

# Normed ideal perturbation of irreducible operators in semifinite von Neumann factors

Rui Shi

**ABSTRACT.** In [10], Halmos proved an interesting result that the set of irreducible operators is dense in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  in the sense of Hilbert-Schmidt approximation. In a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  with separable predual, an operator  $a \in \mathcal{M}$  is said to be *irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$*  if  $W^*(a)$  is an irreducible subfactor of  $\mathcal{M}$ , i.e.,  $W^*(a)' \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C} \cdot I$ . In this paper, let  $\Phi(\cdot)$  be a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm (see Definition 2.1), where by  $\|\cdot\|$  we denote the operator norm. We prove that in every semifinite von Neumann factor  $\mathcal{M}$  with separable predual, if the norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  satisfies a natural restriction introduced in (1.1), then irreducible operators are  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm dense in  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular, the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and the  $\max\{\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_p\}$ -norm (for each  $p > 1$ ) naturally satisfy the condition in (1.1), where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight and  $\|x\|_p = \tau(|x|^p)^{1/p}$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  (see [18, Preliminaries]). This can be viewed as a (stronger) analogue of a theorem of Halmos in [10], proved with different techniques developed in semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann factors.

Meanwhile, for every  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$ , we develop another method to prove that each normal operator in  $\mathcal{M}$  is a sum of an irreducible operator in  $\mathcal{M}$  and an arbitrarily small  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm perturbation, where the  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm isn't restricted by (1.1). Particularly, the  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm can be the  $\max\{\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_1\}$ -norm.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. We denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the set of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Recall that an operator  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is *irreducible* if  $a$  has no nontrivial reducing subspaces. That is, if  $p$  is a projection in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $pa = ap$  then  $p = 0$  or  $p = I$ .

A *von Neumann algebra* is a unital  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  that is closed in the weak operator topology and contains the identity  $I$ . A *factor* (or *von Neumann factor*) is a von Neumann algebra whose center consists of scalar multiples of the identity. Factors are classified by Murray and von Neumann into type  $I_n$ ,  $I_\infty$ ,  $II_1$ ,  $II_\infty$ , and III factors (see [15]). By definition,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a type I factor.

In the current paper, Hilbert spaces are always assumed to be complex and separable. In [10, Theorem], Halmos proved that the set of irreducible operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  in the  $\|\cdot\|$ -norm topology, where by  $\|\cdot\|$ -norm we denote the operator norm. In [21], Radjavi and Rosenthal gave another short proof. In addition, Halmos also mentioned that the set of irreducible operators is dense in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  in the sense of Hilbert-Schmidt approximation at the end of [10, Section 1]. In the rest of the current paper, we will refer to this approximation result as *Halmos' theorem*.

Inspired by Halmos' theorem, we can naturally extend the definition of irreducible operator in the setting of von Neumann factors.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47C15.

*Key words and phrases.* factor von Neumann algebra, irreducible operator, normed ideal.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a factor. An operator  $a \in \mathcal{M}$  is said to be irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$ , if  $W^*(a)$  is an irreducible subfactor of  $\mathcal{M}$ , i.e.,  $W^*(a)' \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}I$ , where  $W^*(a)$  is the von Neumann algebra generated by  $a$  and the identity  $I$ .

Actually, in each type of von Neumann factors with separable predual, there are irreducible operators. In [17, 24], the authors proved, independently, that there exists a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $\mathcal{R}$  with a single generator. Apparently, in  $\mathcal{R}$ , this generator is irreducible. In [27], Wogen showed that every properly infinite von Neumann algebra on a separable Hilbert space is singly generated. Thus, in a type  $\text{II}_\infty$  factor or a type  $\text{III}$  factor on  $\mathcal{H}$ , each single generator is irreducible. Recently, the authors of [8] proved that in each type of von Neumann factor  $\mathcal{M}$  with separable predual, the set of irreducible operators in  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $G_\delta$  and  $\|\cdot\|$ -norm dense.

Recall that a factor  $\mathcal{M}$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}$  is semifinite and properly infinite, if  $\mathcal{M}$  is either of type  $\text{I}_\infty$  or of type  $\text{II}_\infty$ . In this case, we can further assume that there exists a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . By virtue of Theorem 6.8.7 of [12], the  $\|\cdot\|$ -norm closure  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  of the two-sided ideal  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \tau(R(x)) < \infty\}$  is the only proper,  $\|\cdot\|$ -norm closed, two sided ideal in the factor  $\mathcal{M}$ , where by  $R(x)$  we denote the range projection of  $x$ , for every  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ .

In the current paper, first, we prove an analogue of Halmos' theorem in the setting of semifinite von Neumann factors with separable predual, with respect to a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm satisfying a natural restriction. Precisely, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.5. Suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann factor with separable predual, where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight. Let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  defined as in Definition 2.1. Assume that

$$\lim_{\substack{\tau(e) \rightarrow \infty \\ e \in \mathcal{PF}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}} \frac{\Phi(e)}{\tau(e)} = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Then for each  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

- (i)  $x - y \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  (see Definition 2.1);
- (ii)  $\Phi(x - y) \leq \epsilon$ .

In other words, if a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  satisfies (1.1), then irreducible operators in  $\mathcal{M}$  are  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm dense in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .

We make several quick comments about Theorem 3.5. (1) The result is also true without the assumption that  $\mathcal{M}$  is 'properly infinite'. When  $\mathcal{M}$  is finite, the proof of Theorem 3.5 is almost the same and easier than the case when  $\mathcal{M}$  is properly infinite. If  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is properly infinite, then  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a 'nontrivial' normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . This case is more interesting for Theorem 3.5. (2) Note that Halmos' proof in [10] is based on minimal projections and there are no minimal projections in type  $\text{II}$  factors. Thus to prove Theorem 3.5, we develop new techniques. (3) In the setting of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the restriction (1.1) holds if and only if that the  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm is not equivalent to the  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm (see [13, Lemma 1]). Enlightened by this characterization, we further prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a semifinite von Neumann factor with separable predual, where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight. Let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  (see Definition 2.1).*

*For each normal operator  $x$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that*

$$x - y \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x - y) \leq \epsilon.$$

Note that, in the setting of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the Kato-Rosenblum's theorem states that a self-adjoint operator  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with a non-vanishing spectrally absolutely continuous part, can't be expressed as a diagonal operator plus an arbitrarily small trace norm perturbation. This leads to the following question.

**PROBLEM 1.2.** *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann factor with separable predual, where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight. For every  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$ , are irreducible operators  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm dense in  $\mathcal{M}$ ?*

By virtue of Theorem 4.1, every normal operator in  $\mathcal{M}$  can be expressed as an irreducible operator in  $\mathcal{M}$  up to an arbitrarily small  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm perturbation. Furthermore, in terms of Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, some non-normal operators in  $\mathcal{M}$  can be also expressed as irreducible operators in  $\mathcal{M}$  up to arbitrarily small  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm perturbations. These are positive evidences for Problem 1.2.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prepare necessary notation and results. A generalized Weyl-von Neumann type theorem for self-adjoint operators, normed ideals equipped with  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norms, and the universal irrational rotation algebras are recalled in this section. Besides, we cite Popa's results [19, 20] about the existence of an irreducible hyperfinite subfactor  $\mathcal{N}$  of a type II factor  $\mathcal{M}$  and the existence of a Cartan masa  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  which is also a masa in  $\mathcal{M}$ . In Section 3, we prove Theorem 3.5 in two cases: the  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ -case and the type  $\text{II}_\infty$  factor case. As we mentioned above, since there are no minimal projections in type  $\text{II}_\infty$  factors, we use techniques from universal irreducible rotation algebras and Popa's results to prove Theorem 3.5. In Section 4, we develop a series of lemmas to prove Theorem 4.1 for normal operators in  $\mathcal{M}$  to be irreducible operators up to arbitrarily small,  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm perturbations.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Normed ideal perturbations and an extended Weyl-von Neumann theorem for self-adjoint operators in properly infinite, semifinite von Neumann algebras.

In this section, we prepare some useful lemmas for the main results. Since a generalized Weyl-von Neumann theorem for self-adjoint operators (Theorem 3.2.2 of [14]) is applied in the proof of the main result in the current paper, we briefly recall some definitions and results.

In 1909, Weyl [26] proved that a self-adjoint operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a compact perturbation of a diagonal operator. Later, in 1935, von Neumann [16] improved the result by replacing a "compact operator" with an "arbitrarily small Hilbert-Schmidt operator".

Recall that an operator  $d$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *diagonal* if there exist a family  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of orthogonal projections in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and a family  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of complex numbers such that  $d = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n e_n$ .

In [13], Kuroda generalized the Weyl-von Neumann theorem for every single self-adjoint operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with respect to a unitarily invariant norm which is not equivalent to the trace norm. More specifically, given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  a unitarily invariant norm *not equivalent to the trace norm*, for every self-adjoint operator  $a$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , there exists a diagonal self-adjoint operator  $d$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $a - d$  is compact and  $\Phi(a - d) \leq \epsilon$ .

To answer a problem attributed to Halmos concerning Hilbert-Schmidt perturbations of normal operators, Voiculescu [25] proved that  $n$ -tuples of commuting self-adjoint operators, for  $n \geq 2$ , are  $\mathcal{C}_n$ -perturbations of diagonal  $n$ -tuples of commuting self-adjoint operators, where by  $\mathcal{C}_n$  we denote the Schatten  $n$ -class operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

In [25], to prove that every normal operator is a sum of a diagonal operator and an arbitrarily small Hilbert-Schmidt perturbation, Voiculescu developed important techniques associated with normed ideals (in terms of unitarily invariant norms introduced by Schatten). The reader is referred to [23] and [9] for details about normed ideals.

Recently, the authors of [14] extended the definition of normed ideals in countably decomposable, semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann algebras. The definition is cited as follows.

**DEFINITION 2.1** (Definition 2.1.1 of [14]). *Suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a countably decomposable, semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight  $\tau$ .*

*A normed ideal  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a two sided ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a norm  $\Phi : \mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , which satisfies*

- (i)  $\Phi(uxv) = \Phi(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and unitary elements  $u, v$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , i.e. the norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is unitarily invariant;
- (ii) there exists a  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\Phi(x) \geq \lambda \|x\|$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , i.e. the  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm is  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, where  $\|\cdot\|$ -norm means the operator norm;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$ ;
- (iv)  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \tau(R(x)) < \infty\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , where  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is the  $\|\cdot\|$ -norm closure of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $R(x)$  is the range projection of  $x$ , for every  $x$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

*The  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm closure of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  in  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , which is also a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . If  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is called a minimal normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .*

**REMARK 2.2.** *For the purpose of convenience, if  $x \notin \mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then we set  $\Phi(x) = \infty$ .*

**EXAMPLE 2.3.** *The norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is a natural generalization of the Schatten  $p$ -norm for  $p \geq 1$ . See [25], [23] and [9] for examples of normed ideals when  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \text{Tr})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a separable complex Hilbert space and  $\text{Tr}$  is the canonical trace of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .*

**EXAMPLE 2.4.** *The  $\|\cdot\|$ -norm closure  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  of the two-sided ideal  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  with respect to the  $\|\cdot\|$ -norm.*

For convenience, some useful properties of a normed ideal are listed in the next lemma.

LEMMA 2.5 (Lemma 2.1.5 of [14]). *Suppose that  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a normed ideal in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Then the following statements are true.*

- (i)  $\Phi(axb) \leq \|a\|\Phi(x)\|b\|$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ .
- (ii) If  $x \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $x^* \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $\Phi(x) = \Phi(x^*) = \Phi(|x|)$ .
- (iii) If  $x, y \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  with  $0 \leq x \leq y$ , then  $\Phi(x) \leq \Phi(y)$ .
- (iv) If  $x \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $\Phi(x) \leq \|x\|\Phi(R(x))$ .
- (v) Suppose that  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  such that
  - (1)  $\sum_n x_n$  converges to  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  in the weak\*-topology, and
  - (2)  $\sum_n \Phi(x_n) < \infty$ .

Then  $x \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $\lim_k \Phi(x - \sum_{n=1}^k x_n) = 0$ .

The reader is referred to Lemma 2.1.5 of [14] for a quick proof. There are more examples of normed ideals of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  from the next lemma. Recall that  $L^r(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , for  $1 \leq r < \infty$ , is the non-commutative  $L^r$ -space associated with  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and its norm  $\|\cdot\|_r$  is defined by

$$\|x\|_r = (\tau(|x|^r))^{1/r}, \quad \forall x \in L^r(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad (2.1)$$

(see [18] for more details).

LEMMA 2.6 (Lemma 2.1.6 of [14]). *Let  $1 \leq r < \infty$  and  $\mathcal{J} = L^r(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \cap \mathcal{M}$ . Define a mapping  $\Phi(\cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{J}$  by*

$$\Phi(x) = \max\{\|x\|_r, \|x\|\}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{J}.$$

*Then  $\mathcal{J}$  is a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  with respect to the norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{J}$  is actually a minimal normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  with respect to the norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$ .*

DEFINITION 2.7. *Let  $1 \leq r < \infty$ . Define  $\mathcal{K}_r(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  to be  $L^r(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \cap \mathcal{M}$  equipped with the norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  satisfying  $\Phi(x) = \max\{\|x\|_r, \|x\|\}$ , for all  $x \in L^r(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \cap \mathcal{M}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{K}_r(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a minimal normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .*

In [14], the authors proved a generalization of Kuroda's Theorem (Theorem of [13]) in the setting of semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann algebras as follows.

DEFINITION 2.8. *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von Neumann algebra. An operator  $d$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  is said to be diagonal if there exist a family  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of complex numbers and a family  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of orthogonal projections in  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfying  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty e_n = I$  such that  $d = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n e_n$ .*

THEOREM 2.9 (Theorem 3.2.2 of [14]). *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a countably decomposable, properly infinite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight  $\tau$  and let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  (see Definition 2.1). Assume that*

$$\lim_{\substack{\tau(e) \rightarrow \infty \\ e \in \mathcal{PF}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}} \frac{\Phi(e)}{\tau(e)} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{PF}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{e \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : e = e^2 = e^*\}$ . Let  $a \in \mathcal{M}$  be a self-adjoint element. Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a diagonal operator  $d$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

- (i)  $a - d \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;

$$(ii) \quad \Phi(a - d) \leq \epsilon.$$

REMARK 2.10. We make two comments about Theorem 2.9.

- (1) The reader is referred to Lemma 3.1.1 of [14] for a characterization related to (1.1). Specially, in the case of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , Lemma 1 of [13] implies that a unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  satisfies (1.1) if and only if  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is **not** equivalent to the trace norm.
- (2) Actually, in Theorem 2.9, the diagonal operator  $d$  is in the form

$$d = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda'_n e'_n \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\{\lambda'_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq [-\|a\|, \|a\|]$  and  $\{e'_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of pairwise mutually orthogonal projections in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  with  $\sum_{n \geq 1} e'_n = I$ .

Note that, by (1.1), there exists an integer  $m_0 \geq 1$  such that

$$\frac{\Phi(e)}{\tau(e)} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } e \in \mathcal{PF}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \text{ and } \tau(e) \geq m_0.$$

Thus for  $e \in \mathcal{PF}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $m_0 \leq \tau(e) \leq m_0 + 1$ , we have  $\Phi(e) \leq \tau(e) \leq m_0 + 1$ . It follows from (iii) of Lemma 2.5 that the inequality  $\Phi(f) \leq \Phi(e) \leq m_0 + 1$  holds for each subprojection  $f \leq e$  with  $\tau(f) \leq m_0$ .

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a countably decomposable, properly infinite type  $\text{II}_\infty$  factor with a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight  $\tau$ , then (i) of Definition 2.1 and the preceding arguments guarantee that

$$\tau(f) \leq m_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Phi(f) \leq m_0 + 1.$$

In terms of the diagonal form in (2.2), there exists a partition  $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of the identity  $I$  finer than  $\{e'_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  with each  $e_n$  in  $\mathcal{PF}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $\tau(e_n) < m_0$  such that

$$d = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n e_n \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(e_n) \leq m_0 + 1 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq [-\|a\|, \|a\|]$ .

By Remark 2.10, we reformulate Theorem 2.9 in the setting of countably decomposable, properly infinite, semifinite von Neumann algebras as follows.

THEOREM 2.11. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a countably decomposable, properly infinite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight  $\tau$  and let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  (see Definition 2.1). Assume that

$$\lim_{\substack{\tau(e) \rightarrow \infty \\ e \in \mathcal{PF}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}} \frac{\Phi(e)}{\tau(e)} = 0.$$

Let  $a \in \mathcal{M}$  be a self-adjoint element. Then there exists an integer  $m_0 \geq 1$ , and for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a diagonal operator  $d = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n e_n \in \mathcal{M}$  as in the form of (2.3) such that

- (i)  $a - d \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $\Phi(e_n) \leq m_0 + 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\Phi(a - d) \leq \epsilon$  and  $\|d\| \leq \|a\|$ .



## 2.2. Irrational rotation algebras $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ and hyperfinite type $\text{II}_1$ factors.

The class of irrational rotation algebras  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  have been studied a lot in recent years. Let  $\theta$  be an irrational number, the irrational rotation algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  is the universal  $C^*$ -algebra generated by two unitary elements  $u$  and  $v$  satisfying

$$uv = e^{2\pi i\theta}vu.$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  can also be viewed as a crossed product  $C^*$ -algebra, an application of Theorem 1 of [22] entails that  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  is amenable. Moreover, Elliott and Evans [6] proved that  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  is a limit circle algebra.

It is well known that  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  is simple and there exists only one faithful tracial state  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ . The reader is referred to Chapter VI of [5] for more details. By virtue of the GNS construction, the tracial state  $\tau$  induces a  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \tau)$ . It is easy to verify that  $\pi$  is a unital  $*$ -isomorphism. Thus,  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\theta)$  is also amenable. By applying Corollary 2 of [2],  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\theta)$  is nuclear. It follows that  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\theta)''$  is injective in terms of Theorem IV.2.2.13 and Theorem IV.3.1.12 of [1]. Note that the tracial state  $\tau$  induces a tracial vector state on  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\theta)''$ . The following facts are useful:

- (1)  $\tau(u^n) = \tau(v^n) = 0$  for each non-zero integer  $n$ ;
- (2) the set  $\{u^m v^n : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \tau)$ .

By the above facts, it can be verified that  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\theta)''$  is an injective type  $\text{II}_1$  factor. Thus, Theorem 6 of [3] entails that  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\theta)''$  is hyperfinite.

LEMMA 2.12. *Let  $a \in \pi(\mathcal{A}_\theta)''$  be a self-adjoint operator such that*

$$\{a\}'' = \{\pi(u), \pi(u)^*\}''.$$

*If  $b$  is a non-scalar self-adjoint operator in  $\{\pi(v), \pi(v)^*\}''$ , then  $a + ib$  is an irreducible operator in the von Neumann algebra  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\theta)''$ .*

PROOF. Note that  $\{\pi(u), \pi(u)^*\}''$  and  $\{\pi(v), \pi(v)^*\}''$  are both masas (short for maximal abelian self-adjoint algebra) in  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\theta)''$ . Let  $p$  be a projection in  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\theta)''$  such that  $pa = ap$  and  $pb = bp$ . Since  $a$  generates  $\{\pi(u), \pi(u)^*\}''$ , we have that  $p$  belongs to  $\{\pi(u), \pi(u)^*\}''$ . Note that  $p$  and  $b$  can be also viewed as vectors in  $L^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \tau)$ . It follows that  $p$  can be expressed as a Laurent series of  $u$  and  $b$  can be expressed as a Laurent series of  $v$ . That  $pb = bp$  guarantees that  $p$  is trivial, since  $\{u^m v^n : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathcal{A}_\theta, \tau)$ . Thus,  $a + ib$  is irreducible in  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\theta)''$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is a special case of Corollary 4.1 of [19] proved by Popa, which is useful in the proof of our main result.

LEMMA 2.13. *Every type  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $\mathcal{M}$  with separable predual contains an irreducible, hyperfinite subfactor  $\mathcal{N}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{N}' \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore, there is a maximal abelian  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$  which is regular in  $\mathcal{N}$ .*

Note that in the hyperfinite type  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_\theta)''$ ,  $\{\pi(u), \pi(u)^*\}''$  and  $\{\pi(v), \pi(v)^*\}''$  are both Cartan masas. The following result is proved by Connes, Feldman, Weiss [3], and Popa [20] separately.

LEMMA 2.14 (Theorem 4.1 of [20]). *If  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are Cartan subalgebras of the hyperfinite type  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $\mathcal{R}$ , then there exists a normal  $*$ -automorphism  $\pi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$  such that  $\pi(\mathcal{A}_1) = \mathcal{A}_2$ .*

### 3. Main results

In this section, we will prove an extended Halmos' theorem in semifinite factors with separable predual. For this purpose, we deal with factors of type  $\text{I}_\infty$  and type  $\text{II}_\infty$  separately. This is because type  $\text{II}_\infty$  factors contain no minimal projections while minimal projections play an important role in the study of factors of type  $\text{I}_\infty$ . It follows that the proofs in these two cases are different in details. Recall that we always assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a complex separable Hilbert space and we let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the set of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a semifinite von Neumann factor with a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight  $\tau$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \tau(R(x)) < \infty\}$ . Every operator in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is said to be of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ -finite-rank in this paper. When no confusion can arise, we just call  $x$  a finite-rank operator in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , for every  $x$  in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . This coincides with the definition of finite rank operators in the setting of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

#### 3.1. Case 1: $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm-density of irreducible operators in Type $\text{I}_\infty$ factors.

In  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , with Kuroda's theorem in [13], we can extend Halmos' theorem with respect to each unitary invariant norm **not** equivalent to the trace norm. For completeness, we sketch its proof in this subsection.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a countably decomposable, semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann factor with a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight  $\tau$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  defined as in Definition 2.1.*

*For  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  be a subsequence of  $\{\epsilon/2^k\}_{k \geq 1}$ . If  $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  is a sequence of mutually orthogonal, finite-rank projections in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that*

- (1)  $\sum_{i \geq 1} e_i = I$ ;
- (2)  $\Phi(e_i) \leq \lambda_1$  for some  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and all  $i \geq 1$ ,

*then it follows that*

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i e_i \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i e_i\right) \leq \epsilon \cdot \lambda_1.$$

PROOF. By Definition 2.1, the self-adjoint operator  $f_n := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i e_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . The inequality

$$\Phi(f_{n+m} - f_n) = \Phi\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \alpha_{n+i} e_{n+i}\right) \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \alpha_{n+i} \Phi(e_{n+i}) < \frac{\epsilon \cdot \lambda_1}{2^n}.$$

guarantees that  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  and  $\sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i e_i$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . A routine calculation implies that  $\Phi(\sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i e_i) \leq \epsilon \cdot \lambda_1$ .  $\square$



REMARK 3.2. Suppose that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Note that, as characterized in Lemma 1 of [13], a unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is not equivalent to the trace norm if and only if

$$\lim_{\substack{\tau(e) \rightarrow \infty \\ e \in \mathcal{PF}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}} \frac{\Phi(e)}{\tau(e)} = 0.$$

Enlightened by this characterization, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Suppose that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  (defined in Definition 2.1). Assume that

$$\lim_{\substack{\tau(e) \rightarrow \infty \\ e \in \mathcal{PF}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}} \frac{\Phi(e)}{\tau(e)} = 0.$$

Then for each  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

- (i)  $x - y \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;
- (ii)  $\Phi(x - y) \leq \epsilon$ .

PROOF. Let  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Write  $x = a + ib$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are self-adjoint operators in  $\mathcal{M}$ . By applying Theorem 2.11, there is a diagonal, self-adjoint operator  $a_1 := \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha'_i e_i$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\{\alpha'_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subseteq [-\|a\|, \|a\|]$  such that

- (1)  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  are mutually orthogonal projections for  $i \neq j$ ;
- (2) each  $e_i$  is a minimal projection in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\sum_{i \geq 1} e_i = I$ ;
- (3)  $a - a_1 \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;
- (4)  $\Phi(a - a_1) \leq \epsilon/4$ .

As an application of Lemma 3.1, there is a diagonal operator  $a_2 := \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i e_i$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subseteq [-\|a\|, \|a\|]$  such that

- (1)  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ;
- (2)  $a_1 - a_2 \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;
- (3)  $\Phi(a_1 - a_2) \leq \epsilon/4$ .

Note that the construction of  $a_2$  entails that each operator in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  commuting with  $a_2$  is diagonal with respect to  $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

Corresponding to  $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ , there is a system of matrix units  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that

- (1)  $e_i = e_{ii}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2)  $e_{ij} = e_{ji}^*$ , for all  $i$  and  $j$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ ;
- (3)  $e_{mn}e_{ij} = \delta_{ni}e_{mj}$  for all  $m, n, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (4)  $\text{SOT-}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{ii} = I$ ,

where  $\delta_{ni}$  is the Kronecker symbol.

With respect to  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ , the self-adjoint operator  $b$  can be expressed as

$$b := \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \beta'_{ij} e_{ij},$$

where  $\beta'_{ij}$ 's are complex numbers. Note that  $\beta'_{ij}\beta'_{ji} = |\beta'_{ij}|^2$ . For the sake of simplicity, the entries  $\beta'_{ij}$ 's satisfying  $i + k = j$  are said to be in the  $k$ -diagonal of  $\sum_{i,j \geq 1} \beta'_{ij} e_{ij}$ . Now we focus on the 1-diagonal. It is easy to check that the operator  $v := \sum_{i \geq 1} e_{i,i+1}$  is a partial isometry.

Construct a self-adjoint operator  $b_2 := \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \beta_{ij} e_{ij}$  and a diagonal, self-adjoint operator  $c := \sum_{i \geq 1} \gamma_i e_{ii}$  as follows:

$$\beta_{ij} := \begin{cases} \beta'_{ij}, & \text{if } |i - j| \neq 1; \\ \beta'_{ij}, & \text{if } |i - j| = 1 \text{ and } \beta'_{ij} \neq 0; \\ \epsilon/2^{i+2}, & \text{if } j = i + 1 \text{ and } \beta'_{ij} = 0; \\ \epsilon/2^{j+2}, & \text{if } i = j + 1 \text{ and } \beta'_{ij} = 0. \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_i := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \beta'_{i,i+1} \neq 0; \\ \epsilon/2^{i+2}, & \text{if } \beta'_{i,i+1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Apparently,  $b_2$  is self-adjoint and  $b_2 = b + cv + v^*c$ . By Lemma 3.1, we have that

$$b - b_2 \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(b - b_2) \leq \epsilon/2.$$

Define an operator  $y := a_2 + ib_2$ . We claim that  $y$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Actually, if  $p$  is a projection in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  commuting with  $y$ , then  $p$  commutes with both  $a_2$  and  $b_2$ . The equality  $pa_2 = a_2p$  implies that  $p$  is diagonal. Thus, each diagonal entry of  $p$  must be either  $e_{ii}$  or 0. Since each 1-diagonal entry of  $b_2$  is non-zero, the equality  $pb_2 = b_2p$  guarantees that  $p$  is trivial.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x - y) &= \Phi(a + ib - a_1 + a_1 - a_2 - ib_2) \\ &\leq \Phi(a - a_1) + \Phi(a_1 - a_2) + \Phi(b - b_2) \\ &\leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$x - y \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x - y) \leq \epsilon.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3.2. Case 2: $\Phi$ -norm-density of irreducible operators in type $\text{II}_\infty$ factors.

Since type  $\text{II}_\infty$  factors contain no minimal projections, the proof of Theorem 3.3 doesn't work directly for any type  $\text{II}_\infty$  factor. Fortunately, the irrational rotation algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  enables us to develop new techniques to extend Theorem 3.3.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a type  $\text{II}_\infty$  factor with separable predual, where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight. Let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  defined as in Definition 2.1.*

*Assume that*

$$\lim_{\substack{\tau(e) \rightarrow \infty \\ e \in \mathcal{PF}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}} \frac{\Phi(e)}{\tau(e)} = 0.$$

Then for each  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$x - y \in \mathcal{K}_{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x - y) \leq \epsilon.$$

PROOF. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and an operator  $x := a + ib$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $a$  and  $b$  are self-adjoint operators in  $\mathcal{M}$ . First, we make perturbations of  $a$  and  $b$  respectively. Then we construct an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  as required.

By virtue of Theorem 2.11, there exists a diagonal, self-adjoint operator  $a_1 := \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha'_i e'_i$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\{\alpha'_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subseteq [-\|a\|, \|a\|]$  such that

- (1)  $e'_i$  and  $e'_j$  are mutually orthogonal projections for  $i \neq j$  and  $\sum_{i \geq 1} e'_i = I$ ;
- (2) each projection  $e'_i$  is of finite-rank and satisfies  $\Phi(e'_i) \leq m_0 + 1$  for a uniformly upper bound  $m_0 > 1$ ;
- (3)  $a - a_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;
- (4)  $\Phi(a - a_1) \leq \epsilon/8$ .

With respect to  $\{e'_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ , the self-adjoint operator  $b$  can be expressed in the form

$$b = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} b'_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad b'_{ij} := e'_i b e'_j \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathbb{N},$$

where each  $b'_{ij}$  can be viewed as an operator from  $\text{ran } e'_j$  to  $\text{ran } e'_i$ . Note that each  $b'_{ii}$  is self-adjoint. When we consider  $b'_{ii}$  as a self-adjoint operator restricted on  $\text{ran } e'_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , by virtue of the spectral theorem, there are real numbers  $\{\beta'_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq n_i} \subseteq [-\|b'_{ii}\|, \|b'_{ii}\|]$  and a finite partition  $\{e'_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq n_i}$  of  $e'_i$  consisting of finitely many spectral projections of  $b'_{ii}$  such that

- (1) each  $b''_{ii} := \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n_i} \beta'_{i,j} e'_{i,j}$  is self-adjoint;
- (2)  $\Phi(b'_{ii} - b''_{ii}) \leq \|b'_{ii} - b''_{ii}\| \Phi(e'_i) < \epsilon/2^{i+3}$ .

For convenience, we rename  $\{e'_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq n_i; 1 \leq i}$  as  $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ . Note that  $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  is a finer partition of the identity operator  $I$  relative to  $\{e'_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ .

Based on the matrix form  $b := \sum_{i,j \geq 1} b'_{ij}$ , we define an operator  $b_2 := \sum_{i,j \geq 1} b_{ij}$  in the form:

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} b''_{ii}, & \text{for } i = j; \\ b'_{ij}, & \text{for } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

This means that we replace each  $(i, i)$ -th entry  $b'_{ii}$  of  $b$  with  $b''_{ii}$  to obtain  $b_2$ . It follows that

$$\Phi(b - b_2) \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} \Phi(b'_{ii} - b''_{ii}) \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} \epsilon/2^{i+3} = \epsilon/8.$$

Furthermore, we express the operator  $b_2$  in the form  $b_2 = \sum_{i,j} e_i b_2 e_j$ . At the same time, with respect to  $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ , we rename  $\{\beta'_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq n_i; 1 \leq i}$  as  $\{\beta_{ii}\}_{i \geq 1}$  such that

$$\beta_{ii} e_i := e_i b_2 e_i, \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1.$$

Note that  $\beta_{ii}$ 's are all real numbers. Apparently,  $b_2$  is self-adjoint and belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ .

On the other hand, in terms of  $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ , the operator  $a_1$  can be expressed as

$$a_1 := \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha''_i e_i.$$

Then as an application of Lemma 3.1, there is a diagonal operator  $a_2 := \sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_i e_i$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  with a sequence  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subseteq [-2\|a\|, 2\|a\|]$  such that

- (1)  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ;
- (2)  $a_1 - a_2 \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;
- (3)  $\Phi(a_1 - a_2) \leq \epsilon/8$ .

Define  $x_2 := a_2 + ib_2$ . We have

- (1)  $x - x_2 \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ;
- (2)  $\Phi(x - x_2) \leq \Phi(a - a_1) + \Phi(a_1 - a_2) + \Phi(b - b_2) \leq \epsilon/8 + \epsilon/8 + \epsilon/8 < \epsilon/2$ .

In the following, we construct an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  based on  $x_2$  up to a  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm perturbation less than  $\epsilon/8$ .

Since  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a type  $\text{II}_\infty$  factor with separable predual and each projection  $e_n$  is of finite-rank in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , we have that each  $e_n \mathcal{M} e_n$  (restricted to  $\text{ran } e_n$ ) is a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor with separable predual. By applying Lemma 2.13, there exists an irreducible, hyperfinite subfactor  $\mathcal{N}_n$  in  $e_n \mathcal{M} e_n$ . Furthermore, there exists a Cartan masa  $\mathcal{A}_n$  of  $\mathcal{N}_n$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is also a masa in  $e_n \mathcal{M} e_n$ . Recall that a *masa* is a maximal abelian self-adjoint algebra.

Due to Connes [4], all the hyperfinite type  $\text{II}_1$  factors are isomorphic. By the arguments about the irrational rotation algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\theta$  preceding Lemma 2.12, there exist two unitary operators  $u_n$  and  $v_n$  in  $\mathcal{N}_n$  with  $u_n v_n = e^{2\pi i \theta} v_n u_n$ , generating  $\mathcal{N}_n$ . On the other hand, Lemma 2.14 guarantees the existence of an automorphism  $\pi_n$  on  $e_n \mathcal{M} e_n$  such that  $\pi_n(\mathcal{A}_n) = \{v_n, v_n^*\}''$ . For the sake of simplicity, we assume that  $\mathcal{A}_n = \{v_n, v_n^*\}''$ , where by  $\{v_n, v_n^*\}''$  we denote the von Neumann algebra generated by  $v_n$  and  $v_n^*$  in  $e_n \mathcal{M} e_n$ .

Let  $p_n$  be a non-trivial projection in  $\{u_n, u_n^*\}''$  and  $h_n$  be a self-adjoint, single generator of  $\{v_n, v_n^*\}''$  with  $\|h_n\| \leq 1$ . Then Lemma 2.12 entails that  $\alpha p_n + i\beta h_n$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{N}_n$  for every pair of non-zero real numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Relative to the preceding self-adjoint operator  $a_2$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of  $\{\epsilon/2^n\}_{n \geq 1}$  such that

- (1)  $\alpha_n + \lambda_n \neq \alpha_m + \lambda_m$  for  $n \neq m$ ;
- (2)  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n < \epsilon/(8(m_0 + 1))$ .

Define  $a_3 := \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n e_n + \lambda_n p_n$ . Considering each  $\alpha_n e_n + \lambda_n p_n$  as an operator in  $e_n \mathcal{M} e_n$ , it follows that

$$\sigma_{e_n \mathcal{M} e_n}(\alpha_n e_n + \lambda_n p_n) \cap \sigma_{e_m \mathcal{M} e_m}(\alpha_m e_m + \lambda_m p_m) = \emptyset, \quad \text{for } n \neq m,$$

and

$$a_2 - a_3 \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(a_2 - a_3) \leq \epsilon/8.$$

In terms of  $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , define  $c_3 = \sum_{n, m \geq 1} e_n c_3 e_m$  to be a self-adjoint operator in  $\mathcal{M}$  in the form:

$$e_n c_3 e_m = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |n - m| \neq 1; \\ 0, & \text{if } |n - m| = 1 \text{ and } e_n b_2 e_m \neq 0; \\ \lambda_n w_{nm}, & \text{if } n + 1 = m \text{ and } e_n b_2 e_m = 0; \\ \lambda_m w_{mn}^*, & \text{if } n - 1 = m \text{ and } e_n b_2 e_m = 0, \end{cases}$$

where each  $w_{nm}$  is a nonzero partial isometry such that  $e_n w_{nm} = w_{nm} = w_{nm} e_m$ . Note that, the existence of nonzero partial isometries  $w_{nm}$ 's follows from that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a factor. Thus  $c_3 \in \mathcal{M}$  is a self-adjoint operator satisfying

$$c_3 \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(c_3) \leq \epsilon/4.$$

Define  $b_3 := b_2 + c_3 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_n h_n$ . It follows that

$$b_3 - b_2 \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(b_3 - b_2) \leq 3\epsilon/8.$$

*Claim 3.4.1.* *The operator  $y := a_3 + ib_3$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof of Claim 3.4.1 :* Actually, if  $p$  is a projection in  $\mathcal{M}$  commuting with  $y$ , then  $p$  commutes with both  $a_3$  and  $b_3$ . Write  $p = \sum_{n,m \geq 1} p_{nm}$  relative to  $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ . This means that  $p_{nm} := e_n p e_m$  for each pair of integers  $m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ .

By virtue of the construction of  $a_3$ , we have  $p_{nm} = 0$  for  $n \neq m$ . Note that  $p_{nn}$  is a subprojection of  $e_n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . It follows that  $p_{nn} p_n = p_n p_{nn}$  and  $p_{nn} h_n = h_n p_{nn}$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Since each  $h_n$  generates  $\{v_n, v_n^*\}''$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the projection  $p_{nn}$  commutes with each operator in  $\{v_n, v_n^*\}''$ . Note that  $\{v_n, v_n^*\}''$  is also a masa in  $e_n \mathcal{M} e_n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . We have  $p_{nn} \in \{v_n, v_n^*\}''$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $p_n$  is a non-trivial projection in  $\{u_n, u_n^*\}''$  for each  $n \geq 1$ , the equality  $p_{nn} p_n = p_n p_{nn}$  entails that  $p_{nn}$  equals  $e_n$  or 0 in  $e_n \mathcal{M} e_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Note that  $p$  also commutes with each 1-diagonal entry of  $b_3$ . By virtue of the construction of  $c_3$ , it follows that the projection  $p$  is trivial in  $\mathcal{M}$ . This ends the proof of Claim 3.4.1.

(End of the proof of Theorem 3.4) Therefore, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x - y) &= \Phi(a + ib - a_3 - ib_3) \\ &\leq \Phi(a - a_1) + \Phi(a_1 - a_2) + \Phi(a_2 - a_3) + \Phi(b - b_2) + \Phi(b_2 - b_3) \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{8} + \frac{\epsilon}{8} + \frac{\epsilon}{8} + \frac{\epsilon}{8} + \frac{3\epsilon}{8} < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The construction of  $y$  implies that  $x - y \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Combining Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4, we obtain the main theorem of this section.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann factor with separable predual, where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight. Let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  defined as in Definition 2.1. Assume that*

$$\lim_{\substack{\tau(e) \rightarrow \infty \\ e \in \mathcal{PF}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)}} \frac{\Phi(e)}{\tau(e)} = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

*Then for each  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that*

$$x - y \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x - y) \leq \epsilon.$$

In other words, if a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  satisfies (1.1), then the set of irreducible operators in  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm dense in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .

Note that, in the setting of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , for every  $p \geq 1$ , the  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -norm is  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating and unitarily invariant. In terms of Remark 3.2, if we define  $\Phi(\cdot) := \|\cdot\|_p$ , then the condition stated in (1.1) holds if and only if  $p > 1$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is defined as in (2.1). Thus we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Let  $\mathcal{K}_p(\mathcal{H})$  be a normed ideal of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  equipped with a  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -norm satisfying  $p > 1$  defined as in Definition 2.7. Then for each operator  $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that*

$$x - y \in \mathcal{K}_p(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|x - y\|_p \leq \epsilon.$$

Furthermore, in the setting of semifinite von Neumann factors, we can verify that, for every  $p > 1$ , the  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\max\{\|\cdot\|_p, \|\cdot\|\}$  in Definition 2.7 satisfies the condition defined in (1.1). Thus we have the following result.

**COROLLARY 3.7.** *Suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann factor with separable predual, where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight.*

*For  $p > 1$ , let  $\mathcal{K}_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a  $\|\cdot\|_p$ -norm satisfying  $p > 1$  defined as in Definition 2.7.*

*Then for each operator  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that*

$$x - y \in \mathcal{K}_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \max\{\|x - y\|_p, \|x - y\|\} \leq \epsilon.$$

*In other words, the set of irreducible operators in  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $(\max\{\|\cdot\|_p, \|\cdot\|\})$ -norm dense in  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .*

**REMARK 3.8.** *In Theorem 3.5, the semifinite factor  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is not necessary to be properly infinite. In terms of the same techniques applied in the proof of Theorem 3.5, The same result for every finite factor with separable predual is also true. The proof for the case of finite factors is similar to that of Theorem 3.5 and much simpler.*

*Note that, if  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a finite factor, then Lemma 2 of [7] entails that every  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm defined on  $\mathcal{M}$  is equivalent to the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . In this case, the  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm density of irreducible operators in  $\mathcal{M}$  can also be proved by [8, Theorem 2.1]. In this point of view, Theorem 3.5 is more interesting in semifinite, properly infinite factors  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , since the normed ideal  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is nontrivial in general.*

#### 4. Density of irreducible operators up to normed ideal perturbations in the set of normal operators in semifinite von Neumann factors

It is worth mentioning that, while studying the density of irreducible operators up to the normed ideal perturbation in semifinite von Neumann factors, an important technique is Theorem 2.11, which is an extended Weyl-von Neumann theorem for self-adjoint operators in the setting of semifinite von Neumann algebras. The condition stated in (1.1) is crucial to Theorem



2.11. Thus it is interesting to ask Problem 1.2, i.e., whether irreducible operators are  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm dense in each semifinite von Neumann factor with separable predual.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space. In the setting of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , by virtue of the Kato-Rosenblum's theorem, a self-adjoint operator  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with a non-vanishing spectrally absolutely continuous part, can't be expressed as a diagonal operator plus an arbitrarily small trace norm perturbation. This implies that, to study the density of irreducible operators in each semifinite von Neumann factor  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  with respect to the  $\{\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_1\}$ -norm, it is necessary to develop new techniques.

In this section, we introduce a new approach to prove that each normal operator in a semifinite von Neumann factor  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  can be expressed as an irreducible operator in  $\mathcal{M}$  plus an arbitrarily small  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm perturbation, where the  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm induces a normed ideal  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  as defined in Definition 2.1. With this new approach, the restriction in (1.1) can be removed from the  $\Phi(\cdot)$ -norm in the above perturbation.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a semifinite von Neumann factor with separable predual, where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight. Let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  (see Definition 2.1).*

*For each normal operator  $x$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that*

$$x - y \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x - y) \leq \epsilon.$$

**REMARK 4.2.** *As mentioned in Remark 3.8, for a finite factor, every  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is equivalent to the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Thus the proof, when  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a finite von Neumann factor with separable predual, is an application of [8, Theorem 2.1].*

*Therefore, it is sufficient to prove Theorem 4.1 when  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is an infinite von Neumann factor with separable predual.*

Recall that, for each operator  $x$  in a  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} x$  (the real part of  $x$ ) and  $\operatorname{Im} x$  (the imaginary part of  $x$ ) are defined as

$$\operatorname{Re} x := \frac{x + x^*}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im} x := \frac{x - x^*}{2i}. \quad (4.1)$$

It follows that  $x = \operatorname{Re} x + i \operatorname{Im} x$ , i.e., each operator  $x$  can be expressed as a sum of self-adjoint operators in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

In the following, we prepare three lemmas for Lemma 4.6. In fact, operators mentioned in the following three lemmas aren't necessary to be normal.

**LEMMA 4.3.** *Suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann factor with separable predual, where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight. Let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  (see Definition 2.1).*

*Suppose that  $x$  is an operator in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If there exist three infinite, spectral projections  $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^3$  for  $\operatorname{Re} x$  with*

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = I, \quad \text{and} \quad p_j \cdot (\operatorname{Im} x) = (\operatorname{Im} x) \cdot p_j, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (4.2)$$

then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$x - y \in \mathcal{K}_{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x - y) \leq \epsilon. \quad (4.3)$$

PROOF. First, we apply systems of matrix units for  $\mathcal{M}$  to construct an operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then we prove that  $y$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$  and it satisfies (4.3).

Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a semifinite, properly infinite factor, there exists a system of matrix units  $\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^3$  for  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

- (1)  $p_{jj} = p_j$ , for all  $j = 1, 2, 3$ ,
- (2)  $p_{ji}^* = p_{ij}$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ,
- (3)  $p_{ij}p_{kl} = \delta_{jk}p_{il}$  for each  $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3$ ,

where  $\delta_{jk}$  means the Kronecker symbol.

Furthermore, there exists a system of matrix units  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $p_{11}\mathcal{M}p_{11}$  satisfying

- (1)  $\text{SOT-}\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e_{ii} = p_{11}$ ;
- (2)  $e_{ji}^* = e_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (3)  $e_{ij}e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il}$  for all  $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (4)  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and by virtue of Lemma 2.5, there is a uniform upper bound  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\Phi(e_{ij}) \leq \eta$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Define  $\mathcal{P}$  to be the von Neumann algebra generated by  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^3$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a type  $\text{I}_{\infty}$  subfactor of  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}(l^2(\mathbb{N}))$ . Define  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{P}' \cap \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is a finite subfactor of  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $e_{11}\mathcal{M}e_{11}$  and  $(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{P})'' = \mathcal{M}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra tensor product  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ , which is denoted by  $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ .

*Claim 4.3.1.* *There exist two invertible positive operators  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $a + ib$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{N}$ .*

*Proof of Claim 4.3.1 :* Since  $\mathcal{N}$  is a finite subfactor of  $\mathcal{M}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{N}$  is either a type  $\text{I}_n$  factor for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $\mathcal{N}$  is a type  $\text{II}_1$  subfactor of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mathcal{N}$  is a finite type I subfactor of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then we can use linear algebra techniques to choose a single generator  $a + ib$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  such that both  $a$  and  $b$  are invertible and positive. Otherwise, if  $\mathcal{N}$  is a type  $\text{II}_1$  subfactor of  $\mathcal{M}$ , by Lemma 2.13 there exists an irreducible, hyperfinite type  $\text{II}_1$  subfactor  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ . Note that each hyperfinite type  $\text{II}_1$  factor is singly generated (see [24, Theorem 1]). It follows that, we can choose  $a + ib$  to be a single generator of  $\mathcal{R}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are invertible, positive operators in  $\mathcal{R}$ . In each case,  $a + ib$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{N}$ . This ends the proof of Claim 4.3.1.

(End of the proof of Lemma 4.3) Define a self-adjoint operator  $h$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  of the form

$$\begin{aligned} h := & \text{Im } x + \frac{\epsilon}{3^2 \cdot \eta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^j} (e_{jj}p_{12} + p_{21}e_{jj}) + \frac{\epsilon \cdot a}{3^2 \cdot \eta \cdot \|a\|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^j} (e_{jj}p_{13} + p_{31}e_{jj}) \\ & + \frac{\epsilon \cdot b}{3^2 \cdot \eta \cdot \|b\|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^j} (p_{21}e_{1j}p_{13} + p_{31}e_{j1}p_{12}). \end{aligned}$$

As an application of Lemma 2.5, it follows that  $\Phi(\text{Im } x - h) \leq \epsilon/3$ .

Define  $y = \operatorname{Re} x + ih$ . Thus  $\Phi(x - y) < \epsilon$ . To prove that  $y$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^3 \cup \{a, b\} \subset W^*(y),$$

where  $W^*(y)$  is the von Neumann sub-algebra of  $\mathcal{M}$  generated by  $y$  and the identity  $I$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

In terms of (4.2), we have

$$\{p_{jj}\}_{j=1}^3 \subset W^*(\operatorname{Re} x) \subset W^*(y).$$

Since  $p_{jj} \cdot (\operatorname{Im} x) = (\operatorname{Im} x) \cdot p_{jj}$  for all  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , it follows that

$$p_{11}hp_{22} = \frac{\epsilon}{3^2 \cdot \eta} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{3^j} (e_{jj}p_{12}) \in W^*(y).$$

This entails that

$$p_{11}hp_{22}hp_{11} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{3^4 \cdot \eta^2} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{3^{(2j)}} e_{jj} \in p_{11}W^*(y)p_{11}.$$

A function calculus of the positive operator  $p_{11}hp_{22}hp_{11}$  yields that

$$\{e_{jj}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq p_{11}W^*(y)p_{11} \subseteq W^*(y).$$

Thus for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $e_{jj}hp_{22} = e_{jj}p_{12} \in W^*(y)$ . It follows that

$$p_{12} = \text{SOT-} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_{jj}p_{12} \in W^*(y).$$

Note that for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$e_{jj}hp_{33} = \frac{\epsilon \cdot ae_{jj}p_{13}}{3^{j+2} \cdot \eta \cdot \|a\|} \in W^*(y).$$

Since  $a$  is positive and invertible in  $\mathcal{N}$ , the uniqueness of the polar decomposition of  $ae_{jj}p_{13}$  entails that  $e_{jj}p_{13}$  is in  $W^*(y)$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that

$$p_{13} = \text{SOT-} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} e_{jj}p_{13} \in W^*(y).$$

Thus, the fact that  $W^*(y)$  is a  $*$ -algebra entails that  $\{p_{21}, p_{31}\} \subset W^*(y)$ . Therefore, we have

$$\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^3 \subset W^*(y).$$

Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is the von Neumann algebra generated by  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^3$ , we have  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq W^*(y)$ . This implies that, for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 \leq k \leq 3$ ,

$$ap_{k1}e_{jj}p_{1k} = p_{k1}ae_{jj}p_{13}p_{3k} \in W^*(y).$$

It follows that

$$a = \text{SOT-} \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ap_{k1}e_{jj}p_{1k} \in W^*(y).$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$b = \text{SOT-} \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} bp_{k1}e_{jj}p_{1k} = \text{SOT-} \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} bp_{k3}(p_{31}e_{j1}p_{12})p_{21}e_{1j}p_{1k} \in W^*(y).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{P} \cup \{a, b\} \subset W^*(y).$$

We are ready to prove that  $y$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Suppose that  $q$  is a projection in  $\mathcal{M}$  commuting with  $y$ . It follows that  $q$  commutes with every element in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Thus we have  $q \in \mathcal{N}$ . Note that  $qa = aq$  and  $qb = bq$ . In terms of Claim 4.3.1, the operator  $a + ib$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{N}$ . This entails that  $q = 0$  or  $q = I$ . Therefore,  $y = \operatorname{Re} x + ih$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

LEMMA 4.4. *Suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann factor with separable predual, where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight. Let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  (see Definition 2.1).*

*Suppose that  $x$  is an operator in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\operatorname{Re} x$  is in the form*

$$\operatorname{Re} x = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + (I - e_1 - e_2) \operatorname{Re} x$$

*such that*

- (1)  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ ,
- (2)  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are infinite, mutually orthogonal, spectral projections for  $\operatorname{Re} x$ ,
- (3)  $e_j \cdot (\operatorname{Im} x) = (\operatorname{Im} x) \cdot e_j$  for  $j = 1, 2$ ,
- (4)  $0 < \tau(I - e_1 - e_2) < \infty$ ,

*then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that*

$$x - y \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x - y) \leq \epsilon. \quad (4.3)$$

PROOF. Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a semifinite, properly infinite factor, the induced von Neumann algebra  $(e_1 + e_2)\mathcal{M}(e_1 + e_2)$  is also a semifinite, properly infinite factor. Thus there exists a system of matrix units  $\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^2$  for  $(e_1 + e_2)\mathcal{M}(e_1 + e_2)$  such that

- (1)  $p_{jj} = e_j$  is infinite in  $(e_1 + e_2)\mathcal{M}(e_1 + e_2)$  for  $j = 1, 2$ ,
- (2)  $p_{ji}^* = p_{ij}$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2$ ,
- (3)  $p_{ij}p_{kl} = \delta_{jk}p_{il}$  for each  $i, j, k, l = 1, 2$ ,

where  $\delta_{jk}$  means the Kronecker symbol.

Furthermore, there exists a system of matrix units  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=0}^\infty$  for  $(I - e_2)\mathcal{M}(I - e_2)$  satisfying

- (1)  $e_{00} = I - e_1 - e_2$  and  $\operatorname{SOT}\text{-}\sum_{j=1}^\infty e_{jj} = p_{11} = e_1$ ;
- (2)  $e_{ji}^* = e_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \geq 0$ ;
- (3)  $e_{ij}e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il}$  for all  $i, j, k, l \geq 0$ ;
- (4)  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and by virtue of Lemma 2.5, there is a uniform upper bound  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\Phi(e_{ij}) \leq \eta$  for all  $i, j \geq 0$ .

Define  $\mathcal{P}$  to be the von Neumann algebra generated by  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \geq 0} \cup \{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^2$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a type  $I_\infty$  subfactor of  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}(l^2(\mathbb{N}))$ . Define  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{P}' \cap \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is a finite subfactor of  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $e_{11}\mathcal{M}e_{11}$  and  $(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{P})'' = \mathcal{M}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra tensor product  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ , which is denoted by  $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ .

By virtue of Claim 4.3.1, there exist two invertible positive operators  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $a + ib$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Define a self-adjoint operator  $h$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  of the form

$$\begin{aligned} h := & \operatorname{Im} x + \frac{\epsilon \cdot a}{3^2 \cdot \eta \cdot \|a\|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^j} (e_{0j} + e_{j0}) + \frac{\epsilon \cdot b}{3^2 \cdot \eta \cdot \|b\|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^j} (e_{0j} p_{12} + p_{21} e_{j0}) \\ & + \frac{\epsilon}{3^2 \cdot \eta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^j} (e_{jj} p_{12} + p_{21} e_{jj}). \end{aligned}$$

As an application of Lemma 2.5, it follows that  $\Phi(\operatorname{Im} x - h) \leq \epsilon/3$ .

Define  $y = \operatorname{Re} x + ih$ . Thus  $\Phi(x - y) < \epsilon$ . To prove that  $y$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \geq 0} \cup \{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^2 \cup \{a, b\} \subset W^*(y).$$

Note that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are spectral projections of  $\operatorname{Re} x$ . This entails that

$$\{e_{00}, p_{11}, p_{22}\} \subset W^*(\operatorname{Re} x) \subset W^*(y).$$

Since  $p_{jj} \cdot (\operatorname{Im} x) = (\operatorname{Im} x) \cdot p_{jj}$  for  $j = 1, 2$ , it follows that

$$p_{11} h p_{22} = \frac{\epsilon}{3^2 \cdot \eta} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{3^j} (e_{jj} p_{12}) \in W^*(y).$$

A similar argument as in Lemma 4.3 entails that

$$\{e_{jj}\}_{j \geq 0} \cup \{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^2 \subset W^*(y).$$

Note that for all  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$e_{00} h e_{jj} = \frac{\epsilon \cdot a \cdot e_{0j}}{3^{j+2} \cdot \eta \cdot \|a\|} \in W^*(y).$$

Since  $a$  is positive and invertible in  $\mathcal{N}$ , the uniqueness of the polar decomposition of  $a e_{0j}$  entails that  $e_{0j}$  is in  $W^*(y)$  for all  $j \geq 1$ . It follows that

$$\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \geq 0} \cup \{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^2 \subset W^*(y).$$

Thus, we have  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq W^*(y)$ . This implies that, for all  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$a e_{00} \in W^*(y), \quad a e_{jj} \in W^*(y), \quad \text{and} \quad a p_{21} e_{jj} p_{12} \in W^*(y).$$

It follows that

$$a = \operatorname{SOT}\text{-}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a e_{jj} + \operatorname{SOT}\text{-}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a p_{21} e_{jj} p_{12} \in W^*(y).$$

A similar calculation shows that  $b \in W^*(y)$ . Therefore, we have  $\mathcal{P} \cup \{a, b\} \subset W^*(y)$ .

We are ready to prove that  $y$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$ . A similar argument as in Lemma 4.3 entails that  $y = \operatorname{Re} x + ih$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**LEMMA 4.5.** *Suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann factor with separable predual, where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight. Let  $\mathcal{K}_{\Phi}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  (see Definition 2.1).*

*Suppose that  $x$  is an operator in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\operatorname{Re} x$  is in the form*

$$\operatorname{Re} x = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2$$

such that

- (1)  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are real numbers with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ ,
- (2)  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are infinite, spectral projections for  $\operatorname{Re} x$  with  $e_1 + e_2 = I$ ,
- (3)  $e_j \cdot (\operatorname{Im} x) = (\operatorname{Im} x) \cdot e_j$  for  $j = 1, 2$ ,

then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$x - y \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x - y) \leq \epsilon. \quad (4.3)$$

PROOF. Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a semifinite, properly infinite factor, there exists a system of matrix units  $\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^3$  for  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

- (1)  $p_{11} = e_1$  and  $p_{22} + p_{33} = e_2$ ,
- (2)  $p_{jj}$  is infinite in  $\mathcal{M}$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ ,
- (3)  $p_{jj} \cdot (\operatorname{Im} x) = (\operatorname{Im} x) \cdot p_{jj}$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ ,
- (4)  $p_{ji}^* = p_{ij}$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ,
- (5)  $p_{ij}p_{kl} = \delta_{jk}p_{il}$  for each  $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3$ ,

where  $\delta_{jk}$  means the Kronecker symbol.

Furthermore, there exists a system of matrix units  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $p_{33}\mathcal{M}p_{33}$  satisfying

- (1)  $\operatorname{SOT}\text{-}\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e_{ii} = p_{33}$ ;
- (2)  $e_{ji}^* = e_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (3)  $e_{ij}e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il}$  for all  $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (4)  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and by virtue of Lemma 2.5, there is a uniform upper bound  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\Phi(e_{ij}) \leq \eta$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Define a self-adjoint operator  $d$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  of the following form

$$d := \operatorname{Re} x + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon \cdot e_{jj}}{3^j \cdot \eta}.$$

It follows that  $\Phi(\operatorname{Re} x - d) \leq \epsilon/2$ . Note that  $d + i \operatorname{Im} x$  satisfies the assumption of Lemma 4.3. In terms of the proof of Lemma 4.3, for  $d + i \operatorname{Im} x$ , there exists an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$\Phi(d + i \operatorname{Im} x - y) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\Phi(x - y) \leq \Phi(\operatorname{Re} x - d) + \Phi(d + i \operatorname{Im} x - y) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} < \epsilon.$$

This completes the proof. □

We are ready to prove Lemma 4.6.

LEMMA 4.6. *Suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann factor with separable predual, where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight. Let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  (see Definition 2.1).*



Suppose that  $x$  is a normal operator in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If there exist three infinite, spectral projections  $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^3$  for  $x$  with

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = I,$$

then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$x - y \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x - y) \leq \epsilon. \quad (4.3)$$

PROOF. Note that if there are three infinite, spectral projections  $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^3$  for a normal operator  $x$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = I$ , then at least one of the following three cases happens:

**Case 1:** for either  $\operatorname{Re} x$  or  $\operatorname{Im} x$ , there are three, infinite, spectral projections with sum  $I$ ;

**Case 2:** either  $\operatorname{Re} x$  or  $\operatorname{Im} x$  is in the form

$$\operatorname{Re} x = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + (I - e_1 - e_2) \operatorname{Re} x \quad \text{or} \quad \operatorname{Im} x = \eta_1 f_1 + \eta_2 f_2 + (I - f_1 - f_2) \operatorname{Im} x$$

where  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  (resp.  $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$ ),  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  (resp.  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ ) are infinite, mutually orthogonal, spectral projections for  $\operatorname{Re} x$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Im} x$ ) such that  $I - e_1 - e_2$  (resp.  $I - f_1 - f_2$ ) is finite and nonzero;

**Case 3:** either  $\operatorname{Re} x$  or  $\operatorname{Im} x$  is in the form

$$\operatorname{Re} x = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 \quad \text{or} \quad \operatorname{Im} x = \eta_1 f_1 + \eta_2 f_2$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  (resp.  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$ ) are real numbers with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  (resp.  $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$ ), and the projections  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  (resp.  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ ) are infinite, spectral projections for  $\operatorname{Re} x$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Im} x$ ) with  $e_1 + e_2 = I$  (resp.  $f_1 + f_2 = I$ ).

By virtue of Lemma 4.3, we prove **Case 1**. By virtue of Lemma 4.4, we prove **Case 2**. By virtue of Lemma 4.5, we prove **Case 3**. This completes the proof.  $\square$

LEMMA 4.7. Suppose that  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a semifinite, properly infinite von Neumann factor with separable predual, where  $\tau$  is a faithful, normal, semifinite, tracial weight. Let  $\mathcal{K}_\Phi(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  be a normed ideal of  $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  equipped with a  $\|\cdot\|$ -dominating, unitarily invariant norm  $\Phi(\cdot)$  (see Definition 2.1).

Suppose that  $x$  is a normal operator in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If there are at most two infinite, mutually orthogonal, spectral projections for  $x$ , then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$x - y \in \mathcal{K}_\Phi^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x - y) \leq \epsilon. \quad (4.3)$$

PROOF. Note that if there are at most two infinite, mutually orthogonal, spectral projections for the normal operator  $x$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , then one of the following four cases must happen:

**Case 1:** either  $\operatorname{Re} x$  or  $\operatorname{Im} x$  is in the form

$$\operatorname{Re} x = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + (I - e_1 - e_2) \operatorname{Re} x \quad \text{or} \quad \operatorname{Im} x = \eta_1 f_1 + \eta_2 f_2 + (I - f_1 - f_2) \operatorname{Im} x$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  (resp.  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$ ) are real numbers with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  (resp.  $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$ ), and the projections  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  (resp.  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ ) are infinite, mutually orthogonal, spectral projections for  $\operatorname{Re} x$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Im} x$ ) such that  $I - e_1 - e_2$  (resp.  $I - f_1 - f_2$ ) is finite and nonzero;

**Case 2:** either  $\operatorname{Re} x$  or  $\operatorname{Im} x$  is in the form

$$\operatorname{Re} x = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 \quad \text{or} \quad \operatorname{Im} x = \eta_1 f_1 + \eta_2 f_2$$

where  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  (resp.  $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$ ),  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  (resp.  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ ) are infinite, spectral projections for  $\operatorname{Re} x$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Im} x$ ) with  $e_1 + e_2 = I$  (resp.  $f_1 + f_2 = I$ );

**Case 3:**  $\operatorname{Re} x$  and  $\operatorname{Im} x$  are in the forms

$$\operatorname{Re} x = \lambda e + (I - e)\operatorname{Re} x \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im} x = \eta f + (I - f)\operatorname{Im} x$$

where  $e$  (resp.  $f$ ) is an infinite, spectral projection for  $\operatorname{Re} x$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Im} x$ ) with  $I - e$  (resp.  $I - f$ ) finite and nonzero;

**Case 4:** either  $\operatorname{Re} x$  or  $\operatorname{Im} x$  is a scalar multiple of the identity.

We prove this lemma in the above four cases.

By virtue of Lemma 4.4, we prove **Case 1**. By virtue of Lemma 4.5, we prove **Case 2**. In the following, we prove **Case 3**.

**Case 3.** Suppose that  $\operatorname{Re} x$  and  $\operatorname{Im} x$  are in the forms

$$\operatorname{Re} x = \lambda e + (I - e)\operatorname{Re} x \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im} x = \eta f + (I - f)\operatorname{Im} x$$

where  $e$  (resp.  $f$ ) is an infinite, spectral projection for  $\operatorname{Re} x$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Im} x$ ) with  $I - e$  (resp.  $I - f$ ) finite and nonzero.

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\tau(I - e) \geq \tau(I - f) > 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a semifinite, properly infinite factor, there exists a system of matrix units  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfying

- (1)  $e_{11} = I - e$  and for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $e_{jj}$  reduces  $\operatorname{Im} x$ ;
- (2)  $\text{SOT-}\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e_{ii} = I$ ;
- (3)  $e_{ji}^* = e_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (4)  $e_{ij}e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il}$  for all  $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (5)  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and by virtue of Lemma 2.5, there is a uniform upper bound  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\Phi(e_{ij}) \leq \eta$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Define  $\mathcal{P}$  to be the von Neumann algebra generated by  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a type  $\text{I}_\infty$  subfactor of  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}(l^2(\mathbb{N}))$ . Define  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{P}' \cap \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}$  is a finite subfactor of  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is  $*$ -isomorphic to  $e_{11}\mathcal{M}e_{11}$  and  $(\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{P})'' = \mathcal{M}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $*$ -isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra tensor product  $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ , which is denoted by  $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ .

By virtue of Claim 4.6.1, there exist two invertible positive operators  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $a + ib$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Define a self-adjoint operator  $h$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  of the form

$$h = \operatorname{Im} x + \frac{\epsilon \cdot a(e_{12} + e_{21})}{3 \cdot \eta \cdot \|a\|} + \frac{\epsilon \cdot b}{\eta \cdot \|b\|} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^j} (e_{j,j+1} + e_{j+1,j}).$$

As an application of Lemma 2.5, it follows that  $\Phi(\operatorname{Im} x - h) \leq \epsilon/2$ .

Define  $y = \operatorname{Re} x + ih$ . Thus  $\Phi(x - y) < \epsilon$ . To prove that  $y$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{a, b\} \subset W^*(y).$$

Since  $e_{11} \in W^*(\operatorname{Re} x) \subset W^*(y)$  and  $e_{11} \cdot \operatorname{Im} x = \operatorname{Im} x \cdot e_{11}$ , we have

$$e_{11}h(I - e_{11}) = \frac{\epsilon \cdot a \cdot e_{12}}{3 \cdot \eta \cdot \|a\|} \in W^*(y).$$

Note that  $a$  is positive and invertible in  $\mathcal{N}$ . The uniqueness of the polar decomposition of  $ae_{12}$  entails that  $e_{12}$  is in  $W^*(y)$ . It follows that  $e_{22} \in W^*(y)$ . Since  $e_{22} \cdot \operatorname{Im} x = \operatorname{Im} x \cdot e_{22}$ , we have

$$e_{22}h(I - e_{11} - e_{22}) = \frac{\epsilon \cdot b \cdot e_{23}}{3^2 \cdot \eta \cdot \|b\|} \in W^*(y).$$

Similarly, we have  $\{e_{23}, e_{32}, e_{33}\} \subset W^*(y)$ . By induction, it follows that

$$\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^*(y).$$

Thus, we have  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq W^*(y)$ . This implies that, for all  $j \geq 1$ ,  $ae_{jj} \in W^*(y)$ . It follows that

$$a = \text{SOT-}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ae_{jj} \in W^*(y).$$

A similar calculation shows that  $b \in W^*(y)$ . Therefore, we have  $\mathcal{P} \cup \{a, b\} \subset W^*(y)$ .

We are ready to prove that  $y$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$ . A similar argument as in Lemma 4.3 entails that  $y = \operatorname{Re} x + ih$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{M}$ . This completes the proof of **Case 3**.

To prove **Case 4**, we assume that  $\operatorname{Re} x$  is a scalar multiple of the identity. We apply a similar argument as in Lemma 4.5 to construct a self-adjoint, diagonal operator  $d$  in the form

$$d = \operatorname{Re} x + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{\eta} \left( \frac{e_{jj}}{3^{(j+1)}} + \frac{p_{21}e_{jj}p_{12}}{5^{(j+1)}} + \frac{p_{31}e_{jj}p_{13}}{7^{(j+1)}} \right),$$

where  $\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^3$  is a system of matrix units for  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

- (1)  $p_{11} + p_{22} + p_{33} = I$  for all  $j = 1, 2, 3$ ;
- (2)  $p_{ji}^* = p_{ij}$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ ;
- (3)  $p_{ij}p_{kl} = \delta_{jk}p_{il}$  for all  $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3$ ;
- (4)  $p_{jj} \cdot (\operatorname{Im} x) = (\operatorname{Im} x) \cdot p_{jj}$  for all  $j = 1, 2, 3$ ;

and there exists a system of matrix units  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  for  $p_{11}\mathcal{M}p_{11}$  satisfying

- (5)  $\text{SOT-}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{ii} = p_{11}$ ;
- (6)  $e_{ji}^* = e_{ij}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (7)  $e_{ij}e_{kl} = \delta_{jk}e_{il}$  for each  $i, j, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (8)  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and by virtue of Lemma 2.5, there is a uniform upper bound  $\eta > 0$  such that  $\Phi(e_{ij}) \leq \eta$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Note that  $\Phi(d - \operatorname{Re} x) \leq \epsilon/2$ . For  $d + i \operatorname{Im} x$ , we apply Lemma 4.3 to construct an irreducible operator  $y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\Phi(d + i \operatorname{Im} x - y) < \epsilon/2$ . It follows that

$$\Phi(x - y) \leq \Phi(\operatorname{Re} x - d) + \Phi(d + i \operatorname{Im} x - y) < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad x - y \in \mathcal{K}_{\Phi}^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

This completes the proof of **Case 4**. Therefore, we complete the whole proof.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. By virtue of Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7, we can complete the proof.  $\square$

REMARK 4.8. *Note that Theorem 4.1, Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4, and Lemma 4.5 can be all viewed as evidences to support Problem 1.2 to have a positive answer.*

## References

- [1] Bruce Blackadar. *Operator algebras. Theory of  $C^*$ -algebras and von Neumann algebras*. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 122. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [2] Alain Connes. On the cohomology of operator algebras. *J. Functional Analysis* **28**, (1978), no. 2, 248–253.
- [3] Alain Connes, Jacob Feldman, and Benjamin Weiss. An amenable equivalence relation is generated by a single transformation. *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems* **1**, (1981), no. 4, 431–450.
- [4] Alain Connes. Classification of injective factors. Cases  $II_1$ ,  $II_\infty$ ,  $III_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \neq 1$ . *Ann. of Math.* **2**, 104, (1976), no. 1, 73–115.
- [5] Kenneth Davidson.  *$C^*$ -algebras by example*. Fields Institute Monographs, 6. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [6] George Elliott and David Evans. The structure of the irrational rotation  $C^*$ -algebra. *Ann. of Math.* **2**, 138, (1993), no. 3, 477–501.
- [7] Junsheng Fang, Don Hadwin, Eric Nordgren, and Junhao Shen. Tracial gauge norms on finite von Neumann algebras satisfying the weak Dixmier property. *J. Funct. Anal.* **255** (2008), no. 1, 142–183.
- [8] Junsheng Fang, Rui Shi, and Shilin Wen. On irreducible operators in factor von Neumann algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **565**, (2019), 239–243.
- [9] Israel Gohberg and Mark Kreĭn. *Introduction to the theory of linear non-selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space*. (Russian), Izdat. “Nauka”, Moscow, 1965.
- [10] Paul Halmos. Irreducible operators. *Michigan Math J.* **15**, (1968), 215–223.
- [11] Richard Kadison and John Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. I. Elementary theory*. Reprint of the 1983 original. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 15. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [12] Richard Kadison and John Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. II. Advanced theory*. Corrected reprint of the 1986 original. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 16. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [13] Shige Toshi Kuroda. On a theorem of Weyl-von Neumann. *Proc. Japan Acad.* **34** (1958), 11–15.
- [14] Qihui Li, Junhao Shen, Rui Shi. A generalization of the Voiculescu theorem for normal operators in semifinite von Neumann algebras. arXiv:1706.09522 [math.OA].
- [15] Francis Joseph Murray and John Von Neumann. On rings of operators. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **37** (1936), no. 1, 116–229.
- [16] John von Neumann. Charakterisierung des Spektrums eines Integraloperators. *Actualits Sci. Indust.* **229**, Hermann, Paris, 1935.
- [17] Carl Pearcy. On certain von Neumann algebras which are generated by partial isometries. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15**, (1964), 393–395.
- [18] Gilles Pisier and Quanhua Xu. Non-commutative  $L^p$ -spaces, *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, **2**, (2003), 1459–1517.
- [19] Sorin Popa. On a problem of R. V. Kadison on maximal abelian  $*$ -subalgebras in factors. *Invent. Math.* **65**, (1981/82), no. 2, 269–281.
- [20] Sorin Popa. Notes on Cartan subalgebras in type  $II_1$  factors. *Math. Scand.* **57**, (1985), no. 1, 171–188.

- [21] Heydar Radjavi and Peter Rosenthal. Shorter Notes: The Set of Irreducible Operators is Dense. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. **21**, (1969), no. 1, p. 256
- [22] Jonathan Rosenberg. *Amenability of crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **57** (1977), no. 2, 187–191.
- [23] Robert Schatten. *Norm-ideals of completely continuous operators*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. N. F., Heft 27 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg 1960
- [24] Noboru Suzuki and Teishirô Saitô. On the operators which generate continuous von Neumann algebras. *Tohoku Math. J.* **15**, no. 2, (1963) 277–280.
- [25] Dan Voiculescu. Some results on norm-ideal perturbations of Hilbert space operators. *J. Operator Theory* **2** (1979), no. 1, 3–37.
- [26] Hermann Weyl. Über beschränkte quadratische formen, deren differenz vollstetig ist. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **27** (1) (1909), 373–392.
- [27] Warren Wogen. On generators for von Neumann algebras. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75**, (1969), 95–99.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DALIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, DALIAN, 116024, CHINA  
E-mail address: ruishi@dlut.edu.cn, ruishi.math@gmail.com