

NODAL DOMAINS COUNT FOR TORAL EIGENFUNCTIONS AT PLANCK-SCALE

ANDREA SARTORI

ABSTRACT. We study the number of nodal domains in balls shrinking slightly above the Planck scale for “generic” toral eigenfunctions. We prove that, up to the natural scaling, the nodal domains count obeys the same asymptotic law as the global number of nodal domains. The proof, on one hand, uses new arithmetic information to refine Bourgain’s de-randomisation technique at Planck scale. And on the other hand, it requires a Planck scale version of Yau’s conjecture which we believe to be of independent interest.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Laplacian eigenfunctions and nodal domains. Given a compact Riemannian surface (M, g) without boundary, let Δ_g be the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M . There exists an orthonormal basis for $L^2(M, d\text{Vol})$ consisting of eigenfunctions $\{f_{E_i}\}$

$$\Delta_g f_{E_i} + E_i f_{E_i} = 0$$

with $0 = E_1 < E_2 \leq \dots$ listed with multiplicity, and $E_i \rightarrow \infty$. The *nodal set* of an eigenfunction f_E is the zero set $Z(f_E) := \{x \in M : f_E(x) = 0\}$ and it is the union of smooth curves outside a finite set of points [9]. The connected components of $M \setminus Z(f_E)$ are called *nodal domains* and we denote their number by $\mathcal{N}(f_E)$. The main object of our interest is to count the number of nodal domains of f_E .

The celebrated Courant Nodal Domains Theorem [12] implies that there exists an explicit constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{N}(f_E) \leq C \cdot E. \tag{1.1}$$

Stern [39] showed that, on some planar domains, there exists a sequence of eigenfunctions such that the eigenvalue grows to infinity, but $\mathcal{N}(f_E) = 2$, see also [24] for a similar result on the two dimensional sphere. Jung and Zelditch [18] proved that for most eigenfunctions on certain negatively curved manifolds $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$ tends to infinity with the eigenvalue. Ingremeau [17] also gave examples of eigenfunctions with $\mathcal{N}(\cdot) \rightarrow \infty$ on unbounded negatively-curved manifolds.

1.2. Random Wave Model. For “generic” eigenfunctions, the Random Wave Model proposed by Berry [3, 4] together with the breakthrough work of Nazarov and Sodin [31] assert that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{N}(f_E) = c \cdot E(1 + o(1)). \tag{1.2}$$

Remarkably, Bourgain [6] proved that there exist sequences of eigenfunction on the standard flat torus $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ such that (1.2) holds. Subsequently, Buckley and Wigman [7] extended Bourgain’s work to “generic” toral eigenfunctions. We study a finer form of (1.2): let $s > 0$ and let $\mathcal{N}_{f_E}(s, z)$ be the number of nodal domains lying entirely inside the

geodesic ball of radius s around the point $z \in M$; then the Random Wave Model would predict that

$$\mathcal{N}_{f_E}(s, z) = c \cdot E(\pi s^2)(1 + o(1)) \quad (1.3)$$

uniformly in z , provided that $s \cdot E^{1/2} \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. provided that the radius of the ball shrinks slightly above the *Planck-scale*. We prove that (1.3) holds for “generic” toral eigenfunctions with $s > E^{-1/2+o(1)}$.

1.3. Statement of main results. Every Laplace eigenfunction on \mathbb{T}^2 with eigenvalue E can be expressed as

$$f(x) = f_E(x) = \sum_{|\xi|^2=E} a_\xi e(\langle x, \xi \rangle) \quad (1.4)$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $e(\cdot) = e(2\pi i \cdot)$ (This normalisation implies that the eigenvalue is $4\pi E$, but we will make no distinction between E and $4\pi E$) and $\{a_\xi\}_\xi$ are complex coefficients. It follows that $E \in S := \{E \in \mathbb{N} : E = a^2 + b^2, \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and that the multiplicity of the eigenvalue is given by the number of lattice points on the circle of radius \sqrt{E} . We denote the multiplicity of E by $N = N(E)$. As we are interested in the zero set of f , from now on we assume that $\bar{a}_\xi = a_{-\xi}$, that is f is real-valued. We also assume that f is normalised via

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}^2 = \sum_{|\xi|^2=E} |a_\xi|^2 = 1. \quad (1.5)$$

Thanks to (1.5), we can associate to f the probability measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$

$$\mu_f = \sum_{|\xi|^2=E} |a_\xi|^2 \delta_{\xi/\sqrt{E}} \quad (1.6)$$

where $\delta_{\xi/\sqrt{E}}$ is the Dirac delta function at the point ξ/\sqrt{E} .

We say that f is *flat* if for all $\rho > 0$ we have $\max_{|\xi|^2=E} |a_\xi|^2 = o(N^{-1+\rho})$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that if we regard the set $(a_\xi)_\xi$ as points on an N -dimensional (complex) sphere via (1.5), then Lévy concentration of measure [22, Theorem 2.3] implies that $|a_\xi|^2 \leq (\log N)^{O(1)}/N$ is satisfied with probability asymptotic to 1. Finally, following [20], we denote by $c_{NS}(\mu_f)$ the *Nazarov-Sodin* constant of the measure μ_f , which we will discuss in Section 2.2 below. Our principal result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a density one subset¹ $S' \subset S$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$ and all sufficiently large $E \in S'$ we have*

$$\mathcal{N}_f(s, z) = c_{NS}(\mu_f) \pi s^2 E (1 + o_{E \rightarrow \infty}(1))$$

uniformly for flat f , $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and $s > E^{-1/2+\epsilon}$.

Remark 1.2. Using the main result in [35], Theorem 1.1 still holds if we take s such that for all $m > 0$ we have $s \cdot E^{1/2}/(\log E)^m \rightarrow \infty$. For the sake of elegance of the presentation, we decided not to include it in this manuscript.

¹ By a density one subset we mean a set $S' \subset S$ such that $\lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{E \leq X : E \in S'\}}{\#\{E \leq X : E \in S\}} = 1$.

Even in the special case $a_\xi = 1/\sqrt{N}$ for all $|\xi|^2 = E$, the sequence $\{\mu_f\}$ does not have a unique limit point with respect to the weak* topology, see for example [10, 20, 36]. Therefore, to exhibit an asymptotic behaviour in Theorem 1.1, we need to pass to a subsequence. By [21, Theorem 1.3] if μ_f weak* converges to some probability measure μ on \mathbb{S}^1 , then $c_{NS}(\mu_f) = c_{NS}(\mu)(1 + o(1))$. So we have the following version of Theorem 1.1:

Corollary 1.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, suppose that μ_f weak* converges to some probability measure μ on \mathbb{S}^1 , then*

$$\mathcal{N}_f(s, z) = c_{NS}(\mu)\pi s^2 E(1 + o(1)).$$

uniformly for f flat, $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and $s > E^{-1/2+\epsilon}$.

1.4. Nodal length in shrinking balls. Let M be a C^∞ -surface without boundary and f_E a Laplace eigenfunction with eigenvalue E . The *nodal length* is the volume of $\mathcal{Z}(f_E)$. A major step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is estimating the nodal length of toral eigenfunctions in shrinking balls. So we now briefly discuss how our result fits into the broader study of the nodal length of Laplace eigenfunctions and some of its consequences.

Yau's conjecture asserts that

$$\sqrt{E} \ll_M \mathcal{L}(f) := \text{Vol}\{x \in M : f(x) = 0\} \ll_M \sqrt{E}.$$

Donnelly and Fefferman [13] showed that Yau's conjecture holds for any real-analytic manifold (of any dimension). Recently, Logunov and Malinnikova [25, 26, 27] proved the lower-bound in the smooth case and gave a polynomial upper-bound. According to the Random Waves Model, "generic" eigenfunctions should satisfy a version of Yau's conjecture in shrinking balls, that is for any $z \in M$

$$\sqrt{E}s \ll_M s^{-1} \mathcal{L}_f(s, z) := s^{-1} \text{Vol}\{x \in B(s, z) : f(x) = 0\} \ll_M s\sqrt{E}.$$

provided that s shrinks slightly above Plank-scale. We prove the following:

Proposition 1.4. *Let f be as in (1.4), then*

$$s\sqrt{E} \ll \mathcal{L}_f(s, z)s^{-1} \ll s\sqrt{E}$$

uniformly for $s > N \cdot E^{-1/2}$ and $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$.

One particular aspect of Proposition 1.4 is that it holds for *every* toral eigenfunction. This might fail on other surfaces: for example, on the 2-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \|x\|^2 = 1\}$ one can consider the "sectoral" harmonic $g(\theta, \phi) = \sin(m\phi)P_m^m(\cos(\theta))$ in spherical-coordinates, where $P_m^m(\cdot)$ is the associated Legendre polynomial. Then $\Delta g = -m(m+1)g$ and the upper-bound in Proposition 1.4 fails around the North pole.

Application to Laplace eigenfunctions on the square. Cammarota, Klurman and Wigman [8] studied the nodal length of *random* Laplace eigenfunctions on the square with Dirichlet boundary conditions, also known as *boundary adapted Arithmetic Random Waves*. A major step in their work is to bound the expectation of the nodal length in squares of side $O(1)/\sqrt{E}$, where E is the eigenvalue. The proof of Proposition 1.4 is general enough to address also eigenfunctions on the square with either Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions. In fact, we have the following:

Proposition 1.5. *Let \tilde{f} be a Laplace eigenfunction on the square $[0, 1]^2$ with either Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions and let E be its eigenvalue. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{f}}(s, z)s^{-1} \ll s\sqrt{E} + N$$

uniformly for $s > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$, where $N = N(E)$ is as in Section 1.3.

From Proposition 1.5, it follows that for any fixed $C > 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{f}}\left(\frac{C}{\sqrt{E}}, z\right) \ll \frac{N}{\sqrt{E}}. \quad (1.7)$$

For *random* Laplace eigenfunctions on the square, Cammarota, Klurman and Wigman [8, Proposition 2.5] showed that obtained the bound $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{f}}\left(\frac{C}{\sqrt{E}}, z\right) \ll N^2/\sqrt{E}$ holds with high probability. So (1.7) not only refines [8, Proposition 2.5] but it also provides a deterministic results which does not rely on moments estimates.

1.5. Related results. The main body of results regarding statistics of Laplace eigenfunctions in shrinking sets concern their mass distribution. Let f_E be a Laplace eigenfunction on a surface M , then one is interested in finding the smallest s such that $\int_{B(s,z)} |f|^2 d\text{Vol} = \pi s^2(1 + o_{E \rightarrow \infty}(1))$. The celebrated Quantum Ergodicity Theorem [11, 37, 42] asserts that, if the geodesic flow on M is ergodic, then one can take any *fixed* $s > 0$ for a density one subsequence of eigenfunctions. Luo and Sarnak [28] showed that, on the modular surface, one can take $s > E^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ for a density one subsequence, see also [41]. Hezari, Rivière [15] and independently Han [16] proved that, if M has negative sectional curvature, then one can take $s > \log(E)^{-\alpha}$ for some small $\alpha > 0$ for a density one subsequence. On \mathbb{T}^2 Lester and Rudnick [23] showed that $s > E^{-1/2+o(1)}$, again for a density one subsequence.

Granville and Wigman [19] and subsequently Wigman and Yesha [40] studied the mass distribution of eigenfunctions on \mathbb{T}^2 *at Planck scale* by drawing the centre of the ball randomly uniformly. They showed that, for certain eigenfunctions the mass equidistributes in almost every ball, see also [32, 33] for similar work on the modular surface. The author [34] classified all limiting mass-distributions *at Planck scale* for “generic” toral eigenfunctions.

Results regarding the zero set are more modest: Benatar, Marinucci and Wigman [2] studied the behaviour of nodal length for *random* toral eigenfunctions at scales $s = E^{-1/2+o(1)}$ and found the asymptotic law of the variance. To the best of the author’s knowledge, our own Theorem 1.1 is the only asymptotic result on nodal domains at small scales.

1.6. Outline the proof. Let f be as in (1.4), $s > E^{-1/2+\epsilon}$ and $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$. Thanks to Proposition 1.4, we proved in Section 3, there are few domains of diameter bigger than $O(1)/\sqrt{E}$. So we can express $\mathcal{N}_f(s, z)$ as an average of local quantities:

$$\mathcal{N}_f(s, z) \approx E \int_{B(s,z)} \mathcal{N}_f\left(\frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{E}}, z\right) + o(Es^2). \quad (1.8)$$

Next we consider the ensemble $\{F_x\}_{x \in B(s,z)}$ where $F_x(y) = f(x + y/\sqrt{E})$ and x is drawn uniformly at random from $B(s, z)$. Using Bourgain’s de-randomisation technique we show that the pseudo-random field $\{F_x\}$ approximates a Gaussian field, \tilde{F} say. This allows us

to replace the average in (1.8) with the expectation of the number of nodal domains of \tilde{F} :

$$\mathcal{N}_f(s, z) \approx Es^2 \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}(\tilde{F})] + o(Es^2). \quad (1.9)$$

The proof of (1.9) requires a new arithmetic ingredient, which we discuss in Section 2.1 and an auxiliary construction, which we present in Section 4.

To give the reader an idea of how properties of lattice are exploited, we show here that $F_x(0)$ approximates a standard Gaussian random variable. Via the method of moments, we have to evaluate for $l \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\frac{1}{\pi s^2} \int_{B(s, z)} |F_x(0)|^{2l} dx = \frac{1}{\pi s^2} \sum_{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2l}} a_{\xi_1} \overline{a_{\xi_2}} \dots a_{\xi_{2l-1}} \overline{a_{\xi_{2l}}} \int_{B(s, z)} e(\langle x, \xi_1 - \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_{2l-1} - \xi_{2l} \rangle) dx.$$

Separating the terms with $\xi_1 + \dots - \xi_{2l} = 0$, known as “length- $2l$ spectral correlations”, from the other terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi s^2} \int_{B(s, z)} |f(x)|^{2l} dx &= \sum_{\xi_1 - \xi_2 + \dots - \xi_{2l} = 0} a_{\xi_1} \overline{a_{\xi_2}} \dots a_{\xi_{2l-1}} \overline{a_{\xi_{2l}}} \\ &+ O \left(\max_{\xi} |a_{\xi}|^{2l} \sum_{|\xi_1 - \xi_2 + \dots - \xi_{2l}| > 0} \frac{J_1(s|\xi_1 - \xi_2 + \dots - \xi_{2l}|)}{s|\xi_1 - \xi_2 + \dots - \xi_{2l}|} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

where $J_1(\cdot)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind. The equation $\xi_1 - \xi_2 + \dots - \xi_{2l} = 0$ admits the diagonal solutions given by $\xi_1 = \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{2l-1} = \xi_{2l}$ and their permutations. Taking into account (1.5), the contribution of the diagonal solutions to the sum in (1.10) is $2l!/(2^l \cdot l!)$. For almost all $E \in S$, Bombieri and Bourgain [5] showed that the “off-diagonal” solutions give a lower order contribution as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, taking into account the flatness of f , the first term on the right hand side of (1.10) is asymptotic to $2l!/(2^l \cdot l!)$. For a density one subsequence of $E \in S$ it is possible to show (Theorem 2.3 below) that $s|\xi_1 - \xi_2 + \dots - \xi_{2l}| \geq E^{o(1)}$. Since the Bessel function decays at infinity, this implies that the error term in (1.10) tends to 0. Hence, $F_x(0)$ converges to a standard Gaussian.

The last step in the proof is the application of (a suitable version of, see Proposition 2.5 below) the Nazarov-Sodin Theorem to compute the expectation on the right hand side of (1.9); this will be done in Section 5.

1.7. Notation. Let $t \rightarrow \infty$ be some parameter, we say that the quantity $X = X(t)$ and $Y = Y(t)$ satisfy $X \ll Y$, $X \gg Y$ if there exists some constant C , independent of t , such that $X \leq CY$ and $X \geq CY$ respectively. We also write $O(X)$ for some quantity bounded in absolute value by a constant times X and $X = o(Y)$ if $X/Y \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, in particular we denote by $o(1)$ any function that tends to 0 (arbitrarily slowly) as $x \rightarrow \infty$. We denote by $B(s, z)$ the (open) ball of radius s with centre z , by $B(s)$ for the ball centred at 0 and by $\overline{B}(s)$ the closure of $B(s)$. When the specific radius is unimportant, we simply write the ball as B and $\frac{1}{2}B$ for the concentric ball with half the radius. Finally, we denote by Ω an abstract probability space where every random object is defined.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Number theoretic background. Recall that $S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n = a^2 + b^2, \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. In this section we collect some number theoretic results that will be used to define the set $S' \subset S$ in Theorem 1.1. We begin with some considerations on the structure of generic integers representable as the sum of two square. Let $E \in S$ and write its prime

factorisation as $E = 2^{\alpha_2} \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} p^{\alpha_p} \prod_{q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} q^{2\beta_q}$ where $\alpha_2, \alpha_p, \beta_q \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $N(E) = 4 \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} (\alpha_p + 1)$. Thus, by the divisor bound, we have

$$N(E) \ll \exp\left(\frac{\log E}{\log \log E}\right). \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, by the Erdős-Kac Theorem [14, Theorem 12.3], for almost all integers (representable as sum of two squares) the number $\#\{p|E : p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\} \rightarrow \infty$ as $E \rightarrow \infty$. So we also have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *For a density one subset of $E \in S$, $N(E) \rightarrow \infty$ as $E \rightarrow \infty$.*

We continue with two number theoretic results that will be used to evaluate the L^p norms of f in shrinking balls. First, we set up some notation: let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E \in S$, and denote by $\mathcal{S}(l, E)$ the number of solutions to

$$\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_l = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

where $\xi_j \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $|\xi_j|^2 = E$, that is *length- l spectral correlations*. When l is odd, by congruence obstruction modulo 2, there are no solutions to (2.2). When l is even, we have the following [5, Theorem 17] and [6, Lemma 4]:

Theorem 2.2 (Bombieri-Bourgain). *Let $B = B(E)$ be an arbitrarily slow growing function of E , $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \gamma < 1$. Then, for a density one subset of integers $E \in S$, we have*

$$\mathcal{S}(2l, E) = \frac{(2l)!}{2^l \cdot l!} N^l + O(N^{\gamma l})$$

uniformly for all $l \leq B$, where the constant implied in the notation is absolute.

Moreover, provided that is not zero, one can give a quantitative lower bound to the sum in (2.2), see [2, Theorem 1.4] and the refinement [35, Theorem 1.1]:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $B = B(E)$ be an arbitrarily slow growing function of E , $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Q = Q(E)$ such that for all $m \geq 0$ we have $Q \cdot E^{1/2}/(\log E)^m \rightarrow \infty$. Then, for a density one subset of integers $E \in S$, we have*

$$\|\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_l\| > Q$$

uniformly for all choices of ξ_1, \dots, ξ_l and $l \leq B$.

The set S' . We are now ready to define the subset in Theorem 1.1: let $S' \subset S$ the set of $E \in S$ which satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 2.1, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. By the discussion in this section, S' has density one.

2.2. Gaussian fields background. We briefly collect some definitions about Gaussian fields (on \mathbb{R}^2). A (real-valued) Gaussian field F is a measurable map $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for some probability space Ω , such that all finite dimensional distributions $(F(x_1, \cdot), \dots, F(x_n, \cdot))$ are multivariate Gaussian. F is *centred* if $\mathbb{E}[F] = 0$ and *stationary* if its law is invariant under translations $x \rightarrow x + \tau$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The *covariance* function of F is

$$\mathbb{E}[F(x) \cdot F(y)] = \mathbb{E}[F(x - y) \cdot F(0)].$$

Since the covariance is positive definite, by Bochner's theorem, it is the Fourier transform of some measure μ on the \mathbb{R}^2 . So we have

$$\mathbb{E}[F(x)F(y)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(\langle x - y, \lambda \rangle) d\mu(\lambda).$$

The measure μ is called the *spectral measure* of F and, since F is real-valued, satisfies $\mu(-I) = \mu(I)$ for any (measurable) subset $I \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. By Kolmogorov theorem, μ fully determines F , so we may simply write $F = F_\mu$.

2.3. Nazarov-Sodin constant. Nazarov and Sodin [31] found the asymptotic law of the expected number of nodal domains of a stationary Gaussian field in growing balls around the origin, provided its spectral measure satisfies certain (simple) properties. We state here a simplified and slightly adapted form of their Theorem, see [21, Proposition 1.1]:

Theorem 2.4. *Let μ be a probability measure on \mathbb{S}^1 , invariant by rotation by π and let $\mathcal{N}(F_\mu, R)$ be the number of nodal domains of F_μ in a ball of radius $R > 1$ centred at the origin. Then, there exists some constant $c_{NS}(\mu)$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}(F_\mu, R)] = c_{NS}(\mu)R^2 + O(R).$$

Moreover $c_{NS}(\mu) > 0$ if μ does not have any atoms.

We will need the following version of Theorem 2.4, see [7, Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.5].

Proposition 2.5. *Let $R > 1$ and μ_f be as in (1.6). Then, for any function ψ with $\|\psi\|_{C^1}$ sufficiently small in terms of R , we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}(F_{\mu_f} + \psi, R)] = c_{NS}(\mu_f)R^2(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$

We conclude this section mentioning another result concerning the positivity of $c_{NS}(\mu_f)$. Suppose that μ_f is invariant under $\pi/2$ rotations and reflection on the X -axis (i.e. $(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow (x_1, -x_2)$). Among these measure Kurlberg and Wigman [21, Theorem 1.5] showed that there are only two with vanishing Nazarov-Sodin constant:

$$\nu = \sum_{k=1}^4 \delta_{e^{i\pi k/2}} \qquad \tilde{\nu} = \sum_{k=1}^4 \delta_{e^{i(\pi k/2 + \pi/4)}}.$$

3. NODAL LENGTH OF TORAL EIGENFUNCTIONS IN SHRINKING SETS

The aim of this section is to prove the Proposition 1.4 and Proposition 1.5. First we deduce the following consequence of Proposition 1.4 which will be fundamental in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $R > 1$, $\epsilon > 0$ and let f be as in (1.4). Then, uniformly for $s > E^{-1/2+\epsilon}$ and $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$, we have*

$$\mathcal{N}_f(s, z) = \frac{E}{R^2} \int_{B(s, z)} \mathcal{N}_f\left(\frac{R}{\sqrt{E}}, x\right) dx + O\left(\frac{Es^2}{\sqrt{R}}\right).$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1 assuming Proposition 1.4. Let $L > 1$ be some parameter to be chosen later. By Proposition 1.4 the nodal length of f in $B(s, z)$ is, up to rescaling, at most \sqrt{Es} . It follows that there are at most Es^2/L nodal domains of diameter bigger

than L/Es^2 . Therefore, if we divide $B(s, z)$ into balls of radius R/\sqrt{E} , any nodal domain of diameter smaller than L/Es^2 intersects at most $O(L^2/R^2)$ balls. We deduce that

$$\mathcal{N}_f(s, z) = \frac{E}{R^2} \int_{B(s, z)} \mathcal{N}_f \left(\frac{R}{\sqrt{E}}, x \right) dx + O \left(\frac{Es^2}{L} \right) + O \left(\frac{Es^2 L^2}{R^2} \right).$$

The Proposition follows choosing $L = \sqrt{R}$. \square

3.1. Proof of Proposition 1.4, upper bound. The proof will be carried out through a series of Lemmas, the first is a standard tool to count zeros of analytic functions.

Lemma 3.2 (Jensen's bound). *Let h be a complex analytic function on some ball $B \subset \mathbb{C}$ and let $Z(h, \frac{1}{2}\overline{B})$ be the number of its zeros in $\frac{1}{2}\overline{B}$. Then,*

$$Z \left(h, \frac{1}{2}\overline{B} \right) \ll \log \frac{\sup_B |h|}{\max_{\frac{1}{2}\overline{B}} |h|}.$$

Proof. Up to translation and rescaling, we may assume that h is defined on the unit ball, which we again denote by B . Let w_1, \dots, w_n be the zeros of h on $\frac{1}{2}\overline{B}$ counted with multiplicity and consider the Blaschke factor $D(z, \omega_i) = (z - \omega_i)/(1 - z\overline{\omega_i})$. Then, we can write $h(z) = \prod_i D(z, \omega_i)g(z)$ for some g analytic on B with $\sup_B |h| = \sup_B |g|$. Since $|D(z, \omega_i)| \leq (4/5)$ for $z \in \frac{1}{2}\overline{B}$, letting $Z = Z(h, \frac{1}{2}\overline{B})$, we have

$$\max_{\frac{1}{2}\overline{B}} |h| \leq \left(\frac{4}{5} \right)^Z \max_{\frac{1}{2}\overline{B}} |g| \leq \left(\frac{4}{5} \right)^Z \sup_B |g| \leq \left(\frac{4}{5} \right)^Z \sup_B |h|. \quad (3.1)$$

The lemma follows taking the logarithm on both sides of (3.1). \square

We also need the following well-known formula of Crofton [35, Page 12-13].

Lemma 3.3. *Let f be as in (1.4), $s > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$, moreover let $g(y) = f(z + sy)$ for $y \in B(1)$. Then, uniformly in s and z , we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_f(s, z)s^{-1} = \mathcal{L}(g) \ll \int_{B(1)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} Z(g(u + tw)) d\omega du$$

where $Z(g(u + tw))$ is the number of zeros of g as a function of $t \in [0, 1]$.

Finally, we need the following (slightly adapted) lemma, see [30]:

Lemma 3.4 (Nazarov-Turan). *Let $J \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $h(t) = \sum_{i=1}^J a_i e(\xi_i \cdot t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and suppose that $\xi_i \in \mathbb{C}$ are distinct. Then, for any $B \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\Omega \subset B$ a measurable subset, we have*

$$\sup_{t \in B} |h| < \left(c \frac{|\Omega|}{|B|} \right)^{J-1} e^{\max_i |\xi_i| |B|} \sup_{t \in \Omega} |h|.$$

for some explicit $c > 0$.

We are finally ready to prove the upper bound in Proposition 1.4.

Proof of the upper bound in Proposition 1.4. Let $g(y) = f(z + sy)$ for $y \in B(1)$. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_f(s, z)s^{-1} = \mathcal{L}(g) \ll \int_{B(1)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} Z(g(u + tw)) d\omega du. \quad (3.2)$$

Let h be the extension of g to the complex unit ball and apply Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4 to see that

$$Z(g(u + tw)) \ll \log \frac{\sup |h|}{\max_{\frac{1}{2}D} |h|} \ll N + s\sqrt{E} \leq s\sqrt{E} \quad (3.3)$$

uniformly in u and ω . The upper bound then follows by (3.2) and (3.3). \square

3.2. Proof of Proposition 1.4, lower bound. The proof of the lower bound is standard, but we include it for completeness. We need the following result about the density of the zero set:

Lemma 3.5. *Let f be as in (1.4). There exists some absolute constant $c > 0$ such that, uniformly for all $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$, the ball $B(c/\sqrt{E}, z)$ contains a point where f vanishes.*

Proof. Let $s > 0$, and observe that the function $h(x, t) = f(x)e^{\sqrt{E}t}$ is harmonic in $D = B(s, z) \times [-s, s]$. If f does not vanish in $B(s, z)$, then h is positive; so it satisfies Harnack's inequality:

$$\sup_D |h| \leq C \inf_D |h| \quad (3.4)$$

for some absolute constant $C > 0$. On the other hand,

$$\sup_D |h| \geq \sup_{B(s, z)} |f| \exp(s\sqrt{E}) \geq \inf_{B(s, z)} |f| \exp(s\sqrt{E}) \quad (3.5)$$

The lemma follows combining (3.4) and (3.5) and choosing c appropriately. \square

We are finally ready to prove the lower bound in Proposition 1.4.

Proof of the lower bound in Proposition 1.4. Using Lemma 3.5, we can divide $B(s, z)$ in $O(Es^2)$ balls of radius c/\sqrt{E} for some appropriate $c > 0$ such that f vanishes at the centre of each ball. Let B be one of these balls, then the Faber-Krahn inequality [29, Theorem 1.5] says that every nodal domain has inner radius at least $c_1/E^{1/2}$ for some absolute $c_1 > 0$, so we have

$$\text{Vol}\{x \in B : f(x) = 0\} \gg E^{-1/2} \quad (3.6)$$

Since (3.6) holds for each of the $O(s^2E)$ balls, the lower bound follows. \square

3.3. Proof of Proposition 1.5. As mentioned in the introduction the proof of Proposition 1.5 follows the proof of Proposition 1.4. We now give some of the details

Proof of Proposition 1.5. . Let $\mathcal{E}_E = \mathcal{E} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : |\xi|^2 = E\}$, we define an equivalence relation on \mathcal{E} as follows: let $\xi = (\xi^1, \xi^2), \eta = (\eta^1, \eta^2) \in \mathcal{E}$, then $\xi \sim \eta$ if $\xi^1 = \pm\eta^2$ and $\xi^2 = \pm\eta^1$. Then the general Laplace eigenfunction with eigenvalue πE (as above we

make no distinction between E and πE) satisfying either Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions is

$$\tilde{f}_{\text{Dirichlet}}(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}/\sim} a_\xi \sin(\pi \xi^1 x^1) \sin(\pi \xi^2 x^2) \quad (3.7)$$

$$\tilde{f}_{\text{Neuman}}(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}/\sim} b_\xi \cos(\pi \xi^1 x^1) \cos(\pi \xi^2 x^2) \quad (3.8)$$

where $x = (x^1, x^2)$. Using the formulas $\sin(a) \sin(b) = 2^{-1}(\cos(a-b) - \cos(a+b))$ and $\cos(a) \cos(b) = 2^{-1}(\cos(a+b) + \cos(a-b))$, we can rewrite (3.7) and (3.8) as

$$\tilde{f}_{\text{Dirichlet}}(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}/\sim} \tilde{a}_\xi e(\langle \xi, x \rangle) \quad \tilde{f}_{\text{Neuman}}(x) = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}/\sim} \tilde{b}_\xi e(\langle \xi, x \rangle)$$

for some complex coefficients \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} . The proof now follows step by step the proof of the upper bound in Proposition 1.4. \square

4. BOURGAIN'S DE-RANDOMISATION IN SHRINKING SETS

Let $R > 1$ be fixed, and consider the restriction of f , as in (1.4), to a small square centred at $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$:

$$F_x(y) = f\left(x + \frac{R}{\sqrt{E}}y\right). \quad (4.1)$$

for $y \in B(1)$. In this section we are going to show that if we sample x uniformly at random from $B(s, z)$, where $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and $s > E^{-1/2+\epsilon}$, then the ensemble $\{F_x\}_{x \in B(s, z)}$ approximates the Gaussian field F_{μ_f} . The proofs are based on [6, 7]; nevertheless, the use of Theorem 2.3 is required to control the averaging over $B(s, z)$.

4.1. Approximating f in small squares. In this section, we construct an auxiliary function $\phi_x(y)$ which approximates $F_x(y)$, as in (4.1), for most $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$. We begin with some notation: let $K > 1$ be some (large) parameter; divide the circle \mathbb{S}^1 into arcs I_k , of length $1/2K$ for $k \in \{-K, \dots, K\}$. Furthermore, let $\delta > 0$ be some (small) parameter and denote by $\mathcal{K}(\delta) = \mathcal{K} \subset \{-K, \dots, K\}$ the subset of indices such that if $k \in \mathcal{K}$ then

$$\mu_f(I_k) > \delta. \quad (4.2)$$

Finally, let $\mathcal{E}^k := \{\xi \in \mathcal{E} : \xi \in I_k\}$ and let ζ_k be the mid point of I_k . We are ready to begin out construction: first we re-write F_x as

$$F_x(y) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^k} a_\xi e(\langle \xi, x \rangle) e\left(\left\langle \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{E}}, Ry \right\rangle\right) + \sum_{k \notin \mathcal{K}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^k} a_\xi e(\langle \xi, x \rangle) e\left(\left\langle \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{E}}, Ry \right\rangle\right). \quad (4.3)$$

Second we approximate ξ/\sqrt{E} by ζ_k for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}^k$, and define the function

$$\phi_x(y) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^k} a_\xi e(\langle \xi, x \rangle) \right) e(\langle R\zeta^k, y \rangle) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \mu_f(I_k)^{1/2} b_k(x) e(\langle R\zeta^k, y \rangle) \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$b_k(x) = \frac{1}{\mu_f(I_k)^{1/2}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^{(k)}} a_\xi e(\langle \xi, x \rangle). \quad (4.5)$$

The following lemma shows that $\phi_x(y)$ is a good approximation for $F_x(y)$ for most $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\epsilon > 0$, R, K, δ be as in Section 4.1, F_x, ϕ_x be as in (4.1) and (4.4) respectively and S' be defined in Section 2.1. Then, for all $E \in S'$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{\pi s^2} \int_{B(s,z)} \|F_x - \phi_x\|_{C^1(B(1))} dx \ll R^6 K \delta + R^8 K^{-2} + R^8 E^{-(1/3)\epsilon}$$

uniformly for all $s > E^{1/2+\epsilon}$ and $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$.

Proof. Thanks to the Sobolev Embedding Theorem, we bound the \mathcal{C}^1 norm by the H^3 norm

$$\frac{1}{\pi s^2} \int_{B(s,z)} \|F_x - \phi_x\|_{C^1} dx \ll \frac{1}{\pi s^2} \int_{B(s,z)} \int_{B(1)} |D^\alpha (F_x - \phi_x)|^2 dy \quad (4.6)$$

where $|\alpha| = |(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)| \leq 3$ and $D^\alpha = \partial_{\alpha_1} \partial_{\alpha_2}$. First, we estimate the contribution coming from the second term on the right hand side of (4.3). Expanding the square and using the triangular inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi s^2} \int_{B(s,z)} \int_{B(1)} \left| D^\alpha \sum_{k \notin \mathcal{K}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^k} a_\xi e(\langle \xi, x \rangle) e \left(\left\langle \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{E}}, Ry \right\rangle \right) \right|^2 dx dy \\ & \ll \frac{1}{\pi s^2} R^{2|\alpha|} \sum_{k, k' \notin \mathcal{K}} \sum_{\substack{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^k \\ \xi' \in \mathcal{E}^{k'}}} |a_\xi \overline{a_{\xi'}}| \left| \int_{B(s,z)} e(\langle \xi - \xi', x \rangle) dx \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Observe that for $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\int_{B(s,z)} e(\langle a, x \rangle) dx = \begin{cases} \pi s^2 & a = 0 \\ \pi s^2 e(\langle a, z \rangle) \frac{J_1(s|a|)}{s|a|} & a \neq 0 \end{cases}. \quad (4.8)$$

So we separate the terms with $\xi = \xi'$ from the others on the right hand side of (4.7) to obtain

$$(4.7) \ll R^{2|\alpha|} \sum_{k \notin \mathcal{K}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^k} |a_\xi|^2 + R^{2|\alpha|} \sum_{k, k'} \sum_{\xi \neq \xi'} |a_\xi a_{\xi'}| \frac{J_1(s|\xi - \xi'|)}{s|\xi - \xi'|} \quad (4.9)$$

Since $k \notin \mathcal{K}$ implies $\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^k} |a_\xi|^2 = \mu_f(I_k) \leq \delta$, the first term on the right hand side of (4.9) is bounded by $R^{2|\alpha|} K \delta$. By Theorem 2.3 $|\xi - \xi'| \gg E^{1/2-2\epsilon}$ so $s|\xi - \xi'| \gg E^\epsilon$, it follows that

$$\frac{J_1(s|\xi - \xi'|)}{s|\xi - \xi'|} \ll E^{(-2/3)\epsilon} \quad (4.10)$$

where we have used the bound $J_1(T) \ll T^{-1/2}$ valid for all sufficiently large T . Using (4.10), estimating trivially $|a_\xi| \leq 1$ and bearing in mind (2.1), we obtain

$$\sum_{k, k'} \sum_{\xi \neq \xi'} |a_\xi a_{\xi'}| \frac{J_1(s|\xi - \xi'|)}{s|\xi - \xi'|} \ll E^{(-2/3)\epsilon} \cdot N^2 \ll E^{(-1/3)\epsilon}.$$

All in all, we have shown that

$$(4.7) \ll R^{2|\alpha|} K \delta + R^{2|\alpha|} E^{-(1/3)\epsilon}. \quad (4.11)$$

Now we turn our attention to bounding the difference between ϕ_x and the first term on the right hand side of (4.3). Expanding the square and using the triangular inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi S^2} \int_{B(s,z)} \int_{B(1)} \left| D^\alpha \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^k} a_\xi e(\langle \xi, x \rangle) \left(e \left(\left\langle \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{E}}, Ry \right\rangle \right) - e(\langle R\zeta_k, y \rangle) \right) \right|^2 dx dy \\ & \ll \frac{R^{2|\alpha|+2}}{\pi S^2} \sum_{k,k'} \sum_{\substack{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^k \\ \xi' \in \mathcal{E}^{k'}}} |a_\xi| |a_{\xi'}| \left| \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{E}} - \zeta_k \right| \left| \frac{\xi'}{\sqrt{E}} - \zeta_{k'} \right| \left| \int_{B(s,z)} e(\langle \xi - \xi', x \rangle) dx \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Similarly to the above, via (4.8) and (4.10), the contribution from the terms with $\xi \neq \xi'$ is at most $R^{2|\alpha|+2} E^{-(1/3)\epsilon}$. The contribution of the terms with $\xi = \xi'$, bearing in mind that $|\xi/\sqrt{E} - \zeta_k| \leq 1/K$, can be bounded by

$$R^{2|\alpha|+2} \sum_k \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}^k} |a_\xi|^2 \left| \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{E}} - \zeta_k \right|^2 \ll \frac{R^{2|\alpha|+2}}{K^2} \sum_k \mu_f(I_k) \leq \frac{R^{2|\alpha|+2}}{K^2}. \quad (4.13)$$

All in all we have,

$$(4.12) \ll \frac{R^{2|\alpha|+2}}{K^2} + R^{2|\alpha|+2} E^{-(1/3)\epsilon}. \quad (4.14)$$

The lemma follows combining (4.6), (4.11) and (4.14). \square

4.2. Gaussian moments. Recall the notation (4.5), we are going to show that the vector $(b_k)_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$ approximates a Gaussian vector $(c_k)_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$, where c_k are i.i.d. complex standard Gaussian random variables subject to $\bar{c}_k = c_{-k}$. We prove the following quantitative lemma:

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\epsilon > 0$, b_k be as in (4.5) and \mathcal{K}, K, δ be as in Section 4.1. Moreover let B be some large parameter and fix two sets of positive integers $\{r_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$ and $\{s_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$ such that $\sum r_k + s_k \leq B$. Suppose that $E \in S'$, then*

$$\left| \frac{1}{\pi S^2} \int_{B(s,z)} \prod_{k \in \mathcal{K}} b_k^{r_k} \bar{b}_k^{s_k} dx - \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k \in \mathcal{K}} c_k^{r_k} \bar{c}_k^{s_k} \right] \right| = o_{\delta, K, B}(1) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for all $s > E^{-1/2+\epsilon}$, $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and f flat.

Proof. Expanding the product, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi S^2} \int_{B(s,z)} \prod_k b_k^{r_k} \bar{b}_k^{s_k} dx &= \prod_k \mu_f(I_k)^{-(r_k+s_k)/2} \sum \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r_k} \prod_{j=1}^{s_k} a_{\xi_{i,k}} \bar{a}_{\xi'_{j,k}} \right) \times \\ & \times \int_{B(s,z)} e \left(\left\langle \sum_{k,i,j} (\xi_{i,k} - \xi'_{j,k}), x \right\rangle \right) dx \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where the out most sum is over all the choices $\xi_{1,1}, \dots, \xi_{1,r_1}, \xi'_{1,1}, \dots, \xi'_{1,s_1}, \dots, \xi_{k,1}, \dots, \xi_{k,r_k}, \xi'_{k,1}, \dots, \xi'_{k,s_k}$. We split the sum in (4.15) according to (4.8): we first consider the contribution

from the *constant term* $\sum_{k,i,j}(\xi_{i,k} - \xi'_{j,k}) = 0$ and then the contribution from the *oscillatory term* $|\sum_{k,i,j}(\xi_{i,k} - \xi'_{j,k})| > 0$. Furthermore, we subdivide the constant term into “diagonal” solutions, namely $\{\xi_{i,k}\} = \{\xi'_{j,k}\}$ for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, and all the other solutions, which we call “off-diagonal”.

Constant term, “diagonal” solutions. If $\{\xi_{i,k}\} = \{\xi'_{j,k}\}$, then $r_k = s_k$, so, taking into account the possible rearrangements and by definition of I_k and $\mu_f(I_k)$, we have a contribution to the right hand side of (4.15) of

$$g_k := r_k! \cdot \mu_f(I_k)^{-r_k} \sum_{\{\xi_{i,k}\}=\{\xi'_{j,k}\}} \prod_{i=1}^{r_k} |a_{\xi_{i,k}}|^2 = \mathbb{E}[|c_k|^{2r_k}].$$

Multiplying together the contributions from all k 's, we obtain

$$\prod_k g_k = \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_k |c_k|^{2r_k} \right]. \quad (4.16)$$

Constant term, “off-diagonal” solutions. Let $B_1 = \sum_k r_k + s_k$; since $E \in S'$, the number of off-diagonal solutions is at most $O(N^{\gamma B_1})$. Since $\mu_f(I_k) \geq \delta$ for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and $|a_\xi|^2 \leq N^{-1+o(1)}$, we obtain as $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\left| \sum_{\text{off-diagonal}} \prod_k \mu_f(I_k)^{r_k+s_k/2} \sum_k \prod_{i=1}^{r_k} \prod_{j=1}^{s_k} a_{\xi_{i,k}} \bar{a}_{\xi_{j,k}} \right| \ll_K N^{-B_1/2+\gamma B_1+o(1)} \delta^{-B_1/2} = o_{\delta,B,K}(1). \quad (4.17)$$

Oscillatory term. If $|\sum_{k,i,j}(\xi_{i,k} - \xi'_{j,k})| > 0$, Theorem 2.3 implies that $|\sum_{k,i,j}(\xi_{i,k} - \xi'_{j,k})| > E^{1/2-2\epsilon}$, therefore $s|\sum_{k,i,j}(\xi_{i,k} - \xi_{j,k})| > E^\epsilon$. So, bearing in mind that $J_1(T) \ll T^{1/2}$ for T sufficiently large, we have

$$\frac{J_1(s|\sum_{k,i,j}(\xi_{i,k} - \xi_{j,k})|)}{s|\sum_{k,i,j}(\xi_{i,k} - \xi_{j,k})|} \ll E^{-2/3\epsilon}. \quad (4.18)$$

Since the maximum number of terms in the outer sum in (4.15) is N^{B_1} , $\mu_f(I_k) \geq \delta$ for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$, $|a_\xi| \leq N^{-1+o(1)}$ and bearing in mind (4.18) and (2.1), we obtain as $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\prod_k \mu_f(I_k)^{-(r_k+s_k)/2} \sum \left(\prod_k \prod_{i=1}^{r_k} \prod_{j=1}^{s_k} a_{\xi_{i,k}} \bar{a}_{\xi_{j,k}} \right) \frac{J_1(s|\sum_{k,i,j}(\xi_{i,k} - \xi_{j,k})|)}{s|\sum_{k,i,j}(\xi_{i,k} - \xi_{j,k})|} \ll_K N^{B_1/2+o(1)} \delta^{-B_1/2} E^{-2/3\epsilon} = o_{\delta,K,B_1}(1). \quad (4.19)$$

The Proposition follows combining (4.16), (4.17), (4.19). \square

Lemma 4.2, by the method of moments, implies that the vector $(b_k)_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$ converges in distribution to the vector $(c_k)_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$. We restate this fact in the following convenient way, more details can be found in [7, Lemma 6.5] and [6, Page 9].

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ be given, let δ, K, B , as in Lemma 4.2 and f be as in (1.4). Suppose that $E \in S'$ is sufficiently large depending on $\epsilon, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, K, \delta$ and B . Then, uniformly for all $s > E^{-1/2+\epsilon}$, $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and f flat, there exists a measurable map $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow B(s, z)$ and a subset $\Omega^1 \subset \Omega$ with the following properties:*

- (1) *For any measurable $A \subset \Omega^1$, we have $\text{Vol}(\tau(A)) = \pi s^2 \mathbb{P}(A)$.*

(2) $\mathbb{P}(\Omega^1) > 1 - \alpha_1$.

(3) For all $\omega \in \Omega^1$, we have $|b_k(\tau(\omega)) - c_k(\omega)| \leq \alpha_2$ uniformly for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$.

4.3. **Discarding ϕ_x .** To discard ϕ_x we need the following simple lemma:

Lemma 4.4 (Lemma 4, [38]). *Let $R > 1$, $\alpha_3, \alpha_4 > 0$, $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of probability measures on \mathbb{S}^1 such that μ_n weak* converges to some probability measure μ . Then, for all n sufficiently large depending on α_3, α_4 and R , we have*

$$\|F_{\mu_n} - F_\mu\|_{C^1(B(R))} \leq \alpha_3$$

outside an event of probability α_4 .

Proof. We can associate to μ the Gaussian measure G defined on \mathbb{R}^2 as follows: for any open and measurable (with respect to μ) subset A of \mathbb{R}^2 we let

$$G(A) = N(0, \mu(A))$$

where $N(0, \mu(A))$ is a Gaussian random variable with mean zero and variance $\mu(A)$. Moreover, if $A \cap B = \emptyset$, we require $G(A)$ and $G(B)$ to be independent. We define G_n with respect to μ_n similarly. Since μ is compactly supported, we see that G_n weak* converges to G and, since a normal random variable is square integrable, we obtain $G_n \rightarrow G$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ (recall that Ω is the common probability space of our random objects). By [1, Theorem 5.4.2], we have the $L^2(\Omega)$ representations

$$F_{\mu_n}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} e(\langle x \cdot \lambda \rangle) G_n(d\lambda) \quad F_\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} e(\langle x \cdot \lambda \rangle) G(d\lambda). \quad (4.20)$$

Since μ and μ_n are compactly supported, we can differentiate under the integral in (4.20); bearing in mind that G_n weak* converges to G , we have $\|F_{\mu_n} - F_\mu\|_{C^1(B(R))} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. This implies the conclusion of the Lemma. \square

We are finally ready to state and prove the main result of this section:

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\epsilon > 0$, $R > 1$ and $\eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$, f be as in (1.4). Suppose that $E \in S'$ is sufficiently large depending on ϵ, η_1, η_2 and R . Then, uniformly for all $s > E^{-1/2+\epsilon}$, $z \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and f flat, there exists a measurable map $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow B(s, z)$ and a subset $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ with the following properties:*

(1) For any measurable $A \subset \Omega$, we have $\text{Vol}(\tau(A)) = \pi s^2 \mathbb{P}(A)$.

(2) $\mathbb{P}(\Omega') > 1 - \eta_1$.

(3) For all $\omega \in \Omega'$, we have $\|F_{\tau(\omega)}(y) - F_{\mu_f}(Ry, w)\|_{C^1(B(1))} \leq \eta_2$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K}, K, \delta, \phi_x$ be as in Section 4.1 and let $F_K(Ry, \omega) := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \mu_f(I_k)^{1/2} c_k(\omega) e(\langle \zeta_k, Ry \rangle)$. We divide the proof into three (short) steps: we show that F_K is close to ϕ_x ; then that F_K is close to F_{μ_f} ; finally that F_x is close to ϕ_x .

Step 1. Thanks to Corollary 4.3 with $\alpha_1 = \eta_1/3$ and $\alpha_2 = 1/K^2$, there exist $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow B(s, z)$ and $\Omega^1 \subset \Omega$ such that:

- For any measurable $A \subset \Omega'$, we have $\text{Vol}(\tau(A)) = \pi s^2 \mathbb{P}(A)$.
- $\mathbb{P}(\Omega^1) > 1 - \eta_1/3$.
- For all $\omega \in \Omega'$, we have

$$\|\phi_{\tau(\omega)}(y) - F_K(Ry, \omega)\|_{C^1} \ll RK\alpha_2 = \frac{R}{K} \leq \eta_2/3 \quad (4.21)$$

provided K is sufficiently large depending on R and η_2 .

Step 2. We claim that there exists some $\Omega^2 \subset \Omega$ with $\mathbb{P}(\Omega^2) > 1 - \eta_1/3$ such that

$$\|F_K(Ry, \omega) - F_{\mu_f}(Ry, \omega)\|_{C^1} \leq \eta_2/3 \quad (4.22)$$

Indeed, observe that F_K is a Gaussian field with spectral measure

$$\mu_K = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \mu_f(I_k) \delta_{\zeta_k}.$$

By definition of μ_f , we have $\sup_{A \subset \mathbb{S}^1} |\mu_f(A) - \mu_K(A)| \ll \delta K$. So, taking $\delta < 1/K^2$ and K sufficiently large, the claim follows from Lemma 4.4.

Step 3. By Lemma 4.1 and Markov's inequality, we have

$$\|F_x - \phi_x\|_{C^1} \leq \eta_2/3 \quad (4.23)$$

for all $x \in B \subset B(s, z)$, where

$$(\pi s^2)^{-1} \text{Vol}(B(s, z) \setminus B) \ll \eta_2^{-1} (R^6 K \delta + R^8 K^{-2} + R^8 E^{-(1/3)\epsilon}) \leq \eta_1/3. \quad (4.24)$$

for K and E sufficiently large in terms of R , η_1 and η_2 .

We briefly summaries our choices of parameters: R, η_1, η_2 are fixed, $\delta < 1/K^2$, K is large depending R, η_1, η_2 and E is large depending on R, η_1, η_2 and K . We are now ready to conclude the proof. Let $\Omega' = \Omega^1 \cap \Omega^2 \cap \tau^{-1}(B)$, then τ restricted to Ω' satisfies (1). By Corollary 4.3, Step 2 and (4.24), we also have $\mathbb{P}(\Omega') \geq 1 - \eta_1$ so (2) holds. Finally, (3) follows by (4.21), (4.22) and (4.23), valid for all $\omega \in \Omega'$. This concludes the proof of the Proposition. \square

5. CONCLUDING THE PROOF

We are finally ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Pick some $\eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$ to be chosen later, and let τ and Ω' be given by Proposition 4.5. Then, by Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_f(s, z) &= \frac{E}{R^2} \int_{B(s, z)} \mathcal{N}(F_x) dx + O\left(\frac{Es^2}{\sqrt{R}}\right) \\ &= \frac{E}{R^2} \int_{\tau^{-1}(\Omega')} \mathcal{N}(F_x) dx + \frac{E}{R^2} \int_{B(s, z) \setminus \tau^{-1}(\Omega')} \mathcal{N}(F_x) dx + O\left(\frac{Es^2}{\sqrt{R}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

By part (3) of Proposition 4.5, we may write $F_x(y) = F_{\mu_f}(Ry) + \psi$ for $x \in \tau^{-1}(\Omega')$ and some function ψ with $\|\psi\|_{C^1} \leq \eta_1$ (we define $\psi(y, \omega) = 0$ for $\omega \notin \Omega'$). Thus, from (5.1), bearing in mind part (1) of Proposition 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_f(s, z) &= \frac{\pi s^2 E}{R^2} \int_{\Omega'} \mathcal{N}(F_{\mu_f} + \psi, R) d\omega + \frac{E}{R^2} \int_{B(s, z) \setminus \tau^{-1}(\Omega')} \mathcal{N}(F_x) dx + O\left(\frac{Es^2}{\sqrt{R}}\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi s^2 E}{R^2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{N}(F_{\mu_f} + \psi, R) d\omega + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega'} \mathcal{N}(F_{\mu_f} + \psi, R) d\omega \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{E}{R^2} \int_{B(s, z) \setminus \tau^{-1}(\Omega')} \mathcal{N}(F_x) dx + O\left(\frac{Es^2}{\sqrt{R}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

By the Faber-Krahn inequality, $\mathcal{N}(F_x) \ll R^2$, and since $F_{\mu_f} + \psi = F_{\tau(\omega)}$, we also have $\mathcal{N}(F_{\mu_f} + \psi) \ll R^2$ uniformly for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Therefore, taking $\eta_2 = 1/\sqrt{R}$, the second and

third integrals in (5.2) are bounded by $O(R^{3/2})$. Thus, (5.2) can be re-written as

$$\mathcal{N}_f(s, z) = \frac{\pi s^2 E}{R^2} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}(F_{\mu_f} + \psi, R)] + O\left(\frac{Es^2}{\sqrt{R}}\right).$$

Taking η_1 small enough in terms of R via Proposition 2.5 and then taking $R \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce

$$\mathcal{N}_f(s, z) = c_{NS}(\mu_f) \pi s^2 E (1 + o_{R \rightarrow \infty}(1)).$$

and the Theorem follows. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Igor Wigman for many useful discussions and Alejandro Rivera and Maxime Ingremeau for stimulating conversations. This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [EP/L015234/1]. The EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Geometry and Number Theory (The London School of Geometry and Number Theory), University College London.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Adler, J. E. Taylor, *Random fields and geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, (2007).
- [2] J. Benatar, D. Marinucci and I. Wigman *Planck-scale distribution of nodal length of arithmetic random waves*, Preprint arXiv:1710.06153 (2017).
- [3] M. Berry, *Regular and irregular semiclassical wavefunctions*, J. Phys. A 10, no. 12, (1977).
- [4] M. Berry, *Semiclassical mechanics of regular and irregular motion. Chaotic behavior of deterministic systems* Les Houches, 171-271, (1981).
- [5] E. Bombieri, J. Bourgain, *A problem on sums of two squares*, IMRN 11, 3343-3407 (2015).
- [6] J. Bourgain, *On toral eigenfunctions and the random wave model*. Israel J. Math. 201, no. 2, 611-630, (2014).
- [7] J. Buckley, I. Wigman, *On The Number Of Nodal Domains Of Toral Eigenfunction*. Ann. Henri Poincaré 17.11 , 3027-3062.(2016).
- [8] V. Cammarota, O. Klurman, I. Wigman, *Boundary effect on the nodal length for Arithmetic Random Waves, and spectral semi-correlations*, arxiv-preprint <https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.10602>
- [9] S. Y. Cheng, *Eigenfunctions and nodal sets*. Comm. Math. Helv. 51 , 43-55 (1976).
- [10] J. Cilleruelo, *The distribution of the lattice points on circles*, J. Number Theory 43, no. 2, 198-202, (1993).
- [11] Y. Colin de Verdière, *Ergodicité et fonctions propres du Laplacien*, Comm. Math. Phys. 102, 497-502, (1985)
- [12] R. Courant, D. Hilbert, *Methoden der mathematischen Physik. I.* (German) Dritte Auflage. Heidelberg Taschenbcher, Band 30. Springer-Verlag, (1968).
- [13] H. Donnelly, C. Fefferman *Nodal sets of eigenfunctions on Riemannian manifolds*. Invent. Math. 93 , 161-183. (1988).
- [14] P. D. T. A. Elliott, *Probabilistic number theory. II. Central limit theorems.* , Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, (1980).
- [15] H. Hezari, G. Rivière, *L^p norms, nodal sets, and quantum ergodicity*, Adv. Math. 290, 938-966, (2016).
- [16] X. Han, *Small scale quantum ergodicity in negatively curved manifolds*, Nonlinearity 28, no. 9, (2015).
- [17] M. Ingremeau *Lower bounds for the number of nodal domains for sums of two distorted plane waves in non-positive curvature*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.01911>.
- [18] J. Jung, S. Zelditch, *Number of nodal domains and singular points of eigenfunctions of negatively curved surfaces with an isometric involution*, J. Differential Geom. 102, no. 1, 37-66, (2016).
- [19] A. Granville, I. Wigman, *Planck-scale mass equidistribution of toral Laplace eigenfunctions*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 355(2), (2017) .

- [20] P. Kurlberg , I. Wigman, *On Probability Measures Arising From Lattice Points On Circles*. Mathematische Annalen (2016).
- [21] P. Kurlberg, I. Wigman, *Variation of the Nazarov-Sodin constant for random plane waves and arithmetic random waves*. Adv. Math. , 516-552, (2018).
- [22] M. Ledoux, *The concentration of measure phenomenon*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2001).
- [23] S. Lester, Z. Rudnick, *Small scale equidistribution of eigenfunctions on the torus*. Comm. Math. Phys. 350, no. 1, 279-300, (2017).
- [24] H. Lewy, *On the minimum number of domains in which the nodal lines of spherical harmonics divide the sphere*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 2, no. 12, 1233-1244, (1977).
- [25] A. Logunov, E. Malinnikova, *Nodal sets of Laplace eigenfunctions: estimates of the Hausdorff measure in dimensions two and three, 50 years with Hardy spaces*, 333-344, (2018).
- [26] A. Logunov, *Nodal sets of Laplace eigenfunctions: proof of Nadirashvili's conjecture and of the lower bound in Yau's conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) 187 , no. 1, 241-262, (2018).
- [27] A. Logunov, *Nodal sets of Laplace eigenfunctions: polynomial upper estimates of the Hausdorff measure*, Ann. of Math. (2) 187, no. 1, 221-239, (2018).
- [28] W. Z. Luo, P. Sarnak, *Quantum ergodicity of eigenfunctions on $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash H^2$* , Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math, no. 81, 207-237, (1995).
- [29] D. Mangoubi, *Local asymmetry and the inner radius of nodal domains* . Comm. Partial Differential Equations 33, 7-9, 1611-1621, (2008).
- [30] F. Nazarov, *Local estimates for exponential polynomials and their applications to inequalities of the uncertainty principle type*. Algebra i Analiz 5, no. 4, 366, (1993).
- [31] F. Nazarov, M. Sodin, *Asymptotic laws for the spatial distribution and the number of connected components of zero sets of Gaussian random functions*. Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. 12, 205-278, (2016).
- [32] P. Humphries, *Equidistribution in Shrinking Sets and L^4 -Norm Bounds for Automorphic Forms*, Math. Ann. 371, no. 3-4, 1497-1543, (2018).
- [33] P. Humphries, R. Khan, *On the Random Wave Conjecture for Dihedral Maa Forms*, to appear in Geometric and Functional Analysis (2019).
- [34] A. Sartori *Mass distribution for toral eigenfunctions via Bourgain's de-randomisation*, Q. J. Math. (to appear), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.00962>.
- [35] A. Sartori *A note on quasi-spectral correlations* in preparation
- [36] A. Sartori, *On the fractal structure of attainable probability measures*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 66, no. 2, 123-133, (2018).
- [37] A. Snirelman, *Ergodic properties of eigenfunctions*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 180, 181-182, (1974).
- [38] M. Sodin, *Lectures on random nodal portraits*, Probability and statistical physics in St. Petersburg, 91, 395-422 (2016).
- [39] A. Stern, *Bemerkungen uber asymptotisches Verhalten von Eigenwerten und Eigenfunktionen* (German) InauguralDissertation zur Erlangung der Doktorwürde der Hohen Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät der Georg August-Universitat zu Gottingen, (1924).
- [40] I. Wigman, N. Yesha *CLT for mass distribution of Toral Laplacian eigenfunctions*, Mathematika 65, no. 3, 643676, (2019).
- [41] M. Young, *The quantum unique ergodicity conjecture for thin sets*, Adv. Math. 286, 958-1016, (2016).
- [42] S. Zelditch, *Uniform distribution of eigenfunctions on compact hyperbolic surfaces*, Duke Math. J. 55, 919-941, (1987).

DEPARTEMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KING'S COLLEGE LONDON, STRAND, LONDON WC2R 2LS, ENGLAND, UK

E-mail address: andrea.sartori.16@ucl.ac.uk