

# Predicting sparse circle maps from their dynamics

Felix Krahmer, Christian Kühn and Nada Sissouno

## Abstract

The problem of identifying a dynamical system from its dynamics is of great importance for many applications. Recently it has been suggested to impose sparsity models for improved recovery performance. In this paper, we provide recovery guarantees for such a scenario. More precisely, we show that ergodic systems on the circle described by sparse trigonometric polynomials can be recovered from a number of samples scaling near-linearly in the sparsity.

## 1 Introduction

Many processes in science and engineering are naturally modeled by nonlinear dynamical systems or, in the discrete situation, by iterative maps. While typically an exact analytic expression of the system is not known a priori, it is very important to find approximative formulas, e.g., for the prediction of a potentially catastrophic bifurcation. A natural model for this is that the vector  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^M$  of  $M > 0$  noisy observations of the system is connected to the unknown parameter vector  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  via a linear model

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{a} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \quad (1) \quad \{\text{eq:linmodel}\}$$

where  $\mathbf{G}$  is a  $M \times N$  measurement matrix that encodes the relation between the parameter vector  $\mathbf{a}$  and the dynamical system and  $\boldsymbol{\eta}$  is the noise vector of i.i.d. Gaussian noise. The goal is then to recover  $\mathbf{a}$  from  $\mathbf{y}$ . In order for such models to be sufficiently expressive to cover meaningful families of examples, one typically works with a large number of degrees of freedom and, consequently, can only expect recovery for a large number of observations of the system. To reduce the model complexity and hence the required sample complexity, it is natural to work with additional structural assumptions on the dynamical system and hence on the coefficient vector  $\mathbf{a}$ . In particular, it has been proposed to impose sparsity constraints on  $\mathbf{a}$ , that is,  $\mathbf{a}$  can be well represented via only few vectors in a suitable representation system known a priori such as the monomial basis (see, e.g., [3, 4, 12]). Such models can be advantageous, as under certain assumptions,

one can employ compressed sensing techniques [6], for example one can attempt efficient recovery of  $\mathbf{a}$  from undersampled information via  $\ell_1$ -minimization. This is known to work if the sampling points are chosen at random, so it seems natural that this theory applies in the context of ergodic dynamical systems (without ergodicity, there can be areas of the domain of the dynamical system that will not be reached and which one hence cannot expect to describe), as even for a deterministic system, the asymptotic behavior is close to random.

The difficulty, however, is that the limiting probability distribution that will approximately describe the asymptotic behavior of the sampling procedure is the invariant measure of the unknown dynamical system and hence directly relates to the unknown quantity of interest. Consequently, the existing theory of compressed sensing does not apply without significant simplifying assumptions.

For example, [9] assumes that the behavior can be observed for a large number of realizations of the dynamical system with uniformly distributed initializations. In this way, the initializations determine the sampling distributions, and the asymptotics of the dynamical system do not play a major role. Thus, the compressed sensing theory applies and implies exact recovery of dynamical system that have sparse representations with respect to Legendre polynomials.

In this paper we study the arguably more realistic, yet considerably more difficult situation when the observations of the dynamical system are taken only from a single trajectory. We work in the same framework discussed above, that is, we aim to recover an ergodic dynamical system that has an (approximately) sparse representation in a basis  $\{\Phi_k\}_{k=1}^N$  for large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . We assume, that the system is in a steady state, that is, the measurements that are generated by the system are distributed according to the unknown invariant measure  $\nu$  of the system.

Note that there is an important conceptual difference to the compressed sensing literature: While the basis  $\{\Phi_k\}_{k=1}^N$  is assumed to be known, the sampling measure  $\nu$  is not only unknown, but even depends on the function of interest. As one is interested in recovering arbitrary functions  $f$  from a class of candidates, one needs a recovery guarantee that holds uniformly with respect to the associated class of invariant measures.

As a matter of fact, the basis functions  $\Phi_k$  cannot be orthonormal with respect to all these candidate measures  $\nu$ . That is, in general, the covariance structure of random row selection model will not be the identity, we encounter so-called *anisotropic measurements*.

Such measurements have first been analyzed in [8] establishing the so-called *restricted eigenvalue (RE)* condition (see below). Later, an improved analysis using the golfing scheme has been provided in [7], but in this work, we focus on the original approach. Recovery guarantees under the assumption that  $\mathbf{G}$  in (1) has the RE condition have been derived in [11]. The algorithm of choice used for recovery is either the  $\ell_1$  penalized least square estimator, called Lasso [10], or

the Dantzig selector [5]. These methods have been fairly well understood. For example, prediction loss bounds are known [1] when the number of variables is much larger than the size of observations.

The goal shall hence be to show the restricted eigenvalue condition for the sampling matrix under consideration. In this note, we provide the first example of a meaningfully rich class of dynamical systems, which all lead to matrices with the restricted eigenvalue condition. As we will explain and illustrate by numerical simulations, this will make them identifiable from a reduced number of compressed sensing techniques.

## 2 Statement of problem

We consider a dynamical system given by an iterative circle map

$$x_{k+1} = f(x_k) \quad \text{for } x_k \in \Omega := [0, 1], \quad (2) \quad \{\text{eq:iterative}\}$$

for some non-linear function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ . We assume that  $f$  can be represented as sparse trigonometric polynomial and, thus, can be well approximated by a linear combination of just few elements of the basis  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$  with

$$\Phi_n(x) = e^{2\pi i n x}$$

for  $N \gg 1$ . That is, there exist at most  $s \ll N$ , indices  $n_1, \dots, n_s \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , and coefficients  $a_{n_k} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ , such that

$$f(x) \approx \sum_{k=1}^s a_{n_k} \cdot \Phi_{n_k}(x). \quad (3) \quad \{\text{eq:jth_comp}\}$$

Note that the indices  $n_k$  of the active basis functions are not assumed to be known a priori, which makes the reconstruction a nonlinear problem.

The goal is to determine the coefficients in (3) using a minimum number of observations of the system. The hope is that, due to the sparse structure (3), it will only require the observation of  $M \ll N$  states  $x_{j_1+1} = f(x_{j_1}), \dots, x_{j_M+1} = f(x_{j_M})$  of the dynamical system. This corresponds to solving the underdetermined linear system

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{j_1+1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{j_M+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1(x_{j_1}) & \Phi_2(x_{j_1}) & \dots & \Phi_N(x_{j_1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \Phi_1(x_{j_M}) & \Phi_2(x_{j_M}) & \dots & \Phi_N(x_{j_M}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_N \end{pmatrix} \quad (4) \quad \{\text{eq:sys_of_lin}\}$$

for each coordinate direction of the system. Setting  $y_m := x_{j_m+1}$  for  $m = 1, \dots, M$ ,  $\mathbf{y} := (y_m)_{m=1}^M$ ,  $\mathbf{a} := (a_n)_{n=1}^N$ , and  $\mathbf{G}$  is a matrix with entries  $G_{n,m} := \Phi_n(x_{j_m})$  we

can rewrite the problem in matrix notation to get  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{a}$ . If we assume that the measurements are noisy, we get the noisy linear model (1).

We consider systems that generate an invariant measure  $\nu$  that is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ , i.e.,  $d\nu = h d\lambda$  for some density function  $h$ . The covariance matrix of the basis  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$  with respect to this measure is denoted by  $\mathbf{V}_\nu$  with entries

$$V_{\nu;j,k} := \int_{\Omega} \Phi_j(x) \overline{\Phi_k(x)} d\nu(x) = \langle \Phi_j, \Phi_k \rangle_\nu, \quad (5) \quad \{\text{eq:Cov\_nu}\}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\nu$  denotes the  $L_2(\nu)$  inner product. Note that in contrast to basically all other works on the topic, the covariance structure is directly connected to the quantity of interest and hence unknown.

### 3 Prediction loss estimates for circle maps

In general, we aim to find the sparse solution of the problem (4) or (1) since we assume that only a few  $a_n$  are nonzero. In the situation that at most  $s$  entries are nonzero a vector  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^N$  is called *s-sparse*, that is, if

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_0 := \text{card}(\text{supp}(\mathbf{a})) \leq s,$$

where the *support* of a vector is given by  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{a}) := \{n \in \{1, \dots, N\} : a_n \neq 0\}$ . The set of all  $s$ -sparse vectors is denoted by

$$\Sigma_s = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^N : \|\mathbf{a}\|_0 \leq s\}.$$

The compressed sensing problem is given by the search for a sparse solution of a system of linear equations, like (4) in the noiseless situation. Theoretically, this solution can be determined by the  $\ell_0$ -minimization

$$\min_{\mathbf{a}} \|\mathbf{a}\|_0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{a}.$$

Since this is, in general, an NP-hard problem alternative methods are needed and are widely studied (cf. [6]). The question is under which conditions a  $s$ -sparse solution of this minimization exists; for detailed discussion see for example [6] or [2].

For recovery we work with the Lasso, which for some fixed parameter  $\lambda > 0$  is given by the optimization problem

$$\mathbf{a}_L := \arg \min_{\mathbf{a}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{a}\|_2^2 + 2\lambda \|\mathbf{a}\|_1 \right\}. \quad (\text{L}) \quad \{\text{eq:Lasso}\}$$

Obviously, the recovery properties of these estimators strongly depend on the measurement matrix  $\mathbf{G}$ . In [1] the restricted eigenvalue condition is introduced which is one of the weakest conditions (see [11]) in order to guarantee desirable properties of the two estimators.

**Definition 1.** Let  $s_0$  be some integer with  $0 < s_0 < N$  and  $p$  a positive number. A matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  satisfies the  $\text{RE}(s_0, p, \mathbf{X})$  condition with parameter  $\kappa(s_0, p, \mathbf{X})$  if for any  $\mathbf{v} \neq 0$

$$\frac{1}{\kappa(s_0, p, \mathbf{X})} := \min_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}, \\ |I| \leq s_0}} \min_{\|\mathbf{v}_{I^c}\|_1 \leq p \|\mathbf{v}_I\|_1} \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{v}_I\|_2} > 0. \quad (6) \quad \{\text{eq:RE}\}$$

Here  $\mathbf{v}_I$  denotes the subvector of  $\mathbf{v}$  restricted to the indices given by  $I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ .

We will also need the concept of the smallest  $s$ -sparse eigenvalue.

**Definition 2.** For  $s \leq N$ , we define the smallest  $s$ -sparse eigenvalue of a matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  as

$$\rho_{\min}(s, \mathbf{X}) := \min_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^N, \mathbf{v} \neq 0 \\ s\text{-sparse}}} \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v}\|_2^2}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2}. \quad (7)$$

In general, for  $M \ll N$  the equation (1) does not have a unique solution. Nevertheless, as discussed in [1] we have a unique  $s$ -sparse solution

$$\mathbf{a}^* = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^N : \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{a} + \boldsymbol{\eta}\} \cap \Sigma_s. \quad (8)$$

The solutions  $\mathbf{a}_L$  of the Lasso has the property (cf. [1, Appendix B]) that choosing  $p = 3$  with high probability

$$\|(\mathbf{a}_L - \mathbf{a}^*)_{I_0^c}\|_1 \leq p \|(\mathbf{a}_L - \mathbf{a}^*)_{I_0}\|_1,$$

where  $I_0 := \text{supp}(\mathbf{a}^*)$ . Based on this property and the RE condition the following bounds on the rates of convergence of Lasso can be obtained.

We will show the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\eta_m$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, M$ , be independent  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  random variables with  $\sigma^2 > 0$ , let  $N \geq 2$ , and let  $1 \leq s \leq N$ . Consider a circle map  $f$ , i.e.,  $0 < f(x) < 1$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , which is an  $s$ -sparse trigonometric polynomial, i.e., a linear combination of only  $s$  complex exponentials with integer frequencies of at most  $N$ .

Consider the iterative dynamical system on the circle described by the map  $f$  and assume that the density function  $h$  of its invariant measure  $\nu$  satisfies

$$h(x) \geq \xi_h \geq \sqrt{C_1 \frac{s}{N}}$$

for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Let the sample size  $M$  satisfy

$$M \geq \frac{C_2 s \cdot \log(N)}{\xi_h^{3/2}} \cdot \log \left( \frac{C_2 s \cdot \log(N)}{\xi_h^{3/2}} \right) \quad (9) \quad \{\text{eq:M-bound}\}$$

and choose

$$\lambda = 4\sigma \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{M}}.$$

Then we have, with probability at least  $1 - N^{-1}$ , that the Lasso returns an estimate  $\mathbf{a}_L$ , which satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{a}_L - \mathbf{a}^*\|_1 \leq C_3 \xi_h^{-1} s \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{M}}. \quad (10)$$

Here  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  are some absolute constants. Consequently, the reconstructed circle map  $f_L$  satisfies

$$\|f_L - f\|_{A(T)} \leq C_3 \xi_h^{-1} s \sigma \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{M}}, \quad (11)$$

where  $A(T)$  denotes the Wiener algebra.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1

Our proof is based on the following Theorem of [1].

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\eta_m$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, M$ , be independent  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  random variables with  $\sigma^2 > 0$  and let  $1 \leq s_0 \leq N$ ,  $M \geq 1$ , and  $N \geq 2$ . Let all diagonal elements of  $\mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{G} / M$  be equal to 1 and for  $A > 2\sqrt{2}$  choose

$$\lambda = A\sigma \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{M}}.$$

If  $\mathbf{G}$  fulfills  $\text{RE}(s_0, 3, M^{-1/2} \mathbf{G})$ , then we have, with probability at least  $1 - N^{1-A^2/8}$ , that the Lasso estimator satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{a}_L - \mathbf{a}^*\|_1 \leq 16\kappa^2(s_0, 3, M^{-1/2} \mathbf{G}) s \lambda. \quad (12)$$

The following conditions are satisfied by the invariant measure  $\nu$  of the dynamical system and the basis  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$ :

(C1) The density function  $h$  of the invariant measure  $\nu$  is bounded from below by a constant  $\xi_h > 0$ .

(C2) The basis  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$  is orthogonal with respect to the Lebesgue measure and satisfies that

- i)  $\|\Phi_n\|_\infty < 1$  for all  $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,
- ii)  $\|\Phi_n\|_2^2 = 1$  for all  $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ .

With these conditions we can show that the RE condition of the square root of the covariance matrix  $\mathbf{V}_\nu$  of the basis  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$  is satisfied independent of the choice of  $s_0$  and  $p$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$  and  $\nu$  satisfy (C1) and (C2). Let  $s_0$  be some integer with  $0 < s_0 < N$  and  $p$  a positive number. Then  $\mathbf{V}_\nu^{1/2}$  satisfies the  $\text{RE}(s_0, p, \mathbf{V}_\nu^{1/2}) = \text{RE}(\mathbf{V}_\nu^{1/2})$  condition with parameter*

$$\kappa(s_0, p, \mathbf{V}_\nu^{1/2}) = \kappa(\mathbf{V}_\nu^{1/2}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_h}}.$$

*Proof.* With (C1) and (C2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{V}_\nu^{1/2} \mathbf{v}\|_2^2 &= \mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{V} \mathbf{v} = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^N v_j \Phi_j, \sum_{k=1}^N v_k \Phi_k \right\rangle_\nu = \int_\Omega \left| \sum_{j=1}^N v_j \Phi_j(\mathbf{x}) \right|^2 h(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &\geq \xi_h \sum_{j,k=1}^N v_j \bar{v}_k \langle \Phi_j, \Phi_k \rangle \geq \xi_h \|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Insertion into (6) shows that  $\kappa(s_0, p, \mathbf{V}_\nu^{1/2}) = \xi_h^{-1/2}$  independent of the choice of  $s_0$  and  $p$ .  $\square$

Analogously, we can show similar results for the smallest  $s_0$ -sparse eigenvalue.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$  and  $\nu$  satisfy (A1) and (A2). Let  $s$  be some integer with  $0 < s < N$ . Then the smallest  $s$ -sparse eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{V}_\nu^{1/2}$  is given by*

$$\rho_{\min}(s, \mathbf{V}_\nu^{1/2}) = \rho_{\min}(\mathbf{V}_\nu^{1/2}) = \xi_h.$$

With these two lemmata combined with [8, Theorem 8] we get the following statement about the measurement matrix.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $0 < s_0 < N$ , and  $p > 0$ . Set*

$$\ell = s_0 + s_0 \frac{16(3p)^2(3p+1)}{\sqrt{\xi_h} \delta^2}.$$

Assume  $\ell \leq N$ . Let the sample size  $M$  satisfy

$$M \geq \frac{C \ell \cdot \log(N)}{\xi_h \delta^2} \cdot \log \left( \frac{C \ell \cdot \log(N)}{\xi_h \delta^2} \right), \quad (13)$$

where  $C$  is some absolute constant. Then, with probability  $1 - \exp(-\frac{\delta \xi_h M}{6\ell})$ , the matrix  $M^{-1/2} \mathbf{G}$  satisfies the  $RE(s_0, p, M^{-1/2} \mathbf{G})$  condition with parameter

$$0 < \kappa(s_0, p, M^{-1/2} \mathbf{G}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_h} (1 - \delta)}.$$

Theorem 1 follows as a consequence of Corollary 1, which is true for arbitrary choices of  $s_0$  and  $p$ , and Theorem 2 by setting  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $p = 3$ . The recovery guarantee for  $f$  follows directly from the definition of the Wiener Algebra.

## References

- [1] Peter J. Bickel, Yaacov Ritov, and Alexandre B. Tsybakov. Simultaneous analysis of Lasso and Dantzig selector. *Ann. Statist.*, 37(4):1705–1732, 2009.
- [2] Holger Boche, Robert Calderbank, Gitta Kutyniok, and Jan Vybíral. A survey of compressed sensing. In *Compressed Sensing and Its Applications*, pages 1–39. Springer, 2015.
- [3] Steven L Brunton, Bingni W Brunton, Joshua L Proctor, and J Nathan Kutz. Koopman invariant subspaces and finite linear representations of nonlinear dynamical systems for control. *PloS one*, 11(2):e0150171, 2016.
- [4] Steven L Brunton, Joshua L Proctor, and J Nathan Kutz. Compressive sampling and dynamic mode decomposition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.5186*, 2013.
- [5] Emmanuel Candes and Terence Tao. The Dantzig selector: Statistical estimation when  $p$  is much larger than  $n$ . 35:2313–2351, 2007.
- [6] Simon Foucart and Holger Rauhut. *A mathematical introduction to compressive sensing*, volume 1. Birkhäuser Basel, 2013.
- [7] Richard Kueng and David Gross. Ripless compressed sensing from anisotropic measurements. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 441:110–123, 2014.
- [8] M. Rudelson and S. Zhou. Reconstruction From Anisotropic Random Measurements. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 59(6):3434–3447, June 2013.



- [9] Hayden. Schaeffer, Giang. Tran, and Rachel. Ward. Extracting Sparse High-Dimensional Dynamics from Limited Data. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 78(6):3279–3295, 2018.
- [10] Robert Tibshirani. Regression Shrinkage and Selection Via the Lasso. 58:267–288, 1996.
- [11] Sara A. van de Geer and Peter Bhlmann. On the conditions used to prove oracle results for the Lasso. 3:1360–1392, 2009.
- [12] Wen-Xu Wang, Rui Yang, Ying-Cheng Lai, Vassilios Kovanis, and Celso Grebogi. Predicting catastrophes in nonlinear dynamical systems by compressive sensing. *Physical Review Letters*, 106(15):154101, 2011.