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Abstract

In a proper edge-coloring of a cubic graph, an edge $e$ is normal if the set of colors used by the edges adjacent to $e$ has cardinality 3 or 5. The Petersen coloring conjecture asserts that every bridgeless cubic graph has a normal 5-edge-coloring, that is, a proper 5-edge-coloring such that all edges are normal. In this paper, we prove a result related to the Petersen coloring conjecture. The parameter $\mu_3$ is a measurement for cubic graphs, introduced by Steffen in 2015. Our result shows that every bridgeless cubic graph $G$ has a proper 5-edge-coloring such that at least $|E(G)| - \mu_3(G)$, which is no less than $\frac{2}{5}|E(G)|$, many edges are normal. This result improves on some earlier results of Bílková and Šámal.
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1 Instruction

This paper focuses on Jaeger’s Petersen coloring conjecture \cite{8}, which states that every bridgeless cubic graph has a Petersen coloring. This conjecture is stronger than Berge-Fulkerson conjecture, and also implies some other conjectures, such as 5-cycle double cover conjecture (shortly, 5CDCC). There are several equivalent statements to the Petersen coloring conjecture, one of them is that every bridgeless cubic graph has a normal 5-edge-coloring. However, only few results on this conjecture is known. Here, we follow Šámal’s new approach \cite{14} that might leads to a solution to this conjecture. For a given bridgeless cubic graph, we look for a proper 5-edge-coloring yielding normal edges as much as possible. In other words, we color the graph “as normal as possible” while the conjecture asserts that we can color the graph completely normal. The result of Bílková \cite{11} targets some classes of cubic graphs and shows that, we can color a generalized prism so that $\frac{2}{3}$ of the edges are normal, and we can color a cubic graph of large girth so that almost $\frac{1}{2}$ of the edges are normal. In this paper, we prove that every bridgeless cubic graph $G$ has a proper 5-edge-coloring such that at least $|E(G)| - \mu_3(G)$ edges are normal. By a result of Kaiser, Král and Norine in \cite{12}, it holds $\mu_3(G) \leq \frac{1}{5}|E(G)|$. Therefore, we can guarantee a proper 5-edge-coloring of $G$ containing at least $\frac{4}{5}|E(G)|$ normal edges, which improves these earlier results.
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1.1 Petersen coloring conjecture

Given graphs $G$ and $H$, a mapping $\phi: E(G) \rightarrow E(H)$ is an $H$-coloring of $G$ if any three mutually adjacent edges of $G$ are mapped to three mutually adjacent edges of $H$. The mapping $\phi$ is called a Petersen-coloring if $H$ is the Petersen graph.

Jaeger \[8\] posed the following conjecture which would imply both Berge-Fulkerson Conjecture and 5-CDCC.

**Conjecture 1.1** (The Petersen coloring conjecture \[8\]). Every bridgeless cubic graph has a Petersen-coloring.

This subsection devotes to some alternative formulations of the Petersen coloring conjecture.

Let $G$ be a graph. A set of edges $C$ is a binary cycle if $C$ induces a subgraph of $G$ where every vertex has even degree. DeVos, Nešetřil and Raspaud \[3\] defined that, given graphs $G$ and $H$, a mapping $\phi: E(G) \rightarrow E(H)$ is cycle-continuous if the pre-image of each binary cycle of $H$ is a binary cycle of $G$. When $G$ and $H$ are cubic and additionally $H$ is cyclically 4-edge-connected, $G$ has a cycle-continuous mapping to $H$ if and only if $G$ has an $H$-coloring. This leads to the first alternate formulation of the Petersen coloring conjecture.

**Theorem 1.2** (e.g. \[1\]). A cubic graph has a Petersen-coloring if and only if it has a cycle-continuous mapping to the Petersen graph.

However, the study on cycle-continuous mapping makes no progress on solving the Petersen coloring conjecture so far.

Consider Cremona-Richmond configuration $G_{cr}$, which has 15 points and 15 lines, as drawn in Figure 1. A CR-coloring of a graph $G$ is a mapping from $E(G)$ to the points of $G_{cr}$ such that any three mutually adjacent edges of $G$ are mapped to three vertices of $G_{cr}$ that lie in a line.

![Figure 1: Cremona-Richmond configuration with \{i,j\} labelling](image)

**Theorem 1.3** (\[13\]). A cubic graph has a Berge-Fulkerson cover if and only if it has a CR-coloring.
The truth of this theorem easily follows from a labelling of Cremona-Richmond configuration by \( \{i, j\} \) with \( 1 \leq i < j \leq 6 \), as shown in Figure 1. Here, we give another labelling of Cremona-Richmond configuration which yields that every CR-coloring of the graph \( G \) is a nowhere-zero flow of \( G \), that is, the flow values around a vertex sum up to zero. Such a labelling takes 15 non-zero elements of \( \mathbb{Z}_4^2 \), depicted in Figure 2.

![Figure 2: Cremona-Richmond configuration with \( \mathbb{Z}_4^2 \)-labelling and with \( L_{cr} \) in dotted line](image)

Let \( L_{cr} \) be a set of 10 lines obtained from the lines of \( G_{cr} \) by removing 5 pairwise disjoint lines. The dotted lines in Figure 2 indicate an example of \( L_{cr} \).

**Theorem 1.4** ([13]). A cubic graph has a Petersen-coloring if and only if it has a CR-coloring using lines from \( L_{cr} \).

From the previous two theorems, it is easy to see again that the Petersen coloring conjecture implies Berge-Fulkerson conjecture.

Unfortunately, the study on CR-colorings makes no progress on solving the Petersen coloring conjecture either. Here, we focus on another alternative formulation of the Petersen coloring conjecture, in terms of normal 5-edge-colorings.

### 1.2 Normal 5-edge-coloring

Let \( G \) be a cubic graph and \( \phi: E(G) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, 5\} \) be a proper 5-edge-coloring. An edge \( e \) is poor (or rich) if \( e \) together with its four adjacent edges uses precisely 3 (or 5) colors in total. An edge is normal if it is either rich or poor, and it is abnormal otherwise. A normal 5-edge-coloring is a proper 5-edge-coloring such that all the edges are normal. Jaeger [7] showed the equivalence between Petersen colorings and normal 5-edge-colorings of a cubic graph.

**Theorem 1.5** ([7]). A cubic graph has a Petersen-coloring if and only if it has a normal 5-edge-coloring.

A possible minimal counterexample to the Petersen coloring conjecture was characterized in literatures. Jaeger [8] proved that it must be a cyclically 4-edge-connected snark. By the study on normal
5-edge-colorings of cubic graphs, Hägglund and Steffen [6] showed that the minimal counterexample does not contain $K_{4,3}^*$ as a subgraph (see Figure 3 for $K_{4,3}^*$).

![Figure 3: The graph $K_{4,3}^*$](image)

A quite few classes of cubic graphs have been confirmed to have a normal 5-edge-coloring and thus a Petersen coloring as well. In [6] it also showed that a cubic graph $G$ has a normal 5-edge-coloring if $G$ is a flower snark or a Goldberg snark or a generalized Blanusa snark of type 1 or 2. With the aid of computer, Brinkmann et al. [2] tested the Petersen coloring conjecture on cubic graphs of small order, and showed that every cubic graph of order no more than 36 has a normal 5-edge-coloring. Recently, Ferrarini, Mazzuoccolo and Mkrtchyan [4] confirm the existence of normal 5-edge-colorings for a family of Loupekhine snarks.

### 1.3 Partially normal 5-edge-coloring

Let $G$ be a cubic graph and $S_3$ be a list of three 1-factors $M_1, M_2, M_3$ of $G$. For $0 \leq i \leq 3$, let $E_i$ be the set of edges that are contained in precisely $i$ elements of $S_3$. Let $|E_0| = k$. The $k$-core of $G$ with respect to $S_3$ (or to $M_1, M_2, M_3$) is the subgraph $G_c$ of $G$ which is induced by $E_0 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$; that is, $G_c = G[E_0 \cup E_2 \cup E_3]$. If the value of $k$ is irrelevant, then we say that $G_c$ is a core of $G$. Furthermore, $\mu_3(G) = \min\{k : G$ has a $k$-core$\}$. Clearly, every bridgeless cubic graph has a $\mu_3(G)$-core; and for any core, $E_0 \cup E_2$ induces disjoint circuits.

Cores were introduced by Steffen [15] recently and were used to prove partial results on some hard conjectures which are related to 1-factors of cubic graphs, such as Berge conjecture, Fan-Raspaud conjecture, and conjectures on cycle covers. The parameter $\mu_3(G)$ can measure how far a cubic graph $G$ is from being 3-edge-colorable, and it was related to many other parameters, such as girth and oddness of $G$. We refer to [4] for a survey on these kinds of measurements, and to [9, 10, 11] for studies on cores and $\mu_3$. In this paper, we will use them to prove a partial result on the Petersen coloring conjecture.

Considering that a normal 5-edge-coloring requires each edge to be normal, Šámal [14] presented a weaker problem approximate to the Petersen coloring conjecture, that is, to search for a proper 5-edge-coloring such that the normal edges are as many as possible. Here, such a coloring is called a partially normal 5-edge-coloring. Later on, Bříková proved that a generalized prism has a proper 5-edge-coloring with two third of the edges normal ([4], Theorem 2.3) and a cubic graph of large girth has a proper 5-edge-coloring with approximately half of the edges normal ([4], Theorem 3.6). In this paper, we show that for every bridgeless cubic graph, there exists a proper 5-edge-coloring such that almost all the edges
are normal. More precisely, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.6.** Every bridgeless cubic graph $G$ has a proper 5-edge-coloring such that at least $|E(G)| - \mu_3(G)$ many edges are normal.

Since $\mu_3(G) \leq \frac{1}{3}|E(G)|$ by a result of Kaiser, Král and Norine in [12], a direct consequence of this theorem is as follows.

**Corollary 1.7.** Every bridgeless cubic graph $G$ has a proper 5-edge-coloring such that at least $\frac{2}{3}|E(G)|$ edges are normal.

The proof of this theorem will be done by constructing such a proper 5-edge-coloring with the help of the structural properties on cores. First of all, we need some definitions and lemmas.

### 2 Definitions and lemmas

Let $G$ be a cubic graph. If $C$ is a circuit of $G$, then $(C)$ denotes the set of edges not on $C$ but having at least one end on $C$. Analogously, if $P$ is a path of $G$ with ends $x$ and $y$, then $(P)$ denotes the set of edges not on $P$ but having at least one end on $P - x - y$. If $H$ is a set of vertex-disjoint circuits or paths of $G$, then define that $(H) = \bigcup_{h \in H} (h)$.

Let $G$ be a cubic graph and $X \subseteq E(G)$. Let $\psi$: $X \to \{1, \ldots, 5\}$ be a proper edge-coloring of $G[X]$. Let $H$ be a set of vertex-disjoint circuits or paths of $G$. The subgraph $H$ is $\psi$-extendable if the following three items hold: (i) $E(H) \cap X = \emptyset$; (ii) $\psi(e) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ for $e \in (H) \cap X$; (iii) we can assign $E(H) \cup (H) \setminus X$ with colors from $\{1, 2, 3\}$ so that the resulting coloring remains proper. Applying the third item is called $\psi$-extending $H$. Let $v$ be a vertex of $G$, $E(v)$ be the edges incident with $v$ and $C(v)$ be the colors on $E(v)$, that is, $C(v) = \{\psi(e): e \in X \cap E(v)\}$. An edge $h$ of $E(v)$ is $\psi$-good on $v$ if either $C(v) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ or $e \notin X$ and $C(v) = \{4, 5\}$. Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$ of minimum degree 2. Define $E_v(H)$ to be edges of $G - E(H)$ that has an end on $H$ and is not $\psi$-good on this end. If $v$ is clear from the context, we write $E(H)$ for short.

Let $G_c$ be a core of a cubic graph $G$ with respect to three 1-factors $M_1, M_2, M_3$. The major-coloring of $G$ with respect to $M_1, M_2, M_3$ (or to $G_c$) is a mapping $\phi$: $E(G) \setminus E(G_c) \to \{1, 2, 3\}$ defined as $\phi(e) = i$ for each $e \in (E(G) \setminus E(G_c)) \cap M_i$. Let $k$ be an integer and $k \geq 2$. A string $P$ of $G_c$ is a subgraph of $G_c$ consisting of distinct odd circuits $C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_k$ of $G[E_0 \cup E_2]$ and edges $e_1, \ldots, e_k$ of $E_3$ such that each $e_i$ connects a vertex $u_{i-1}$ of $C_{i-1}$ to a vertex $v_i$ of $C_i$. Such a string is denoted by $C_0 e_1 C_1 \ldots e_k C_k$ or $C_0(u_1 v_1) C_1 \ldots (u_k v_k) C_k$. The two circuits $C_0$ and $C_k$ are called end-circuits of $P$, and the remaining circuits are called middle-circuits of $P$.

Let $G_c$ be a core of a cubic graph $G$ and $\phi_{\text{in}}$ be the major-coloring of $G$ with respect to $G_c$. Let $P^1, \ldots, P^s$ be pairwise disjoint strings of $G_c$ by notation $P^i = C_0^i(u_1^i v_1^i) C_1^i \ldots (u_{t_i}^i v_{t_i}^i) C_{t_i}^i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. Denote by $Q$ the union of all the odd circuits of $G[E_0 \cup E_2]$ not contained in any of these strings. The union of $P^1, \ldots, P^s$ is a wave if for $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ there exist a path $p_i^0$ of $C_0^i$ between $v_j^i$ and $u_{j+1}^i$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, t_i - 1\}$, a path $p_i^0$ of $C_0^i$ with $u_1^i$ as an end and a path $p_i^t_i$ of $C_{t_i}^i$ with $v_{t_i}^i$ as an end, satisfying the following three items:
(1) $P = \{p_j^i : 1 \leq i \leq s$ and $0 \leq j \leq t_i\}$ is $\phi_m$-extendable.

(2) For any $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ and $j \in \{0, t_i\}$, if $|E(p_j^i)| \leq |E(C_j^i)| - 2$, let $P_j^i$ consist of $p_j^i$ and the end-edge of $C_j^i - E(p_j^i)$ that is not incident with $u_1^i$ or $v_1^i$, and let $P'$ be obtained from $P$ by constituting $P_j^i$ for $P_j^i$, then $P'$ is not $\phi_m$-extendable.

(3) For any component $q_1$ of $Q$ and another component $q_2$ of $P \cup Q$, we have $\langle q_1 \rangle \cap \langle q_2 \rangle \cap E_3 = \emptyset$.

Such a wave is denoted by $P^1 + \cdots + P^s$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $G_c$ be a core of a bridgeless cubic graph $G$. If $G_c$ has a string, then it has a wave.

**Proof.** We construct such a wave $W$ by an algorithm.

Let $\phi_m$ be the major-coloring of $G$ with respect to $G_c$, and let $H$ be the union of odd circuits of $G[E_0 \cup E_2]$. Since $G_c$ has a string, say $s$, we can take two circuits $C_u$ and $C_v$ and an edge $e$ of $s$ such that $e$ connects a vertex $u$ of $C_u$ to a vertex $v$ of $C_v$. Initialize $W$ to be a graph consisting of $C_u, C_v$ and $e$. Initialize $P$ and $F$ to be empty sets, which will collect paths.

(*) If there exists no $\phi_m$-extendable path $p$ on $C_u$ whose one end is $u$ and the other end (say $w$) is connected to a vertex (say $x$) of some circuit (say $C_x$) of $H - W$ such that $wx \in E_3$, then add into $P$ and $F$ the longest $\phi_m$-extendable path on $C_u$ which takes $u$ as an end; otherwise (i.e., if such $p$ exists), we do the following: take such $p$ of minimum length, let $W$ include $wx$ and $C_x$, add $p$ into $P$ and $F$, and then repeat this argument with $x$ and $C_x$ instead of $u$ and $C_u$ respectively until no such $p$ exists anymore.

We can see from (*) that for any two components $p_1$ and $p_2$ of $F$ (w.l.o.g., assume that $p_1$ was put into $F$ earlier than $p_2$), we have $\langle p_1 \rangle \cap \langle p_2 \rangle \cap E_3 = \emptyset$ by the length minimality of $p_1$. Since no two edges of $E_3$ are adjacent, we can further deduce that $\langle p_1 \rangle \cap E_3$ and $\langle p_2 \rangle \cap E_3$ are disjoint. Therefore, we can $\phi_m$-extend $F$ and we do it. Denote by $\phi'_m$ the resulting coloring. Repeat the argument (*) with $v, C_v, \phi'_m$ instead of $u, C_u, \phi_m$, respectively. We can see that the resulting $F$ is still $\phi_m$-extendable. Now the first string of $W$ is completed.

If $G_c$ has a string disjoint with $W$, then reset $F$ to be an empty set and apply the same argument on this string as on $s$, which yields the second string of $W$. Repeat this until $G_c$ has no strings disjoint with the resulting $W$.

Now the construction of $W$ is completed. We shall prove that $W$ is a wave. Following the notation in the wave definition, let $Q = H - W$. Firstly, since $G_c$ has no strings disjoint with $W$ right now, for any two distinct components $q_1$ and $q_2$ of $Q$, we have $\langle q_1 \rangle \cap \langle q_2 \rangle \cap E_3 = \emptyset$. For any component $p$ of $P$, by the length maximality of $p$ when it belongs to an end-circuit of $W$ and by the length minimality of $p$ when it doesn’t, we can deduce that $\langle p \rangle \cap \langle q \rangle \cap E_3 = \emptyset$ for any component $q$ of $Q$. Therefore, the item (3) holds for $W$. Secondly, for any two components of $P$ locating in different strings, take $p$ as the one put into $P$ earlier than the other (say $q$) and again, by the length maximality or minimality of $p$ we can deduce that $\langle p \rangle \cap \langle q \rangle \cap E_3 = \emptyset$. Moreover, the algorithm shows that each component of $P$ is $\phi_m$-extendable. Therefore, $P$ is $\phi_m$-extendable as well, i.e., the item (1) holds for $W$. It remains to show that the item (2) holds for $W$. If not, then following the notation of item (2), $W$ has an end-circuit $C_j^i$ as described in item (2) but $P'$ is $\phi_m$-extendable, contradicting the length maximality of $p_j^i$. 

\[ \square \]
Let \( G_c \) be a core of a cubic graph \( G \). Let \( D \) be a circuit of \( G[E_0 \cup E_2] \). Define \( \sigma(D) \) to be the number of vertices of \( D \) incident with an edge from \( E_3 \). Note that \( \sigma(D) \geq \left| (D) \cap E_3 \right| \). Define \( \Omega(G_c) = \{ C: C \text{ is a circuit of } G[E_0 \cup E_2] \text{ such that } \sigma(C) = 1 \text{ and } |E(C)| \leq 5 \} \). Let \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) be two distinct circuits of \( \Omega(G_c) \). \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are \( G_c \)-connected if there is an edge from \( E_1 \) connecting a vertex of \( C_1 \) to a vertex of \( C_2 \). Let \( e_i \) be the unique edge from \( \langle C_i \rangle \cap E_3 \) for \( i \in \{1, 2\} \). Let \( X \subseteq E(G - E(C_1) - E(C_2)) \) and \( \psi: X \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, 5\} \) be a proper edge-coloring of \( G[X] \). \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are \( \psi \)-connected if \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) are adjacent to a common edge \( e \in X \) such that \( \psi(e) \in \{4, 5\} \).

Let \( X \) be a set of edges of a cubic graph \( G \) and let \( \psi: X \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, 5\} \) be a proper edge-coloring of \( G[X] \). An edge \( e \) of \( G \) is \( \psi \)-inner if \( e \) together with its adjacent edges belong to \( X \); otherwise, \( e \) is \( \psi \)-outer. Let \( G_c \) be a core of \( G \). Define \( \theta_{G_c, \psi} \) as a function on \( E(G) \) given by

\[
\text{for each } \psi \text{-inner edge } e, \theta_{G_c, \psi}(e) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } e \in E_0 \text{ and } e \text{ is normal}, \\
-1 & \text{if } e \notin E_0 \text{ and } e \text{ is abnormal}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}; 
\end{cases}
\]

and for each \( \psi \)-outer edge \( e, \theta_{G_c, \psi}(e) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } e \in E_0, \\
-1 & \text{if } e \notin E_0. 
\end{cases} \]

If \( G_c \) and \( \psi \) are clear from the context, we write \( \theta \) for short. Moreover, for \( X \subseteq E(G) \), define \( \theta(X) = \sum_{x \in X} \theta(x) \). We write \( \theta(H) \) short for \( \theta(E(H)) \) for a subgraph \( H \) of \( G \).

A direct consequence of the definition of \( \theta \) is the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \( G_c \) be a \( k \)-core of a cubic graph \( G \). If \( \psi: E(G) \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, 5\} \) is a proper edge-coloring of \( G \), then \( G \) has \( k - \theta(G) \) abnormal edges.

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this paper.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.6

Trivially, the theorem holds true for 3-edge-colorable cubic graphs. We may assume that \( G \) is not 3-edge-colorable. Let \( G_c \) be a \( \mu_3(G) \)-core of \( G \) and let \( \phi_m \) be the major-coloring of \( G \) with respect to \( G_c \). Let \( H = G[E_0 \cup E_2] \) and denote \( H_1 \) the graph consisting of all the even circuits of \( H \). If \( G_c \) has a string, then it has a wave \( W \) by Lemma 2.1 and denote \( H_2 \) the graph consisting of all the odd circuits of \( H \) that are contained in \( W \); otherwise, to be convenient, we say that \( W \) and \( H_2 \) are empty graphs. Let \( H_3 = H - H_1 - H_2 \). We will extend \( \phi_m \) to a proper 5-edge-coloring \( \phi'_m \) of \( G \) by coloring \( H_1, H_2, H_3 \) in order and simultaneous the edges of \( E_3 \). By Lemma 2.2 to show that the final coloring \( \phi'_m \) yields at most \( \mu_3(G) \) edges abnormal, it suffices to prove \( \theta_{G_c, \phi'_m}(G) \geq 0 \). In what follows, since \( G_c \) is fixed and we always consider the current coloring extended from \( \phi_m \), we write \( \theta \) and \( E \) briefly. Let \( K \) be a set initialized to be empty. We will use \( K \) to collect subgraphs of \( G \) which receive colors during the extension of \( \phi_m \).

For each circuit \( C \) of \( H_1 \), assign \( E(C) \) with colors 4 and 5 alternately along \( C \). For each \( e \in E(C), \) by the definition of the function \( \theta, \) if \( e \in E_0 \) then \( \theta(e) \geq 0 \). If \( e \in E_2, \) then \( e \) is adjacent to two edges of
the same color from \(\{1, 2, 3\}\), so \(e\) is poor yielding \(\theta(e) = 0\). Therefore, \(\theta(C) \geq 0 = |E(C)|\). Add \(C\) into the set \(\mathcal{K}\).

To describe the structure of the wave \(W\), we use same notations as in the definition of a wave. By Property (1) in the wave definition, we can \(\phi_m\)-extend \(P\) and we do it. Notice that the remaining part of \(W\) are disjoint paths. Color them with 4 and 5 alternately along each path. Add \(W\) into \(\mathcal{K}\).

Claim 3.1. For each string \(P^i\) of \(W\), we have \(\theta(P^i) \geq 2 = |E(P^i)|\).

Proof. Let \(t_i = d\). We will show that if \(d \geq 2\) then \(\theta(u_j^i v_j^i) + \theta(C_j^i) \geq 1\) for each \(j \in \{1, \ldots, d - 1\}\). Firstly, for each \(e \in E(C_j^i) \cap E(p_j^i)\), we have \(e \in E_0 \cup E_2\). Again, by the definition of the function \(\theta\), if \(e \in E_0\) then \(\theta(e) \geq 0\), and if \(e \in E_2\) then \(e\) is poor yielding \(\theta(e) = 0\). Therefore, \(\theta(C_j^i) - \theta(p_j^i) \geq 0\). Moreover, since each edge of \(p_j^i\) is either rich or poor, \(\theta(p_j^i) = |E(p_j^i) \cap E_0|\). Since the value \(\theta\) of an edge is at least -1, the conclusion \(\theta(u_j^i v_j^i) + \theta(C_j^i) \geq 1\) holds true, provided that \(|E(p_j^i) \cap E_0| \geq 2\). Hence, we may next assume that \(|E(p_j^i) \cap E_0| \leq 1\). It follows that \(p_j^i\) is just an edge from \(E_0\). So we could choose a \(\phi_m\)-extension of \(p_j^i\) so that \(u_j^i v_j^i\) is poor. The conclusion holds as well.

We next show that \(\theta(C_0^i) \geq 1\), while the equality holds only if \(C_0^i\) is a triangle. Denote by \(x\) an end-vertex of \(p_0^i\) rather than \(u_0^i\), and by \(a, b\) and \(c\) the edges incident with \(x\) such that \(a \in E(p_0^i)\) and \(b \in \langle C_0^i\rangle\). Since \(p_0^i\) is a path, \(|E(C_0^i)| = |E(p_0^i)| \geq 1\). We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: assume that \(|E(C_0^i)| - |E(p_0^i)| > 1\). Firstly, for each \(e \in E(C) \cap E(p_0^i + c)\), again by the definition of the function \(\theta\), if \(e \in E_0\) then \(\theta(e) \geq 0\), and if \(e \in E_2\) then \(e\) is poor yielding \(\theta(e) = 0\). Hence, \(\theta(C_0^i - E(p_0^i) - c) \geq 0\). Secondly, by Properties (1) and (2) of the wave definition, \(P\) is \(\phi_m\)-extendable but \(P + \{c\}\) is not. Hence, we can deduce that all the colors 1, 2, 3 appear on the adjacent edges of \(c\), yielding that \(c\) is rich and \(c \in E_0\). Thus, \(\theta(c) = 1\). Finally, since each edge of \(p_0^i\) is either rich or poor except the edge \(a\), we have \(\theta(p_0^i) = |E(p_0^i) \cap E_0| - 1\). Therefore, we can conclude that \(\theta(C_0^i) \geq |E(p_0^i) \cap E_0|\).

By again the length maximality of \(p_0^i\), we can deduce that \((p_0^i + c)\) uses at least two kinds of colors. It follows that \(|E(p_0^i) \cap E_0| \geq 2\) and so, \(\theta(C_0^i) \geq 2\).

Case 2: assume that \(|E(C_0^i)| - |E(p_0^i)| = 1\). Now \(c\) and \(p_0^i\) together form the circuit \(C_0^i\). Notice that both \(a\) and \(c\) might be neither rich nor poor. We have \(\theta(C_0^i) = \theta(c) + \theta(p_0^i) \geq |E(C_0^i) \cap E_0| - 2\). Hence, the conclusion holds, provided that \(|E(C_0^i) \cap E_0| \geq 4\). We may next assume that \(|E(C_0^i) \cap E_0| \leq 3\). It follows that \(C_0^i\) is of length either 5 or 3. If \(C_0^i\) is of length 5, then \(|E(C_0^i) \cap E_0| = 3\). W.l.o.g, see Figure 4 for the coloring of \(C_0^i \cup \langle C_0^i\rangle\), which yields \(\theta(C_0^i) = 2\), we are done. We may next assume that \(C_0^i\) is of length 3, i.e., it is a triangle. It follows that \(|E(C_0^i) \cap E_0| \in \{2, 3\}\). If \(|E(C_0^i) \cap E_0| = 3\), then \(\theta(C_0^i) \geq 1\), we are done. If \(|E(C_0^i) \cap E_0| = 2\), without loss of generality, see Figure 5 for the coloring of \(C_0^i \cup \langle C_0^i\rangle\), which yields \(\theta(C_0^i) = 1\), we are done as well.

Similarly, we can prove that \(\theta(C_d^i) \geq 1\), while the equality holds only if \(C_d^i\) is a triangle.

Now we are ready to calculate \(\theta(P^i)\), given by

\[
\theta(P^i) = \theta(C_0^i) + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} (\theta(u_j^i v_j^i) + \theta(C_j^i)) + \theta(u_d^i v_d^i) + \theta(C_d^i) \geq 1 + (d - 1) - 1 + 1 = d \geq 1,
\]

while the equality \(\theta(P^i) = 1\) holds only if \(d = 1\) and both \(C_0^i\) and \(C_d^i\) are triangles. Hence, to prove \(\theta(P^i) \geq 2\), it suffices to consider the equality case. In this case, we can take a \(\phi_m\)-extension of \(P\) so that
Figure 4: A coloring of $\langle C_0^1 \cup E(C_0^3) \rangle$ in two cases. Case 1 (left): $\langle p_0^1 \rangle$ uses one color; case 2 (right): $\langle p_0^1 \rangle$ uses at least two colors.

Figure 5: A coloring of $C_0^1$.

the edge $u_dv_d$ is poor. Following the equation $[1]$, we have $\theta(P^i) \geq 2$.

Moreover, let $b'$ be an edge of $\langle C_0^3 \rangle$ denoted in a similar way as $b$. From the coloring of $P^i$, it is easy to see that $E(P^i) = \{b, b'\}$. So, $|E(P^i)| = 2$.

Let $H_2^3 = H_3 \cap \Omega(G_c)$ and $H_3' = H_3 - H_2^3$. We will color $H_3'$ and $H_2^3$ in order.

For each circuit $C$ of $H_3'$, we add $C$ into $\mathcal{K}$, and we will color $E(C)$ so that $\theta(C) \geq |\mathcal{E}(C)|$. If $C$ is $\phi_m$-extendable, then $\phi_m$-extend $C$ and consequently, $\theta(C) = |E(C) \cap E_0| > 0 = |\mathcal{E}(C)|$. Let us next assume that $C$ is not $\phi_m$-extendable. Take the longest $\phi_m$-extendable path $q$ on $C$ such that $E_3 \cap \langle q \rangle \neq \emptyset$. Denote by $e_1$ and $e_2$ the two end-edges of $q$ and by $e_i'$ the edge of $E(C) \setminus E(q)$ that is adjacent to $e_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Since $C$ is not $\phi_m$-extendable, $|E(C) \setminus E(q)| \geq 1$. We distinguish three cases.

Case 1: assume that $|E(C) \setminus E(q)| > 1$. We $\phi_m$-extend $q$ and assign $E(C) \setminus E(q)$ with colors 4 and 5 alternately. By the choice of $q$, all of the colors 1, 2, 3 appear on the adjacent edges of $e_1'$, yielding that $e_1'$ is rich and belongs to $E_0$. Thus $\theta(e_1') = 1$. Similarly, we can deduce that $\theta(e_2') = 1$. Moreover, since $E_3 \cap \langle q \rangle \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $|E(q) \cap E_0| \geq 2$. Hence, $\theta(C) \geq |E(q) \cap E_0| + \theta(e_1') + \theta(e_2') + \theta(e_1) + \theta(e_2) \geq 2 = |\mathcal{E}(C)|$.

Case 2: assume that $|E(C) \setminus E(q)| = 1$ and $|E(C) \cap E_0| \geq 5$. In this case, $e_1'$ and $e_2'$ are the same edge. We $\phi_m$-extend $q$ and assign $e_1'$ with the color 4. So, $\theta(C) \geq |E(C) \cap E_0| - 3 \geq 2 = |\mathcal{E}(C)|$.

Case 3: assume that $|E(C) \setminus E(q)| = 1$ and $|E(C) \cap E_0| \leq 4$. Clearly, both $|E(C)|$ and $\sigma(C)$ are odd. Since $\sigma(C) \leq |E(C) \cap E_0|$ always holds true, we have $\sigma(C) \in \{1, 3\}$. If $\sigma(C) = 3$, then by the equality $|E(C)| + \sigma(C) = 2|E(C) \cap E_0|$, we have $|E(C)| \in \{3, 5\}$. Moreover, the property (3) of the wave definition implies that all edges of $\langle C \rangle \cap E_3$ are uncolored. Hence, we can deduce that $C$ is $\phi_m$-extendable, a contradiction. We may next assume that $\sigma(C) = 1$. By the same equality as above,
$|E(C)| \leq 7$. Moreover, since $C \notin \Omega(G_c)$, we have $|E(C)| \geq 7$. Hence, $|E(C)| = 7$. Denote by $f$ the unique edge of $E_3 \cap \langle C \rangle$. We proceed in two subcases according to the colors $\langle C \rangle$ receives.

Subcase 3.1: assume that $\langle C \rangle$ uses at most two kinds of colors from $\{1, 2, 3\}$, say the colors 1 and 2. Assign $f$ with the color 3 and its two adjacent edges on $C$ with the colors 4 and 5, respectively. The remaining edges of $C$ can be properly assigned with colors from $\{1, 2, 3\}$. One can directly calculate from the coloring that $\theta(C) \geq 2 = |E(C)|$.

Subcase 3.2: assume that $\langle C \rangle$ uses all the colors 1, 2, 3. Without loss of generality, see the left of Figure 6 for the coloring of $\langle C \rangle$. We extend the coloring to $E(C)$ and $f$ as depicted in the right of Figure 6. By a direct calculation, $\theta(C) \geq 2 = |E(C)|$.

![Figure 6: A coloring of the circuit $C$ for subcase 3.2](image)

To complete the coloring of $H$, it remains to color the edges of $H_3^m$. Let $\phi_1$ be the current coloring extended from $\phi_m$. We will color first all pairs of uncolored $\phi_1$-connected circuits and then all pairs of uncolored $G_c$-connected circuits and finally the remaining uncolored circuits of $H_3^m$.

Let $C'$ and $C''$ be a pair of uncolored $\phi_1$-connected circuits of $H_3^m$. Say that $C' = [u_1' \ldots u_{k'}']$ and $C'' = [u_1'' \ldots u_{k''}]$ with $u_1'x', u_1''x'' \in E_3$. Clearly, $k', k'' \in \{3, 5\}$. Let $y'$ and $y''$ be the third neighbors of $u_2'$ and $u_2''$, respectively. By Property (3) of the wave definition, $u_1'x'$ and $u_1''x''$ are uncolored, and $x'x''$ has color either 4 or 5. Assign $u_1'x'$ and $u_1''x''$ with the color of $x'x''$. Take $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{\phi_1(u_2'y'), \phi_1(u_2''y'')\}$, and with the color $\alpha$ we assign $u_1'u_2'$ and $u_1''u_2''$ and reassign $x'x''$. Let $\phi_2$ be the resulting coloring. $\phi_2$-extend the longest $\phi_2$-extendable paths of the form $u_1'u_2' \ldots u_i'u_i'' \ldots$, and properly color the remaining uncolored edges on $C' \cup C''$ with 4 or 5.

If $x'x'' \in E(W)$, then let $C_x$ be the component of $W$ containing $x'x''$; otherwise, $x'x''$ is contained in a circuit of $H_1 \cup H_3'$, and let $C_x$ be this circuit. Let $C$ be the graph consisting of $C_x$, circuits $C'$ and $C''$, and edges $u_1'x'$ and $u_1''x''$. We substitute $C_x$ for $C$ in $K$ and will show that $\theta(C) \geq |E(C)|$.

We first prove that $\theta(C'), \theta(C'') \geq 1$. W.l.o.g., let $\alpha = 3$. Recall that $k' \in \{3, 5\}$. If $k' = 3$, then from the coloring extension of $C'$ as shown in Figure 7, a direct calculation gives $\theta(C') \geq 1$. If $k' = 5$, then $\langle C' \rangle$ uses either one or two kinds of colors from $\{1, 2, 3\}$. W.l.o.g., see Figure 8 for the coloring extension in three cases. For each case, we can calculate that $\theta(C') \geq 1$ as well. Similarly, we can prove $\theta(C'') \geq 1$.

Denote by $a$ and $b$ the values of $\theta(C_x)$ and $|E(C_x)|$ before $C' \cup C''$ receives colors, respectively. We already have the conclusion that $a \geq b$. Note that $x'u_1'$ and $x''u_1''$ are uncolored edges before $C' \cup C''$
receives colors. So by the definition of the function \( \theta \), the coloring of \( C' \cup C'' \) does not decrease the value \( \theta \) of \( x'x'' \) and of its two adjacent edges locating on \( C_x \), and does make \( x'u'_1 \) and \( x''u''_1 \) poor. It follows that \( \theta(C_x) \geq a \) and \( \theta(x'u'_1) = \theta(x''u''_1) = 0 \). Thus, \( \theta(C) = \theta(C_x) + \theta(C') + \theta(C'') + \theta(x'u'_1) + \theta(x''u''_1) \geq a + 2 \). Moreover, \( \mathcal{E}(C) \) contains two more edges than \( \mathcal{E}(C_x) \) (might be the same edge). Hence, |\( \mathcal{E}(C) \)| \( \in \{b + 1, b + 2\} \). Now we can see that \( \theta(C) \geq |\mathcal{E}(C)| \).

Let \( C' \) and \( C'' \) be a pair of uncolored \( G_e \)-circuits of \( H^*_G \). Choose an edge \( e \in \langle C' \rangle \cap \langle C'' \rangle \cap E_1 \). Let \( \mathcal{C} \) be the graph consisting of \( C', C'' \) and \( e \). Add \( \mathcal{C} \) into \( \mathcal{K} \). If both \( C' \) and \( C'' \) are triangles, then remove the color of \( e \) and denote by \( \phi_3 \) the resulting coloring, and then we can \( \phi_3 \)-extend \( \mathcal{C} \). In this case, \( \theta(\mathcal{C}) = 4 > |\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C})| = 0 \), we are done. So, we may next assume that \( C' \) is of length 5. Reassign the color of \( e \) with 4 and still denote by \( \phi_3 \) the resulting coloring. If \( C' \) is \( \phi_3 \)-extendable, then we \( \phi_3 \)-extend \( \mathcal{C} \); otherwise, we can \( \phi_3 \)-extend \( C' - e' \), where \( e' \) is an edge of \( C' \) adjacent to \( e \). Do the same to the cycle \( C'' \). Finally, assign \( e' \) and \( e'' \) with the color 5 if they exist. By the resulting coloring, a direct calculation gives \( \theta(\mathcal{C}) \geq |\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C})| \).

We can see that \( \theta(k) \geq |\mathcal{E}(k)| \) holds for each component \( k \) of \( \mathcal{K} \). By taking the sum over \( k \), we have

\[
\theta(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \theta(k) \geq \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} |\mathcal{E}(k)| \geq |\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K})| . \tag{2}
\]

Let \( T \) be all the remaining uncolored circuits of \( H^*_G \). To complete the coloring \( \phi'_m \) of the whole graph \( G \), we will first color all the uncolored edges in \( E_3 \setminus \langle T \rangle \), and then color \( T \) and \( E_3 \cap \langle T \rangle \).

For each uncolored edge \( e \) of \( E_3 \setminus \langle T \rangle \), the four edges adjacent to \( e \) are already colored. We can properly assign \( e \) with a color from \( \{1, 2, \ldots, 5\} \). Denote by \( \phi_4 \) the resulting coloring.

Let \( T' = G - T \cup \langle T \rangle \). We will show that \( \theta(T') \geq 0 \). Let \( e \) be an edge of \( T' - \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K}) \) and \( u \) be an end of \( e \). If \( u \) locates on \( T \cup \mathcal{K} \), since \( e \notin \langle T \rangle \cup \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K}) \), \( u \) locates on \( \mathcal{K} \) and \( e \) is \( \phi_4 \)-good on \( u \); otherwise, since \( T \cup \mathcal{K} \) has the same vertex set as \( G_e \), the three edges around \( u \) receive colors by \( \phi_m \) and stand with them during all the previous coloring extensions, yielding that \( e \) is \( \phi_4 \)-good on \( u \) as well. By the choice of \( e \) and \( u \), we can conclude that

\[
\theta(T' - \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K})) \geq 0 . \tag{3}
\]

Moreover, notice that \( T \cup \langle T \rangle \) and \( \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K}) \) may have common edges, which apparently belong to \( \langle T \rangle \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K}) \). Therefore, \( \theta(T') = \theta(T' - \mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K})) + \theta(\mathcal{K}) + \theta(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K}) - \langle T \rangle \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K})) \geq 0 + |\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K})| - |\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K}) - \langle T \rangle \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{K})| \geq 0 \), where the first inequality follows by equations (2) and (3) and the fact that the value \( \theta \) of an edge is at least \(-1\).
Figure 8: A coloring extension of $C'$ when it has length 5

It remains to color $T$ and $E_3 \cap (T)$. For each circuit $C$ of $T$, we will color $C$ so that $\theta_{\phi_5}(T' \cup C \cup \langle C \rangle) \geq 0$ for the resulting coloring $\phi_5$. Say that $C$ is of length $k$ and of vertices $u_1, \ldots, u_k$ in cyclic order. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, denote by $v_i$ the neighbor of $u_i$ not on $C$. Recall that $C \in \Omega(G_c)$. So, $k \in \{3, 5\}$ and $\langle C \rangle \cap E_3$ contains precisely one edge, say $e = u_1v_1$. Let $e_1$ and $e_2$ be other two edges around $v_1$. Property (3) of the wave definition implies that $e$ is still uncolored and that $e_1$ and $e_2$ are of colors 4 and 5. If $e_1$ is adjacent to an uncolored edge $e'$ rather than $e$, then $e' \in E_3 \cup \langle C' \rangle$ for some $C' \in T$, yielding that $C$ and $C'$ are $\phi_1$-connected circuits of $T$, a contradiction. Hence, $e$ is the only uncolored edge adjacent to $e_1$ or $e_2$. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$ let $\gamma_i$ be the color making $e_i$ normal if $e$ receives it. Such $\gamma_i$ always exists and $\gamma_i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: assume that $\langle C \rangle$ uses one same color, say the color 1.

Subcase 1.1: assume that at least one of $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ is not color 1, say $\gamma_1 = 2$. If $k = 3$, then assign the edges $e, u_1u_2, u_2u_3, u_3u_1$ with colors 2, 4, 3, 5 respectively; otherwise, assign $e, u_1u_2, u_2u_3, u_3u_4, u_4u_5, u_5u_1$ with 2, 4, 3, 2, 3, 5, respectively. Since the coloring of $e$ makes $e_1$ from a $\phi_4$-outer edge to a normal edge, it increases the value $\theta(e_1)$ by 1. Moreover, a direct calculation gives $\theta(C \cup \langle C \rangle) \geq -1$. Therefore, $\theta_{\phi_5}(T' \cup C \cup \langle C \rangle) \geq 0$ holds.

Subcase 1.2: assume that $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 1$ and that not both $v_2$ and $v_k$ are incident with edges of color 2 and of color 3. W.l.o.g., let $v_2$ be incident with no edges of color 2. Reassign $u_2v_2$ with color 2 and
consequently, we can $\phi_1$-extend $C$. Since $T$ contains no $G_c$-connected circuits, the color reassigning of $u_2v_2$ makes no changes to the coloring of $\langle C \rangle$ for any other choice of $C$. On one hand, a direct calculation gives $\theta(C \cup \langle C \rangle) = 2$ if $k = 3$, and $\theta(C \cup \langle C \rangle) = 3$ otherwise. On the other hand, reassigning $u_2v_2$ might decreases $\theta$ on other two edges around $v_2$ by at most 1 for each. Therefore, $\theta_{\phi_5}(\overline{T'} \cup C \cup \langle C \rangle) \geq 0$ holds.

Subcase 1.3: assume that $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 1$ and that both $v_2$ and $v_3$ are incident with edges of color 2 and of color 3. If $k = 3$, then reassign $v_2u_2$ and $v_3u_3$ with color 4 and color 5, respectively, and assign $e, u_1u_2, u_2u_3, u_1u_3$ with colors 1, 5, 1, 4, respectively; otherwise, reassign $u_2v_2$ with color 4 and assign $e, u_1u_2, u_2u_3, u_3u_4, u_4u_5, u_5u_1$ with colors 1, 5, 3, 2, 3, 2, respectively. For the former case, we increase the value of $e_1$ and $e_2$ by 1 for each and decrease $\theta$ of the other four edges around $v_2$ or $v_3$ by at most 1 for each. Moreover, $\theta(C \cup \langle C \rangle) = 2$ by a direct calculation. Hence, $\theta_{\phi_5}(\overline{T'} \cup C \cup \langle C \rangle) \geq 0$. For the latter case, we increase the value of $e_1$ and $e_2$ by 1 for each and decrease $\theta$ of the other two edges around $v_2$ by at most 1 for each. Moreover, $\theta(C \cup \langle C \rangle) = 0$. Hence, $\theta_{\phi_5}(\overline{T'} \cup C \cup \langle C \rangle) \geq 0$ holds as well.

Case 2: assume that $\langle C \rangle$ uses two kinds of colors, say the colors 1 and 2. It follows that $k = 5$. Assign $e$ the same as $u_2v_2$ and assign $u_1u_2, u_2u_3, u_3u_4, u_4u_5, u_5u_1$ with colors 4, 5, 4, 3, 5, respectively. A direct calculation gives $\theta(C \cup \langle C \rangle) \geq 0$. Therefore, $\theta_{\phi_5}(\overline{T'} \cup C \cup \langle C \rangle) \geq 0$.

Now we complete the coloring $\phi'_m$ of $G$ such that $\theta_{\phi'_m}(G) \geq 0$. We are done with the proof of the theorem.
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