LIE ALGEBRAS ARISING FROM NICHOLS ALGEBRAS OF
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Abstract. Let $\mathcal{B}_q$ be a finite-dimensional Nichols algebra of diagonal
type with braiding matrix $q$, let $L_q$ be the corresponding Lusztig algebra
as in [1] and let $Fr_q : L_q \to U(n^q)$ be the corresponding quantum Frobe-
nius map as in [5]. We prove that the finite-dimensional Lie algebra $n^q$
is either 0 or else the positive part of a semisimple Lie algebra $g^q$ which
is determined for each $q$ in the list of [15].

1. Introduction

1.1. Quantum groups. Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of character-
istic 0. The quantized enveloping algebra of a simple Lie algebra $g$ was
introduced by Drinfeld and Jimbo extending the previous definition for $sl(2)$
by Kulish, Reshetikhin and Sklyanin. Lusztig introduced and studied the
quantum divided power algebra $U_q(g)$ in [25, 26, 27], where $q$ is a root of 1
of odd order (and not divisible by 3 when $g$ is $G_2$). It turns out that there
is an exact sequence of Hopf algebras

\begin{align}
\mathcal{O}(G^d) & \longrightarrow U_q(g) \longrightarrow u_q(g) \longrightarrow U(g)
\end{align}

where $Fr$ was named the quantum Frobenius map by Lusztig and $u_q(g)$ is a
finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, usually called the Frobenius-Lusztig kernel.
The exact sequence (1.1) without restrictions on $q$ was described in [24].

In parallel De Concini, Kac and Procesi introduced and studied the quan-
tum group $U_q(g)$ at a root of unity $q$ (same restrictions as before) in [14, 15, 16]. It is different from $U_q(g)$. There is an exact sequence of Hopf algebras

\begin{align}
\mathcal{O}(G^d) & \longrightarrow U_q(g) \longrightarrow u_q(g)
\end{align}

where $\mathcal{O}(G^d)$, a central Hopf subalgebra of $U_q(g)$, is the algebra of functions
on a solvable Poisson algebraic group $G^d$ whose Lie bialgebra is dual to $g$,
viewed as Lie bialgebra with the Sklyanin bracket.

The representation theory of $U_q(g)$ has links with algebraic groups in posi-
tive characteristic and with an important class of fusion categories. As appar-
ent from (1.2) the representation theory of $U_q(g)$ has a different flavour
and is related to the Poisson geometry of $G^d$.
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1.2. Nichols algebras. It was recognized early that a key point in the
definition of (any version of) quantum groups is the understanding of the
positive part. For those with generic parameter \( q \), Lusztig and Rosso (and
later Schauenburg) gave abstract characterizations of this positive part. It
was then realized that these characterizations fit into frameworks defined
independently by Nichols (in 1978) and Woronowicz (in 1989). This abstract
notion received the name of Nichols algebra; it is the cornerstone of the
method proposed to classify finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras [7]. A
basic question is the classification of the finite-dimensional Nichols algebras
of diagonal type. Precisely, let \( q = (q_{ij}) \) be a matrix with entries in \( k^\times \) with
connected Dynkin diagram, see §2.1. It was asked whether

(1.3) the Nichols algebra \( B_q \) has finite dimension.

The complete answer was provided in [18] through the new concepts of (gen-
eralized) root systems and Weyl groupoids [17, 20]. The resulting list was
organized in various classes in [2]:

(i) Cartan and standard types (including \( U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \) without restrictions on
the order of \( q \) and twisted versions),
(ii) super type (related to Lie superalgebras in characteristic 0),
(iii) modular and super modular types (related to Lie algebras and super
algebras in characteristic > 0), and
(iv) unidentified type, UFOs for short.

1.3. Distinguished pre-Nichols algebras. For the purpose of the classi-
fication, it is important to describe the defining relations of \( B_q \) as in (1.3).
This was achieved in [9, 8] introducing along the way a braided Hopf algebra
\( \tilde{B}_q \), further studied in [10]. By [3 (11)] using [10, Theorem 29] there is an
exact sequence of braided Hopf algebras

(1.4) \[
\begin{array}{c}
Z_q^+ \xrightarrow{\pi_q} \tilde{B}_q \xrightarrow{\pi_q} B_q.
\end{array}
\]

Assuming the technical condition (3.1), \( Z_q^+ \) is central in \( \tilde{B}_q \). If \( q \) is of Cartan
type and (3.1) holds, then (up to a twist) \( \tilde{B}_q \simeq U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \), the positive part of
the quantum group studied in [14, 15, 16]. Furthermore there is a Hopf
algebra \( U_q \) that generalizes the quantum group \( U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \) and an exact sequence
like (1.2) [10].

1.4. The Lusztig algebra \( L_q \). This is the graded dual of \( \tilde{B}_q \) [3, 4]. Assume
(3.1). If \( q \) is of Cartan type and (3.1) holds, then (up to a twist) \( L_q \simeq U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \),
the positive part of the quantum group studied in [25, 26, 27]. Let \( Z_q \) be the
graded dual of \( Z_q^+ \) and \( n^3 = P(3_q) \). By [5] \( 3_q \simeq U(n^3) \) and there is an exact
sequence of braided Hopf algebras

(1.5) \[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{B}_q \xrightarrow{F_{3_q}} L_q \xrightarrow{F_{3_q}} 3_q.
\end{array}
\]
If \( \mathfrak{g} \) is a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra with a Borel subalgebra \( \mathfrak{b} \), we set \( \mathfrak{g}_+ := [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] \). When \( \mathfrak{q} \) has rank 2, the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{n}^\mathfrak{q} \) was computed explicitly by a case-by-case analysis\([5]\); it turns to be either 0 or else isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{g}^\mathfrak{q}_+ \), where \( \mathfrak{g}^\mathfrak{q} \) is a semisimple Lie algebra (of rank \( \leq 2 \)). The goal of the present paper is to determine the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{n}^\mathfrak{q} \) in general.

**Theorem 1.1.** The Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{n}^\mathfrak{q} \) is either 0 or isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{g}^\mathfrak{q}_+ \), where \( \mathfrak{g}^\mathfrak{q} \) is a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra as in Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

In particular we assign semisimple Lie algebras in characteristic 0 to (contragredient) semisimple Lie (super) algebras in positive characteristic.

The Tables use the notation of\([2]\) and refer to the corresponding section of *loc. cit.* Reciprocally, the information in the Tables was presented in\([2]\) referring to the present paper for proofs. The Theorem was announced at the H-ACT Conference, Tsukuba, September 2016 and at the Second Mathematical Congress of the Americas, Montréal, July 2017.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect definitions and results needed for the proof. In Section 3 we introduce a set \( \Sigma^\mathfrak{q} \) inside the root lattice of the Nichols algebra \( \mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{q} \) and prove that it is a root system as in\([11]\). Let \( \mathfrak{g}^\mathfrak{q} \) be the corresponding semisimple Lie algebra. The bulk of the proof is Theorem 3.8 where we show that \( \mathfrak{n}^\mathfrak{q} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^\mathfrak{q}_+ \). Finally we explain how to compute the Tables in Section 4.

The main Theorem would be useful to study the representation theories of the Hopf algebra \( U_\mathfrak{q} \)\([10]\), see\([6]\); and of a suitable Drinfeld double of \( \mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{q} \).
Table 2. Super type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type of q</th>
<th>$g^q$</th>
<th>§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A(k - 1, \theta - k)$</td>
<td>$A_{k-1} \times A_{\theta-k}$</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(k, \theta - k)$</td>
<td>$C_k \times B_{\theta-k}$, $N$ odd $C_k \times C_{\theta-k}$, $N$ even</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D(k, \theta - k)$</td>
<td>$D_k \times C_{\theta-k}$, $N$ odd $D_k \times B_{\theta-k}$, $N$ even</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D(2, 1, \alpha)$</td>
<td>$A_1 \times A_1 \times A_1$</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F(4)$</td>
<td>$B_3 \times A_1$, $N$ odd $C_3 \times A_1$, $N$ even</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G(3)$</td>
<td>$G_2 \times A_1$</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Modular type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type of q</th>
<th>$g^q$</th>
<th>§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$m(4, \alpha)$</td>
<td>$A_2 \times A_2$</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$br(2, a)$</td>
<td>$A_1 \times A_1$</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$br(3)$</td>
<td>$B_3$</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Super modular type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type of q</th>
<th>$g^q$</th>
<th>§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$brj(2; 3)$</td>
<td>$A_1 \times A_1$</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g(2, 3)$</td>
<td>$A_2 \times A_1$</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g(4, 3)$</td>
<td>$C_3 \times A_1$</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g(2, 6)$</td>
<td>$A_5$</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g(8, 3)$</td>
<td>$F_4 \times A_1$</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g(6, 6)$</td>
<td>$B_6$</td>
<td>8.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$brj(2, 5)$</td>
<td>$B_2$</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Unidentified type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type of q</th>
<th>$g^q$</th>
<th>§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u(1)$</td>
<td>$A_5$</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(3)$</td>
<td>$A_1 \times A_1$</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(5)$</td>
<td>$A_4$</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(7)$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(9)$</td>
<td>$A_1 \times A_1$</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(11)$</td>
<td>$A_1 \times A_1$</td>
<td>10.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Preliminaries

**Notation.** We fix $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}_\theta := \{1, 2, \ldots, \theta\}$. Let $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{I}}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^\theta$. For each $\beta = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{I}} a_i \alpha_i$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the *support* of $\beta$ is $\text{supp} \beta = \{i \in \mathbb{I} | a_i \neq 0\}$. If $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in \mathbb{K}^\times$, then $(N)_v := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} v^j$.

2.1. Nichols algebras of diagonal type. Let $q = (q_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}}$ be a matrix with entries in $\mathbb{K}^\times$. The Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}$ associated to $q$ has set of vertices $\mathbb{I}$, with $i \in \mathbb{I}$ labelled by $q_{ii}$. Let $i \neq j$ in $\mathbb{I}$ and $\tilde{q}_{ij} := q_{ij}q_{ji}$. There is an edge between $i$ and $j$ only when $\tilde{q}_{ij} \neq 1$, in which case this scalar labels the edge: $	ilde{q}_{ij}$. Without loss of generality we shall assume that $\mathcal{D}$ is connected.

Let $(V,c)$ be a braided vector space of diagonal type associated to $q$. Thus, $V$ has a basis $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ such that $c \in GL(V \otimes V)$ is given by

$$c(x_i \otimes x_j) = q_{ij}x_j \otimes x_i, \quad i,j \in \mathbb{I}.$$ 

Let $\mathcal{B}_q := \mathcal{B}(V)$ be the corresponding Nichols algebra (of diagonal type); see [1,2]. We assume (1.3) i.e. dim $\mathcal{B}_q < \infty$. Later we also need the hypothesis (3.1) that requires more notation. Notice that (1.3) depends only on $\mathcal{D}$, thus it comprises multiparametric versions, while (3.1) depends fully on $q$.

The matrix $q$ defines a $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form $q: \mathbb{Z}^\theta \times \mathbb{Z}^\theta \to \mathbb{K}^\times$ by $q(\alpha_j, \alpha_k) = q_{jk}$ for all $j,k \in \mathbb{I}$. We set

$$q_{\alpha,\beta} = q(\alpha,\beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^\theta.$$ 

The algebra $\mathcal{B}_q$ is $\mathbb{Z}^\theta$-graded by deg $x_i := \alpha_i$, $i \in \mathbb{I}$. By [23], $\mathcal{B}_q$ has a PBW-basis with homogeneous generators. The *positive* roots of $\mathcal{B}_q$ are by definition the elements of the set $\Delta^q_+$ of $\mathbb{Z}^\theta$-degrees of these generators [17]; it does not depend on the choice of the PBW-basis [17]. The roots of $\mathcal{B}_q$ are by definition the elements of

$$\Delta^q = \Delta^q_+ \cup -\Delta^q_+.$$ 

We refer to [2] for information on finite-dimensional Nichols algebras of diagonal type.

2.2. The root system and the Weyl groupoid. By (1.3), the following matrix $(c^q_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\theta \times \theta}$ is well-defined [30]: it is given by $c^q_{ii} = 2$ and

$$(1.1) \quad c^q_{ij} := -\min \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : (n+1)q_{ii}(1-q_{ii}^nq_{ij}g_{ji}) = 0\}, \quad i \neq j.$$ 

The matrix $(c^q_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}}$ gives rise to $s^q_i \in GL(\mathbb{Z}^\theta)$ by

$$s^q_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - c^q_{ij}\alpha_i, \quad j \in \mathbb{I}.$$ 

$i \in \mathbb{I}$. Clearly $s^q_i$ is a reflection; it allows to define the matrix $\rho_i(q)$ by

$$(2.1) \quad \rho_i(q)_{jk} = q(s^q_i(\alpha_j), s^q_i(\alpha_k)), \quad j,k \in \mathbb{I}.$$ 

Let $\rho_i(V)$ be the braided vector space of diagonal type with matrix $\rho_i(q)$. Then $\mathcal{B}_q \simeq \mathcal{B}_{\rho_i(q)}$ as graded vector spaces [17]. Let

$$\mathcal{X} := \{\rho_{j_1} \cdots \rho_{j_N}(q) : j_1, \ldots, j_N \in \mathbb{I}, N \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$
We collect the roots $\Delta^p$ of the matrices $p \in \mathcal{X}$ as a fibration $\Delta \to \mathcal{X}$, with $\Delta^p$ being the fibre of $p$ and call this the root system of $B_q$.

Let $\mathcal{X} \times GL(\mathbb{Z}^\theta) \times \mathcal{X}$ be the groupoid over $\mathcal{X}$ with source $s$, target $t$ and product given, for $p, q, s \in \mathcal{X}$, $g, h \in GL(\mathbb{Z}^\theta)$, by

$$s(p, g, q) = r, \quad t(p, g, q) = p, \quad (p, g, q)(s, h, p) = (s, hg, r).$$

The Weyl groupoid $W_q$ of $B_q$ is the subgroupoid of $\mathcal{X} \times GL(\mathbb{Z}^\theta) \times \mathcal{X}$ generated by all

$$\sigma_i^p = (\rho_i(p), s_i^p, p), \quad i \in \mathbb{I}, \; p \in \mathcal{X}.$$ Notice that $W_q$ acts on the root system of $q$. As shown in [17], $W_q$ is finite (conversely, existence and finiteness of $W_q$, together with finitude of the height, implies $\dim B_q < \infty$).

Let $w_0 = w_0^q \in W_q$ be the unique element of maximal length ending in $q$ [20]. Fix a reduced expression

$$(2.4) \quad w_0 = \sigma_{i_1}^q \sigma_{i_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_M}.$$ Then the set $\Delta^q_+$ can be enumerated as follows, see [12, Proposition 2.12]:

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta^q_+ = \{ \beta_j := s_{i_1}^q \cdots s_{i_{j-1}}^q(\alpha_{i_j}) \mid j \in \mathbb{I}_M \}.$$ In particular $M$ is the cardinal of the set of positive roots. We need a generalization of a well-known fact on Weyl groups.

Lemma 2.1. Let $i \in \mathbb{I}$, $q \in \mathcal{X}$ and $p := \rho_i(q)$.

(a) There exists a reduced expression of $w_0 = w_0^q$ as in (2.4) such that $i_1 = i$.

(b) Given the reduced expression with $i_1 = i$ as above, there exists $j \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $\sigma_{i_1}^p \cdots \sigma_{i_M} \sigma_j$ is a reduced expression of $w_0^p$.

Proof. (a) We prove by induction on $k \leq M$ that there exists a family $(i_j)_{j \in \mathbb{I}_k}$ of elements in $\mathbb{I}$ such that $i_1 = i$ and $\ell(\sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_k}) = k$; the Lemma corresponds to the case $k = M$. The case $k = 1$ is evident. Next we assume that $k < M$ and fix a family $(i_j)_{j \in \mathbb{I}_k}$ such that $i_1 = i$ and $\ell(\sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_k}) = k$. Set $p = \rho_{i_k} \cdots \rho_{i_1}(q)$. By [20] Lemma 8 (iii)], $k = |\Delta^q_+ \cap s_{i_1}^q \cdots s_{i_k}(\Delta^p_+)|$. As $k < M = |\Delta^p_+|$, there exists $\beta \in \Delta^p_+$ such that $s_{i_1}^q \cdots s_{i_k}(\beta) \in \Delta^q_+$. Hence there exists $h \in \supp \beta$ such that $s_{i_1}^q \cdots s_{i_k}(\alpha_h) \in \Delta^q_+$. We define $i_{k+1} = h$; by [20] Corollary 3], $\ell(\sigma_{i_1}^q \cdots \sigma_{i_k}^q \sigma_{i_{k+1}}^q) = k + 1$.

(b) For, $\sigma_{i_2}^q \cdots \sigma_{i_M}$ is a reduced expression of length $M - 1$. By [20] Lemma 8 (iii)] there exists exactly one positive root $\beta \in \Delta^p_{M-1} \cdots \rho_{i_2}(p)$ such that $s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_M}^{i_2}(\beta)$ is positive. Hence $s_{i_2}^p \cdots s_{i_M}^p(\alpha_j)$ is positive for at least one $j \in \supp \beta$, thus $\beta = \alpha_j$. By [20] Corollary 3], $\sigma_{i_2}^p \cdots \sigma_{i_M}^p \sigma_j$ is a reduced expression of length $M$, which is $w_0^p$ by uniqueness. \qed

The next result about root systems will be useful throughout the article.
2.3.1. Cartan roots. Let \( \gamma_i \in \Delta_+^q, i \in \mathbb{I}_k \), be linearly independent roots. Then there exist \( p \in \mathcal{X}, w \in \text{Hom}(q,p) \) and \( \sigma \in S_1 \) such that the support of \( w(\gamma_i) \in \Delta_+^q \) is contained in \( \{\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(i)\} \) for each \( i \in \mathbb{I}_k \).

In other words, this result allows to reduce computations on a set of \( k \) linearly independent roots to computations on a root system of rank \( k \), obtained as a subsystem of a different object of the Weyl groupoid. Clearly

\[ w(\gamma_1) = \alpha_{\sigma(1)}, \quad \text{since} \quad w(\gamma_1) \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha_{\sigma(1)} \cap \Delta_+^q = \{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}\}. \]

Let \( \mathfrak{u}_q \) be the Drinfeld double of the bosonization \( \mathcal{B}_q \# \mathbb{K}_Z^q \). The reflections \( s_i^q \) lift to algebra isomorphisms \( T_i^q : \mathfrak{u}_{\rho_i(q)} \to \mathfrak{u}_q, i \in \mathbb{I} \), called Lusztig isomorphisms, generalizing the isomorphisms of quantized enveloping algebras in \( [28] \); cf. \( [17, 19] \). As for quantum groups, we can then define the root vectors associated to \( (2.4) \) by

\[ x_{\beta_j} = T_{i_1}^q \cdots T_{i_j-1}^q (x_{\alpha_{i_j}}) \in \mathcal{B}_q, \quad \beta_j \in \Delta_+^q, \quad j \in \mathbb{I}_M. \]

### 2.3. Distinguished-pre-Nichols algebras.

#### 2.3.1. Cartan roots. The notion of Cartan roots from \( [10] \) is crucial for the definitions of the distinguished pre-Nichols algebra \( \tilde{B}_q \) and its graded dual \( \mathcal{L}_q \). We say that \( i \in \mathbb{I} \) is a Cartan vertex of \( q \) if

\[ q_{ij} q_{ji} = q_{ij}^{\epsilon_{ij}}, \quad \text{for all} \quad j \neq i. \]

Then the set of Cartan roots of \( q \) is \( \mathcal{D}_q = \mathcal{D}_q^0 \bigcup \mathcal{D}_q^\pm \), where \( \mathcal{D}_q^0 = -\mathcal{D}_q^0 \) and \( \mathcal{D}_q^\pm = \{s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_k} (\alpha_i) : i \in \mathbb{I} \text{ is a Cartan vertex of } \rho_{i_k} \cdots \rho_{i_2} \rho_{i_1}(q)\} \).

Observe that this agrees with the notation in \( [10] \) but differs from \( [11, 5] \). Set

\[ N_\beta^q = \text{ord } q_{\beta\beta} \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{N}_\beta^q = \begin{cases} N_\beta^q & \text{if } \beta \notin \mathcal{D}_q^0, \\ \infty & \text{if } \beta \in \mathcal{D}_q^0. \end{cases} \]

#### 2.3.2. Distinguished-pre-Nichols algebras. As in \( [10] \) Definition 1] we consider the ideal \( \mathcal{I}_q \) of \( T(V) \) generated by all the defining relations of \( \mathcal{B}_q \) in \( [8] \) Theorem 3.1], but excluding the power root vectors \( x_{\beta}^{N_\beta^q}, \beta \in \mathcal{D}_q^0 \), and adding some quantum Serre relations, see loc. cit. for details. Then \( \mathcal{I}_q \) is a Hopf ideal \( [5] \) Proposition 3.3] and the distinguished pre-Nichols algebra \( \tilde{B}_q \) of \( V \) is defined as the quotient

\[ \tilde{B}_q = T(V) / \mathcal{I}_q. \]

Let \( Z_q^+ \) be the subalgebra of \( \tilde{B}_q \) generated by \( x_{\beta}^{N_\beta^q}, \beta \in \mathcal{D}_q^0 \). Then \( Z_q^+ \) is a braided normal Hopf subalgebra of \( \tilde{B}_q \) \( [10] \) Theorem 29]. By \( [5] \) (11)], we have an exact sequence of braided Hopf algebras

\[ Z_q^+ \longrightarrow \tilde{B}_q \longrightarrow \pi_1 B_q. \]
Let $U_q$ be the Drinfeld double of the bosonization $\tilde{B}_q\# k\mathbb{Z}^\theta$, see \cite{10} §3, Definition 1]. Thus $U_q$ has a triangular decomposition $U_q^+ \simeq U_q^0 \otimes U_q^0 \otimes U_q^-$, where $U_q^+ \simeq \tilde{B}_q$, $U_q^0 \simeq k\Gamma$, $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}^\theta \times \mathbb{Z}^\theta$, and $U_q^- \simeq \tilde{B}_q'$. We also need the notation $U_q^0 := U_q^- \cdot U_q^0 = U_q^0 \cdot U_q^-$. There exist algebra isomorphisms $T_i^q : U_{\rho_i(q)} \rightarrow U_q$ that descend to the Lusztig isomorphisms $T_i^q : u_{\rho_i(q)} \rightarrow u_q$, $i \in \mathbb{I}$, as above.

2.3.3. PBW-basis. Recall the root vectors $x_{\beta}^\perp$ in (2.7.0) associated to the decomposition (2.3.1). For brevity, $N_j^q := N_j^q$, $\tilde{N}_j^q := \tilde{N}_j^q$. We use below the vector notation

$$x^h = x_{\beta_1}^{h_1} \cdots x_{\beta_M}^{h_M}, \quad h = (h_1, \ldots, h_M) \in \mathbb{N}_0^M.$$ 

Then $\tilde{B}_q$ has a PBW-basis $\Upsilon_q$ as follows, see \cite{10} Theorem 11:

$$\Upsilon_q = \{x^h \mid h \in \mathbb{H}\}, \quad \mathbb{H} = \{h \in \mathbb{N}_0^M : 0 \leq h_k < \tilde{N}_k^q, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{I}_M\}.$$ 

Then

$$\Upsilon_q \times \Upsilon_q \simeq \{x^h \otimes x^{h'} \mid h, h' \in \mathbb{H}\} \quad \text{is a basis of} \quad \tilde{B}_q \otimes \tilde{B}_q.$$ 

2.3.4. Action of $W_q$ on the Cartan roots. We start by showing that the sets of Cartan roots are interchanged by the action of the Weyl groupoid.

Lemma 2.3. If $i \in \mathbb{I}$, then $N_i^q = N_i^\rho(q)$ for all $\beta \in \mathfrak{D}_\mathbb{I}$, and $s_i^q(\mathfrak{D}^q) = \mathfrak{D}^\rho(q)$.

Proof. Let $i \in \mathbb{I}$, $p = \rho_i(q)$ and $\beta \in \mathfrak{D}_\mathbb{I}^+$. Let $p : \mathbb{Z}^\theta \times \mathbb{Z}^\theta \rightarrow k^\times$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form such that $p(\alpha_j, \alpha_k) = p_{jk}$ for all $j, k \in \mathbb{I}$. By (2.2),

$$p(\alpha, \beta) = q(s_i^q(\alpha), s_i^q(\beta))$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^\mathbb{I}$.

Hence,

$$N_i^\rho(q) = ord p(s_i^q(\beta), s_i^q(\beta)) = ord q(\beta, \beta) = N_i^q.$$ 

Next we prove that $s_i^q(\mathfrak{D}^q) = \mathfrak{D}^p$. It is enough to prove that $s_i^q(\mathfrak{D}_\mathbb{I}^+) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}^p$; by linearity, $s_i^q(\mathfrak{D}^q) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}^p$ and the opposite inclusion follows since $q = \rho_i(p)$ and $s_i^q = s_i^p$. By Lemma 2.1 (a) and (b) there are reduced expressions

$$w_0 = w_0^q = \sigma_i^{\mathbb{I}} \delta \cdot \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_i^\mathbb{I}, \quad w_0^q = \sigma_i^\mathbb{I} \delta \cdot \sigma_i \cdots \sigma_i^\mathbb{I}.$$

Let $\beta = \mathfrak{D}_\mathbb{I}^+$. If $\beta = \alpha_i$, then $i$ is a Cartan vertex of $q$, so $p = q$ and $s_i^q(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{D}^p$.

Assume that $\beta \neq \alpha_i$. Then $\beta = \sum s_i \mathbb{I}^{k_1} \cdots s_i \mathbb{I}^{k_m}(\alpha_i \mathbb{I})$ for some $k \in \mathbb{I}_2, \mathbb{I}_M$. Let $\beta' = \sum s_i \mathbb{I}^{k_1} \cdots s_i \mathbb{I}^{k_m}(\alpha_i \mathbb{I}) \in \mathfrak{D}_\mathbb{I}^+$. Now $\mathfrak{D}_\mathbb{I}^+ = \{\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_\mathbb{I}^+ \mid x_\gamma^q \neq 0 \in \tilde{B}_q\}$ by \cite{10} Remark 3). By definition of the PBW-generators of $\tilde{B}_q$ and $\tilde{B}_p$ corresponding to the reduced expressions of $w_0$ and $w_0^q$ above,

$$x_\beta = T_1^q T_2^q \cdots T_{i-1}^q(x_{\alpha_i}) = T_1^q(x_{\beta'}).$$

As $T_i^q$ is an algebra isomorphism and $N_i^q = N_i^q$, we have that $x_\beta^q = 0$ in $\tilde{B}_q$. Hence $\beta' = s_i^q(\beta) \in \mathfrak{D}_\mathbb{I}^+ \times \mathbb{I}$ for $p$. \qed
2.3.5. Parabolic subalgebras of a distinguished pre-Nichols algebra. Let \( \mathbb{J} \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{I} \). We identify \( \mathbb{Z}^J \) with the subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z}^I \) generated by \( \alpha_j, j \in \mathbb{J} \). Using this identification, each \( \mathbb{Z}^I \)-graded object is \( \mathbb{Z}^\mathbb{I} \)-graded.

Let \( W \) be the subspace of \( V \) spanned by \( x_j, j \in \mathbb{J} \). Let \( r := (q_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{J}} \). Then \( W \) is a braided vector subspace of \( V \), with braiding matrix \( r \). The inclusion \( W \hookrightarrow V \) induces a map of \( \mathbb{Z}^I \)-graded Hopf algebras \( \Phi : T(W) \hookrightarrow T(V) \), which descends to an injective \( \mathbb{Z}^I \)-graded Hopf algebra map \( \Phi : B_\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow B_\mathbb{Q} \), see [27] Corollary 2.3.

Remark 2.4. The root system of \( r \) is the restriction of the root system of \( q \) to \( \mathbb{J} \) [12, Definition 4.1]. Indeed \( c_{ij}^r = c_{ij}^q \) for all \( i, j \in \mathbb{J} \), and by (2.2),

\[
\rho_i(r) = (\rho_i(q))_{j,k} \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in \mathbb{J}.
\]

As the root system of \( q \) is finite, [12] Proposition 2.12 holds for the restriction to \( \mathbb{J} \) and this root system coincides with the one of \( r \).

**Proposition 2.5.**

(i) \( \mathcal{O}^q_+ \cap \mathbb{Z}^J \subset \mathcal{O}^r_+ \) (here we identify \( \mathcal{O}^q_+ \subset \mathbb{Z}^J \) with the corresponding subset of \( \mathbb{Z}^J \)).

(ii) The inclusion \( W \hookrightarrow V \) induces a \( \mathbb{Z}^I \)-graded Hopf algebra map

\[
\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{B}_\mathbb{C} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{B}_\mathbb{Q}.
\]

The image of \( \tilde{\Phi} \) is the subalgebra \( \tilde{B}_{\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{T}} \) of \( \tilde{B}_\mathbb{Q} \) generated by \( x_j, j \in \mathbb{J} \).

(iii) \( \tilde{\Phi}(\mathbb{Z}^J_\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{Z}^J_\mathbb{Q} \cap \tilde{B}_{\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{T}} \) and \( \ker \tilde{\Phi}|_{\mathbb{Z}^J_\mathbb{C}} \) is the ideal generated by

\[
x_j^{N_\beta}, \quad \beta \in \mathcal{O}^r_+ - \left( \mathcal{O}^q_+ \cap \mathbb{Z}^J \right).
\]

**Proof.** To prove (i) let \( \beta \in \mathcal{O}^q_+ \cap \mathbb{Z}^J \). By Remark 2.4, \( \Delta^q_+ \cap \mathbb{Z}^J = \Delta^r_+ \). Then there exist \( i_1, \ldots, i_k, j \in \mathbb{J} \) such that

\[
\beta = s_{i_1}^q \cdots s_{i_k}^q (\alpha_j) = s_{i_1}^r \cdots s_{i_k}^r (\alpha_j).
\]

Now \( j \) is a Cartan vertex of \( p := \rho_{i_k} \cdots \rho_{i_1}(q) \) since \( \beta \in \mathcal{O}^q_+ \); say \( p = (p_{k\ell})_{k,\ell \in \mathbb{J}} \). Hence \( j \) is also a Cartan vertex of the submatrix \( (p_{k\ell})_{k,\ell \in \mathbb{J}} \). Using Remark 2.4 again, \( \rho_{i_k} \cdots \rho_{i_1}(r) = (p_{k\ell})_{k,\ell \in \mathbb{J}} \), so \( \beta = s_{i_1}^r \cdots s_{i_k}^r (\alpha_j) \in \mathcal{O}^r_+ \).

For (ii) we note that each defining relation of \( \tilde{B}_\mathbb{C} \) in [8] Theorem 3.1 is a defining relation of \( \tilde{B}_\mathbb{Q} \). Hence the natural map \( \Phi : T(W) \hookrightarrow T(V) \) descends to \( \tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{B}_\mathbb{C} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{B}_\mathbb{Q} \). As \( x_j, j \in \mathbb{J} \), generate \( \tilde{B}_\mathbb{C} \), the image of \( \tilde{\Phi} \) is also generated by \( x_j, j \in \mathbb{J} \).

For (iii) let \( \pi_\mathbb{Q} : \tilde{B}_\mathbb{Q} \twoheadrightarrow B_\mathbb{Q} \), \( \pi_\mathbb{C} : \tilde{B}_\mathbb{C} \twoheadrightarrow B_\mathbb{C} \) be the canonical Hopf algebra projections. We consider the following diagram:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
Z^\mathbb{C} \\
\downarrow \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}^\mathbb{Q}
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \begin{array}{c}
\tilde{B}_\mathbb{C} \\
\uparrow \uparrow \\
\tilde{B}_\mathbb{Q}
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{\pi_\mathbb{C}} & B_\mathbb{C} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \uparrow \\
\begin{array}{c}
\phi \\
\downarrow \Phi \\
\phi \\
\end{array} & \xrightarrow{\pi_\mathbb{Q}} & B_\mathbb{Q}
\end{align*}
\]

(2.9)
whose rows are the exact sequences (2.3). Then the right-hand side square of this diagram is commutative. By [10] Theorem 29, we have $Z_t^+ = \bar{B}_q^{\text{co} \pi_t}$ and $Z_q^+ = \bar{B}_q^{\text{co} \pi_q}$, and consequently
\[ \bar{\Phi}(Z_t^+) = \bar{\Phi}(\bar{B}_q^{\text{co} \pi_t}) \subseteq \bar{B}_q^{\text{co} \pi_q} = Z_q^+. \]

Thus we have a downward map on the left of (2.9) still denoted $\bar{\Phi}$. By [ii], $\bar{\Phi}(Z_t^+) \subseteq Z_q^+ \cap \bar{B}_{q,\beta}$. Next we prove that $\bar{\Phi}(Z_t^+) \supseteq Z_q^+ \cap \bar{B}_{q,\beta}$. We start with a description of $Z_q^+ \cap \bar{B}_{q,\beta}$. Let $w_0^t = \sigma_1^t \sigma_2 \ldots \sigma_l$ be a reduced expression of the element of maximal length of $t$. By Remark 2.4, $\sigma_1^t \sigma_2 \ldots \sigma_l$ is a reduced expression in $W_q$. By [20] Lemma 8 (i) there exists a reduced expression $w_0 = \sigma_1^q \ldots \sigma_{i(t)}$ of $w_0$ that is an extension of $\sigma_1^q \sigma_2 \ldots \sigma_l$. Then
\[ \Delta_q^+ \cap ZJ = \Delta_t^+ = \{ \beta_t = \gamma \ldots s_{i(t)}(\alpha_{i(t)}) \mid t \in \mathbb{N}_L \}. \]

Notice that
\[ \bar{B}_{q,\beta} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in (N_0)^j} (\bar{B}_q)_\gamma = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in (N_0)^j : \supp \gamma \subseteq \beta} (\bar{B}_q)_\gamma. \]

By [10] Proposition 21 & Theorem 23, $Z_q^+ \subseteq B_{q,\beta}$. Hence $Z_q^+ \cap \bar{B}_{q,\beta}$ has a PBW-basis with generators $x_{N^q_\beta}$, $\beta \in \mathcal{D}_q^+$. We claim that the following diagram is commutative:

\[ \begin{array}{ccccccc}
U_q & \xrightarrow{T_{11}^q} & U_{\rho_1 \rho_1}(q) & \xrightarrow{T_{12}^{\rho_1}(q)} & U_{\rho_2 \rho_1}(q) & \xrightarrow{T_{21}^{\rho_1}(q)} & U_{\rho_1 \rho_1}(q) \\
\bar{\Phi} & & \bar{\Phi} & & \bar{\Phi} \\
U_t & \xrightarrow{T_{11}^t} & U_{\rho_1 \rho_1}(t) & \xrightarrow{T_{12}^{\rho_1}(t)} & U_{\rho_2 \rho_1}(t) & \xrightarrow{T_{21}^{\rho_1}(t)} & U_{\rho_1 \rho_1}(t)
\end{array} \]

Indeed, by Remark 2.4 we check recursively on $t$ that the algebra maps
\[ T_{it}^{\rho_{i(t)} \ldots \rho_1}(t) : U_{\rho_{i(t)} \ldots \rho_1}(t) \to U_{\rho_{i(t)} \ldots \rho_1}(t), \quad \text{and} \]
\[ T_{it}^{\rho_{i(t)} \ldots \rho_1}(q) : U_{\rho_{i(t)} \ldots \rho_1}(q) \to U_{\rho_{i(t)} \ldots \rho_1}(q) \]

have the same definition on a set of generators of $U_{\rho_{i(t)} \ldots \rho_1}(t)$ as in [3] Proposition 3.26. Using (2.10), $\bar{\Phi}(x_\beta) = x_\beta$ for all $\beta \in \Delta_q^+ \cap ZJ = \Delta_t^+$, and

\[ \bar{\Phi}(x_{N_\beta}^q) = \begin{cases}
x_{N_\beta}^q & \beta \in \mathcal{D}_q^+ \cap ZJ, \\
0 & \beta \notin \mathcal{D}_q^+ \cap ZJ.
\end{cases} \]
Then $\tilde{\Phi}(Z_q^+ \cap \tilde{B}_q)$ is a map between $q$-polynomial rings. From \eqref{2.11} $\ker \tilde{\Phi}_{Z_q^+}$ is the ideal of $Z_q^+$ generated by \(x_{\beta}^{N_\beta}, \beta \in \Omega^+_q \cap (\Omega^+_q \cap \mathbb{Z}^3)$.

\[\Phi \times \Phi \]

\begin{align*}
\text{Remark 2.6.} \quad & \text{The Hopf algebra map } \tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{B}_q \to \tilde{B}_q \text{ of Proposition 2.5 is not necessarily injective. }
\end{align*}

For example, let $q$ be a braiding of type $\mathfrak{ufo}(3)$ with Dynkin diagram

\[\begin{align*}
\Phi & : \mathcal{L}_q \to \mathcal{L}_q \\
& = \langle \gamma, \alpha \rangle = \langle \gamma(2), x \rangle \langle y(1), x' \rangle, \quad \langle y'y', x \rangle = \langle y, x(2) \rangle \langle y', x(1) \rangle,
\end{align*}\]

for all $x, x', y, y' \in \mathcal{L}_q$. Given $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, let $y_h \in \mathcal{L}_q$ be determined by

\[\langle y_h, x \rangle = \delta_{h,j}, \quad j \in \mathfrak{h}.\]

If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h_k = (0, \ldots, 1, 0 \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$ (1 in the $k$-th place), then we denote $y_{h_k}^{(n)} := y_{n h_k}$. By \cite{3} Remark 4.10, the algebra $\mathcal{L}_q$ is generated by

\[\{y_{\alpha_i} : i \in \mathbb{I} \} \cup \{y_{N_\beta}^{(N_\beta)} : \beta \in \mathcal{D}_q \cap \mathcal{P}(\tilde{B}_q)\}.
\]
3. Nilpotent Lie algebras arising from Nichols algebras

3.1. Extensions. Let $\mathfrak{z}_q$ be the graded dual of $Z^+_q$. From now on, we assume the condition

\begin{equation}
N^q_\alpha \gamma^q_{\alpha \beta} = 1, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^q.
\end{equation}

As said (3.1) depends fully on $q$ and not just on the Dynkin diagram. The assumption (3.1) implies that $Z^+_q$ is central in $\tilde{B}_q$ [10, Proposition 21].

We next recall the nilpotent Lie algebra $n^q$ from [5].

**Proposition 3.1.** [5, 3.2, 3.3] There is an extension of braided Hopf algebras

\begin{equation}
B_q \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathcal{L}_q \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \mathfrak{z}_q.
\end{equation}

Let $n^q = \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{z}_q)$. Then $\mathfrak{z}_q$ is a usual Hopf algebra isomorphic to $U(n^q)$ and $\{ \xi_\beta := \iota^* (N^q_\beta y_\beta) : \beta \in \Omega^q_+ \}$ is a basis of $n^q$.

3.2. The root system. In our quest to determine $n^q$ we introduce

\begin{equation}
\Omega^q = \{ N^q_\beta : \beta \in \Omega^q \}, \quad \Omega^q_+ = \Omega^q \cap \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \beta = N^q_\beta \beta, \beta \in \Omega^q.
\end{equation}

**Remark 3.2.** Since $\xi_\beta$ is homogeneous of degree $\beta$, the Lie algebra $n^q$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded with support $\Omega^q_+$ and its components have dimension $\leq 1$.

**Remark 3.3.** The elements $\xi_\beta$ depend on the choice of the reduced expression (2.4) of $w_0$. However this affects the ‘root vector’ $\xi_\beta$ only by a non-zero scalar. Indeed let $w_0 = \sigma_{k_1} \cdots \sigma_{k_M}$ be another reduced expression, and

\[ \eta_j := s_{k_1}^q \cdots s_{k_{j-1}}^q (\alpha_{k_j}), \quad j \in \mathbb{I}_M. \]

Then $(\eta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{I}_M}$ is just a reordering of $\Delta^q_+$. We define according to this new reduced expression as in (2.6), respectively (2.15)

\[ x_\beta \in \tilde{B}_q, \quad y_\beta \in \mathcal{L}_q, \quad \beta \in \Delta^q_+. \]

Let $\beta \in \Omega^q_+$. Then $\xi_\beta := \iota^* (N^q_\beta y_\beta) \in \mathfrak{z}_q$ satisfies $\xi_\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+ \xi_\beta$, since both are non-zero elements of degree $\beta = N^q_\beta \beta$ in $n^q$.

Our first goal is to show that $\Omega^q$ is a root system inside the $\mathbb{R}$-subspace $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathbb{R}^I$ spanned by $\Omega^q$. For this we follow [11] VI, Définition 1. By construction, $\Omega^q$ is finite, $0 \notin \Omega^q$ and $\mathcal{V}$ is spanned by $\Omega^q$. Next we need to define for each $\beta \in \Omega^q$ a suitable element $\beta^\vee \in \mathcal{V}^\ast$. We start by some auxiliary results.

**Lemma 3.4.** If $i \in \mathbb{I}$, then $s^q_i (\Omega^q) = \Omega^{\rho_i(q)}$. In particular, $s^q_i (\Omega^q) = \Omega^q$ if $i$ is a Cartan vertex.

**Proof.** Let $i \in \mathbb{I}$, $p = \rho_i(q)$. Then $s^q_i (\Omega^q) = \Omega^{\rho_i(q)}$ follows from Lemma 2.3.

The last statement follows since $\rho_i(q) = q$ if $i$ is a Cartan vertex. \qed
Let $\beta = N^q_\beta \in \mathfrak{Q}^q$ and fix an expression $\beta = s_{i_1}^q \ldots s_{i_k}(\alpha_i)$, where $i \in \mathbb{I}$ is a Cartan vertex of $p = \rho_{i_k} \ldots \rho_{i_1}(q)$. We define $s_\beta : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^q$ by

$$s_\beta := s_{i_1}^q \ldots s_{i_k} \sigma_{i_k} s_{i_k} \ldots s_{i_1}.$$  

**Lemma 3.5.** (a) $s_{i_1}^q \ldots \sigma_{i_k} \sigma_{i_k} \ldots \sigma_{i_1} \in \text{Hom}(q, q)$. Hence $s_\beta$ is a reflection of $\mathbb{R}^q$ such that

$$s_\beta(\beta) = -\beta, \quad s_\beta(\Delta^q) = \Delta^q, \quad s_\beta(\mathfrak{Q}^q) = \mathfrak{Q}^q.$$ 

(b) $s_\beta$ does not depend on $\circ$: If $\beta = s_{j_1}^q \ldots s_{j_l}(\alpha_j)$ is another expression where $j \in \mathbb{I}$ is a Cartan vertex of $r = \rho_{j_l} \ldots \rho_{j_1}(q)$, then

$$s_\beta = s_{j_1}^q \ldots s_{j_l} s_{j_l} s_{j_l} \ldots s_{j_1}.$$ 

**Proof.** For (a) notice that $\rho_i(p) = p$ since $i$ is a Cartan vertex of $p$. Hence $\rho_i \rho_{i_k} \ldots \rho_{i_1}(q) = \rho_1(p) = p$, so $s_{i_1}^q \ldots \sigma_{i_k} \sigma_{i_k} \ldots \sigma_{i_1} \in \text{Hom}(q, q)$. This fact implies that

$$s_\beta(\Delta^q) = s_{i_1}^q \ldots s_{i_k} \sigma_{i_k} s_{i_k} \ldots s_{i_1}(\Delta^q) = s_{i_1}^q \ldots s_{i_k} \sigma_{i_k}(\Delta^p) = s_{i_1}^q \ldots s_{i_k} (\Delta^p) = \Delta^q,$$

and similarly $s_\beta(\mathfrak{Q}^q) = \mathfrak{Q}^q$, see Lemma 3.4. Set $t = s_{i_1}^q \ldots s_{i_k} \in \text{Aut}\mathbb{R}^p$, so $s_\beta = ts_{i_1}^q t^{-1}$. Now $s_\beta$ is a reflection since $s_{i_1}^q$ is a reflection, and

$$s_\beta(\beta) = N^q_\beta s_\beta(\beta) = N^q_\beta ts_{i_1}^q t^{-1}(t(\alpha_i)) = -N^q_\beta t(\alpha_i) = -N^q_\beta \beta = -\beta.$$ 

For (b) set $s := s_{j_1}^q \ldots s_{j_l} s_{j_l} s_{j_l} \ldots s_{j_1} : \mathbb{R}^q \to \mathbb{R}^q$. By (a) $s$ is a reflection such that $s(\beta) = -\beta$ and $s(\Delta^q) = \Delta^q$, hence $s = s_\beta$ by [11] IV, Lemme 1. 

As $s_\beta$ preserves $\mathfrak{Q}^q$ and $s_\beta(\beta) = -\beta$, the restriction of $s_\beta$ is a reflection of $\mathcal{V}$ (that we denote by the same name). Thus we define $\overline{\beta}^\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{V}^*$ as the unique linear form such that

$$s_\beta(v) = v - \overline{\beta}^\mathcal{V}(v) \beta \quad \text{for all} \ v \in \mathcal{V}.$$ 

The strategy to reach our goal in the proof of the next Theorem is to reduce to rank 2 and invoke [5].

**Theorem 3.6.** $\mathfrak{Q}^q$ is a root system of $\mathcal{V}$.

**Proof.** By [11] VI, Définition 1 and Lemma 3.5 it only remains to show that

$$\overline{\beta}^\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{Q}^q) \subset \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all} \ \beta \in \mathfrak{Q}^q.$$ 

Let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathfrak{Q}^q$, where $\beta = N^q_\beta \beta, \gamma = N^q_\gamma \gamma, \beta \neq \gamma \in \Delta_i^q$. By Theorem 2.2 there exist $p \in \mathcal{X}, w \in \text{Hom}(q, p)$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$ such that

$$w(\beta) = \alpha_i, \quad \alpha := w(\gamma) \in \Delta^p \cap (\alpha_i + \alpha_j).$$
Notice that $N^p_β := ord_p(β)$ coincides with $N^q_β$, and also $N^q_β = N^q_α$, so $α = w(γ)$. Let $r$ be the $2 × 2$-submatrix of $p$ corresponding to $i, j$. Then

$$\Delta^r = \Delta^p \cap (Zα_i + Zα_j).$$

By [5], $n^r$ is the positive part of a (rank 2) semisimple Lie algebra, so $Ω^r$ is a root system. As $s_i^r(α) ∈ Ω^r$, there exists $b ∈ Z$ such that $s_i^r(α) = α - bα_j$. As $s_i^p|_{Zα_i + Zα_j}$ depends only on $c_{ij}^p = c_{ij}^r$, we have that $s_i^p|_{Zα_i + Zα_j} = s_i^r$: in particular, $s_i^r(α) = s_i^r(α) = α - bα_j$.

We fix a reduced expression $w^{-1} = σ_{i_1}^q . . . σ_{i_k}$. Let $t = s_{i_1}^q . . . s_{i_k}$. As $β = w^{-1}(α_i)$, we have that $s_β = ts_i^p t^{-1}$, see Lemma 3.3(b). Hence

$$s_β(γ) = ts_i^p t^{-1}(γ) = ts_i^q(α) = t(α - bα_j) = γ - bβ.$$

Thus $β'(γ) = b ∈ Z$.

The following is a set of simple roots of $Ω^q$:

$$(3.4) \quad Π^q = \{ α ∈ Ω^q : α ≠ α + β \text{ for all } α, β ∈ Ω^q \}.$$

We next prove that the root system is the same for all $p ∈ X$, see [23]. (Recall that such $p$ is said to be Weyl equivalent to $q$).

**Lemma 3.7.** Let $p ∈ X$. Then $Ω^q$ and $Ω^p$ are isomorphic as root systems.

**Proof.** It is enough to consider the case $p = ρ_i(q)$, $i ∈ I$. Let $V'$ be the $ℝ$-subspace of $ℝ^3$ spanned by $Δ^p$. By Lemma 3.4 $s_i^q : V → V'$ is a linear isomorphism such that $s_i^q(Ω^q) = Δ^p$. It remains to prove that

$$s_i^q(β) = s_i^q(s_β(s_i^q))^{-1} = s_i^q s_β s_i^q \quad \text{for all } β ∈ Ω^q.$$

Let $β ∈ Ω^q$, $β' = s_i^q(β) ∈ Δ^p$. Let $β ∈ Ω^q$ be such that $β = Nββ$. If $β = α_i$, then $s_β = s_i^q = s_i^p = s_β'$. Assume now $β ≠ α_i$. By definition there exist $i_1, . . . , i_k, j ∈ I$ such that $β' = s_{i_1}^q . . . s_{i_k} q(α_j)$, where $j$ is a Cartan vertex of $r = ρ_{i_k} . . . ρ_{i_1}(p)$. Hence $β = s_{i_1}^q s_{i_1} . . . s_{i_k} q(α_j)$: By Lemma 3.3(b)

$$s_β = s_{i_1}^q . . . s_{i_k} q s_{i_1} s_{i_1} . . . s_{i_k} s_{i_1},$$

$$s_β' = s_{i_1}^q . . . s_{i_k} q s_{i_1} s_{i_1} . . . s_{i_k} s_{i_1} (s_{i_1}^q)^2 s_{i_1} . . . s_{i_k} q s_{i_1} . . . s_{i_k} (s_{i_1}^q)^2 = s_{i_1}^q s_β s_{i_1}^q.$$

Thus $s_i^q$ is an isomorphism of root systems.

**3.3. Identification of $n^q$.** Let $g^q$ be the semisimple Lie algebra with root system $Ω^q$, let $b^q$ be a Borel subalgebra and $g^q_+ = [b^q, b^q]$. Here is our next goal:

**Theorem 3.8.** There is an isomorphism of Lie algebras $n^q ∼ g^q_+$.

For this, we use the (probably well-known) characterization:

**Lemma 3.9.** Let $g_+$ be the positive part of a semisimple Lie algebra $g$ of rank $r$ with root system $Δ$ and root lattice $Q$. Let $n = ⊕_{β ∈ Q} n_β$ be a $Q$-graded Lie algebra such that:
(a) For each $\beta \in Q$, $\dim n_\beta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \beta \in \Delta_+, \\ 0 & \text{if } \beta \notin \Delta_+. \end{cases}$

(b) If $\mu, \nu \in \Delta_+$ are such that $\mu + \nu \in \Delta_+$, then $n_{\mu+\nu} = [n_\mu, n_\nu]$. Then $n \simeq g_+$ as Lie algebras.

Proof. Let $C = (c_{ij})$ be the Cartan matrix of $g$. Recall that $g_+$ is presented by generators $e_i$, $i \in I$, and the Serre relations $(ad e_j)^{1-c_{ij}} e_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$.

For each $\beta \in \Delta_+$ we pick $e_\beta \in n_\beta - 0$, hence $n_\beta = ke_\beta$ by (a). First we claim that there exists a Lie algebra map $\varphi : g_+ \to n$ such that $\varphi(e_i) = e_{\alpha_i}$ for all $i \in I$. In fact, for $i \neq j \in I$, $(ad e_j)^{1-c_{ij}} e_j \in n_{(1-c_{ij})\alpha_i + \alpha_j}$. By (a) we have $n_{(1-c_{ij})\alpha_i + \alpha_j} = 0$, since $(1 - c_{ij})\alpha_i + \alpha_j \notin \Delta_+$. Hence $(ad e_j)^{1-c_{ij}} e_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$, which implies the existence of $\varphi$.

Next we claim that $\varphi$ is surjective. By (a) it suffices to prove that $e_\beta \in \varphi(g_+)$ for all $\beta \in \Delta_+$. Let $\beta \in \Delta_+$: by [11, Proposition 19] we may write $\beta = \alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_n}$, $i_j \in I$, such that each $\gamma_k := \alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_k} \in \Delta_+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By (b) $e_{\gamma_{k+1}} \in \mathbb{N}[e_{\gamma_k}, e_{\alpha_{i_{k+1}}}]$ for all $k < n$. Hence we have that $e_k \in \varphi(g_+)$ by induction on $k$; in particular $\beta = \gamma_0 \in \varphi(g_+)$. Finally $\varphi$ is an isomorphism since $\dim n = |\Delta_+| = \dim g_+$ by (a). 

We have seen in Remark 3.2 that $n^q$ satisfies hypothesis (a) of Lemma 3.9, and we next prove that also (b) holds. For this, we reduce again to rank 2, where is already known [5], moving around by the action of the Weyl groupoid.

Lemma 3.10. Let $\mu, \nu, \gamma \in \Sigma^q$, be such that $\mu + \nu = \gamma$. Then

$$(3.5) \quad \xi_\mu, \xi_\nu \neq 0.$$ 

Consequently, the Lie algebra $n^q$ is generated by $H^q$.

Proof. To start with, choose $i \in I$ and let $p = p_i(q)$, $\mu' := s_i(\mu)$, $\nu' := s_i(\nu)$, $\gamma' := s_i(\gamma)$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\mu' = s_i(\mu), \quad \nu' = s_i(\nu), \quad \gamma' = s_i(\gamma) \in \Sigma^p.$$ 

Claim 1. If $\mu', \nu', \gamma' \in \Sigma^p$ and $[\xi_{\mu'}, \xi_{\nu'}] \neq 0$ in $n^p$, then $[\xi_{\mu'}, \xi_{\nu'}] \neq 0$ in $n^q$.

Proof of the Claim. By Lemma 2.1 there is a reduced expression $w_0 = \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_M}$

with $i = i_1$ and $h \in I$ such that $w_0^q = \sigma_{i_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_M} e_h$ is a reduced expression of $w_0^q$. By Remark 3.3 we may assume that the root vectors $x_\beta, \beta \in \Delta^q_+$, for $q$ are defined using the reduced expression $\star$. As in (2.5) we set

$$\beta_t = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{t-1}}(\alpha_{i_t}), \quad t \in I_M.$$

Assume that $\mu < \nu$ for the convex order associated to $\star$. Hence $\mu < \gamma < \nu$ and there exists $j < k < \ell \in I_{2,M}$ such that $\mu = \beta_j$, $\gamma = \beta_k$, $\nu = \beta_\ell$, see [9].
In particular we have that
\( \beta'_t = s_{i_2}^{\beta_1} \cdots s_{i_{t-1}}(\alpha_{i_t}) \), \( t \in I_{2,M} \), \( \beta'_{t+1} = s_{i_2}^{\beta_1} \cdots s_{i_{M+1}}(\alpha_{i_k}) = \alpha_i \).

Therefore, \( s_i(\beta'_t) = \beta_t \) for all \( t \in I_{2,M} \), so
\( \mu' = s_{i_2}^{\beta_1} \cdots s_{i_j}(\alpha_{i_j}) \), \( \gamma' = s_{i_2}^{p} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}) \), \( \nu' = s_{i_2}^{p} \cdots s_{i_{j-1}}(\gamma_{i_j}) \).

By Remark 3.3 again, the root vectors \( x_{\beta'} \), \( \beta' \in \Delta_p^+ \), for \( p \) are defined using the reduced expression \( \bullet \); one should not confuse them with the \( x_{\beta} \)'s that correspond to \( q \). Using this, we see by definition that
\[
T^q_i(x_{\beta'}) = x_{\beta_i} \quad \text{for all } t \in I_{2,M}, \quad T^q_i(x_{\beta'_{M+1}}) \in U^<q.
\]

In particular we have that
\[
T^q_i(x_{\mu'}) = x_{\mu_i}, \quad T^q_i(x_{\nu'}) = x_{\nu_i}, \quad T^q_i(x_{\gamma'}) = x_{\gamma_i}.
\]

As \( \mu + \nu = \gamma \), there exists \( c \in k \) such that \( [\xi_{\mu}, \xi_{\nu}] = c \xi_{\gamma} \); our goal is to prove that \( c \neq 0 \). Let \( \Delta \) be the comultiplication of \( \hat{B}_q \). Then
\[
c = c \xi_{\gamma}(x_{\gamma_i}) = [\xi_{\mu}, \xi_{\nu}](x_{\gamma_i}) = (\xi_{\mu} \otimes \xi_{\nu} - \xi_{\nu} \otimes \xi_{\mu}) \Delta(x_{\gamma_i}).
\]

By \([10]\) Proposition 28, we have
\[
\Delta(x_{\gamma_i}) = \Delta(x_{\beta_k}) \otimes 1 + \sum x_{\beta_k} x_{\beta_{k-1}} x_{\beta_{k-2}} \cdots x_{\beta_1} \otimes \hat{B}_q.
\]

Recall that \( x_i = x_{\beta_1} \). Then \( x_{\nu_i} x_{\mu_i} = x_{\beta_i} \otimes x_{\beta_j} \) does not appear in this expression of \( \Delta(x_{\gamma_i}) \). We conclude by \((2.15)\) that
\[
-c = (\xi_{\nu} \otimes \xi_{\mu}) \Delta(x_{\gamma_i})
\]
is the coefficient of \( x_{\mu} \otimes x_{\nu} \) in the expression of \( \Delta(x_{\gamma_i}) \) in the basis \( \gamma_q \times \gamma_q \); so we have to prove that this is \( \neq 0 \). Thus Claim \( \text{II} \) is equivalent to:

**Claim 2.** If the coefficient of \( x_{\mu_i} x_{\nu_i} \) in the expression of \( \Delta(x_{\gamma_i}) \) in the basis \( \gamma_q \times \gamma_q \) is \( \neq 0 \), then so is the coefficient of \( x_{\mu_i} x_{\nu_i} \) in the expression of \( \Delta(x_{\gamma_i}) \) in the basis \( \gamma_q \times \gamma_q \).

To deal with Claim \( \text{II} \) we need more facts from \([10]\). As in \([10]\) Remark 5, where more details could be found, let
- \( \hat{B}_{p,i} = k[x_i] \), the subalgebra of \( \hat{B}_p \) generated by \( x_i \),
- \( \iota_i : \hat{B}_{p,i} \rightarrow \hat{B}_p \) the inclusion,
- \( \pi_i : \hat{B}_p \rightarrow \hat{B}_{p,i} \) the projection annihilating \( x_j \) for all \( j \neq i \),
- \( \iota \) the action of \( (\hat{B}_{p,i})^* \) on \( \hat{B}_p \) (whose precise definition is not needed here),
- and \( y^{(k)}_i \in (\hat{B}_{p,i})^* \) such that \( y^{(k)}_i(x'_i) = \delta_{k,i} \). Notice that \( y^{(k)}_i \) is nothing but the image of \( y^{(k)}_i \) in \( \hat{B}_p \) under the map \( \iota_i : (\hat{B}_p)^* \rightarrow (\hat{B}_{p,i})^* \).
By [10] Theorem 27, we have

$$\Delta(x^N_{\gamma}) = \Delta\left(T^q_i(x^N_{\gamma'})\right)$$

(3.8)

$$= \sum_{k \geq 0} T^q_i\left((x^N_{\gamma'})(1)\right)x^k_i \otimes T^q_i\left(t_iS^{-1}\pi_i\left((x^N_{\gamma'})(2)\right)\left(x^N_{\gamma'}\right)(3)\right) \cdot y^{(k)}_i.$$

To compute the coefficient of $x^N_{\mu''} \otimes x^N_{\nu''}$ in (3.8), written as a linear combination of elements of the PBW-basis of $\tilde{B}_q$ in both sides of the tensor product, we write each term of

(3.9) $$\text{(id} \otimes \Delta\text{)}\Delta(x^N_{\gamma'}) = (x^N_{\gamma'})(1) \otimes (x^N_{\gamma'})(2) \otimes (x^N_{\gamma'})(3)$$

as a linear combination of the elements of the PBW-basis of $\tilde{B}_p$. By [10], Proposition 28, now applied to $x^N_{\gamma'} = x^N_{\beta_k'} \in \tilde{B}_p$,

$$\text{(id} \otimes \Delta\text{)}\Delta(x^N_{\gamma'}) \in x^N_{\beta_k'} \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + \sum x^h_{\beta'_k} \cdots x^h_{\beta'_2} \otimes \tilde{B}_p \otimes \tilde{B}_p.$$

Using (3.6) again, $x^N_{\mu''} \otimes x^N_{\nu''}$ can appear in

$$T^q_i\left((x^N_{\gamma'})(1)\right)x^k_i \otimes T^q_i\left(t_iS^{-1}\pi_i\left((x^N_{\gamma'})(2)\right)\left(x^N_{\gamma'}\right)(3)\right) \cdot y^{(k)}_i$$

only when $k = 0$ and for those terms of (3.9) with $x^N_{\mu''}$ on the left hand side.

Next we determine the middle part of those terms of (3.9) that contribute to the coefficient of $x^N_{\mu''} \otimes x^N_{\nu''}$. By [10] Lemma 14, $\pi_i$ annihilates every term of the PBW-basis except $x^m_{\beta_{M+1}} = x^m_{i}$, $m \geq 0$. Then

$$T^q_i\left(t_iS^{-1}\pi_i(x^h_{M+1} \cdots x^h_2)\right) = \delta_{h_{M+1}} \cdots \delta_{h_2,0}(-1)^{h_{M+1}} T^q_i(x^h_{M+1}).$$

Also, if $h_{M+1} > 0$, then $T^q_i(x^h_{M+1}) \in U^{\leq 0}_q$ by (3.6). Thus $x^N_{\mu''} \otimes x^N_{\nu''}$ can appear in (3.8) only for those summands of (3.9) such that the middle part is 1: that is, we only consider the terms of (3.9) of the form

$$x^N_{\mu''} \otimes 1 \otimes x^h_{M+1} \cdots x^h_2.$$

Finally the right hand side of (3.9) must be $x^N_{\mu''} \otimes x^N_{\nu''}$ by (3.6), so $c$ is the coefficient of $x^N_{\mu''} \otimes x^N_{\nu''}$ in $\Delta(x^N_{\gamma'})$, which is non-zero by hypothesis.

We come back to the starting point of $\mu, \nu, \gamma \in \Delta_+^q$. By Theorem 2.2 there exist $p \in \mathcal{X}$, $w \in \text{Hom}(q,p)$ such that

$$\mu'' = w^{-1}(\mu), \nu'' = w^{-1}(\nu) \in \Delta_+^p \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_2;$$

thus also $\gamma'' = w^{-1}(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_2$.

Claim 3. $[\xi_{\mu''}, \xi_{\nu''}] \neq 0$. 

Proof of the Claim. We follow the notation of [2, 3, 5]. Let $r = (p_{ij})_{i,j \in I_2}$ be the 2 × 2-submatrix of $p = (p_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ corresponding to $J = I_2$. By Proposition 2.5 there exists a $\mathbb{Z}^+$-graded Hopf algebra map $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}_c^+ \to \mathbb{Z}_p^+$. Hence $\Phi : \mathfrak{Z}_p \to \mathfrak{Z}_r$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^+$-graded Hopf algebra map which restricts to a $\mathbb{Z}^+$-graded Lie algebra map $\Phi : \mathfrak{n}^r \to \mathfrak{n}^r$. Let
\[
\mathfrak{n}^r_j := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_1 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_2} (\mathfrak{n}^q)_\beta.
\]
By Proposition 2.5 [iii] $(\Phi^r)|_{\mathfrak{n}^q_j} : \mathfrak{n}^q_j \to \mathfrak{n}^r$ is injective. By Remark 3.2 the non-trivial homogeneous components of $\mathfrak{n}^r$ are one-dimensional, so
\[
(\mathfrak{n}^r)_\mu'' = k \Phi^r(\xi_{\mu''}), \quad (\mathfrak{n}^r)_\nu'' = k \Phi^r(\xi_{\nu''}).
\]
By [5], $\mathfrak{n}^r$ is the positive part of a rank two semisimple Lie algebra. Hence [21] §8.4] applies:
\[
[(\mathfrak{n}^r)_\mu'', (\mathfrak{n}^r)_\nu''] = (\mathfrak{n}^r)_{\gamma''}.
\]
Then $\Phi^r([\xi_{\mu''}, \xi_{\nu''}]) = [\Phi^r(\xi_{\mu''}), \Phi^r(\xi_{\nu''})] \neq 0$, and Claim [3] follows. \qed

Pick a reduced expression $w = \sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_t$. By [20] Lemma 8 (i) there exists a reduced expression $w_0 = \sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_{t_M}$ of $w_0$ which extends the reduced expression of $w$. By [2, 5] [ii] there exist $j, k, \ell \in M$ such that
\[
\mu = \sigma_{i_1} \ldots \sigma_{i_{j-1}}(\alpha_{i_j}), \quad \gamma = \sigma_{i_1} \ldots \sigma_{i_{k-1}}(\alpha_{i_k}), \quad \nu = \sigma_{i_1} \ldots \sigma_{i_{\ell-1}}(\alpha_{i_{\ell}}).
\]
Up to exchange $\mu$ and $\nu$ we may assume that $j < l$, and necessarily $j < k < \ell$ because $N_\mu + N_\nu = N_{\gamma}$. By Claim [3] $[\xi_{\mu''}, \xi_{\nu''}] \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{n}^p$. As $\mu'' = w^{-1}(\mu)$ is a positive root, we have that $t \leq j$, see [20] Lemma 8 (iii). Hence we may apply Claim [1] repeatedly, starting with $\mu'', \nu'', \gamma'' \in \mathfrak{D}_p$, to conclude that (3.5) holds. \qed

In conclusion, Theorem 3.8 follows by Lemma 3.9 since the hypotheses (a) and (b) are satisfied by Remark 3.2 and Lemma 3.10 respectively.

4. Determination of $\mathfrak{n}^q$

Finally we explain how to obtain the Tables 1, 2, 3 and 5.

4.1. The strategy. By Theorem 3.8 we just need to compute the Cartan matrix $(a_{ij})$ of $\mathfrak{g}^q$; clearly $a_{ii} = 2$. We proceed as follows:
(1) If $\mathfrak{D}_q = \emptyset$, then set $\mathfrak{g}^q := 0$ (this happens only once).
   So we assume that $|\mathfrak{D}_q| > 0$.
(2) We compute the set $\mathfrak{H}_q$ in (3.4) and fix a numeration $(\varpi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$.
(3) Given $\varpi_i \neq \varpi_j \in \mathfrak{H}_q$, the $(i, j)$ entry is determined by
(4.1) \[ a_{ij} := -\sup\{m \in \mathbb{N}_0 : m \varpi_i + \varpi_j \in \mathfrak{D}_q^{-1}\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}. \]
We then go through all the diagrams of the classification in [18] as described in [2]. This is now straightforward and we only give some examples to illustrate how to implement the methodology. By Lemma 5.7 it is enough to deal with just one element in each Weyl-equivalence class.

Following [2], we use a simplified notation for the expression of the positive roots as linear combinations of simple roots. For example, we write $34^25^36^2$ instead of $\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6$.

**Example 4.1.** Let $\mathcal{B}_q$ be a Nichols algebra of Cartan type with Cartan matrix $C = (c_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$. The matrix $q$ is written in terms of a root of unity $q \in G'_N$ [2, §4], where $N \geq 2$ if the Dynkin diagram of $C$ is simply laced, $N \neq 2, 4$ if it has a double arrow and $N \geq 4$ if $C$ is of type $G_2$.

(1) If $N$ is coprime with all $c_{ij}$, $i \neq j$, then $\Omega^q$ is the root system associated with $C$. In particular, when the Dynkin diagram of $C$ is simply laced.

(2) If $N$ is not coprime with all $c_{ij}$, $i \neq j$, then $\Omega^q$ is the root system of the Langlands dual group of $C$.

Indeed, $\Omega^q = \Delta^q$ is the root system of $C$: all the roots are of Cartan type. If the Dynkin diagram of $C$ is simply laced, then $q_{ii} = q$ for all $i \in I$. By Lemma 2.3 $q_{\beta} = q$ for all $\beta \in \Delta^q_+$, so

$$\Omega^q = \{ N\beta : \beta \in \Delta^q \}.$$ 

Now assume that the Dynkin diagram of $C$ is not simply laced. We set $c = 2$ if $C$ is of types $B_d, C_d, F_4$, and $c = 3$ if $C$ is of type $G_2$. Then $q_{ii} = q$ if $\alpha_i$ is a short root, and $q_{ii} = q^c$ if $\alpha_i$ is a long root. By Lemma 2.3 we have

- $q_{\beta} = q$ for all $\beta \in \Delta^q_+ s$, the set of short positive roots,
- $q_{\beta} = q^c$ for all $\beta \in \Delta^q_+ l$, the set of long positive roots.

If $c$ does not divide $N$, then $N\beta = N$ for all $\beta \in \Delta^q$, so $\Omega^q = \{ N\beta : \beta \in \Delta^q \}$ again. Otherwise, $N = cM$ for some $M \geq 2$, and

$$\Omega^q = \{ \pm N\beta : \beta \in \Delta^q_+ s \} \cup \{ \pm M\beta : \beta \in \Delta^q_+ l \}.$$ 

Then $\Omega^q$ is the Langlands dual since we exchange long and short roots. This result coincides with [24].

**Example 4.2.** Let $\mathcal{B}_q$ be a Nichols algebra of super type. That is, the Weyl groupoid of $q$ is the one of a finite-dimensional contragredient Lie superalgebra $g$ over a field of characteristic zero [22]. The matrix $q$ is written in terms of a root of unity $q \in G'_N$, $N \geq 3$ [2, §5].

(1) If $q$ is of type either $A(k - 1|\theta - k), D(2; 1; \alpha)$ or else $G(3)$, then $\Omega^q$ is the root system of the Lie algebra $g_0$ (the even part of $g$). The same happens if $N$ is odd and $q$ is of type $B(k|\theta - k), D(k|\theta - k)$ or $F(4)$.

(2) Assume that $N$ is even and $q$ is of type $B(k|\theta - k), D(k|\theta - k)$ or $F(4)$. The Lie algebra $g_0$ is a product of two simple Lie algebras and $\Omega^q$ is the root system of the product of one of these simple Lie algebra with the Langlands dual of the other, see Table 2 for the precise description.
For example, let $B_q$ be a Nichols algebra of super type $B(k|\theta - k)$, $k \in \mathbb{I}$ \cite[§5.2]{2}. Because of Lemma 3.7 we may choose just one of the matrices $q$ in \textit{loc. cit.} Concretely we consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\circ \quad \circ \quad \circ \quad \circ \\
\psi_2 \quad \psi_1 \\
\end{array}
\]

where $q \in \mathbb{G}_N$, $N \neq 4$.

For $1 \leq i < j \leq \theta$ we set $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_i$, $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} + \cdots + \alpha_j$ and $\beta_{ij} = \alpha_i + \theta - \alpha_j$. Hence the set of positive Cartan roots is

\[
\Omega_\beta = \{ \alpha_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq k \} \cup \{ \alpha_{ij} : k < i < j \leq \theta \} \cup \{ \alpha_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq k \}
\]

First we assume that $N$ is odd. In this case, $N_\beta = \begin{cases} 2N & \beta = \alpha_i \theta, \ i \in \mathbb{I}_k, \\ N & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$

We enumerate $\Pi_\beta$ as follows:

\[
\omega_j = N \alpha_j, \quad j \in \mathbb{I} - \{k\}; \quad \omega_k = 2N \alpha_k \theta.
\]

By direct computation,

\[
a_{ij} = \begin{cases} -2, & (i, j) = (k - 1, k), (\theta, \theta - 1); \\ -1, & |i - j| = 1, (i, j) \neq (k \pm 1, k), (k, k + 1), (\theta, \theta - 1); \\ 0, & \text{either } |i - j| > 1 \text{ or } \{i, j\} = \{k, k + 1\}. \end{cases}
\]

Hence $\Omega_\beta$ is of type $C_k \times B_{\theta - k}$.

Next we assume that $N$ is even, $N = 2M$. In this case, $N_\beta = \begin{cases} N & \beta = \alpha_i \theta, \ i \in \mathbb{I}, \\ M & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$

We enumerate $\Pi_\beta$ as follows:

\[
\omega_j = M \alpha_j, \quad j \in \mathbb{I} - \{k, \theta\}; \quad \omega_k = N \alpha_k \theta; \quad \omega_\theta = N \alpha_\theta.
\]

By direct computation,

\[
a_{ij} = \begin{cases} -2, & (i, j) = (k - 1, k), (\theta - 1, \theta); \\ -1, & |i - j| = 1, (i, j) \neq (k \pm 1, k), (k, k + 1), (\theta - 1, \theta); \\ 0, & \text{either } |i - j| > 1 \text{ or } \{i, j\} = \{k, k + 1\}. \end{cases}
\]

Hence $\Omega_\beta$ is of type $C_k \times C_{\theta - k}$.

**Example 4.3.** Let $B_q$ be a Nichols algebra of type $g(2, 6)$ \cite[§8.7]{2}. Because of Lemma 3.7 we may choose just one of the matrices $q$ in \textit{loc. cit.} Concretely we consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\circ \quad \circ \quad \circ \\
\psi_2 \quad \psi_1 \\
\end{array}
\]

where $\zeta \in \mathbb{G}_3$. 

By direct computation, $N_{\beta}^3 = \{ \varpi_1 = 2^3, \varpi_2 = 1^3, \varpi_3 = 2^43^24^3, \varpi_4 = 5^3, \varpi_5 = 4^3 \}$.

In this case $N_{\beta}^3 = 3$ for all $\beta \in \mathcal{D}^q$. Now

$$II^q = \{ \varpi_1 = 2^3, \varpi_2 = 1^3, \varpi_3 = 2^43^24^3, \varpi_4 = 5^3, \varpi_5 = 4^3 \}$$

By direct computation, $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} -1, & |i - j| = 1, \\ 0, & |i - j| > 1. \end{cases}$ Hence $\mathcal{O}^q$ is of type $A_5$.

**Example 4.4.** Let $\mathcal{B}_q$ be a Nichols algebra of unidentified type $\mathfrak{ufo}(2)$ [2, §10.2]. Because of Lemma 3.7, we may choose just one of the matrices $q$ in loc. cit. Concretely we consider the diagram

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
\circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
\circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
\circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
\circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
\circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
\circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
\circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ & \circ \\
\end{array}
\end{equation}

where $\zeta \in \mathcal{G}_4$.

Here, the set of positive Cartan roots is

$$\mathcal{O}^q = \{ 1, 12, 2, 123, 3, 1234, 234, 34, 4, 122345^24536, 123245^3, 123245^36, 123245^367, 123245^3678, 123245^36789, 123245^3678910 \}.$$


