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Abstract

In this article, we study the analytical properties of the solutions of the complex Yang-Mills equations on a closed Riemannian four-manifold \( X \) with a Riemannian metric \( g \). The main result is that if \( g \) is good and the connection is an approximate ASD connection, then the extra field has a positive lower bound. As an application, we obtain some gap results for Yang-Mills connections and Kapustin-Witten equations.
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1 Introduction

Let \( X \) be a smooth, \( n \)-dimensional manifold endowed with a smooth Riemannian metric, \( g \), \( P \) be a principal \( G \)-bundle over \( X \). The structure group \( G \) is assumed to be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \). We denote by \( A \) a smooth connection on \( P \). The curvature of \( A \) is a 2-form \( F_A \) with values in \( \mathfrak{g}_P := P \times_G \mathfrak{g} \) is the adjoint bundle of \( P \). We define by \( d_A \) the exterior covariant derivative on sections of \( \Omega^\bullet(X, \mathfrak{g}_P) := \Omega^\bullet T^*X \otimes \mathfrak{g} \) with respect to the connection \( A \). We denote by \( \phi \) a one-form taking values in \( \mathfrak{g}_P \). The curvature \( \mathcal{F}_A \) of the complex connection \( A := A + \sqrt{-1} \phi \) is a two-form with values in \( \mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}_P := P \times_G (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \) [12, Equation (2.1)]:

\[
\mathcal{F}_A := [(d_A + \sqrt{-1} \phi) \wedge (d_A + \sqrt{-1} \phi)] = F_A - \phi \wedge \phi + \sqrt{-1} d_A \phi.
\]

We call that the connection \( A \) is complex it only means that the connection \( A \) is a 1-form with value in \( \mathfrak{g}^\mathbb{C}_P \) but the base manifold is always real Riemannian manifold. The complex Yang-Mills functional is defined in any dimension as the norm squared of the complex curvature:

\[
YM_C(A, \phi) := \frac{1}{2} \int_X |\mathcal{F}_A|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_X (|F_A - \phi \wedge \phi|^2 + |d_A \phi|^2).
\]
A short calculation shows that the gradient of the complex Yang-Mills energy functional $YM_{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to the $L^2$-metric on $C^\infty(X, \Omega^1(g_P) \oplus \Omega^1(g_P))$,

$$(\mathcal{M}(A, \phi), (a, b))_{L^2(X)} := \frac{d}{dt} YM_{\mathbb{C}}(A + ta, \phi + tb) \mid_{t=0} = YM'_{\mathbb{C}}(A, \phi)(a, b),$$

for all $(a, b) \in C^\infty(X, \Omega^1(g_P) \oplus \Omega^1(g_P))$, is given by

$$(\mathcal{M}(A, \phi), (a, b))_{L^2(X)} = (d_A^*(F_A - \phi \wedge \phi), a)_{L^2(X)} + ([a, \phi], d_A \phi)_{L^2(X)}$$

$$(\phi, b) + (\phi, F_A)_{L^2(X)} + (d_A^* d_A \phi, b)_{L^2(X)}.$$

where $d_A^*$ is the $L^2$ adjoint of the exterior covariant derivative $d_A$. We call $(A, \phi)$ a complex Yang-Mills pair with respect to the Riemannian metric $g$ on $X$, if it is a critical point for $YM_{\mathbb{C}}$, that is, $\mathcal{M}(A, \phi) = 0$, i.e., the pair $(A, \phi)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases}
  d_A^* (F_A - \phi \wedge \phi) - (-1)^n [\phi, d_A \phi] = 0, \\
  d_A^* d_A \phi + (-1)^n [\phi, *F_A - \phi \wedge \phi] = 0.
\end{cases}$$

These can also be succinctly written as,

$$d_A^c F_A = 0,$$

where the Hodge operator $*_C$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-linearly extended of $*$. The curvature $F_A$ of connection $A$ always obeys the Bianchi identity

$$d_A F_A = 0.$$

But the complex Yang-Mills equations are not elliptic, even after the real gauge-equivalence, so it is necessary to add the moment map condition [12],

$$d_A^* \phi = 0.$$

In this article, we consider the solutions of the complex Yang-Mills equations with the moment map condition.

Note that the complex Yang-Mills $L^2$-energy functional reduces to the pure Yang-Mills $L^2$-energy functional when $\phi = 0$, respectively

$$YM(A) := \frac{1}{2} \int_X |F_A|^2 dvol_g,$$

and $A$ is a Yang-Mills connection with respect to the Riemannian metric $g$ on $X$ if it is a critical point for $YM(A)$, that is,

$$\mathcal{M}(A) = d_A^c F_A = 0.$$

For any smooth connection $A$, we recall that

$$F_A = F_A^+ + F_A^- \in \Omega^2(X, g_P) = \Omega^{2+}(X, g_P) \oplus \Omega^{2-}(X, g_P),$$
corresponding to the positive and negative eigenspaces $\Omega^2_{\pm}$ of the Hodge star operator $\ast: \Omega^2 \to \Omega^2$ defined by the Riemannian metric $g$ so $F_A^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \ast)F_A \in \Omega^2_{\pm}(X, g_P)$. We denote

$$B_\delta(P, g) := \{ A : \|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \delta \}$$

and

$$B^C_\delta(P, g) := \{ A : \|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \delta \}.$$

Suppose that $(A, \phi)$ is a smooth solution of complex Yang-Mills equations. If $A \in B_\delta(P, g)$, then by the Energy identity in Proposition 2.5

$$\|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)},$$

i.e.,

$$B_\delta \cap \{ A : \mathcal{M}(A, \phi) = 0 \} \subset B^C_\delta \cap \{ A : \mathcal{M}(A, \phi) = 0 \}.$$
We denote by $\mu_g(A)$ the least eigenvalue of operator $d_A^+ d_A^{+,*}$ \cite{[20] Definition 3.1}. It’s easy to see that $\mu_g(A)$ is a function with respect to connection $A$ and $\text{Corker} \ d_A^+ = 0$ is equivalent to $\mu_g(A) > 0$. Feehan proved that $\mu_g(A)$ has a positive lower bound with respect to $[A] \in B_c(P, g)$ under assumption that the Riemannian metric $g$ is \textit{good}. We then proved that

\textbf{Theorem 1.2.} Let $G$ be a compact, simple Lie group and $P$ be a principal $G$-bundle over a closed, connected, four-dimensional, oriented, smooth manifold $X$ with Riemannian metric $g$ that is \textit{good} in the sense of Definition \cite{[17]}. Then there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(g, P)$ such that the following holds. If the pair $(A, \phi)$ is a smooth solution of complex Yang-Mills equations on $P$ such that

$$0 \neq \|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \varepsilon,$$

then there exists a positive constant $C = C(g, P, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)} \geq C.$$

\textbf{Remark 1.3.} For a Riemannian metric $g$ on a four-dimensional, oriented manifold $X$ let $R(x)$ denote its scalar curvature at a point $x \in X$ and let $\mathcal{W}^\pm(x)$ denote its self-dual and anti-self-dual Weyl curvature tensors at $x$. Define $w^\pm := \text{Largest eigenvalue of } \mathcal{W}^\pm(x), \forall x \in X$. When $g$ is positive in the sense of \cite{[8] Definition 3.1}, i.e., $\frac{1}{8} R - 2 \omega^+ > 0$ on $X$, then \cite{[8] Lemma 3.3} implies that $g$ is \textit{good} metric. For \textit{generic} metric $g$ on $X$, suppose that $G, P,$ and $X$ obey one of the sets of conditions as the following,

1. $b^+(X) = 0$, $G = SU(2)$ or $SO(3)$,
2. $b^+(X) > 0$, $G = SO(3)$ and the second Stiefel-Whitney class, $w_2(P) \neq 0$,

the author also claimed that $g$ is \textit{good} in the sense of Definition \cite{[11]} \cite{[8] Corollaries 3.9]. We mean by \textit{generic} metric the metrics in a second category subset of the space of $C^k$ metrics for some fixed $k > 2$ \cite{[4] Page 13}.

There are many examples of complex Yang-Mills equations. For example, the Kapustin-Witten equations \cite{[12], [13], [18]},

\begin{equation}
(F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^+ = 0, \ (d_A \phi)^- = d_A^* \phi = 0. \tag{1.3}
\end{equation}

on a closed four-dimension manifolds $X$. In fact, the Kapustin-Witten equations can be seen as a general anti-self-dual $G_C$-connection \cite{[12]}. If $X$ is a closed Kähler surface, the Kapustin-Witten is equivalent to the Hitchin-Simpson equations \cite{[14], [19]}. From Theorem \textbf{1.2}, we would also obtain a lower bound on extra field of Kapustin-Witten equations. Kapustin and Witten originally obtained the following family of equations parametrized by $\tau$ from a topological twist of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super YangMills theory in 4 dimensions.

\begin{equation}
(F_A - (\phi \wedge \phi) + \tau d_A \phi)^+ = 0, \ (F_A - \phi \wedge \phi - \tau^{-1} d_A \phi)^- = 0, \ d_A^* \phi = 0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation}

The Eqs. \textbf{(1.3)} are the case for $\tau = 0$ in the above. The set of Eqs. \textbf{(1.4)} for $\tau = \{\infty\}$ is the orientation reversed one to the Eqs. \textbf{(1.3)} \cite{[12] Section 2}. 

Corollary 1.4. ([15 Theorem 1.1]) Assume the hypotheses of Theorem [1.2]. Then there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(g, P)$ with following significance. If the pair $(A, \phi)$ is a smooth solution of Kapustin-Witten equations on $P$ such that

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \varepsilon$$

then $A$ is anti-self-dual with respect to the metric $g$.

When $\phi = 0$, the complex Yang-Mills equations are reduced to pure Yang-Mills equation. Following the estimate on Proposition [3.5] we have

Corollary 1.5. ([8 Theorem 1]) Assume the hypotheses of Theorem [1.2]. Then there exists a positive constant $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(g, P)$ with following significance. If $A$ is a smooth Yang-Mills connection on $P$ with respect to the metric $g$, such that

$$\|F^+_A\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \varepsilon$$

then $A$ is anti-self-dual with respect to the metric $g$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we first review some estimates of the complex Yang-Mills equations and Chern-Weil formula. In section 3, we proved that $A$ must be a ASD connection if $\|F^+_A\|_{L^2(X)} + \|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}$ is sufficiently small and $g$ is good metric, when the $(A, \phi)$ is a $C^\infty$ solution of complex Yang-Mills equation. The main idea of this result is following the Kapustin-Witten equations case [15].

2 Fundamental preliminaries

We shall generally adhere to the now standard gauge-theory conventions and notation of Donaldson and Kronheimer [5] and Feehan [7]. Throughout our article, $\Omega^p(X, g_P)$ denote the smooth $p$-forms with values in $g_P$. Given a connection on $P$, we denote by $\nabla_A$ the corresponding covariant derivative on $\Omega^*(X, g_P)$ induced by $A$ and the Levi-Civita connection of $X$. Let $d_A$ denote the exterior derivative associated to $\nabla_A$. For $u \in L^k_1(X, g_P)$, where $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $k$ is an integer, we denote

$$\|u\|_{L^k_1(X)} := \left( \sum_{j=0}^k \int_X |\nabla^j_A u|^p dvol_g \right)^{1/p},$$

where $\nabla^j_A := \nabla_A \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_A$ (repeated $j$ times for $j \geq 0$). For $p = \infty$, we denote

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty_k(X)} := \sum_{j=0}^k \text{ess sup}_X |\nabla^j_A u|.$$
2.1 Chern-Weil formula

Given a connection $A$ on $P$, Chern-Weil theory provides representatives for the first Pontrjagin class of $g_P$, namely

$$p_1(P) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \text{tr}(F_A \wedge F_A),$$

and hence the first Pontrjagin number

$$p_1(P)[X] = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_X \text{tr}(F_A \wedge F_A).$$

The Principal $G$-bundle $P$ are classified, see [20, Propositions A.1 and A.2] by a cohomology class $\eta(P) \in H^2(X; \pi_1(G))$ and the first Pontrjagin number $p_1(P)[X]$

Assume in addition that $X$ is equipped with a Riemannian metric $g$, we recall some facts concerning the Killing form [7]. Every element $\xi$ of a Lie algebra $g$ over a field $K$ defines an adjoint endomorphism, $\text{ad}_\xi \in \text{End}_K g$, with the help of the Lie bracket via $(\text{ad}_\xi)(\zeta) := [\xi, \zeta]$, for all $\zeta \in g$. For a finite-dimensional Lie algebra $g$, its Killing form is the symmetric bilinear form, $B(\xi, \zeta) := \text{tr}(\text{ad}_\xi \circ \text{ad}_\zeta)$, for all $\xi, \zeta \in g$. The Killing form of a semisimple Lie algebra is negative definite. In particular, if $B_g$ is the Killing form on $g$, then it defines an inner product on $g$ via $(\cdot, \cdot)_g = -B_g(\cdot, \cdot)$ and thus a norm $|\cdot|_g$ on $g$.

The 4-dimensional topological term for $-4\pi^2 p_1(P)[X]$ extends algebraically to the complex function on $-4\pi^2 p_1(P_C)[X]$:

$$\int_X \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}_A \wedge \mathcal{F}_A) := \int_X \text{tr} \left( (F_A - \phi \wedge \phi) \wedge (F_A - \phi \wedge \phi) 
- (d_A \phi \wedge d_A \phi) + 2\sqrt{-1}(F_A - \phi \wedge \phi) \wedge d_A \phi \right).$$

The following proposition which proved by Gagliardo-Uhlenbeck [12].

**Proposition 2.1.** If $X$ is a closed manifold, then

$$\int_X \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}_A \wedge \mathcal{F}_A) = \int_X \text{tr}(F_A \wedge F_A). \tag{2.1}$$

**Proof.** Noting that $\text{tr}(\phi \wedge \phi \wedge \phi \wedge \phi) = 0$. Then, it’s easy to see that

$$\int_X \text{tr} \left( (F_A - \phi \wedge \phi) \wedge (F_A - \phi \wedge \phi) \right)$$

$$= \int_X \text{tr}(F_A \wedge F_A) - 2 \int_X \text{tr}(F_A \wedge (\phi \wedge \phi)) + \int_X \text{tr}(\phi \wedge \phi \wedge \phi \wedge \phi)$$

$$= \int_X \text{tr}(F_A \wedge F_A) - 2 \int_X \text{tr}(F_A \wedge (\phi \wedge \phi)).$$

We also observe that

$$\int_X \text{tr}(d_A \phi \wedge d_A \phi) = \int_X \text{tr} d_A (\phi \wedge d_A \phi) + \int_X \text{tr}(\phi \wedge [F_A, \phi])$$

$$= 2 \int_X \text{tr}(F_A \wedge (\phi \wedge \phi)).$$
and
\[
\int_X \text{tr}(F_A - \phi \wedge \phi) \wedge d_A \phi = \int_X \text{tr} d_A (F_A \wedge \phi) - \frac{1}{3} \int_X \text{tr} d_A (\phi \wedge \phi \wedge \phi)
\]
\[
= \int_X d \text{tr}(F_A \wedge \phi) - \frac{1}{3} \int_X d \text{tr}(\phi \wedge \phi \wedge \phi) = 0.
\]
Combining the preceding identities gives (2.1).

On a four-dimensional manifold, a form \( u \) with value in \( \Omega^2(g^\mathbb{C}_P) \), we can decompose \( u \) to \( u^+ := \frac{1}{2}(u + \ast \overline{u}) \) and \( u^- := \frac{1}{2}(u - \ast \overline{u}) \), so it follows that \( \ast u^+ = \overline{u}^+ \), \( \ast u^- = -\overline{u}^- \) and \( Re(u^+ \wedge u^-) = 0 \), then
\[
-|u|^2 dvol_g = \text{tr}(u \wedge u) - 2|u^-|^2 dvol_g.
\]
Hence we take \( u = F_A \) to obtain
\[
\|F_A\|_{L^2(X)}^2 = \|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)}^2 + \|F_A^-\|_{L^2(X)}^2 = 2\|F_A^-\|_{L^2(X)}^2 + 4\pi^2 p_1(P)[X] = 2\|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)}^2 - 4\pi^2 p_1(P)[X].
\]

### 2.2 Identities for the solutions

In this section, we recall some basic identities that obeying the solutions to complex Yang-Mills connections. A nice discussion of these identities can be found in [12]. At first, we recall some Weitzenböck formulas [1] and [11, Equ.(6.25) and (6.26)].

**Theorem 2.2.** On four-dimensional manifold, we then have
\[
\begin{align*}
2d_A^*d_A + d_A d_A^* &= \nabla_A^* \nabla_A + \text{Ric}(\cdot) + 2 \ast [F_A^+, \cdot] \text{ on } \Omega^1(X, g_P) \quad (2.2a) \\
2d_A^*d_A + d_A d_A^* &= \nabla_A^* \nabla_A + \left( \frac{1}{3} R - 2\omega^+ \right)(\cdot) + \{F_A^+, \cdot\} \text{ on } \Omega^2(X, g_P). \quad (2.2b)
\end{align*}
\]

**Proposition 2.3.** If the pair \((A, \phi)\) is a solution of the complex Yang-Mills equations over a four-dimensional manifold \( X \), then the pair \((A, \phi)\) also satisfies the following equations
\[
\begin{align*}
d_A^*(F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^+ - \ast [\phi, (d_A \phi)^+] &= 0, \quad (2.3a) \\
d_A^*(d_A \phi)^- - \ast [\phi, (F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^+] &= 0. \quad (2.3b)
\end{align*}
\]

*Proof.* We decompose the curvature \( F_A \) of connection \( A \) to
\[
F_A^+ := \frac{1}{2}(F_A + \ast F_A) = \frac{1}{2}(F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^+ + \sqrt{-1}(d_A \phi)^-
\]
and
\[
F_A^- := \frac{1}{2}(F_A - \ast F_A) = \frac{1}{2}(F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^- + \sqrt{-1}(d_A \phi)^+
\]
Since the \( F_A \) satisfies complex Yang-Mills equations [12] and *Bianchi identity*, we have
\[
d_A F_A^+ = d_A F_A^- = 0.
\]
We complete the proof of this Proposition.
Proposition 2.4. ([12, Theorem 4.3]) If the pair \((A, \phi)\) is a solution of the complex Yang-Mills equations, then
\[
\nabla_A^* \nabla_A \phi + Ric \circ \phi + *[*(\phi \wedge \phi), \phi] = 0.
\]
If \(X\) is a closed manifold,
\[
\|\nabla_A \phi\|^2_{L^2(X)} + (Ric \circ \phi, \phi)_{L^2(X)} + 2\|\phi \wedge \phi\|^2_{L^2(X)} = 0. \tag{2.4}
\]

We have an Energy identity of the pair \((A, \phi)\) of a solution of complex Yang-Mills equations, one also can see [15].

Proposition 2.5. (Energy Identity) If the pair \((A, \phi)\) is a solution of the complex Yang-Mills equations, then
\[
YM_C(A, \phi) = \|F_A\|^2_{L^2(X)} - \|\phi \wedge \phi\|^2_{L^2(X)}.
\]
In particular, if \(X\) is a four-dimensional manifold,
\[
YM_C(A, \phi) = 2\|F_A^+\|^2_{L^2(X)} - 4\pi^2 p_1(P)[X]
\]
\[
= 2\|F_A^+\|^2_{L^2(X)} - 2\|\phi^+ \wedge \phi^+\|^2_{L^2(X)} - 4\pi^2 p_1(P)[X].
\]

As one application of the maximum principle, Gagliardo-Uhlenbeck obtain an \(L^\infty\) priori estimate for the extra fields [12, Corollary 4.6].

Theorem 2.6. If the pair \((A, \phi)\) is a smooth solution of complex Yang-Mills equations, then
\[
\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)},
\]
where \(C = C(g)\) is a positive constant only dependent on \(g\).

3 A priori estimates of Complex Yang-Mills equations

3.1 The least eigenvalue of \(d^+_A d^{*+}_A\) when \(F^+_A\) is \(L^2\) small

Consider the open neighborhood in \(B(P, g) := \mathcal{A}_P / \mathcal{G}_P\) which is the affine space, \(\mathcal{A}_P\), of connection on \(P\) moduli the gauge transformations, \(\mathcal{G}_P\), of the principal \(G\)-bundle, of the finite-dimensional subvariety
\[
\mathcal{M}(P, g) := \{[A] \in B(P, g) : F^+_A = 0\}
\]
defined by
\[
B_\varepsilon(P, g) := \{[A] \in B(P, g) : \|F^+_A\|_{L^2(X)} < \varepsilon\}.
\]
The operator \(d^+_A d^{*+}_A\) is an elliptic self-dual operator on the space of square integrable sections of \(\Omega^{2+}(X, g_P)\). It is a standard result that the spectrum of \(d^+_A d^{*+}_A\) is discrete, and the lowest eigenvalue is nonnegative [20, Page 146]. First recall the Definition of the least eigenvalue of \(d^+_A d^{*+}_A\), [20, Definition 3.1],
the complex Yang-Mills equations on closed 4-manifolds

**Definition 3.1.** For \(A \in \mathcal{A}_P\), define
\[
\mu_g(A) := \inf_{v \in \Omega^+(g_P) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|d_A^{+,*}v\|^2}{\|v\|^2}.
\]
is the lowest eigenvalue of \(d_A^{+,*}d_A^{+}\).

When the metric \(g\) is positive in the sense of [8, Definition 3.1], then \(\mu_g(A)\) has a positive lower bound with respect to \([A] \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(P, g)\). In [8, Section 3], the author proved \(\mu_g(A)\) has a positive lower bound with respect to \([A] \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(P, g)\) under the Riemannian metric \(g\) is good.

**Theorem 3.2. ([8, Theorem 3.8])** Let \(X\) be a closed, oriented, four-dimensional, smooth Riemannian manifold with smooth Riemannian metric \(g\), \(P\) be a \(G\)-bundle over \(X\) with \(G\) being a compact Lie group. Assume that \(g\) is good in the sense of Definition 1.1. Then there are positive constants \(\mu = \mu(g, P)\) and \(\varepsilon = \varepsilon(g, P)\) with following significance. If \(A\) is a smooth connection on \(P\) such that
\[
\|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \varepsilon
\]
and \(\mu_g(A)\) is as in (3.1), then
\[
\mu_g(A) \geq \mu.
\]

### 3.2 A solution of complex Yang-Mills equations when \(F_A^+\) is \(L^2\) small

We construct the following useful a priori estimate. One also can see [20, Lemma 5.2] or [21].

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \(X\) be a closed, oriented, four-dimensional, smooth Riemannian manifold with smooth Riemannian metric \(g\). Then there are positive constants, \(C = C(g)\) and \(\varepsilon = \varepsilon(g)\) with the following significance. If \(G\) is a compact Lie group, \(A\) is a smooth connection on a principal \(G\)-bundle \(P\) over \(X\) with
\[
\|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \varepsilon
\]
and \(\nu \in \Omega^+(g_P)\), then
\[
\|
u\|_{L^4(X)}^2 \leq C\left(\|d_A^{+,*}\nu\|_{L^2(X)}^2 + \|
u\|_{L^2(X)}^2\right)
\]
(3.3)

**Proof.** For any \(\nu \in \Omega^+(g_P)\), by the Weitzenböck formula Equation (2.2b), we have
\[
\|\nabla_A\nu\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2\|d_A^{+,*}\nu\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\|R - 2\omega^+\circ\nu\|_{L^2} + |\langle\{F_A^+, \nu\}, \nu\rangle_{L^2}| + |\langle\{F_A^+, \nu\}, \nu\rangle_{L^2}|.
\]
We observe that
\[
|\langle\{F_A^+, \nu\}, \nu\rangle_{L^2}| \leq c\|
u\|_{L^2}^2
\]
and
\[
|\langle\{F_A^+, \nu\}, \nu\rangle_{L^2}| \leq c\|F_A^+\|_{L^2}\|
u\|_{L^4}^2
\]
for some \( c = c(g) \). Combining the preceding inequalities yields
\[
\| \nu \|_{L^4}^2 \leq c(\| \nu \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nabla_A \nu \|_{L^2}^2) \\
\leq c(\| d_A^{\pm} \nu \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \nu \|_{L^2}^2 + \| F_A^+ \|_{L^2(X)} \| \nu \|_{L^2}^2),
\]
for some \( c = c(g) \). Provided \( c\| F_A^+ \|_{L^2} \leq 1/2 \), rearrangement gives (3.3).

Now, from Lemma 3.3 and the definition of \( \mu_g(A) \), we have the following a priori estimate on the case of the Riemannian metric \( g \) which has \( \mu_g(A) > 0 \). One also can see [8, Corollary 4.2].

**Corollary 3.4.** Assume the hypothesis of Lemma 3.3. Then there are positive constants, \( C = C(g, G) \) and \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon(g, P) \) with the following significance. If \( A \) is a smooth connection on \( P \) obeying (3.2) and \( \mu_g(A) > 0 \). If \( \nu \in \Omega^+(g_P) \), then
\[
\| \nu \|_{L^4(X)} \leq C(1 + \sqrt{\mu_g(A)})\| d_A^{\pm} \nu \|_{L^2(X)}.
\]

We have the following useful a priori estimate on the solutions of complex Yang-Mills equations.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let \( G \) be a compact, simple Lie group and \( P \) be a principal \( G \)-bundle over a closed, connected, four-dimensional, oriented, smooth manifold \( X \) with Riemannian metric \( g \) that is good in the sense of Definition 1.1. Then there are positive constants, \( C = C(g, G) \) and \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon(g, P) \) with the following significance. If the pair \( (A, \phi) \) is a smooth solution of complex Yang-Mills on \( P \) such that
\[
\| F_A^+ \|_{L^2(X)} \leq \varepsilon
\]
then
\[
\| F_A^+ \|_{L^4(X)} \leq C\| \phi \|_{L^2(X)}^2.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( (A, \phi) \) satisfies complex Yang-Mills equations (2.3), we have
\[
\| \nabla_A \phi \|_{L^2}^2 = -\langle Ric \circ \phi, \phi \rangle_{L^2} - 2\| \phi \wedge \phi \|_{L^2}^2 \leq c\| \phi \|_{L^2}^2
\]
for some \( c = c(g) \), and
\[
\| d_A^{\pm} F_A^+ \|_{L^2} \leq \| d_A^{\pm} (\phi \wedge \phi) \|_{L^2} + \| [\phi, (d_A \phi)^-] \|_{L^2}.
\]
Nothing that \( \| d_A^\pm (\phi \wedge \phi) \|_{L^2} \leq c\| \nabla_A \phi \cdot \| \phi \|_{L^2} \) for some constant \( c = c(G) \). We then have
\[
\| d_A^{\pm} (\phi \wedge \phi) \|_{L^2} \leq c\| \nabla_A \phi \|_{L^2} \| \phi \|_{L^\infty} \leq c\| \nabla_A \phi \|_{L^2} \| \phi \|_{L^2}
\]
and
\[
\| [\phi, (d_A \phi)^-] \|_{L^2} \leq c\| (d_A \phi)^- \|_{L^2} \| \phi \|_{L^\infty} \leq c\| \nabla_A \phi \|_{L^2} \| \phi \|_{L^2},
\]
for some \( c = c(g) \). Combining the preceding inequalities yields
\[
\| d_A^{\pm} F_A^+ \|_{L^2} \leq c\| \phi \|_{L^2}.
\]
For a smooth connection $A$ on $P$ with $\|F_A^+\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(g, P)$ is as in the hypothesis of Corollary 3.4, we can apply the a priori estimate (3.2) to $\nu = F_A^+$ to obtain
\[
\|F_A^+\|_{L^4} \leq c(1 + 1/\sqrt{\mu})\|d_A^* F_A^+\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.6}
\]
where $c = c(g)$ is as in Corollary 3.4 and $\mu = \mu(g, P)$ is the uniform positive lower bound for $\mu_g(A)$ provided by Theorem 3.2. Combining the inequalities (3.5)– (3.6) yields
\[
\|F_A^+\|_{L^4} \leq c\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2,
\]
for some $c = c(g, P)$.

**Proof of Corollary 1.5.** Since pure Yang-Mills equation is a special case of complex Yang-Mills equations, i.e., $\phi = 0$, the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.5.

If $G(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Green kernel of the Laplace operator, $d^*d$, on $\Omega^2(X)$, we define
\[
\|v\|_{L^2(X)} := \sup_{x \in X} \int_X G(x, y)|v|(y)dvol_g(y) + \|v\|_{L^2(X)}, \forall v \in \Omega^2(g_P).
\]
We recall that $G(x, y)$ has a singularity comparable with $\text{dist}_g(x, y)^{-2}$, when $x, y \in X$ are close. The norm $\|v\|_{L^2(X)}$ is conformally invariant and
\[
\|\nu\|_{L^2(X)} := \sup_{x \in X} \int_X G(x, y)|\nu|(y)dvol_g(y)
\]
is scale invariant [2]. Indeed, one sees this by noting that if $g \mapsto \tilde{g} = \lambda^{-2}g$, then $\text{dist}_{\tilde{g}}(x, y) = \lambda^{-1}\text{dist}_g(x, y)$ and $dvol_{\tilde{g}} = \lambda^{-1}dvol_{g}$, while for $v \in \Omega^2(X, g_P)$, we have $|v|_{\tilde{g}} = \lambda^2|v|_g$. One can see that [6 Lemma 4.1]
\[
\|\nu\|_{L^2(X)} \leq c_p\|\nu\|_{L^p(X)}, \forall p > 2,
\]
where $c_p$ depends at most on $p$ and the Riemannian metric, $g$, on $X$.

Let $A$ be a connection on principal $G$-bundle $P$ over $X$. The ASD connection for a second connection $A + a$, where $a \in \Omega^1(X, g_P)$ is a bundle-value 1-form, can be written
\[
d_A^+a + (a \wedge a)^+ = -F_A^+.	ag{3.7}
\]
Following Taubes we seek a solution of the equation (3.7) in the form
\[
a = d_A^*u
\]
where $u \in \Omega^{2, +}(X, g_P)$ is a bundle-value self-dual 2-form. Then (3.7) becomes to a second order equation:
\[
d_A^*d_A^*u + (d_A^*u \wedge d_A^*u)^+ = -F_A^+.	ag{3.8}
\]
If $X = S^4$ and $g$ is the stand metric on four-sphere, then $\frac{5}{8} - 2w^+ = 2$. By Equation (2.2b), the Equation can be rewritten to

$$\nabla_A^* \nabla_A u + u + \frac{1}{2} (d_A^+ u \wedge d_A^+ u)^+ + \{F_A^+, u\} = -\frac{1}{2} F_A^+. $$

Donaldson [3] considered a general PDE

$$\nabla_A^* \nabla_A u + u + b(\nabla_A u, \nabla_A u) + f(u) = \rho,$$

where $b$ is symmetric, bilinear, bundle map. If $\|f\|_{L^1} < 1$ and $\|\rho\|_{L^1} < \frac{(1-\|f\|_{L^2})^2}{8}$, Donaldson [3, Proposition 2] proved that there is a unique solution $u$ to above equation with $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{8\|\rho\|_{L^1}}{1-\|f\|_{L^1}}$. Feehan-Leness [10] extended the ideas of Donaldson [3] and Taubes [21] to the general Riemannian four-manifold case. We give a sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.6 in Appendix. Our proof is similar to the way in [10, Proposition 7.6].

**Theorem 3.6.** Let $G$ be a compact Lie group, $P$ a principal $G$-bundle over a compact, connected, four-dimensional manifold, $X$, with Riemannian metric, $g$, and $E_0, \mu \in (0, \infty)$ constants. Then there are constants, $C_0 = C_0(g, \mu) \in (0, \infty)$ and $\eta = \eta(g, \mu) \in (0, 1]$, with the following significance. If $A$ is a $C^\infty$ connection on $P$ such that

$$\mu_g(A) \geq \mu, \quad \|F_A^+\|_{L^1(X)} \leq \eta, \quad \|F_A\|_{L^2(X)} \leq E_0,$$

then there is a unique solution $u \in \Omega^+(g_P)$ to equation (3.8) such that

$$\|u\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C_0\|F_A^+\|_{L^{4/3}(X)}.$$

Thus, we have a useful

**Proposition 3.7.** Let $G$ be a compact Lie group, $P$ a principal $G$-bundle over a compact, connected, four-dimensional manifold, $X$, with Riemannian metric, $g$, and $E \in [1, \infty)$. Assume that $g$ is good in the sense of Definition 1.1. Then there exist constants $c = c(g, P, G) \in (0, \infty)$ and $K = K(g, P, G)$ with following significance. Let $(A, \phi)$ be a smooth solution of complex Yang-Mills over $X$ satisfies

$$\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)} \leq E, \quad \|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

If $c\varepsilon^{1/3}E^{4/3} \leq cK \leq \eta$, where $\eta$ is the constant as in the hypothesis of Theorem 3.6, then there is an anti-self-dual connection, $A_\infty$ on $P$, of class $C^\infty$ such that

$$\|A_\infty - A\|_{L^2(X)} \leq c\|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)}.$$
Proof. From the Proposition 3.5, we have

\[ \|F_A^+\|_{L^4} \leq c\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq cE^2 \]

for some \( c = c(g) \). Then interpolation inequality gives

\[ \|F_A^+\|_{L^3} \leq \|F_A^+\|_{L^2}^{1/3}\|F_A^+\|_{L^4}^{2/3}. \]

Hence, we have

\[ \|F_A^+\|_{L^{1,2}} \leq c\|F_A^+\|_{L^3} \leq c\varepsilon^{1/3}E^{4/3}, \]

for some \( c = c(g) \). Then following Theorem 3.6, there exist a unique solution \( u \in \Omega^+(g_P) \) such that \( A_\infty := A + d_A^{+,*}u \) is anti-self-dual with respect to metric \( g \) and \( u \) satisfies

\[ \|d_A^{+,*}u\|_{L^2} \leq c\|u\|_{L^2} \leq c\|F_A^+\|_{L^2}, \]

where \( c = c(g, P, G) \) is a positive constant.

3.3 Complete the proof of Theorem 1.2

At first, we would construct an estimate between \( F_A^+ \) and \( (\phi \wedge \phi)^+ \).

**Proposition 3.8.** Let \( G \) be a compact Lie group, \( P \) a principal \( G \)-bundle over a closed, connected, four-dimensional manifold, \( X \), with Riemannian metric, \( g \), that is good in the sense of Definition 1.1. Then there are constants \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon(g, P) \in (0, 1), \ c = c(g, P) \in [1, \infty) \) with following significance. If \( (A, \phi) \) is a smooth solution of complex Yang-Mills over \( X \) such that

\[ \|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \varepsilon; \]

then

\[ \|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)} \leq c(1 + \|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}^2)\|(\phi \wedge \phi)^+\|_{L^2(X)}). \]

**Proof.** Since \( (A, \phi) \) satisfies complex Yang-Mills equations, we have

\[ \|(d_A\phi)^-\|_{L^2}^2 = 2((F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^+, (\phi \wedge \phi)^+)_{L^2} \]

\[ \leq 2\|(F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^+\|_{L^2}\|(\phi \wedge \phi)^+\|_{L^2} \]

and

\[ \|d_A^{+,*}(F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^+\|_{L^2} = \|[\phi, (d_A\phi)^-]\|_{L^2}. \]

We observe that

\[ \|(F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^+\|_{L^2}^2 \leq (1/\mu)\|d_A^{+,*}(F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^+\|_{L^2}^2 \]

\[ \leq c\|(d_A\phi)^-\|_{L^2}^2\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2, \]
for some \( c = c(g) \). Combining the preceding inequalities yields
\[
\| (F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^+ \|_{L^2}^2 \leq c \| (F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^+ \|_{L^2} \| (\phi \wedge \phi)^+ \|_{L^2} \| \phi \|_{L^2}^2
\]
for some \( c = c(g) \). Hence we have
\[
\| (F_A - \phi \wedge \phi)^+ \|_{L^2} \leq c \| (\phi \wedge \phi)^+ \|_{L^2} \| \phi \|_{L^2}^2.
\]
Therefore, we have
\[
\| F_A^+ \|_{L^2} \leq c(1 + \| \phi \|_{L^2}^2) \| (\phi \wedge \phi)^+ \|_{L^2}.
\]
We complete the proof of this proposition. \( \Box \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.2** The idea is following the case of Kapustin-Witten equations \cite{15}. Let \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon(g, P) \) be a positive constant satisfying the conclusions of Theorem 3.6. Suppose that \((A, \phi)\) is a smooth solution of complex Yang-Mills equation with \( 0 \neq \| F_A^+ \|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \). Let \( E_0 = \frac{K^{3/4}}{\varepsilon^{1/4}} \), where \( K \) is the positive constant as in the hypothesis of Proposition 3.7. For \( \phi \) with \( \| \phi \|_{L^2} \leq E \leq E_0 \), then \( \| F_A^+ \|_{L^2} \leq c K \leq \eta \). Then following Proposition 3.7 there exists a anti-self-dual connection \( A_\infty \) such that
\[
\| A_\infty - A \|_{L^2} \leq c \| F_A^+ \|_{L^2},
\]
for some \( c = c(g) \). The Weitzenböck formula (2.2b) gives,
\[
(2d_{A_\infty}^* d_{A_\infty} - d_{A_\infty} d_{A_\infty}^*) \phi = \nabla_{A_\infty}^* \nabla_{A_\infty} \phi + \text{Ric} \circ \phi.
\]
It provides an integral inequality
\[
\| \nabla_{A_\infty} \phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \langle \text{Ric} \circ \phi, \phi \rangle_{L^2} \geq 0.
\]
Since \((A, \phi)\) satisfies complex Yang-Mills equations, we also have an integral identity
\[
\| \nabla_A \phi \|_{L^2}^2 + \langle \text{Ric} \circ \phi, \phi \rangle_{L^2(X)} + 4 \| (\phi \wedge \phi)^+ \|_{L^2}^2 = 0.
\]
Combining the preceding inequalities yields
\[
4 \| (\phi \wedge \phi)^+ \|_{L^2}^2 = - \| \nabla_A \phi \|_{L^2}^2 - \langle \text{Ric} \circ \phi, \phi \rangle_{L^2}
\]
\[
\leq - \| \nabla_{A_\infty} \phi \|_{L^2}^2 - \langle \text{Ric} \circ \phi, \phi \rangle + \| \nabla_A \phi - \nabla_{A_\infty} \phi \|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
\leq c \| A - A_\infty \|_{L^2} \| \phi \|_{L^2}^2
\]
\[
\leq c \| F_A^+ \|_{L^2} \| \phi \|_{L^2}^2
\]
for some \( c = c(g) \). Following Proposition 3.8, we have
\[
\| (\phi \wedge \phi)^+ \|_{L^2}^2 \leq c \| (\phi \wedge \phi)^+ \|_{L^2}^2 (1 + \| \phi \|_{L^2}^2) \| \phi \|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{c(1 + E^2)^2 E^2}{4} \| (\phi \wedge \phi)^+ \|_{L^2}^2.
\]
We can choose \( E \) small enough so that the above is contradiction with \( F_A^+ \neq 0 \). We complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. \( \Box \)
Proof of Corollary 1.4. If the pair \((A, \phi)\) is a smooth solution of Kapustin-Witten equations, then
\[
\|F_A^+\|_{L^2} = \| (\phi \wedge \phi)^+ \|_{L^2} \leq 2 \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2.
\]
We can provide \(\|\phi\|_{L^2}^2\) small enough to ensure that \(\|F_A^+\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon\) and \(c \|F_A^+\|_{L^2}^{1/3} \|\phi\|_{L^2}^{3/4} \leq c \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \eta\), where the positive constants \(\varepsilon, \eta\) are as in the hypotheses of Proposition 3.7. Hence following the way in Theorem 1.2 we can prove that the connection \(A\) is anti-self-dual with respect to the metric \(g\).

Appendix: Approximate ASD connection

At the request of the anonymous referee, we give a sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.6. Suppose that \(\mu_g(A) > 0\) for \([A] \in B_c(P, g)\), i.e., the Laplacian
\[
d_A^+ d_A^{+\ast} : L^2_{k+1}(\Omega^{2+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P) \rightarrow L^2_{k-1}(\Omega^{2+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)
\]
is inverse. For the purposes of applying Banach-space fixed theory to solve the non-linear equation (3.8), it is convenient to write
\[
u = G_A \xi, \text{ for some } \xi \in L^2_{k-1}(\Omega^{2+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P),
\]
where \(G_A : L^2_{k-1}(\Omega^{2+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P) \rightarrow L^2_{k+1}(\Omega^{2+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)\) is the Green’s operator for the Laplacian \(d_A^+ d_A^{+\ast}\), i.e., \(d_A^+ d_A^{+\ast} G_A = Id\) for any \(\xi \in L^2_{k-1}(\Omega^{2+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)\). Therefore, it suffices to solve for \(\xi\) such that
\[
\xi + (d_A^{+\ast} G_A \xi \wedge d_A^{+\ast} G_A \xi)^+ = -F_A^+.
\]

Lemma 3.9. (Corollary 5.10) Let \(X\) be a closed, oriented, smooth four-manifold with Riemannian metric \(g\). Then there are positive constants \(c = c(g)\) and \(\varepsilon = \varepsilon(c)\) with the following significance. Let \(A\) be an smooth connection on \(G\)-bundle \(P\) over \(X\) such that \(\|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)} < \varepsilon\). Then the following estimate holds for any \(v \in L^{2,2}(\Omega^{2+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)\):
\[
\|d_A^{+\ast} v\|_{L^2(X)} \leq c (1 + \|F_A\|_{L^2(X)}) (\|d_A^{+\ast} d_A^{+\ast} v\|_{L^2(X)} + \|v\|_{L^2(X)}).
\]

Following the idea in Proposition 7.3, we then have

Proposition 3.10. Let \(X\) be a closed, oriented, smooth four-manifold with Riemannian metric \(g\). Then is a positive constant \(\varepsilon\) with the following significance. Let \(A\) be an smooth connection on \(G\)-bundle \(P\) over \(X\) such that \(\|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)} < \varepsilon\). Let \(\mu\) be a positive constant. If \(\mu_g(A) \geq \mu\), then there is a positive constant \(C = C(\mu, g, P)\) such that for all \(\xi \in L^{2,2}(\Omega^{2+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)\),
\[
\|d_A^{+\ast} G_A \xi\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C \|\xi\|_{L^2(X)},
\]
\[
\|d_A^{+\ast} G_A \xi\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C \|\xi\|_{L^2(X)}.
\]
Proof. We set \( v = G_A \xi \), i.e., \( d_A^+ d_A^{+,*} v = \xi \). We first consider the \( L^2 \)-estimate for \( a := d_A^{+,*} G_A \xi \). We integrate by parts to get

\[
\|a\|_{L^2}^2 = \|d_A^{+,*} v\|_{L^2}^2 = \langle d_A^{+,*} d_A^{+,*} v, v \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \xi, v \rangle_{L^2}.
\]

By Corollary 3.4 and Sobolev inequality, we then have

\[
\|a\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\xi\|_{L^4} \|v\|_{L^4} \leq c(1 + \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \|\xi\|_{L^4} \|d_A^{+,*} v\|_{L^2}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
\|d_A^{+,*} v\|_{L^2} \leq c\|\xi\|_{L^4},
\]

where \( c = c(g, \mu) \) is a positive constant.

We next derive the \( L^2_\mathbb{T} \) estimate for \( a \). Since \( a = d_A^{+,*} v \), then

\[
\|a\|_{L^2_\mathbb{T}} = \|d_A^{+,*} v\|_{L^2_\mathbb{T}} = \langle d_A^{+,*} d_A^{+,*} v, v \rangle_{L^2_\mathbb{T}} \leq 2\|d_A^{+,*} d_A^{+,*} v\|_{L^2_\mathbb{T}} + 2\|v\|_{L^2_\mathbb{T}}
\]

\[
\leq 2(1 + \mu^{-1})\|d_A^{+,*} d_A^{+,*} v\|_{L^2_\mathbb{T}}.
\]

By Lemma 3.9 we have

\[
\|a\|_{L^2_\mathbb{T}} \leq C(1 + \|F_A\|_{L^2})\|d_A^{+,*} d_A^{+,*} v\|_{L^2_\mathbb{T}} + \|v\|_{L^2_\mathbb{T}}
\]

\[
\leq C(1 + \|F_A\|_{L^2})\|d_A^{+,*} d_A^{+,*} v\|_{L^2} + \mu^{-1}\|d_A^{+,*} d_A^{+,*} v\|_{L^2}
\]

\[
\leq C(1 + \|F_A\|_{L^2})(1 + \mu^{-1})\|\xi\|_{L^2}.
\]

\[ \square \]

Lemma 3.11. (\cite{5} Lemma 7.2.23 and \cite{10} Lemma 7.5) Let \( q : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{B} \) be a continuous map on a Banach space \( \mathbb{B} \) with \( q(0) = 0 \) and

\[
\|q(x_1) - q(x_2)\| \leq K(\|x_1\| + \|x_2\|)\|x_1 - x_2\|
\]

for some positive constant \( K \) and all \( x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{B} \). Then for each \( y \) in \( \mathbb{B} \) with \( \|y\| < \frac{1}{10K} \) there is a unique \( x \) in \( \mathbb{B} \) such that \( \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{5K} \) and \( x + q(x) = y \).

Proof of Theorem 3.6 We try to solve equation (3.8) for the solutions of the form \( u = G_A \xi \), where \( \xi \in L^{2,2}(\Omega^{2,+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P) \) and \( G_A \) is the Green’s operator for the Laplacian \( d_A^+ d_A^{+,*} \). We now seek solutions to equation (3.9). We now apply Lemma 3.11 to equation (3.9) with \( \mathbb{B} = L^{2,2}(\Omega^{2,+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P) \), choosing \( y = -F_A^+ \) and \( q(\xi) = (d_A^{+,*} G_{A,\mu} \xi \wedge d_A^{+,*} G_{A,\mu} \xi)^+ \), so our goal is to solve

\[
\xi + q(\xi) = -F_A^+ \text{ on } L^{2,2}(\Omega^{2,+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P).
\]

For any \( \xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^{2,2}(\Omega^{2,+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P) \), the estimate in \cite{10} Proposition 7.3] yields

\[
\|d_A^{+,*} G_A \xi_i\|_{L^2_\mathbb{T}} \leq C\|\xi_i\|_{L^2_\mathbb{T}},
\]
where $C = (C, \mu, \|F_A\|)$.

We define

$$\|u\|_{L^{2s}} := \sup_{x \in X} \|\text{dist}_g^{-1}(x, \cdot)|u|\|_{L^2}.$$  

Then we have a continuous embedding $L^2_\mu \subset L^{2s}$ \cite{6} Lemma 4.1 and $L^{2s} \otimes L^{2s} \rightarrow L^2$ is continuous \cite{6} Lemma 4.3. By the Hölder’s inequality and the $L^{2,2}$-family of embedding and multiplication results of Feehan, we obtain

$$\|q(\xi_1) - q(\xi_2)\|_{L^{2s}} \leq \|q(\xi_1) - q(\xi_2)\|_{L^2} + \|q(\xi_1) - q(\xi_2)\|_{L^\mu} \\
\leq K_1(\|d_A^{-s}G_A\xi_1\|_{L^\mu} + \|d_A^{-s}G_A\xi_2\|_{L^\mu}) \|\|d_A^{-s}G_A\xi_1 - d_A^{-s}G_A\xi_2\|_{L^\mu} \|
\leq K_2(\|d_A^{-s}G_A\xi_1\|_{L^{2s}} + \|d_A^{-s}G_A\xi_2\|_{L^{2s}}) \|\|d_A^{-s}G_A\xi_1 - d_A^{-s}G_A\xi_2\|_{L^{2s}} \|
\leq CK_2(\|\xi_1\|_{L^{2s}} + \|\xi_2\|_{L^{2s}}) \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|_{L^{2s}},$$

where $K_2$ is a universal constant. Thus, provided $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{10CK_2}$, we have $\|F_A^+\|_{L^{2,2}} \leq \frac{1}{10CK_2}$ and Lemma \ref{lemma3.11} implies that there is a unique solution $\xi \in L^{2,2}(\Omega^{2,+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)$ to equation \eqref{equation3.9} such that $\|\xi\|_{L^{2,2}} \leq \frac{1}{5CK_2}$.

By Proposition \ref{proposition3.10} and Hölder inequality, we observe that

$$\|q(\xi_1) - q(\xi_2)\|_{L^{2s}} \leq \|d_A^{-s}G_A\xi_1 - d_A^{-s}G_A\xi_2\|_{L^2} \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|_{L^{2s}} \\
\leq c\|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|_{L^{2s}} (\|\xi_1\|_{L^{2s}} + \|\xi_2\|_{L^{2s}}),$$

where $c = c(\mu, g, \|F_A\|)$.

We denote $\zeta_k = \xi_k - \xi_{k-1}$ and $\zeta_1 = \xi_1$, then

$$\xi_1 = -F_A^+, \quad \xi_2 = q(\xi_1)$$

and

$$\xi_k = q(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \xi_i) - q(\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \xi_i), \quad \forall \ k \geq 3.$$  

It is easy to show that, under the assumption of $F_A^+$, the sequence $\zeta_k$ defined by

$$\zeta_k = q(\zeta_{k-1}) - F_A^+,$$

starting with $\xi_1 = -F_A^+$, is Cauchy with respect to $L^{2,2}$, and so converges to a limit $\zeta$ in the completion of $\Omega^{2,+} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P$ under $L^{2,2}$.

There are positive constant $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $C \in (1, \infty)$ with following significance. If the connection $A$ satisfies

$$\|F_A^+\|_{L^{2,2}} \leq \varepsilon,$$

then each $\xi_k$ exists and is $C^\infty$. Further for each $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\|\xi_k\|_{L^{2s}} \leq CK_k \|F_A^+\|_{L^{2s}} \|F_A^+\|_{L^{2,2}}^{-1}.$$  

(3.10)
\[ \| \xi_k \|_{L^{2,2}} \leq C^{k-1} \| F^+_A \|_{L^{2,2}}^{k-1}. \]  
(3.11)

The proof is by induction on the integer \( k \). The induction begins with \( k = 1 \), one can see \( \xi_1 = -F^+_A \).

The induction proof if completed by demonstrating that if (3.10) and (3.11) are satisfied for \( j < k \), then these also satisfied for \( j = k \). Indeed, since

\[
\| q(\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \xi_i) - q(\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \xi_i) \|_{L^{2,2}} \leq c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \xi_i \right) \| \xi_{k-1} \|_{L^{2,2}},
\]

\[
\leq 2c \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \| \xi_i \|_{L^{2,2}} \| \xi_{k-1} \|_{L^{2,2}},
\]

\[
\leq 2c \frac{\| F^+_A \|_{L^{2,2}}}{1 - C \| F^+_A \|_{L^{1,2}}} C^{k-2} \| F^+_A \|_{L^{1,2}}^{k-2},
\]

\[
\leq \frac{2c}{1 - C \| F^+_A \|_{L^{1,2}}} C^{k-2} \| F^+_A \|_{L^{1,2}}^{k-1}.
\]

Now, we provide the constants \( \varepsilon \) sufficiently small and \( C \) sufficiently large to ensures that

\[
\frac{2c}{1 - C \| F^+_A \|_{L^{1,2}}} \leq C,
\]

hence we complete the Equation (3.11) is also satisfied for \( j = k \). For the Equation (3.10) case, we observe that

\[
\| q(\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \xi_i) - q(\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \xi_i) \|_{L^{2,2}} \leq c \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \xi_i + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \xi_i \right) \| \xi_{k-1} \|_{L^{2,2}},
\]

\[
\leq 2c \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \| \xi_i \|_{L^{2,2}} \| \xi_{k-1} \|_{L^{2,2}},
\]

\[
\leq 2c \frac{\| F^+_A \|_{L^{2,2}}}{1 - C \| F^+_A \|_{L^{1,2}}} C^{k-2} \| F^+_A \|_{L^{1,2}} \| F^+_A \|_{L^{1,2}}^{k-2},
\]

\[
\leq \frac{2c}{1 - C \| F^+_A \|_{L^{1,2}}} C^{k-2} \| F^+_A \|_{L^{1,2}}^{k-1},
\]

We can provide the constants \( \varepsilon \) sufficiently small and \( C \) sufficiently large to ensures that Equation (3.10) is also satisfied for \( j = k \).

The sequence \( \zeta_k \) is Cauchy in \( L^{2,2} \), the limit

\[
\zeta := \lim_{i \to \infty} \zeta_i
\]

is a solution to (3.9). Then \( L^2 \) estimate for \( \zeta \),

\[
\| \zeta \|_{L^2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \| \xi_k \|_{L^2} \leq 2 \| F^+_A \|_{L^2},
\]

(3.12)

Observing that

\[
d^+_Ad^+_s u = d^+_Ad^+_s G_A \zeta = \zeta
\]

(3.13)

so that the \( L^2 \) bound is obtained from

\[
\| u \|_{L^2} \leq C \| d^+_s u \|_{L^2} \leq C \| \zeta \|_{L^2} \leq C \| F^+_A \|_{L^2},
\]

where \( C = C(g, \mu) \) is a positive constant.
the complex Yang-Mills equations on closed 4-manifolds

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the anonymous referee for careful reading of my manuscript and helpful comments. I would like to thank Professor P. M. N. Feehan and Professor T. G. Leness for helpful comments regarding their article [10]. This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 11801539 and Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China No. 2017M621998, No. 2018T110616.

References