4-GENERATED PSEUDO SYMMETRIC MONOMIAL CURVES WITH NOT COHEN-MACAULAY TANGENT CONES

NIL ŞAHİN

Abstract. In this article, standard bases of some toric ideals associated to 4-generated pseudo symmetric semigroups with not Cohen-Macaulay tangent cones at the origin are computed. As the tangent cones are not Cohen-Macaualy, non-decreasingness of the Hilbert function of the local ring was not guaranteed. Therefore, using these standard bases, Hilbert functions are explicitly computed as a step towards the characterisation of Hilbert function. In addition, when the smallest integer satisfying \( k(\alpha_2 + 1) < (k-1)\alpha_1 + (k+1)\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \) is 1, it is proved that the Hilbert function of the local ring is non-decreasing.

1. Introduction

Let \( n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k \) be positive integers with \( \gcd(n_1, \ldots, n_k) = 1 \) and let \( S \) be the numerical semigroup \( S = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_k \rangle = \{ \sum_{i=1}^k u_in_i | u_i \in \mathbb{N} \} \). \( K \) being an algebraically closed field, let \( K[S] = K[t^{n_1}, t^{n_2}, \ldots, t^{n_k}] \) be the semigroup ring of \( S \) and \( A = K[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k] \). If \( \phi : A \rightarrow K[S] \) with \( \phi(X_i) = t^{n_i} \) and \( \ker \phi = I_S \), then \( K[S] \cong A/I_S \). If we denote the affine curve with parametrization

\[
X_1 = t^{n_1}, \quad X_2 = t^{n_2}, \quad \ldots, \quad X_k = t^{n_k}
\]

corresponding to \( S \) with \( C_S \), then \( I_S \) is called the defining ideal of \( C_S \). The smallest integer \( n_1 \) in the semigroup is called the multiplicity of \( C_S \). Denote the corresponding local ring with \( R_S = K[[t^{n_1}, \ldots, t^{n_k}]] \) and say the maximal ideal is \( m = \langle t^{n_1}, \ldots, t^{n_k} \rangle \). Then \( gr_m(R_S) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} m^i/m^{i+1} \cong A/I_S^* \), is the associated graded ring where \( I_S^* = \langle f^* | f \in I_S \rangle \) with \( f^* \) denoting the least homogeneous summand of \( f \).

Hilbert function \( HR_S(n) \) of the local ring \( R_S \) with the maximal ideal \( m \) is defined to be the Hilbert function of the associated graded ring \( gr_m(R_S) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} m^i/m^{i+1} \). In other words,

\[
HR_S(n) = HR_{gr_m(R_S)}(n) = \dim_{R_S/m}(m^n/m^{n+1}) \quad n \geq 0.
\]

This function is called non-decreasing if \( HR_S(n) \geq HR_S(n-1) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). If \( \exists l \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( HR_S(l) > HR_S(l-1) \) then it is called decreasing at level \( l \). The Hilbert series of \( R_S \) is defined to be the generating function

\[
HS_{R_S}(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} HR_S(n)t^n.
\]

By the Hilbert-Serre theorem it can also be written as: \( HS_{R_S}(t) = \frac{P(t)}{(1-t)^d} = \frac{Q(t)}{(1-t)^{d-1}} \), where \( P(t) \) and \( Q(t) \) are polynomials with coefficients from \( \mathbb{Z} \) and \( d \) is the Krull dimension of \( R_S \). \( P(t) \) is called first Hilbert Series and \( Q(t) \) is called second Hilbert series, \([6] [14]\). It is also known that there is a polynomial \( P_{R_S}(n) \in \mathbb{Q}[n] \) called the Hilbert polynomial of \( R_S \) such that \( HR_S(n) = P_{R_S}(n) \) for all \( n \geq n_0 \), for some \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \). The smallest \( n_0 \) satisfying this condition is the regularity index of the Hilbert function of \( R_S \). A natural question is whether the Hilbert function of the local ring is non-decreasing. In general Cohen-Macaulayness of a one dimensional local ring does not guarantee the nondecreasingness of its Hilbert Function for embedding.
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dimensions greater than three. However, it is known that if the tangent cone is Cohen-Macaulay, then the Hilbert function of the local ring is non-decreasing. When the tangent cone is not Cohen-Macaulay, Hilbert function of the local ring may decrease at some level. Rossi’s conjecture states that “Hilbert function of a Gorenstein Local ring of dimension one is non-decreasing”. This conjecture is still open in embedding dimension 4 even for the monomial curves. It is known that the local ring corresponding to monomial curve is Gorenstein iff corresponding numerical semigroup is symmetric. Arslan and Mete in [1] proved the conjecture is true for monomial curves in affine 4-space with symmetric semigroups under the numerical condition “\(\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{21} + \alpha_{24}\)” on the generators of the semigroup by proving that the tangent cone is Cohen-Macaulay. The conjecture is open for local rings corresponding to 4-generated pseudo-symmetric semigroups. The main aim of this paper is to give a characterization to the Hilbert function of the local ring of some 4-generated pseudo-symmetric monomial curves. In [15], we showed the natural question is whether Rossi’s conjecture is even true for local rings corresponding to 4-generated pseudo-symmetric semigroups. The main aim of this paper is to give a characterization to the Hilbert functions of the local rings of some 4 generated pseudo-symmetric monomial curves. In [15], we showed that if \(\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{21} + 1\), then the tangent cone is Cohen-Macaulay, and hence, the Hilbert function of the local ring is non-decreasing. In this paper, we focus on the open case of 4-generated pseudo-symmetric monomial curves with \(\alpha_2 > \alpha_{21} + 1\). For the computation of the first Hilbert series of the tangent cone, a standard basis based computation with the algorithm in [4] will be used.

Recall from [10] that a 4-generated semigroup \(S = \langle n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \rangle\) is pseudo-symmetric if and only if there are integers \(\alpha_i > 1\), for \(1 \leq i \leq 4\), and \(\alpha_21 > 0\) with \(\alpha_{21} < \alpha_1 - 1\), such that

\[
\begin{align*}
n_1 &= \alpha_2 \alpha_3 (\alpha_4 - 1) + 1, \\
n_2 &= \alpha_{21} \alpha_3 \alpha_4 + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_{21} - 1)(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3, \\
n_3 &= \alpha_1 \alpha_4 + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_{21} - 1)(\alpha_4 - 1) - \alpha_4 + 1, \\
n_4 &= \alpha_1 \alpha_2 (\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_{21} (\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Then, the toric ideal is \(I_S = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5 \rangle\) with

\[
\begin{align*}
f_1 &= X_1^{\alpha_1} - X_3 X_4^{\alpha_4 - 1}, \\
f_2 &= X_3^{\alpha_2} - X_1^{\alpha_{21}} X_4, \\
f_3 &= X_3^{\alpha_3} - X_1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_{21} - 1} X_2, \\
f_4 &= X_4^{\alpha_4} - X_1 X_2^{\alpha_2 - 1} X_3^{\alpha_3 - 1}, \\
f_5 &= X_1^{\alpha_{21} + 1} X_3^{\alpha_3 - 1} - X_2 X_4^{\alpha_4 - 1}.
\end{align*}
\]

If \(n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4\) then it is known from [15] that

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad &\alpha_1 > \alpha_4 \\
(2) \quad &\alpha_3 < \alpha_1 - \alpha_{21} \\
(3) \quad &\alpha_4 < \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - 1
\end{align*}
\]

and these conditions completely determine the leading monomials of \(f_1, f_3\) and \(f_4\). Indeed, \(\text{LM}(f_1) = X_3 X_4^{\alpha_4 - 1}\) by (1), \(\text{LM}(f_3) = X_3^{\alpha_3}\) by (2), \(\text{LM}(f_4) = X_4^{\alpha_4}\) by (3). If we also let

\[
(4) \quad \alpha_2 > \alpha_{21} + 1
\]

then \(\text{LM}(f_2) = X_1^{\alpha_{21}} X_4\) by (4). To determine the leading monomial of \(f_5\) we need the following remark.

**Remark 1.1.** Let \(n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4\). Then (4) implies

\[
(5) \quad \alpha_{21} + \alpha_3 > \alpha_4
\]

**Proof.** Assume to the contrary \(\alpha_{21} + \alpha_3 \leq \alpha_4\). Then, \(\alpha_4 = \alpha_{21} + \alpha_3 + n, \quad (\ast)\), for some nonnegative \(n\). Then from (1), \(\alpha_1 = \alpha_{21} + \alpha_3 + n + m \quad (**))\), for some positive \(m\) and hence \(\alpha_2 = \alpha_{21} + 1 + k \quad (** \ast))\), for some positive \(k\) by (4). Then from \(n_1 < n_2\) we have:

\[
\alpha_2 \alpha_3 (\alpha_4 - 1) + 1 < \alpha_{21} \alpha_3 \alpha_4 + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_{21} - 1)(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3,
\]

Using (** \ast)), we have:
\[\alpha_{21}\alpha_3\alpha_4 + (1 + k)\alpha_3\alpha_4 - \alpha_3(\alpha_{21} + 1 + k) + 1 < \alpha_{21}\alpha_3\alpha_4 + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_{21} - 1)(\alpha_3 - 1)\alpha_3,\]
\[\alpha_3((1 + k)\alpha_4 - \alpha_{21} - 1 - k - \alpha_1 + \alpha_{21} + 1 - 1) + 1 < 1 + \alpha_{21} - \alpha_1.\]
Then from (**):
\[\alpha_3(m + k\alpha_4 - 1 - \alpha_1) < -\alpha_3 - n - m.\]
Using (*) and (**) again, we get:
\[\alpha_3(m + k\alpha_4 - k) < -n - m < 0.\]
As \(\alpha_3 > 0\), we have \(m + k(\alpha_4 - 1) < 0\) which is a contradiction as each term in the sum is positive.

\[\square\]

**Remark 1.2.** Let \(n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4\). Then (1) and (4) implies

(6) \(\alpha_1 + \alpha_{21} + 1 \geq \alpha_2 + \alpha_4\)

**Proof.** Assume to the contrary \(\alpha_1 + \alpha_{21} + 1 < \alpha_2 + \alpha_4\). We know from (1) and (4) that \(\alpha_1 = \alpha_4 + m \) and \(\alpha_2 = \alpha_{21} + 1 + k\), for some positive \(m \) and \(k\). Hence

\[\alpha_1 + \alpha_{21} + 1 < \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_4 + m + \alpha_{21} + 1 < \alpha_{21} + 1 + k + \alpha_4\]

\[\Rightarrow \quad m < k\]

On the other hand, from \(n_1 < n_2\) we have:
\[\alpha_2\alpha_3(\alpha_4 - 1) + 1 < \alpha_{21}\alpha_3\alpha_4 + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_{21} - 1)(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3.\]
From (1) and (4):
\[(\alpha_{21} + 1 + k)\alpha_3(\alpha_4 - 1) + 1 < \alpha_{21}\alpha_3\alpha_4 + (\alpha_4 - \alpha_{21} + m - 1)(\alpha_3 - 1) + \alpha_3.\]
Expanding this, we obtain \(k\alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_4 + m < \alpha_3(m + 1 + k) + \alpha_{21}\). Now as \(\alpha_3 + m = \alpha_1 \) and \(\alpha_{21} < \alpha_1\):
\[k\alpha_3\alpha_4 + \alpha_4 < \alpha_3(\alpha_4 + m + 1 + k) + \alpha_{21} \Rightarrow k\alpha_3\alpha_4 < \alpha_3(\alpha_4 + m + 1 + k) \Rightarrow k\alpha_4 < m + k + 1 \Rightarrow k(\alpha_4 - 1) < m + 1 < k + 1\]
which is a contradiction as \(\alpha_4 - 1 \geq 1\). Hence, \(\alpha_1 + \alpha_{21} + 1 \geq \alpha_2 + \alpha_4\).

\[\square\]

Being able to determine the leading monomials of the generators of \(I_S\) in the open case, lots of different possibilities must be considered for the leading monomials of the \(s\)-polynomials appearing in standard bases computation. Unfortunately, there is not a general form for the standard basis if \(\alpha_2 > \alpha_{21} + 1\) contrary to the case \(\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{21} + 1\). Though there are five elements in minimal standard basis of \(I_S\) if \(\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{21} + 1\) (see [15]), the number of elements in the standard basis increases as \(\alpha_4\) increases if \(\alpha_2 > \alpha_{21} + 1\).

**Example 1.3.** The following examples are done using SINGULAR, see [7].

- Standard basis for \(\alpha_{21} = 8, \alpha_1 = 16, \alpha_2 = 20, \alpha_3 = 7, \alpha_4 = 2\) is \(\{X_3^{16} - X_3X_4, X_2^{20} - X_1^8X_4, X_3^7 - X_1^7X_2, X_4^2 - X_1X_9^9X_3, X_9^9X_3 - X_2X_4, X_1^{24} - X_2^{20}X_3, X_1^{17}X_3^6 - X_2^{21}\}\)
- Standard basis for \(\alpha_{21} = 2, \alpha_1 = 9, \alpha_2 = 5, \alpha_3 = 3, \alpha_4 = 3\) is \(\{X_3^9 - X_3^2X_2, X_1^7X_4 - X_5^5, X_2X_4^2 - X_3X_4^2 - X_3^2X_4^2, X_3X_4^2 - X_1X_2^2X_3^2, X_5^9X_3^2 - X_6X_4, X_5^9X_3^2 - X_6X_4, X_5^9X_3 - X_4^3, X_5^9X_3 - X_4^3\}\)
- Standard basis for \(\alpha_{21} = 8, \alpha_1 = 16, \alpha_2 = 11, \alpha_3 = 3, \alpha_4 = 5\) is \(\{X_3^9 - X_3^2X_2, X_2X_4^2 - X_3X_4^2 - X_1^6, X_3^9 - X_1X_2^10X_3^3, X_8^9X_4 - X_1^11, X_2^12X_4^3 - X_1^17X_3^2, X_2^11X_3X_4^3 - X_1^24, X_2^23X_4^2 - X_1^25X_3^2, X_2^22X_3X_4^2 - X_1^32, X_1^33X_4^2 - X_2^34X_4, X_3^33X_4 - X_1^40, X_2^34X_3^3 - X_1^48, X_4^78X_4 - X_1^81X_3, X_8^9 - X_1^89X_3\}\)

Hence, we focus on the case when \(\alpha_4 = 2\)

## 2. Standard bases

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \(S = (n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)\) be a \(4\)-generated pseudosymmetric numerical semigroup with \(n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4\) and \(\alpha_2 > \alpha_{21} + 1\). If \(\alpha_4 = 2\) and \(k\) is the smallest positive integer such that \(k(\alpha_2 + 1) < (k - 1)\alpha_1 + (k + 1)\alpha_{21} + \alpha_3\) then the standard basis for \(I_S\) is

\[\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, \ldots, f_{6+k}\}\]

where \(f_6 = X_1^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_{21} - X_2^2X_3}\) and \(f_{6+j} = X_1^{(j-1)(\alpha_1 + (j+1)\alpha_{21} + \alpha_3 - j - X_2^{\alpha_2 + 1}}\) for \(j = 1, 2, ..., k\).
Proof. We will prove the theorem using induction on $k$ and apply standard basis algorithm with NFMora as the normal form algorithm, see [3]. Here $T_h$ denotes the set $\{g \in G : \text{LM}(g) | \text{LM}(h)\}$ and $\text{ecart}(h)$ is $\text{deg}(h) - \text{deg}(\text{LM}(h))$. Note that $\text{LM}(f_6) = X_2^{\alpha_2}X_3$ by (6).

For $k = 1$.

In this case $f_2 = X_1^{2\alpha_2+1}X_3^{\alpha_3-1} - X_2^{\alpha_2+1}$ and $\alpha_2 + 1 < 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ which implies that $\text{LM}(f_7) = X_2^{\alpha_2+1}$. We need to show that $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_m, f_n)) = 0$ for all $m, n$ with $1 \leq m < n \leq 7$.

- $\text{spoly}(f_1, f_2) = f_6$ and hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_2)) = 0$.
- $\text{spoly}(f_1, f_3) = X_1^{\alpha_1}X_3^{\alpha_3-1} - X_1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-1}X_2X_4$ and $\text{LM}(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_3)) = X_1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-1}X_2X_4$ by (5). Let $h_1 = \text{spoly}(f_1, f_3)$. If $\alpha_1 < 2\alpha_2 + 1$ or $2\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 \leq \alpha_2 + 1$ then $T_{h_1} = \{f_5\}$ and since $\text{spoly}(h_1, g) = 0$, $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_3)) = 0$. Otherwise $T_{h_1} = \{f_2\}$ and $\text{spoly}(h_1, f_2) = X_1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-1}X_2^{\alpha_2+1} - X_1^{\alpha_1}X_3^{\alpha_3-1}$. Set $h_2 = \text{spoly}(h_1, f_2)$, $\text{LM}(h_2) = X_1^{\alpha_1-2\alpha_2-1}X_2^{\alpha_2+1}$ and $T_{h_2} = \{f_7\}$ and $\text{spoly}(h_2, f_7) = 0$, hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_3)) = 0$.
- $\text{spoly}(f_1, f_4) = X_1^{\alpha_1}X_4 - X_1X_2^{\alpha_2-1}X_3^{\alpha_2}$. Set $h_1 = \text{spoly}(f_1, f_4)$. If $\text{LM}(h_1) = X_1^{\alpha_1}X_4$ then $T_{h_1} = \{f_2\}$ and $\text{spoly}(h_1, f_2) = X_1X_2^{\alpha_2-1}f_3$. If $\text{LM}(h_1) = X_1X_2^{\alpha_2-1}X_3^{\alpha_3}$ then $T_{h_1} = \{f_3\}$ and $\text{spoly}(h_1, f_3) = X_1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-1}f_2$. Hence in both cases, $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_4)) = 0$.
- $\text{spoly}(f_1, f_5) = X_1^{\alpha_1+1}X_3^{\alpha_3} - X_1^{\alpha_1}X_2^{\alpha_2+1}f_3$ hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_5)) = 0$.
- $\text{spoly}(f_1, f_6) = X_1^{\alpha_1}f_2$ and hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_6)) = 0$.
- $\text{spoly}(f_1, f_7) = X_1^{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+1}X_3^{\alpha_3-2} - X_2^{\alpha_2+1}X_4$ if $\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_2 < \alpha_2 + 1$. Set $h_1 = \text{spoly}(f_1, f_7)$. Using (6) and the fact that $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 > 2$, we can conclude that $\text{LM}(h_1) = X_2^{\alpha_2+1}X_4$ and $T_{h_1} = \{f_5\}$ then $\text{spoly}(h_1, f_5) = X_1^{\alpha_1+1}X_3^{\alpha_3-2}f_6$ and hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_7)) = 0$.
- $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_7)) = 0$ if $\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_2 > \alpha_2 + 1$, as $\text{LM}(f_1)$ and $\text{LM}(f_7)$ are relatively prime.
- $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_2, f_3)) = 0$ as $\text{LM}(f_2)$ and $\text{LM}(f_3)$ are relatively prime.
- $\text{spoly}(f_2, f_4) = X_2^{\alpha_2-1}f_5$ and hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_2, f_4)) = 0$.
- $\text{spoly}(f_2, f_5) = f_7$ and hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_2, f_5)) = 0$.
- $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_2, f_6)) = 0$ as $\text{LM}(f_2)$ and $\text{LM}(f_6)$ are relatively prime.
- $\text{spoly}(f_2, f_7) = X_2^{\alpha_2}f_5$ if $2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < \alpha_2 + 1$. Otherwise $\text{LM}(f_2)$ and $\text{LM}(f_7)$ are relatively prime. Hence, in both cases $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_2, f_7)) = 0$.
- $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_3, f_4)) = 0$ as $\text{LM}(f_3)$ and $\text{LM}(f_4)$ are relatively prime.
- $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_3, f_5)) = 0$ as $\text{LM}(f_3)$ and $\text{LM}(f_4)$ are relatively prime.
- $\text{spoly}(f_3, f_6) = X_1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-1}f_6$ and hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_3, f_6)) = 0$.
- $\text{spoly}(f_3, f_7) = X_2f_6$ if $2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < \alpha_2 + 1$. Otherwise $\text{LM}(f_3)$ and $\text{LM}(f_7)$ are relatively prime. Hence, in both cases $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_3, f_7)) = 0$.
- $\text{spoly}(f_4, f_5) = X_1X_3^{\alpha_3-1}f_2$ and hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_4, f_5)) = 0$.
- $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_4, f_6)) = 0$ as $\text{LM}(f_4)$ and $\text{LM}(f_6)$ are relatively prime.
- $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_4, f_7)) = 0$ as $\text{LM}(f_4)$ and $\text{LM}(f_7)$ are relatively prime.
- $\text{spoly}(f_5, f_6) = X_1^{\alpha_1+1}X_2^{\alpha_2-1}X_4^{\alpha_3-1}X_3^{\alpha_3+\alpha_2-1}X_4$ and let $h_1 = \text{spoly}(f_5, f_6)$. If $\text{LM}(h_1) = X_1^{\alpha_1+1}X_2^{\alpha_2-1}X_3^{\alpha_3}$ then $T_{h_1} = \{f_3\}$ and $\text{spoly}(h_1, f_3) = X_1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-1}f_2$ and hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_5, f_6)) = 0$. If $\text{LM}(h_1) = X_1^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1}X_4$ then $T_{h_1} = \{f_2\}$ and $\text{spoly}(h_1, f_2) = X_2^{\alpha_2-1}f_3$ and hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_5, f_6)) = 0$.
- $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_5, f_7)) = 0$ if $\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_2 < \alpha_2 + 1$, as $\text{LM}(f_5)$ and $\text{LM}(f_7)$ are relatively prime. Otherwise $\text{spoly}(f_5, f_7) = X_1^{\alpha_1+1}X_3^{\alpha_3-1}f_2$ and hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_5, f_7)) = 0$.
- $\text{spoly}(f_6, f_7) = f_8$ if $\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_2 < \alpha_2 + 1$ and hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_6, f_7)) = 0$. Otherwise $\text{spoly}(f_6, f_7) = X_1^{\alpha_2+1}f_3$ and hence $\text{NF}(\text{spoly}(f_6, f_7)) = 0$.

Assume the statement is true for $k < l$. 


If \( k \) is the smallest positive integer such that \( (\alpha_2 + 1) < (k - 1)\alpha_1 + (k + 1)\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \) then the standard basis for \( I_S \)

\[
\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, \ldots, f_{6+k}\}
\]

where \( f_6 = X_1^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} - X_2^{\alpha_2}X_3 \) and \( f_{6+j} = X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+(j+1)\alpha_2+1}X_3^{\alpha_3-j} - X_2^{\alpha_2+1} \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, k \).

For \( k = l \):

Now let \( l \) be the smallest positive integer such that \( l(\alpha_2 + 1) < (l - 1)\alpha_1 + (l + 1)\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \). Note that for any \( j < l, j(\alpha_2 + 1) \geq (j - 1)\alpha_1 + (j + 1)\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \) and \( \text{LM}(f_{6+j}) = X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+(j+1)\alpha_2+1}X_3^{\alpha_3-j} \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, l-1 \) and \( \text{LM}(f_{6+l}) = X_2^{\alpha_2+1} \). Note also that \( NF(\text{spoly}(f_m, f_n)) = 0 \) for \( 1 \leq m < n \leq 6 \) from the basis step since \( \text{LM}(f_6) \) is not involved in calculations. Hence it is enough to prove \( NF(\text{spoly}(f_m, f_n)) = 0 \) for all other \( 1 \leq m < n \leq 6 + l \)

- \( \text{spoly}(f_1, f_{6+j}) = X_1^{\alpha_1+(j+1)\alpha_2}X_3^{\alpha_3-j-1} - X_2^{\alpha_2+1}X_4 = h_1 \). \( \text{LM}(h_1) = X_2^{\alpha_2+1}X_4 \) by (5) and (6) \( T_{h_1} = \{f_3\} \). \( \text{spoly}(h_1, f_3) = X_2^{\alpha_2+1}X_3^{\alpha_3-1} - X_1^{(j+1)\alpha_1+(j+1)\alpha_2+1}X_3^{\alpha_3-j-1} = h_2 \) and \( \text{LM}(h_2) = X_1^{(j+1)\alpha_1+(j+1)\alpha_2+1}X_3^{\alpha_3-j-1} \). \( T_{h_2} = \{f_6\} \) and \( \text{spoly}(h_2, f_6) = X_1^{\alpha_1+(j+1)\alpha_2}X_2^{\alpha_3-2} - X_1^{(j+1)\alpha_1+(j+1)\alpha_2+1}X_3^{\alpha_3-j-1} = h_3 \). \( \text{LM}(h_3) = X_1^{(j+1)\alpha_1+(j+1)\alpha_2}X_2^{\alpha_3-2} \). \( T_{h_3} = \{f_6\} \) and continuing inductively, \( h_{j+1} = f_6 \). Hence, \( NF(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_{6+j})) = 0 \)

- \( \text{spoly}(f_2, f_{6+j}) = X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1}X_2^{\alpha_3-j-1} - X_2^{\alpha_2+1}X_4 = h_1 \). \( \text{LM}(h_1) = X_2^{\alpha_2+1}X_4 \) by (5) and (6) \( T_{h_1} = \{f_3\} \). \( \text{spoly}(h_1, f_3) = X_2^{\alpha_2+1}X_3^{\alpha_3-1} - X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1}X_2^{\alpha_3-2} \). \( T_{h_2} = \{f_6\} \) and \( \text{spoly}(h_2, f_6) = X_1^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}X_2^{\alpha_3-2} - X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1}X_2^{\alpha_3-2} = h_3 \). \( \text{LM}(h_3) = X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1}X_2^{\alpha_3-2} \). \( T_{h_3} = \{f_6\} \) and continuing inductively, \( h_{j+1} = f_6 \). Hence, \( NF(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_{6+j})) = 0 \)

- \( \text{spoly}(f_3, f_{6+j}) = X_1^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}X_2 - X_2^{\alpha_2+1}X_3 = h_1 \). \( \text{LM}(h_1) = X_2^{\alpha_2+1}X_3 \) by (5) and (6) \( T_{h_1} = \{f_3\} \). \( \text{spoly}(h_1, f_3) = X_1^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}X_2^{\alpha_3-2} - X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1}X_2^{\alpha_3-2} = h_2 \). \( \text{LM}(h_2) = X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1}X_2^{\alpha_3-2} \). \( T_{h_2} = \{f_6\} \) and \( \text{spoly}(h_2, f_6) = X_1^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}X_2^{\alpha_3-2} - X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1}X_2^{\alpha_3-2} = h_3 \). \( \text{LM}(h_3) = X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1}X_2^{\alpha_3-2} \). \( T_{h_3} = \{f_6\} \) and continuing inductively, \( h_{j+1} = f_6 \). Hence, \( NF(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_{6+j})) = 0 \)

- \( \text{spoly}(f_4, f_{6+j}) = X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+(j-1)\alpha_2}X_2^{\alpha_2}X_3^{\alpha_3-j-1} - X_3^{j+1} = h_1 \). \( \text{LM}(h_1) = X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+(j-1)\alpha_2}X_2^{\alpha_2}X_3^{\alpha_3-j-1} - X_3^{j+1} = h_2 \). \( \text{LM}(h_2) = X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+(j-1)\alpha_2}X_2^{\alpha_2}X_3^{\alpha_3-j-1} \). \( T_{h_2} = \{f_6\} \) and \( \text{spoly}(h_2, f_6) = X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+(j-1)\alpha_2}X_2^{\alpha_2}X_3^{\alpha_3-j-1} - X_3^{j+1} \). \( \text{LM}(h_3) = X_1^{(j-1)\alpha_1+(j-1)\alpha_2}X_2^{\alpha_2}X_3^{\alpha_3-j-1} \). \( T_{h_3} = \{f_6\} \) and continuing inductively, \( h_{j+1} = f_6 \). Hence, \( NF(\text{spoly}(f_1, f_{6+j})) = 0 \)

- \( \text{spoly}(f_5, f_{6+j}) = f_{6+j+1} \). \( \text{spoly}(f,m) \) and \( \text{LM}(f_{6+j}) \) are relatively prime for all \( 1 \leq j < l \)

- \( \text{spoly}(f_{6+i}, f_{6+j}) = f_{6+i+1} \). \( \text{spoly}(f,m) \) and \( \text{LM}(f_{6+i}) \) are relatively prime for all \( 1 \leq i < j \)

- \( \text{spoly}(f_{6+i}, f_{6+j}) = f_{6+i+1} \). \( \text{spoly}(f,m) \) and \( \text{LM}(f_{6+i}) \) are relatively prime for all \( 1 \leq i < j \)

- \( \text{spoly}(f_{6+i}, f_{6+j}) = f_{6+i+1} \). \( \text{spoly}(f,m) \) and \( \text{LM}(f_{6+i}) \) are relatively prime for all \( 1 \leq i < j \)

- \( \text{spoly}(f_{6+i}, f_{6+j}) = f_{6+i+1} \). \( \text{spoly}(f,m) \) and \( \text{LM}(f_{6+i}) \) are relatively prime for all \( 1 \leq i < j \)

- \( \text{spoly}(f_{6+i}, f_{6+j}) = f_{6+i+1} \). \( \text{spoly}(f,m) \) and \( \text{LM}(f_{6+i}) \) are relatively prime for all \( 1 \leq i < j \)
Proof. \( h_1 \) = \( f_1, f_2 \) and ecart(\( f_2 \)) is minimal by (6). \( \text{spoly}(h_1, f_2) = X_i^{(l-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1)} X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3^{\alpha_3-1} f_6 \) and hence \( NF(\text{spoly}(f_5, f_{6+i}))(G) = 0 \)
Otherwise \( T_{h_2} = \{ f_1, f_2 \} \) and ecart(\( f_2 \)) is minimal by (6). \( \text{spoly}(h_1, f_2) = X_i^{(l-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1)} X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3^{\alpha_3-1} \) = \( h_2 \) and LM(\( h_2 \)) = \( X_i^{(l-1)} X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3^{\alpha_3-1} - X_1^{\alpha_1+1} X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3^{\alpha_3-1} \) by (6). Since \( T_{h_2} = \{ f_6 \} \), we compute \( \text{spoly}(h_2, f_6) = X_i^{(l-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1)} X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3^{\alpha_3-1} - X_1^{\alpha_1+1} X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3^{\alpha_3-1} \) = \( h_3 \), \( T_{h_3} = \{ f_6 \} \). Continuing inductively, \( h_j = \text{spoly}(h_j-1, f_6, f_6) = X_i^{(l-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1)} X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3^{\alpha_3-1} - X_1^{(l-1)} X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3^{\alpha_3-1} - X_1^{(l-2)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2+1)} X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3^{\alpha_3-1} f_6 \) and hence \( NF(\text{spoly}(f_5, f_{6+i}))(G) = 0 \).

Since all normal forms reduce to zero, \( \{ f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, \ldots, f_{6+k} \} \) is a standard basis for \( I_C \).

Corollary 2.2. \( \{ f_1^*, f_2^*, \ldots, f_6^*, \ldots, f_{6+k}^* \} \) is a standard basis for \( I_C^* \) where \( f_1^* = X_3 X_4, f_2^* = X_1^{(l-1)} X_2^{\alpha_2+1} X_3^{\alpha_3-j} \) for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1 \). Since \( X_1|f_2^* \), the tangent cone is not Cohen-Macaulay by the criterion given in [2] as expected.

3. HILBERT FUNCTION

Theorem 3.1. The numerator of the Hilbert series of the local ring \( R_3 \) is

\[
P(I_S^*) = 1 - 3t^2 + 3t^3 - t^4 - t^{a_2+1}(1 - t)^3 - t^{a_3}(1 - t) - t^{a_2+1}(1 - t)(1 - t^{a_3-1}) - t^{a_2+1}(1 - t)^2 - r(t)
\]

where \( r(t) = 0 \) if \( k = 1 \) and \( r(t) = \sum_{j=2}^{k} (t^j \cdot \alpha_1 + (k-j+1) \cdot \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - k+1)(1 - t)^2(1 - t^a) \) otherwise.

Proof. To compute the Hilbert series, we will use Algorithm 2.6 of [3] that is formed by continuous use of the proposition

"If \( I \) is a monomial ideal with \( I = \langle J, w \rangle \), then the numerator of the Hilbert series of \( A/I \) is \( P(I) = h(J) - t^{\deg w} h(J : w) \) where \( w \) is a monomial and \( \deg w \) is the total degree of \( w \)."

Let \( P(I_S^*) \) denote the numerator of the Hilbert series of \( A/I_S^* \)

- Let \( w_1 = X_2^{\alpha_2+1} \), then \( J_1 = \langle X_3 X_4, X_1^{\alpha_1} X_4, X_3^{\alpha_3}, X_2, X_2 X_4, X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3, X_1^{2\alpha_2+1} X_3^{\alpha_3-1}, \ldots, X_1^{(k-3)\alpha_1+1} \rangle \),

\[
P(I_S^*) = P(J_1) - t^{\alpha_2+1} P(\langle X_4, X_3 \rangle) = P(J_1) - t^{\alpha_2+1}(1 - t)^2
\]

If \( k = 1 \) then \( w_2 = w_{k+1} \), otherwise:

- Let \( w_2 = X_1^{(k-2)\alpha_1+1} X_3^{\alpha_2-1} \), then \( J_2 = \langle X_3 X_4, X_1^{(k-2)\alpha_1+1} X_3^{\alpha_3-2}, X_2, X_2 X_4, X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3, X_1^{2\alpha_2+1} X_3^{\alpha_3-1}, \ldots, X_1^{(k-3)\alpha_1+1} \rangle \),

\[
P(J_1) = P(J_2) - t^{(k-2)\alpha_1+1+\alpha_3-1}(1 - t)^2(1 - t^{\alpha_2})
\]

- Continue inductively and let \( w_k = X_1^{2\alpha_2+1} X_3^{\alpha_3-1} \), then \( J_k = \langle X_3 X_4, X_1^{(k-1)\alpha_1+1} X_3^{\alpha_3-2}, X_2, X_2 X_4, X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3 \rangle \),

\[
P(J_{k-1}) = P(J_k) - t^{2\alpha_2+1+\alpha_3} P(\langle X_4, X_2^{\alpha_2}, X_3 \rangle) = P(J_k) - t^{2\alpha_2+1+\alpha_3-1}(1 - t)^2(1 - t^{\alpha_2})
\]

- Let \( w_{k+1} = X_2^{\alpha_2} X_3 \), then \( J_{k+1} = \langle X_3 X_4, X_1^{\alpha_2} X_4, X_3^{\alpha_3}, X_4, X_2 X_4 \rangle \),

\[
P(J_k) = P(J_{k+1}) - t^{\alpha_2+1} P(\langle X_4, X_3^{\alpha_3-1} \rangle) = P(J_{k+1}) - t^{\alpha_2+1}(1 - t)(1 - t^{\alpha_3-1})
\]
• Let \( w_{k+2} = X_3^{k+3} \), then
\[
J_{k+2} = \langle X_3 X_4, X_1^{k+1} X_4, X_1^2 X_4, X_2 X_4 \rangle,
\]
\[
P(J_{k+1}) = P(J_{k+2}) - t^{k+3} P(< X_4 >) = P(J_{k+2}) - t^{k+3}(1-t)
\]
• Let \( w_{k+3} = X_1^{k+2} X_4 \), then
\[
J_{k+3} = \langle X_3 X_4, X_4^2, X_2 X_4 \rangle
\]
\[
P(J_{k+2}) = P(J_{k+3}) - t^{k+2+1} h(< X_3, X_4, X_2 >) = P(J_{k+3}) - t^{k+2+1}(1-t)^3
\]
• Let \( w_{k+4} = X_2 X_4 \), then
\[
J_{k+4} = \langle X_3 X_4, X_4^2 \rangle
\]
\[
P(J_{k+3}) = P(J_{k+4}) - t^2 P(< X_3, X_4 >) = P(J_{k+4}) - t^2(1-t)^2
\]
• Let \( w_{k+5} = X_4^2 \), then
\[
J_{k+5} = \langle X_3 X_4 \rangle
\]
\[
P(J_{k+4}) = P(J_{k+5}) - t^2 P(< X_3 >) = (1-t^2) - t^2(1-t)
\]
Hence, \( P(I_S^2) = (1-t^2) - t^2(1-t) - t^2(1-t)^2 - t^{k+2+1}(1-t)^3 - t^{k+2+1}(1-t)(1-t^{k+3-1}) - t^{k+2+1}(1-t)^2 - r(t) \) where \( r(t) = 0 \) if \( k = 1 \) and \( r(t) = \sum_{j=2}^{k} t^{(k-j)\alpha_1 + (k-j+2)\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + j - k}(1-t)(1-t^2) \) if \( k > 1 \)

Clearly, since the krull dimension is one, if there are no negative terms in second Hilbert Series, then the Hilbert function will be non-decreasing. We can state and prove the next theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** The local ring \( R_S \) has a non-decreasing Hilbert function if \( k = 1 \).

**Proof.** Observe that
\[
P(I_S^2) = (1-t)P_1(t)
\]
with \( P_1(t) = 1+t-t^2 - t^{k+1}(1-t) - t^{k+2}(1-t)^2 - t^{k+3}(1-t) - t^{k+4}(1-t)^3 - t^{k+4}(1-t)(1-t^{k+3-1}) - t^{k+4}(1-t)^2 - r_1(t) \) where \( r_1(t) = 0 \) if \( k = 1 \) and \( r_1(t) = \sum_{j=2}^{k} t^{(k-j)\alpha_1 + (k-j+2)\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + j - k}(1-t)^2(1-t^2) \) if \( k > 1 \).

\[
P_1(t) = (1-t)P_2(t)
\]
with \( P_2(t) = 1+t + t(1+t + ... + t^{\alpha_3-2}) - t^2 - t^{k+1}(1-t) - t^{k+2}(1-t) - t^{k+3}(1+t + ... + t^{\alpha_3-2}) - t^{k+3}(1-t) - r_2(t) \) where \( r_2(t) = 0 \) if \( k = 1 \) and \( r_2(t) = \sum_{j=2}^{k} t^{(k-j)\alpha_1 + (k-j+2)\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + j - k}(1-t^{\alpha_2}) \) if \( k > 1 \). Combining some terms, \( P_2(t) = 1-t^2 + t(1-t^{k+2}) + t(1-t^{\alpha_2})(1+t + ... + t^{\alpha_3-2}) - t^{k+2+1}(1-t)^2 - r_2(t) \) which shows that,
\[
P_2(t) = (1-t)Q(t)
\]
with
\[
Q(t) = 1+t + t(1+t + ... + t^{k+2}) + t(1+t + ... + t^{\alpha_2})(1+t + ... + t^{\alpha_3-2}) - t^{k+2+1} + r_3(t)
\]
where \( r_3(t) = 0 \) if \( k = 1 \) and \( r_3(t) = \sum_{j=2}^{k} t^{(k-j)\alpha_1 + (k-j+2)\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + j - k}(1+t + ... + t^{\alpha_2}) \) if \( k > 1 \). Then \( HS_R(t) = \frac{P(t)}{1-t} = \frac{Q(t)}{1-t} \), and \( Q(t) = 1+t + t(1+t + ... + t^{k+2}) + t(1+t + ... + t^{\alpha_2})(1+t + ... + t^{\alpha_3-2}) - t^{k+2+1} + r_3(t) \) is the second Hilbert series of the local ring. Note that \( \alpha_2 > \alpha_2 + 1 \) and \( -t^{k+2+1} \) disappear when we expand \( Q(t) \). Hence for \( k = 1 \), there are no negative coefficients in the second Hilbert series, the Hilbert series in non-decreasing.

**Remark 3.3.** For \( k > 1 \),
\[
Q(t) = 1+t - t^{k+2+1} + (1+t + ... + t^{\alpha_2})(1+t + ... + t^{\alpha_3-2}) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} S_j(t)
\]
where $S_j(t) = t^{(j)\alpha_2 + 1} - t^{(j-1)\alpha_1 + (j+1)\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 1 - j}$. Recall that that $k$ is the smallest positive integer such that $k(\alpha_2 + 1) < (k-1)\alpha_1 + (k+1)\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ hence for any $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, we have $j(\alpha_2 + 1) \geq (j-1)\alpha_1 + (j+1)\alpha_2 + \alpha_3$, which means $S_j(t) \geq 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

4. Examples

Above examples are done via the computer algebra system SINGULAR, [7].

Example 4.1. For $\alpha_2 = 8, \alpha_1 = 16, \alpha_2 = 20, \alpha_3 = 7, \alpha_4 = 2, k = 1$ and the corresponding standard basis is \{ $f_1 = X_1^{16} - X_3X_4, f_2 = X_2^{20} - X_3^4X_4, f_3 = X_3^7 - X_2X_4, f_4 = X_4^2 - X_1X_2^{19}X_3^6, f_5 = X_1^9X_4^6 - X_1X_2^4 - X_2^2X_3, f_6 = X_4^{14} - X_2^2X_3, f_7 = X_1^7X_3^6 - X_2^{21}$. \} Numerator of the Hilbert series of the tangent cone is $P(I_2^5) = 1 - 3t^2 + 3t^3 - t^4 - t^7 + t^8 - t^9 + 3t^{10} - 3t^{11} + t^{12} - 2t^{21} + 3t^{22} - t^{23} + t^{27} - t^{28}$. Direct computation shows that the Hilbert series is non-decreasing.

Example 4.2. For $\alpha_2 = 4, \alpha_1 = 22, \alpha_2 = 13, \alpha_3 = 5, \alpha_4 = 2, k = 2$ and the corresponding standard basis is \{ $f_1 = X_1^{22} - X_3X_4, f_2 = X_2^{23} - X_1^4X_4, f_3 = X_3^8 - X_2^7X_4, f_4 = X_4^2 - X_1X_2^{12}X_3, f_5 = X_1^5X_4 - X_1X_2X_4, f_6 = X_4^{16} - X_2X_3, f_7 = X_1^9X_3^4 - X_2^{14}, f_8 = X_1^{18}X_3^2 - X_2^5, f_9 = X_1^{25}X_3 - X_2^7$. \} Numerator of the Hilbert series of the tangent cone is $P(I_2^5) = 1 - 3t^2 + 3t^3 - t^4 - 2t^5 + 4t^6 - 3t^7 + t^8 + t^{13} + t^{14} + t^{18} + t^{19} + t^{26} - 3t^{27} + 3t^{28} - t^{29}$. Direct computation shows that the Hilbert series is non-decreasing.

Example 4.3. For $\alpha_2 = 10, \alpha_1 = 17, \alpha_2 = 25, \alpha_3 = 4, \alpha_4 = 2, k = 3$ and the corresponding standard basis is \{ $f_1 = X_1^{17} - X_3X_4, f_2 = X_2^{25} - X_1^{10}X_4, f_3 = X_4 - X_1X_2^{11}X_3, f_4 = X_4^2 - X_1X_2^{14}X_3, f_5 = X_1^6X_3 - X_2X_4, f_6 = X_1^{27} - X_2^{25}X_3, f_7 = X_2^{26} - X_1^2X_3^3, f_8 = X_1^{18}X_3^2 - X_2^5, f_9 = X_1^{25}X_3 - X_2^7$. \} Numerator of the Hilbert series of the tangent cone is $P(I_2^5) = 1 - 3t^2 + 3t^3 - 2t^4 + 4t^5 - 3t^7 + 3t^8 - t^{13} - t^{14} + t^{18} + t^{19} + t^{26} - 3t^{27} + 3t^{28} - t^{29}$. Direct computation shows that the Hilbert series is non-decreasing.

Example 4.4. For $\alpha_2 = 3, \alpha_1 = 13, \alpha_2 = 14, \alpha_3 = 6, \alpha_4 = 2, k = 4$ and the corresponding standard basis becomes \{ $f_1 = X_1^{13} - X_3X_4, f_2 = X_2^{14} - X_1^4X_4, f_3 = X_3^8 - X_2X_4, f_4 = X_4^2 - X_1X_2^{13}X_3, f_5 = X_1^4X_3^2 - X_2X_4, f_6 = X_1^{16} - X_1^{14}X_3, f_7 = X_3^7X_3^5 - X_1^{12}, f_8 = X_1^6X_3^4 - X_2^8, f_9 = X_1^9X_3^3 - X_2^{15}, f_{10} = X_1^{55}X_3^2 - X_2^5$. \} Numerator of the Hilbert series of the tangent cone is $P(I_2^5) = 1 - 3t^2 + 3t^3 - 2t^4 + 3t^5 + 4t^6 + 2t^7 + t^{12} + 2t^3 - t^{14} + t^{15} + t^{20} - t^{21} + t^{26} - 3t^{27} + 3t^{28} - t^{29} + t^{41} + 3t^{42} + 3t^{43} + t^{44} + t^{56} - 3t^{57} + 3t^{58} - t^{59}$. Direct computation shows that the Hilbert series is non-decreasing.
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