arXiv:1911.06747v1 [cs.CL] 15 Nov 2019

Towards Personalized Dialog Policies for Conversational Skill Discovery

Maryam Fazel-Zarandi,
Amazon Alexa, USA
fazelzar@amazon.com

Sampat Biswas
Amazon Alexa, USA
sampab@amazon.com

Ryan Summers
Amazon Alexa, USA
ryansum@amazon.com

Ahmed Elmalt
Amazon Alexa, USA
elmalt@amazon.com

Andy McCraw
Amazon Alexa, USA
andmccra@amazon.com

Michael McPhilips
Amazon Alexa, USA
mcphim@amazon.com

John Peach
Amazon Alexa, USA
jpeach@amazon.com

Abstract

Many businesses and consumers are extending the capabilities of voice-based services such as Amazon Alexa, Google Home, Microsoft Cortana, and Apple Siri to create custom voice experiences (also known as skills). As the number of these experiences increases, a key problem is the discovery of skills that can be used to address a user's request. In this paper, we focus on conversational skill discovery and present a conversational agent which engages in a dialog with users to help them find the skills that fulfill their needs. To this end, we start with a rule-based agent and improve it by using reinforcement learning. In this way, we enable the agent to adapt to different user attributes and conversational styles as it interacts with users. We evaluate our approach in a real production setting by deploying the agent to interact with real users, and show the effectiveness of the conversational agent in helping users find the skills that serve their request.

1 Introduction

Modern speech-based assistants, such as Amazon Alexa, Google Home, Microsoft Cortana, and Apple Siri, enable users to complete daily tasks such as shopping, setting reminders, and playing games using voice commands. Such human-like interfaces create a rich experience for users by enabling them to complete many tasks hands- and eyes-free in a conversational manner. Furthermore, these services offer tools to enable developers and customers to create custom voice experiences (skills) and as a result extend the capabilities of the assistant. Amazon's Alexa Skills Kit [15], Google's Actions and Microsoft's Cortana Skills Kit are examples of such tools. As the number of skills (with potentially overlapping functionality) increases, it becomes more difficult for end users to find the skills that can address their request.

To mitigate the skill discovery problem, recently researchers have proposed solutions for personalized domain selection and continuous domain adaptation in speech-based assistants [14, 19]. Although such solutions help users find skills, in scenarios such as searching for a game where many different skills exist and user's preferences change, routing the user to a particular experience would not

33rd Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2019), Vancouver, Canada.

be satisfactory. In such cases, the assistant should initiate a conversation with the user, making recommendations, asking for preferences, and allowing the user to browse through different options. Similar to other search problems, personalization is important for conversational skill discovery and can be achieved at two levels: 1) personalization of skill recommendations, and 2) personalization of the interaction. Users have evolving attributes (e.g., first-time vs returning user) and different conversational styles and preferences (e.g., brief vs verbose communication) which affect how they respond to what the agent is proposing and its recommendations. By personalizing the interaction according to user attributes, conversational styles and preferences, the speech-based assistant can help speed up the conversation process [23] and increase user satisfaction. However, existing works are limited with respect to considering user's evolving attributes and diverse multi-aspect preferences [25], such as preferences with respect to how the conversational agent interacts with them.

In this paper, we focus on conversational discovery of skills to guide customers from an intent to a specific skill or set of skills that can serve their request. To this end, we start with a rule-based agent and improve it by using reinforcement learning (RL), enabling the agent to adapt to different conversational styles as it interacts with users. In summary, the contributions of this paper are as follows: 1) We introduce the problem of conversational skill discovery for large-scale virtual assistants. 2) We describe a solution which enables the assistant to adapt to user's attributes (e.g., first-time user vs returning user) and conversational styles (e.g., brief vs. verbose). 3) We conduct experiments in a real production setting by deploying the agent to interact with real users in large scale, showing that the personalized policy learned using RL significantly outperforms a one-fits-all rule-based agent in terms of success rate (measured in terms of number of dialogs which result in launching a skill) with significantly shorter dialogs.

2 Conversational Skill Discovery

Conversational skill discovery is the task of initiating a dialog with the user in order to help them find the skills that address their needs when interacting with a speech-based assistant. More specifically, a conversational skill discovery agent receives a natural language input from the user, understands it using its automatic speech recognition (ASR) and natural language understanding (NLU) components, and decides how to respond to the user based on user provided and contextual information in order to help the user find the needed skill. Skills can often be grouped into categories and subcategories based on functionality (e.g., ride-sharing skills or trivia games). These categories help customers explore with much more specificity and relevance, as such a key functionality of a skill discovery system is to allow users to browse through existing categories. Additionally, it is important for the agent to be able to adapt to user's conversational styles, overtime shifting to more and more personalized conversations with the user.

Table 1 shows an example of a dialog between a user and an agent. Here, in each turn of the dialog, the user can either ask for a particular category or skill, select from the list of recommendations, accept or reject a recommendation, ask for other (sub)categories or skills, ask for details or rating of a skill, or perform some general action such as asking for help, asking the agent to repeat the previous prompt, going over a list of recommendations, going back in the conversation, or asking the agent to stop. The agent, on the other hand, can suggest a skill, provide information or help, offer a few

Table 1: Example of a conversation with the Skill Discovery Agent

Speaker	**Utterance**
User	Let's play a game
Agent	OK, I know lots of games. Did you want trivia games, history games, or word games?
User	History games
Agent	Alright, history games. Did you want Ultimate History Quiz?
User	No, give me word games
Agent	OK, Word games. Did you want Amazing Word Master Game?
User	What's its rating?
Agent	Amazing Word Master Game has a rating of 3.5. Would you like to play it?
User	Yes
Agent	OK, here's Amazing Word Master Game. (*skill launched*)

different types of categories to choose from, stop the conversation if it is not going well, or launch a selected skill.

2.1 Problem Formulation

Conversational skill discovery, similar to other goal-oriented dialog systems, can be formalized as a Markov Decision Process (MDP) [17]. An MDP is a tuple $< \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R}, \gamma >$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the state space, $\mathcal{A}$ is the action space, $\mathcal{P}$ is the transition probability function, $\mathcal{R}$ is the reward function, and γ is the discount factor. In this framework, at each time step *t*, the agent observes state $s_t \in \mathcal{S}$ and selects action $a_t \in \mathcal{A}$ according to its policy ($\pi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$). After performing the selected action, the agent receives the next state s_{t+1} and a scalar reward r_t. The trajectory restarts after the agent reaches a terminal state. RL solvers have been used to find the optimal dialog policy (e.g., Singh *et al.* 2011; Williams and Young 2007; Georgila and Traum 2011; Lee and Eskenazi 2012). In this context, at each turn the agent acts based on its understanding of what the user said, and reward function is modeled in terms of various dimensions of the interaction such as per-interaction user satisfaction, accomplishment of the task, efficiency of interaction, and dialog duration. Recently, deep RL has also been applied to the problem of dialog management and has shown improvements over rule-based systems [7, 34, 9, 10, 20].

In this paper, we adopt the above formalism with the goal of training a dialog policy which allows the agent to take actions that maximize its success rate (measured in terms of number of dialogs which result in launching a skill) while providing a flexible and natural way for the user to navigate throughout various dialog states. In each turn of the dialog, the agent makes its decisions based on various available information such as user's intent (e.g., asking for a particular skill), the category the user has selected, whether the user is a first-time user, etc. In order to make the agent adapt to different conversational styles, when making recommendations, we focus on 1) whether to recommend skills or categories, 2) how many skills or categories to recommend, and 3) what type of metadata to provide to the user. Examples of metadata include: popularity, star rating, number of reviews, or a short description of the skill. The agent can proactively provide metadata to the user at certain points in the experience. Depending on user's conversational style, they may prefer brief conversations with the agent (i.e., no metadata), or verbose with different types of metadata.

An important challenge in using RL for learning dialog policies is creating realistic user simulators that can generate natural conversations similar to a human user [26], and as such in previous works researchers have focused on the development of different types of user simulators (e.g., Eckert *et al.* 1997; Scheffler and Young 2002; Cuayahuitl *et al.* 2005; Georgila *et al.* 2006; Schatzmann *et al.* 2006; Asri *et al.* 2016; Li *et al.* 2016). We take a data-driven approach to user simulation, and start with a rule-based policy to gather data and then improve the agent by using RL.


2.2 Rule-based Agent

The rule-based agent selects from the following actions depending on user's intent in each turn of the dialog: 1) offering *k* categories ($1 \leq k \leq 5$), 2) offering *n* skills ($1 \leq n \leq 3$), 3) offering a skill or asking for category, 4) providing information about skill rating, 5) providing details about a skill, 6) ending the conversation, and 7) launching a skill. When multiple actions are possible, the rule-based agent randomly selects among them. For example, at the beginning of the dialog, the agent randomly selects among different offer actions. If all skills in a category have been exhausted, the agent will inform the user that no additional skills are available for the selected category. Furthermore, each action is mapped to a specific prompt template. For example, *offering a skill or asking for category* can be mapped to "Would you like to launch <skill> or try a different type of skill?", where the specific skill is provided by a skill recommendation system. Additionally, in cases where the agent does not understand what the user has said (e.g., out-of-domain requests), it will first repeat the previous prompt, if user's utterance is again misunderstood, it will give a new prompt, and finally it will stop the conversation.

2.3 User Simulation

We deployed the rule-based system to gather dialogs with users and trained a user simulator similar to Fazel-Zarandi *et al.* [10] with $180,000$ dialogs with real users. Note that the collected dialogs are not annotated and may include understanding errors. Figure 1 illustrates the interaction between the

Figure 1: User simulator and conversational agent interaction.



user simulator (left) and the conversational agent (right). More specifically, the user simulator first generates the next user intent based on dialog context. Intent generation is modeled as a language modeling problem. In this formulation, each possible intent forms a token in the vocabulary, and every training dialog becomes a training intent sequence. For example, the sequence for the conversation in Table 1 is *[Start, CategoryName, CategoryName, GetRating, Yes, End]*.

We used recurrent neural networks with Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) [4] to predict the next user intent, and used the following for dialog context: 1) previous user intent, 2) previous agent action, 3) previous agent prompt, 4) whether the user is a first-time user, 5) whether the user has already selected an item (skill or category) from a list, and 6) number of user turns so far in the conversation. The optimal parameters were found using Hyperopt [3] and the model with lowest perplexity [28] score was chosen. Given the predicted intent, the user simulator uniformly samples one utterance from the combination of available templates and user turns in the collected dialogs.

2.4 RL-based Agent

The components used to learn dialog policies using RL are as follows.

State Space *S*: The input state is composed of 1) user's intent 2) previous action the agent took, 3) previous prompt and metadata it gave the user, 4) the category the user has selected if any, 5) whether the agent has proposed a skill, 6) whether the user is a first-time user, and 7) number of user turns so far in the dialog. This set of parameters were selected using a forward feature selection approach based on the correlation between the new feature and the feature set with the goal of achieving a higher Expected Cumulative Reward (ECR) [29]. This set can be augmented with user preferences regarding skills, the last skill launched by the user, or the frequency of skill launches.

Action Space *A*: We constrain the action space of the agent to a set of composite actions: 1) offering k categories (e.g., offer-one-category, offer-two-category), 2) offering n skills (e.g., offer-one-skill, offer-two-skill), 3) offering a skill or asking for category (e.g., offer-one-skill-or-category), 4) executing a user request, 5) ending the conversation, and 6) launching a skill. The *execute* action refers to delivering information such as providing skill ratings or more details about a skill, repeating the previous prompt, or handling out-of-domain requests. At run-time, the RL policy falls back on the rule-based policy for the *execute* action.

Reward *R*: We use a simple reward function based on goal completion, where the environment gives a reward of $+1$ at the end of the dialog if the user launches a skill, and gives a reward of -1 if the user or agent end the dialog.

Policy: We use DQN [21, 22] with action masking for the RL agent, with a fully-connected MLP to represent the deep Q-network. The hidden layers use a rectifier nonlinearity, and the output layer is a fully connected layer with linear activation function and a single output for each valid action. The

Table 2: State and Action Spaces

Variable	Possible Values	Example
State - User Intent	17	start, category-name, skill-name, stop, etc.
State - Previous Agent Action	8	offer-one-skill, offer-one-skill-or-category, offer-one-category, offer-three-categories, offer-five-categories, launch-skill, end-session, execute
State - Previous Prompt	56	first-time-user-offer-three-categories, etc.
State - Previous Metadata	5	no-metadata, short-description, trending, recommended, rating-review
State - Target Category	191	adventure, adventure-kids, family, etc.
State - First Time User	2	true/false
State - Turn Depth	110	$1, ..., 110$
Action	8	offer-one-skill, offer-one-skill-or-category, offer-one-category, offer-three-categories, offer-five-categories, launch-skill, end-session, execute

action mask suppresses impossible actions in any particular dialog state, such as launching a skill before the user has selected one.


3 Experimental Results

We focused on the use case of a user searching for a game to play among $1,903$ skills belonging to 48 game categories. Each category may also have subcategories, resulting in 191 total categories. Example of categories are adventure, trivia, choose your own story, family, and kids. The number of categories to offer *k* is set to one, three, and five; and the number of skills to offer *n* is set to one, based on the results of internal user studies. Table 2 summarizes the state and action spaces. For all agents, we randomly sample from the set of possible prompts and metadata for the selected action. Furthermore, we used the Alexa Skill portal to train the NLU model from a set of sample utterances.

3.1 Simulation Results

We trained the DQN agent using an ϵ-greedy policy with ϵ decreasing linearly from 1 to 0.1 over $100,000$ steps. Additionally, we tuned a window size to include previous dialog turns as input and set γ to 0.9. We ran the method 30 times for $150,000$ steps, and in each run, after every 10,000 steps, we sampled $3,000$ dialog episodes with no exploration to evaluate the performance. The optimal parameters were found using Hyperopt [3] (see Appendix B). Figure 2 shows the simulation

Figure 2: Success rate of DQN during training. The rule-based agent achieves an average success rate of $68.00\%(\pm 2\%)$ in simulation.



results during training. The Y-axis in the figure is the success rate of the agent (measured in terms of number of dialogs that resulted in launching a skill divided by total number of dialogs), and the X-axis is the number of learning steps. Given our choice of reward function, the increase in success rate is indicative of the agent learning to improve its policy over time. Furthermore, the RL agent outperformed the rule-based agent with average success rate of $68.00\%(\pm 2\%)$ in simulation.

3.2 Human Evaluation

To evaluate the performance of the skill discovery agent, we deployed the dialog policies and evaluated them with real users (see Appendix A for examples of dialogs). We first conducted a test with a baseline policy of recommending up to five skills based on popularity and allowing the user to either accept or reject the recommendation. The success rate of this simple policy was 46.42%, illustrating the importance of providing flexible search to the user. We then conducted an A/B test on the rule-based and RL policies to compare their effects on skill launches in a production environment. Both policies were tested on randomly sampled users, with the additional constraint of using the same policy for returning users. The results are reported in Table 3. Both policies significantly outperform the baseline policy, indicating the importance of providing flexible search and navigation to users. Additionally, the difference between the success rate of the rule-based (73.41%) and RL (76.99%) policies is statistically significant (p-value < 0.0001) and the RL policy has significantly shorter dialogs (p-value < 0.0001), showing the importance of optimizing for the entire interaction with the user.

In order to understand the effect of adapting to user attributes, we investigated the difference in success rate between first-time and returning users for the two policies. First-time users make up 59.48% and 60.14% of the population for the rule-based and RL policies, respectively. Table 4 shows the results. The RL policy significantly outperforms the rule-based policy for both first-time (p-value < 0.0001) and returning users (p-value $= 0.0002$), indicating that the RL model has learned and adapted to user attributes. Additionally, the RL policy has a similar performance for both groups of users. The difference for the rule-based policy between the two groups, on the other hand, is significant (p-value $= 0.0010$), indicating that this policy is more tuned to returning users. This highlights the difficulty of authoring personalized dialog policies with rules, and shows the advantage of using RL for this problem.

4 Related Work

Conversational search and recommendation, especially in the context of e-commerce, have been explored by researchers [5, 24, 1, 33, 31]. Christakopoulou *et al.* [5] introduced an interactive recommendation protocol and studied whether to ask absolute or relative questions when gathering user preferences. Their dialog system collects like/dislike and pairwise comparison feedback from users, and does not include actions typically present in a dialog system [31]. Radlinski and Craswell [24] proposed a theoretical framework for conversational search. Kenter and de Rijke [13] framed the problem as a machine reading task and applied it to question answering. Aggarwal *et al.* [1] developed a RL-based conversational search assistant, in which state and action spaces are domain

Table 3: Success rate and average dialog length for the rule-based and RL dialog policies.

Policy	**Number of Users**	**Success Rate**	**Avg. Dialog Length**
Rule-based	$32,032$	73.41%	4.97
RL-based	$32,295$	76.99%	4.65

Table 4: Success rate of first-time and return users for the rule-based and RL dialog policies.

Policy	**First-Time**	**Returning**
Rule-based	72.68%	74.49%
RL-based	77.14%	76.76%

specific and may require a significant amount of time to develop. Sun and Zhang [31] proposed a unified framework to integrate recommender and dialog systems, in which instead of just returning the top-ranking results for a given user query, the agent attempts to optimize for long term reward by asking the user for the value of an attribute. In their work, the action space is limited to two types of actions, namely, requesting for the value of an attribute or making a recommendation. Zhang *et al.* [33] proposed a multi-memory network architecture and applied it to search and recommendation in e-commerce. Compared to previous works, our formulation of the search problem is domain independent, accounts for user attributes and conversational preferences, and includes actions typically present in a dialog system. Additionally, whereas existing works have not been evaluated in a real production setting, we conduct experiments with real users at large scale.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced the problem of conversational skill discovery in speech-based assistants and presented an approach to enable users to find skills. To this end, we started with a rule-based agent and improved it by using RL, enabling the agent to adapt to different user attributes and conversational styles. We compared popularity based, rule-based and RL-based model conversational agents by deploying them in a real production setting and showed that the RL agent learns to adapt its policy to achieve a higher success rate with shorter dialogs. For future work, we plan to further personalize the dialog policy based on user attributes and conversational preferences, and investigate richer state representations. Furthermore, we plan to explore the impact of evolving attributes and preferences on the learned policies.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Alexa Machine Learning Platform team for making the customer experiments possible. We would also like to thank Jared Casale, Jason Pazis, Longshaokan Wang, and Spyros Matsoukas for their feedback and support.

Appendix

A Examples of Dialogs

Dialog with the Rule-based Agent

Speaker	Utterance
User	Let's play a game
Agent	Okay, let's find you a game to play. One of the most played games this week is Would You Rather for Family. Do you want to try it, or pick a game category?
User	No
Agent	What are you in the mood for? I have mystery games, multiplayer games, family games, sports games, geography games, or you can say more categories.
User	Mystery games
Agent	Mystery games, okay! Here are a few. I have a popular game called Escape the Room. Do you want to try it?
User	No
Agent	I have a popular game called The Wayne Investigation. Do you want to try it?
User	No
Agent	Next, I have The Vortex, which is rated as 4.0 by more than 900 people. Would you like to try it?
User	No
Agent	Okay, I have Guess the Number. Would you like to try it?
User	Stop

Dialog with RL-based Agent

Speaker	Utterance
User	Let's play a game
Agent	Okay, let's find you a game to play. One of the most played games this week is Would You Rather for Family. Do you want to try it, or pick a game category?
User	Pick a game category
Agent	I have game show games, role playing games, top games, TV and movie games, echo button games, or other categories. Which of these do you want?
User	Echo button games
Agent	Echo button games, okay! Here are a few. First, do you want to try Party Foul?
User	No
Agent	Next, would you like to give Hanagram a try?
User	No
Agent	Would you like to try Don't Cut That Wire!, or would you like to pick a game category?
User	Pick a game category
Agent	What kind of game would you like? I have sports games, puzzle games, guessing games, or you can say more categories.
User	Guessing games
Agent	Okay, guessing games. First, would you like to give Twenty Questions a try?
User	What?
Agent	I have a popular game called Twenty Questions. Do you want to try it?
User	Yes
Agent	Gotcha. Here's Twenty Questions.

B Learning Parameters for the RL Agent

	DQN
Number of Hidden Layers	2
Number of Hidden Nodes per Layer	128
Learning Rate	$1e-05$
Dropout	0.3
Experience Replay Size	$15,000$
Window Size	1
Embedding Size	1
Target Model Update Interval	$13,000$

References

[1] Milan Aggarwal, Aarushi Arora, Shagun Sodhani, and Balaji Krishnamurthy. Improving search through a3c reinforcement learning based conversational agent. In *Shi Y. et al. (eds) Computational Science – ICCS 2018*, volume 10861 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, Cham, 2018.

[2] Layla El Asri, Jing He, and Kaheer Suleman. A sequence-to-sequence model for user simulation in spoken dialogue systems. *CoRR*, abs/1607.00070, 2016.

[3] James Bergstra, Brent Komer, Chris Eliasmith, Dan Yamins, and David D Cox. Hyperopt: a python library for model selection and hyperparameter optimization. *Computational Science & Discovery*, 8(1):014008, 2015.

[4] Kyunghyun Cho, Bart van Merriënboer, Caglar Gulcehre, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Fethi Bougares, Holger Schwenk, and Yoshua Bengio. Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder–decoder for statistical machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1724–1734, Doha, Qatar, October 2014. Association for Computational Linguistics.

[5] Konstantina Christakopoulou, Filip Radlinski, and Katja Hofmann. Towards conversational recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the 22Nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on*

Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '16, pages 815–824, New York, NY, USA, 2016. ACM.

[6] Heriberto Cuayahuitl, Steve Renals, Oliver Lemon, and Hiroshi Shimodaira. Human-computer dialogue simulation using hidden markov models. In *IEEE Workshop on Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding, 2005.*, pages 290–295, Nov 2005.

[7] Heriberto Cuayáhuitl. Simpleds: A simple deep reinforcement learning dialogue system. *CoRR*, abs/1601.04574, 2016.

[8] Wieland Eckert, Esther Levin, and Roberto Pieraccini. User modeling for spoken dialogue system evaluation. In *1997 IEEE Workshop on Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Proceedings*, pages 80–87, Dec 1997.

[9] Mehdi Fatemi, Layla El Asri, Hannes Schulz, Jing He, and Kaheer Suleman. Policy networks with two-stage training for dialogue systems. *CoRR*, abs/1606.03152, 2016.

[10] Maryam Fazel-Zarandi, Shang-Wen Li, Jin Cao, Jared Casale, Peter Henderson, David Whitney, and Alborz Geramifard. Learning robust dialog policies in noisy environments. *CoRR*, abs/1712.04034, 2017.

[11] Kallirroi Georgila and David Traum. Reinforcement learning of argumentation dialogue policies in negotiation. In *The 12th Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association (InterSpeech)*, Florence, Italy, August 2011.

[12] Kallirroi Georgila, James Henderson, and Oliver Lemon. User simulation for spoken dialogue systems: learning and evaluation. In *INTERSPEECH*, 2006.

[13] Tom Kenter and Maarten de Rijke. Attentive memory networks: Efficient machine reading for conversational search. *CoRR*, abs/1712.07229, 2017.

[14] Young-Bum Kim, Dongchan Kim, Anjishnu Kumar, and Ruhi Sarikaya. Efficient large-scale domain classification with personalized attention. *CoRR*, abs/1804.08065, 2018.

[15] Anjishnu Kumar, Arpit Gupta, Julian Chan, Sam Tucker, Björn Hoffmeister, Markus Dreyer, Stanislav Peshterliev, Ankur Gandhe, Denis Filiminov, Ariya Rastrow, Christian Monson, and Agnika Kumar. Just ASK: building an architecture for extensible self-service spoken language understanding. *CoRR*, abs/1711.00549, 2017.

[16] Sungjin Lee and Maxine Eskenazi. Pomdp-based let's go system for spoken dialog challenge. In *2012 IEEE Spoken Language Technology Workshop (SLT)*, pages 61–66, Dec 2012.

[17] Esther Levin, Roberto Pieraccini, and Wieland Eckert. A stochastic model of human-machine interaction for learning dialog strategies. *IEEE Transactions on Speech and Audio Processing*, 8(1):11–23, Jan 2000.

[18] Xiujun Li, Zachary C. Lipton, Bhuwan Dhingra, Lihong Li, Jianfeng Gao, and Yun-Nung Chen. A user simulator for task-completion dialogues. *CoRR*, abs/1612.05688, 2016.

[19] Han Li, Jihwan Lee, Sidharth Mudgal, Ruhi Sarikaya, and Young-Bum Kim. Continuous learning for large-scale personalized domain classification. In *NAACL-HLT*, 2019.

[20] Bing Liu, Gokhan Tur, Dilek Zeynep Hakkani, Pararth Shah, and Larry P. Heck. Dialogue learning with human teaching and feedback in endtoend trainable taskoriented dialogue systems. In *NAACL-HLT*, 2018.

[21] Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, David Silver, Alex Graves, Ioannis Antonoglou, Daan Wierstra, and Martin A. Riedmiller. Playing atari with deep reinforcement learning. *CoRR*, abs/1312.5602, 2013.

[22] Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, David Silver, Andrei A. Rusu, Joel Veness, Marc G. Bellemare, Alex Graves, Martin Riedmiller, Andreas K. Fidjeland, Georg Ostrovski, Stig Petersen, Charles Beattie, Amir Sadik, Ioannis Antonoglou, Helen King, Dharshan Kumaran, Daan Wierstra, Shane Legg, and Demis Hassabis. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *Nature*, 518(7540):529–533, February 2015.

[23] Kaixiang Mo, Yu Zhang, Shuangyin Li, Jiajun Li, and Qiang Yang. Personalizing a dialogue system with transfer reinforcement learning. In *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.

[24] Filip Radlinski and Nick Craswell. A theoretical framework for conversational search. In *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Conference Human Information Interaction and Retrieval*, CHIIR '17, pages 117–126, New York, NY, USA, 2017. ACM.

[25] Dimitrios Rafailidis and Yannis Manolopoulos. The technological gap between virtual assistants and recommendation systems. *CoRR*, abs/1901.00431, 2019.

[26] Jost Schatzmann, Karl Weilhammer, Matt Stuttle, and Steve Young. A survey of statistical user simulation techniques for reinforcement-learning of dialogue management strategies. *Knowl. Eng. Rev.*, 21(2):97–126, June 2006.

[27] Konrad Scheffler and Steve Young. Automatic learning of dialogue strategy using dialogue simulation and reinforcement learning. In *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Human Language Technology Research*, HLT '02, pages 12–19, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2002. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.

[28] Iulian V. Serban, Alessandro Sordoni, Yoshua Bengio, Aaron Courville, and Joelle Pineau. Building end-to-end dialogue systems using generative hierarchical neural network models. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, AAAI'16, pages 3776–3783. AAAI Press, 2016.

[29] Shitian Shen and Min Chi. Aim low: Correlation-based feature selection for model-based reinforcement learning. In *International Conference on Educational Data Mining (EDM)*, 2016.

[30] Satinder P. Singh, Diane J. Litman, Michael J. Kearns, and Marilyn A. Walker. Optimizing dialogue management with reinforcement learning: Experiments with the njfun system. *CoRR*, abs/1106.0676, 2011.

[31] Yueming Sun and Yi Zhang. Conversational recommender system. *CoRR*, abs/1806.03277, 2018.

[32] Jason D. Williams and Steve Young. Partially observable markov decision processes for spoken dialog systems. *Computer Speech & Language*, 21(2):393 – 422, 2007.

[33] Yongfeng Zhang, Xu Chen, Qingyao Ai, Liu Yang, and W. Bruce Croft. Towards conversational search and recommendation: System ask, user respond. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, CIKM '18, pages 177–186, New York, NY, USA, 2018. ACM.

[34] Tiancheng Zhao and Maxine Eskénazi. Towards end-to-end learning for dialog state tracking and management using deep reinforcement learning. *CoRR*, abs/1606.02560, 2016.