

# Energy gap closure of crystalline molecular hydrogen with pressure

Vitaly Gorelov<sup>1</sup>, Markus Holzmann<sup>2,3</sup>, David M. Ceperley<sup>4</sup>, Carlo Pierleoni<sup>1,5,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Maison de la Simulation, CEA-CNRS-UPS-UVSQ,  
Université Paris-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

<sup>2</sup>*Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS,  
LPMMC, 3800 Grenoble, France*

<sup>3</sup>*Institut Laue-Langevin, BP 156,  
F-38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Physics,  
University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, USA*

<sup>5</sup>*Department of Physical and Chemical Sciences,  
University of L'Aquila, Via Vetoio 10,  
I-67010 L'Aquila, Italy*

(Dated: November 15, 2019)

We study the gap closure with pressure in Phases III and IV of molecular crystalline hydrogen. Nuclear quantum and thermal effects are considered from first principles with Coupled Electron Ion Monte Carlo. The fundamental electronic gaps are obtained from grand-canonical Quantum Monte Carlo methods properly extended to quantum crystals. Nuclear zero point effects cause a large reduction in the gap ( $\sim 2\text{eV}$ ). As a consequence the fundamental gap closes at 530GPa for ideal crystals while at 360GPa for quantum crystals. Since the direct gap remains open until  $\sim 450\text{GPa}$ , the emerging scenario is that upon increasing pressure in phase III (C2/c-24 crystal symmetry) the fundamental (indirect) gap closes and the system enters into a bad metal phase where the density of states at the Fermi level increases with pressure up to  $\sim 450\text{GPa}$  when the direct gap closes. Our work partially supports the interpretation of recent experiments in high pressure hydrogen.

The metallization of crystalline hydrogen under pressure has attracted considerable attention over the last century. Predicted to be stable in an atomic bcc lattice around 25GPa, the mechanism for molecular dissociation was first discussed by Wigner and Huntington [1]. The search for its metallization has driven high pressure research until the recent [2], still debated [3–6], observation of reflective samples at 495GPa in a Diamond Anvil Cell (DAC) apparatus. Even though it is the simplest element and  $\text{H}_2$  the simplest homonuclear molecule in nature, the study of hydrogen under extreme conditions has uncovered rich and unexpected physics [7–9].

The mechanism by which the insulating crystal transforms into a conducting crystal is still unclear. Experiments have great difficulty in determining the crystalline structure and its evolution with pressure because of the low cross section of hydrogen to X-rays [10–12] and the exceedingly small volume of the samples for neutron scattering experiments. Structural information are in general obtained indirectly through vibrational spectroscopy while electronic structure is probed by optical measurements [13]. Direct measurements of static conductivity in the DAC remain inconclusive [14–19]. A complex phase diagram comprising up to at least four different molecular phases (from I to IV) with different vibrational spectra has been traced experimentally [8]. Recent experiments [2, 19–22] searched for the metallization transition at low temperature ( $\leq 100\text{K}$ ) while raising the pressure in phase III. Considerable attention has also been paid to the higher temperature phase IV since its discovery [23–27].

The emerging picture is that the transparent insulating molecular phase III transforms into a strongly absorbing (in the visible) molecular phase at  $\sim 350\text{--}360\text{GPa}$  with different IR frequencies, named  $\text{H}_2\text{-PRE}$  or phase VI [13, 22], with semiconducting characteristics [28]. Hydrogen finally reaches a metallic phase with the observation of reflective samples at  $\sim 495\text{GPa}$  [2], although disagreement concerning the pressure scale still remains [4, 13]. New synchrotron infrared spectroscopy measurements [21] report a reversible collapse of the IR transmission spectrum at 427GPa, interpreted as a first order transition to the metallic state, an interpretation criticised in [29].

In the present paper we investigate the closure of the electronic gap of candidate structures for phase III (Cmca-12 and C2/c-24) and phase IV (Pc48) [30, 31] within a Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) framework [32]. For the ideal crystalline structures, we find that the fundamental gap decreases under pressure from  $\sim 3\text{--}3.5\text{eV}$  at  $\sim 250\text{GPa}$  to a gap closure occurring at 380GPa in the Cmca12 structure and at 530GPa in the C2/c-24 structure. Using Coupled Electron-Ion Monte Carlo (CEIMC) calculations, we then include Zero Point Energy (ZPE) and finite temperature effects of the nuclei within a first principles, non-perturbative Path Integral approach. Extending the grand canonical method of Ref. [32] to determine the electronic gap of the quantum crystal at finite temperature, we observe a strong gap reduction of  $\sim 2\text{eV}$  due to nuclear quantum effects while temperature effects below 300K are minor. At 200K the fundamental indirect gap closes around 330GPa in the Cmca-12 structure and at 380GPa

in the C2/c-24 structure. Raising the temperature of the C2/c-24 structure to 290K reduces transition pressure to 340GPa while decreasing it to 100K does not give any noticeable effect. Our new methods for calculating energy gaps allows us to benchmark DFT functionals not only for thermodynamics and structural properties, but also for excitation energies, and, therefore, to improve the theoretical predictions of low energy optical properties.

**Method.** The primary information for theoretical investigations of solids are the crystalline structures. Candidates structures for high pressure phases have been obtained by ab initio Random Structural Search methods [30, 31, 33, 34]. For the phase III we consider C2/c-24 and Cmca-12, which are among the lowest free energy structures in ground state QMC calculations assuming harmonic phonons corrections (with DFT-PBE) [35, 36]. For Phase IV we consider only Pc48, since the recently proposed Pca21 structure [34] is found to be rather similar to Pc48 after geometry relaxation with the vdW-DF functional. We first consider ideal structures (meaning that the protons are point particles fixed at lattice sites) relaxed at constant pressure with the DFT-vdW-DF functional. Quantum crystals, with protons represented by path integrals at finite temperature, are addressed with CEIMC at constant volume[37]. All systems considered here have 96 protons in nearly cubic supercells. Optimized Slater-Jastrow-Backflow trial wave functions have been used for the CEIMC calculations [38]; details of the CEIMC simulations are reported in Ref.[39], Averages over ionic positions are computed using 40 statistically independent configurations from the CEIMC trajectories.

For a given fixed nuclear configuration, the fundamental energy gap is obtained by considering systems with a variable number of electrons  $n \in [-6, 6]$  where  $n = N_e - N_p$  (see SM). For each system we perform RQMC calculations with imaginary-time projection  $t = 2.00 \text{ Ha}^{-1}$  and time step  $\tau = 0.01 \text{ Ha}^{-1}$  for up to  $6 \times 6 \times 6$  Monkhorst-Pack grid of twists. We check that those values are adequate for converging the band gaps within our resolution. The fundamental gap is obtained from grand canonical Quantum Monte Carlo calculations (GCQMC) employing twisted boundary conditions and corrected for finite size effects in leading and next-to-leading order as described in Ref. [32].

Extending calculations of the fundamental gap to quantum crystals, the trace over nuclear degrees of freedom must be taken with care. In the semiclassical approximation, the fundamental gap is the smallest electronic excitation energy that occurs from quantum or thermal fluctuations of the lattice. Strictly speaking in this approach the gap is always closed, since the probability of a proton configuration with a metallic character is never precisely zero. For dense hydrogen, in the structures considered here, phonon energies are of order 0.1 – 0.5 eV [31]. Hence the proton motion is dominated by zero point motion for temperatures less than 1000K, so the semiclassical approach is not appropriate. Electronic energies




FIG. 1: Pressure dependence of the fundamental energy gap for ideal crystals. Closed circles indicate results from this work for C2/c-24 (blue), Cmca-12 (orange) and Pc48 (green) lattices, open circles are results from GW calculation for the C2/c-24 lattice. All these lattices were optimized with the vdW-DF functional[35]. Closed triangles are QMC results for C2/c-24 structure optimized with the BLYP functional[40]. Open squares and triangles are GW results from Refs [41–43] for C2/c-24 (blue) and Cmca-12 (orange) optimized with the PBE functional. Note that pressures from RQMC are 10-15GPa lower than the nominal optimization pressure.

Lines are guides to the eyes.

should be averaged over the nuclear configurations corresponding to the protonic ground state. The gap will be given by the minimum of the average excitation energies. This is always larger than the semiclassical gap. Figure 4 illustrates typical results for the integrated density of states as a function of (electronic) chemical potential. The gap of the quantum crystal can be directly read off from the width of the incompressible region similar to ideal crystal calculations. Detailed information on our grand-canonical band gap approach for quantum nuclei is provided in the Supplementary Material.

**Results.** Figure 1 shows estimates of the fundamental gap for three ideal crystal structures as a function of pressure. The gap decreases with pressure in a similar fashion for all structures: Cmca-12 has the smallest gap, followed by C2/c-24 and by Pc48. We find reasonable agreement with the QMC estimates of Ref. [40] for the C2/c-24 structure [44]. References [41–43] report smaller values of the gap based on GW. We believe this disagreement is primarily due to the lattice geometry that has been optimized at constant pressure with PBE in Refs [41–43] and with vdW-DF in the present work. It has been previously observed that PBE provides longer H<sub>2</sub> bonds and smaller gap values [45, 46]. Indeed, GW results from structures optimized with vdW-DF [35] are in




FIG. 2: Fundamental gap of quantum crystals at finite temperature. Closed circles indicate results from this work, for the three structures at various temperature as detailed in the legend. PIMD-DFT results at 200K obtained with two different XC approximations, namely HSE (downward open triangles) and vdW-DF2 (upward open triangles) and the semiclassical averaging are reported for comparison [45].

excellent agreement with our predictions.

Values of the gap from GCQMC for quantum crystals at various temperatures and pressures are shown in Fig. 2: they are smaller by  $\sim 2\text{eV}$  from the ideal crystal. Zero point motion is almost entirely responsible for this reduction. Note that the gap hardly changes from 300K to 200K within our estimated errors. Qualitatively similar to ideal crystals, the Cmca-12 gap is smaller than the C2/c-24 gap at  $T=200\text{K}$ , the former closing around  $340\text{GPa}$  while the latter at higher pressures  $\sim 380\text{GPa}$  within our pressure grid. As for the Pc-48 structure at  $T=430\text{K}$  (phase IV) the gap values are slightly below the values for C2/c-24 at 200K. Our results show that the value of the electronic gap becomes fairly independent of the specific crystalline structure of the molecular quantum crystals. On the same figure we report gap values for C2/c-24 structure at  $T=200\text{K}$  from Path Integral Molecular Dynamics (PIMD) simulations [45] with two different DFT functionals, namely HSE [47] and vdW-DF2 [48]. As vdW-DF2 underestimates the molecular bond lengths of the ideal crystalline structure [46], its PIMD configurations are expected to bias the electronic gap towards larger values. Our results do not agree with predictions of Ref. [49] (not shown) yielding a metallic state for C2/c-24 at 300GPa and 300K. However, they are based on less controlled assumptions such as using “scissor corrected” BLYP band structure and an ad hoc procedure for including nuclear motion.

For all structures considered the observed fundamen-




FIG. 3: GCQMC gaps for C2/c-24 ideal (indirect - open blue circles) and C2/c-24 quantum crystal at  $T = 200\text{K}$  (indirect - open blue rightward triangles, direct - blues closed squares) and Cmca-12 quantum crystal at  $T = 200\text{K}$  (direct - orange closed squares). Experimental estimates are reported for comparison: indirect gap from the Tauc analysis at 100K (phase III), (Loubeyre-Tauc - open black squares)[50], and at 300K (phase IV), (Howie - open black rightward triangles) [17, 24]; direct gap from absorbance at 100K (Loubeyre) [21, 50]. The Tauc analysis overestimates the indirect gap by the energy of the emitted phonon  $\sim 0.1 - 0.5\text{eV}$ . Including this effect will reduce the difference between experiment and theory.

tal gap is indirect. An estimate of the direct gap can be obtained from the twist resolved grand-canonical QMC results as shown in Fig. 3[51]. For Cmca-12 we observe that the direct and indirect gaps are very close to each other, in particular their closure occurs at the same pressure  $\sim 350\text{GPa}$ . However, for C2/c-24 the two gaps deviate from each other above 300GPa with an open direct gap until  $\sim 450\text{GPa}$ . Therefore, while Cmca-12 becomes metallic around 350GPa, hence reflective, C2/c-24 shows an intermediate pressure region where the fundamental indirect gap is closed but the direct vertical gap remains open. In this region, we expect the filling of the electronic density of states around the Fermi level to occur progressively with pressure, as qualitatively reported in Ref. [39]. This indicates the formation of a bad metal with properties similar to a semi-metal upon closure of the indirect gap, a scenario strongly supporting the recently proposed experimental picture [13, 22, 28]. The non-vanishing direct gap naturally explains the reported observation of absorbing (black) hydrogen around 320-360 GPa (depending on the experimental pressure scale) until the direct gap decreases to below 0.5eV (slightly before




FIG. 4: Integrated density of states for C2/c-24 quantum crystals at 200K from GCTABC-RQMC (points) and HSE (smooth lines) at various pressures.

closure)[50].

Fig. 3 also shows the experimental estimates of both the indirect and direct gaps using optical absorption spectra. The indirect gap value is extracted from a Tauc analysis of the absorption profiles at 300K (Phase IV) [17, 24] and 100K (Phase III) [25, 50][52]. The direct gap at 100K (phase III) has been estimated as the energy when the IR absorption profile equals  $30000\text{cm}^{-1}$  [21, 50]. First, we note that the indirect gap value seems to depend very little on both temperature and structure [53], in agreement with our finding. Second, there is a good agreement between experiments and our prediction for the indirect gap, although QMC values are slightly lower. However, the Tauc analysis of Refs [17, 24, 50] does not consider the energy of the emitted or absorbed phonons. At considered temperatures, thermal occupation of the phonons can be neglected, so that the experimental numbers in the figure overestimate the indirect gap by typical phonon energies emitted in the transition,  $\sim 0.1 - 0.5\text{eV}$ , improving the agreement with our theoretical values. Third, there is a very good agreement between the experimental direct gap and the direct gap of the C2/c-24 structure beyond 350GPa, while at lower pressures the experimental gaps are definitively larger than QMC predictions by roughly 1eV.

In order to understand better the experimental estimate of the direct gap, we have calculated optical properties within the Kubo-Greenwood (KG) framework using Kohn-Sham (KS) orbitals. To reduce the bias of the underlying DFT functional, we have benchmarked the XC approximations to reproduce the behavior of the QMC density of states close to the gap. In Fig. 4 for the C2/c-24 crystals at 200K, we compare the electronic excess density,  $n_e - n_p$ , as a function of electronic chemical potential,  $\mu$ , from QMC and from DFT-HSE [54]. The observed plateau at  $n_e - n_p = 0$  is the signature of the indirect gap being open. Deviations from the plateau on both sides characterize the density of states of the valence and conduction band close to the band edges. As shown in

Fig. 4 the HSE approximation provides slightly smaller values of the fundamental gap and reproduces reasonably well the integrated density of states from GCQMC around the Fermi energy (see the SM section for more details). We therefore employed HSE to compute optical properties within the Kubo-Greenwood (KG) formalism exploiting the KGEC code [55] in the QuantumEspresso suite [56]. For thermal and quantum crystals considered here, the William-Lax (WL) semiclassical (SC) approximation [57–61] is not appropriate as pointed out above. Therefore, instead of a joint density of states based on excitation energies for each nuclear configuration entering the WL expression, we have used the corresponding one based on electronic energies averaged over ionic zero point motion. This is more appropriate for the low temperatures considered here (see Supplementary Material). In Fig. 5 we report the absorption profiles from the C2/c-24 crystals at  $T=200\text{K}$  and different pressures [62] and we compare with experimental profiles from Refs [21, 50] obtained at  $T=100\text{K}$ . In general we observe a higher absorption than in experiments at comparable pressure. We have checked that this disagreement cannot be explained by the temperature difference. The thick horizontal line corresponds to the value of the absorption used in the experiments to extract the value of the direct gap, assuming a constant absorption coefficient of  $30000\text{cm}^{-1}$  at energy equal to the direct gap. Our results do not support this assumption. We marked each profile with a red dot at the energy corresponding to the observed direct gap and we observe a strong change with pressure in the absorption at that energy. This is related to the change with pressure of the energy spectrum around the band edges. We note that a clear signature of the direct gap is present in our profiles as a change of slope near the red points.

**Conclusions.** We have studied the closure of the fundamental gap with pressure of candidate structures of molecular hydrogen in phase III (C2/c-24 and Cmca-12) and phase IV (Pc48), employing a recently developed method entirely based on Quantum Monte Carlo. Considering quantum nuclei at finite temperature, we observe a strong reduction of the energy gap with respect to the ideal structures ( $\sim 2\text{eV}$ ) caused by the Zero Point Motion of nuclei. The large Zero Point effect reduces the differences observed between ideal structures. At 200K the fundamental (indirect) gap of the C2/c-24 structure closes  $\sim 370\text{-}380\text{GPa}$  while the gap of the Cmca-12 closes at  $\sim 340\text{GPa}$ . We observe a reasonable agreement with experimental determinations of indirect gaps from optical absorption profiles. While for Cmca-12 the direct gap is almost identical to the indirect gap, in particular they both close around 340GPa, for C2/c-24 the direct gap remains open until  $\sim 450\text{GPa}$  about 70 – 80GPa beyond the closure of the indirect gap. In this range of pressure the system is a bad metal (or semi-metal) suggesting a scenario that qualitatively supports recent experiments [19, 20, 22, 28]. In Refs [19, 28] no discontinuities in the






FIG. 5: Absorption spectra from HSE band structure for C2/c-24 quantum crystals (solid lines) and comparison with the available experimental profiles (opened and filled circles). The absorption spectra from HSE has been shifted in energy by an amount equal to the difference between QMC and HSE direct gap. The reported pressure are as in figure 4 (see the colors). The red dots indicate the location in energy of the direct gap of figure 3. Experimental pressures are: 296GPa - open orange circles [50] (corrected by 20 GPa as was suggested in more recent work [21]), 386GPa - magenta filled circles and 406GPa - red filled circles [21])

Raman vibrational spectrum are reported when entering the semi-metallic phase, while in Refs [20, 22] new IR vibron peaks are reported in this pressure range and ascribed to a structural phase transition. They have been tentatively assigned to a transition from the C2/c-24 to the Cmca-12 structure. Our results discard Cmca-12 as a possible candidate since it is metallic in this range of pressure.

D.M.C. was supported by DOE Grant NA de-na0001789 and by the Fondation NanoSciences (Grenoble). V.G. and C.P. was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) France, under the program “Accueil de Chercheurs de Haut Niveau 2015” project: HyLightExtreme. Computer time was provided by the PRACE Project 2016143296, ISCRAB (IsB17\_MMCRHY) computer allocation at CINECA Italy, the high-performance computer resources from Grand Equipement National de Calcul Intensif (GENCI) Allocation 2018-A0030910282, by an allocation of the Blue Waters sustained petascale computing project, supported by the National Science Foundation (Award OCI 07- 25070) and the State of Illinois, and by the Froggy platform of CIMENT, Grenoble (Rhône-Alpes CPER07-13 CIRA and ANR-10-EQPX-29-01).

- [1] E. Wigner and H. B. Huntington, *J. Chem. Phys.* **3**, 764 (1935).
- [2] R. P. Dias and I. F. Silvera, *Science* **355**, 715 (2017).
- [3] A. F. Goncharov and V. V. Struzhkin, *Science* **357** (2017), 10.1126/science.aam9736.
- [4] P. Loubeyre, F. Occelli, and P. Dumas, (2017), arXiv:1702.07192.
- [5] X.-D. Liu, P. Dalladay-Simpson, R. T. Howie, B. Li, and E. Gregoryanz, (2017), arXiv:1704.07601v2.
- [6] I. Silvera and R. Dias, *Science* **357**, eaan1215 (2017), arXiv:1603.02162.
- [7] H. K. Mao and R. Hemley, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **66**, 671 (1994).
- [8] J. M. McMahon, M. A. Morales, C. Pierleoni, and D. M. Ceperley, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84**, 1607 (2012).
- [9] W. J. Nellis, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **69**, 1479 (2006).
- [10] P. Loubeyre, R. LeToullec, D. Hausermann, M. Hanfland, R. J. Hemley, H. K. Mao, and L. W. Finger, *Nature* **383**, 702 (1996).
- [11] C. Ji, B. Li, W. Liu, J. S. Smith, A. Majumdar, W. Luo, R. Ahuja, J. Shu, J. Wang, S. Sinogeikin, Y. Meng, V. B. Prakapenka, E. Greenberg, R. Xu, X. Huang, W. Yang, G. Shen, W. L. Mao, and H.-K. Mao, *Nature* **573**, 558 (2019).
- [12] L. Dubrovinsky, N. Dubrovinskaia, and M. I. Katsnelson, arxiv:1910.10772 (2019).
- [13] I. Silvera and R. P. Dias, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **30**, 254003 (2018).
- [14] M. I. Eremets and I. A. Troyan, *Nature materials* **10**, 927 (2011).
- [15] W. J. Nellis, A. L. Ruoff, and I. F. Silvera, (2012), arXiv:1201.0407.
- [16] A. F. Goncharov and V. V. Struzhkin, *Nature Materials* **10**, 927 (2012).
- [17] A. F. Goncharov, J. S. Tse, H. Wang, J. Yang, V. V. Struzhkin, R. T. Howie, and E. Gregoryanz, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 024101 (2013).
- [18] M. I. Eremets, I. A. Troyan, and A. P. Drozdov, (2016), arXiv:1601.04479.
- [19] M. Eremets, A. P. Drozdov, P. Kong, and H. Wang, (2017), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.05217>.
- [20] R. P. Dias, O. Noked, and I. F. Silvera, (2016), arXiv:1603.02162.
- [21] P. Loubeyre, F. Occelli, and P. Dumas, (2019), arXiv:1906.05634.
- [22] R. P. Dias, O. Noked, and I. F. Silvera, *Phys. Rev. B*, Accepted 21 October 2019 (2019).
- [23] R. T. Howie, T. Scheler, C. L. Guillaume, and E. Gregoryanz, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 3 (2012).
- [24] R. T. Howie, C. L. Guillaume, T. Scheler, A. F. Goncharov, and E. Gregoryanz, *Phys. Rev. Letts.* **108**, 125501 (2012).
- [25] C. S. Zha, Z. Liu, and R. J. Hemley, *Phys. Rev. Letts.* **108**, 146402 (2012).
- [26] P. Loubeyre, F. Occelli, and P. Dumas, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 134101 (2013).
- [27] R. T. Howie, P. Dalladay-Simpson, and E. Gregoryanz, *Nature Materials* **14**, 1 (2015).
- [28] M. I. Eremets, A. P. Drozdov, P. P. Kong, and H. Wang, *Nat. Phys.* (2019), 10.1038/s41567-019-0646-x.

- [29] I. F. Silvera and R. P. Dias, arXiv (2019), arXiv:1906.05634.
- [30] C. J. Pickard and R. J. Needs, *Nature Physics* **3**, 473 (2007).
- [31] C. J. Pickard, M. Martinez-Canales, and R. J. Needs, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 214114 (2012).
- [32] Y. Yang, V. Gorelov, C. Pierleoni, D. M. Ceperley, and M. Holzmann, arXiv:1910.07531.
- [33] C. Pickard, M. Martinez-Canales, and R. Needs, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 214114 (2012).
- [34] B. Monserrat, N. D. Drummond, P. Dalladay-simpson, T. Howie, P. Lopez Rios, E. Gregoryanz, C. J. Pickard, and R. J. Needs, *Phys Rev Letts* **120**, 255701 (2018).
- [35] J. McMinis, R. C. Clay, D. Lee, and M. A. Morales, *Phys. Rev. Letts.* **114**, 105305 (2015).
- [36] S. Azadi, B. Monserrat, W. M. C. Foulkes, and R. J. Needs, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 165501 (2014).
- [37] We have checked that the stress tensor in the constant volume CEIMC run remains diagonal with same diagonal elements within our statistical noise.
- [38] C. Pierleoni, M. A. Morales, G. Rillo, M. Holzmann, and D. M. Ceperley, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **113**, 4954 (2016).
- [39] G. Rillo, M. A. Morales, D. M. Ceperley, and C. Pierleoni, *J. Chem Phys.* **148**, 102314 (2018).
- [40] S. Azadi, N. D. Drummond, and W. M. Foulkes, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 035142 (2017).
- [41] S. Lebègue, C. Araujo, D. Kim, M. Ramzan, H. Mao, and R. Ahuja, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 9766 (2012).
- [42] W.-L. Yim, H. Shi, Y. Liang, R. J. Hemley, and J. S. Tse, in *Correlations in Condensed Matter under Extreme Conditions*, edited by G. Angilella and A. La Magna (Springer International Publishing, AG, 2017) Chap. 9, pp. 107–126.
- [43] M. Dvorak, X.-J. Chen, and Z. Wu, *Physical Review B* **90**, 035103 (2014).
- [44] The observed small difference, in particular at the higher pressure, is probably due to the different XC approximation used for geometry optimization, vdW-DF in our case, BLYP in Ref. [40] and different size extrapolation.
- [45] M. A. Morales, J. M. McMahon, C. Pierleoni, and D. M. Ceperley, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 184107 (2013).
- [46] R. C. Clay, J. McMinis, J. M. McMahon, C. Pierleoni, D. M. Ceperley, and M. A. Morales, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 184106 (2014).
- [47] J. Heyd, J. E. Peralta, G. E. Scuseria, and R. L. Martin, *J. Chem. Phys.* **123**, 174101 (2005).
- [48] K. Lee, É. Murray, L. Kong, B. Lundqvist, and D. Langreth, *Physical Review B* **82**, 81101 (2010).
- [49] S. Azadi, R. Singh, and T. D. Kühne, *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **39**, 262 (2018), arXiv:1710.09703.
- [50] P. Loubeyre, F. Occelli, and R. LeToullec, *Nature* **416**, 613 (2002).
- [51] Note, however, that the twist analysis of the density of states of the supercell only provides a lower bound of the direct gap because of a possible refolding of the Brillouin zone.
- [52] We have re-analyzed the spectra of ref. [50] to extract the value of the indirect gap from a Tauc plot [63], as was performed in ref. [17] for the data from ref. [24]. Details are given in the SM section.
- [53] Note that the pressure values of Ref. [50] have been recently corrected [21].
- [54] This quantity is closely related to the integrated density of states.
- [55] L. Calderín, V. V. Karasiev, and S. B. Trickey, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **221**, 118 (2017), 1707.08437.
- [56] P. Giannozzi, O. Andreussi, T. Brumme, O. Bunau, M. Buongiorno Nardelli, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, M. Cococcioni, N. Colonna, I. Carnimeo, A. Dal Corso, S. de Gironcoli, P. Delugas, R. A. J. DiStasio, A. Ferretti, A. Floris, G. Fratesi, G. Fugallo, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, F. Giustino, T. Gorni, J. Jia, M. Kawamura, H.-Y. Ko, A. Kokalj, E. Kulkbenli, M. Lazzeri, M. Marsili, N. Marzari, F. Mauri, N. L. Nguyen, H.-V. Nguyen, A. Oreto-de-la Roza, L. Paulatto, S. Ponce, D. Rocca, R. Sabatini, B. Santra, M. Schlipf, A. Seitsonen, A. Smogunov, I. Timrov, T. Thonhauser, P. Umari, N. Vast, X. Wu, and S. Baroni, *J. Phys. Cond Mat.* **29**, 465901 (2017).
- [57] F. Williams, *Phys. Rev.* **82**, 281 (1951).
- [58] M. Lax, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **20**, 1752 (1952).
- [59] C. E. Patrick and F. Giustino, *Journal of Physics Condensed Matter* **26**, 365503 (2014).
- [60] M. Zacharias, C. E. Patrick, and F. Giustino, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115** (2015).
- [61] M. Zacharias and F. Giustino, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 75125 (2016).
- [62] To partially correct for HSE inaccuracy, we shifted the energy scale by the difference between the QMC and HSE gap.
- [63] J. Tauc, R. Grigorovici, and A. Vancu, *Physica Status Solidi (b)* **15**, 627 (1966).