In our previous work [1], we proved a Noether-type inequality for projective 3-fold of general type. The main theorem is [1, Theorem 1.1] which states that if $p_g(X) \leq 4$ or $p_g(X) \geq 21$, then $\text{vol}(X) \geq \frac{4}{3}p_g(X) - \frac{10}{3}$.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following improvement:

**Theorem 1.** Let $X$ be a projective 3-fold of general type and either $p_g(X) \leq 4$ or $p_g(X) \geq 11$. Then

$$\text{vol}(X) \geq \frac{4}{3}p_g(X) - \frac{10}{3}.$$

Throughout we work over complex number $\mathbb{C}$. We refer to [2] for standard concepts and definitions. We keep the same notation as in [1].

The improvement that the previous condition “$p_g(X) \geq 21$” in [1, Theorem 1.1] is relaxed to “$p_g(X) \geq 11$” is due to the following modification of [1, Proposition 3.1].

**Proposition 2.** Let $X$ be a minimal projective 3-fold of general type. Assume that there exists a resolution $\pi : W \to X$ such that $W$ admits a fibration structure $f : W \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Denote by $F$ a general fiber of $f$ and $F_0$ the minimal model of $F$. Assume that

1. there exists a $\pi$-exceptional prime divisor $E_0$ on $W$ such that $(\pi^* K_X|_F \cdot E_0|_F) > 0$;
2. $\pi^* K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} bF + D$ for some rational number $b$ and an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D$ on $W$.

Then $b < \frac{1}{\text{glet}(F_0)}$ where $\text{glet}(F_0)$ is the global log canonical threshold of $F_0$ (see [1, Definition 2.6]).

In [1, Proposition 3.1], it was proved that $b < \frac{2}{\text{glet}(F_0)} \leq 20$ if $F_0$ is a $(1,2)$-surface. Now it can be improved to $b < 10$. By using Proposition 2 in the proof of [1, Corollary 3.3(2)], it follows that [1, Corollary 3.3] can be improved as the following:
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Corollary 3. Let $X$ be a minimal projective 3-fold of general type such that $|K_X|$ is composed with a pencil of $(1,2)$-surfaces. Assume that one of the following holds:

(1) $|K_X|$ is composed with an irrational pencil; or
(2) $|K_X|$ is composed with a rational pencil and $p_g(X) \geq 11$; or
(3) $X$ is Gorenstein.

Then there exists a minimal projective 3-fold $Y$, being birational to $X$, such that $\text{Mov}|K_Y|$ is base point free.

Finally, replace [1, Corollary 3.3] by Corollary 3 in the proof of [1, Theorem 1.2(3)]. One sees the asserted improvement. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove the Proposition 2.

Proof of Proposition 2. Note that, according to the projection formula, the assumptions in the proposition still hold if we replace $W$ with any higher birational model over $W$ (that is, a smooth variety $W'$ with a proper birational morphism $W' \to W$) and replace $E_0$ with its proper transform. Take $g : W_0 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ to be a relative minimal model of $f : W \to \mathbb{P}^1$ (for the definition, see [2, Definition 3.50]), of which the general fiber is $F_0$. Modulo a further birational modification, we may assume that $f$ factors through $g$ by a morphism $\zeta : W \to W_0$ and the support of $\pi$-exceptional divisors is simple normal crossing. We may write

$$K_W = \pi^*K_X + E_\pi,$$

where $E_\pi = \sum_{E_i : \pi\text{-exc}} a_i E_i$ is an effective $\pi$-exceptional $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor with $a_i > 0$ for all $i$. Being a minimal model of $W$, $X$ is also a minimal model of $W_0$ and we may write

$$\zeta^*K_{W_0} = \pi^*K_X + \hat{E},$$

where $\hat{E} = \sum_{E_i : \pi\text{-exc}} b_i E_i$ is an effective $\pi$-exceptional $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor.

Take a general fiber $F$ of $f$, by the assumption, there exists a $\pi$-exceptional prime divisor $E_0$ on $W$ such that $(\pi^*K_X |_F \cdot E_0 |_F) > 0$. By the projection formula, this means that $(K_X \cdot \pi_* (E_0 |_F)) > 0$. In particular, $E_0 |_F$ is not contracted by $\pi$. Hence there exists a curve $\Gamma_X \subseteq X$ such that $(K_X \cdot \Gamma_X) > 0$ and that

$$\Gamma_X \subseteq \pi(E_0 \cap F) \subseteq \pi(E_0).$$

On the other hand, since $\pi(E_0)$ is a subvariety of codimension at least 2, we see $\Gamma_X = \pi(E_0)$. In particular, $\Gamma_X$ is independent of $F$, and for any general fiber $F$ of $f$, $\Gamma_X = \pi(E_0 \cap F)$.

We claim the following.

Claim 4. There exists a $\pi$-exceptional divisor $E_1$ on $W$ such that for a general fiber $F$ of $f$,

(1) $\pi(E_1) = \pi(E_1 \cap F) = \Gamma_X$;
(2) $\text{coeff}_{E_1}(\pi^*\pi_*F - F - E_\pi) \geq 0$;
\( (3) \) coeff\(_{E_1}(\pi^*\pi_*F - F) \geq 1; \\
(4) \) coeff\(_{E_1}(\hat{E}) \geq 1. \\

Proof. We may write \\
\( \pi^*\pi_*F - F = \sum_{E_i: \pi-\text{exc}} c_i E_i \) \\
for some \( c_i \geq 0 \). We consider \\
\( \Delta := \pi^*\pi_*F - F - E_{\pi} = \sum_{E_i: \pi-\text{exc}} (c_i - a_i) E_i. \)

Since \(- (K_W + F + \Delta) = - \pi^*(K_X + \pi_*F)\), which is \( \pi \)-nef and \( \pi_*F + \Delta = \pi_*F \) is effective, by the Connectedness Lemma (see [2, Theorem 5.48]), \\
\( \text{Supp}(F + [\Delta_{\geq 0}]) \cap \pi^{-1}(x) \) \\
is connected for any \( x \in X \). Here \( \Delta_{\geq 0} \) denotes the part of \( \Delta \) with non-negative coefficients.

Denote by \\
\( G := \sum_{\pi(E_i) = \Gamma_{X,c_i-a_i\geq 1}} (c_i - a_i) E_i \leq \Delta. \)

We thus conclude that \\
\( \text{Supp}(F + G) \cap \pi^{-1}(x) \) \\
is connected for any general point \( x \in \Gamma_X \).

Firstly, suppose that \( G \neq 0 \), then by construction, there exists a prime divisor, say \( E_1 \subset \text{Supp}(G) \), on \( W \) such that \\
\( \text{coeff}_{E_1}(\pi^*\pi_*F - F - E_{\pi}) = c_1 - a_1 \geq 1 \)
and that \( E_1 \) intersects \( F \) along \( \pi^{-1}(x) \) for any general point \( x \in \Gamma_X \). The latter one implies that \( \pi(E_1 \cap F) = \Gamma_X \). Hence \( E_1 \) satisfies \( (1) \sim (3) \).

Now suppose that \( G = 0 \), then this implies that \((X, \pi_*F)\) is plt in a neighborhood of \( \eta_{\Gamma_X} \), where \( \eta_{\Gamma_X} \) is the generic point of \( \Gamma_X \). In particular, \( \pi_*F \) is normal in a neighborhood of \( \eta_{\Gamma_X} \) by [2, Proposition 5.51]. Hence \( \pi|_F : F \to \pi(F) \) is an isomorphism in a neighborhood of \( \eta_{\Gamma_X} \) since it is a point of codimension 1 in \( \pi(F) \). On the other hand, \( \eta_{\Gamma_X} \) is a smooth point on \( X \) since \( X \) is terminal ([2, Corollary 5.18]), so by adjunction we have \\
\( K_F + \Delta|_F = (\pi^*(K_X + \pi_*F))|_F = (\pi|_F)^* \left( (K_X + \pi_*F)|_{\pi(F)} \right) = (\pi|_F)^* K_{\pi(F)} \) \\
in a neighborhood of \( \eta_{\Gamma_X} \). This implies that \( \Delta|_F = 0 \) over a neighborhood of \( \eta_{\Gamma_X} \). In particular, since \( \pi(E_0) = \pi(E_0 \cap F) = \Gamma_X \), we have \( \text{coeff}_{E_0}(\Delta) = 0 \). We may take \( E_1 = E_0 \) which satisfies the requirement \( (1) \sim (2) \) of the claim. The third statement follows from (2) and the fact that \( X \) has terminal singularities and hence smooth at \( \eta_{\Gamma_X} \), which implies that \( \text{coeff}_{E_1}E_x \) is a positive integer.
In the end we prove the forth statement. Note that $\zeta(E_1)$ is of dimension at least 1 since it intersects general fibers of $g$, also note that $W_0$ has terminal singularities, hence $W_0$ is smooth at the generic point of $\zeta(E_1)$. Also recall that $X$ is smooth at $\eta_{W_0}$, hence coeff$_{E_1}\hat{E}$ is a non-negative integer. So it suffices to show that $E_1 \subseteq \text{Supp}(\hat{E})$. Assume, to the contrary, that $E_1 \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(\hat{E})$. Then as $F$ is a general fiber of $f$, $E_1|_F$ has no common component with Supp($\hat{E}|_F$). Since $\Gamma_X = \pi(E_1 \cap F)$, we can find a curve $\Gamma_W \subseteq E_1 \cap F$ such that $\Gamma_X = \pi(\Gamma_W)$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_W \not\subseteq \text{Supp}(\hat{E}|_F)$. Recall that $(\Gamma_X \cdot \hat{\Gamma}_W) > 0$. Hence

$$
(\zeta F K_{F_0} \cdot \Gamma_W) = (\zeta K_{W_0}|_F \cdot \Gamma_W) = (\pi^* K_X|_F + \hat{E}|_F) \cdot \Gamma_W
\geq \pi^* K_X|_F \cdot \Gamma_W = (K_X \cdot \pi_* \hat{E}) > 0,
$$

here $\zeta = \zeta|_F : F \to F_0$. In particular, $\Gamma_W$ is not contracted by $\zeta_F$ and hence $E_1$ is not contracted by $\zeta$ as $F$ is general. This means that $E_1$ is a divisor exceptional over $X$ but not exceptional over $W_0$, and therefore coeff$_E \hat{E} > 0$ as $X$ is a minimal model of $W_0$ (see [2, Definition 3.50($5^m$)]), a contradiction. \(\square\)

Now go back to the proof of the proposition. Take $w = 1/b$. Denote $\Delta_W := -E_0 + \pi^* \pi_* (F + wD) + (1 + w)\hat{E}$.

Note that $F + wD \sim \pi^* w K_X$ and hence $F + wD = \pi^* \pi_* (F + wD)$. Then

$$
K_W + \Delta_W \sim_{Q} (1 + w) \pi^* K_X + (1 + w) \hat{E} \sim_{Q} (1 + w) \zeta^* K_{W_0}.
$$

We may write

$$
K_W + \Delta_W = \zeta^* (K_{W_0} + \Delta_{W_0}),
$$

where $\Delta_{W_0} = \zeta_* \Delta_W \sim_{Q} wK_{W_0}$. Also note that

$$
\Delta_{W_0} = \zeta_* \Delta_W = \zeta_*(\Delta_W + E_0 - \hat{E}) \geq 0
$$

since $E_0 - \hat{E} = K_W - \zeta^* K_{W_0}$ is $\zeta$-exceptional. Restricting on a general fiber $F$ of $f$, we have

$$
K_F + \Delta_W|_F = \zeta^* (K_{F_0} + \Delta_{W_0}|_{F_0}),
$$

where $\zeta_F = \zeta|_F : F \to F_0$. By the construction of $E_1$,

$$\text{coeff}_{E_1} \Delta_W \geq (1 + w)\text{coeff}_{E_1} \hat{E} > 1
$$

and $E_1 \cap F \neq \emptyset$, hence $\Delta_W|_F$ contains a component with coefficient $> 1$. This implies that $(F_0, \Delta_{W_0}|_{F_0})$ is not lc. On the other hand, $\Delta_{W_0}|_{F_0} \sim_{Q} wK_{F_0}$, hence

$$
\frac{1}{b} = w > \text{lct} \left( F_0, \frac{1}{w} \Delta_{W_0}|_{F_0} \right) \geq \text{gltc}(F_0).
$$

\(\square\)
The Noether inequality for algebraic 3-folds
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