

Nonsingular bouncing cosmology from general relativity: Scalar metric perturbations

F.R. Klinkhamer* and Z.L. Wang†

*Institute for Theoretical Physics,
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT),
76128 Karlsruhe, Germany*

Abstract

We derive the equations of motion for scalar metric perturbations in a particular nonsingular bouncing cosmology, where the big bang singularity is replaced by a spacetime defect. The adiabatic perturbation solution is obtained for nonrelativistic hydrodynamic matter. We get the same result by working with conformal coordinates. This last method is also valid for vector and tensor metric perturbations, and selected results are presented.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 98.80.Bp, 98.80.Jk

Keywords: general relativity, big bang theory, mathematical and relativistic aspects of cosmology

* frans.klinkhamer@kit.edu

† ziliang.wang@kit.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

The expanding universe appears to be described reasonably well by the Friedmann solution [1, 2]. This solution has, however, a big bang singularity with diverging curvature and energy density. Recently, it has been shown [3] that the Friedmann big bang singularity can be replaced by a spacetime defect, where the spacetime metric is degenerate but the curvature finite, as is the energy density.

This “regularized” big bang singularity corresponds, in fact, to a nonsingular bounce [4–6]. There is then a pre-bounce phase where the positive cosmic scale factor decreases, the bounce moment at which the positive cosmic scale factor is stationary, and a post-bounce phase where the positive cosmic scale factor increases again. This particular nonsingular bouncing cosmology is obtained from an extended version of general relativity, which allows for degenerate metrics (further remarks and references will be given in Sec. II).

The urgent question, now, is if the bounce is stable under small perturbations of the metric and the matter. Perturbations are, therefore, the main topic of this article. The focus will be on scalar metric perturbations, while vector and tensor metric perturbations are briefly mentioned in the Appendix. Having obtained the behavior of the metric perturbations, it is also possible to address the issue of information transfer across the bounce.

At this moment, there is an important point that we would like to make. The degenerate-metric *Ansatz* [3] gives modified Friedmann equations which correspond to *singular* differential equations. Even though these modified Friedmann equations have a nonsingular solution for the background spacetime, there is still the potential danger of singularities appearing in perturbations of the metric. In this article, we will find that also the metric perturbations have nonsingular solutions, which is a nontrivial result (different and potentially catastrophic behavior has been found in a dynamic-vacuum-energy model [7, 8]).

The outline of our article is now as follows. In Sec. II, we review the degenerate-metric *Ansatz* and discuss general metric perturbations. In Sec. III, we derive the equations of motion for scalar metric perturbations and get the adiabatic perturbation solution for the case of nonrelativistic hydrodynamic matter. In Secs. IV and V, we briefly discuss the issues of bounce stability and across-bounce information transfer. In Sec. VI, we summarize our results and discuss how they may be relevant to the generation of a scale-invariant spectrum of cosmological perturbations. In App. A, we re-derive our results for scalar metric perturbations by use of conformal coordinates, and also give some results for vector and tensor metric perturbations.

II. BACKGROUND METRIC AND PERTURBATIONS

The modified spatially-flat Robertson–Walker (RW) metric is given by [3]

$$ds^2 \Big|_{\text{mod. RW}}^{(\text{unperturbed})} \equiv \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu dx^\nu \Big|_{\text{mod. RW}} = -\frac{t^2}{b^2 + t^2} dt^2 + \bar{a}^2(t) \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad (2.1a)$$

$$b > 0, \quad (2.1b)$$

$$t \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad (2.1c)$$

$$x^i \in (-\infty, \infty), \quad (2.1d)$$

where we set $c = 1$ and let the Latin (spatial) indices i, j run over $\{1, 2, 3\}$. The cosmic time coordinate was denoted “ T ” in Ref. [3], but, here, we simply write “ t ,” while emphasizing that the coordinate range is given by (2.1c).

The metric from (2.1) is degenerate (with a vanishing determinant at $t = 0$) and describes a spacetime defect with characteristic length scale b ; see Ref. [9] for a general review of this type of spacetime defect and Ref. [10] for a detailed discussion of related mathematical aspects (other mathematical aspects of degenerate metrics have been discussed in Ref. [11]). The defect length scale b in the metric (2.1a) will, for the moment, be considered as an external parameter (see Sec. VI for further discussion) and this metric will be called the unperturbed metric. Henceforth, a bar over a quantity denotes its unperturbed value.

The perturbed metric can be written as

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) \Big|^{(\text{perturbed})} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(t) + h_{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (2.2)$$

where $h_{\mu\nu} = h_{\nu\mu}$ is a small perturbation compared to $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$. The spatially isotropic and homogeneous background allows us to decompose the metric perturbations into scalars, divergenceless vectors, and divergenceless traceless symmetric tensors [12, 13]. In this article, we will focus on scalar metric perturbations.

III. SCALAR METRIC PERTURBATIONS

A. Metric Ansatz

The *Ansatz* for the metric with scalar perturbations is taken as follows:

$$ds^2 \Big|_{\text{mod. RW}}^{(\text{perturbed})} = -(1 + E) \frac{t^2}{b^2 + t^2} dt^2 + 2\bar{a} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i} dx^i dt + \bar{a}^2 \left[(1 + A) \delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial B^2}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} \right] dx^i dx^j, \quad (3.1)$$

where the perturbations E, F, A and B are functions of all spacetime coordinates $\{t, x^1, x^2, x^3\}$ and the background scale factor \bar{a} is a function of only t .

B. Newtonian gauge

Consider the following transformation of the spacetime coordinates:

$$x^\mu \rightarrow \tilde{x}^\mu = x^\mu + \xi^\mu, \quad (3.2)$$

where the parameters $\xi^\mu \equiv \xi^\mu(x)$ are infinitesimal functions of the spacetime coordinates. By decomposing the spatial part of ξ^μ into the gradient of a spatial scalar and a divergenceless vector [12, 13],

$$\xi^i = \partial^i \xi_S + \xi_V^i, \quad (3.3a)$$

$$\partial_i \xi_V^i = 0, \quad (3.3b)$$

we have the following transformations of the metric functions from (3.1) under the change of coordinates (3.2):

$$\tilde{E} = E - \frac{2b^2}{t} \xi^0 - \frac{\partial \xi^0}{\partial t}, \quad (3.4a)$$

$$\tilde{F} = F - \frac{\bar{a}}{2} \frac{\partial \xi_S}{\partial t} + \frac{t^2}{b^2 + t^2} \frac{\xi^0}{2\bar{a}}, \quad (3.4b)$$

$$\tilde{A} = A - \frac{2\dot{\bar{a}}}{\bar{a}} \xi^0, \quad (3.4c)$$

$$\tilde{B} = B - 2\xi_S, \quad (3.4d)$$

where the overdot stands for the partial derivative with respect to t . Note that only ξ^0 and ξ_S contribute to the transformations of scalar metric perturbations.

Following Sec. 7.1.2 of Ref. [12], we construct the following gauge-invariant quantities:

$$2\Phi \equiv E - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[2\bar{a} \frac{b^2 + t^2}{t^2} \left(F - \frac{\bar{a}}{4} \dot{B} \right) \right] - \frac{2b^2}{t} \left[2\bar{a} \frac{b^2 + t^2}{t^2} \left(F - \frac{\bar{a}}{4} \dot{B} \right) \right], \quad (3.5a)$$

$$2\Psi \equiv A - 4\dot{\bar{a}} \frac{b^2 + t^2}{t^2} \left(F - \frac{\bar{a}}{4} \dot{B} \right). \quad (3.5b)$$

In this article, we will use the Newtonian gauge (the origin of the name will become clear later on),

$$F = B = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

which can be reached by choosing an appropriate ξ_S in (3.4d) and an appropriate ξ^0 in (3.4b). In this gauge, the line element (3.1) reduces to

$$ds^2 \Big|_{\text{mod. RW}}^{(\text{perturbed-Newtonian-gauge})} = -(1 + 2\Phi) \frac{t^2}{b^2 + t^2} dt^2 + \bar{a}^2 (1 + 2\Psi) \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j. \quad (3.7)$$

Notice that after choosing the Newtonian gauge, there is no further freedom to make coordinates transformations, while remaining within the *Ansatz* (3.7).

C. Hydrodynamic matter perturbations

1. General results

Now, consider a perfect fluid with energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = P g_{\mu\nu} + (\rho + P) U_\mu U_\nu, \quad (3.8)$$

where P is the pressure, ρ the energy density, and U^μ the four-velocity. With the perturbed metric (3.7), the first-order perturbations of the 00 and ij components of the energy-momentum tensor are

$$\delta T_{00} = 2 \frac{t^2}{b^2 + t^2} \bar{\rho} \Phi + \frac{t^2}{b^2 + t^2} \delta\rho, \quad (3.9a)$$

$$\delta T_{ij} = 2 \bar{a}^2 \bar{P} \Psi \delta_{ij} + \bar{a}^2 \delta P \delta_{ij}, \quad (3.9b)$$

which gives

$$\delta T_0^0 = -\delta\rho, \quad (3.10a)$$

$$\delta T_j^i = \delta P \delta_j^i. \quad (3.10b)$$

The unperturbed energy density $\bar{\rho}(t)$ and pressure $\bar{P}(t)$ are determined by the following modified Friedmann equations [3, 6]:

$$\left(1 + \frac{b^2}{t^2}\right) \left(\frac{\dot{\bar{a}}}{\bar{a}}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \bar{\rho}, \quad (3.11a)$$

$$\left(1 + \frac{b^2}{t^2}\right) \left(\frac{\dot{\bar{a}}^2}{\bar{a}^2} + \frac{2\ddot{\bar{a}}}{\bar{a}}\right) - \frac{2b^2}{t^3} \frac{\dot{\bar{a}}}{\bar{a}} = -8\pi G \bar{P}, \quad (3.11b)$$

where G is Newton's gravitational coupling constant. From a direct calculation of the perturbed Einstein tensor for the perturbed metric (3.7), together with (3.10) and (3.11), we get the following equations of motion for scalar metric perturbations:

$$4\pi G \delta\rho = \frac{\Delta\Phi}{\bar{a}^2} - 3\frac{\dot{\bar{a}}^2}{\bar{a}^2} \frac{b^2 + t^2}{t^2} \Phi - 3\frac{\dot{\bar{a}}}{\bar{a}} \frac{b^2 + t^2}{t^2} \dot{\Phi}, \quad (3.12a)$$

$$4\pi G \delta P = \frac{b^2 + t^2}{t^2} \ddot{\Phi} + \frac{b^2 + t^2}{t^2} \left(\frac{\dot{\bar{a}}^2}{\bar{a}^2} + \frac{2\ddot{\bar{a}}}{\bar{a}}\right) \Phi + 4\frac{\dot{\bar{a}}}{\bar{a}} \frac{b^2 + t^2}{t^2} \dot{\Phi} - 2\frac{b^2}{t^3} \frac{\dot{\bar{a}}}{\bar{a}} \Phi - \frac{b^2}{t^3} \dot{\Phi}, \quad (3.12b)$$

where Δ is the Laplace operator in three-dimensional Euclidean space and where we have used

$$\Psi = -\Phi, \quad (3.13)$$

which follows from the perturbed off-diagonal spatial Einstein equation. Note that (3.12a) for constant $\bar{a}(t)$ reproduces the Poisson equation of Newtonian gravity, which explains the name of the gauge [12].

Considering adiabatic perturbations, we have

$$\delta P = c_s^2 \delta\rho, \quad (3.14)$$

where c_s^2 is the square of the speed of sound [12]. Combining (3.12) and (3.14), we get the following equation of motion for $\Phi(t, \mathbf{x})$:

$$0 = \frac{b^2 + t^2}{t^2} \ddot{\Phi} - c_s^2 \frac{\Delta\Phi}{\bar{a}^2} + \frac{b^2 + t^2}{t^2} \left[\frac{\dot{\bar{a}}^2}{\bar{a}^2} \left(1 + 3c_s^2\right) + \frac{2\ddot{\bar{a}}}{\bar{a}}\right] \Phi + \frac{\dot{\bar{a}}}{\bar{a}} \frac{b^2 + t^2}{t^2} \left(4 + 3c_s^2\right) \dot{\Phi} - 2\frac{b^2}{t^3} \frac{\dot{\bar{a}}}{\bar{a}} \Phi - \frac{b^2}{t^3} \dot{\Phi}, \quad (3.15)$$

which is the basic Φ equation for adiabatic perturbations.

Equations (3.12) and (3.15) are *singular* differential equations (the singularity appears at $t = 0$), but they have *nonsingular* solutions that will be presented shortly. As mentioned in Sec. I, the same behavior has been observed for the differential equations and solutions of the background spacetime [3, 6].

2. Nonrelativistic matter

For nonrelativistic matter, we have

$$P = c_s^2 = 0, \quad (3.16a)$$

$$\bar{\rho}(t) \propto [\bar{a}(t)]^{-1/3}, \quad (3.16b)$$

$$\bar{a}(t) = \sqrt[3]{\frac{b^2 + t^2}{b^2 + t_0^2}}, \quad (3.16c)$$

where $\bar{a}(t)$ has been normalized to unity at $t = t_0 > 0$. In this case, (3.15) has the solution

$$\Phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = C_1(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{b^{5/3} C_2(\mathbf{x})}{(b^2 + t^2)^{5/6}}, \quad (3.17)$$

where $C_1(\mathbf{x})$ and $C_2(\mathbf{x})$ are arbitrary dimensionless functions of the spatial coordinates \mathbf{x} . Notice that both modes in (3.17) are nonsingular at $t = 0$, which will be discussed further in Sec. IV.

As a special case of (3.17), consider, first, a plane-wave perturbation with a single comoving wave vector \mathbf{k} ,

$$C_{1,2}(\mathbf{x}) = \widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1,2} \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}), \quad (3.18)$$

where $\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1}$ and $\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2}$ are the dimensionless amplitudes. The amplitude of such a plane-wave scalar metric perturbation is given by

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = \widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1} + \frac{b^{5/3} \widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2}}{(b^2 + t^2)^{5/6}}. \quad (3.19)$$

The corresponding energy density perturbation then has the following amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}}(t)}{\bar{\rho}(t)} = & - \left[2 + \frac{3}{2} k^2 (b^2 + t_0^2)^{2/3} (b^2 + t^2)^{1/3} \right] \widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1} \\ & + \left[3 - \frac{3}{2} k^2 (b^2 + t_0^2)^{2/3} (b^2 + t^2)^{1/3} \right] \frac{b^{5/3} \widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2}}{(b^2 + t^2)^{5/6}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

with $k \equiv |\mathbf{k}|$. The results for different wave vectors \mathbf{k} can simply be superposed.

For $t \neq 0$ and physical wavelengths much larger than the Hubble horizon ($1/H \equiv \bar{a}/\dot{\bar{a}}$),

$$\frac{\bar{a}^2}{k^2} \gg \frac{1}{H^2} > \frac{t^2/(b^2 + t^2)}{H^2}, \quad (3.21)$$

we have from (3.20)

$$\left. \frac{\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}}(t)}{\bar{\rho}(t)} \right|^{(\text{long-wavelengths})} \sim -2\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1} + \frac{3\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2}}{(1+t^2/b^2)^{5/6}}. \quad (3.22a)$$

For short physical wavelengths, we get

$$\left. \frac{\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}}(t)}{\bar{\rho}(t)} \right|^{(\text{short-wavelengths})} \sim -\frac{3}{2}k^2(t_0+b^2)^{2/3} \left[\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1} \sqrt[3]{b^2+t^2} + \frac{b^{2/3}\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2}}{\sqrt{1+t^2/b^2}} \right]. \quad (3.22b)$$

Remark that the growing mode in (3.22b) is proportional to the cosmic scale factor $\bar{a}(t)$ from (3.16c), just as happens for the standard matter-dominated Friedmann universe; cf. Eq. (7.56) of Ref. [12].

The results (3.22a) and (3.22b) will be re-derived with an auxiliary cosmic time coordinate in App. A, which also contains some results on vector and tensor metric perturbations.

IV. BOUNCE STABILITY

The results from Sec. III C 2 show that plane-wave scalar metric perturbations and the corresponding adiabatic density perturbations are finite at the moment of the bounce, $t = 0$. Specifically, these results are given by (3.19) and (3.20).

But the *magnitude* of the metric perturbations at $t = 0$ must also be small enough, so as to keep the background metric essentially unchanged. For scalar metric perturbations in the Newtonian gauge, the perturbed metric is given by (3.7). In order to keep the bounce essentially unchanged, both perturbations in (3.7) must be significantly below unity at $t = 0$,

$$|2\Phi(0, \mathbf{x})| \ll 1, \quad (4.1a)$$

$$|2\Psi(0, \mathbf{x})| \ll 1. \quad (4.1b)$$

With (3.13) and (3.19), there are then the following bounds on the amplitudes of the plane-wave scalar metric perturbations:

$$|2\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1}| \ll 1, \quad (4.2a)$$

$$|2\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2}| \ll 1. \quad (4.2b)$$

In other words, having small enough amplitudes $|\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1}|$ and $|\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2}|$ does not disturb the bounce. As mentioned in Sec. I, a similar conclusion does not hold for a dynamic-vacuum-energy model [7], which is found to have a violent instability at the moment of the big bang, where the cosmic scale factor vanishes [8].

Returning to our degenerate-metric bounce, there is, however, a puzzle. Consider, at $t = t_{\text{start}} < 0$ in the pre-bounce phase, the generation of plane-wave scalar metric perturbations with an initial amplitude $\widehat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{k}, \text{start}}$. Generically, both modes in (3.19) are excited, but let us focus on the nonconstant mode, so that we have

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \sim \widehat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{k}, \text{start}} \frac{(b^2 + t_{\text{start}}^2)^{5/6}}{(b^2 + t^2)^{5/6}}. \quad (4.3)$$

Perturbations created at $t_{\text{start}} \ll -b < 0$ will grow with cosmic time t and give at the moment of the bounce, $t = 0$,

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(0) \sim \widehat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{k}, \text{start}} \frac{(b^2 + t_{\text{start}}^2)^{5/6}}{b^{5/3}} \sim \widehat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{k}, \text{start}} \frac{|t_{\text{start}}|^{5/3}}{b^{5/3}}. \quad (4.4)$$

The stability condition (4.1) then requires an extremely small start amplitude,

$$|\widehat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{k}, \text{start}}| \ll \frac{b^{5/3}}{|t_{\text{start}}|^{5/3}}. \quad (4.5)$$

The puzzle, now, is how to guarantee a sufficiently small amplitude $|\widehat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{k}, \text{start}}|$ if the scalar metric perturbations are created in the pre-bounce phase at very early times, $t_{\text{start}} \ll -b < 0$.

The puzzle outlined in the previous paragraph is, of course, well-known to practitioners of nonsingular-bouncing-cosmology scenarios (cf. Refs. [14–18] and references therein). In fact, this is the motivation for having an exotic component in the pre-bounce phase, with an equation-of-state parameter $w_{\text{exotic}} \equiv P_{\text{exotic}}/\rho_{\text{exotic}} \geq 1$. Approaching the bounce from the pre-bounce side, the energy density of such an exotic component “grows to dominate all other forms of energy, including inhomogeneities, anisotropy and spatial curvature” (quote from Ref. [16]). For this reason, we have also considered [4, 5] asymmetric versions of the degenerate-metric bounce with $w_{\text{pre-bounce}} = 1$ and $w_{\text{post-bounce}} \sim 1/3$.

An alternative physical interpretation of the degenerate-metric bounce is as follows. The thermodynamic arrow of time may be considered to run in the direction of growing density perturbations (cf. the discussion in App. B of Ref. [7], which contains further references). From our result (3.22b) on the short-wavelength growing mode, we can then define the thermodynamic time $\mathcal{T}(t)$ as $\mathcal{T}(t) = t$ for $t > 0$ and $\mathcal{T}(t) = -t$ for $t \leq 0$. In short, we have $\mathcal{T}(t) = |t|$. Now assume that *small* metric perturbations can be created only near the bounce at $\mathcal{T} = 0$. Then, these perturbations will simply grow with $\mathcal{T} = |t|$. In other words, they grow equally on both “sides” of the bounce, not endangering the bounce any further. The physical motivation of this alternative scenario will be discussed in Sec. VI.

V. ACROSS-BOUNCE INFORMATION TRANSFER

Having established the propagation of scalar metric perturbations in Sec. III C 2 and of tensor metric perturbations in App. A 2, we are able to address the question of information transfer *across* the bounce.

Consider a plane-wave scalar metric perturbation triggered at

$$t = t_{\text{start}} < 0, \quad (5.1)$$

with amplitude

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(t_{\text{start}}) = \widehat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{k},\text{start}} \quad (5.2)$$

and an appropriate nonvanishing value of $\dot{\Phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(t_{\text{start}})$ [from (4.3), we have $\dot{\Phi}_{\mathbf{k}}(t_{\text{start}})/\widehat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{k},\text{start}} \sim -(5/3)t_{\text{start}}/(b^2 + t_{\text{start}}^2)$]. According to the solution (4.3), this perturbation grows until the bounce at $t = 0$ is reached and then decreases as t increases further.

Taking the observation time symmetrically for illustrative purposes,

$$t_{\text{obs}} = -t_{\text{start}} > 0, \quad (5.3)$$

we find the following observed perturbation amplitude from (4.3):

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(t_{\text{obs}}) = \widehat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{k},\text{start}}. \quad (5.4)$$

In this way, we are, in principle, able to transfer information across the bounce. With a sequence of scalar-metric-perturbation pulses, for example, it is possible to compose a message in Morse code that starts in the pre-bounce phase, passes across the bounce, and ends up in the post-bounce phase.

More realistic would be to use short-wavelength gravitational waves, and the same result on across-bounce information transfer is obtained from (A7). In fact, we have already discussed in Ref. [4] the across-bounce effects of “gravitational standard candles,” so that the results of the present article fill in one of the missing details (the other missing detail [*sic*] is the actual existence of these standard candles).

VI. DISCUSSION

In the present article, we have obtained first results for the metric perturbations of a particular nonsingular bouncing cosmology. This type of bounce [3] relies on an extension of

general relativity, namely by allowing for degenerate metrics (see, e.g., Ref. [11] for an earlier discussion of general relativity with degenerate metrics). In fact, our degenerate-metric *Ansatz* describes what may be called a “spacetime defect” (see Ref. [9] for a review). The matter content of the corresponding nonsingular bouncing cosmology is entirely standard, without any problem whatsoever as regards unitarity and microcausality (see, e.g., Sec. III of Ref. [17] for an overview of other bounce realizations). In our case, the singularity theorems are evaded by having a degenerate metric with a vanishing determinant on a three-dimensional submanifold (further discussion and references can be found in Ref. [3]).

The main open question for our degenerate-metric bounce is the physical origin of the corresponding spacetime defect, notably its length scale b in the metric *Ansatz* (2.1). It could very well be that the spacetime defect at $t = 0$ (in the notation of our *Ansatz*) traces back to an unknown phase of quantum-spacetime or quantum-gravity effects. But nothing is known for sure about such a quantum phase. For the moment, we make no assumption about the physical origin of the defect length scale b and only require that the numerical value of b is large enough, so that Einstein’s classical gravity holds.

As it stands, we have with the degenerate-metric *Ansatz* (2.1) from Ref. [3] an economic way to describe a nonsingular bounce, assuming such a bounce to be relevant to our Universe. In that case, it is worthwhile to study the perturbations of the metric, and we have started that calculation in the present article.

For any cosmological model aiming to describe the evolution of the very early universe, it is crucial to be able to produce a scale-invariant spectrum of cosmological perturbations. The post-big-bang exponential expansion of the inflationary scenario does the job [12, 13]. But pre-big-bang or ekpyrotic scenarios can also get a scale-invariant spectrum of fluctuations, using either axions or an additional scalar field (see, e.g., Sec. II of Ref. [17]).

Even though the matter-dominated pre-bounce contraction phase considered in this article is far from perfect (as discussed in Sec. IV), vacuum fluctuations in the pre-bounce phase appear to be converted into a scale-invariant spectrum of perturbations in the post-bounce phase (cf. Sec. II B of Ref. [17]). The actual mechanism which generates the required scale-invariant spectrum of cosmological perturbations may depend on certain details of the pre-bounce phase (cf. Refs. [16, 18]). Regardless of the pre-bounce generation mechanism of the cosmological perturbations, our explicit degenerate-metric model of the bounce, with the time-symmetric results (3.19), (3.20), and (A7), has shown that, in principle, these perturbations may be unaffected by the dynamics of the bounce itself. In our degenerate-metric

bounce scenario (with a large enough value of the defect length scale b , so as to remain in the classical-gravity phase), the cosmological perturbations from the pre-bounce phase can safely cross the bounce, and the present article has shown this by concrete examples.

If, however, the defect length scale b of our metric (2.1) is related to a quantum-spacetime phase, then another scenario may be envisioned (already mentioned in the last paragraph of Sec. IV). Taking nonrelativistic matter as a toy model, we obtain from the density perturbation result (3.20) at $t = 0$ that the perturbations have a critical comoving wavelength $\lambda_{\text{crit}} \sim (ct_0)^{2/3} (b)^{1/3}$ (the corresponding physical wavelength is $\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{crit}} \sim b$). If the perturbations (all or only part of them) are generated by the quantum-spacetime phase and emerge at the classical time $t = 0$, then we may expect to see a different behavior for wavelengths below or above this critical wavelength [19]. This would, in principle, provide a way to determine the numerical value of b [20], in addition to the *Gedankenexperiment* presented in Ref. [4]. As mentioned before, the crucial open question is the physical origin of the defect length scale b , related to a possible quantum phase or not. But, even if the length scale b is related to a quantum-spacetime phase, this does not necessarily imply that b is of the order of the Planck length [21].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of Z.L.W. is supported by the China Scholarship Council.

Appendix A: Perturbations with conformal coordinates

1. Scalar metric perturbations

The modified spatially-flat Robertson–Walker metric (2.1a) can be written as

$$ds^2 \Big|_{\text{mod. RW}} = \Omega^2(\eta) \left(-d\eta^2 + \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \right), \quad (\text{A1a})$$

where η is the conformal time defined by

$$\Omega(\eta) d\eta = \sqrt{\frac{t^2}{b^2 + t^2}} dt, \quad (\text{A1b})$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and defect length scale $b > 0$ (see below for further details on η). Metric perturbations with a conformally-flat background metric (A1a) have been widely studied in the literature; see, in particular, Ref. [12].

For our nonsingular degenerate-metric bouncing cosmology, the metric perturbation solutions take the same form as in the standard hot-big-bang model but now with η given by (A1b). For example, the plane-wave adiabatic scalar perturbations for nonrelativistic hydrodynamical matter have the following solutions given by Eqs. (7.55) and (7.56) in Ref. [12]:

$$\left. \frac{\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}{\bar{\rho}(\eta)} \right|^{(\text{long-wavelengths})} \sim -2\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1} + 3\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2} \operatorname{sgn}(\eta) \eta^{-5}, \quad (\text{A2a})$$

$$\left. \frac{\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)}{\bar{\rho}(\eta)} \right|^{(\text{short-wavelengths})} \sim -\frac{k^2}{6} \left(\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1} \eta^2 + \widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2} \operatorname{sgn}(\eta) \eta^{-3} \right), \quad (\text{A2b})$$

with $k \equiv |\mathbf{k}|$ and constants $\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1,2}$ (the extra sign factor multiplying $\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2}$ is needed to get the correct boundary conditions, as will be explained later). For nonrelativistic matter, (3.16c) and (A1b) give

$$\Omega(\eta) = \frac{1}{9} \frac{\eta^2}{b^2 + t_0^2}, \quad (\text{A3a})$$

$$\eta = \begin{cases} +3 \sqrt[3]{b^2 + t_0^2} \sqrt[6]{b^2 + t^2}, & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ -3 \sqrt[3]{b^2 + t_0^2} \sqrt[6]{b^2 + t^2}, & \text{for } t \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A3b})$$

$$\eta \in (-\infty, \eta_-] \cup [\eta_+, \infty), \quad (\text{A3c})$$

$$\eta_{\pm} \equiv \pm 3 \sqrt[3]{b(b^2 + t_0^2)}, \quad (\text{A3d})$$

where the points $\eta = \eta_-$ and $\eta = \eta_+$ are identified (in this way, the topology becomes \mathbb{R}).

The coordinate transformation (A3b) is not a diffeomorphism, so that the differential structure from (2.1a) differs from that of (A1a). In fact, η from (A3b) is *not* a good coordinate, whereas t *is* (there are different values η_{\pm} for the single point $t = 0$). Still, η appears to be a useful auxiliary coordinate, but only away from the spacetime defect at $\eta = \eta_{\pm}$. The implication is also that the η domains $(-\infty, \eta_-)$ and (η_+, ∞) are disconnected, so that the boundary conditions at $\eta = \eta_{\pm}$ require special care. See Refs. [3, 6, 10] for an extensive discussion of these issues.

At this moment, we remark that the extra minus signs for the $\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2}$ terms in (A2) make for proper boundary conditions at $\eta = \eta_{\pm}$. Indeed, inserting the η expression from (A3b) into the results (A2a) and (A2b), we observe the final expressions to be even with respect to t . Introducing dimensionless constants $\widehat{c}_{\mathbf{k},1,2}$ by the definitions $\{\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1}, \widehat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2}\} \equiv \{\widehat{c}_{\mathbf{k},1}, b^5 \widehat{c}_{\mathbf{k},2}\}$,

the final result is

$$\left. \frac{\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}}(t)}{\bar{\rho}(t)} \right|^{(\text{long-wavelengths})} \sim -2\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},1} + 3^{-4}b^5\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},2}(b^2+t_0^2)^{-5/3}(b^2+t^2)^{-5/6}, \quad (\text{A4a})$$

$$\left. \frac{\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}}(t)}{\bar{\rho}(t)} \right|^{(\text{short-wavelengths})} \sim -\frac{3}{2}k^2(b^2+t_0^2)^{2/3} \left[\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},1}\sqrt[3]{b^2+t^2} + 3^{-5}b^5\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},2}(b^2+t_0^2)^{-5/3}(b^2+t^2)^{-1/2} \right], \quad (\text{A4b})$$

in agreement with (3.22a) and (3.22b).

2. Vector and tensor metric perturbations

Results on vector and tensor metric perturbations can be directly taken over from Sec. 7.3.2 in Ref. [12], where, for the nonrelativistic-matter case, the conformal factor $a(\eta)$ is replaced by our factor $\Omega(\eta)$ from (A3a) and η is given by (A3b).

Here, we give some explicit results for the radiation-dominated case. With the energy-momentum tensor perturbation $\delta T_i^0 = \Omega^{-1}(\bar{\rho} + \bar{P})\delta u_{\perp i}$ and the definition $\delta v^i \equiv \Omega^{-1}\delta u_{\perp i}$, the result from Eq (7.94) in Ref. [12] is that plane-wave vector metric perturbations for the radiation-dominated case are constant with respect to the conformal time η ,

$$\delta v_{\mathbf{k}}^i = \frac{\hat{C}_{\mathbf{k},3}^i}{\Omega^4(\bar{\rho} + \bar{P})} = \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},3}^i, \quad (\text{A5})$$

where the last equality uses the radiative behavior $\bar{P} = \bar{\rho}/3 \propto \Omega^{-4}$ and where $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},3}^i$ are appropriate dimensionless constants.

Turning to plane-wave tensor metric perturbations for the radiation-dominated case, the result from Eq. (7.98) in Ref. [12] is as follows:

$$h_{\mathbf{k}}^{ij} = \frac{1}{\eta} \left[\hat{C}_{\mathbf{k},4} \sin(k\eta) + \hat{C}_{\mathbf{k},5} \text{sgn}(\eta) \cos(k\eta) \right] e_{\mathbf{k}}^{ij}, \quad (\text{A6a})$$

$$\eta = \begin{cases} +2\sqrt[4]{b^2+t_0^2}\sqrt[4]{b^2+t^2}, & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ -2\sqrt[4]{b^2+t_0^2}\sqrt[4]{b^2+t^2}, & \text{for } t \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A6b})$$

$$\eta \in (-\infty, \tilde{\eta}_-] \cup [\tilde{\eta}_+, \infty), \quad (\text{A6c})$$

$$\tilde{\eta}_{\pm} \equiv \pm 2\sqrt[4]{b^2(b^2+t_0^2)}, \quad (\text{A6d})$$

with $k \equiv |\mathbf{k}|$ and constant polarization tensor $e_{\mathbf{k}}^{ij}$ (the polarization may be different for different wave vectors \mathbf{k}). Remark that, just as in App. A 1, we have added a sign factor to the coefficient $\hat{C}_{\mathbf{k},5}$ in (A6a), in order to get the proper boundary conditions at $\eta = \tilde{\eta}_{\pm}$.

From (A6a), we see that short-wavelength gravitational waves ($k|\eta| \gg 1$) have an averaged amplitude that goes as $1/|\eta|$, so that

$$h_{\mathbf{k}}^{ij} \Big|^{(\text{short-wavelengths})} \sim \frac{\widehat{c}_{\mathbf{k},6}}{\sqrt[4]{1+t^2/b^2}} e_{\mathbf{k}}^{ij}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

for dimensionless constants $\widehat{c}_{\mathbf{k},6}$. With the cosmic scale factor $\bar{a}(t) \propto \sqrt[4]{b^2+t^2}$, we observe that the amplitudes of short-wavelength gravitational waves from (A7) go as $1/\bar{a}(t)$, which matches the behavior of the standard radiation-dominated Friedmann universe; see the second and third lines below Eq. (7.100) in Ref. [12].

-
- [1] A.A. Friedmann, “Über die Krümmung des Raumes” (On the curvature of space), *Z. Phys.* **10**, 377 (1922); “Über die Möglichkeit einer Welt mit konstanter negativer Krümmung des Raumes” (On the possibility of a world with constant negative curvature), *Z. Phys.* **21**, 326 (1924).
 - [2] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, and J.A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2017).
 - [3] F.R. Klinkhamer, “Regularized big bang singularity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 023536 (2019), arXiv:1903.10450.
 - [4] F.R. Klinkhamer and Z.L. Wang, “Nonsingular bouncing cosmology from general relativity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 083534 (2019), arXiv:1904.09961.
 - [5] F.R. Klinkhamer and Z.L. Wang, “Asymmetric nonsingular bounce from a dynamic scalar field,” *Lett. High Energy Phys.* **3**, 9 (2019), arXiv:1906.04708.
 - [6] F.R. Klinkhamer, “Nonsingular bounce revisited,” arXiv:1907.06547.
 - [7] F.R. Klinkhamer and E. Ling, “Model for a time-symmetric Milne-like universe without big bang curvature singularity,” arXiv:1909.05816.
 - [8] F.R. Klinkhamer and Z.L. Wang, “Instability of the big bang coordinate singularity in a Milne-like universe,” arXiv:1911.11116.
 - [9] F.R. Klinkhamer, “On a soliton-type spacetime defect,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **1275**, 012012 (2019), arXiv:1811.01078.
 - [10] F.R. Klinkhamer and F. Sorba, “Comparison of spacetime defects which are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic,” *J. Math. Phys. (N.Y.)* **55**, 112503 (2014), arXiv:1404.2901.
 - [11] G.T. Horowitz, “Topology change in classical and quantum gravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **8**, 587 (1991).

- [12] V. Mukhanov, *Physical Foundations of Cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2005).
- [13] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, England, 2008).
- [14] E.I. Buchbinder, J. Khoury, and B.A. Ovrut, “New ekpyrotic cosmology,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 123503 (2007), arXiv:hep-th/0702154.
- [15] J. Quintin, Y.F. Cai, and R.H. Brandenberger, “Matter creation in a nonsingular bouncing cosmology,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 063507 (2014), arXiv:1406.6049.
- [16] A.M. Levy, A. Ijjas, and P.J. Steinhardt, “Scale-invariant perturbations in ekpyrotic cosmologies without fine-tuning of initial conditions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 063524 (2015), arXiv:1506.01011.
- [17] R.H. Brandenberger and P. Peter, “Bouncing cosmologies: Progress and problems,” *Found. Phys.* **47**, 797 (2017), arXiv:1603.05834.
- [18] A. Ijjas and P.J. Steinhardt, “Bouncing cosmology made simple,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **35**, 135004 (2018), arXiv:1803.01961.
- [19] One possible scenario, purely as illustration, is as follows. Suppose that all perturbations, in the Newtonian gauge, are generated by the quantum phase and that they emerge in the classical spacetime (3.7) at cosmic time coordinate $t = 0$. Suppose also that the quantum phase sets $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(0) = 0$ for comoving wave number $k \equiv |\mathbf{k}| > k_{\text{crit}} \equiv 2\pi/\lambda_{\text{crit}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(0) = 1$ for $k \leq k_{\text{crit}}$ (recall that the critical physical wave number is given by $\tilde{k}_{\text{crit}} \sim 2\pi/b$). The subsequent metric perturbations (3.19) for $|t| > 0$ then have $\hat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1} = -\hat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2}$ for $k > k_{\text{crit}}$ and $\hat{C}_{\mathbf{k},1} = 1 - \hat{C}_{\mathbf{k},2}$ for $k \leq k_{\text{crit}}$. The spectrum of the corresponding density perturbations (3.20) now changes as k moves from above k_{crit} to below k_{crit} , with a jump of the coefficient multiplying the growing mode proportional to $(b^2 + t^2)^{1/3}$. It is an interesting problem to see if the perturbations of the degenerate metric can be matched to the results from string theory [22] or loop quantum gravity [23].
- [20] Something along these lines has been suggested by the referee of our previous paper [4].
- [21] F.R. Klinkhamer, “Fundamental length scale of quantum spacetime foam,” *JETP Lett.* **86**, 73 (2007), arXiv:gr-qc/0703009.
- [22] M. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, “The pre-big-bang scenario in string cosmology,” *Phys. Rep.* **373**, 1 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0207130.
- [23] A. Ashtekar and A. Barrau, “Loop quantum cosmology: From pre-inflationary dynamics to observations,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32**, 234001 (2015), arXiv:1504.07559.