

U-duality extension of Drinfel'd double

Yuho Sakatani

Department of Physics, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine,

Kyoto 606-0823, Japan

yuho@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp

Abstract

A family of algebras \mathcal{E}_n that extends the Lie algebra of the Drinfel'd double is proposed. This allows us to systematically construct the generalized frame fields E_A^I which realize the proposed algebra by means of the generalized Lie derivative, i.e., $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_A} E_B^I = -\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C E_C^I$. By construction, the generalized frame fields include a twist by a Nambu–Poisson tensor. A possible application to the non-Abelian extension of *U*-duality and a generalization of the Yang–Baxter deformation are also discussed.

1 Introduction

The familiar T -duality is a symmetry of string theory when the target space has commuting (or Abelian) Killing vectors. An extension of the T -duality, where the Killing vectors do not commute with each other, has been proposed in [1–6], and it is known as non-Abelian T -duality (see [7] for a list of references). Subsequently, a further extension, called the Poisson–Lie (PL) T -duality has been found in [8,9], and it can be applied to a more general class of target spaces. As it has been discovered there, there is a group structure of the Drinfel’d double behind the PL T -duality, and the symmetry of the PL T -duality can be understood as a freedom in the choice of the physical subgroup G out of the doubled Lie group.

In the case of Abelian T -duality, the double extension of the target space has been proposed in various contexts (see for example [10–14]). The geometry of the doubled space has been studied in [15–17], and more recently, the idea has been developed in the context of double field theory (DFT) [18,19]. In the original formulation of DFT, the symmetry of Abelian T -duality is manifest, but the non-Abelian T -duality or the PL T -duality has not been clearly discussed. A new formulation of DFT on group manifolds (called DFT_{WZW}) has been developed in [20–22], and in the recent works [23,24], the PL T -duality has been studied in the framework of DFT_{WZW} . In more recent papers [7,25], the non-Abelian T -duality and the PL T -duality have been discussed by using another approach, called the gauged DFT [26–31] (see also [32,33] for recent discussion on the Drinfel’d double and related aspects in DFT). Thus, DFT is now not restricted to Abelian T -duality but can be applied also to the non-Abelian extensions.

When the target space has D Abelian Killing vectors, the T -duality group of type II superstring theory is $O(D, D)$. As it is well-known, this T -duality group is only a subgroup of a larger duality group, called the U -duality group. The U -duality group is E_n ($n \equiv D + 1$), which is summarized in Table 1.1. In order to manifest the U -duality symmetry, the doubled space is not enough. As it has been discussed in [34–39], we need to extend the n -dimensional space (with Abelian Killing vectors) into an extended space with dimension D_n , which is the dimension of the vector representation R_1 of the U -duality group (see Table 1.1). For higher n , it is much larger than the doubled space with dimension $2D$, and the extended space is called the exceptional space for the obvious reason. The U -duality-manifest formulation of

n	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
E_n	$\text{SL}(2) \times \mathbb{R}^+$	$\text{SL}(3) \times \text{SL}(2)$	$\text{SL}(5)$	$\text{SO}(5, 5)$	E_6	E_7	E_8
D_n	3	6	10	16	27	56	248
d_n	2	3	5	10	27	133	$3875+1$

Table 1.1: The U -duality group E_n for each n and dimensions of two representations, known as the R_1 -representation and the R_2 -representation.

supergravities have been studied in [36,39–49], and more recently, a formulation using a similar language to DFT has been developed in [50–57], which is called the exceptional field theory (EFT). Similar to the case of DFT, for the consistency of the theory, we need to choose a physical subspace from the extended space, and all of the supergravity fields are defined on the physical subspace. In the case of DFT, the maximal dimension allowed for the consistency is always D -dimensional, but in the case of EFT, there are two maximal choices, n -dimensions and $D(=n-1)$ -dimensions [58]. If we adopt the former choice, the target space of M-theory is reproduced while the latter reproduces that of type IIB theory [58]. In this sense, EFT unifies the geometry of M-theory and type IIB string theory, and the structure of the exceptional space is much richer than that of the double space.

Unlike the case of T -duality, there is no concrete proposal for the extension of U -duality when the Killing vectors are non-Abelian. Originally, the non-Abelian T -duality has been discovered by introducing certain gauge fields (associated with the Killing vectors) into the string sigma model. This allows us to reformulate the string theory as a gauged sigma model, which reduces to the standard string sigma model if we first eliminate certain auxiliary fields. On the other hand, if we eliminate the gauge fields first, the string sigma model on the dual geometry is recovered [3–6], and in this sense, the gauged sigma model connects the original geometry and the dual geometry. If we try to apply the same procedure to the membrane sigma model, we face a difficulty (see [7]). In order to formulate the non-Abelian extension of U -duality, the approach of the PL T -duality will be more useful. For this purpose, we need to extend the exceptional space to some extended group manifold, similar to the Drinfel'd double. Such an extension has been studied in [59], but the relation to the Drinfel'd double is not so clear and no proposal has been made for the extension of the PL T -duality.

In this paper, by using the idea of EFT, we propose a family of Leibniz algebra \mathcal{E}_n which contains the Lie algebra of the Drinfel'd double as a subalgebra in a particular case. For simplicity, we restrict our analysis to the case $n \leq 4$. In that case, the generators of the algebra T_A ($A = 1, \dots, D_n$) can be parameterized as $\{T_A\} = \{T_a, T^{a_1 a_2}\}$, where $a = 1, \dots, n$ and $T^{a_1 a_2} = -T^{a_2 a_1}$. The algebra can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
T_a \circ T_b &= f_{ab}{}^c T_c, \\
T_a \circ T^{b_1 b_2} &= f_a{}^{b_1 b_2 c} T_c + 2 f_{ac}{}^{[b_1} T^{b_2]c}, \\
T^{a_1 a_2} \circ T_b &= -f_b{}^{a_1 a_2 c} T_c + 3 f_{[c_1 c_2}{}^{[a_1} \delta_{b]}^{a_2]} T^{c_1 c_2}, \\
T^{a_1 a_2} \circ T^{b_1 b_2} &= -2 f_d{}^{a_1 a_2 [b_1} T^{b_2]d},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $f_{ab}{}^c = f_{[ab]}{}^c$ and $f_a{}^{b_1 b_2 b_3} = f_a{}^{[b_1 b_2 b_3]}$, and the following bilinear forms are defined:

$$\langle T_a, T^{b_1 b_2} \rangle_c = 2! \delta_a^{[b_1} \delta_c^{b_2]}, \quad \langle T^{a_1 a_2}, T^{b_1 b_2} \rangle_{c_1 \dots c_4} = 4! \delta_{[c_1}^{a_1} \delta_{c_2}^{a_2} \delta_{c_3}^{b_1} \delta_{c_4}^{b_2]}, \tag{1.2}$$

which naturally extend the standard bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of the Drinfel'd double.

By using the proposed algebra, we can systematically construct the generalized frame fields $E_A^M(x)$ which satisfy

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_A} E_B^I = -\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C E_C^I, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C$ is the structure constant of the proposed Leibniz algebra $T_A \circ T_B = \mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C T_C$. Here, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V$ is the generalized Lie derivative in EFT, which generates the gauge transformations in EFT. The systematic construction of E_A^I is indispensable to perform the PL T -duality or its extension PL T -plurality [60], and we expect that the U -duality extension presented in this paper will be useful in studying the non-Abelian extension of U -duality.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we briefly summarize the idea of the PL T -duality. In particular, we explain how the relation (1.3) is important in the PL T -duality. In section 3, we find the algebra \mathcal{E}_n and study its detailed properties. The construction of the generalized frame fields is explained in section 4. In section 5, we show several examples of the \mathcal{E}_n algebra. Section 6 is devoted to the summary and discussion.

2 Poisson–Lie T -duality

In this section, we review the PL T -duality by using the language of DFT.

Basics definitions in DFT: Let us set up basic definitions of DFT. We consider a doubled space which has the generalized coordinates $(x^M) = (x^m, \tilde{x}_m)$ ($m = 1, \dots, D$). The metric and the B -field are packaged into the generalized metric,

$$(\mathcal{H}_{MN}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{mn} - B_{mp} g^{pq} B_{qn} & -B_{mp} g^{pn} \\ g^{mp} B_{pn} & g^{mn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

and the dilaton Φ is redefined into the T -duality-invariant combination,

$$e^{-2d} \equiv e^{-2\Phi} \sqrt{|g|}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $d(x)$ is called the DFT dilaton. We denote the $O(D, D)$ -invariant metric as

$$(\eta_{MN}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_m^n \\ \delta_n^m & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\eta^{MN}) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_n^m \\ \delta_m^n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

and use these to raise or lower the indices M, N . The fields $\mathcal{H}_{MN}(x)$ and $d(x)$ are formally defined on the doubled space, but for the consistency, we impose the section condition,

$$\eta^{MN} \partial_M A(x) \partial_N B(x) = 0, \quad \eta^{MN} \partial_M \partial_N A(x) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

for arbitrary fields $A(x)$ and $B(x)$. According to the section condition, all of the fields can depend only on a set of D coordinates, and in this paper, we choose x^m as such D coordinates. Any other choices can be mapped to this choice by performing a T -duality transformation.

A generalization of the Lie derivative, called the generalized Lie derivative is defined as

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V W^M \equiv V^N \partial_N W^M - (\partial_N V^M - \partial^M V_N) W^N, \quad (2.5)$$

which generates the gauge transformations in DFT. Under the section where all fields depend only on the physical coordinates x^m , the generalized Lie derivative reduces to

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V W^M = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_v w^m \\ (\mathcal{L}_v \tilde{w}_1 - \iota_w d\tilde{v}_1)_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.6)$$

where we have parameterized the generalized vectors as $(V^M) = (v^m, \tilde{v}_m)$ and $(W^M) = (w^m, \tilde{w}_m)$ and denoted the 1-forms as $\tilde{v}_1 \equiv \tilde{v}_m dx^m$ and $\tilde{w}_1 \equiv \tilde{w}_m dx^m$.

Abelian T -duality: Now, let us consider the T -duality by using the above notation. In order to perform the standard Abelian T -duality, the generalized metric and the DFT dilaton are required to be constant,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN}(x) = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{MN}, \quad d(x) = \hat{d}, \quad (2.7)$$

in a certain adapted coordinate system. In this constant background, equations of motion of DFT are trivially satisfied, and a constant $O(D, D)$ transformation

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}'_{MN} = C_M{}^P C_N{}^Q \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{PQ}, \quad \hat{d}' = \hat{d}, \quad (2.8)$$

maps the solution to another constant solution.

Poisson–Lie T -dualizable backgrounds: When we consider the PL T -duality, the target space is allowed to be non-constant. The generalized metric \mathcal{H}_{MN} can be twisted by a non-constant matrix $E_M{}^A(x)$ and the DFT dilaton also can have a non-constant factor,

$$\mathcal{H}_{MN}(x) = E_M{}^A(x) E_N{}^B(x) \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{AB}, \quad e^{-2d(x)} = |\ell(x)| e^{-2\hat{d}}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $|\ell(x)| \equiv |\det(\ell_m^a)|$ and the matrices, $E_M{}^A(x)$ and $\ell_m^a(x)$ are defined as follows. First, we introduce the Lie algebra of the Drinfel'd double,

$$[T_a, T_b] = f_{ab}{}^c T_c, \quad [T_a, \tilde{T}^b] = \tilde{f}^{bc}{}_a T_c - f_{ac}{}^b \tilde{T}^c, \quad [\tilde{T}^a, \tilde{T}^b] = \tilde{f}^{ab}{}_c \tilde{T}^c, \quad (2.10)$$

which is equipped with the ad -invariant bilinear form,

$$\langle T_A, T_B \rangle = \eta_{AB}, \quad (\eta_{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_a^b \\ \delta_b^a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (T_A) \equiv (T_a, \tilde{T}^a). \quad (2.11)$$

The indices A, B are raised or lowered by using the metric η_{AB} . The structure constants $f_{ab}{}^c$ and $\tilde{f}^{ab}{}_c$ can be chosen arbitrarily as long as Jacobi identities are satisfied. Secondly, we

decompose the algebra into two subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, where \mathfrak{g} is the “physical algebra” spanned by T_a and the dual algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is spanned by \tilde{T}^a . Each of these is maximally isotropic for the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We then define a group element g by using the generators of the physical subalgebra, for example, $g = e^{x^a T_a}$, and define the left- and right-invariant forms as

$$\ell \equiv \ell_m^a T_a dx^m \equiv g^{-1} dg, \quad r \equiv r_m^a T_a dx^m \equiv dg g^{-1}. \quad (2.12)$$

The left- and right-invariant vectors are denoted by v_a^m and e_a^m ($\ell_m^a v_b^m = \delta_b^a$ and $r_m^a e_b^m = \delta_b^a$). We also parameterize the adjoint action of g^{-1} on the generators of the Drinfel’d double as

$$g^{-1} T_A g \equiv M_A^B T_B, \quad M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^c & 0 \\ -\Pi^{ac} & \delta_c^a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_c^b & 0 \\ 0 & (a^{-1})_b^c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.13)$$

Finally, by using the above quantities, we define the twist matrix as

$$(E_M^A) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} r_m^a & 0 \\ -e_c^m \Pi^{ca} & e_a^m \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.14)$$

Once the structure constants f_{ab}^c and \tilde{f}^{ab}_c and the parameterization of g are given, the matrices $E_M^A(x)$ and $\ell_m^a(x)$ are uniquely obtained. Then, by using these matrices, the PL T -dualizable background is expressed as (2.9). For later convenience, it is useful to note that at the identity $g = 1$ (which corresponds to $x^a = 0$ when $g = e^{x^a T_a}$), we have

$$\Pi^{ab}(x)|_{g=1} = 0, \quad a_a^b(x)|_{g=1} = \delta_a^b, \quad (2.15)$$

by their definitions. We also note that the Abelian T -dualizable background, i.e., the constant background (2.7), is reproduced as a particular case, $f_{ab}^c = 0$ and $\tilde{f}^{ab}_c = 0$ with $g = e^{x^a T_a}$.

Poisson–Lie T -duality: We denote the Lie algebra of the Drinfel’d double as

$$[T_A, T_B] = \mathcal{F}_{AB}^C T_C, \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab}^c = f_{ab}^c, \quad \mathcal{F}_{abc} = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}_a^{bc} = \tilde{f}^{bc}_a, \quad \mathcal{F}_a^b{}_c = -f_{ac}^b, \quad \mathcal{F}^{ab}_c = \tilde{f}^{ab}_c, \quad \mathcal{F}^{abc} = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

For simplicity, we here suppose that the structure constant of the dual algebra is unimodular $\tilde{f}^{ba}_b = 0$.¹ Then, the equations of motion of DFT for a general PL T -dualizable background (2.9) reduce to the following algebraic equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{12} \mathcal{F}_{ABC} \mathcal{F}_{DEF} (3 \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{AD} \eta^{BE} \eta^{CF} - \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{AD} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{BE} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{CF}) &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} (\eta^{CE} \eta^{DF} - \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{CE} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{DF}) \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{G[A} \mathcal{F}_{CD}^{B]} \mathcal{F}_{EFG} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

¹See [7, 24] for the PL T -duality for non-unimodular cases.

They are manifestly covariant under constant $O(D, D)$ transformations²

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}'_{AB} = C_A^C C_B^D \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{CD}, \quad \hat{d}' = \hat{d}, \quad \mathcal{F}'_{ABC} = C_A^E C_B^F C_C^G \mathcal{F}_{EFG}. \quad (2.19)$$

This is the PL T -duality, which extends the Abelian T -duality (2.8).³

In DFT, the tensor \mathcal{F}_{AB}^C is called the generalized flux, and it is generally defined as

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_A} E_B^M = -\mathcal{F}_{AB}^C E_C^M, \quad (2.20)$$

Here, the generalized frame fields $E_A = (E_A^M)$ correspond to the inverse of the twist matrix E_M^A given in (2.14). Even in general spacetimes where the generalized flux \mathcal{F}_{AB}^C is not constant, the equations of motion of DFT can be expressed by using \mathcal{F}_{AB}^C . However, they contain the derivative of the generalized flux $\partial_M \mathcal{F}_{AB}^C$ and are much more complicated than (2.18). In such general cases, the $O(D, D)$ transformation (2.19) is not a symmetry of DFT. Therefore, the constancy of the generalized flux \mathcal{F}_{AB}^C is crucial for the PL T -duality.

Dual geometry: Under a PL T -duality, the structure constant \mathcal{F}_{ABC} is mapped to another one \mathcal{F}'_{ABC} . It is associated with a new set of the generalized frame fields $E'_A{}^M$ satisfying

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E'_A} E'_B{}^M = -\mathcal{F}'_{AB}{}^C E'_C{}^M. \quad (2.21)$$

Then, by using the new twist matrix $E'_A{}^M$ and the relation (2.9), the metric, B -field, and the dilaton in the dual geometry are obtained as

$$\mathcal{H}'_{MN} = E'_M{}^A E'_N{}^B \hat{\mathcal{H}}'_{AB}, \quad e^{-2d'} = e^{-2\hat{d}'} |\det(\ell_m^a)|. \quad (2.22)$$

A possible problem in the PL T -duality is the explicit construction of $E'_A{}^M$ satisfying (2.21). However, it is not a problem if we use the algebra of the Drinfel'd double. Under the PL T -duality (2.19), the generators are also redefined as $T'_A = C_A^B T_B$, and they satisfy

$$[T'_A, T'_B] = \mathcal{F}'_{AB}{}^C T'_C, \quad \langle T'_A, T'_B \rangle = \eta_{AB}. \quad (2.23)$$

Then, by decomposing the new generators as $(T'_A) = (T'_a, \tilde{T}'^a)$, we obtain a new physical subalgebra \mathfrak{g}' spanned by T'_a . By parameterizing a group element as before, such as $g' \equiv e^{x'^a T'_a}$, we can again obtain the matrices Π'^{ab} , ℓ_m^a , and r_m^a . Then, the new generalized frame fields,

$$(E'_A{}^M) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} e_a^m & 0 \\ \Pi'^{ac} e_c^m & r_m^a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.24)$$

satisfy the expected relation (2.21). In this manner, we can systematically construct the dual geometry, and the construction method of $E'_A{}^M$ is important for the PL T -duality.

²The matrix C_A^B should be chosen such that the structure constant $\mathcal{F}'_{AB}{}^C$ has the form of the Drinfel'd double (2.17). If this is not obeyed, we do not have a systematic way to construct $E'_A{}^M$ satisfying (2.21).

³To be more precise, the PL T -duality is a particular transformation $(C_A^B) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_D \\ 1_D & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. In particular, when $\tilde{f}^{ab}{}_c = 0$, it is called the non-Abelian T -duality. A general $O(D, D)$ transformation is called the PL T -plurality transformation [60], but in this paper, we denote an arbitrary $O(D, D)$ transformation as the PL T -duality.

A short summary: As shortly reviewed in this section, the PL T -duality is a constant $O(D, D)$ rotation of the indices A, B . We can perform this duality when the generalized frame fields satisfy the relation (2.20) by using the structure constant $\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C$ of a Drinfel'd double. The algebra of the Drinfel'd double provide a systematic way to construct the generalized frame fields satisfying (2.21), and the dual geometry can be explicitly constructed.

In the next section, we introduce an extension of the Drinfel'd double and explain a systematic way to construct a generalized frame fields satisfying

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_A} E_B{}^I = -\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C E_C{}^I \quad (\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C : \text{constant}), \quad (2.25)$$

by means of the generalized Lie derivative in EFT.

3 Leibniz algebra based on U -duality

Here, we propose a Leibniz algebra \mathcal{E}_n by using the generalized Lie derivative in the E_n EFT. For this purpose, let us begin with a quick introduction to EFT.

Basic definitions in EFT: As we have explained in the introduction, in EFT, we introduce an exceptional space with dimension D_n . When we adopt the M-theory picture, we decompose the generalized coordinates x^I ($I = 1, \dots, D_n$) as [35]

$$(x^I) = (x^i, \frac{y_{i_1 i_2}}{\sqrt{2!}}, \frac{y_{i_1 \dots i_5}}{\sqrt{5!}}, \dots) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \quad (3.1)$$

where the multiple indices are totally antisymmetric and the numerical factors are introduced for convenience. The ellipses are not necessary as far as we consider the cases $n \leq 6$. The supergravity fields such as the metric and gauge potentials are contained in the generalized metric \mathcal{M}_{IJ} , which extends the one \mathcal{H}_{MN} in DFT. The fields are formally defined on the exceptional space, but the extension of the section condition (2.4) again restricts the coordinate dependence. In order to reproduce M-theory, we choose x^i as the physical coordinates and any more coordinate dependence is not allowed by the section condition. Thus, in the following discussion, we eliminate the coordinate dependence on the dual coordinates,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i_1 i_2}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i_1 \dots i_5}} = 0, \quad \dots \quad (3.2)$$

Similar to DFT, the generalized Lie derivative in EFT is defined as [51]

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V W^I \equiv V^J \partial_J W^I - W^J \partial_J V^I + Y_{KL}^{IJ} \partial_J V^K W^L, \quad (3.3)$$

where Y_{KL}^{IJ} is an invariant tensor satisfying $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V Y_{KL}^{IJ} = 0$. For our purpose, it is enough to know the expression under the situation where all fields depend only on the physical coordinates x^i .

In that case, the generalized Lie derivative is expressed as (see [61] for our convention)

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V W^I = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_v w^i \\ \frac{(\mathcal{L}_v w_2 - \iota_w \mathrm{d}v_2)_{i_1 i_2}}{\sqrt{2!}} \\ \frac{(\mathcal{L}_v w_5 + \mathrm{d}v_2 \wedge w_2 - \iota_w \mathrm{d}v_5)_{i_1 \dots i_5}}{\sqrt{5!}} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.4)$$

where the two arbitrary generalized vectors V^I and W^I are parameterized as

$$V^I = \begin{pmatrix} v^i \\ \frac{v_{i_1 i_2}}{\sqrt{2!}} \\ \frac{v_{i_1 \dots i_5}}{\sqrt{5!}} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad W^I = \begin{pmatrix} w^i \\ \frac{w_{i_1 i_2}}{\sqrt{2!}} \\ \frac{w_{i_1 \dots i_5}}{\sqrt{5!}} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.5)$$

and we have defined $v_p \equiv \frac{1}{p!} v_{i_1 \dots i_p} \mathrm{d}x^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{i_p}$ and similar for w_p . We note that the expression (3.4) coincides with the Dorfman derivative in generalized geometry [47, 49].

In order to simplify our discussion, we restrict our attention to $n \leq 4$. Then, terms with five (or more) antisymmetrized indices identically vanish (e.g. $v_{i_1 \dots i_5} = 0$) and the above generalized vectors reduce to

$$V^I = \begin{pmatrix} v^i \\ \frac{v_{i_1 i_2}}{\sqrt{2!}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad W^I = \begin{pmatrix} w^i \\ \frac{w_{i_1 i_2}}{\sqrt{2!}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{L}}_V W^I = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_v w^i \\ \frac{(\mathcal{L}_v w_2 - \iota_w \mathrm{d}v_2)_{i_1 i_2}}{\sqrt{2!}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.6)$$

Generalized frame fields in EFT: In order to consider the relation (2.20) in EFT, let us introduce certain generalized frame fields E_A^I in EFT. By considering the analogy with the DFT case (2.24), we consider the following parameterization:

$$\begin{aligned} E_A^I &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} E_a^I \\ \frac{E^{a_1 a_2 I}}{\sqrt{2!}} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^b & 0 \\ -\frac{\Pi^{a_1 a_2 b}}{\sqrt{2!}} & \delta_{b_1 b_2}^{a_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_b^i & 0 \\ 0 & r_{[i_1}^{b_1} r_{i_2]}^{b_2]} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e_a^i & 0 \\ -\frac{\Pi^{a_1 a_2 b}}{\sqrt{2!}} e_b^i & r_{[i_1}^{[a_1} r_{i_2]}^{a_2]} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $\delta_{b_1 \dots b_n}^{a_1 \dots a_n} \equiv \delta_{[b_1}^{[a_1} \dots \delta_{b_n]}^{a_n]}$ and $\Pi^{a_1 a_2 a_3} = \Pi^{[a_1 a_2 a_3]}$, and e_a^m (or r_m^a) is a certain right-invariant vector (or 1-form) satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}_{e_a} e_b^m = -f_{ab}^c e_c^m, \quad \mathrm{d}r^a = \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a r^b \wedge r^c, \quad \iota_{e_a} r^b = \delta_a^b. \quad (3.8)$$

Then, using (3.6), we can compute the generalized Lie derivative as follows:

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_A} E_B^I = -X_{AB}^C E_C^I, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$X_{ab}{}^c = f_{ab}{}^c, \quad (3.10)$$

$$X_{abc_1c_2} = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

$$X_a{}^{b_1b_2c} = D_a \Pi^{b_1b_2c} - 3 f_{ad}{}^{[c} \Pi^{b_1b_2]d}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$X_a{}^{b_1b_2}{}_{c_1c_2} = 4 f_{ad}{}^e \delta_{ef}^{b_1b_2} \delta_{c_1c_2}^{fd}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$X^{a_1a_2}{}_b{}^c = -(D_b \Pi^{a_1a_2c} - 3 f_{bd}{}^{[c} \Pi^{a_1a_2]d} - f_{d_1d_2}{}^{[a_1} \delta_b^{a_2]} \Pi^{d_1d_2c}), \quad (3.14)$$

$$X^{a_1a_2}{}_{bc_1c_2} = 6 f_{[bc_1}{}^{[a_1} \delta_{c_2]}^{a_2]}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{a_1a_2b_1b_2c} &= -\Pi^{a_1a_2d} D_d \Pi^{b_1b_2c} + 3 \Pi^{[b_1b_2]d} D_d \Pi^{a_1a_2|c|} \\ &\quad + 2 f_{gh}{}^{[a_1} \Pi^{a_2]cg} \Pi^{b_1b_2h} - 3 f_{gh}{}^{[b_1} \Pi^{b_2c]g} \Pi^{a_1a_2h} - f_{gh}{}^{[a_1} \Pi^{a_2]b_1b_2} \Pi^{ghc}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{a_1a_2b_1b_2}{}_{c_1c_2} &= -(4 D_d \Pi^{a_1a_2[b_1} \delta_{c_1c_2}^{b_2]d} + 4 \Pi^{a_1a_2d} f_{de}{}^{[b_1} \delta_{c_1c_2}^{b_2]e} - 4 \Pi^{b_1b_2d} f_{de}{}^{[a_1} \delta_{c_1c_2}^{a_2]e} \\ &\quad + 2 f_{c_1c_2}{}^{[a_1} \Pi^{a_2]b_1b_2}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

and $D_a \equiv e_a^i \partial_i$. In general, the generalized flux $X_{AB}{}^C$ is not constant.⁴

Unlike the DFT case, the first two indices are not antisymmetric $X_{AB}{}^C \neq X_{[AB]}{}^C$ and even if we find a certain situation where $X_{AB}{}^C$ is constant, the algebra is not a Lie algebra. Accordingly, in the following, we investigate a Leibniz algebra satisfying

$$T_A \circ T_B = \mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C T_C. \quad (3.18)$$

Here, $T_A \circ T_B \neq -T_B \circ T_A$ but the Leibniz identity,

$$X \circ (Y \circ Z) - Y \circ (X \circ Z) = (X \circ Y) \circ Z, \quad (3.19)$$

is satisfied similar to the case of the generalized Lie derivative,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{V_1} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{V_2} W^I - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{V_2} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{V_1} W^I = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{V_1} V_2} W^I. \quad (3.20)$$

Construction of the algebra \mathcal{E}_n : Here we take a heuristic approach to find the Leibniz algebra \mathcal{E}_n . First, we suppose that the generalized flux $X_{AB}{}^C$ is constant, and assume that there exists an algebra (3.18) with $\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C = X_{AB}{}^C$. Secondly, we assume that $\Pi^{a_1a_2a_3} = 0$ at a certain point $x^a = 0$, which corresponds to (2.15). We further assume that the so-called R -flux $X^{a_1a_2b_1b_2c}$ vanishes. At least when $e_a^i = \delta_a^i$, this requirement is precisely a condition for $\Pi^{a_1a_2a_3}$ to be a Nambu–Poisson tensor [64], and the condition $X^{a_1a_2b_1b_2c} = 0$ will be understood as a natural generalization of the definition of the Nambu–Poisson tensor. In the case of the Drinfel'd double, the bi-vector Π^{ab} has been a Poisson tensor, and in our setup, the Poisson tensor is naturally extended to the Nambu–Poisson tensor.

⁴See [62, 63] for computation of the generalized flux in the SL(5) EFT in a more general setup.

Under these assumptions, the generalized flux $X_{AB}{}^C$ at the point $x^a = 0$ reduces to

$$X_{ab}{}^c = f_{ab}{}^c, \quad (3.21)$$

$$X_{abc_1c_2} = 0, \quad (3.22)$$

$$X_a{}^{b_1b_2c} = D_a \Pi^{b_1b_2c} = X_a{}^{[b_1b_2c]}, \quad (3.23)$$

$$X_a{}^{b_1b_2}{}_{c_1c_2} = 4 f_{ad}{}^e \delta_{ef}^{b_1b_2} \delta_{c_1c_2}^{fd}, \quad (3.24)$$

$$X^{a_1a_2}{}_b{}^c = -D_b \Pi^{a_1a_2c} = -X_b{}^{a_1a_2c}, \quad (3.25)$$

$$X^{a_1a_2}{}_{bc_1c_2} = 6 f_{[bc_1}{}^{[a_1} \delta_{c_2]}^{a_2]}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$X^{a_1a_2b_1b_2c} = 0, \quad (3.27)$$

$$X^{a_1a_2b_1b_2}{}_{c_1c_2} = -4 D_d \Pi^{a_1a_2[b_1} \delta_{c_1c_2}^{b_2]d} = -4 X_d{}^{a_1a_2[b_1} \delta_{c_1c_2}^{b_2]d}. \quad (3.28)$$

This suggests us to define a new Leibniz algebra \mathcal{E}_n as

$$\begin{aligned} T_a \circ T_b &= f_{ab}{}^c T_c, \\ T_a \circ T^{b_1b_2} &= f_a{}^{b_1b_2c} T_c + 2 f_{ac}{}^{[b_1} T^{b_2]c}, \\ T^{a_1a_2} \circ T_b &= -f_b{}^{a_1a_2c} T_c + 3 f_{[c_1c_2}{}^{[a_1} \delta_{b]}^{a_2]} T^{c_1c_2}, \\ T^{a_1a_2} \circ T^{b_1b_2} &= -2 f_d{}^{a_1a_2[b_1} T^{b_2]d}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

where $f_{ab}{}^c = f_{[ab]}{}^c$ and $f_a{}^{b_1b_2b_3} = f_a{}^{[b_1b_2b_3]}$. The Leibniz identity

$$X \circ (Y \circ Z) = (X \circ Y) \circ Z + Y \circ (X \circ Z), \quad (3.30)$$

for the generators T_a and $T^{a_1a_2}$ requires the following relations:

$$0 = f_{[ab}{}^e f_{c]e}{}^d, \quad (3.31)$$

$$0 = f_{bc}{}^e f_e{}^{a_1a_2d} + 6 f_{e[b}{}^{[d} f_{c]}{}^{a_1a_2]e}, \quad (3.32)$$

$$0 = f_{d_1d_2}{}^{[a_1} \delta_b^{a_2]} f_c{}^{d_1d_2e}, \quad (3.33)$$

$$0 = 3 f_{[d_1d_2}{}^{[a_1} \delta_e^{a_2]} f_c{}^{eb_1b_2} + 4 f_{ef}{}^{[a_1} f_c{}^{a_2]e[b_1} \delta_{d_1d_2}^{b_2]} f, \quad (3.34)$$

$$0 = f_c{}^{ea_1a_2} f_e{}^{db_1b_2} - 3 f_c{}^{e[b_1b_2} f_e{}^{d]a_1a_2}. \quad (3.35)$$

A bilinear form: We also introduce the bilinear form, which extends the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of the Drinfel'd double. A natural extension of the bilinear form has been known in EFT,⁵

$$\langle V, W \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} \equiv \eta_{IJ;\mathcal{K}} V^I W^J, \quad (3.36)$$

where $\eta_{IJ;\mathcal{K}}$ connects a product of two R_1 -representation and another representation, called the R_2 -representation (see for example [51]) whose dimension d_n is given in Table 1.1. Namely,

⁵This bilinear form has been studied also in a mathematical literature [65].

the additional index \mathcal{K} appended to the bilinear form transforms in the R_2 -representation. This index can be decomposed as (see [61] for the explicit form of $\eta_{IJ;\mathcal{K}}$)

$$(\eta_{IJ;\mathcal{K}}) = \left(\eta_{IJ;k}, \frac{\eta_{IJ;k_1 \dots k_4}}{\sqrt{4!}}, \dots \right), \quad (3.37)$$

and in our case $n \leq 4$, it is enough to consider the first two components,

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} = \left(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_k, \frac{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{k_1 \dots k_4}}{\sqrt{4!}} \right). \quad (3.38)$$

The bilinear form takes the form

$$\langle V, W \rangle_k = (\iota_w v_2 + \iota_v w_2)_k, \quad \langle V, W \rangle_{k_1 \dots k_4} = (v_2 \wedge w_2)_{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4}, \quad (3.39)$$

for arbitrary two vectors V^I and W^I parameterized as (3.6). Under an arbitrary E_n U -duality transformation Λ , the tensor $\eta_{IJ;\mathcal{K}}$ behaves as

$$\Lambda_I^{L_1} \Lambda_J^{L_2} \Lambda_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{L}} \eta_{L_1 L_2; \mathcal{L}} = \eta_{IJ;\mathcal{K}}, \quad (3.40)$$

where Λ_I^J and $\Lambda_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{J}}$ denote the same E_n transformation in the R_1 - and R_2 -representation, respectively.

Now, we introduce a matrix,

$$E_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{I}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^b & -\frac{4\delta_a^{[b_1} \Pi^{b_2 b_3 b_4]}}{\sqrt{4!}} \\ 0 & \delta_{a_1 \dots a_4}^{b_1 \dots b_4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_b^i & 0 \\ 0 & e_{[b_1}^{[i_1} \dots e_{b_4]}^{i_4]} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.41)$$

which satisfies

$$E_A^I E_B^J E_C^{\mathcal{K}} \eta_{IJ;\mathcal{K}} = \eta_{AB;C}, \quad (3.42)$$

and redefine the bilinear form as

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \left(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_a, \frac{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{a_1 \dots a_4}}{\sqrt{4!}} \right) \equiv E_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{I}} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{I}}. \quad (3.43)$$

Then, the bilinear form for the generalized frame fields (3.7) becomes

$$\langle E_a, E^{b_1 b_2} \rangle_c = 2! \delta_{ca}^{b_1 b_2}, \quad \langle E^{a_1 a_2}, E^{b_1 b_2} \rangle_{c_1 \dots c_4} = 4! \delta_{c_1 \dots c_4}^{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2}. \quad (3.44)$$

Identifying the generalized frame fields E_A with the \mathcal{E}_n generator T_A , we define the following bilinear form for the generators:

$$\langle T_a, T^{b_1 b_2} \rangle_c = 2! \delta_{ac}^{b_1 b_2}, \quad \langle T^{a_1 a_2}, T^{b_1 b_2} \rangle_{c_1 \dots c_4} = 4! \delta_{c_1 \dots c_4}^{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2}. \quad (3.45)$$

We note that the subalgebra spanned by $\{T_a\}$ is maximally isotropic for the bilinear form. In fact, the isotropicity shows that the subalgebra is a Lie algebra $T_a \circ T_b = [T_a, T_b]$, where

$$[T_A, T_B] \equiv \frac{1}{2} (T_A \circ T_B - T_B \circ T_A). \quad (3.46)$$

This can be understood from the explicit form of the generalized Lie derivative (3.6), namely,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V W^I = \left(\frac{[v, w]^i}{\frac{[\mathcal{L}_v w_2 - \mathcal{L}_w v_2 + d(\iota_w v_2)]_{i_1 i_2}}{\sqrt{2!}}} \right). \quad (3.47)$$

When V^I and W^I satisfy $\langle V, W \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$, we have

$$\iota_w v_2 = \frac{1}{2} (\iota_w v_2 - \iota_v w_2), \quad (3.48)$$

and the generalized Lie derivative satisfies $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_V W^I = -\hat{\mathcal{L}}_W V^I$. Accordingly, for a set of the generalized frame fields $\{E_a\}$ forming an isotropic subalgebra, we have

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_a} E_b^I = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_a} E_b^I - \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_b} E_a^I) = -X_{[ab]}^c E_c^I, \quad (3.49)$$

and the subalgebra is a Lie algebra. This property plays an important role when we explicitly construct the generalized frame fields.

E_n generators: For the sake of clarity, let us explain our convention for the E_n generators. We decompose the E_n generators $\{t_{\hat{\alpha}}\}$ ($\hat{\alpha} = 1, \dots, \dim E_n$) for $n \leq 4$ as [40]

$$(t_{\hat{\alpha}}) \equiv (K^c{}_d, \frac{R^{c_1 c_2 c_3}}{\sqrt{3!}}, \frac{R_{c_1 c_2 c_3}}{\sqrt{3!}}). \quad (3.50)$$

Their matrix representations $(t_{\hat{\alpha}})_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}}$ in the R_1 -representation are given as follows:⁶

$$\begin{aligned} (K^c{}_d)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} &\equiv (\tilde{K}^c{}_d)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} + \frac{\delta_c^d}{9-n} \delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}}, & (\tilde{K}^c{}_d)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^c \delta_d^b & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \delta_{de}^{a_1 a_2} \delta_{b_1 b_2}^{ce} \end{pmatrix}, \\ (R^{c_1 c_2 c_3})_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{3! \delta_{ab_1 b_2}^{c_1 c_2 c_3}}{\sqrt{2!}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & (R_{c_1 c_2 c_3})_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{3! \delta_{c_1 c_2 c_3}^{b a_1 a_2}}{\sqrt{2!}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

The matrix representations $(t_{\hat{\alpha}})_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}}$ in the R_2 -representation are

$$\begin{aligned} (K^c{}_d)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} &\equiv (\tilde{K}^c{}_d)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{2 \delta_c^d}{9-n} \delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}}, & (\tilde{K}^c{}_d)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^c \delta_d^b & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \delta_{a_1 \dots a_4}^{c e_1 e_2 e_3} \delta_{d e_1 e_2 e_3}^{b_1 \dots b_4} \end{pmatrix}, \\ (R^{c_1 c_2 c_3})_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{4! \delta_{a_1 \dots a_4}^{b c_1 c_2 c_3}}{\sqrt{4!}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & (R_{c_1 c_2 c_3})_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{4! \delta_{a_1 s_1 s_2 s_3}^{b_1 \dots b_4}}{\sqrt{4!}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

Now, let us rewrite the \mathcal{E}_n algebra. If we express the algebra as

$$T_C \circ T_A = (T_C)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} T_B, \quad (3.53)$$

⁶The second term in the $GL(n)$ generator $(K^a{}_b)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}}$, which is proportional to the identity matrix $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}}$, is necessary for the commutator $[R^{c_1 c_2 c_3}, R_{d_1 d_2 d_3}]$ to be expanded by the generator $K^a{}_b$.

the matrices $(T_C)_A{}^B$ are given by

$$(T_C)_A{}^B = \begin{pmatrix} f_{ca}{}^b & 0 \\ \frac{f_e{}^{a_1 a_2 b}}{\sqrt{2}!} & -2 f_{c[b_1}{}^{[a_1} \delta_{b_2]}^{a_2]} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.54)$$

$$(T^{c_1 c_2})_A{}^B = \begin{pmatrix} -f_a{}^{c_1 c_2 b} & \frac{6 f_{[b_1 b_2}{}^{[c_1} \delta_{a]}^{c_2]}}{\sqrt{2}!} \\ 0 & -2 f_d{}^{c_1 c_2 [a_1} \delta_{b_1 b_2]}^{a_2] d} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.55)$$

They can be expressed as

$$(T_C)_A{}^B = f_{cd}{}^e (\tilde{K}^d{}_e)_A{}^B + \frac{1}{3!} f_c{}^{d_1 d_2 d_3} (R_{d_1 d_2 d_3})_A{}^B, \quad (3.56)$$

$$(T^{c_1 c_2})_A{}^B = -f_d{}^{c_1 c_2 e} (\tilde{K}^d{}_e)_A{}^B + f_{[d_1 d_2}{}^{[c_1} \delta_{d_3]}^{c_2]} (R^{d_1 d_2 d_3})_A{}^B. \quad (3.57)$$

In general, they are not exactly E_n U -duality transformations, because $\tilde{K}^a{}_b$ is not an E_n generator. Thus, suggested by [47, 52], we introduce an additional generator $(t_0)_A{}^B \equiv -\delta_A^B$ for \mathbb{R}^+ [52], and express the algebra as

$$(T_C)_A{}^B = f_{cd}{}^e (K^d{}_e)_A{}^B + \frac{1}{3!} f_c{}^{d_1 d_2 d_3} (R_{d_1 d_2 d_3})_A{}^B + \frac{f_{cd}{}^d}{9-n} (t_0)_A{}^B, \quad (3.58)$$

$$(T^{c_1 c_2})_A{}^B = -f_d{}^{c_1 c_2 e} (K^d{}_e)_A{}^B + f_{[d_1 d_2}{}^{[c_1} \delta_{d_3]}^{c_2]} (R^{d_1 d_2 d_3})_A{}^B - \frac{f_d{}^{c_1 c_2 d}}{9-n} (t_0)_A{}^B. \quad (3.59)$$

The last term in each line appears due to the fact that the generalized frame fields $E_A{}^I$ has a density weight $\frac{1}{9-n}$. Then, the \mathcal{E}_n algebra can be also expressed as

$$T_A \circ T_B = [\Theta_A^{\hat{\alpha}} (t_{\hat{\alpha}})_B{}^C + \theta_A (t_0)_B{}^C] T_C, \quad (3.60)$$

where $\Theta_A^{\hat{\alpha}}$ and θ_A are constants. If we decompose the index $\hat{\alpha}$ as

$$(\Theta_A^{\hat{\alpha}}) = ([\Theta_A]_a{}^b, \frac{[\Theta_A]_{a_1 a_2 a_3}}{\sqrt{3}!}, \frac{[\Theta_A]^{a_1 a_2 a_3}}{\sqrt{3}!}), \quad (3.61)$$

their components are

$$\begin{aligned} [\Theta_a]_b{}^c &= f_{ab}{}^c, & [\Theta_a]_{c_1 c_2 c_3} &= 0, & [\Theta_a]^{c_1 c_2 c_3} &= f_a{}^{c_1 c_2 c_3}, \\ [\Theta^{a_1 a_2}]_b{}^c &= -f_b{}^{a_1 a_2 c}, & [\Theta^{a_1 a_2}]_{c_1 c_2 c_3} &= 3! f_{[c_1 c_2}{}^{[a_1} \delta_{c_3]}^{a_2]}, & [\Theta^{a_1 a_2}]^{c_1 c_2 c_3} &= 0, \\ \theta_a &= \frac{f_{cd}{}^d}{9-n}, & \theta^{a_1 a_2} &= \frac{f_d{}^{c_1 c_2 d}}{9-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.62)$$

Then, we can easily obtain the matrices $(T_C)_A{}^B$ in the R_2 -representation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (T_C)_A{}^B &= f_{cd}{}^e (K^d{}_e)_A{}^B + \frac{1}{3!} f_c{}^{d_1 d_2 d_3} (R_{d_1 d_2 d_3})_A{}^B + \frac{f_{cd}{}^d}{9-n} (t_0)_A{}^B, \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} f_{ca}{}^b & \frac{4 \delta_a^{[b_1} f_c{}^{b_2 b_3 b_4]}}{\sqrt{4}!} \\ 0 & 4 f_{c[a_1}{}^{[b_1} \delta_{a_2 a_3 a_4]}^{b_2 b_3 b_4]} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.63)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (T^{c_1 c_2})_A{}^B &= -f_d{}^{c_1 c_2 e} (K^d{}_e)_A{}^B + f_{[d_1 d_2}{}^{[c_1} \delta_{d_3]}^{c_2]} (R^{d_1 d_2 d_3})_A{}^B - \frac{f_d{}^{c_1 c_2 d}}{9-n} (t_0)_A{}^B \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -f_a{}^{c_1 c_2 b} & 0 \\ -\frac{4! f_{[a_1 a_2}{}^{[c_1} \delta_{a_3 a_4]}^{c_2] b}}{\sqrt{4}!} & -4 f_{[a_1}{}^{c_1 c_2 [b_1} \delta_{a_2 a_3 a_4]}^{b_2 b_3 b_4]} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.64)$$

where $(t_0)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}} \equiv 2\delta_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}}$. The invariance of the bilinear form under $E_n \times \mathbb{R}^+$ transformations leads to the following identity:

$$\langle T_C \circ T_A, T_B \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} + \langle T_A, T_C \circ T_B \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} + (T_C)_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{E}} \langle T_A, T_B \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} = 0. \quad (3.65)$$

Lie algebra of the Drinfel'd double: If we decompose the generators as $\{T_a\} = \{T_{\dot{a}}, T_z\}$ and $\{T^{ab}\} = \{T^{\dot{a}\dot{b}}, T^{\dot{a}z}\}$ ($\dot{a} = 1, \dots, n-1$) and require

$$f_{ab}{}^z = 0, \quad f_{az}{}^b = 0, \quad f_z{}^{b_1 b_2 b_3} = 0, \quad f_{\dot{a}}{}^{b_1 b_2 b_3} = 0, \quad (3.66)$$

the subalgebra spanned by

$$(T_{\dot{A}}) \equiv (T_{\dot{a}}, T^{\dot{a}}) \quad (T^{\dot{A}} \equiv T^{\dot{a}z}), \quad (3.67)$$

becomes

$$T_{\dot{a}} \circ T_{\dot{b}} = f_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{}^{\dot{c}} T_{\dot{c}}, \quad T_{\dot{a}} \circ T^{\dot{b}} = \tilde{f}^{\dot{b}\dot{c}}{}_{\dot{a}} T_{\dot{c}} - f_{\dot{a}\dot{c}}{}^{\dot{b}} T^{\dot{c}} = -T^{\dot{b}} \circ T_{\dot{a}}, \quad T^{\dot{a}} \circ T^{\dot{b}} = \tilde{f}^{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{}_{\dot{d}} T^{\dot{d}}, \quad (3.68)$$

where $\tilde{f}^{\dot{b}\dot{c}}{}_{\dot{a}} \equiv -f_{\dot{a}}{}^{\dot{b}\dot{c}z}$. This is precisely the Lie algebra of the Drinfel'd double. Moreover, we can easily see that the bilinear form reduces to that of the Drinfel'd double,

$$\langle T_{\dot{a}}, T^{\dot{b}} \rangle \equiv \langle T_{\dot{a}}, T^{\dot{b}} \rangle_z = \delta_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{b}}. \quad (3.69)$$

The invariance (3.65) reduces to the standard *ad*-invariance,

$$\langle T_{\dot{C}} \circ T_{\dot{A}}, T_{\dot{B}} \rangle + \langle T_{\dot{A}}, T_{\dot{C}} \circ T_{\dot{B}} \rangle = 0. \quad (3.70)$$

In this sense, the Leibniz algebra \mathcal{E}_n is an extension of the Lie algebra of the Drinfel'd double.

It is noted that there exist certain Drinfel'd doubles, which are not straightforwardly embedded into the \mathcal{E}_n algebra. When the assumption (3.66) is satisfied, the Leibniz identity (3.33) for the restricted generators $T_{\dot{A}}$ is automatically satisfied. However, if we require the Leibniz identity (3.33) for the full \mathcal{E}_n generators, (3.33) is equivalent to $f_{d_1 d_2}{}^a f_c{}^{d_1 d_2 b} = 0$. Then, even under the assumption (3.66), we obtain a constraint

$$f_{\dot{c}_1 \dot{c}_2}{}^{\dot{a}} \tilde{f}^{\dot{c}_1 \dot{c}_2}{}_{\dot{b}} = 0, \quad (3.71)$$

for the structure constants of the Drinfel'd double (the Leibniz identity (3.34) also may give an additional constraint). As we discuss in section 6, in the context of the Yang–Baxter (YB) deformation, the condition (3.71) is equivalent to the requirement that the classical *r*-matrix is unimodular. This means that, when the classical *r*-matrix is non-unimodular, the Lie algebra of the corresponding Drinfel'd double cannot be embedded into the \mathcal{E}_n algebra. This may be related to the fact [66] that the YB deformation for a non-unimodular *r*-matrix generally produces a solution of the generalized supergravity [67, 68], and the fact that the embedding of the generalized supergravity into EFT is non-trivial [69] (see section 6 for further discussion).

U -duality transformation: Let us consider a redefinition of the \mathcal{E}_n generators,

$$T'_A = C_A{}^B T_B, \quad (3.72)$$

where $C_A{}^B$ is an element of the E_n group. We also redefine the bilinear-form as

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_A = C_A{}^B \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_B, \quad (3.73)$$

by acting the same E_n transformation in the R_2 -representation. Then, the physical subalgebra is maximally isotropic even after the redefinition,

$$\langle T'_a, T'_b \rangle'_C = 0. \quad (3.74)$$

On the other hand, the \mathcal{E}_n algebra is transformed as

$$T'_A \circ T'_B = [\Theta_A{}^{\hat{\alpha}} (t_{\hat{\alpha}})_B{}^C + \theta'_A (t_0)_B{}^C] T'_C, \quad (3.75)$$

where we have defined

$$\Theta_A{}^{\hat{\alpha}} \equiv C_A{}^B \Theta_B{}^{\hat{\beta}} C_{\hat{\beta}}{}^{\hat{\alpha}}, \quad C_A{}^C (t_{\hat{\alpha}})_C{}^D (C^{-1})_D{}^B \equiv C_{\hat{\alpha}}{}^{\hat{\beta}} (t_{\hat{\beta}})_A{}^B, \quad \theta'_A \equiv C_A{}^B \theta_B. \quad (3.76)$$

If fact, the particular forms of $\Theta_A{}^{\hat{\alpha}}$ and θ_A given in (3.62) are not preserved under a general U -duality transformation. For example, we are assuming $[\Theta_a]_{c_1 c_2 c_3} = 0$, but it can appear under a general redefinition (see section 5 for such an example). The situation is the same as the Drinfel'd double. In the case of the Drinfel'd double, an extension of the algebra including the non-vanishing H -flux (which corresponds to $[\Theta_a]_{c_1 c_2 c_3}$) has been discussed in [24], but here we do not consider such extension. Rather, we restrict the U -duality transformation such that $\Theta_A{}^{\hat{\alpha}}$ and θ'_A have the same form as (3.62) by using new structure constants $f'^a{}_b{}^c$ and $f'^{a b_1 b_2 b_3}$. Even under such restriction, the allowed U -duality symmetry is much larger than the case of the PL T -duality.

4 Generalized frame fields

In this section, we present a systematic construction method of the generalized frame fields $E_A{}^I$, which is analogous to the one known in the PL T -duality. Then, by following the approach of [70], we show that the $E_A{}^I$ indeed satisfy the desired relation,

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_A} E_B{}^I = -X_{AB}{}^C E_C{}^I, \quad (4.1)$$

where $X_{AB}{}^C$ is the structure constant $\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C$ of the Leibniz algebra \mathcal{E}_n .

Let us prepare a set of generators T_a associated with a maximal isotropic subalgebra. As already explained, the subalgebra is a Lie algebra, and we can parameterize an element of the Lie group G as usual, e.g., $g = e^{x^a T_a}$. We define the left-/right-invariant 1-forms/vectors as

$$g^{-1} dg \equiv \ell_i^a T_a dx^i, \quad dg g^{-1} \equiv r_i^a T_a dx^i, \quad \ell_i^a v_b^i = r_i^a e_b^i = \delta_b^a, \quad (4.2)$$

which satisfy

$$[v_a, v_b]^i = f_{ab}{}^c v_c^i, \quad [e_a, e_b]^i = -f_{ab}{}^c e_c^i. \quad (4.3)$$

Then, we define the action of $g^{-1}(x) \equiv e^{h(x)}$ on T_A as

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1}(x) \circ T_A &\equiv 1 + h \circ T_A + \frac{1}{2!} h \circ (h \circ T_A) + \frac{1}{3!} h \circ (h \circ (h \circ T_A)) + \dots \\ &\equiv M_A{}^B(x) T_B. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Since the infinitesimal transformation is an $E_n \times \mathbb{R}^+$ transformation of the lower-triangular form (3.54), the matrix $M_A{}^B$ can be generally parameterized as

$$\begin{aligned} M_A{}^B &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^c & 0 \\ -\frac{\Pi^{a_1 a_2 c}}{\sqrt{2!}} & \delta_{c_1 c_2}^{a_1 a_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_c^b & 0 \\ 0 & (a^{-1})_{[b_1}{}^{c_1} (a^{-1})_{b_2]}{}^{c_2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_a^b & 0 \\ -\frac{\Pi^{a_1 a_2 c} a_c^b}{\sqrt{2!}} & (a^{-1})_{[b_1}{}^{a_1} (a^{-1})_{b_2]}{}^{a_2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Then, we define the generalized frame fields as

$$E_A{}^I \equiv M_A{}^B L_B{}^I = \begin{pmatrix} e_a^i & 0 \\ -\frac{\Pi^{a_1 a_2 c} e_c^i}{\sqrt{2!}} & r_{[i_1}^{[a_1} r_{i_2]}^{a_2]} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.6)$$

where the matrix $L_A{}^I$ is defined by

$$L_A{}^I \equiv \begin{pmatrix} v_a^i & 0 \\ 0 & \ell_{[i_1}^{[a_1} \ell_{i_2]}^{a_2]} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.7)$$

and we have used $\ell_i^a = a_b^a r_i^b$ in the second equality of (4.6). This matrix $E_A{}^I$ plays the role of the desired generalized frame fields as we show below. For this purpose, let us find several identities by following [70].

Differential identities: Differentiating the definition (4.4) of the matrix $M_A{}^B$, we obtain

$$\partial_i g^{-1}(x) \circ T_A = \partial_i M_A{}^B(x) T_B. \quad (4.8)$$

The left-hand side can be evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i g^{-1} \circ T_A &= -g^{-1} \circ \partial_i g \circ g^{-1} \circ T_A = -(\ell_i^d T_d) \circ (M_A{}^B T_B) = -\ell_i^d M_A{}^B (T_d)_B{}^C T_C \\ &= \ell_i^d \left(\begin{array}{cc} a_a^b f_{bd}{}^c & 0 \\ -\frac{\Pi^{a_1 a_2 c} a_c^b f_{bd}{}^c + (a^{-1})_{[b_1}{}^{a_1} (a^{-1})_{b_2]}{}^{a_2} f_d{}^{b_1 b_2 c}}{\sqrt{2!}} & 2 (a^{-1})_{[b_1}{}^{a_1} (a^{-1})_{b_2]}{}^{a_2} f_{d[c_1} [b_1} \delta_{c_2]}^{b_2]} \end{array} \right) T_C, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

and (4.8) gives the following identities:

$$D_c a_a^b = a_a^d a_c^e f_{de}{}^b, \quad D_c \Pi^{a_1 a_2 a_3} = (a^{-1})_{b_1}{}^{a_1} (a^{-1})_{b_2}{}^{a_2} (a^{-1})_{b_3}{}^{a_3} a_c^d f_d{}^{b_1 b_2 b_3}. \quad (4.10)$$

Algebraic identities: In order find further relations, we consider the identity,

$$(g \circ T_A) \circ (g \circ T_B) = g \circ (T_A \circ T_B), \quad (4.11)$$

which follows from the Leibniz identity. For convenience, we decompose this identity as

$$\langle (g \circ T_A) \circ (g \circ T_B), T_C \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \langle g \circ (T_A \circ T_B), T_C \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}. \quad (4.12)$$

The component $\{A, B, C, \mathcal{D}\} = \{a^{1a_2}, b, c, d\}$ or $\{A, B, C, \mathcal{D}\} = \{a, b_1 b_2, c, d\}$ leads to

$$(a^{-1})_a{}^e (a^{-1})_b{}^f a_g{}^c f_e f^g = f_{ab}{}^c. \quad (4.13)$$

On the other hand, the component $\{A, B, C, \mathcal{D}\} = \{a, b_1 b_2, c_1 c_2, d\}$ additionally requires

$$a_a{}^e (a^{-1})_{f_1}{}^{b_1} (a^{-1})_{f_2}{}^{b_2} (a^{-1})_{f_3}{}^{b_3} f_e{}^{f_1 f_2 f_3} = f_a{}^{b_1 b_2 b_3} + 3 f_{ac}{}^{[b_1 \Pi^{b_2 b_3}]c}, \quad (4.14)$$

and the component $\{A, B, C, \mathcal{D}\} = \{a^{1a_2}, b, c_1 c_2, d\}$ also requires

$$f_{e_1 e_2}{}^{[a_1 \delta_b^{a_2}] \delta_d^{[c_1 \Pi^{c_2}]e_1 e_2}} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f_{ab}{}^c \Pi^{abd} = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

The component $\{A, B, C, \mathcal{D}\} = \{a^{1a_2}, b_1 b_2, c, d\}$ further gives

$$3 (f_{e[c}{}^{a_1} \delta_d^{[a_2] \Pi^{b_1 b_2]e}} - f_{e[c}{}^{a_2} \delta_d^{[a_1] \Pi^{b_1 b_2]e}}) + f_{cd}{}^{[a_1 \Pi^{a_2}]b_1 b_2} = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Finally, the component $\{A, B, C, \mathcal{D}\} = \{a^{1a_2}, b_1 b_2, c_1 c_2, d\}$ gives

$$f_d{}^{b_1 b_2 c} \Pi^{a_1 a_2 d} - 3 f_d{}^{a_1 a_2 [b_1 \Pi^{b_2 c]d}} = 3 f_{de}{}^{[c \Pi^{b_1 b_2]d} \Pi^{a_1 a_2 e}} - 4 f_{de}{}^{[a_1 \Pi^{a_2}]d [b_1 \Pi^{b_2}]ec}, \quad (4.17)$$

and they are all identities coming from (4.11).

Computation of $X_{AB}{}^C$: By using the differential and algebraic identities, we can easily show $X_a{}^{b_1 b_2 c} = f_a{}^{b_1 b_2 c}$ and $X^{a_1 a_2}{}_b{}^c = -f_b{}^{a_1 a_2 c}$. The derivation of

$$X^{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2 c} = 0, \quad X^{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2}{}_{c_1 c_2} = -4 f_d{}^{a_1 a_2 [b_1 \delta_{c_1 c_2}]^d}, \quad (4.18)$$

requires a slightly longer computation. The former requires the identity (4.17) while the latter requires (4.16). In this way, we have shown the desired relation $X_{AB}{}^C = \mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C$.

In summary, by using the \mathcal{E}_n algebra, we have explained a systematic construction of the generalized frame fields $E_A{}^I$, which satisfy the algebra of \mathcal{E}_n by means of the generalized Lie derivative. The construction is a straightforward extension of the procedure known in the PL T -duality, and we expect that this extension plays an important role in formulating the U -duality extension of the PL T -duality.

5 Examples of \mathcal{E}_n algebra

5.1 3D algebra \mathcal{E}_2

When $n = 2$, we obtain a three-dimensional algebra with generators $\{T_A\} = \{T_1, T_2, T^{12}\}$. By denoting $f_{12}^1 = a$ and $f_{12}^2 = b$, we obtain

$$T_1 \circ T_2 = aT_1 + bT_2 = [T_1, T_2], \quad (5.1)$$

$$T_1 \circ T^{12} = -bT^{12}, \quad T_2 \circ T^{12} = aT^{12}, \quad T^{12} \circ T_A = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

The non-vanishing components of the bilinear form are

$$\langle T_1, T^{12} \rangle_2 = -1, \quad \langle T_2, T^{12} \rangle_1 = 1. \quad (5.3)$$

This is not an interesting example, but it is a good example to clearly see the existence of another maximal isotropic subalgebra. As we can clearly see from (5.3), the generator T^{12} has non-vanishing inner products with other generators. This shows that the Abelian algebra generated by $\{T_a\} = \{T^{12}\}$ is another maximal isotropic subalgebra. Similarly, \mathcal{E}_n always has two types of maximal isotropic subalgebras with dimension n and $n - 1$.

5.2 6D algebra \mathcal{E}_3

The algebra \mathcal{E}_3 is a six-dimensional algebra with generators $\{T_A\} = \{T_1, T_2, T_3, T^{12}, T^{13}, T^{23}\}$. The structure constants f_{ab}^c have 9 components and f_a^{bcd} have 3 components. According to the Bianchi classification, the 3D Lie algebra f_{ab}^c has been classified. It is interesting to classify the additional structure constants f_a^{bcd} for each physical 3D Lie algebra.

5.3 10D algebra \mathcal{E}_4

M-theory frame I: In $n = 4$, the algebra \mathcal{E}_4 is ten-dimensional and the structure is much richer. As a particular example, we here consider the case,

$$f_{ab}^c = 0, \quad f_1^{234} = a, \quad f_1^{134} = b, \quad f_2^{234} = c, \quad f_2^{134} = d, \quad (5.4)$$

which satisfies the Leibniz identity. If we introduce an additional non-vanishing component for $f_a^{b_1 b_2 b_3}$, the Leibniz identity is broken, and in that sense it contains a maximal set of components under $f_{ab}^c = 0$. Using the generators T_a , we parameterize an element of the physical subgroup as $g = e^{x^a T_a}$. As it is Abelian, the left-/right invariant forms are trivial,

$$\ell = r = T_a dx^a. \quad (5.5)$$

On the other hand, the tensor $\Pi^{i_1 i_2 i_3} \equiv e_{a_1}^{i_1} e_{a_2}^{i_2} e_{a_3}^{i_3} \Pi^{a_1 a_2 a_3}$ has the form

$$\Pi = [(bx^1 + dx^2) \partial_1 + (ax^1 + cx^2) \partial_2] \wedge \partial_3 \wedge \partial_4. \quad (5.6)$$

By construction, the R -flux $X^{a_1 a_2 b_1 b_2 c}$ should vanish, and it satisfies

$$\Pi^{i_1 i_2 k} \partial_k \Pi^{j_1 j_2 l} - 3 \Pi^{[j_1 j_2] k} \partial_k \Pi^{i_1 i_2 | l]} = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

In order for this to be a Nambu–Poisson tensor, the algebraic or quadratic identity,

$$\Pi^{k [i_1 i_2} \Pi^{i_3] j l} + \Pi^{l [i_1 i_2} \Pi^{i_3] j k} = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

should be satisfied [64] (see also [71]). In this example, it is indeed satisfied and the above Π is a Nambu–Poisson tensor. In general, we have not checked the quadratic identity, but it may follow from a certain requirement such as the Leibniz identity.

By using the trivial right-invariant vector and the Nambu–Poisson structure, the generalized frame fields become

$$E_A{}^M = \begin{pmatrix} e_a^m & 0 \\ -\frac{\Pi^{a_1 a_2 b} e_b^m}{\sqrt{2!}} & r_{[m_1}^{[a_1} r_{m_2]}^{a_2]} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^m & 0 \\ -\frac{\Pi^{a_1 a_2 m}}{\sqrt{2!}} & \delta_{m_1 m_2}^{a_1 a_2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.9)$$

As we have generally proven, this satisfies the relation $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_A} E_B{}^I = -\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C E_C{}^I$ for the structure constants given in (5.4).

M-theory frame II: Let us consider a redefinition,

$$\begin{pmatrix} T'_1 \\ T'_2 \\ T'_3 \\ T'_4 \\ T'^{12} \\ T'^{13} \\ T'^{14} \\ T'^{23} \\ T'^{24} \\ T'^{34} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \\ T_3 \\ T_4 \\ T^{12} \\ T^{13} \\ T^{14} \\ T^{23} \\ T^{24} \\ T^{34} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.10)$$

This is a map

$$\text{M-theory} \xrightarrow{\text{reduction on } x^4} \text{Type IIA} \xrightarrow{\text{T-dualities along } x^3 \text{ and } x^2} \text{Type IIA} \xrightarrow{\text{recovery of } x^4} \text{M-theory}, \quad (5.11)$$

corresponding to a double Abelian T -duality, and it is a particular U -duality transformation.

After the redefinition, we find the new physical generators satisfy

$$[T'_a, T'_b] = f'_{ab}{}^c T'_c + \frac{1}{2!} f'_{abc_1 c_2} T'^{c_1 c_2}, \quad (5.12)$$

where $f'_{a_1 \dots a_4} \equiv f'_{[a_1 \dots a_4]}$ and

$$f'_{13}{}^1 = b, \quad f'_{23}{}^2 = -c, \quad f'_{34}{}^4 = c, \quad f'_{3}{}^{124} = -d, \quad f'_{1234} = -a. \quad (5.13)$$

The component $f'_{abc_1c_2}$ is not allowed in the \mathcal{E}_4 algebra, and we can consider this U -duality transformation only when $a = 0$.⁷ Moreover, the algebra of other generators further requires $c = 0$.⁸ Under $a = c = 0$, the U -duality converts the structure constants of the \mathcal{E}_4 algebra as

$$f'_{13}{}^1 = b, \quad f'_3{}^{124} = -d. \quad (5.14)$$

Again, we can easily construct the generalized frame fields realizing this algebra.

Type IIB frame: Let us consider another redefinition of the \mathcal{E}_4 generators,

$$\{\mathbb{T}_A\} \equiv \{T_1, T_2, T^{34}, T^{14}, T^{24}, T_3, -T^{13}, -T^{23}, T_4, T^{12}\}. \quad (5.15)$$

This map has been considered in [72], which connects the M-theory picture and the type IIB picture (see [41, 58] for earlier discussion). This is not a U -duality transformation but rather corresponds to a change in the picture, from M-theory to type IIB theory.⁹

In type IIB theory, we can decompose the R_1 -representation (for $n \leq 5$) as

$$\{\mathbb{T}_A\} = \{\mathbb{T}_a, \mathbb{T}_\alpha^a, \frac{\mathbb{T}^{a_1 a_2 a_3}}{\sqrt{3!}}\} \quad (a = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \quad \mathbb{T}^{a_1 a_2 a_3} = \mathbb{T}^{[a_1 a_2 a_3]}), \quad (5.16)$$

and we understand the above redefinition as

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{T}_a\} &= \{T_1, T_2, T^{34}\}, & \{\mathbb{T}_a^1\} &= \{T^{14}, T^{24}, T_3\}, \\ \{\mathbb{T}_a^2\} &= \{-T^{13}, -T^{23}, T_4\}, & \mathbb{T}^{123} &= T^{12}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

Then, we can see that the set of generators $\{\mathbb{T}_a\}$ forms a maximal isotropic subalgebra,

$$[\mathbb{T}_a, \mathbb{T}_b] = f_{ab}{}^c \mathbb{T}_c \quad (f_{13}{}^1 = b, \quad f_{13}{}^2 = a, \quad f_{23}{}^1 = d, \quad f_{23}{}^2 = c). \quad (5.18)$$

This algebra should be regarded as the physical subalgebra in type IIB theory. Although the entire algebra in the type IIB picture has not been established, it seems that this example does not contain any dual structure constants, which may have the form, $f_a^{(\alpha\beta)}$ or $f_{a\beta}^{[b_1 b_2]}$.

If we restrict to the case $a = 0$, $b = -1$, $c = 1$, and $d = 0$, the algebra is Bianchi type $\mathbf{6}_0$,

$$[\mathbb{T}_3, \mathbb{T}_1] = \mathbb{T}_1, \quad [\mathbb{T}_3, \mathbb{T}_2] = -\mathbb{T}_2. \quad (5.19)$$

In this case, by using a supergravity solution obtained in [60] (which has the symmetry of the Bianchi type $\mathbf{6}_0$), we can perform a U -duality extension of the PL T -duality. Namely, in

⁷The flux $f_1{}^{234} = a$ corresponds to the Q -flux $Q_1{}^{23} = -a$ in type IIA theory and the double T -duality transforms it to the H -flux $H_{123} = -a$. The 11D uplift corresponds to $f'_{1234} = -a$.

⁸The reason may be understood as follows. Originally, the $\Pi^{a_1 a_2 a_3}$ has the x^2 -dependence, but under the double T -dualities, x^2 is mapped to y_{24} . The dependence on the dual coordinate breaks our assumption (3.2). Accordingly, the resulting algebra has a different form from \mathcal{E}_4 .

⁹One can see that the bilinear form is not invariant under the transformation (see [72] for the transformation rule of the index \mathcal{A} under this redefinition).

the M-theory picture, we can construct a solution of EFT that is twisted by the matrix (5.9) with $a = 0$, $b = -1$, $c = 1$, and $d = 0$. Under the change of the generators, the solution is mapped to the type IIB solution of [60]. However, it is not so interesting because it is nothing more than the straightforward 11D uplift of the PL T -duality. In the type IIA picture, (5.4) reduces to the Lie algebra of the Drinfel'd double [recall (3.68) and choose $z = 4$]

$$f_{ab}{}^c = 0, \quad \tilde{f}^{13}{}_1 = 1, \quad \tilde{f}^{23}{}_2 = -1, \quad (5.20)$$

where the physical algebra is Abelian and the dual algebra is Bianchi type $\mathfrak{6}_0$. Then, the redefinition (5.15) corresponds to a non-Abelian T -duality. In order to find genuinely U -duality examples, it is important to study the detailed classification of the \mathcal{E}_n algebra.

6 Summary and Discussion

Summary: When we perform the PL T -duality, a systematic construction of the generalized frame fields satisfying $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_A} E_B^M = -\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C E_C^M$ with a constant $\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C$ is useful. In this paper, by considering the U -duality extension of the PL T -duality, we have proposed a Leibniz algebra \mathcal{E}_n , which extends the Lie algebra of the Drinfel'd double. Then, we have shown that this provides a systematic way to construct the generalized frame fields in EFT, which satisfy the \mathcal{E}_n algebra $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_A} E_B^I = -\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C E_C^I$ by means of the generalized Lie derivative in EFT.

Straightforward extensions: In this paper, we have concentrated on the case $n \leq 4$, but the extension to higher n will be straightforward. Since the generators T_A are transforming in the R_1 -representation, for higher n , we introduce the following generators:

$$\{T_A\} = \{T_a, T^{a_1 a_2}, T^{a_1 \dots a_5}, T^{a_1 \dots a_7, a}, \dots\}. \quad (6.1)$$

According to the success of the E_{11} conjecture [35, 40], it will be possible to extend n up to $n = 11$. Here we have almost restricted to the M-theory picture, but if we consider the type IIB picture, the generators are parameterized as

$$\{T_A\} = \{T_a, T_\alpha^a, T^{a_1 a_2 a_3}, T_\alpha^{a_1 \dots a_5}, T^{a_1 \dots a_6, a}, \dots\}. \quad (6.2)$$

The invariant bilinear forms in both the M-theory/type IIB pictures are also well studied in EFT (see [61] for $n \leq 7$). The algebra should always have the form

$$T_A \circ T_B = [\Theta_A^{\hat{\alpha}} (t_{\hat{\alpha}})_B{}^C + \theta_A (t_0)_B{}^C] T_C, \quad (6.3)$$

and what we need to do for higher n will be to consistently find the constants $\Theta_A^{\hat{\alpha}}$ and θ_A . The construction method of E_A^I also will be straightforwardly extended to higher n .

Towards non-Abelian U -duality: The most interesting application of our result is the U -duality extensions of the PL T -duality (which may be called the Nambu–Leibniz U -duality). In order to study non-trivial examples of such U -duality, the decompositions of the \mathcal{E}_n algebra into the physical and the dual subalgebras need to be classified. In the case of the Drinfel’d double, such decomposition is known as the Manin triple, and the classification for six-dimensional case has been worked out in [73]. The extension of such classification for each \mathcal{E}_n algebra is important. A major difference from the case of the Drinfel’d double is in the existence of the two types of subalgebras with dimension n and $n - 1$. Another difference is that the dual algebra of \mathcal{E}_n (generated by $T^{a_1 a_2}$) is not maximally isotropic and accordingly is a Leibniz algebra. Namely, unlike the case of the Drinfel’d double, the \mathcal{E}_n algebra is decomposed into an n -dimensional physical Lie algebra and a $(D_n - n)$ -dimensional dual Leibniz algebra. It is also noted that the \mathcal{E}_n algebra in the M-theory picture and the type IIB picture may not be exactly the same in general. In the M-theory picture, we introduced the structure constants $f_{ca}{}^b$ and $f_c{}^{a_1 a_2 a_3}$ corresponding to the E_n generators $K^a{}_b$ and $R_{a_1 a_2 a_3}$ but do not introduce $f_{ca_1 a_2 a_3}$ that corresponds to $R^{a_1 a_2 a_3}$. On the other hand, in the type IIB picture, we may introduce $f_{ca}{}^b$, $f_c{}^{(\alpha\beta)}$, and $f_{c\alpha}{}^{[a_1 a_2]}$ corresponding to the E_n generators $K^a{}_b$, $R_{(\alpha\beta)}$, and $R_{a_1 a_2}^\alpha$, but will not introduce $f_{c[a_1 a_2]}^\alpha$ that is associated with $R_\alpha^{a_1 a_2}$. Then, the number of the structure constants does not match between the two pictures. It may coincide after imposing the Leibniz identity but it is not obvious and is important to study the correspondence in detail.

It is also important to study the flux-formulation of EFT. In the case of gauged DFT [26–31], the action and equations of motion are expressed purely by using the generalized flux \mathcal{F}_{ABC} (and additional flux \mathcal{F}_A). Moreover, when the flux is constant, the equations of motion reduce to the algebraic equations (2.18). A similar analysis has been done in [52], and the action of EFT is expressed by the generalized fluxes $X_{AB}{}^C$. If the equations of motion are also expressed by using the fluxes, and if they reduce to simple algebraic equations when $X_{AB}{}^C$ is constant, we can clearly see the symmetry of the non-Abelian U -duality.

Duality in the membrane sigma model: It is important to study the duality symmetry also in the context of the membrane sigma model. Originally, the PL T -dualizability condition has been found in the form,

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_a} E_{mn} = -\tilde{f}^{bc}{}_a E_{mp} v_b^p v_c^q E_{qn}, \quad (6.4)$$

where $E_{mn} \equiv g_{mn} - B_{mn}$. By solving the differential equation with the help of the Drinfel’d double, the twist matrix (2.9) has been obtained. The condition (6.4) shows that the equations of motion of the string sigma model are expressed as a Maurer–Cartan equation,

$$dJ_a - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{f}_a{}^{bc} J_b \wedge J_c = 0, \quad J_a \equiv v_a^m (g_{mn} * dx^n - B_{mn} dx^n), \quad (6.5)$$

and this plays an important role in realizing the PL T -duality as a symmetry in the equation of motion of string theory. When the matrix E_{mn} is invertible, (6.4) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_a} E^{mn} = \tilde{f}^{bc}{}_a v_b^m v_c^n, \quad (6.6)$$

and if we define a dual metric \tilde{g}_{mn} and a bi-vector β^{mn} through the relation

$$\tilde{g}^{mn} + \beta^{mn} = [(g + B)^{-1}]^{mn}, \quad (6.7)$$

the dualizability condition is expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_a} \tilde{g}_{mn} = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{v_a} \beta^{mn} = -\tilde{f}^{bc}{}_a v_b^m v_c^n. \quad (6.8)$$

In fact, we can easily find a similar relation in our setup. If we define the generalized metric as $\mathcal{M}_{IJ} = |r|^{\frac{2}{9-n}} E_I^A E_J^B \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{AB}$ by using the twist matrix (4.6) and a diagonal constant metric $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{IJ}$ made of an invariant metric κ_{ab} of the physical subgroup G , we find that the dual metric \tilde{g}_{ij} (i.e. the M-theory uplift of \tilde{g}_{mn}) and the Ω -field (i.e. the M-theory uplift of the β -field, $\Omega^{mnz} = \beta^{mn}$) are given by

$$\tilde{g}_{ij} = e_i^a e_j^b \kappa_{ab}, \quad \Omega^{i_1 i_2 i_3} = \Pi^{i_1 i_2 i_3} \equiv e_{a_1}^{i_1} e_{a_2}^{i_2} e_{a_3}^{i_3} \Pi^{a_1 a_2 a_3}. \quad (6.9)$$

Then, we can show the following relation, which is the M-theory uplift of (6.8):

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_a} \tilde{g}_{ij} = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_{v_a} \Omega^{i_1 i_2 i_3} = \mathcal{L}_{v_a} \Pi^{i_1 i_2 i_3} = f_a{}^{b_1 b_2 b_3} v_{b_1}^{i_1} v_{b_2}^{i_2} v_{b_3}^{i_3}. \quad (6.10)$$

It is interesting to study the implication of these relations in the context of the membrane sigma model. Perhaps, the equations of motion of the membrane sigma model can be expressed in a similar form as (6.5) and it may help to discuss the non-Abelian U -duality in the context of the membrane sigma model.

Generalized Yang–Baxter deformation: Another related direction is a generalization of the YB deformation [74–78]. As it has been observed in [79], the YB deformation is a coordinate-dependent β -deformation $\beta^{mn} \rightarrow \beta'^{mn} = \beta^{mn} + r^{mn}$, associated with a bi-Killing vector $r^{mn} \equiv r^{ab} v_a^m v_b^n$, where $r^{ab} = -r^{ba}$ is a constant matrix. Here, the set of vector fields v_a^m satisfies the algebra $[v_a, v_b]^m = f_{ab}{}^c v_c^m$ and the Killing equation $\mathcal{L}_{v_a} (g + B)_{mn} = 0$ in the undeformed background. In this case, the YB-deformed background satisfies the PL T -dualizability condition (6.8) with the dual structure constant given by

$$\tilde{f}^{b_1 b_2}{}_a = 2 r^{c[b_1} f_{ac}{}^{b_2]}. \quad (6.11)$$

Interestingly, when the matrix r^{ab} satisfies the homogeneous classical YB equations (CYBE),

$$f_{d_1 d_2}{}^a r^{b d_1} r^{c d_2} + f_{d_1 d_2}{}^b r^{c d_1} r^{a d_2} + f_{d_1 d_2}{}^c r^{a d_1} r^{b d_2} = 0, \quad (6.12)$$

the YB deformation always maps a DFT solution to another DFT solution. The reason can be clearly understood by noticing that the YB deformation is a specific PL T -duality.

Before the YB deformation, the background satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{v_a}(g + B)_{mn} = 0$ and this shows that $\tilde{f}^{ab}_c = 0$. Namely, in the original background, which is described by the generalized metric $\mathcal{H}_{MN} = E_M^A E_N^B \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{AB}$, the fields E_A^M , \tilde{g}_{mn} , and β^{mn} have the following form:

$$(E_A^M) = \begin{pmatrix} e_a^m & 0 \\ 0 & r_m^a \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_{mn} = r_m^a r_n^b \kappa_{ab} = \ell_m^a \ell_n^b \kappa_{ab}, \quad \beta^{mn} = e_a^m e_b^n \beta^{ab}, \quad (6.13)$$

where κ_{ab} and β^{ab} are constant, and κ_{ab} is supposed to be an invariant metric of the isometry algebra. The YB deformation corresponds to the PL T -duality (2.19) with

$$(C_A^B) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^b & 0 \\ -r^{ab} & \delta_b^a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.14)$$

Under this transformation, the generators become $T'_a = T_a$ and $T'^a = T^a - r^{ab} T_b$ and the constant fields are transformed as $\kappa_{ab} \rightarrow \kappa'_{ab} = \kappa_{ab}$ and $\beta^{ab} \rightarrow \beta'^{ab} = \beta^{ab} + r^{ab}$. By requiring that the new generators T'_A satisfy the Lie algebra of the Drinfel'd double with $\tilde{f}^{b_1 b_2}_a$ given by (6.11), the matrix r^{ab} must be a classical r -matrix satisfying (6.12). Then, using the systematic construction of $E'_A{}^M$, we can in principle compute the generalized frame fields

$$E'_A{}^M = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^b & 0 \\ \Pi^{ab}(x) & \delta_m^a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_b^m & 0 \\ 0 & r_m^b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.15)$$

and the β -field in the deformed background can be computed as

$$\beta'^{mn} = \beta^{mn} + \pi^{mn}, \quad \pi^{mn} \equiv e_a^m e_b^n (\Pi^{ab} + r^{ab}). \quad (6.16)$$

The great benefit of the YB deformation is that we do not need to compute π^{mn} . It is simply given by $\pi^{mn} = r^{mn}$ because r^{mn} solves the differential equation $\mathcal{L}_{v_a} r^{mn} = -\tilde{f}^{bc}_a v_b^m v_c^n$, and $\pi^{mn} = r^{mn}$ is trivially satisfied at the identity $g = 1$ [recall (2.15)]. Thus, once we find a classical r -matrix, we can easily generate a new solution. In this sense, the YB deformation is a systematic way to perform the PL T -duality (6.14) and the homogeneous CYBE ensure that the structure of the Drinfel'd double is preserved under the deformation.

Recently, an 11D extension of this YB deformation has been studied in [80]. There, the YB deformation is generalized to the Ω -deformation $\Omega^{i_1 i_2 i_3} \rightarrow \Omega'^{i_1 i_2 i_3} = \Omega^{i_1 i_2 i_3} + \rho^{i_1 i_2 i_3}$ associated with a tri-Killing vector

$$\rho^{i_1 i_2 i_3} = \rho^{a_1 a_2 a_3} v_{a_1}^{i_1} v_{a_2}^{i_2} v_{a_3}^{i_3}, \quad (6.17)$$

where $\rho^{a_1 a_2 a_3} = \rho^{[a_1 a_2 a_3]}$ is a certain constant. By assuming the Killing equations ($\mathcal{L}_{v_a} g_{ij} = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{v_a} C_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = 0$) in the undeformed background, the Ω -deformed background satisfies the

relation (6.10) with the dual structure constant given by

$$f_a{}^{b_1 b_2 b_3} = 3 \rho^{c[b_1 b_2} f_{ac}{}^{b_3]}. \quad (6.18)$$

Similar to the case of the YB deformation, this also can be understood as a specific non-Abelian U -duality transformation (3.72) with

$$(C_A{}^B) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_a^b & 0 \\ \frac{\rho^{a_1 a_2 b}}{\sqrt{2!}} & \delta_{b_1 b_2}^{a_1 a_2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.19)$$

By requiring that the redefined generators $T'_a = T_a$ and $T'^{a_1 a_2} = T^{a_1 a_2} + \rho^{a_1 a_2 b} T_b$ to satisfy the \mathcal{E}_n algebra, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{b_1 b_2}{}^a \rho^{b_1 b_2 c} &= 0, & 3 (f_{d[c_1}{}^{a_1} \delta_{c_2]}^{[a_2} \rho^{b_1 b_2]d} - f_{d[c_1}{}^{a_2} \delta_{c_2]}^{[a_1} \rho^{b_1 b_2]d}) + f_{c_1 c_2}{}^{[a_1} \rho^{a_2] b_1 b_2} &= 0, \\ 4 f_{d_1 d_2}{}^{[a_1} \rho^{a_2] d_1 [b_1} \rho^{b_2] c d_2} + 3 f_{d_1 d_2}{}^{[b_1} \rho^{b_2 c] d_1} \rho^{a_1 a_2 d_2} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

The last requirement is a natural generalization of the homogeneous CYBE and the former two equations are intrinsic to the \mathcal{E}_n algebra [which correspond to (4.15) and (4.16)]. Again, the Ω -field after the deformation is given by

$$\Omega'^{i_1 i_2 i_3} = \Omega^{i_1 i_2 i_3} + e_{a_1}^{i_1} e_{a_2}^{i_2} e_{a_3}^{i_3} (\Pi^{a_1 a_2 a_3} + \rho^{a_1 a_2 a_3}) = \Omega^{i_1 i_2 i_3} + \rho^{a_1 a_2 a_3} v_{a_1}^{i_1} v_{a_2}^{i_2} v_{a_3}^{i_3}. \quad (6.21)$$

Namely, once we found a solution of the generalized CYBE (6.20), we can easily obtain the deformed background without computing the matrix $M_A{}^B$. If we could show that the non-Abelian U -duality transformation (3.72) is a solution generating transformation in EFT, this tri-Killing deformation is also a solution transformation in EFT. In order to consider concrete applications, it is important to classify the solutions of the generalized CYBE (6.20).

The tri-Killing deformation can be understood as the M-theory uplift of the YB deformation in type IIA theory, where the parameter $\rho^{a_1 a_2 a_3}$ is related to the r -matrix as $\rho^{\dot{a}\dot{b}\dot{c}} = r^{\dot{a}\dot{b}}{}_{\dot{c}}$. Then, the first equation in (6.20) is reduced to the unimodularity condition $f_{\dot{b}_1 \dot{b}_2}{}^{\dot{a}} r^{\dot{b}_1 \dot{b}_2}{}_{\dot{a}} = 0$.¹⁰ This unimodularity condition is precisely the condition for the YB-deformed background to satisfy the supergravity equations of motion [66]. However, the Lie algebra of the Drinfel'd double itself is consistently defined even when the unimodularity is violated. Moreover, as it is shown in [66], even in the non-unimodular case, the YB-deformed background does satisfy the equations of motion of the generalized supergravity [67, 68], and it is also a solution of DFT [81]. Then, a natural question is why the non-unimodular cases are excluded from the tri-vector deformation based on the \mathcal{E}_n algebra. This can be understood as follows.

In the case of non-unimodular YB deformations, the deformed geometries are solutions of the generalized supergravity, which means that the dilaton in type IIA theory acquires a dependence on the dual coordinates $\tilde{x}_m = y_{mz}$ [82]. In the case of DFT, the dilaton is not

¹⁰The Leibniz identity (3.71) for the dual structure constant (6.11) also reproduces the same condition.

contained in the generalized frame fields E_A^M and this does not cause any problem in realizing the algebra of the Drinfel'd double as $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{E_A} E_B^M = -\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^C E_C^M$. However, in EFT, the dilaton is contained in the generalized frame fields E_A^I and the dual-coordinate dependence conflicts with our assumption (3.2). This will be the reason why the Drinfel'd double associated with non-unimodular YB deformation cannot be embedded into the \mathcal{E}_n algebra. In order to study the non-unimodular YB deformation in the context of EFT, it may be necessary to deform the \mathcal{E}_n algebra by changing the choice of the section (3.2). Such deformation of the \mathcal{E}_n algebra may be realized also by considering a deformation of the generalized Lie derivative as it has been considered in [83, 84], because the introduction of the dual-coordinate dependence is equivalent to the introduction of the deformation parameters.

Connection with mathematics: It is interesting to investigate connections with various known facts in the mathematical literature. As we have mentioned, the \mathcal{E}_n algebra is related to the Nambu–Poisson tensor, and various results on the Nambu–Poisson group (see for example [65, 71, 85]) will be useful to clarify the structure of the \mathcal{E}_n algebra. In addition, the \mathcal{E}_n algebra is a Leibniz algebra (rather than a Lie algebra), and it seems to have an intricate global structure. The detailed study of such global structure is also an interesting future direction.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aids for Scientific Research (C) 18K13540 and (B) 18H01214.

References

- [1] B. E. Fridling and A. Jevicki, “Dual Representations and Ultraviolet Divergences in Nonlinear σ Models,” *Phys. Lett.* **134B**, 70 (1984).
- [2] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, “Quantum Equivalence of Dual Field Theories,” *Annals Phys.* **162**, 31 (1985).
- [3] X. C. de la Ossa and F. Quevedo, “Duality symmetries from nonAbelian isometries in string theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **403**, 377 (1993) [hep-th/9210021].
- [4] A. Giveon and M. Rocek, “On nonAbelian duality,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **421**, 173 (1994) [hep-th/9308154].
- [5] M. Gasperini, R. Ricci and G. Veneziano, “A Problem with nonAbelian duality?,” *Phys. Lett. B* **319**, 438 (1993) [hep-th/9308112].

- [6] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume and Y. Lozano, “On non-Abelian duality,” Nucl. Phys. B **424**, 155 (1994) [hep-th/9403155].
- [7] Y. Sakatani, “Type II DFT solutions from Poisson-Lie T-duality/plurality,” PTEP, 073B04 (2019) [arXiv:1903.12175 [hep-th]].
- [8] C. Klimcik and P. Severa, “Dual nonAbelian duality and the Drinfeld double,” Phys. Lett. B **351**, 455 (1995) [hep-th/9502122].
- [9] C. Klimcik, “Poisson-Lie T duality,” Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **46**, 116 (1996) [hep-th/9509095].
- [10] M. J. Duff, “Duality Rotations in String Theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **335**, 610 (1990).
- [11] A. A. Tseytlin, “Duality Symmetric Formulation of String World Sheet Dynamics,” Phys. Lett. B **242**, 163 (1990).
- [12] A. A. Tseytlin, “Duality symmetric closed string theory and interacting chiral scalars,” Nucl. Phys. B **350**, 395 (1991).
- [13] A. A. Tseytlin, “Duality symmetric string theory and the cosmological constant problem,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 545 (1991).
- [14] T. Kugo and B. Zwiebach, “Target space duality as a symmetry of string field theory,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **87**, 801 (1992) [hep-th/9201040].
- [15] W. Siegel, “Two vierbein formalism for string inspired axionic gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **47**, 5453 (1993) [hep-th/9302036].
- [16] W. Siegel, “Superspace duality in low-energy superstrings,” Phys. Rev. D **48**, 2826 (1993) [hep-th/9305073].
- [17] W. Siegel, “Manifest duality in low-energy superstrings,” hep-th/9308133.
- [18] C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, “Double Field Theory,” JHEP **0909**, 099 (2009) [arXiv:0904.4664 [hep-th]].
- [19] O. Hohm, C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, “Generalized metric formulation of double field theory,” JHEP **1008**, 008 (2010) [arXiv:1006.4823 [hep-th]].
- [20] R. Blumenhagen, F. Hassler and D. Lüst, “Double Field Theory on Group Manifolds,” JHEP **1502**, 001 (2015) [arXiv:1410.6374 [hep-th]].
- [21] R. Blumenhagen, P. du Bosque, F. Hassler and D. Lust, “Generalized Metric Formulation of Double Field Theory on Group Manifolds,” JHEP **1508**, 056 (2015) [arXiv:1502.02428 [hep-th]].

- [22] P. du Bosque, F. Hassler and D. Lust, “Flux Formulation of DFT on Group Manifolds and Generalized Scherk-Schwarz Compactifications,” *JHEP* **1602**, 039 (2016) [arXiv:1509.04176 [hep-th]].
- [23] F. Hassler, “Poisson-Lie T-Duality in Double Field Theory,” arXiv:1707.08624 [hep-th].
- [24] S. Demulder, F. Hassler and D. C. Thompson, “Doubled aspects of generalised dualities and integrable deformations,” *JHEP* **1902**, 189 (2019) [arXiv:1810.11446 [hep-th]].
- [25] A. Catal-Ozer, “Non-Abelian T-duality as a Transformation in Double Field Theory,” *JHEP* **1908**, 115 (2019) [arXiv:1904.00362 [hep-th]].
- [26] G. Aldazabal, W. Baron, D. Marques and C. Nunez, “The effective action of Double Field Theory,” *JHEP* **1111**, 052 (2011) Erratum: [*JHEP* **1111**, 109 (2011)] [arXiv:1109.0290 [hep-th]].
- [27] D. Geissbuhler, “Double Field Theory and N=4 Gauged Supergravity,” *JHEP* **1111**, 116 (2011) [arXiv:1109.4280 [hep-th]].
- [28] M. Grana and D. Marques, “Gauged Double Field Theory,” *JHEP* **1204**, 020 (2012) [arXiv:1201.2924 [hep-th]].
- [29] D. Geissbuhler, D. Marques, C. Nunez and V. Penas, “Exploring Double Field Theory,” *JHEP* **1306**, 101 (2013) [arXiv:1304.1472 [hep-th]].
- [30] A. Catal-Ozer, “Duality Twisted Reductions of Double Field Theory of Type II Strings,” *JHEP* **1709**, 044 (2017) [arXiv:1705.08181 [hep-th]].
- [31] A. Catal-Ozer, “Massive deformations of Type IIA theory within double field theory,” *JHEP* **1802**, 179 (2018) [arXiv:1706.08883 [hep-th]].
- [32] H. Mori, S. Sasaki and K. Shiozawa, “Doubled Aspects of Vaisman Algebroid and Gauge Symmetry in Double Field Theory,” arXiv:1901.04777 [hep-th].
- [33] V. E. Marotta and R. J. Szabo, “Born Sigma-Models for Para-Hermitian Manifolds and Generalized T-Duality,” arXiv:1910.09997 [hep-th].
- [34] M. J. Duff and J. X. Lu, “Duality Rotations in Membrane Theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **347**, 394 (1990).
- [35] P. C. West, “ E_{11} , SL(32) and central charges,” *Phys. Lett. B* **575**, 333 (2003) [hep-th/0307098].
- [36] F. Riccioni and P. C. West, “ E_{11} -extended spacetime and gauged supergravities,” *JHEP* **0802**, 039 (2008) [arXiv:0712.1795 [hep-th]].

- [37] C. Hillmann, “ $E_{7(7)}$ and d=11 supergravity,” arXiv:0902.1509 [hep-th].
- [38] P. West, “Generalised space-time and duality,” Phys. Lett. B **693**, 373 (2010) [arXiv:1006.0893 [hep-th]].
- [39] D. S. Berman and M. J. Perry, “Generalized Geometry and M theory,” JHEP **1106**, 074 (2011) [arXiv:1008.1763 [hep-th]].
- [40] P. C. West, “ E_{11} and M theory,” Class. Quant. Grav. **18**, 4443 (2001) [hep-th/0104081].
- [41] P. C. West, “The IIA, IIB and eleven-dimensional theories and their common E_{11} origin,” Nucl. Phys. B **693**, 76 (2004) [hep-th/0402140].
- [42] P. C. West, “ E_{11} , ten forms and supergravity,” JHEP **0603**, 072 (2006) [hep-th/0511153].
- [43] F. Riccioni and P. C. West, “The E_{11} origin of all maximal supergravities,” JHEP **0707**, 063 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0752 [hep-th]].
- [44] D. S. Berman, H. Godazgar and M. J. Perry, “SO(5,5) duality in M-theory and generalized geometry,” Phys. Lett. B **700**, 65 (2011) [arXiv:1103.5733 [hep-th]].
- [45] D. S. Berman, H. Godazgar, M. J. Perry and P. West, “Duality Invariant Actions and Generalised Geometry,” JHEP **1202**, 108 (2012) [arXiv:1111.0459 [hep-th]].
- [46] P. West, “Generalised geometry, eleven dimensions and E_{11} ,” JHEP **1202**, 018 (2012) [arXiv:1111.1642 [hep-th]].
- [47] A. Coimbra, C. Strickland-Constable and D. Waldram, “ $E_{d(d)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ generalised geometry, connections and M theory,” JHEP **1402**, 054 (2014) [arXiv:1112.3989 [hep-th]].
- [48] P. West, “ E_{11} , generalised space-time and equations of motion in four dimensions,” JHEP **1212**, 068 (2012) [arXiv:1206.7045 [hep-th]].
- [49] A. Coimbra, C. Strickland-Constable and D. Waldram, “Supergravity as Generalised Geometry II: $E_{d(d)} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and M theory,” JHEP **1403**, 019 (2014) [arXiv:1212.1586 [hep-th]].
- [50] D. S. Berman, H. Godazgar, M. Godazgar and M. J. Perry, “The Local symmetries of M-theory and their formulation in generalised geometry,” JHEP **1201**, 012 (2012) [arXiv:1110.3930 [hep-th]].
- [51] D. S. Berman, M. Cederwall, A. Kleinschmidt and D. C. Thompson, “The gauge structure of generalised diffeomorphisms,” JHEP **1301**, 064 (2013) [arXiv:1208.5884 [hep-th]].
- [52] G. Aldazabal, M. Graña, D. Marqués and J. A. Rosabal, “Extended geometry and gauged maximal supergravity,” JHEP **1306**, 046 (2013) [arXiv:1302.5419 [hep-th]].

- [53] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, “Exceptional Form of D=11 Supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 231601 (2013) [arXiv:1308.1673 [hep-th]].
- [54] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, “Exceptional Field Theory I: $E_{6(6)}$ covariant Form of M-Theory and Type IIB,” *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, no. 6, 066016 (2014) [arXiv:1312.0614 [hep-th]].
- [55] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, “Exceptional field theory. II. $E_{7(7)}$,” *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 066017 (2014) [arXiv:1312.4542 [hep-th]].
- [56] G. Aldazabal, M. Graña, D. Marqués and J. A. Rosabal, “The gauge structure of Exceptional Field Theories and the tensor hierarchy,” *JHEP* **1404**, 049 (2014) [arXiv:1312.4549 [hep-th]].
- [57] O. Hohm and H. Samtleben, “Exceptional field theory. III. $E_{8(8)}$,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 066002 (2014) [arXiv:1406.3348 [hep-th]].
- [58] C. D. A. Blair, E. Malek and J. H. Park, “M-theory and Type IIB from a Duality Manifest Action,” *JHEP* **1401**, 172 (2014) [arXiv:1311.5109 [hep-th]].
- [59] P. du Bosque, F. Hassler and D. Lüst, “Generalized parallelizable spaces from exceptional field theory,” *JHEP* **1801**, 117 (2018) [arXiv:1705.09304 [hep-th]].
- [60] R. Von Unge, “Poisson Lie T plurality,” *JHEP* **0207**, 014 (2002) [hep-th/0205245].
- [61] Y. Sakatani and S. Uehara, “ η -symbols in exceptional field theory,” *PTEP* **2017**, no. 11, 113B01 (2017) [arXiv:1708.06342 [hep-th]].
- [62] C. D. A. Blair and E. Malek, “Geometry and fluxes of $SL(5)$ exceptional field theory,” *JHEP* **1503**, 144 (2015) [arXiv:1412.0635 [hep-th]].
- [63] A. Chatzistavrakidis, L. Jonke, D. Lüst and R. J. Szabo, “Fluxes in Exceptional Field Theory and Threebrane Sigma-Models,” *JHEP* **1905**, 055 (2019) [arXiv:1901.07775 [hep-th]].
- [64] L. Takhtajan, “On Foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics (second version),” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **160**, 295 (1994) [hep-th/9301111].
- [65] A. Wade, “Nambu-Dirac Structures on Lie Algebroids,” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **61**, 85-99 (2002) [arXiv:math.SG/0204310].
- [66] R. Borsato and L. Wulff, “Target space supergeometry of η and λ -deformed strings,” *JHEP* **1610**, 045 (2016) [arXiv:1608.03570 [hep-th]].

- [67] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, B. Hoare, R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, “Scale invariance of the η -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring, T-duality and modified type II equations,” Nucl. Phys. B **903**, 262 (2016) [arXiv:1511.05795 [hep-th]].
- [68] A. A. Tseytlin and L. Wulff, “Kappa-symmetry of superstring sigma model and generalized 10d supergravity equations,” JHEP **1606**, 174 (2016) [arXiv:1605.04884 [hep-th]].
- [69] A. Baguet, M. Magro and H. Samtleben, “Generalized IIB supergravity from exceptional field theory,” JHEP **1703**, 100 (2017) [arXiv:1612.07210 [hep-th]].
- [70] K. Sfetsos, “Canonical equivalence of nonisometric sigma models and Poisson-Lie T duality,” Nucl. Phys. B **517**, 549 (1998) [hep-th/9710163].
- [71] I. Vaisman, “A survey on Nambu-Poisson brackets,” Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae **68**, 213 (1999) [math.DG/9901047].
- [72] Y. Sakatani and S. Uehara, “Connecting M-theory and type IIB parameterizations in Exceptional Field Theory,” PTEP **2017**, no. 4, 043B05 (2017) [arXiv:1701.07819 [hep-th]].
- [73] L. Snobl and L. Hlavaty, “Classification of six-dimensional real Drinfeld doubles,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **17**, 4043 (2002) [math/0202210 [math-qa]].
- [74] C. Klimcik, “Yang-Baxter sigma models and dS/AdS T duality,” JHEP **0212**, 051 (2002) [hep-th/0210095].
- [75] C. Klimcik, “On integrability of the Yang-Baxter sigma-model,” J. Math. Phys. **50**, 043508 (2009) [arXiv:0802.3518 [hep-th]].
- [76] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, “On classical q -deformations of integrable sigma-models,” JHEP **1311**, 192 (2013) [arXiv:1308.3581 [hep-th]].
- [77] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, “An integrable deformation of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, no. 5, 051601 (2014) [arXiv:1309.5850 [hep-th]].
- [78] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, “Jordanian deformations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring,” JHEP **1404**, 153 (2014) [arXiv:1401.4855 [hep-th]].
- [79] J. Sakamoto, Y. Sakatani and K. Yoshida, “Homogeneous Yang-Baxter deformations as generalized diffeomorphisms,” J. Phys. A **50**, no. 41, 415401 (2017) [arXiv:1705.07116 [hep-th]].
- [80] I. Bakhmatov, N. S. Deger, E. T. Musaev, E. Ó. Colgáin and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, “Tri-vector deformations in $d = 11$ supergravity,” JHEP **1908**, 126 (2019) [arXiv:1906.09052 [hep-th]].

- [81] Y. Sakatani, S. Uehara and K. Yoshida, “Generalized gravity from modified DFT,” *JHEP* **1704**, 123 (2017) [arXiv:1611.05856 [hep-th]].
- [82] J. Sakamoto, Y. Sakatani and K. Yoshida, “Weyl invariance for generalized supergravity backgrounds from the doubled formalism,” *PTEP* **2017**, no. 5, 053B07 (2017) [arXiv:1703.09213 [hep-th]].
- [83] F. Ciceri, A. Guarino and G. Inverso, “The exceptional story of massive IIA supergravity,” *JHEP* **1608**, 154 (2016) [arXiv:1604.08602 [hep-th]].
- [84] G. Inverso, “Generalised Scherk-Schwarz reductions from gauged supergravity,” *JHEP* **1712**, 124 (2017) [arXiv:1708.02589 [hep-th]].
- [85] I. Vaisman, “Nambu-Lie Groups,” *Journal of Lie Theory* 10.1, 181-194 (2000) [math/9812064 [math.DG]].