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Abstract

The complex transverse water proton magnetization subject to diffusion-encoding magnetic field gradient pulses in a heterogeneous medium such as brain tissue can be modeled by the Bloch-Torrey partial differential equation. The spatial integral of the solution of this equation provides a gold-standard reference model for the diffusion MRI signal arising from different tissue microstructures of interest. A closed form representation of this reference diffusion MRI signal has been derived twenty years ago, called Matrix Formalism that makes explicit the link between the Laplace eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the biological cell and its diffusion MRI signal. In addition, once the Laplace eigendecomposition has been computed and saved, the diffusion MRI signal can be calculated for arbitrary diffusion-encoding sequences and b-values at negligible additional cost.

Up to now, this representation, though mathematically elegant, has not been often used as a practical model of the diffusion MRI signal, due to the difficulties of calculating the Laplace eigendecomposition in complicated geometries. In this paper, we present a simulation framework that we have implemented inside the MATLAB-based diffusion MRI simulator SpinDoctor that efficiently computes the Matrix Formalism representation for realistic neurons using the finite elements method.

We show the Matrix Formalism representation requires around 100 eigenmodes to match the reference signal computed by solving the Bloch-Torrey equation when the cell geometry comes from realistic neurons. As expected, the number of required eigenmodes to match the reference signal increases with smaller diffusion time and higher b-values. We also converted the eigenvalues to a length scale and illustrated the link between the length scale and the oscillation frequency of the eigenmode in the cell geometry. This work is another step in bringing advanced mathematical tools and numerical method development to the simulation and modeling of diffusion MRI.
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Diffusion MRI is an imaging modality that can be used to probe the tissue micro-structure by encoding the incoherent motion of water molecules with magnetic field gradient pulses. Incoherent motion during the diffusion-encoding time causes a signal attenuation from which the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), and possibly higher order diffusion terms, can be calculated. For unrestricted diffusion, the root of the mean squared displacement of molecules is given by $\bar{x} = \sqrt{2 \dim \mathcal{D}_0 t}$, where $\dim$ is the spatial dimension, $\mathcal{D}_0$ is the intrinsic diffusion coefficient, and $t$ is the diffusion time. In biological tissue, diffusion is usually hindered or restricted (for example, by cell membranes) and the mean square displacement is smaller than in the case of unrestricted diffusion. This deviation from unrestricted diffusion can be used to infer information about the tissue micro-structure.

Using diffusion MRI to get tissue structural information in the brain has been the focus of much experimental and modeling work in recent years. In terms of modeling, the predominant approach up to now has been adding the contributions to the diffusion MRI signal from simple geometrical components and extracting model parameters of interest. Numerous biophysical models subdivide the tissue into compartments described by spheres, ellipsoids, cylinders, and the extra-cellular space. Some model parameters of interest include axon diameter and orientation, neurite density, dendrite structure, the volume fraction and size distribution of cylinder and sphere components and the effective diffusion coefficient or tensor of the extra-cellular space. The need for a mathematically rigorous model of the diffusion MRI signal arising from realistic cellular structures was reiterated in recent review papers.

There is a gold-standard reference model of the diffusion MRI signal, it is the Bloch-Torrey partial differential equation (PDE) that describes the time evolution of the complex transverse water proton magnetization subject to diffusion-encoding magnetic field gradient pulses. This PDE can be posed in a heterogeneous medium containing different cell structures and the extra-cellular space. The spatial integral of the solution of the PDE provides a gold-standard reference model for the diffusion MRI signal arising from different tissue micro-structures of interest. Because of the high computational cost of solving the Bloch-Torrey equation in complicated cell geometries, this gold standard model has been used primarily as a "forward model" or "simulation framework", in which one changes the inputs parameters such as cell geometry, intrinsic diffusion coefficient, membrane permeability, and study the resulting changes to the MRI signal. This is in contrast to "inverse models", which are used to robustly estimate the model parameters of interest from the MRI signal, the idea being that the "inverse models" have been formulated in such a way so that the model parameters can be correlated to biological information in the imaging voxel. "Inverse models" include the biophysical models cited above. Nevertheless, given the recent availability of vastly powerful computational resources and computer memory, it is possible that simulation frameworks may become directly useful for parameter estimation in the future (for some recent works in this direction, see).

Two main groups of simulation frameworks for diffusion MRI are 1) using random walkers to mimic the diffusion process in a geometrical configuration; 2) solving the Bloch-Torrey partial differential equation using the finite elements method (FEM). The first group is referred to as Monte-Carlo simulations in the literature and previous works include. A GPU-based acceleration of Monte-Carlo simulation was proposed in.

Some software packages using this approach include:

1. Camino Diffusion MRI Toolkit developed at UCL (http://cmic.cs.ucl.ac.uk/camino/);
2. DIFSIM developed at UC San Diego (http://csic.ucsd.edu/projects/simulation.html);
3. Diffusion Microscopist Simulator\(^27\) developed at Neurospin, CEA.

Many works on model formulation and validation for brain tissue diffusion MRI used Monte-Carlo simulations. The second group of simulations, which up to now has been less often used by the diffusion MRI community, relies on solving the Bloch-Torrey equation in a geometrical configuration using the finite elements method (FEM). Some of the recent works about FEM diffusion MRI simulations focused on improving its computational efficiency, by using high-performance computing for large-scale simulations on supercomputers, by discretization on manifolds for thin-layer and thin-tube media by integrating with Cloud computing resources such as Google Colaboratory notebooks working on a web browser or with Google Cloud Platform with MPI parallelization. Our previous works in neuron diffusion MRI simulations with FEM include the simulation of neuronal dendrites using a tree model, and the demonstration that diffusion MRI signals reflect the cellular organization of cortical gray matter, these signals being sensitive to cell size and the presence of large neurons such as the spindle (von Economo) neurons.

In one recent paper, we presented SpinDoctor, a MATLAB-based diffusion MRI simulation toolbox that solves the Bloch-Torrey PDE using FEM and an adaptive time stepping method. SpinDoctor provides a user-friendly interface to easily define cell configurations relevant to the brain white matter. Though the original version of SpinDoctor focused on the brain white matter, we also performed simulations of the diffusion MRI signal from a dendrite branch to compare the computational efficiency of SpinDoctor with the Monte-Carlo based simulations of Camino (http://cmic.cs.ucl.ac.uk/camino/). It was shown that at equivalent accuracy, SpinDoctor simulations of the extra-cellular space in the brain white
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matter is 100 times faster than Camino and SpinDoctor simulations of a neuronal dendrite tree is 400 times faster than Camino. We refer the reader to\textsuperscript{50} for detailed numerical validation of SpinDoctor simulations and timing comparisons with Camino.

In another recent paper\textsuperscript{51}, we presented a module of SpinDoctor called the Neuron Module that enables diffusion MRI simulations for a group of 36 pyramidal neurons and a group of 29 spindle neurons whose morphological descriptions were found in the neuron repository NeurOmorpho.Org\textsuperscript{52}. The key to making accurate simulation possible is the use of high quality finite elements meshes for the neurons. For this, we used licensed software from ANSA-BETA CEA Systems\textsuperscript{53} to correct and improve the quality of the geometrical descriptions of the neurons. After processing, we produced high quality finite elements meshes for the 65 neurons. These finite elements meshes range from having 15163 nodes to 622553 nodes. Currently, the simulations in the Neuron Module enforce homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, meaning the spin exchange across the cell membrane is assumed to be negligible.

In this paper, we present a new module of SpinDoctor called the Matrix Formalism Module. Taking the Bloch-Torrey equation as the gold-standard reference model, a closed form representation of the reference signal has been derived twenty years ago, that is based on the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator in the relevant cell geometry. This representation frequently goes under the name of Matrix Formalism. The version that uses the impulse approximation of the diffusion-encoding sequence is first found in\textsuperscript{54} and the version that uses the piecewise constant approximation of the diffusion-encoding sequence is first found in\textsuperscript{55}. There have been numerous works using Matrix Formalism in elementary geometries such as the line segment, the disk, and the sphere, as well as geometrics which can be written as tensor products of these elementary geometries. We cite\textsuperscript{56,57,58} and refer the reader to the literature surveys on the Matrix Formalism contained in those articles.

There are two advantages to the Matrix Formalism signal representation. First, the analytical advantage is that this representation makes explicit the link between the Laplace eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the biological cell and its diffusion MRI signal. This clear link may help in the formulation of reduced models of the diffusion MRI signal that is closer to the physics of the problem. Second, the computational advantage is that once the Laplace eigendecomposition has been computed and saved, the diffusion MRI signal can be calculated for arbitrary diffusion-encoding sequences and b-values at negligible additional cost. This will make it possible to use the Matrix Formalism as the inner loop of optimization procedures.

Up to now, Matrix Formalism, as a closed form signal representation, though mathematically elegant, has not been often used as a practical way of computing the diffusion MRI signal in complicated geometries such as realistic neurons. The calculation of the Laplace eigendecomposition in realistic neurons using Monte-Carlo based simulations would be essentially impossible due to computational time and memory limitations. Using the FEM, the eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator can be numerically computed in an efficient way and this is the approach we will describe in what follows.

1 | THEORY

Consider a domain $\Omega$ that describes the geometry of a neuron. In this paper, we neglect the effect of water exchange between the neuron and the extra-cellular space. Thus, impermeable boundary conditions are imposed on $\Omega$.

1.1 | Bloch-Torrey PDE

In diffusion MRI, a time-varying magnetic field gradient is applied to the tissue to encode water diffusion. Denoting the effective time profile of the diffusion-encoding magnetic field gradient by $f(t)$, and let the vector $g$ contain the amplitude and direction information of the magnetic field gradient, the complex transverse water proton magnetization in the rotating frame satisfies the Bloch-Torrey PDE:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} M(x, t) = -\sqrt{-1} \gamma f(t) g \cdot x M(x, t) + \nabla \cdot (D_0 \nabla M(x, t)), x \in \Omega,$$  \(1\)

where $\gamma = 2.67513 \times 10^8 \text{ rad s}^{-1} \text{T}^{-1}$ is the gyromagnetic ratio of the water proton, $D_0$ is the intrinsic diffusion coefficient in the compartment $\Omega$. Neglecting water exchange between the neuron and the extra-cellular space, the boundary condition on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ is the homogeneous Neumann condition:

$$D_0 \nabla M(x, t) \cdot n = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma,$$  \(2\)

where $n$ is the unit outward pointing normal vector. The PDE also needs initial conditions: $M(x, 0) = \rho$, where $\rho$ is the initial spin density. The magnetization is a function of position $x$ and time $t$, and depends on the diffusion gradient vector $g$ and the time profile $f(t)$. The Bloch-Torrey PDE is a well accepted reference model for the diffusion MRI signal by the diffusion MRI research community.
For simplicity, we only show results for one type of diffusion-encoding sequences in this paper: the pulsed-gradient spin echo (PGSE) sequence. It contains two rectangular pulses of duration $\delta$, separated by a time interval $\Delta - \delta$, for which the effective profile $f(t)$ is

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 
1, & t_1 \leq t \leq t_1 + \Delta, \\
-1, & t_1 + \Delta < t \leq t_1 + \Delta + \delta, \\
0, & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $t_1$ is the starting time of the first gradient pulse with $t_1 + \Delta > T_E/2$, $T_E$ is the echo time at which the signal is measured. The diffusion MRI signal due to spins in the domain $\Omega$ is the space integral of $M(x, T_E)$ in $\Omega$:

$$S^{\text{PGSE}} := \int_{x \in \Omega} M(x, T_E) \, dx. \quad (4)$$

In a diffusion MRI experiment, the pulse sequence (time profile $f(t)$) is usually fixed, while $g$ is varied in amplitude (and possibly also in direction). The signal $S$ is usually plotted against a quantity called the $b$-value. The $b$-value depends on $g$ and $f(t)$ and is defined as

$$b(g, f) = \gamma^2 \|g\|^2 \int_0^{T_E} \left( \int_0^u f(s) \, ds \right)^2 \, du. \quad (5)$$

The reason for these definitions is that in a homogeneous medium, the signal attenuation is $e^{-D_0 b}$.

An important quantity that can be derived from the diffusion MRI signal is the "Apparent Diffusion Coefficient" (ADC), defined as (assume time profile $f$ is fixed):

$$ADC := -\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \log \frac{S(b)}{S(0)} \bigg|_{b=0}. \quad (5)$$

The ADC gives an indication of the root mean squared distance travelled by water molecules in the gradient direction $u_g = g/\|g\|$, averaged over all starting positions.

### 1.2 Matrix Formalism signal representation

It is known, though perhaps not as well known as it deserves to be, that using the Matrix Formalism\cite{54,55} the diffusion MRI signal has the following representation for the PGSE sequence. Let $\phi_n(x)$ and $\lambda_n$, $n = 1, \cdots$, be the $L^2$-normalized eigenfunctions and eigenvalues associated to the Laplace operator with homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions (the surface of the neurons are supposed impermeable):

$$\nabla D_0 (\nabla \phi_n(x)) = \lambda_n \phi_n(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$D_0 \nabla \phi_n(x) \cdot \nu(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma.$$

We assume the non-negative eigenvalues are ordered in non-decreasing order:

$$0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \cdots$$

so that $\lambda_1 = 0$ (this means the first Laplace eigenfunction is the constant function, we assume the neuron is a connected domain). Let $L$ be the diagonal matrix containing the first $N_{eig}$ Laplace eigenvalues:

$$L = \text{diag} \{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_{N_{eig}} \} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{eig} \times N_{eig}}. \quad (6)$$

Let $W$ be the $N_{eig} \times N_{eig}$ matrix whose entries are:

$$W(g) = g_x A^x + g_y A^y + g_z A^z$$

$$A^i = \begin{bmatrix} a_{i,n}^m \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = \{x, y, z\}, \quad 1 \leq n, m \leq N_{eig}. \quad (8)$$
are three symmetric \( N_{eig} \times N_{eig} \) matrices whose entries are the first order moments in the coordinate directions of the product of pairs of eigenfunctions:

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{mn}^x &= \int_\Omega x \phi_m(x) \phi_n(x) \, dx, \\
a_{mn}^y &= \int_\Omega y \phi_m(x) \phi_n(x) \, dx, \\
a_{mn}^z &= \int_\Omega z \phi_m(x) \phi_n(x) \, dx.
\end{align*}
\]

We define the complex-valued matrix \( K(g) \) and diagonalize it:

\[
K(g) \equiv L + \sqrt{-1}(g_z A^z + g_y A^y + g_x A^x) = V \Sigma V^{-1},
\]

where \( V \) has the eigenvectors in the columns and \( \Sigma \) has the eigenvalues on the diagonal. Then the following matrix

\[
H(g, f) = V e^{-\delta \Sigma V^{-1}} e^{-\delta \Sigma L} (V^{-1})^* e^{-\delta \Sigma^* V^*},
\]

gives the Matrix Formalism signal representation of the solution to the Bloch-Torrey PDE. For a constant initial density, the Matrix Formalism signal representation is the entry in the first row and first column of \( H(g, f) \):

\[
S_{\text{MF}}(g, f) = H_{11}(g, f).
\]

We note that in Eq.10 the matrices in the exponent (\( \Sigma \) and \( L \) and \( \Sigma^* \)) are diagonal and in this case, the matrix exponential is also diagonal. The notation * denotes the matrix complex conjugate transpose.

From the Matrix Formalism signal, the analytical expression for its ADC is the following:

\[
\frac{ADC}{D_0} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{eig}} (u_g \cdot a_{1n})^2 \lambda_n \int_0^T E F(t) \left( \int_0^t e^{-D_0 \lambda_n (t-s)} f(s) \, ds \right) \, dt,
\]

where the coefficients \( a_{1n} = [a_{1n}^x, a_{1n}^y, a_{1n}^z]^T \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{1n}^x &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|V|}} \int_\Omega x \phi_n(x) \, dx, \\
a_{1n}^y &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|V|}} \int_\Omega y \phi_n(x) \, dx, \\
a_{1n}^z &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|V|}} \int_\Omega z \phi_n(x) \, dx.
\end{align*}
\]

We remind the reader that the first Laplace eigenfunction is the constant function.

To clarify the relationship between the ADC and the diffusion encoding direction \( u_g \), we rewrite the Matrix Formalism ADC as:

\[
\frac{ADC(u_g, f)}{D_0} = u_g^T \frac{D_{\text{MF}}(f)}{D_0} u_g,
\]

where the Matrix Formalism effective diffusion tensor is seen to be:

\[
\frac{D_{\text{MF}}(f)}{D_0} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{eig}} J(\lambda_n, f) a_{1n} a_{1n}^T,
\]

with \( J(\lambda_n, f) \) depending on \( \lambda_n \) and \( f \):

\[
J(\lambda_n, f) = \frac{\lambda_n \int_0^T E F(t) \left( \int_0^t e^{-D_0 \lambda_n (t-s)} f(s) \, ds \right) \, dt \int_0^T E^2(t) \, dt}{\int_0^T E \, dt}\int_0^T E^2(t) \, dt.
\]

We also allow the possibility of computing the Matrix Formalism Gaussian Approximation (MFGA) signal, given as

\[
S_{\text{MFGA}}(g, f) = \exp \left(-u_g^T D_{\text{MF}}(f) u_g b\right).
\]
2 | METHOD

In a recent work, we have taken the morphological reconstructions of some realistic neurons from NeuroMorpho.Org and processed them to create high-quality finite elements meshes. The procedure is described in specifically, there are 65 realistic neurons whose finite elements meshes we have made available to the public, with the mesh size ranges from having 15163 nodes to 622553 nodes. These are the finite elements meshes we use in the procedure described below to calculate the Matrix Formalism signal. To be clear, each neuron finite elements mesh consists of

1. a list of \( N_v \) nodes in three dimensions;
2. a list of \( N_v \) tetrahedral elements \((1 \times N_v)\) indices referencing the nodes;

2.1 Finite elements discretization of the Laplace operator

In SpinDoctor, the finite elements space is the space of continuous piecewise linear functions on tetrahedral elements in three dimensions (known as \( P_1 \)). This space has a set of basis functions whose number is exactly the number of finite elements nodes:

\[ \{ \varphi_k(x) \}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, N_v. \]

In fact, any function in the finite elements space can be written as a linear combination of the above basis functions

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{N_v} c_k \varphi_k(x). \]

To discretize the Laplace operator with zero Neumann boundary conditions, two matrices are needed: \( M \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v \times N_v} \) and \( S \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v \times N_v} \), known in the FEM literature as the mass and stiffness matrices, respectively, which are defined as follows:

\[ M_{jk} = \int_{\Omega} \varphi_k(x) \varphi_j(x) \, dx, \quad S_{jk} = \int_{\Omega} D \nabla \varphi_k(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi_j(x) \, dx, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq N_v. \]

In SpinDoctor, these matrices are assembled from local element matrices and the assembly process is based on vectorized routines of, which replace expensive loops over elements by operations with 3-dimensional arrays. All local elements matrices in the assembly of \( S \) and \( M \) are evaluated at once and stored in a full matrix of size \( 4 \times 4 \times N_v \), where \( N_v \) denotes the number of tetrahedral elements.

The finite elements discretization described above changes the continuous Laplace operator eigenvalue problem to the following discrete matrix eigenvalue problem:

\[ \text{find } \{ \lambda_n, p_n \}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N_v, \text{ such that } \]

\[ \lambda_n M p_n = -S p_n, \quad p_n \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v}, \]

where \( p_n \) is the eigenvector (of \( N_v \) entries) associated to the eigenvalue \( \lambda_n \). Moving back to the space of functions (the function space \( P_1 \)), the eigenfunction \( \phi_n(x) \) associated to the eigenvalue \( \lambda_n \) is then

\[ \phi_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_v} p_n^j \varphi_j(x), \]

where the entries of the eigenvector \( p_n \) are the coefficients of the finite elements basis functions. To obtain the Matrix Formalism signal representation, we calculated the first moments in the three coordinate directions of the product of pairs of eigenfunctions. They can be written in the following form, that involves the first moments of the finite elements basis function pairs, shown in parentheses:

\[ a_{mn}^x = \sum_{j=1}^{N_v} \sum_{k=1}^{N_v} p_n^j p_m^k \left( \int_{\Omega} x \varphi_j(x) \varphi_k(x) \, dx \right), \]

\[ a_{mn}^y = \sum_{j=1}^{N_v} \sum_{k=1}^{N_v} p_n^j p_m^k \left( \int_{\Omega} y \varphi_j(x) \varphi_k(x) \, dx \right), \quad 1 \leq m, n \leq N_{eig}. \]

\[ a_{mn}^z = \sum_{j=1}^{N_v} \sum_{k=1}^{N_v} p_n^j p_m^k \left( \int_{\Omega} z \varphi_j(x) \varphi_k(x) \, dx \right). \]
2.2 | Eigenfunction length scale

The analytical eigenvalues of a line segment of length $H$ are

$$\lambda_{1,2,\ldots} = \{0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots\} = D_0 \left( \frac{\pi}{H/k} \right)^2, k = 1, 2, \ldots$$

(19)

To make the link between the computed eigenvalue and the spatial scale of the eigenmode, we will convert the computed $\lambda_n$ into a length scale (from the line segment eigenvalue formula):

$$\ell_n(\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda/D_0}}$$

(20)

and characterize the computed eigenmode by $\ell_n(\lambda_n)$ instead of $\lambda_n$. To characterize the directional contribution of the eigenmode we use the fact that its contribution to the ADC in the direction $u_y$ is $J(\lambda_n, f)(u_y \cdot a_{1n})^2$. Thus, we call $a_{1n} = [a^{x}_{1n}, a^{y}_{1n}, a^{z}_{1n}]^T$ the “diffusion direction” of the $n$th eigenmode. We remind that the three components of $a_{1n}$ are the first moments in the 3 principle axes directions of the associated eigenfunction.

2.3 | Eigenvalues interval and minimum length scale

We do not want to compute the entire set of eigenvalues and eigenvectors

$$\lambda_n, M_{pn} = -S_{pn}, \ 1 \leq n \leq N_e,$$

of the matrix eigenvalue problem in Eq[17] because the size of $M$ and $S$ is determined by the finite elements discretization (it is equal to $N_e$, the number of finite elements nodes). We remind that for the 65 realistic neurons whose finite elements meshes are available in the Neuron Module of SpinDoctor, the mesh size ranges from having 15163 nodes to 622553 nodes. This means most of the rapidly oscillating eigenmodes in the matrix eigenvalue problem are linked to the finite elements discretization, and not the physics of the problem. To link with the physics of the diffusion in the cell geometry, we set a restricted interval in which to compute the eigenvalues. We set the interval to be $[0, (\pi / l_{\text{min}}^n)^2 D_0]$, where $l_{\text{min}}^n$ is the shortest length scale of interest in the cell geometry. In this way, the number of computed eigenmodes, $N_{eig}$, will be much smaller than $N_e$.

This restricted eigenvalue interval for the matrix eigenvalue problem was implemented by calling the “pdeig” function in the MATLAB PDE Toolbox, after defining a PDE model whose PDE is the Laplace equation with the diffusion coefficient $D_0$.

3 | RESULTS

All the numerical results in this Section concern the pyramidal neuron 02b_pymialid1aACC, whose bounding box is $[-70, 113] \mu m \times [-197, 165] \mu m \times [-14, 18] \mu m$. The finite elements mesh of this neuron has 44908 nodes and 171017 tetrahedral elements.

The numerical solution of the Bloch-Torrey PDE was computed using SpinDoctor to obtain the reference diffusion MRI signals. The Bloch-Torrey PDE was discretized using P1 finite elements and solved with build-in MATLAB routines for ordinary differential equation systems. The tolerances of the ODE solution of the finite elements matrix system is set to $atol = 10^{-4}$ (absolute tolerance) and $rtol = 10^{-2}$ (relative tolerance). We refer the reader to[20] for details on how to use SpinDoctor and to[21] for simulation parameters for these neuron finite elements meshes.

The Matrix Formalism Module computes $S^{MF}$ in Eq[11] for the requested b-values and diffusion-encoding sequences. In the simulations below, $D_0 = 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2 / \text{s}$. We simulated two diffusion-encoding sequences: SEQ1 (PGSE, $\delta = 10.6$ ms, $\Delta = 13$ ms); SEQ2 (PGSE, $\delta = 10.6$ ms, $\Delta = 73$ ms); The set of b-values simulated are $\{0, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000\} \text{mm}^2 / \text{s}$.

In the neuron simulations that follow, we set the minimum length scale for the eigenvalue problem to be $l_{\text{min}}^n = 4 \mu m$. We set the requested the eigenvalue interval to be $[0, (\pi / l_{\text{min}}^n)^2 D_0]$, $l_{\text{min}}^n = 4 \mu m$. In this interval, 336 Laplace eigenfunctions were found, including $\lambda_1 = 0$, which corresponds to the length scale $l_1 = \infty$. There are 6 eigenmodes with length scale $l_2 > 100 \mu m$, they correspond to the length scales (rounded to the $\mu m$), $\{405, 343, 162, 156, 133, 127, 106\} \mu m$, respectively. In Figure[4], we plot the eigenfunction corresponding to the longest (finite) length scale, in other words, the smallest (non-zero) eigenvalue. Its length scale is $l_2(\lambda_n) = 405.4 \mu m$ and its “diffusion direction” is parallel to $a_{1n} = [0.43, -0.9, -0.02]^T$. This “diffusion direction” lies mostly in the $x - y$ plane and more so in $y$ than in $x$, just like the positioning of the two main dendrite branches of this neuron. We conjecture that the length scale (the eigenvalue) corresponds to the "wavelength" of the significant oscillations of the eigenfunction in the geometry.
FIGURE 1 The eigenfunction corresponding to the length $l_s(\lambda_i) = 405.4 \mu m$. Its "diffusion direction" is parallel to $a_{1n} = [0.43, -0.9, -0.02]^T$.

3.1 Comparing the Matrix Formalism signal with the reference signal

Next, we compare the plots of the HARDI diffusion MRI signals computed in four different ways:

1. Reference signals from solving the Bloch-Torrey PDE, computed in 151 diffusion directions uniformly distributed in the unit sphere;

2. Matrix Formalism signals using 336 eigenfunctions found in the interval $[0, (\pi/l_{s0})^2 D_0]$, $l_{s0} = 4 \mu m$, computed in 151 diffusion directions uniformly distributed in the unit sphere;

3. Matrix Formalism Gaussian Approximation signals using 336 eigenfunctions as above, computed in 151 diffusion directions uniformly distributed in the unit sphere;

4. Matrix Formalism signals using 336 eigenfunctions as above, computed in 900 diffusion directions uniformly distributed in the unit sphere;

In Figure 2, we show the above four HARDI signals at $b = 1000 \text{s/mm}^2$ for SEQ1, normalized by the signal at $b = 0$. The HARDI signal shapes are ellipsoid at this b-value and visually, $S_{\text{STPDE}}$, $S_{\text{SMF}}$, $S_{\text{SMFGA}}$ are indistinguishable.

In Figure 3, we show the four HARDI signals at $b = 4000 \text{s/mm}^2$ for SEQ1, normalized by the signal at $b = 0$. The HARDI signal shapes are no longer ellipsoid at this high b-value and visually, $S_{\text{STPDE}}$, $S_{\text{SMF}}$ are indistinguishable, whereas $S_{\text{SMFGA}}$ is clearly different from the reference signals at this high b-value.

In Figure 4, we show the above four HARDI signals at $b = 1000 \text{s/mm}^2$ for SEQ2, normalized by the signal at $b = 0$. The HARDI signal shapes are ellipsoid at this b-value and visually, $S_{\text{STPDE}}$, $S_{\text{SMF}}$, $S_{\text{SMFGA}}$ are indistinguishable.

In Figure 5, we show the four HARDI signals at $b = 4000 \text{s/mm}^2$ for SEQ2, normalized by the signal at $b = 0$. The HARDI signal shapes are no longer ellipsoid at this high b-value and visually, $S_{\text{STPDE}}$, $S_{\text{SMF}}$ are indistinguishable, whereas $S_{\text{SMFGA}}$ is clearly different from the reference signals at this high b-value.
FIGURE 2 Top left: the BTPDE signals, $S_{\text{BTPDE}}^{\text{SIG}}/S_0$, in 151 diffusion-encoding directions. Top right: the MF signals, $S_{\text{MF}}^{\text{SIG}}/S_0$, in 151 diffusion-encoding directions. Bottom left: the MFGA signals, $S_{\text{MFGA}}^{\text{SIG}}/S_0$, in 151 diffusion-encoding directions. Bottom right: the MF signals, $S_{\text{MF}}^{\text{SIG}}/S_0$, in 900 diffusion-encoding directions. The black points are the magnitude of the signal attenuation multiplied by the diffusion-encoding direction. The color indicates the value of the signal attenuation. $b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2$, SEQ1 (PGSE, $\delta = 10.6 \text{ ms}$, $\Delta = 13 \text{ ms}$).

To verify numerically that the Matrix Formalism signal is close to the reference signal, we compute the signal differences between $S_{\text{MF}}^{\text{SIG}}$ and the reference $S_{\text{BTPDE}}^{\text{SIG}}$ over 30 diffusion-encoding directions:

$$E(f, b) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{30} (S_{\text{MF}}^{\text{SIG}}(f, g_j) - S_{\text{BTPDE}}^{\text{SIG}}(f, g_j))^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{30} (S_{\text{BTPDE}}^{\text{SIG}}(f, g_j))^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

The directions are uniformly distributed on the unit sphere. The signal differences are $[1.6\%, 2.2\%, 0.6\%, 1.9\%]$ in order of $\{(\text{SEQ1, } b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2), (\text{SEQ1, } b = 4000 \text{ s/mm}^2), (\text{SEQ2, } b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2), (\text{SEQ2, } b = 4000 \text{ s/mm}^2)\}$. Thus, we consider the Matrix Formalism signal with the full set of 336 computed eigenvalues to be a good approximation of the reference signal.
3.2 The contribution of each eigenmode to the signal

Because $S_{\text{MF}}$ contains the contributions of all the computed eigenmodes in the requested interval, to get an idea of the importance of each eigenmode, we computed the signal difference that results when one eigenmode is removed, compared to using the full set of computed eigenmodes. This signal difference is computed for each sequence and each b-value, averaged over 30 gradient directions. The directions are uniformly distributed on the unit sphere. For the eigenfunction $i$, the signal difference is obtained as:

$$E_{\text{RM},i}(f, b) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{30} (S_{\text{MF}}(f, g_j) - S_{\text{MF, RM},i}(f, g_j))^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{30} (S_{\text{MF}}(f, g_j))^2}.$$  (22)

The signal $S_{\text{MF}}$ uses the full set of computed eigenfunctions, the signal $S_{\text{MF, RM},i}$ excludes the $i$th eigenfunction. In the following the signal differences will be given for two sequences at 2 b-values, in order of ([SEQ1, $b = 1000$ s/mm$^2$], [SEQ1, $b = 4000$ s/mm$^2$], [SEQ2, $b = 1000$ s/mm$^2$], [SEQ2, $b = 4000$ s/mm$^2$]). We expect the second value to be the highest and the third value to be the lowest. We denote the $i$th eigenfunction as "significant" if
FIGURE 4 Top left: the BTPDE signals, $S^{\text{BTPDE}} / S_0$, in 151 diffusion-encoding directions. Top right: the MF signals, $S^{\text{MF}} / S_0$, in 151 diffusion-encoding directions. Bottom left: the MFGA signals, $S^{\text{MFGA}} / S_0$, in 151 diffusion-encoding directions. Bottom right: the MF signals, $S^{\text{MF}} / S_0$, in 900 diffusion-encoding directions. The black points are the magnitude of the signal attenuation multiplied by the diffusion-encoding direction. The color indicates the value of the signal attenuation, $b = 1000$ s/mm$^2$; SEQ2(PGSE, $\delta = 10.6$ ms, $\Delta = 7.3$ ms).

$E^{R_{i,j}}(f, b)$ is more than a certain threshold. For example, the eigenfunction which has the longest spatial scale of $l_s = 405 \mu$m, shown in Figure 1 results in the following signal differences when it is removed: $E^{R_{i,j}} = \{1.06\%, 6.58\%, 0.57\%, 1.22\%\}$.

In Figure 6 we show the significant eigenmodes among those satisfying $l_s \leq 50 \mu$m for SEQ 1 at $b = 1000$ s/mm$^2$ and at $b = 4000$ s/mm$^2$. To visualize the "diffusion direction" of the eigenmodes, we use a RGB (red, green, blue) color scale based on the values of the RGB vector $c_n$ with three non-negative valued components:

$$c_n = \frac{[|a_{x,n}|, |a_{y,n}|, |a_{z,n}|]}{\sqrt{(a_{x,n})^2 + (a_{y,n})^2 + (a_{z,n})^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

The color indicated by the RGB vector $c_n$ can be used to gauge the relative contribution of the eigenmode to the 3 principle diffusion directions, $x$, $y$, $z$. The significant eigenmodes between $25 \mu$m $\leq l_s \leq 50 \mu$m are mostly green, meaning they contribute to diffusion in the $y$ direction. Between $0 \leq l_s \leq 25 \mu$m, there are many more significant eigenmodes that are red, meaning they contribute principally to diffusion in the $x$ direction. There is only one mode that is blue, meaning it contributes significantly to diffusion in the $z$ direction. This is expected since this neuron lies principally in the $x - y$ plane. We see also that at the higher b-value, there are more significant eigenmodes and the signal differences are also higher, compared...
with the lower b-value. The most significant eigenmode is the one with length scale $l_s(\lambda_n) = 33\mu m$, it is most aligned to the $y$-direction. Figure 7 shows this eigenfunction and we see the length scale corresponds to the “wavelength” of the significant oscillations of the eigenfunction in the geometry.

In Figure 8 we show that among eigenmodes with longer length scales, $l_s > 50$, there are a lot fewer significant eigenmodes than between $0 \leq l_s \leq 50\mu m$ and they are mostly in the $y$ direction (being mostly green). The eigenmode corresponding to $l_s(\lambda_n) = 343.6\mu m$ is shown in Figure 7. Its removal will result in signal differences of [19.1%, 83.8%, 8.8%, 40.8%]. The “wavelength” of this mode can be seen to be longer (slower oscillation) than the mode with $l_s(\lambda_n) = 33\mu m$.

The signal differences due to the removal of each eigenmode at the longer diffusion time (SEQ2) is shown in Figure 10 (for eigenmodes $0 \leq l_s \leq 50\mu m$) and Figure 11 (for eigenmodes $l_s > 50$). At the lower length scales, around $l_s = 15\mu m$, many of the significant eigenmodes for SEQ1 are no longer significant for SEQ2.

FIGURE 5 Top left: the BTPDE signals, $S^{\text{BTPDE}} / S_0$, in 151 diffusion-encoding directions. Top right: the MF signals, $S^{\text{MF}} / S_0$, in 151 diffusion-encoding directions. Bottom left: the MFGA signals, $S^{\text{MFGA}} / S_0$, in 151 diffusion-encoding directions. Bottom right: the MF signals, $S^{\text{MF}} / S_0$, in 900 diffusion-encoding directions. The black points are the magnitude of the signal attenuation multiplied by the diffusion-encoding direction. The color indicates the value of the signal attenuation, $b = 4000 \text{s/mm}^2$, SEQ2 (PGSE, $\delta = 10.0\text{ms}$, $\Delta = 73\text{ms}$).
**FIGURE 6** The signal differences due to the removal of each eigenmode, compared to using the full set of 336 eigenmodes. The eigenvalues have been converted to a length scale $l_s(\lambda_n)$. Here we show only the eigenmodes whose length scale is smaller than 50 $\mu$m. The color indicates the "diffusion direction" of the eigenmodes, based on the values of the RGB vector $c_n$, which is related to $a_{1n}$. SEQ1 is (PGSE, $\delta = 10.6$ ms, $\Delta = 13$ ms);

In Figure 12 we show the eigenmode that is blue which is significant for both SEQ1 and SEQ2, corresponding to $l_s = 15.6$ $\mu$m. This eigenmode is predominately in the $z$-direction, and the rapid oscillations are found in the dendrite branches.

In Table 1 we summarize the number of "significant" modes given the threshold of $E_{RM,i} \geq 0.1\%$ and $E_{RM,i} \geq 1\%$. Then we computed the Matrix Formalism signal using only the "significant" modes and compared it to the reference signal $S_{BT-PDE}$ over 30 gradient directions. The number of significant modes range from 27 to 197, the signal errors compared to the reference signal range from less than 2% to 12%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Num of significant modes (a)</th>
<th>Sig error from Ref (a)</th>
<th>Num of significant modes (b)</th>
<th>Sig error from Ref (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEQ1, $b = 1000$</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEQ1, $b = 4000$</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEQ2, $b = 1000$</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEQ2, $b = 4000$</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1** Significant modes are those whose removal leads to a signal difference of more than 0.1% (a) or 1% (b) compared to the signal from using the entire set of computed modes. In total, 336 eigenfunctions were computed. Signal error is the difference between the MF signal obtained using the indicated number of significant modes compared to the reference signal obtained from solving the Bloch-Torrey PDE. The signal difference is averaged over 30 diffusion-encoding directions, uniformly distributed on the sphere.

In Table 2 we give the computational times. All the simulations were performed on a server computer with 12 processors (Intel (R) Xeon (R) E5-2667 @2.90 GHz), 192 GB of RAM, running CentOS 7, using MATLAB R2019a. It is clear that once the eigendecomposition has been computed,
FIGURE 7 The eigenfunction corresponding to the spatial scale of \( l_s(\lambda_n) = 33 \mu m \). The “diffusion direction” of this eigenmode is parallel to \( a_{1n} = [-0.03, -4.91, -0.03]^T \). Its removal will result in signal differences of [61.3%, 241.2%, 24.2%, 123.9%] in order of [(SEQ1, \( b = 1000 \) s/mm\(^2\)), (SEQ1, \( b = 4000 \) s/mm\(^2\)), (SEQ2, \( b = 1000 \) s/mm\(^2\)), (SEQ2, \( b = 4000 \) s/mm\(^2\))].

The Matrix Formalism signal representation can be obtained rapidly for many sequences, b-values, and gradient directions. We note that given \( n \) eigenfunctions, the number of associated model parameters of the Matrix Formalism representation is \( n + 3n(n - 1)/2 \), because the matrix \( L \) is diagonal and the three matrices \( A^i, i = x, y, z \), are symmetric. The number of parameters in each Matrix Formalism representation is also given in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MF</th>
<th>MF</th>
<th>BTPDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model size</td>
<td>336 modes</td>
<td>197 modes</td>
<td>44908 nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61656 params</td>
<td>19503 params</td>
<td>171017 elem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eigen solve</td>
<td>1095 sec</td>
<td>1095 sec</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEQ1, ( b = 1000 )</td>
<td>0.09 sec</td>
<td>0.04 sec</td>
<td>26 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEQ1, ( b = 4000 )</td>
<td>0.09 sec</td>
<td>0.04 sec</td>
<td>39 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEQ2, ( b = 1000 )</td>
<td>0.12 sec</td>
<td>0.05 sec</td>
<td>22 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEQ2, ( b = 4000 )</td>
<td>0.13 sec</td>
<td>0.05 sec</td>
<td>30 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2** The computational times to calculate the signals due to the indicated diffusion-encoding sequences at the indicated b-values, averaged over 30 diffusion-encoding directions.
FIGURE 8 The signal differences due to the removal of each eigenmode, compared to using the full set of 336 eigenmodes. The eigenvalues have been converted to a length scale $l_s(\lambda_n)$. Here we show only the eigenmodes whose length scale is larger than 50 $\mu$m. The color indicates the "diffusion direction" of the eigenmodes, based on the values of the RGB vector $c_n$ which is related to $a_n$. $\lambda_n$. SEQ1 is (PGSE, $\delta = 10.6$ ms, $\Delta = 13$ ms);

4 | DISCUSSION

We have shown some of the functionalities of the Matrix Formalism Module within the diffusion MRI simulation toolbox SpinDoctor. We showed that the numerically computed $S^{MF}$ is very close to the reference signal from the Bloch-Torrey PDE for a realistic neuron geometry at a wide range of b-values, and the agreement between the two are good at a wide range of diffusion times. We examined in detail the contributions of different eigenmodes at different diffusion times and different b-values. By choosing to represent the eigenvalues by a quantity of length $l_s(\lambda_n)$, we highlighted the important spatial scales that contribute to the diffusion MRI signal. From the Figures 7, 9, 12 it is clear that the length scale $l_s$ is related to the "wavelength" of the most significant oscillations of the eigenfunction.

There are two important advantages to the Matrix Formalism signal representation. The first advantage is analytical, this representation makes explicit the link between the Laplace eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the biological cell and its diffusion MRI signal. This clear link may help in the formulation of reduced models of the diffusion MRI signal that is closer to the physics of the problem. The second advantage is numerical, once the Laplace eigendecomposition has been computed and saved, the diffusion MRI signal can be calculated for arbitrary diffusion-encoding sequences and b-values at negligible additional cost. This will make it possible to use the Matrix Formalism as the inner loop of optimization procedures.

The need for a mathematically rigorous model of the diffusion MRI signal arising from realistic cellular structures was re-iterated in recent review papers. Given that Bloch-Torrey equation is a gold-standard reference model of the diffusion MRI signal and the Matrix Formalism signal representation is equivalent to the reference model as long as enough eigenmodes are included, Matrix Formalism may be a possible bridge to formulating practical "inverse models" that can be used to robustly estimate biological relevant parameters from the acquired experimental data. In this paper, we moved Matrix Formalism a step closer to being a practically computable model and showed the number of significant eigenmodes is around 100 for realistic neurons. The next step may be searching for a unique set of "modes" onto which to project the eigenmodes of a population of many neurons in a voxel. Finding such a universal set of "modes" would require more advanced mathematical analysis on the diffusion operator in geometries with multiple length scales. A modified Fourier basis may be considered such as in as a possible future direction of research.

Currently, the Matrix Formalism Module allows the computation of the Matrix Formalism signal and the Matrix Formalism Gaussian Approximation signal for realistic neuron (impermeable membranes) with the PGSE sequence. Matrix Formalism for permeable membranes and for general
The eigenfunction corresponding to the length $l_s(\lambda_n) = 343.6 \mu m$. Its "diffusion direction" is parallel to $a_{1n} = [17.59, -30.46, 0.08]^T$. Its removal will result in signal differences of $[19.1\%, 83.8\%, 8.8\%, 40.8\%]$ in order of $[(\text{SEQ}1, b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2), (\text{SEQ}1, b = 4000 \text{ s/mm}^2), (\text{SEQ}2, b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2), (\text{SEQ}2, b = 4000 \text{ s/mm}^2)]$.

Diffusion-encoding sequences are under development and will be released in the future. The SpinDoctor toolbox and the Neuron Module have been developed in the MATLAB R2017b and require no additional MATLAB toolboxes. However, the current version of the Matrix Formalism Module requires the MATLAB PDE Toolbox (2017 or later) due to certain difficulties of implementing the matrix eigenvalue solution on a restricted eigenvalue interval. This technical issue will be addressed in a future release.

The Matrix Formalism Module follows the same workflow as SpinDoctor and the Neuron Module and builds upon the functionalities of SpinDoctor. To use the Matrix Formalism Module, it is necessary to read first the documentation of SpinDoctor. The source code, examples, documentation of SpinDoctor, the Neuron Module and the Matrix Formalism Module are available at [https://github.com/jingrebecca/SpinDoctor](https://github.com/jingrebecca/SpinDoctor).

In the Appendix, we list the input files, as well as important quantities and functions relevant to the Matrix Formalism Module, noting where relevant, the input parameters that are not applicable (marked by "na") to the current version of the Matrix Formalism Module. Sample output figures are also provided.

## 5 CONCLUSION

We presented a simulation module that we have implemented inside a MATLAB-based diffusion MRI simulator called SpinDoctor that efficiently computes the Matrix Formalism representation for realistic geometrical models of neurons. With this new simulation tool, we seek to bridge the gap between physical quantities closely related to the cellular geometrical structure, namely, its Laplace eigenfunctions, eigenvalues and their length scales, with the measured diffusion MRI signal. We hope this Matrix Formalism Module makes the mathematically rigorous signal representation into a practical model for the research community.
The signal differences due to the removal of each eigenmode, compared to using the full set of 336 eigenmodes. The eigenvalues have been converted to a length scale $l_n(\lambda_n)$. Here we show only the eigenmodes whose length scale is smaller than 50$\mu$m. The color indicates the "diffusion direction" of the eigenmodes, based on the values of the RGB vector $c_n$, which is related to $a_{1n}$. SEQ2 is (PGSE, $\delta = 10.6$ms, $\Delta = 73$ms);

APPENDIX

A MATRIX FORMALISM MODULE FOR REALISTIC NEURONS

The Matrix Formalism Module follows the same workflow as SpinDoctor and builds upon the functionalities of SpinDoctor. The Matrix Formalism Module uses the same set of three input files as SpinDoctor. SpinDoctor allows the easy construction of multiple compartment models of the brain white matter, with the possibility of coupling water diffusion between the geometrical compartments by permeable membranes. As this time, we have not implemented the Matrix Formalism Module for coupled compartments linked by permeable membranes. Rather, the diffusion MRI signal is computed with zero permeability on the compartment boundaries. The current emphasis of the Matrix Formalism Module is to show how the geometrical structure of neurons affect the diffusion MRI signal. Thus, some of the input parameters related to multiple compartment models in SpinDoctor are not applicable in the current version of the Matrix Formalism Module. However, we have kept the exactly same input file formats as SpinDoctor in anticipation of the future development of the Matrix Formalism Module for permeable membranes. In particular, the various compartments in SpinDoctor have designations as IN, OUT, and ECS, and in the Matrix Formalism Module, the geometry defined by the finite element mesh is designated as the OUT compartment.

A.1 User provided input files

In SpinDoctor, there are three input files in which the user specifies the parameters of the desired simulations. They are:

1. params_cells.in: contains the cells parameters
2. params_simul_domain.in: contains the simulation domain parameters
3. params_simul_exper.in: contains the simulation experiment parameters
**FIGURE 11** The signal differences due to the removal of each eigenmode, compared to using the full set of 336 eigenmodes. The eigenvalues have been converted to a length scale $l_n(\lambda_n)$. Here we show only the eigenmodes whose length scale is larger than 50µm. The color indicates the "diffusion direction" of the eigenmodes, based on the values of the RGB vector $c_n$, which is related to $a_{1n}$. SEQ2 is (PGSE, $\delta = 10.6$ms, $\Delta = 73$ms);

We list the input files the user must provide in order to use the Matrix Formalism Module, noting where relevant, the input parameters that are not applicable (marked by "na") to the current version of the Matrix Formalism Module.

**A.1.1 Read cells parameters**

The user provides an input file for the cells parameters, in the format described in Table A1. To simulate the diffusion MRI signal of a neuron, the user chooses option 3 for the cell shape. The user specifies the name of the neuron to be simulated in line 2.

**A.1.2 Read simulation domain parameters**

The user provides an input file for the simulation domain parameters, in the format described in Table A2.

**A.1.3 Read simulation experiment parameters**

The user provides an input file for the simulation experiment parameters, in the format described in Table A3.

**Quantities relevant to the Matrix Formalism Module**

In Table A4 we list quantities relevant to the Matrix Formalism Module. The braces in the "Size" column denote MATLAB cell data structure and the brackets denote MATLAB matrix data structure.
\[ l_s = 15.58, \quad a_{1n} = [0.35, -0.04, -3.46]^T \]

**FIGURE 12** The eigenfunction corresponding to the spatial scale of \( l_s(\lambda_n) = 15.6 \mu\text{m} \). Its "diffusion direction" is parallel to \( a_{1n} = [0.35, -0.04, -3.46]^T \). Its removal will result in signal differences of \{31.4\%, 101.4\%, 1.5\%, 50.9\%\} in order of \{[SEQ1, \( b = 1000 \text{s/mm}^2 \)], [SEQ1, \( b = 4000 \text{s/mm}^2 \)], [SEQ2, \( b = 1000 \text{s/mm}^2 \)], [SEQ2, \( b = 4000 \text{s/mm}^2 \)]\).

A.2 Important functions of the Matrix Formalism Module

In Table A5, we list important functions of the Matrix Formalism Module. For detailed information about them, including argument lists, please read the online documentation.

A.3 Example outputs from Matrix Formalism Module

Below we display some example outputs from the Matrix Formalism Module. The geometrical configuration is one dendrite branch of a spindle neuron, 03b_spindle6aACC_dendrites_2. The intrinsic diffusion coefficient is set to \( D_0 = 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s} \), with an impermeable membrane. We simulated 2 diffusion-encoding sequences:

- Experiment 1: \( f_1 \) is PGSE (\( \delta = 10.6 \text{ms} \), \( \Delta = 13 \text{ms} \)).
- Experiment 2: \( f_2 \) is PGSE (\( \delta = 10.6 \text{ms} \), \( \Delta = 73 \text{ms} \)).

The relevant plotting functions are PLOT_FEMESH (Figure A1), PLOT_DTENSOR (Figure A2), PLOT_PDESOLUTION (Figure A3), PLOT SIGNAL (Figure A4), PLOT_HARDI_PT (Figure A5). In fact, PLOT_PDESOLUTION is used to plot the eigenfunction (it takes as input the function values at the finite elements mesh nodes). For detailed information about them, including argument lists, please read the online documentation.

References

FIGURE A1 The finite elements mesh of the spindle neuron dendrite branch 03b_spindle6aACC_dendrites_2

FIGURE A2 The 6 entries of the normalized diffusion tensor $D^{MF}(f)/D_0$ for the dendrite branch. Indices 1 to 6 are in the order of $\{D^{MF}_{11}(f), D^{MF}_{22}(f), D^{MF}_{33}(f), D^{MF}_{12}(f), D^{MF}_{13}(f), D^{MF}_{23}(f)\}/D_0$. The diagonal entries of $D^{MF}(f)/D_0$ are shown in red and the off-diagonal entries shown in blue (if non-zero). From left to right: Experiment 1: $f_1$ is PGSE ($\delta = 10.6\text{ms}, \Delta = 13\text{ms}$), Experiment 2: $f_2$ is PGSE ($\delta = 10.6\text{ms}, \Delta = 73\text{ms}$).

FIGURE A3 The eigenfunction with the associated length scale \( l_s = 385.57 \mu m \) and the first moments vector \( a_{1n} = [5.21, -58.38, 2.21]^T \).


FIGURE A4 Top left: the BTPDE signals. Top right: the MF signals. Bottom left: the MFGA signals. The markers indicate the values of the simulated signals, the blue lines indicate the ADC fit of those signals, the red line is the signal of free diffusion at the intrinsic diffusion coefficient. Bottom right: the BTPDE, the MF, the MFGA signals plotted together. Experiment 1: $f_1$ is PGSE ($\delta = 10.6$ ms, $\Delta = 13$ ms), Experiment 2: $f_2$ is PGSE ($\delta = 10.6$ ms, $\Delta = 73$ ms). Diffusion-encoding direction $\mathbf{u}_g = [1, 1, 0]/\sqrt{2}$.


FIGURE A5 Top left: the BTPDE signals, $S_{\text{BTPDE}} / S_0$, in 151 diffusion-encoding directions. Top right: the MF signals, $S_{\text{MF}} / S_0$, in 151 diffusion-encoding directions. Bottom left: the MFGA signals, $S_{\text{MFGA}} / S_0$, in 151 diffusion-encoding directions. Bottom right: the MF signals, $S_{\text{MF}} / S_0$, in 900 diffusion-encoding directions. The black points are the magnitude of the signal attenuation multiplied by the diffusion-encoding direction. The color indicates the value of the signal attenuation. $b = 3000$ s/mm$^2$, PGSE, $\delta = 10.6$ms, $\Delta = 13$ms.


### TABLE A1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>cell_shape</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 = spheres; 2 = cylinders; 3 = neuron;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>fname</td>
<td>'msh_files/pyramidal/02b_pyramidal1aACC'</td>
<td>file name of neuron mesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ncell</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>number of cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rmin</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>min Radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rmax</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>max Radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>dmin</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>min (%) distance between cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>dmax</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>max (%) distance between cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>para_deform</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>([\alpha , \beta]); (\alpha) defines the amount of bend; (\beta) defines the amount of twist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hcyl</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>height of cylinders</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE A1** Input file containing cells parameters. "na" means not applicable to neuron simulation.

### TABLE A2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rratio</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>include_ECS</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ECS_gap</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>dcoeff_IN</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>dcoeff_OUT</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>diffusion coefficient in OUT cmpt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>dcoeff_ECS</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ic_IN</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ic_OUT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>initial spin density in OUT cmpt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ic_ECS</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>kappa_IN_OUT</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>kappa_OUT_ECS</td>
<td>na</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Htetgen</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Requested tetgen mesh size; -1 = Use tetgen default;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>tetgen_cmd</td>
<td>'SRC/TETGEN/tetGen/win64/tetgen'</td>
<td>path to tetgen_cmd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE A2** Input file of simulation domain parameters. "na" means not applicable to neuron simulation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ngdir</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>number of gradient direction; if $ngdir &gt; 1$, the gradient directions are distributed uniformly on a sphere; if $ngdir = 1$, take the gradient direction from the line below;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>gdir</td>
<td>1.0 0.0 0.0</td>
<td>gradient direction; No need to normalize;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>nexpri</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>number of sequences to simulate;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>sdeletavec</td>
<td>2500 10000</td>
<td>small delta;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>bdeletavec</td>
<td>5000 43000</td>
<td>big delta;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>sequvec</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>diffusion sequence of experiment; 1 = PGSE; 2 = OGSesin; 3 = OGSEcos;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>npervec</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>number of period of OGSE;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>solve_hadc</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0 = do not solve HADC; Otherwise solve HADC;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>rtol_def, atol_def</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>$[r_{tol} \ a_{tol}]$; relative and absolute tolerance for HADC ODE solver;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>solve_btpde</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0: do not solve BTPDE; Otherwise solve BTPDE;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>rtol_bt, atol_bt</td>
<td>1e-2 1e-4</td>
<td>$[r_{tol} \ a_{tol}]$; relative and absolute tolerance for BTPDE ODE solver;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>nb</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>number of b-values;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>blimit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 = specify bvec; 1 = specify $[b_{min}, b_{max}]$; 2 = specify $[g_{min}, g_{max}]$;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>const_q</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0: use input bvalues for all experiments; 1: take input bvalues for the first experiment and use the same q for the remaining experiments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>b-values</td>
<td>0 1000 2000 3000</td>
<td>b-values or $[b_{min}, b_{max}]$ or $[g_{min}, g_{max}]$; depending on line 13;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE A3** Input file for simulation experiment parameters.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>experiment</td>
<td></td>
<td>a structure that contains experimental parameters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mymesh</td>
<td></td>
<td>a structure that contains the finite elements mesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ncmpt</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed to be 1 (the number of compartments)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neig</td>
<td></td>
<td>the number of eigenvalues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nnodes</td>
<td></td>
<td>the number of finite element nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nexperi</td>
<td></td>
<td>the number of experiments (sequences) to be simulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nb</td>
<td></td>
<td>the number of b-values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ngdir</td>
<td></td>
<td>the number of diffusion-encoding directions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIFF_cmpts</td>
<td>[Ncmpt]</td>
<td>the intrinsic diffusion coefficient in the compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOL_cmpts</td>
<td>[Ncmpt]</td>
<td>the volume of the compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC_cmpts</td>
<td>[Ncmpt]</td>
<td>the initial spin density of the compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EigLim_cmpts</td>
<td>[Ncmpt]</td>
<td>the upper limit of the eigenvalues interval to be passed to the MATLAB pdeeig function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eig_value_cmpts</td>
<td>{Ncmpt} [neig]</td>
<td>the eigenvalues in the compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eig_func_cmpts</td>
<td>{Ncmpt} [nnodes,neig]</td>
<td>the eigenfunctions in the compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eig_proj_cmpts</td>
<td>{Ncmpt} [3 x neig x neig]</td>
<td>the first moments of the products of the eigenfunctions in the compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTENSOR_cmpts</td>
<td>[Ncmpt x nexperi x 3 x 3]</td>
<td>the effective diffusion tensor in the compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTENSOR_allcmpts</td>
<td>[nexperi x 3 x 3]</td>
<td>the effective diffusion tensor summed over all compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_MF_cmpts</td>
<td>[Ncmpt x nexperi x nb]</td>
<td>the MF signal in the compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_MF_allcmpts</td>
<td>[nexperi x nb]</td>
<td>the MF signal summed over all compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_MF_MFGA_cmpts</td>
<td>[Ncmpt x nexperi x nb]</td>
<td>the MFGA signal in the compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_MF_MFGA_allcmpts</td>
<td>[nexperi x nb]</td>
<td>the MFGA signal summed over all compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>points_gdir</td>
<td>[ngdir x 3]</td>
<td>uniformly distributed gradient directions on a sphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_MF_cmpts_dir</td>
<td>{Ncmpt} [ngdir x nexperi x nb]</td>
<td>MF signal in the compartments in the gradient directions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_MF_allcmpts_dir</td>
<td>[ngdir x nexperi x nb]</td>
<td>MF signal summed over all compartments in the gradient directions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_MF_MFGA_cmpts_dir</td>
<td>{Ncmpt} [ngdir x nexperi x nb]</td>
<td>MFGA signal in the compartments in the gradient directions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_MF_MFGA_allcmpts_dir</td>
<td>[ngdir x nexperi x nb]</td>
<td>MFGA signal summed over all compartments in the gradient directions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE A4** Some important Matrix Formalism Module output quantities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function name</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>read_params_simul_domain</td>
<td>Reads the params_simul_domain input file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read_params_simul_experi</td>
<td>Reads the params_simul_experi input file.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read_tetgen</td>
<td>Reads the finite elements mesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREPARE_PDE</td>
<td>Set up the PDE model in the geometrical compartments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GET_VOL_SA</td>
<td>Gets the volume and the surface area quantities from the finite elements mesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTPDE</td>
<td>Computes the BTPDE signal in one diffusion-encoding direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADC</td>
<td>Computes the HADC in one diffusion-encoding direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STA</td>
<td>Computes the short time approximation in one diffusion-encoding direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCFREE</td>
<td>Computes the free diffusion ADC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIT_SIGNAL</td>
<td>Fits the $S_0$ and the ADC of the signal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARDI_PTS</td>
<td>Provides gradient directions uniformly distributed in unit 3D sphere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_BTPDE_HARDI</td>
<td>Computes the BTPDE signal in multiple diffusion-encoding directions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADC_HARDI</td>
<td>Computes the HADC in multiple diffusion-encoding directions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLOT_FEMESH</td>
<td>Displays the finite elements mesh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLOT_SIGNAL</td>
<td>Displays the simulated signal in one diffusion-encoding direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLOT_ADC</td>
<td>Displays the simulated ADC in one diffusion-encoding direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLOT_HARDI_PT</td>
<td>Displays simulation results in multiple diffusion-encoding directions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAPLACE_EIG</td>
<td>Computes the Laplace eigenvalues, eigenfunctions and the first order moments of the products of the eigenfunctions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF_EIG_TO_LENGTH</td>
<td>Converts the computed eigenvalues into a length scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF_IN</td>
<td>Computes the quantity $J(\lambda_n, f)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF_DTENSOR</td>
<td>Computes the effective diffusion tensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLOT_DTENSOR</td>
<td>Displays the effective diffusion tensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLOT_PDESOLUTION</td>
<td>Plots the eigenfunctions (or the PDE solution) on the finite elements mesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_MF</td>
<td>Computes the Matrix Formalism signal in one diffusion-encoding direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_MF_GA</td>
<td>Computes the Matrix Formalism Gaussian Approximation signal in one diffusion-encoding direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_MF_HARDI</td>
<td>Computes the Matrix Formalism signal in multiple diffusion-encoding directions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIG_MF_GA_HARDI</td>
<td>Computes the Matrix Formalism Gaussian Approximation signal in multiple diffusion-encoding directions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE A5** Some important functions of the Matrix Formalism Module.