TREES AND FAÀ DI BRUNO’S FORMULA
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Abstract. Faà di Bruno’s formula gives an expression for the higher order derivatives of the composition of two real-valued functions. Various higher dimensional generalisations have since appeared in the literature. In this paper we prove a multivariate and synthesized version of Faà di Bruno’s formula, giving an expression in terms of a labelled tree for the higher order derivatives of an arbitrary chain of smooth functions defined on Euclidean space of arbitrary dimension.

1. Introduction

Let $f$ and $g$ be smooth real-valued functions and let $D$ denote the differentiation operator. Applying an induction argument to the product rule $D[fg] = D[f]g + fD[g]$, one can obtain the more general Leibniz rule, which states that for the product $fg$ we have

$$D^n[fg] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} D^k[f]D^{n-k}[g],$$

where for $n \geq 2$, $D^n[f] := D[D^{n-1}[f]]$ denotes the $n$th order derivative. Less well known is the analogy of the Leibniz rule (1) for differentiating the composition $f \circ g$ of two functions. This time applying an induction argument to the chain rule $D[f \circ g] = (D[f] \circ g)D[g]$, it is possible to prove what is known as Faà di Bruno’s formula, which states that

$$D^n[f \circ g] = \sum_{\pi \in P_n} (D^{\# \pi}[f] \circ g) \prod_{\Gamma \in \pi} D^{\# \Gamma}[g],$$

where the sum is taken over all solutions in nonnegative integers of $b_1 + 2b_2 + \ldots + nb_n = n$, and $k = b_1 + \ldots + b_n$. While this formula is, as the name indicates, typically attributed to Italian mathematician Faà di Bruno [3, 4, 5], it first appeared in the calculus book [1] of Arbogast in the year 1800.

It is possible to write Faà di Bruno’s formula (2) in a more compact fashion using the language of set partitions. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a (set) partition $\pi$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is a collection of disjoint, non-empty subsets $\Gamma$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ whose union is equal to $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. We call the subsets $\Gamma$ in $\pi$ the ‘blocks’ of $\pi$. By a combinatorial argument counting the number of set partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with exactly $b_i$ blocks of size $i$, we may rewrite (2) more concisely as follows,

$$D^n[f \circ g] = \sum_{\pi \in P_n} (D^{\# \pi}[f] \circ g) \prod_{\Gamma \in \pi} \left( \frac{D^{b_i}[g]}{i!} \right)^{b_i},$$

where for $n \geq 2$, $D^n[f] := D[D^{n-1}[f]]$ denotes the $n$th order derivative. Less well known is the analogy of the Leibniz rule (1) for differentiating the composition $f \circ g$ of two functions. This time applying an induction argument to the chain rule $D[f \circ g] = (D[f] \circ g)D[g]$, it is possible to prove what is known as Faà di Bruno’s formula, which states that

$$D^n[f \circ g] = \sum_{\pi \in P_n} (D^{\# \pi}[f] \circ g) \prod_{\Gamma \in \pi} \left( \frac{D^{b_i}[g]}{i!} \right)^{b_i},$$

where the sum is taken over all solutions in nonnegative integers of $b_1 + 2b_2 + \ldots + nb_n = n$, and $k = b_1 + \ldots + b_n$. While this formula is, as the name indicates, typically attributed to Italian mathematician Faà di Bruno [3, 4, 5], it first appeared in the calculus book [1] of Arbogast in the year 1800.

It is possible to write Faà di Bruno’s formula (2) in a more compact fashion using the language of set partitions. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a (set) partition $\pi$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is a collection of disjoint, non-empty subsets $\Gamma$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ whose union is equal to $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. We call the subsets $\Gamma$ in $\pi$ the ‘blocks’ of $\pi$. By a combinatorial argument counting the number of set partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with exactly $b_i$ blocks of size $i$, we may rewrite (2) more concisely as follows,

$$D^n[f \circ g] = \sum_{\pi \in P_n} (D^{\# \pi}[f] \circ g) \prod_{\Gamma \in \pi} D^{\# \Gamma}[g],$$

where $P_n$ denotes the set of partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, $\# \pi$ denotes the number of blocks in $\pi$, and the product is taken over all blocks $\Gamma$ of $\pi$, with $\# \Gamma$ denoting the number of elements.
in a block $\Gamma$. Technically speaking, Faà di Bruno’s original statement was a determinantal representation, and as we already mentioned other formulas related to \cite{[2]} predate his work. The reader is referred to Johnson \cite{[12]} for a historical discussion.

Various generalisations of Faà di Bruno’s formula have appeared in the literature. Abraham and Robbin \cite{[2]} Section 1.4 develop a composite mapping formula for sufficiently differentiable functions $f : E \to F$ and $g : F \to G$ defined on Banach spaces, only giving the integer coefficients implicitly through a recurrence relation. Their composite mapping formula is mainly used in \cite{[2]} as a tool to prove the Glaeser rough composition theorem. Constantine and Savits (see also \cite{[10], [14], [7]}) prove a generalisation of (2) where $f$ is considered for the $(n+1)$th derivative is given in terms of compositions of functions in one variables, and an implicit expression for derivatives $D^n[f \circ g]$ for multi-indices $\alpha$.

Hardy \cite{[11]} studies the case where $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g$ is a function of a multivariable $\vec{x}$, making the observation that given any collection $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of variables, we have

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial x_1 \ldots \partial x_n}[f \circ g] = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_n} \prod_{i \in \pi} \left(\prod_{i \in \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)[g].$$

Yang \cite{[17]} considered derivatives of the form $D^n[f_0 \circ f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_m]$ are considered for arbitrarily long chains of compositions of functions in one variables, and an implicit expression is given for the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ derivative is given in terms of the $n^{\text{th}}$ derivative. In recent work by the first author on the genealogy of Galton-Watson trees \cite{[13]} Section 5, an expression for $D^n[f_0 \circ f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_m]$ is given in terms of chains in the poset of set partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ which we now describe:

Given a pair of partitions $\pi, \pi' \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we say $\pi$ can break into $\pi'$, and write $\pi < \pi'$, if every block of $\pi$ is a union of blocks in $\pi'$. The set $\mathcal{P}_n$ of partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is a partially ordered set (or poset) when endowed with the partial ordering $\prec$. We write $\mathcal{P}_n^{(m+1)}$ for the set of chains of length $m+1$ in $(\mathcal{P}_n, \prec)$, that is sequences $(\pi_0, \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_m)$ satisfying $\pi_{i-1} < \pi_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. Given a chain $(\pi_0, \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_m)$, and $0 \leq j < m$, every block $\Gamma$ of $\pi_j$ is the union of $\overline{b}_j(\Gamma) \geq 1$ blocks of $\pi_{j+1}$. With this notation at hand \cite{[13]} Lemma 5.2 states that

$$(4) \quad D^n[f^{(0)} \circ f^{(1)} \circ \ldots \circ f^{(m)}] = \sum_{(\pi_0, \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_m) \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(m+1)}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \prod_{\Gamma \in \pi_j} D^{b_j(\Gamma)}[f^{(j)}] \circ f^{(j+1)} \circ \ldots \circ f^{(m)}.$$  

This formula is applied to collections $(F_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of smooth functions on $[0, 1]$ satisfying the semi-group property $F_t(F_u(s)) = F_{t+u}(s)$, and quotienting the formula (4) induces a probability measure $\mathbb{P}_{m,n,s}$ on $\mathcal{P}_n^{(m+1)}$. We also refer the reader to other appearances of Faà di Bruno’s formula on work in the genealogical structure of Galton-Watson trees \cite{[15], [16]}. Let us also mention the recent paper of Frabetti and Shestakov \cite{[9]}, who obtain a Faà di Bruno formula involving trees in the context of the so-called non-commutative Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra, as well as the book \textit{Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster} by Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman, who develop a formal calculus including a Faà di Bruno formula for derivations.

2. Statement of main result

The goal of the present paper is to synthesise the various versions of Faà di Bruno’s formula discussed above to obtain an explicit expression for higher order derivatives of compositions $f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_m$, where $f_i$ are smooth maps between Euclidean space of arbitrary dimension.
In fact it will be notationally convenient to work in a formal framework based around smooth functions defined on infinite dimensional Euclidean space. To this end we write $\mathbb{N} := \{1,2,\ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0,1,2,\ldots\}$. Whenever $V$ is a set containing a delineated zero element, $V^*$ will denote the set of sequences $(v_1,v_2,\ldots)$ of elements of $V$ such that only finitely many of the $v_i$ are nonzero. Let $\mathcal{A}^\infty$ be the set of smooth functions $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$, where $\mathbb{R}^N$ is the set of sequences $(x_1,x_2,\ldots)$ of real numbers. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of smooth functions mapping $\mathbb{R}^N$ to $\mathbb{R}$. For positive integer $j$, define the projection operator $\pi_j : \mathcal{A}^\infty \to \mathcal{A}$ to be the map sending $\phi = (\phi_1,\phi_2,\ldots)$ to its $j^{th}$ component $\phi_j$.

For a multindex $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{N}_0^*$, define $D^\alpha : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ by

$$D^\alpha[\phi](x) := \prod_i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)^{\alpha_i} \phi(x).$$

Finally, we write $D^\alpha_j := D^\alpha \pi_j$ for the composition of $D^\alpha$ with $\pi_j$. That is, $D^\alpha_j \phi$ is the $\alpha^{th}$-derivative of the $j^{th}$ component of $\phi$. In our new notation, our goal is to obtain an expression for

$$D^\alpha_j [f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_m],$$

where each $f_i$ is an element of $\mathcal{A}^\infty$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^*$ is a multi-index. Our main result, Theorem A, gives an expression for the quantity (5) in terms of a labelled tree. Before stating Theorem A we need to require a few definitions.

We say a graph theoretic tree $T = (V,E)$ is a generational tree with $m+1$ generations if the vertex set $V$ may be written as a disjoint union $V = \bigcup_{i=0}^m V_i$ such that for every $i = 1,\ldots,m$, and every $w \in V_i$, $w$ is connected by an edge to exactly one vertex $v$ in $V_{i-1}$ (we call $v$ the parent of $w$, and $w$ the child of $v$), and moreover every edge has this form. We call the set $V_i$ the $i^{th}$ generation of the tree. We remark that $V_0$ contains exactly one vertex which we call the root, and that every element of $V_i$ is a distance $i$ away from the root. In particular, the representation $V = \bigcup_{i=0}^m V_i$ satisfying the above properties is unique.

More generally, we say a quadruplet $\mathcal{T} = (V,E,\tau,\ell)$ is a typed generational tree with $m+1$ generations and final population $\alpha$ if it has the following properties. The pair $(V,E)$ is a generational tree, $\tau : V \to \mathbb{N}$ is a type function with the property that there are exactly $\alpha_i$ elements $v$ of $V_m$ satisfying $\tau(v) = i$, and finally $\ell : V_m \to \mathbb{N}$ is a labelling function with the property that $\ell$ is a bijection between each $\{1,\ldots,\alpha_i\}$ and each $\{v \in V_m : \tau(v) = \alpha_i\}$.

For $v$ in some $V_{i-1}$ we say $\tau(v)$ for the type of $v$ and we write $s(v) \in \mathbb{N}_0^*$ for the multi-index whose $i^{th}$ component counts the number of children of $v$ of type $i$. We call $s(v)$ the spread of $v$.

Finally, we say two typed trees $\mathcal{T} := (V,E,\tau,\ell)$ and $\mathcal{T}' := (V',E',\tau',\ell')$ are isomorphic if there is a bijection $\phi : V \to V'$ between the underlying vertex sets preserving all of the structure of the tree: namely, we have $(\phi(u),\phi(v)) \in E'$ if and only if $(u,v) \in E$, $\tau'(\phi(v)) = \tau(v)$ for every $v$, and $\ell'(\phi(v)) = \ell(v)$ for every $v \in V_m$. We write $\text{Tree}_j^\alpha(m)$ for the set of isomorphism classes of typed generational trees with $m+1$ generations, root type $j$, and final population $\alpha$.

We are now ready to state our main result.

**Theorem A.** Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\alpha$ be a multi-index and let $f_1,\ldots,f_m$ be elements of $\mathcal{A}^\infty$. Then

$$D^\alpha_j [f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_m] = \sum_{\mathcal{T} \in \text{Tree}_j^\alpha(m)} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T}),$$

where $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T})$ is the expression for the quantity (5) in terms of a labelled tree $\mathcal{T}$. 


where for $T \in \text{Tree}_j^\alpha(m)$, and the energy $\mathcal{E}(T)$ of a tree is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(T) := \prod_{i=1}^{m} \prod_{v \in V_i-1} D_{r(v)}^{\alpha(v)}[f_i] \circ f_{i+1} \circ f_m.$$  

We remark that when $m \geq 2$, there are infinitely many trees in the set $\text{Tree}_j^\alpha(m)$, and hence without any further conditions on the functions $f_i$, the sum in equation (6) only makes sense in a formal setting. The reader concerned about making technical sense of the sum may consider restricting the formula to functions $f_i$ to the susbset $\mathcal{A}^*$ of $\mathcal{A}^\infty$ consisting only of functions with finitely many non-zero components. When each $f_i$ is an element of $\mathcal{A}^*$, there are only finitely many labelled trees $T$ such that $\mathcal{E}(T)$ is non-zero.

3. PROOF

3.1. Notation. In this section we will work towards proving Theorem A by induction on the multi-index $\alpha$. First, a word on notation: we will use the convention that in any expression, square brackets proceed composition, which proceeds multiplication. For instance

$$D[f] \circ g \circ h \text{ refers to } ((D[f]) \circ g) \circ h.$$  

In the next section we investigate how the operators $D^\alpha$ and $\pi_j$ interact with multiplication and composition of functions in $\mathcal{A}^\infty$ and $\mathcal{A}$.

3.2. Differentiation and combining functions. Recall that $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of smooth functions $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathcal{A}^\infty$ is the set of smooth functions $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$. Finally, recall that $\pi_j : \mathcal{A}^\infty \to \mathcal{A}$ is the projection of a function $f$ onto its $j^{\text{th}}$ component.

We have several ways of combining functions in $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{A}^\infty$ to form a new function in one of these sets. First of all, we have addition. Namely given any pair of functions $f$ and $g$ in $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}^\infty$), we may define a new function $f + g$ in $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}^\infty$) by setting $(f + g)(x) := f(x) + g(x)$. Secondly, we have multiplication. Given any pair of functions $f$ and $g$ both in $\mathcal{A}$, we may define a function $fg$ in $\mathcal{A}$ defined by setting $fg(x) := f(x)g(x)$. Finally, we have composition. Namely, whenever $f$ is an element of $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}^\infty$) and $g$ is an element of $\mathcal{A}^\infty$, we may define a function $f \circ g$ in $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}^\infty$) by setting $f \circ g(x) := f(g(x))$.

We now have a look at how first order differentiation $D^\alpha := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ interacts with each of these ways of combining functions. First of all, we have linearity. Namely:

$$D^\alpha \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{p} f_r \right] = \sum_{r=1}^{p} D^\alpha[f_r].$$  

As for multiplication, we have the product rule. For any $f, g$ in $\mathcal{A}$, we have $D^\alpha[fg] = D^\alpha[f]g + f D^\alpha[g]$ and more generally, it follows by induction that for $f_1, \ldots, f_p$ in $\mathcal{A}$,

$$D^\alpha \left[ \prod_{r=1}^{p} f_r \right] = \sum_{r=1}^{p} D^\alpha[f_r] \prod_{s \neq r} f_s.$$  

Finally, for composition we have the chain rule. Whenever $f \in \mathcal{A}^\infty$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}^\infty$ we have $D^\alpha_j[f \circ g] = \sum_k D^\alpha_k[g] D^\alpha_k[f] \circ g$, and more generally it follows by induction that whenever
\( f_1, \ldots, f_p \) are elements of \( \mathcal{A}^\infty \), we have

\[
D^e_j [f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_p] = \sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_{p-1}} \prod_{i=1}^p D^e_{k_i} [f_i] \circ f_{i+1} \circ \ldots \circ f_p,
\]

where \( k_0 := j \) and \( k_p = i \). We remark at this stage that equation (10) corresponds to the special case \( \alpha = e \) of Theorem \( \mathbb{A} \). Indeed, those labelled trees whose root is of type \( j \) and who have a single leaf of type \( i \) in generation \( m \) are in bijection with \( (p-1) \)-tuples \( (k_1, \ldots, k_{p-1}) \) of positive integers: let \( k_i \) be the type of the unique vertex in generation \( i \). Furthermore, the single vertex in each \( V_{i-1} \) has type \( k_{i-1} \) and spread \( e_{k_i} \). Below we will use the fact that (10) establishes a base case \( \alpha = e \) in an inductive proof of Theorem \( \mathbb{A} \).

In the next section we introduce projections of larger trees onto smaller trees, and study the relationships these projections have with differentiation.

### 3.3. Tree projections

If \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are multi-indices, we write \( \alpha \leq \beta \) if \( \alpha_i \leq \beta_i \) for each \( i \). For \( \beta \geq \alpha \), we now define a projection map

\[
P_{\beta, \alpha} : \text{Tree}^\beta_j (m) \to \text{Tree}^\alpha_j (m).
\]

Let \( T := (V, E, \tau, \ell) \) be a tree in \( \text{Tree}^\beta_j (m) \) with generations \( (V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_m) \). Then roughly speaking, \( P_{\beta, \alpha}(T) := (V', E', \tau', \ell') \) is the subtree in \( \text{Tree}^\alpha_j (m) \) of \( T \) obtained by considering just the first \( \alpha_i \) vertices of type \( i \) in the final generation of \( T' \), and the ancestors of these vertices. More explicitly, \( P_{\beta, \alpha}(T) := (V', E', \tau', \ell') \) is the tree with generations \( (V'_0, \ldots, V'_m) \) defined by the following procedure. Define \( V'_m \) be the subset of \( V_m \) obtained by only including in \( V'_m \) those \( w \in V_m \) such that \( \ell(w) \leq \alpha_\tau(w) \). Now for all \( i < m \), each \( V'_i \) is the subset of \( V_i \) obtained by including \( v \in V_i \) in \( V'_i \) if and only if \( v \) is an ancestor of a vertex in \( V'_m \).

The following lemma is the central idea to the proof.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( T \) be a tree in \( \text{Tree}^\beta_j (m) \). Then

\[
D^e_j [\mathcal{E}(T)] := \sum_{T' \in P_{\alpha+e_\tau, \alpha}^{-1}(T)} \mathcal{E}(T'),
\]

where the sum is taken over

\[
P_{\alpha+e_\tau, \alpha}^{-1}(T) := \{ T' \in \text{Tree}^\alpha_j (m) : P_{\alpha+e_\tau, \alpha}(T') = T \}.
\]

**Proof.** Consider the set of trees \( T' \) in \( P_{\alpha+e_\tau, \alpha}^{-1}(T) \). For every such tree there exists some \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) such that \( T' \) is obtained from \( T \) by adding vertices \( \{v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_m\} \) to \( T \) so that \( \tau'(v_m) = c \), and for \( i \leq l < m \), \( \tau'(v_l) = k_l \) for some positive integer \( k_l \). Finally, the vertex \( v_i \) must be connected with some \( v \in V_{i-1} \). We call the vertex \( v \) in the generation \( i - 1 \) the glueing point, and write \( (i, v) \) to encode this pair.

With this picture in mind, we now consider differentiating \( \mathcal{E}(T) \). Recall that by the definition (10) of \( \mathcal{E}(T) \) we have

\[
\mathcal{E}(T) := \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{v \in V_{i-1}} D^{e_{\tau(v)}}_{\tau(v)} [f_i] \circ f_{i+1} \circ f_m.
\]
Writing the \{(i, v)\} as shorthand for indexing \(1 \leq i \leq m, v \in V_{i-1}\), by differentiating \(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T})\) using the product rule \((9)\) we have

\[
D^{ec}[\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T})] = \sum_{i,v} S_{i,v}^c(\mathcal{T})
\]

where

\[
S_{i,v}^c(\mathcal{T}) := D^{ec}\left[D^{s(v)}_{\tau(v)}[f_i] \circ f_{i+1} \circ f_m\right] \prod_{(i',v') \neq (i,v)} D^{s(v')}_{\tau(v')}[f_{i'}] \circ f_{i'+1} \circ f_m.
\]

We note that by applying the chain rule \((10)\) to \(D^{s(v)}_{\tau(v)}[f_i] \circ f_{i+1} \circ f_m\), we have

\[
D^{ec}\left[D^{s(v)}_{\tau(v)}[f_i] \circ f_{i+1} \circ f_m\right] = \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_{m-1}} D_{\tau(v)}^{s(v)+ek_i}[f_i] \circ f_{i+1} \circ \ldots \circ f_m \prod_{l=i+1}^m D_{k_{l-1}}^{ek_l}[f_l] \circ f_{l+1} \circ \ldots \circ f_m,
\]

where \(k_m := c\). By plugging \((13)\) into \((12)\), we have

\[
S_{i,v}^c(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_{m-1}} \left( \prod_{l=i+1}^m D_{k_{l-1}}^{ek_l}[f_l] \circ f_{l+1} \circ \ldots \circ f_m \right) D_{\tau(v)}^{s(v)+ek_i}[f_i] \circ f_{i+1} \circ \ldots \circ f_m \prod_{(i',v') \neq (i,v)} \left( D^{s(v')}_{\tau(v')}[f_{i'}] \circ f_{i'+1} \circ f_m \right).
\]

We now note that for every combination of positive integers \(k_1,\ldots,k_{m-1}\), with \(k_m = c\) there is a tree \(\mathcal{T}'\) in \(\text{Tree}_{j+a}^a(m)\) satisfying \(P_{a+e_c,a}(\mathcal{T}') = \mathcal{T}, \) and satisfying

\[
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T}') = \left( \prod_{l=i+1}^m D_{k_{l-1}}^{ek_l}[f_l] \circ f_{l+1} \circ \ldots \circ f_m \right) D_{\tau(v)}^{s(v)+ek_i}[f_i] \circ f_{i+1} \circ \ldots \circ f_m \prod_{(i',v') \neq (i,v)} \left( D^{s(v')}_{\tau(v')}[f_{i'}] \circ f_{i'+1} \circ f_m \right).
\]

Indeed, let \(\mathcal{T}'\) be the tree constructed from \(\mathcal{T}\) as follows. For each \(l = i,\ldots,m\) we add a vertex \(w_l\) of type \(k_l\) to generation \(l\) (with \(k_m := c\)). We let the parent of \(w_i\) be \(v\), and for \(l > i\) we let the parent of \(w_l\) be \(w_{l-1}\). With this construction it is straightforward to verify that \((15)\) holds.

It follows in particular that

\[
S_{i,v}^c(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_{\mathcal{T}' \in P_{a+e_c,a}(\mathcal{T})_{i,v}} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T}'),
\]

where \(P_{a+e_c,a}^{-1}(\mathcal{T})_{i,v}\) is the subset of \(P_{a+e_c,a}^{-1}(\mathcal{T})\) consisting of trees \(\mathcal{T}'\) with gluing point \((i, v)\).

In particular, using \((11)\) to obtain the first inequality below, \((10)\) to obtain the second, and the fact that every extension \(\mathcal{T}'\) of \(\mathcal{T}\) in \(P_{a+e_c,a}^{-1}(\mathcal{T})\) has some gluing point \((i, v)\) to obtain
the third, we have

\[ D^{\alpha + e_c} [E(T)] = \sum_{i,v} S_{i,v}^c (T) \]

\[ = \sum_{i,v} \sum_{T' \in P_{\alpha + e_c,\alpha}^{-1}(T)} E(T') \]

\[ = \sum_{T' \in P_{\alpha + e_c,\alpha}^{-1}(T)} E(T') . \]

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

3.4. Proof of Theorem A. The proof of Theorem A is now a straightforward consequence of Lemma 3.1. Note that since the multi-index \( \alpha \) in the statement of Theorem A has finitely many non-zero components, it is now sufficient to prove Theorem A by induction on \( \alpha \).

Recall that the chain rule (10) establishes a base case for an inductive proof, indeed, (10) corresponds to setting \( \alpha = e_i \) in Theorem A. It remains to prove that if the statement of Theorem A holds for some \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^* \), it holds for \( \alpha + e_c \). To this end, we note that using the inductive hypothesis to obtain the second equality below, and linearity (8) to obtain the third, we have

\[ D^{\alpha + e_c} [f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_m] = D^{e_c} [D^\alpha [f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_m]] \]

\[ = D^{e_c} \left[ \sum_{T \in \text{Tree}^j_\alpha (m)} E(T) \right] \]

\[ = \sum_{T \in \text{Tree}^j_\alpha (m)} D^{e_c} [E(T)] . \]

(17)

Now by applying Lemma 3.1 to summands in the final line of (17), we have

\[ D^{\alpha + e_c} [f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_m] = \sum_{T \in \text{Tree}^j_\alpha (m)} \sum_{T' \in P_{\alpha + e_c,\alpha}^{-1}(T)} E(T') . \]

(18)

For every \( T' \) in \( \text{Tree}^j_{\alpha + e_c} (m) \) there is a \( T \) in \( \text{Tree}^j_{\alpha + e_c} (m) \) with \( P_{\alpha + e_c,\alpha} (T') = T \). It follows from (18) that

\[ D^{\alpha + e_c} [f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_m] = \sum_{T' \in \text{Tree}^j_{\alpha + e_c} (m)} E(T') . \]

(19)

We have now proved the inductive step. Namely, if the equation (6) holds for \( \alpha \), then it holds for \( \alpha + e_c \). This completes the proof of Theorem A.
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