

Self-consistent two-gap approach in studying multi-band superconductivity in $\text{NdFeAsO}_{0.65}\text{F}_{0.35}$

Ritu Gupta,¹ Alexander Maisuradze,^{1,2} Nikolai D. Zhigadlo,^{3,4,5}
Hubertus Luetkens,¹ Alex Amato,¹ and Rustem Khasanov^{1,*}

¹Laboratory for Muon Spin Spectroscopy, Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

²Department of Physics, Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze 3, GE-0128 Tbilisi, Georgia

³Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Bern, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland

⁴Laboratory for Solid State Physics, ETH Zurich, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

⁵CrystMat Company, CH-8046 Zurich, Switzerland

(Dated: March 8, 2022)

High-quality single crystals of $\text{NdFeAsO}_{0.65}\text{F}_{0.35}$ (the superconducting transition temperature $T_c \simeq 30.6$ K) were studied in zero-field (ZF) and transverse-field (TF) muon-spin rotation/relaxation (μSR) experiments. An upturn in muon-spin depolarization rate at $T \lesssim 3$ K was observed in ZF- μSR measurements and it was associated with the onset of ordering of Nd electronic moments. Measurements of the magnetic field penetration depth (λ) were performed in the TF geometry. By applying the external magnetic field B_{ex} parallel to the crystallographic c -axis ($B_{\text{ex}} \parallel c$) and parallel to the ab -plane ($B_{\text{ex}} \parallel ab$), the temperature dependencies of the in-plane component (λ_{ab}^{-2}) and the combination of the in-plane and the out of plane components ($\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}$) of the superfluid density were determined, respectively. The out-of-plane superfluid density component (λ_c^{-2}) was further obtained by combining the results of $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel c$ and $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel ab$ set of experiments. The temperature dependencies of λ_{ab}^{-2} , $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}$, and λ_c^{-2} were analyzed within the framework of a self-consistent two-gap model despite of using the traditional α -model. Interband coupling was taken into account, instead of assuming it to be zero as it stated in the α -model. A relatively small value of the interband coupling constant $\Lambda_{12} \simeq 0.01$ was obtained, thus indicating that the energy bands in $\text{NdFeAsO}_{0.65}\text{F}_{0.35}$ are only weakly coupled. In spite of their small magnitude, the coupling between the bands leads to the single value of the superconducting transition temperature T_c . The penetration depth anisotropy $\gamma_\lambda = \lambda_c/\lambda_{ab}$ was found to increase upon cooling, consistent with most of Fe-based superconductors, and their behavior is attributed to the multi-band nature of superconductivity in $\text{NdFeAsO}_{0.65}\text{F}_{0.35}$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Iron-based superconductors (IBS's) remain a subject of intensive research due to a comparable large value of the transition temperature T_c . It reaches up to 55 K for the $R\text{FeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$ family (R corresponds to the lanthanides La, Sm, Ce, Nd, Pr, and Gd),¹⁻⁵ and approaches $T_c \simeq 100$ K in a single layer of FeSe on the SrTiO_3 substrate.⁶ Emergence of superconductivity at such high temperatures raises a puzzling question about the gap symmetry, which can further determine the pairing mechanism for the superconducting state.

The superconductivity in IBS's appears in close proximity to the magnetism offered by d -orbitals of Fe, hence one can expect the unconventional nature of the superconducting state. The electronic band structure calculations manifest that superconductivity in IBS's originates from multiple disconnected Fermi surface sheets derived from Fe d -orbitals, thus reflecting the possibility of a complex nature of the superconducting gap structure.^{7,8} There are already different scenarios proposed for the gap structure in IBS's including two-gap, s -wave, d -wave, isotropic, anisotropic, and surprisingly, p -type wave symmetry of the superconducting order parameter.⁹⁻¹⁸ Even after several years of discovery of IBS's, an unified picture of the gap structure is not reached, contradicting the case of cuprate high-temperature superconductors, where almost all superconducting families represent a nodal pair-

ing state (see *e.g.* Ref. 19 and references therein). In the context of conflicting results, there is still a need of comprehensive tools to understand the gap symmetry of IBS's. The magnetic penetration depth and its anisotropy carry important information about the low lying quasiparticles and hence can shed light on the gap structure of IBS's.

This paper presents a detailed muon-spin rotation/relaxation (μSR) investigations of high quality single crystals of $\text{NdFeAsO}_{0.65}\text{F}_{0.35}$ grown with high pressure and high temperature cubic anvil technique. Very few investigations were carried out in the direction of exploring the symmetry of order parameter for $\text{NdFeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$ (Nd-1111). As an example, a single gap without nodes at the Γ hole pocket was revealed through angle resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES),²⁰ a nodal type gap structure was concluded through the linear behavior of the lower critical field B_{c1} at low temperatures.²¹ A multi-band nature of superconductivity seems to be a more generic feature for Nd-1111 as most measurements point towards a two superconducting gaps without nodes as, *e.g.*, the magnetic penetration depth measured through Tunnel Diode Resonator (TDR) technique,²² ARPES,²³ the point contact Andreev reflection spectroscopy,^{24,25} conductance,²⁶ and B_{c1} measurements.²⁷ In most cases, however, the analysis of the multiple gap behavior was performed within the framework of a phenomenological α -model,²⁸⁻³⁷ which

assumes zero coupling between the energy bands. In fact, the zero-coupling requires that the temperature dependencies of the energy gaps, as well as the values of the superconducting transition temperatures, can not be identical and should vary from one to another energy band. Speaking in a broader way, there is a clear need of different set of data and an analysis taking into account the coupling between the bands. In the present paper we approached to a so-called self-consistent model,^{38–41} and used it in order to analyze the magnetic penetration depth data obtained in the TF- μ SR experiment on high-quality NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} single crystalline samples. Within our analysis, the energy bands with two different superconducting order parameters were assumed to be coupled and the gap equations were solved self-consistently by considering the presence of the 'inter-band' and 'intra-band' coupling strengths.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II the sample preparation procedure, the results of magnetization measurements and the details of μ SR experiments are briefly discussed. The experimental results obtained in zero-field (ZF) and transverse-field (TF) μ SR experiments are described in Sec. III: the subsection III A comprises studies of the magnetic response of NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35}, and the subsection III B describes the results of the field-shift experiments, as well as the measurements of the temperature dependencies of the magnetic field penetration depth. The self-consistent two-gap model and the temperature evolution of the penetration depth anisotropy are presented in Sec. IV. The conclusions follow in Sec. V.

II. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES

A. Sample Preparation

Bulk single crystals of NdFeAsO_{1-x}F_x with nominal fluorine content $x = 0.35$ were grown at $\simeq 3$ GPa and $\simeq 1450$ °C from NaAs/KAs flux by using the cubic anvil high-pressure and high-temperature technique. The detailed description of the sample preparation procedure is given in Ref. 42. The individual crystals obtained after the sample grow had a typical size of approximately 0.5x0.5x0.03 mm³.

B. Magnetization measurements

The magnetization measurements were carried out on a Quantum Design MPMS-5 system. Figure 1 shows the temperature variation of the normalized magnetic moment $[M(T)/M(T = 5 \text{ K})]$ measured simultaneously on about thirty NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} single crystals. These crystals were further used in μ SR experiments. The external field $B_{\text{ex}} = 0.5$ mT was applied parallel to the *ab*-plane of the crystals. Measurements were performed in the zero-field cooled (ZFC) mode. A sharp diamagnetic signal is seen across the superconducting transition,

which confirms the bulk nature of the superconductivity. The superconducting transition temperature $T_c \simeq 30.6$ K was determined from the cross point of the two lines extrapolated from the high temperature normal state and the low temperature superconducting state, respectively (see Fig. 1).




FIG. 1: The zero-field cooled magnetization curve $[M(T)$ curve] measured on about thirty NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} single crystals, which were further used in μ SR experiments. The external magnetic field $B_{\text{ex}} = 0.5$ mT was applied along the *ab*-plane of the crystals. The $M(T)$ data were normalized to their $M(T = 5 \text{ K})$ value. The superconducting transition temperature $T_c \simeq 30.6$ K was determined from the cross point of the two lines extrapolated from the high temperature normal state and the low temperature superconducting state, respectively.

C. Muon-spin rotation/relaxation experiments

Muon-spin rotation/relaxation (μ SR) measurements were carried out in a temperature range of 1.5 to 50 K at the GPS (General Purpose Surface) (π M3 beam line) and DOLLY (π E1 beam line) spectrometers at the Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI), Villigen, Switzerland. In this technique, 100% spin-polarized muons are implanted uniformly through the sample volume, where they decay with the lifetime of 2.2 μ s and the relevant decay positrons are detected successively. Muons act as sensitive magnetic probes. The spin of the muon precesses in the local magnetic field B_μ with a frequency $\omega_\mu = \gamma_\mu B_\mu$ (γ_μ is muon gyromagnetic ratio, $\gamma_\mu/2\pi = 135.53$ MHz/T). The detailed description of μ SR technique and its applications for studying the superconducting and magnetic samples can be found in Refs. 43–50.

A specific sample holder was designed in order to perform μ SR experiments on thin single crystals of NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35}. A mosaic of about 200 single crystals was sandwiched between two sheets made of several 0.125 nm thick Kapton layers.⁵¹ The first few Kapton layers decelerate the muons from incoming beam and served

the role of a degrader. The outgoing muons from the degrader were slow enough to stop inside the sample. The last few layers were used to stop the muons which still manage to pass through the sample. A schematic picture of the sample holder can be found in the Ref. 52. The data were analyzed using the free software package MUSRFIT, Ref. 53.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. The magnetic response of NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35}: ZF- μ SR experiments

The μ SR experiments in zero-field (ZF- μ SR) were performed in order to study the magnetic response of the NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} sample. In two sets of experiments the initial muon-spin polarization $P(0)$ was applied parallel to the c -axis and the ab -plane, respectively. Few representative muon-time spectra for $P(0)\parallel c$ and $P(0)\parallel ab$ orientations are shown in Figs. 2 (a) and (c).




FIG. 2: (a) ZF- μ SR time-spectra of NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} measured at $T = 1.6, 5, 10$, and 40 K with the initial muon-spin polarization $P(0)$ applied parallel to the crystallographic c -axis. (b) The temperature evolution of the exponential relaxation rate Λ obtained from the fit of Eq. 1 to $P(0)\parallel c$ set of data. (c) and (d) – the same as in panels (a) and (b), but for $P(0)\parallel ab$ set of experiments and $T = 2.0, 5, 10$, and 40 K.

The experimental data were analyzed by separating the μ SR response on the sample (s) and the background (bg) contributions:

$$A_0 P(t) = A_s P_s(t) + A_{bg} P_{bg}(t). \quad (1)$$

Here A_0 is the initial asymmetry of the muon-spin ensemble. A_s (A_{bg}) and $P_s(t)$ [$P_{bg}(t)$] are the asymmetry and the time evolution of the muon-spin polarization of the

sample (background), respectively. The background contribution accounts for muons missing the sample and/or stopped in Kapton layers.⁵²

In ZF- μ SR experiments the sample contribution was described by assuming the presence of the nuclear and the electronic magnetic moments:

$$P_s^{ZF}(t) = \left[\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} (1 - \sigma_{GKT}^2 t^2) e^{-\sigma_{GKT}^2 t^2 / 2} \right] e^{-\Lambda t}. \quad (2)$$

Here the term within the square brackets is the Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe function with the relaxation rate σ_{GKT} , which is generally used to describe the nuclear magnetic moment contribution in ZF- μ SR experiments (see, *e.g.*, Refs. 43–49, and references therein). The exponential term with the relaxation parameter Λ represents the contribution of randomly distributed magnetic impurities and/or disordered magnetic moments.^{35,54}

The temperature evolution of the exponential relaxation rate Λ for $P(0)\parallel c$ and $P(0)\parallel ab$ set of experiments are presented in panels (b) and (d) of Fig. 2. During fits the Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe relaxation σ_{GKT} entering Eq. 2 was assumed to be dependent on the orientation, but independent on temperature, respectively. From the data presented in Figs. 2 (b) and (d) two important points emerges:

- (i) No detectable change in the relaxation rates Λ is observed across the superconducting transition temperature, which rules out the possibility of any spontaneous magnetic field below T_c . This means that the time-reversal symmetry breaking is not an immanent feature of NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} studied here.
- (ii) An increase in Λ is seen below 3 K for both orientations, which is probably associated with the onset of ordering of Nd magnetic moments. A similar upturn was seen in measured frequency shift $[\delta f(T)]$ obtained by means of TDR technique and was explained with the ordering of the local magnetic moments of Nd below 4 K.²² Further evidence comes from the powder Neutron diffraction experiment, where below $\simeq 1.96$ K, a long range antiferromagnetic order was apparent and it was associated to the combined magnetic ordering of Fe and Nd magnetic moments in the parent compound NdFeAsO.⁵⁵

B. The superconducting response of NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35}: TF- μ SR experiments

1. The homogeneity of the superconducting state: field-shift experiments

The homogeneity of the superconducting state and the effects of the flux-line lattice (FLL) pinning were probed by performing series of field-shift experiments in the transverse-field (TF) geometry. The measurements were carried out with the external magnetic field B_{ex} applied parallel to the c -axis ($B_{ex}\parallel c$) and parallel to the ab -plane ($B_{ex}\parallel ab$), respectively. The sample was initially cooled in $B_{ex} \simeq 15$ mT to the desired temperature (1.6 K

for $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel c$ and 5 K for $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel ab$) where the first muon-time spectra were collected [red curves in Figs. 3 (a) and (c)]. Then, by keeping the temperature constant, the field was decreased down to 12 mT and a new 'field-shift' data sets were collected [black curves in Figs. 3 (a) and (c)]. The corresponding Fast Fourier transform of the TF- μ SR time-spectra, which reflects the internal field distribution $P(B)$ inside the sample, are shown in panels (b) and (d) of Fig. 3.




FIG. 3: (a) TF- μ SR time-spectra of NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} measured at $T = 1.6$ K with the external field B_{ex} applied parallel to the crystallographic c -axis. The TF- μ SR time-spectra denoted by red points correspond to the direct cooling at $B_{\text{ex}} = 15$ mT from T above T_c down to 1.6 K. The black data are obtained after a subsequent field decrease to 12 mT and without changing the temperature. (b) The Fast Fourier transform of the TF- μ SR time-spectra presented at the panel (a). (c) and (d) – the same as in panels (a) and (b), but for $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel ab$ and at $T = 5$ K.

The data presented in Fig. 3 (b) and (d) reveal that for both field orientations the main part of the signal, accounting for approximately 70% of the total signal amplitude, remains unchanged within the experimental accuracy. Only the symmetric sharp peak follows exactly the applied field. It is attributed, therefore, to the residual background signal from muons missing the sample (see also Ref. 54 where the μ SR field-shift experiments were initially introduced). The field-shift experiments clearly demonstrates that for both $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel c$ and $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel ab$ field orientations, the flux-line lattice in NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} sample is strongly pinned.

The field distribution caused entirely by the flux-line lattice was further obtained by subtracting the symmetric background peak. The corresponding $P(B)$'s are represented in Fig. 4 by blue curves. It is worth noting that, for both field orientation $P(B)$ distributions possess the basic features expected for an arranged flux-line lattice.




FIG. 4: The magnetic field distribution $P(B)$ caused by formation of the flux-line lattice in NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} sample in $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel c$ [panel (a)] and $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel ab$ [panel (b)] set of experiments. The blue curves are obtained by subtracting the symmetric background peak (see text for details).

The cutoff at low fields, the pronounced peak at the intermediate field and the long tail in the high field directions are clearly visible.

2. Analysis of $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel c$ and $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel ab$ set of TF- μ SR data

The distribution of the internal magnetic fields $P(B)$ in the superconductor in the FLL state is uniquely determined by two characteristic lengths: the magnetic field penetration depth λ and the coherence length ξ . For an isotropic extreme type-II superconductor ($\lambda \gg \xi$) and for fields much smaller than the upper critical field B_{c2} ($B_{\text{ex}} \ll B_{c2}$) the $P(B)$ is almost independent on ξ and it could be calculated from the spatial variation of the internal magnetic field $B(\mathbf{r})$ ($\mathbf{r}$ is the spatial coordinate).^{56,57} In the present work the magnetic field distribution $P(B)$, measured by means of TF- μ SR, was analyzed assuming $B(\mathbf{r})$ is being described within the framework of Ginzburg-Landau approach.^{56–59}

The spatial distribution of magnetic fields in the mixed state of a type-II superconductor is calculated via the




FIG. 5: Temperature variation of the inverse squared magnetic penetration depths: λ_{ab}^{-2} – panel (a) and $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}$ – panel (b). Black solid lines are theoretical curve obtained by analyzing the data within the self-consistent two-gap model. The dashed pink and blue lines are contributions of the larger (Δ_1), and the smaller (Δ_2) gaps to the magnetic penetration depths, respectively. See Sec. IV A for details.

Fourier expansion:^{56–59}

$$B(\mathbf{r}) = \langle B \rangle \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \exp(-i\mathbf{G}\mathbf{r}) B_{\mathbf{G}}(\lambda\xi). \quad (3)$$

Here $\langle B \rangle$ is the average magnetic field inside the superconductor, $\mathbf{G}$ is the reciprocal vector, $\mathbf{r}$ represents the vector coordinate in a plane perpendicular to the applied magnetic field and $B_{\mathbf{G}}$ is the Fourier component. Within the Ginzburg-Landau model $B_{\mathbf{G}}$ is obtained via:⁵⁸

$$B_{\mathbf{G}} = \frac{\phi_0}{S} (1 - b^4) \frac{u K_1(u)}{\lambda^2 G^2}. \quad (4)$$

ϕ_0 is the magnetic flux quantum, $S = \phi_0 / \langle B \rangle$ represents the area of the FLL unit cell, $b = \langle B \rangle / B_{c2}$, $K_1(u)$ is the modified Bessel function, with $u^2 = 2\xi^2 G^2 (1 + b^4) [1 - 2b(1 - b)^2]$. For the hexagonal FLL, the reciprocal lattice is $G_{mn} = (2\pi/\sqrt{S})(m \cdot \sqrt[4]{3}/\sqrt{2}, (n - m/2) \cdot \sqrt{2}/\sqrt[4]{3})$. m and n are the integer numbers.

The internal field distribution within the 'ideal' flux-line lattice was obtained as:

$$P_{id}(B) = \frac{\int \delta(B - B') dA(B')}{\int dA(B')}. \quad (5)$$

Here $dA(B')$ is the elementary area of the FLL with a field B' inside, and the integration is performed over a quarter of the flux-line lattice unit cell.⁶⁰ The FLL disorder, the broadening of the TF- μ SR line due to the nuclear depolarization and the contribution of the electronic moments were considering by convoluting $P_{id}(B)$ with Gaussian and Lorentzian functions.^{34,35,57,61} Finally, the following depolarization function was fitted to the measured TF- μ SR data:

$$P_s^{\text{TF}}(t) = e^{i\phi} e^{-\sigma_g^2 t^2 / 2 - \Lambda t} \int P_{id}(B) e^{i\gamma_\mu B t} dB. \quad (6)$$

Here ϕ is phase of the muon-spin ensemble, Λ represents the relaxation rate associated with the electronic moments, and σ_g is associated with the FLL disorder and the nuclear moments contributions, respectively. In our calculations Λ was fixed to the values obtained in ZF- μ SR experiments (see Sec. III A and Fig. 2).

The results of the fit of Eq. 1 with the sample part described by Eq. 6 to the $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel c$ and $B \parallel ab$ set of data are presented in Fig. 5. Note that with the field applied parallel to the c -axis the screening current, flowing around the flux-line cores, remains within the ab -plane. This means that the field distribution $P(B)$ in $B \parallel c$ set of experiments is determined by the so-called in-plane component of the magnetic penetration depth λ_{ab} [Fig. 5 (a)]. Note that in superconductors with the tetragonal layered crystal structure, as $\text{NdFeAsO}_{0.65}\text{F}_{0.35}$, the a - and b - components of the magnetic penetration depth are equal: $\lambda_a = \lambda_b$.³¹ With the field applied parallel to the $a(b)$ -axis, the screening current flows along the $b(a)$ and c -axes, respectively. Consequently, in $B \parallel ab$ set of experiments $\lambda_{ab,c}$ is obtained [Fig. 5 (b)].

IV. DISCUSSIONS

A. Temperature dependencies of λ_{ab}^{-2} and $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}$

Temperature dependencies of λ_{ab}^{-2} and $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}$, as they reported in Sec. III B 2, are shown in Figs. 5 (a) and (b), respectively. Due to a possible influence caused by ordering of Nd magnetic moments (see the discussion in Sec. III A and Fig. 2), the data points below 5 K were excluded from consideration.

In order to elucidate the pairing states in $\text{NdFeAsO}_{0.65}\text{F}_{0.35}$, the experimental data were analyzed by means of a two-gap model, with both gaps having an s -wave symmetry. Despite of considering a similar BCS type temperature dependence for both the gaps, as in phenomenological α -model,^{28–33,35–37} the temperature dependencies of the two gaps (Δ_1 and Δ_2) were obtained through a self-consistent coupled gap equations:^{38,40,41}

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1 &= \int_0^{\omega_{D1}} \frac{N_1(0)V_{11}\Delta_1}{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_1^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_1^2}}{2k_B T} dE + \int_0^{\omega_{D2}} \frac{N_2(0)V_{12}\Delta_2}{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_2^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_2^2}}{2k_B T} dE, \\ \Delta_2 &= \int_0^{\omega_{D1}} \frac{N_1(0)V_{21}\Delta_1}{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_1^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_1^2}}{2k_B T} dE + \int_0^{\omega_{D2}} \frac{N_2(0)V_{22}\Delta_2}{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_2^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_2^2}}{2k_B T} dE.\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

Here, $N_1(0)$ and $N_2(0)$ are the partial density of states for each band at the Fermi level. V_{11} (V_{22}) and V_{12} (V_{21}) are the intraband and the interband interaction potentials, respectively.

A simplification of the above expressions is further done by using the notation for the coupling constant,

$\Lambda_{ij} = N_j(0)V_{ij}$, which is introduced by Kogan *et al.* in Ref. 39. Another simplification is made by assuming similar Debye frequencies for both the bands, *i.e.*, $\omega_{D1} = \omega_{D2} = \omega_D$. By doing this, the gap equation becomes:^{40,41}

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1 &= \int_0^{\omega_D} \frac{\Lambda_{11}\Delta_1}{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_1^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_1^2}}{2k_B T} dE + \int_0^{\omega_D} \frac{\Lambda_{12}\Delta_2}{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_2^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_2^2}}{2k_B T} dE, \\ \Delta_2 &= \int_0^{\omega_D} \frac{\Lambda_{12}\Delta_1}{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_1^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_1^2}}{2k_B T} dE + \int_0^{\omega_D} \frac{\Lambda_{22}\Delta_2}{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_2^2}} \tanh \frac{\sqrt{E^2 + \Delta_2^2}}{2k_B T} dE.\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

The advantage of using the above introduced simplifications is that:

- (i) Within the notation of Kogan *et al.*³⁹ $\Lambda_{12} = \Lambda_{21}$.
- (ii) The number of the free parameters, which were initially 8 in Eq. 7 [namely: ω_{D1} , ω_{D2} , $N(0)_1$, $N(0)_2$, V_{11} , V_{12} , V_{21} , and V_{22}], reduces to 4 in Eq. 8 [namely: ω_D , Λ_{11} , Λ_{12} , and Λ_{22}].^{40,41}

With the known temperature variation of $\Delta_1(T)$ and $\Delta_2(T)$, a rigorous analysis of λ^{-2} is carried out by separating it into two components:^{39,41}

$$\frac{\lambda^{-2}(T)}{\lambda^{-2}(0)} = \omega \frac{\lambda_1^{-2}(T)}{\lambda_1^{-2}(0)} + (1 - \omega) \frac{\lambda_2^{-2}(T)}{\lambda_2^{-2}(0)}. \quad (9)$$

ω is the weight factor for the larger gap Δ_1 and $\lambda_i^{-2}(T)/\lambda_i^{-2}(0)$ is the superfluid density component of the i -th band. The superfluid density component is related to the superconducting energy gap via the expression:⁶²

$$\frac{\lambda_i(T)^{-2}}{\lambda_i(0)^{-2}} = 1 + 2 \int_{\Delta_i(T)}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial E} \right) \times \frac{E dE}{\sqrt{E^2 - \Delta_i(T)^2}}, \quad (10)$$

where $f = [1 + \exp(E/k_B T)]^{-1}$ is the Fermi distribution function.

For the analysis of the temperature evolution of the magnetic penetration depths, the literature value of the Debye frequency, $\omega_D = 37$ meV, obtained in Mössbauer

experiments,⁶³ was considered. The coupling constants: Λ_{11} , Λ_{22} , and Λ_{12} ; the gaps: $\Delta_1(T)$, $\Delta_2(T)$ were kept identical during the analysis of $\lambda_{ab}^{-2}(T)$ and $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}(T)$, but the weight factor ω was varied. The common parameters obtained with the analysis of $\lambda_{ab}^{-2}(T)$ and $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}(T)$ are: $\Lambda_{11} \simeq 0.368$, $\Lambda_{22} \simeq 0.315$, $\Lambda_{12} \simeq 0.01$, $\Delta_1(0) \simeq 5.2$ meV, $\Delta_2(0) \simeq 3.5$ meV, and $T_c \simeq 33.7$ K. The weighting factors (ω) and the zero-temperature values of the inverse squared magnetic penetration depth [$\lambda^{-2}(0)$] are 0.42/0.85 and 18.9/3.0 μm^{-2} for $\lambda_{ab}^{-2}(T)$ and $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}(T)$, respectively.

Contribution of the penetration depths corresponding to the larger gap (Δ_1) and the smaller gap (Δ_2) are shown in Figs. 5 (a) and (b) by dashed pink and blue lines, respectively. The solid black lines are the theory curves obtained by means of two-gap model as described earlier. The temperature dependencies of the gaps [$\Delta_1(T)$ and $\Delta_2(T)$] and the corresponding superfluid density components [$\lambda_1^{-2}(T)/\lambda_1^{-2}(0)$ and $\lambda_2^{-2}(T)/\lambda_2^{-2}(0)$] are presented in Fig. 6.

From the analysis of the magnetic penetration depths based on the self-consistent two-gap model three following important points emerge:

- (i) The interband coupling constant $\Lambda_{12} \simeq 0.01$ is relatively small, indicating the fact that the two bands are nearly decoupled. However, the value of Λ_{12} is significant enough to assign a single T_c for each gap along both the




FIG. 6: (a) Temperature evolutions of the bigger (Δ_1) and the smaller (Δ_2) superconducting energy gaps obtained within the framework of the self-consistent two-gap model. (b) Temperature evolutions of the corresponding superfluid density contributions $\lambda_1^{-2}(T)/\lambda_1^{-2}(0)$ and $\lambda_2^{-2}(T)/\lambda_2^{-2}(0)$. See Sec. IV A for details.

planes.

(ii) The gap to T_c ratio for the bigger gap $2\Delta_1/k_B T_c \simeq 3.58$ is close to the universal BCS value 3.52. For the lower gap $2\Delta_2/k_B T_c = 2.41$ is determined. This indicates the weak coupling regime for both the gaps.

(iii) The difference in the temperature variation of λ_{ab}^{-2} and $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}$ arises because of much smaller contribution of larger gap to λ_{ab}^{-2} compared to that to $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}$.

B. Out of plane magnetic penetration depth, λ_c^{-2}

This section describes the determination of the out of plane component of the magnetic penetration depth, $\lambda_c^{-2}(T)$, and its analysis based on the self-consistent two-gap model.

According to the London model, the inverse squared magnetic field penetration depth for the isotropic superconductor is proportional to the superfluid density in terms of $\lambda^{-2} \propto \rho_s = n_s/m^*$ (ρ_s is the superfluid density, n_s is the charge carrier concentration and m^* is the effective mass of the charge carriers). For an anisotropic

superconductor, as $\text{NdFeAsO}_{0.65}\text{F}_{0.35}$, the magnetic penetration depth is also anisotropic and is determined by an effective mass tensor:⁶⁴

$$m_{eff} = \begin{pmatrix} m_i^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_j^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_k^* \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Here, m_i^* is the effective mass of charge carrier flowing along i -th principal axis. For a magnetic field applied along i -th principal axis of the effective mass tensor, the effective penetration depth is given as:⁶⁴

$$\lambda_{jk}^{-2} = \frac{1}{\lambda_j \lambda_k}. \quad (12)$$




FIG. 7: Temperature evolution of the inverse squared out of plane magnetic penetration depth $\lambda_c^{-2}(T)$. The solid line is obtained from the theoretical $\lambda_{ab}^{-2}(T)$ and $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}(T)$ curves, as they presented in Fig. 5, by using Eq. 13.

By using Eq. 12 the out of plane component of the magnetic penetration depth, λ_c^{-2} , was further obtained from $\lambda_{ab}^{-2}(T)$ and $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}(T)$ data shown in Fig. 5 as:

$$\lambda_c^{-2} = \frac{\lambda_{ab,c}^{-4}}{\lambda_{ab}^{-2}}. \quad (13)$$

The resulting dependence of λ_c^{-2} on temperature is shown in Fig. 7. The theoretical temperature variation of $\lambda_c^{-2}(T)$ was also obtained from the theory curves for $\lambda_{ab}^{-2}(T)$ and $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}(T)$, as they described in Figs. 5 (a) and (b), and it is represented by solid black line. It is evident that the curve obtained by means of two-gap model replicates the experimental data very well, which indicates that the magnetic penetration depth along c -axis is well analyzed with two-gap $s + s$ -wave model. For the zero-temperature value of the out-of plane component the value $\lambda_c^{-2}(0) \simeq 0.48 \mu\text{m}^{-2}$ is obtained.

C. Magnetic penetration depth anisotropy, γ_λ

Figure 8 (a) shows the temperature evolution of the magnetic penetration depth anisotropy obtained with the experimental data presented in Fig. 5 and Eqs. 12, 13:

$$\gamma_\lambda = \frac{\lambda_c}{\lambda_{ab}} = \frac{\lambda_{ab}^{-2}}{\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}}. \quad (14)$$

$\gamma_\lambda(T)$ increases with decreasing temperature from $\gamma_\lambda \simeq 1.8$ at $T = T_c$ to $\gamma_\lambda \simeq 6.3$ close to $T = 0$ K. The theoretical curve obtained with two-band model is represented by the solid black line. The temperature variation of anisotropy is reproduced well with this theoretical curve, which further confirms the multi-band nature of superconductivity in the studied oxypnictide material. It is worth to mention, that $\lambda_{ab}^{-2}(T)$ and $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}(T)$, obtained within the present study, were measured on a mosaic of about 200 NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} single crystalline samples. For such a big number of simultaneously measured crystals a certain misalignment will definitively take place. Consequently, our results put a lower limit on the determination of γ_λ .

Figure 8 (b) compares γ_λ obtained in the present study with that measured by means of torque magnetometry by Weyeneth *et al.* in Ref. 65. In both cases λ_λ increases with decreasing T . A similar qualitative behavior of $\gamma_\lambda(T)$ was observed in Sm- and Nd-1111 system by means of torque magnetometry;^{65,66} in Ba(Fe_{1-x}Co_x)₂As₂ by means of TDR;⁶⁷ in Ba_{1-x}K_xFe₂As₂,³⁴ SrFe_{1.75}Co_{0.25}As₂,³⁵ FeSe_{0.5}Te_{0.5},⁶⁸ CaKFe₄As₄,³⁷ by means of μ SR *etc.* In all these works the pronounced temperature dependence of γ_λ was attributed to the multiple gap nature of superconductivity.

As a further step, γ_λ is compared with the anisotropy of the upper critical field $\gamma_{B_{c2}}$ for NdFeAsO_{1-x}F_x, as obtained from resistivity^{69,70} and specific heat measurements.⁷¹ According to the phenomenological Ginzburg-Landau theory, these two anisotropies should be equal for a single gap superconductor:^{62,72}

$$\gamma_\lambda = \frac{\lambda_c}{\lambda_{ab}} = \sqrt{\frac{m_c^*}{m_{ab}^*}} = \gamma_{B_{c2}} = \frac{B_{c2}^{\parallel ab}}{B_{c2}^{\parallel c}} = \frac{\xi_{ab}}{\xi_c}. \quad (15)$$

Figure 8 (b) implies that the two anisotropies show opposite trends with temperature and violates the Ginzburg-Landau theory. This situation is reminiscent of the well known two-gap superconductor MgB₂, despite the reversed slope for both the anisotropies.^{73,74}

V. CONCLUSIONS

To conclude, the magnetic and superconducting properties of NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} single crystalline samples were studied by means of muon-spin rotation/relaxation technique. The results of the studies are summarised as




FIG. 8: (a) Temperature dependence of the magnetic penetration depth anisotropy, $\gamma_\lambda(T) = \lambda_c(T)/\lambda_{ab}(T)$. The solid curve is the result of the self-consistent two-gap model. (b) Comparison of $\gamma_\lambda(T)/\gamma_\lambda(T_c)$ (from the present work and Ref. 65) with the anisotropy of the upper critical field $\gamma_{B_{c2}}(T)/\gamma_{B_{c2}}(T_c)$ from Refs. 69–71.

follows:

- (i) No changes in the relaxation rate were observed in ZF- μ SR spectra across the superconducting transition, thus ruling out the possibility of any spontaneous magnetic field below T_c .
- (ii) An upturn in exponential muon-spin depolarization rate at $T \lesssim 3$ K, is detected in ZF- μ SR measurements. It is most probably associated with the onset of ordering of Nd electronic moments.
- (iii) Measurements of the magnetic field penetration depth (λ) were performed in the TF geometry. By applying the external magnetic field B_{ex} parallel to the crystallographic c -axis and parallel to the ab -plane, the temperature dependencies of the in-plane component λ_{ab}^{-2} and the combination of the in-plane and the out of plane components $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}$ of the superfluid density were determined, respectively. The out-of-plane component λ_c^{-2} was further obtained by combining the results of $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel c$ and $B_{\text{ex}} \parallel ab$.

set of experiments.

(iv) The temperature dependencies of λ_{ab}^{-2} , $\lambda_{ab,c}^{-2}$, and λ_c^{-2} were analyzed within the framework of a self-consistent two-gap model despite of using the traditional α -model. Interband coupling is taken into account instead of assuming it to be zero as is assumed in the α -model. The values of intraband and interband coupling constants were determined to be: $\Lambda_{11} \simeq 0.368$, $\Lambda_{22} \simeq 0.315$, and $\Lambda_{12} \simeq 0.01$. A relatively small value of the interband coupling constant Λ_{12} indicates that the energy bands in NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} are nearly decoupled.

(v) The zero-temperature values of the inverse squared magnetic penetration depth and the superconducting energy gaps were estimated to be: $\lambda_{ab}^{-2}(0) \simeq 18.9 \mu\text{m}^{-2}$, $\lambda_c^{-2}(0) \simeq 0.48 \mu\text{m}^{-2}$, $\Delta_1(0) \simeq 5.2 \text{ meV}$, and $\Delta_2(0) \simeq 3.5 \text{ meV}$, respectively.

(vi) The magnetic penetration depth anisotropy, $\gamma_\lambda = \lambda_c/\lambda_{ab}$ increases from $\gamma_\lambda \simeq 1.8$ at $T = T_c$ to $\gamma_\lambda \simeq 6.3$

close to $T = 0 \text{ K}$, while the upper critical field anisotropy $\gamma_{B_{c2}}$ demonstrates the opposite temperature behaviour. This experimental situation is similar to MgB₂, a well known two-gap superconductor, which further provides a strong evidence for multiple band superconductivity in the studied NdFeAsO_{0.65}F_{0.35} compound.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was performed at Swiss Muon Source ($S\mu S$), Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI, Switzerland). RK, AM, and NDZ thank Bertram Batlogg for fruitful discussions on the early stage of this study. The work of RG was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF-Grant No. 200021-175935).

* Electronic address: rustem.khasanov@psi.ch

- ¹ Z. A. Ren, J. Yang, W. Lu, W. Yi, G. C. Che, X. L. Dong, L. L. Sun and Z. X. Zhao, Mater. Res. Innov. **12**, 105 (2008).
- ² J. Yang, Z.-C. Li, W. Lu, W. Yi, X.-L. Shen, Z.-A. Ren, G.-C. Che, X.-L. Dong, L.-L. Sun, F. Zhou, and Z.-X. Zhao, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **21**, 082001 (2008).
- ³ R. Zhi-An, L. Mei, Y. Jie, Y. Wei, S. Xiao-Li, L. Zheng-Cai, C. GUang-Cau, D. XIAo-Li, S. Li-Ling, Z. Fang, Z. Zhang-Xian, Chin. Phys. Lett. **25**, 2215 (2008).
- ⁴ X. H. Chen, T. Wu, G. Wu, R. H. Liu, H. Chen, and D. F. Fang, Nature **453**, 761 (2008).
- ⁵ G. F. Chen, Z. Li, D. Wu, G. Li, W. Z. Hu, J. Dong, P. Zheng, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 247002 (2008).
- ⁶ J.-F. Ge, Z.-L. Liu, C. Liu, C.-L. Gao, D. Qian, Q.-K. Xue, Y. Liu, and J.-F. Jia, Nature Materials **14**, 285 (2015).
- ⁷ D. J. Singh, and M.-H. Du, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 237003 (2008).
- ⁸ Z. P. Yin, S. Lebegue, M. J. Han, B. P. Neal, S. Y. Savrasov, and W. E. Pickett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 047001 (2008).
- ⁹ D. Daghero, M. Tortello, R. S. Gonnelli, V. A. Stepanov, N. D. Zhigadlo, and J. Karpinski, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 060502(R) (2009).
- ¹⁰ L. Malone, J. D. Fletcher, A. Serafin, A. Carrington, N. D. Zhigadlo, Z. Bukowski, S. Katrych, and J. Karpinski, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 140501(R) (2009).
- ¹¹ T. E. Kuzmicheva, S. A. Kuzmichev, K. S. Pervakov, V. M. Pudalov, and N. D. Zhigadlo, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 094507 (2017).
- ¹² K. Matano Z. A. Ren, X. L. Dong, L. L. Sun, Z. X. Zhao, and Guo-qing Zheng, Eur. Phys. Lett. **83**, 57001 (2008).
- ¹³ K. Kuroki, S. Onari, R. Arita, H. Usui, Y. Tanaka, H. Kon-tani, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. Lett., **101**, 087004 (2008).
- ¹⁴ A. L. Patrick, and W. Xiao-Gang, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 144517 (2008).
- ¹⁵ D. V. Evtushinsky, D. S. Inosov, V. B. Zabolotnyy, M. S. Viazovska, R. Khasanov, A. Amato, H.-H. Klauss, H. Luetkens, Ch. Niedermayer, G. L. Sun, V. Hinkov, C. T.

- Lin, A. Varykhalov, A. Koitzsch, M. Knupfer, B. Büchner, A. A. Kordyuk, and S. V. Borisenko, New J. Phys. **11**, 055069 (2009).
- ¹⁶ S. V. Borisenko, D. V. Evtushinsky, Z.-H. Liu, I. Morozov, R. Kappenberger, S. Wurmehl, B. Büchner, A. N. Yaresko, T. K. Kim, M. Hoesch, T. Wolf, and N. D. Zhigadlo, Nature Physics **12**, 311 (2016).
- ¹⁷ A. Charnukha, S. Thirupathaiah, V. B. Zabolotnyy, B. Büchner, N. D. Zhigadlo, B. Batlogg, A. N. Yaresko, and S. V. Borisenko, Scientific Reports **5**, 10392 (2015).
- ¹⁸ R. Khasanov, W. R. Meier, Y. Wu, D. Mou, S. L. Bud'ko, I. Eremin, H. Luetkens, A. Kaminski, P. C. Canfield, and Amato, Phys. Rev. B **97**, 140503(R) (2018).
- ¹⁹ C. C. Tsuei, and J. R. Kirtley, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 969 (2000).
- ²⁰ T. Kondo, A. F. Santander-Syro, O. Copie, C. Liu, M. E. Tillman, E. D. Mun, J. Schmalian, S. L. Budko, M. A. Tanatar, P. C. Canfield, and A. Kaminski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 147003 (2008).
- ²¹ X. L. Wang, S. X. Dou, Z.-A. Ren, Wei Yi, Z.-C. Li, Z.-X. Zhao, and S.-I. Lee, J. Phys. : Condens. Matter **21**, 205701 (2009).
- ²² C. Martin, M. E. Tillman, H. Kim, M. A. Tanatar, S.K. Kim, A. Kreyssig, R. T. Gordon, M. D. Vannette, S. Nandi, V. G. Kogan, S. L. Budko, P. C. Canfield, A. I. Goldman, and R. Prozorov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 247002 (2009).
- ²³ A. Charnukha, D. V. Evtushinsky, C. E. Matt, N. Xu, M. Shi, B. Bchner, N. D. Zhigadlo, B. Batlogg, and S. V. Borisenko, Scientific Reports **5**, 18273 (2015).
- ²⁴ P. Samuely, P. Szabó, Z. Pribulová, M. E. Tillman, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **22**, 014003 (2009).
- ²⁵ T.E. Kuzmicheva, S.A. Kuzmichev, and N.D. Zhigadlo, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 144504 (2019).
- ²⁶ N. Miyakawa, M. Minematsu, S. Kawashima, K. Ogata, K. Miyazawa, H. Kito, P.M. Shirage, H. Eisaki, and A. Iyo, J. Supercond. Nov. Mag. **23**, 575 (2010).
- ²⁷ A. Adamski, C. Krellner, and M. Abdel-Hafiez, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 100503(R) (2018).
- ²⁸ F. Bouquet, Y.Wang, R. A. Fisher, D. G. Hinks, J. D.

- Jorgensen, A. Junod, and N. E. Phillips, *Europhys. Lett.* **56**, 856 (2001).
- ²⁹ A. Carrington and F. Manzano, *Physica C* **385**, 205 (2003).
- ³⁰ V. Guritanu, W. Goldacker, F. Bouquet, Y. Wang, R. Lortz, G. Goll, and A. Junod, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 184526 (2004).
- ³¹ R. Prozorov and R. W. Giannetta, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **19**, R41 (2006).
- ³² R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, A. Maisuradze, F. La Mattina, A. Bussmann-Holder, H. Keller, and K. A. Müller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 057007 (2007).
- ³³ R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, J. Karpinski, A. Bussmann-Holder, H. Keller, and K. A. Müller, *J. Supercond. Nov. Magn.* **21**, 81 (2008).
- ³⁴ R. Khasanov, D. V. Evtushinsky, A. Amato, H.-H. Klauss, H. Luetkens, Ch. Niedermayer, B. Bchner, G. L. Sun, C. T. Lin, J. T. Park, D. S. Inosov, and V. Hinkov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 187005 (2009).
- ³⁵ R. Khasanov, A. Maisuradze, H. Maeter, A. Kwadrin, H. Luetkens, A. Amato, W. Schnelle, H. Rosner, A. Leithe-Jasper, and H.-H. Klauss, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 067010 (2009).
- ³⁶ R. Khasanov, A. Amato, P. K. Biswas, H. Luetkens, N. D. Zhigadlo, and B. Batlogg, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 140507(R) (2014).
- ³⁷ R. Khasanov, W. R. Meier, S. L. Bud'ko, H. Luetkens, P. C. Canfield, and A. Amato, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 140507(R) (2019).
- ³⁸ A. Bussmann-Holder, R. Micnas, and A. R. Bishop, *Eur. Phys. J. B.* **37**, 345-348 (2004).
- ³⁹ V. G. Kogan, C. Martin, and R. Prozorov, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 014507 (2009).
- ⁴⁰ A. Bussmann-Holder, arXiv:0909.3603.
- ⁴¹ R. Khasanov, M. Bendele, A. Amato, K. Conder, H. Keller, H.-H. Klauss, H. Luetkens, and E. Pomjakushina *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 087004 (2010).
- ⁴² N. D. Zhigadlo, S. Weyeneth, S. Katrych, P. J. W. Moll, K. Rogacki, S. Bosma, R. Puzniak, J. Karpinski, and B. Batlogg, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 214509 (2012).
- ⁴³ A. Schenck, *Muon spin rotation spectroscopy: principles and applications in solid state physics* (Bristol: Hilger, 1985).
- ⁴⁴ S.F.J. Cox, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **20**, 3187 (1987).
- ⁴⁵ P. Dalmas de Réotier and A. Yaouanc, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **9**, 9113 (1997).
- ⁴⁶ A. Yaouanc, and P. Dalmas de Réotier, *Muon Spin Rotation, Relaxation and Resonance: Applications to Condensed Matter* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011).
- ⁴⁷ S.J. Blundell, *Contemporary Physics*, **40**, 175 (1999).
- ⁴⁸ J.E. Sonier, J.H. Brewer, and R.F. Kiefl, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **72**, 769 (2000).
- ⁴⁹ Y.J. Uemura, *Muon spin relaxation studies of unconventional superconductors: first-order behavior and comparable spin-charge energy scales*, chapter in *Strongly Correlated Systems* (Springer Ser. Solid-State Sci., vol. 180, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg 2015).
- ⁵⁰ R. Karl, F. Burri, A. Amato, M. Donegà, S. Gvasaliya, Hu. Luetkens, E. Morenzoni, and R. Khasanov, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 184515 (2019).
- ⁵¹ www.dupont.com
- ⁵² R. Khasanov, H. Zhou, A. Amato, Z. Guguchia, E. Morenzoni, X. Dong, G. Zhang, and Z. Zhao, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 224512 (2016).
- ⁵³ A. Suter, and B. M. Wojek, *Phys. Procedia* **30**, 69 (2012).
- ⁵⁴ J.E. Sonier, R.F. Kiefl, J.H. Brewer, D.A. Bonn, J.F. Carolan, K.H. Chow, P. Dosanjh, W.N. Hardy, R. Liang, W.A. MacFarlane, P. Mendels, G.D. Morris, T.M. Riseman, and J.W. Schneider, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 744 (1994).
- ⁵⁵ Y. Qiu, Wei Bao, Q. Huang, T. Yildirim, J. M. Simmons, M. A. Green, J.W. Lynn, Y. C. Gasparovic, J. Li, T. Wu, G. Wu, and X. H. Chen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 257002 (2008).
- ⁵⁶ E.H. Brandt, *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 2349 (1988).
- ⁵⁷ A. Maisuradze, R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, and H. Keller, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **21**, 075701 (2009).
- ⁵⁸ A. Yaouanc, P. Dalmas de Réotier, and E.H. Brandt, *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 11107 (1997).
- ⁵⁹ J.R. Clem, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **18**, 427 (1975).
- ⁶⁰ M. Laulajainen, F.D. Callaghan, C.V. Kaiser, and J.E. Sonier, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 054511 (2006).
- ⁶¹ J.E. Sonier, K. F. Poon, G. M. Luke, P. Kyriakou, R. I. Miller, R. Liang, C. R. Wiebe, P. Fournier, and R. L. Greene, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 147002 (2003).
- ⁶² M. Tinkham, *Introduction to superconductivity*, Krieger Publishing Company, Malabar, Florida, 1975.
- ⁶³ M. Pissas, Y. Sanakis, V. Psycharis, A. Simopoulos, E. Devlin, Zhi-An Ren, Xiao-Li Shen, Guang-Can Che, and Zhong-Xian Zhao, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **21**, 115015 (2008).
- ⁶⁴ S. L. Thiemann, Z. Radović, and V. G. Kogan, *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 11406 (1989).
- ⁶⁵ S. Weyeneth, R. Puzniak, N. D. Zhigadlo, S. Katrych, Z. Bukowski, J. Karpinski, and H. Keller, *J. Supercond. Nov. Magn.* **22**, 347 (2009).
- ⁶⁶ S. Weyeneth, R. Puzniak, U. Mosele, N. D. Zhigadlo, S. Katrych, Z. Bukowski, J. Karpinski, S. Kohout, J. Roos, H. Keller, *J. Supercond. Nov. Magn.* **22**, 325 (2009).
- ⁶⁷ R. Prozorov, M.A. Tanatar, R.T. Gordon, C. Martin, H. Kim, V.G. Kogan, N. Ni, M.E. Tillman, S.L. Budko, and P.C. Canfield, *Physica C* **469**, 582 (2009).
- ⁶⁸ M. Bendele, S. Weyeneth, R. Puzniak, A. Maisuradze, E. Pomjakushina, K. Conder, V. Pomjakushin, H. Luetkens, S. Katrych, A. Wisniewski, R. Khasanov, and H. Keller, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 224520 (2010).
- ⁶⁹ J. Jaroszynski, F. Hunte, L. Balicas, Youn-jung Jo, I. Raievi, A. Gurevich, D. C. Larbalestier, F. F. Balakirev, L. Fang, P. Cheng, Y. Jia, and H. H. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 174523 (2008).
- ⁷⁰ Y. Jia, P. Cheng, L. Fang, H. Luo, H. Yang, C. Ren, L. Shan, C. Gu, and H.-Hu Wena, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **93**, 032503 (2008).
- ⁷¹ Z. Pribulova, T. Klein, J. Kacmarcik, C. Marcenat, M. Konczykowski, S. L. Budko, M. Tillman, and P. C. Canfield, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 020508(R) (2009).
- ⁷² V.G. Kogan, *Phys. Rev. B* **24**, 1572 (1981).
- ⁷³ M. Angst, R. Puzniak, A. Wisniewski, J. Jun, S. M. Kazakov, J. Karpinski, J. Roos, and H. Keller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 16 (2002).
- ⁷⁴ J. D. Fletcher, A. Carrington, O. J. Taylor, S. M. Kazakov, and J. Karpinski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 097005 (2005).