Non-uniqueness of infinitesimally weakly non-decreasable extremal dilatations
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Abstract

In this paper, it is shown that a weakly non-decreasable dilatation in an infinitesimal Teichmüller equivalence class can be not a non-decreasable one. As an application, we prove that if an infinitesimal equivalence class contains more than one extremal dilatation, then it contains infinitely many weakly non-decreasable extremal dilatations.

1. Introduction

Let $S$ be a plane domain with at least two boundary points. Denote by $Bel(S)$ the Banach space of Beltrami differentials $\mu = \mu(z)d\bar{z}/dz$ on $S$ with finite $L^\infty$-norm.

Let $Q(S)$ be the Banach space of integrable holomorphic quadratic differentials on $S$ with $L^1$–norm

$$\|\varphi\| = \iint_S |\varphi(z)| \,dx\,dy < \infty.$$ 

Two Beltrami differentials $\mu$ and $\nu$ in $Bel(S)$ are said to be infinitesimally Teichmüller equivalent if

$$\iint_S (\mu - \nu) \varphi \,dx\,dy = 0, \text{ for any } \varphi \in Q(S).$$

The infinitesimal Teichmüller space $Z(S)$ is defined as the quotient space of $Bel(S)$ under the equivalence relation. Denote by $[\mu]_Z$ the equivalence class of $\mu$ in $Z(S)$.
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$Z(S)$ is a Banach space and its standard sup-norm is defined by

$$
\|\mu\| = \sup_{\varphi \in Q^1(S)} \text{Re} \int_S \mu \varphi \, dx \, dy = \inf \{ \|\nu\|_{\infty} : \nu \in [\mu]_Z \}.
$$

We say that $\mu$ is extremal (in $[\mu]_Z$) if $\|\mu\|_{\infty} = \|\mu\|_Z$, uniquely extremal if $\|\nu\|_{\infty} > \|\mu\|_Z$ for any other $\nu \in [\mu]_Z$.

A Beltrami differential $\mu$ (not necessarily extremal) is called to be non-decreasable in its class $[\mu]_Z$ if for $\nu \in [\mu]_Z$,

$$
|\nu(z)| \leq |\mu(z)| \quad \text{a.e. in } S,
$$

implies that $\mu = \nu$; otherwise, $\mu$ is called to be decreasable.

The notion of non-decreasable dilatation was firstly introduced by Reich in [1] when he studied the unique extremality of quasiconformal mappings. A uniquely extremal Beltrami differential is obviously non-decreasable.

Let $\Delta$ denote the unit disk $\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}$ and $Z(\Delta)$ be the infinitesimal Teichmüller space on $\Delta$. In [3], Shen and Chen proved the following theorem.

**Theorem A.** For every $\mu \in Bel(\Delta)$, there exist infinitely many non-decreasable dilatations in the infinitesimal equivalence class $[\mu]_Z$ unless $[\mu]_Z = [0]_Z$.

The author [4] proved that an infinitesimal Teichmüller class may contain infinitely many non-decreasable extremal dilatations. The existence of a non-decreasable extremal in a class is generally unknown.

In [7], Zhou et al. defined weakly non-decreasable dilatation in a Teichmüller equivalence class and proved that there always exists a weakly non-decreasable extremal dilatation in a Teichmüller class. Following their definition, we say that $\mu \in Bel(S)$ is a strongly decreasable dilatation in $[\mu]_Z$ if there exists $\nu \in [\mu]_Z$ satisfying the following conditions:

(A) $|\nu(z)| \leq |\mu(z)|$ for almost all $z \in S$,

(B) There exists a domain $G \subset S$ and a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$
|\nu(z)| \leq |\mu(z)| - \delta, \quad \text{for almost all } z \in G.
$$

Otherwise, $\mu$ is called weakly non-decreasable. In other words, a Beltrami differential $\mu$ is called weakly non-decreasable if either $\mu$ is non-decreasable or $\mu$ is decreasable but is not strongly decreasable. For the sake of mathematical precision, we call a Beltrami differential $\mu$ to be a pseudo non-decreasable dilatation if it is a weakly non-decreasable dilatation but not a non-decreasable dilatation.

In [6], the author proved the following theorem.
Theorem B. Suppose $[\mu]_Z \in Z(\Delta)$. Then there is a weakly non-decreasable extremal dilatation $\nu$ in $[\mu]_Z$.

In the end of [6], the following question is posed.

Question. Whether a weakly non-decreasable dilatation in $[\mu]_Z$ is a non-decreasable one?

In other words, the question is equivalent to ask whether there exists a pseudo non-decreasable dilatation in some $[\mu]_Z$.

In this paper, we answer the question negatively at first.

Theorem 1. For any given $\lambda > 0$, the basepoint $[0]_Z$ contains infinitely many pseudo non-decreasable dilatations $\nu$ such that $\|\nu\|_\infty = \lambda$ and the support set of each $\nu$ in $\Delta$ has empty interior. However, $0$ is the unique non-decreasable dilatation in $[0]_Z$.

By further applying Theorem 1 we prove the following results.

Theorem 2. Suppose $[\mu]_Z \in Z(\Delta)$ and $\lambda > \|\mu\|_Z$. Then $[\mu]_Z$ contains infinitely many weakly non-decreasable dilatations $\nu$ with $\|\nu\|_\infty \leq \lambda$.

Theorem 3. Let $[\mu]_Z \in Z(\Delta)$. If the extremal in the point $[\mu]_Z \in Z(\Delta)$ is not unique, then $[\mu]_Z$ contains infinitely many weakly non-decreasable extremal dilatations.

Since Theorem 2 in [4] indicates that there exists $[\mu]_Z \in Z(\Delta)$ such that $[\mu]_Z$ contains infinitely many extremals but only one non-decreasable extremal, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. There exists a Beltrami differential $\mu \in Bel(\Delta)$ such that $[\mu]_Z$ contains infinitely many non-decreasable extremal dilatations while $[\mu]_Z$ contains infinitely many pseudo non-decreasable extremal dilatations.

By use of some technique in [2, 4, 5], we can obtain the following interesting theorem.

Theorem 4. There exists an extremal Beltrami differential $\mu \in Bel(\Delta)$ such that $[\mu]_Z$ contains infinitely many non-decreasable extremal dilatations and $[\mu]_Z$ contains infinitely many pseudo non-decreasable extremal dilatations.

It seems that Theorem 2 is covered by Theorem A. But it is not in such a case. On the one hand, $[0]_Z$ contains infinitely many weakly non-decreasable dilatations while 0 is the unique non-decreasable dilatation. On the other hand, after investigating the proof in [3], we find that Shen and Chen actually proved Theorem A in the following precise form.

Theorem A*. Suppose $[\mu]_Z \neq [0]_Z$. Then for sufficiently large $\lambda > \|\mu\|_Z$, $[\mu]_Z$ contains infinitely many non-decreasable dilatations $\nu$ with $\|\nu\|_\infty \geq \lambda$. 
2. Some preparations

The first lemma comes Lemma 2.2 in [6].

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose that \( \mu \in \text{Bel}(\Delta) \). Let \( \alpha \in \text{Bel}(\Delta) \). Then for any \( z_0 \in \Delta \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( \nu \in [\mu]_Z \) and a small \( r > 0 \) such that \( \| \nu \|_{\Delta(\zeta,r)} \leq \| \mu \|_\infty + \epsilon \) and

\[
\nu(z) = \alpha(z), \quad \text{when } z \in \Delta(\zeta, r) = \{ z : |z - \zeta| < r \}.
\]

In particular, \( \nu \) vanishes on \( \Delta(\zeta, r) \) when \( \alpha = 0 \). In particular, \( \nu \) vanishes on \( \Delta(\zeta, r) \) when \( \alpha = 0 \).

The second lemma is actually Theorem 2 in [6].

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose \( [\mu]_Z \in Z(\Delta) \). Let \( \chi \in [\mu]_Z \) and \( \mathcal{U} = \{ \alpha \in [\mu]_Z : |\alpha(z)| \leq |\chi(z)| \text{ a.e. on } \Delta \} \). Then there is a weakly non-decreasing dilation \( \nu \in \mathcal{U} \).

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( J_i \subset \Delta \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) be \( m \) Jordan domains such that \( \overline{J_i} \subset \Delta \), \( \text{interior}(J_i) \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) are mutually disjoint and \( \Delta \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m J_i \) is connected. Suppose \( \mu, \nu \in \text{Bel}(\Delta) \) satisfying \( \mu(z) = \nu(z) \text{ a.e. on } \Delta \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m J_i \). Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(a) \([\mu]_Z = [\nu]_Z\),

(b) \([\mu]|_{J_i} = [\nu]|_{J_i} \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) where we regard \([\mu]|_{J_i} \) as the points in the infinitesimal Teichmüller space \( Z(J_i) \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\).

**Proof.** See the proof of Lemma 3 of [5].

For \( \mu \in \text{Bel}(\Delta), \varphi \in Q(\Delta) \), let

\[
\lambda_\mu[\varphi] = \text{Re} \int \int_{\Delta} \mu(z)\varphi(z)dx\,dy.
\]

The following Construction Theorem is essentially due to Reich [2] and is very useful for the study of (unique) extremality of quasiconformal mappings (see [2, ?, 4, 5]).

**Construction Theorem.** Let \( A \) be a compact subset of \( \Delta \) consisting of \( m \) \((m \in \mathbb{N})\) connected components and such that \( \Delta \setminus A \) is connected and each connected component of \( A \) contains at least two points. There exists a function \( \varphi \in L^\infty(\Delta) \) and a sequence \( \varphi_n \in Q(\Delta) \) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\) satisfying the following conditions (2.2) -- (2.5):

\[ |A(z)| = \begin{cases} 0, & z \in A, \\ 1, & \text{for a.a. } z \in \Delta \setminus A, \end{cases} \]

(2.2)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \{ \| \varphi_n \| - \lambda_A[\varphi_n] \} = 0,
\]

(2.3)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} |\varphi_n(z)| = \infty \quad \text{a.e. in } \Delta \setminus A.
\]

(2.4)

and as \( n \to \infty \),

\[
\varphi_n(z) \to 0 \text{ uniformly on } A.
\]

(2.5)
Proof. See the proof of of Construction Theorem in [3]. □

From the Construction Theorem, we can get

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( A \) be as in Construction Theorem and \( A(z) \) be constructed by Construction Theorem. Let

\[
\nu(z) = \begin{cases} 
  kA(z), & z \in \Delta \setminus A, \\
  B(z), & z \in A,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( k < 1 \) is a positive constant and \( B(z) \in L^\infty(A) \) with \( \|B\|_\infty \leq k \). Then \( \nu(z) \) is extremal in \([\nu]\) and for any \( \chi(z) \) extremal in \([\nu]_Z, \chi(z) = \nu(z) \) for almost all \( z \) in \( \Delta \setminus A \).

Proof. See the proof of of Lemma 5 in [3]. □

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \( J_i \subset \Delta \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) be \( m \) Jordan domains such that \( \overline{J_i} \subset \Delta, \overline{J_i} \cap \overline{J_j} = \emptyset \) \((i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) are mutually disjoint and \( \Delta \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m J_i \) is connected. Put \( A = \bigcup_{i=1}^m J_i \). Let \( A(z) \) be constructed by the Construction Theorem. Let

\[
\nu(z) = \begin{cases} 
  kA(z), & z \in \Delta \setminus A, \\
  B(z), & z \in A,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( k < 1 \) is a positive constant and \( B(z) \in L^\infty(A) \) with \( \|B\|_\infty \leq k \). We regard \([\nu]_Z\) as a point in the Teichmüller space \( T(J_i), i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \). Then,

(A) \( \nu \) is a weakly non-decreasable dilatation in \([\nu]_Z\) if and only if every \( \nu|J_i \) is a weakly non-decreasable dilatation in \([\nu]_{J_i}Z\), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \);

(B) \( \nu \) is a non-decreasable dilatation in \([\nu]_Z\) if and only if every \( \nu|J_i \) is a non-decreasable dilatation in \([\nu]_{J_i}Z\), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \).

Proof. It is evident that \( \nu \) is extremal in \([\nu]_Z\) by Lemma 2.4.

(A) The “only if” part is obvious. Now, assume that every \( \nu|J_i \) is a weakly non-decreasable dilatation in \([\nu]_{J_i}Z\), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \). We show that \( \nu \) is a weakly non-decreasable dilatation in \([\nu]_Z\). Suppose to the contrary. Then \([\nu]_Z\) is a strongly decreasable dilatation in \([\nu]_Z\). That is, there exists a Beltrami differential \( \eta \in [\nu]_Z \) such that

1. \( |\eta(z)| \leq |\nu(z)| \) for almost all \( z \in \Delta \),
2. there exists a domain \( G \subset \Delta \) and a positive number \( \delta > 0 \) such that

\[
|\eta(z)| \leq |\nu(z)| - \delta,
\]

for almost all \( z \in G \).

Observe that \( \eta \) is extremal in \([\nu]_Z\) and hence \( \eta(z) = \nu(z) \) a.e on \( \Delta \setminus A \) by Lemma 2.4. It forces that \( G \) is contained in some \( J_i \). Furthermore, by Lemma 2.3, we have \( \eta|J_i \in [\nu]_{J_i}Z \). Thus \( \nu|J_i \) is a strongly decreasable dilatation in \([\nu]_{J_i}Z\), a contradiction.

(B) The “only if” part is also obvious. Assume that every \( \nu|J_i \) is a non-decreasable dilatation in \([\nu]_{J_i}Z\), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \). We show that \( \nu \) is a non-decreasable dilatation in \([\nu]_Z\). Suppose to the contrary. Then \([\nu]_Z\) is a decreasable dilatation in \([\nu]_Z\). There exists a Beltrami differential \( \eta \in [\nu]_Z \) such that \( |\eta(z)| \leq |\nu(z)| \) for almost all \( z \in \Delta \) but \( \eta(z) \neq \nu(z) \) on a subset \( E \subset \Delta \) with positive measure. It is no harm to assume that \( E \cap J_i \) has positive measure. Since \( \eta(z) = \nu(z) \) a.e on \( \Delta \setminus A \), it follows from Lemma 2.3 that \( \eta|J_i \in [\nu]_{J_i}Z \). Thus, \( \nu|J_i \) is decreasable in \([\nu]_{J_i}Z\), a contradiction. □
The following lemma comes from Lemma 7 in \[4\].

**Lemma 2.6.** Set $\Delta_s = \{z : |z| < s\}$ for $s \in (0, 1)$. Let $\chi(z)$ be defined as follows,

\[
\chi(z) = \begin{cases} 
0, & z \in \Delta - \Delta_s, \\
\tilde{k} z, & z \in \Delta_s,
\end{cases}
\]

where $\tilde{k} < 1$ is a positive constant. Then $[\chi]_Z$ contains infinitely many non-decreasing Beltrami differentials $\eta$ with $\|\eta\|_\infty < \tilde{k}$.

### 3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 4

**Proof of Theorem 1**

Let $C$ be a compact subset with empty interior and positive measure $\text{meas}(C) \in (0, 1)$. Put $\mathcal{C} = \{re^{i\theta} : r \in C, \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a 2-dimensional compact subset in $\Delta$ with empty interior and $\text{meas}(\mathcal{C}) \in (0, \pi)$.

Note that $\mathcal{N}(\Delta) = [0]_Z$. It is obvious that 0 is the unique non-decreasing dilatation in $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$. We now show that, for any given $\lambda > 0$, $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$ contains infinitely many pseudo non-decreasing dilatations $\nu$ with $\|\nu\|_\infty = \lambda$ and the support set of each $\nu$ in $\Delta$ has empty interior. Fix a positive integer number $m$ and let

\[
\gamma(z) = \begin{cases} 
\lambda \frac{z^m}{|z|^m}, & z \in \mathcal{C}, \\
0, & z \in \Delta \setminus \mathcal{C}.
\end{cases}
\]

**Claim.** $\gamma \in \mathcal{N}(\Delta)$ and is a pseudo non-decreasing dilatation in $[0]_Z$.

By the definition of $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$, we need to show that

\[
\int \int_D \gamma(z) \varphi(z) \, dxdy = 0, \quad \text{for any } \varphi \in Q(\Delta).
\]

Note that $\{1, z, z^2, \cdots, z^n, \cdots\}$ is a base of the Banach space $Q(\Delta)$. It suffices to prove

\[
\int \int_{\Delta} \gamma(z) z^n \, dxdy = 0, \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

By the definition of $C$, we see that the open set $\mathcal{U} = [0, 1] \setminus C$ is the union of countably many disjoint open intervals. Set $\mathcal{D} = \{re^{i\theta} : r \in \mathcal{U}, \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$. It is clear that $\Delta = \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{C}$ and

\[
\int \int_{\Delta} \gamma(z) z^n \, dxdy = \int \int_{\mathcal{D}} \gamma(z) z^n \, dxdy, \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Observe that $\mathcal{D}$ is the union of countably many disjoint ring domains each of which can be written in the form $R = \{re^{i\theta} : r \in (x, x'), \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}, x, x' \in (0, \lambda)$. A simple computation shows that

\[
\int \int_{R} \gamma(z) z^n \, dxdy = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} r^{n+1} \, dr \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(m+n)\theta} \, d\theta = 0, \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Hence, we get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{C}} \gamma(z)z^n \, dx\, dy = 0, \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Thus, we have proved that \( \gamma \in \mathcal{N}(\Delta) \). Since the support set of \( \gamma \) in \( \Delta \) has empty interior, by the definition \( \gamma \) is a weakly non-decreasing dilatation in \( [0]_z \). On the other hand, it is obvious that 0 is the unique non-decreasing dilatation in \( [0]_z \) and hence \( \gamma \) is a pseudo non-decreasing dilatation. When \( m \) varies over \( \mathbb{N} \) or the set \( \mathcal{C} \) varies suitably, we obtain infinitely many pseudo non-decreasing dilatations in \( [0]_z \). The completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose there exists a non-empty open subset \( \Delta \) such that \( \rho = 0 \) for any \( \rho > 0 \) and let \( \mathcal{C} = \{ \alpha \in [\mu]_z : ||\alpha||_\infty \leq \lambda \} \).

To make the proof more concise, we prove a new theorem from which Theorems 2 and 3 follows readily. We introduce the conception of non-landslide at first.

A Beltrami differential \( \mu \) (not necessarily extremal) in \( \text{Bel}(S) \) is said to be landslide if there exists a non-empty open subset \( G \subset S \) such that
\[
\text{esssup}_{z \in G} |\mu(z)| < ||\mu||_\infty;
\]
otherwise, \( \mu \) is said to be non-landslide.

Throughout the section, we denote by \( \Delta(\zeta, r) \) the round disk \( \{ z : |z - \zeta| < r \} \) \((r > 0)\) and let \( \mathcal{W}_\lambda = \{ \alpha \in [\mu]_z : ||\alpha||_\infty \leq \lambda \} \).

Theorem 5. Suppose \([\mu]_z \in Z(\Delta)\) and \( \lambda \geq ||[\mu]_z|| \). If \( \mathcal{W}_\lambda \) contains a landslide Beltrami differential, then \( \mathcal{W}_\lambda \) contains infinitely many weakly non-decreasing dilatations.

Proof. If \([\mu]_z = [0]_z\) and \( \lambda = 0 \), nothing needs to prove. Now let \( \lambda > 0 \).

Let \( \alpha \) be a landslide dilatation in \( \mathcal{W}_\lambda \). Then there is \( \lambda' \in (0, \lambda) \) and a sub-domain \( G \subset \Delta \) such that \( |\alpha(z)| \leq \lambda' \) on \( G \). Applying Lemma 2.1 on \( G \), we can find a Beltrami differential \( \chi \in \mathcal{W}_\lambda \) such that \( \chi(z) = 0 \) on some small disk \( \Delta(\zeta, \rho) \subset G \).

4. Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3

To make the proof more concise, we prove a new theorem from which Theorems 2 and 3 follows readily. We introduce the conception of non-landslide at first.

A Beltrami differential \( \mu \) (not necessarily extremal) in \( \text{Bel}(S) \) is said to be landslide if there exists a non-empty open subset \( G \subset S \) such that
\[
\text{esssup}_{z \in G} |\mu(z)| < ||\mu||_\infty;
\]
otherwise, \( \mu \) is said to be non-landslide.

Throughout the section, we denote by \( \Delta(\zeta, r) \) the round disk \( \{ z : |z - \zeta| < r \} \) \((r > 0)\) and let \( \mathcal{W}_\lambda = \{ \alpha \in [\mu]_z : ||\alpha||_\infty \leq \lambda \} \).

Theorem 5. Suppose \([\mu]_z \in Z(\Delta)\) and \( \lambda \geq ||[\mu]_z|| \). If \( \mathcal{W}_\lambda \) contains a landslide Beltrami differential, then \( \mathcal{W}_\lambda \) contains infinitely many weakly non-decreasing dilatations.

Proof. If \([\mu]_z = [0]_z\) and \( \lambda = 0 \), nothing needs to prove. Now let \( \lambda > 0 \).

Let \( \alpha \) be a landslide dilatation in \( \mathcal{W}_\lambda \). Then there is \( \lambda' \in (0, \lambda) \) and a sub-domain \( G \subset \Delta \) such that \( |\alpha(z)| \leq \lambda' \) on \( G \). Applying Lemma 2.1 on \( G \), we can find a Beltrami differential \( \chi \in \mathcal{W}_\lambda \) such that \( \chi(z) = 0 \) on some small disk \( \Delta(\zeta, \rho) \subset G \).
By Lemma 2.2 we can find a weakly non-decreasing dilation \( \nu \in \mathcal{Y}_\Lambda \) such that 
\[ |\nu(z)| \leq |\chi(z)| \text{ a.e. on } \Delta. \]
It is obvious that \( \nu(z) = 0 \) on \( \Delta(\zeta, \rho) \).

Let \( D = \Delta(\xi, r) \) be a small round disk in \( \Delta(\zeta, \rho), r \in (0, \rho) \). We regard \([0|D]|_Z\) as the basepoint in the infinitesimal Teichmüller space \( Z(D) \). By Theorem 1 we may choose a pseudo non-decreasing dilation \( \gamma \neq 0|D| \) in \([0|D]|_Z\) whose support set in \( D \) has empty interior such that \( ||\gamma||_\infty \leq \lambda \). Put
\[ \beta(z) = \begin{cases} \nu(z), & z \in \Delta \setminus D, \\ \gamma(z), & z \in D. \end{cases} \]

If \( \beta \) is a weakly non-decreasing dilation in \( \mathcal{Y}_\Lambda \), then let \( \nu_D = \beta \). In fact, \( \nu_D \) is necessarily a pseudo non-decreasing dilation since \( \beta|_D = \gamma \) is decreasable but is not strongly decreasable in \([0|D]|_Z\).

Otherwise, \( \beta \) is not a weakly non-decreasing dilation in \( \mathcal{Y}_\Lambda \), and then by the proof of Lemma 2.2 there is a weakly non-decreasing dilation \( \beta' \) in \( \mathcal{Y}_\Lambda \) such that
\[ (1) \quad |\beta'(z)| \leq |\beta(z)|, \]
\[ (2) \quad \text{there exists a small round disk } \Delta(z', r') \subset \Delta \text{ and a positive number } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } |\beta'(z)| \leq |\beta(z)| - \delta, \quad \text{for almost all } z \in \Delta(z', r'). \]

Since the support set of \( \beta \) on \( \Delta(\zeta, \rho) \) has empty interior, it forces that \( \Delta(z', r') \subset \Delta \setminus \Delta(\zeta, \rho) \). Let \( \nu_D' = \beta' \).

Claim. \( \beta'|_D \notin [0|D]|_Z \) where \( \beta'|_D \) is the restriction of \( \beta' \) on \( D \).

Suppose to the contrary. Then \( \beta'|_D \in [0|D]|_Z \). Let
\[ \tilde{\beta}'(z) = \begin{cases} \beta'(z), & z \in \Delta \setminus D, \\ 0, & z \in D. \end{cases} \]

It is clear that \( \tilde{\beta}' \in \mathcal{Y}_\Lambda \). Since \( \beta(z) = \beta'(z) = 0 \) on \( \Delta(\zeta, \rho) \setminus D \), it is easy to verify that
\[ (1) \quad \tilde{\beta}'(z) = \nu(z) = 0 \text{ on } \Delta(\zeta, \rho), \]
\[ (2) \quad |\tilde{\beta}'(z)| \leq |\nu(z)|, \]
\[ (3) \quad |\tilde{\beta}'(z)| < |\nu(z)| - \delta \text{ on } \Delta(z', r'). \]

Thus, \( \nu \) is strongly decreasable on \( \Delta \), a contradiction. The claim is proved.

For convenience, let \( \tilde{\nu}_D \) denote either \( \nu_D \) or \( \nu_D' \).

Now, let \( \{D_n = \Delta(z_n, r_n)\} \) be a sequence of round disks in \( \Delta(\zeta, \rho) \) which are mutually disjoint and \( \{\gamma_n \in [0|D]|_Z : \gamma_n \neq 0|D_n| \} \) be a sequence of Beltrami differentials whose support sets have empty interior in \( D_n \) respectively. By the previous analysis, we get either a pseudo non-decreasing dilatation \( \nu_{D_n} \) or a weakly non-decreasing dilatation \( \nu_{D_n}' \) in \( \mathcal{Y}_\Lambda \). It is easy to check that whenever \( n \neq m \), it holds that \( \tilde{\nu}_{D_n} \neq \tilde{\nu}_{D_m} \).

Thus, we get infinitely many weakly non-decreasing dilatations in \( \mathcal{Y}_\Lambda \).

\[ \text{Note. If the weakly non-decreasing dilatation in } \mathcal{Y} \text{ is unique, then it is necessarily a non-decreasing dilatation in } [\mu]|_Z. \]

**Proof of Theorems 2 and 3** At first, let \( \lambda > ||[\mu]|_Z|| \). It is evident that \( [\mu]|_Z \) contains a landslide Beltrami differential. Then by Theorem 3 there are infinitely many weakly non-decreasing dilatations in \( \mathcal{Y}_\Lambda \). The gives Theorem 2.
Secondly, let $\lambda = \|\mu\|_Z$. Then $\mathcal{Y}_\lambda$ just contains all extremal dilatations in $[\mu]_Z$. Now assume $\#\mathcal{Y}_\lambda > 1$. Then $[\mu]_Z$ contains infinitely many extremal dilatations.

Case 1. Each extremal dilatation in $[\mu]_Z$ is non-landslide.

By the way, it is an open problem whether there exists $[\mu]_Z$ such that the extremal in $[\mu]_Z$ is not unique and each extremal in $[\mu]_Z$ is non-landslide. Anyway, by definition each extremal in $[\mu]_Z$ is weakly non-decreasable, and hence $[\mu]_Z$ contains either infinitely many non-decreasable extremal dilatations or infinitely many pseudo non-decreasable extremal dilatations.

Case 2. $[\mu]_Z$ contains a landslide extremal dilatation.

Then by Theorem 5 there are infinitely many weakly non-decreasable dilatations in $\mathcal{Y}_\lambda$.

Theorem 6 now follows.
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