

RANDOM WALKS ON FINITE NILPOTENT GROUPS DRIVEN BY LONG-JUMP MEASURES

LAURENT SALOFF-COSTE AND YUWEN WANG

ABSTRACT. We consider a variant of random walks on finite groups. At each step, we choose an element from a set of generators (“directions”) uniformly, and an integer from a power law (“speed”) distribution associated with the chosen direction. We show that if the finite group is nilpotent, the mixing time of this walk is of the same order of magnitude as the diameter of a suitable pseudo-metric, $D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$, on the group, which depends only on the generators and speeds. Additionally, we give sharp bounds on the ℓ^2 -distance between the distribution of the position of the walker and the stationary distribution, and compute $D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ for some examples.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a simple random walk on a finite group G with respect to a set $S \subseteq G$, at each time step, the walker chooses s uniformly from S , and steps from her current location g , to gs . In this paper, we consider a variation of this walk, where the walker may “jump” further away in the direction of s , not just take a single step. Specifically, in a finite group G , let $S = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$ be a k -tuple of elements that generate G . For each i , let μ_i be a symmetric distribution supported on the cyclic subgroup $\langle s_i \rangle$ and set

$$\mu(g) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i(g). \quad (1.1)$$

A random walk on G driven by μ is a sequence of G -valued random variables $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of the form

$$X_n = \xi_0 \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n,$$

where ξ_0 has the initial distribution, and $(\xi_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is an i.i.d. sequence of random variables with common distribution μ . In other words, at each time step n , s_i is chosen from S uniformly and j is chosen according to μ_i , which is supported on $\langle s_i \rangle$. We then set $X_n = X_{n-1} s_i^j$.

One natural choice is to set μ_i to be uniform on $\langle s_i \rangle$, for each i . In this case, the probability given to s_i by μ_i would vary drastically depending on the order of s_i . In this paper, we consider a model that is more regular, which we will call *long-jump random walk*, and is inspired by classical stable processes, see Ch. 6 of [Fel71], and approximation algorithms of convex bodies [KLS97]. Before defining the μ_i 's that we will use for the rest of the paper, we will describe a more intuitive model that is pointwise comparable. We associate with each s_i a number α_i between 0 and 2. After choosing s_i uniformly from S , j is chosen from a distribution on \mathbb{Z} proportional to $(1 + |x|)^{-(1+\alpha_i)}$. Thus, in this time step, the walker jumps from the current location g to gs^j . In this scheme, assigning a smaller α_i increases the probability a high power of s_i will be used if the generator s_i is chosen. The full definition is as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let G be a finite nilpotent group of nilpotency class ℓ , S be a k -tuple of elements that generate G and $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in (0, 2)^k$. A *long-jump measure* on G is

$$\mu_{S, \mathbf{a}}(g) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/N_i\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{1}_{s_i^j}(g) p_i(j), \quad (1.2)$$

where N_i is order of s_i in G and $p_i : \mathbb{Z}/N_i\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

$$p_i(j) = \frac{c_i}{(1 + |j|)^{1+\alpha_i}}, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq j < N_i, |j| = \min(j, N_i - j), c_i^{-1} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/N_i\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(1 + |j|)^{1+\alpha_i}}. \quad (1.3)$$

In addition we will use

$$\mu_{S, \mathbf{a}}(g) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i(g), \quad \text{where } \mu_i(g) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/N_i\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{1}_{s_i^j}(g) p_i(j). \quad (1.4)$$

A (S, sp) -*long-jump random walk* on G is a random walk driven by a long-jump measure $\mu_{S, \mathbf{a}}$.

The relationship between this definition and the model described above is discussed in Appendix A.

Returning to the context of simple random walks, it is known from [DSC94] that if G has *moderate growth* with respect to a generating set S , the mixing time of a *simple random walk* driven by S is proportional to the square of the diameter of G with respect to S , or equivalently, the square of the diameter of the Cayley graph of G with respect to S . In this paper, we address the following question:

Given the modification of long-jumps, what is the mixing time?

Example 1.2. Fix a positive integer t . Consider the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ with $N = t^5$. Let S be the generating 2-tuple $(1, s)$, where $s = t^4$. The simple random walk driven by the uniform measure on $\{\pm 1, \pm s\}$, mixes in order D^2 where D is the diameter of the Cayley graph $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, \{\pm 1, \pm s\})$, and D is of order $N^{4/5}$.

Now consider the random walk driven by $\mu_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ where $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha, 1)$, where $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Intuitively, one expects that as α decreases, so does the mixing time, but what is the order of magnitude of the mixing time in terms of N and \mathbf{a} ? The answer, which follows from the results of this paper, is that the mixing time is of the following orders depending of the value of α

$$\begin{cases} N^\alpha & \text{for } 0 < \alpha \leq 1/5 \\ N^{1/5} & \text{for } 1/5 \leq \alpha \leq 1/4 \\ N^{4\alpha/5} & \text{for } 1/4 \leq \alpha < 2 \end{cases} . \quad (1.5)$$

Note that setting $\alpha = 2$ in the last case, give the mixing time of the simple random walk.

We answer this type of question when G is a finite nilpotent group, generated by a k -tuple S and of nilpotency class ℓ . Nilpotent groups generated by k elements and nilpotency class $\leq \ell$ have moderate growth, so by [DSC94], the simple random walk mixes in order diameter squared. For the long-jump modification, the mixing time is instead related to the diameter of a quasi-norm on G , defined as follows. From $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$, create a formal alphabet $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{s}_1^{\pm 1}, \mathbf{s}_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, \mathbf{s}_k^{\pm 1}\}$. Let \mathcal{W} be the set of words generated by \mathcal{S} and $\text{deg}_{\mathbf{s}_i}(w)$ be the number of times either \mathbf{s}_i^{+1} or \mathbf{s}_i^{-1} appears in the word w .

There is natural projection map ρ from $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow G$, mapping \mathbf{s}_i to s_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$. For $\mathbf{a} \in (0, \infty)^k$, define the *cost* of g to be

$$\|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} = \min_{w \in \mathcal{W}: g = \rho(w)} \max_i |\deg_{s_i}(w)|^{\alpha_i}. \quad (1.6)$$

The function $\|\cdot\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a *quasi-norm* on G , i.e., it only satisfies the triangle inequality up to a multiplicative constant. We define $D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ to be the diameter of the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}$, that is, the largest $\|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ can be when g varies over G . Returning to our example, the mixing time estimate stated in (1.5) is obtained by computing $D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$.

We will first prove a relationship between the spectral gap and $D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$. Since the long-jump random walk is symmetric, its spectrum has the form

$$-1 \leq \beta_{\min} \leq \dots \leq \beta_1 < \beta_0 = 1.$$

The eigenvalue β_{\min} is bounded away from -1 by a constant, and we have the following bound for β_1 .

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a finite nilpotent group of nilpotency class ℓ , S be a k -tuple of elements that generate G and $\mathbf{a} \in (0, 2)^k$. Consider a (S, \mathbf{a}) -long-jump random walk driven by $\mu_{S, \mathbf{a}}$. There exist constants, $c_1, c_2 > 0$, that depend only on ℓ, k and \mathbf{a} , so that*

$$c_1/D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq 1 - \beta_1 \leq c_2/D_{S, \mathbf{a}}.$$

Next we will show that $\|\cdot\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ satisfies certain growth properties. For a given quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$, define

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in G : \|x^{-1}y\| \leq r\}$$

and
$$V(x, r) = \sum_{y \in B(x, r)} \pi(y).$$

Definition 1.4. A finite group G is *doubling* with respect to a quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ on G , if there exist $A > 1$ so that

$$V(2r) \leq AV(r), \quad \text{for all } r \geq 0.$$

We will call A a *doubling constant*.

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be a finite nilpotent group of nilpotency class ℓ , S be a k -tuple whose entries generate G , and $\mathbf{a} \in (0, 2)^k$. The group G is doubling with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}$, and the doubling constant depends only on ℓ, k and \mathbf{a} .*

Lastly, we will combine the above results to get bounds on the mixing time. If $K : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the Markov kernel of a random walk on a group G with stationary distribution π , the mixing time of the K is

$$t_{\text{mix}} = \min\{t : \|K^n(e, \cdot) - \pi\|_{TV} \leq 1/4\}.$$

Since K an irreducible kernel for a random walk on a group, π is the uniform distribution

Theorem 1.6. *Let G be a finite nilpotent group of nilpotency class ℓ , S be a k -tuple whose entries generate G and $\mathbf{a} \in (0, 2)^k$. Consider a random walk driven by $\mu_{S, \mathbf{a}}$. There exists $c_1, c_2 > 0$ that depend only on ℓ, k and \mathbf{a} such that*

$$e^{-c_1 n/D_{S, \mathbf{a}}} \leq \|K_n(e, \cdot) - \pi\|_{T.V.} \leq e^{-c_2 n/D_{S, \mathbf{a}}}.$$

Then, $t_{\text{mix}} \asymp D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$.

Although the result stated here is with respect to the total variation norm, throughout the paper we will work with ℓ^2 , which will give more quantitative information, see Theorem 4.1.

Organization. We see this paper as an extension of work done in [SCZ15, CKSC⁺18]; these papers are concerned with infinite groups, whereas this paper studies finite groups. Many of the techniques used in this paper take inspiration from proofs from those two papers; we will give specific citations as we use them. In Section 2, we start by proving Theorem 1.3. We prove the upper bound by using a pseudo-Poincaré inequality, where we rely heavily on results developed in [SCZ15]. For the lower bound, we use the Courant-Fischer characterization of β_1 with similar test function and bounds from [CKSC⁺18]. In Section 3, we prove the doubling property, i.e., Theorem 1.5 using growth results from [SCZ15] for free nilpotent groups and a lemma of [Gui73] to transport the result to finite nilpotent groups. In Section 4, we give precise mixing ℓ^2 -estimates, which give a proof of Theorem 1.6 as a corollary. For these results, we use now standard techniques of Nash inequalities developed in [DSC94, DSC96]. The more precise ℓ^2 -mixing bounds for time $\lesssim D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ use intermediate Nash inequalities, for the upper bound. For the lower bound, we use the spectral lower bound from Section 2 on balls and relate it to $\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}^{(t)}$ by using an argument inspired by proofs from [CG97, SCZ16].

In Section 5, we discuss some of the challenges in computing $D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ in general by providing some illustrative examples. Up until this point in the paper, we have assumed that $\mathbf{a} \in (0, 2)^k$. In Section 6, we explain how to generalize the main results when $\mathbf{a} \in (0, \infty)^k$ by changing the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}$.

In Appendix A, we discuss the relationship between the intuitive model presented in paragraph 2 of the introduction and the model that we presented in Definition 1.1 with which we work with throughout the paper. In Appendix B, we outline properties of the Dirichlet form and present explicit computation some of the more laborious bounds used in Section 2. In Appendix C, we present an algorithm for computing $D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ when $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, $S = (1, s)$ and $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, and a proof of its correctness. We use this algorithm for many of the examples in Section 5.

Notation. We conclude the introduction with some notation that we will use in the paper.

Let G be a finite group of bounded nilpotency class ℓ and S be a k -tuple of generating elements. We will almost always use $k = |S|$. Let $\mu_{s,\alpha}$ be $\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ when S has only one element, not necessarily generating, and let $\|\cdot\|_{s,\alpha}$ be the associated cost. If g cannot be generated by s , set $\|g\|_{s,\alpha} = \infty$. When G is the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, and $g \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ is represented as an number in $[0, N]$, it will be convenient to define $|g| = \min(|g|, |N - g|)$.

All Markov kernels $K : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ considered in this paper are symmetric and irreducible, and their stationary distributions π are uniform on G . Note that for random walks on groups driven by μ , $K(x, y) = K(e, x^{-1}y) = \mu(x^{-1}y)$. Define $Kf(x) = \sum_{y \in G} K(x, y)f(y)$. The corresponding continuous-time Markov chain has kernel $H_t = e^{-t(I-K)} = e^{-t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} K^n$. Let $k_e^n(x) = K^n(e, x)/\pi(x)$ and $h_t^e(x) = H_t(e, x)/\pi(x)$ be the probability densities of the discrete and continuous time kernels.

The space $\ell^p(\pi)$ is the set of functions from G to \mathbb{R} under the norm $\|f\|_p = (\sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^p \pi(x))^{1/p}$ if $p \geq 1$ and $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in G} |f(x)|$. Given $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ and $K : \ell^p(\pi) \rightarrow \ell^q(\pi)$, define $\|K\|_{p \rightarrow q} = \sup_{f \in \ell^p(\pi)} \|Kf\|_q / \|f\|_p$. The inner product on $\ell^2(\pi)$ we will use is $\langle f, g \rangle_\pi = \sum_x f(x)g(x)\pi(x)$. The Dirichlet form on $\ell^2(\pi)$ is $\mathcal{E}_\mu(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y} (f(x) - f(xy))(g(x) - g(xy))\mu(y)\pi(x)$.

The relation $f \asymp g$ means that there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ so that $c_1 f \leq g \leq c_2 f$, where c_1 and c_2 depend only on k, ℓ and \mathbf{a} . In other words, the constants are uniform in the cover of G ; this will be true for all constants that we mention in this paper.

2. SPECTRAL GAP ESTIMATES

The main tool we use to study the spectral gap is the Dirichlet form : $\mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f) = \langle (I - K)f, f \rangle = \sum_{x,y} |f(x) - f(xy)|^2 \mu(y) \pi(x)$. It is related to the spectral gap by

$$1 - \beta_1 = \min_{\substack{\langle f, \mathbf{1} \rangle = 0 \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f)}{\|f\|_2^2} = \min_{f \neq 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f)}{\text{Var}_\pi(f)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, the form is linear in μ , so bounds for \mathcal{E}_{p_i} can be aggregated to a bound for $\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}}$. The details of these computations are included in Appendix B.

Define $\alpha_* = \min_{\alpha \in \mathbf{a}} \frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}$. It follows from Lemma A.1 that $\alpha_* \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} c_i \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} p_i(e) \leq \mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}(e)$. By a standard bound, see e.g. Theorem 6.6 of [SC04],

$$\beta_{min} \geq 2\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}(e) - 1 \geq 2\alpha_* - 1. \quad (2.2)$$

2.1. Upper bound. A probability distribution on G , μ , satisfies the *pseudo-Poincaré inequality* if there exists $a(r) > 0$ such that for all $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$ and $r > 0$,

$$\|f - f_r\|_2^2 \leq a(r) \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f),$$

where

$$f_r(x) = \frac{1}{V(x,r)} \sum_{y \in B(x,r)} f(y) \pi(y) \quad \text{and} \quad V(x,r) = \sum_{y \in B(x,r)} \pi(y). \quad (2.3)$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let k, ℓ be positive integers and $\mathbf{a} \in (0, 2)^k$. There exist positive constants $C = C(\ell, k, \mathbf{a})$ and $p = p(\ell, k, \mathbf{a})$, and $(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in [k]^p$ so that for any finite nilpotent group G of class ℓ and generating k -tuple S , any $g \in G$ with $\|g\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} \leq r$ can be written as*

$$g = \prod_{j=1}^p s_{i_j}^{m_j} \quad \text{with } |m_j| \leq Cr^{\alpha_{i_j}}.$$

Proof. Let $\hat{G} = N(\ell, k)$ be the free nilpotent group of nilpotency class ℓ and generated by S . Theorem 2.10 of [SCZ15] states that there exist an integer $p = p(\ell, k, \mathbf{a})$, a constant $C = C(\ell, k, \mathbf{a})$, and sequence $(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in [k]^p$, such that for all $\hat{g} \in \hat{G}$ that can be expressed a word \hat{w} where $\deg_{s_i} \hat{w} \leq r^{\alpha_{i_j}}$, \hat{g} can be rewritten as

$$\hat{g} = \prod_{j=1}^p s_{i_j}^{m_j} \quad \text{with } |m_j| \leq Cr^{\alpha_{i_j}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Define ρ and $\hat{\rho}$ be the projections maps from \mathcal{W} to G and \hat{G} respectively, mapping $\mathbf{s}_i \rightarrow s_i$. There exists a group homomorphism φ so that the following diagram commutes, i.e., such that $\varphi(s_i) = s_i$ for all i ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & \hat{G} = N(\ell, k) \\
& & \nearrow \hat{\rho} \quad \downarrow \varphi \\
S & \xleftarrow{i} \mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{\rho} G
\end{array}$$

Fix $g \in G$ be an element satisfying the conditions in the theorem. Let w_0 be the word that realizes $\|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ and $\hat{g} = \hat{\rho}(w_0)$. Since for all i , $\deg_{s_i} w_0 \leq r^{\alpha_i}$, there exist $p(\ell, k, \mathbf{a})$, $C(\ell, k, \mathbf{a})$ and sequence (i_1, \dots, i_p) so that (2.4). After applying ρ to both sides, we get the desired result. \square

Theorem 2.2 (Similar to Theorem 4.3 in [SCZ15]). *Let k , ℓ , and $\mathbf{a} \in (0, 2)^k$ be fixed. There exists a constant $a = a(k, \ell, \mathbf{a})$ such that for any long-jump random measure $\mu_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ on finite nilpotent groups G of class ℓ with $|S| = k$, and $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\|f - f_r\|_2^2 \leq ar \mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S, \mathbf{a}}}(f, f).$$

Proof. Fix $r > 0$, $y_0 \in B(e, r)$ and $w_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ so that w_0 realizes $\|y_0\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ in the sense of (1.6). By Theorem 2.1, there exists $C_0 = C_0(\ell, k, \mathbf{a})$, $p = p(\ell, k, \mathbf{a})$ and $(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in [k]^p$ so that y_0 can be written as $y_0 = s_{i_1}^{m_1} \dots s_{i_p}^{m_p}$ where $|m_i| \leq C_0 r^{1/\alpha_i}$. For each i , we can apply Theorem B.5. There exists a constant $C_1(\alpha_i) > 0$ so that for all $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}/N_i\mathbb{Z}$ where $|m|^{\alpha_i} \leq C_0^{\alpha_i} r$,

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(xs^m)|^2 \leq C_1(\alpha_i) C_0^{\alpha_i} r \mathcal{E}_{\mu_i}(f, f). \quad (2.5)$$

By Theorem B.1 (2), for all $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(xy_0)|^2 &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(xs_{i_1}^{m_1} \dots s_{i_p}^{m_p})|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{p}{|G|} \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(xs_{i_j}^{m_j})|^2 \quad (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}) \\
&\leq C_1(\alpha_{i_j}) p \sum_{j=1}^p C_0^{\alpha_{i_j}} r \mathcal{E}_{\mu_{i_j}}(f, f) \quad (\text{by (2.5)}) \\
&\leq p^2 k \max_i (C_1(\alpha_i) C_0^{\alpha_i}) r \mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S, \mathbf{a}}}(f, f) \quad (\text{since } k \mathcal{E}_{\mu_i} \leq \mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S, \mathbf{a}}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can choose $a = kp^2 \max_i (C_1(\alpha_i) C_0^{\alpha_i})$ and apply Proposition B.1 (1) to obtain the theorem. \square

Proof of upper bound of Theorem 1.3. Using the setting of Theorem 2.2 with $r = D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$, for all $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$, we get $\text{Var}_{\pi}(f) \leq a D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S, \mathbf{a}}}(f, f)$. By (2.1), $1 - \beta_1 \leq 1/a D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$. \square

2.2. Lower bound.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\gamma = \frac{1}{12}$ and $o \in G$ where $\|o\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} = D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$. In addition, define*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_+ &= \{x \in G : \|x\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq \gamma D_{S, \mathbf{a}}\} \\
\Omega_- &= \{x \in G : \|o^{-1}x\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq \gamma D_{S, \mathbf{a}}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $g \in \Omega_+$ and $gh \in \Omega_-$, $\|h\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \geq \frac{1}{8} D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$. Thus Ω_+ and Ω_- are disjoint.

Proof. We know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|o\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} &\leq 2(\|o^{-1}g\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} + \|g\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}) \\ \|o^{-1}g\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} &\leq 2(\|o^{-1}gh\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} + \|h\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$D_{S,\mathbf{a}} = \|o\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} \leq 2(2(\gamma D_{S,\mathbf{a}} + \|h\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}) + \gamma D_{S,\mathbf{a}}) = 6\gamma D_{S,\mathbf{a}} + 4\|h\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}.$$

Thus, $\|h\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} \geq D_{S,\mathbf{a}}/8$. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let p_i be the one specified in Definition 1.3 with $\alpha_i > 0$ and positive integer N_i . There exists a constant $C > 0$, depending on α_i so that*

$$\sum_{|t|>a} p_i(t) \leq C \frac{1}{a^{\alpha_i}}.$$

Proof. If $a = 1$, then the sum is less than or equal to $1 = 1/a^{\alpha_i}$. If $a > 1$, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|t|>a} p_i(t) &\leq 2 \int_{\max(2,a)-1}^{\infty} p_i(t) dt \\ &\leq 2 \int_{a/2}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(1+t)^{1+\alpha_i}} \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha_i(1+a/2)^{\alpha_i}} \\ &\leq \frac{2(2^{\alpha_i})}{\alpha_i} \frac{1}{a^{\alpha_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can set $C = 2(2^{\alpha_i})/\alpha_i$. \square

Theorem 2.5 (Similar to Lemma 4.2 of [CKSC⁺18]). *Let $\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ be a long-jump measure on a finite group G that is doubling with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ so that for all with doubling constant $A > 0$. Let $R \leq D_{S,\mathbf{a}}/16$. There exists $\zeta \in \ell^2(\pi)$ and $a(A, \mathbf{a}) > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}}(\zeta, \zeta)}{\|\zeta\|_2^2} \leq \frac{a(A, \mathbf{a})}{R}.$$

Proof. Let A be the doubling constant of G with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}$. We define our test function to be

$$\zeta(g) = \zeta_+(g) - \zeta_-(g),$$

where

$$\zeta_+(g) = (R^{1/\alpha_\star} - \|g\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}^{1/\alpha_\star})_+ \quad (2.6)$$

$$\zeta_-(g) = (R^{1/\alpha_\star} - \|o^{-1}g\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}^{1/\alpha_\star})_+, \quad (2.7)$$

and $\alpha_\star = \min(\mathbf{a})$. Since $R \leq D_{S,\mathbf{a}}/16$, by Lemma 2.3, the support of ζ_+ and ζ_- are disjoint.

First, we give a lower bound for $\|\zeta_+\|_2^2$, which is smaller than $\|\zeta\|_2^2$. We deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\|\zeta_-\|_2^2 &= \|\zeta_+\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (R^{1/\alpha_\star} - \|g\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}^{1/\alpha_\star})_+^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in B(e,R/2)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1/\alpha_\star}}\right)^2 R^{2/\alpha_\star} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|G|} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1/\alpha_\star}}\right)^2 R^{2/\alpha_\star} \#B(e,R/2) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|G|} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1/\alpha_\star}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{A} R^{2/\alpha_\star} \#B(e,R).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\zeta_-\|_2^2 = \|\zeta_+\|_2^2 \geq C_0 R^{2/\alpha_\star} \frac{\#B(e,R)}{|G|}, \quad \text{where } C_0 = \frac{1}{A} (1 - 2^{-1/\alpha_\star})^2. \quad (2.8)$$

Next, we give an upper bound for

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}}(\zeta, \zeta) = 2\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}}(\zeta_+, \zeta_+) - 2\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}}(\zeta_+, \zeta_-).$$

For this bound, we will use the notation from (1.4) to describe $\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}$. Fix $i_0 \in [1, k]$, and let $s_0 = S(i_0)$, $\alpha_0 = \mathbf{a}(i_0)$, $\mu_0 = \mu_{i_0}$ and $p_0 = p_{i_0}$. We will first prove the inequality from the theorem for each i_0 and then take the average of both sides for the final result. For convenience, we will also define $\xi = \zeta_+$.

Keeping this notation in mind, we begin by giving an upperbound for

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}}(\xi, \xi) = \frac{1}{2|G|} \sum_{g,h \in G} |\xi(gh) - \xi(g)|^2 \mu_0(h).$$

Let $\Omega = \{(g, h) \in G \times \langle s_0 \rangle : \xi(gh) + \xi(g) > 0\}$. So we can restrict the sum above to just Ω . For a fixed h , we have that

$$\#\{g \in G : (g, h) \in \Omega\} \leq 2\#B(e, R),$$

where $B(e, R)$ is a ball respect to the quasi-metric $\|\cdot\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}$.

Note that $\mu_0(h)$ is only non-zero when $h \in \langle s_0 \rangle$, so we can write $h = s_0^t$. Thus, we can further break the sum into two parts: (1) when $|t| > \rho$ and (2) when $|t| \leq \rho$, where $\rho = (12R)^{1/\alpha_0}$. For the first sum, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{(g,h) \in \Omega: |t| \geq \rho} |\xi(gh) - \xi(g)|^2 \mu_0(h) &\leq 2(R^{1/\alpha_\star})^2 \#B(e, R) \sum_{|t| \geq \rho} p_0(t) \\ &\leq \frac{4R^{2/\alpha_\star} \#B(e, R)}{\alpha_0 \rho^{\alpha_0}}.\end{aligned}$$

For sum (2), fix $g \in G$ and $h = s_0^m$ for some m , and choose the smallest t in absolute value so that $s_0^t = h$. It will be convenient, for all $g \in G$, to set w_g to be the word that realizes the cost of g , and set $x = \deg_{s_0} w_g$, the number of times either s_0 or s_0^{-1} appears in w_g . To start, we would like to bound the term $|\xi(gh) - \xi(g)|$. Note that we can assume that $\|gh\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} \geq \|g\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}$; otherwise we can set $g_0 = gh$ and $g_0 h^{-1} = g$, and the bound would proceed the same since we make not assumptions about g and $\|h\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} = \|h^{-1}\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}$. This implies that

$$|\xi(gh) - \xi(g)| = (R^{1/\alpha_\star} - \|gh\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}^{1/\alpha_\star})_+ - (R^{1/\alpha_\star} - \|g\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}^{1/\alpha_\star})_+.$$

We will now show that this expression is less than or equal to $\|gh\|_{S,a}^{1/\alpha_\star} - \|g\|_{S,a}^{1/\alpha_\star}$. Since we assume that $\|gh\|_{S,a} \geq \|g\|_{S,a}$, if the second term is zero, then so is the first term. Therefore, three cases remain: (1) if both term are zero, then the inequality hold trivial, (2) if both terms are non-zero, then the two lines are equal, and (3) if the first term is zero, but the second is not, then, $\|gh\|_{S,a} \geq R$, and

$$|\xi(gh) - \xi(g)| = R^{1/\alpha_\star} - \|g\|_{S,a}^{1/\alpha_\star} \leq \|gh\|_{S,a}^{1/\alpha_\star} - \|g\|_{S,a}^{1/\alpha_\star}.$$

We are ready to evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi(gh) - \xi(g)| &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{(\deg_{s_i} w_g)^{\alpha_i/\alpha_\star}, (x + |t|)^{\alpha_0/\alpha_\star}\} - \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{(\deg_{s_i} w_g)^{\alpha_i/\alpha_\star}, x^{\alpha_0/\alpha_\star}\} \\ &\leq (x + |t|)^{\alpha_0/\alpha_\star} - x^{\alpha_0/\alpha_\star}. \end{aligned}$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus, $x \leq (12R)^{1/\alpha_0}$ we have

$$|\xi(gh) - \xi(g)| \leq \int_x^{x+|t|} \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_\star} s^{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_\star}-1} ds \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_\star} \left((12R)^{1/\alpha_0} + \rho \right)^{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_\star}-1} |t| \leq \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_\star} (24R)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_\star}-\frac{1}{\alpha_0}} |t|.$$

Summing over $h = s^t$, where $|t| \leq \rho$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|t| \leq \rho} |\xi(gs^t) - \xi(g)|^2 \mu_0(t) &\leq \frac{\alpha_0^2}{\alpha_\star^2} (24R)^{2/\alpha_\star-2/\alpha_0} \#B(e, R) \sum_{|t| \leq \rho} |t|^2 \mu_0(t) \\ &\leq C_1 R^{2/\alpha_\star-2/\alpha_0} \#B(e, R) \rho^{2-\alpha_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1(\alpha_0) = \alpha_0^2 12^{2/\alpha_\star-2/\alpha_0} \frac{3^{2-\alpha_0}}{2-\alpha_0}$. Putting the two parts together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G| \mathcal{E}_{\mu_{s,a}}(\xi, \xi) &\leq \#B(e, R) R^{2/\alpha_\star} \left(\frac{4}{\alpha_0} \rho^{-\alpha_0} + C_1 R^{-2/\alpha_0} \rho^{2-\alpha_0} \right) \\ &\leq \#B(e, R) R^{2/\alpha_\star} \left(\frac{4}{\alpha_0 12^{\alpha_0}} R^{-1} + C_1 12^{2-\alpha_0} R^{-2/\alpha_0} R^{2/\alpha_0-1} \right) \\ &\leq C_2 \#B(e, R) R^{2/\alpha_\star} R^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_2(\alpha_0) = \frac{4}{\alpha_0 12^{\alpha_0}} + C_1(\alpha_0) 12^{2-\alpha_0}$. By what we have shown,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{s,a}}(\xi, \xi) \leq C_3 \|\xi\|_2^2 R^{-1}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $C_3(\alpha_0) = C_2(\alpha_0) A(1 - 2^{-1/\alpha_\star})^{-2}$.

Finally, we give a lower bound for $\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{s,a}}(\zeta_+, \zeta_-)$, which we will bound individually for a fixed $s_0 \in S$ and its associated α_0 as before. We have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{s,a}}(\zeta_+, \zeta_-) = \frac{1}{2|G|} \sum_{g, h \in G} (\zeta_+(gh) - \zeta_+(g)) (\zeta_-(gh) - \zeta_-(g)) \mu_0(h).$$

Let Ω_+ be the support of ζ_+ and Ω_- be the support of ζ_- . As we chose $R = D_{S,a}/12$, Lemma 2.3 implies that Ω_+ and Ω_- are disjoint. We see that the only non-zero summands are those

where g and gh are in Ω_+ and Ω_- with one in each, in which case $\|h\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} > R$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}}(\zeta_+, \zeta_-) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{g \in \Omega_+ \\ gh \in \Omega_-}} \zeta_+(g)\zeta_-(gh)\mu_0(h) \leq \frac{1}{|G|} R^{2/\alpha_\star} \sum_{\substack{g \in \Omega_+ \\ gh \in \Omega_-}} \mu_0(h) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|G|} R^{2/\alpha_\star} \#B(e, R) \sum_{\|h\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} > R} \mu_0(h) \leq \frac{1}{|G|} R^{2/\alpha_\star} \#B(e, R) \sum_{|t|^{\alpha_0} > R} p_0(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|G|} R^{2/\alpha_\star} \#B(e, R) C_6(\alpha_0) R^{-1} \quad (\text{where } C_6 \text{ is as in Lemma 2.4}) \\ &\leq C_7 \|\zeta\|_2^2 R^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_7 = \max_i 2C_6(\alpha_i)A(1 - 2^{-1/\alpha_\star})^{-2}$. Averaging over all μ_i on both sides, we get that the inequality also holds for $\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}$. \square

Proof of the lower bound of Theorem 1.3. Let G be a finite nilpotent group with nilpotency class ℓ , list of k generating elements S , and $\mathbf{a} \in (0, 2)^k$. This gives a long-jump measure $\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ and cost function $\|\cdot\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}$. By Theorem 1.5, G is doubling with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}$, with doubling constant $A(\ell, k, \mathbf{a})$. From Theorem 2.5, there exists a constant $a(\ell, k, \mathbf{a}) > 0$ and function ζ so that

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}}(\zeta, \zeta)}{\|\zeta\|_2^2} \leq \frac{a(\ell, k, \mathbf{a})}{D_{S,\mathbf{a}}}.$$

By (2.1), $1 - \beta_1 \geq a/D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$. \square

3. VOLUME ESTIMATES

For proving the doubling statement of Theorem 1.5, there are two main ingredients: (1) a result from [SCZ15] that shows doubling with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ for free nilpotent groups and (2) the finite version of Lemma 1.1 from [Gui73] stated below, which allows us to translate doubling from the free nilpotent group to the finite nilpotent group.

Lemma 3.1 (Lemma 1.1 from [Gui73]). *Let G be a finitely-generated countable group acting on a set X , which we will write on the right. Let A and B be finite subsets of G , and Y a subset of X . Then,*

$$|A||YB| \leq |AB||YA^{-1}|.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $\hat{G} = N(\ell, k)$ denote the free nilpotent group of class ℓ generated by S of class ℓ . Let \mathcal{W} be the set words generated by entries of S and $\hat{\rho}$ and ρ be the natural projection maps from \hat{G} and G , respectively, to \mathcal{W} . Using this lifting, we can define a (S, \mathbf{a}) -cost function on \hat{G} , which we will call $\|\cdot\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ to differentiate. We will also use $B_{\hat{G}}$ and B_G to denote balls with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ respectively. Further, there exists $\varphi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ so that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \hat{G} = N(\ell, k) \\ & \nearrow \hat{\rho} & \downarrow \varphi \\ S \xleftarrow{i} \mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & G. \end{array}$$

With this, by the way that the cost function is defined, for all $\hat{g} \in N(\ell, k)$, $\|\hat{g}\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \geq \|\varphi(\hat{g})\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}$.
By Example 1.5 from [SCZ15], there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$

$$c_1 r^{d(\ell, k)} \leq \#B_{\hat{G}}(e, r) \leq c_2 r^{d(\ell, k)} \quad \text{where } d(\ell, k) = \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) k^{m/d} \quad (3.1)$$

and μ is the classical Möbius function. Thus, $N(\ell, k)$ has polynomial growth with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}$.

Next, we apply Lemma 3.1 with the group action of $\hat{g} \in \hat{G}$ on $x \in G$ with $x \cdot \hat{g} = x\varphi(\hat{g})$, and the sets

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \{e_G\} \\ A &= B_{\hat{G}}(e, r) = \{x \in N(\ell, k) : \|x\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq r\} \\ B &= B_{\hat{G}}(e, 2r) = \{x \in N(\ell, k) : \|x\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq 2r\}. \end{aligned}$$

First we show $YA^{-1} = YA = B_G(e, r)$, by showing inclusion both ways. Let $x \in B_G(e, r)$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}$ that realizes the cost of x , i.e., $\|x\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} = \max_i (\deg_{s_i} w)^{\alpha_i}$. Consider $\hat{x} = \hat{\rho}(w)$, which is an element in $N(\ell, k)$ which can be written the same way as x using the entries of S . This implies that $\|\hat{x}\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq r$ and $\hat{x} \in B_{\hat{G}}(e_G, r)$. Thus, $YA \ni e_G \cdot \hat{x} = e_G \varphi(\hat{x}) = x$, and $B_G(e_G, r) \subseteq AY$.

Now let $y \cdot a \in YA$. Let w be the word that realizes cost of a , i.e., $\|a\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} = \max_i (\deg_{s_i} w)^{\alpha_i}$. As in the previous case $\rho(w)$, which is also equal to $\varphi(a) = y \cdot a$, must have cost less than or equal to r . Therefore, $YA \subseteq B_G(e_G, r)$.

Next, we want to show that $AB \subseteq B_{\hat{G}}(e, 6r)$. Let $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Let w_0, w_1 , and w_2 be words that realizes the costs of ab, a and b respectively. Since $\rho(w_1 w_2) = ab$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_i (\deg_{s_i} w_0)^{\alpha_i} &\leq \max_i (\deg_{s_i} w_1 w_2)^{\alpha_i} = \max_i (\deg_{s_i} w_1 + \deg_{s_i} w_2)^{\alpha_i} \\ &\leq \max_i \left(2((\deg_{s_i} w_1)^{\alpha_i} + (\deg_{s_i} w_2)^{\alpha_i}) \right) \quad (\text{since } \alpha_i \leq 2) \\ &\leq 2(\|b\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} + \|a\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}). \end{aligned}$$

So $A \subseteq B_{\hat{G}}(e, 6r)$.

Finally, we can show doubling

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\#B_G(e, 2r)}{\#B_G(e, r)} &= \frac{|YB|}{|YA^{-1}|} \leq \frac{\#AB}{\#A} \quad (\text{By Lemma 3.1}) \\ &\leq \frac{\#B_{\hat{G}}(e, 6r)}{\#B_{\hat{G}}(e, r)} \leq \frac{c_2 (6r)^{d(\ell, k)}}{c_1 r^{d(\ell, k)}} \leq 6^{d(\ell, k)} (c_2/c_1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be a finite nilpotent group with nilpotency class ℓ , $\|\cdot\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ be the cost function of an (S, \mathbf{a}) -long-jump random walk, and $A = A(\ell, k, \mathbf{a})$ is the doubling constant. Fix $0 \leq R \leq D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$. Then,*

$$V(e, r) \geq V(e, R) \left(\frac{r+1}{R+1} \right)^d, \quad \text{where } d = \log_2 A.$$

for all $0 \leq r \leq R$,

Proof. Adapting the proof of Lemma 5.1 in [DSC94], we have that

$$V(e, R) \leq V(e, R+1) \leq 2V(e, \frac{R+1}{2}) \leq \dots \leq A^k V(e, \frac{R+1}{2^k}) \leq A^k V(e, r+1),$$

for $\frac{R+1}{2^k} \leq r+1$. After taking $k = \log_2(\frac{R+1}{r+1})$, we can deduce

$$\frac{V(e, R+1)}{V(e, r+1)} \leq A^{\log_2(\frac{R+1}{r+1})} = \left(\frac{r+1}{R+1}\right)^d. \quad \square$$

To conclude this section, we show that $\|\cdot\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ also satisfies a “reverse doubling” property, i.e. a lower bound of $V(e, R)/V(e, r)$ by a quantity that is a polynomial of R/r .

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a finite group, $\|\cdot\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ be the cost function of an (S, \mathbf{a}) -long-jump random walk, and $A = A(\ell, k, \mathbf{a})$ is the doubling constant. Let $1 \leq R < D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $R/4 \leq \|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq R$.*

Proof. If $1 \leq R \leq 4$, then $s \in B(e, R)$ and $R/4 \leq \|s\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq R$. Fix $4 \leq R < D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$. Since R is strictly smaller than $D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$, $G \setminus B(e, R)$ is non-empty and there exists $g \in B(e, R)$ such that $gs \in G \setminus B(e, R)$ where s is an entry in S . Therefore, $R < \|gs\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq 2(\|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} + \|s\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}) \leq 2(\|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} + 1)$, and $\|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} > R/4$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $1 \leq R \leq D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$,*

$$\frac{V(e, R)}{V(e, r)} \geq 2, \quad \text{where } r = \delta R.$$

In particular, one can set $\delta = 1/2^7$.

Proof. Let $\delta = 1/2^7$ and $r = \delta R$. If $1 \leq R \leq 4$, there are no elements with cost in $(0, 1)$, so $\#B(e, r) = 1$ and $\#B(e, R) \geq 2$.

Now, we assume that $4 \leq R \leq D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$. By Lemma 3.3, there exists $o \in B(e, R/4)$, such that $2^3 r = R/2^4 \leq \|o\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq R/2^2$. We will show that

- (1) $B(e, r) \cap B(o, r) = \emptyset$
- (2) $B(e, r) \cup B(o, r) \subseteq B(e, R)$.

This would show that there are two disjoint balls of radius r in $B(e, R)$, which is our desired result.

To show (1), suppose there exists $g \in B(o, r) \cap B(e, r)$. By definition, we know that $\|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq r$ and $\|o^{-1}g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq r$. This implies that $2^3 r \leq \|o\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq 2(\|o^{-1}g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} + \|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}) \leq 2^2 r$, which is a contradiction.

For (2), the fact that $B(e, r) \subseteq B(e, R)$ is clear. If $g \in B(o, r)$, then $\|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq 2(\|o\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} + \|o^{-1}g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}) \leq 2(R/4 + R/2^7) \leq R$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *For all $1 \leq r \leq R \leq D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$,*

$$\frac{V(e, R)}{V(e, r)} \geq 2^{1/7} (R/r).$$

4. ESTIMATES ON MIXING AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

Theorem 4.1. *Let K, π be an (S, \mathbf{a}) -long-jump random walk on a finite group G with nilpotency ℓ . There exists $a_1, a_2, c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for all $n > 0$*

$$\frac{c_1}{V(e, n)^{1/2}} \exp(-n/a_1 D_{S, \mathbf{a}}) \leq \|k_e^n - 1\|_2 \leq \frac{c_2}{V(e, n)^{1/2}} \exp(-n/a_2 D_{S, \mathbf{a}}).$$

Proof. For the upper bound, first let $n = n_1 + n_2$ where $n_1 = \min(\lfloor D_{S,\mathbf{a}} \rfloor, n)$. Fix a positive integer $R \leq D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$. By Theorem 2.2 (pseudo-Poincaré inequality) and Theorem 3.2 (volume growth), there exists positive real numbers $d = d(\ell, k, \mathbf{a}) \geq 1$ and $a = a(\ell, k, \mathbf{a}) \geq 1$, for all $0 \leq r \leq R$ and $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$,

$$V(e, r) \geq V(e, R) \left(\frac{r+1}{R+1} \right)^d \quad \text{and} \quad \|f - f_r\|_2^2 \leq ar \mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}}(f, f). \quad (4.1)$$

Observing that the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [DSC96] works for quasi-norms, not just the the word length metric, so the Nash inequality applies. Using Remark 5.4 (2) in [DSC96] with $\alpha = 1$ and $M = (R+1)^d/V(e, R)$, we know that for all $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$,

$$\|f\|_2^{2+2/d} \leq C \left(\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}}(f, f) + \frac{1}{aR^2} \|f\|_2^2 \right) \|f\|_1^{2/d},$$

where $C = (1 + 1/(2d))^2 (1 + 2d)^{1/d} (R+1) (V(e, R))^{-1/d} a$. By Corollary 3.1 of [DSC96], with $R = n_1$,

$$\|K^{n_1}\|_{2 \rightarrow \infty} \leq \frac{c_3}{V(e, n_1)^{1/2}},$$

where $c_3 = 2\sqrt{2}(2^{d/2})(1 + \lceil 2d \rceil)^{2d} (1 + 1/(2d))^d (1 + 2d)^{1/2} a^{d/2}$.

We have

$$\max_x \|(K_x^n/\pi) - 1\|_2 = \|K^n - 1\|_{2 \rightarrow \infty} \leq \|K^{n_1}\|_{2 \rightarrow \infty} \|K^{n_2} - \pi\|_{2 \rightarrow 2}.$$

From Theorem 1.3 and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \|K^{n_2} - \pi\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} &\leq (1 - \min(2\alpha_*, 1/aD_{S,\mathbf{a}}))^{n_2} \quad (\text{where } \alpha_* = \min_{\alpha \in \mathbf{a}} \frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)}) \\ &\leq (1 - 2\alpha_*/aD_{S,\mathbf{a}})^{n_2} \quad (\text{since } 2\alpha_* \leq 1 \text{ and } aD_{S,\mathbf{a}} \geq 1) \\ &\leq \exp(-2\alpha_* n_2/aD_{S,\mathbf{a}}). \end{aligned}$$

We can further compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_e^n - 1\|_2 &\leq \|K^{n_1}\|_{2 \rightarrow \infty} \|K^{n_2} - \pi\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq \frac{c_3}{V(e, n_1)^{1/2}} \exp(-2\alpha_* n_2/aD_{S,\mathbf{a}}) \\ &\leq \frac{c_2}{V(e, n_1)^{1/2}} \exp(-n/a_2 D_{S,\mathbf{a}}) \quad (\text{where } c_2 = e^{1/a} c_3 \text{ and } a_2 = a/(2\alpha_*)). \end{aligned}$$

For the lower bound, we use an argument that can be found in the proof of Proposition 2.3 in [CG97] and Lemma 3.1 in [SCZ16]. We have that $\|k_e^n - 1\|_2 \geq 2\|\mu_{S,\mathbf{a}}^{(n)} - \pi\|_{TV} \geq \beta_1^n$. From Theorem 1.3, we know that there exists $a > 0$ such that $\beta_1 \geq 1 - a/D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$. We also have the bound $\beta_1 \geq 1 - \frac{1}{8}\alpha_*$ by using test function $\mathbb{1}_e$ in (2.1). Let $c = \min(a/D_{S,\mathbf{a}}, \alpha_*/8)$, and we compute further

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_e^n - 1\|_2 &\geq (1 - c)^n \geq e^{-2cn} \quad (\text{since } 0 \leq c \leq 1/2) \\ &\geq e^{-2an/D_{S,\mathbf{a}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $U = B(e, n)$ and $K_U(x, y) = 0$ when x or y are in U and $K_U(x, y) = K(x, y)$ otherwise. Let β_U is the largest eigenvalue of K_U and φ_U be its associated eigenvector. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|k_e^n\|_2 &= \|K^n\|_{1 \rightarrow 2} = \max_{f \neq 0} \left(\frac{\|K^n f\|_2}{\|f\|_1} \right) \\
&= \max_{f \neq 0} \left(\frac{\|K^n f\|_2}{\|K^{n-1} f\|_2} \cdots \frac{\|K f\|_2}{\|f\|_2} \frac{\|f\|_2}{\|f\|_1} \right) \\
&\geq \max_{f \neq 0} \left(\left(\frac{\|K f\|_2}{\|f\|_2} \right)^{n-1} \frac{\|f\|_2}{\|f\|_1} \right) \quad (\text{since } n \mapsto \frac{\|K^n f\|_2}{\|K^{(n-1)} f\|_2} \text{ is decreasing}) \\
&\geq \max_{\substack{f \neq 0 \\ \text{supp}(f) \subseteq U}} \left(\frac{\|K f\|_2}{\|f\|_2} \right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{V(e, n)^{1/2}} \quad (\text{by Cauchy-Schwarz and } U = B(e, n)) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{\|K \varphi_U\|_2}{\|\varphi_U\|_2} \right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{V(e, n)^{1/2}} \\
&\geq \left(\frac{\|K_U \varphi_U\|_2}{\|\varphi_U\|_2} \right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{V(e, n)^{1/2}} \quad (\varphi_U \text{ is positive}) \\
&= \beta_U^{n-1} \frac{1}{V(e, n)^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let ζ_+ be the function from (2.6) with $R = n$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_U &= \max_{\substack{f \neq 0 \\ \|f\|_2=1}} \|K_U f\|_2 = \max_{\substack{f \neq 0 \\ \|f\|_2=1}} \langle K f, f \rangle_\pi = 1 - \min_{\substack{f \neq 0 \\ \|f\|_2=1}} \mathcal{E}_{\mu_U}(f, f) \\
&\geq 1 - \min \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S, a}}(\mathbb{1}_e, \mathbb{1}_e)}{\|\mathbb{1}_e\|^2}, \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S, a}}(\zeta_+, \zeta_+)}{\|\zeta_+\|^2} \right\} \\
&\geq 1 - \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha_*}{8}, \frac{a}{n} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting our lower bound of $\|k_e^n\|_2$ and β_U , we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\|k_e^n\|_2 &\geq \left(1 - \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha_*}{8}, \frac{a}{n} \right\} \right)^n \frac{1}{V(e, n)^{1/2}} \\
&\geq \exp \left(- \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha_*}{4}, \frac{2a}{n} \right\} n \right) \frac{1}{V(e, n)^{1/2}} \\
&\geq e^{-2a} \frac{1}{V(e, n)^{1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On one hand, if $V(e, n) \leq e^{-4a}/4$, then $n \leq D_{S, a}$. When $0 \leq n \leq D_{S, a}$, the exponential term is roughly constant:

$$e^{-2a} \leq \exp(-2an/D_{S, a}) \leq 1.$$

It then follows that

$$\|k_e^n - 1\|_2 \geq \|k_e^n\| - 1 \geq \frac{e^{-2a}}{V(e, n)^{1/2}} - 1 \geq \frac{e^{-2a}}{2V(e, n)^{1/2}} \geq \frac{e^{-4a}}{2V(e, n)^{1/2}} \exp(-2an/D_{S, a}).$$

On the other hand, if $V(e, n) \geq e^{-4a}/4$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_e^n - 1\|_2 &\geq \min\{1, \exp(-2an/D_{S,\mathbf{a}})\} \\ &\geq \min\left\{\frac{e^{-2a}}{2V(e, n)^{1/2}}, \exp(-2an/D_{S,\mathbf{a}})\right\} \\ &\geq \frac{e^{-2a}}{2V(e, n)^{1/2}} \exp(-2an/D_{S,\mathbf{a}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the lower bound is true for $c_1 = \exp(-4a)/2$ and $a_1 = 1/2a$. \square

The proof for continuous time is similar and we have

Theorem 4.2. *Let H, π be a continuous time (S, \mathbf{a}) -long-jump random walk on a finite group G with nilpotency ℓ . Then, there exists $a_1, a_2, c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$*

$$\frac{c_1}{V(e, t)^{1/2}} \exp(-t/a_1 D_{S,\mathbf{a}}) \leq \|h_t^e - 1\|_2 \leq \frac{c_2}{V(e, t)^{1/2}} \exp(-t/a_2 D_{S,\mathbf{a}}).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.6. From Theorem 4.1 and its proof, we have that there exists $a_1, a_2 > 0$ such that for all $n > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \exp(-n/a_1 D_{S,\mathbf{a}}) \leq \max_{x \in G} \|K^n(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{TV} \leq \exp(-n/a_2 D_{S,\mathbf{a}}).$$

It follows that

$$a_1(\log 2)D_{S,\mathbf{a}} \leq t_{mix} \leq a_2(\log 4)D_{S,\mathbf{a}}. \quad \square$$

5. ON COMPUTING THE DIAMETER

As one would expect, computing $D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ for arbitrary groups, S , and \mathbf{a} is a difficult problem in general. More surprisingly, even just on the cyclic group, computing $D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ is still quite nuanced. In Section C, we give an exact formula for $D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ when the $S = (1, s)$ and arbitrary \mathbf{a} and use those results in our examples. We start with a remark about the relationship between $D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ and the diameter of the Cayley graph.

Remark 5.1. Let G be a finite group, and $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$ be a k -tuple whose elements generate G . Recall from the introduction, \mathcal{W} is the set of words generated by an alphabet $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{s}_1^{\pm 1}, \mathbf{s}_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, \mathbf{s}_k^{\pm 1}\}$ generated from S . We define the following quantity, which is comparable to the diameter of the Cayley graph.

$$D_{\mathcal{S}} = \max_{g \in G} \left(\min_{w \in \mathcal{W}: g = \rho(w)} \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} |\deg_{\mathbf{s}_i}(w)| \right).$$

We remark on the follow facts:

- (1) if $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha, \dots, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, then $D_{S,\mathbf{a}} = D_{\mathcal{S}}^\alpha$, and
- (2) if $c > 0$ and \mathbf{a} such that \mathbf{a} and $c\mathbf{a} \in (0, 2)^k$, then $D_{S,\mathbf{a}}^c = D_{S,c\mathbf{a}}$.

Now we are ready to present three examples in the vein of the example in the introduction, Example 1.2. We will compute $D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ for $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ where \mathbf{a}, N are fixed and $S = (1, s)$ for various s of the same order. See Appendix C for detailed computations.

Example 5.2. Let t be a positive integer larger than 5 and

$$N = t(t^2 + 1)(t^2 + 2).$$

We want to find the $D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ for $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha, 1)$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, and $S = (1, s)$, where $s = (t^2 + 1)(t^2 + 2)$. By Theorem C.1,

$$D_{S,\mathbf{a}} \asymp \min\{N^\alpha, \max\{N^{4\alpha/5}, N^{1/5}\}\}.$$

Breaking this into cases, we have

$$D_{S,\mathbf{a}} \asymp \begin{cases} N^\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1/5) \\ N^{1/5} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1/5, 1/4) \\ N^{4\alpha/5} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1/4, 2) \end{cases}.$$

For this example, s is of order $N^{4/5}$ where s divides N , which is exactly the same in Example 1.2 from the introduction. This is in fact the reason why $D_{S',\mathbf{a}}$ from this example and $D_{S,\mathbf{a}}$ from Example 1.2 have the same asymptotic behavior.

Example 5.3. Next, we still have $t > 5$, $N = t(t^2 + 1)(t^2 + 2)$, $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, and $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha, 1)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. For this example, set $S' = (1, s')$, with $s' = t^2(t^2 + 2)$ and we will compute $D_{S',\mathbf{a}}$. As in the previous example, $s' \asymp N^{4/5}$, but s' doesn't quite divide N . Dividing N by s' using the Euclidean algorithm, we get

$$\begin{aligned} N &= ts' + r & (\text{where } r = t^3 + 2t) \\ s' &= tr. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem C.1, we have

$$D_{S',\mathbf{a}} \asymp \min\{N^\alpha, \max\{N^{4\alpha/5}, N^{1/5}\}, \max\{N^{3\alpha/5}, N^{2/5}\}\},$$

which gives us what we got in Example 5.2 with two more cases

$$D_{S',\mathbf{a}} \asymp \begin{cases} N^\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1/5) \\ N^{1/5} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1/5, 1/4) \\ N^{4\alpha/5} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1/4, 1/2) \\ N^{2/5} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1/2, 2/3) \\ N^{3\alpha/5} & \text{if } \alpha \in [2/3, 2) \end{cases}.$$

Example 5.4. Again, we let $t > 5$, $N = t(t^2 + 1)(t^2 + 2)$, $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha, 1)$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. We choose $S'' = (1, s'')$, with $s'' = (t^2 + 1)^2$, which does not divide N “even more” than in the previous example. Specifically, dividing N by s'' using the Euclidean algorithm terminates in three steps instead of two:

$$\begin{aligned} N &= ts' + t^3 + t & (\text{where } r_1 = t(t^2 + 1)) \\ s'' &= tr_1 + r_2 & (\text{where } r_2 = t^2 + 1) \\ r_1 &= tr_2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem C.1, we get

$$D_{S'',\mathbf{a}} \asymp \min\{N^\alpha, \max\{N^{4\alpha/5}, N^{1/5}\}, \max\{N^{3\alpha/5}, N^{2/5}\}, \max\{N^{2\alpha/5}, N^{3/5}\}\},$$

and $D_{S'', \mathbf{a}}$

$$D_{S'', \mathbf{a}} \asymp \begin{cases} N^\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1/5) \\ N^{1/5} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1/5, 1/4) \\ N^{4\alpha/5} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1/4, 1/2) \\ N^{2/5} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1/2, 2/3) \\ N^{3\alpha/5} & \text{if } \alpha \in [2/3, 1) \\ N^{3/5} & \text{if } \alpha \in [1, 3/2) \\ N^{2\alpha/5} & \text{if } \alpha \in [3/2, 2) \end{cases} .$$

Next we give $D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ for a non-abelian group for different sets of generators.

Example 5.5. Let $G = H_3(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ be the group of upper triangular matrices in $M_{3 \times 3}(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ with 1's on the diagonal. Let g be a element of $H_3(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, which we will write of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.1)$$

Let

$$s_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad s_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad s_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider $D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ with $S = (s_1, s_2, s_3)$ and $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$, where each $\alpha_i \in (0, 2)$. Then,

$$\|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \asymp \max \left\{ |x|^{\alpha_1}, |y|^{\alpha_2}, \min \left\{ |z|^{\alpha_3}, |z|^{\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}} \right\} \right\}. \quad (5.2)$$

Therefore, $D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \asymp N^{\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}}$.

If we add the possibility of choosing t in the direction of s_1 , this decreases the cost of elements in both the s_1 and s_3 direction.

Example 5.6. Fix $t > 0$, and $N = t^2$. Let $G = H_3(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, $S = (s_1, s'_1, s_2, s_3)$, $s'_1 = s_1^t$, and $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. Let $g = s_3^{m_3} s_2^{m_2} s_1^{m_1}$. Define $x(m)$ and $y(m)$ so that $m = y(m)t + x(m)$ where $|x(m)| \leq t/2$, and therefore, $|y(m)| \leq t$, Then

$$\|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \asymp \max \left\{ \max\{|x(m_1)|, |y(m_1)|\}^{\alpha_1}, |m_2|^{\alpha_2}, \min \left\{ |m_3|^{\alpha_3}, \max\{|x(m_3)|, |y(m_3)|\}^{\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}} \right\} \right\}.$$

Therefore, $D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \asymp N^{\max\{\frac{\alpha_1}{2}, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}\}}$.

6. GENERALIZING RESULTS $\mathbf{a} \in (0, \infty)^k$.

In this section, we briefly outline how to generalize the main results paper (Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.6, and Theorem 4.1) when $\mathbf{a} \in (0, \infty)^k$.

Definition 6.1. For any $\alpha > 0$, define a function $\Phi_\alpha : \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$\Phi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 2) \\ |x|^2 / \log|x| & \text{if } \alpha = 2 \\ |x|^2 & \text{if } \alpha > 2 \end{cases} . \quad (6.1)$$

The cost fuction from (1.6) can be redefined as follows:

Definition 6.2.

$$\|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} = \min_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W}: \\ \rho(w)=g}} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left(\Phi_{\alpha_i}(\deg_{\mathbf{s}_i}(w)) \right) \right), \quad (6.2)$$

where \mathcal{W} is the set of words generated by the alphabet $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathbf{s}_1^\pm, \dots, \mathbf{s}_k^\pm\}$, and ρ is the canonical projection from \mathcal{W} to G .

Then define we can redefine the (S, \mathbf{a}) -diameter as

$$D_{S, \mathbf{a}} = \max_{g \in G} \|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}}.$$

To extend Theorem 1.3, we first extend Theorem 2.2, the upper bound of the spectral gap. Since the Dirichlet form is linear in the measure driving the random walk, it suffices to extend the pseudo-Poincaré inequality on subgroups generated by single elements, i.e. just cyclic groups. Thus, it suffices to generalize Theorem B.4

Theorem 6.3. Fix $N > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, and $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Then, there exists $C(\alpha) > 0$ so that for all $r > 0$, $\Phi_\alpha(y) \leq r$ and $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} |f(x) - f(x+y)|^2 \leq C(\alpha) \Phi_\alpha(y) \mathcal{E}_{p_{N, \alpha}}(f, f), \quad (6.3)$$

where

$$p_{N, \alpha}(x) = \frac{c}{(1+|x|)^{1+\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad c^{-1} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(1+|j|)^{1+\alpha}}.$$

This can be proven in two cases using standard techniques: (1) for $\alpha = 2$, one can write $p_{N, \alpha}$ as a linear combination of a uniform measures on $[-R, -R]$ for $0 \leq R \leq n/2$, and complete the proof as in Proposition A.4 in [SCZ16], (2) for $\alpha > 2$, one can compare $\mathcal{E}_{p_{N, \alpha}}$ to \mathcal{E}_p , where p is the measure that drives lazy simple random walks on $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. For the upper bound, Theorem 2.5 can be extended by carefully replacing instances of the function $x \mapsto x^\alpha$ with Φ_α and instances of the function $x \mapsto x^{1/\alpha}$ with Φ_α^{-1} . The rest of the argument for Theorem 1.6 goes through unchanged.

Example 6.4. Fix $t > 0$, and let $N = t^2$, $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, $S = (1, t)$, and $\mathbf{a} = (1, 2)$. For each $g \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, we can write $g = x_1 + x_2 t$ so that $|x_1|$ and $|x_2|$ are strictly less than t . Then,

$$\|g\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} = \|x_1 + x_2 t\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \asymp \max \left\{ |x_1|, \frac{|x_2|^2}{\log|x_2|} \right\}.$$

APPENDIX A. A NOTE ON THE DEFINITION OF $\mu_{S,a}$

In this section, we prove that the intuitive definition outlined in the second paragraph of the introduction and the formal one given in Definition 1.1 are comparable.

Lemma A.1. *Let N be a positive integer, α be a positive real number, and*

$$c_{N,\alpha} = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(1+|j|)^{1+\alpha}} \right)^{-1},$$

the normalization constant from Definition 1.1. Then, there exists $C, c > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)} \leq c_{N,\alpha} \leq 1.$$

Proof. Using integrals, we have all $N \geq 1$,

$$c_{N,\alpha}^{-1} \leq 2 \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N/2} \frac{1}{(1+k)^{1+\alpha}} \right) \leq 2 \left(1 + \int_0^{N/2} \frac{1}{(1+s)^{1+\alpha}} ds \right) \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right).$$

And since the sum in the definition of $c_{N,\alpha}^{-1}$ is always ≥ 1 , $c_{N,\alpha} \leq 1$. Thus,

$$\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)} \leq c_{N,\alpha} \leq 1.$$

□

Fix $N > 0$, $\alpha > 0$. We then define two measures on $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$:

$$p(g) = \frac{c_{N,\alpha}}{(1+|g|)^{1+\alpha}}, \quad \text{where } c_{N,\alpha}^{-1} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(1+|j|)^{1+\alpha}}, \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{p}(g) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{c_\alpha}{(1+|g+Nj|)^{1+\alpha}}, \quad \text{where } c_\alpha^{-1} = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{c_\alpha}{(1+|g+Nj|)^{1+\alpha}} \right).$$

Lemma A.2. *For all $\alpha > 0$, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$, such that for all positive integer N and $k \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$c_1 p(k) \leq \tilde{p}(k) \leq c_2 p(k).$$

Note that c_1 and c_2 depends only on α .

Proof. For $k \in [0, N/2]$, the ratio of the two terms we are comparing is,

$$\frac{\tilde{p}(k)}{p(k)} = \frac{c_{N,\alpha}}{c_\alpha} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(1+k)^{1+\alpha}}{(1+|k+Nj|)^{1+\alpha}} = \frac{c_{N,\alpha}}{c_\alpha} \left(1 + \sum_{j \neq 0} \frac{(1+k)^{1+\alpha}}{(1+|k+Nj|)^{1+\alpha}} \right)$$

Let C be the sum in the last equality above. Since all the terms in the sum are positive, we have zero as a lower bound for C , and using our bound from Lemma A.1, can set $c_1 = \alpha/2c_\alpha(1+\alpha)$. Define

$$A_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+j)^{1+\alpha}}.$$

When $k = 0$, $C \leq 2A_\alpha$. When $k \neq 0$, we have that, for $j > 0$,

$$\frac{1+k}{1+k+jN} = \frac{1}{1+j\frac{N}{k+1}} \leq \frac{2}{1+j},$$

where the last inequality is because $k+1 \leq 2N$. When $j < 0$, we have

$$\frac{1+k}{1-jN+k} \leq \frac{1}{1+|j|\frac{N}{k+1}} \leq \frac{2}{1+|j|}.$$

Then,

$$C \leq \sum_{j \neq 0} \left(\frac{2}{1+|j|} \right)^{1+\alpha} \leq 2^{2+\alpha} A_\alpha.$$

Thus, we can set $c_2 = (1 + 2^{2+\alpha})A_\alpha/c_\alpha$. \square

In conclusion, since the two measures are comparable on cycles, they are also comparable after taking the average among many cycles.

APPENDIX B. DIRICHLET FORM ESTIMATES

In this section, we establish various estimates on the Dirichlet form. We will also let π always be the uniform distribution for simplicity of proof, but all theorems can be made to work for arbitrary distributions.

Proposition B.1. *Let G be a finite group, $\|\cdot\|$ a quasi-norm on G , μ a probability measure on G , and π be the uniform distribution.*

(1) *Suppose that there exists a function $a(r) \geq 0$, such that for all $r \geq 0$, $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$, $y \in B(0, r)$,*

$$\sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(xy)|^2 \pi(x) \leq a(r) \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f).$$

Then for all $r \geq 0$, $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$,

$$\|f - f_r\|_2^2 \leq a(r) \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f).$$

(2) *Fix $s \in G$ and n to be the order of s in G . Let μ is a probability distribution on G of the form*

$$\mu(g) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{1}_{s^j}(g) p(j),$$

where p is a probability distribution on $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Let also that $\|\cdot\|$ be a quasi-norm of the form

$$\|g\| = \begin{cases} \|m\|_0 & \text{if } g = s^m \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_0$ is a quasi-norm on $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$.

Suppose that there exists a real-valued function $a(r) \geq 0$ such that for all $r \geq 0$, $f : \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $y \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ where $\|y\|_0 \leq r$,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} |f(x) - f(x+y)|^2 \leq a(r) \mathcal{E}_p(f, f).$$

Then we have that for all $r \geq 0$, $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $y \in G$ where $\|y\| \leq r$ then

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(xy)|^2 \leq a(r) \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f).$$

(3) Let $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex combination of probability measures μ_i : $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \mu_i$. Then for any $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$, then

$$\mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f) = c_1 \mathcal{E}_{\mu_1}(f, f) + \cdots + c_k \mathcal{E}_{\mu_k}(f, f).$$

Proof. (1) For all $r \geq 0$ and $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_r\|_2^2 &\leq \sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f_r(x)|^2 \pi(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in G} \left| \frac{1}{V(e, r)} \sum_{y \in B(e, r)} (f(x) - f(xy)) \pi(xy) \right|^2 \pi(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in G} \left| \sum_{y \in B(e, r)} (f(x) - f(xy)) \frac{1}{\#B(e, r)} \right|^2 \pi(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in G} \frac{1}{V(e, r)} \sum_{y \in B(e, r)} |f(x) - f(xy)|^2 \pi(x) \quad (\text{by Jensen's inequality}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\#B(e, r)} \sum_{y \in B(e, r)} a(r) \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f) \quad (\text{by assumption}) \\ &= a(r) \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Fix $r > 0$, $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $y_0 \in G$ such that $\|y_0\| \leq r$. By the definition of $\|\cdot\|$, y_0 is of the form s^m where $\|m\|_0 \leq r$. We denote the cosets of $\langle s \rangle \leq G$ as $[x_j \langle s \rangle]$ where the x_j 's are fixed representatives of the cosets. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x, y \in G} |f(x) - f(xy)|^2 \mu(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x, y \in G} |f(x) - f(xy)|^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{1}_{s^j}(y) p(j) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} |f(x) - f(xs^j)|^2 p(j). \end{aligned}$$

For each x there is a unique representation as a product of one of the x_j 's and an element in $\langle s \rangle$. So we have

$$\mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f) = \frac{N}{|G|} \sum_{j=1}^{|G|/N} \sum_{\ell, \ell' \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} |f(x_j s^\ell) - f(x_j s^{\ell+\ell'})|^2 p(\ell) \frac{1}{N}.$$

Then define $f_j : \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to map $\ell \mapsto f(x_j s^\ell)$, and we have

$$\mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f) = \frac{N}{|G|} \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathbb{G}/N|} \mathcal{E}_p(f_j, f_j). \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(xs^m)|^2 &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathbb{G}/N|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} |f(x_j s^\ell) - f(x_j s^{\ell+m})|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathbb{G}/N|} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} |f_j(\ell) - f_j(\ell+m)|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{N}{|G|} a(r) \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathbb{G}/N|} \mathcal{E}_\mu(f_j, f_j) \quad (\text{by assumption}) \\ &= a(r) \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f) \quad (\text{by (B.1)}). \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f) &= \sum_{x, y} |f(x) - f(xy)|^2 \mu(y) \pi(x) \\ &= \sum_{x, y} |f(x) - f(xy)|^2 (c_1 \mu_1(y) + \dots + c_k \mu_k(y)) \pi(x) \\ &= c_1 \sum_{x, y} |f(x) - f(xy)|^2 \mu_1(y) \pi(x) + \dots + c_k \sum_{x, y} |f(x) - f(xy)|^2 \mu_k(y) \pi(x) \\ &= c_1 \mathcal{E}_{\mu_1}(f, f) + \dots + c_k \mathcal{E}_{\mu_k}(f, f) \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition B.2. Define p a symmetric distribution on $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ to satisfy *regularity condition (A)* if there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for all $k \in [0, N/2]$

$$\min_{I_k} p \geq C_p \max_{I_k} p,$$

where $I_k = [\lfloor k/9 \rfloor, k]$. Since p is symmetric the inequality remains true for $k \in [-N/2, 0]$ with $I_k = [k, \lceil k/9 \rceil]$.

Lemma B.3. Let $N \geq 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. The probability distribution $p_{N, \alpha} : \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where

$$p_{N, \alpha}(x) = \frac{c_{N, \alpha}}{(1 + |x|)^{1+\alpha}}, \quad \text{and } c_{N, \alpha}^{-1} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(1 + |j|)^{1+\alpha}}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

satisfies *regularity condition (A)* where the constant $C_{p_{N, \alpha}}$ depends only on α , and not N .

Proof. First, we give estimates for $c_{N, \alpha}$. Using integrals, we have all $N \geq 1$,

$$c_{N, \alpha}^{-1} \leq 2 \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N/2} \frac{1}{(1+k)^{1+\alpha}} \right) \leq 2 \left(1 + \int_0^{N/2} \frac{1}{(1+s)^{1+\alpha}} ds \right) \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right).$$

And since the sum in the definition of $c_{N,\alpha}^{-1}$ is always ≥ 1 , $c_{N,\alpha} \leq 1$. Thus,

$$\frac{\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)} \leq c_{N,\alpha} \leq 1.$$

Let $k \in [0, N/2]$. In the trivial case, when $k \in [0, 8]$, I_k only includes 0, so we have $\min_{I_k} p = \max_{I_k} p_{N,\alpha} = c_{N,\alpha}$.

In the nontrivial case, when $k \geq 9$, we have $\lfloor k/9 \rfloor \geq \max(1, k/9 - 1)$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{I_k} p_{N,\alpha} &= c(1 + \lfloor k/9 \rfloor)^{-(1+\alpha)} \leq (1 + \max\{1, k/9 - 1\})^{-(1+\alpha)} \\ &\leq 2^{1+\alpha}(2 + 1 + k/9 - 1)^{-(1+\alpha)} \leq 18^{1+\alpha}(18 + k)^{-(1+\alpha)} \\ &\leq 18^{1+\alpha}(1 + k)^{-(1+\alpha)} \leq 18^{1+\alpha} \min_{I_k} p_{N,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $C_{p_{N,\alpha}}$ can be set to $18^{-1-\alpha}$. \square

Next we show that the pseudo-Poincaré inequality holds for $p_{N,\alpha}$, as defined in (B.2), on the cyclic group.

Theorem B.4. Fix $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $n > 0$. Then there exists $C(\alpha)$ so that for all $r > 0$, $|y|^\alpha < r$ and $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} |f(x) - f(x+y)|^2 \leq C(\alpha) |y|^\alpha \mathcal{E}_{p_{N,\alpha}}(f, f). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Proof. The statement is trivially true when $y = 0$. And for $y \neq 0$, we first define

$$I_0 = \begin{cases} [\lfloor y/4 \rfloor, y/2] & \text{if } y \geq 0 \\ [y/2, \lceil y/4 \rceil] & \text{if } y < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Note that I_0 is always non-empty, since if $|y| \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then $0 \in I_0$. For all other y 's, $\lfloor y/4 \rfloor$ and $\lceil y/2 \rceil$ are at least one apart. Then, first multiplying the left hand side of (B.3) by $p_{N,\alpha}(y)$, and we create two sums A and B :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(x+y)|^2 p_{N,\alpha}(y) &\leq \frac{1}{|I_0|} \left(\underbrace{\sum_{z \in I_0} \sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(x+z)|^2 p_{N,\alpha}(y)}_A \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \underbrace{\sum_{z \in I_0} \sum_{x \in G} |f(x+z) - f(x+y)|^2 p_{N,\alpha}(y)}_B \right). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$I_y = \begin{cases} [\lfloor y/9 \rfloor, y] & \text{if } y \geq 0 \\ [y, \lceil y/9 \rceil] & \text{if } y < 0 \end{cases}.$$

By the regularity property (Definition B.2) of $p_{N,\alpha}$ and the fact that $p_{N,\alpha}$ is symmetric and $I_0 \subseteq I_y$, we have

$$p_{N,\alpha}(y) \leq \frac{1}{C_{p_{N,\alpha}}} p_{N,\alpha}(z) \quad \text{for all } y \in I_0$$

Thus,

$$A \leq \frac{1}{C_{p_{N,\alpha}}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{z \in I_0} |f(x) - f(x+z)|^2 p_{N,\alpha}(z) \leq \frac{N}{C_{p_{N,\alpha}}} \mathcal{E}_{p_{N,\alpha}}(f, f).$$

Moreover, since $z \in I_0$, we have

$$y - z \in \begin{cases} [\lfloor y/4 \rfloor, y/2] & \text{if } y \geq 0 \\ [y/2, \lceil y/4 \rceil] & \text{if } y < 0 \end{cases}.$$

So

$$p_{N,\alpha}(y) \leq \frac{1}{C_{p_{N,\alpha}}} p_{N,\alpha}(y - z),$$

and

$$B \leq \frac{1}{C_{p_{N,\alpha}}} \sum_{z \in I_0} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} |f(x+z) - f(x+y)|^2 p_{N,\alpha}(y - z) \leq \frac{N}{C_{p_{N,\alpha}}} \mathcal{E}_{p_{N,\alpha}}(f, f).$$

Then combining what we computed, we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} |f(x) - f(x+y)|^2 \leq \frac{2}{C_{p_{N,\alpha}} p_{N,\alpha}(y) \#I_0} \mathcal{E}_{p_{N,\alpha}}(f, f) \leq \frac{4|y|^{1+\alpha}}{\#I_0 c_{N,\alpha} C_{p_{N,\alpha}}} \mathcal{E}_{p_{N,\alpha}}(f, f).$$

where the last inequality is by:

$$\begin{cases} (1 + |y|)^{1+\alpha} \leq 2^{1-\alpha} |y|^{1+\alpha} & \text{if } y \neq 0 \\ (1 + |y|)^{1+\alpha} \leq |y|^{1+\alpha} & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then to count the number of elements in I_0 , we see that when $|y| = 1$, I_0 has one element; when $|y| = 2, 3, 4$, I_0 has 2 elements, and for $|y| \geq 8$, we have

$$\#I_0 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{|y|}{2} - \lfloor |y|/4 \rfloor \right\rfloor \geq \lfloor |y|/4 \rfloor \geq |y|/4 - 1 \geq |y|/8.$$

And for $4 < |y| < 8$, we have that $|y|/4$ is one, and $|y|/2 > 2$, so $\#I_0 \geq 2$. In all cases, the $\#I_0 \geq |y|/8$.

Therefore if we set, using previous bounds for $c_{N,\alpha}$ and $C_{p_{N,\alpha}}$, $C(\alpha)$ to $2^{9+\alpha} 3^{2+\alpha} (\alpha + 1)/\alpha$, then

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}} |f(x) - f(x+y)|^2 \leq C(\alpha) |y|^\alpha \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f),$$

which is what we were looking for in (B.3). \square

By Theorem B.4, Proposition B.1 (2), and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{s,\alpha}$, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem B.5. *Let G be finite group, $s \in G$, and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Then as defined in the end of Section 1,*

$$\mu_{s,\alpha}(g) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}/N_i\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{s_i^\ell}(g) p_i(\ell). \quad (\text{B.4})$$

There exists a constant $C(\alpha) > 0$ such that for all $r \geq 0$, $f \in \ell^2(\pi)$, and $y \in G$ where $\|y\|_{s,\alpha} \leq r$,

$$\sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(xy)|^2 \pi(y) \leq C(\alpha) r \mathcal{E}_{\mu_{s,\alpha}}(f, f),$$

where $C(\alpha)$ can be defined as $2^{9+\alpha} 3^{2+\alpha} (1 + \alpha)/\alpha$.

At this point, it may be illustrative to use the above theorem to prove a pseudo-Poincaré inequality for finite abelian groups. Let G be a finite abelian group, S be a k -tuple of generating elements of G , and $\mathbf{a} \in (0, 2)^k$. Fix $r > 0$, $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $y \in G$ where $\|y\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq r$. Then y can be written as $y = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_k$ so that for all i , $\|y_i\|_{S_i, \alpha_i} \leq r$. So by Theorem B.5, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$\sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(xy_i)|^2 \pi(x) \leq C(\alpha_i) r \mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S_i, \alpha_i}}(f, f),$$

where $C(\alpha_i)$ is the number defined in Theorem B.5. Using these inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(xy)|^2 &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(xy_1 \cdots y_k)|^2 \\ &\leq k \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} |f(x) - f(xy_i)|^2 \quad (\text{by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality}) \\ &\leq C(\mathbf{a}) r k \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S_i, \alpha_i}}(f, f) \quad (\text{using Proposition B.1}) \\ &= C(\mathbf{a}) r k^2 \mathcal{E}_{\mu_{S, \mathbf{a}}}(f, f), \end{aligned}$$

where $C(\mathbf{a}) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} C(\alpha_i)$.

APPENDIX C. ALGORITHM FOR COMPUTING $D_{S, \mathbf{a}}$ FOR CYCLIC GROUPS

Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, and $S = (1, s)$ (assuming that $1 < s \leq n/2$). We know that for all positive integers $0 < a \leq b/2$, there exists positive integers q and r such that

$$b = qa - \varepsilon r,$$

such that $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $0 \leq r \leq a/2$.

Using this fact to modify the Euclidean algorithm, we can expand N as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{-1} &= N = q_1 s - \varepsilon_1 r_1 \\ r_0 &= s = q_2 r_1 - \varepsilon_2 r_2 \\ r_1 &= q_3 r_2 - \varepsilon_3 r_3 \\ &\vdots \\ r_{i-1} &= q_{i+1} r_i - \varepsilon_{i+1} r_{i+1} \\ &\vdots \\ r_{K-1} &= q_{K+1} r_K - r_{K+1} \end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

where r_{K+1} is the first r_i that's equal to zero, so r_K is equal to the greatest common divisor of N and s . The connection between this algorithm and continued fractions is well studied, see Section 4.5.3 [Knu98].

For $1 \leq i \leq K$, we choose r_i and ε_i so that

$$r_{i-1} = q_{i+1} r_i - \varepsilon_{i+1} r_{i+1} \tag{C.2}$$

$$r_{i+1} \leq r_i/2 \quad \text{and} \tag{C.3}$$

$$\text{if } r_{i+1} = r_i/2, \text{ then } \varepsilon_{i+1} = -1. \tag{C.4}$$

For each i , we can write $\varepsilon_i r_i$ in terms of N and s :

$$m'_i N + \bar{\varepsilon}_i r_i = m_i s,$$

for some $m_i, m'_i > 0$, where $\bar{\varepsilon}_i = \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_i$. One should interpret this as “using m_i s -steps (positive ones only), one can reach $\bar{\varepsilon}_i r_i$ by going around the circle m'_i times.”

Using the expansion in (C.1), we get

$$(q_{i+1} m'_i - \varepsilon_i m'_{i-1}) N + \bar{\varepsilon}_{i+1} r_{i+1} = (q_{i+1} m_i - \varepsilon_i m_{i-1}) s.$$

Immediately, we see that m_i and m'_i satisfy the recurrence relation

$$m'_{i+1} = q_{i+1} m'_i - \varepsilon_i m'_{i-1} \qquad m_{i+1} = q_{i+1} m_i - \varepsilon_i m_{i-1}$$

with base cases $m_{-1} = 0, m_0 = 1$ and $m'_0 = 0, m'_1 = 1$. The next few elements in the series are

$$\begin{array}{lll} m_1 = q_1 & m_2 = q_1 q_2 - \varepsilon_1 & m_3 = q_1 q_2 q_3 - \varepsilon_1 q_3 - \varepsilon_2 q_1 \\ & m'_2 = q_2 & m'_3 = q_2 q_3 - \varepsilon_2. \end{array}$$

As we will show, the sequence of m_i 's for $-1 \leq m_i \leq K$ is non-negative and strictly increasing. In addition, m_i is the smallest positive integer, ℓ such that $\ell s = \bar{\varepsilon}_i r_i \pmod{N}$.

Theorem C.1.

$$D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \asymp \min_{-1 \leq i \leq K} \{\max\{r_i^{\alpha_1}, m_{i+1}^{\alpha_2}\}\}.$$

In other words, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 \min_i \{\max\{r_i^{\alpha_1}, m_{i+1}^{\alpha_2}\}\} \leq D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \leq c_2 \min_i \{\max\{r_i^{\alpha_1}, m_{i+1}^{\alpha_2}\}\}.$$

In particular we can set $c_1 = 1/2^{5(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}$ and $c_2 = 1$.

First, we present some simple corollaries.

Corollary C.2. (1) Let $N = st$, where $s, t > 0$, $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, $S = (1, s)$, and $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. Then,

$$D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \asymp \min\{N^{\alpha_1}, \max\{s^{\alpha_1}, t^{\alpha_2}\}\}.$$

(2) Suppose $s^{\alpha_1} \leq (N/s)^{\alpha_2}$. Let $N = st$, where $s, t > 0$, $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, $S = (1, s)$, and $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. Then,

$$D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \asymp \min\{N^{\alpha_1}, (N/s)^{\alpha_2}\}.$$

(3) Let $N = st_1 + s_2$, where $0 \leq s_2 \leq s/2$ and $s = s_2 t_2$, $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, $S = (1, s)$, and $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. Then,

$$D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \asymp \min\{N^{\alpha_1}, \max\{s^{\alpha_1}, t_1^{\alpha_2}\}, \max\{(s_2^{\alpha_1}, (t_1 t_2)^{\alpha_2})\}\}.$$

(4) Let

$$\begin{aligned} N &= st_1 + s_2 \\ s &= s_2 t_2 + s_3 \\ s_2 &= s_3 t_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < s, 0 < s_2 \leq s/2$, and $0 < s_3 \leq s_2/2$, $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, $S = (1, s)$, and $\mathbf{a} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. Then

$$D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \asymp \min\{N^{\alpha_1}, \max\{s^{\alpha_1}, t_1^{\alpha_2}\}, \max\{(s_2^{\alpha_1}, (t_1 t_2)^{\alpha_2})\}, \max\{s_3^{\alpha_1}, (t_1 t_2 t_3)^{\alpha_2}\}\}.$$

Proof of the upper bound in Theorem C.1. It suffices to show there exists $c > 0$ such that all points $x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\|x\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} \leq c \min_i \{\max\{r_i^{\alpha_1}, m_{i+1}^{\alpha_2}\}\}.$$

Fix i . For all $x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $|ks - x| < r_i$ for some $0 \leq k \leq m_{i+1}$. Then $x = ks + r$ for some $|r| \leq r_i$ and $|k| \leq m_{i+1}$. Therefore, $\|x\|_{S,\mathbf{a}} \leq \max\{r_i^{\alpha_1}, m_{i+1}^{\alpha_2}\}$, for all i . Taking the minimum over all i , we achieve the desired result. \square

Our proof of the lower bound is much more involved, and will use the following proposition:

Proposition C.3. For $x \in \langle s \rangle$, define

$$|x|_s = \min\{|\ell| : \ell \neq 0 \text{ and } \ell s \equiv x \pmod{N}\}.$$

For all $0 \leq i \leq K$, $|r_i|_s = m_i$.

Lemma C.4. Let $|x|_{s^+} = \min\{\ell > 0 : \ell s \equiv x \pmod{N}\}$. Let $x, y \in \langle s \rangle$ with $|x|_{s^+} = a$ and $|y|_{s^+} = b$.

- (1) If $|x + y|_{s^+} > \min(a, b)$, then $|x + y|_{s^+} = a + b$.
- (2) If $a > b$, then $|x - y|_{s^+} = a - b$.
- (3) If there exists $x \neq 0$ in $\langle s \rangle$ such that $|x|_{s^+} = m$, then $|0|_{s^+} > m$.

Proof. (1) Without loss of generality, we can assume that $a > b$. We know that $|x + y|_{s^+} \leq a + b$ by definition; now suppose that $0 < |x + y|_{s^+} < a + b$. Then, $0 < |x + y|_{s^+} - b < a$ and

$$(|x + y|_{s^+} - b)s \equiv x \pmod{N}.$$

This contradicts the fact that $|x|_{s^+} = a$.

(2) We know that $|x - y|_{s^+} \leq a - b$. If $|x - y|_{s^+} < a - b$, then

$$(|x - y|_{s^+} + b)s \equiv x \pmod{N}.$$

This contradicts $|x|_{s^+} = a$.

(3) This just comes from the observation that $\langle s \rangle = (s, 2s, \dots, |\langle s \rangle|s)$, and $|x|_{s^+}$ is the position of x in the list, and 0 is last. \square

Proof of Proposition C.3. First, we note that for all $x \in \langle s \rangle$, $|x|_s = \min\{|x|_{s^+}, |x|_{s^-}\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} |x|_{s^+} &= \min\{\ell > 0 : \ell s \equiv x \pmod{N}\} \\ |x|_{s^-} &= \min\{\ell > 0 : -\ell s \equiv x \pmod{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $|x|_s = \min\{|x|_{s^+}, |-x|_{s^+}\}$, and it suffices to show that $|\bar{\varepsilon}_i r_i|_{s^+} = m_i$ and $|\bar{\varepsilon}_i r_i|_{s^+} \leq |- \bar{\varepsilon}_i r_i|_{s^+}$. Let

$$I_i = \begin{cases} (-r_i, r_{i-1} + r_i) \setminus \{\bar{\varepsilon}_i r_i, r_{i-1}\} & \text{if } \bar{\varepsilon}_{i-1} = 1 \\ -(r_{i-1} + r_i), r_i) \setminus \{\bar{\varepsilon}_i r_i, -r_{i-1}\} & \text{if } \bar{\varepsilon}_{i-1} = -1 \end{cases}$$

We will show this by induction with the following induction hypotheses for $0 \leq i \leq K - 1$:

- (a) $|\bar{\varepsilon}_i r_i|_{s^+} = m_i$,
- (b) for all $x \in I_i$, $|x|_{s^+} > m_i$, and
- (c) $|\bar{\varepsilon}_i r_i|_{s^+} \leq |- \bar{\varepsilon}_i r_i|_{s^+}$.

If $i = K$, only (a) needs to be satisfied.

For $i = 0$, we have $m_0 = 1$, the three conditions are clearly satisfied: (a) $|s|_{s^+} = 1$, (b) for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \setminus s$, $|s|_{s^+} > 1$, and (c) $|-s|_{s^+} \geq |s|_{s^+}$. When $i = 1$, we have $m_1 = q_1$, and so $|\varepsilon_1 r_1|_{s^+} = q_1$ by the definition of q_1 . If $|x|_{s^+} \leq q_1$, then $x \in \{s, 2s, \dots, q_1 s\}$. Then for all $x \in (-r_2, r_1 + r_2) \setminus \{\varepsilon_1 r_1\}$, $|x|_{s^+} > q_1$. If $|\varepsilon_1 r_1|_{s^+} < |\varepsilon_1 r_1|_{s^+}$, then $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ and $s = 2r_1$, which contradicts (C.4).

For the inductive case, let us first assume that $\bar{\varepsilon}_{i-1} = 1$ and $\varepsilon_i = 1$. Then by repeatedly applying Lemma C.4 (1), we have $|r_i|_{s^+} = m_i$, $|2r_i|_{s^+} = 2m_i$, \dots , $|q_{i+1}r_i|_{s^+} = q_{i+1}m_i$, and $|\bar{\varepsilon}_{i+1}r_{i+1}|_{s^+} = m_{i+1}$. If $i = K$, then we are done, so we can assume that $r_{i+1} > 0$.

We have

$$x \in I_i = (-r_i, r_i) \cup (r_i, r_{i-1}) \cup (r_{i-1}, r_{i-1} + r_i) \Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > m_i.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in (0, r_i) &\Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > m_i \\ x \in (r_i, 2r_i) &\Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > 2m_i \\ &\vdots \\ x \in ((q_{i+1} - 1)r_i, q_{i+1}r_i) \setminus r_{i-1} &\Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > q_{i+1}m_i \\ x \in ((q_{i+1} - 1)r_i, r_{i-1} + r_i) \setminus \{r_{i-1}, q_{i+1}r_i\} &\Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > q_{i+1}m_i \end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon_{i+1} = 1$, we have $r_{i-1} = q_{i+1}r_i - r_{i+1}$ and the last line gives us

$$x \in ((q_{i+1} - 1)r_i, r_{i-1}) \cup (r_{i-1}, q_{i+1}r_i) \cup (q_{i+1}r_i, r_{i-1} + r_i) \Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > q_{i+1}m_i,$$

and subtracting r_{i-1} ,

$$x \in (r_{i+1} - r_i, 0) \cup (0, r_{i+1}) \cup (r_{i+1}, r_i) \Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > m_{i+1}. \quad (\text{C.5})$$

Also, since for all $r_i + y \in (r_i, r_i + r_{i+1})$, $|r_i + y|_{s^+} > m_i$ by the induction hypothesis, and $|y|_{s^+} > m_{i+1}$, then $|r_i + y|_{s^+} > m_{i+1}$. Thus, along with Lemma C.4 (3), we have satisfied (b). Since $\varepsilon_{i+1} = 1$, $r_i > 2r_{i+1}$, so $|-r|_{s^+} \geq r_i$ by (C.5), and we have satisfied (c).

If $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$, we have $r_{i-1} = q_{i+1}r_i + r_{i+1}$ and

$$x \in ((q_{i+1} - 1)r_i, q_{i+1}r_i) \cup (q_{i+1}r_i, r_{i-1}) \cup (r_{i-1}, r_{i-1} + r_i) \Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > q_{i+1}m_i,$$

and subtracting r_{i-1} ,

$$x \in (-r_{i+1} - r_i, -r_{i+1}) \cup (-r_{i+1}, 0) \cup (0, r_i) \Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > m_{i+1}. \quad (\text{C.6})$$

Then the rest of the argument for (b) and (c) is the same.

Now assume that $\bar{\varepsilon}_{i-1} = 1$ and $\varepsilon_i = -1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_i &= (-r_i, r_{i-1}) \cup (r_{i-1}, r_{i-1} + r_i) \\ r_{i-1} &= q_{i+1}r_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}r_{i+1} \\ m_{i+1} &= q_{i+1}m_i + m_{i-1} \end{aligned}$$

By repeatedly applying Lemma C.4 adding $-r_i$ we have $|r_{i-1} - r_i|_{s^+} = m_{i-1} + m_i$, $|r_{i-1} - 2r_i|_{s^+} = m_{i-1} + 2m_i$, \dots , $|r_{i-1} - q_{i+1}r_i|_{s^+} = |\bar{\varepsilon}_{i+1}r_{i+1}|_{s^+} = m_{i+1}$. Repeating the same argument on open

intervals, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
x \in (r_{i-1} - r_i, r_{i-1}) &\Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > m_{i-1} + m_i \\
x \in (r_{i-1} - 2r_i, r_{i-1} - r_i) &\Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > m_{i-1} + 2m_i \\
&\vdots \\
x \in (r_{i-1} - q_{i+1}r_i, r_{i-1} - (q_{i+1} - 1)r_i) &\Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > m_{i+1} \\
x \in (-\varepsilon_{i+1}r_{i+1}, r_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}r_{i+1}) &\Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > m_{i+1}
\end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon_{i+1} = 1$, we know that $r_{i+1} < r_i/2$

$$x \in (-r_{i+1}, r_i - r_{i+1}) \Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > m_{i+1}.$$

Then $|\bar{\varepsilon}_{i+1}r_{i+1}|_{s^+} = |-r_{i+1}|_{s^+} = m_{i+1} < |-\bar{\varepsilon}_{i+1}r_{i+1}|_{s^+}$, which is induction hypothesis (c). Using Lemma C.4 with $|r_i|_{s^+} = m_i$, $|-r_{i+1}|_{s^+} = m_{i+1}$, and $|r_{i+1}|_{s^+} > m_{i+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|r_i - r_{i+1}|_{s^+} > m_i &\Rightarrow |r_i - r_{i+1}|_{s^+} = m_{i-1} + m_{i+1} \\
x \in (r_i - r_{i+1}, r_i) \cup (r_i, r_i + r_{i+1}) &\Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > m_{i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This give induction hypothesis (b).

If $\varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$, we have

$$x \in (r_{i+1}, r_i + r_{i+1}) \Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > m_{i+1}.$$

Additionally,

$$x \in (-r_i + r_{i+1}, r_{i+1}) \Rightarrow |x|_{s^+} > m_{i+1}.$$

This gives hypothesis (b). If $r_{i+1} = r_i/2$, then $|r_{i+1} - r_i|_{s^+} = |-r_{i+1}|_{s^+} > m_i$, which gives $|-r_{i+1}|_{s^+} = m_i + m_{i+1}$. This completes hypothesis (c).

When $\bar{\varepsilon}_{i-1} = -1$, the argument is simply mirrored. \square

Lemma C.5. (1) Let $r > 0$ and $x \geq n$, where n is a positive integer. Then

$$\lfloor rx \rfloor \geq \frac{\lfloor rn \rfloor - 1}{\lfloor rn \rfloor} rx \quad \text{and} \quad \lceil rx \rceil \leq \frac{\lceil rn \rceil}{\lceil rn \rceil - 1} rx.$$

Note that the first inequality is only of interest of when $rn > 1$ and the second inequality when $rn > 2$.

- (2) For all $1 \leq i \leq K + 1$, $q_i \geq 2$.
- (3) The m_i 's are strictly increasing.
- (4) Let $2 \leq i \leq K$. Then

$$q_{i+1}m_i \geq \frac{m_{i+1}}{4}.$$

- (5) Let $i \geq 1$. Then

$$q_{i+1}r_i \geq \frac{3r_{i-1}}{4}.$$

Proof. (1) The proof is simple and we omit it here.

- (2) Fix $1 \leq i \leq K + 1$.

$$r_{i-2} + \varepsilon_i r_i = q_i r_{i-1}.$$

The algorithm requires that for each $i \geq 0$, $r_{i+1} \leq r_i/2$. For each $i \geq 1$ we know that $r_{i-2} \geq 2r_{i-1}$ and $r_{i-1} \leq r_i/2$, so

$$q_i r_{i-1} = r_{i-2} + \varepsilon_i r_i \geq 2r_{i-1} - r_{i-1}/2 = (3/2)r_{i-1}$$

So $q_i \geq 3/2$, and since the q_i 's must be positive integers, $q_i \geq 2$.

(3) From our inductive definition we have for the base case

$$m_2 = q_1 q_2 - \varepsilon_1 \geq q_1(2 - 1/2) \geq (3/2)q_1 > m_1.$$

and for the inductive case,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{i+1} &= q_{i+1} m_i - \varepsilon_i m_{i-1} \\ &\geq 2m_i - m_{i-1} \quad (\text{Lemma C.5 (2)}) \\ &> m_i \quad (\text{induction hypothesis}). \end{aligned}$$

(4) If $i = 1$, we have

$$q_2 m_1 = q_2 q_1 \geq \frac{3}{4}(q_2 q_1 - 1) \geq \frac{3}{4}(q_2 q_1 - \varepsilon_1) = \frac{3}{4} m_2.$$

If $i > 1$, then $q_{i+1} m_i = m_{i+1} - \varepsilon_i m_{i-1}$. If $m_{i+1}/m_{i-1} \leq 2$, then since m_i 's are increasing by Lemma C.5 (3), we know $m_{i+1} \leq 2m_i$, as well. So,

$$q_{i+1} m_i \geq q_{i+1} \frac{m_{i+1}}{2} \geq m_{i+1},$$

with the last inequality following from $q_{i+1} \geq 2$, Lemma C.5 (2). If $m_{i+1}/m_{i-1} \geq 2$,

$$q_{i+1} m_i \geq m_{i+1} - m_{i-1} \geq \frac{1}{2} m_{i+1}.$$

(5) $r_{i-1} = q_{i+1} r_i - \varepsilon_i r_{i+1} \leq q_{i+1} r_i + r_{i+1} \leq q_{i+1} r_i + \frac{r_{i+1}}{4}$

□


Proposition C.6. Let $0 \leq i \leq K$. Define

$$x_i = \begin{cases} \lfloor q_{i+1}/2 \rfloor r_i & \text{if } q_{i+1} \geq 8 \\ r_i & \text{if } q_{i+1} < 8 \end{cases}. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

Then,

$$\|x_i\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \geq \frac{1}{2^{5(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}} \min\{r_{i-1}^{\alpha_1}, m_{i+1}^{\alpha_2}\}.$$

Proof. First we consider the case when $q_{i+1} \geq 8$, and thus $x_i = \lfloor q_{i+1}/2 \rfloor r_i$. Let $n_i = \lfloor q_{i+1}/4 \rfloor$. Consider the interval $[-r_{i-1}, r_{i-1}]$ with the points reachable using at most $n_i m_i$ large steps. And the two colors signify the large steps that were used with generators of the opposite sign. The particular picture uses $q_{i+1} = 10$:



Consider a path that w that maps to x_i under the standard projection. If $\deg_s(w) \geq n_i m_i$ or more large steps,

$$\begin{aligned} n_i m_i &= \left\lfloor \frac{q_{i+1}}{4} \right\rfloor m_i \\ &\geq \frac{q_{i+1} m_i}{2^3} \quad (\text{Lemma C.5 (1)}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^5} m_{i+1} \quad (\text{Lemma C.5 (4)}) \end{aligned}$$

If $\deg_s(w) \leq n_i m_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_1(w) &\geq x_i - n_i r_i = \left(\left\lfloor \frac{q_{i+1}}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{q_{i+1}}{4} \right\rfloor \right) r_i \\ &\geq \left(\frac{3}{4} \frac{q_{i+1}}{2} - \frac{q_{i+1}}{4} \right) r_i \quad (\text{Lemma C.5 (1)}) \\ &= \frac{q_{i+1}}{2^3} r_i \\ &\geq \frac{r_{i-1}}{2^5} \quad (\text{Lemma C.5 (5)}) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now consider consider the case when $q_{i+1} < 8$, and thus $x_i = r_i$. If we use fewer than m_i large steps, then the number of small steps required is

$$r_i \geq \frac{q_{i+1} r_i}{2^3} \geq \frac{r_{i-1}}{2^5}.$$

If we can use m_i large steps, then we can reach r_i . But

$$m_i \geq \frac{q_{i+1} m_i}{2^3} \geq \frac{m_{i+1}}{2^5}.$$

Proof of the lower bound of Theorem C.1. For the lower bound, let

$$L = \operatorname{argmin}_i \{\max\{r_i^{\alpha_1}, m_{i+1}^{\alpha_2}\}\}.$$

Suppose $L = -1$. Then $N^{\alpha_1} < q_1^{\alpha_2}$ and

$$D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \geq \|x_0\|_{S, \mathbf{a}} \geq \frac{1}{2^{5(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}} N^{\alpha_1}.$$

Now we can assume that $L \geq 0$

Case 1 ($r_L^{\alpha_1} \leq m_{L+1}^{\alpha_2}$): Then $m_{L+1}^{\alpha_2} = \max\{r_L^{\alpha_1}, m_{L+1}^{\alpha_2}\} \leq \max\{r_{L-1}^{\alpha_1}, m_L^{\alpha_2}\} = r_{L-1}^{\alpha_1}$, since the m_i 's are increasing. By substituting $i = L$ into Lemma C.6, we have that

$$D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \geq \frac{1}{2^{5(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}} \min\{r_{L-1}^{\alpha_1}, m_{L+1}^{\alpha_2}\} \geq \frac{m_{L+1}^{\alpha_2}}{2^{5(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}}.$$

Case 2 ($r_L^{\alpha_1} \geq m_{L+1}^{\alpha_2}$): Then $r_L^{\alpha_1} = \max\{r_L^{\alpha_1}, m_{L+1}^{\alpha_2}\} \leq \max\{r_{L+1}^{\alpha_1}, m_{L+2}^{\alpha_2}\} = m_{L+2}^{\alpha_2}$, since the r_i 's are decreasing. By substituting $i = L + 1$ into Lemma C.6, we have that

$$D_{S, \mathbf{a}} \geq \frac{1}{2^{5(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}} \min\{r_L^{\alpha_1}, m_{L+2}^{\alpha_2}\} \geq \frac{r_L^{\alpha_1}}{2^{5(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}}. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [CG97] Thierry Coulhon and Alexander Grigor'yan. On-diagonal lower bounds for heat kernels and Markov chains. *Duke Math. J.*, 89(1):133–199, 1997.
- [CKSC⁺18] Zhen-Qing Chen, Takashi Kumagai, Laurent Saloff-Coste, Jian Wang, and Tianyi Zheng. Long range random walks and associated geometries on groups of polynomial growth. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.00354*, 2018.
- [DSC94] Persi Diaconis and Laurent Saloff-Coste. Moderate growth and random walk on finite groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 4(1):1–36, 1994.
- [DSC96] Persi Diaconis and Laurent Saloff-Coste. Nash inequalities for finite Markov chains. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 9(2):459–510, 1996.
- [Fel71] William Feller. *An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. II.* Second edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1971.
- [Gui73] Yves Guivarc'h. Croissance polynomiale et périodes des fonctions harmoniques. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 101(333):379, 1973.
- [KLS97] Ravi Kannan, László Lovász, and Miklós Simonovits. Random walks and an $O^*(n^5)$ volume algorithm for convex bodies. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 11(1):1–50, 1997.
- [Knu98] Donald E. Knuth. *The art of computer programming. Vol. 2.* Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1998. Seminumerical algorithms, Third edition [of MR0286318].
- [SC04] Laurent Saloff-Coste. Random walks on finite groups. In *Probability on discrete structures*, volume 110 of *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, pages 263–346. Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [SCZ15] Laurent Saloff-Coste and Tianyi Zheng. Random walks on nilpotent groups driven by measures supported on powers of generators. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 9(4):1047–1129, 2015.
- [SCZ16] Laurent Saloff-Coste and Tianyi Zheng. Random walks and isoperimetric profiles under moment conditions. *Ann. Probab.*, 44(6):4133–4183, 2016.