

EISENSTEIN SERIES AND THE CUBIC MOMENT FOR  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ 

PAUL D. NELSON

ABSTRACT. Following a strategy suggested by Michel–Venkatesh, we study the cubic moment of automorphic  $L$ -functions on  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  using regularized diagonal periods of products of Eisenstein series. Our main innovation is to produce vectors whose integral transforms achieve arbitrarily weighted moments. Applications include general Motohashi-type identities and Weyl-type subconvex bounds for some families of  $L$ -functions, extending some results of Conrey–Iwaniec and Petrow–Young to the number field setting.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
<b>Part 1. Main ideas</b>	<b>6</b>
2. Basic study of local weights	6
3. Estimates for local weights	15
4. Moral proofs of the main applications	20
<b>Part 2. Preliminaries on integral representations and spectral decomposition</b>	<b>22</b>
5. Regularized integration	22
6. Local preliminaries	24
7. Global preliminaries	32
<b>Part 3. The basic identity</b>	<b>38</b>
8. Local invariant functionals	38
9. Global invariant functionals	43
10. Decompositions of global periods	44
<b>Part 4. Analysis of local weights and degenerate terms</b>	<b>49</b>
11. Holomorphic families of local weights	49
12. Construction of global test vectors	50
13. The first seven degenerate terms	50
14. The remaining eight degenerate terms	51
Appendix A. Oscillatory integral estimates	56
Appendix B. Analytic newvectors for $\mathrm{GL}_2$	59
References	63

---

*Date:* November 15, 2019.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F70; Secondary 11F27, 58J51.

## 1. Introduction

**1.1. Overview and motivation.** Michel–Venkatesh suggested a strategy [27, §4.5.3] for establishing spectral identities between moments of  $L$ -functions, generalizing those introduced by Motohashi [28]. They emphasized the further problem [27, §4.5.4] of implementing that strategy in a sufficiently flexible form, and suggested that doing so might lead to strong subconvex bounds.

We address that problem and apply their strategy to establish a general formula for the cubic moment of central values of automorphic  $L$ -functions on  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ . As a first application of that formula, we generalize a theorem of Conrey–Iwaniec [12] (see also [35, 33]) from the rational numbers to general number fields:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $F$  be a number field with adèle ring  $\mathbb{A}$ , let  $\chi$  be a quadratic character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$ , and let  $\sigma$  be either a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  or a unitary Eisenstein series. Then the Weyl-type subconvex bound*

$$L(\sigma \otimes \chi, 1/2) \ll_\sigma C(\chi)^{1/3+\varepsilon} \quad (1.1)$$

holds. In particular,

$$L(\chi, 1/2) \ll C(\chi)^{1/6+\varepsilon}. \quad (1.2)$$

Here and henceforth  $\varepsilon$  denotes a fixed sufficiently small positive quantity, whose precise value may change from line to line, and the asymptotic notation  $A \ll B$  or  $A = O(B)$  denotes an estimate of the form  $|A| \leq C|B|$ , where the implied constant  $C \geq 0$  depends only upon  $\varepsilon$  and the number field  $F$ . The refined notation  $A \ll_{x,y,z} B$  signifies as usual that  $C$  may depend also upon  $x, y, z$ . We write  $C(\chi)$  for the analytic conductor, given by the product over all places  $\mathfrak{p}$  of the local analytic conductor  $C(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}})$  as defined in [27, §3.1.8].

The above “subconvex” estimates improve upon the respective “trivial” or “convexity” bounds of  $C(\chi)^{1/2+\varepsilon}$  and  $C(\chi)^{1/4+\varepsilon}$ , and also upon earlier nontrivial subconvex bounds (see [7, 47, 46, 26] and references). Via period formulas as in [38] and [3] (see also [44, 23, 42, 22, 24, 24]) these estimates lead to improved bounds for the Fourier coefficients of half-integral weight modular forms over number fields (cf. [7, Cor 1]), hence to improved estimates for representation numbers of ternary quadratic forms over number fields. For instance, we obtain the following numerical improvement upon [7, Cor 2]:

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $Q$  be a positive integral ternary quadratic form over a totally real number field  $F$ . For an element  $n$  of the ring of integers of  $F$ , let  $r_Q(n)$  denote the number of integral representations of  $n$  by  $Q$ . For squarefree  $n$  locally represented by  $Q$ ,*

$$r_Q(n) = r(n) + O_Q(\mathrm{norm}(n)^{5/12+o(1)}), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $r(n) = \mathrm{norm}(n)^{1/2+o(1)}$  is the product of local densities as in the Siegel mass formula and  $o(1)$  denotes a quantity that tends to zero as  $\mathrm{norm}(n) \rightarrow \infty$  (with  $Q$  fixed).

**1.2. The proposed strategy.** We summarize the strategy proposed by Michel–Venkatesh for establishing spectral identities generalizing those of Motohashi.

Let  $F$  be a number field with adèle ring  $\mathbb{A}$ . Set  $G := \mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ , let  $A \leq G$  denote the diagonal subgroup, and write  $[G] := G \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}$  and  $[A] := A \backslash A_{\mathbb{A}}$  for the corresponding adelic quotients. Let  $\mathcal{I}(0)$  denote the representation of  $G_{\mathbb{A}}$  defined by

normalized induction of the trivial character of the standard Borel (see §6.2, §7.2). For  $f \in \mathcal{I}(0)$ , write  $\mathrm{Eis}^*(f)$  for the associated normalized Eisenstein series (§7.2). For instance, if  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $f$  is normalized spherical, then  $\mathrm{Eis}^*(f)$  corresponds to the derivative  $\frac{d}{ds}E(s, z)|_{s=1/2}$  at the central point of the classical  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant Eisenstein series  $E(s, z) = y^s + \dots$ . Working formally for the moment (ignoring important issues of convergence and regularization), consider the (divergent) diagonal integral of the product of two such Eisenstein series, attached to  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{I}(0)$ , over the adelic quotient  $[A]$  of the diagonal subgroup:

$$\int_{[A]} \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_1) \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_2). \quad (1.4)$$

We may expand (1.4) in two ways. On the one hand, expanding the product of Eisenstein series over the spectrum of  $[G]$  yields

$$\int_{\sigma:\text{generic}} \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \int_{[G]} \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_1) \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_2) \overline{\varphi} \int_{[A]} \varphi + (\dots),$$

where the integral is over generic standard automorphic representations  $\sigma$  of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  and  $(\dots)$  denotes the ‘‘contribution of the one-dimensional representations.’’ (We note that the integral over  $\sigma$  is typically written as a sum over the cuspidal representations plus an integral over Eisenstein series, see §7.8 for details and precise normalizations.) On the other hand, the Parseval relation on the group  $[A]$  yields

$$\int_{\omega:\text{unitary}} \left( \int_{[A]} \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_1) \omega \right) \left( \int_{[A]} \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_2) \omega^{-1} \right),$$

where the integral is taken over unitary characters  $\omega$  of  $[A]$ . By unfolding the global Hecke and Rankin–Selberg integrals (§7.4, §7.9, §9) in each of these expansions, we ‘‘deduce’’ that for factorizable vectors  $f_i = \otimes f_{i\mathfrak{p}}$  and some large enough finite collection  $S$  of places of  $F$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\substack{\sigma:\text{generic,} \\ \text{unram. outside } S}} \frac{L^{(S)}(\sigma, 1/2)^3}{L^{(S),*}(\sigma \times \sigma, 1)} h(\sigma) \\ &= (\dots) + \int_{\substack{\omega:\text{unitary,} \\ \text{unram. outside } S}} \frac{|L^{(S)}(\omega, 1/2)|^4}{\zeta_F^{(S),*}(1)^2} \tilde{h}(\omega), \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where  $L^{(S)}(\dots)$  denotes a partial  $L$ -function, an asterisk signifies taking the first nonvanishing Laurent coefficient, and the weights

$$h(\sigma) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} h_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}), \quad \tilde{h}(\omega) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

are products of local weights given in terms of local Hecke and Rankin–Selberg integrals (§6.5, §6.6):

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}) &:= \sum_{W_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}})} \int_{N_{\mathfrak{p}} \backslash G_{\mathfrak{p}}} \overline{W_{\mathfrak{p}}} W_{f_{1\mathfrak{p}}} f_{2\mathfrak{p}} \int_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} W_{\mathfrak{p}}, \\ \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) &:= \int_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} W_{f_{1\mathfrak{p}}} \omega \int_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} W_{f_{2\mathfrak{p}}} \omega^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**1.3. The basic spectral identity.** While the argument just recorded was highly non-rigorous, we verify below in §10 that the conclusion is nevertheless valid, for some explicit definition of the redacted term (see Corollary 10.5 for the precise statement):

**Theorem 1.3.** *The identity (1.5) holds with  $(\dots)$  the limit of a sum of fifteen “degenerate functionals”  $\mathcal{I}(0) \otimes \mathcal{I}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined in §10.*

The basic ideas behind this verification are due to Michel–Venkatesh [27, §4.5], who sketched those ideas in the special case that  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  and the  $f_i$  are spherical.

If one wishes to apply Theorem 1.3, several fundamental questions arise. Which weights  $h$  (or  $\tilde{h}$ ) arise from the above scheme applied to some choice of  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{I}(0)$ , or more generally to some tensor  $f \in \mathcal{I}(0) \otimes \mathcal{I}(0)$ ? Let us call such weights *admissible*. It is not *a priori* obvious that the collection of admissible weights is sufficiently rich for the sake of applications. Can one find a nonnegative-valued admissible  $h$  (or  $\tilde{h}$ ) that localizes to a given subset of its domain? Can one explicitly relate  $h$  to  $\tilde{h}$ ? Can one efficiently estimate the degenerate terms  $(\dots)$ ? The main point of this paper is to initiate the systematic study of such questions.

**1.4. Achieving arbitrarily weighted cubic moments.** We focus here on studying the cubic moment via (1.5). To do so effectively, we need to know that the characteristic functions of interesting spectral families of automorphic representations  $\sigma$  may be approximated by nonnegative admissible weights  $h(\sigma)$ . This possibility is confirmed by one of our main local results (Theorem 2.10), summarized here informally:

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $h(\sigma)$  be a weight function that shows up on the spectral side of the pre-Kuznetsov formula (i.e., the relative trace formula for twisted unipotent periods with a compactly-supported test function). Then  $h$  is admissible. The corresponding dual weight  $\tilde{h}(\omega)$  may be evaluated via explicit integral transforms.*

We show also that the degenerate terms may be either discarded altogether (§13) or evaluated explicitly (§14).

The idea behind the proof is to consider  $f$  belonging to a specific class of generalized vectors (“Whittaker vectors”) for which the weights  $h(\sigma)$  on the cubic moment side resemble those appearing in the pre-Kuznetsov formula. We hope that this technique will be useful more broadly in period-based approaches to proving spectral identities between families of  $L$ -functions.

Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 combine to yield spectral identities for the cubic moment with nonnegative weights that localize to any given subset of the spectrum. One can likely adapt the basic proof technique of Theorem 1.4 to produce a rich class of admissible  $\tilde{h}$  and study the inverse transform from  $\tilde{h}$  to  $h$ , but we leave such pursuits and their applications to future work.

**1.5. Applications to subconvexity.** The  $L$ -values  $L(\sigma, 1/2)$  are known to be nonnegative, so taking  $h$  nonnegative and estimating the RHS of (1.5) yields an upper bound for such  $L$ -values. This feature has been exploited over  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  in the work of Conrey–Iwaniec [12], Ivic [16] and Petrow and Young [35, 48, 33, 32, 34]. We hope the methods of this paper may be useful in generalizing such results to the setting of number fields.

We have already recorded in §1.1 a generalization of the theorem of Conrey–Iwaniec [12]. As a further application, we present a partial generalization of a recent result of Petrow–Young [32]:

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $F$  be a number field. Let  $\chi$  be a unitary character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$  whose infinite component  $\chi_\infty$  is trivial and whose finite conductor is cubefree. Then the Weyl-type subconvex bound (1.2) holds.*

The point of departure for our proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.5 is similar to that of earlier work over  $\mathbb{Q}$  in that we seek to bound a cubic moment. The conclusion of our proof is likewise similar: we eventually reduce to essentially the same character sum estimates (relying in turn upon Deligne’s results) and fourth moment bounds for  $\mathrm{GL}_1$   $L$ -functions as in those works. The remaining parts of our arguments differ somewhat. For instance, a major difficulty encountered in [33] (see especially [33, §1.3]) is that the relevant cubic moment involves newforms of different conductors, so the approximate functional equations for their  $L$ -values have different lengths, which causes problems when one seeks to estimate an odd power moment of nonnegative  $L$ -values via the Petersson formula. The authors of [33] overcome this difficulty by developing general Petersson formulas for newforms, which are of independent interest. Such difficulties are avoided in the approach pursued here. We do not use approximate functional equations. The cubic moment formula implied by Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 reduces our task to the local problem of producing weights  $h(\sigma)$  majorizing the family of interest and estimating the integral transforms defining the dual weights  $\tilde{h}(\omega)$  and degenerate terms ( $\cdots$ ). The same formula can likely be supplemented with additional local analysis to prove many variations on Theorems 1.1 and 1.5.

The restriction in Theorem 1.5 to cubefree conductor arises here due to local phenomena as in [32]. Recently Petrow–Young [34] have removed this restriction from their earlier result (over  $F = \mathbb{Q}$ ) and established the bound (1.2) over  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  for all unitary  $\chi$ , i.e., without the quadraticity assumption. We establish many of the local estimates relevant for adapting their strategy to our setting (compare Proposition 3.3 with [34, §3]). To complete this adaptation requires verifying the following generalization of [34, Thm 1.4]:

**Conjecture 1.6.** *Let  $F$  be a number field. Let  $\chi$  be a unitary character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ . Let  $B = (B_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be a collection of real numbers  $B_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 1$ , indexed by the places of  $F$ , with  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  belonging to the value group of  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p}$  and satisfying  $B_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$  for all but finitely many  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(\chi, B)$  denote the set of all characters  $\omega$  of  $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$  such that for each place  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $F$ , we have*

$$C(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}/\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq B_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Assume that for each  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we have

$$B_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq C(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}})^{2/3}.$$

Then

$$\int_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}(\chi, B)} |L(\omega, 1/2)|^4 \ll \left( \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} B_{\mathfrak{p}} \right)^{1+\varepsilon}. \quad (1.6)$$

Conjecture 1.6 is also relevant for removing the restriction on  $\chi_\infty$  in Theorem 1.5: the local phenomena responsible for the restriction to cubefree conductors show up over any local field in which  $-1$  is a square, and thus arise whenever the number

field  $F$  has a complex embedding. One can study the LHS of (1.6) by applying the basic formula (1.5) in the direction opposite to the primary one considered in this paper (i.e., as in the original work of Motohashi), but we leave this for future work.

It might be interesting to compare the formulas obtained here with those in [28] and [16], or to replace the degenerate Eisenstein series occurring in (1.4) with other Eisenstein or with cusp forms; the case treated here is in some sense the most degenerate and (apparently) the most relevant for applications.

## 1.6. General notation and conventions.

**1.6.1. Matrices.** When working with  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  over a ring, we use the notation

$$n(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a(y) := \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad n'(z) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad w := \begin{pmatrix} & -1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will use that  $wn(x) = n(-1/x)a(1/x^2)n'(1/x)$  for invertible  $x$ .

**1.6.2. Asymptotic notation and terminology.** As noted above, any implied constant may depend upon the small fixed quantity  $\varepsilon > 0$  and also upon any number field  $F$  under consideration; we allow it also to depend upon the choice of nontrivial unitary character  $\psi : \mathbb{A}/F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , which one might as well take to be the standard one. When working over a local field  $F$  equipped with a nontrivial unitary character  $\psi$ , we allow implied constants to depend freely upon the pair  $(F, \psi)$  except in the case that  $F$  is non-archimedean and  $\psi$  is unramified, in which we require such constants to depend at most upon the absolute degree of  $F$ . This convention ensures that such constants remain uniform as the pair  $(F, \psi)$  traverses the local components of corresponding global data.

**1.6.3. Characters.** A *character* of a topological group  $G$  is a continuous homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ ; a *unitary character* is one with image in the unit circle  $\mathrm{U}(1)$ .

**1.6.4. Bounds towards Ramanujan.** For a local field  $F$  and  $\vartheta \geq 0$ , we say that a generic irreducible representation  $\sigma$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  is  $\vartheta$ -tempered if for every unitary character  $\omega$  of  $F^\times$ , every pole  $s$  of  $L(\sigma \otimes \omega, s)$  has real part in  $[-\vartheta, \vartheta]$ . It is known [6] that the local component of any cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  over a number field is  $7/64$ -tempered.

## Part 1. Main ideas

In this part we give proofs of our main results that would be valid in a fantasy world in which Eisenstein series decay near cusps, so that the basic integral (1.4) converges absolutely and the arguments of §1.2 make sense as written. The resulting analysis will be directly relevant for the actual proofs, given later in the paper, so our present efforts are not wasted.

The reader who is not familiar with integral representations of  $L$ -functions and the related local representation theory might wish to peruse §6 and §7 before proceeding.

## 2. Basic study of local weights

### 2.1. Setting.

**2.1.1.** Let  $F$  be a local field, and let  $\psi$  be a nontrivial unitary character of  $F$ . We set  $G := \mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ ,  $N := \{n(x) : x \in F\}$ ,  $A := \{a(y) : y \in F^\times\}$ ,  $N' := \{n'(z) : z \in F\} \leq G$ , and take for  $K \leq G$  the standard maximal compact subgroup. We normalize measures, etc., in a fairly unsurprising way; see §6 for details.

**2.1.2.** For a character  $\chi$  of  $F^\times \cong A$ , we write  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  for the corresponding normalized induced representation of  $G$ , consisting of smooth functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $f(n(x)a(y)g) = |y|^{1/2}\chi(y)f(g)$ . When  $\chi = |\cdot|^s$ , we write simply  $\mathcal{I}(s)$ . We are interested primarily in the representation  $\mathcal{I}(0)$  induced by the trivial character, consisting of smooth functions  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$f(n(x)a(y)g) = |y|^{1/2}f(g). \quad (2.1)$$

It is a generic irreducible unitary representation. It arises naturally as a local component of the Eisenstein series central to this paper.

For  $f \in \mathcal{I}(0)$ , we write  $W_f$  for the Whittaker function, given for  $g \in G$  by

$$W_f(g) := \int_{x \in F} f(wn(x)g)\psi(-x) dx. \quad (2.2)$$

This and similar integrals must be understood in general via meromorphic continuation or regularization. For instance, in the non-archimedean case, a standard regularization [15, §1.9] is given by summing the integrals over cosets of the unit group. One may similarly regularize in the archimedean case via a smooth dyadic partition of unity.

By a mild abuse of notation, we also write  $W_f$  for the corresponding Kirillov model element, given for  $t \in F^\times$  by

$$W_f(t) := W_f(a(t)) = |t|^{1/2} \int_{x \in F} f(wn(x))\psi(-tx) dx, \quad (2.3)$$

which satisfies the (convergent) Fourier inversion formula

$$\int_{t \in F} |t|^{-1/2} W_f(t)\psi(tx) dt = f(wn(x)). \quad (2.4)$$

**2.1.3.** We denote by  $\mathcal{I}(0) \otimes \mathcal{I}(0)$  the space of smooth functions  $f : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$f(n(x_1)a(y_1)g_1, n(x_2)a(y_2)g_2) = |y_1y_2|^{1/2}f(g_1, g_2).$$

It defines a representation of  $G \times G$ . We will refer often to this representation, so we abbreviate

$$\pi := \mathcal{I}(0) \otimes \mathcal{I}(0) \quad (2.5)$$

A pair of elements  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{I}(0)$  defines an element  $f_1 \otimes f_2 \in \pi$  by the rule  $(f_1 \otimes f_2)(g_1, g_2) = f_1(g_1)f_2(g_2)$ . In the non-archimedean case,  $\pi$  is the usual tensor product; in the archimedean case, it may be interpreted as the completed tensor product, regarding  $\mathcal{I}(0)$  as a nuclear Fréchet space. In all cases,  $\pi$  satisfies the universal property: any continuous bilinear form on  $\mathcal{I}(0)$  extends uniquely to a continuous linear functional on  $\pi$ . In our global analysis, an element of  $\pi$  gives local data describing a linear combination of products of pairs of Eisenstein series, as in (1.4).

We identify  $G$  with a subgroup of  $G \times G$  via the diagonal embedding. In particular, we regard  $A$  as a subgroup of  $G \times G$ .

**2.2. Some  $A$ -invariant functionals.** We consider two families of  $A$ -invariant functionals  $\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the motivation for which should be clear from §1.2.

**2.2.1.  $G \times G \geq G \geq A$ .** One family is indexed by generic irreducible representations  $\sigma$  of  $G$ : for each such representation, we define

$$\ell_\sigma(f_1 \otimes f_2) := \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \left( \int_{N \backslash G} \tilde{W} W_{f_1} f_2 \right) \int_A W \quad (2.6)$$

Here and henceforth  $W$  traverses a basis for the Whittaker model  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$  and  $\tilde{W}$  the corresponding element of a dual basis for the dual Whittaker model  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \bar{\psi})$ , with the duality given by regularized integration over  $A$  (§6.3.5). We note in passing that  $\sigma$ , like any irreducible representation of  $G$ , is self-dual, so it is unnecessary to pass to the contragredient.

The integral over  $N \backslash G$  is to be understood as a local Rankin–Selberg integral (see §6.6 for details); it converges if  $\sigma$  is unitary, and may be meromorphically continued to all  $\sigma$  for which  $L(\sigma, 1/2)$  is finite. The integral over  $A$  is a local Hecke integral to which similar remarks apply (see §6.5 for details).

We take our bases  $\mathcal{B}(\sigma)$  to consist of  $K$ -isotypic vectors, so that the sum (2.6) converges as written; see §8.1.1 for details.

The definition is independent of the choice of basis. For instance, we may interpret  $f_1 \otimes f_2 \mapsto \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} (\int_{N \backslash G} \tilde{W} W_{f_1} f_2) W$  as the linear map  $\pi \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$  adjoint to the Rankin–Selberg functional  $\pi \otimes \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \bar{\psi}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

We will be primarily (although not exclusively) concerned with the case that  $\sigma$  is unitary, in which case one may take for  $\tilde{W}$  the complex conjugate of  $W$  and for  $\mathcal{B}(\sigma)$  an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$ , with the norm defined by the absolutely-convergent integral over  $A$ .

**2.2.2.  $G \times G \geq A \times A \geq A$ .** The other family of functionals is indexed by characters  $\omega$  of  $F^\times$ : for each such character, we define

$$\ell_\omega(f_1 \otimes f_2) := \left( \int_A W_{f_1} \omega \right) \left( \int_A W_{f_2} \omega^{-1} \right).$$

The integrals are understood as local Hecke integrals (§6.5); they converge for unitary  $\omega$ , and extend meromorphically to all  $\omega$  for which  $L(\omega, 1/2)$  is finite.

**2.2.3. Further remarks.** These definitions extend continuously from pure tensors to general elements of  $\pi$ . They may be understood as compositions

$$\ell_\sigma : \pi \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\ell_\omega : \pi \rightarrow \omega^{-1} \otimes \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.8)$$

The first arrow in (2.7) is  $G$ -invariant, and described by the Rankin–Selberg integral; the second is  $A$ -invariant, and described by the Hecke integral. The first arrow in (2.8) is  $A \times A$ -invariant, and given by a pair of Hecke integrals; the second is the  $A$ -invariant canonical pairing.

Each of these functionals is continuous (see §8).

**2.3. Variation with respect to the additive character.** The definitions of the functionals  $\ell_\sigma$  and  $\ell_\sigma$  depend upon the choice of additive character  $\psi$ . The dependence is mild: changing  $\psi$  to  $\psi^b(x) := \psi(bx)$  has the effect of multiplying these functionals by an explicit power of  $|b|$ . For the purpose of proving estimates, there is thus no harm in assuming  $\psi$  to be of a convenient form, e.g., unramified when  $F$  is non-archimedean. We record explicit formulas describing this variation, in a more general setting, in §8.3.

**2.4. Definition of admissible weights.** Let  $G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge$  denote the set of isomorphism classes of generic irreducible representations  $\sigma$  of  $G$ , and let  $A^\wedge$  denote the group of characters  $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . Using the identification of  $A$  with  $F^\times$ , we may and shall identify  $A^\wedge$  with the group of characters  $\omega : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

We may regard  $A^\wedge$  and  $G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge$  as complex manifolds in a natural way (see §6.1.4, §6.3.4); in particular,  $G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge$  carries a natural topology.

We say that a function

$$h : G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

respectively,

$$\tilde{h} : A^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

is *admissible* if for some  $f \in \pi$  we have

$$h(\sigma) = \ell_\sigma(f) \text{ for all } \sigma,$$

respectively,

$$\tilde{h}(\omega) = \ell_\omega(f) \text{ for all } \omega.$$

We say that  $h$  and  $\tilde{h}$  are dual if they may be defined using the same  $f$ .

### 2.5. Crude estimates.

*Lemma 2.1.* *Any admissible weight  $h$  or  $\tilde{h}$  as above is meromorphic on its domain, with poles controlled by local  $L$ -factors in the sense described in §8. There are no poles on the unitary subsets of  $A^\wedge, G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge$ .*

*Any admissible weight is bounded and rapidly-decreasing on the unitary subset  $\{\text{unitary } \sigma \in G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge\}$ . More precisely:*

- *If  $F$  is non-archimedean, then  $h$  and  $\tilde{h}$  are uniformly bounded on the unitary subsets, and vanish on arguments of sufficiently large conductor.*
- *If  $F$  is archimedean, then the restrictions of  $h$  and  $\tilde{h}$  to the unitary subset are bounded by constant multiples of  $C(\cdot)^{-d}$  for each  $d \geq 0$ .*

The conclusion follows from the smoothness of  $f$  and “integration by parts” (see §8.1.5, §8.2.4 for details).

**2.6. Models.** Here we consider a pair of models of the representation  $\pi$  that arise naturally when studying the above functionals.

**2.6.1.** We write  $C^\infty(N \backslash G, \psi)$  for the space of smooth functions  $V$  on  $G$  satisfying  $V(n(x)g) = \psi(x)V(g)$ .

For  $f = f_1 \otimes f_2 \in \pi$ , define

$$V_f \in C^\infty(N \backslash G, \psi), \quad W_f \in C^\infty(F^\times \times F^\times)$$

by the formulas

$$V_f(g) := W_{f_1}(g)f_2(g), \tag{2.9}$$

$$W_f(t_1, t_2) := W_{f_1}(t_1)W_{f_2}(t_2). \tag{2.10}$$

The map  $f \mapsto V_f$  arose also in [27, §3.2.7].

These definitions extend continuously to all  $f \in \pi$ , and may be defined directly by the formulas

$$V_f(g) = \int_{x \in F} f(w_n(x)g, g)\psi(-x) dx, \quad (2.11)$$

$$W_t(t_1, t_2) = |t_1 t_2|^{1/2} \int_{x_1, x_2 \in F} f(w_n(x_1), w_n(x_2))\psi(-t_1 x_1 - t_2 x_2) dx_1 dx_2. \quad (2.12)$$

The functionals defined previously are then given by

$$\ell_\sigma(f) = \int_A (V_f)_\sigma \text{ with } (V_f)_\sigma := \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \left( \int_{N \backslash G} \tilde{W} V_f W \right), \quad (2.13)$$

$$\ell_\omega(f) = \int_{A \times A} W_f \cdot (\omega \otimes \omega^{-1}). \quad (2.14)$$

The maps  $f \mapsto V_f$  and  $f \mapsto W_f$  define models of  $\pi$ . Our aim in this section is to verify that these models contain the compactly-supported elements and to establish integral transforms relating them. We will see later that such transforms are at the heart of the spectral identities discussed in §1.

**2.6.2.** We define for any  $V \in C_c^\infty(N \backslash G, \psi)$  the integral transform  $V^\wedge : F^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$V^\wedge(\xi, z) := \int_{y \in F^\times} |y|^{-1} V(a(y)n'(z))\psi(\xi y) dy. \quad (2.15)$$

For  $f \in \pi$ , we may define  $V_f^\wedge : F^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by the same formula. We note that if  $V$  is supported on the dense open neighborhood  $NAN'$  of the identity in  $G$ , then the map  $F^\times \times F \ni (y, z) \mapsto V(a(y)n'(z))$  is compactly-supported, and so  $V^\wedge$  belongs to the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(F^2)$ .

*Lemma 2.2.* For  $f \in \pi$  and almost all  $(\xi, z) \in F^2$ , we have

$$V_f^\wedge(\xi, z) = f(w_n(\xi)n'(z), n'(z)) \quad (2.16)$$

$$= |x_2 - x_1| f(w_n(x_1), w_n(x_2)) \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$x_1 = \frac{\xi}{1 + \xi z}, \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{z}, \quad (2.18)$$

so that

$$\xi = \frac{x_2 x_1}{x_2 - x_1}, \quad z = \frac{1}{x_2}. \quad (2.19)$$

*Proof.* The first identity follows (for  $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$ , hence in general) from (2.4), the second from the readily verified identities

$$w_n(\xi)n'(z) = n(\cdots)a\left(\frac{1}{(1 + \xi z)^2}\right)w_n(x_1),$$

$$n'(z) = n(1/z)a(1/z^2)w_n(x_2),$$

$$x_2 - x_1 = \frac{1}{z(1 + \xi z)}$$

and the transformation law (2.1).  $\square$

**2.6.3.** We verify here that our models contain the compactly-supported elements.

*Lemma 2.3.* *The set  $\{W_f : f \in \pi\}$  contains  $C_c^\infty(F^\times \times F^\times)$ .*

*Proof.* This may be deduced from the corresponding well-known property of the Kirillov model of  $\mathcal{I}(0)$ , or proved in the same way.  $\square$

We have recorded lemma 2.3 for motivational purposes; we do not use it directly in the present work. More relevant for our purposes is the following analogue:

*Lemma 2.4.* *The set  $\{V_f : f \in \pi\}$  contains  $C_c^\infty(N \backslash G, \psi)$ .*

Before giving the proof, we sketch informally why this should be true. Take  $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$ , where  $y \mapsto W_{f_1}(a(y))$  and  $z \mapsto f_2(n'(z))$  approximate  $\delta$ -masses at  $y = 1$  and  $z = 0$ , respectively. Then  $V_f(a(y)n'(z))$  approximates a  $\delta$ -mass at  $y = 1, z = 0$ . By taking linear combinations of translates of  $f$ , we can approximate any element of  $C_c^\infty(N \backslash G, \psi)$ , giving something like the required conclusion.

*Proof.* Both sets in question are invariant under right translation by  $G$ , so we reduce to verifying that  $\{V_f : f \in \pi\}$  contains every element  $V$  of  $C_c^\infty(N \backslash G, \psi)$  whose support is contained in some small neighborhood of the identity. In particular, we may assume that  $V$  is supported on  $NAN'$ , so that  $V^\wedge$  is Schwartz.

We aim to construct  $f \in \pi$  with  $V_f = V$ . It is enough to check that  $V_f^\wedge = V^\wedge$ . It seems simplest to us to use the following Schwartz space parametrization: for each  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(F^3)$ , there is a unique  $f \in \pi$  for which

$$f(g, n'(z)) = |\det g|^{1/2} \int_{r \in F} \Phi((0, r)g, z) dr.$$

Let us compute  $V_f^\wedge$  for such an  $f$ . First, we specialize (2.11) to see that

$$V_f(a(y)n'(z)) = |y| \int_{\xi \in F} f(w_n(\xi)n'(z), n'(z)) \psi(-\xi y) d\xi.$$

Since  $(0, r)wn(\xi)n'(z) = (r(1 + \xi z), r\xi)$ , the definition of  $f$  then gives

$$V_f(a(y)n'(z)) = |y| \int_{\xi, r \in F} \Phi(r(1 + \xi z), r\xi, z) \psi(-\xi y) d\xi dr,$$

hence

$$V_f^\wedge(\xi, z) = \int_{r \in F} \Phi(r(1 + \xi z), r\xi, z) dr.$$

This formula suggests the choice

$$\Phi(x, y, z) := \phi_0(x - yz) V^\wedge\left(\frac{y}{x - yz}, z\right)$$

for some  $\phi_0 \in C_c^\infty(F^\times)$  with  $\int_{r \in F} \phi_0(r) dr = 1$ . Then  $V_f^\wedge = V^\wedge$ .  $\square$

**2.6.4.** For  $V \in C_c^\infty(N \backslash G, \psi)$ , we define the integral transform  $V^\sharp : F^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by the convergent integral

$$V^\sharp(x, y) := \int_{\xi \in F} V^\wedge(\xi, -x/\xi) \psi(-\xi y) d\xi. \quad (2.20)$$

*Lemma 2.5.* *For  $f \in \pi$ , we have*

$$W_f(t_1, t_2) = |t_1 t_2|^{1/2} \int_{x \in F} V_f^\sharp\left(x, \frac{(t_1 + t_2)x - t_2}{x(1 - x)}\right) \frac{dx}{|x(1 - x)|}. \quad (2.21)$$

The RHS of (2.21) does not in general converge absolutely, but may be regularized as in the definition (2.2) of the Whittaker intertwiner, either via analytic continuation or using smooth dyadic partitions of unity near the singular points  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$ . Indeed, the proof below will show that the  $x$  and  $\xi$  integrals in (2.20) and (2.21) arise via a change of variables from a pair of Whittaker intertwiners (2.2).

*Proof.* By the formulas (2.12) and (2.17) relating  $W_f$  and  $V_f^\wedge$  to  $f$ , we have

$$W_f(t_1, t_2) = |t_1 t_2|^{1/2} \int_{x_1, x_2 \in F} \psi(-t_1 x_1 - t_2 x_2) V_f^\wedge(\xi, z) \frac{dx_1 dx_2}{|x_2 - x_1|}, \quad (2.22)$$

where  $\xi, z$  are given by (2.19). We set  $x := -\xi/x_2$ , so that  $x_1 = \xi/(1-x)$ ,  $x_2 = -\xi/x$  and

$$t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 = \xi \frac{(t_1 + t_2)x - t_2}{x(1-x)}.$$

The Jacobian calculation  $|\partial(x_1, x_2)/\partial(\xi, z)| = |x_2 - x_1|/|x(1-x)|$  then yields (2.21).  $\square$

*Remark 2.6.* Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 should hold (in modified form) for any representation  $\pi$  of  $G \times G$  of the form  $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ , with  $\pi_1$  generic irreducible and  $\pi_2$  belonging to the principal series. The proof technique indicated above applies when  $\pi_1$  also belongs to the principal series (see §11); in general, one can argue using the local functional equation for  $\pi_1$ . The case indicated above is the most relevant one for our applications.

## 2.7. Pre-Kuznetsov weights.

*Definition 2.7.* By a *pre-Kuznetsov weight*  $h : G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we mean a function for which there exists  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$  so that

$$h(\sigma) = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \left( \int_G \tilde{W} \phi \right) W(1) \quad (2.23)$$

for all  $\sigma$ . In that case, we refer to  $\phi$  as a *kernel* for  $h$ .

We give several examples of such weights in §3.2. We note the sum (2.23) converges absolutely and that any such  $h$  is holomorphic (§6.7.6). Moreover, if  $\phi = \phi_1 * \phi_2$  is the convolution of  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C_c^\infty(G)$ , then

$$h(\sigma) = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \left( \int_G \tilde{W} \phi_1 \right) \left( \int_G W \phi_2 \right). \quad (2.24)$$

*Remark 2.8.* In the archimedean case, one could generalize the above definition to allow rapidly decaying  $\phi$  in the sense of [9, p392], but doing so is not necessary for our purposes.

We record here a cheap construction that is often useful at “bad primes.”

*Lemma 2.9.* *For each compact subset  $\Sigma \subseteq G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge$  consisting of unitary representations, there is a pre-Kuznetsov weight  $h : G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for which*

- $h(\sigma) \geq 0$  for all unitary  $\sigma \in G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge$ , and
- $h(\sigma) \geq 1$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ .

*Proof.* By continuity and compactness, we may assume that  $\Sigma = \{\sigma_0\}$  is a singleton. Let  $W_0 \in \sigma_0$  with  $W_0(1) = 1$ . By continuity, we may find a small neighborhood  $U$  of the identity in  $G$  so that  $\mathrm{Re}(W_0(u)) \geq 1/2$  for all  $u \in U$ . Choose a nonnegative-valued  $\phi_0 \in C_c^\infty(U)$  with  $\int \phi_0 = 1$ . Take for  $\phi$  the convolution  $\phi_0^* * \phi_0$ , where  $\phi_0^*(g) := \overline{\phi_0(g^{-1})}$ . Take for  $h$  the pre-Kuznetsov weight with kernel  $\phi$ . Then

$$h(\sigma) = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} |\phi_0 * W|^2(1) \geq 0.$$

Moreover,  $\mathrm{Re}(\phi_0 * W_0) \geq 1/2$ , so likewise  $h(\sigma_0) \geq (1/4)\|W_0\|^{-2} > 0$ . We conclude by replacing  $h$  with a suitable positive multiple.  $\square$

## 2.8. Whittaker vectors.

**2.8.1.** Let  $\sigma$  be a smooth representation of  $G$  (smooth moderate growth Fréchet in the archimedean case). The main example here is when  $\sigma$  is the restriction to  $G \hookrightarrow G \times G$  of  $\pi$ .

By a *generalized vector* in  $\sigma$ , we mean an element of the algebraic dual of the contragredient. Any closely related definition (e.g., via negative index Sobolev spaces as in [27, §2]) would also work for us. We identify  $\sigma$  with a subspace of the space of generalized vectors. The  $G$ -action extends naturally to this larger space.

By a  $\psi$ -*Whittaker vector* (or simply *Whittaker vector* when  $\psi$  is understood) we mean a generalized vector  $v$  for which  $n(x)v = \psi(x)v$  for all  $x \in F$ .

**2.8.2.** Given any (smooth) vector  $v$ , the formula

$$N_\psi v := \int_{x \in F} \psi(-x)n(x)v \, dx \tag{2.25}$$

defines a Whittaker vector in  $\sigma$ . We note that  $N_\psi$  commutes with  $G$ -equivariant homomorphisms.

**2.8.3.** Given any  $\phi_0 \in C_c^\infty(A)$ , the convolution

$$\phi_0 * N_\psi v := \int_{a \in A} \phi_0(a)aN_\psi v \tag{2.26}$$

defines an actual vector, i.e., an element of  $\sigma$ . We may give a direct definition of this vector via the formula

$$\phi_0 * N_\psi v = \int_{x \in F} \left( \int_{u \in F^\times} \phi_0(1/u)\psi(-xu)n(x)a(1/u)v \frac{du}{|u|} \right) dx \tag{2.27}$$

obtained from (2.26) by writing  $a = a(1/u)$  substituting  $x \mapsto xu$ . The iterated integral (2.27) converges in the indicated order. (To see this, integrate by parts in the  $u$ -integral with respect to the phase  $\psi(-xu)$  in the archimedean case, and use that  $v$  is invariant by an open subgroup of the unit group in the non-archimedean case.)

It follows that for any functional  $\ell$  on  $\pi$  that transforms under  $A$  with respect to a character  $\nu$ , we may canonically define  $\ell(N_\psi v)$  to be  $\ell(\phi_0 * N_\psi v)$  for any  $\phi_0$  whose Mellin transform takes the value 1 at  $\nu$ .

**2.8.4.** We record how  $N_\psi$  acts on the  $\psi$ -Kirillov model of a generic irreducible representation  $\sigma$  of  $G$ . Let  $W \in \sigma$ , realized as  $W : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with the actions  $n(x)W(t) = \psi(xt)W(t)$ ,  $a(y)W(t) = W(ty)$ . Then  $N_\psi W = W(1)\delta_1$ , where  $\delta_1$  denotes the  $\delta$ -mass at  $1 \in F^\times$ . It follows that

$$\phi_0 * N_\psi W(t) = \phi_0(1/t)W(1). \quad (2.28)$$

**2.8.5.** For the sake of concreteness, we will work throughout the paper primarily with the smooth vectors defined by (2.26) rather than the generalized vectors  $N_\psi v$ .

**2.9. Admissibility of pre-Kuznetsov weights.** We are now prepared to state the precise form of Theorem 1.4.

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $h : G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a pre-Kuznetsov weight whose kernel  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$  is supported in  $NAN'$ . Then  $h$  is admissible (§2.4). An admissible dual  $\tilde{h} : A^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is given by the convergent formulas*

$$\tilde{h}(\omega) = \int_{t \in F} h^\sharp(t) \omega\left(\frac{1-t}{t}\right) \frac{dt}{|t(1-t)|^{1/2}} \quad (2.29)$$

where

$$h^\sharp(t) = \int_{x \in F} V^\sharp\left(x, \frac{x-t}{x(1-x)}\right) \frac{dx}{|x(1-x)|} \quad (2.30)$$

where  $V^\sharp$  is defined as above in terms of the element  $V \in C_c^\infty(N \backslash G, \psi)$  defined by

$$V(g) := \int_{x \in F} \phi(n(x)g)\psi(-x) dx. \quad (2.31)$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\int_G \tilde{W}\phi = \int_{N \backslash G} \tilde{W}V$ . By lemma 2.4, we may find  $f_0 \in \pi$  so that  $V_{f_0} = V$ . Define

$$V_\sigma(g) := \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \left( \int_{N \backslash G} V\tilde{W} \right) W(g). \quad (2.32)$$

(See §6.7.6 for details regarding convergence.) Then  $V_\sigma(1) = h(\sigma)$ .

Choose  $\phi_0 \in C_c^\infty(F^\times) \cong C_c^\infty(A)$  with  $\int_A \phi_0 = 1$ , set  $\phi_0^t(y) := \phi_0(1/y)$ , and define (with notation as in §2.8)

$$f := \phi_0^t * N_\psi f_0 \in \pi. \quad (2.33)$$

In the Kirillov model, we may expand

$$W_f(t_1, t_2) = \int_{x \in F} \int_{u \in F^\times} \phi_0(u)\psi(((t_1 + t_2)/u - 1)x)W_{f_0}(t_1/u, t_2/u) dx \frac{du}{|u|}$$

and apply Fourier inversion to see that

$$W_f(t_1, t_2) = \phi_0(t_1 + t_2)W_{f_0}\left(\frac{t_1}{t_1 + t_2}, \frac{t_2}{t_1 + t_2}\right). \quad (2.34)$$

For each  $\sigma$ , the projection  $(V_f)_\sigma$  defined by analogy to (2.32) is given by

$$(V_f)_\sigma(a(y)) = \phi_0(y)(V_{f_0})_\sigma(1), \quad (2.35)$$

by (2.28). Thus

$$\ell_\sigma(f) = (V_{f_0})_\sigma(1) = h(\sigma). \quad (2.36)$$

It remains only to verify the required formula for  $\ell_\omega(f)$ . By definition,

$$\ell_\omega(f) = \int_{t_1, t_2} \omega(t_1/t_2)W_f(t_1, t_2) \frac{dt_1 dt_2}{|t_1 t_2|} = \int_{s, t} \omega(s)W_f(st, t) \frac{ds dt}{|st|}.$$

Inserting (2.34) gives

$$\ell_\omega(f) = \int_{s,t} \omega(s)\phi_0((s+1)t)W_{f_0}\left(\frac{s}{1+s}, \frac{1}{s+1}\right) \frac{ds dt}{|st|}. \quad (2.37)$$

Invoking the normalization of  $\phi_0$ , the above integral simplifies to

$$\int_s \omega(s)W_{f_0}\left(\frac{s}{s+1}, \frac{1}{s+1}\right) \frac{ds}{|s|}.$$

The substitution  $s := 1/(1-t)$  then yields

$$\int_t \omega\left(\frac{1-t}{t}\right)W_{f_0}(1-t, t) \frac{dt}{|t(1-t)|}.$$

We evaluate  $W_{f_0}$  using (2.21) to arrive at (2.29). The convergence in each step above follows from that of the Hecke integrals  $\ell_\omega(f)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.11.* One can verify, using the Whittaker–Plancherel theorem for  $G$ , that

$$\int_{\text{unitary } \sigma \in G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge} |h(\sigma)|^2 = \int_{\text{unitary } \omega \in A^\wedge} |\tilde{h}(\omega)|^2, \quad (2.38)$$

where the integrals are taken with respect to the Plancherel measures dual to the measures defined on  $G$  and  $A$ , respectively.

*Remark 2.12.* A pre-Kuznetsov weight  $h$  typically admits many kernels  $\phi$ , so the reader may find it unnatural that  $\tilde{h}$  is expressed in terms of  $\phi$  rather than  $h$ . One can express  $\tilde{h}$  directly in terms of the Bessel transform  $J_h(g) := \int_\sigma h(\sigma)J_\sigma(g)$ , where  $J_\sigma(g)$  denotes the Bessel distribution  $\sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \tilde{W}(1)W(g)$ , but our experience suggests that it is more efficient to pass first through  $\phi$ .

### 3. Estimates for local weights

**3.1. Short families.** Here we record some notation for referring in a unified manner to families of representations corresponding to “short” families of automorphic forms, such as

- for a large positive real  $T$ , the set of Maass forms of eigenvalue  $1/4 + t^2$  for some  $t \in [T-1, T+1]$  (corresponding below to the family  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}}(\omega)$  with  $\omega = |\cdot|^{it}$ ), or
- for a large prime  $p$ , the set of twists by the quadratic character  $(\cdot|p)$  of a newform on  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  or  $\Gamma_0(p)$  (corresponding below to the family  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\omega)$ , with  $\omega$  a ramified quadratic character of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ ).

Let  $F$  be a local field. We call a character  $\chi$  of  $F^\times$  *analytically unramified* if

- $F$  is non-archimedean and  $\chi$  is unramified in the usual sense (trivial restriction to the unit group), or
- $F$  is archimedean and  $\chi = |\cdot|^s$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\mathrm{Im}(s)| \leq 1$ .

For a character  $\chi$  of  $F^\times$ , let  $\Omega_F(\chi)$  denote the set of characters  $\omega$  of  $F^\times$  for which the ratio  $\omega/\chi$  is analytically unramified, and let  $\Sigma_F(\chi)$  denote the set of irreducible representations  $\sigma$  of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$  for which there exists  $\omega \in \Omega_F(\chi)$  so that either

- $\sigma$  is the principal series representation  $\mathcal{I}(\omega)$  induced by  $\omega$  (§6.2), or
- $F$  is non-archimedean,  $\omega$  is quadratic and  $\sigma$  is the twist by  $\omega$  of the Steinberg representation of  $G$  (thus  $\sigma$  is the unique irreducible quotient of  $\mathcal{I}(\omega| \cdot|^{1/2})$ ).

**3.2. Construction of suitable weights.** Let  $F$  be a local field, let  $\psi$  be a non-trivial unitary character of  $F$ , and let  $\chi$  be a unitary character of  $F^\times$ . We denote by  $Q := C(\chi)$  its analytic conductor. We aim to construct a pre-Kuznetsov weight  $h$  that is nonnegative on unitary representations and uniformly bounded from below on the family  $\Sigma_F(\chi)$ .

We give the construction of  $h$  separately in the non-archimedean and archimedean cases, but the reader will notice very close parallels.

**3.2.1. Non-archimedean case.** Suppose that  $F$  is non-archimedean, with ring of integers  $\mathfrak{o}$ , maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $q := \#\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ . We assume in this case that  $\chi$  is ramified, so that  $Q \in \{q, q^2, q^3, \dots\}$ . We assume also that  $\psi$  is unramified; this last assumption simplifies slightly the construction, but has no effect on the subsequent estimates, as explained in §2.3.

Let  $J \leq G$  denote the compact open subgroup consisting of elements of the form

$$g = n(x)a(y)n'(z) \text{ with } |x| \leq 1, |y| = 1, |z| \leq 1/Q.$$

We note that  $\text{vol}(J) = \zeta_F(1)/Q$ .

Let  $\chi_J$  denote the character of  $J$  given by  $n(x)a(y)n'(z) \mapsto \chi(y)$ .

Define  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$  to be supported on  $J$  and given there by  $\chi_J^{-1}$ .

Let  $h : G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denote the pre-Kuznetsov weight with kernel  $\phi$ .

**3.2.2. Archimedean case.** Suppose now that  $F$  is archimedean, thus  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $F = \mathbb{C}$ .

We take  $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$  sufficiently small but fixed, and then take  $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$  fixed but small enough in terms of  $\alpha_0$ . We set

$$J := \{n(x)a(y)n'(z) : |x|, |y-1|, Q|z| \leq \alpha_1\}, \quad (3.1)$$

and note that  $\text{vol}(J) \asymp 1/Q$ .

We take for  $\phi_0 \in C_c^\infty(G)$  a ‘‘smoothened characteristic function of  $J$ ,’’ by which we mean more precisely an element with the following properties:

- $\phi_0$  is supported in  $J$ , and nonnegative.
- $\int_G \phi_0 \asymp \text{vol}(J)$ .
- For any fixed multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{[F:\mathbb{R}]}$ ,

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_y^\beta \partial_z^\gamma \phi_0(n(x)a(y)n'(z)) \ll Q^{|\gamma|}.$$

Here the partial derivatives are the usual ones when  $F = \mathbb{R}$  and are given by differentiating with respect to the real and imaginary coordinates when  $F = \mathbb{C}$ . Here  $|\gamma| = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq [F:\mathbb{R}]} |\gamma_j|$ , as usual.

Such an element exists (e.g., take a product of suitably rescaled bump functions of the coordinates  $x, y, z$ ).

Let  $\chi_J$  denote the function on  $J$  given by  $n(x)a(y)n'(z) \mapsto \chi(y)$ .

We may define the multiple  $\phi_1 := \chi_J^{-1} \phi_0 \in C_c^\infty(G)$  of  $\phi_0$ . Let  $\phi_1^*(g) := \overline{\phi_1(g^{-1})}$  denote its adjoint, and define the convolution product  $\phi := \text{vol}(J)^{-1} \phi_1^* * \phi_1 \in C_c^\infty(G)$ .

Let  $h$  denote the pre-Kuznetsov weight with kernel  $\phi$ .

### 3.3. Lower bounds for weights.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Fix  $\vartheta \in [0, 1/2)$ . Let  $h$  be as in §3.2.1 ( $F$  non-archimedean,  $\chi$  ramified) or §3.2.2 ( $F$  archimedean,  $\chi$  general). Let  $\sigma \in G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge$  be unitary.*

- (i) *We have  $h(\sigma) \geq 0$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\sigma$  is  $\vartheta$ -tempered (§1.6.4) and belongs to  $\Sigma_F(\chi)$ , then  $h(\sigma) \gg_\vartheta 1/Q$ .*
- (iii) *If  $F$  is non-archimedean and  $\sigma$  is unramified, then  $h(\sigma) = 0$ .*

*Proof in the non-archimedean case.* Since  $\chi_J$  is a character of  $J$ , the normalized element  $\mathrm{vol}(J)^{-1}\phi$  defines an idempotent in the convolution algebra  $C_c^\infty(G)$ . Thus  $h(\sigma) \geq 0$ . More precisely, by (2.23), we see that  $h(\sigma)$  is the sum of  $\mathrm{vol}(J)|W(1)|^2$  taken over  $W$  in an orthonormal basis for the space

$$\sigma^{\chi_J} := \{W \in \sigma : gW = \chi_J(g)W \text{ for all } g \in J\}.$$

We now determine a criterion for when  $\sigma^{\chi_J}$  is nontrivial (see [31, Lem 22] for a related argument). We verify readily that the image of  $\sigma^{\chi_J}$  under the twisting map  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}$  consists of all vectors transforming under the group

$$K_0(Q) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : |a| = |d| = 1, |b| \leq 1, |d| \leq 1/Q \right\} \leq \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$$

via the character

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \chi^{-2}(d).$$

By newvector theory, it follows that

$$\sigma^{\chi_J} \neq \{0\} \iff C(\sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}) \leq Q = C(\chi). \quad (3.2)$$

Assertion iii follows: under its hypotheses, we have  $C(\sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}) = C(\chi^{-1})^2 = Q^2 > Q$ , so  $\sigma^{\chi_J} = \{0\}$  and thus  $h(\sigma) = 0$ .

Since  $\mathrm{vol}(J) \asymp 1/Q$ , the proof of the remaining assertions will be complete once we show that for each  $\vartheta$ -tempered  $\sigma \in \Sigma_F(\chi)$  that there is a nonzero  $W \in \sigma^{\chi_J}$  with  $|W(1)| \gg_\vartheta \|W\|$ . If  $\sigma$  belongs to the principal series, then  $\sigma = \mathcal{I}(\chi\eta)$  with  $\eta$  unramified, so  $C(\sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}) = C(\eta)C(\chi^{-2}\eta^{-1}) = C(\chi^{-2}) \leq C(\chi)$  (see [41, p8]). If  $\sigma$  is a twist of Steinberg, then  $\sigma$  is the irreducible quotient of  $\mathcal{I}(|\cdot|^{1/2}\chi\eta)$  with  $\eta$  unramified, so  $C(\sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}) = q \leq C(\chi)$  (see *loc. cit.*). In either case, the inequality in (3.2) holds, and so  $\sigma^{\chi_J} \neq 0$ .

The proof of the criterion (3.2) shows moreover that if  $\sigma \in \Sigma_F(\chi)$ , then  $\sigma^{\chi_J}$  contains the inverse image of a newvector  $W$  under the map  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$  for some unitary twist  $\sigma'$  of  $\sigma$ . As recalled in §6.3.5, we have  $\|W\|^2 = |W(1)|^2 L(\mathrm{ad} \sigma', 1) / \zeta_F(2)$  for any such  $W$ . Since  $\sigma$  and hence also  $\sigma'$  is  $\vartheta$ -tempered, we have  $L(\mathrm{ad} \sigma', 1) \asymp_\vartheta 1$ , thus  $W(1) \gg_\vartheta \|W\|$ . (In fact, both representations are tempered unless  $\sigma$  is a quadratic twist of a non-tempered unramified representation.) The twisting map  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$  is unitary, so the inverse image of  $W$  has the same norm as  $W$ , and the required lower bound  $W(1) \gg_\vartheta \|W\|$  follows.  $\square$

*Proof in the archimedean case.* (We note that the results proved here are not used in the present paper, but should be of direct use in future work.) We have

$$h(\sigma) = \mathrm{vol}(J)^{-1} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} |\phi_1 * W(1)|^2, \quad (3.3)$$

so  $h(\sigma) \geq 0$ . Suppose now that  $\sigma \in \Sigma_F(\chi)$ . Since  $\mathrm{vol}(J) \asymp 1/Q$ , it suffices to show that there is a unit vector  $W \in \sigma$  with  $|\phi_1^* * W(1)| \gg 1/Q$ . The proof will be very

similar to that given above in the non-archimedean case, but making use of the “analytic newvector theory” given in §B rather than the “usual” newvector theory. The reader might wish to skim §B before proceeding.

Set  $\sigma' := \sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}$ . We may assume  $\alpha_0$  taken small enough that Theorem B.1 holds with  $\delta = 1/2$  and  $\varepsilon = \alpha_0$ . Let  $W' \in \sigma'$  denote the unit vector produced by that result, and let  $W \in \sigma$  denote the inverse image of  $W'$ . With notation as in §B, set

$$J' := K_1(C(\sigma'), C(\omega_{\sigma'}\omega^{-1}), \alpha_0) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(F).$$

The conclusion of Theorem B.1 then reads

$$|W'(g) - \eta_{\chi^{-2}}(g)| \leq 1/2 \text{ for all } g \in J'. \quad (3.4)$$

The central character  $\omega_{\sigma'}$  is  $\chi^{-2}$ , so with  $\omega := \chi^{-2}$  we have  $C(\omega_{\sigma'}\omega^{-1}) \ll 1$  (perhaps equal to 1, depending upon one’s convention). We may write  $\sigma$  as the normalized induction of some character  $\eta$  of  $F^\times$ , with  $\eta/\chi$  analytically unramified. From this it follows that  $C(\sigma') = C(\eta/\chi)C(\eta^{-1}/\chi) \asymp C(\chi^{-2}) \asymp Q$ . Since  $\alpha_1$  is small in terms of  $\alpha_0$ , we see that  $J$  is contained in the image of  $J'$  under the quotient map  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F) \rightarrow G$ . In other words, each  $g \in J$  admits a lift  $\tilde{g} \in J'$ .

We note also that the product  $\chi(\det g)\eta_{\chi^{-2}}(g)$ , defined initially for  $g \in J'$ , descends to a well-defined function of  $g \in J$ . More precisely, by lifting  $g = n(x)a(y)n'(z)$  to the matrix

$$\tilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ z & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y + xz & x \\ z & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$$

we see that

$$\chi(\det g)\eta_{\chi^{-2}}(g) = \chi_J(g) \quad (3.5)$$

Since  $W(g) = \chi(\det g)W'(g)$ , we deduce that

$$|W(g) - \chi_J(g)| \leq 1/2 \text{ for all } g \in J. \quad (3.6)$$

Expanding out

$$\phi_1 * W(1) = \int_{g \in G} \phi_0(g)\chi_J^{-1}(g)W(g), \quad (3.7)$$

it follows that

$$|\phi_1 * W(1) - \int_G \phi_0| \leq (1/2) \int_G \phi_0, \quad (3.8)$$

hence that  $|\phi_1 * W(1)| \geq (1/2) \int_G \phi_0 \gg 1/Q$ , as required.  $\square$

**3.4. Upper bounds for dual weights.** Let  $\tilde{h}$  denote the dual admissible weight furnished by Theorem 2.10, and let  $\omega$  be a unitary character of  $F^\times \cong A$ . We aim to estimate  $\tilde{h}(\omega)$ .

We do this in the case that  $F$  is non-archimedean and, as before,  $\chi$  is ramified and  $\psi$  is unramified. This case is the relevant one for our immediate applications. It should be possible to carry out analogous archimedean calculations, but we leave those for future work.

We assume moreover that  $F$  is of characteristic zero, since it will be convenient in some proofs to refer to the exponential map without fuss. This case is anyway the relevant one in applications to the subconvexity problem.

*Definition 3.2.* We say that the pair  $(\chi, \omega)$  is *atypical* if

- $q$  is odd and sufficiently large in terms of the degree of  $F$ ,
- $-1$  is a square in  $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ ,

- $C(\chi) \geq q^3$ , say  $C(\chi) = q^{\alpha+\alpha'}$  with  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha' \leq \alpha + 1$ ,
- $C(\omega) = C(\chi)$ , and
- the class  $\xi \in \mathfrak{o}^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{\alpha'})$  characterized by  $\omega(\exp(a)) = \chi(\exp(\xi a))$  for  $a \in \mathfrak{p}^\alpha$  satisfies  $1 + 4\xi^2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ .

We note that  $(\chi, \omega)$  is atypical if and only if  $(\chi, \omega^{-1})$  is atypical.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\omega$  be a unitary character of  $F^\times \cong A$ .*

- (i) *If  $C(\omega) = 1$ , then  $\tilde{h}(\omega) \ll 1$ .*
- (ii) *If  $C(\omega) > Q$ , then  $\tilde{h}(\omega) = 0$ .*
- (iii) *If  $1 < C(\omega) \leq Q$ , then  $\tilde{h}(\omega) \ll 1/Q$  unless  $(\chi, \omega)$  is atypical.*
- (iv) *Suppose that  $(\chi, \omega)$  is atypical. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$  be as above. Then*

$$\tilde{h}(\omega) \ll \frac{N_\alpha(\xi)}{Q} \cdot \begin{cases} q^{1/2} & \text{if } C(\chi) = q^{2\alpha+1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } C(\chi) = q^{2\alpha}, \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

where  $N_\alpha(\xi) := \#\{\tau \in \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}^\alpha : \xi^2 \tau^2 - \tau - 1 \equiv 0\}$ .

Note the similarity between these estimates and those of [34, §3].

*Proof.* Define  $V$  in terms of  $\phi$  as in §2.9. Then

$$V(a(y)n'(z)) = 1_{|y|=1} 1_{|z| \leq 1/Q} \chi(y), \quad (3.10)$$

and so

$$V^\wedge(\xi, z) = 1_{|z| \leq 1/Q} \int_y 1_{|y|=1} \chi(y) \psi(\xi y) dy. \quad (3.11)$$

Standard properties of Gauss sums imply that this last integral vanishes unless  $|\xi| = Q$ . Thus

$$V^\wedge(\xi, -x/\xi) = 1_{|x| \leq 1} \int_y 1_{|y|=1} \chi(y) \psi(\xi y) dy \quad (3.12)$$

and so by Fourier inversion,

$$V^\sharp(x, y) = 1_{|x| \leq 1} 1_{|y|=1} \chi(y). \quad (3.13)$$

Theorem 2.10 now gives

$$\tilde{h}(\omega) = \int_{\substack{x, t \in F: \\ |x| \leq 1, \\ |t-x|=|x(1-x)|}} \omega\left(\frac{1-t}{t}\right) \chi\left(\frac{x-t}{x(1-x)}\right) \frac{dt dx}{|t(1-t)|^{1/2} |x(1-x)|}. \quad (3.14)$$

Observe that the support conditions imply that at least one of the following pair of conditions holds:

- $|x| = |t| = 1$
- $|1-x| = |1-t| = 1$

(For instance, if  $|x| < 1$  and  $|1-t| < 1$ , then  $|t| = 1 = |1-x|$ , thus  $1 = |t-x| = |x| < 1$ , contradiction.) The two pairs of conditions are swapped by the substitution  $x \mapsto 1-x, t \mapsto 1-t$ , which also swaps  $\omega$  with  $\omega^{-1}$ . Thus

$$|\tilde{h}(\omega)| \leq |\rho(\omega)| + |\rho(\omega^{-1})| + |\rho'(\omega)|$$

where

$$\rho(\omega) := \int_{\substack{x, t \in F: \\ |x| \leq 1, \\ |t-x|=|x(1-x)|, \\ |1-x|=|1-t|=1}} \omega\left(\frac{1-t}{t}\right) \chi\left(\frac{x-t}{x(1-x)}\right) \frac{dt dx}{|t(1-t)|^{1/2} |x(1-x)|}. \quad (3.15)$$

and  $\rho'$  is defined similarly but with the additional conditions  $|x| = |t| = 1$ . We thereby reduce to bounding  $\rho(\omega)$  and  $\rho'(\omega)$ .

We introduce the new variables  $u := x/t, v := 1/x$ , so that  $x = 1/v, t = 1/uv$ . We compute that  $|\partial(x, t)/\partial(u, v)| = 1/|u^2 v^3| = |t^2 x|$ , from which it follows that

$$\frac{dt dx}{|t(1-t)|^{1/2}|x(1-x)|} = \left| \frac{uv}{uv-1} \right|^{1/2} \left| \frac{v}{v-1} \right| \frac{du dv}{|uv|^{3/2}}, \quad (3.16)$$

thus

$$\rho(\omega) = \int_{\substack{u, v \in F: \\ |u-1|=|u|, \\ |v-1|=|v|, \\ |uv-1|=|uv|}} \omega(uv-1) \chi\left(\frac{1-1/u}{1-1/v}\right) \frac{du dv}{|uv|^{3/2}}. \quad (3.17)$$

(Compare with the exponential sums occurring in [12, 32, 34].) The integration conditions force  $|u|, |v| \geq 1$ , so we may split the integral dyadically as

$$\rho(\omega) = \sum_{U, V \in \{1, q, q^2, \dots\}} (UV)^{-1/2} \rho_{U, V},$$

where

$$\rho_{U, V} := \int_{\substack{u, v \in F: \\ |u-1|=|u|=U, \\ |v-1|=|v|=V, \\ |uv-1|=UV}} \omega(uv-1) \chi\left(\frac{1-1/u}{1-1/v}\right) \frac{du dv}{|uv|}. \quad (3.18)$$

We note that  $\rho'(\omega) = \rho_{1, 1}$ . Our task thereby reduces to estimating the dyadic integrals  $\rho_{U, V}$  suitably. We record proofs of adequate estimates in Appendix A.  $\square$

#### 4. Moral proofs of the main applications

Here we record “moral proofs” of Theorems 1.1 and 1.5 – that is to say, assuming that the basic identity (1.5) holds and ignoring the degenerate terms  $(\dots)$ , whose definition and treatment we postpone.

**4.1. The case of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $F$  be a number field, fix a nontrivial unitary character  $\psi$  of  $\mathbb{A}/F$ . Let  $\sigma_0$  be either a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{A})$  or a unitary Eisenstein series. Let  $\chi$  be a quadratic character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$ , with analytic conductor  $Q := C(\chi)$ . Recall that our aim is to show that

$$L(\sigma_0 \otimes \chi, 1/2) \ll_{\sigma_0} Q^{1/3+\varepsilon}.$$

We will do so using (1.5), the lower bounds established for various  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and the upper bounds established for various  $\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

It suffices to consider the following cases:

- $\sigma_0$  is cuspidal.
- $\sigma_0 = \mathrm{Eis}^*(\mathcal{I}(0))$ , i.e.,  $\sigma_0$  is the unitary Eisenstein series with degenerate parameter for which  $L(\sigma_0, s) = \zeta_F(s)^2$ . In that case, we use the standard estimate

$$L(\chi, 1/2)^6 \ll Q^\varepsilon \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}: |t| \leq 1} t^2 |L(\chi, 1/2 + it)|^6 dt, \quad (4.1)$$

which may be deduced (in sharper forms) via the convexity bound for the derivatives of  $t \mapsto L(\chi, 1/2 + it)$ . (The exponents 2 and 6 are not special.)

Let  $S_0$  denote the set consisting of all infinite places of  $F$  together with all finite places at which  $\sigma_0$  ramifies. Let  $S_1$  denote the set of finite places not in  $S_0$  at which  $\chi$  ramifies. Set  $S := S_0 \cup S_1$ . The consequence  $\exp(\#S) \ll Q^\varepsilon$  of the divisor bound may be used to control products of implied constants indexed by  $S$ . We note also that, thanks to any fixed bound  $\vartheta < 1/2$  towards Ramanujan, any local  $L$ -factor appearing on either side of (1.5) is  $\exp(O(1))$ . These observations imply that any product of such factors taken over  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$  is of size  $Q^{o(1)}$  as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$ .

To each  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$  we attach an admissible weight  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , as follows:

- Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in S_0$ . By lemma 2.9, we may find  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  nonnegative on unitary representations and bounded from below by 1 on the local component  $\sigma_{0,\mathfrak{p}}$  together with each of its quadratic twists. If  $\sigma = \mathrm{Eis}^*(\mathcal{I}(0))$ , we may assume moreover that  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $\geq 1$  on  $\{\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(it) : |t| \leq 1\}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(it)$  denotes the corresponding induced representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . In either case, we estimate the dual  $\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  crudely via lemma 2.1.
- For  $\mathfrak{p} \in S_1$ , our assumptions imply that  $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is ramified. We construct  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  as in §3.2 to majorize the family  $\Sigma_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , and estimate the dual  $\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  via §3.4.

By (4.1), the lower bounds for  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  proved in §3.3, and standard upper bounds for  $L^{(S),*}(\sigma \times \sigma, 1)$ , we have

$$L(\sigma_0 \otimes \chi, 1/2)^3 \ll_{\sigma_0} Q^{1+\varepsilon} \int_{\substack{\sigma:\text{generic,} \\ \text{unram. outside } S}} \frac{L^{(S)}(\sigma, 1/2)^3}{L^{(S),*}(\sigma \times \sigma, 1)} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} h_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}). \quad (4.2)$$

By the precise form of Theorem 2.10 stated below in §10.3, the integral on the RHS of (4.2) is equal to a degenerate term ( $\dots$ ) plus

$$\int_{\substack{\omega:\text{unitary,} \\ \text{unram. outside } S}} \frac{|L^{(S)}(\omega, 1/2)|^4}{\zeta_F^{(S),*}(1)^2} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}), \quad (4.3)$$

at least if  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is unramified for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ ; in general, the indicated relation holds up to a scalar  $\asymp 1$ , by the remarks of §2.3.

By the upper bounds for  $\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  noted above, we may majorize (4.3) by

$$Q^{-1+\varepsilon} \int_{\substack{\omega:\text{unitary,} \\ \text{unram. outside } S, \\ C(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) \ll 1 \text{ for finite } \mathfrak{p} \in S_0, \\ C(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq C(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ for } \mathfrak{p} \in S_1}} |L(\omega, 1/2)|^4 \prod_{\substack{\text{infinite } \mathfrak{p}}} C(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}})^{-d} \quad (4.4)$$

for any fixed  $d$ , which we take sufficiently large.

We claim that (4.4) is  $\ll Q^{2\varepsilon}$ . To see this, it suffices to show for any collection  $(X_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of parameters  $X_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 1$ , with  $X_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$  for almost all  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$  belonging to the value group of  $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p}$ , that

$$\int_{\substack{\omega:\text{unitary,} \\ C(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq X_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p}}} |L(\omega, 1/2)|^4 \ll \left( \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} X_{\mathfrak{p}} \right)^{1+\varepsilon}. \quad (4.5)$$

Such an estimate is implicit in work of Han Wu [47] on the subconvexity problem for the  $L$ -functions  $L(\omega, 1/2)$ . Wu gives a geometric proof, using unipotent translates of Eisenstein series as in Sarnak [40] and Michel–Venkatesh [27], of bounds for amplified fourth moments of  $L(\omega, 1/2)$  over families as in (4.5). Omitting the amplifier, his arguments give the required estimate. In fact, such arguments may be understood as special cases of (1.5) in which the cubic moment side is particularly

simple, so it should be instructive in future work to revisit those cases from this perspective.

We verify below in §13, §14 that the degenerate term  $(\dots)$  in (1.5) satisfies the estimate  $(\dots) \ll Q^\varepsilon$ . (Morally, this corresponds “up to logarithms” to the fact that the kernels  $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  used to define  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in S_1$  satisfy  $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}(1) \ll 1$ .) Assuming this, the required bound for  $L(\sigma_0 \otimes \omega, 1/2)$  follows.

**4.2. The case of Theorem 1.5.** Recall that  $\chi$  is a character of  $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$  with  $\chi_\infty$  trivial and finite conductor cubefree. We define  $S = S_0 \cup S_1$ , with  $S_0$  the set of archimedean places and  $S_1$  the set of finite places at which  $\chi$  ramifies. For  $\mathfrak{p} \in S_0$ , we choose  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to be nonnegative on unitary representations and bounded from below by 1 on  $\{\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(it) : |t| \leq 1\}$ . For  $\mathfrak{p} \in S_1$ , we choose  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  as in §3.2 to majorize  $\Sigma_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . We then argue exactly as in §4.1. The cubefree hypothesis ensures that we avoid the atypical case of Proposition 3.3 when we estimate  $\tilde{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in S_1$ .

## Part 2. Preliminaries on integral representations and spectral decomposition

We recall here the basic local and global theory relevant for studying  $L$ -functions on  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  via their (regularized) integral representations, together with some basics concerning automorphic forms and their (regularized) spectral expansions. As general references, we mention [11, 27, 13, 8, 20, 18, 14].

### 5. Regularized integration

We record a notion of regularized integration, adapted from [27, §4.3] (see also [50, 49]), that is adequate for our purposes.

**5.1.** Let  $A$  be a locally compact abelian group, but not a finite group. Let  $X$  be an  $A$ -space, equipped with an invariant measure  $\mu$ . We say that a measurable function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is *regularizable* (with respect to  $\mu$  and  $A$ ) if there is a bounded variation complex Borel measure  $r$  on  $A$ , with  $\int_A r \neq 0$ , so that the convolution  $r * f$  lies in  $L^1(X, \mu)$ ; the *regularized integral* is then defined to be the ratio

$$\int_X^{\mathrm{reg}} f d\mu := \frac{\int_X r * f}{\int_A r}.$$

The definition is independent of the choice of  $r$ , and defines an  $A$ -invariant functional on the space of regularizable functions on  $X$ . This notion of regularized integration generalizes those cited above, but applies a bit more generally, e.g., to the integrals used to define Whittaker functions of principal series representations.

**5.2.** A *finite* function  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is one whose  $A$ -translates span a finite-dimensional space  $\langle A\phi \rangle$ ; if that space does not contain the trivial representation of  $A$ , then  $\phi$  is called *admissible*. An *exponent*  $\chi$  of a finite function  $\phi$  is a character of  $A$  that arises as a generalized eigenvalue for the action of  $A$  on  $\langle A\phi \rangle$ .

We say that  $f$  is *strongly regularizable* if there is a finite cover  $X = \cup U_i$  and admissible finite functions  $\varphi_i$  on  $X$  so that  $f - \varphi_i$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $U_i$ ; in that case,  $f$  is regularizable.

**5.3.** Given a family of integrable functions  $f_s$  depending pointwise holomorphically upon a complex parameter  $s$ , a standard criterion for their integrals  $\int f_s$  to vary holomorphically is that  $|f_s| \leq h$  for some integrable function  $h$ . This criterion may be applied locally in  $s$ .

We have a similar criterion for regularized integrals, which may also be applied locally:

*Lemma 5.1.* *Suppose given a complex manifold  $M$  and a family of measurable functions  $f_s : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  indexed by  $s \in M$  that vary pointwise holomorphically (i.e., for each  $x \in X$ , the map  $s \mapsto f_s(x)$  is holomorphic). Suppose that we may find*

- a finite cover  $X = \cup_{i=1}^n U_i$ ,
- admissible finite functions  $\varphi_{i,s}$  on  $X$  that vary pointwise holomorphically, and
- integrable functions  $h_i$  on  $U_i$  so that  $|f_s - \varphi_{i,s}| \leq h_i$ .

Assume that there exists  $r \geq 0$  so that for each  $i$  and each  $s$ , the dimension of the span of the  $A$ -translates of  $\varphi_{i,s}$  is bounded by  $r$ . Assume that  $A$  has at least  $r+2$  elements. Then each  $f_s$  is strongly regularizable and the map  $M \ni s \mapsto \int_X^{\mathrm{reg}} f_s d\mu$  is holomorphic.

*Proof.* Choose distinct elements  $a_1, \dots, a_{r+2} \in A$ . For each  $i \in \{1..n\}$  and  $s \in M$ , consider the system of linear equations in the variables  $c_1^i(s), \dots, c_{r+2}^i(s) \in \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$\sum_j c_j^i(s) = 1,$$

$$\sum_j c_j^i(s) \varphi_{i,s}(a_j x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

The assumption on the dimension of the span of the translates of the  $\varphi_{i,s}$  implies that there are more variables than independent equations. The assumption that  $\varphi_{i,s}$  is admissible implies then that the system is solvable for each  $s$ . By passing to an open subset of  $M$ , we may find a specific invertible minor in the matrix describing this system and hence a family of solutions  $c_j^i(s)$  that vary holomorphically with  $s$ . Having chosen one such family, let  $\kappa_s^i$  denote the measure on  $A$  given by the linear combination of point masses  $\sum_j c_j^i(s) \delta_{a_j}$ . Take for  $\kappa_s := \kappa_s^1 * \dots * \kappa_s^n$  their convolution product. Then  $\int \kappa_s = 1$ , while each convolution  $\kappa_s * \varphi_{i,s}$  vanishes. Thus  $\kappa_s * f_s$  is integrable and

$$\int_X^{\mathrm{reg}} f_s d\mu = \int_X (\kappa_s * f_s) d\mu. \quad (5.1)$$

Moreover,  $|\kappa_s * f_s|$  is bounded on  $U_i$ , locally uniformly in  $s$ , by a linear combination of  $h_i$  and its translates. Thus the standard criterion implies that the RHS of (5.1) is holomorphic in  $s$ .  $\square$

**5.4.** We will apply these notions primarily when either

- $A$  is the multiplicative group of a local field  $F$  and  $X = A$ , or
- $A$  is the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  of the adèle ring  $\mathbb{A}$  of a global field  $F$  and  $X = \mathbb{A}^\times / F^\times$ ,

equipped with suitable Haar measures. In either case, a convenient cover is given by  $X = U_\infty \cup U_0$  with  $U_\infty := \{x \in X : |x| \geq 1\}$  and  $U_0 := \{x \in X : |x| < 1\}$ . The finite functions are the linear combinations of functions of the form  $y \mapsto \chi(y) \log^{m-1} |y|$

for some character  $\chi$  of  $X$  and some positive integer  $m$ . If  $f$  is strongly regularizable (with respect to this cover), then its regularized integral may be defined as above using convolution, or (as noted in [27, §4.3]) in other equivalent ways:

- By truncation: We may write  $\int_{x \in X: 1/T \leq |x| \leq T} f(x) d\mu(x)$  as the sum  $g(T) + h(T)$ , where  $g$  is an admissible finite function on the value group  $\{|x| : x \in X\}$  and  $h(T)$  has a limit as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ; then  $\int_X^{\text{reg}} f = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} h(T)$ .
- By meromorphic continuation: The integrals  $\int_{x \in U_\infty} f(x)|x|^s d\mu(x)$  and  $\int_{x \in U_0} f(x)|x|^s d\mu(x)$  converge absolutely for  $\text{Re}(s)$  sufficiently negative and positive, respectively. They extend meromorphically to functions  $F_\infty, F_0$  on the complex plane for which  $\int_X^{\text{reg}} f = F_\infty(0) + F_0(0)$ .

Alternatively, we may find an admissible finite function  $\phi_\infty$  so that the integral  $F(s) := \int_{x \in X} (f - \phi_\infty)(x)|x|^s d\mu(x)$  converges absolutely for  $\text{Re}(s)$  sufficiently large. Then  $F$  continues meromorphically to the complex plane, and

$$\int_X^{\text{reg}} f = F(0). \quad (5.2)$$

## 6. Local preliminaries

Let  $F$  be a local field. In the non-archimedean case, we denote by  $\mathfrak{o}$  the maximal order,  $\mathfrak{p}$  the maximal ideal and  $q := \#\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ .

We fix a nontrivial unitary character  $\psi$  of  $F$ .

### 6.1. Basic notation and terminology.

**6.1.1. Groups.** We set  $G := \text{PGL}_2(F)$ ,  $N := \{n(x) : x \in F\}$ ,  $A := \{a(y) : y \in F^\times\}$ ,  $N' := \{n'(z) : z \in F\}$ , and  $B := NA$ . We let  $K \leq G$  denote the standard maximal compact subgroup. We identify  $N \cong F$ ,  $A \cong F^\times$ , and  $N' \cong F$  in the evident way; in particular, we identify their character groups.

**6.1.2. Convention on “representation”.** By this we mean a smooth representation in the non-archimedean case (see [8, §4.2]) and a smooth moderate growth Fréchet representation in the archimedean case (see [9], [45, §11], [4]).

We will use freely that any irreducible representation of  $G$  is self-dual, i.e., isomorphic to its own contragredient (see [8, Exercise 2.5.8, Thm 4.2.2]).

**6.1.3. “Unramified”.** We recall that an irreducible representation of  $G$  is called *unramified* if it has a nonzero  $K$ -invariant vector, in which case the space of such vectors is one-dimensional. A character of  $F^\times$  is *unramified* if it is of the form  $|\cdot|^s$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ . We may likewise speak of unramified characters of  $A \cong F^\times$ .

We say that  $(F, \psi)$  is *unramified* if  $F$  is non-archimedean and  $\psi$  is *unramified*, i.e., trivial on  $\mathfrak{o}$  but not on  $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}$ .

**6.1.4.** We denote by  $A^\wedge$  the group of characters  $\chi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , or equivalently,  $\chi : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . It has the natural structure of a Riemann surface with at most countably connected components, with charts given by  $\chi|\cdot|^s \mapsto s$ .

**6.1.5.** We denote by  $G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge$  the set of isomorphism classes of generic representations  $\sigma$  of  $G$ . It has a natural complex structure, recalled below in §6.3.4.

**6.1.6. Local zeta functions.** We write  $\zeta_F(s)$  for the local zeta function, given respectively by  $\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)$  or  $2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)$  or  $(1 - q^{-s})^{-1}$  according as  $F$  is real or complex or non-archimedean. We define local  $L$ -factors in terms of these in the usual way (see e.g. §B.2).

**6.1.7. Measures.** We equip the additive group  $F$  with the  $\psi$ -self-dual Haar measure  $dx$  and the multiplicative group  $F^\times$  with the measure  $\frac{dy}{|y|}$ . We note that if  $(F, \psi)$  is unramified, then

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathfrak{o}^\times, \frac{dy}{|y|}) = 1/\zeta_F(1). \quad (6.1)$$

By our identifications, we obtain Haar measures on  $N, A, N'$ . We equip  $G$  with the Haar given by the pushforward of  $dx \frac{dy}{|y|} dz$  under the map  $F \times F^\times \times F \rightarrow G$ ,  $(x, y, z) \mapsto n(x)a(y)wn(z)$ , or equivalently, under  $(x, y, z) \mapsto n(x)a(y)n'(z)$ . If  $(F, \psi)$  is unramified, then  $\mathrm{vol}(K) = 1/\zeta_F(2)$  with respect to the chosen Haar on  $G$  (see [27, §3.1.6] and (6.1)).

**6.1.8. Tate local functional equation.** We write

$$\gamma(\psi, \chi, s) = \varepsilon(\psi, \chi, s)L(\chi^{-1}, 1 - s)/L(\chi, s)$$

for the Tate local  $\gamma$ -factor (see [43], [8, §3.1]), characterized by Tate's local functional equation: for each  $\phi$  in the Schwartz–Bruhat space  $\mathcal{S}(F)$ , we have the identity of meromorphic functions

$$\int_{x \in F^\times}^{\mathrm{reg}} \phi(x)\chi(x)|x|^s \frac{dx}{|x|} = \frac{\int_{x \in F^\times}^{\mathrm{reg}} (\int_{y \in F} \phi(y)\psi(-yx) dy)\chi^{-1}(x)|x|^{1-s} \frac{dx}{|x|}}{\gamma(\psi, \chi, s)}. \quad (6.2)$$

When  $\chi$  is trivial we write simply  $\gamma(\psi, s)$ . By Stirling's formula and its non-archimedean variants (see [27, §3.1.12]), we have

$$1/\gamma(\psi, \chi, s) \asymp C(|\chi| \cdot |s|)^{\mathrm{Re}(\chi) + \mathrm{Re}(s) - 1/2} \quad (6.3)$$

provided that  $|\chi| \cdot |s|$  has bounded real part and is a fixed positive distance away from any poles of  $L(\chi, s)$ . By Cauchy's theorem, an upper bound of the same quality follows for any given Laurent coefficient at such a pole.

## 6.2. Induced representations.

**6.2.1. Notation.** Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $A$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  the corresponding induced representation of  $G$ , consisting of smooth  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $f(n(x)a(y)g) = |y|^{1/2}\chi(y)f(g)$ .

We write simply  $\mathcal{I}(s)$  for  $\mathcal{I}(| \cdot |^s)$ . We will never use the potentially ambiguous notation  $\mathcal{I}(1)$ .

**6.2.2. Families of vectors.** By a holomorphic family of vectors  $f[\chi] \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  defined for  $\chi$  in some open subset  $X$  of  $A^\wedge$ , we mean a continuous map

$$\begin{aligned} X \times G &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\chi, g) &\mapsto f[\chi](g) \end{aligned}$$

such that

- $f[\chi] \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  for each  $\chi \in X$ ,
- the map  $X \ni \chi \mapsto f[\chi](g) \in \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic for each  $g \in G$ ,
- if  $F$  is non-archimedean, then for each  $\chi_0 \in X$  there is a compact open subgroup  $U$  of  $G$  so that the vector  $f[\chi]$  is  $U$ -invariant for all  $\chi$  in some neighborhood of  $\chi_0$ , and

- if  $F$  is archimedean, then for every continuous seminorm  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $C^\infty(K)$ , the composition  $X \ni \chi \mapsto \mathcal{N}(f[\chi])$  is locally bounded.

By a flat family of vectors  $f[\chi] \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  we mean one for which  $f[\chi](g)$  is locally constant in  $\chi$  for each  $g \in K$ . We note that any holomorphic family is locally a sum of flat families consisting of  $K$ -isotypic vectors with holomorphic coefficients, where the sum is finite for  $F$  non-archimedean and rapidly-convergent for  $F$  archimedean.

**6.2.3.** *The standard intertwining operator.* It is the  $G$ -equivariant map  $M : \mathcal{I}(\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\chi^{-1})$  is given for  $\chi$  of large real part by  $Mf(g) := \int_{n \in N} f(wng)$  and in general by meromorphic continuation. One may check (see e.g. [8, Prop 4.5.9]) that

$$W_{Mf} = \gamma(\psi, \chi^{-2}, 1)W_f. \quad (6.4)$$

**6.2.4.** There is a natural duality between  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(\chi^{-1})$ , given by  $(f, \tilde{f}) \mapsto \int_{B \backslash G} f \tilde{f}$ . Here we equip  $B \backslash G$  with the quotient measure corresponding to the left Haar on  $B$  described by the map  $A \times N \rightarrow B$ ,  $(a, n) \mapsto an$ . In view of our choice of Haar on  $G$ , we may write  $\int_{B \backslash G} f \tilde{f}$  as  $\int_{n \in N} f(wn) \tilde{f}(wn)$ , or as  $\int_K f \tilde{f}$  for a suitable Haar on  $K$  (see [27, §3.1.6]).

**6.2.5.** For any (irreducible)  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$ , the  $L$ -,  $\varepsilon$ - and  $\gamma$ -factors (§B.2) factor, e.g., as  $L(\mathcal{I}(\chi), s) = L(\chi, s)L(\chi^{-1}, s)$ .

**6.2.6.** The representation  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  is irreducible precisely when  $\chi$  is not a pole of  $L(\mathcal{I}(\chi) \otimes \mathcal{I}(\chi^{-1}), 1) = L(\chi^2, 1)\zeta_F(1)L(\chi^{-2}, 1)$ . In that case,  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  is generic. These assertions follow, for instance, from case-by-case examination of [15].

**6.2.7.** Suppose that  $F$  is non-archimedean and  $\chi$  is unramified. Then  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  is unramified. The *normalized spherical element*  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  is defined to be the unique  $K$ -invariant vector with  $f(1) = 1$ , thus  $f(n(x)a(y)k) = |y|^{1/2}\chi(y)$  for  $x \in F, y \in F^\times, k \in K$ .

### 6.3. Generic representations.

**6.3.1.** For a generic irreducible representation  $\sigma$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$  its  $\psi$ -Whittaker model, which consists of smooth functions  $W : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $W(n(x)g) = \psi(x)W(g)$ .

**6.3.2.** Suppose  $(F, \psi)$  and  $\sigma$  are unramified. Then the nonzero  $K$ -invariant vectors  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$  satisfy  $W(1) \neq 0$  [8, Thm 4.6.5]. The *normalized spherical Whittaker function*  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$  is defined to be the unique  $K$ -invariant vector with  $W(1) = 1$ . Such an element exists (see *loc. cit.*).

**6.3.3.** For  $\chi \in A^\wedge$  and  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$ , we define

$$W_f(g) := \int_{x \in F} f(wn(x)g)\psi(-x) dx, \quad \tilde{W}_f(g) := \int_{x \in F} f(wn(x)g)\psi(x) dx.$$

The integrals converge absolutely for  $\text{Re}(\chi)$  sufficiently large and may be defined in general by regularization as discussed after (2.2) or analytic continuation [45, Thm 15.4.1]. If  $\sigma$  is an irreducible generic quotient of  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$ , then  $f \mapsto W_f$  and  $f \mapsto \tilde{W}_f$  define isomorphisms from  $\sigma$  to its respective Whittaker models  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \bar{\psi})$ .

We note the formula

$$W_f(y) := W_f(a(y)) = |y|^{1/2} \chi^{-1}(y) \int_{x \in F} f(w_n(x)) \psi(-yx) dx. \quad (6.5)$$

**6.3.4.** For each  $\sigma \in G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge$ , we have that either (see [15])

- $\sigma$  is the unique generic subquotient of the induced representation  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  for some character  $\chi$  of  $A$ , with  $\chi$  uniquely determined up to the equivalence relation  $\chi \sim \chi^{-1}$ , or
- $F$  is non-archimedean and  $\sigma$  is supercuspidal.

Moreover, if  $\sigma$  is a generic subquotient of  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  other than  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$ , then  $\sigma$  is square-integrable.

In particular,  $G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge$  admits the structure of a complex manifold, given by the quotient of the Riemann surface  $A^\wedge$  by the relation  $\chi \sim \chi^{-1}$  together with, in the non-archimedean case, countably many isolated points, corresponding to the supercuspidal representations.

**6.3.5.** Let  $\sigma$  be a generic irreducible representation of  $G$ , realized in its  $\psi$ -Whittaker model  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$ . The contragredient of  $\sigma$  may then be realized as the  $\bar{\psi}$ -Whittaker model  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \bar{\psi})$ . More precisely, for  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$  and  $\tilde{W} \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \bar{\psi})$ , the regularized integral

$$\int_A^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W} W$$

exists and defines a nondegenerate  $G$ -invariant pairing (see [5, §6.4] and [2, §10.2]).

In the case that  $\sigma = \mathcal{I}(\chi)$ , we have by Parseval that

$$\int_{B \backslash G} \tilde{f} f = \int_A^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W}_{\tilde{f}} W_f, \quad (6.6)$$

first when  $-1/2 < \mathrm{Re}(\chi) < 1/2$  (so that the latter integral converges absolutely, see [15, §1.9, §1.10]), then in general by analytic continuation along a flat family.

If  $(F, \psi)$  and  $\sigma$  are unramified and  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$  and  $\tilde{W} \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \bar{\psi})$  are normalized spherical, then  $\int_A^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W} W = L(\mathrm{ad} \sigma, 1) / \zeta_F(2)$  (see [8, Proof of Prop 3.8.1]).

#### 6.4. Normalized elements of induced representations.

**6.4.1.** When  $\chi$  is not a pole of  $L(\chi^2, 1)$ , we attach to each  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  its multiple

$$f^* := L(\chi^2, 1) f. \quad (6.7)$$

**6.4.2.** Suppose that  $F$  is non-archimedean and  $\chi$  is unramified, so that  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  is unramified. Let  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  and  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{I}(\chi^{-1})$  denote the normalized spherical elements. Then:

- for unramified  $\psi$ , the Whittaker function  $W_{f^*}$  and  $\tilde{W}_{\tilde{f}^*}$  are normalized spherical (i.e.,  $f(1) = 1 \implies W_{f^*}(1) = 1$ );
- $M(f^*) = (\tilde{f})^*$ ;
- $\int_{B \backslash G} \tilde{f} f = \zeta_F(1) / \zeta_F(2)$ .

For proofs of these facts we refer respectively to [8, Thm 4.6.5], [8, Prop 4.6.7] and [27, §3.1.6].

#### 6.5. Hecke integrals.

**6.5.1.** (See [11, §3], [19], [20].) Let  $\sigma \in G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge$ ,  $\omega \in A^\wedge$  and  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$ . For almost all  $\omega$ , the *local Hecke integral*  $\int_A^{\text{reg}} W\omega$  is defined, and meromorphic in  $\chi$ . The integral converges for  $\text{Re}(\omega)$  large enough, and the ratio

$$\frac{\int_A^{\text{reg}} W\omega}{L(\sigma \otimes \omega, 1/2)/\zeta_F(1)} \quad (6.8)$$

extends to a holomorphic function of  $\omega$ .

**6.5.2.** For a character  $\omega$  of  $A$ , we denote by  $\mathbb{C}(\omega)$  the corresponding one-dimensional representation. For any representation  $V$  of  $A$ , we use the map  $v \otimes 1 \mapsto v$  to identify  $V \otimes \mathbb{C}(\omega)$  with  $V$ , but equipped with the twisted  $A$ -action. When  $\omega = |\cdot|^s$ , we write simply  $\mathbb{C}(s)$ . (We will never use potentially ambiguous notation such as  $\mathbb{C}(1)$ .) Thus after choosing an identification  $\sigma \cong \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$ , the (normalized) Hecke integral defines an  $A$ -invariant functional  $\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

**6.5.3.** If  $(F, \psi)$  and  $\sigma$  are unramified and  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$  is the normalized spherical vector, then the ratio (6.8) vanishes unless  $\omega$  is unramified, in which case it evaluates to 1 (see [8, Prop 3.5.3] and (6.1)).

**6.5.4.** The proof of [19, Thm 2.3] (see [19, §12.2] and also [27, §3.2.3]) gives the estimate

$$\int_A^{\text{reg}} W\omega \ll_{W,d} C(\omega)^{-d} \quad (6.9)$$

for fixed  $d \geq 0$  whenever  $\omega$  has real part in some fixed interval and is some fixed positive distance away from any poles of  $L(\sigma \otimes \omega, 1/2)$ . By Cauchy's theorem, the same estimate holds for any given Laurent coefficient at such a pole.

It is shown in *loc. cit.* that in the archimedean case, the implied constants depend continuously upon  $W$ . This continuity is moreover locally uniform in  $\chi$  if we take  $W = W_{f[\chi]}$  for a holomorphic family of vectors  $f[\chi] \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$ , with the uniform structure on the  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  coming from their embedding in  $C^\infty(K)$  (see [19, §3.3, §12.2]).

In the non-archimedean case,  $\int_A^{\text{reg}} W\omega = 0$  for  $C(\omega)$  large enough. Indeed, if  $U$  is any compact open subgroup under which  $W$  is invariant, then the integral vanishes whenever  $\omega$  has nontrivial restriction to  $U$ .

**6.5.5.** Let  $\sigma$  be a generic irreducible representation of  $G$ . Let  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$ . By Mellin expansion, the estimates of §6.5.4 describe the asymptotic behavior of  $W(y) := W(a(y))$ .

- Suppose  $F$  is non-archimedean. For  $y$  large enough, we have  $W(y) = 0$ . For  $y$  small enough, we have  $W(y) = \phi(y)$  for some finite function  $\phi$  on  $F^\times$  whose exponents  $\chi$  are poles of  $L(\sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}, 1/2)$ . The dimension of the span of the translates of  $\phi$  is at most two.
- For  $F$  archimedean, we have for each  $d \geq 0$

$$W(y) \ll_{W,d} |y|^{-d}, \quad W(y) = \phi(y) + O_{W,d}(|y|^d) \quad (6.10)$$

for some finite function  $\phi$  whose exponents  $\omega$  are poles of  $L(\sigma \otimes \omega^{-1}, 1/2)$ . Moreover,

- the implied constants in (6.10), as well as the coefficients of  $\phi$ , may be taken to depend continuously upon  $W$ , and
- the dimension of the span of the translates of  $\phi$  may be taken bounded in terms of  $d$ .

## 6.6. Rankin–Selberg integrals.

**6.6.1.** (See [11, §3], [19, 17].) Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  be generic irreducible representations of  $G$ . Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $A$ . Let  $W_1 \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma_1, \psi), W_2 \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma_2, \bar{\psi})$  and  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$ . If  $\mathrm{Re}(\chi)$  is large enough, then the *local Rankin–Selberg integral*  $\int_{N \backslash G} W_1 W_2 f$  converges absolutely. It extends meromorphically. We denote that extension by  $\int_{N \backslash G}^{\mathrm{reg}} W_1 W_2 f$ . The notation reflects that the extension may be defined equivalently by regularized integration on the quotient  $N \backslash G$ , regarded as a left  $A$ -space, as follows from §6.5.5 and (5.2). Letting  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  vary over a holomorphic family, the ratio

$$\frac{\int_{N \backslash G}^{\mathrm{reg}} W_1 W_2 f^*}{L(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \chi, 1/2)/\zeta_F(2)} \quad (6.11)$$

extends to a holomorphic function of  $\chi$ .

**6.6.2.** For each  $\chi$ , we may find  $f$  so that the ratio (6.11) (defined in general by analytic continuation) is nonzero (see [11, §3]). Thus (6.11) defines a basis element for the one-dimensional space of  $G$ -invariant trilinear functionals on  $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  (see [37, 25]).

**6.6.3.** If  $(F, \psi), \sigma_1, \sigma_2$  and  $\chi$  are unramified and  $W_1, W_2, f$  are normalized spherical, then the ratio (6.11) evaluates to 1 (see [8, Prop 3.8.1], [27, §3.1.6] and (6.1)).

**6.6.4.** In the archimedean case, the integral  $\int_{N \backslash G}^{\mathrm{reg}} W_1 W_2 f^*$  varies continuously with respect to  $W_1, W_2, f$  away from the poles  $\chi$  of  $L(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \chi, 1/2)$  (see [19, Thm 2.3, §3.3, §12.2]). The continuity is locally uniform in  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  and  $\chi$ , in the same sense as in §6.5.4, provided that  $\chi$  stays some fixed positive distance away from the poles. The same then holds for any given Laurent coefficient at such a pole.

**6.7. Local Bessel distributions.** We here record some straightforward estimates used to justify various technical points concerning holomorphy, convergence, etc., in §8. The reader is encouraged to skip or skim this section on a first reading.

**6.7.1.** We write  $K^\wedge$  for the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of  $K$ . Any  $\nu \in K^\wedge$  is self-dual. We write  $V^\nu$  for the  $\nu$ -isotypic subspace of a representation  $V$  of  $K$  (or of  $G$ ), and  $\|\nu\|$  for one plus some fixed Euclidean norm of the highest weight of  $\nu$ . The eigenvalue  $\lambda$  on  $\nu$  of the Casimir operator for  $K$  satisfies  $(1 + |\lambda|)^{c_1} \ll \|\nu\| \ll (1 + |\lambda|)^{c_2}$  for some fixed  $c_2 > c_1 > 0$ .

**6.7.2.** For  $\nu \in K^\wedge, \sigma \in G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge$  and  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , we define

$$J_{\sigma, \nu}(g_1, g_2) := \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma^\nu)} \frac{\tilde{W}(g_1)W(g_2)}{\int_A^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W}W}, \quad (6.12)$$

where  $W$  traverses a basis of  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)^\nu$  while  $\tilde{W}$  traverses the corresponding dual basis for  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \bar{\psi})^\nu$  (cf. [1, §6]).

**6.7.3. Holomorphy of Bessel functions with respect to the index.**

*Lemma 6.1.* For any  $\sigma, g_1, g_2$  as above, the map

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\text{gen}}^{\wedge} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \sigma &\mapsto J_{\sigma, \nu}(g_1, g_2) \end{aligned}$$

is holomorphic.

*Proof.* The point is just that each induced representation has a unique Whittaker functional, hence exactly one generic subquotient. We record details for convenience. There is nothing to check for the supercuspidal  $\sigma$ , so suppose that  $\sigma$  is the unique generic subquotient of  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  for some character  $\chi$  of  $A^{\wedge}$ . We claim that

$$\sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma^{\nu})} \frac{\tilde{W}(g_1)W(g_2)}{\int_A^{\text{reg}} \tilde{W}W} = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I}(\chi)^{\nu})} \frac{\tilde{W}_{\tilde{f}}(g_1)W_f(g_2)}{\int_{B \setminus G} \tilde{f}f}. \quad (6.13)$$

Since the RHS of (6.13) is holomorphic in  $\chi$ , it follows then that the LHS is holomorphic in  $\omega$ .

The identity (6.13) clearly holds when  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  is irreducible, so suppose otherwise. Then for some choice of sign  $\pm$ , the representation  $\tilde{\sigma} \cong \sigma$  is isomorphic to (see [15])

- the quotient  $\mathcal{I}(\chi^{\mp})/L$ , where  $L$  denotes the one-dimensional kernel of  $\tilde{f} \mapsto \tilde{W}_{\tilde{f}}$ , and also to
- the subspace  $L^{\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\chi^{\pm})$ .

Let  $(\tilde{f}_i, f_i)$ , for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , denote the pairs occurring in the sum (6.13). Suppose for instance that  $\chi^{\pm} = \chi$ . We may assume then that  $\tilde{f}_0 \in L$  and  $f_1, f_2, \dots \in L^{\perp}$ . Then the  $i = 0$  summand on the RHS of (6.13) vanishes, while the remaining summands give the LHS. Thus (6.13) holds. A similar argument applies if  $\chi^{\pm} = \chi^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**6.7.4. Crude archimedean estimates.** We assume in §6.7.4 that  $F$  is archimedean, since that is the case in which we require these estimates, but we note that similar estimates with simpler proofs in the non-archimedean case.

Fix  $\vartheta > 0$ . In this section we allow all implied constants to depend freely upon  $\vartheta$ .

*Lemma 6.2.* Suppose that  $F$  is archimedean. Let  $\chi$  be a character of  $A$  for which  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  is irreducible, hence generic. Assume that  $|\text{Re}(\chi)| \leq \vartheta$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  be such that  $f|_K \in L^2(K)$  is  $L^2$ -normalized and  $K$ -isotypic, with  $K$ -type  $\nu \in K^{\wedge}$ . Then  $W_f(y)$  satisfies the estimate (6.10) with the implied constants and the coefficients of  $\phi$  depending polynomially upon  $C(\chi)$  and  $\|\nu\|$ .

*Proof.* By imitating the proof of (6.10), we reduce to showing for  $\text{Re}(\omega) \geq \vartheta + 10$  that

$$\int_A W_f \omega \ll C(\chi)^{O(1)} \|\nu\|^{O(1)} C(\omega)^{O(1)}, \quad (6.14)$$

where the implied constants depend at most upon  $\vartheta$ , together with a similar estimate for  $W_{wf}$ . Indeed, the estimate (6.14) applied to the image of  $f$  under invariant differential operators on  $A$  improves to a similar bound, but with  $C(\omega)^{O(1)}$  replaced by  $C(\omega)^{-10}$ . The local functional equation (§B.2) and Phragmen–Lindelöf principle then imply similar estimates for any  $\omega$  that is of bounded real part and a fixed distance away from the poles of  $L(\mathcal{I}(\chi) \otimes \omega^{-1}, 1/2)$ . Cauchy's theorem gives a

similar estimate for Laurent coefficients, and we may conclude as before via Mellin inversion.

We turn to the proof of (6.14). We first apply Tate's local functional equation to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A W_f \omega &= \int_{y \in F^\times} |y|^{1/2} \chi^{-1} \omega(y) \left( \int_{x \in F} f(w_n(x)) \psi(-yx) dx \right) \frac{dy}{|y|} \\ &= \gamma(\psi, \chi \omega^{-1}, 1/2) \int_{x \in F^\times}^{\mathrm{reg}} h(x) \frac{dx}{|x|} \end{aligned}$$

with  $h(x) := f(w_n(x)) |x|^{1/2} \chi \omega^{-1}(x)$ . (The function  $W_f$  is typically not Schwartz, but the application of the local functional equation remains valid; compare with [27, (3.11)].) Let us agree to say that a quantity is *bounded polynomially* if it satisfies the estimate indicated in (6.14). By Stirling (see (B.2)), the  $\gamma$ -factor is bounded polynomially; our assumption on  $\mathrm{Re}(\omega)$  implies that we are far away from any poles. Using the identity  $f(w_n(x)) = |x|^{-1} \chi^{-2}(x) f(n'(1/x))$  we may split

$$\int_{x \in F^\times}^{\mathrm{reg}} h(x) \frac{dx}{|x|} = \int_{x \in F^\times}^{\mathrm{reg}} h(x) 1_{|x| \leq 1} \frac{dx}{|x|} + \int_{x \in F^\times} h'(x) 1_{|x| < 1} \frac{dx}{|x|}, \quad (6.15)$$

where  $h'(x) := |x|^{1/2} \chi \omega(x) f(n'(x))$ . The second integral on the RHS of (6.15) converges absolutely because  $\mathrm{Re}(\omega)$  is large. The  $L^\infty$ -norm on  $K$  of  $f$  and its derivatives are bounded polynomially (in  $\|\nu\|$ ), so the  $L^\infty$ -norms on  $\{x : |x| \leq 1\}$  of  $h, h'$  and their derivatives are bounded polynomially. These remarks already give a satisfactory bound for the second integral. For the first integral, we fix  $u \in F^\times$  with  $|u| > 1$  and use the noted bounds on the derivatives of  $h$  at 0 to find  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , bounded polynomially, so that  $c_0 + \dots + c_n = 1$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^n c_i h(u^i x) \ll 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$ , with polynomially bounded implied constant. Thus

$$\int_{x \in F^\times}^{\mathrm{reg}} h(x) 1_{|x| \leq 1} \frac{dx}{|x|} = \int_{x \in F^\times} \left( \sum_{i=0}^n c_i h(u^i x) 1_{|u^i x| \leq 1} \right) \frac{dx}{|x|}$$

is bounded polynomially.  $\square$

*Lemma 6.3.* *Suppose that  $F$  is archimedean. Let  $\sigma \in G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge$  be  $\vartheta$ -tempered (§1.6.4). Then for fixed  $d \geq 0$  and all  $y_i \in F^\times, k_i \in K$ ,*

$$J_{\sigma, \nu}(a(y_1)k_1, a(y_2)k_2) \ll_{\vartheta} C(\sigma)^{O(1)} \|\nu\|^{O(1)} \prod_{i=1,2} \min(|y_i|^{-d}, |y_i|^{1/2-\vartheta}).$$

*Proof.* If  $\sigma = \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  with  $|\mathrm{Re}(\chi)| \leq \vartheta$ , then we may conclude by applying lemma 6.2 to each summand in the formula (6.13). (Note that  $\dim(\nu) \ll \|\nu\|^{O(1)}$ .) Otherwise  $\sigma$  is square-integrable, hence unitary, and the required conclusion follows from [27, §3.2.3].  $\square$

### 6.7.5. Holomorphically-varying asymptotic expansions.

*Lemma 6.4.* *For each fixed  $d \geq 0$  and precompact open subset  $\Sigma \subseteq G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge$  we may find a map  $\Sigma \ni \sigma \mapsto \phi_\sigma \in C^\infty(G)$  so that*

- (i) *the span of  $\phi_\sigma$  under left translation by  $A \times A$  has finite dimension, bounded uniformly in  $\sigma$ ,*
- (ii)  *$\phi_\sigma$  varies pointwise holomorphically with  $\sigma$ , and*

(iii) for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,  $\nu \in K^\wedge$  and  $g_i = a(y_i)k_i$  with  $y_i \in F^\times$ ,  $k_i \in K$ ,

$$J_{\sigma,\nu}(g_1, g_2) = \phi(g_1, g_2) + O_{d,\nu}\left(\prod_{i=1,2} (|y_i| + |y_i|^{-1})^{-d}\right). \quad (6.16)$$

*Proof.* We rewrite  $J_{\sigma,\nu}$  as in lemma 6.1 and apply the arguments used to prove lemma 6.2 to each summand.  $\square$

**6.7.6. Holomorphy of pre-Kuznetsov weights.** Let  $h : G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a pre-Kuznetsov weight (§2.7) with kernel  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ . By regrouping the definition, we have  $h(\sigma) = \sum_{\nu \in K^\wedge} h^\nu(\sigma)$ , where

$$h^\nu(\sigma) := \int_{g \in G} J_{\sigma,\nu}(g, 1)\phi(g).$$

Each  $h^\nu$  is holomorphic, so it suffices to show for every compact subset  $\Sigma$  of  $G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge$  that  $\sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sum_{\nu \in K^\wedge} |h^\nu(\sigma)| < \infty$ . We have

$$h_\nu(\sigma) = \int_{g \in G} J_{\sigma,\nu}(g, 1)\phi_\nu(g),$$

where  $\phi_\nu$  denotes the  $\nu$ -isotypic component of  $\phi$  under right translation. In the non-archimedean case, we have  $\phi_\nu = 0$  for all but finitely many  $\nu$ , so the required finitude follows from the continuity of  $J_{\sigma,\nu}$ . In the archimedean case, the smoothness of  $\phi$  implies that  $\|\phi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(G)} \ll_d \|\nu\|^{-d}$  for each fixed  $d \geq 0$ . By expanding  $g = n(x)a(y)k$  in Iwasawa coordinates, we reduce to showing that  $J_{\sigma,\nu}(a(y)k, 1) \ll \|\nu\|^{O(1)}$  for all  $k \in K$  and all  $y$  belonging to a fixed compact subset of  $F^\times$ . This last estimate follows from lemma 6.3.

An identical argument gives the locally uniform convergence of sums (2.32).

## 7. Global preliminaries

**7.1. Groups, measures, etc.** Let  $F$  be a number field with adèle ring  $\mathbb{A}$ . We fix a nontrivial unitary character  $\psi$  of  $\mathbb{A}/F$ . We write  $\mathfrak{p}$  for a place of  $F$ , finite or infinite. We write  $\mathbf{G}$  for the  $F$ -algebraic groups given by  $\text{PGL}_2$ . We define subgroups  $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{A}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  by analogy to the local case. We set  $G := \mathbf{G}(F)$ ,  $G_\mathbb{A} := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $G_\mathfrak{p} := \mathbf{G}(F_\mathfrak{p})$  and  $[G] := G \backslash G_\mathbb{A}$ . We similarly define  $A, A_\mathbb{A}, A_\mathfrak{p}$  and  $[A]$ , and likewise for  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ . Note that  $[A] \cong \mathbb{A}^\times / F^\times$  is an abelian group. We define  $K = \prod_\mathfrak{p} K_\mathfrak{p}$  with  $K_\mathfrak{p} \leq G_\mathfrak{p}$  as in the local case. We identify  $N$  and  $A$  with  $F$  and  $F^\times$  as in the local case, and similarly for  $N_\mathbb{A}, A_\mathbb{A}$ , etc.

We denote completed  $L$ -functions (including archimedean factors) by  $\Lambda(\dots)$  and their finite parts by  $L(\dots)$ . For a finite set of places  $S$  that contains every archimedean place, we write  $L^{(S)}(\dots)$  for the Euler product taken over  $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ . We write  $\xi_F(s) = \prod_\mathfrak{p} \zeta_{F_\mathfrak{p}}(s)$  for the Dedekind zeta function and  $\zeta_F^{(S)}(s)$  for the corresponding product over  $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ . We use a superscripted asterisk, as in  $\xi_F^*(1)$  or  $\zeta_F^{(S)*}(1)$ , for the first nonvanishing Laurent coefficient (in this case, the residue).

We equip  $N_\mathbb{A}$  with the product of the local measures and  $[N]$  with the quotient measure (of volume 1). The product of the local measures on  $A_\mathfrak{p}$  does not literally converge to a measure on  $A_\mathbb{A} \cong \mathbb{A}^\times$ , but may be regularized as follows: for  $f \in C_c^\infty(A_\mathbb{A})$  of the form  $f(a) = \prod_\mathfrak{p} f_\mathfrak{p}(a_\mathfrak{p})$ , with  $f_\mathfrak{p}$  the characteristic function of the unit group for almost all finite  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we set  $\int_{A_\mathbb{A}} f := \xi_F^*(1)^{-1} \prod_\mathfrak{p} \zeta_{F_\mathfrak{p}}(1) \int_{A_\mathfrak{p}} f_\mathfrak{p}$ . We

equip  $[A] \cong \mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times$  with the quotient measure. The pushforward of this measure under the idelic norm  $\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times \xrightarrow{|\cdot|} \mathbb{R}_+^\times$  is then  $\frac{dt}{t}$ , with  $dt$  Lebesgue measure.

As in the local case, the character group  $[A]^\wedge$  of  $[A]$  is a complex manifold with charts  $\chi|\cdot|^s \mapsto s$ . We equip the group of unitary characters of  $[A]$ , hence also its cosets consisting of characters of given real part, with the measure dual to the chosen measure on  $[A]$ . Then for a real number  $c$  and  $f : [A]^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\int_{\chi: \mathrm{Re}(\chi)=c} f(\chi) = \sum_{\chi_0} \int_{s: \mathrm{Re}(s)=c} f(\chi_0|\cdot|^s) \frac{ds}{2\pi i}, \quad (7.1)$$

where  $\chi_0$  runs over a set of representatives for the group of unitary characters of  $[A]$  modulo the subgroup  $\{|\cdot|^{it} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . The normalization (7.1) is well-suited for the applications of Cauchy's theorem and contour shift arguments given in §10.

We define the measure on  $G_{\mathbb{A}}$  using the measures on  $N_{\mathbb{A}}$  and  $A_{\mathbb{A}}$  as in the local case, and equip  $[G]$  with the quotient measure, which is then the Tamagawa measure of volume 2.

**7.2. Induced representations and Eisenstein series.** For a character  $\chi$  of  $[A]$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  the corresponding smooth induction, defined by analogy to the local case and denoted  $\mathcal{I}(s)$  when  $\chi = |\cdot|^s$ . The factorizable vectors  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  have the form  $f = \otimes_{\mathfrak{p}} f_{\mathfrak{p}} : g \mapsto \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} f_{\mathfrak{p}}(g_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , where  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{I}(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}})$  for all  $\mathfrak{p}$  and is the normalized spherical element for almost all finite  $\mathfrak{p}$ . As in the local case, we may speak of holomorphic families of vectors.

For  $\chi^2 \neq |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$ , the standard intertwining operator  $M : \mathcal{I}(\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\chi^{-1})$  is defined on factorizable vectors by

$$Mf(g) = \int_{x \in \mathbb{A}} f(w_n(x)g) dx := \frac{\Lambda(\chi^2, 0)}{\Lambda(\chi^2, 1)} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{L(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^2, 1)}{L(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}^2, 0)} Mf_{\mathfrak{p}}(g_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

with the product really a finite product. The duality between  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(\chi^{-1})$  is given on factorizable vectors by the (finite) product

$$(f, \tilde{f}) \mapsto \int_{B_{\mathbb{A}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} \tilde{f}f := \frac{\xi_F^*(1)}{\xi_F(2)} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{\zeta_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(2)}{\zeta_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(1)} \int_{B_{\mathfrak{p}} \backslash G_{\mathfrak{p}}} \tilde{f}_{\mathfrak{p}} f_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Suppose now that  $\mathrm{Re}(\chi) > -1/2$  and  $\chi^2 \neq |\cdot|$ . We denote by  $\mathrm{Eis} : \mathcal{I}(\chi) \rightarrow C^\infty([G])$  the Eisenstein intertwiner, defined for  $\mathrm{Re}(\chi)$  sufficiently large by the convergent sum  $\mathrm{Eis}(f)(g) := \sum_{\gamma \in B \backslash G} f(\gamma g)$  and in general by meromorphic continuation. As  $f$  varies in a holomorphic family,  $\mathrm{Eis}(f)$  vanishes as  $\chi$  tends to any quadratic character (i.e., for  $\chi^2$  trivial). For  $\chi$  non-quadratic and  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$ , we set  $f^* := \Lambda(\chi^2, 1)f$  and  $\mathrm{Eis}^*(f) := \mathrm{Eis}(f^*)$ . Although  $f^*$  itself is not defined when  $\chi$  is quadratic, we may interpret  $\mathrm{Eis}^*(f)$  by analytic continuation. For  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  varying holomorphically,  $\mathrm{Eis}^*(f)$  extends holomorphically to all  $\chi$  except for possible simple poles at  $\chi^2 = |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$ .

**7.3. Generic representations and Fourier expansions.** By a *generic automorphic representation*  $\sigma$  (always of  $G$ ), we mean either an (irreducible) cuspidal automorphic subrepresentation of  $L^2([G])$  or an Eisenstein representation of the form  $\mathrm{Eis}^*(\mathcal{I}(\chi))$  for some character  $\chi$  of  $[A]$  with  $\chi^2 \neq |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$ . We say then that  $\sigma$  is *standard* if it is either cuspidal or of the form  $\mathrm{Eis}^*(\mathcal{I}(\chi))$  with  $\chi$  unitary.

Let  $\sigma$  be a generic automorphic representation. Each  $\varphi \in \sigma$  admits a Fourier expansion  $\varphi(g) = \varphi_N(g) + \sum_{\alpha \in F^\times} W_\varphi(\alpha)g$ , where  $\varphi_N = 0$  unless  $\sigma$  is Eisenstein

and  $W_\varphi(g) := \int_{x \in \mathbb{A}/F} \varphi(n(x)\psi(-x)g) dx$ . If  $\varphi$  is factorizable, then we may write  $W_\varphi = \otimes_{\mathfrak{p}} W_{\varphi, \mathfrak{p}} : g \mapsto \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} W_{\varphi, \mathfrak{p}}(g_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , where  $W_{\varphi, \mathfrak{p}}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{p}})$  for all  $\mathfrak{p}$  and is the normalized spherical Whittaker function for almost all finite  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We denote by  $\tilde{W}_\varphi$  the Whittaker function defined analogously, but using the opposite character  $\tilde{\psi}$  in place of  $\psi$ . We have noted that  $\sigma$  is isomorphic to its own contragredient; the duality may be given by the pairing defined for factorizable vectors by

$$(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}) \mapsto \int_{A_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\text{reg}} \tilde{W}_{\tilde{\varphi}} W_\varphi := \frac{\Lambda^*(\text{ad } \sigma, 1)}{\xi(2)} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{\zeta_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(2)}{L(\text{ad } \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}, 1)} \int_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\text{reg}} \tilde{W}_{\tilde{\varphi}} W_\varphi,$$

so that the product is really a finite product.

We specialize now to the case that  $\sigma$  is the Eisenstein representation  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  attached to some character  $\chi$  of  $[A]$  with  $\chi^2 \neq |\cdot|^\pm$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  be factorizable, and write  $f = \otimes_{\mathfrak{p}} f_{\mathfrak{p}}$  as above. Let  $S$  be a finite set of places of  $F$  large enough that for  $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ ,  $(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is unramified and  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the normalized spherical element. Then  $W_{f_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  is normalized spherical for  $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$  (see §6.4.2). Thus for each  $g \in G_{\mathbb{A}}$ , we have  $W_{f_{\mathfrak{p}}}(g_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$  for almost all  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Thus

$$W_{\text{Eis}^*(f)}(g) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} W_{f_{\mathfrak{p}}}(g_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

with all but finitely many factors in the product equal to 1. (This identity follows first for  $\chi$  non-quadratic, then in general by continuity.) The full Fourier expansion of the corresponding Eisenstein series reads

$$\text{Eis}^*(f)(g) = f^*(g) + Mf^*(g) + \sum_{\alpha \in F^\times} W_{\text{Eis}^*(f)}(a(\alpha)g). \quad (7.2)$$

(When  $\chi$  is quadratic, the sum of the terms  $f^*(g) + Mf^*(g)$  is interpreted via continuity from the non-quadratic case.) By standard estimates for local Whittaker functions (deduced for instance via (6.9) and Mellin inversion), it follows that for  $g = n(x)a(y)k$  ( $x \in \mathbb{A}, y \in \mathbb{A}^\times, k \in K$ ) and fixed  $B \geq 0$ , we have

$$\text{Eis}^*(f)(g) = |y|^{1/2} \chi(y) f^*(k) + |y|^{1/2} \chi^{-1}(y) Mf^*(k) + O_{f,d}(|y|^{-d}), \quad (7.3)$$

and similarly for all archimedean derivatives. Since  $w \in G$ , we have  $\text{Eis}^*(f)(a(y)) = \text{Eis}^*(f)(wa(y)) = \text{Eis}^*(f)(a(1/y)w)$ , so the estimate (7.3) is useful both as  $|y| \rightarrow \infty$  and as  $|y| \rightarrow 0$ .

We note finally that if  $-1/2 < \text{Re}(\chi) < 1/2$  and  $\chi^2 \neq 1$ , then for  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  and  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{I}(\chi^{-1})$ , we have by (6.6) that

$$\int_{B_{\mathbb{A}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} \tilde{f}^* f^* = \int_{A_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\text{reg}} \tilde{W}_{\text{Eis}^*(\tilde{f})} W_{\text{Eis}^*(f)}. \quad (7.4)$$

**7.4. Hecke integrals.** Let  $\omega$  be a character of  $[A]$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{C}(\omega)$  the corresponding one-dimensional representation, and adopt the same conventions as in the local case.

Let  $\sigma$  be a generic automorphic representation of  $G$ ,  $\omega$  a character of  $[A]$ , and  $\varphi \in \sigma$ . The *global Hecke integral*  $\int_{[A]}^{\text{reg}} \varphi \omega$  is defined initially for  $\text{Re}(\omega)$  large enough and extends meromorphically. It unfolds to a product of local Hecke integrals: if  $\varphi \in \sigma$  is factorizable, then

$$\int_{[A]}^{\text{reg}} \varphi \omega = \int_{A_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\text{reg}} W_\varphi \omega := \frac{\Lambda(\sigma \otimes \omega, 1/2)}{\xi_F^*(1)} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{\zeta_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}}(1)}{L(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, 1/2)} \int_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\text{reg}} W_{\varphi, \mathfrak{p}} \omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad (7.5)$$

with almost all local factors equal to 1. The ratio

$$\frac{\int_{[A]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \varphi \omega}{\Lambda(\sigma \otimes \omega, 1/2)} \quad (7.6)$$

extends to a holomorphic function of  $\omega$ . If  $\sigma$  is cuspidal, then the regularization is not needed. If  $\sigma = \mathrm{Eis}^*(\mathcal{I}(\chi))$  is Eisenstein, then we can define  $\int_{[A]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \varphi \omega$  as the meromorphic continuation from  $\omega$  with large real part of the convergent integral  $\int_{[A]} (\varphi - \varphi_N) \omega$ . If  $\varphi = \mathrm{Eis}^*(f)$  where  $f = f_\chi \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  varies holomorphically with respect to  $\chi$ , then the ratio (7.6) is jointly holomorphic in  $\omega$  and  $\chi$ .

**7.5. Height function.** Recall the height function  $\mathrm{ht} : [G] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  defined by  $\mathrm{ht}(g) := \sup |y|$ , the supremum taken over all ways to write  $g = \gamma n a(y) k$  with  $\gamma \in G, n \in N_{\mathbb{A}}, y \in \mathbb{A}^\times, k \in K$ . The map  $\mathrm{ht}$  is proper, its image is bounded below by a positive quantity, and we have  $\int_{[G]} \mathrm{ht}^\alpha < \infty$  precisely when  $\alpha < 1$ . If  $\chi$  is a unitary character of  $[A]$  and  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$ , then  $\mathrm{Eis}^*(f)$  is bounded by a multiple of  $\mathrm{ht}^\alpha$  for each  $\alpha > 1/2$ .

**7.6. Spectral decomposition.** Let  $\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \Psi \in C_c^\infty([G])$ . The Parseval relation for  $L^2([G])$  may be written

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[G]} \Psi_1 \Psi_2 &= \sum_{\omega^2=1} \frac{\int_{[G]} \Psi_1 \omega^{-1}(\det) \int_{[G]} \omega(\det) \Psi_2}{\mathrm{vol}([G])} \\ &+ \sum_{\sigma:\mathrm{cuspidal}} \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \frac{\int_{[G]} \Psi_1 \tilde{\varphi} \int_{[G]} \varphi \Psi_2}{\int_{[G]} \tilde{\varphi} \varphi} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\chi:\mathrm{unitary}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I}(\chi))} \frac{\int_{[G]} \Psi_1 \mathrm{Eis}(\tilde{f}) \int_{[G]} \mathrm{Eis}(f) \Psi_2}{\int_{B_{\mathbb{A}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} \tilde{f} f}, \end{aligned}$$

where

- $\omega$  runs over the quadratic characters of  $[A]$ , with  $\omega(\det)(g) := \omega(\det(g))$ ,
- $\sigma$  runs over the cuspidal automorphic representations,
- $\chi$  runs over the unitary dual of  $[A] \cong \mathbb{A}^\times / F^\times$  with respect to the measure dual to the chosen measure on  $[A]$ , and
- $\varphi$  (resp.  $f$ ) runs over a basis for  $\sigma$  (resp.  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$ ) consisting of  $K$ -isotypic factorizable vectors and  $\tilde{\varphi}$  (resp.  $\tilde{f}$ ) denotes the corresponding element of the dual basis for  $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma$  (resp.  $\mathcal{I}(\chi^{-1})$ ), with the duality normalized via the pairing given in the respective denominators.

In other words, the Fourier inversion formula

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(g) &= \sum_{\omega^2=1} \frac{\int_{[G]} \Psi \omega^{-1}(\det)}{\mathrm{vol}([G])} \omega(\det(g)) \\ &+ \sum_{\sigma:\mathrm{cuspidal}} \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \frac{\int_{[G]} \Psi \tilde{\varphi}}{\int_{[G]} \tilde{\varphi} \varphi} \varphi(g) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\chi:\mathrm{unitary}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I}(\chi))} \frac{\int_{[G]} \Psi \mathrm{Eis}(\tilde{f})}{\int_{B_{\mathbb{A}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} \tilde{f} f} \mathrm{Eis}(f)(g) \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

holds in an  $L^2$ -sense; the sums in (7.7) need not converge pointwise.

An obvious necessary condition for the inversion formula (7.7) to hold pointwise in the traditional sense is that for each unitary  $\chi$  and each  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$ , the integral  $\int_{[G]} \Psi \text{Eis}(\tilde{f})$  converges absolutely. In our applications, the slightly stronger condition that  $\Psi$  is smooth and every archimedean derivative of  $\Psi$  is bounded by a multiple of  $\text{ht}^\alpha$  for some  $\alpha < 1/2$  holds; in particular,  $\Psi \in L^2([G])$ . Arguing as in [27, §2.6.5] using the trace class property on  $L^2([G])$  of some inverse power of the product of local Laplacians, one can show then that the RHS of (7.7) converges uniformly on compacta to a continuous function. On the other hand, the  $L^2$  theory implies that the difference between the two sides of (7.7) is orthogonal to every element of  $C_c^\infty([G])$ , hence vanishes. Thus (7.7) gives a pointwise defined and convergent expansion of such  $\Psi$ .

**7.7. Regularized adelic integrals.** We recall, following [27, §4.3] and [47] with some minor modifications, how to define a regularized integral of certain functions on  $[G]$  of “controlled growth.” By a *finite* function  $\phi : N_{\mathbb{A}}A \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  we mean a smooth function on  $G_{\mathbb{A}}$  that is finite in the sense of §5 with respect to the left translation action of  $[A]$ ; equivalently,  $\phi$  may be written in the form

$$\phi(na(y)k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i(y) \log^{m_i-1} |y| \mathcal{K}_i(k) \quad (7.8)$$

for some characters  $\chi_i$  on  $\mathbb{A}^\times / F^\times$ , positive integers  $m_i$ , and smooth functions  $\mathcal{K}_i$  on  $K$ . We may and shall assume that the  $\mathcal{K}_i$  are not identically zero and that each pair  $(\chi_i, m_i)$  shows up at most once, so that the decomposition (7.8) of  $\phi$  is unique up to rearrangement. We say that a smooth function  $\Psi : [G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is of *controlled increase* if we can find a finite function  $\phi$  on  $N_{\mathbb{A}}A \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}$  so that for all  $n \in N_{\mathbb{A}}$ ,  $k \in K$  and  $y \in \mathbb{A}^\times$  with  $|y| \geq 1$ , the estimate  $\Psi(na(y)k) = \phi(na(y)k) + O(|y|^{-N})$  holds, together with the analogous estimates involving all archimedean derivatives. The function  $\phi$  is then uniquely determined; we refer to it as the *asymptotic part* of  $\Psi$ . The characters  $\chi_i$  that arise in the decomposition (7.8) are the exponents of  $\phi$ . We will refer to these simply as the exponents of  $\Psi$ . For example, if  $\chi$  is a character of  $[A]$  with  $\chi^2 \neq |\cdot|^\pm$  and  $f$  is a nonzero element of  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$ , then the Eisenstein series  $\text{Eis}^*(f)$  is of controlled increase with exponents  $|\cdot|^{1/2}\chi$  and  $|\cdot|^{1/2}\chi^{-1}$ .

Suppose  $\Psi$  has controlled increase and that the exponent  $|\cdot|$  does not occur. Following [27, §4.3] (which in turn follows [49]), we may then define the regularized integral  $\int_{[G]}^{\text{reg}} \Psi$ . It may be characterized as the unique  $G$ -invariant functional on the space of such  $\Psi$  that extends integration on the subspace of integrable functions. It may be defined using convolution or truncation as in §5, or Eisenstein series as in [27, §4.3.5]. We briefly recall the last of these definitions. Split the asymptotic part  $\phi$  of  $\Psi$  as the sum  $\phi_{>1/2} + \phi_{\leq 1/2}$  of two terms corresponding to the exponents with real part indicated by the subscript. Using  $\phi_{>1/2}$ , we may construct a linear combination of (derivatives of) Eisenstein series  $\mathcal{E}$  for which the difference  $\Psi - \mathcal{E}$  has controlled increase with exponents of real part  $\leq 1/2$ . That difference is then integrable, and we take  $\int_{[G]}^{\text{reg}} \Psi := \int_{[G]} (\Psi - \mathcal{E})$ .

**7.8. Regularized spectral decomposition.** If  $\Psi$  is a smooth function on  $[G]$  of controlled increase with exponents of real part strictly less than  $1/2$ , then  $\Psi$  and its archimedean derivatives are bounded by constant multiples of  $\text{ht}^\alpha$  for some  $\alpha < 1/2$ , so the expansion (7.7) is pointwise defined and convergent, uniformly on compacta.

Suppose now that  $\Psi$  has controlled increase and that each exponent  $\chi$  satisfies  $\mathrm{Re}(\chi) \neq 1/2$ , hence in particular  $\chi^2 \neq |\cdot|$ . We may then split the asymptotic part  $\phi$  as a sum  $\phi_{>1/2} + \phi_{<1/2}$  and define  $\mathcal{E}$  using  $\phi_{>1/2}$  as before. The difference  $\Psi - \mathcal{E}$  then has controlled increase with exponents of real part  $< 1/2$ , hence admits a pointwise spectral expansion, uniformly on compacta, with coefficients given by the convergent integrals  $\int_{[G]} (\Psi - \mathcal{E}) \omega^{-1}(\det)$  and  $\int_{[G]} (\Psi - \mathcal{E}) \mathrm{Eis}(\tilde{f})$  and the analogous integrals involving cusp forms. In fact, it follows from representation-theoretic considerations that  $\int_{[G]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \mathcal{E} \omega^{-1}(\det) = \int_{[G]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \mathcal{E} \mathrm{Eis}(\tilde{f}) = 0$ , so that the spectral expansion of  $\Phi$  may be written

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(g) &= \mathcal{E}(g) + \sum_{\omega^2=1} \frac{\int_{[G]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \Psi \omega^{-1}(\det)}{\mathrm{vol}([G])} \omega(\det(g)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma:\mathrm{cuspidal}} \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \frac{\int_{[G]} \Psi \tilde{\varphi}}{\int_{[G]} \varphi \tilde{\varphi}} \varphi(g) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\chi:\mathrm{unitary}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I}(\chi))} \frac{\int_{[G]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \Psi \mathrm{Eis}(\tilde{f})}{\int_{B_{\mathbb{A}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}} \tilde{f} f} \mathrm{Eis}(f)(g). \end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

Suppose now moreover that  $\Psi$  is orthogonal to the one-dimensional subrepresentations of  $L^2([G])$ , so that the sum over  $\omega$  may be omitted. The resulting expansion then involves only the standard *generic* automorphic representations. It will be convenient to rewrite that expansion in terms of Whittaker norms. Using (7.4) and the formula  $\int_{[G]} \tilde{\varphi} \varphi = 2 \int_{A_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W}_{\tilde{\varphi}} W_{\varphi}$  for cuspidal  $\sigma$  (see [30, §3.2.2] or [27, Lem 2.2.3]) gives the required identity, which we restate in full for convenience:

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $\Psi \in C^{\infty}([G])$  be of controlled increase, with each exponent  $\chi$  satisfying  $\mathrm{Re}(\chi) \neq 1/2$  and  $\chi^2 \neq |\cdot|$ , and orthogonal to the one-dimensional subrepresentations  $\mathbb{C}\omega(\det)$  of  $L^2([G])$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  denote the linear combination of (derivatives) of Eisenstein series attached to the summand  $\phi_{>1/2}$  of the asymptotic part  $\phi$  of  $\Psi$  obtained by collecting terms involving characters of real part  $> 1/2$ . We then have a pointwise defined and normally convergent expansion*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(g) - \mathcal{E}(g) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma:\mathrm{cuspidal}} \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \frac{\int_{[G]} \Psi \tilde{\varphi}}{\int_{A_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W}_{\tilde{\varphi}} W_{\varphi}} \varphi(g) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\chi:\mathrm{unitary}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{I}(\chi))} \frac{\int_{[G]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \Psi \mathrm{Eis}^*(\tilde{f})}{\int_{A_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W}_{\mathrm{Eis}^*(f)} W_{\mathrm{Eis}^*(f)}} \mathrm{Eis}^*(f)(g). \end{aligned}$$

We will often abbreviate the RHS of the above decomposition to

$$\int_{\sigma:\mathrm{generic}} \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \frac{\int_{[G]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \Psi \tilde{\varphi}}{\int_{A_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W}_{\tilde{\varphi}} W_{\varphi}} \varphi(g) \tag{7.10}$$

or further to simply

$$\int_{\sigma:\mathrm{generic}} \Psi_{\sigma}. \tag{7.11}$$

Note that the measures implicit in the integrals over  $\sigma$  in (7.9) and (7.10) differ on the cuspidal spectrum by the factor  $1/2$ .

It is useful to describe the rate of convergence a bit more precisely. First of all, for  $\Psi$  as in Theorem 7.1, the constant term  $\Psi_N(g) := \int_{x \in \mathbb{A}/F} \Psi(n(x)g) dx$  enjoys the normally convergent expansion

$$\Psi_N = \mathcal{E}_N + \int_{\sigma:\text{generic}} \Psi_{\sigma,N}, \quad (7.12)$$

and thus likewise

$$\Psi = \Psi_N + \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}_N + \int_{\sigma:\text{generic}} (\Psi_\sigma - \Psi_{\sigma,N}). \quad (7.13)$$

This last integral converges uniformly in any compact neighborhood of the cusp. More precisely, for  $g = a(y)k$  with  $y \in \mathbb{A}^\times, k \in K$ , we have

$$\Psi_\sigma(g) - \Psi_{\sigma,N}(g) \ll_{\Psi,d} \frac{\min(|y|^{-1/2-\varepsilon}, |y|^{-d})}{C(\sigma)^d} \quad (7.14)$$

for fixed  $d \geq 0$ . The bound (7.14) may be established first for  $B$  sufficiently negative, then using [27, §2.6.6] and arguing as in [27, Lem 3.5.2] to save with respect to  $C(\sigma)$ . For Eisenstein  $\sigma$ , we estimate each term of the Fourier expansion using [27, (3.23)] and [27, §2, (S1d)]. For cuspidal  $\sigma$ , we apply the same argument for large  $|y|$ , while for small  $|y|$  we invoke the crude  $L^\infty$ -norm bound for  $\Psi_\sigma$  following from [27, (S2a), (S3b)].

**7.9. Rankin–Selberg integrals.** For generic standard automorphic representations  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  and characters  $\chi$  of  $[A]$  of large enough real part, we define for  $\varphi_1 \in \sigma_1, \varphi_2 \in \sigma_2$  and  $f \in \mathcal{I}(\chi)$  the global Rankin–Selberg integral  $\int_{[G]}^{\text{reg}} \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \text{Eis}^*(f)$ . If either  $\sigma_1$  or  $\sigma_2$  is cuspidal, then the integral converges absolutely. The Eisenstein case requires regularization, for the details of which we refer to §[27, §4.4]. The important feature for our purposes is that in either case, the integral unfolds as the Eulerian integral  $\int_{N_{\mathbb{A}} \backslash G_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\text{reg}} W_{\varphi_1} \tilde{W}_{\varphi_2} f^*$  (interpreted, using the local unramified calculation of (6.11), by analogy to (7.5)). As  $f$  varies in a holomorphic family, the ratio

$$\frac{\int_{[G]}^{\text{reg}} \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \text{Eis}^*(f)}{\Lambda(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \chi, 1/2)}$$

extends holomorphically to all  $\chi$ .

### Part 3. The basic identity

Here we formulate Theorem 1.3 precisely (see §10.3) and give the proof.

## 8. Local invariant functionals

Let  $F$  be a local field, with notation as above. Let  $s = (s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ . We will eventually take the limit as  $s$  approaches the origin, but assume for now only that

$$-1/6 < \text{Re}(s_1), \text{Re}(s_2) < 1/6, \quad \text{Re}(s_3) > -1/6, \quad (8.1)$$

which has a couple immediate consequences:

- For  $i = 1, 2$ , the representation  $\mathcal{I}(s_i)$  of  $G$  is irreducible, hence generic (see §6.2.6). The weaker assumption  $|\text{Re}(s_i)| < 1/2$  suffices for this.
- $\pm s_1 \pm s_2 \neq 1/2$  for all sign combinations.

For each such  $s$ , we obtain an irreducible representation  $\mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3)$  of  $G \times G \times A$ , with the same conventions as indicated following (2.5) (i.e., we take the completed tensor product in the archimedean case).

We will define two families of  $A$ -invariant functionals on this representation, corresponding to the “strong Gelfand triple” [39] formed by the two sequences  $G \times G \geq G \geq A$  and  $G \times G \geq A \times A \geq A$  of strong Gelfand pairs. The special case  $s = 0$  was sketched in §2.2.

### 8.1. $G \times G \geq G \geq A$ .

**8.1.1.** Let  $\sigma$  be a generic irreducible representation of  $G$ , realized in its Whittaker model  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$ . For almost all  $s$ , we may define an  $A$ -invariant map

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{\sigma, s} : \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ f_1 \otimes f_2 &\mapsto \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \frac{\int_{N \setminus G}^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W} W f_1 f_2 \int_A^{\mathrm{reg}} W | \cdot |^{s_3}}{\int_A^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W} W}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

with the integrals interpreted as in §6.5, §6.6 and the sum as in §2.2, i.e., with  $W$  traversing a  $K$ -isotypic basis for  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi)$  and  $\tilde{W}$  simultaneously traversing the corresponding dual basis for  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \bar{\psi})$ , with the duality prescribed by the denominator.

We pause to explain why this definition makes sense. We have noted already that the representations  $\mathcal{I}(s_1)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(s_2)$  are irreducible and generic for the indicated ranges of  $\mathrm{Re}(s_1)$  and  $\mathrm{Re}(s_2)$ . It follows (see §6.5.1, §6.6.1, §6.2.5) that the integrals in the numerator of (8.2) are defined away from the poles of the numerator of

$$\mathcal{L}(\sigma, s) := \frac{L(\sigma, 1/2 + s_1 + s_2)L(\sigma, 1/2 - s_1 + s_2)L(\sigma, 1/2 + s_3)}{L(\sigma \times \sigma, 1)}.$$

In the archimedean case, these integrals moreover define continuous functionals, locally uniformly in  $s$  and  $\sigma$  (see §6.5.4, §6.6.4). It remains to make sense of the sum in (8.2) over  $W$ . If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are  $K$ -finite, then the sum is actually finite. For instance, if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are  $K$ -isotypic with respect to some irreducible representations  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  of  $K$ , then the  $G$ -invariance of  $\int_{N \setminus G}^{\mathrm{reg}}$  forces the summand to vanish unless  $W$  is  $K$ -isotypic with respect to some irreducible summand of  $\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2$ . In the non-archimedean case, every smooth vector is  $K$ -finite, so our discussion is complete. In the archimedean case, we need to check that the sum converges absolutely in general and defines a continuous functional. To that end, it suffices to verify that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) &\rightarrow \sigma \\ f_1 \otimes f_2 &\mapsto \sum_{W \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \frac{\int_{N \setminus G}^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W} W f_1 f_2}{\int_A^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W} W} W, \end{aligned} \quad (8.3)$$

defined initially for  $K \times K$ -finite pure tensors  $f_1 \otimes f_2$ , extends continuously to all smooth vectors. By the continuity of the functional defined by the numerator, we may interpret (8.3) as defining a  $G$ -equivariant continuous map from the smooth representation  $\mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$  to the strong dual  $(\tilde{\sigma})^*$  of the contragredient  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of  $\sigma$ . These remarks readily imply the existence of the required extension.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Since  $\mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$  is smooth and the map (8.3) is equivariant, its image lies in the contragredient  $\tilde{\tilde{\sigma}}$  of  $\tilde{\sigma}$ . Since  $\sigma$  is irreducible (hence admissible, nuclear, and reflexive; see for instance [4, Cor 5.6]), we have  $\tilde{\tilde{\sigma}} = \sigma \subseteq (\tilde{\sigma})^*$ . The same argument also gives the required continuity.

*Remark 8.1.* It is perhaps more natural to define  $\ell_{\sigma,s}$  not as a sum over a basis but rather as the composition of the adjoint of the Rankin–Selberg integral  $\mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \overline{\psi}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with the Hecke integral  $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The convergence verified above is substantively equivalent to the existence of the adjoint.

**8.1.2.** It follows from the above discussion that if  $\sigma$  is  $\vartheta$ -tempered (§1.6.4), then  $\ell_{\sigma,s}$  is defined whenever the real parts of  $s_1 + s_2, -s_1 + s_2$  and  $s_3$  are at least  $-1/2 + \vartheta$ . In particular, if  $\sigma$  is 1/6-tempered, then  $\ell_{\sigma,s}$  is defined for all  $s$  satisfying (8.1). Since  $7/64 < 1/6$  (see §1.6.4), the poles of  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma, s)$  will not play a significant role in our analysis.

**8.1.3.** Recall from (6.7) the notation  $f_i^* := \zeta_F(1 + 2s_i)f_i$ . Note that for  $i = 1, 2$ , the factor  $\zeta_F(1 + 2s_i)$  is holomorphic in the region (8.1), so that  $\ell_{\sigma,s}$  and its normalized variant

$$\ell_{\sigma,s}^*(f_1 \otimes f_2) := \ell_{\sigma,s}(f_1^* \otimes f_2^*) \quad (8.4)$$

are holomorphic multiples of each other in that region. By §6.5.1 and §6.6.1, we see that for any holomorphic family of vectors  $f \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3)$  defined for  $s$  belonging to an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  on which (8.1) holds, the ratio

$$\frac{\ell_{\sigma,s}^*(f)}{\mathcal{L}(\sigma, s)} \quad (8.5)$$

defines a holomorphic function of  $s$ . The precise normalization of  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma, s)$  is motivated by the unramified calculation:

*Lemma 8.2.* *If  $(F, \psi)$  is unramified and  $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$  with  $f_1, f_2$  normalized spherical, then the ratio (8.5) vanishes unless  $\sigma$  is unramified, in which case it evaluates to 1.*

*Proof.* The vanishing is clear when  $\sigma$  is ramified, so suppose  $\sigma$  is unramified. We may assume that  $\mathcal{B}(\sigma)$  contains a  $K$ -invariant vector  $W$ , with  $\tilde{W}$  also  $K$ -invariant. The remaining basis elements then do not contribute to the sum defining  $\ell_{\sigma,s}(f_1 \otimes f_2)$ . Since the quantities  $W(1)$  and  $\tilde{W}(1)$  are nonzero (see §6.4) and the ratio in question is invariant under scaling  $W$  and  $\tilde{W}$ , we may assume for computational convenience that  $W(1) = \tilde{W}(1) = 1$ . Then by §6.3.5, §6.4.2, §6.5.3 and §6.6.3, we have  $\int_A^{\text{reg}} \tilde{W}W = L(\text{ad } \sigma, 1)/\zeta_F(2)$  and  $\int_A^{\text{reg}} |W|^s = L(\sigma, 1/2 + s_3)/\zeta_F(1)$  and  $W_{f_2}^*(1) = 1$  and  $\int_{N \setminus G}^{\text{reg}} \tilde{W}W_{f_1}^* f_2^* = L(\sigma \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_1), 1/2 + s_2)/\zeta_F(2)$ . The conclusion follows upon noting that  $L(\sigma \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_1), 1/2 + s_2)L(\sigma, 1/2 + s_3)/\zeta_F(1)L(\text{ad } \sigma, 1) = \mathcal{L}(\sigma, s)$ .  $\square$

#### 8.1.4.

*Lemma 8.3.* *Let  $\Sigma(s) \subseteq G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge$  denote the set of all  $\sigma \in G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge$  for which  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma, s)$  is finite. For each  $f$ , the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(s) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \sigma &\mapsto \ell_{\sigma,s}(f) \end{aligned}$$

*is defined and holomorphic.*

We note that the complement of  $\Sigma(s)$  is discrete and that  $\Sigma(0) = G_{\text{gen}}^\wedge$ .

*Proof.* We decompose  $\ell_{\sigma,s}(f) = \sum_{\nu \in K^\wedge} \ell_{\sigma,s}^\nu(f)$  by grouping  $W$  according to its  $K$ -type, thus

$$\ell_{\sigma,s}^\nu(f) := \int_{g \in N \setminus G}^{\text{reg}} \int_{a \in A}^{\text{reg}} J_{\sigma,\nu}(g, a) V_f(g) |a|^{s_3}. \quad (8.6)$$

Using §6.7.5 and lemma 5.1, we see that  $\sigma \mapsto \ell_{\sigma,s}^\nu(f)$  is holomorphic on  $\Sigma(s)$ . In the non-archimedean case, only finitely many  $\nu$  contribute for a given  $f$ , so we are done. To complete the proof in the archimedean case, it suffices to show that for each  $d \geq 0$ , we have the estimate

$$\ell_{\sigma,s}^\nu(f) \ll_{s,f,\sigma,d} \|\nu\|^{-d} \quad (8.7)$$

for  $\sigma \in \Sigma(s)$ , where the implied constant is locally uniform in  $\sigma$  and continuous with respect to  $f$ . By the discussion of §8.1.1 and the fact that the projections  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^\nu$  are equicontinuous as  $\nu$  varies over  $K^\wedge$ , we see that the estimate (8.7) holds when  $d = 0$ . We deduce the general case of (8.7) by applying the  $d = 0$  case with  $f$  replaced by its image under a large power of the Casimir operator on  $K$ .  $\square$

**8.1.5.** We record proofs of some mild generalizations of the crude estimates promised in §2.5.

*Lemma 8.4.* *Let  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  satisfy (8.1). Let  $f \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$ . Suppose that  $\sigma \in G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge$  is 1/6-tempered (§1.6.4).*

- *If  $F$  is non-archimedean, then  $\ell_{\sigma,s}(f)$  is  $\mathcal{O}_{f,s}(1)$ , locally uniformly in  $s$ , and vanishes for  $C(\sigma)$  large enough in terms of  $f$ .*
- *If  $F$  is archimedean, then  $\ell_{\sigma,s}(f) \ll_{f,s,d} C(\sigma)^{-d}$  for each fixed  $d \geq 0$ , locally uniformly in  $s$ , with continuous dependence upon  $f$ .*

*Proof.* We treat first the non-archimedean case. Given  $f \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$ , we may find a compact open subgroup  $U$  of  $G$  under which  $f$  is invariant. Since  $\ell_{\sigma,s}$  factors  $G$ -equivariantly through  $\sigma$ , we know  $\ell_{\sigma,s}(f) = 0$  unless  $\sigma^U$  is nontrivial. This last condition implies an upper bound on the analytic conductor of  $\sigma$ , as noted in [27, §2.6.6, footnote 19]. The set  $\{\text{unitary } \sigma \in G_{\mathrm{gen}}^\wedge : \sigma^U \neq \{0\}\}$  is precompact: it consists of at most finitely many supercuspidal representations (by the Plancherel decomposition of  $L^2(G)$ ) together with some unitary subquotients of principal series representations  $\mathcal{I}(\chi)$  whose conductors  $C(\chi)$  are bounded in terms of  $U$ . By continuity and compactness we deduce that  $\ell_{\sigma,s}(f) \ll_{f,s} 1$  for unitary  $\sigma$ , locally uniformly for  $s$  away from the poles of  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma, s)$ .

We turn to the archimedean case. It suffices to show that

$$\ell_{\sigma,s}^\nu(f) \ll_{d,f} C(\sigma)^{-d} \|\nu\|^{-d} \quad (8.8)$$

for all  $\nu \in K^\wedge$  and each fixed  $d \geq 0$ . In view of our assumptions on  $s$  and  $\sigma$ , we have the absolutely convergent integral representation

$$\ell_{\sigma,s}^\nu(f) = \int_{g \in N \backslash G} \int_{y \in F^\times} J_{\sigma,\nu}(g, a(y)) V_f(g) |y|^{s_3}. \quad (8.9)$$

By §6.3, we see that (8.8) holds for  $d$  sufficiently *negative*. To obtain the same estimate but with arbitrary  $d$ , we  $f$  replace by its image under a large power of the operator  $\Delta := 100 - \mathcal{C}_G + 2\mathcal{C}_K$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_G, \mathcal{C}_K$  denote the Casimir elements of the respective universal enveloping algebras (see for instance [29, §9.8]). In view of our assumption on  $\sigma$ , this operator acts on  $\sigma^\nu$  by a scalar  $\lambda$  satisfying  $|\lambda|^{c_1} \ll C(\sigma) + \|\nu\| \ll |\lambda|^{c_2}$  for some fixed  $c_2 > c_1 > 0$ , with absolute implied constants. We have  $\ell_{\sigma,s}^\nu(\Delta f) = \lambda \ell_{\sigma,s}^\nu(f)$ , so the required estimate follows.  $\square$

**8.2.**  $G \times G \geq A \times A \geq A$ .

**8.2.1.** Let  $\omega$  be a character of  $A$ . For almost all  $(s, \omega)$ , we may define an  $A$ -invariant map

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{\omega, s} &: \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ f_1 \otimes f_2 &\mapsto \int_A^{\text{reg}} W_{f_1} \omega \int_A^{\text{reg}} W_{f_2} \omega^{-1} |\cdot|^{s_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.10)$$

The definition makes sense: for given  $f_1, f_2$ , the RHS of §8.10 is defined away from the poles of the numerator of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\omega, s) &:= \frac{L(\mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \omega, 1/2) L(\mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \omega^{-1}, 1/2 + s_3)}{\zeta_F(1)^2} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{\pm} L(\omega, 1/2 \pm s_1) L(\omega^{-1}, 1/2 \pm s_2 + s_3)}{\zeta_F(1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

(see §6.5.1). Moreover, in the archimedean case, the integrals appearing on the RHS of (8.10) define continuous functionals on  $\mathcal{I}(s_1)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(s_2)$ , hence their product extends to a continuous functional on the (completed) tensor product.

**8.2.2.** We note that if  $\omega$  is unitary, then  $\ell_{\omega, s}$  is defined whenever each of  $\pm s_1$  and  $\pm s_2 + s_3$  have real parts at least  $-1/2$ , as follows from (8.1).

**8.2.3.** As we did for  $\ell_{\sigma, s}$ , we define the normalized variant

$$\ell_{\omega, s}^*(f_1 \otimes f_2) := \ell_{\omega, s}(f_1^* \otimes f_2^*). \quad (8.11)$$

The ratio

$$\frac{\ell_{\omega, s}^*(f)}{\mathcal{L}(\omega, s)} \quad (8.12)$$

then varies holomorphically with  $\omega$  and  $s$ , in the same sense as for  $\ell_{\sigma, s}$ , in the assumed range (8.1). We have the expected unramified calculation:

*Lemma 8.5.* *If  $(F, \psi)$  is unramified and  $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$  with  $f_1, f_2$  normalized spherical, then the ratio (8.12) vanishes unless  $\omega$  is unramified, in which case it evaluates to 1.*

*Proof.* By §6.5.3. □

**8.2.4.** The estimates of §6.5.4 carry over: We have  $\ell_{\omega, s}(f) \ll_{d, s, f} C(\omega)^{-d}$  for all  $d \geq 0$ , locally uniformly in  $s$ . In the archimedean case, the implied constant depends continuously upon  $f$ . In the non-archimedean case, we have moreover that  $\ell_{\omega, s}(f)$  vanishes for  $C(\omega)$  sufficiently large in terms of  $f$ .

**8.3. Variation with respect to the additive character.** It is often convenient to assume that  $\psi$  has a particular form (e.g., unramified when  $F$  is non-archimedean), so we record here how the above definitions vary with  $\psi$ . For  $b \in F^\times$ , set  $\psi^b(x) := \psi(bx)$  and let  $\ell_{\sigma, s}^b, \ell_{\omega, s}^b$  be defined as above, but using  $\psi^b$ . We verify readily that

$$\ell_{\sigma, s}^b = |b|^{3/2 - s_1 + s_2 - s_3} \ell_{\sigma, s}, \quad \ell_{\omega, s}^b = |b|^{1 + s_1 + s_2 - s_3} \ell_{\omega, s}. \quad (8.13)$$

### 9. Global invariant functionals

Let  $F$  be a number field, with accompanying notation as above, and let  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  satisfy (8.1). Then  $\mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3)$  is an irreducible automorphic representation of  $G_{\mathbb{A}} \times G_{\mathbb{A}} \times A_{\mathbb{A}}$ , given by the restricted tensor product of the analogous local representations. We will define two families of  $A_{\mathbb{A}}$ -invariant functionals, the global analogues of those of §8, and record their factorizations into local functionals.

**9.1.**  $G \times G \geq G \geq A$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a generic automorphic representation. For almost all  $s$ , we define a functional

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{\sigma,s} : \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ f_1 \otimes f_2 &\mapsto \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{B}(\sigma)} \frac{\int_{[G]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \mathrm{Eis}(f_1^*) \mathrm{Eis}(f_2^*) \tilde{\varphi} \int_{[A]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \varphi \cdot | \cdot |^{s_3}}{\int_{A_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\mathrm{reg}} \tilde{W} \tilde{\varphi} W_{\varphi}}, \end{aligned}$$

with the integrals interpreted as above. Here  $\mathcal{B}(\sigma)$  is a basis consisting of  $K$ -isotypic factorizable vectors, and as  $\varphi$  traverses  $\mathcal{B}(\sigma)$ , we let  $\tilde{\varphi}$  traverse the corresponding dual basis, with the duality normalized by the pairing given in the denominator.

If  $\sigma = \mathrm{Eis}^*(\mathcal{I}(\eta))$  with  $\eta$  quadratic, set  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma, s) := 0$ ; otherwise, set

$$\mathcal{L}(\sigma, s) := \frac{\Lambda(\sigma, 1/2 + s_1 + s_2) \Lambda(\sigma, 1/2 - s_1 + s_2) \Lambda(\sigma, 1/2 + s_3)}{\Lambda^*(\sigma \times \sigma, 1)}.$$

*Remark 9.1.* If  $\sigma$  is Eisenstein, say  $\sigma = \mathrm{Eis}^*(\mathcal{I}(\chi))$ , then the quantity

$$\Lambda^*(\sigma \times \sigma, 1) = \begin{cases} \xi_F^*(1)^4 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is quadratic,} \\ \xi_F^*(1)^2 \Lambda(\chi^2, 1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (9.1)$$

does not vary continuously with respect to  $\chi \in [A]^{\wedge}$ . (Compare with [27, §2.2.2].) The set of discontinuities consists of the quadratic  $\chi$ , hence has measure zero. If  $\chi = \eta \cdot | \cdot |^{it}$  with  $\eta$  quadratic and  $t$  is a small nonzero real number, then

$$\Lambda^*(\sigma \times \sigma, 1) \asymp t^{-2}. \quad (9.2)$$

These considerations motivate the definition of  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma, s)$ , which varies meromorphically with  $\chi$  for  $\sigma = \mathrm{Eis}^*(\mathcal{I}(\chi))$ .

We define  $\mathcal{L}^{(S)}(\sigma, s)$  like  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma, s)$ , but with the Euler products over places not in  $S$ .

By the unfolding of global Hecke and Rankin–Selberg integrals noted in §7.4 and §7.9, we see that for factorizable factors,

$$\ell_{\sigma,s}(f_1 \otimes f_2) = \mathcal{L}(\sigma, s) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{L}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}, s)^{-1} \ell_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}},s}^*(f_{1\mathfrak{p}} \otimes f_{2\mathfrak{p}}), \quad (9.3)$$

with the product really a finite product. As  $f$  varies holomorphically, the ratio  $\ell_{\sigma,s}(f)/\mathcal{L}(\sigma, s)$  thus extends holomorphically to all  $s$  satisfying (8.1).

**9.2.**  $G \times G \geq A \times A \geq A$ . Let  $\omega$  be a character of  $[A]$ . For almost all  $s$ , we may define a functional

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{\omega,s} : \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ f_1 \otimes f_2 &\mapsto \int_{[A]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_1) \omega \int_{[A]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_2) \omega^{-1} \cdot | \cdot |^{s_3}, \end{aligned}$$

which unfolds and then factors on factorizable vectors as the (finite) product

$$\ell_{\omega,s}(f_1 \otimes f_2) = \mathcal{L}(\omega, s) \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{L}(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, s)^{-1} \ell_{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}},s}^*(f_{1\mathfrak{p}} \otimes f_{2\mathfrak{p}}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\omega, s) := \frac{\prod_{\pm} \Lambda(\omega, 1/2 \pm s_1) \Lambda(\omega^{-1}, 1/2 \pm s_2 + s_3)}{(\xi_F(1)^*)^2}.$$

As  $f$  varies holomorphically, the ratio  $\ell_{\omega,s}(f)/\mathcal{L}(\omega, s)$  thus extends holomorphically to all  $\omega \in [A]^\wedge$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  satisfying (8.1).

### 10. Decompositions of global periods

We continue to suppose that  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  satisfies (8.1), but require now also that

$$|\cdot|^{\varepsilon_1 s_1 + \varepsilon_2 s_2 + \varepsilon_3 s_3} \notin \{|\cdot|^0, |\cdot|^{\pm 1/2}, |\cdot|^{\pm 1}\} \text{ for } 0 \neq \varepsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^3. \quad (10.1)$$

We deduce from the estimate (7.3) for the Eisenstein series that the expression

$$\text{Eis}^*(f_1)(a(y)) \text{Eis}^*(f_2)(a(y)) |y|^{s_3} \quad (y \in \mathbb{A}^\times / F^\times) \quad (10.2)$$

may be approximated as  $|y| \rightarrow \infty$  (resp.  $|y| \rightarrow 0$ ) by a linear combination of the characters  $|y|^{1 \pm s_1 \pm s_2 + s_3}$  (resp.  $|y|^{-1 \pm s_1 \pm s_2 + s_3}$ ). Since  $\pm s_1 \pm s_2 \pm s_3 \neq 1$ , the trivial character never occurs, so (10.2) defines a regularizable function on  $[A]$ . We obtain an  $A_{\mathbb{A}}$ -invariant functional

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_s &: \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ f_1 \otimes f_2 &\mapsto \int_{[A]}^{\text{reg}} \text{Eis}^*(f_1) \text{Eis}^*(f_2) |\cdot|^{s_3}, \end{aligned}$$

which we proceed to decompose in two ways.

As noted earlier, the basic ideas behind this section were sketched in [27, §4.5].

*Remark 10.1.* The regularized integral over  $[A]$  considered above may be defined concretely as the absolutely convergent integral

$$\int_{y \in [A]} \left( \int_{u \in \mathbb{A}^\times} \text{Eis}^*(f_1) \text{Eis}^*(f_2)(a(yu)) d\nu(u) \right) |y|^{s_3} \frac{dy}{|y|} \quad (10.3)$$

for any finite measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  whose Mellin transform vanishes at the characters  $|\cdot|^{\pm 1 \pm s_1 \pm s_2}$  (with all  $2^3$  possible sign combinations).

**10.1.**  $G \times G \geq G \geq A$ . We phrase some estimates below in terms of “generic complex lines in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  containing the origin.” In each case, one could take the line  $\{(10^{-6}s_0, 10^{-12}s_0, 10^{-18}s_0) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : s_0 \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , for instance. Those estimates may be formulated alternatively as bounds for the total polar multiplicity at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^3$  of certain meromorphic functions.

**Theorem 10.2.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  satisfy  $|\text{Re}(s_i)| < 1/6$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and (10.1). Then*

$$\ell_s = \int_{\sigma: \text{generic}} \ell_{\sigma,s} + \sum_{i=1}^7 \ell_{i,s}^{\text{deg}},$$

where the integral is taken over standard generic automorphic representations  $\sigma$  as in (7.10) and the “degenerate maps”  $\ell_{i,s}^{\text{deg}}$  are meromorphic families of functionals with the following properties:

- For  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ,  $\ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}$  factors  $\Delta G \times A$ -equivariantly through  $\mathcal{I}(1/2 \pm s_1 \pm s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3)$ .
- $\ell_{5,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}$  is  $N_{\mathbb{A}}$ -invariant.
- For  $i = 6, 7$ ,  $\ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}$  factors  $\Delta G \times A$ -equivariantly through  $\mathcal{I}(\pm(s_3 - 1/2)) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3)$ .

Moreover, for any holomorphic family  $f[s] \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3)$  defined for  $s$  near zero, we have

$$\ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}(f[s]) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{O}(|s|^{-4}) & \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ \mathrm{O}(|s|^{-5}) & \text{for } i = 6, 7 \end{cases} \quad (10.4)$$

for small  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  in a generic complex line containing the origin.

*Proof.* Let  $f = f_1 \otimes f_2 \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$ . Set  $\Psi := \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_1) \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_2)$ . The regularizing Eisenstein series as in §7.7 is given by

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathrm{Eis}(f_1^* \cdot f_2^*) + \mathrm{Eis}(M f_1^* \cdot f_2^*) + \mathrm{Eis}(f_1^* \cdot M f_2^*) + \mathrm{Eis}(M f_1^* \cdot M f_2^*). \quad (10.5)$$

By Theorem 7.1, we have the normally convergent pointwise expansion

$$\Psi - \mathcal{E} = \int_{\sigma:\mathrm{generic}} \Psi_{\sigma},$$

say. We obtain

$$\ell_s(f) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}(f) + \int_{[A]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \int_{\sigma:\mathrm{generic}} \Psi_{\sigma} | \cdot |^{s_3},$$

where the  $\ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}(f)$  are given by the regularized integral over  $[A]$  of the terms in the definition of  $\mathcal{E}$ . The functionals  $\ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}$  have the required factorization property. The estimate (10.4) for  $i = 1..4$  follows by writing, e.g.,

$$\mathrm{Eis}(f_1^* \cdot f_2^*) = \frac{\xi_F(1 + 2s_1)\xi_F(1 + 2s_2)}{\xi_F(2 + 2s_1 + 2s_2)} \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_1 \cdot f_2),$$

and recalling from §7.4 that

$$\int_{[A]}^{\mathrm{reg}} \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_1 \cdot f_2) | \cdot |^{s_3} / \prod_{\pm} \xi_F(1/2 \pm (1/2 + s_1 + s_2) + s_3)$$

is holomorphic.

Continuing to assume that  $|\mathrm{Re}(s_1)|, |\mathrm{Re}(s_2)| < 1/6$ , we now change our assumptions temporarily by supposing that  $\mathrm{Re}(s_3) > 1/2$ . Then for any standard generic automorphic representation  $\sigma$ , we have

$$\ell_{\sigma,s}(f) = \int_{[A]} (\Psi_{\sigma} - \Psi_{\sigma,N}) | \cdot |^{s_3}. \quad (10.6)$$

By (7.14), the integrand in (10.6) is bounded for each fixed  $d \geq 0$  by  $C(\sigma)^{-d}$  times a fixed convergent integrand. Taking  $d$  sufficiently large that  $\int_{\sigma} C(\sigma)^{-d} < \infty$  (see [27, §2.6.5]), we deduce by interchanging summation with integration that

$$\ell_s(f) = \sum_{i=1}^5 \ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}(f) + \Phi(s) \quad (10.7)$$

where, for  $\operatorname{Re}(s_3) > 1/2$ ,

$$\Phi(s) := \int_{\sigma:\text{generic}} \ell_{\sigma,s}(f) \quad (10.8)$$

and

$$\ell_{5,s}^{\text{deg}}(f) := \int_{[A]}^{\text{reg}} \int_{\sigma:\text{generic}} \Psi_{\sigma,N} |\cdot|^{s_3}. \quad (10.9)$$

We may evaluate the functional  $\ell_{5,s}^{\text{deg}}$  explicitly using truncation and that  $\Psi_{\sigma,N} = 0$  unless  $\sigma$  is Eisenstein, but it suffices for our purposes to note as claimed that this functional is  $N_{\mathbb{A}}$ -invariant.

We now freeze the variables  $s_1, s_2$  and view the above identity as one of meromorphic functions of  $s_3$  defined initially for  $\operatorname{Re}(s_3) > 1/2$ . We aim to meromorphically continue  $\Phi$  to the range  $-1/6 < \operatorname{Re}(s_3) < 1/2$  and to verify that a modified form of the identity (10.8) holds there.

We expand

$$\Phi(s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma:\text{cuspidal}} \ell_{\sigma,s}(f) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\chi:\text{unitary}} \ell_{\mathcal{I}(\chi),s}(f). \quad (10.10)$$

For each cuspidal  $\sigma$ , we see by the discussion of §8.1.2 and §8.1.5 and the holomorphy of  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma, s)$  that  $\ell_{\sigma,s}(f)$  decays faster than any power of  $C(\sigma)$ , locally uniformly in  $s$ , and is holomorphic in the range  $\operatorname{Re}(s_3) > -1/6$ . Analogous assertions hold for the individual Eisenstein contributions  $\ell_{\mathcal{I}(\chi),s}(f)$  except when  $\chi$  is of the form  $|\cdot|^t$ , in which case we may encounter poles in the indicated range. Indeed, we may write  $\ell_{\mathcal{I}(t),s}(f) = \Xi(t, s)h(t, s)$ , where

$$\Xi(t, s) := \prod_{\pm} \frac{\xi_F(\frac{1}{2} + s_1 + s_2 \pm t) \xi_F(\frac{1}{2} - s_1 + s_2 \pm t) \xi_F(\frac{1}{2} + s_3 \pm t)}{\xi_F(1 \pm 2t)}$$

and  $h$  is an entire function defined by a finite product of normalized local integrals. The poles of  $\Xi(t, s)$  with  $s_1, s_2$  as usual,  $\operatorname{Re}(s_3) > -1/6$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(t) = 0$  are at  $t = \pm(s_3 - 1/2)$ .

We are led to the problem of determining the meromorphic continuation of the integral

$$I(s) := \int_{t \in i\mathbb{R}} \ell_{\mathcal{I}(t),s}(f) \frac{dt}{2\pi i},$$

defined initially for  $\operatorname{Re}(s_3) > 1/2$ . Let us first do this under the assumption of GRH and working formally. We fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, and consider  $s_3$  of real part in the interval  $(1/2, 1/2 + \varepsilon)$ . We shift the contour to  $\operatorname{Re}(t) = 2\varepsilon$ , passing a pole at  $t = s_3 - 1/2$ . The resulting integral has no poles for  $s_3$  of real part in  $(1/2 - \varepsilon, 1/2 + \varepsilon)$ . We obtain in this way the analytic continuation of  $I(s)$  to  $1/2 - \varepsilon < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1/2 + \varepsilon$ . We suppose next that  $1/2 - \varepsilon < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1/2$  and shift the contour back to  $\operatorname{Re}(t) = 0$ , passing a pole at  $t = 1/2 - s_3$ . We obtain in this way the analytic continuation of  $I(s)$  to  $s_3$  of real part greater than  $-1/2$ , and for  $s_3$  of real part in the interval  $(-1/2, 1/2)$  the formula

$$I(s) = \sum_{\pm} \pm \operatorname{res}_{t \rightarrow \pm(1/2 - s_3)} \ell_{\mathcal{I}(t),s}(f) + \int_{t \in i\mathbb{R}} \ell_{\mathcal{I}(t),s}(f) \frac{dt}{2\pi i}. \quad (10.11)$$

The argument of the previous paragraph was not quite rigorous, because we assumed GRH (to neglect possible poles of  $\xi_F(1 - 2t)$ ) and did not justify the contour shifts. To give a rigorous argument, we fix  $T \geq 1$  sufficiently large and

restrict to  $s_3$  with  $|\mathrm{Im}(s_3)| < T/2$ , say. By the prime number theorem, we may choose  $\varepsilon$  small enough that shifting  $t$  from  $i\mathbb{R}$  to the piecewise-linear contour  $\mathcal{C}$  with endpoints  $-i\infty, -iT, -iT + 2\varepsilon, iT + 2\varepsilon, iT, i\infty$  does not encounter any zeroes of  $\xi_F(1 - 2t)$ . We then argue as before but with  $\{t : \mathrm{Re}(t) = 2\varepsilon\}$  replaced by  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Combining the formula (10.11) with our earlier remarks gives the required meromorphic continuation of  $\Phi$  and the identity, for  $s_3$  of real part in  $(-1/6, 1/2)$ ,

$$\Phi(s) = \int_{\sigma:\text{generic}} \ell_{\sigma,s}(f) + \sum_{i=6,7} \ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}(f), \quad (10.12)$$

where the  $\ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}$  have the required factorization properties. Since the estimate

$$\mathrm{res}_{t=\pm(s_3-1/2)} \Xi(t, s) \ll |s|^{-5} \quad (10.13)$$

holds for small  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  in a generic complex line containing the origin, the estimate (10.4) follows for  $i = 6, 7$ .

We obtain the required identity by combining (10.7) and (10.12).  $\square$

## 10.2. $G \times G \geq A \times A \geq A$ .

**Theorem 10.3.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  satisfy  $|\mathrm{Re}(s_i)| < 1/4$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and (10.1). Then*

$$\ell_s = \int_{\omega:\text{unitary}} \ell_{\omega,s} + \sum_{i=8}^{15} \ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}},$$

where the integral is taken over unitary characters  $\omega$  of  $[A]$  as in (7.1) and the degenerate functionals are given on  $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$  by

$$f_1^*(1) \int_{A_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\mathrm{reg}} W_{\mathrm{Eis}^*(f_2)} |\cdot|^{1/2+s_1+s_3}, \quad (10.14)$$

$$Mf_1^*(1) \int_{A_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\mathrm{reg}} W_{\mathrm{Eis}^*(f_2)} |\cdot|^{1/2-s_1+s_3}, \quad (10.15)$$

$$f_1^*(w) \int_{A_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\mathrm{reg}} W_{\mathrm{Eis}^*(f_2)} |\cdot|^{-1/2-s_1+s_3}, \quad (10.16)$$

$$Mf_1^*(w) \int_{A_{\mathbb{A}}}^{\mathrm{reg}} W_{\mathrm{Eis}^*(f_2)} |\cdot|^{-1/2+s_1+s_3}, \quad (10.17)$$

together with the analogous quantities obtained by swapping  $(f_1, s_1)$  with  $(f_2, s_2)$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\varphi_i(y) := \mathrm{Eis}^*(f_i)(a(y))$ . By estimate (7.3) for the Eisenstein series, we see that  $\varphi_i$  admits the finite expansions

$$\varphi_i(y) \sim \begin{cases} \phi_i^\infty(y) & \text{as } |y| \rightarrow \infty, \\ \phi_i^0(y) & \text{as } |y| \rightarrow 0 \end{cases} \quad (10.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i^\infty(y) &:= |y|^{1/2+s_i} f_i^*(1) + |y|^{1/2-s_i} Mf_i^*(1), \\ \phi_i^0(y) &:= |y|^{-1/2-s_i} f_i^*(w) + |y|^{-1/2+s_i} Mf_i^*(w). \end{aligned}$$

Since regularizable finite functions have vanishing regularized integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times}^{\text{reg}} \varphi_1 \varphi_2 |\cdot|^{s_3} &= \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times}^{\text{reg}} (\varphi_1 - \phi_1^\infty)(\varphi_2 - \phi_2^\infty) |\cdot|^{s_3} \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times}^{\text{reg}} \phi_1^\infty \varphi_2 |\cdot|^{s_3} + \int_{[A]}^{\text{reg}} \phi_2^\infty \varphi_1 |\cdot|^{s_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.19)$$

The second and third terms on the RHS of (10.19) contribute the degenerate terms (10.14), (10.15) listed above plus their analogues with  $(f_1, s_1)$  and  $(f_2, s_2)$  swapped. The first term may be defined for (say)  $\text{Re}(s_3) \geq 20$  as an absolutely convergent integral, then in general by meromorphic continuation. Similarly, the Mellin transform (cf. §7.4)

$$\hat{\varphi}_i(\omega) := \int_{y \in \mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times}^{\text{reg}} \varphi_i(\omega) \omega(y) = \int_{A_\mathbb{A}}^{\text{reg}} W_{\text{Eis}^*(f_i)} \omega \quad (10.20)$$

may be defined for (say)  $\text{Re}(\omega) \geq 10$  via the absolutely convergent integral of  $(\varphi_i - \phi_i^\infty)\omega$ . By Mellin inversion, we have

$$\varphi_1(y) - \phi_1^\infty(y) = \int_{\omega: \text{Re}(\omega)=10} \hat{\varphi}_1(\omega) \omega^{-1}(y).$$

(The crude estimates of §8.2.4 and the convexity bound for the  $L$ -values give adequate decay at infinity for  $\hat{\varphi}_i$  to justify this expansion and subsequent contour shifts.) Thus for  $\text{Re}(s_3) = 20$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{A}^\times/F^\times}^{\text{reg}} (\varphi_1 - \phi_1^\infty)(\varphi_2 - \phi_2^\infty) |\cdot|^{s_3} = \int_{\omega: \text{Re}(\omega)=10} \hat{\varphi}_1(\omega) \hat{\varphi}_2(\omega^{-1} |\cdot|^{s_3}). \quad (10.21)$$

We shift the  $\omega$ -contour to  $\text{Re}(\omega) = 0$ , passing poles at  $\omega = |\cdot|^{1/2 \pm s_1}$  whose residues contribute the degenerate terms (10.16), (10.17). We then take  $\text{Re}(s_3)$  nearly as small as we can without passing a pole of the integrand and shift to  $\text{Re}(\omega) = \varepsilon$ , passing two more poles that contribute the remaining degenerate terms. We then take  $\text{Re}(s_3)$  close to 0 and shift back to  $\text{Re}(\omega) = 0$ , giving the required identity.  $\square$

### 10.3. Summary.

**Theorem 10.4.** *Suppose  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 10.3. Let  $f = \otimes f_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$  be a factorizable vector. Let  $S$  be a finite set of places of  $F$  such that for each  $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ , we have that  $(F_{\mathfrak{p}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is unramified and  $f_{\mathfrak{p}} = f_{1\mathfrak{p}} \otimes f_{2\mathfrak{p}}$  with  $f_{1\mathfrak{p}}, f_{2\mathfrak{p}}$  normalized spherical. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\substack{\sigma: \text{generic,} \\ \text{unram. outside } S}} \mathcal{L}^{(S)}(\sigma, s) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \ell_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}, s}^*(f_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \sum_{i=1}^7 \ell_{i, s}^{\text{deg}}(f_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ \int_{\substack{\omega: \text{unitary,} \\ \text{unram. outside } S}} \mathcal{L}^{(S)}(\omega, s) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \ell_{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, s}^*(f) + \sum_{i=8}^{15} \ell_{i, s}^{\text{deg}}(f), \end{aligned} \quad (10.22)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}^{(S)}(\sigma, s)$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{(S)}(\omega, s)$  denote the corresponding partial Euler products.

*Proof.* We decompose  $\ell_s(f)$  via Theorems 10.2 and 10.3 and unfold as in §9.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.5.** *Let  $f = \otimes f_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{I}(0) \otimes \mathcal{I}(0)$  be a factorizable vector, and let  $S$  be as in Theorem 10.4. Then the difference*

$$\int_{\substack{\sigma: \text{generic,} \\ \text{unram. outside } S}} \mathcal{L}^{(S)}(\sigma, 0) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \ell_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}, 0}^*(f_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \int_{\substack{\omega: \text{unitary,} \\ \text{unram. outside } S}} \mathcal{L}^{(S)}(\omega, 0) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \ell_{\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}, 0}^*(f_{\mathfrak{p}}) \quad (10.23)$$

is equal to the limit

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left( \sum_{i=8}^{15} - \sum_{i=1}^7 \right) \ell_{i,s}^{\deg}(f[s]) \quad (10.24)$$

for any holomorphic family  $f[s] \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$ , defined for  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  near the origin, with  $f[0] = f$ .

By combining the remarks of §8.3 with the unramified calculations of §8.1 and §8.2, we see that the above results extend with minor modification to the case that  $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is ramified for some finite  $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ .

## Part 4. Analysis of local weights and degenerate terms

### 11. Holomorphic families of local weights

Let  $F$  be a local field, with nontrivial unitary character  $\psi$ . We fix a small neighborhood  $\Omega$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . We let  $s = (s_1, s_2) \in \Omega$  and set  $\pi := \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$  we define  $W_f$  and  $V_f$  as in §2.6. We aim to generalize the results of §2 from  $s = 0$  to all  $s \in \Omega$ . No new ideas are required here, but the formulas obtained are slightly more complicated.

We first generalize the results of §2.6:

*Lemma 11.1.*

- (i) *The set  $\{W_f : f \in \pi\}$  contains  $C_c^\infty(F^\times \times F^\times)$ .*
- (ii) *The set  $\{V_f : f \in \pi\}$  contains  $C_c^\infty(N \backslash G, \psi)$ .*
- (iii) *For  $f \in \pi$ , we have*

$$W_f(t_1, t_2) \quad (11.1)$$

$$= |t_1|^{1/2-s_1} |t_2|^{1/2-s_2} \int_{x \in F} |1-x|^{2s_1} |x|^{2s_2} V^\sharp[s](x, \frac{(t_1+t_2)x-t_2}{x(1-x)}) \frac{dx}{|x(1-x)|},$$

where

$$V^\sharp[s](x, y) := \int_{\xi \in F} |\xi|^{-2s_2} V^\wedge[s](\xi, -x/\xi) \psi(-\xi y) d\xi \quad (11.2)$$

with

$$V^\wedge[s](\xi, z) := \int_{y \in F^\times} |y|^{s_1-s_2} V(a(y)n'(z)) \psi(\xi y) \frac{dy}{|y|}. \quad (11.3)$$

The integration in (11.1) is understood as in lemma 2.5.

*Proof.* The first assertion is again a consequence of standard properties of the Kirillov model. For the remaining assertions, we fix a nonzero test function  $\phi_0 \in C_c^\infty(F^\times)$  supported close enough to the identity that  $\int_F \phi_0 \cdot | \cdot |^{2s_1} \neq 0$  for all  $s \in \Omega$  and define  $\Phi[s] \in \mathcal{S}(F^3)$  by

$$\Phi[s](x, y, z) := \frac{\phi_0(x-yz)}{\int_F \phi_0 \cdot | \cdot |^{2s_1}} V^\wedge[s]\left(\frac{y}{x-yz}, z\right).$$

and then  $f[s] \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$  by

$$f[s](g, n'(z)) := |\det g|^{1/2+s_1} \int_{r \in F} \Phi[s]((0, r)g, z) |r|^{2s_1} dr.$$

The same calculations as in the proof of lemma 2.4 confirm that  $V_{f[s]} = V$ , whence the second assertion. The same calculations as in the proof of lemma 2.5 lead to the required formula for  $W_f$  in terms of  $V_f$ .  $\square$

We next generalize the results of §2.9:

**Theorem 11.2.** *Let  $\phi, h$  be as in Theorem 2.10. Fix a small neighborhood  $\Omega$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . Then we may find a holomorphic family  $f[s] \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$ , defined for  $s = (s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \Omega$ , with the following properties:*

- (i)  $\ell_{\sigma, s}(f[s]) = h(\sigma)$  for all  $s$ .
- (ii)  $\ell_{\omega, 0}(f[0]) = \tilde{h}(\omega)$  is as described in Theorem 2.10.
- (iii) for each  $s$  and every  $N$ -invariant functional  $\ell : \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $\ell(f[s]) = 0$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 2.10, we define  $V \in C_c^\infty(N \backslash G, \psi)$  by (2.31) and then  $f_0[s] \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$  using lemma 11.1, so that  $V = V_{f_0[s]}$ . We choose  $\phi_1 \in C_c^\infty(A) \cong C_c^\infty(F^\times)$  supported close enough to the identity that  $\int_A \phi_1 |\cdot|^{s_3} \neq 0$  for all  $s \in \Omega$ , and set

$$f[s] := \frac{1}{\int_A \phi_1 |\cdot|^{s_3}} \phi_1' * N_\psi f_0[s] \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2), \quad (11.4)$$

with notation as in (2.33). Assertion (i) holds because  $V = V_{f_0[s]}$ . The proof of assertion (ii) is the same calculation as in the proof of Theorem 2.10, noting that the present definitions specialize to the earlier ones upon taking  $s = 0$ . Assertion (iii) follows from the definition (11.4) and the fact that  $\phi_1$  is supported away from 0.  $\square$

## 12. Construction of global test vectors

Returning to the global setting, let  $F$  be a number field, let  $S$  be a finite set of places of  $F$  containing all archimedean places, and for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ , let  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be a pre-Kuznetsov weight defined on the set of generic irreducible representations  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$  be a small neighborhood of the origin. For  $s \in \Omega$ , let  $f[s] = \otimes f[s]_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3)$  denote the factorizable vector such that

- for  $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ , the local component  $f[s]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normalized spherical (i.e., the unique  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}})^2$ -invariant vector with  $f[s]_{\mathfrak{p}}(1) = 1$ ), while
- for  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ , the local component  $f[s]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is as constructed in Theorem 11.2.

We refer subsequently to  $(f[s])_{s \in \Omega}$  as *the holomorphic family attached to the local weights  $(h_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \in S}$* .

## 13. The first seven degenerate terms

We give conditions on the local weights  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  under which the first seven degenerate terms may be neglected. The informal content of these conditions is that the weights “vanish adequately near the trivial representation.”

**Theorem 13.1.** *Let  $F$  be a number field. Let  $S$  be a finite set of places of  $F$ , containing all archimedean places. For each  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ , let  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be a pre-Kuznetsov weight for  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Assume that there exists either*

- (i) *a finite place  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$  such that  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  vanishes on the subset of unramified representations, or*
- (ii) *an infinite place  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$  for which  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is divisible by the sixth power of the Casimir operator  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  on  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , i.e., there exists a pre-Kuznetsov weight  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}^0$  so that  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}(\sigma) = \lambda_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}}^6 h_{\mathfrak{p}}^0(\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}})$  for all  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , where  $\lambda_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  denotes the  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -eigenvalue.*

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$  be a small neighborhood of the origin, and let  $(f[s])_{s \in \Omega}$  be the holomorphic family attached to  $(h_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \in S}$ . Then for  $i = 1..7$ , we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}(f[s]) = 0, \quad (13.1)$$

with the limit taken along  $s$  in a generic complex line in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  containing the origin.

Note that, by assertion (iii) of Theorem 3.1, the condition (ii) of Theorem 13.1 is satisfied (at some finite place) for the weights relevant to our applications (see §4).

*Proof.* We observe first, by the stated properties of  $f[s]$  and the  $N_{\mathbb{A}}$ -invariance of  $\ell_{5,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}$ , that  $\ell_{5,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}(f[s]) = 0$ . It thus suffices to verify (13.1) for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7\}$ . We will make use of the factorization properties stated in Theorem 10.2, namely, that  $\ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}$  factors  $\Delta G \times A$ -equivariantly through  $\mathcal{I}(t) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3)$  for some complex number  $t = \pm 1/2 + O(|s|)$ .

For a complex number  $t$  and a place  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(t)$  the corresponding induced representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , so that  $\mathcal{I}(t) = \otimes_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(t)$ .

Consider first the case that the condition (i) is satisfied for some finite place  $\mathfrak{p}$ . The space of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ -invariant functionals  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(s_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(t)$  is one-dimensional, and described explicitly using the local Rankin–Selberg integral  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(s_2) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(-t) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  or some Laurent coefficient thereof (see §6.6.2). The assumption on  $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$  implies that any such Rankin–Selberg integral vanishes at  $f[s]_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . It follows that  $f[s]_{\mathfrak{p}}$  lies in the kernel of any invariant functional  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(s_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{p}}(t)$ , hence that  $\ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}(f) = 0$ .

Consider next the case that the condition (ii) is satisfied for some infinite place  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $f^0[s]$  be attached to  $h^0$  in the same way that  $f[s]$  was to  $h$ . Then for the same reasons as in the previous case, we have  $\ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}(f[s]) = \lambda_t^6 \ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}(f^0[s])$ , where  $\lambda_t$  denotes the eigenvalue for  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  on  $\mathcal{I}(t)$ . If  $t = \pm 1/2 + O(|s|)$ , then  $\lambda_t = O(|s|)$ . Since  $\ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}(f^0[s]) = O(|s|^{-5})$  (see (10.4)), it follows that  $\ell_{i,s}^{\mathrm{deg}}(f[s]) = O(|s|)$ , whence the required conclusion.  $\square$

#### 14. The remaining eight degenerate terms

Thanks to §4 and §13, the proofs of our main applications (Theorems 1.1 and 1.5) will be complete once we show the following:

**Theorem 14.1.** *Let  $F$  be a number field, equipped with a nontrivial unitary character  $\psi$  of  $\mathbb{A}/F$ . Let  $S$ ,  $(h_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \in S}$  and  $Q$  be as in §4.1 or §4.2. Let  $f[s] \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3)$  be the holomorphic family, defined for small  $s$ , attached to*

$(h_p)_{p \in S}$  in §12. Then

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=8}^{15} \ell_{i,s}^{\deg}(f[s]) \ll Q^\varepsilon. \quad (14.1)$$

The proof is given in §14.5 after several preliminaries.

*Remark 14.2.* It should be possible to refine this estimate to an asymptotic formula for the LHS of (14.1); compare with [35].

**14.1. Factorization.** Take  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  small and satisfying (?). We introduce the temporary notation

$$Z(u_1, u_2, u_3) := \frac{\zeta_F^{(S)}(u_1) \zeta_F^{(S)}(u_2) \zeta_F^{(S)}(u_3)}{\zeta_F^{(S),*}(1)}.$$

Take  $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$  with  $f_i = \otimes f_{i,p} \in \mathcal{I}(s_i)$  unramified outside  $S$ . Then by §7.4, the  $\ell_{i,s}^{\deg}(f)$  ( $i = 8..15$ ) factor as

$$Z(1+2s_1, 1+s_1+s_2+s_3, 1+s_1-s_2-s_3) \prod_{p \in S} f_{1p}^*(1) \int_{A_p} W_{f_{2p}^*} |\cdot|^{1/2+s_1+s_3} \quad (14.2)$$

$$Z(1-2s_1, 1-s_1+s_2+s_3, 1-s_1-s_2+s_3) \prod_{p \in S} M f_{1p}^*(1) \int_{A_p} W_{f_{2p}^*} |\cdot|^{1/2-s_1+s_3} \quad (14.3)$$

$$Z(1+2s_1, -s_1+s_2+s_3, -s_1-s_2+s_3) \prod_{p \in S} f_{1p}^*(w) \int_{A_p}^{\text{reg}} W_{f_{2p}^*} |\cdot|^{-1/2-s_1+s_3} \quad (14.4)$$

$$Z(1-2s_1, s_1+s_2+s_3, s_1-s_2+s_3) \prod_{p \in S} M f_{1p}^*(w) \int_{A_p}^{\text{reg}} W_{f_{2p}^*} |\cdot|^{-1/2-s_1+s_3}, \quad (14.5)$$

together with four similar terms obtained by swapping  $(f_1, s_1)$  with  $(f_2, s_2)$ .

**14.2. Evaluation of local functionals.** Let  $(F, \psi)$  be a local field. Take  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  small and satisfying (10.1). We consider the eight  $A$ -invariant functionals on  $\mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3)$  defined by sending  $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$  to the local integrals implicit above, namely,

$$f_1(1) \int_A W_{f_2} |\cdot|^{1/2+s_1+s_3}, \quad M f_1(1) \int_A W_{f_2} |\cdot|^{1/2-s_1+s_3}, \quad (14.6)$$

$$f_1(w) \int_A^{\text{reg}} W_{f_2} |\cdot|^{-1/2-s_1+s_3}, \quad M f_1(w) \int_A^{\text{reg}} W_{f_2} |\cdot|^{-1/2+s_1+s_3}, \quad (14.7)$$

together with the analogous quantities obtained by swapping the indices 1 and 2. For notational simplicity, we have replaced  $f_i^*$  with  $f_i$  (see (6.7)); this has no effect on the estimation to be carried out because  $\zeta_F(1+2s_i) \asymp 1$  for small  $s_i$ . We aim to evaluate the quantities (14.6), (14.7) in a manner more convenient for estimation.

For  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  near the origin,  $f = \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2)$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , we define the double Hecke integral

$$D_f(\nu) := \int_{A \times A}^{\text{reg}} W_f |\cdot|^{\nu_1} \otimes |\cdot|^{\nu_2} \quad (14.8)$$

and its normalized variant (cf. §6.5)

$$D_f^*(\nu) := \frac{D_f(\nu)}{L(\mathcal{I}(s_1), 1/2 + \nu_1) L(\mathcal{I}(s_2), 1/2 + \nu_2)}, \quad (14.9)$$

We refer below to local  $L$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma$ -factors for  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  (see §B.2) as well as the  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  local  $\gamma$ -factor (see §6.1.8).

*Lemma 14.3.* *For  $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$ , the quantities listed in (14.6) and (14.7) are respectively equal to*

$$\beta_1(s)D_f^*(-1/2 - s_1, 1/2 + s_1 + s_3), \quad (14.10)$$

$$\beta_2(s)D_f^*(-1/2 + s_1, 1/2 - s_1 + s_3), \quad (14.11)$$

$$\beta_3(s)D_f^*(1/2 + s_1, -1/2 - s_1 + s_3), \quad (14.12)$$

$$\beta_4(s)D_f^*(1/2 - s_1, -1/2 + s_1 + s_3), \quad (14.13)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1(s) &:= \frac{L(\mathcal{I}(s_1), 1 + s_1)L(\mathcal{I}(s_2), 1 + s_1 + s_3)}{\varepsilon(\mathcal{I}(s_1), \psi, 1 + s_1)}, \\ \beta_2(s) &:= \gamma(\psi, 1 - 2s_1) \frac{L(\mathcal{I}(s_1), 1 - s_1)L(\mathcal{I}(s_2), 1 - s_1 + s_3)}{\varepsilon(\mathcal{I}(s_1), \psi, 1 - s_1)}, \\ \beta_3(s) &:= L(\mathcal{I}(s_1), 1 + s_1)L(\mathcal{I}(s_2), -s_1 + s_3), \\ \beta_4(s) &:= \gamma(\psi, 1 - 2s_1)L(\mathcal{I}(s_1), 1 - s_1)L(\mathcal{I}(s_2), s_1 + s_3), \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By the formulas (6.5) and (6.4) and Fourier inversion, we have

$$f_1(w) = \int_A W_{f_1} |\cdot|^{1/2+s_1}, \quad (14.14)$$

$$Mf_1(w) = \gamma(\psi, 1 - 2s_1) \int_A W_{f_1} |\cdot|^{1/2-s_1}. \quad (14.15)$$

Thus

$$f_1(1) = \int_A W_{w f_1} |\cdot|^{1/2+s_1}, \quad (14.16)$$

$$Mf_1(1) = \gamma(\psi, 1 - 2s_1) \int_A W_{w f_1} |\cdot|^{1/2-s_1}. \quad (14.17)$$

To express these last two Hecke integrals in terms of  $W_{f_1}$ , we invoke the local functional equation (see (B.1))

$$\int_A W_{w f_1} |\cdot|^{1/2 \pm s_1} = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\gamma(\psi, \mathcal{I}(s_1), 1 + u \pm s_1)} \int_A^{\mathrm{reg}} W_{f_1} |\cdot|^{-1/2 - u \mp s_1}. \quad (14.18)$$

Here we need to take a limit because the latter Hecke integral may have a pole at  $u = 0$ , compensated for by the pole of the  $\gamma$ -factor

$$\gamma(\psi, \mathcal{I}(s_1), 1 + u \pm s_1) = \gamma(\psi, 1 + u) \gamma(\psi, 1 + u \pm 2s_1).$$

The required identities follow readily.  $\square$

### 14.3. Reduction to local estimates.

*Definition 14.4.* Let  $F$  be a local field. For a holomorphic family  $f[s] \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3)$  defined for  $s \in \mathbb{C}^3$  near the origin and  $\alpha > 0$  sufficiently small, define

$$\mathcal{N}_\alpha(f) := \sup |D_{f[s]}^*(\nu)|,$$

with the supremum taken over all  $s$  and  $\nu$  such that for some choice of sign  $\pm$ , each of the quantities

$$s_1, s_2, s_3, \nu_1 \pm 1/2, \nu_2 \mp 1/2$$

is bounded in magnitude by  $\alpha$ .

We note, by §6.5, that  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(f)$  is finite.

**Proposition 14.5.** *Let  $F$  be a number field. Fix a nontrivial unitary character  $\psi$  of  $\mathbb{A}/F$ . Let  $S$ ,  $(h_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p} \in S}$  and  $f[s]$  be as in §12. Then for any small  $\alpha > 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=8}^{15} \ell_{i,s}^{\deg}(f[s]) \ll_{\alpha} \exp(O_{\alpha}(\#S)) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} \mathcal{N}_{\alpha}(f_{\mathfrak{p}}). \quad (14.19)$$

*Proof.* Write  $\Phi(s)$  for the LHS of (14.19). We note (by Theorems 10.2, 10.3, 13.1) that  $\Phi$  is holomorphic near  $s = 0$ . By Cauchy's theorem, it will suffice to estimate  $\Phi(s)$  for  $s$  belonging to a fixed small circle  $\mathcal{C}$  in a generic complex line containing the origin. For concreteness, take

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(10^{-6}s_0, 10^{-12}s_0, 10^{-18}s_0) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : s_0 \in \mathbb{C}, |s_0| = \alpha\}. \quad (14.20)$$

The products of zeta functions  $Z(\dots)$  appearing in (14.2)–(14.5), as well as the quantities  $\beta_i(s)$  of lemma 14.3, are  $\ll_{\alpha} 1$  for  $s \in \mathcal{C}$ . By another application of Cauchy's theorem, our task reduces to bounding the normalized Hecke integrals  $D_{f[s]}^*(\nu)$  in a small neighborhood of the relevant points. We conclude by the definition of  $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}(f_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .  $\square$

**14.4. Local estimates.** We next obtain local estimates for the weights relevant to our applications.

*Lemma 14.6.* *Let  $\alpha > 0$  be small. Let  $F$  be a non-archimedean local field equipped with an unramified additive character  $\psi$ . Let  $\chi$  be a ramified character of  $F^{\times}$ , with conductor  $Q := C(\chi)$ . Let  $h$  be the pre-Kuznetsov weight attached to  $\Sigma_F(\chi)$  in §3.2. Let  $f[s] \in \mathcal{I}(s_1) \otimes \mathcal{I}(s_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}(s_3)$  be the holomorphic family, defined for  $s$  near zero, that is attached to  $h$  by Theorem 11.2. Then*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}(f) \ll Q^{O(\alpha)}. \quad (14.21)$$

*Proof.* Expanding the construction (11.4) of  $f[s]$  and calculating as in the proof of Theorem 2.10 (specifically, (2.29)), we see that

$$D_{f[s]}(\nu) = \frac{\int_A \phi_1 |\cdot|^{\nu_1 + \nu_2}}{\int_A \phi_1 |\cdot|^{s_3}} D_0, \quad (14.22)$$

where

$$D_0 := \int_{t \in F^{\times}} W_{f_0[s]}(1-t, t) |1-t|^{\nu_1} |t|^{\nu_2} \frac{dt}{|t(1-t)|}. \quad (14.23)$$

By (11.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & W_{f_0[s]}(1-t, t) \\ &= |1-t|^{1/2-s_1} |t|^{1/2-s_2} \int_{x \in F} |1-x|^{2s_1} |x|^{2s_2} V^{\sharp}[s](x, \frac{x-t}{x(1-x)}) \frac{dx}{|x(1-x)|}. \end{aligned} \quad (14.24)$$

The calculation thus far has been general. Recall now that  $F$  is non-archimedean,  $\chi$  is ramified and  $\psi$  is unramified. Arguing as in the calculation of (3.13), we have  $V^{\wedge}[s](\xi, z) = V^{\wedge}[0](\xi, z)$  (because  $V(a(y)n'(z))$  is supported on  $|y| = 1$ ) and  $V^{\sharp}[x](x, y) = Q^{-2s_2} V^{\sharp}[0](x, y)$  (because  $V^{\wedge}[s](\xi, -x/\xi)$  is supported on  $|\xi| = Q$ ), hence by Fourier inversion,

$$V^{\sharp}[s](x, y) = Q^{-2s_2} 1_{|x| \leq 1} 1_{|y|=1} \chi(y). \quad (14.25)$$

Substituting (14.25) above yields an explicit formula for  $D_0$  as a double integral over  $x$  and  $t$ . The substitution  $(x, t) \mapsto (1-x, 1-t)$  swaps the roles of  $(\nu_1, s_1)$  and  $(\nu_2, s_2)$ , so as in the proof of lemma 3.3, we may decompose

$$D_0 = D_1 + D_2 - D_3,$$

where

- $D_2$  denotes the contribution from when  $|1-x| = |1-t| = 1$ ,
- $D_3$  that from when  $|1-x| = |1-t| = |x| = |t| = 1$ , and
- $D_1$  denotes the contribution from  $|x| = |t| = 1$ , or equivalently, that from  $|1-x| = |1-t| = 1$  but with  $(s_1, \nu_1)$  and  $(s_2, \nu_2)$  swapped, and with everything multiplied by  $\chi(-1)$ .

We change coordinates to  $(u, v)$  as before, with  $x = 1/v, t = 1/uv$ , and dyadically decompose according to the values  $U, V \in \{1, q, q^2, \dots\}$  for  $|u|, |v|$ . We obtain in this way for  $i = 1, 2$  that

$$D_i = \chi(\pm 1) Q^{-2s_2} \sum_{U, V \in \{1, q, q^2, \dots\}} U^{-1/2+s_i-\nu_i} V^{-1/2-s_i-\nu_i} D_{U, V} \quad (14.26)$$

and that

$$D_3 = Q^{-2s_2} D_{1,1}, \quad (14.27)$$

where

$$D_{U, V} = \int_{\substack{u, v \in F: \\ |u-1|=|u|=U, \\ |v-1|=|v|=V, \\ |uv-1|=UV}} \chi\left(\frac{1-1/u}{1-1/v}\right) \frac{du dv}{|uv|}. \quad (14.28)$$

The sum (14.26) converges absolutely for small  $\nu_1, \nu_2$ , and is understood in general by meromorphic continuation.

The integrals  $D_{U, V}$  are evaluated below in lemma A.2. Substituting that evaluation and summing some geometric series gives an evaluation of the  $D_i$ . The essential feature of this evaluation is that  $D_{U, V}$  vanishes unless

- $(U, V) = (Q/q, Q/q)$ ,
- $U = Q/q$  and  $V \geq Q$  or  $V = Q/q$  and  $U \geq Q$ , or
- $U, V \geq Q$ .

Moreover, the value of  $D_{U, V}$  does not vary within each of these three cases, and has size  $\ll UV/Q^2$ . If we write  $D_{Q/q, Q/q} = c_0/q^2$  and, for  $U, V \geq q$ ,  $D_{Q/q, V} = D_{U, Q/q} = c_1/q$  and  $D_{U, V} = c_2$ , then  $c_0, c_1, c_2 \ll 1$  and we have for  $i = 1, 2$  that

$$D_i = \chi(\pm 1) Q^{-1-2\nu_i-2s_2} \left( \frac{c_0}{q^2} q^{1+2\nu_i} + \frac{c_1}{q} \left( \frac{q^{1/2+s_i+\nu_i}}{1-q^{-1/2+s_i-\nu_i}} + \frac{q^{1/2-s_i+\nu_i}}{1-q^{-1/2-s_i-\nu_i}} \right) + c_2 \frac{1}{(1-q^{-1/2+s_i-\nu_i})(1-q^{-1/2-s_i-\nu_i})} \right) \quad (14.29)$$

and that

$$D_3 = Q^{-2s_2} \begin{cases} c_2 & \text{if } Q = q, \\ 0 & \text{if } Q > q. \end{cases} \quad (14.30)$$

Dividing by  $L(\mathcal{I}(s_1), 1/2+\nu_1)L(\mathcal{I}(s_2), 1/2+\nu_2)$  has the effect of clearing all denominators. The required estimate follows readily; note that  $Q^{-1-2\nu_i}, Q^{-2s_2} \ll Q^{O(\alpha)}$  for the indicated ranges.  $\square$

**14.5. Proof of Theorem 14.1.** By Proposition 14.5 and the consequence  $\exp(\#S) \ll Q^\varepsilon$  of the divisor bound, we reduce to estimating  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(f_{\mathfrak{p}})$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in S$ . For  $\mathfrak{p} \in S_0$ , we just use that  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha(f_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is finite. For  $\mathfrak{p} \in S_1$ , we apply lemma 14.6 with  $\alpha$  sufficiently small.

### Appendix A. Oscillatory integral estimates

Let  $F$  be a non-archimedean local field, with ring of integers  $\mathfrak{o}$ , maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and  $q := \#\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\chi, \omega$  be unitary characters of  $F^\times$  with  $\chi$  ramified. Set  $Q := C(\chi) \in \{q, q^2, q^3, \dots\}$ . The following evaluations and estimates were postponed from §3.4. The estimates may be understood as generalizations of the character sum bounds established in [34, §3].

*Lemma A.1.* For  $U \in \{1, q, q^2, \dots\}$ , we have

$$\int_{\substack{u \in F^\times \\ |u|=|1-u|=U}} \chi(1-1/u) \frac{du}{|u|} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } U \leq Q/q^2, \\ -1/q & \text{if } U = Q/q, \\ 1-1/q & \text{if } U \geq Q. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

*Proof.* For  $A \in \{1, q, q^2, \dots\}$ , let  $\mathcal{U}(1/A)$  denote the subgroup of  $\mathfrak{o}^\times$  consisting of all elements  $x$  satisfying  $|x-1| \leq 1/A$ . By the change of variables  $x = 1-1/u$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{U} \int_{\substack{u \in F^\times \\ |u|=|1-u|=U}} \chi(1-1/u) \frac{du}{|u|} &= \int_{x \in \mathcal{U}(1/U)} \chi(x) dx - \int_{x \in \mathcal{U}(1/qU)} \chi(x) dx \\ &= 1_{U \geq Q} \frac{1}{U} - 1_{qU \geq Q} \frac{1}{qU}. \end{aligned}$$

The required formula follows case-by-case.  $\square$

*Lemma A.2.* Assume that  $F$  is of characteristic zero. For  $U, V \in \{1, q, q^2, \dots\}$ , the integral

$$\rho := \int_{\substack{u, v \in F^\times \\ |u|=|1-u|=U, \\ |v|=|1-v|=V, \\ |uv-1|=UV}} \omega(uv-1) \chi\left(\frac{1-1/u}{1-1/v}\right) \frac{du dv}{|uv|}$$

satisfies the following estimates:

- If  $C(\omega) = 1$ , then

$$\rho = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \min(U, V) \leq Q/q^2, \\ 2/q^2 & \text{if } U = V = 1, Q = q, \\ 1/q^2 & \text{if } U = V = Q/q > 1, \\ (-1/q)(1-1/q) & \text{if } U = Q/q, V \geq Q, \\ (-1/q)(1-1/q) & \text{if } V = Q/q, U \geq Q, \\ (1-1/q)^2 & \text{if } U, V \geq Q. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

In particular,  $\rho = 0$  unless  $U, V \geq Q/q$ , in which case

$$\rho \ll \min(1, U/Q) \min(1, V/Q). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

- Suppose that  $C(\omega) > 1$ . Then  $\rho = 0$  unless  $U = V = Q/C(\omega)$ .

- Suppose that  $C(\omega) > 1$  and  $U = V = Q/C(\omega)$ . Then

$$\rho \ll \frac{\sqrt{UV}}{Q} = \frac{1}{C(\omega)}$$

unless  $U = V = 1$  and  $(\chi, \omega)$  is atypical (see §3.4).

- Suppose that  $U = V = 1$  and  $(\chi, \omega)$  is atypical. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$  be as above. Then

$$\rho \ll \frac{N_\alpha(\xi)}{Q} \cdot \begin{cases} q^{1/2} & \text{if } Q = q^{2\alpha+1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } Q = q^{2\alpha}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

with  $N_\alpha(\xi)$  as in Proposition 3.3.

*Proof.* Consider first the case that  $C(\omega) = 1$ . Then  $\omega$  is constant on the domain of integration. If  $(U, V) \neq (1, 1)$ , then the integration constraint  $|uv - 1| = UV$  may be omitted, so  $\rho$  factors as a product of integrals to which lemma A.1 applies, giving the required assertions. The case  $U = V = 1$  may be treated similarly using the formula

$$\int_{\substack{u, v \in F^\times: \\ |u|=|1-u|=1, \\ |v|=|1-v|=1, \\ |uv-1| < 1}} \chi\left(\frac{1-1/u}{1-1/v}\right) \frac{du dv}{|uv|} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Q \geq q^2 \\ -1/q^2 & \text{if } Q = q \end{cases}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

whose proof is similar to that of lemma A.1.

We suppose henceforth that  $C(\omega) > 1$ .

If  $U \geq Q$ , then  $\chi(1/u - 1)$  is constant on the domain of integration. Since  $U \geq Q$ , the conditions  $|1-u| = U$  and  $|uv-1| = UV$  are redundant, so we may factor off the integral  $\int_{|u|=U} \omega(uv-1) \frac{du}{|u|} = \int_{|u|=UV} \omega(u) \frac{du}{|u|} = 0$ . Thus  $\rho = 0$  when  $U \geq Q$ , and likewise when  $V \geq Q$ . We thus suppose henceforth that  $U, V < Q$ . By replacing  $\chi$  with its inverse if necessary, we may reduce further to the case that  $U \leq V$ .

Suppose now that  $C(\omega) > Q/V$ , hence in particular that  $C(\omega) \geq q^2$ . We then apply the change of variables  $v \mapsto v' := (1+b)v$ , where  $|b| = C(\omega)/q \leq V/Q$ . Then  $\chi(1-1/v') = \chi(1-1/v)$ , while a simple calculation gives  $\omega(uv'-1) = \omega(uv-1)\omega(1+tb)$  with  $t := uv/(uv-1)$ . On the domain of integration, we have  $|t| = 1$ , hence  $\int_{b: |b|=C(\omega)/q} \omega(1+tb) db = 0$ . It follows that  $\rho = 0$ .

We have reduced to the case that  $q \leq C(\omega) \leq Q/V$  and  $U \leq V < Q$ . We suppose next that  $Q/U = q$ , hence also that  $Q/V = q = C(\omega)$ . If  $Q = q$ , then  $U = V = 1$ , and the estimate  $\rho \ll 1/q$  follows from [32, §9]. (Their estimates are stated over the finite fields of prime cardinality, but the same arguments apply over any finite field.) If  $Q > q$ , then  $UV \geq q^2 > C(\omega)$ , so  $\omega(uv-1) = \omega(uv)$  while  $\chi\left(\frac{1-1/u}{1-1/v}\right) = \chi(1-1/u+1/v)$  factors as a product of additive characters of frequency  $Q$  evaluated at  $1/u$  and  $1/v$ . Thus  $\rho$  factors as a product of Gauss sums of size  $\rho \ll 1/q$ .

It remains to treat the case that

$$q \leq C(\omega) \leq Q/V \text{ and } U \leq V < Q \text{ and } Q/U \geq q^2. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

For this we assume first that  $F$  is non-dyadic; the dyadic case will be treated at the end. We may uniquely decompose  $Q/U = AB$ , where  $A, B \in \{1, q, q^2, \dots\}$  with  $A \leq B \leq qB$ . Then  $q \leq A$ . For  $X \in \{1, q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \dots\}$ , we introduce the notation  $\mathcal{O}(X) := \{x \in \mathfrak{o} : |x| \leq X\}$  and  $\mathcal{U}(X) := \{x \in \mathfrak{o}^\times : |x-1| \leq X\}$ ; these define subgroups of  $F$  and of  $F^\times$ , respectively. We may assume that  $\exp : \mathcal{O}(1/A) \rightarrow$

$\mathcal{U}(1/A)$  is an isomorphism with inverse  $\log : \mathcal{U}(1/A) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1/A)$ ; otherwise,  $A \ll 1$ , and so the required estimate follows from the trivial bound. We may assume similarly that  $A$  is large enough that for each  $a \in \mathcal{O}(1/A)$ , we have the following congruences:

$$\exp(a) \equiv 1 + a, \quad -\log(1 - a) \equiv a \pmod{\mathcal{O}(1/A)}, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\exp(a) \equiv 1 + a + a^2/2, \quad -\log(1 - a) \equiv a + a^2/2 \pmod{\mathcal{O}(1/B)}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Let  $\psi_\chi : \mathcal{O}(1/A) \rightarrow \mathbb{U}(1)$  denote the character defined by  $\psi_\chi(a) := \chi(\exp(a))$ ; it is trivial on  $\mathcal{O}(1/Q)$  but not on  $\mathcal{O}(q/Q)$ . Since  $C(\omega) \leq Q/V \leq Q$ , we then have  $\omega(\exp(a)) = \chi_\psi(\xi a)$  for some  $\xi \in \mathcal{O}(1/V)$ .

For  $u, v$  in the domain of integration and  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}(1/A)$ , set  $u' := u \exp(a)$ ,  $v' := v \exp(b)$ . The change of variables  $(u, v) \mapsto (u', v')$  preserves the domain of integration and the measure. We compute that

$$\log \frac{1 - 1/u'}{1 - 1/u} \equiv \frac{a - a^2/2}{u - 1} - \frac{a^2/2}{(u - 1)^2} \pmod{\mathcal{O}(1/Q)},$$

and also that the terms involving  $a^2$  may be omitted when  $a \in \mathcal{O}(1/B)$ . By this and the analogous identities obtained by replacing  $u$  with  $v$  or with  $1/uv$ , and recalling that  $U \leq V$  and  $c(\omega) \leq \chi(\chi) = n$ , we obtain

$$\omega(u'v' - 1)\chi\left(\frac{1 - 1/u'}{1 - 1/v'}\right) = \omega(uv - 1)\chi\left(\frac{1 - 1/u}{1 - 1/v}\right)\psi_\chi(\phi_1(a, b) - \phi_2(a, b)/2), \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where

$$\phi_1(a, b) := \frac{1}{u - 1}a - \frac{1}{v - 1}b + \xi \frac{uv}{1 - uv}(a + b) \quad (\text{A.10})$$

and

$$\phi_2(a, b) := \frac{u}{(1 - u)^2}a^2 - \frac{v}{(1 - v)^2}b^2 + \xi \frac{uv}{(uv - 1)^2}(a + b)^2. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

We now consider the average

$$\rho(u, v) := \mathbb{E}_{a, b \in \mathcal{O}(1/A)} \psi_\chi(\phi_1(a, b) - \phi_2(a, b)/2). \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where  $\mathbb{E}$  denotes the integral with respect to a probability Haar measure. Then

$$\rho = \int_{\substack{u, v \in F^\times: \\ |u|=|1-u|=U, \\ |v|=|1-v|=V, \\ |uv-1|=UV}} \omega(uv - 1)\chi\left(\frac{1 - 1/u}{1 - 1/v}\right)\rho(u, v) \frac{du dv}{|uv|}, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where the integrand now factors through  $F^\times/\mathcal{U}(1/A)$ .

We compute first the analogous averages over cosets of  $\mathcal{O}(1/B)$ , i.e., we write

$$\rho(u, v) = \mathbb{E}_{a, b \in \mathcal{O}(1/A)/\mathcal{O}(1/B)} \psi_\chi(-\phi_2(a, b)/2) \mathbb{E}_{r, s \in \mathcal{O}(1/B)} \psi_\chi(\phi_1(a + r, b + s)).$$

Set  $\tau := \frac{uv}{1 - uv}$ . The inner integral vanishes identically unless the condition

$$-\frac{1}{u - 1} \equiv \xi\tau \equiv \frac{1}{v - 1} \pmod{\mathcal{O}(B/Q)}$$

is satisfied, in which case it evaluates to  $\phi_1(a, b)$ . Since  $1/U > B/Q$  and  $|\tau| = 1$ , the above condition implies that  $U = V$  and  $|\xi| = 1/V$ , hence that  $C(\omega) = Q/V$ . In view of the support conditions on  $u, v$ , we may rewrite the above as

$$u \equiv 1 - 1/\xi\tau, \quad v \equiv 1 + 1/\xi\tau \pmod{\mathcal{U}(1/A)}. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

From this we deduce that

$$\tau \equiv \xi^2\tau^2 - 1 \pmod{\mathcal{O}(1/A)}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Note that the number of solutions modulo  $\mathcal{O}(1/A)$  to this congruence is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  unless  $1 + 4\xi^2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ , in which case  $|\xi| = 1$ .

If  $B = A$ , then we may conclude already that (A.4) holds if  $U = V = 1$  and  $(\chi, \omega)$  is atypical, and otherwise that

$$\rho \ll 1/A^2 = \sqrt{UV}/Q.$$

In fact, we've seen that  $\rho = 0$  unless  $U = V = Q/C(\omega)$ .

We turn to the case  $B = qA$ . For this we have reduced to bounding the integral

$$\mathbb{E}_{a,b \in \mathcal{O}(1/A)/\mathcal{O}(1/B)} \psi_\chi(\phi_1(a,b) - \phi_2(a,b)/2) \quad (\text{A.16})$$

for  $u, v$  satisfying (A.14). This integral is a normalized two-variable quadratic Gauss sum on  $(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p})^2$ , and is thus  $\mathcal{O}(1/q)$  unless the quadratic term degenerates. To investigate the latter possibility, we set  $\eta := \tau\xi$  (thus  $|\eta| = 1/V = 1/U = AB/Q = qA^2/Q$ ) and invoke the assumed congruences on  $u, v$  to obtain, for  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}(1/A)$ ,

$$\phi_2(a,b) \equiv \eta(\eta-1)a^2 - \eta(\eta+1)b^2 + \eta^3(a+b)^2 \pmod{\mathcal{O}(q/Q)}.$$

If  $U > 1$ , so that  $|\eta| < 1$ , then we obtain the further simplification

$$\phi_2(a,b) \equiv -\eta a^2 - \eta b^2 \pmod{\mathcal{O}(q/Q)},$$

and so  $\phi_2$  is manifestly nondegenerate. It remains to consider the case  $U = 1$ , so that  $Q = qA^2$  and  $|\eta| = 1$ . In general, the discriminant of a polynomial  $(a,b) \mapsto c_1a^2 + c_2b^2 + c_3(a+b)^2$  is given by  $-4(c_1c_2 + c_2c_3 + c_3c_1)$ . In the case of  $\phi_2$ , this specializes to  $-4\eta^2(\eta^2 + 1)$ . The degeneracy condition is thus that  $\eta^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ , which is possible only if  $-1$  is a square modulo  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Let us fix once such  $\eta \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ , thus  $\eta^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then  $|\xi| = 1$ . We compute that

$$\phi_2(a,b) \equiv -(a + \eta b)^2 \pmod{\mathcal{O}(q/Q)}.$$

Thus in all cases the quadratic term has rank  $\geq 1$ , and so (A.16) is  $\mathcal{O}(q^{-1/2})$ .

We turn finally to the dyadic case, retaining the assumptions (A.6). We may assume that  $Q/U$  is sufficiently large, since otherwise the required bound is trivial. We may then choose  $A \in \{q, q^2, q^3, \dots\}$  so that  $Q/U \ll A^2 \leq |2|_F Q/U$ . Then (A.13) holds with  $\rho(u,v)$  defined by (A.12), with  $\phi_1$  defined by (A.10), and with  $\phi_2 := 0$ . The same analysis as before then gives  $\rho \ll \sqrt{UV}/Q$ .  $\square$

*Remark A.3.* With slightly more care it should be possible to improve the estimate (A.4) in the case  $Q = q^{2\alpha+1}$ ; compare with [34, Thm 3.4].

## Appendix B. Analytic newvectors for $\mathrm{GL}_2$

Over a non-archimedean local field, classical newvector theory [10] provides a convenient way to (among other things) construct pre-Kuznetsov weights that localize on a fairly small subset of generic dual (see §3.2.1, §3.3). Here we record an analytic variant of that theory for  $\mathrm{GL}_2$  that is valid also over an archimedean local field.

We note that a further extension to  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  of the analytic newvector theory recorded here is being developed in forthcoming thesis work of S. Jana [21], while an algebraic newvector theory for  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  over an archimedean field has been given by Popa [36] when  $n = 2$  and is being developed by P. Humphries for general  $n$ .

**B.1. Notation.** Throughout this section  $F$  denotes a local field,  $\psi$  a nontrivial unitary character of  $F$ . We use the the following notation for elements of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ :

$$n(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a(y) := \begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w := \begin{pmatrix} & -1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

We identify each generic irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  with its Whittaker model  $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ , consisting of  $W : \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $W(n(x)g) = \psi(x)W(g)$ . We will often abbreviate  $W(y) := W(a(y))$  for  $y \in F^\times$ . We equip  $F^\times$  with any Haar measure and write simply  $\int_{y \in F^\times} f(y)$  for the corresponding integral of a function. When  $\pi$  is unitary, we normalize the inner product to be given in the Kirillov model by  $\|W\|^2 = \int_{y \in F^\times} |W(y)|^2$ . We write  $y^\chi$  for the value taken by a character  $\chi$  of  $F^\times$  at the element  $y$ .

**B.2. Local  $\gamma$ -factors and analytic conductors.** Let  $\pi$  be a generic irreducible representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ . For each character  $\chi$  of  $F^\times$ , recall the *standard local  $L$ -factor*,  *$\varepsilon$ -factor* and  *$\gamma$ -factor*, which are related via the identity

$$\gamma(\psi, \pi \otimes \chi, s) = \varepsilon(\psi, \pi \otimes \chi, s) \frac{L(\tilde{\pi} \otimes \chi^{-1}, 1-s)}{L(\pi \otimes \chi, s)}.$$

where  $\tilde{\pi}$  denotes the contragredient of  $\pi$ . The basic properties of these quantities are summarized as follows:

- There exists an integer  $d_\pi \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and, for each natural number  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq d_\pi$ , a character  $\chi_j(\pi)$  of  $F^\times$ , so that  $L(\pi \otimes \chi, s)$  is the product  $\prod_{1 \leq j \leq d_\pi} L(\chi_j(\pi)\chi, s)$  of shifted local zeta functions of  $F$ . If  $\pi$  is unitary, then  $\mathrm{Re}(\chi_j(\pi)) > -1/2$  for each  $j$ .
- For each  $W \in \pi$  the Mellin transform  $\int_{y \in F^\times} W(a(y))\chi(y)$  converges absolutely at least for  $\mathrm{Re}(\chi)$  sufficiently large, and the ratio

$$\frac{\int_{y \in F^\times} W(a(y))\chi(y)}{L(\pi \otimes \chi, 1/2)}$$

extends to a holomorphic function on the character group of  $F^\times$ . For each  $\chi$  there exists  $W$  with  $Z(W, \chi)/L(\pi \otimes \chi, 0) \neq 0$ .

- For all  $W, \chi$ , we have the local functional equation

$$\int_{y \in F^\times} W(a(y))\chi(y) = \frac{\int_{y \in F^\times} W(a(y)w)\omega_\pi^{-1}\chi^{-1}|\cdot|(y)}{\gamma(\psi, \pi \otimes \chi, 1/2)}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

with  $\omega_\pi$  the central character and each integral interpreted via meromorphic continuation in  $\chi$ .

The local analytic conductors  $C(\pi)$  and  $C(\pi, \chi) := C(\pi \otimes \chi)$  are as defined in [27, §3.1.8], for instance; what matters for us is that

$$\frac{1}{\gamma(\psi, \pi \otimes \chi, 0)} \asymp C(\pi, \chi)^{\mathrm{Re}(\chi)-1/2} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

for fixed  $(F, \psi)$ , for  $\mathrm{Re}(\chi)$  in a fixed compact set, and for  $\chi$  a fixed distance away from any pole or zero in  $\{\chi|\cdot|^s : s \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . (The proof of (B.2) is via Stirling in the archimedean case, while in non-archimedean cases it is immediate from the definition of the conductor.) By Cauchy's theorem, an upper bound of the same quality holds for each fixed order Laurent coefficient, and in particular for each

residue. When  $(F, \psi)$  is unramified, the implied constant in this estimate is uniform. If  $(F, \psi)$  and  $\pi$  are unramified, then  $C(\pi) = 1$ .

**B.3. Analytic congruence subsets.** For  $C, D \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$  set

$$U_1(C, \varepsilon) := \{y \in F^\times : C|y - 1|, |y^{-1} - 1| \leq \varepsilon\}$$

and

$$K_1(C, D, \varepsilon) := \left\{ g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(F) : \begin{array}{l} |a - 1|, |b|, C|c|, \\ D|d - 1|, |\det(g)^{-1} - 1| \leq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\}.$$

Set also

$$K_0(C, \varepsilon) := K_1(C, 1, \varepsilon).$$

Note that  $K_1(C', D', \varepsilon') \subset K_1(C, D, \varepsilon)$  whenever  $C' \geq C, D' \geq D$  and  $\varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon$ , and in particular that  $K_1(C, D, \varepsilon) \subset K_0(C, \varepsilon)$ .

For example, suppose  $F$  is non-archimedean and  $m, n$  are nonnegative integers. Then  $U_1(1, 1) = \mathfrak{o}^\times$  is the unit group and  $U_1(q^n, 1) = F^\times \cap (1 + \mathfrak{p}^n)$  belongs to its standard filtration. Similarly  $K_0(1, 1) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o})$  is a maximal compact subgroup with congruence subgroups  $K_0(q^n, 1) = \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \cap \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p}^n & \mathfrak{o} \end{pmatrix}$ . The subset  $K_1(q^n, q^m, 1) = \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \cap \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p}^n & 1 + \mathfrak{p}^m \end{pmatrix}$  is a subgroup if and only if  $m \leq n$ .

**B.4.** For each unitary character  $\omega$  of  $F^\times$ , define a map of sets  $\eta_\omega : K_0(C, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)$  by the formula

$$\eta_\omega \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) := \begin{cases} d^\omega & \text{if } d/a \in U_1(1, 1), \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

We note that for any character  $\chi$ , the map  $g \mapsto \chi(\det g)\eta_{\chi^{-2}}$  defined initially on the set  $K_0(C, \varepsilon)$  descends to a well-defined map on the image of that set in  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(F)$ .

**B.5.** The main result of this section is the following analytic variant of some of the main results of local newvector theory:

**Theorem B.1.**

(i) For each  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that for each generic irreducible unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  there exists a unit vector  $W \in \pi$  so that for each unitary character  $\omega$  of  $F^\times$ ,

$$|W(g) - \eta_\omega(g)| \leq \delta \text{ for all } g \in K_1(C(\pi), C(\omega_\pi \omega^{-1}), \varepsilon). \quad (\text{B.4})$$

(ii) Suppose  $(F, \psi)$  is unramified. For each generic irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  there exists a nonzero vector  $W \in \pi$  so that for each unitary character  $\omega$  of  $F^\times$ , (B.4) holds with  $\delta = 0$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ .

Thanks to the identity  $W(g) - \eta_\omega(g) = (W(g) - \eta_{\omega_\pi}(g)) + \eta_\omega(g)(\eta_{\omega_\pi^{-1}\omega}(g) - 1)$  and the triangle inequality, the proof of Theorem B.1 reduces to that of Lemmas B.2 and B.3 below:

*Lemma B.2.*

(i) For each  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that for each unitary character  $\omega$  of  $F^\times$ , one has

$$|y^\omega - 1| \leq \delta \text{ for all } y \in U_1(C(\omega), \varepsilon). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

(ii) Suppose  $(F, \psi)$  is unramified. For each unitary character  $\omega$  of  $F^\times$ , one has (B.5) with  $\delta = 0$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ .

*Proof.* Assertion (ii) basically amounts to the definition of the conductor. Assertion (i) is readily verified by a case-by-case analysis; for instance, in the case  $F = \mathbb{R}$  it follows from the estimate  $|y^{it} - 1| = O(t|y - 1|)$  for  $y \in [1/2, 2]$ .  $\square$

*Lemma B.3.*

(i) For each  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that for each generic irreducible unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  there exists a unit vector  $W \in \pi$  so that

$$|W(g) - \eta_{\omega_\pi}(g)| \leq \delta \text{ for all } g \in K_0(C(\pi), \varepsilon). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

(ii) Suppose  $(F, \psi)$  is unramified. For each generic irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  there exists a nonzero vector  $W \in \pi$  so that (B.6) holds with  $\delta = 0$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ .

*Proof.* Assertion (ii) is a well-known consequence of the theory of local newvectors. As we shall shortly explain in more detail, assertion (i) is a consequence of the local functional equation after choosing  $y \mapsto W(y)$  to be a fixed bump function on  $F^\times$  taking the value 1 at  $y = 1$ ; the basic idea is that then  $wW(y)$  is mostly supported on  $|y| \ll C(\pi)$ , hence  $W$  is roughly invariant by  $wn(x)w$  for  $|x|$  a bit smaller than  $1/C(\pi)$ . The method of proof employed here may be understood as a soft analytic variant of the that used to establish the theory of local newvectors itself, as in [10].

To implement this idea, suppose for the sake contradiction that assertion (i) fails. Then there exists a fixed  $\delta > 0$  and a sequence of tuples  $(\varepsilon, \pi)$  as above with  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  so that there does not exist a unit vector  $W \in \pi$  satisfying (B.6). Here and in what follows asymptotic notation refers to the  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  limit, so that for instance  $o(1) := o_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}(1)$ , and “fixed” means “independent of  $\varepsilon$ .” We aim to produce a contradiction by showing that such a vector indeed exists.

Abbreviate  $C := C(\pi)$ . Let  $c$  be an element of  $F^\times$  with  $|c| = C$ . Introduce the shorthand  $z_1 \simeq z_2$  for  $z_1 = z_2 + o(1)$ . Each  $g \in K_0(C, \varepsilon)$  can be expressed as

$$g = z(u)n(x_1)a(y_1)wn(x_2/c)w \text{ with } x_1, x_2 \simeq 0 \text{ and } u, y_1 \simeq 1. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Choose a bump function  $f \in C_c^\infty(F^\times)$  with  $f(1) = 1$  and  $\int_{F^\times} |f|^2 = 1$ . Then the Mellin transform  $F_4(\chi) := \int_{y \in F^\times} f(y)y^{-\chi}$  satisfies

$$F_4(\chi) \ll C(\chi)^{-4A} \text{ for each fixed } A \quad (\text{B.8})$$

and for all  $\chi$  with  $\mathrm{Re}(\chi)$  in a fixed compact set. Using the theory of the Kirillov model, we may choose  $W \in \pi$  so that  $W(y) = f(y)$ ; then  $W(1) = 1$  and  $\|W\| = 1$ . For  $g$  as in (B.7) the formula  $W(g) = u^{\omega_\pi} \psi(x_1)wn(x_2/c)wW(y_1)$  and the estimates  $\psi(x_1) \simeq 1$ ,  $W(y_1) = f(y_1) \simeq f(1) = W(1)$  and  $u^{\omega_\pi} \simeq \eta_{\omega_\pi}(g)$  reduce our task to showing that

$$wn(x/c)wW(y) \simeq W(y) \text{ for all } y \simeq 1, x \simeq 0.$$

Let  $\tau \in (0, 1/4)$  be fixed. By Mellin inversion, the local functional equation, and the identity  $n(x/c)wW(t) = \psi(xt/c)wW(t)$ , we have

$$wn(x/c)wW(y) - W(y) = \int_{\chi: \mathrm{Re}(\chi) = \tau} y^{-\chi} F_1(\chi) F_2(\chi) d\chi$$

with

$$F_1(\chi) := c^{-\chi} / \gamma(\psi, \pi \otimes \chi, 1/2),$$

$$F_2(\chi) := \int_{t \in F^\times} F_3(t) t^\chi,$$

$$F_3(t) := (\psi(tx) - 1)W_{w\varphi}(ct).$$

(Here we equip the group of unitary characters  $\chi$  of  $F^\times$ , hence also its cosets consisting of characters of given real part, with the measure dual to the chosen Haar on  $F^\times$ .) By the standard estimate (B.2) for local  $\gamma$ -factors, we have

$$F_1(\chi) \ll C(\chi)^{2\mathrm{Re}(\chi)} \text{ for } \tau \leq \mathrm{Re}(\chi) \leq 1. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Our task thereby reduces to showing that (for instance) the estimate  $F_2(\chi) \ll |x|C(\chi)^{-2}$  holds for each character  $\chi$  of  $F^\times$  of real part  $\tau$ . By partial integration we reduce to showing that

$$\int_{t \in F^\times} |\Theta F_3(t)| \ll |x| \quad (\text{B.10})$$

for each convolution operator  $\Theta$  defined by a fixed compactly-supported tempered distribution on  $F^\times$ . We claim that

$$\Theta wW(ct) \ll (1 + |t|)^{-A} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

for each fixed  $\Theta$  and each fixed  $A \geq 10$ . From (B.11), the product rule, and the easy estimate  $\Theta[t \mapsto (\psi(tx) - 1)](t) \ll |xt|(1 + |xt|)^{O(1)}$  it then follows that

$$\int_{t \in F^\times} |\Theta F_3(t)| \ll |x| \int_{t \in F^\times} \frac{|t|(1 + |xt|)^{O(1)}}{(1 + |t|)^A} \ll |x|,$$

giving the desired estimate (B.10). We turn now to the remaining task of establishing the claim (B.11). We appeal once again to Mellin inversion and the local functional equation, giving for  $\sigma \geq 0$  that

$$\Theta wW(ct) = \int_{\chi: \mathrm{Re}(\chi) = \sigma} t^{-\chi} \Theta^\wedge(-\chi) F_1(\chi) F_4(\chi) d\chi$$

with the complex scalar  $\Theta^\wedge(-\chi) \ll C(\chi)^{O(1)}$  defined via the relation  $\Theta^\wedge(-\chi)[t \mapsto t^{-\chi}] = \Theta[t \mapsto t^{-\chi}]$  and with  $F_1(\chi), F_4(\chi)$  as defined above. By (B.8) and (B.9), we deduce that  $\Theta wW_{w\varphi}(ct) \ll |t|^{-\sigma}$  for each  $\sigma \geq 0$  belonging to a fixed compact set; taking  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\sigma = A$ , we finally obtain (B.11).  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Subhajit Jana, Emmanuel Kowalski, Ian Petrow, Matthew Young and Han Wu for helpful discussions and feedback.

## References

- [1] Ehud Moshe Baruch. On Bessel distributions for  $\mathrm{GL}_2$  over a  $p$ -adic field. *J. Number Theory*, 67(2):190–202, 1997.
- [2] Ehud Moshe Baruch. A proof of Kirillov’s conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 158(1):207–252, 2003.
- [3] Ehud Moshe Baruch and Zhengyu Mao. Central value of automorphic  $L$ -functions. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(2):333–384, 2007.
- [4] Joseph Bernstein and Bernhard Krötz. Smooth Fréchet globalizations of Harish-Chandra modules. *Israel J. Math.*, 199(1):45–111, 2014.
- [5] Joseph N. Bernstein.  $P$ -invariant distributions on  $\mathrm{GL}(N)$  and the classification of unitary representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(N)$  (non-Archimedean case). In *Lie group representations, II (College Park, Md., 1982/1983)*, volume 1041 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 50–102. Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [6] Valentin Blomer and Farrell Brumley. On the Ramanujan conjecture over number fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(1):581–605, 2011.
- [7] Valentin Blomer and Gergely Harcos. Twisted  $L$ -functions over number fields and Hilbert’s eleventh problem. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 20(1):1–52, 2010.

- [8] Daniel Bump. *Automorphic Forms and Representations*, volume 55 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [9] W. Casselman. Canonical extensions of Harish-Chandra modules to representations of  $G$ . *Canad. J. Math.*, 41(3):385–438, 1989.
- [10] William Casselman. On some results of Atkin and Lehner. *Math. Ann.*, 201:301–314, 1973.
- [11] J. W. Cogdell. Notes on  $L$ -functions for  $GL_n$ . In *School on Automorphic Forms on  $GL(n)$* , volume 21 of *ICTP Lect. Notes*, pages 75–158. Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2008.
- [12] J. B. Conrey and H. Iwaniec. The cubic moment of central values of automorphic  $L$ -functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 151(3):1175–1216, 2000.
- [13] Stephen Gelbart. *Automorphic Forms on Adèle Groups*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1975. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 83.
- [14] Stephen Gelbart and Hervé Jacquet. Forms of  $GL(2)$  from the analytic point of view. In *Automorphic Forms, Representations and  $L$ -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 213–251. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
- [15] Roger Godement. *Notes on Jacquet-Langlands’ theory*, volume 8 of *CTM. Classical Topics in Mathematics*. Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2018. With commentaries by Robert Langlands and Herve Jacquet.
- [16] Aleksandar Ivić. On sums of Hecke series in short intervals. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 13(2):453–468, 2001.
- [17] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetskii-Shapiro, and J. A. Shalika. Rankin-Selberg convolutions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 105(2):367–464, 1983.
- [18] Hervé Jacquet. *Automorphic forms on  $GL(2)$ . Part II*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 278. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [19] Hervé Jacquet. Archimedean Rankin-Selberg integrals. In *Automorphic forms and  $L$ -functions II. Local aspects*, volume 489 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 57–172. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [20] Hervé Jacquet and R. P. Langlands. *Automorphic forms on  $GL(2)$* . Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 114. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [21] Subhajt Jana and Paul D. Nelson. Analytic newvectors for  $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ . *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1911.01880, Nov 2019.
- [22] Kamal Khuri-Makdisi. On the Fourier coefficients of nonholomorphic Hilbert modular forms of half-integral weight. *Duke Math. J.*, 84(2):399–452, 1996.
- [23] Winfried Kohnen. Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight. *Math. Ann.*, 271(2):237–268, 1985.
- [24] Hisashi Kojima. On the Fourier coefficients of Hilbert modular forms of half-integral weight over arbitrary algebraic number fields. *Tsukuba J. Math.*, 37(1):1–11, 2013.
- [25] Hung Yean Loke. Trilinear forms of  $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ . *Pacific J. Math.*, 197(1):119–144, 2001.
- [26] P. Maga. Subconvexity for twisted  $L$ -functions over number fields via shifted convolution sums. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 151(1):232–257, 2017.
- [27] Philippe Michel and Akshay Venkatesh. The subconvexity problem for  $GL_2$ . *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (111):171–271, 2010.
- [28] Yoichi Motohashi. An explicit formula for the fourth power mean of the Riemann zeta-function. *Acta Math.*, 170(2):181–220, 1993.
- [29] P. D. Nelson and A. Venkatesh. The orbit method and analysis of automorphic forms. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2018.
- [30] Paul D. Nelson. Quantum variance on quaternion algebras, II. preprint, 2017.
- [31] Paul D. Nelson. Microlocal lifts and quantum unique ergodicity on  $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . *Algebra Number Theory*, 12(9):2033–2064, 2018.
- [32] Ian Petrow and Matthew P. Young. The Weyl bound for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions of cube-free conductor. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1811.02452, Nov 2018.
- [33] Ian Petrow and Matthew P. Young. A generalized cubic moment and the Petersson formula for newforms. *Math. Ann.*, 373(1-2):287–353, 2019.
- [34] Ian Petrow and Matthew P. Young. The fourth moment of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions along a coset and the Weyl bound. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1908.10346, Aug 2019.
- [35] Ian N. Petrow. A twisted Motohashi formula and Weyl-subconvexity for  $L$ -functions of weight two cusp forms. *Math. Ann.*, 363(1-2):175–216, 2015.

- [36] Alexandru A. Popa. Whittaker newforms for Archimedean representations. *J. Number Theory*, 128(6):1637–1645, 2008.
- [37] Dipendra Prasad. Invariant forms for representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_2$  over a local field. *Amer. J. Math.*, 114(6):1317–1363, 1992.
- [38] Yannan Qiu. The Whittaker period formula on metaplectic  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 371(2):1083–1117, 2019.
- [39] Andre Reznikov. Rankin-Selberg without unfolding and bounds for spherical Fourier coefficients of Maass forms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21(2):439–477, 2008.
- [40] Peter Sarnak. Fourth moments of Größencharakteren zeta functions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 38(2):167–178, 1985.
- [41] Ralf Schmidt. Some remarks on local newforms for  $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ . *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 17(2):115–147, 2002.
- [42] Goro Shimura. On the Fourier coefficients of Hilbert modular forms of half-integral weight. *Duke Math. J.*, 71(2):501–557, 1993.
- [43] J. T. Tate. Fourier analysis in number fields, and Hecke’s zeta-functions. In *Algebraic Number Theory (Proc. Instructional Conf., Brighton, 1965)*, pages 305–347. Thompson, Washington, D.C., 1967.
- [44] J.-L. Waldspurger. Sur les coefficients de Fourier des formes modulaires de poids demi-entier. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 60(4):375–484, 1981.
- [45] Nolan R. Wallach. *Real reductive groups. II*, volume 132 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1992.
- [46] Han Wu. Burgess-like subconvex bounds for  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_1$ . *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 24(3):968–1036, 2014.
- [47] Han Wu. Burgess-like subconvexity for  $\mathrm{GL}_1$ . *Compos. Math.*, 155(8):1457–1499, 2019.
- [48] Matthew P. Young. Weyl-type hybrid subconvexity bounds for twisted  $L$ -functions and Heegner points on shrinking sets. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 19(5):1545–1576, 2017.
- [49] Don Zagier. The Rankin-Selberg method for automorphic functions which are not of rapid decay. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 28(3):415–437 (1982), 1981.
- [50] Don Zagier. The mellin transform and other useful analytic techniques. <http://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/zagier/files/tex/MellinTransform/fulltext.pdf>, 2006.

ETH ZÜRICH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RÄMISTRASSE 101, CH-8092, ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

*E-mail address:* paul.nelson@math.ethz.ch