$$I_e(c) = (-1)^{n - \sum |\lambda_i|} \sum_{\rho, m, m'} \chi(m, e) Q(m', c) B_\rho(h^\rho m : \xi^\rho m'),$$

where the sum is over all partitions ρ having substitutions m into e and substitutions m' into c ,

$$\chi(m, e) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{z_{\rho(m, g_i)}} \chi_{\rho(m, g_i)}^{\lambda_i},$$

$$Q(m', c) = \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{z_{\rho(m', f_i)}} Q_{\rho(m', f_i)}^{\nu_i}(q^{d(f_i)}).$$

Moreover, the degree of I_e is

$$I_e(1) = (-1)^{n-\sum |\lambda_i|} \phi_n(q) \prod_{i=1}^k \{\lambda_i : q^{\deg(g_i)}\}.$$

The primary characters have the following more explicit form

Proposition 4 (Theorem 12 of [2]). *For any primary character $I_{(g^\lambda)}$ with $\deg(g) = s$, $s|\lambda| = n$, and any conjugacy class $c \sim (f_1^{\nu_1} \cdots f_l^{\nu_l})$ of G_n with $d(f_i) = d_i, i = 1, \dots, l$, let κ be any root of g and γ_i any root of f_i . Then we have*

$$I_{(g^\lambda)}(c) = (-1)^{n-n/s} \sum_{|\rho|=n/s, m} \chi_\rho^\lambda Q(m, c) \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{\tau \in s \cdot \rho(m, f_i)} T_{s, \tau d_i/s}(\kappa : \gamma_i),$$

where the sum over all partitions ρ of n and all mode m of substitutions of $s \cdot \rho$ into c . Its degree has the formula

$$I_{(g^\lambda)}(1) = (-1)^{n-n/s} \phi_n(q) \{\lambda : q^s\},$$

in which $\{\lambda : q\}$ is the Schur function.

We briefly explain the notations employed in Proposition 3 and Proposition 4:

$z_\rho = r_1! 2^{r_2} r_2! \cdots k^{r_k} r_k!$ (size of the centralizer of any permutation of S_n having cycle type ρ) if $\rho = \{1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \cdots k^{r_k}\}$;

χ_ρ^λ is the character value on permutations of cycle type ρ of the irreducible character of S_n associated to λ ;

for positive integers s, d, κ and any $\gamma \in \mathfrak{F}$ whose minimal polynomial f over \mathbb{F}_q has degree dividing sd , i.e. $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{sd}}$, define

$$(2.1.1) \quad T_{s,d}(\kappa : \gamma) = \theta^\kappa(\gamma^{1+q^s+\cdots+q^{(d-1)s}}) + \theta^{\kappa q}(\gamma^{1+q^s+\cdots+q^{(d-1)s}}) \\ + \cdots + \theta^{\kappa q^{s-1}}(\gamma^{1+q^s+\cdots+q^{(d-1)s}}).$$

It is easily checked that $T_{s,d}(\kappa : \gamma) = T_{s,d}(\kappa : \gamma^q)$, hence the function is independent of choice of roots of f . Therefore we can write $T_{s,d}(\kappa : f)$ without ambiguity;

$$\phi_n(q) = (q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1);$$

$B_\rho(h^\rho m : \xi^\rho m')$ will be specified later in section 2.4;

$Q(m, c)$ and $\{\lambda : q\}$ will be specified in section 2.3.

By the degree formula, we see that linear characters of G_n ($q > 2$ or $n > 2$) are all of the form $\theta^k(\det(A))$, for $k = 1, \dots, q - 1$, where $\det(A)$ is the determinant of $A \in G_n$. Actually, to get $I_e(1) = 1$ we can only have $e = (g^\lambda)$ a primary character. Then $|\phi_{\deg(g)|\lambda}(q)/\phi_\lambda(q^{\deg(g)})| = 1$ implies $\deg(g) = 1$, hence $\lambda = \{n\}$ and the character must be linear.

2.2. Frobenius sum.

To start counting $|\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^{-1}(c)|$, we rephrase more on Frobenius character formula (1.0.1) to organize later calculations. We define for any conjugacy class c of G_n the *Frobenius sum*

$$(2.2.1) \quad \mathcal{S}(c) := \frac{|\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^{-1}(c)|}{|G_n|} = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr } G_n} \frac{\chi(c)}{\chi(1)}.$$

Using notations of Green's character formula in section 2.1, for any array of partitions $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^k$ with $s_1|\lambda_1| + \dots + s_k|\lambda_k| = n$, any dual class $e = (g_1^{\lambda_1} \dots g_k^{\lambda_k})$ with $\deg(g_i) = s_i$ possess the same substitutions of partitions. We denote by $(\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})$ the set of all such dual classes and call it a *type* and denote by $e \in (\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})$ for any dual class e of the type. $I_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(1)$ denotes the degree of irreducible characters associated to dual classes of type $(\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})$, which all have the same character degree by Proposition 3. Then we define the *Frobenius partial sum*

$$(2.2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(c) &= \sum_{\deg(g_1)=s_1, \dots, \deg(g_k)=s_k} \frac{I_{(g_1^{\lambda_1} \dots g_k^{\lambda_k})}(c)}{I_{(g_1^{\lambda_1} \dots g_k^{\lambda_k})}(1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{I_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(1)} \sum_{e \in (\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})} I_{(g_1^{\lambda_1} \dots g_k^{\lambda_k})}(c) \end{aligned}$$

Note that by definition of dual classes, g_i 's are required to be distinct.

For $k = 1, s = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = \{n\}$, g_1 runs through the $q - 1$ residue classes modulo $q - 1$ and $I_{(g_1^{\{n\}})}$ are all the linear characters. If $c \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then $I_{(g_1^{\{n\}})}(c) = I_{(g_1^{\{n\}})}(1) = 1$, and

$$\mathcal{S}_{(\{n\}, (1))}(c) = q - 1.$$

Calculating Frobenius partial sums of non-linear types turn out to be difficult for general conjugacy class. In the remaining part of the section, we will try to evaluate them for regular semisimple and central classes.

2.3. Primary character values.

In this subsection, we aim to establish the following explicit evaluation of primary characters on semi-simple elements in G_n

Proposition 5. *Let $e = (g^\lambda)$ with $s = \deg(g)$ and $|\lambda| = n/s$. Suppose $c \sim (f_1^{\{1^{n_1}\}} \dots f_l^{\{1^{n_l}\}})$ is a semisimple class of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $f_i = t - a_i$ for $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ distinct and $\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i} = 1$. Then*

$$\frac{I_e(c)}{I_e(1)} = \theta^k \left(\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i/s} \right) \frac{(n/s)!}{(n_1/s)! \dots (n_k/s)!} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^l \phi_{n_i}(q)}{\phi_n(q)}.$$

To prove the proposition, we need a series of lemmas.

Lemma 6. *Let G be any finite group and V be any representation of G , then for any subgroup H of G we have*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathrm{Res}_H^G V \simeq P \otimes V \simeq V \otimes P,$$

where P is permutation representation of G on G/H .

Proof. Guaranteed by Hall's marriage theorem (see [3]), we can choose a set of g_i 's in G , $i = 1, \dots, m = [G : H]$, such that they are representatives for both left and right cosets of H . Then by definition we can construct the induced representation as

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathrm{Res}_H^G V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m g_i \otimes V,$$

and for any $a \in G$ with $ag_i = g_{\sigma_a(i)} h_i$ (naturally writing a as a permutation of the left cosets of H) for some $h_i \in H$ it acts as

$$a \cdot (g_1 \otimes v_1, \dots, g_m \otimes v_m) = (g_{\sigma_a(1)} \otimes (h_1 \cdot v_1), \dots, g_{\sigma_a(m)} \otimes (h_m \cdot v_m)),$$

in which $v_i \in V$ and $h_i \cdot v_i$ is the restricted action of H on V .

Now since g_i 's are also representatives of the right cosets of H , for any $h \in H$, $hg_i = g_i h_i$ for some $h_i \in H$, i.e. σ_h is identity as a permutation in S_m . Hence G acts on the left component of the tensor product $K[G] \otimes \mathrm{Res}_H^G V \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathrm{Res}_H^G V$ as permutation. \square

Lemma 7 (Corollary of Lemma 6). *Denote $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle|_H := \langle \mathrm{Res}_H^G \chi_1, \mathrm{Res}_H^G \chi_2 \rangle$ for any two characters χ_1, χ_2 of a finite group G and any subgroup H of G . Suppose χ_1, χ_2 are irreducible, then $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle|_H = [G : H]$ if $\chi_1 = \chi_2$; otherwise, $\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle|_H = 0$.*

Proof. By Frobenius reciprocity we have

$$\langle \mathrm{Res}_H^G \chi_1, \mathrm{Res}_H^G \chi_2 \rangle = \langle \chi_1, \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathrm{Res}_H^G \chi_2 \rangle.$$

Let V_i be the representation of G corresponding to $\chi_i, i = 1, 2$, then by Proposition 6 and tensor-hom adjunction we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(V_1, \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathrm{Res}_H^G V_2) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(V_1, V_2 \otimes P) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(V_1, V_2) \otimes P,$$

where P is the permutation representation of G on G/H . Suppose V_1, V_2 are both irreducible, then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(V_1, V_2) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & \text{if } V_1 \simeq V_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(V_1, \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathrm{Res}_H^G V_2) \simeq \begin{cases} P, & \text{if } V_1 \simeq V_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Altogether we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Res}_H^G \chi_1, \text{Res}_H^G \chi_2 \rangle &= \langle \chi_1, \text{Ind}_H^G \text{Res}_H^G \chi_2 \rangle \\ &= \dim \text{Hom}_G(V_1, \text{Ind}_H^G \text{Res}_H^G V_2) = \begin{cases} [G : H], & \text{if } \chi_1 = \chi_2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

□

Schur functions are crucial to our calculation, so we give a brief account of knowledge following D. Littlewood [5].

Let $A = (a_{i,j})_{n \times n}$ be any n by n square matrix and χ^λ be the irreducible character of S_n associated to the partition λ of n . Define the *immanant* of A corresponding to λ as

$$(2.3.1) \quad |A|^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi_\sigma^\lambda a_{1,\sigma(1)} a_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n,\sigma(n)},$$

where χ_σ^λ is the value of χ^λ on σ . For $\lambda = \{1^n\}$, $\chi_\sigma^{\{1^n\}} = \text{sgn}(\sigma) = \pm 1$, hence $|A|^{\{1^n\}} = |A|$ is the ordinary matrix determinant. General immanants are not multiplicative but they satisfy the basic property of conjugate invariance as determinant does, i.e. $|BAB^{-1}|^{(\lambda)} = |A|^{(\lambda)}$ for any invertible n by n matrix B .

For any n variables $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, let $s_i = \alpha_1^i + \cdots + \alpha_n^i$ be the basic symmetric functions on the variables. Define a matrix $s = s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of the following shape

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & 1 & & & \\ s_2 & s_1 & 2 & & \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & n-1 & \\ s_n & s_{n-1} & \cdots & s_1 & \end{pmatrix},$$

which comes from the Newton's identities $s(-e_1, e_2, \dots, (-1)^n e_n)^T = 0$ with e_i the elementary symmetric functions on $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$. Now for any partition λ of n we define the *Schur function* $\{\lambda\}$ on α_i 's as

$$(2.3.2) \quad \{\lambda\} = \frac{1}{n!} |s|^{(\lambda)}.$$

We include two basic results on Schur functions introduced in [5].

Lemma 8 (6.2 of [5]). *For any partition $\lambda = (1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \cdots k^{r_k})$ of n , let $s_\lambda = s_1^{r_1} s_2^{r_2} \cdots s_k^{r_k}$. Then*

$$\{\lambda\} = \sum_{|\rho|=n} \frac{1}{z_\rho} s_\rho,$$

summing over all partitions of n . On the other hand, we also have

$$s_\lambda = \sum_{|\mu|=n} \chi_\lambda^\mu \{\mu\}.$$

A special case of use is the following

Lemma 9. For any partition $\rho = \{1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \cdots k^{r_k}\}$ of n , set

$$\beta_\rho(q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{r_1} (1-q^2)^{r_2} \cdots (1-q^k)^{r_k}}.$$

Then

$$\beta_\rho(q) = \sum_{|\lambda|=n} \chi_\rho^\lambda \{\lambda : q\},$$

where $\{\lambda : q\}$ is the Schur function on $1, q, \dots, q^n$ as of Lemma 10. On the other hand,

$$\{\lambda : q\} = \sum_{|\rho|=n} \frac{1}{z_\rho} \chi_\rho^\lambda \beta_\rho(q).$$

Proof. Consider the generalized Schur functions on infinitely many variables introduced in 6.4 of [5]. Let the variables be $\alpha_i = t^i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ for any variable t , and formally let $s_r = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i^r = 1/(1-t^r)$. Then use the similar construction we get identities as of Lemma 8. Finally substitute t by q in the identities which is valid since both sides converge. \square

Another special case of Schur functions explicitly computable by Jacobi-Trudi equation (see 6.3 of [5]) is crucial to calculation of character degrees of G_n .

Lemma 10 (7.1 of [5]). For any partition $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l\}$ of n with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l$, let $n_\lambda = \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3 + \dots + (l-1)\lambda_l$ and $\{\lambda : q\}$ be the Schur function on $1, q, \dots, q^n$ corresponding to λ , then

$$\{\lambda : q\} = q^{n_\lambda} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq l} (1 - q^{\lambda_r - \lambda_s - r + s})}{\prod_{r=1}^l \phi_{\lambda_r + l - r}(q)},$$

where $\phi_k(t) = (1-t) \cdots (1-t^k)$ for any positive integer k .

Recall the definition of simplex from subsection 2.1. The roots of simplices of degree s have representatives k between 1 and $q^s - 1$ such that k, kq, \dots, kq^{s-1} are all distinct modulo $q^s - 1$. We denote by \mathfrak{K}_s the set of such numbers in $\{1, \dots, q^s - 1\}$.

For example, $\mathfrak{K}_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$ by definition. For $s=2$, we need to confine $k \not\equiv kq \pmod{q^2-1}$, i.e. $q^2-1 \nmid t(q-1)$ or $q+1 \nmid t$, hence

$$\mathfrak{K}_2 = \{1, 2, \dots, q^2-1\} \setminus \{q+1, 2(q+1), \dots, (q-1)(q+1)\}.$$

In general, if $kq^i \equiv kq^j \pmod{q^s-1}$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq s-1$, then $(q^s-1) \mid sq^i(q^{j-i}-1)$, or $(q^s-1) \mid i(q^{j-i}-1)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{1 \leq t \leq q^s-1\} \setminus \mathfrak{K}_s &= \{1 \leq t \leq q^s-1, t = l \frac{q^s-1}{q^r-1}, \exists r \mid s, 1 \leq r < s\} \\ &= \bigcup_{1 \leq r < s, r \mid s} \frac{q^s-1}{q^r-1} \{1 \leq t \leq q^r-1\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for any $r|s, r'|s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^s - 1}{q^r - 1} \{1 \leq k \leq q^r - 1\} \cap \frac{q^s - 1}{q^{r'} - 1} \{1 \leq k \leq q^{r'} - 1\} \\ &= \frac{q^s - 1}{q^{(r,r')} - 1} \{1 \leq k \leq q^{(r,r')} - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the inclusion-exclusion principle we immediately get

Lemma 11. *For any $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_{q^s}$ and $\theta : \mathfrak{F}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ fixed in section 2.1,*

$$\sum_{\kappa \in \mathfrak{K}_s} \theta^\kappa(\xi) = \sum_{r|s} \mu(s/r) \sum_{t=1}^{q^r-1} \theta^{t(q^s-1)/(q^r-1)}(\xi) = \sum_{r|\text{id}_s(\xi)} \mu(s/r)(q^r - 1),$$

in which μ is the Möbius function and $\text{id}_s(\xi)$ denotes the largest divisor r of s such that $\xi^{(q^s-1)/(q^r-1)} = 1$.

We call $\text{id}_s(\xi)$ the *identity* of ξ with respect to s . Clearly $\text{id}_s(1) = s$. Also, if there is no divisor $r | s$ such that $\xi^{(q^s-1)/(q^r-1)} = 1$, we let $\text{id}_s(\xi) = 0$ so that $\sum_{\kappa \in \mathfrak{K}_s} \theta^\kappa(\xi) = 0$ vacantly.

In addition, we need explicit value of Green's polynomials in some special cases.

Lemma 12 (Appendix tables of $Q_\rho^\lambda(q)$, [2]). *For any partitions λ, ρ of n with $\rho = \{1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \dots k^{r_k}\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\rho^{\{n\}}(q) &= 1, \\ Q_\rho^{\{1^n\}}(q) &= \frac{\phi_n(q)}{(1-q)^{r_1} (1-q^2)^{r_2} \dots (1-q^k)^{r_k}}, \\ Q_{\{n\}}^\lambda(q) &= \phi_{N_\lambda-1}(q), \\ Q_\rho^\lambda(q) &\text{ has leading term } \chi_\rho^\lambda q^{n_\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

where $n_\lambda = \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3 + \dots + (l-1)\lambda_l$ if $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l\}$ with λ_i descending.

We define

$$\beta_\rho(q) := \frac{1}{(1-q)^{r_1} (1-q^2)^{r_2} \dots (1-q^k)^{r_k}}.$$

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 5.

Proof of Proposition 5. By Green's character formula as of Proposition 4, for any root $k \in g$,

$$(2.3.3) \quad I_e(c) = (-1)^{n-n/s} \sum_{|\rho|=n/s, m} \chi_\rho^\lambda Q(m, c) \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{\tau \in \rho(m, f_i)} T_{s, \tau}(k : a_i),$$

summing over all modes m of substitutions of $s \cdot \rho$ into c . Explicitly,

$$Q(m, c) = \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{z_{s \cdot \rho(m, f_i)}} Q_{s \cdot \rho(m, f_i)}^{\{1^{n_i}\}}(q)$$

By Lemma 12, if $\rho(m, f_i) = \{1^{r_{i,1}} 2^{r_{i,2}} \dots\}$, then

$$Q_{s, \rho(M, f_i)}^{\{1^{n_i}\}}(q) = \phi_{n_i}(q) \frac{1}{(1-q^s)^{r_{i,1}} (1-q^{2s})^{r_{i,2}} \dots} = \phi_{n_i}(q) \beta_{\rho(m, f_i)}(q^s).$$

Since $\beta_\nu(t)$ is clearly multiplicative, i.e. $\beta_\nu(t) \cdot \beta_\mu(t) = \beta_{\nu+\mu}(t)$ for $\nu + \mu$ the partition collecting all parts of ν and μ , we can write

$$\prod_{i=1}^l Q_{s, \rho(m, f_i)}^{\{1^{n_i}\}}(q) = \phi_{n_1}(q) \cdots \phi_{n_l}(q) \beta_\rho(q^s).$$

As to the function T , by the expression (2.1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{\tau \in \rho(m, f_i)} T_{s, \tau}(k : a_i) &= \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{\tau \in \rho(m, f_i)} \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \theta^{kq^j} (a_i^\tau) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{\tau \in \rho(M, f_i)} s \theta^k (a_i^\tau) \\ &= s^{N_\rho} \theta^k \left(\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i/s} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now plug in these acquisitions to (2.3.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^{n-n/s} I_c(c) \\ &= \theta^k \left(\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i/s} \right) \prod_{i=1}^l \phi_{n_i}(q) \sum_{|\rho|=n/s, m} \chi_\pi^\lambda s^{N_\rho} \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{z_{s, \rho(m, f_i)}} \beta_{\rho(m, f_i)}(q^s) \\ &= \theta^k \left(\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i/s} \right) \prod_{i=1}^l \phi_{n_i}(q) \sum_{|\rho_i|=n_i/s} \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{z_{\rho_i}} \right) \chi_{\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_l}^\lambda \beta_{\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_l}(q^s). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 9, we can write

$$\beta_{\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_l}(q^s) = \sum_{|\mu|=n/s} \chi_{\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_l}^\mu \{\mu : q^s\},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{|\rho_i|=n_i/s} \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{z_{\rho_i}} \right) \chi_{\rho_1+\dots+\rho_l} \beta_{\rho_1+\dots+\rho_l}(q^s) \\
 &= \sum_{|\rho_i|=n_i/s} \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{z_{\rho_i}} \right) \chi_{\rho_1+\dots+\rho_l} \sum_{|\mu|=n/s} \chi_{\rho_1+\dots+\rho_l}^{\mu} \{\mu : q^s\} \\
 &= \sum_{|\mu|=n/s} \{\mu : q^s\} \sum_{|\rho_i|=n_i/s} \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{z_{\rho_i}} \right) \chi_{\rho_1+\dots+\rho_l}^{\lambda} \chi_{\rho_1+\dots+\rho_l}^{\mu} \\
 &= \sum_{|\mu|=n/s} \{\mu : q^s\} \langle \chi^{\lambda}, \chi^{\mu} \rangle |_{S_{n_1/s} \times \dots \times S_{n_k/s}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7 we have

$$\langle \chi^{\lambda}, \chi^{\mu} \rangle |_{S_{n_1/s} \times \dots \times S_{n_k/s}} = [S_{n/s} : S_{n_1/s} \times \dots \times S_{n_k/s}] = \frac{(n/s)!}{(n_1/s)! \dots (n_k/s)!}$$

if $\mu = \lambda$, or 0 otherwise. Hence

$$\sum_{|\pi_i|=n_i/s} \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{z_{\pi_i}} \right) \chi_{\pi_1+\dots+\pi_l}^{\lambda} \beta_{\pi_1+\dots+\pi_l}(q^s) = \frac{(n/s)!}{(n_1/s)! \dots (n_k/s)!} \{\lambda : q^s\}.$$

and this in turn gives

$$I_e(c) = (-1)^{n-n/s} \theta^k \left(\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i/s} \right) \frac{(n/s)!}{(n_1/s)! \dots (n_k/s)!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \phi_{n_i}(q) \right) \{\lambda : q^s\}.$$

By the character degree formula of Proposition 4 that $I_e(1) = (-1)^{n-n/s} \phi_n(q) \{\lambda : q^s\}$, we finally get the character ratio

$$\frac{I_e(c)}{I_e(1)} = \theta^k \left(\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i/s} \right) \frac{(n/s)!}{(n_1/s)! \dots (n_k/s)!} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^l \phi_{n_i}(q)}{\phi_n(q)}.$$

□

Corollary 13. *With the same notations as of Proposition 5,*

$$\mathcal{S}_{(\lambda),(s)}(c) = \frac{(n/s)!}{(n_1/s)! \dots (n_k/s)!} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^l \phi_{n_i}(q)}{\phi_n(q)} \frac{1}{s} \sum_{r | \text{id}_s(\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i/s})} \mu(s/r) (q^r - 1),$$

where id_s is as of Lemma 11 and μ is the Möbius function.

For any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$, integer s and its divisor r ,

$$a^{\frac{q^s-1}{q^r-1}} = a^{\frac{s}{r}}.$$

If its order $\text{ord}(a)$ divides s , then we see that $\text{id}_s(a) = \frac{s}{\text{ord}(a)}$; otherwise $\text{id}_s(a) = 0$. Note that for c as in Proposition 5, $\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i} = 1$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the order d of $\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i/s}$ in \mathbb{F}_q^\times divides s , hence $\text{id}_s(\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i/s}) = \frac{s}{d}$.

By obvious estimate,

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^l \phi_{n_i}(q)}{\phi_n(q)} = (1 + o(1))q^{-\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} n_i n_j}$$

and

$$\sum_{r | \text{id}_s(\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i/s})} \mu(s/r)(q^r - 1) = (1 + o(1))q^{s/d},$$

or $o(q^{s/d})$, depending on $\mu(d)$. Since $s \mid n_i$, if $l \geq 2$, i.e. c is non-central semisimple, then $-\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} n_i n_j + s/d \leq 0$. Thus we prove

Corollary 14. *Suppose c is a non-scalar semisimple conjugacy class and $e = (g^\lambda)$ as in Proposition 5. Let d be the order of $\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i/s}$ in \mathbb{F}_q^\times . If d divides s , then*

$$\mathcal{S}_{(\lambda), (s)}(c) = \left(\frac{1}{s} + o(1)\right)q^{-\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} n_i n_j + s/d}.$$

If $d \nmid s$, then $\mathcal{S}_{(\lambda), (s)}(c) = 0$.

In either case, we prove

Corollary 15. *With notations as above, the Frobenius partial sums of primary types on non-central semisimple c have*

$$\mathcal{S}_{(\lambda), (s)}(c) = O(1).$$

This result relates to our tentative guess that $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\lambda}, s}(c) = O(1)$ for general type on non-central conjugacy class, which is supposed to improve the numerical flatness result of [4] to *numerical geometric connectedness*.

2.4. General character values.

Set $e = (g_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots g_k^{\lambda_k})$ with $s_i = \deg(g_i)$ all distinct and $c \sim (f_1^{\{1^{n_1}\}} \cdots f_l^{\{1^{n_l}\}})$ is a semisimple class of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $f_i = t - a_i$ for $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ distinct and $\prod_{i=1}^l a_i^{n_i} = 1$. We will specify the term $B_\rho(h^\rho m : \xi^\rho m')$ in Green's general character as of Proposition 3 in this case.

For any $\rho = (1^{r_1} 2^{r_2} \cdots)$, associate a row of variables

$$Y^\rho = \{y_{11}, \cdots, y_{1r_1}; y_{21}, \cdots, y_{2r_2}; \cdots\}.$$

Then a substitution m of ρ into dual classes (conjugacy classes) can be seen as a substitution of variables y_{di} by simplices of degree dividing d (monic irreducible polynomials of degree dividing d over \mathbb{F}_q) which we denote by $y_{di}m$. Theorem 14 of [2] expresses $B_\rho(h^\rho : \xi^\rho)$ with intermediates

$$h^\rho := \{h_{11}, \cdots, h_{1r_1}; h_{21}, \cdots, h_{2r_2}; \cdots\},$$

and

$$\xi^\rho := \{\xi_{11}, \dots, \xi_{1r_1}; \xi_{21}, \dots, \xi_{2r_2}; \dots\},$$

as follows:

$$B_\rho(h^\rho : \xi^\rho) = \prod_d \sum_{1', \dots, r'_d} S_d(h_{d1} : \xi_{d1'}) \cdots S_d(h_{dr_d} : \xi_{dr'_d})$$

where the inner sum is over all permutations $1', \dots, r'_d$ of $1, \dots, r_d$ and

$$S_d(h : \xi) = \theta^h(\xi) + \theta^{hq}(\xi) + \cdots + \theta^{hq^{d-1}}(\xi)$$

with the embedding $\theta : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ fixed in the beginning of section 2.1.

For any substitution m of ρ into e , by Definition 8.1 of [2], the intermediate h_{di} is substituted by

$$h_{di}m = \kappa_{di} \frac{q^d - 1}{q^{s_{di}} - 1},$$

where $\kappa_{di} \in y_{di}m$ and $s_{di} = \deg(y_{di}m)$. For any substitution m' of ρ into c , by Definition 4.9 of [2], the intermediate ξ_{di} is substituted by $\xi_{di}m' = \gamma_{di}$ for any root γ_{di} of $y_{di}m'$. Clearly we can write

$$S_d(h_{di}m : \xi_{di}m') = \frac{d}{s_{di}} \sum_{\kappa \in h_{di}m} \theta^{\kappa \frac{q^d - 1}{q^{s_{di}} - 1}}(\xi_{di}m').$$

Let \mathcal{S}_ρ be the permutation group permuting parts of ρ and preserving its equal parts. Let $\sigma(\tau)$ be the part that τ is sent to for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\rho$ and $\tau \in \rho$. Then recalling the notion of substitution from section 2.1 we can write

$$(2.4.1) \quad B_\rho(h^\rho m : \xi^\rho m') = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\rho} \prod_{g \in e} \prod_{\tau \in \rho(m, g)} \tau \sum_{\kappa \in g} \theta^{\kappa \frac{q^{\tau \deg(g)} - 1}{q^{\deg(g)} - 1}}(\xi_{\sigma(\tau)} m').$$

For c semisimple, $\xi_{di}m'$ all lie in \mathbb{F}_q . Hence

$$S_d(h_{di}m : \xi_{di}m') = d\theta^{h_{di}m}(\xi_{di}m') = d\theta^{\kappa_{di}d/s_{di}}(\xi_{di}m'),$$

and

$$(2.4.2) \quad B_\rho(h^\rho m : \xi^\rho m') = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\rho} \prod_{g \in e} \prod_{\tau \in \rho(m, g)} \tau \deg(g) \theta^{\kappa_g \tau}(\xi_{\sigma(\tau)} m'),$$

where $\kappa_g \in g$. In general, the sums in (2.4.1) and (2.4.2) are impenetrable by simple arrangement. Rather we resort to the Frobenius partial sum on dual classes of a given type. First by Lemma 12 we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q(m', c) &= \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{z_{\rho(m', f_i)}} Q_{\rho(m', f_i)}^{\{1^{n_i}\}}(q^{d(f_i)}) \\ &= \phi_{n_1}(q) \cdots \phi_{n_l}(q) \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{z_{\rho(m', f_i)}} \beta_{\rho(m', f_i)}(q) \\ &= \phi_{n_1}(q) \cdots \phi_{n_l}(q) \frac{\beta_\rho(q)}{\prod_{i=1}^l z_{\rho(m', f_i)}}, \end{aligned}$$

since β_ρ is additive and $\rho(m', f_1) + \cdots + \rho(m', f_l) = \rho$ in our case. Then by Proposition 3 and (2.2.2) we get for $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(c) &= \frac{1}{I_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(1)} \sum_{\deg(g_1)=s_1, \dots, \deg(g_k)=s_k} I_{(g_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots g_k^{\lambda_k})}(c) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n - \sum_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j|}}{I_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(1)} \sum_{e \in (\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})} \sum_{\rho, m, m'} \chi(m, e) Q(m', c) B_\rho(h^\rho m : \xi^\rho m') \end{aligned} \quad (2.4.3)$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{n - \sum_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j|}}{I_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(1)} \sum_{\rho, m, m'} \chi(m, e) Q(m', c) \sum_{e \in (\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})} B_\rho(h^\rho m : \xi^\rho m') \quad (2.4.4)$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{n - \sum_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j|} \prod_{i=1}^l \phi_{n_i}(q)}{I_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(1)} \sum_{\rho, m, m'} \frac{\beta_\rho(q) \chi(m, e)}{\prod_{i=1}^l z_{\rho(m', f_i)}} \sum_{e \in (\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})} B_\rho(h^\rho m : \xi^\rho m'),$$

where (2.4.3) is for general conjugacy class and (2.4.4) is for semisimple class $c \sim (f_1^{\{1^{n_1}\}} \cdots f_l^{\{1^{n_l}\}})$. Note that substitutions can be defined unambiguously from ρ into the type $(\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})$ since they are commonly shared by each dual class in it. The inner sum

$$B_{\rho, \bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}, c, m, m'} := \sum_{e \in (\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})} B_\rho(h^\rho m : \xi^\rho m') \quad (2.4.5)$$

is key to our calculation. By (2.4.1) we have

$$B_{\rho, \bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}, c, m, m'} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\rho} \prod_{g \in e} \sum_{\kappa \in \mathfrak{K}_{\deg(g)}}^* \prod_{\tau \in \rho(m, g)} \tau \theta^{\kappa \frac{q^{\tau \deg(g)} - 1}{q^{\deg(g)} - 1}} (\xi_{\sigma(\tau)} m'), \quad (2.4.6)$$

in which \sum^* indicates avoiding duplicates of simplices if e contains multiple s -simplices. If simplices appearing in e all have distinct degrees, we call its type $(\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})$ a *distinct* type. In this case \sum^* becomes \sum and Lemma 11 immediately gives

Proposition 16. *With the notations above, for general conjugacy class c and dual class $e = (g_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots g_k^{\lambda_k})$ belonging to the distinct type $(\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})$, we have*

$$B_{\rho, \bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}, c, m, m'} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\rho} \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{\tau \in \rho(m, g_i)} \tau \sum_{r | \text{id}_{s_i}} \mu \left(\frac{s_i}{r} \right) (q^r - 1) \left(\prod_{\tau \in \rho(m, g_i)} (\xi_{\sigma(\tau)} m')^{\frac{q^{\tau s_i} - 1}{q^{s_i} - 1}} \right)$$

This result will be mainly used to deal with general irreducible character values on central and regular semisimple elements.

2.5. Frobenius sum on regular semisimple elements.

Provided the previous results, the Frobenius sum on regular semisimple elements turn out easiest to be estimated. We first introduce the following lemmas as preparation.

Lemma 17. *Suppose $\deg(g) = s$, then*

$$I_{(g^{\{n/s\}})}(1) = \frac{\phi_n(q)}{\phi_{n/s}(q^s)} = (1 + o(1))q^{n(n-n/s)/2}.$$

Proof. With notations of Lemma 10, for $\lambda = \{n/s\}$, $n_\lambda = 0$, hence

$$\{\lambda : q^s\} = \frac{1}{\phi_{n/s}(q^s)}.$$

The lemma follows from the character degree formula of Proposition 4. \square

Lemma 18. *$I_{(g^\lambda)}(1) \geq I_{(g^{\{n/\deg(g)\}})}(1)$ in terms of highest power of q , for any $|\lambda| = v$. In general, for $e = (g_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots g_k^{\lambda_k})$ with $\deg(g_i) = s_i$, $|\lambda_i| = \nu_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k s_i \nu_i = n$, we have $I_e(1) \geq I_{(g_1^{\{\nu_1\}} \cdots g_k^{\{\nu_k\}})}(1)$. More explicitly,*

$$I_e(1) \geq \frac{\phi_n(q)}{\prod_{i=1}^k \phi_{\nu_i}(q^{s_i})} = (1 + o(1))q^{(n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k s_i \nu_i^2)/2}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4 we have

$$I_{(g^\lambda)}(1) = \phi_n(q)\{\lambda : q^s\},$$

and by Lemma 10

$$\{\lambda : q\} = \frac{q^{l_2+2l_3+\cdots} \prod_{1 \leq r < t \leq u} (1 - q^{l_r - l_t - r + t})}{\prod_{r=1}^u \phi_{l_r + u - r}(q)},$$

if $\lambda = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_u\}$ with $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_u > 0$. To prove the desired result, we just need to show $\{\lambda : q\} \gtrsim \phi_{|\lambda|}^{-1}(q)$. Rather than directly compare them, we comprise to show

$$\{\lambda : q\} \phi_{|\lambda|}(q) \gtrsim \{\lambda : q\} \prod_{r=1}^u \phi_{l_r}(q) \gtrsim 1.$$

By the above formula we get

$$\{\lambda : q\} \prod_{r=1}^u \phi_{l_r}(q) \sim q^{l_2+2l_3+\cdots+\sum_{1 \leq r < t \leq u} (l_r - l_t - r + t) - \sum_{r=1}^u \sum_{t=1}^{u-r} (l_r + t)}.$$

We compute the middle sum that

$$\sum_{1 \leq r < t \leq u} (l_r - l_t - r + t) = \sum_{r=1}^u (u-r)l_r - \sum_{r=1}^u (r-1)l_r + \sum_{r=1}^u (u-2r+1)(u-r),$$

then the starting sum that

$$l_2 + 2l_3 + \cdots = \sum_{r=1}^u (r-1)l_r,$$

and the last sum that

$$\sum_{r=1}^u \sum_{t=1}^{u-r} (l_r + t) = \sum_{r=1}^u [(u-r)l_r + (u-r+1)(u-r)/2].$$

Then combining all those we get

$$\sum_{r=1}^u (u-r)(u-3r+1)/2.$$

Then this sum is supposed to vanish and it is indeed so for $u \geq 1$. Thus we proved the desired result in the primary case.

In general for $e = (g_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots g_k^{\lambda_k})$, by Proposition 3 we have

$$I_e(1) = \frac{\phi_n(q)}{\prod_{i=1}^k \phi_{s_i \nu_i}(q)} \prod_{i=1}^k I_{(g_i^{\lambda_i})}(1).$$

Then the inequality follows from that of the primary case. \square

Proposition 19 (Theorem 1). *For any regular semisimple $c \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$,*

$$\sum \frac{\chi(c)}{\chi(1)} \sim O(1),$$

where the sum is over all non-linear irreducible characters of G_n .

Proof. Assume $c \sim \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ with a_i all distinct and $a_1 \cdots a_n = 1$. It suffices need to show each Frobenius partial sum over any non-linear type is $O(1)$. The primary case has been verified by Corollary 15, so we just need to consider for the type $(\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})$ of $e = (g_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots g_k^{\lambda_k})$ with $k \geq 2$. By Proposition 3, $I_e(c) \neq 0$ only for dual classes $e = (g_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots g_k^{\lambda_k})$ with $\deg(g_i) = 1$, and the only partition having substitution into c is $\{1^n\}$. Then

$$Q(m', c) = 1, \chi(m, e) = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{|\lambda_j|!} \chi^{\lambda_j}(1),$$

since $\rho(m, g_j) = \{1^{|\lambda_j|}\}$, and (2.4.1) becomes

$$(2.5.1) \quad B_\rho(h^\rho m : \xi^\rho m') = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{\tau \in \{1^{|\lambda_j|}\}} \theta^{\kappa_j}(\xi_{\sigma(\tau)} m')$$

Hence (2.4.3) becomes

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(c) = \frac{B_{\{1^n\}, \bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}, c, m, m'}}{I_e(1) \prod_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j|!}.$$

Clearly $B_{\{1^n\}, \bar{\lambda}, s, c, m, m'} = O(q^k)$. By Lemma 18, for $k \geq 2$ we have in terms of power of q ,

$$I_e(1) \geq q^{(n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k s_i \nu_i^2)/2} \geq q^{(n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k (s_i \nu_i)^2)/2}.$$

Let $n_i = s_i \nu_i$, then $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$ and

$$n^2 - (n_1^2 + \cdots + n_k^2) = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k} n_i n_j \geq k^2 - k.$$

Thus in terms of power of q ,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\lambda}, s}(c) = \frac{B_{\{1^n\}, \bar{\lambda}, s, c, m, m'}}{I_e(1)} \leq q^{k - (k^2 - k)} = q^{2k - k^2} = O(1)$$

for $k \geq 2$. Hence the proof of the proposition or Theorem 1. \square

2.6. Frobenius sum on center of $SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

If c is a scalar matrix of $SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, say $c = \xi I_n$ with $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_q$, denoted by ξ without ambiguity hereinafter, we have $\xi^n = 1$, i.e. $\text{ord}(\xi) \mid n$. Moreover if $\text{ord}(\xi)$ divides s (note that $s \mid n$) then $\text{id}_s(\xi) = \frac{s}{\text{ord}(\xi)}$. Thus from Proposition 5, we deduce

Corollary 20. *Keep notations as of Proposition 5. If $c = \xi$ with $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ and $\xi^n = 1$, then*

$$\frac{I_e(\xi)}{I_e(1)} = \theta^k(\xi^{n/s})$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{(\lambda), (s)}(\xi) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{r \mid \frac{s}{\text{ord}(\xi)}} \mu(s/r)(q^r - 1) = \left(\frac{1}{s} + o(1) \right) q^{s/\text{ord}(\xi)},$$

or $o(q^{s/\text{ord}(\xi)})$, depending on $\mu(\text{ord}(\xi))$.

For general types, we need to deal with $B_\rho(h^\rho m : \xi^\rho m')$ more carefully. Now that $\xi^\rho m'$ are all ξ , (2.4.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} B_\rho(h^\rho m : \xi) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\rho} \prod_{g \in e} \prod_{\tau \in \rho(m, g)} \tau \deg(g) \theta^{\kappa g \tau}(\xi) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_\rho} \prod_{g \in e} \prod_{\tau \in \rho(m, g)} \tau \deg(g) \right) \left(\prod_{g \in e} \prod_{\tau \in \rho(m, g)} \theta^{\kappa g \tau}(\xi) \right) \\ &= z_\rho \prod_{g \in e} \theta^{\kappa g |\lambda_g|}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where $e = (\cdots g^{\lambda_g} \cdots)$. Then for $c = \xi$, $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_k)$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \cdots, s_k)$, (2.4.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(\xi) &= \frac{(-1)^{n - \sum_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j|} \phi_n(q)}{I_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(1)} \sum_{\rho, m} \frac{\beta_\rho(q) \chi(m, e)}{z_\rho} \sum_{e \in (\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})} z_\rho \prod_{g \in e} \theta^{\kappa_g |\lambda_g|}(\xi) \\ (2.6.1) \quad &= \frac{(-1)^{n - \sum_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j|} \phi_n(q)}{I_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(1)} \left(\sum_{e \in (\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})} \prod_{g \in e} \theta^{\kappa_g |\lambda_g|}(\xi) \right) \sum_{\rho, m} \beta_\rho(q) \chi(m, e). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling Proposition 3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\rho, m} \beta_\rho(q) \chi(m, e) &= \sum_{\rho, m} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{z_{\rho(m, g_j)}} \chi_{\rho(m, g_j)}^{\lambda_j} \beta_{\rho(m, g_j)}(q^{s_j}) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k \sum_{|\rho_j| = |\lambda_j|} \frac{1}{z_{\rho_j}} \chi_{\rho_j}^{\lambda_j} \beta_{\rho_j}(q^{s_j}) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k \{\lambda_j : q^{s_j}\}, \end{aligned}$$

Again by Proposition 3,

$$I_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(1) = (-1)^{n - \sum |\lambda_j|} \phi_n(q) \prod_{j=1}^k \{\lambda_j : q^{s_j}\},$$

whence (2.6.1) becomes

Proposition 21. *With notations as above,*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(\xi) = \sum_{e \in (\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})} \prod_{g \in e} \theta^{\kappa_g |\lambda_g|}(\xi).$$

Together with Lemma 11 we get

Corollary 22. *For any distinct type $(\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})$,*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(\xi) = \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{s_j} \sum_{r | \text{id}_{s_j}(\xi^{|\lambda_j|})} \mu(s_j/r) (q^r - 1).$$

Clearly if $\text{ord}(\xi) \mid s_j |\lambda_j|$, then $\text{id}_{s_j}(\xi^{|\lambda_j|}) = \text{gcd}\left(s_j, \frac{s_j |\lambda_j|}{\text{ord}(\xi)}\right) \leq \frac{s_j |\lambda_j|}{\text{ord}(\xi)}$; otherwise $\text{id}_{s_j}(\xi^{|\lambda_j|}) = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(\xi) \neq 0$ only if $\text{ord}(\xi) \mid \text{gcd}(s_1 |\lambda_1|, \cdots, s_k |\lambda_k|)$, and the highest power of q in $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s}}(\xi)$ is $q^{n/\text{ord}(\xi)}$, which are all achieved by types $(\bar{\lambda}, \mathbf{s})$ with λ_j 's all partitions of $\text{ord}(\xi)$. This implies

Corollary 23. For any $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ with $\text{ord}(\xi) \mid n$,

$$\mathcal{S}(\xi) = \left(p(\text{ord}(\xi)) \sum_{|\rho|=\frac{n}{\text{ord}(\xi)}} \prod_{\tau \in \rho} \frac{1}{\tau} + o(1) \right) q^{n/\text{ord}(\xi)},$$

where $p(m)$ is the partition number of m .

By model theory or the same argument by Lang-Weil bound used in [4], the numerical result above suffices to show that $\dim[\cdot, \cdot]^{-1}(\xi) = \dim G_n + n/\text{ord}(\xi)$. However, we shall avoid the technicality and instead give it direct geometric proof in section 3.

3. GEOMETRY OF FIBERS OVER CENTER OF $SL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

In general, for any group G and $g \in G$, let $C(g)$ be the centralizer of g in G . Then $C(g)$ acts on $[\cdot, \cdot]^{-1}(g)$ by conjugation via $a \cdot (x, y) = (axa^{-1}, aya^{-1})$ for any $a \in C(g)$ and $(x, y) \in [\cdot, \cdot]^{-1}(g)$, since $[axa^{-1}, aya^{-1}] = aga^{-1} = a$. If g belongs to the center of G , then G acts on its commutator fiber, which provides a description of the fiber by its G -orbits. In our case, the G_n -orbits of commutator fibers over the center of SL_n can be made explicit as follows. Without loss of generality, we work over \mathbb{C} .

First, we locate some typical points in the commutator fiber. For $n = ml, l \geq 1$, ξ be a primitive n -th root of unity and any $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$, let

$$(3.0.1) \quad \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi) = \text{diag}(b_1, \dots, b_m, \xi^m b_1, \dots, \xi^m b_m, \dots, \xi^{(l-1)m} b_1, \dots, \xi^{(l-1)m} b_m)$$

and $\sigma \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the n -cycle $(1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n)$. Then we can simply compute and verify that

$$[\sigma^m, \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)] = \xi^m.$$

To get more sophisticated points in the fiber, we define a subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ as follows.

Suppose $m = m_1 + \dots + m_k$ with $m_i \geq 1$ and $b_1 = \dots = b_{m_1} = \beta_1$, $b_{m_1+1} = \dots = b_{m_1+m_2} = \beta_2$, \dots , $b_{m_1+\dots+m_{k-1}+1} = \dots = b_m = \beta_k$ with $\beta_i \beta_j^{-1} \neq \xi^{rm}$ for $i \neq j$ and any integer r , then

$$C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)) \simeq (GL_{m_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times GL_{m_k}(\mathbb{C}))^l,$$

with each $GL_{m_i}(\mathbb{C})$ arranged diagonally in correspondence with appearance of β_i . Thus for any $A, B \in C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$, they are of the form

$$(3.0.2) \quad A \sim \text{diag}(A_{11}, \dots, A_{1k}; \dots; A_{l1}, \dots, A_{lk}), \quad A_{ij} \in GL_{m_j}(\mathbb{C}), \forall 1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

$$B \sim \text{diag}(B_{11}, \dots, B_{1k}; \dots; B_{l1}, \dots, B_{lk}), \quad B_{ij} \in GL_{m_j}(\mathbb{C}), \forall 1 \leq i \leq l, 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

and if $A = [\sigma^{-m}, B]$

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \sigma^{-m} B \sigma^m B^{-1} \\
&= \text{diag}(B_{l1} B_{11}^{-1}, \dots, B_{lk} B_{1k}^{-1}; B_{11} B_{21}^{-1}, \dots, B_{2,k}^{-1}; \dots; B_{(l-1)1} B_{l1}^{-1}, \dots, B_{(l-1)k} B_{lk}^{-1}) \\
(3.0.3) \quad &\Rightarrow A_{1j} A_{2j} \cdots A_{lj} = B_{lj} B_{1j}^{-1} B_{1j} B_{2j}^{-1} \cdots B_{(l-1)j} B_{lj}^{-1} = I_n, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq k.
\end{aligned}$$

We define by $\text{SL}_n^{\overline{\mathbf{b}}}(\mathbb{C})$ the subgroup consisting of $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ in the form of (3.0.2) which satisfies (3.0.3).

Then we prove

Theorem 24. *For $n = ml, l \geq 1$, let ξ be a primitive n -th root of unity and D the set of all semi-simple elements in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, then we have*

$$[\cdot]^{-1}(\xi^m) \cap (\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \times D) = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{b} \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m, A \in C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))/C_{\mathbf{b}}} \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cdot (\sigma^m A, \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)),$$

in which $C_{\mathbf{b}} = C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)) \cap \text{SL}_n^{\overline{\mathbf{b}}}(\mathbb{C})$ with $C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$ the centralizer of $\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)$ and $\text{SL}_n^{\overline{\mathbf{b}}}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by (3.0.3).

Consequently we have

$$\dim[\cdot]^{-1}(\xi^m) \cap (\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \times D) = \dim \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) + m.$$

Proof. From the equation $xyx^{-1} = \xi^m y$ with y semi-simple, we can see that the action of ξ^m by multiplication on the spectrum of y is partitioned into m many l -cycles, hence we can make $y = \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)$ for some $\mathbf{b} \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$ by conjugation. Then compared with $\sigma^m \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi) \sigma^{-m} = \xi^m \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)$ we get

$$(\sigma^{-m} x) \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi) = \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi) (\sigma^{-m} x),$$

i.e. $\sigma^{-m} x \in C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$. We need to know whether $(x, \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$ belongs to the same orbit with $(\sigma^m, \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$ under the conjugation action of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Knowing that y can always be conjugated to $\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)$, we just need to consider the action of $C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$.

First, we have $[C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)) \sigma^{-m}, C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))] \subset C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)) \cap \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, or in other words $C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$ acts on $\sigma^m C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$ via conjugation: $\forall A, B \in C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sigma^m A)^{-1} B (\sigma^m A) B^{-1} \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi) \\
&= (\sigma^m A)^{-1} B \sigma^m \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi) A B^{-1} \\
&= (\sigma^m A)^{-1} B (\xi^m \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi) \sigma^m) A B^{-1} \\
&= \xi^m A^{-1} \sigma^{-m} \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi) B \sigma^m A B^{-1} \\
&= \xi^m A^{-1} (\xi^{-m} \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi) \sigma^{-m}) B \sigma^m A B^{-1} \\
&= \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi) (\sigma^m A)^{-1} B (\sigma^m A) B^{-1} \\
&\Rightarrow (\sigma^m A)^{-1} B (\sigma^m A) B^{-1} \in C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)) \\
(3.0.4) \quad &\Rightarrow B (\sigma^m A) B^{-1} \in \sigma^m A C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)) = \sigma^m C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since

$$B(\sigma^m A)B^{-1} = \sigma^m A' \Leftrightarrow [(\sigma^m A)^{-1}, B] = A^{-1}A',$$

$\sigma^m A$ and $\sigma^m A'$ belong to the same orbit if and only if their difference $A^{-1}A' \in [(\sigma^m A)^{-1}, C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))]$. We claim that $[\sigma^m, C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))] = C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)) \cap \mathrm{SL}_n^{\overline{\mathbf{b}}}(\mathbb{C})$.

Directly $[\sigma^m, C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))] \subset C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)) \cap \mathrm{SL}_n^{\overline{\mathbf{b}}}(\mathbb{C})$ follows from definition. Conversely, if A is of the form (3.0.2) and satisfies the condition (3.0.3), then $\forall B_j \in \mathrm{GL}_{m_j}(\mathbb{C}), 1 \leq j \leq k$, setting $B_{ij} = A_{ij}^{-1} \cdots A_{1j}^{-1} B_j, \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$, we have $A = \sigma^{-m} B \sigma^m B^{-1}$, whence proof of the claim.

Altogether we know that if $(x, y) \in [,]^{-1}(\xi^m)$ with y semi-simple then it falls in some orbit of the form $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cdot (\sigma^m A, \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$, for some $A \in C_{\mathbf{b}} := C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)) \cap \mathrm{SL}_n^{\overline{\mathbf{b}}}(\mathbb{C})$. Note that $\mathrm{SL}_n^{\overline{\mathbf{b}}}(\mathbb{C})$ is the kernel of the homomorphism from $C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$ to $\mathrm{GL}_{m_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{m_k}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by the left hand side of (3.0.3), $C_{\mathbf{b}}$ has a one-to-one correspondence with $\mathrm{GL}_{m_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{m_k}(\mathbb{C})$.

Now we count the dimension of the fiber over ξ^m . By (3.0.3) we see that any matrix in the stabilizer of $(\sigma^m, \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$ must have the form

$$A \sim \mathrm{diag}(A_{11}, \cdots, A_{1k}; \cdots; A_{11}, \cdots, A_{1k}),$$

i.e. A_{ij} are all the same for j fixed. Hence the dimension of any such stabilizer is $\sum_{i=1}^k \dim \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(\mathbb{C})$, and

$$\dim \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cdot (\sigma^m, \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)) = \dim \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) - \sum_{i=1}^k \dim \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(\mathbb{C}),$$

Also by solving the linear equations given by $B\sigma^m AB^{-1} = \sigma^m A$ in $(\mathrm{GL}_{m_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{m_k}(\mathbb{C}))^l \simeq C(\tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$, we can see that stabilizers of $(\sigma^m A, \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi))$ all have the same dimension as above. Consequently the dimension of the union of all orbits of such type (determined by \mathbf{b} as described in the second paragraph of this section) is

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \dim \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(\mathbb{C}) + k + \dim \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) - \sum_{i=1}^k \dim \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(\mathbb{C}) = k + \dim \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

which achieves maximum when $k = m$. \square

For $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = \xi^m$ in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with y not necessarily semisimple, we can use the multiplicative Jordan decomposition to reformulate the commutator equation as follows

$$\begin{aligned} xyx^{-1} = \xi^m y &\Leftrightarrow xy_s x^{-1} xy_u x^{-1} = \xi^m y_s y_u \\ \Leftrightarrow xy_s x^{-1} = \xi^m y_s \text{ and } xy_u x^{-1} = y_u \\ \Leftrightarrow [x, y_s] = \xi^m \text{ and } [x, y_u] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorem 24 which deals with the first equation, and further confined by the second equation, we have

Theorem 25. *With the notations and proof above,*

$$[,]^{-1}(\xi^m) = \bigcup_{|\lambda_1|+\dots+|\lambda_k|=m} \bigcup_{\mathbf{b} \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^k, A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})/C_{\mathbf{b}}} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \cdot (\sigma^m A, \tau_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k}^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)),$$

in which for $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$,

$$\tau_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k}^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi) = \mathrm{diag}(U_{\lambda_1}(t-b_1), \dots, U_{\lambda_k}(t-b_k); U_{\lambda_1}(t-b_1\xi^m), \dots, U_{\lambda_k}(t-b_k\xi^m); \\ \dots; U_{\lambda_1}(t-b_1\xi^{(l-1)m}), \dots, U_{\lambda_k}(t-b_k\xi^{(l-1)m})),$$

for any partitions $|\lambda_i| = m_i$, $m = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k$ with $m_i \geq 1$ and b_1, \dots, b_k have distinct l -cycles, i.e. $b_i b_j^{-1} \neq \xi^{rm}$, $\forall i \neq j, 0 \leq r \leq l-1$.

(Note that if $k = m$, then $\lambda_i = \{1\}$ and $\tau_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k}^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi) = \tau_n^{\mathbf{b}}(\xi)$.)

Consequently we have

$$\dim[,]^{-1}(\xi^m) = \dim \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) + m.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] F.G. Frobenius, *Über Gruppencharaktere*, Sitzber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss (1896) 985-1021; reprinted in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, Vol. 3 (Springer, Heidelberg, 1968) 1-37.
- [2] J. A. Green, *The characters of the finite general linear group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1955), 402-447.
- [3] Philip Hall, *On Representatives of Subsets*, J. London Math. Soc., 10 (1): 26-30 (1935).
- [4] Michael Larsen, Zhipeng Lu, *Flatness of commutator map over SL_n* , arXiv:1807.07300
- [5] D.E. Littlewood, *The theory of group characters and matrix representations of groups*, Oxford, 1940.
- [6] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Second edition. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, GEORG-AUGUST UNIVERSITÄT GÖTTINGEN, BUNSEN-STRASSE 3-5, D-37073 GÖTTINGEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: Zhipeng.Lu@uni-goettingen.de