

# SYMBOLIC POWER CONTAINMENTS IN SINGULAR RINGS IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

ELOÍSA GRIFO, LINQUAN MA, AND KARL SCHWEDE

ABSTRACT. The containment problem for symbolic and ordinary powers of ideals asks for what values of  $a$  and  $b$  we have  $I^{(a)} \subseteq I^b$ . Over a regular ring, a result by Ein–Lazarsfeld–Smith, Hochster–Huneke, and Ma–Schwede partially answers this question, but the containments it provides are not always best possible. In particular, a tighter containment conjectured by Harbourne has been shown to hold for interesting classes of ideals — although it does not hold in general. In this paper, we develop a Fedder (respectively, Glassbrenner) type criterion for  $F$ -purity (respectively, strong  $F$ -regularity) for ideals of finite projective dimension over  $F$ -finite Gorenstein rings and use our criteria to extend the prime characteristic results of Grifo–Huneke to singular ambient rings. For ideals of infinite projective dimension, we prove that a variation of the containment still holds, in the spirit of work by Hochster–Huneke and Takagi.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given an ideal  $I \subseteq R$ , its  $n$ -th symbolic power  $I^{(n)}$  consists of the elements of  $R$  whose image in  $R_P$  are contained in  $I^n R_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(I)$ . In particular, if  $I$  is radical,  $I^{(n)}$  is obtained by collecting the minimal primary components of  $I^n$  (i.e., elements of  $R$  that vanish generically of order  $n$  at each component of  $I$ ). It is clear that  $I^n \subseteq I^{(n)}$ , but the two do not coincide in general, and finding generators for  $I^{(n)}$  is often a very difficult question. Comparing the two graded families  $\{I^n\}$  and  $\{I^{(n)}\}$  of ideals is a natural question, and the containment problem asks for such a comparison: when is  $I^{(a)} \subseteq I^b$ ? When  $R$  is regular, Ein–Lazarsfeld–Smith [ELS01], Hochster–Huneke [HH02], and Ma–Schwede [MS18a] gave an answer to the containment problem by showing that for all radical ideals  $I \subseteq R$ ,

$$I^{(hn)} \subseteq I^n \text{ for all } n \geq 1$$

where  $h$  is the *big height* of  $I$ : the maximal height of an associated prime of  $I$ , which we will denote throughout by  $\text{bight}(I)$ .

These results are pioneered by work of Swanson [Swa00] showing that the containment problem has an answer for  $I$ , meaning that for every  $b$  there exists an  $a$  such that  $I^{(a)} \subseteq I^b$ , if and only if there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $I^{(cn)} \subseteq I^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . However, these results do not fully settle the containment problem, and examples abound where the containments can be improved. In prime characteristic  $p$  and when  $R$  is regular, the stronger containment  $I^{(hq-h+1)} \subseteq I^{[q]}$  holds for all  $q = p^e$ , while ideals  $I$  with  $I^{(hn-h)} \not\subseteq I^n$  can easily be constructed for any given  $n$  and  $h = \text{bight}(I)$ . Harbourne [BRH<sup>+</sup>09] asked what happens in the border case: is it true that for all  $n \geq 1$  and all radical ideals  $I$  in a regular ring  $R$  we have

$$I^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq I^n?$$

---

Ma was supported in part by NSF Grant DMS #1901672.

Schwede was supported in party by NSF CAREER Grant DMS #1252860/1501102 and NSF Grant #1801849.

In a surprising twist, Dumnicki, Szemberg and Tutaj-Gasińska found a counterexample to Harbourne’s conjecture [DSTG13], and soon both extensions of the original counterexample and new families of counterexamples followed [HS15, MS18b, Dra17, Ake17, MS18b, CGM<sup>+</sup>16]. However, the counterexamples which are known at the time when this paper was written all correspond to very special configurations in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , and Harbourne’s conjecture does hold for general sets of points in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  [BH10] or  $\mathbb{P}^3$  [Dum15], and other special configurations of points, such as the star configurations [HH13]. In prime characteristic  $p$ , Grifo and Huneke [GH19] showed that Harbourne’s conjecture holds for ideals defining  $F$ -pure rings, making use of Fedder’s Criterion [Fed83]. For the subclass of ideals defining strongly  $F$ -regular rings, they showed that one can replace the big height  $h \geq 2$  with  $h - 1$ . This yields equality of symbolic and ordinary powers when  $h = 2$ .

When the ambient ring  $R$  is not regular, much less is known. Swanson’s work [Swa00] has inspired a search for rings with the uniform symbolic topologies property, that is, rings with a constant  $c$  not depending on the prime  $P$  such that  $P^{(cn)} \subseteq P^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ; a constant depending on the prime  $P$ , however, exists over any complete local domain [HKV09, Proposition 2.4], and it is conjectured that a uniform  $c$  should exist in great generality. While the question remains open in general, it has been solved in many interesting cases [Wal18a, Wal18b, Wal16, CS18, HKV09, HK19].

The main goal of this paper is to extend the aforementioned results of Grifo and Huneke to singular ambient rings. That is, instead of studying all radical ideals  $I \subseteq R$ , we focus on the case that  $R/I$  is  $F$ -pure or strongly  $F$ -regular (but  $R$  need not be regular). Our first main result is the following:

**Theorem A** (Theorem 3.11). *Let  $R$  be an  $F$ -finite Gorenstein ring of prime characteristic  $p$  and  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal of finite projective dimension with big height  $h$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $R/Q$  is  $F$ -pure, then  $Q^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*
- (2) *If  $R/Q$  is strongly  $F$ -regular and  $h \geq 2$ , then  $Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

In a regular ring, every ideal has finite projective dimension, hence the theorem above extends [GH19, Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.1]. As a central ingredient of the proof of this result, we extend Fedder’s Criterion [Fed83] and Glassbrenner’s Criterion [Gla96] for  $F$ -purity and strong  $F$ -regularity to ideals of finite projective dimension in an  $F$ -finite Gorenstein local ring: see Theorem 3.3, Corollary 3.4 and 3.5.

For an arbitrary radical ideal  $I \subseteq R$  (i.e., not necessarily having finite projective dimension), the containment  $I^{(hn)} \subseteq I^n$  may easily fail for  $h = \text{bight}(I)$ . However, Takagi [Tak06, Theorem 4.6] showed that we can always fix the failure of this containment by multiplying by a power of the Jacobian ideal, tightening a result of Hochster and Huneke [HH02, Theorem 3.7 (b)]. In the same spirit, we show that we can fix the failure of Harbourne-like containments by multiplying by a power of the Jacobian ideal.

**Theorem B** (Theorem 4.6). *Let  $R$  be a geometrically reduced equidimensional  $k$ -algebra finitely generated over a field  $k$  of prime characteristic  $p$ . Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal and let  $J = J(R/k)$  be the Jacobian ideal of  $R$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $R/Q$  is  $F$ -pure and  $Q$  has big height  $h$ , then  $J^n Q^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*
- (2) *If  $R/Q$  is strongly  $F$ -regular and  $h \geq 2$  is at least the largest minimal number of generators of  $QR_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ , then  $J^{2n-2} Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

Finally, in Section 5, we extend a containment result by Takagi and Yoshida [TY08, Remark 3.4] involving  $F$ -pure thresholds to non-regular rings (see Theorems 5.1 and 5.2), and in Section

6, we provide some examples showing the sharpness of our result. Throughout this article, all rings are commutative, Noetherian, with unity, and have positive prime characteristic  $p$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some definitions and collect some basic facts about the Frobenius non-splitting ideals  $I_e(-)$ . We use  $F_*^e R$  to denote the Frobenius pushforward of  $R$ , i.e., the target of the natural Frobenius map  $R \rightarrow F_*^e R$ .  $R$  is called  $F$ -finite if  $F_*^e R$  is a finite  $R$ -module.

**Definition 2.1** (c.f. [AE05]). Suppose  $R$  is an  $F$ -finite ring. Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal and let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$ . We define

$$I_e^\varphi(Q) = \{r \in R : \varphi(F_*^e(rR)) \subseteq Q\}.$$

Even without a fixed  $\varphi$  we define:

$$I_e(Q) = \{r \in R : \varphi(F_*^e r) \in Q \text{ for all } \varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)\}.$$

The ideals  $I_e$  can be viewed as a different way to generalize  $Q^{[p^e]}$  from the regular case to the singular case. Indeed, it is straightforward to see that

$$Q^{[p^e]} \subseteq I_e(Q) \subseteq I_e^\varphi(Q).$$

On the other hand, by [Fed83, Lemma 1.6], it follows that  $Q^{[p^e]} = I_e(Q)$  if  $R$  is regular. We will generalize this result to radical ideals of finite projective dimension at the end of this section in Lemma 2.8.

**Remark 2.2** (The Gorenstein case). When  $R$  is local  $F$ -finite and Gorenstein,  $\text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R) \cong (F_*^e R) \cdot \Phi_e$  where  $\Phi_e$  is the Grothendieck trace map. Therefore, in this case

$$I_e(Q) = \{r \in R : \Phi_e(F_*^e(rR)) \subseteq Q\} = I_e^\Phi(Q).$$

We next recall the definitions of  $F$ -pure and strongly  $F$ -regular rings.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $R$  be an  $F$ -finite ring. We say  $R$  is  $F$ -pure if the natural map  $R \rightarrow F_*^e R$  splits as a map of  $R$ -modules for one (or equivalently, all)  $e > 0$ . We say  $R$  is *strongly  $F$ -regular*, if for every  $c$  not in any minimal prime of  $R$ , there exists  $e > 0$  such that the map  $R \rightarrow F_*^e R$  sending 1 to  $F_*^e c$  splits as a map of  $R$ -modules.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $R$  be a local  $F$ -finite Gorenstein ring and consider an ideal  $Q \subseteq R$ . The map  $\Phi_e(F_*^e r \cdot -) \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$  induces a map in  $\text{Hom}_{R/Q}(F_*^e(R/Q), R/Q)$  if and only if  $r \in (I_e(Q) : Q)$ .

*Proof.* The map needs to send  $F_*^e Q$  to  $Q$ , which means  $\Phi_e(F_*^e(rQ)) \subseteq Q$ . This happens if and only if  $rQ \subseteq I_e(Q)$  by definition.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $I, J$  and  $Q$  be ideals in  $R$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$ . Then for all  $q = p^e$ ,

$$\varphi(F_*^e(I_e(Q)I^{[q]} : J^{[q]})) \subseteq \varphi(F_*^e(I_e^\varphi(Q)I^{[q]} : J^{[q]})) \subseteq (QI : J).$$

*Proof.* The first inclusion is trivial since  $I_e(Q) \subseteq I_e^\varphi(Q)$ . Let  $r \in (I_e^\varphi(Q)I^{[q]} : J^{[q]})$ . Then

$$\varphi(F_*^e r)J = \varphi(F_*^e(rJ^{[q]})) \subseteq \varphi(F_*^e(I_e^\varphi(Q)I^{[q]})) = \varphi(F_*^e(I_e^\varphi(Q)))I \subseteq QI. \quad \square$$

In the regular setting, Frobenius powers preserve associated primes, an idea which plays an important role in [HH02]. This is no longer true in general. However, if we replace Frobenius powers with  $I_e(-)$  ideals, then the analogous statement holds.

**Lemma 2.6.** *For any ideal  $Q \subseteq R$ , we have  $\text{Ass}(R/I_e(Q)) \subseteq \text{Ass}(R/Q)$  for all  $e > 0$ . Moreover, if  $R$  is  $F$ -pure then  $\text{Ass}(R/I_e(Q)) = \text{Ass}(R/Q)$ .*

*Proof.* First note that, since  $Q^{[q]} \subseteq I_e(Q)$  for  $q = p^e$ , associated primes of  $I_e(Q)$  contain  $Q^{[q]}$  and hence contain  $Q$ . Now given a prime  $P \supseteq Q$ , if  $P \notin \text{Ass}(R/Q)$  then  $(Q : P^N) = Q$  for all  $N > 0$ . Hence by [PS19, Lemma 2.3 (7)],

$$\left( I_e(Q) : (P^N)^{[q]} \right) = I_e(Q : P^N) = I_e(Q)$$

for all  $N > 0$ . This implies  $P \notin \text{Ass}(R/I_e(Q))$  and hence  $\text{Ass}(R/I_e(Q)) \subseteq \text{Ass}(R/Q)$ .

Conversely, if  $P \supseteq Q$  and  $P \notin \text{Ass}(R/I_e(Q))$ , then for all  $N > 0$  we have

$$I_e(Q) = \left( I_e(Q) : (P^N)^{[q]} \right) = I_e(Q : P^N).$$

By [PS19, Corollary 2.4], this implies  $(Q : P^N) = Q$  when  $R$  is  $F$ -pure and hence  $P \notin \text{Ass}(R/Q)$ . Thus  $\text{Ass}(R/I_e(Q)) = \text{Ass}(R/Q)$  when  $R$  is  $F$ -pure.  $\square$

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $I \subseteq R$  be an ideal and  $W$  be a multiplicative system. We denote by  $I^W$  those elements of  $R$  whose images in  $W^{-1}R$  are contained in  $W^{-1}I$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal such that  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$ . Then  $(Q^{[q]})^W = Q^{[q]}$  for all  $q = p^e$ , where  $W$  denotes the multiplicative system  $R - \cup_{P \in \text{Ass}(Q)} P$ . Moreover, if  $Q$  is radical then we also have  $I_e(Q) = Q^{[q]}$  for all  $q = p^e$ .*

*Proof.* By [HH02, Lemma 2.2],  $\text{Ass}(Q) = \text{Ass}(Q^{[q]})$  since  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$ . The first assertion follows immediately from this. To prove the second assertion, note that since  $Q^{[q]} \subseteq I_e(Q)$  and  $\text{Ass}(Q) = \text{Ass}(Q^{[q]})$  by Lemma 2.6, it is enough to show  $I_e(Q) = Q^{[q]}$  after localizing at each  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ . But since  $Q$  is radical and  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$ ,  $R_P$  is regular and so  $I_e(Q)R_P = I_e(QR_P) = Q^{[q]}R_P$ .  $\square$

We also need the following lemma which is a version of [GH19, Lemma 2.6] in the non-regular setting, where again we replace Frobenius powers with  $I_e(-)$  ideals.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal and let  $h$  be the largest minimal number of generators of  $QR_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ . Then for every  $n \geq 1$  and  $q = p^e$  we have*

$$Q^{q(h+n-1)-h+1} \subseteq I_e(Q^{(n)}).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.6,  $\text{Ass}(R/I_e(Q^{(n)})) \subseteq \text{Ass}(R/Q^{(n)}) = \text{Ass}(R/Q)$ . Thus it is enough to show that the inclusion holds after localizing at associated primes of  $Q$ . But after localizing at  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ , ordinary and symbolic powers of  $Q$  are the same and by assumption we have  $Q$  is generated by  $h$  elements. Thus by [HH02, Lemma 2.4] (see also [GH19, Lemma 2.5]), we have

$$Q^{q(h+n-1)-h+1}R_P \subseteq (Q^n)^{[q]}R_P = (Q^{(n)})^{[q]}R_P \subseteq I_e(Q^{(n)}R_P) = I_e(Q^{(n)})R_P. \quad \square$$

### 3. IDEALS OF FINITE PROJECTIVE DIMENSION

The goal of this section is to give Fedder-type criteria for  $F$ -purity and strong  $F$ -regularity of quotients of  $F$ -finite Gorenstein rings, and to apply these to obtain symbolic power containments similar to [GH19].

**3.1. A generalization of Fedder's criterion.** The following lemma on lifting Cartier map is the key ingredient we will use to extend Fedder's criterion to singular ambient rings. We believe this lemma is also of independent interest.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a local  $F$ -finite Gorenstein ring and let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal of finite projective dimension. Then any map  $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{R/Q}(F_*^e(R/Q), R/Q)$  lifts to a map  $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\phi : F_*^e(R/Q) \rightarrow R/Q$ . Consider its Grothendieck dual, and note that since  $R$  is local Gorenstein,  $\omega_R^\bullet \cong R$ :

$$\phi^\vee : \mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/Q, R) \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e(R/Q), R).$$

Given a finite free resolution of  $R/Q$ ,

$$G_\bullet : 0 \rightarrow R^{n_s} \rightarrow R^{n_{s-1}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R^{n_1} \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0,$$

we have  $\mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/Q, R) \cong G_\bullet^*$ , and by duality  $\mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e(R/Q), R) \cong F_*^e(G_\bullet^*)$ . Since  $G_\bullet^*$  is a finite complex of free  $R$ -modules,  $\phi^\vee$  can be realized as an honest map of chain complexes:  $G_\bullet^* \rightarrow F_*^e(G_\bullet^*)$ . That is:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & R^{n_s^*} & \longleftarrow & R^{n_{s-1}^*} & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & R^{n_1^*} & \longleftarrow & R^* & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & F_*^e(R^{n_s^*}) & \longleftarrow & F_*^e(R^{n_{s-1}^*}) & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & F_*^e(R^{n_1^*}) & \longleftarrow & F_*^e(R^*) & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Now we apply  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R)$  to this diagram, we obtain:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R^{n_s} & \longrightarrow & R^{n_{s-1}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & R^{n_1} & \longrightarrow & R & \cdots \longrightarrow & R/Q & \cdots \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow & & \tilde{\phi} \uparrow & & \phi \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F_*^e R^{n_s} & \longrightarrow & F_*^e R^{n_{s-1}} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F_*^e R^{n_1} & \longrightarrow & F_*^e R & \cdots \longrightarrow & F_*^e(R/Q) & \cdots \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

We claim that this diagram represents  $\phi$  viewed as a map in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(R)}(F_*^e(R/Q), R/Q)$ . Since the first line is a complex of finite free modules, applying  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R)$  is the same as applying  $\mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R)$ . For the second line, note that by duality

$$\mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R) \cong F_*^e R \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R) \cong h^0(\mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R))$$

and hence  $F_*^e R$  is acyclic when applying  $\mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R)$ . Therefore applying  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R)$  is also the same as applying  $\mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R)$  to the second line (i.e., they represent the same object in the derived category). This shows the diagram represents  $\phi^{\vee\vee} = \phi$  in the derived category. In particular,  $\phi$  is exactly the induced map on the zero-th cohomology of this diagram. Therefore the map  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a lift of  $\phi$  to  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$  as desired.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** Srikanth Iyengar communicated to us an alternative proof of Lemma 3.1, which we sketch here. Since  $R$  is  $F$ -finite Gorenstein and local,  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R) \cong \mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$ <sup>1</sup> and thus

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R) \otimes_R (R/Q) \cong h^0(\mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} (R/Q)).$$

Since  $\mathrm{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$ , we know that

$$\mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} (R/Q) \cong \mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R/Q) \cong \mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_{R/Q}(F_*^e R \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} (R/Q), R/Q).$$

<sup>1</sup>In fact, it is well-known that under mild assumptions,  $\mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R) \cong \mathbf{R} \mathrm{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$  for all  $e$  characterizes Gorenstein rings, see [Her74].

By [PS73, Théorème (I.7)],  $F_*^e R \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} (R/Q) \cong F_*^e R \otimes_R (R/Q)$  since  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$ . Now as  $F_*^e R \otimes_R (R/Q) \rightarrow F_*^e(R/Q)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{R/Q}(F_*^e(R/Q), R/Q) \\ \hookrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{R/Q}(F_*^e R \otimes_R (R/Q), R/Q) \\ = & h^0(\mathbf{R} \text{Hom}_{R/Q}(F_*^e R \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} (R/Q), R/Q)). \end{aligned}$$

Putting all these together we find that

$$\text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R) \rightarrow h^0(\mathbf{R} \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R) \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} (R/Q)) \leftarrow \text{Hom}_{R/Q}(F_*^e(R/Q), R/Q),$$

which is precisely saying that any  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{R/Q}(F_*^e(R/Q), R/Q)$  comes from a map  $\tilde{\phi} \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$  under the natural restriction map.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a local  $F$ -finite Gorenstein ring and let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal such that  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$ .*

- (1) *If  $R/Q$  is  $F$ -pure, then  $\Phi_e(F_*^e(I_e(Q) : Q)) = R$ . In particular,  $R$  is  $F$ -pure.*
- (2) *If  $R/Q$  is strongly  $F$ -regular, then for any  $c$  not in any minimal prime of  $Q$ , there exists  $e_0$  such that for all  $e > e_0$ ,  $\Phi_e(F_*^e(c(I_e(Q) : Q))) = R$ . In particular,  $R$  is strongly  $F$ -regular.*

*Proof.* The proof of the two cases are similar and both follow from Lemma 3.1.

- (1) By Lemma 3.1, any Frobenius splitting  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{R/Q}(F_*^e(R/Q), R/Q)$  can be lifted to some  $\tilde{\phi} \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$ . Since  $R$  is local Gorenstein,  $\tilde{\phi} = \Phi_e(F_*^e r \cdot -)$  for some  $r \in (I_e(Q) : Q)$  by Lemma 2.4. Therefore  $\Phi_e(F_*^e(I_e(Q) : Q)) = R$  and it follows that  $R$  is  $F$ -pure.
- (2) Since  $R/Q$  is strongly  $F$ -regular, there exists  $e_0$  such that for all  $e > e_0$ , there exists  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{R/Q}(F_*^e(R/Q), R/Q)$  such that  $\phi(F_*^e c) = 1$ . We can lift  $\phi$  to  $\tilde{\phi} \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$  by Lemma 3.1. Since  $R$  is local Gorenstein,  $\tilde{\phi} = \Phi_e(F_*^e r \cdot -)$  for some  $r \in I_e(Q) : Q$  by Lemma 2.4. Therefore  $\Phi_e(F_*^e(c(I_e(Q) : Q))) = R$ . Since  $Q$  is a radical ideal of finite projective dimension,  $R_P$  is regular for each minimal prime  $P$  of  $Q$ . Therefore we can pick  $c$  not in any minimal prime of  $Q$  that is a test element for  $R$ , and  $\Phi_e(F_*^e(c(I_e(Q) : Q))) = R$  implies  $R \rightarrow F_*^e R$  sending 1 to  $F_*^e c$  splits. Thus  $R$  is strongly  $F$ -regular.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4** (Fedder's criterion in singular rings). *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local  $F$ -finite Gorenstein ring and  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Consider the following three conditions:*

- (1)  $(Q^{[p^e]} : Q) \not\subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m})$ .
- (2)  $(I_e(Q) : Q) \not\subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m})$ .
- (3)  $R/Q$  is  $F$ -pure.

*We have (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3), and moreover (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) if  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) since  $Q^{[p^e]} \subseteq I_e(Q)$ , (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) by Lemma 2.4. Finally, when  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$  and  $R/Q$  is  $F$ -pure, we know that  $\Phi_e(F_*^e(I_e(Q) : Q)) = R$  by Theorem 3.3, so  $(I_e(Q) : Q) \not\subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m})$  and hence  $(Q^{[p^e]} : Q) \not\subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m})$  by Lemma 2.8.  $\square$

Note that when  $R$  is regular, every ideal has finite projective dimension and  $I_e(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}$ , so in that setting Corollary 3.4 is precisely the classical Fedder's criterion [Fed83]. By the same proof, we also have the following which extends [Gla96].

**Corollary 3.5** (Glassbrenner's criterion in singular rings). *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local  $F$ -finite Gorenstein ring and  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Consider the following three conditions:*

- (1) For any  $c$  not in any minimal prime of  $Q$ , there exists  $e$  such that  $c(Q^{[p^e]} : Q) \not\subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m})$ .
- (2) For any  $c$  not in any minimal prime of  $Q$ , there exists  $e$  such that  $c(I_e(Q) : Q) \not\subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m})$ .
- (3)  $R/Q$  is strongly  $F$ -regular.

We have (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3), and moreover (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) if  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$ .

**Remark 3.6.** The above results can also be viewed as a strong sort of inversion of adjunction of  $F$ -purity (in arbitrary codimension). It would be natural to ask if analogous results held for log canonical singularities in characteristic zero. The corresponding statements include statements of the following form. Suppose that  $R$  is a normal domain of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- (1) If  $R/Q$  has finite projective dimension and is semi-log canonical, then does there exist a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $\text{Spec } R$  such that  $(R, \Delta)$  is log canonical with log canonical center  $V(Q)$ ?
- (2) If  $R/Q$  has finite projective dimension and is log terminal, then does there exist a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $\text{Spec } R$  such that  $(R, \Delta)$  is log canonical with minimal log canonical center at  $V(Q)$ ?

**Remark 3.7.** One cannot expect Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 to hold without the Gorenstein hypothesis on  $R$ . In [Sin99], Singh constructed examples of Cohen-Macaulay non-Gorenstein  $R$  such that  $R/(x)$  is strongly  $F$ -regular for a nonzerodivisor  $x$  (so clearly  $\text{pd } R/(x) < \infty$ ) but  $R$  is not even  $F$ -pure. In particular, no Frobenius splitting of  $R/(x)$  lifts to  $R$ .

**3.2. Applications to symbolic powers.** If  $W$  is the multiplicative system  $R - \cup_{P \in \text{Ass}(I)} P$  (so  $W$  consists of all nonzerodivisor on  $R/I$ ), note that  $(I^n)^W = I^{(n)}$  is the  $n$ -th symbolic power of  $I$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal and let  $n \geq 2$  be a positive integer. Then for all  $q = p^e$  sufficiently large,*

$$(Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{\lfloor \frac{q-1}{n-1} \rfloor} \subseteq (Q^{[q]})^W,$$

where  $W$  denotes the multiplicative system  $R - \cup_{P \in \text{Ass}(Q)} P$  and  $h$  is the largest analytic spread of  $QR_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove this after localizing at  $P$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ . Therefore we may assume that the left hand side is the ordinary power of  $Q$  and that  $Q$  is integral over an ideal  $I$  generated by  $h$  elements. Now for all large  $q$  we write

$$q - 1 = a(n - 1) + r,$$

where  $a, r \geq 0$  and  $r \leq n - 2$ . Then

$$(hn - h + 1) \left\lfloor \frac{q - 1}{n - 1} \right\rfloor = (hn - h + 1)a = h(q - 1 - r) + a = hq - h + a - rh.$$

Thus we have

$$Q^{(hn-h+1)\lfloor \frac{q-1}{n-1} \rfloor} = Q^{hq-h+(a-rh)}.$$

Since  $Q$  is integral over  $I$ , there exists  $s$  such that for all  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$Q^s Q^t = Q^s I^t \subseteq I^t.$$

Now for  $q \gg 0$  such that  $a = \lfloor \frac{q-1}{n-1} \rfloor \geq rh + s + 1$  we have

$$Q^{hq-h+(a-rh)} \subseteq Q^s Q^{hq-h+1} \subseteq I^{hq-h+1} \subseteq I^{[q]} \subseteq Q^{[q]},$$

where we use the Pigeonhole Principle for  $I^{hq-h+1} \subseteq I^{[q]}$  (since  $I$  is generated by  $h$  elements). This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal with  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$ . Let  $h$  be the largest analytic spread of  $QR_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ . Then for all  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$(I : Q) \subseteq \left( I (Q^{n-1})^{[q]} : (Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{[q]} \right)$$

for all  $q = p^e \gg 0$  and all ideal  $I \subseteq R$ .

*Proof.* If  $n = 1$ , the desired inclusion comes down to  $(I : Q) \subseteq (I : Q^{[q]})$  which is trivial. Now we fix  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $t \in (I : Q)$ . Then

$$t (Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{[q]} \subseteq (tQ) \cdot (Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{q-1} \subseteq I (Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{q-1}.$$

The result will follow if we show that

$$(Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{q-1} \subseteq (Q^{n-1})^{[q]} = (Q^{[q]})^{n-1}.$$

Therefore it is enough to prove

$$(Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{\lfloor \frac{q-1}{n-1} \rfloor} \subseteq Q^{[q]}.$$

This follows by Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 2.8.  $\square$

The next lemma will be crucial to handle the strongly  $F$ -regular case.

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal and let  $h \geq 2$  be the largest minimal number of generators of  $QR_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ . Then for all  $n$  and for all  $e \gg 0$ , we have*

$$(Q^{n+h-1} : Q^{(n+h-1)}) (I_e(Q) : Q) \subseteq \left( I_e(Q) I_e(Q^{(n)}) : (Q^{(n+h-1)})^{[q]} \right).$$

In particular, if  $Q$  is radical and  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$ , then we have

$$(Q^{n+h-1} : Q^{(n+h-1)}) (I_e(Q) : Q) \subseteq \left( Q^{[q]} I_e(Q^{(n)}) : (Q^{(n+h-1)})^{[q]} \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $s \in (I_e(Q) : Q)$  and  $t \in (Q^{n+h-1} : Q^{(n+h-1)})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} st (Q^{(n+h-1)})^{[q]} &\subseteq st (Q^{(n+h-1)})^q \\ &\subseteq s (tQ^{(n+h-1)}) (Q^{(n+h-1)})^{q-1} \\ &\subseteq (sQ) Q^{n+h-2} (Q^{(n+h-1)})^{q-1} \\ &\subseteq I_e(Q) Q^{n+h-2} (Q^{(n+h-1)})^{q-1} \\ &\subseteq I_e(Q) Q^{((n+h-1)(q-1)+n+h-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now note that if  $h \geq 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (n+h-1)(q-1) + n+h-2 &= (n+h-1)q - 1 \\ &\geq (n+h-1)q - h + 1 \end{aligned}$$

so by Lemma 2.9,

$$Q^{((n+h-1)(q-1)+n+h-2)} \subseteq I_e(Q^{(n)}).$$

This shows that

$$st (Q^{(n+h-1)})^{[q]} \subseteq I_e(Q) I_e(Q^{(n)}),$$

as desired. The last assertion follows from Lemma 2.8.  $\square$



Now we state and prove our main result on symbolic power containments for ideals of finite projective dimension.

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $R$  be an  $F$ -finite Gorenstein ring and  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal with  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$  and big height  $h$ . Then we have*

- (1) *If  $R/Q$  is  $F$ -pure, then  $Q^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*
- (2) *If  $R/Q$  is strongly  $F$ -regular and  $h \geq 2$ , then  $Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

**Remark 3.12.** Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.11,  $R_P$  is regular for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ , since  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$  and  $Q$  is radical. Thus the largest minimal number of generators of  $QR_P$  and the largest analytic spread of  $QR_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$  are both the same as  $\text{bight}(Q)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.11.* Since it is enough to check the containment after localizing at each maximal ideal of  $R$ , we may assume  $R$  is local.

- (1) Combining Theorem 3.3, Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &\subseteq \Phi_e(F_*^e(I_e(Q) : Q)) && \text{by Theorem 3.3} \\ &\subseteq \Phi_e\left(F_*^e\left(I_e(Q) (Q^{n-1})^{[q]} : (Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{[q]}\right)\right) && \text{by Lemma 3.9} \\ &\subseteq QQ^{n-1} : Q^{(hn-h+1)} && \text{by Lemma 2.5.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $Q^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq Q^n$  as desired.

- (2) There exists an element  $c \in (Q^{n+h-1} : Q^{(n+h-1)})$  not in any minimal prime of  $Q$ . Thus combining Theorem 3.3, Lemma 3.10 and Lemma 2.5, for  $e \gg 0$  we have (note we are using Remark 3.12 here):

$$\begin{aligned} R &\subseteq \Phi_e(F_*^e(c(I_e(Q) : Q))) && \text{by Theorem 3.3} \\ &\subseteq \Phi_e\left(F_*^e\left(Q^{[q]} I_e(Q^{(n)}) : (Q^{(n+h-1)})^{[q]}\right)\right) && \text{by Lemma 3.10} \\ &\subseteq (QQ^{(n)} : Q^{(n+h-1)}) && \text{by Lemma 2.5.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $Q^{(n+h-1)} \subseteq QQ^{(n)}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . The containment  $Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$  now follows by induction on  $n$ , as in [GH19, Theorem 4.1].  $\square$

The following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 3.13.** *Let  $R$  be an  $F$ -finite Gorenstein ring and  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal with  $\text{pd}(R/Q) < \infty$  and big height 2. If  $R/Q$  is strongly  $F$ -regular, then  $Q^{(n)} = Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

#### 4. IDEALS OF INFINITE PROJECTIVE DIMENSION

In this section, we prove versions of the symbolic power containments for ideals not necessarily of finite projective dimension. We begin with several lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1** (Hochster–Huneke, Lemma 3.6 in [HH02]). *Let  $R$  be a geometrically reduced equidimensional  $k$ -algebra finitely generated over a field  $k$  of prime characteristic  $p$ . Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and let  $W$  denote the multiplicative system  $R - \cup_{P \in \text{Ass}(I)} P$ . Let  $J = J(R/k)$  be the Jacobian ideal. Then for every  $q = p^e$ ,*

$$J^{[q]}(I^{[q]})^W \subseteq I^{[q]}.$$

Similar to Lemma 3.9, we have the following:

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $R$  be a geometrically reduced equidimensional  $k$ -algebra finitely generated over a field  $k$  of prime characteristic  $p$  and let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Let  $h$  denote the largest analytic spread of  $QR_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ . Then for all  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$(I : Q) \subseteq \left( I (Q^{n-1})^{[q]} : (J^{n-1}Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{[q]} \right)$$

for all  $q = p^e \gg 0$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $t \in (I : Q)$ . Then

$$t (J^{n-1}Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{[q]} \subseteq (tQ) \cdot (Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{q-1} (J^{[q]})^{n-1} \subseteq I (Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{q-1} (J^{[q]})^{n-1}.$$

It is thus enough to show that

$$(Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{q-1} (J^{[q]})^{n-1} \subseteq (Q^{[q]})^{n-1},$$

which will follow from the following containment:

$$J^{[q]} (Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{\lfloor \frac{q-1}{n-1} \rfloor} \subseteq Q^{[q]}.$$

This follows from Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

The next two lemmas will be used in the strongly  $F$ -regular case.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Let  $h \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$  be positive integers such that  $h$  is at least the largest minimal number of generators of  $QR_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ . Then for all  $q = p^e$ , we have*

$$Q^{(h-1)(n-1)} (Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})^{q-1} \subseteq ((Q^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)})^{[q]})^W,$$

where  $W$  denotes the multiplicative system  $R - \cup_{P \in \text{Ass}(Q)} P$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the containment after localizing at  $P$  for each  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ . Therefore we may assume that both sides are the ordinary powers of  $Q$  and  $Q$  is generated by  $h$  elements. Since  $h \geq 2$ , for all  $q$  we have

$$(h-1)(n-1) + ((h-1)(n-1)+1)(q-1) = ((h-1)(n-1)+1)q - 1 \geq ((h-1)(n-1)+1)q - h + 1$$

Thus we have

$$Q^{(h-1)(n-1) + ((h-1)(n-1)+1)(q-1)} \subseteq Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)q - h + 1} \subseteq (Q^{(h-1)(n-2)+1})^{[q]}$$

where the last inclusion follows from the Pigeonhole Principle: if  $I$  is an ideal generated by  $h$  elements then  $I^{Nq-h+1} \subseteq (I^{N-(h-1)})^{[q]}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be a geometrically reduced equidimensional  $k$ -algebra finitely generated over a field  $k$  of prime characteristic  $p$  and let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Let  $h \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$  be positive integers such that  $h$  is at least the largest minimal number of generators of  $QR_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ . Then for all  $q = p^e \gg 0$  and all  $I \subseteq R$ , we have*

$$(Q^{(h-1)(n-1)+1} : Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)}) (I : Q) \subseteq \left( I (Q^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)})^{[q]} : (JQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})^{[q]} \right).$$

*In particular, there exists  $c$  not in any minimal prime of  $Q$  such that*

$$c(I : Q) \subseteq \left( I (Q^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)})^{[q]} : (JQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})^{[q]} \right).$$

*Proof.* Fix  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $t \in (I : Q)$  and  $s \in (Q^{(h-1)(n-1)+1} : Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (st) (JQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})^{[q]} &\subseteq t (sQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)}) \cdot (Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})^{q-1} J^{[q]} \\ &\subseteq (tQ)Q^{(h-1)(n-1)} (Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})^{q-1} J^{[q]} \\ &\subseteq IQ^{(h-1)(n-1)} (Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})^{q-1} J^{[q]}. \end{aligned}$$

It is thus enough to show that

$$Q^{(h-1)(n-1)} (Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})^{q-1} J^{[q]} \subseteq (Q^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)})^{[q]}.$$

This follows from

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{(h-1)(n-1)} (Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})^{q-1} J^{[q]} &\subseteq J^{[q]} ((Q^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)})^{[q]})^W && \text{(By Lemma 4.3)} \\ &\subseteq (Q^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)})^{[q]}. && \text{(By Lemma 4.1)} \end{aligned}$$

Note that we can apply Lemma 4.1 here because  $Q$  and  $Q^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)}$  have the same set of associated primes.  $\square$

The next crucial lemma shows that any Cartier map can be lifted at the expense of multiplying by elements in the Jacobian ideal.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $R$  be a geometrically reduced equidimensional  $k$ -algebra finitely generated over a field  $k$  of prime characteristic  $p$  and let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal. Let  $J = J(R/k)$  denote the Jacobian ideal of  $R$ .*

- (1) *If  $R/Q$  is  $F$ -pure, then for every  $x \in J$ , there exists  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$  such that  $x = \varphi(F_*^e 1) \bmod Q$  and that  $Q \subseteq I_e^\varphi(Q)$ .*
- (2) *If  $R/Q$  is strongly  $F$ -regular, then for every  $c$  not in any minimal prime of  $Q$  and for every  $x \in J$ , there exists  $e \gg 0$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$  such that  $x = \varphi(F_*^e c) \bmod Q$  and that  $Q \subseteq I_e^\varphi(Q)$ .*

*Proof.* We first claim that  $J$  annihilates  $\text{Ext}_R^1(F_*^e R, -)$  for all  $e > 0$ . By the proof of [HH02, Theorem 3.4],  $J$  is generated by elements  $c$  such that the map  $F_*^e R \rightarrow F_*^e R$  given by multiplication by  $c$  factors through a free  $R$ -module  $A_e$ . The map on  $\text{Ext}_R^1(F_*^e R, -)$  induced by multiplication by  $c$  must then factor through  $\text{Ext}_R^1(A_e, -) = 0$ , thus multiplication by  $c$  on  $\text{Ext}_R^1(F_*^e R, -)$  is the 0-map. As a consequence,  $J$  annihilates  $\text{Ext}_R^1(F_*^e R, -)$ .

The short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/Q \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R/Q) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(F_*^e R, Q).$$

Since  $J \cdot \text{Ext}_R^1(F_*^e R, Q) = 0$ , every map in  $\text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R/Q)$  lifts to a map in  $\text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$  after multiplying by elements in  $J$ .

- (1) Now we fix  $x \in J$ . Consider the splitting  $F_*^e(R/Q) \xrightarrow{\phi} R/Q$ ,  $\phi(F_*^e 1) = 1$ . The composition

$$F_*^e(R/Q) \xrightarrow{\phi} R/Q \xrightarrow{x} R/Q$$

lifts to a map  $\varphi: F_*^e R \rightarrow R$ , meaning, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_*^e R & \longrightarrow & F_*^e(R/Q) \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow x \cdot \phi(-) \\ R & \longrightarrow & R/Q \end{array}$$

Tracing the image of  $F_*^e 1 \in F_*^e R$  through the diagram, we obtain that  $x = \varphi(F_*^e 1) \bmod Q$ . Moreover, since  $\varphi$  induces a map  $F_*^e(R/Q) \rightarrow R/Q$ , we have  $Q \subseteq I_e^\varphi(Q)$ .

- (2) We fix  $c$  not in any minimal prime of  $Q$  and fix  $x \in J$ . Since  $R/Q$  is strongly  $F$ -regular, there exists  $e \gg 0$  and  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{R/Q}(F_*^e(R/Q), R/Q)$  such that  $\phi(F_*^e c) = 1$ . Again the composition

$$F_*^e(R/Q) \xrightarrow{\phi} R/Q \xrightarrow{x} R/Q$$

lifts to a map  $\varphi: F_*^e R \rightarrow R$ . We consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_*^e R & \longrightarrow & F_*^e(R/Q) \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow x \cdot \phi(-) \\ R & \longrightarrow & R/Q \end{array}$$

Tracing the image of  $F_*^e c \in F_*^e R$  through the diagram, we obtain that  $x = \varphi(F_*^e c) \bmod Q$ . Moreover, since  $\varphi$  induces a map  $F_*^e(R/Q) \rightarrow R/Q$ , we have  $Q \subseteq I_e^\varphi(Q)$ .  $\square$

We can now prove our main result on symbolic power containments for ideals not necessarily of finite projective dimension.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $R$  be a geometrically reduced equidimensional  $k$ -algebra finitely generated over a field  $k$  of prime characteristic  $p$ . Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal and let  $J = J(R/k)$  be the Jacobian ideal of  $R$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $R/Q$  is  $F$ -pure and  $h = \text{bight}(Q)$ , then  $J^n Q^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Moreover, if there exists a Frobenius splitting of  $R/Q$  that lifts to a Frobenius splitting of  $R$ , then we have  $J^{n-1} Q^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*
- (2) *If  $R/Q$  is strongly  $F$ -regular and  $h \geq 2$  is at least the largest minimal number of generators of  $QR_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ , then  $J^{2n-2} Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Moreover, if for every  $c$  not in any minimal prime of  $Q$ , there exists  $e \gg 0$  and  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e(R/Q), R/Q)$  which sends  $F_*^e c$  to 1 that lifts to  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$ , then  $J^{n-1} Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq Q^n$ .*

*Proof.* (1) We use induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$  then the containment is obvious. So we assume  $n \geq 2$  and we assume the containment for  $n - 1$ , that is,  $J^{n-1} Q^{(h(n-1)-h+1)} \subseteq Q^{n-1}$ .

For every  $x \in J$ , we fix a map  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$  as in Lemma 4.5. Now by Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.2, for all  $q = p^e \gg 0$  we have

$$R = (I_e^\varphi(Q) : Q) \subseteq \left( I_e^\varphi(Q) (Q^{n-1})^{[q]} : (J^{n-1} Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{[q]} \right).$$

Here we are using that the largest analytic spread of  $QR_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$  is the same as the big height of  $Q$  because  $Q$  is radical. Applying  $\varphi(F_*^e -)$  and by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\varphi(F_*^e R) \subseteq (Q^n : J^{n-1} Q^{(hn-h+1)}).$$

Since  $x = \varphi(F_*^e 1) \bmod Q$  by Lemma 4.5, we know that  $x \in (Q^n : J^{n-1}Q^{(hn-h+1)}) \bmod Q$ . Since this is true for all  $x \in J$ , we have

$$J \subseteq (Q^n : J^{n-1}Q^{(hn-h+1)}) + Q.$$

By our induction hypothesis,  $J^{n-1}Q^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq J^{n-1}Q^{(h(n-1)-h+1)} \subseteq Q^{n-1}$ . Therefore,

$$QJ^{n-1}Q^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq Q \cdot Q^{n-1} = Q^n,$$

and thus  $Q \subseteq (Q^n : J^{n-1}Q^{(hn-h+1)})$ . Therefore,

$$J \subseteq (Q^n : J^{n-1}Q^{(hn-h+1)}),$$

and thus

$$J^n Q^{(hn-h+1)} = J(J^{n-1}Q^{(hn-h+1)}) \subseteq Q^n.$$

Finally, if there is a Frobenius splitting  $\phi$  of  $R/Q$  that lifts to a Frobenius splitting  $\varphi$  of  $R$ , then directly applying Lemma 4.2, we have

$$R = (I_e^\varphi(Q) : Q) \subseteq \left( I_e^\varphi(Q) (Q^{n-1})^{[q]} : (J^{n-1}Q^{(hn-h+1)})^{[q]} \right).$$

Applying  $\varphi(F_*^e -)$  and by Lemma 2.5 (and using that  $\varphi$  is a Frobenius splitting), we know that

$$R \subseteq QQ^{n-1} : (J^{n-1}Q^{(hn-h+1)}),$$

that is,  $J^{n-1}Q^{(hn-h+1)} \subseteq Q^n$ .

- (2) We use induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$  then the containment is obvious. So we assume  $n \geq 2$  and we assume the containment for  $n - 1$ , that is,  $J^{2n-4}Q^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)} \subseteq Q^{n-1}$ .

For every  $x \in J$ , we fix a map  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$  as in Lemma 4.5. Now by Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.4, for all  $q = p^e \gg 0$  we have

$$cR = c(I_e^\varphi(Q) : Q) \subseteq \left( I_e^\varphi(Q) (Q^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)})^{[q]} : (JQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})^{[q]} \right).$$

Applying  $\varphi(F_*^e -)$  and by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\varphi(F_*^e(cR)) \subseteq (QQ^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)} : JQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)}).$$

Since  $x = \varphi(F_*^e c) \bmod Q$  by Lemma 4.5, we know that  $x \in (QQ^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)} : JQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)}) \bmod Q$ . Since this is true for all  $x \in J$ , we have

$$J \subseteq (QQ^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)} : JQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)}) + Q.$$

Clearly,  $Q \subseteq (QQ^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)} : JQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})$  because

$$QJQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq QQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq QQ^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)}.$$

Therefore we have

$$J \subseteq (QQ^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)} : JQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)}),$$

and thus

$$J^2 Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq QQ^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)}.$$

Multiplying both side by  $J^{2n-4}$  and use the induction hypothesis, we have

$$J^{2n-2}Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq J^{2n-4}QQ^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)} \subseteq QQ^{n-1} = Q^n.$$

Finally, if for every  $c$  not in any minimal prime of  $Q$ , there exists  $e \gg 0$  and  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e(R/Q), R/Q)$  which sends  $F_*^e c$  to 1 that lifts to  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(F_*^e R, R)$ , then directly applying Lemma 4.4, for all  $q = p^e \gg 0$  we have

$$cR = c(I_e^\varphi(Q) : Q) \subseteq \left( I_e^\varphi(Q) (Q^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)})^{[q]} : (JQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)})^{[q]} \right).$$

Applying  $\varphi(F_*^e -)$  and by Lemma 2.5 (and using that  $\varphi$  sends  $F_*^e c$  to 1), we know that

$$R \subseteq (QQ^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)} : JQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)}).$$

Therefore we have

$$J^{n-1}Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} = J^{n-2}JQ^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq QJ^{n-2}Q^{((h-1)(n-2)+1)} \subseteq QQ^{n-1} = Q^n$$

where we have used induction on  $n$ .  $\square$

## 5. $F$ -PURE THRESHOLD RESULTS

In this section, we prove versions of symbolic power containments in singular rings involving the  $F$ -pure threshold. These can be viewed as extensions of the result in [TY08, Remark 3.4], and our approach is different from [TY08]. We recall that the  $F$ -pure threshold of  $I$ ,  $\text{fpt}(I)$ , is the supreme of all  $t \geq 0$  such that the pair  $(R, I^t)$  is  $F$ -pure. When  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is strongly  $F$ -regular local, this is the same as  $\lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max\{r | I^r \not\subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m})\}}{p^e}$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $R$  be a strongly  $F$ -regular ring. Let  $I \subseteq R$  be a radical ideal with  $\text{bight}(I) = h$  and  $\text{pd}(R/I) < \infty$ . If the pair  $(R, (I^{(a)})^b)$  is strongly  $F$ -regular for some  $a$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , then  $I^{(hn - \lfloor ab \rfloor)} \subseteq I^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . In particular,*

$$I^{(hn - \lfloor \text{fpt}(I) \rfloor)} \subseteq I^n$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the containment after localizing at each maximal ideal of  $R$  (note that all the hypotheses are preserved under localization), thus we may assume  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is local. Since  $(R, (I^{(a)})^b)$  is strongly  $F$ -regular, we know that  $\text{fpt}(I^{(a)}) > b$  and thus there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $q = p^e \gg 0$ ,  $(I^{(a)})^{\lfloor (b+\epsilon)q \rfloor} \not\subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m})$ .

Fix  $n \geq 1$ . We claim that there exists  $q \gg 0$  such that

$$(5.1) \quad (I^{(a)})^{\lfloor (b+\epsilon)q \rfloor} \subseteq (I_e(I^n) : (I^{(hn - \lfloor ab \rfloor)})^{[q]}).$$

This will finish the proof: indeed, if  $I^{(hn - \lfloor ab \rfloor)} \not\subseteq I^n$ , then  $(I^n : I^{(hn - \lfloor ab \rfloor)}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  which implies  $I_e(I^n) : (I^{(hn - \lfloor ab \rfloor)})^{[q]} \subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m})$  by Lemma 2.5, and hence

$$(I^{(a)})^{\lfloor (b+\epsilon)q \rfloor} \subseteq (I_e(I^n) : (I^{(hn - \lfloor ab \rfloor)})^{[q]}) \subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m}),$$

which is a contradiction.

It remains to prove (5.1); since  $(I^{[q]})^n \subseteq I_e(I^n)$ , it is enough to show that

$$(I^{(hn - \lfloor ab \rfloor)})^q \cdot (I^{(a)})^{\lfloor (b+\epsilon)q \rfloor} \subseteq (I^{[q]})^n,$$

and thus enough to prove

$$(I^{(hn - \lfloor ab \rfloor)})^{\lfloor \frac{q}{n} \rfloor} \cdot (I^{(a)})^{\lfloor \frac{(b+\epsilon)q}{n} \rfloor} \subseteq I^{[q]}.$$

Since  $\text{pd}(R/I) < \infty$ ,  $\text{Ass}(I^{[q]}) = \text{Ass}(I)$ . Therefore, it is enough to check this last containment after localization at the minimal primes of  $I$ . But since  $I$  is radical and  $\text{pd}(R/I) < \infty$ , localizing at minimal primes of  $I$  will yield a regular local ring. Therefore we may assume  $I$  is generated

by  $h$  elements and that its symbolic and ordinary powers coincide. We set  $q = kn + r$  with  $0 \leq r < n$ ; note that  $\lfloor \frac{q}{n} \rfloor = k$ . When  $q \gg 0$ , we also must have  $k \gg 0$ , so for  $q \gg 0$  we may assume  $\epsilon k - 1 \geq \frac{h(r-1)+1}{a}$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 (hn - \lfloor ab \rfloor) \left\lfloor \frac{q}{n} \right\rfloor + a \left\lfloor \frac{(b + \epsilon)q}{n} \right\rfloor &\geq (hn - ab) \left\lfloor \frac{q}{n} \right\rfloor + a \left\lfloor \frac{(b + \epsilon)q}{n} \right\rfloor \\
 &\geq (hn - ab)k + a \lfloor (b + \epsilon)k \rfloor \\
 &\geq (hn - ab)k + a((b + \epsilon)k - 1) \\
 &= (hn - ab)k + abk + a(\epsilon k - 1) \\
 &= hnk + a(\epsilon k - 1) \\
 &\geq hnk + h(r - 1) + 1 \\
 &= hq - h + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, after localization at each minimal prime of  $I$ ,

$$(I^{(hn-ab)}) \left\lfloor \frac{q}{n} \right\rfloor \cdot (I^{(a)}) \left\lfloor \frac{(b+\epsilon)q}{n} \right\rfloor \subseteq I^{hq-h+1} \subseteq I^{[q]},$$

where the last inclusion follows by the Pigeonhole Principle, since  $I$  is locally generated by at most  $h$  elements.  $\square$

We also prove the following which is a version of Theorem 5.1 for ideals not necessarily of finite projective dimension:

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $R$  be a strongly  $F$ -regular, geometrically reduced equidimensional  $k$ -algebra finitely generated over a field  $k$  of prime characteristic  $p$ . Let  $I \subseteq R$  be a radical ideal,  $h$  be the largest minimal number of generators of  $IR_P$  as  $P$  runs through the associated primes of  $I$ , and let  $J$  be the Jacobian ideal of  $R$ . If the pair  $(R, (I^{(a)})^b)$  is strongly  $F$ -regular for some  $a$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , then  $J^n I^{(hn-\lfloor ab \rfloor)} \subseteq I^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . In particular,*

$$J^n I^{(hn-\lfloor \text{fpt}(I) \rfloor)} \subseteq I^n$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* The strategy is very similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1. It is enough to prove the containment after localizing at each maximal ideal of  $R$ , thus we may assume  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is local. Since  $(R, (I^{(a)})^b)$  is strongly  $F$ -regular,  $\text{fpt}(I^{(a)}) > b$  and thus there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $q = p^e \gg 0$ ,  $(I^{(a)})^{\lfloor (b+\epsilon)q \rfloor} \not\subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m})$ .

Fix  $n \geq 1$ . We claim that there exists  $q \gg 0$  such that

$$(5.2) \quad (I^{(a)})^{\lfloor (b+\epsilon)q \rfloor} \subseteq (I_e(I^n) : (J^n I^{(hn-\lfloor ab \rfloor)})^{[q]}).$$

Note that this claim will finish the proof: if  $J^n I^{(hn-\lfloor ab \rfloor)} \not\subseteq I^n$ , then  $(I^n : J^n I^{(hn-\lfloor ab \rfloor)}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ , which implies  $(I_e(I^n) : (J^n I^{(hn-\lfloor ab \rfloor)})^{[q]}) \subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m})$  by Lemma 2.5, and hence

$$(I^{(a)})^{\lfloor (b+\epsilon)q \rfloor} \subseteq (I_e(I^n) : (J^n I^{(hn-\lfloor ab \rfloor)})^{[q]}) \subseteq I_e(\mathfrak{m}),$$

which is a contradiction.

It remains to prove (5.2); since  $(I^{[q]})^n \subseteq I_e(I^n)$ , it is enough to show that

$$(J^n I^{(hn-\lfloor ab \rfloor)})^{[q]} \cdot (I^{(a)})^{\lfloor (b+\epsilon)q \rfloor} \subseteq (I^{[q]})^n,$$

and thus enough to prove

$$J^{[q]}(I^{(hn-\lfloor ab \rfloor)}) \left\lfloor \frac{q}{n} \right\rfloor \cdot (I^{(a)}) \left\lfloor \frac{(b+\epsilon)q}{n} \right\rfloor \subseteq I^{[q]}.$$

By Lemma 4.1,  $J^{[q]}(I^{[q]})^W \subseteq I^{[q]}$ , where  $W$  is the complement of the union of all the associated primes of  $I$ . Thus all we need to show is that

$$(I^{(hn - \lfloor ab \rfloor)})_{\lfloor \frac{a}{n} \rfloor} \cdot (I^{(a)})_{\lfloor \frac{(b+\epsilon)q}{n} \rfloor} \subseteq (I^{[q]})^W,$$

and it is sufficient to prove this containment after localizing at each associated prime  $P$  of  $I$ . By our assumption on  $h$ , after localization at  $P$ ,  $I$  can be generated by  $h$  elements. The rest of the proof is the same as in Theorem 5.1.  $\square$

## 6. EXAMPLES

In this section, we collect a few interesting examples: an example suggesting Theorem 4.6 can be improved in the strongly  $F$ -regular case, and examples of ideals of finite projective dimension for which the conclusion of Theorem 3.11 does not follow from previously known results in the regular setting.

**Example 6.1.** Let  $R = K[[x, y, z]]/(xy - z^k)$  where  $k \geq 2$  and  $K$  is a field of characteristic  $p \nmid k$ . Consider the prime ideal  $Q = (x, z)$  in  $R$ , which has infinite projective dimension. Theorem 3.11 does not apply to  $Q$ ; if it did, it would say that  $Q^{(n)} = Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , since  $R/Q$  is strongly  $F$ -regular. In fact,  $Q^{(n)} \neq Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 2$ , since  $x^{n+r} \in Q^{(kn+r)} \setminus Q^{kn}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq r < k$ . In fact,  $Q^{(kn)} = (x^n)$ . On the other hand, the Jacobian ideal is given by  $J = (x, y, z^{k-1})$ , and

$$J^{(k-1)n} Q^{(kn)} \subseteq Q^{kn} \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

To see this, note that  $J^{(k-1)n}$  is generated by all the elements of the form  $x^a y^b z^c$  with  $a+b+c = (k-1)n$ , and

$$(x^a y^b z^c) x^n = x^{(k-1)n+a-b} (xy)^b z^c = x^{(k-1)n+a-b} z^{kb+c} \in Q^{kn+b} \subseteq Q^{kn}.$$

Moreover,  $J^{(k-1)n-1} Q^{(2n)} \not\subseteq Q^{2n}$ , since  $z^{(k-1)n-1} \cdot x^n \notin Q^{kn}$ .

Theorem 4.6 says that  $J^{2n-2} Q^{(n)} \subseteq Q^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , while our computations show that the minimum  $\alpha$  such that

$$J^{[\alpha n]} Q^{(n)} \subseteq Q^n \text{ for all } n \geq 1$$

is  $\alpha = \frac{k-1}{k}$ .

It is natural to ask if Theorem 4.6 (2) can be improved:

**Question 6.2.** *Let  $R$  be a geometrically reduced equidimensional  $k$ -algebra finitely generated over a field  $k$  of prime characteristic  $p$ . Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be an ideal and let  $J = J(R/k)$  be the Jacobian ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $R/Q$  is strongly  $F$ -regular and  $h \geq 2$  is at least the largest minimal number of generators of  $QR_P$  for all  $P \in \text{Ass}(Q)$ . Is*

$$J^n Q^{((h-1)(n-1)+1)} \subseteq Q^n$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ ?

Given Example 6.1, a positive answer to this question would essentially give an optimal value for the power of  $J$  required to fix the failure of Theorem 3.11 (2) when the ideals are not necessarily of finite projective dimension.

We are grateful to Bernd Ulrich for communicating the following example and proposition to us.



**Example 6.3.** Let  $S = K(u_{ij}, v_{ij})[[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6]]$ ,  $I = (\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)$  where the  $\Delta$ 's are the  $2 \times 2$  minors of the  $2 \times 3$  matrix  $M$  whose entries are linear combinations of the  $x_i$ 's using  $u_{ij}$ , i.e., the entries in the matrix are  $\sum_j u_{ij}x_j$  (so they are all generic). Let  $f = \sum v_{ij}x_ix_j$  be a generic polynomial of degree 2 and set  $R = S/f$ . Then  $0 \rightarrow R^2 \xrightarrow{M} R^3 \xrightarrow{(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3)} R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$  is a projective resolution of  $R/I$  over  $R$  since the rank and depth conditions are easily verified. Hence  $\text{pd}(R/I) = \text{ht}(I) = 2$  and one can check that  $R/I$  is strongly  $F$ -regular (we can also choose more specific matrix and  $f$  to make this true, but the generic choice always works). Theorem 3.11 then implies that  $I^{(n)} = I^n$  for all  $n$ .

**Remark 6.4.** We want to point out that, in the above example, one cannot find a regular local ring  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  and an ideal  $J \subseteq A$  with a faithfully flat extension  $A \rightarrow R$  such that  $I = JR$  (i.e., the pair  $(R, I)$  does not come from a faithfully flat base change from a pair  $(A, J)$  with  $A$  regular). If so, then since  $I = JR$  we know that  $\text{ht}(J) = 2$  so a minimal resolution of  $A/J$  over  $A$  looks like  $0 \rightarrow A^{n-1} \xrightarrow{M'} A^n \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/J \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $A \rightarrow R$  is faithfully flat, tensoring this resolution with  $R$  we should get a minimal resolution of  $R/I$ . This implies that  $n = 3$  and that  $\text{Fitt}_2(I)$  is generated by the entries in  $M'$ , in particular  $\text{Fitt}_2(I) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}R$ . However, by the way we construct  $I$ , we know that  $\text{Fitt}_2(I) = (x_1, \dots, x_6)$  is the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Therefore  $(A, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (R, \mathfrak{n})$  is a faithfully flat local extension such that  $\mathfrak{m}R = \mathfrak{n}$ , which implies  $R$  is regular, a contradiction. In particular, in Example 6.3, the fact  $I^{(n)} = I^n$  for all  $n$  does not follow from results in [GH19].

We next prove a proposition which gives another explanation for Example 6.3.

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local or standard graded ring with an infinite residue field such that  $\dim R = d$ . Let  $M$  be an  $n \times (n+1)$  matrix of generic linear forms (i.e., elements in  $\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}^2$ ) and set  $I$  be the ideal generated by  $n \times n$  minors of  $M$  (so  $I$  is a height two perfect ideal of  $R$ ). Then*

- (1) *If  $d > n + 1$ , then  $I^{(j)} = I^j$  for all  $j$ .*
- (2) *If  $d = n + 1$ , then  $I^{(j)} \neq I^j$  for all  $j \geq n$ .*

*Proof.* From the resolution:  $0 \rightarrow R^n \xrightarrow{M} R^{n+1} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ , we form the complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^j (R^n) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{j-1} (R^n) \otimes S_1(R^{n+1}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigwedge^1 (R^n) \otimes S_{j-1}(R^{n+1}) \rightarrow S_j(R^{n+1}) \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0.$$

This complex has abutment  $R/I^j$ , with length  $j + 1$  when  $j \leq n$  and length  $n + 1$  when  $j \geq n$ . In particular, if  $d \geq n + 1$ , it is easily verified that the rank and depth conditions are satisfied (since we use generic elements) thus the complex is a projective resolution of  $R/I^j$  for all  $j$ .

Now if  $d > n + 1$ , then  $\text{depth}(R/I^j) = d - \text{pd}(R/I^j) > 0$  so  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/I^j) = 0$ . To show  $I^{(j)} = I^j$  for all  $j$ , note that we may assume  $I^{(j)}R_P = I^jR_P$  for all  $P \neq \mathfrak{m}$  by induction, but then  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/I^j) = 0$  implies  $I^{(j)} = I^j$ . Finally, if  $d = n + 1$ , then  $\text{depth}(R/I^j) = d - \text{pd}(R/I^j) = 0$  for  $j \geq n$ , thus  $0 \neq H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/I^j) \subseteq I^{(j)}/I^j$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.6.** It is easy to see that Example 6.3 satisfies condition (1) of Proposition 6.5. Moreover, Theorem 3.11 shows that under condition (2) of Proposition 6.5,  $R/I$  cannot be strongly  $F$ -regular if  $R$  is Gorenstein. Here we give another explanation under the graded setup of Proposition 6.5: in fact if  $R$  is standard graded, then from the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow R^n(-n-1) \rightarrow R^{n+1}(-n) \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$  it is easy to see that  $a(R/I) = a(R) + n + 1$ . Since  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $d$ ,  $a(R) \geq -d$  and hence  $a(R/I) \geq n + 1 - d = 0$  under condition (2), thus  $R/I$  cannot be strongly  $F$ -regular.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Bernd Ulrich for communicating Example 6.3 and Proposition 6.5 to us. We thank Srikanth Iyengar for very helpful discussions on derived categories and for providing us an alternative approach to Lemma 3.1 in Remark 3.2. We also thank Lucho Avramov, Jack Jeffries, Claudia Miller, and Alexandra Seceleanu for valuable conversations and for giving us several interesting examples. We also thank Javier Carvajal-Rojas, Craig Huneke, Thomas Polstra, and Axel Stäbler for useful conversations and comments. The first author thanks the University of Utah, where she was visiting when part of this project was completed, for their hospitality.

## REFERENCES

- [AE05] Ian M. Aberbach and Florian Enescu. The structure of F-pure rings. *Math. Z.*, 250(4):791–806, 2005.
- [Ake17] Solomon Akesseh. Ideal containments under flat extensions. *J. Algebra*, 492:44–51, 2017.
- [BH10] Cristiano Bocci and Brian Harbourne. Comparing powers and symbolic powers of ideals. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 19(3):399–417, 2010.
- [BRH<sup>+</sup>09] T. Bauer, S. Di Rocco, B. Harbourne, M. Kapustka, A. Knutsen, W. Syzdek, and T. Szemberg. A primer on Seshadri constants. *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 496:39–70, 2009.
- [CGM<sup>+</sup>16] Adam Czapliński, Agata Główska, Grzegorz Malara, Magdalena Lampa-Baczyńska, Patrycja Luszcz-Świdecka, Piotr Pokora, and Justyna Szpond. A counterexample to the containment  $I^{(3)} \subset I^2$  over the reals. *Adv. Geom.*, 16(1):77–82, 2016.
- [CS18] Javier Carvajal-Rojas and Daniel Smolkin. The uniform symbolic topology property for diagonally F-regular algebras, July 2018. arXiv:1807.03928.
- [Dra17] Ben Drabkin. Configurations of linear spaces of codimension two and the containment problem, 2017. arXiv:1704.07870.
- [DSTG13] Marcin Dumnicki, Tomasz Szemberg, and Halszka Tutaj-Gasińska. Counterexamples to the  $I^{(3)} \subseteq I^2$  containment. *J. Algebra*, 393:24–29, 2013.
- [Dum15] Marcin Dumnicki. Containments of symbolic powers of ideals of generic points in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 143(2):513–530, 2015.
- [ELS01] Lawrence Ein, Robert Lazarsfeld, and Karen E. Smith. Uniform bounds and symbolic powers on smooth varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 144 (2):241–25, 2001.
- [Fed83] Richard Fedder. F-purity and rational singularity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 278(2):461–480, 1983.
- [GH19] Eloísa Grifo and Craig Huneke. Symbolic powers of ideals defining F-pure and strongly F-regular rings. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (10):2999–3014, 2019.
- [Gla96] Donna Glassbrenner. Strongly F-regularity in images of regular rings. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 124(2):345 – 353, 1996.
- [Her74] Jürgen Herzog. Ringe der Charakteristik  $p$  und Frobeniusfunktoren. *Math. Z.*, 140:67–78, 1974.
- [HH02] Melvin Hochster and Craig Huneke. Comparison of symbolic and ordinary powers of ideals. *Invent. Math.* 147 (2002), no. 2, 349–369, November 2002.
- [HH13] Brian Harbourne and Craig Huneke. Are symbolic powers highly evolved? *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 28A:247–266, 2013.
- [HK19] Craig Huneke and Daniel Katz. Uniform symbolic topologies in abelian extensions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 372(3):1735–1750, 2019.
- [HKV09] Craig Huneke, Daniel Katz, and Javid Validashti. Uniform Equivalents of Symbolic and Adic Topologies. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 53(1):325–338, 2009.
- [HS15] Brian Harbourne and Alexandra Seceleanu. Containment counterexamples for ideals of various configurations of points in  $\mathbf{P}^N$ . *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 219(4):1062–1072, 2015.
- [MS18a] Linquan Ma and Karl Schwede. Perfectoid multiplier/test ideals in regular rings and bounds on symbolic powers. *Invent. Math.*, 214(2):913–955, 2018.
- [MS18b] Grzegorz Malara and Justyna Szpond. On codimension two flats in Fermat-type arrangements. In *Multigraded algebra and applications*, volume 238 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 95–109. Springer, Cham, 2018.

- [PS73] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro. Dimension projective finie et cohomologie locale. Applications à la démonstration de conjectures de M. Auslander, H. Bass et A. Grothendieck. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (42):47–119, 1973.
- [PS19] Thomas Polstra and Ilya Smirnov. Equimultiplicity theory of strongly F-regular rings, 2019.
- [Sin99] Anurag K. Singh. F-regularity does not deform. *Amer. J. Math.*, 121(4):919–929, 1999.
- [Swa00] Irena Swanson. Linear equivalence of topologies. *Math. Zeitschrift*, 234:755–775, 2000.
- [Tak06] Shunsuke Takagi. Formulas for multiplier ideals on singular varieties. *Amer. J. Math.*, 128(6):1345–1362, 2006.
- [TY08] Shunsuke Takagi and Ken-ichi Yoshida. Generalized test ideals and symbolic powers. *Michigan Math. J.*, 57:711–724, 08 2008.
- [Wal16] Robert M. Walker. Rational singularities and uniform symbolic topologies. *Illinois J. Math.*, 60(2):541–550, 2016.
- [Wal18a] Robert M. Walker. Uniform Harbourne-Huneke bounds via flat extensions. *J. Algebra*, 516:125–148, 2018.
- [Wal18b] Robert M. Walker. Uniform symbolic topologies in normal toric rings. *J. Algebra*, 511:292–298, 2018.

*E-mail address:* `eloisa.grifo@ucr.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, RIVERSIDE, CA

*E-mail address:* `ma326@purdue.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907

*E-mail address:* `schwede@math.utah.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112