TWISTED MORSE COMPLEXES

AUGUSTIN BANYAGA, DAVID HURTUBISE, AND PETER SPAETH

Abstract. In this paper we study Morse homology and cohomology with local coefficients, i.e. “twisted” Morse homology and cohomology, on closed finite dimensional smooth manifolds. We prove a Morse theoretic version of Eilenberg’s Theorem, and we prove isomorphisms between twisted Morse homology, Steenrod’s CW-homology with local coefficients for regular CW-complexes, and singular homology with local coefficients. By proving Morse theoretic versions of the Poincare Lemma and of the de Rham Theorem, we show that twisted Morse cohomology with coefficients in a local system determined by a closed 1-form is isomorphic to the Lichnerowicz cohomology obtained by deforming the de Rham differential by the 1-form. We demonstrate the effectiveness of twisted Morse complexes by using them to compute Lichnerowicz cohomology, to compute obstructions to spaces being associative H-spaces, and to compute Novikov numbers.
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Preface

This paper is a discourse on Morse homology and cohomology with local coefficients, i.e. “twisted” Morse homology and cohomology. The Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex of a smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ with coefficients in a bundle of abelian groups $G$ over a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $(M,g)$ is constructed (Definition 2.11), and an Invariance Theorem (Theorem 3.9), which shows that the homology of the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex is independent of the Morse-Smale pair $(f,g)$ and depends only on the isomorphism class of $G$, is proved.

An isomorphism between the twisted Morse homology of $(M,G)$ and the singular homology of $M$ with coefficients in $G$ (Theorem 4.1) is proved by comparing with Steenrod’s CW-chain complex with coefficients in $G$, defined for regular CW-complexes [66]. The existence of a Morse-Smale pair $(f,g)$ whose unstable manifolds determine a regular CW-structure on the manifold is demonstrated (Theorem 4.12), and a Morse theoretic version of Eilenberg’s Theorem (Theorem 2.21), which relates homology with local coefficients to equivariant homology, is proved.

The Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complex with coefficients in a bundle of groups $G$ is defined (Definition 5.1) and studied in the case where the local system $G = e^\eta$ has fiber $\mathbb{R}$ and the homomorphisms are defined by integrating a closed 1-form $\eta$ along paths (Example 2.5). The twisted Morse cohomology with coefficients in $e^\eta$ is shown to be isomorphic to the Lichnerowicz cohomology $H^*_{-\eta}(M)$ (an invariant used to study locally conformal symplectic manifolds) by proving $\eta$-twisted Morse theoretic versions of the Poincaré Lemma (Lemma 5.19) and of the de Rham Theorem (Theorem 5.20).

Twisted Morse complexes are effective tools for computing homology and cohomology with local coefficients, because they often have fewer generators than a Steenrod CW-chain complex with local coefficients. To demonstrate this, the Lichnerowicz cohomology of a surface of genus two is computed explicitly with respect to all closed 1-forms on the surface (Example 6.7), and following a result due to Albers, Frauenfelder, and Oancea [2], twisted Morse complexes are used to show certain spaces are not associative H-spaces (Corollary 6.12, Examples 6.16 and 6.17). The last section of this paper contains a discussion of the Novikov homology of a closed 1-form and how Novikov homology can be viewed as a special case of twisted Morse homology. Twisted Morse complexes are used to explicitly compute the Novikov numbers of various surfaces with respect to all closed 1-forms on the surfaces (Examples 6.35–6.38).
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1. Introduction

Morse homology is a homology theory defined using a smooth Morse-Smale function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ on a smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. The $k$-th chain group $C_k(f)$ is defined using the critical points of index $k$, and the boundary operator is defined by counting with sign the number of gradient flow lines between critical points of relative index one. The Morse Homology Theorem says that on a closed finite dimensional smooth manifold the Morse homology with integer coefficients is isomorphic to the singular homology of the manifold with integer coefficients, cf. [6], [46] [62].

In more detail, a function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a Morse function if all of its critical points are non-degenerate, i.e. the Hessian of $f$ at every critical point is non-degenerate, cf. Definition 3.1 of [6]. The assumption that $p \in Cr(f)$ is a non-degenerate critical point implies that the stable manifold $W^s(p)$ and the unstable manifold $W^u(p)$, defined by

$$W^s(p) = \{ x \in M | \lim_{t \to \infty} \varphi_t(x) = p \}$$
$$W^u(p) = \{ x \in M | \lim_{t \to -\infty} \varphi_t(x) = p \},$$

are embedded open disks, where $\varphi_t$ is the 1-parameter group of diffeomorphisms generated by the negative of the gradient vector field. The index of $p$, defined as the dimension of the subspace of $T_pM$ on which the Hessian is negative definite, coincides with the dimension of the disk $W^u(p)$, cf. Theorem 4.2 of [6].

If all the stable and unstable manifolds of $f$ intersect transversally, i.e.

$$W^u(q) \cap W^s(p)$$

for all $p, q \in Cr(f)$, then the pair $(f, g)$ is called a Morse-Smale pair, and $f$ is said to be Morse-Smale or to satisfy the Morse-Smale transversality condition on $(M, g)$. When $(f, g)$ is a Morse-Smale pair,

$$W(q, p) = W^u(q) \cap W^s(p) \subset M$$

is either empty or an embedded submanifold of dimension $\lambda_q - \lambda_p$, where $\lambda_q$ and $\lambda_p$ are the indexes of $q$ and $p$ respectively, cf. Proposition 6.2 of [6]. In particular, $W(q, p)$ is a one dimensional manifold when $\lambda_q - \lambda_p = 1$, and if $M$ is compact, then $W(q, p)$ consists of the images of finitely many gradient flow lines from $q$ to $p$, cf. Corollary 6.29 of [6]. Thus, when $M$ is compact and the pair $(f, g)$ is Morse-Smale we can count the number of gradient flow lines between any two critical points of relative index one. This is sufficient to define the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2$, but to define the complex with integer coefficients we need to consider orientations.

Arbitrarily choosing orientations on the unstable manifolds of a Morse-Smale pair $(f, g)$ determines orientations on the normal bundles of the stable manifolds, which then determines signs associated to the gradient flow lines between critical points of
relative index one (see Section 2.2). With these signs we can define the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex over $\mathbb{Z}$.

**Definition 1.1** (Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex). Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ of dimension $m < \infty$. Fix orientations on the unstable manifolds of $(f, g)$. The **Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$** is defined to be the chain complex $(C^\ast(f), \partial^\ast)$, where $C_k(f)$ is the free abelian group generated by the critical points of $f$ of index $k$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$, and $\partial_k : C_k(f) \rightarrow C_{k-1}(f)$ is defined on a generator $q \in C_k(f)$ to be

$$\partial_k(q) = \sum_{p \in C_{k-1}(f)} \left( \sum_{\nu \in M(q, p)} \epsilon(\nu) \right) p,$$

where $\epsilon(\nu) = \pm 1$ denotes the sign associated to the unparameterized gradient flow line $\nu \in M(q, p) = W(q, p)/\mathbb{R}$ by the orientations.

Using the structure of the compactified moduli spaces $\overline{M}(r, p)$, where $\lambda_r - \lambda_p = 2$ one can show that $(\partial^\ast)^2 = 0$, cf. Lemma 2.13. Thus, $(C^\ast(f), \partial^\ast)$ is a chain complex.

The following theorem is well-known and can be proved using many different approaches \cite{6, 30, 46, 53, 58, 62, 75}.

**Theorem 1.2** (Morse Homology Theorem). Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. Then the homology of the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ is isomorphic to the singular homology of $M$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Morse homology can be extended in several ways. For instance, it can be extended to infinite dimensional manifolds, it can be extended to include critical submanifolds, it can be extended to manifolds with boundaries or corners, and it can be extended to include local coefficient systems. Moreover, these extensions may overlap, i.e. one can consider infinite dimensional versions of Morse homology with local coefficients or Morse homology on manifolds with boundaries or corners with local coefficients.

In previous work, the first two authors explored various ways to extend Morse homology to include critical submanifolds \cite{7, 8, 36}. In this paper we discuss how to extend Morse homology to include local coefficient systems, which we refer to as **twisted Morse homology**. The discussion is limited to Morse functions on closed finite dimensional smooth manifolds. Morse-Bott functions and manifolds with boundaries or corners are not discussed outside of this introduction. Even so, there are several interesting applications of Morse homology with local coefficients that fit within the scope of this paper.

For instance, a relationship between twisted Morse cohomology and **Lichnerowicz cohomology** is proved in Section 5, and we show how to use twisted Morse cohomology to compute the Lichnerowicz cohomology of a surface with respect to any...
closed 1-form on the surface in Section 6.1. In Section 6.2 we discuss, following a result due to Albers, Frauenfelder, and Oancea \cite{2}, how Morse homology with local coefficients in certain rank one $R$-modules serves as an obstruction to a space having an associative H-space structure, and in Section 6.3 we discuss how the Novikov Principle implies that the Novikov homology of a closed 1-form can be viewed as a special case of Morse homology with coefficients in a local system whose fiber is a Novikov ring. Applying this result, we use twisted Morse complexes to explicitly compute the Novikov numbers of closed 1-forms on certain manifolds.

As for other applications, there are at least two areas of mathematics that use Morse homology with local coefficients on manifolds with boundaries or corners which should be mentioned. Although this paper does not discuss twisted Morse homology on manifolds with boundaries or corners outside of this introduction, the results, techniques, and proofs used in this paper should extend to that context with the right definitions and assumptions.

The first area that deserves mention is Floer homology for 3 and 4-manifolds, and in particular, the approach based on the Seiberg-Witten monopole equations \cite{38}. In fact, Section 2.4 of \cite{38} outlines an approach for extending Morse homology to manifolds with boundary where the gradient of the function is tangent to the boundary, and Section 2.7 of \cite{38} gives an outline of how to extend Morse homology to include local coefficients. Kronheimer and Mrowka do not include proofs in Section 2.7 of their book because, “In the main part of this book, the Floer homology of a 3-manifold will be constructed by taking these constructions of Morse theory and repeating them in an infinite dimensional setting.” \cite[p. 1]{38} We note that Theorems 3.9 and 4.1 of this paper prove the claims contained in Section 2.7 of \cite{38} within the context of closed finite dimensional smooth manifolds.

The second area that deserves mention is symplectic cohomology, and more specifically, the proof of Viterbo’s Theorem given by Abouzaid \cite{1}. Viterbo’s Theorem asserts that there is an isomorphism between the twisted homology of the free loop space $LQ$ of a closed differentiable manifold $Q$ and the symplectic cohomology of its cotangent bundle $T^*Q$. The proof given by Abouzaid models the free loop space $LQ$ as a direct limit of spaces of piecewise geodesics $L_{\delta r}Q$, which are finite dimensional smooth manifolds with corners. The twisted Morse homology of Morse functions on the finite dimensional approximations $L_{\delta r}Q$ whose gradient flows point outward at the boundary is used in Chapter 11 of \cite{11}. In fact, Proposition 3.13 in Chapter 11 of \cite{11} would be a corollary of Theorems 3.9 and 4.1 of this paper extended to Morse-Smale functions on finite dimensional smooth manifolds with corners whose gradient flows point outward at the boundary.

We now outline the results and approaches contained in this paper.

A local coefficient system on a topological space $X$ assigns a fiber $G$, which can be a group, ring, module, or field, to every point in the space, and to every homotopy class of paths rel endpoints it assigns a homomorphism in the appropriate category.
between the fibers of the endpoints. We take as our starting point a bundle of abelian groups, which is a local coefficient system in the category of abelian groups (Definition 2.1). Most of the results in Sections 2–4 are proved for bundles of abelian groups, but the proofs carry over without change to bundles of rings, modules, and fields, cf. Remark 2.7. A bundle of abelian groups $G$ over a pointed space $(X, x_0)$ induces a representation

$$\pi_1(X, x_0) \times G_{x_0} \to G_{x_0}$$

on the fiber $G_{x_0}$ over the basepoint $x_0$, which can be viewed as an isomorphism class of local coefficient systems (Theorem 2.3).

The definition of the boundary operator $\partial^G$ in the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex depends on the homomorphisms associated to the gradient flow lines by the local coefficient system (Definition 2.11), but the homology of the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex only depends on the isomorphism class of the local coefficient system (Theorem 3.9). The distinction between a bundle of abelian groups and its isomorphism class is examined in detail in Section 2.4 with Examples 2.14 and 2.15, where we compute the twisted Morse homology of $S^1$ and $\mathbb{R}P^2$ with respect to several different local coefficient systems.

In Section 2.6 we prove the (untwisted) Morse Homology Theorem for a Covering Space (Theorem 2.20) and the Morse Eilenberg Theorem (Theorem 2.21). The Morse Eilenberg Theorem relates the twisted Morse homology of a Morse-Smale pair $(f, g)$ with coefficients in a bundle of abelian groups $G$ to the homology of the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex of $(f, g)$ pulled back to the universal cover $\widetilde{M}$ of the manifold and twisted by the representation determined by the local coefficient system

$$\pi_1(M, x_0) \times G_{x_0} \to G_{x_0}$$

and the action of $\pi_1(M, x_0)$ on the universal cover $\widetilde{M}$ by deck transformations. One consequence of the Morse Eilenberg Theorem is that the twisted Morse homology of a Morse-Smale pair $(f, g)$ can be computed using the representation determined by the local coefficient system, instead of the homomorphisms associated to the gradient flow lines by the local coefficient system. This shows that the twisted Morse homology of a Morse-Smale pair $(f, g)$ only depends on the isomorphism class of $G$, a fact that is proved separately using an entirely different approach in the next section.

Section 3 is devoted to proving an Invariance Theorem (Theorem 3.9), which shows that the homology of the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex of a Morse-Smale pair $(f, g)$ with coefficients in a bundle of abelian groups $G$ depends only on the isomorphism class of $G$ and not at all on the Morse-Smale pair $(f, g)$. The proof of Theorem 3.9 is fairly standard within Morse homology and Floer homology, except for the necessary additions required to take into account local coefficient systems. Theorem 3.9 allows us to pick any Morse-Smale pair $(f, g)$ when computing twisted Morse homology, a fact that we use throughout the rest of the paper.
Section 4 is devoted to proving the **Twisted Morse Homology Theorem** (Theorem 4.11), which says that the homology of the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex of \((M, G)\) is isomorphic to the singular homology of \(M\) with coefficients in \(G\). In [6] the first two authors presented a proof of the (untwisted) Morse Homology Theorem using Conley index theory following [58]. The Conley index approach uses a filtration of index pairs associated to the gradient flow which has properties similar to a CW filtration. So, with the Conley index approach it is unnecessary to address the question of whether or not the unstable manifolds of a Morse-Smale function determine a CW-structure — a deep result that is usually proved with additional assumptions on the Riemannian metric (see the references in Remark 4.11).

Rather than extending the Conley index approach to the Morse Homology Theorem to include local coefficients, we choose instead in this paper to work directly with the CW-structures determined by certain Morse-Smale pairs \((f, g)\). The Invariance Theorem (Theorem 3.9) shows that there is no loss of generality to the Twisted Morse Homology Theorem (Theorem 4.1) if it is proved for a restricted class of Morse-Smale pairs \((f, g)\), as long as at least one pair \((f, g)\) in the restricted class exists. The main advantage to working with CW-structures, instead of Conley index filtrations, is that we can directly compare the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten boundary operator to Steenrod’s CW-boundary operator with local coefficients (Lemma 4.10). This approach also illuminates certain technical issues that arise when extending CW-homology to include local coefficient systems. Specifically, Steenrod’s CW-chain complex with local coefficients is not defined for all CW-complexes. Instead, one must restrict to a subcategory of CW-complexes where Steenrod’s CW-boundary operator is well-defined [66]. We choose to restrict to the category of regular CW-complexes, which are CW-complexes where the attaching maps are all homeomorphisms (Definition 4.4).

Regular CW-complexes are much more restrictive than general CW-complexes. For instance, the CW-structure determined by the usual height function on \(S^1\) is not regular (Example 2.14), although it is possible to deform \(S^1\) so that the unstable manifolds of a height function do determine a regular CW-structure (Example 4.9). Similarly, the unstable manifolds of a tilted height function on a torus do not determine a regular CW-structure, cf. Example 7.11 of [6]. However, on every closed finite dimensional smooth manifold \(M\) it is always possible to find a Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) whose unstable manifolds do determine a regular CW-structure on \(M\) (Theorem 4.12). This result is proved by starting with a triangulation of \(M\), fine enough so that each simplex fits inside a coordinate chart, and then constructing a Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) whose unstable manifolds mimic the triangulation. We expect that this result should be of independent interest in combinatorial Morse theory, cf. Remark 4.13.

The isomorphism between the twisted Morse homology of a Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) whose unstable manifolds determine a regular CW-structure and the homology of Steenrod’s CW-chain complex with local coefficients is proved in Lemma 4.10. We also
include for completeness a detailed proof of the fact that the homology of Steenrod’s CW-chain complex with local coefficients is isomorphic to singular homology with local coefficients (Lemma 4.8). These two lemmas, together with the Invariance Theorem (Theorem 3.9) and Theorem 4.12, constitute our proof of the Twisted Morse Homology Theorem (Theorem 4.1).

A key step in the proof of Lemma 4.10 involves relating the signed count of the number of gradient flow lines of a Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) to the degree of the attaching map in the associated CW-complex, i.e. \(# \mathcal{M}(q, p) = [e^k : e^{k-1}]\). This result follows for Morse-Smale pairs \((f, g)\) (with possibly some additional assumptions on \(g\)) using the manifolds with corners structure on compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines, cf. Remark 4.11, but it is also easy to see directly for the specific Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) we construct in Theorem 4.12, cf. Remark 4.14. Thus, the proof of the Twisted Morse Homology Theorem (Theorem 4.1) given in Section 4 is mostly independent of the manifolds with corners structure on the compactified moduli spaces; although the proof of the Invariance Theorem (Theorem 3.9) in Section 3 does rely heavily on the manifolds with corners structures on various compactified moduli spaces of gradient flow lines.

In Section 5 we examine some aspects of twisted Morse cohomology. After defining a general Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complex with coefficients in a bundle of abelian groups \(G\) (Definition 5.1), we quickly limit our discussion to the local coefficient system \(G = e^\eta\) defined by a closed 1-form (Example 2.5), i.e. to an \(\eta\)-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complex (Definition 5.5). A closed 1-form \(\eta\) on a smooth manifold \(M\) can be used to twist both the Morse-Smale-Witten coboundary operator and also the differential in the de Rham complex via

\[
d_\eta \xi = d\xi + \eta \wedge \xi,
\]

where \(\xi \in \Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R})\). The resulting cohomology groups are known as the Lichnerowicz cohomology groups \(H^*_\eta(M)\) (Section 5.2).

Lichnerowicz cohomology is an invariant used to study locally conformal symplectic (LCS) manifolds. An LCS manifold is a smooth manifold with a smooth nondegenerate 2-form \(\Omega\) which becomes closed locally when multiplied by a smooth positive function (Definition 5.8). Associated to every LCS form \(\Omega\) there is a closed 1-form \(\eta\), known as the Lee form, which satisfies the equation

\[
d\Omega = -\eta \wedge \Omega
\]

(Proposition 5.9). The de Rham cohomology class of the Lee form \(\eta\) only depends on the conformal class of the LCS form \(\Omega\) (Proposition 5.11), and the \(\eta\)-twisted Morse homology and the \(\eta\)-twisted Morse cohomology only depend on the de Rham cohomology class of \(\eta\) (Corollary 3.10 and Corollary 5.6). Thus, the \(\eta\)-twisted Morse homology and cohomology groups are invariants of the conformal class of a locally conformal symplectic form \(\Omega\) with associated Lee form \(\eta\) (Corollary 5.12).
Our main result in Section 5 is the $\eta$-Twisted Morse de Rham Theorem (Theorem 5.20), which says that the $\eta$-twisted Morse cohomology groups are isomorphic to the Lichnerowicz cohomology groups defined by $-\eta$. Our proof of Theorem 5.20 relies on the $\eta$-twisted Morse Poincaré Lemma (Lemma 5.19) and is modeled on the proof of the de Rham Theorem found in Section V.9 of [15]. We conclude our discussion of twisted Morse cohomology in Section 5.4 where we discuss its relationship with sheaf cohomology. In particular, we discuss a result due to Vaisman [69] that says that the Lichnerowicz cohomology groups $H^*_\eta(M)$ are isomorphic to the cohomology of the manifold $M$ with coefficients in a sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\eta(M)$ (Theorem 5.27).

The isomorphisms proved in Section 2–5 show that homology with local coefficients and Lichnerowicz cohomology on closed finite dimensional smooth manifolds can be computed using finitely generated chain and cochain complexes. This is enough to establish the invariance of the twisted Euler number for homology with local coefficients in a bundle of finitely generated free $R$-modules (Theorem 4.19) and Lichnerowicz cohomology (Corollary 5.24) using the Euler-Poincaré Theorem (Theorem 4.17) for modules over a principal ideal domain $R$. Moreover, twisted Morse complexes and cochain complexes often have fewer generators than Steenrod’s CW-chain complex for regular CW-complexes (compare Examples 2.14 and 4.9). Thus, twisted Morse chain and cochain complexes are superb tools for computing homology and cohomology with local coefficients, a topic we turn to in Section 6.

We begin Section 6 by reviewing a result due to León, López, Marrero, and Padrón [42] that says that if a nonzero closed 1-form $\eta$ on a closed smooth manifold $M$ is parallel with respect to some Riemannian metric on $M$, then the Lichnerowicz cohomology $H^*_\eta(M)$ vanishes (Theorem 6.1). Thus, the $\eta$-twisted Morse cohomology groups serve as obstructions to the existence of nonzero closed parallel 1-forms on closed smooth manifolds (Corollary 6.3), as well as invariants for the conformal class of a LCS form (Corollary 5.12). This shows why it might be useful to compute $\eta$-twisted Morse cohomology groups, and in Example 6.7 we use twisted Morse cochain complexes to explicitly compute the Lichnerowicz cohomology of a surface $S$ of genus two with respect to all closed 1-forms $\eta$ on the surface. The invariance of the $\eta$-twisted Euler number and the small number of generators required for the twisted Morse cochain complex provide strong constraints on the solution. In fact, these constraints imply that there are only 4 possibilities for the Lichnerowicz cohomology groups $H^*_\eta(S)$, no matter which closed 1-form $\eta \in \Omega^1_\text{cl}(S, R)$ is considered, and our computation shows that 2 of these 4 possibilities actually occur. The approach used in Example 6.7 should extend to many other surfaces and manifolds that have “conducive” CW-structures.

In Section 6.2 we present a proof of a result due to Albers, Frauenfelder, and Oancea [2] that says that if $X$ is a path connected associative $H$-space with a local coefficient system $\mathcal{L}$ of rank one $R$-modules satisfying certain conditions, then the singular homology of $X$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$ vanishes (Proposition 6.9). Thus,
the Twisted Morse Homology Theorem (Theorem 4.1) implies that Morse homology with coefficients in certain local coefficient systems $Ł$ serve as obstructions to a closed smooth manifold $M$ having an associative $H$-space structure (Corollary 6.12). Moreover, the invariance of the twisted Euler number (Corollary 4.20) implies that the Euler number serves as an obstruction to the existence of an associative $H$-space structure on a closed smooth manifold $M$ with $H^1_{\text{dR}}(M;\mathbb{R}) \neq 0$ (Corollary 6.13). In Examples 6.14 – 6.17 we consider the twisted Morse homology of various manifolds with coefficients in local systems $Ł$ meeting the conditions listed in Proposition 6.9. In particular, we use twisted Morse complexes to show that a surface of genus two is not an associative $H$-space, and $\mathbb{R}P^n$ is not an associative $H$-space when $n$ is even (Example 1 of [2]).

Section 6.3 contains an exposition of Novikov homology [47] [48], which extends Morse homology from exact 1-forms to closed 1-forms, and its relationship with twisted Morse homology. Novikov homology is more general than (untwisted) Morse homology; however, the Novikov Principle (Theorem 6.25) implies that Novikov homology is a special case of twisted Morse homology (Corollary 6.28). The Novikov chain complex is constructed by pulling back a closed one form $ζ ∈ Ω^1_{\text{cl}}(M,\mathbb{R})$ to a covering space of the manifold $M$ where $ζ$ becomes exact. The flow of the pullback of a generic closed 1-form $ζ$ to a covering space where it is exact will be the gradient flow of some Morse-Smale pair. However, if the rank of $ζ$ is greater than zero, then the pullback of $ζ$ will have an infinite number of zeros (Corollary 6.21).

To account for the infinite number of zeros introduced when pulling back the form to a covering space the Novikov ring (Definition 6.22), which consists of countable “half infinite” Laurent series with integer coefficients and exponents in $\mathbb{R}$, is used. In Definition 6.23 we introduce a local coefficient system $Ł_ζ$ of rank one Nov-modules associated to a closed 1-form $ζ$. The local coefficient system $Ł_ζ$ is suitable for use with twisted Morse homology, and it is in the isomorphism class of local coefficient systems commonly used for Novikov homology (Claim 6.24).

Using twisted Morse homology with coefficients in the local system $Ł_ζ$ we compute the Novikov numbers (Definition 6.31) of all closed 1-forms on various manifolds (Examples 6.35 – 6.38). The Novikov numbers $b_k(ζ)$ and $g_k(ζ)$ for $k = 0,\ldots,m$, which generalize the Betti numbers and the torsion numbers of a manifold (Proposition 6.32), satisfy the Novikov inequalities (Theorem 6.33), which generalize the Morse inequalities, cf. Theorem 3.33 of [6]. Hence, the Novikov inequalities give lower bounds on the number of zeros of a closed Morse 1-form $ζ$. In Example 6.36 we note that the local system of rank one Nov-modules $Ł_ζ$ satisfies the conditions listed in Proposition 6.9 whenever $ζ$ is a non-exact closed 1-form, and since a torus is an associative $H$-space this implies that the Novikov numbers of a non-exact closed 1-form on a torus vanish. Our last example is a concrete example where the Novikov inequalities give a non-trivial lower bound on the number of zeros of a non-exact closed 1-form. In Example 6.38 we use a twisted Morse complex with coefficients in the local system $Ł_ζ$ to compute the Novikov numbers of a surface $S$ of genus two.
with respect to any closed 1-form $\zeta \in \Omega^1(S, \mathbb{R})$. Our computation shows that every non-exact closed Morse 1-form on $S$ must have at least 2 zeros with Morse index 1.

2. The Morse complex with twisted coefficients

In this section we construct the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex with coefficients in a bundle of abelian groups, and we present some examples where the homology of this twisted chain complex can be computed explicitly.

2.1. Local coefficients. A bundle of abelian groups $G$ over a topological space $X$ is a functor from the fundamental groupoid of $X$ to the category of abelian groups. More explicitly, we have the following.

**Definition 2.1.** A bundle of abelian groups $G$ over a topological space $X$ associates to every point $x \in X$ an abelian group $G_x$ and to every continuous path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$ a homomorphism $\gamma_* : G_{\gamma(1)} \to G_{\gamma(0)}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied.

1. If two paths $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : [0, 1] \to X$ from $x \in X$ to $y \in X$ are homotopic rel endpoints, then the homomorphisms from $G_y$ to $G_x$ associated to $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are the same, i.e. $(\gamma_1)_* = (\gamma_2)_*$.
2. If $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$ is constant, then $\gamma_*$ is the identity.
3. If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : [0, 1] \to X$ are paths with $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(0)$, then $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 = (\gamma_1)_* \circ (\gamma_2)_*$, where $\gamma_1 \gamma_2$ denotes the concatenation of $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$.

Letting $\gamma_2(t) = \gamma_1(1 - t)$ in (3), we see that the above conditions imply that the homomorphism $\gamma_*$ associated to a path $\gamma$ is in fact an isomorphism.

**Note:** If $G$ is any abelian group and $\gamma_*$ is the identity map for all paths $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$, then associating $G = G_x$ to every point $x \in X$ determines a constant bundle of abelian groups.

**Definition 2.2.** Suppose that $G_1$ and $G_2$ are both bundles of abelian groups over a topological space $X$. If there exists a family of isomorphisms $\Phi : G_1 \to G_2$ such that for every continuous path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$ the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(G_1)_{\gamma(1)} \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} (G_1)_{\gamma(0)} \\
\Phi_{\gamma(1)} \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \Phi_{\gamma(0)}
\end{array}
\]

commutes, then $G_1$ and $G_2$ are said to be isomorphic.

**Note:** A bundle of abelian groups that is isomorphic to a constant bundle is called simple. A bundle of abelian groups $G$ is simple if and only if for any $x, y \in X$ the homomorphism $\gamma_*$ is independent of the path $\gamma$ from $x$ to $y$. 
A bundle of abelian groups $G$ over a pointed space $(X, x_0)$ induces a representation

$$\pi_1(X, x_0) \times G_{x_0} \to G_{x_0},$$

i.e. $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X, x_0)$ determines an isomorphism $\gamma_* : G_{x_0} \to G_{x_0}$ and $(\gamma_1 \gamma_2)_* = (\gamma_1)_* \circ (\gamma_2)_*$ for any $[\gamma_1], [\gamma_2] \in \pi_1(X, x_0)$. The following converse is well known, cf. Theorem VI.1.11 and VI.1.12 of [74].

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $X$ be a connected topological space with a basepoint $x_0$, and let $G_0$ be an abelian group on which $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ operates. Then there exists a bundle of abelian groups $G$ over $X$ with $G_{x_0} = G_0$ which induces the operation of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ on $G_0$, and the bundle $G$ is unique up to isomorphism.

**Remark 2.4.** The boundary operator in the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex (Definition 2.11) depends on the choice of the bundle of abelian groups $G$. However, we will show that the homology of the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten complex only depends on the isomorphism class of $G$, i.e. only on the representation $\pi_1(X, x_0) \times G_{x_0} \to G_{x_0}$ (Theorem 2.21 and Theorem 3.9).

**Example 2.5** (The local coefficient system $e^\eta$ determined by a closed 1-form). Let $\eta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$ be a closed smooth real valued 1-form on a finite dimensional smooth manifold $M$. To each point $x \in M$ associate the additive abelian group $\mathbb{R}$, and to each smooth path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to M$ associate the homomorphism $\gamma_* : \mathbb{R}_{\gamma(1)} \to \mathbb{R}_{\gamma(0)}$ defined by

$$\gamma_*(s) = e^{\int_0^1 \gamma^*(\eta)} \cdot s \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since every continuous path in $M$ is homotopic rel endpoints to a smooth path, Stokes’ Theorem shows that this defines a bundle of (additive) $\mathbb{R}$ groups over $M$. The above definition of $\gamma_*$ extends to paths $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M$ using any homeomorphism $\mathbb{R} \approx [0, 1]$. We will denote this flat line bundle by $e^\eta$.

**Claim 2.6.** If $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$ are in the same de Rham cohomology class, then $e^{\eta_1}$ is isomorphic to $e^{\eta_2}$.

Proof: By assumption there exists a smooth function $h : M \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\eta_1 - \eta_2 = dh$. Define a family of isomorphisms $\Phi : e^{\eta_1} \to e^{\eta_2}$ by $\Phi_x(s) = e^{-h(x)} \cdot s$ for all $x \in M$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the following diagram commutes for any path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{x e^{f_1^0 \gamma^*(\eta_1)}} & \mathbb{R} \\
\downarrow x e^{-h(\gamma(1))} & & \downarrow x e^{-h(\gamma(0))} \\
\mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{x e^{f_1^0 \gamma^*(\eta_2)}} & \mathbb{R}
\end{array}$$

because $e^{f_1^0 \gamma^*(\eta_1)} = e^{f_1^0 \gamma^*(\eta_2 + dh)} = e^{f_1^0 \gamma^*(\eta_2)} e^{h(\gamma(0))-h(\gamma(1))}$.

$\square$
Remark 2.7. Note that $e^a$ is not only a bundle of abelian groups with respect to addition on the fibers, but it is also a bundle of commutative rings, a rank one bundle of $\mathbb{R}$-modules, and a flat $\mathbb{R}$-vector bundle of dimension one, also known as a flat line bundle. That is, the homomorphisms $\gamma_s(t) = e^{h_t^a\sigma(t)} \cdot s$ are isomorphisms in each of those categories. Moreover, the notion of isomorphic bundles from Definition 2.2 carries over to those other categories by requiring $\Phi$ to be an isomorphism in the category under consideration, and the proof of Claim 2.6 carries over to those other categories without modification.

Remark 2.8. We can also define a local coefficient system $a^a$ for any $a > 0$ by replacing $e^{h^a\sigma(t)}$ with $a^{h^a\sigma(t)}$ in the preceding example. The proof of Claim 2.6 is easily modified for the system $a^a$. However, $a^a$ may not be isomorphic to $a^b$ if $a_1 \neq a_2$.

2.2. Path components of compactified moduli spaces. Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $M$. Let $Cr(f) = \{p \in M | df_p = 0\}$ denote the set of critical points of $f$, let $Cr_k(f) \subset Cr(f)$ denote the set of critical points of index $k$, and for any $p \in Cr(f)$ let $\lambda_p$ denote the index of $p$. For $p, q \in Cr(f)$ let $W^u(q) \subset M$ be the unstable manifold of $q$, $W^s(p) \subset M$ the stable manifold of $p$, and define

$$W(q, p) = W^u(q) \cap W^s(p) \subset M.$$  

If this space is nonempty, then one says that $q$ is succeeded by $p$, i.e. $q \succ p$. In this case, $W(q, p)$ is a noncompact smooth manifold of dimension $\lambda_q - \lambda_p$. Choosing orientations for the unstable manifolds $W^u(q)$ for all $q \in Cr(f)$ determines an orientation on $W(q, p)$ for all $p, q \in Cr(f)$ via the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_sW(q, p) \longrightarrow T_sW^u(q)|_{W(q, p)} \longrightarrow \nu_s(W(q, p), W^u(q)|_{W(q, p)}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the fibers of the normal bundle are canonically isomorphic to $T_pW^u(p)$ via the gradient flow. Taking a quotient by the action of $\mathbb{R}$ given by the flow of $-\nabla f$ then gives a smooth manifold

$$\mathcal{M}(q, p) = W(q, p)/\mathbb{R}$$

of dimension $\lambda_q - \lambda_p - 1$, which we orient as follows. For any regular value $y$ between $f(p)$ and $f(q)$ we identify $\mathcal{M}(q, p) = W(q, p) \cap f^{-1}(y)$, and for any $x \in W(q, p) \cap f^{-1}(y)$ we declare $B_x$ to be a positive basis for $T_x\mathcal{M}(q, p)$ if and only if $(-\nabla f)(x), B_x$ is a positive basis for $T_xW(q, p)$. (See Section 6.1 of [53] or Proposition 3.10 of [72] for more details.)

The moduli space $\mathcal{M}(q, p)$ has a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(q, p)$ consisting of the piecewise gradient flow lines from $q$ to $p$, which can be given the structure of a smooth manifold with corners [16] [17] [39] [53] [54]. As in Section 6.1 of [53], we orient the (codimension) 1-stratum using the convention that an outward pointing normal vector field followed by a positive basis for a tangent space of $\partial^+\overline{\mathcal{M}}(q, p)$ should be a positive basis for a tangent space of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(q, p)$.
A piecewise gradient flow line from $q$ to $p$ can be identified with its image in $M$, which is an element of $\mathcal{P}^c(M)$, the space of all nonempty closed subsets of $M$ with the Hausdorff topology. This identification is compatible with the topology of the smooth manifold with corners $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(q, p)$ in the sense that the map that sends an element of $\nu \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}(q, p)$ to its image $\text{Im}(\nu)$ is a homeomorphism onto its image $\text{Im}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}(q, p))$ in $\mathcal{P}^c(M)$ \[8\] \[35\].

Denote the path component of $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(q, p)$ by $\mathcal{M}(q, p; [\nu])$. Let $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_l)$ be a sequence of gradient flow lines with

$$
\lim_{t \to -\infty} \gamma_1(t) = q,
\lim_{t \to \infty} \gamma_j(t) = \lim_{t \to -\infty} \gamma_{j+1}(t) \text{ for all } j = 1, \ldots, l - 1,
\lim_{t \to -\infty} \gamma_l(t) = p,
$$

and denote the corresponding sequence of unparameterized gradient flow lines by $(\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_l)$. We will write $[(\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_l)] = [\nu]$ to indicate that the image of the piecewise gradient flow line $(\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_l)$

$$
\text{Im}(\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_l) = \text{Im}(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_l) = \bigcup_{j=1}^l \gamma_j(\mathbb{R}) \in \mathcal{P}^c(M)
$$
is in the same path component as $\text{Im}(\nu)$ in $\mathcal{P}^c(M)$.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let $r, p \in \text{Cr}(f)$. If $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(r, p)$, then the closure of $\mathcal{M}(r, p; [\nu])$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(r, p)$ consists of the piecewise gradient flow lines from $r$ to $p$ that are in the same path component as $\nu$. Moreover, when $\lambda_r - \lambda_p = 2$ we have

$$
\partial^1 \overline{\mathcal{M}}(r, p; [\nu]) = \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}(r, p; [\nu]) = (-1) \bigcup_{[\nu] = ([\nu_1, \nu_2])} \mathcal{M}(r, q; [\nu_1]) \times \mathcal{M}(q, p; [\nu_2])
$$
as oriented manifolds. Thus when $\lambda_r - \lambda_p = 2$,

$$
\sum_{r \geq q \geq p} \sum_{[\nu] = ([\nu_1, \nu_2])} \epsilon(\nu_1)\epsilon(\nu_2) = 0
$$

where $\epsilon(\nu_j) = \pm 1$ is the sign of the zero dimensional oriented manifold $\nu_j$ for $j = 1, 2$.

Proof: The boundary of the smooth manifold with corners $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(r, p)$ consists of the broken gradient flow lines from $r$ to $p$, and the interior $\mathcal{M}(r, p)$ consists of the (non-broken) gradient flow lines from $r$ to $p$. Thus, the closure of the path component $\mathcal{M}(r, p; [\nu])$ consists of the piecewise gradient flow lines in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(r, p)$ that are in same path component as $\nu$.

The second statement follows immediately from Theorem 3.6 of \[53\] and Theorem 8.1 of \[74\]. The last statement follows because $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(r, p; [\nu])$ is a compact connected oriented 1-dimensional smooth manifold with boundary when $\lambda_r - \lambda_p = 2$ (thus
diffeomorphic to \( S^1 \) or \([0,1]\)), and the oriented sum of the signs associated to the boundary points of a 1-dimensional compact smooth manifold is zero.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 2.10.** Formulas similar to those in the preceding lemma can be found in Lemma 3.4 of \([5]\), Proposition 5.2 of \([16]\), Theorem 2 of \([17]\), Sections 2.14 and 2.15 of \([39]\), Lemma 4.3 of \([62]\), and Proposition 4.4 of \([72]\). However, we will following the sign conventions in \([53]\) and \([54]\).

### 2.3. Twisting the Morse-Smale-Witten boundary operator.

Let \( G \) be a bundle of abelian groups over \( M \) and let \( \gamma^\nu : [0,1] \to M \) be a continuous path from \( q \) to \( p \) whose image coincides with the image of some element \( \nu \in \mathcal{M}(q,p;[\tilde{\nu}]) \), where \( q,p \in Cr(f) \) and \( \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{M}(q,p) \). Lemma 2.9 implies that any two paths representing elements of a path component \( \mathcal{M}(q,p;[\tilde{\nu}]) \) are homotopic rel endpoints, and hence condition (1) of Definition 2.1 implies that there is a well-defined homomorphism \( \gamma^\nu_* : G_q \to G_p \) which is independent of the element \( \nu \in \mathcal{M}(q,p;[\tilde{\nu}]) \).

**Definition 2.11** (Twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex). Let \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \) be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed smooth Riemannian manifold \((M,g)\) of dimension \( m < \infty \). Fix orientations on the unstable manifolds of \((f,g)\), and let \( G \) be a bundle of abelian groups over \( M \). The Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex with coefficients in \( G \) is defined to be the chain complex \((C_*(f;G),\partial^G)\) where

\[
C_k(f;G) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \sum_{q \in Cr_k(f)} gg \bigg| g \in G_q \right\} \approx \bigoplus_{q \in Cr_k(f)} G_q
\]

for all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \), and the homomorphism \( \partial^G_k : C_k(f;G) \to C_{k-1}(f;G) \) is defined on an elementary chain \( gg \in C_k(f;G) \) to be

\[
\partial^G_k(gg) = \sum_{p \in Cr_{k-1}(f)} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(q,p)} \epsilon(\nu) \gamma^\nu_* (g)p,
\]

where \( \gamma^\nu : [0,1] \to M \) is any continuous path from \( p \) to \( q \) whose image coincides with the image of \( \nu \in \mathcal{M}(q,p) \) and \( \epsilon(\nu) = \pm 1 \) is the sign determined by the orientation on \( \mathcal{M}(q,p) \).

Note: Since \( G_p \) is abelian, there is an action \( \mathbb{Z} \times G_p \to G_p \) that sends \(-1 \cdot g\) to the inverse of \( g \). Also, if \( G = \mathbb{Z} \) is a constant bundle, then \( \gamma^\nu_* = id \) for all \( p,q \in Cr(f) \) and the above reduces to the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex with coefficients in \( \mathbb{Z} \), cf. Chapter 7 of \([6]\). We will sometimes drop the \( G \) in the notation \( \partial^*_k \) when the choice of the bundle of coefficients is clear.

**Definition 2.12** (\( \eta \)-Twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex). Let \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \) be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold \((M,g)\). Fix orientations on the unstable manifolds of \((f,g)\), and let
$\eta \in \Omega^1_\mathrm{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$. The Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex with coefficients in the local system $e^n$ is called the $\eta$-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex. In this case, $C_k(f; e^n) \approx C_k(f) \otimes \mathbb{R}$, where $C_k(f)$ is the free abelian group generated by the critical points $q$ of index $k$, and the homomorphism $\partial_k^n : C_k(f) \otimes \mathbb{R} \to C_{k-1}(f) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is given on a critical point $q \in C_{rk}(f)$ by

$$\partial_k^n(q) = \sum_{p \in C_{rk-1}(f)} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(q,p)} \epsilon(\nu) \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma_\nu^*(\eta) \right) p,$$

where $\gamma_\nu : \mathbb{R} \to M$ is any gradient flow line from $q$ to $p$ parameterizing $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(q,p)$ and $\epsilon(\nu) = \pm 1$ is the sign determined by the orientation on $\mathcal{M}(q,p)$.

**Note:** Example 2.5 shows that the $\eta$-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex is a special case of the general twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex in Definition 2.11.

**Lemma 2.13.** The pair $(C_*(f; G), \partial_*^G)$ is a chain complex, i.e. $(\partial_*^G)^2 = 0$.

Proof: Let $r \in Cr(f)$ with $\lambda_r = k + 1$ for some $k = 1, \ldots, m - 1$, where $m = \dim M$. For any $g \in G_r$ we have

$$\partial_k^G(\partial_k^G(gr)) = \partial_k^G \left( \sum_{q \in C_{rk}(f)} \sum_{\nu_1 \in \mathcal{M}(r,g)} \epsilon(\nu_1) \gamma_{\nu_1}^*(g)q \right) = \sum_{q \in C_{rk}(f)} \sum_{\nu_1 \in \mathcal{M}(r,g)} \epsilon(\nu_1) \partial_k^G(\gamma_{\nu_1}^*(g)q) = \sum_{q \in C_{rk}(f)} \sum_{\nu_1 \in \mathcal{M}(r,g)} \sum_{p \in C_{rk-1}(f)} \sum_{\nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(q,p)} \epsilon(\nu_1) \epsilon(\nu_2) \gamma_{\nu_2}^*(\gamma_{\nu_1}^*(g)p).$$

Now consider the coefficient in front of some fixed $p \in C_{rk-1}(f)$.

$$\text{coef}(p) = \sum_{q \in C_{rk}(f)} \sum_{(\nu_1, \nu_2) \in \mathcal{M}(r,g) \times \mathcal{M}(q,p)} \epsilon(\nu_1) \epsilon(\nu_2) \gamma_{\nu_2}^*(\gamma_{\nu_1}^*(g))p.$$

We can group the terms in the above sum according to the various path components $\mathcal{M}(r, p; [\nu])$ and use the fact that the homomorphism $\gamma_{(\nu_1, \nu_2)} = \gamma_\nu$ on each path component to get terms of the form

$$\gamma_\nu^*(g) \sum_{q \in C_{rk}(f)} \sum_{[\nu] = [\nu_1, \nu_2]} \epsilon(\nu_1) \epsilon(\nu_2).$$

These terms are zero by Lemma 2.9. \hfill \square
2.4. Examples.

Example 2.14 (A circle). Consider the height function \( f : S^1 \to \mathbb{R} \) on the unit circle \( S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) with a critical point \( q \) of index 1 and a critical point \( p \) of index 0. Orient the unstable manifold of \( q \) clockwise and the unstable manifold of \( p \) as +1. The (non-twisted) Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex of \( f \) is

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \rightarrow & C_1(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & C_0(f) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
0 & \rightarrow & \langle q \rangle & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & \langle p \rangle & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

with \( \partial_1(q) = 0 \) zero since the two gradient flow lines have opposite orientations. (See Example 7.7 of [6] for more details.)

If \( G \) is a bundle of abelian groups over \( S^1 \) and \( g \in G_q \), then

\[
\partial_1^G(gq) = (\gamma^r_s(g) - \gamma^l_s(g)) p
\]

where \( \gamma^r \) is a parameterization of the right half of the circle and \( \gamma^l \) is a parameterization of the left half of the circle, both from \( p \) to \( q \). Thus, for \( k = 0, 1 \)

\[
H_k((C_*; G), \partial_*^G)) \approx H_k(S^1; G_q) \text{ if } \gamma^r_s(g) = \gamma^l_s(g) \text{ for all } g \in G_q.
\]

However, it is possible to have a bundle of abelian groups over \( S^1 \) where \( \gamma^r_s \neq \gamma^l_s \). To see this, recall that a representation

\[
\pi_1(S^1, q) \times G_q \rightarrow G_q
\]

determines a bundle of abelian groups over \( S^1 \) that is unique up to isomorphism. The bundle of abelian groups \( G \) is defined by associating the group \( G_q \) to every point and arbitrarily fixing a homotopy class of paths rel endpoints \( \gamma_{qx} \) from \( q \) to \( x \) for every \( x \in S^1 \). If \( \gamma \) is a path from \( x_0 \in S^1 \) to \( x_1 \in S^1 \) and \( \gamma_{qx_0} \) and \( \gamma_{x_1} \) are paths from \( q \) to \( x_0 \) and from \( q \) to \( x_1 \) in the chosen homotopy classes, then the concatenation \( \gamma_{qx_0} \gamma^{-1}_{x_1} \)
represents an element in $\pi_1(S^1, q)$ and the homomorphism $\gamma_\ast : G_{x_1} \to G_{x_0}$ is defined to be the associated homomorphism determined by the representation. (For more details see the proof of Theorem VI.1.12 in [74].)

For instance, suppose $G_q = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_1(S^1, q)$ is identified with $\mathbb{Z}$ by sending the clockwise generator to 1. A representation

$$\pi_1(S^1, q) \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$$

is then determined by whether $(1, 1) \mapsto 1$ or $(1, 1) \mapsto -1$, since the only group automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}$ are $\pm \text{id}$. If $(1, 1) \mapsto 1$, then the representation is trivial and the homomorphism associated to any path is the identity. So, assume that $(1, 1) \mapsto -1$, which implies that $(n, q) \mapsto (-1)^n g$ for all $(n, q) \in \pi_1(S^1, q) \times \mathbb{Z}$.

For the chosen homotopy classes of paths $[\gamma_{xz}]$ from $q$ to $x \in S^1$, we will first take the constant path when $x = q$ and choose paths that wrap clockwise $n$ times around $S^1$ and then continue clockwise to $x$ whenever $x \neq q$. Taking $x_0 = p$ and $x_1 = q$ in the above we see that $\gamma_\ast^n(q) = (-1)^n g$ and $\gamma_\ast^1(q) = (-1)^{n+1} g$, and hence $\partial_\ast^n(qg) = ((-1)^n - (-1)^{n+1})gp = 2(-1)^n gp$. Therefore,

$$H_1((C_*; G), \partial_\ast^G)) \approx 0, \quad H_0((C_*; G), \partial_\ast^G)) \approx \mathbb{Z}_2.$$ 

Alternately, we could choose the constant path when $x = q$ and paths that wrap counterclockwise $n$ times around $S^1$ and then continue counterclockwise to $x \in S^1$ when $x \neq q$ for the homotopy classes of paths. Then $\gamma_\ast^n(q) = (-1)^{(n+1)} g$ and $\gamma_\ast^1(q) = (-1)^n g$, and hence $\partial_\ast^n(qg) = ((-1)^{n+1} - (-1)^n) gp = 2(-1)^{n+1} gp$. The reader can verify that alternate choices for the homotopy class of paths from $q$ to $q$ yield similar boundary operators. Hence, we see that although $\partial_\ast$ depends on the specific bundle of abelian groups $G$ over $S^1$, the homology of $(C_*; G), \partial_\ast^G)$ depends only on the isomorphism class of $G$.

As another example of a bundle of abelian groups over $S^1$, consider a closed 1-form $\eta$ on $S^1$, its associated flat line bundle $e^\eta$, and the associated $\eta$-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten boundary operator

$$\partial_\ast^0(q) = \left( \exp \left( \int_1^0 (\gamma^r)^\ast(\eta) \right) - \exp \left( \int_1^0 (\gamma^l)^\ast(\eta) \right) \right)p.$$

If $\eta = dh$ is exact, then the integral of $\eta$ along any path from $q$ to $p$ is $h(q) - h(p)$. Hence,

$$\partial_\ast^0(q) = \left( e^{h(q)-h(p)} - e^{h(q)-h(p)} \right)p = 0,$$

and $H_*((C_*; \mathbb{R}, \partial_\ast^G)) = H_*(S^1; \mathbb{R})$. However, if $\eta$ is not exact, then $\int_1^0 (\gamma^r)^\ast(\eta)$ is not equal to $\int_1^0 (\gamma^l)^\ast(\eta)$. In this case $\partial_\ast^0(q) \neq 0$, and $H_k((C_*; \mathbb{R}, \partial_\ast^G)) = 0$ for all $k$. Explicitly, consider the form

$$d\theta = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2}(-ydx + xdy).$$
and the parameterization of $S^1$ given by $\gamma(t) = (\cos t, \sin t)$. Then we have
\[
\int_0^1 (\gamma^r)^*(d\theta) = \int_{\pi/2}^{-\pi/2} \sin^2 t + \cos^2 t \, dt = -\pi
\]
and
\[
\int_0^1 (\gamma^l)^*(d\theta) = \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \sin^2 t + \cos^2 t \, dt = \pi.
\]
Thus, $\partial \eta_1^q (q) = (e^{-\pi} - e^\pi) p \neq 0$, and $H_k((C_*(f) \otimes \mathbb{R}, \partial^q)) \approx 0$ for all $k$.

**Example 2.15** (Real projective space). The real projective space $M = \mathbb{R}P^2$ can be viewed as $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with diametrically opposed points identified or as the closed disk $D^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with diametrically opposed points on the boundary identified. The Morse-Smale function $\tilde{f} : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by
\[
\tilde{f}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_2^2 + 2x_3^2
\]
satisfies $\tilde{f}(-x_1, -x_2, -x_3) = \tilde{f}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, and hence it descends to a Morse-Smale function $f : \mathbb{R}P^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ with three critical points $p, q, r$ of index 0, 1, and 2 respectively, whose unstable manifolds give a CW-structure with three cells $e_0, e_1, e_2$. (Compare with Example 2.18 and Example 3.7 of [6].) The unstable manifolds, the gradient flow lines, and their orientations are indicated in the following diagram. The convention for the orientations is given by the short exact sequence
\[
0 \longrightarrow T_* W(q, p) \longrightarrow T_* W^u(q)|_{W(q, p)} \longrightarrow \nu_* (W(q, p), W^u(q)|_{W(q, p)}) \longrightarrow 0
\]
where $W(q, p) = W^u(q) \cap W^s(p)$ for any two critical points $q$ and $p$, and the fibers of the normal bundle are canonically isomorphic to $T^u_p W^u(p)$.
The (non-twisted) Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex of $f$ is

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & C_2(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & C_1(f) & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & C_0(f) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\approx & & \approx & & \approx & & \approx & & \approx \\
0 & \rightarrow & < r > & \xrightarrow{\partial_2} & < q > & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & < p > & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

with $\partial_2(r) = 2q$ and $\partial_1(q) = 0$. Thus,

\[
H_2((C_*(f), \partial_*)) \approx 0, \quad H_1((C_*(f), \partial_*)) \approx \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad H_0((C_*(f), \partial_*)) \approx \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Now recall that $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^2) \approx \mathbb{Z}_2$, since its universal cover is $S^2$, and consider a representation $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, r) \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Denote the gradient flow lines in the above diagram from $r$ to $q$ by $\gamma_{21,r}$ and $\gamma_{21,l}$, from $r$ to $p$ by $\gamma_{20,t}$ and $\gamma_{20,b}$, and the flow lines from $q$ to $p$ by $\gamma_{10,+}$ and $\gamma_{10,-}$. Denote the flow lines in the opposite direction by reversing the subscripts. Choose the constant path at $r$ and the homotopy classes of paths rel endpoints of $\gamma_{21,r}$ and $\gamma_{20,t}$ for the bundle of abelian groups $G$ over $\mathbb{R}P^2$ corresponding to the representation. Note that with this notation the concatenation $\gamma_{21,r}\gamma_{12,l}$ is a generator for $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, r)$.

If $(1, 1) \mapsto 1$ under the above action, then the homomorphism associated to any path is the identity. Hence, the bundle of abelian groups $G$ over $\mathbb{R}P^2$ determined by the representation is the constant bundle $\mathbb{Z}$, and the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex with coefficients in $G$ reduces to the above (non-twisted) Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex. So, assuming that the action sends $(1, 1) \mapsto -1$, the relevant homomorphisms in the associated bundle of abelian groups are as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
(\gamma_{12,r})_*(1) &= +1 \\
(\gamma_{12,l})_*(1) &= -1 \\
(\gamma_{01,+})_*(1) &= +1 \\
(\gamma_{01,-})_*(1) &= -1
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore,

\[
H_2((C_*(f; G), \partial_*^G)) \approx \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_1((C_*(f; G), \partial_*^G)) \approx 0, \quad H_0((C_*(f; G), \partial_*^G)) \approx \mathbb{Z}_2.
\]

The reader can verify that different choices for the homotopy classes of paths rel endpoints from $r$ to $q$ and from $r$ to $p$ yield the same homology. Hence, the homology of $(C_*(f; G), \partial_*^G)$ only depends on the isomorphism class of the bundle of abelian groups over $\mathbb{R}P^2$ when $G_q = \mathbb{Z}$, rather than the specific bundle of abelian groups $G$.

Now let $\eta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(\mathbb{R}P^2, \mathbb{R})$, and consider the $\eta$-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex $(C_*(f) \otimes \mathbb{R}, \partial_*^G)$. For the $\eta$-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten boundary operator
we have the following.

$$\partial_2^\eta (r) = \exp \left( \int_k \gamma_{21,r} (\eta) \right) q + \exp \left( \int_k \gamma_{12,t} (\eta) \right) q$$

$$\partial_1^\eta (q) = \exp \left( \int_k \gamma_{10,+} (\eta) \right) p - \exp \left( \int_k \gamma_{10,-} (\eta) \right) p$$

Hence, $\partial_2^\eta : C_2 (f) \otimes \mathbb{R} \to C_1 (f) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is surjective for any $\eta \in \Omega_1^{cl} (\mathbb{R} P^2, \mathbb{R})$. If $\eta$ is exact, then it is clear that $\partial_1^\eta = 0$. If $\eta$ were not exact, then $\partial_2^\eta : \Omega_1^1 (\mathbb{R} P^2, \mathbb{R}) \to C_0 (f) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ would be surjective. However, $H^1 (\mathbb{R} P^2, \mathbb{R}) = 0$, and hence $\partial_1^\eta = 0$ for all $\eta \in \Omega_1^{cl} (\mathbb{R} P^2, \mathbb{R})$.

Thus for any $\eta \in \Omega_1^{cl} (\mathbb{R} P^2, \mathbb{R})$,

$$H_2 ((C_* (f) \otimes \mathbb{R}, \partial_2^\eta)) \approx 0, \quad H_1 ((C_* (f) \otimes \mathbb{R}, \partial_1^\eta)) \approx 0, \quad H_0 ((C_* (f) \otimes \mathbb{R}, \partial_0^\eta)) \approx \mathbb{R}.$$

2.5. Computation of $H_0 ((C_* (f; G), \partial_*^G))$. Let $G$ be a bundle of abelian groups over a closed smooth Riemannian manifold $M$ of dimension $m < \infty$, and let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on $M$. Choose a basepoint $x_0 \in C_{r_0} (f)$ of $M$.

Recall that if $M$ is connected then the isomorphism class of $G$ is determined by a representation

$$\pi_1 (M, x_0) \times G_{x_0} \to G_{x_0},$$

and let $H_{x_0} \subseteq G_{x_0}$ be the subgroup generated by elements of the form $g - \gamma_* (g)$ where $g \in G_{x_0}$ and $[\gamma] \in \pi_1 (M, x_0)$. The following theorem gives the 0-dimensional twisted Morse homology group of $M$ in terms of the above action, cf. Theorem VI.3.2 of [74].

**Theorem 2.16.** If $M$ is connected, then the 0-dimensional twisted Morse homology group of $M$ is isomorphic to $G_{x_0} / H_{x_0}$, i.e.

$$H_0 ((C_* (f; G), \partial_*^G)) \approx G_{x_0} / H_{x_0}.$$

**Proof:** Let $p_0 \in C_{r_0} (f)$ and pick any path from $p_0$ to $x_0$. For all critical points $p_k \in C_{r_k} (f)$ with $k \geq 2$ the path is homotopic rel endpoints to a path that does not intersect $W^s (p_k)$, since $\dim W^s (p_k) = m - k \leq m - 2$, cf. Theorems 5.16 and 5.17 of [7]. The flow of $-\nabla f$ then gives a homotopy rel endpoints to a path from $p_0$ to $x_0$ that lies within an $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of the 1-skeleton of $f$, i.e.

$$\bigcup_{q \in C_{r_1}(f)} W^u (q)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. An additional homotopy rel endpoints then produces a path $\gamma$ from $p_0$ to $x_0$ that lies in the 1-skeleton of $f$. Thus, every critical point $p_0 \in C_{r_0} (f)$ is connected by a path $\gamma$ to $x_0 \in C_{r_0} (f)$ that lies in the 1-skeleton of $f$.

Now, let $Z_0 (f; G) = C_0 (f; G) = \ker \partial_0^G$ denote the group of 0-cycles and $B_0 (f; G) = \text{Im} \partial_1^G$ the group of 0-boundaries. We claim that every element of $Z_0 (f; G) / B_0 (f; G)$ can be represented by an elementary cycle supported at $x_0$, i.e. $g x_0$ for some $g \in G_{x_0}$.
To see this, let \( g_0 p_0 \) be an elementary cycle and consider a path \( \gamma \) contained in the 1-skeleton of \( f \) connecting \( p_0 \) to \( x_0 \). Then

\[
\text{Im} \gamma = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} W^u(q_j)
\]

for some critical points \( q_j \in Cr_1(f) \). Number the critical points consecutively along \( \text{Im} \gamma \) starting with \( q_1 \) as the critical point with \( p_0 \in W^u(q_1) \). Let \( \nu \) be the gradient flow line from \( q_1 \) to \( p_0 \), and let \( p_1 \in W^u(q_1) \) be the next element of \( Cr_0(f) \) along the path \( \gamma \) connecting \( p_0 \) to \( x_0 \). Then

\[
g_0 p_0 - \partial G_1 (\varepsilon(\nu)(\gamma_\nu)_*(g_0)q_1)
\]

has support at \( p_1 \), where \( \gamma_\nu \) is any continuous path from \( q_1 \) to \( p_0 \) whose image coincides with the image of \( \nu \). By consecutively subtracting boundary elements of this type for \( q_2, \ldots, q_n \) we get a cycle in the same equivalence class of \( g_0 p_0 \in Z_0(f; G) \) with support at \( x_0 \). Applying this algorithm to each term in the sum defining an arbitrary element of \( Z_0(f; G) \) establishes the claim.

To finish the proof of the theorem, note that any boundary that is supported at \( x_0 \) must be the image under \( \partial G_1 \) of a chain \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j q_j \in C_1(f; G) \), where

\[
\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} W^u(q_j)
\]

is the union of the image of a finite number of loops \( \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_i \) based at \( x_0 \). Moreover, since the coefficients in front of the index zero critical points not equal to \( x_0 \) in the sum for \( \partial G_1 (\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j q_j) \) are all zero, we must have

\[
\partial G_1 (\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_j q_j) = (g_1 - (\gamma_1)_*(g_1))x_0 + \cdots + (g_i - (\gamma_i)_*(g_i))x_0
\]

for some \( g_1, \ldots, g_i \in G_{x_0} \).

\[\square\]

Remark 2.17. The preceding theorem shows that \( H_0((C_*(f; G), \partial_* G)) \) is independent of the Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) and depends only on the representation \( \pi_1(M, x_0) \to \text{Aut}(G_{x_0}) \), i.e. the isomorphism class of \( G \). Also, note that the fact that every path from \( x_0 \in Cr_0(f) \) to \( p_0 \in Cr_0(f) \) is homotopic rel endpoints to a path that lies in the 1-skeleton of \( f \) follows from the Cellular Approximation Theorem, cf. Theorem IV.11.4 of [15], and the results about unstable manifolds and CW-structures discussed in Section 4.3.
2.6. Morse Eilenberg Theorem. In this subsection we prove a Morse theoretic version of Eilenberg’s theorem relating the homology with local coefficients of a space to the equivariant homology of its universal cover. Before we state the theorem we first discuss the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex on a covering space $\widetilde{M}$ of a closed smooth Riemannian manifold $M$ when the coordinate charts, the metric, and the Morse function are all pulled back to the covering space. We show that under these assumptions the homology of the (untwisted) Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex is isomorphic to the singular homology of the covering space, even if the covering space is not compact.

2.6.1. The Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex on a covering space. Let $(M, g)$ be a closed smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension $m < \infty$, and let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on $M$. Let $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$ be a covering space of $M$ with the coordinate charts on $M$ pulled back to $\widetilde{M}$ so that $\pi$ is a local diffeomorphism. Let $\widetilde{g}$ be the pullback of $g$ to $\widetilde{M}$, and let $\tilde{f} = f \circ \pi$.

\[ \tilde{f} : (\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}) \xrightarrow{\pi} (M, g) \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{R} \]

Nondegeneracy and Morse-Smale transversality are local conditions, and hence $\tilde{f}$ is a smooth Morse-Smale function on $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$. Moreover, for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$ the set of critical points of $\tilde{f}$ of index $k$ is

\[ Cr_k(\tilde{f}) = \{ \tilde{q} \in \widetilde{M} | \pi(\tilde{q}) = q \text{ where } q \in Cr_k(f) \}, \]

because the Hessian of $\tilde{f}$ at $\tilde{q}$ is the pullback of the Hessian of $f$ at $q = \pi(\tilde{q})$.

If $\widetilde{M}$ is compact, then the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex $(C_*(\tilde{f}), \partial_*)$ of $\tilde{f}$ is well-defined, and its homology is isomorphic to the singular homology $H_*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ by the Morse Homology Theorem, cf. Theorem 7.4 of [6].

Example 2.18 (The universal cover of a real projective space). Consider the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex of the function $f : \mathbb{R}P^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ described in Example 2.15. The function $f$ lifts to a function $\tilde{f} : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ on the universal cover $S^2$ of $\mathbb{R}P^2$, and we can pull back the orientations of the local unstable manifolds of $f$ to those of $\tilde{f} : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. The resulting phase diagram of $\tilde{f}$ and the signs associated to the gradient flow lines between critical points of relative index one are shown in the following diagram.

We have $Cr_2(\tilde{f}) = \{ \tilde{r}_1, \tilde{r}_2 \}$ with

\[ \tilde{\partial}_2(\tilde{r}_1) = \tilde{\partial}_2(\tilde{r}_2) = \tilde{q}_1 + \tilde{q}_2, \]

and $Cr_1(\tilde{f}) = \{ \tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2 \}$ with

\[ \tilde{\partial}_1(\tilde{q}_1) = \tilde{p}_2 - \tilde{p}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\partial}_1(\tilde{q}_2) = \tilde{p}_1 - \tilde{p}_2, \]
where $Cr_0(\tilde{f}) = \{\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2\}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
H_2((C_*(\tilde{f}), \tilde{\partial}_*)) &= \langle \tilde{r}_1 - \tilde{r}_2 \rangle \approx \mathbb{Z} \\
H_1((C_*(\tilde{f}), \tilde{\partial}_*)) &= \langle \tilde{q}_1 + \tilde{q}_2 \rangle / \langle \tilde{q}_1 + \tilde{q}_2 \rangle \approx 0 \\
H_0((C_*(\tilde{f}), \tilde{\partial}_*)) &= \langle \tilde{p}_2, \tilde{p}_1 \rangle / \langle \tilde{p}_1 - \tilde{p}_2 \rangle \approx \mathbb{Z}
\end{align*}
$$

as expected.

We will now show that even if the covering space $\tilde{M}$ is not compact, then $\tilde{\partial}_*$ is still a well-defined boundary operator and $H_k((C_*(\tilde{f}), \tilde{\partial}_*)) \approx H_k(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$. To see this, first recall that for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$, the group $C_k(\tilde{f})$ is the free abelian group generated by the critical points of index $k$. Hence, $C_k(\tilde{f})$ consists of sums of the form

$$
\sum_{\tilde{q} \in Cr_k(\tilde{f})} n_\tilde{q} \tilde{q},
$$
where all but finitely many of the coefficients $n_{\tilde{q}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are zero. So, even if $Cr_k(\tilde{f})$ is infinite, $C_k(\tilde{f})$ consists of finite sums. However, in order to know that $\tilde{\partial}_k$ is well-defined we need to know that if $\tilde{q} \in Cr_k(\tilde{f})$ and $\tilde{p} \in Cr_{k-1}(\tilde{f})$, then the number of gradient flow lines from $\tilde{q}$ to $\tilde{p}$ is finite, for all $1 \leq k \leq m$. To see this, note that a gradient flow line of $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$ from $\tilde{q}$ to $\tilde{p}$ projects to a gradient flow line of $(f, g)$ from $q = \pi(\tilde{q})$ to $p = \pi(\tilde{p})$. Moreover, every gradient flow line of $(f, g)$ from $q$ to $p$ lifts to a unique gradient flow line of $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$ starting at $\tilde{q}$ by the path lifting property of $\pi : \tilde{M} \to M$. Therefore, the number of gradient flow lines from $\tilde{q}$ to $\tilde{p}$ is less than or equal to the number of gradient flow lines from $q$ to $p$, which is finite since $M$ is compact and $(f, g)$ satisfies the Morse-Smale transversality condition, cf. Corollary 6.29 of [6].

**Example 2.19 (The universal cover of a circle).** Consider the height function on $S^1$ with its universal cover $\mathbb{R}$, where the arrows in the following diagram indicate the direction of minus the gradient flow.

![Diagram of S^1 with critical points and gradient flow](image)

Above the index 1 critical point $q$ of $f$ there are an infinite number of index 1 critical points $\{\ldots, \tilde{q}_{-1}, \tilde{q}_0, \tilde{q}_1, \ldots\}$ of $\tilde{f} = f \circ \pi$. Similarly, there are an infinite number of index 0 critical points $\{\ldots, \tilde{p}_{-1}, \tilde{p}_0, \tilde{p}_1, \ldots\}$ above the index 0 critical point $p$. Note that there are two gradient flow lines from $q$ to $p$, but when these gradient flow lines are lifted to the universal cover starting at a critical point $\tilde{q}_j$, the gradient flow lines in the universal cover end at different critical points $\tilde{p}_{j-1}$ and $\tilde{p}_j$. So, for any $j, j' \in \mathbb{Z}$ the number of gradient flow lines from $\tilde{q}_j$ to $\tilde{p}_{j'}$ is strictly less than the number of gradient flow lines from $q$ to $p$.

If we pull back the orientations of $W^u(q)$ and $W^u(p)$ to the universal cover, then the signs associated to the gradient flow lines in the universal cover are the same as the signs associated to the gradient flow lines that they correspond to under $\pi$. Thus, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\tilde{\partial}_1(\tilde{q}_j) = \tilde{p}_j - \tilde{p}_{j-1},$$
which implies that $H_0((C_*(\tilde{f}), \tilde{\partial}_*)) \approx \mathbb{Z}$. It also implies that for any element in $C_1(\tilde{f})$ we have

$$\tilde{\partial}_1 \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} n_j \tilde{q}_j \right) \neq 0,$$

since only finitely many of the $n_j$ are nonzero. That is, there is a largest $j$ such that $n_j \neq 0$, and thus $n_j \tilde{p}_j \neq 0$ in the sum $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} n_j \tilde{\partial}_1(\tilde{q}_j)$. This implies that $H_1((C_*(\tilde{f}), \tilde{\partial}_*)) \approx 0$.

**Theorem 2.20** (Morse Homology Theorem for a Covering Space). Let $\pi : \tilde{M} \to M$ be a covering space of a closed smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ of finite dimension $m$. Assume that the smooth structure on $\tilde{M}$ is given by pulling back the coordinate charts on the base $M$, the metric $\tilde{g}$ on $\tilde{M}$ is the pullback of the metric $g$ on $M$, and $\tilde{f} : \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the pullback of a function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$. If $(f, g)$ is a Morse-Smale pair on $M$, then $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$ is a Morse-Smale pair on $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$, the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex $(C_*(\tilde{f}), \tilde{\partial}_*)$ is well-defined, and its homology is isomorphic to the singular homology $H_* (\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof: As discussed above, the pair $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})$ is Morse-Smale since $\pi$ is a local isometry, and $\tilde{\partial}_*$ is well-defined because of the path lifting property. The proof that $H_k((C_*(\tilde{f}), \tilde{\partial}_*)) \approx H_k(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$ is essentially the same as the proof of The Morse Homology Theorem for finite dimensional compact smooth manifolds given in Chapter 7 of [6].

More explicitly, a filtration of $M$

$$\emptyset = N_{-1} \subseteq N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq N_m = M$$

such that $(N_k, N_{j-1})$ is a cofibered index pair for

$$W(k, j) = \bigcup_{j \leq \lambda_p \leq \lambda_q \leq k} W(q, p) \subseteq M$$

for all $0 \leq j \leq k \leq m$, where $W(q, p) = W^u(q) \cap W^s(q) \subseteq M$, pulls back under $\pi : \tilde{M} \to M$ to a filtration of $\tilde{M}$

$$\emptyset = \tilde{N}_{-1} \subseteq \tilde{N}_0 \subseteq \tilde{N}_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \tilde{N}_m = \tilde{M}$$

such that $(\tilde{N}_k, \tilde{N}_{j-1})$ is a cofibered index pair for

$$\tilde{W}(k, j) = \bigcup_{j \leq \lambda_p \leq \lambda_q \leq k} \tilde{W}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) \subseteq \tilde{M}$$
for all $0 \leq j \leq k \leq m$, where $\tilde{W}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) = W^u(\tilde{q}) \cap W^s(\tilde{p}) \subset \tilde{M}$. One can then show that the following diagram commutes for all $k = 1, \ldots, m$,

$$
\begin{align*}
C_k(\tilde{f}) \xrightarrow{\delta_k} C_{k-1}(\tilde{f}) \\
\downarrow \cong \quad \downarrow \cong \\
H_k(\tilde{N}_k, \tilde{N}_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_*} H_{k-1}(\tilde{N}_{k-1}, \tilde{N}_{k-2})
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{\delta}_*$ is the connecting homomorphism of the triple $(\tilde{N}_k, \tilde{N}_{k-1}, \tilde{N}_{k-2})$. The proof is essentially the same as the argument used to prove Lemma 7.21 of [6], which is local in the sense that it works on an isolating neighborhood of $\tilde{W}(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) \cup \{\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}\}$, where $\tilde{q} \in C_k(\tilde{f})$ and $\tilde{p} \in C_{k-1}(\tilde{f})$. Also, note that although [6] assumes that $M$ is orientable, which determines an orientation on the stable manifolds, these orientations are not used in the proof of Lemma 7.21 since it is the orientations of the unstable manifolds that determine generators for $H_k(\tilde{N}_k, \tilde{N}_{k-1})$ and $H_{k-1}(\tilde{N}_{k-1}, \tilde{N}_{k-2})$. The rest of the proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 7.4 of [6].

\[\square\]

**Note:** A similar result is stated in Section 6.4.3 of [51], where Pajitnov refers to Morse complex on the universal cover as the “universal Morse complex”. Pajitnov also proved that the universal Morse complex is simply homotopy equivalent to the chain complex of a triangulation, cf. Theorem A.5 of [52].

### 2.6.2. The Morse Eilenberg Theorem.

Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse function. Pick a basepoint $x_0 \in Cr_0(f)$ for $M$ and a basepoint $\bar{x}_0 \in \pi^{-1}(x_0)$ for the universal cover $\pi : \tilde{M} \to M$. Assume that the smooth structure on $\tilde{M}$ is given by pulling back the charts on $M$ so that $\pi$ is a local diffeomorphism, and let $\tilde{f} = f \circ \pi$.

The action of $\pi_1(M, x_0)$ on the universal cover by deck transformations $\pi_1(M, x_0) \times \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}$ restricts to an action on $Cr_k(\tilde{f})$ because

$$Cr_k(\tilde{f}) = \bigcup_{q \in Cr_k(f)} \pi^{-1}(q),$$

and hence there is left action

$$\pi_1(M, x_0) \times C_k(\tilde{f}) \to C_k(\tilde{f})$$

on the free abelian group generated by the critical points of $\tilde{f}$ of index $k$. If $G$ is a bundle of abelian groups over $M$, then there is also a left action

$$\pi_1(M, x_0) \times G_{x_0} \to G_{x_0}$$
given by \([\gamma] \cdot g = \gamma_*(g)\) for all \([\gamma] \in \pi_1(M, x_0)\) and \(g \in G_{x_0}\), which is converted to a right action by

\[ g \cdot [\gamma] \overset{\text{def}}{=} [\gamma]^{-1} \cdot g = \gamma_*^{-1}(g). \]

Now consider \(G_{x_0} \otimes \pi C_k(\tilde{f})\), and let \(K_k(\tilde{f}; G_{x_0})\) be subgroup generated by elements of the form

\[ g \cdot [\gamma] \otimes \tilde{q} - g \otimes [\gamma] \cdot \tilde{q}, \]

so that

\[ G_{x_0} \otimes_\pi C_k(\tilde{f}) = \left(G_{x_0} \otimes_\pi C_k(\tilde{f})\right)/K_k(\tilde{f}; G_{x_0}), \]

where the first tensor product is over \(\pi_1(M, x_0)\). Pick a metric \(g\) on \(M\) such that \((\tilde{f}, g)\) is a Morse-Smale pair and let \(\tilde{g} = \pi^*(g)\). If we orient the unstable manifolds of \((\tilde{f}, \tilde{g})\) by pulling back the orientations of the unstable manifolds of \((f, g)\), then the (untwisted) Morse-Smale-Witten boundary operator \(\partial_k : C_k(f) \to C_{k-1}(f)\) induces a boundary operator on \(C_*(\tilde{f})\). Hence, it induces a boundary operator

\[ \tilde{\partial}_k : G_{x_0} \otimes_\pi C_k(\tilde{f}) \to G_{x_0} \otimes_\pi C_{k-1}(\tilde{f}). \]

This boundary operator commutes with the action of \(\pi_1(M, x_0)\) on \(\tilde{M}\), and thus it maps \(K_k(\tilde{f}; G_{x_0})\) to \(K_{k-1}(\tilde{f}; G_{x_0})\). Hence, there is an induced boundary operator

\[ \tilde{\partial}_k : G_{x_0} \otimes_\pi C_k(\tilde{f}) \to G_{x_0} \otimes_\pi C_{k-1}(\tilde{f}). \]

**Theorem 2.21** (Morse Eilenberg). Let \(G\) be a bundle of abelian groups over a closed smooth Riemannian manifold \((M, g)\) of dimension \(m < \infty\), and let \(f : M \to \mathbb{R}\) be a smooth Morse-Smale function on \(M\). Then,

\[ H_k((G_{x_0} \otimes_\pi, C_*(\tilde{f}), \partial_*)) \cong H_k((C_*(f; G), \partial^G_*)). \]

for all \(k = 0, \ldots, m\).

Proof: Since \(\tilde{M}\) is simply connected, for any \(\tilde{q} \in Cr_k(\tilde{f})\) there is a unique homotopy class of paths rel endpoints from \(\tilde{q}\) to the basepoint \(\tilde{x}_0 \in \tilde{M}\), where \(0 \leq k \leq m\). So, if \(\tilde{\gamma}_q\) is any path in \(\tilde{M}\) from \(\tilde{q}\) to \(\tilde{x}_0\), then \(\gamma_q \equiv \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}_q\) determines a well-defined homotopy class of paths rel endpoints in \(M\) from \(q \equiv \pi(\tilde{q}) \in Cr_k(f)\) to the basepoint \(x_0 = \pi(\tilde{x}_0)\) of \(M\). Thus, there is a homomorphism

\[ \tilde{\Phi}_k : G_{x_0} \otimes_\pi C_k(\tilde{f}) \to C_k(f; G) \]

defined on a generator \(g \otimes \tilde{q}\) by

\[ \tilde{\Phi}_k(g \otimes \tilde{q}) \overset{\text{def}}{=} (\gamma_q)_*(g)q, \]

where \(g \in G_{x_0}\) and \(\tilde{q} \in Cr_k(\tilde{f})\).

We claim that \(K_k(\tilde{f}; G_{x_0})\) is the kernel of \(\tilde{\Phi}_k\). To see this, take any generator

\[ g \cdot [\gamma] \otimes \tilde{q} - g \otimes [\gamma] \cdot \tilde{q} \in K_k(\tilde{f}; G_{x_0}), \]
where \( g \in G_{x_0} \), \( \tilde{q} \in C r_k(\tilde{f}) \), and \([\gamma] \in \pi_1(M, x_0)\), and note that \([\gamma] \cdot \tilde{q} \) is a path from \([\gamma] \cdot \tilde{q} \) to \([\gamma] \cdot \tilde{x}_0 \). Lifting the path \( \gamma \) to a path starting at \( \tilde{x}_0 \) gives a path from \( \tilde{x}_0 \) to \([\gamma] \cdot \tilde{x}_0 \) whose inverse is a path \( \tilde{\gamma}_{[\gamma]} \cdot \tilde{x}_0 \) from \([\gamma] \cdot \tilde{x}_0 \) to \( \tilde{x}_0 \). The concatenation \( ([\gamma] \cdot \tilde{q})\tilde{\gamma}_{[\gamma]} \cdot \tilde{x}_0 \) is a path from \([\gamma] \cdot \tilde{q} \) to \( \tilde{x}_0 \). Therefore,

\[
\tilde{\Phi}_k (g \cdot [\gamma] \otimes \tilde{q} - g \otimes [\gamma] \cdot \tilde{q}) = \tilde{\Phi}_k (g \cdot [\gamma] \otimes \tilde{q}) - \tilde{\Phi} (g \otimes [\gamma] \cdot \tilde{q}) = (\gamma_{\tilde{q}})_{\ast} ((\gamma_{\tilde{q}})^{-1}(g)) q - ((\gamma_{\tilde{q}})_{\ast} \circ \gamma_{\tilde{q}}^{-1}) (g) q = 0,
\]

since \( \pi \circ ([\gamma] \cdot \tilde{q}) = \gamma_{\tilde{q}} \) and \( \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}_{[\gamma]} \cdot \tilde{x}_0 = \gamma^{-1} \).

Moreover, if \( \tilde{\Phi}_k \) sends some element of \( G_{x_0} \otimes \mathbb{Z} C r_k(\tilde{f}) \) to \( 0 \in C_r(f; G) \), then the coefficients in front of each element of \( C r_k(f) \) in the image must be zero. Thus, we may assume that the element that \( \tilde{\Phi}_k \) maps to zero is of the form \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i \otimes \tilde{q}_i \), where \( \pi(\tilde{q}_i) = q \in C r_k(f) \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Then for all \( i = 2, \ldots, n \), there exist \([\gamma_i] \in \pi_1(M, x_0)\) such that \( \tilde{q}_i = [\gamma_i] \cdot \tilde{q}_1 \). Hence,

\[
0 = \tilde{\Phi}_k \left( g_1 \otimes \tilde{q}_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} g_i \otimes [\gamma_i] \cdot \tilde{q}_1 \right)
= (\gamma_{\tilde{q}_1})_{\ast} (g_1) q + \sum_{i=2}^{n} ((\gamma_{\tilde{q}_1})_{\ast} \circ (\gamma_{\tilde{q}_1}^{-1})_{\ast}) (g_i) q
= (\gamma_{\tilde{q}_1})_{\ast} \left( g_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} (\gamma_{\tilde{q}_1}^{-1})_{\ast} (g_i) \right) q,
\]

which implies that

\[
g_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} (\gamma_{\tilde{q}_1}^{-1})_{\ast} (g_i) = 0,
\]
since $(\gamma_q)_*$ is an isomorphism. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i \otimes \bar{q}_i = g_1 \otimes \bar{q}_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} g_i \otimes [\gamma_i] \cdot \bar{q}_1$$

$$= - \sum_{i=2}^{n} (\gamma_i^{-1})_*(g_i) \otimes \bar{q}_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} g_i \otimes [\gamma_i] \cdot \bar{q}_1$$

$$= \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left( g_i \otimes [\gamma_i] \cdot \bar{q}_1 - g_i \cdot [\gamma_i] \otimes \bar{q}_1 \right) \in K_k(\bar{f}; G_{x_0}).$$

We have shown that $K_k(\bar{f}; G_{x_0}) = \text{ker} \, \tilde{\Phi}_k$. To see that $\tilde{\Phi}_k$ is surjective note that for any elementary chain $gq \in C_k(f; G)$ we can pick a path $\gamma_q^{-1}$ from $x_0$ to $q$ and lift it to a path in $\tilde{M}$ starting at $\tilde{x}_0$. The end of the lifted path will be a critical point $\tilde{q}$ with $\pi(\tilde{q}) = q$, and $\tilde{\Phi}_k ((\gamma_q^{-1})_*(g) \otimes \bar{q}) = (\gamma_q)_*((\gamma_q^{-1})_*(g))q = gq$. Therefore, we have an induced isomorphism

$$\Phi_k : \left( G_{x_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C_k(\bar{f}) \right) / K_k(\bar{f}; G_{x_0}) \to C_k(f; G)$$

for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.

It remains to show that $\Phi_k$ is a chain map for all $0 \leq k \leq m$. To do this, we will show that $\tilde{\Phi}_k$ is a chain map. It then follows that $\Phi_k$ is a chain map because the boundary operator $\partial_*$ on $G_{x_0} \otimes_{\pi_1} C_*(\bar{f})$ is induced from the boundary operator $\tilde{\partial}_*$ on $G_{x_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C_*(\bar{f})$. So, let $g \otimes \bar{q}$ be a generator of $G_{x_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} C_k(\bar{f})$, and note that

$$\partial_k^G(\tilde{\Phi}_k(g \otimes \bar{q})) = \partial_k^G((\gamma_q)_*(g)q)$$

$$= \sum_{p \in C_{k-1}(f)} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(q, p)} \epsilon(\nu) \gamma^\nu_*(\gamma_q)_*(g)p$$

where $\gamma^\nu : [0, 1] \to M$ is any continuous path from $p$ to $q$ whose image coincides with the image of $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(q, p)$ and $\epsilon(\nu) = \pm 1$ is the sign determined by the orientation on
\( \mathcal{M}(q,p) \). On the other hand,

\[
\tilde{\Phi}_{k-1} \left( \partial_k (g \otimes \tilde{q}) \right) = \Phi_{k-1} \left( g \otimes \sum_{\tilde{p} \in C_{k-1}(f,\tilde{q},\tilde{p})} \sum_{\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{M} (\tilde{q},\tilde{p})} \epsilon(\tilde{\nu}) \tilde{p} \right) \\
= \sum_{\tilde{p} \in C_{k-1}(f,\tilde{q},\tilde{p})} \sum_{\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{M} (\tilde{q},\tilde{p})} \epsilon(\tilde{\nu}) \Phi_{k-1} (g \otimes \tilde{p}) \\
= \sum_{\tilde{p} \in C_{k-1}(f,\tilde{q},\tilde{p})} \sum_{\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{M} (\tilde{q},\tilde{p})} \epsilon(\tilde{\nu}) (\gamma_{\tilde{p}})_*(g)p \\
= \sum_{\tilde{p} \in C_{k-1}(f,\tilde{q},\tilde{p})} \sum_{\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{M} (\tilde{q},\tilde{p})} \epsilon(\tilde{\nu}) (\pi \circ \gamma_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{q})_*((\gamma_{\tilde{q}})_*g)p, \\
\]

where \( \gamma_{\tilde{p}} : [0,1] \to \tilde{M} \) is any continuous path from \( \tilde{p} \) to \( \tilde{q} \) whose image coincides with the image of \( \tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{M} (\tilde{q},\tilde{p}) \), \( \epsilon(\tilde{\nu}) = \pm 1 \) is the sign determined by the orientation on \( \mathcal{M}(\tilde{q},\tilde{p}) \), and \( \gamma_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{q} \) (the concatenation of two paths in \( \tilde{M} \)) is a path from \( \tilde{p} \) to \( \tilde{x}_0 \).

Finally, note that we can pick the paths \( \gamma_{\tilde{p}} \) in the sum for \( \Phi_{k-1} \left( \partial_k (g \otimes \tilde{q}) \right) \) to be lifts of the paths \( \gamma_{\nu} \) in the sum for \( \partial_k^G (\Phi_k (g \otimes \tilde{q})) \). Moreover, there is a bijection between the collection of paths \( \gamma_{\nu} \) in the first sum and the collection of paths \( \gamma_{\tilde{p}} \) in the second sum with \( \epsilon(\nu) = \epsilon(\tilde{\nu}) \) if \( \gamma_{\tilde{p}} \) is a lift of \( \gamma_{\nu} \), since the orientations of the unstable manifolds of \( (f, \tilde{g}) \) were determined by pulling back the orientations of the unstable manifolds of \( (f, g) \). Therefore, \( \partial_k^G (\Phi_k (g \otimes \tilde{q})) = \Phi_{k-1} \left( \partial_k (g \otimes \tilde{q}) \right) \).

\[ \square \]

**Remark 2.22.** Note that the chain complex \( (G_{x_0} \otimes \pi_1, C_*(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\partial}) \) was defined using a basepoint \( x_0 \in M \), whereas the definition of \( (C_*(f; G), \partial^G_*) \) does not involve \( x_0 \). Thus, the Morse Eilenberg Theorem (Theorem 2.21) implies that the isomorphism class of \( H_k((G_{x_0} \otimes \pi_1, C_*(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\partial}) \) does not depend on the basepoint \( x_0 \in M \) for all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \).

On the other hand, the boundary operator of the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex \( (C_*(f; G), \partial^G_* \) was defined using the homomorphisms that define the bundle of abelian groups \( G \) (Definition 2.11), whereas the boundary operator for the chain complex \( (G_{x_0} \otimes \pi_1, C_*(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\partial}), \) was defined using the representation \( \pi_1(M, x_0) \times G_{x_0} \to G_{x_0} \) induced by the homomorphisms that define \( G \). Thus, Theorem 2.21 shows that the homology of the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex \( (C_*(f; G), \partial^G_* \) only depends on the isomorphism class of \( G \) (see Theorem 2.3). We will give an independent proof of this fact in the next section, where we also show that the homology does not depend on the Morse-Smale pair \( (f, g) \).
3. Homology determined by the isomorphism class of $G$

The main goal of this section is to prove an invariance theorem (Theorem \[3.9\]) which shows that on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ the homology of the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex $(C_*(f; G), \partial^*_G)$ is independent of the Morse-Smale pair $(f, g)$ and depends only on the isomorphism class of the bundle of abelian groups $G$.

3.1. A chain map. Let $\rho : \mathbb{R} \to [-1, 1]$ be a smooth strictly increasing function with $\rho(-\infty) = -1$, $\rho(+\infty) = 1$, and $\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \rho'(t) = 0$, and let $(f_1, g_1)$ and $(f_2, g_2)$ be smooth Morse-Smale pairs on $M$. By adding a constant to $f_1$ we may assume that $\inf f_1 > \sup f_2$. Let $F_{21} : \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that is strictly decreasing in its first component such that for some large $T \gg 0$ we have

$$F_{21}(t, x) = \begin{cases} f_1(x) - \rho(t) &\text{if } t < -T \\ h_t(x) &\text{if } -T \leq t \leq T \\ f_2(x) - \rho(t) &\text{if } t > T \end{cases}$$

where $h_t(x)$ is an approximation to $\frac{1}{2T}(T - t)(f_1(x) - \rho(t)) + \frac{1}{2T}(T + t)(f_2(x) - \rho(t))$ with $\frac{d}{dt} h_t(x) < 0$ that makes $F_{21}$ smooth. Extend $F_{21}$ to a smooth function on $\mathbb{R} \times M$ by defining $F_{21}(-\infty, x) = f_1(x) + 1$ and $F_{21}(+\infty, x) = f_2(x) - 1$. Note that the critical points of $F_{21}$ are all on the boundary of $\mathbb{R} \times M$ since $\frac{d}{dt} F_{21}(t, x) < 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Pick a Riemannian metric $g$ on $\mathbb{R} \times M$ such that

$$g(t, x) = \begin{cases} g_1(x) + dt^2 &\text{if } t < -T \\ g_2(x) + dt^2 &\text{if } t > T \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in M$. (Compare with Lemma 1.17 of [20].)

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R} \times M$ denote the flow associated to the negative gradient $-\nabla F_{21}$ with respect to the metric $g$. Let $q_1 \in Cr(f_1)$, $q_2 \in Cr(f_2)$, and define

$$W^u_{\varphi}(q_1) = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times M \mid \lim_{\tau \to -\infty} \varphi_\tau(t, x) = (-\infty, q_1)\}$$

$$W^s_{\varphi}(q_2) = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times M \mid \lim_{\tau \to +\infty} \varphi_\tau(t, x) = (+\infty, q_2)\}$$

$$W_{\varphi}(q_1, q_2) = W^u_{\varphi}(q_1) \cap W^s_{\varphi}(q_2) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times M.$$ 

Since both $(f_1, g_1)$ and $(f_2, g_2)$ are Morse-Smale pairs we can perturb either

1. the approximation $h_t(x)$
2. the Riemannian metric $g$ so that $W^u_{\varphi}(q_1) \cap W^s_{\varphi}(q_2)$ for all $q_1 \in Cr(f_1)$ and $q_2 \in Cr(f_2)$. (Compare with Proposition 1.12 and Lemma 1.13 of [20].)

Choosing orientations for the unstable manifolds $W^u(q_1)$ and $W^u(q_2)$ then determines an orientation on $W_{\varphi}(q_1, q_2)$ via the short exact sequence

$$T_* W_{\varphi}(q_1, q_2) \to T_* W^u_{\varphi}(q_1) |_{W_{\varphi}(q_1, q_2)} \to \nu_* (W_{\varphi}(q_1, q_2) | W^u_{\varphi}(q_1)) |_{W_{\varphi}(q_1, q_2)} \to 0$$
Theorem 3.2

2.4.3 of [62].

The orientation on $M$ is the sign determined by the orientation on $\gamma$.

Putting

(Compactification)

we will now show how this structure on the 1-dimensional compactified moduli spaces of time dependent gradient flow lines implies that $(F_{21}) \circ$ is a chain map. In order to distinguish between the time independent gradient flow lines and the time

Taking a quotient by the action of $\mathbb{R}$ given by the negative gradient flow then gives an oriented smooth manifold

$$\mathcal{M}_F(q_1, q_2) = (W^{1\mu}_F(q_1) \cap W^s_F(q_2))/\mathbb{R}$$

of dimension $\lambda_{q_1} - \lambda_{q_2}$, called the moduli space of time dependent gradient flow lines. The orientation on $\mathcal{M}_F(q_1, q_2)$ is chosen by identifying the space with a level set and putting $-\nabla F_{21}$ first, analogous to the way the orientation on $M(q, p)$ was chosen.

Denote the pullback of a bundle of abelian groups $G$ over $M$ under the projection $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times M \to M$ by $G^\ast$. Thus, $G^\ast$ associates to every point $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times M$ the abelian group $G_x$ and to every continuous path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \times M$ the homomorphism $\gamma^\ast : G_{\pi(\gamma(1))} \to G_{\pi(\gamma(0))}$ determined by the path $\pi \circ \gamma$.

Definition 3.1 (Chain map). Let $(f_1, g_1)$ and $(f_2, g_2)$ be smooth Morse-Smale pairs on $M$, and let $G_1$ and $G_2$ be bundles of abelian groups over $M$. Assume that $G_1$ and $G_2$ are isomorphic, so there exists a family of isomorphisms $\Phi : G_1 \to G_2$ making the diagram in Definition 2.2 commute. Let $(F_{21}) \circ : (C_\ast(f_1; G_1), \partial^G_1) \to (C_\ast(f_2; G_2), \partial^G_2)$ be the linear map defined on an elementary chain $gq_1 \in C_k(f_1; G_1)$ by

$$(F_{21}) \circ (gq_1) = (-1)^{\lambda_{q_1}} \sum_{q_2 \in \text{Cr}(f_2)} \sum_{\nu F \in \mathcal{M}_F(q_1, q_2)} \epsilon(\nu F)(\gamma F)_* (\Phi(g))q_2$$

where $\gamma F : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \times M$ is any continuous path from $(+\infty, q_2)$ to $(-\infty, q_1)$ such that the image $\gamma F((0, 1))$ coincides with $\text{Im}(\nu F)$, $\Phi(g) \in (G_2)_{q_1} = (G^\ast_1)_{(-\infty, q_1)}$, $\epsilon(\nu F) = \pm 1$ is the sign determined by the orientation on $\mathcal{M}_F(q_1, q_2)$, and $\lambda_{q_1}$ denotes the index of $q_1$ as a critical point of $f_1 : M \to \mathbb{R}$.

Note: If $G_1 = G_2 = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Phi$ is the identity, then $(F_{21}) \circ (q_1)$ is $(-1)^{\lambda_{q_1}}$ times the continuation map defined in Section 4.1.3 of [62].

The above sum over $\mathcal{M}_F(q_1, q_2)$ is finite because of the following result, cf. Section 2.4.3 of [62].

Theorem 3.2 (Compactification). For any $q_1 \in \text{Cr}(f_1)$ and $q_2 \in \text{Cr}(f_2)$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_F(q_1, q_2)$ has a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}_F(q_1, q_2)}$, consisting of all the piecewise gradient flow lines from $(-\infty, q_1)$ to $(+\infty, q_2)$, including both time dependent and time independent gradient flow lines.
dependent gradient flow lines, in the following we will denote the (zero dimensional) moduli spaces of time independent gradient flow lines of \( f_1 : \{-\infty\} \times M \to \mathbb{R} \) by \( \mathcal{M}_{f_1}(q_1, p_1) \), the time independent moduli spaces of gradient flow lines of \( f_2 : \{+\infty\} \times M \to \mathbb{R} \) by \( \mathcal{M}_{f_2}(q_2, p_2) \), and the time dependent gradient flow lines of \( F_{21} : \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R} \) by \( \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(q_1, q_2) \) or \( \mathcal{M}_F(p_1, p_2) \).

If \( \lambda_{q_1} - \lambda_{p_2} = 1 \), then \( \overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(q_1, p_2) \) is a 1-dimensional smooth manifold with boundary, and

\[
\partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(q_1, p_2) = \left( \bigcup_{p_1} \mathcal{M}_{f_1}(q_1, p_1) \times \mathcal{M}_F(p_1, p_2) \right) \coprod \left( \bigcup_{q_2} \mathcal{M}_F(q_1, q_2) \times \mathcal{M}_{f_2}(q_2, p_2) \right).
\]

So, there are three possibilities for a non-empty boundary of a path component \( \mathcal{M}_F(q_1, p_2; [\nu_F]) \).

1) We have \( \partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(q_1, p_2; [\nu_F]) = \{ (\nu_1, \nu_F^p), (\tilde{\nu}_1, \tilde{\nu}_F^p) \} \), where \( \nu_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{f_1}(q_1, p_1) \), \( \nu_F^p \in \mathcal{M}_F(p_1, p_2) \), \( \tilde{\nu}_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{f_1}(q_1, \tilde{p}_1) \), and \( \tilde{\nu}_F^p \in \mathcal{M}_F(\tilde{p}_1, p_2) \).

2) We have \( \partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(q_1, p_2; [\nu_F]) = \{ (\nu_1, \nu_F^p), (\nu_F^p, \nu_2) \} \), where \( \nu_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{f_1}(q_1, p_1) \), \( \nu_F^p \in \mathcal{M}_F(p_1, p_2) \), \( \nu_F^p \in \mathcal{M}_F(q_1, q_2) \), \( \nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{f_2}(q_2, p_2) \).

3) We have \( \partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(q_1, p_2; [\nu_F]) = \{ (\nu_F^q, \nu_2), (\tilde{\nu}_F^q, \tilde{\nu}_2) \} \), where \( \nu_F^q \in \mathcal{M}_F(q_1, q_2) \), \( \nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{f_2}(q_2, p_2) \), \( \tilde{\nu}_F^q \in \mathcal{M}_F(q_1, \tilde{q}_2) \), \( \tilde{\nu}_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{f_2}(\tilde{q}_2, p_2) \).

In all three cases we have orientation formulas analogous to those in Lemma 2.9

1) \( \partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(q_1, p_2; [\nu_F]) = (\tilde{\nu}_1, \tilde{\nu}_F^p) - (\nu_1, \nu_F^p) \) and \( \epsilon(\tilde{\nu}_1)\epsilon(\tilde{\nu}_F^p) + \epsilon(\nu_1)\epsilon(\nu_F^p) = 0 \).

2) \( \partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(q_1, p_2; [\nu_F]) = (\nu_F^p, \nu_2) - (\nu_1, \nu_F^p) \) and \( \epsilon(\nu_F^p)\epsilon(\nu_2) + \epsilon(\nu_1)\epsilon(\nu_F^p) = 0 \).

3) \( \partial\overline{\mathcal{M}}_F(q_1, p_2; [\nu_F]) = (\nu_F^q, \nu_2) - (\tilde{\nu}_F^q, \tilde{\nu}_2) \) and \( \epsilon(\nu_F^q)\epsilon(\nu_2) + \epsilon(\tilde{\nu}_F^q)\epsilon(\tilde{\nu}_2) = 0 \).
These formulas can be verified by first checking them for specific orientations chosen on $W^u(q_1), W^u(q_2), W^u(p_1),$ and $W^u(p_2)$ and then noting that changing an orientation changes a complimentary pair of signs.

**Proposition 3.3.** The map $(F_{21})\circ : (C_*(f_1; G_1), \partial^G_{s1}) \to (C_*(f_2; G_2), \partial^G_{s2})$ is a chain map. That is, the map preserves degree and $\partial^G_{s2} \circ (F_{21})\circ = (F_{21})\circ \circ \partial^G_{s1}$.

Proof: Let $gq_1 \in C_k(f_1; G_1)$ be an elementary chain for some $k = 1, \ldots, m$ where $m = \dim M$. We have $\partial^G_{s2}((F_{21})\circ (gq_1))$

\[
= \partial^G_{s2} \left( (-1)^{\lambda_{q_1}} \sum_{q_2 \in C_{rk}(f_2)} \sum_{\nu^q_F \in M_F(q_1, q_2)} \epsilon(\nu^q_F)(\gamma^q_F)_*(\Phi(g))q_2 \right) \\
= (-1)^k \sum_{q_2 \in C_{rk}(f_2)} \sum_{\nu^q_F \in M_F(q_1, q_2)} \epsilon(\nu^q_F) \partial^G_{s2} ((\gamma^q_F)_*(\Phi(g))q_2) \\
= (-1)^k \sum_{q_2 \in C_{rk}(f_2)} \sum_{\nu^q_F \in M_F(q_1, q_2)} \epsilon(\nu^q_F) \sum_{p_2 \in C_{rk-1}(f_2)} \sum_{\nu^p_F \in M_F(q_2, p_2)} \epsilon(\nu_2)(\gamma_2)_*((\gamma^q_F)_*(\Phi(g))) p_2 \\
= (-1)^k \sum_{q_2 \in C_{rk}(f_2)} \sum_{\nu^q_F \in M_F(q_1, q_2)} \sum_{p_2 \in C_{rk-1}(f_2)} \sum_{\nu^p_F \in M_F(q_2, p_2)} \epsilon(\nu^q_F) \epsilon(\nu_2)(\gamma_2(\pi \circ \gamma^q_F)_*(\Phi(g))) p_2
\]
where $\gamma_p^q$ parameterizes $\nu_p^q$ from $(+\infty, q_2)$ to $(-\infty, q_1)$ and $\gamma_2$ parameterizes $\nu_2$ from $p_2$ to $q_2$. Whereas, $(F_{21}) \circ (\partial_k^{G_1}(gq_1))$

$$= (F_{21}) \circ \left( \sum_{p_1 \in C_{rk-1}(f_1)} \sum_{\nu_1 \in M_{f_1}(q, p_1)} \epsilon(\nu_1)(\gamma_1)_*(g)p_1 \right)$$

$$= \sum_{p_1 \in C_{rk-1}(f_1), \nu_1 \in M_{f_1}(q, p_1)} \epsilon(\nu_1)(F_{21}) \circ ((\gamma_1)_*(g)p_1)$$

$$= (-1)^{\lambda_{p_1}} \sum_{p_1 \in C_{rk-1}(f_1), \nu_1 \in M_{f_1}(q, p_1)} \epsilon(\nu_1) \sum_{p_2 \in C_{rk-1}(f_2), \nu_2 \in M_{f_2}(p_1, p_2)} \epsilon(\nu_2)(\gamma_p^q)_*(\Phi((\gamma_1)_*(g)))p_2$$

$$= (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{p_1 \in C_{rk-1}(f_1), \nu_1 \in M_{f_1}(q, p_1), p_2 \in C_{rk-1}(f_2), \nu_2 \in M_{f_2}(p_1, p_2)} \epsilon(\nu_1)\epsilon(\nu_2)(\gamma_p^q)_*(\Phi(g))p_2$$

where $\gamma_p^q$ parameterizes $\nu_p^q$ from $(+\infty, p_2)$ to $(-\infty, p_1)$ and $\gamma_1$ parameterizes $\nu_1$ from $p_1$ to $q_1$.

Now recall that the terms in the above sums for $\partial_k^{G_2}((F_{21}) \circ (gq_1))$ and $(F_{21}) \circ (\partial_k^{G_1}(gq_1))$ correspond to the boundary points of the path components of $M_{f_1}(q, p_2)$. Considering the three cases discussed before the statement of the proposition, we observe the following. The terms corresponding to boundary points in case 1) cancel each other out in the sum for $(F_{21}) \circ (\partial_k^{G_1}(gq_1))$ because the homomorphism $((\pi \circ \gamma_p^q))_*$ is constant on each path component and $\epsilon(\nu_1)\epsilon(\nu_2) = 0$. Similarly, the terms corresponding to boundary points in case 3) cancel each other out in the sum for $\partial_k^{G_2}((F_{21}) \circ (gq_1))$ because the homomorphism $(\gamma_2(\pi \circ \gamma_p^q))_*$ is constant on each path component and $\epsilon(\nu_2)\epsilon(\nu_2) = 0$. Finally, for the boundary points in case 2) we have

$$((\pi \circ \gamma_p^q)_*(\Phi(g))p_2 = (\gamma_2(\pi \circ \gamma_p^q))_*(\Phi(g))p_2$$

$$\epsilon(\nu_1)\epsilon(\nu_2) = -\epsilon(\nu_2)^2$$

for each pair of endpoints in the same path component $[(\nu_1, \nu_2)] = [(\nu_1, \nu_2)]$. Therefore, $\partial_k((F_{21}) \circ (q_1)) = (F_{21}) \circ (\partial_k(q_1))$.

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.4.** The map $(F_{21}) \circ$ induces a homomorphism in homology

$$(F_{21})_* : H_k(C_*(f_1; G_1), \partial^{G_1}_*) \to H_k(C_*(f_2; G_2), \partial^{G_2}_*)$$

for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.

### 3.2. A chain homotopy.

Assume that we have four Morse-Smale pairs $(f_j, g_j)$ and four isomorphic bundles of abelian groups $G_j$, where $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. For $j = 2, 3, 4$ there are families of isomorphisms $\Phi_{ji} : G_1 \to G_j$ making the diagram in Definition 2 commute, and if we define $\Phi_{ij} = \Phi_{i1} \circ \Phi_{21}^{-1}$, $\Phi_{23} = \Phi_{41} \circ \Phi_{31}^{-1}$, $\Phi_{ij} = \Phi_{ji}^{-1}$ when $i < j$. 

and $\Phi_{jj} = id$ for all $j$, then for all $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ we have a family of isomorphisms $\Phi_{ji}: G_i \to G_j$ making the diagram in Definition 2.2 commute such that $\Phi_{42} \circ \Phi_{21} = \Phi_{41}$ and $\Phi_{43} \circ \Phi_{31} = \Phi_{41}$. Also, for all $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ there are chain maps

$$(F_{ji})_\square : (C_\ast(f_i; G_i), \partial_s^{G_i}) \to (C_\ast(f_j; G_j), \partial_s^{G_j})$$

from Definition 3.1 and Proposition 3.3 defined using the family of isomorphisms $\Phi_{ji}$

We will show that under these assumptions the moduli spaces of gradient flow lines can be used to construct a chain homotopy between the chain maps $(F_{ji})_\square$ and $(\Phi_{ji})_\square \circ (F_{ji})_\square$. By adding constants to $f_1, f_2,$ and $f_3$ we may assume that $\inf f_1 > \sup f_2,$ $\inf f_1 > \sup f_3,$ $\inf f_2 > \sup f_4,$ and $\inf f_3 > \sup f_4.$

Following [7] and [72] we let $H : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function that is strictly decreasing in its first two components such that for some large $T \gg 0$ we have

$$H(s, t, x) = \begin{cases} 
    f_1(x) - \rho(s) - \rho(t) & \text{if } s < -T \text{ and } t < -T \\
    f_2(x) - \rho(s) - \rho(t) & \text{if } s > T \text{ and } t < -T \\
    f_3(x) - \rho(s) - \rho(t) & \text{if } s < -T \text{ and } t > T \\
    f_4(x) - \rho(s) - \rho(t) & \text{if } s > T \text{ and } t > T 
\end{cases}$$

where $\rho : \mathbb{R} \to [-1, 1]$ is a smooth strictly increasing function with $\rho(-\infty) = -1,$ $\rho(+\infty) = 1,$ and $\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \rho'(t) = 0.$ The critical points of $H$ are all on the boundary of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M$ since $H$ is strictly decreasing in its first two components. Pick a Riemannian metric $g$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M$ such that

$$g(s, t, x) = \begin{cases} 
    g_1(x) + ds^2 + dt^2 & \text{if } s < -T \text{ and } t < -T \\
    g_2(x) + ds^2 + dt^2 & \text{if } s > T \text{ and } t < -T \\
    g_3(x) + ds^2 + dt^2 & \text{if } s < -T \text{ and } t > T \\
    g_4(x) + ds^2 + dt^2 & \text{if } s > T \text{ and } t > T 
\end{cases}$$

for all $x \in M$. The negative gradient flow of $H : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ with respect to $g$ can be pictured as follows, where $M$ is in the vertical direction.
Let \( \varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M \) be the flow associated to \(-\nabla H\), the negative gradient of \( H \) with respect to \( g \), and for \( p_1 \in Cr(f_1) \) and \( q_j \in Cr(f_j) \) for some \( j = 2, 3, 4 \) define
\[
W^u_H(p_1) = \{(s, t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M | \lim_{\tau \to -\infty} \varphi_\tau(s, t, x) = (-\infty, -\infty, p_1)\}
\]
\[
W^s_H(q_2) = \{(s, t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M | \lim_{\tau \to -\infty} \varphi_\tau(s, t, x) = (+\infty, -\infty, q_2)\}
\]
\[
W^s_H(q_2) = \{(s, t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M | \lim_{\tau \to +\infty} \varphi_\tau(s, t, x) = (+\infty, -\infty, q_2)\}
\]
\[
W^u_H(q_3) = \{(s, t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M | \lim_{\tau \to -\infty} \varphi_\tau(s, t, x) = (-\infty, +\infty, q_3)\}
\]
\[
W^s_H(q_3) = \{(s, t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M | \lim_{\tau \to +\infty} \varphi_\tau(s, t, x) = (-\infty, +\infty, q_3)\}
\]
\[
W^s_H(q_4) = \{(s, t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M | \lim_{\tau \to +\infty} \varphi_\tau(s, t, x) = (+\infty, +\infty, q_4)\}
\]
\[
W_H(p_1, q_j) = W^u_H(p_1) \cap W^s_H(q_j) \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M
\]
\[
W_H(q_j, q_4) = W^u_H(q_j) \cap W^s_H(q_4) \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M.
\]

Since \( f_j \) satisfies the Morse-Smale transversality condition with respect to \( g \) for all \( j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), we can choose \( H \) so that
\[
\bullet \ W^u_H(p_1) \cap W^s_H(q_j) \text{ for all } p_1 \in Cr(f_1) \text{ and } q_j \in Cr(f_j) \text{ for } j = 2, 3, 4,
\]
\[
\bullet \ W^u_H(q_j) \cap W^s_H(q_4) \text{ for all } q_j \in Cr(f_j) \text{ and } q_4 \in Cr(f_4) \text{ for } j = 2, 3.
\]

These conditions imply that \( H \) restricted to each face of \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M \) defines a chain map. That is, if we define \( F_{21}(s, x) = H(s, -\infty, x), F_{42}(t, x) = H(+\infty, t, x), F_{31}(t, x) = H(-\infty, t, x) \), and \( F_{43}(s, x) = H(s, +\infty, x) \), then the chain maps \( (F_{21})_\circ, (F_{42})_\circ, (F_{31})_\circ, (F_{43})_\circ \) from Definition 3.1 and Proposition 3.3 are defined. Conversely, given functions \( F_{21}, F_{42}, F_{31}, F_{43} \) on the faces of \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M \) that satisfy the transversality conditions needed to define the chain maps in Definition 3.1, we can choose an \( H \) meeting the above transversality conditions that agrees with these functions on the faces of \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M \).

Choosing orientations for the unstable manifolds \( W^u_{f_1}(p_1) \) and \( W^u_{f_4}(q_4) \) then determines an orientation on \( W_H(p_1, q_4) \) via the short exact sequence
\[
T_*W_H(p_1, q_4) \rightarrow T_*W^u_H(p_1) \rightarrow W^u_H(p_1, q_4) \rightarrow \nu_*(W_H(p_1, q_4), W^u_H(p_1))|_{W_H(p_1, q_4)} \rightarrow 0
\]
where the fibers of the normal bundle are canonically isomorphic to \( T_{q_4}W^u_{f_4}(q_4) \) via the flow of \(-\nabla H\) and \( W^u_{f_1}(q_1) \) is oriented as follows. For \( \tau << 0 \), \( \varphi_\tau(s, t, x) \) will be in the region where \( s, t < -T \). In that region the tangent space to \( W^u_{f_1}(q_1) \) has the product orientation \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times T_*W^u_{f_1}(q_1) \), and this orientation then determines an orientation on \( T(s, t, x)W^u_{f_1}(q_1) \) via \( \varphi_{-\tau} \). Taking a quotient by the action of \( \mathbb{R} \) given by the negative gradient flow then gives an oriented smooth manifold
\[
\mathcal{M}_H(p_1, q_4) = (W^u_H(p_1) \cap W^s_H(q_4))/\mathbb{R},
\]
of dimension \(\lambda_{p_1} - \lambda_{q_4} + 1\), where the orientation on \(\mathcal{M}_H(p_1, q_4)\) is chosen by putting \(-\nabla H\) first.

**Definition 3.5 (Chain homotopy).** Let \(f_j : M \to \mathbb{R}\) be smooth Morse-Smale functions on \(M\) and let \(G_j\) be isomorphic bundles of abelian groups over \(M\), for \(j = 1, 2, 3, 4\). Let \(G^*\) denote the pullback of \(G_4\) under the projection \(\pi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M \to M\), and let \(\Phi_{41} : G_1 \to G_4\) be a family of isomorphisms making the diagram in Definition 2.2 commute. Assume that \(H : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R}\) and the metric \(g\) on \(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M\) satisfy the conditions listed above, and define \(H_\square : (C_*(f_1; G_1), \partial^{G_1}) \to (C_*(f_4; G_4), \partial^{G_4})\) to be the linear map given on an elementary chain \(g_{p_1} \in C_k(f_1; G_1)\) by

\[
H_\square(g_{p_1}) = \sum_{q_4 \in C_{k+1}(f_4)} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_H(p_1, q_4)} \epsilon(\nu_H)(\gamma_H)_*(\Phi_{41}(g))q_4
\]

where \(\gamma_H : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M\) is any continuous path from \((+\infty, +\infty, q_4)\) to \((-\infty, -\infty, p_1)\) such that the image \(\gamma_H((0, 1))\) coincides with \(\text{Im}(\nu_H)\), \(\Phi_{41}(g) \in (G_4)_{p_1} = (G^*_1)(-\infty, -\infty, p_1)\), \(\epsilon(\nu_H) = \pm 1\) is the sign determined by the orientation on \(\mathcal{M}_H(p_1, q_4)\), and \(\lambda_{p_1}\) denotes the index of \(p_1\) as a critical point of \(f_1 : M \to \mathbb{R}\).

There are compactification results for the moduli spaces of \(H\) similar to those stated in the previous subsection, cf. Section 2.4.4 of [62]. Hence, the moduli space \(\mathcal{M}_H(p_1, q_4)\) has a compactification \(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(p_1, q_4)\), consisting of all the piecewise gradient flow lines of \(H\) from \((-\infty, -\infty, p_1)\) to \((+\infty, +\infty, q_4)\). This implies that the above sum over \(\mathcal{M}_H(p_1, q_4)\) is finite.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let \(f_j : M \to \mathbb{R}\) be smooth Morse-Smale functions on \(M\) and let \(G_j\) be isomorphic bundles of abelian groups over \(M\), for \(j = 1, 2, 3, 4\). The map \(H_\square : (C_*(f_1; G_1), \partial^{G_1}) \to (C_*(f_4; G_4), \partial^{G_4})\) is a chain homotopy between \((F_{42})_\square \circ (F_{21})_\square\) and \((F_{43})_\square \circ (F_{31})_\square\), i.e.

\[
(F_{43})_\square \circ (F_{31})_\square - (F_{42})_\square \circ (F_{21})_\square = \partial^{G_4}H_\square + H_\square \partial^{G_1}
\]

for all \(k = 0, \ldots, m\).

Proof: Choose families of isomorphisms \(\Phi_{ji} : G_i \to G_j\) for \(i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4\) making the diagram in Definition 2.2 commute such that \(\Phi_{42} \circ \Phi_{21} = \Phi_{43} \circ \Phi_{31} = \Phi_{41}\). Let \(q_1 \in C_{rk}(f_1)\) and \(q_4 \in C_{rk}(f_4)\) for some \(k = 0, \ldots, m\), where \(m = \dim M\), and consider the compactified moduli space \(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_H(q_1, q_4)\), where \(H : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R}\) is a smooth function satisfying the transversality conditions listed before the proposition such that \(H(s, -\infty, x) = F_{21}(s, x)\), \(H(+\infty, t, x) = F_{42}(t, x)\), \(H(-\infty, t, x) = F_{31}(t, x)\), and \(H(s, +\infty, x) = F_{43}(s, x)\).

Since \(\lambda_{q_1} = \lambda_{q_4}\), this compactified moduli space is an oriented compact smooth manifold with boundary of dimension one, and hence it is diffeomorphic to a disjoint union of oriented intervals. The endpoints of these intervals correspond to broken
gradient flow lines of $H : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ from $(-\infty, -\infty, q_1)$ to $(+\infty, +\infty, q_4)$, i.e. elements of the following zero dimensional spaces.

(1) $\mathcal{M}_H(q_1, q_2) \times \mathcal{M}_H(q_2, q_4)$ some $q_2 \in C_{r_k}(f_2)$
(2) $\mathcal{M}_H(q_1, q_3) \times \mathcal{M}_H(q_3, q_4)$ some $q_3 \in C_{r_k}(f_3)$
(3) $\mathcal{M}_{f_1}(q_1, p_1) \times \mathcal{M}_H(p_1, q_4)$ some $p_1 \in C_{r_{k-1}}(f_1)$
(4) $\mathcal{M}_H(q_1, r_4) \times \mathcal{M}_{f_4}(r_4, q_4)$ some $r_4 \in C_{r_{k+1}}(f_4)$

Now let $g_1 \in (G_1)_{q_1}$ and note that up to sign we have the following.

(1) $((F_{42}) \circ (F_{21})) (g_1q_1)$ is defined by summing over elements of type (1)
(2) $((F_{33}) \circ (F_{31})) (g_1q_1)$ is defined by summing over elements of type (2)
(3) $(H \circ \partial^{G_1}) (g_1q_1)$ is defined by summing over elements of type (3)
(4) $(\partial^{G_1}_{k+1} H_0) (g_1q_1)$ is defined by summing over elements of type (4)

However, these sums are all defined using signs determined by orientations, and the orientations on the moduli spaces of $H$ aren’t necessarily the same as the orientations on the moduli spaces of $F_{ji}$ for $(i,j) = (1,2), (1,3), (2,4), (3,4)$.

Choose orientations for $W^u_{f_j}(q_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. These orientations then determine orientations on $W^u_H(q_i)$ and $W^u_{F_{ji}}(q_i)$ for $(i,j) = (1,2), (1,3), (2,4), (3,4)$ using the product orientation and the gradient flow. Note that $W^u_H(q_2) = W^u_{F_{22}}(q_2)$, $W^u_H(q_3) = W^u_{F_{33}}(q_3)$, and $W^u_H(q_4) = W^u_{f_4}(q_4)$ as oriented manifolds, and we have the following
as oriented manifolds.

\[ \dim W_H^n(q_1) = k + 2 \]
\[ \dim W_H^n(q_2) = \dim W_H^n(q_3) = k + 1 \]
\[ \dim W_H^n(q_4) = k. \]

The spaces \( W_H(q_i, q_j) \) are oriented using the short exact sequences

\[
\begin{array}{c}
T_* W_H^n(q_i, q_j) \\ \rightarrow \nu_*(W_H(q_i, q_j), W_H^n(q_i)) |_{W_H(q_i, q_j)} \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]

where the fibers of the normal bundle are canonically isomorphic to \( T_y W_H^n(q_j) \), and \( \mathcal{M}_H(q_i, q_j) = W_H(q_i, q_j)/\mathbb{R} \) is oriented by putting \(-\nabla H\) first. The spaces \( W_{F_{ji}}(q_i, q_j) \) are oriented similarly using the short exact sequences

\[
\begin{array}{c}
T_* W_{F_{ji}}(q_i, q_j) \\ \rightarrow \nu_*(W_{F_{ji}}(q_i, q_j), W_{F_{ji}}^n(q_i)) |_{W_{F_{ji}}(q_i, q_j)} \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]

where the fibers of the normal bundle are canonically isomorphic to \( T_y W_{F_{ji}}^n(q_j) \), and \( \mathcal{M}_{F_{ji}}(q_i, q_j) = W_{F_{ji}}(q_i, q_j)/\mathbb{R} \) is oriented by putting \(-\nabla F_{ji}\) first.

Since \( W_H^n(q_2) = W_{F_{21}}^n(q_2) \) and \( W_H^n(q_4) = W_{F_{43}}^n(q_4) \) as oriented manifolds, the above orientation conventions imply that

\[ W_H^n(q_2, q_4) = W_{F_{23}}(q_2, q_4) \]

as oriented manifold. Similarly, \( W_H^n(q_3) = W_{F_{43}}(q_3) \) and \( W_H^n(q_4) = W_{F_{23}}^n(q_4) \) imply

\[ W_H(q_3, q_4) = W_{F_{43}}(q_3, q_4). \]

Now, let \( y = (s, -\infty, x) \in W_H^n(q_1) \) be in the region where \( H(s, t, x) = f_1(x) - \rho(s) - \rho(t) \), and let \( (v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k) \) be a positive basis for \( T_y W_H^n(q_1) = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times T_x W_{F_{21}}^n(q_1) \). Then \( (v_1, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k) \) is a positive basis for \( T_y W_{F_{21}}^n(q_1) = \mathbb{R} \times T_x W_{F_{21}}^n(q_1) \). If \( (v'_1, v'_2, \ldots, v'_k) \) is a positive basis for \( T_y W_{F_{21}}^n(q_2) \), then \( (v_2, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k) \) is a positive basis for \( T_y W_{F_{21}}^n(q_2) \), where we have identified \( v_2 \in T_y W_H^n(q_1) \) with its image under the map induced by the gradient flow in the \( s \) direction. The gradient flow in the \( s \) direction transports the basis \((w'_1, w'_2, \ldots, w'_k)\) along a gradient flow line \( \gamma \in W_{F_{21}}(q_1, q_2) \) to a basis for \( \nu_y(W_{F_{21}}(q_1, q_2), W_{F_{21}}^n(q_1)) \), which we still denote by \((w'_1, w'_2, \ldots, w'_k)\). With this same identification, \((v_2, w'_1, w'_2, \ldots, w'_k)\) is then a basis for \( \nu_y(W_H(q_1, q_2), W_H^n(q_1)) \). Thus, \( \gamma \in W_{F_{21}}(q_1, q_2) \) is oriented in the \( v_1 \) direction if \((w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k)\) and \((w'_1, w'_2, \ldots, w'_k)\) determine the same orientation on \( T_x W_{F_{21}}^n(q_1) \), since \((v_1, w'_1, w'_2, \ldots, w'_k)\) will be a positive basis for \( T_y W_{F_{21}}^n(q_1) \), and \( \gamma \) is oriented in the \(-v_1\) direction if these orientations are opposite, because \((-v_1, w'_1, w'_2, \ldots, w'_k)\) would then be a positive basis for \( T_y W_{F_{21}}^n(q_1) \). Since the same is true for \( \gamma \) viewed as an element of \( W_H(q_1, q_2) \), we have shown that

\[ W_H(q_1, q_2) = W_{F_{23}}(q_1, q_2) \]

as oriented manifolds.
Finally, let \( y = (-\infty, t, x) \in W^\nu_H(q_1) \) be in the region where \( H(s, t, x) = f_1(x) - \rho(s) - \rho(t) \), and let \((v_1, v_2, \ldots, w_k)\) be a positive basis for \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times T_xW^u_{f_1}(q_1) \). Then \((v_2, w_1, \ldots, w_k)\) is a positive basis for \( T_yW^u_{F_{31}}(q_1) = \mathbb{R} \times T_xW^u_{f_1}(q_1) \). If \((w_1', \ldots, w_k')\) is a positive basis for \( T_{q_3}W^u_{H}(q_3) \), then \((v_1, w_1', \ldots, w_k')\) is a positive basis for \( T_{q_3}W^u_{H}(q_3) \), where we have identified \( v_1 \in T_yW^u_{H}(q_1) \) with its image under the map induced by the gradient flow in the \( t \) direction. The gradient flow in the \( t \) direction transports the basis \((w_1', \ldots, w_k')\) along a gradient flow line \( \gamma \in W_{F_{31}}(q_1, q_3) \) to a basis for \( \nu_y(W_{F_{31}}(q_1, q_3), W^u_{F_{31}}(q_1)) \), which we still denote by \((w_1', \ldots, w_k')\). With this same identification, \((v_1, w_1', \ldots, w_k')\) is then a basis for \( \nu_y(W_H(q_1, q_3), W^u_H(q_1)) \). Thus, \( \gamma \in W_{F_{31}}(q_1, q_3) \) is oriented in the \( v_2 \) direction if \((w_1, \ldots, w_k)\) and \((w_1', \ldots, w_k')\) determine the same orientation on \( T_xW^u_{f_1}(q_1) \), and \( \gamma \) is oriented in the \(-v_2\) direction if these orientations are opposite. On the other hand, \( \gamma \) viewed as an element of \( W_H(q_1, q_3) \) is oriented in the \(-v_2\) direction if \((w_1, \ldots, w_k)\) and \((w_1', \ldots, w_k')\) determine the same orientation on \( T_xW^u_{f_1}(q_1) \), since \((-v_2, v_1, w_1', w_2', \ldots, w_k')\) will be a positive basis for \( T_yW^u_{H}(q_1) \), and \( \gamma \) is oriented in the \( v_2 \) direction if these orientations are opposite, because \((v_2, v_1, w_1', w_2', \ldots, w_k')\) would then be a positive basis for \( T_yW^u_{H}(q_1) \). Hence,

\[
W_H(q_1, q_3) = -W_{F_{31}}(q_1, q_3)
\]
as oriented manifolds.

This shows that we have the following orientation relations between moduli spaces of broken flow lines,

\[
\mathcal{M}_H(q_1, q_2) \times \mathcal{M}_H(q_2, q_3) = +\mathcal{M}_{F_{21}}(q_1, q_2) \times \mathcal{M}_{F_{43}}(q_2, q_3)
\]

\[
\mathcal{M}_H(q_1, q_3) \times \mathcal{M}_H(q_3, q_4) = -\mathcal{M}_{F_{31}}(q_1, q_3) \times \mathcal{M}_{F_{43}}(q_3, q_4)
\]

To complete the proof, for \((i, j) = (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4)\) let \((H_{ji})\) be the chain map defined using the same sum that defines \((F_{ji})\) in Definition 3.1. Let \((H_{ji})\) be replaced with those of \( \mathcal{M}_{F_{ji}}(q_i, q_j) \). Since \( \mathcal{M}_H(q_1, q_4) \) is an oriented compact smooth one dimensional manifold with a coherent orientation on its boundary, summing over the moduli spaces of broken flow lines that make up the boundary of \( \mathcal{M}_H(q_1, q_4) \) gives

\[
(H_{43}) \circ (H_{31}) + (H_{42}) \circ (H_{21}) + \partial^G_{k+1}H = 0.
\]

The above relations concerning the orientations of the moduli spaces of \( H \) versus those of \( F_{ji} \) for \((i, j) = (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4)\) imply that \( (H_{42}) \circ (H_{21}) = (F_{42}) \circ (F_{21}) \) and \( (H_{43}) \circ (H_{31}) = -(F_{43}) \circ (F_{31}) \). Therefore,

\[
(F_{43}) \circ (F_{31}) - (F_{42}) \circ (F_{21}) = \partial^G_{k+1}H + H \circ \partial^G_k.
\]
3.3. An invariance theorem. We now prove the main theorem in this section.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let \( f_1 : M \to \mathbb{R} \) be a Morse-Smale function on \((M, g_1)\) and \( \Phi : G_1 \to G_2 \) a family of isomorphisms between two bundles of abelian groups \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) over \( M \). For all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \) the chain map

\[
(F_{21})_\Box : C_k(f_1; G_1) \to C_k(f_2; G_2)
\]

from Definition 3.1 is an isomorphism if we take \( f_1 = f_2 \), \( g \) the product metric \( g_1 + dt^2 \) on \( \mathbb{R} \times M \), and \( F_{21}(t, x) = f_1(x) - \rho(t) \). Moreover, on an elementary chain \( gq \in C_k(f_1; G_1) \) we have \((F_{21})_\Box(gq) = (-1)^k \Phi(g)q\). Thus, \((F_{21})_\Box \circ (F_{22})_\Box = \text{id} \) if \((F_{21})_\Box \) is defined using the product metric, \( F_{21}(t, x) = f_2(x) - \rho(t) \), and \( \Phi^{-1} : G_2 \to G_1 \).

Proof: Note that \(-\nabla F_{21} = (\rho'(t), -\nabla f_1)\) where \( \rho'(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \) since \( g \) is the product metric. Recalling that \( f_1 = f_2 \) decreases along its gradient flow lines, we see that for any elementary chain \( gq \in C_k(f_1; G_1) \) we have

\[
(F_{21})_\Box(gq) = (-1)^k \sum_{q_2 \in C_{rk}(f)\nu \in M_F(q, q_2)} \epsilon(\nu_F)(\gamma_F)_*(\Phi(g))q_2
\]

\[
= (-1)^k \epsilon(\nu_F)(\gamma_F)_*(\Phi(g))q
\]

where \((\gamma_F)_* = \text{id} \) since \( \pi \circ \gamma_F \equiv q \) and \( \epsilon(\nu_F) = +1 \) because for \( \tau << 0 \) the tangent bundle of \( W^u_F(q) \) is oriented as \( \mathbb{R} \times T_q W^u_F(q) \) and \( W_F(q,q) \) is oriented by the relation

\[
T_q W_F(q, q) \approx T_q W^u_F(q) \approx T_q W^u_F(q) \approx T_q W^u_F(q).
\]

\[\square\]

**Corollary 3.8.** For any two Morse-Smale pairs \((f_1, g_1)\) and \((f_2, g_2)\) and a family of isomorphisms \( \Phi : G_1 \to G_2 \) between bundles of abelian groups \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) over \( M \), the time dependent gradient flow lines from \( f_1 \) to \( f_2 \) determine a canonical homomorphism

\[
(F_{21})_* : H_*((C_*(f_1; G_1), \partial_*^{G_1})) \to H_*((C_*(f_2; G_2), \partial_*^{G_2})),
\]

i.e. the map \((F_{21})_*\) is independent of the choices made in the definition of \((F_{21})_\Box\).

Proof: Let \( f_2 = f_3 = f_4, g_2 = g_3 = g_4, \) and \( G_2 = G_3 = G_4 \) in Theorem 3.6 and let \( F_{21} : \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( F_{31} : \mathbb{R} \times M \to \mathbb{R} \) be two functions that define time dependent gradient flow lines from \( f_1 \) to \( f_2 = f_3 = f_4 \) with respect to metrics \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) on \( \mathbb{R} \times M \) that are equal to \( g_1 + dt^2 \) and \( g_2 + dt^2 \) near the respective ends of \( \mathbb{R} \times M \). Theorem 3.6 implies

\[
(F_{34})_* \circ (F_{31})_* = (F_{42})_* \circ (F_{21})_*
\]

and Lemma 3.7 implies that \((F_{42})_*^{-1} = (F_{24})_*\) satisfies \((F_{42})_*^{-1} \circ (F_{43})_* = \text{id}\), if we define \((F_{24})_\Box = (F_{34})_\Box\) using the choices in Lemma 3.7. Thus, \((F_{31})_* = (F_{21})_*\).

\[\square\]
Theorem 3.9 (Invariance Theorem). Let \((M, g)\) be a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold, and let \(G\) be a bundle of abelian groups over \(M\). Then the homology of the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex \((C_*(f; G), \partial^i_*)\) is independent of the Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) and depends only on the isomorphism class of the bundle of abelian groups \(G\).

Proof: Let \((f_1, g_1)\) and \((f_2, g_2)\) be Morse-Smale pairs on \(M\), and let \(\Phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2\) be a family of isomorphisms between bundles of abelian groups \(G_1\) and \(G_2\) over \(M\). Letting \(f_1 = f_3 = f_4, g_1 = g_3 = g_4,\) and \(G_1 = G_3 = G_4\) in Theorem 3.6 we have

\[
(F_{43})_* \circ (F_{31})_* = (F_{32})_* \circ (F_{21})_*
\]

where \((F_{43})_* \circ (F_{31})_* = id\) by Lemma 3.7 and Corollary 3.8. Therefore, \((F_{12})_* \circ (F_{21})_* = id\). Similarly, \((F_{21})_* \circ (F_{12})_* = id\).

\(\square\)

Combining the preceding theorem with Claim 2.6 we have the following.

Corollary 3.10. Let \(\eta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})\) be a closed one form on a Riemannian manifold \((M, g)\). Then the homology of the \(\eta\)-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex \((C_*(f) \otimes \mathbb{R}, \partial^i)\) from Definition 2.12 is independent of the Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) and depends only on the de Rham cohomology class of \(\eta\).

4. SINGULAR AND CW-HOMOLOGY WITH LOCAL COEFFICIENTS

The main goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 (Twisted Morse Homology Theorem). Let \(f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold \((M, g)\), and let \(G\) be a bundle of abelian groups over \(M\). The homology of the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex with coefficients in \(G\) is isomorphic to the singular homology of \(M\) with coefficients in \(G\), i.e.

\[
H_k((C_*(f; G), \partial^i_*)) \approx H_k(M; G)
\]

for all \(k = 0, \ldots, m\).

We will prove this theorem by observing that if the unstable manifolds of the Morse-Smale function \(f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) determine a regular CW-structure on \((M, g)\), then the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex \((C_*(f; G), \partial^i_*)\) and Steenrod’s CW-chain complex with coefficients in the bundle of abelian groups \(G\) coincide (Lemma 4.10). We will then show how to construct a Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) on \(M\) whose unstable manifolds determine a regular CW-structure on \(M\) (Theorem 4.12) and apply the above Invariance Theorem (Theorem 3.9). This proves the Twisted Morse Homology Theorem because, for a regular CW-complex, the homology of Steenrod’s CW-chain complex with coefficients in \(G\) is isomorphic to the singular homology with coefficients in \(G\) (Lemma 4.8).
4.1. Singular homology with local coefficients. For the convenience of the reader we now recall the definition of singular homology with coefficients in a bundle of abelian groups. For more details see Chapter VI of [74].

Let $G$ be a bundle of abelian groups over a topological space $X$. Let $\Delta^k$ denote the standard $k$-simplex with vertices $e_0, \ldots, e_k$, and let $C_k(X; G)$ be the set of all functions $c$ such that the following conditions hold.

1. For every singular $k$-simplex $u : \Delta^k \to X$, $c(u) \in G_u(e_0)$ is defined.
2. The set of singular simplices $u$ such that $c(u) \neq 0$ is finite.

Elements of the abelian group $C_k(X; G)$ are called singular $k$-chains with coefficients in $G$, and every $c \in C_k(X; G)$ can be represented as a finite sum

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^n c(u_i) \cdot u_i$$

where $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ are the singular simplices such that $c(u_i) \neq 0$ and $c(u_i) \in G_u(e_0)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The relative singular $k$-chains with coefficients in $G$ for a subspace $A \subseteq X$, denoted $C_k(X, A; G)$, are defined similarly.

**Definition 4.2.** The singular boundary operator with coefficients in $G$ is defined to be the homomorphism $\partial_k : C_k(X; G) \to C_{k-1}(X; G)$ given on an elementary chain $c = g \cdot u$ by

$$\partial_k(g \cdot u) = (\gamma_u)_*(g) \cdot u \circ F_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i g \cdot u \circ F_i$$

where $(\gamma_u)_* : G_u(e_0) \to G_u(e_1)$ is the homomorphism associated to the path $\gamma_u(t) = u((1-t)e_1 + te_0)$ from $u(e_1)$ to $u(e_0)$ and $F_i : \Delta^{k-1} \to \Delta^k$ is the inclusion onto the face opposite $e_i$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, k - 1$. The pair $(C_*(X; G), \partial_*)$ is a chain complex, and its homology groups $H_*(X; G)$ are called the homology groups of $X$ with coefficients in the bundle $G$. The relative homology groups $H_*(X, A; G)$ for a subspace $A \subseteq X$ are defined similarly.

Eilenberg showed that singular homology with local coefficients is closely related to equivariant homology. Suppose that $(X, x_0)$ is a connected topological space and $G_0$ is an abelian group on which $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ acts. Since $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ also acts on the universal cover $\tilde{X}$ and this action commutes with the boundary operator on singular chains in $\tilde{X}$, there is a chain complex $(G_0 \otimes_{\pi_1} C_*(\tilde{X}), \tilde{\partial}_*)$, where the tensor product is taken over $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ and the boundary operator $\tilde{\partial}_*$ is induced from the boundary operator on the singular chains in $\tilde{X}$. The homology groups of this complex are the equivariant homology groups $E_*(\tilde{X}; G_0)$, cf. Section 2.6.

Let $G$ be a bundle of abelian groups on $X$ in the isomorphism class determined by the action of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ on $G_0$ (Theorem 2.3). We have the following.

**Theorem 4.3** (Eilenberg). For all $k$, $H_k(X; G)$ is isomorphic to $E_k(\tilde{X}; G_0)$. 
For a proof of the preceding theorem see Section VI.3 of [74].

4.2. **Regular CW-complexes.** We now recall the definition of a regular CW-complex and we prove that, for a regular CW-complex, Steenrod’s CW-boundary operator with coefficients in a bundle of abelian groups $G$ (Definition 4.6) is induced from the singular boundary operator with coefficients in $G$ (Lemma 4.8). The reader should note that Steenrod’s CW-boundary operator may not be well-defined for a general CW-complex. So, Steenrod’s CW-chain complex with local coefficients is only defined for some restricted class of CW-complexes; although a CW-chain complex with local coefficients for a general CW-complex can be defined using a boundary operator that is induced from a connecting homomorphism.

**Definition 4.4.** A CW-complex $X$ is **regular** if every closed $k$-cell $e^k$, with $k > 0$, is homeomorphic to $\Delta^k$.

**Remark 4.5.** Not every CW-complex is regular. For instance, the CW-structure on $S^1$ given by the Morse function in Example 2.14 is not regular. In that example the closed 1-cell $e^1$ is homeomorphic to $S^1$ instead of $\Delta^1$. Similarly, the CW-structure on the 2-torus with 4 cells is not regular, cf. Example 7.11 of [6].

Regular cell complexes satisfy the following properties, which are not necessarily satisfied by nonregular CW-complexes. For more details see Section IX.6 of [43] or Section II.6 of [74].

1. If $e^k$ is a $k$-cell, then its **boundary** $\partial^k = e^k - \text{int}(e^k)$ is the union of finitely many $(k-1)$-cells. (Note that the “boundary” in this context may be different than the topological boundary of $e^k$.)
2. If $j < k$ and $e^j$ and $e^k$ are cells such that $e^j \cap \partial^k \neq \emptyset$, then $e^j \subset \partial^k$.
3. For any $k$-cell $e^k$ of $X$ with $k \geq 0$, $e^k$ and $\partial^k$ are the underlying spaces of sub-complexes of $X$.
4. If $e^k$ and $e^{k+2}$ are cells such that $e^k$ is a face of $e^{k+2}$, then there are exactly two $(k+1)$-cells $e^{k+1}$ such that $e^k$ is a proper face of $e^{k+1}$ and $e^{k+1}$ is a proper face of $e^{k+2}$, i.e. $e^k < e^{k+1} < e^{k+2}$.
5. The incidence number $[e^k : e^{k-1}]$ is $\pm 1$ if $e^{k-1} < e^k$ and zero otherwise.

For any system of local coefficients $G$ over a CW-complex $X$ (not necessarily regular) the triple $(X^{(k-2)}, X^{(k-1)}, X^{(k)})$, where $X^{(k)}$ denotes the $k$-skeleton of $X$, determines a connecting homomorphism

$$H_k(X^{(k)}, X^{(k-1)}; G) \xrightarrow{\delta_k} H_{k-1}(X^{(k-1)}; G)$$

that can be composed with the map $H_{k-1}(X^{(k-1)}; G) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_{k-1}(X^{(k-1)}, X^{(k-2)}; G)$ induced from the inclusion $j : X^{(k-2)} \hookrightarrow X^{(k-1)}$ to give a map

$$H_k(X^{(k)}, X^{(k-1)}; G) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}_k} H_{k-1}(X^{(k-1)}, X^{(k-2)}; G).$$
The above map satisfies $\tilde{\partial}_{k-1} \circ \tilde{\partial}_k = 0$, and the homology groups of the chain complex with boundary operator $\tilde{\partial}_k$ and $k^{th}$-chain group $H_k(X^{(k)}, X^{(k-1)}; G)$ are isomorphic to the singular homology groups of $X$ with coefficients in the bundle $G$ from Definition 1.2 cf. Theorems VI.2.4 and VI.4.4 of [74].

Now if $X$ is regular, then the homology group $H_k(X^{(k)}, X^{(k-1)}; G)$ can be represented as a direct sum of the images of the maps induced by the characteristic maps $f_\sigma : (\Delta^k, \hat{\Delta}^k) \to (e^k_\sigma, \hat{e}^k_\sigma) \subseteq (X^{(k)}, X^{(k-1)})$ of the $k$-cells $e^k_\sigma$ of $X$ as follows. For each $k$-cell $e^k_\sigma$ we choose a basepoint $x(e^k_\sigma)$, which determines an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_\sigma (f_\sigma)_* : \bigoplus_\sigma H_k(\Delta^k, \hat{\Delta}^k; G_{x(e^k_\sigma)}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_k(X^{(k)}, X^{(k-1)}; G),$$

cf. Theorem VI.4.1 of [74]. Note that the definition of the induced map $(f_\sigma)_*$ requires both a map of spaces $f_\sigma : (\Delta^k, \hat{\Delta}^k) \to (X^{(k)}, X^{(k-1)})$ and a homomorphism $\gamma_* : G_{x(e^k_\sigma)} \to f_\sigma^*(G)$, cf. Section VI.2 of [74]. We take the homomorphism $\gamma_*$ to be the one defined by restricting the local coefficient system $G$ to the simply connected space $e^k_\sigma$ i.e. for any point $x \in \Delta^k$ there is a unique homotopy class of paths rel endpoints from $f_\sigma(x)$ to $x(e^k_\sigma)$ and hence a well-defined homomorphism $G_{x(e^k_\sigma)} \to G_{f_\sigma(x)}$. Since $G_{x(e^k_\sigma)} \approx H_k(\Delta^k, \hat{\Delta}^k; G_{x(e^k_\sigma)})$, we can use the above isomorphisms to identify

$$\text{CW}_k(X; G) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \sum_\sigma g e^k_\sigma \mid g \in G_{x(e^k_\sigma)} \right\}$$

$$= \bigoplus_\sigma G_{x(e^k_\sigma)}$$

$$= \bigoplus_\sigma H_k(\Delta^k, \hat{\Delta}^k; G_{x(e^k_\sigma)})$$

$$\overset{\cong}{=}_* (f_\sigma)_* \approx H_k(X^{(k)}, X^{(k-1)}; G);
$$

**Definition 4.6.** Steenrod’s CW-boundary operator with coefficients in $G$ is defined to be the homomorphism $\partial_k : \text{CW}_k(X; G) \to \text{CW}_{k-1}(X; G)$ given on an elementary chain $ge^k$ by

$$\partial_k(ge^k) = \sum_{e^{k-1} < e^k} [e^k : e^{k-1}](\gamma_{e^{k-1}e^k})_*(g)e^{k-1},$$

where $(\gamma_{e^{k-1}e^k})_* : G_{x(e^k)} \to G_{x(e^{k-1})}$ denotes the isomorphism determined by any path from $x(e^{k-1})$ to $x(e^k)$ contained in the closure of $e^k$. We will call the pair $(\text{CW}_*(X; G), \partial_*)$ **Steenrod’s CW-chain complex with coefficients in the bundle $G$**.

Note that $(\gamma_{e^{k-1}e^k})_*$ does not depend on the path from $x(e^{k-1})$ to $x(e^k)$ since $e^k \approx \Delta^k$ is simply connected. Moreover, one can show directly that $\partial_{k-1} \circ \partial_k = 0$, and the homology of Steenrod’s CW-chain complex for a regular CW-complex $X$ with
coefficients in $G$ is independent of the choice of basepoints $x(e^h)$; see [19] or Section 31.2 of [67] for more details.

**Remark 4.7.** If the CW-complex $X$ is not regular, then the homomorphism $(\gamma_{e^{h-1}e^h})_*$ may not be well-defined. For instance, the above formula for $\partial_1(g^{e^1})$ is not well-defined for the CW-structure given by the unstable manifolds of the height function on $S^1$ in Example 2.14. Similarly, the above formula is not well-defined for the CW-structure on the 2-torus with 4 cells, cf. Example 7.11 of [6].

**Lemma 4.8.** If $X$ is a regular CW-complex and $G$ is a bundle of abelian groups over $X$, then the singular boundary operator with coefficients in $G$ from Definition 4.2 induces Steenrod’s CW-boundary operator with coefficients in $G$ from Definition 4.6. That is, the following diagram commutes.

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
CW_k(X; G) & \xrightarrow{\partial_k} & CW_{k-1}(X; G) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
H_k(X^{(k)}, X^{(k-1)}; G) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_k} & H_{k-1}(X^{(k-1)}, X^{(k-2)}; G)
\end{array}
$$

Thus, the homology of Steenrod’s CW-chain complex $(CW_*(X; G), \partial_*)$ is isomorphic to the singular homology of $X$ with coefficients in the bundle $G$.

Proof: The connecting homomorphism $\delta_k$ is natural, cf. Theorem VI.2.4 of [74]. Hence, the following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
H_k(\Delta^k, \dot{\Delta}^k; G_{x(e^h)}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_k} & H_{k-1}(\dot{\Delta}^k; G_{x(e^h)}) \\
(f_\sigma)_* & & (f_\partial)_* \\
H_k(X^{(k)}, X^{(k-1)}; G) & \xrightarrow{\delta_k} & H_{k-1}(X^{(k-1)}; G) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_{k-1}(X^{(k-1)}, X^{(k-2)}; G) \\
\approx & & \oplus_{\tau} H_{k-1}(\Delta^{k-1}, \dot{\Delta}^{k-1}; G_{x(e^{h-1})})
\end{array}
$$

where $f_\sigma$ and $f_\tau$ are characteristic maps, $f_\partial = f_\sigma |_{\Delta^{k-1}}$, the homomorphisms $G_{x(e^h)} \rightarrow f_\sigma^* G$ and $G_{x(e^{h-1})} \rightarrow f_\partial^* G$ are defined by restricting the local coefficient system to the simply connected space $e^h$, the homomorphism $G_{x(e^{h-1})} \rightarrow f_\tau^* G$ is defined by restricting the local system to the simply connected space $e^{h-1}$, and $j : (X^{(k-1)}, \emptyset) \rightarrow (X^{(k-1)}, X^{(k-2)})$ is the inclusion. Moreover, we have fixed isomorphisms

$$
G_{x(e^h)} = H_k(\Delta^k, \dot{\Delta}^k; G_{x(e^h)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigoplus_{\tau} H_{k-1}(\Delta^{k-1}, \dot{\Delta}^{k-1}; G_{x(e^{h-1})}) = CW_{k-1}(X; G).
$$
The statement of the lemma claims that these isomorphisms and the map given by tracing the above diagram from the upper left to the lower right agree with $\partial_k$ from Definition 4.6.

If we let $X^{(k-1)}/X^{(k-2)}$ be the space obtained by identifying $X^{(k-2)}$ to a point when $k > 1$ or $X^{(0)}$ union a disjoint basepoint $\ast$ when $k = 1$, then $X^{(k-1)}/X^{(k-2)}$ is a bouquet of $(k - 1)$-spheres $S^k_{\tau^{-1}}$ unioned at the basepoint $\ast$. For each $\tau$ let $p_\tau$ be the composition $X^{(k-1)} \to X^{(k-1)}/X^{(k-2)} \to S^k_{\tau^{-1}}$, where the second map collapses every sphere other than $S^k_{\tau^{-1}}$ to the basepoint. Then $p_\tau : (X^{(k-1)}, X^{(k-2)}) \to (S^k_{\tau^{-1}}, \ast)$ is a map of pairs, and $p_\tau \circ f_\tau' : \Delta^{k-1} \to S^k_{\tau^{-1}}$ is a constant map to the basepoint if $\tau \neq \tau'$ and the map that identifies the boundary of $\Delta^{k-1}$ to the basepoint when $\tau = \tau'$. Identifying $H_{k-1}(S^k_{\tau^{-1}}, \ast; G_{x(e_{\tau'}^k)}) = H_{k-1}(\Delta^{k-1}, \Delta^{k-1}; G_{x(e_{\tau'}^k)})$ we see that

$$\bigoplus_{\tau} (p_\tau)_* : H_{k-1}(X^{(k-1)}, X^{(k-2)}; G) \xrightarrow{\approx} \bigoplus_{\tau} H_{k-1}(\Delta^{k-1}, \Delta^{k-1}; G_{x(e_{\tau'}^k)})$$

is the inverse of $\bigoplus_{\tau} (f_\tau)_*$, cf. Proposition 2.14 of [6].

Now, the characteristic maps $f_\sigma : \Delta^k \to e^k_\sigma$ and $f_\tau : \Delta^{k-1} \to e^{k-1}_\tau$ determine orientations on the cells $e^k_\sigma$ and $e^{k-1}_\tau$, and the sign $[e^k_\sigma : e^{k-1}_\tau] = \pm 1$ keeps track of the compatibility of these orientations. That is, if $e^{k-1}_\tau < e^k_\sigma$, then $[e^k_\sigma : e^{k-1}_\tau]$ is $+1$ or $-1$ depending on whether the following isomorphism preserves or reverses the orientations determined by $f_\sigma$ and $f_\tau$

$$\mathbb{Z} \approx H_k(e^k_\sigma, \hat{c}_k^\sigma) \xrightarrow{\delta_k} H_{k-1}(\hat{c}_k^\sigma) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_{k-1}(\hat{c}_k^\sigma, e^k_\sigma - e^{k-1}_\tau) \approx H_{k-1}(e^{k-1}_\tau, \hat{c}_k^{k-1}) \approx \mathbb{Z},$$

where the last isomorphism is given by excision, cf. Section II.6 of [74]. Moreover, the exact sequence

$$H_k(\Delta^k; G_{x(e^k_\tau)}) \to H_k(\Delta^k, \hat{\Delta}^k; G_{x(e^k_\tau)}) \xrightarrow{\delta_k} H_{k-1}(\hat{\Delta}^k; G_{x(e^k_\tau)}) \to H_{k-1}(\Delta^k; G_{x(e^k_\tau)})$$

shows that $\delta_k$ is an isomorphism when $k > 1$ and injective when $k = 1$, where the orientation of $\hat{\Delta}^k$ is determined by the orientation of $\Delta^k$. Thus, by the Universal Coefficient Theorem we have

$$H_k(\Delta^k, \hat{\Delta}^k; G_{x(e^k_\tau)}) \approx \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} G_{x(e^k_\tau)} \approx G_{x(e^k_\tau)} \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0,$$

$$H_{k-1}(\hat{\Delta}^k; G_{x(e^k_\tau)}) \approx \begin{cases} G_{x(e^k_\tau)} & \text{if } k > 1 \\ G_{x(e^k_\tau)} \oplus G_{x(e^k_\tau)} & \text{if } k = 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\bar{\delta}_k(g) = \begin{cases} g & \text{if } k \geq 1 \\ (g, -g) & \text{if } k = 1, \end{cases}$$
cf. Example 2.2 of \[6\]. Since \( p_r \circ j \circ f_{\partial} : \Lambda^{k-1} \to S^{k-1} \) is a map of degree \( [e^k : e^{k-1}] \), this shows that for any \( g \in G_{x(e^k)} \) we have
\[
(p_r)_*(j_*(\delta_k((f_{\partial})_*(g)))) = (p_r)_*(j_*(\delta_k((f_{\partial})_*(g)))) = [e^k : e^{k-1}] (\gamma_{e^k \cdot e^{k-1}})_*(g) \in G_{x(e^{k-1})},
\]

4.3. Unstable manifolds and regular CW-structures. The unstable manifolds of the Morse-Smale functions in Examples 2.14 and 2.15 do not determine regular CW-structures on \( S^1 \) or \( \mathbb{R}P^2 \). This can be seen directly from Definition 4.4 or by noting that, in both cases, there are cells \( e^k \) and \( e^{k-1} \) with \( e^{k-1} < e^k \) where the incidence number \( [e^k : e^{k-1}] \neq \pm 1 \). However, we will show in Theorem 4.12 that on any closed finite dimensional smooth manifold \( M \) it is always possible to find a Morse function \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \) and a Riemannian metric \( g \) such that \( f \) satisfies the Morse-Smale transversality condition with respect to \( g \) and the unstable manifolds of \( (f, g) \) determine a regular CW-structure on \( M \).

Example 4.9 (A deformed circle). Consider the unit circle \( M = S^1 \) and the Morse-Smale function \( f : S^1 \to \mathbb{R} \) given by the height function in the following picture, where the arrows indicate the orientations of the unstable manifolds. It’s clear that the unstable manifolds of \( f \) determine a regular CW-structure on \( S^1 \) since \( W^u(q_1) = W^u(q_1) \cup \{p_1, p_2\} \approx \Delta^1 \) and \( W^u(q_2) = W^u(q_2) \cup \{p_1, p_2\} \approx \Delta^1 \).

The (non-twisted) Morse-Smale-Witten complex of \( f \) is
\[
0 \longrightarrow C_1(f) \overset{\partial_1}{\longrightarrow} C_0(f) \overset{\partial_1}{\longrightarrow} 0
\]
\[
0 \longrightarrow <q_1, q_2> \overset{\partial_1}{\longrightarrow} <p_1, p_2> \overset{\partial_1}{\longrightarrow} 0
\]
with $\partial_1(q_1) = \partial_1(q_2) = p_2 - p_1$. The homology group $H_1(C_*(f), \partial_*) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the class $[q_1 - q_2]$, and the image of $\partial_1$ is the group $< p_2 - p_1 >$. Hence, $H_0(C_*(f), \partial_*) \cong < p_1, p_2 > / < p_2 - p_1 > \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Now, let $G$ be a bundle of abelian groups over $S^1$, and let $\gamma_{p_i q_j} : [0, 1] \to M$ be a path from $p_i$ to $q_j$ whose image coincides with the image of a gradient flow line $\nu_{q_j p_i}$, for $i, j = 1, 2$. The twisted Morse-Smale-Witten boundary operator is determined by
\[
\partial_i^G (g_1 q_1) = (\gamma_{p_i q_1})_*(g_1) p_2 - (\gamma_{p_1 q_1})_*(g_1) p_1 \quad \text{for all } g_1 \in G_{q_1},
\partial_i^G (g_2 q_2) = (\gamma_{p_2 q_2})_*(g_2) p_2 - (\gamma_{p_1 q_2})_*(g_2) p_1 \quad \text{for all } g_2 \in G_{q_2}.
\]

Note that in this example it is not immediately obvious that the homology of the twisted chain complex $(C_*(f; G); \partial^G_*)$ depends only on the isomorphism class of $G$ (Theorem 4.3). On the other hand, since the unstable manifolds of the Morse-Smale function determine a regular CW-structure $X^{(0)} \subseteq X^{(1)}$ on $S^1$, we can compare the twisted Morse-Small-Witten chain complex $(C_*(f; G); \partial^G_*)$ to Steenrod’s twisted CW-chain complex $(\text{CW}_*(X; G), \partial_*)$.

If we choose the critical point $q_j = x(e^1_j)$ as the basepoint for the cell $e^1_j = W^u(q_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$, then the homomorphism $(\gamma_{e^0_j e^1_j})_* : G_{q_j} \to G_{p_i}$ from Definition 4.6 coincides with $(\gamma_{p_j q_j})_*$ for $i, j = 1, 2$. Moreover, one can check directly that the signs $\epsilon(\nu_{q_j p_i})$ associated to the gradient flow lines agree with the corresponding incidence numbers $[e^1_j : e^0_j]$. Hence, Steenrod’s CW-boundary operator with coefficients in $G$ (Definition 4.6) is the same as the Morse-Smale-Witten boundary operator with coefficients in $G$ (Definition 2.1) once we identify the corresponding generators. Therefore, $H_k((C_*(f; G); \partial^G_*)) \cong H_k((\text{CW}_*(X; G), \partial_*)) \cong H_k(S^1; G)$ for all $k$.

**Lemma 4.10.** Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. Assume that the unstable manifolds of $(f, g)$ determine a regular CW-structure $X$ on $M$, and for each closed $k$-cell $e^k_q$ with $W^u(q) \subseteq e^k_q$ choose the basepoint $x(e^k_q)$ to be the critical point $q \in \text{Cr}_k(f)$. Let $G$ be a bundle of abelian groups over $M$, and identify the generator $e^k_q$ in the CW-chain complex $(\text{CW}_*(X; G), \partial_*)$ with the generator $q$ in the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex $(C_*(f; G), \partial^G_*)$.

Under these identifications, Steenrod’s CW-boundary operator with coefficients in $G$ from Definition 4.6 coincides with the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten boundary operator from Definition 2.11. Thus, $H_k((\text{CW}_*(X; G), \partial_*)) \cong H_k((C_*(f; G), \partial^G_*))$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.

**Proof:** The assumption that the CW-complex is regular implies that any two gradient flow lines from $q \in \text{Cr}_k(f)$ to $p \in \text{Cr}_{k-1}(f)$ are homotopic rel endpoints. Hence, if $\gamma^\nu$ is any continuous path from $p$ to $q$ whose images coincide with $\nu \in M(q, p)$, then the isomorphism $(\gamma^\nu)_* : G_q \to G_p$ is independent of $\nu$. That is, in the notation of Definition 4.6 we have $(\gamma^\nu)_* = (\gamma^\nu_{p_1}^{-1} e^0_q)_*$. Thus, for the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten
boundary operator from Definition 2.11 we have for any $g \in G_q$

$$
\partial_k^g (gq) = \sum_{p \in Cr_{k-1}(f)} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(q,p)} \epsilon(\nu) \gamma_*^\nu (g)p
$$

$$
= \sum_{p \in Cr_{k-1}(f)} \left( \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(q,p)} \epsilon(\nu) \right) (\gamma_{e_p^{k-1}e_q^k})_* (g)p
$$

$$
= \sum_{e_p^{k-1} < e_q^k} \# \mathcal{M}(q,p) (\gamma_{e_p^{k-1}e_q^k})_* (g)p, \tag{1}
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that

$$
W^u(q) = \bigcup_{q \geq p} W^u(p),
$$

cf. Corollary 6.27 of [6], and

$$
\# \mathcal{M}(q,p) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(q,p)} \epsilon(\nu).
$$

Now, if the Riemannian metric $g$ is locally trivial with respect to the Morse charts of $f$, i.e. if one can choose the Morse charts to be isometries with respect to the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^m$ (see Definition 2.16 of [53]), then by Theorem 3.9 of [53] for any $q \in Cr_k(f)$ and $p \in Cr_{k-1}(f)$ we have $\# \mathcal{M}(q,p) = [e_q^k : e_p^{k-1}]$ where $[e_q^k : e_p^{k-1}]$ is the incidence number used to define the CW-boundary operator. The same result was shown to hold for a general Morse-Smale metric in Theorem 9.3 of [54]. This proves the lemma since Steenrod’s CW-boundary operator from Definition 4.6 is given by

$$
\partial_k (ge_q^k) = \sum_{e_p^{k-1} < e_q^k} [e_q^k : e_p^{k-1}] (\gamma_{e_p^{k-1}e_q^k})_* (g)e_p^{k-1}.
$$

\[ \square \]

**Remark 4.11.** The proof of the previous lemma relied on the identity

$$
\# \mathcal{M}(q,p) = [e_q^k : e_p^{k-1}].
$$

As noted in the proof, this identity was explicitly proved by Qin in [53] under the assumption that the metric $g$ is locally trivial with respect to the Morse charts of $f$ and then later extended to all metrics by Qin in [54]. It was also proved by Audin and Damian for gradient-like vector fields in Appendix 4.9 of [4]. The proof of the identity relies on the manifolds with corners structure on the compactified moduli space $\mathcal{M}(q,p)$, which has been studied by several authors, including Qin, under various assumptions on the Riemannian metric or the form of the gradient vector field in the Morse charts, cf. [4], [16], [17], [39], [53], [54].
In Theorem 4.12 we show that it is always possible to find a Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) on \(M\) such that there are Morse charts of \(f\) that are isometries with respect to the standard Euclidean metric on \(\mathbb{R}^m\) around every critical point and the unstable manifolds of \((f, g)\) determine a regular CW-structure on \(M\). Moreover, the above identity is easy to establish directly for the function constructed in Theorem 4.12. Thus, our proof of Theorem 4.1 is independent of the more general results proved in the above referenced papers.

4.4. A Morse-Smale function that determines a regular CW-structure. It was proved by Laudenbach in the appendix to [13] that if \(M\) is a finite dimensional closed smooth manifold and \(f : M \to \mathbb{R}\) is Morse-Smale with respect to a Riemannian metric \(g\) such that the gradient vector field is “Special Morse”, i.e. if the gradient vector field of \(f\) in every Morse chart is the gradient of \(f\) with respect to the standard Euclidean metric on \(\mathbb{R}^m\), then the unstable manifolds of \((f, g)\) determine a CW-structure on \(M\). A similar result was announced by Burghelea, Friedlander, and Kappeler in the Epilogue to [16] for gradient-like vector fields and proved by Audin and Damian for gradient-like vector fields in Appendix 4.9 of [4]. Qin also proved that the unstable manifolds determine a CW-structure under the assumption that the Riemannian metric is locally trivial with respect to the Morse charts of \(f\) [53] and then later extended his proof to general Riemannian metrics [54].

However, none of these results address the question of whether or not the CW-structure determined by the unstable manifolds is regular. Examples 2.14 and 2.15 and the standard height function on the torus \(T^2\), cf. Example 7.11 of [6], show that the CW-structure determined by the unstable manifolds of a Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) might not be regular. However, the following theorem shows that it is always possible to find at least one Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) on \(M\) such that CW-structure determined by the unstable manifolds of \((f, g)\) is regular.

**Theorem 4.12.** On any closed finite dimensional smooth manifold \(M\) there exists a smooth Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) such that the unstable manifolds of \((f, g)\) determine a regular CW-structure on \(M\). Moreover, the Riemannian metric \(g\) can be chosen so that there are Morse charts of \(f\) around every critical point that are isometries with respect to the standard Euclidean metric on \(\mathbb{R}^m\).

Proof: Following an idea dual to a method used in one of Thurston’s unpublished manuscripts, cf. Chapter III of [9], we choose a triangulation of \(M\) fine enough so that every \(m\)-simplex is contained in a coordinate chart, where \(m < \infty\) is the dimension of the manifold. Let \(n_k\) denote the number of \(k\)-simplices in the triangulation for all \(k = 0, \ldots, m\), let \(D^k_j\) denote the \(j^{th}\) \(k\)-simplex for all \(j = 1, \ldots, n_k\), let \(p^0_j = D^0_j\) for all \(j = 1, \ldots, n_0\), and for each \(k > 0\) pick a basepoint \(p^k_j \in D^k_j\) in the interior of each \(k\)-simplex \(D^k_j\) for all \(j = 1, \ldots, n_k\). Pick any smooth Riemannian metric \(\tilde{g}\) on \(M\), and let \(\rho : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) be a smooth bump function such that

\[
0 < \rho(x) \leq 1 \text{ if } |x| < 1,
\]
TWISTED MORSE COMPLEXES

\[ \rho(-x) = \rho(x) \text{ for all } x, \]
\[ \rho(x) = 0 \text{ if } |x| \geq 1, \]
\[ \rho(x) = 1 \text{ if } |x| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \]
\[ \rho'(x) \geq 0 \text{ if } x \leq 0 \text{ and } \rho'(x) \leq 0 \text{ if } x \geq 0, \]
\[ \rho^{(n)}(\pm 1) = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 0. \]

(See the problems at the end of Chapter 6 in [6] for a specific example.)

Around every 0-simplex \( D_j^0 = p_j^0 \) choose a small open coordinate neighborhood \( T_{j,1}^0 \) centered at \( p_j^0 \) such that \( \overline{T_{i,1}^0} \cap \overline{T_{j,1}^0} = \emptyset \) if \( i \neq j \). By making a change of coordinates on \( T_{j,1}^0 \) we will assume that in the local coordinates \((x_1, \ldots, x_m)\) we have

\[ T_{j,1}^0 = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) | x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 < 1 \}, \]

where \((0, \ldots, 0)\) corresponds to the point \( p_j^0 \). Inside each coordinate neighborhood we have smaller coordinate neighborhoods \( T_{j,s}^0 \subseteq T_{j,1}^0 \), where \( s \in (0, 1] \).

\[ T_{j,s}^0 = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T_{j,1}^0 | x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 < s^2 \} \]

Modify \( \tilde{g} \) on \( T_{j,s}^0 \) to a smooth metric \( g^0 \) that is the pullback of the standard metric on \( \mathbb{R}^m \) under the coordinate chart, cf. Remark 6.31 of [6], and define a function

\[ f_j^0(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \rho(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)(-1 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2) \]

using the local coordinates on \( T_{j,1}^0 \). Note that

\[ f_j^0(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = -1 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 \text{ for all } (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T_{j,1}^0 \]
and for all \((x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T^0_{j,1}\) we have
\[
\frac{\partial f^0_j}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) < 0 \text{ if } x_i < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial f^0_j}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) > 0 \text{ if } x_i > 0
\]
for all \(i = 1, \ldots, m\). Moreover, all the higher order partial derivatives of \(f^0_j\) are zero on the boundary of \(T^0_{j,1}\), and hence we can extend \(f^0_j\) to a smooth function on \(M\) by setting it equal to zero outside of \(T^0_{j,1}\).

For all \(s \in (0, 1]\) define
\[
T^0_s = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_0} T^0_{j,s} \quad \text{and} \quad f^0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} f^0_j.
\]

The function \(f^0 : M \to \mathbb{R}\) is a smooth function that is Morse-Smale with respect to the metric \(g^0\) on \(T^0_{\frac{1}{4}}\), it has a critical point \(p^0_j\) of index 0 at each 0-simplex \(D^0_j\) in the triangulation, and it is equal to zero on \(M - T^0_1\).

Next, for every 1-simplex \(D^1_j\) in the triangulation choose a small open tubular neighborhood \(T^1_{j,1,1}\) of \(D^1_j - T^0_1\) with coordinates \((x_1, \ldots, x_m)\) centered at the basepoint \(p^1_j \in D^1_j\), where \(x_1\) is the coordinate along the simplex \(D^1_j\) and \((x_2, \ldots, x_m)\) are coordinates normal to \(D^1_j\). Choose the tubular neighborhoods small enough so that \(T^1_{i,1,1} \cap T^1_{j,1,1} = \emptyset\) if \(i \neq j\). By making a change of coordinates on \(T^1_{j,1,1}\) we will assume that in the local coordinates \((x_1, \ldots, x_m)\) we have
\[
T^1_{j,1,1} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) | x_1^2 < 1 \text{ and } x_2^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 < 1\},
\]
where \((0, \ldots, 0)\) corresponds to \(p^1_j\). Inside each coordinate neighborhood we have smaller open tubular neighborhoods \(T^1_{j,r,s} \subseteq T^1_{j,1,1}\), where \(r, s \in (0, 1]\).
\[
T^1_{j,r,s} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T^1_{j,1,1} | x_1^2 < r^2 \text{ and } x_2^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 < s^2\}
\]
By making another change of coordinates on $T_{j,1,1}^1$ we will assume that the boundary of the 1-disk

$$D_{j,\frac{1}{2}}^1(x_1) = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T_{j,1,1}^1 \mid x_1^2 < \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \text{ and } x_2 = \cdots = x_m = 0 \},$$

i.e. $(\pm \frac{1}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0)$, corresponds to points in $\overline{T_{\frac{1}{2}}^0}$ on or near its boundary and the fibers of $T_{j,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^1$ above $(\pm \frac{1}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ are contained in $\overline{T_{1}^0}$.

Modify the metric $g^0$ to a smooth metric $g^1$ that is the pullback of the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^m$ under the coordinate chart on $T_{j,1,1}^1$ and define a function

$$f_j^1(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \rho(x_1^2)\rho(x_2^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)(1 - x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)$$

using the local coordinates on $T_{j,1,1}^1$. We have

$$f_j^1(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = 1 - x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 \text{ for all } (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T_{j,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}^1,$$

and for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T_{j,1,1}^1$,

$$\frac{\partial f_j^1}{\partial x_1}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) > 0 \text{ if } x_1 < 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial f_j^1}{\partial x_1}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) < 0 \text{ if } x_1 > 0.$$ 

Hence, $p_j^1$ is the only critical point of $f_j^1$ in $T_{j,1,1}^1$. Moreover, all the higher order partial derivatives of $f_j^1$ are zero on the boundary of $T_{j,1,1}^1$, and hence we can extend $f_j^1$ to a smooth function on $M$ by setting it equal to 0 outside of $T_{j,1,1}^1$. For all $r, s \in (0, 1]$ define

$$T_{1}^1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_1} T_{j,r,s}^1, \quad T_{s}^{\leq 1} = T_s^0 \cup T_{r,s}^1, \quad f^1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} f_j^1 \quad \text{and} \quad f^{\leq 1} = f^0 + f^1.$$
The function \( f^{\leq 1} \) is a smooth function on \( M \) that is Morse-Smale with respect to the metric \( g^1 \) on \( T^{\leq 1}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} \). It has a critical point \( p^0_j \) of index 0 at each 0-simplex \( D^0_j \) in the triangulation, and the closure of the unstable manifold \( W^u(p^1_j) \) is homeomorphic to \( D^1 \approx \Delta^1 \) for each critical point \( p^1_j \) of index 1. Moreover, there are Morse charts for \( f^{\leq 1} \) that are isometries with respect to \( g^1 \) and the standard Euclidean metric on \( \mathbb{R}^m \) around every critical point of index 0 and 1.

Note that for all \( j = 1, \ldots, n_1 \) the function \( f^{\leq 1} \) has a single critical point \( p^1_j \in T^{1}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} \) and \( 3^{m-1} - 1 \) critical points \( T^1_{j,1,1} - T^1_{j,1,\frac{1}{2}} \), corresponding to the \( 3^{m-1} \) solutions to the system of equations

\[
\frac{\partial f^1_j}{\partial x_i}(0, x_2, \ldots, x_m) = 0
\]

for all \( i = 2, \ldots, m \). We will eliminate the critical points in \( T^1_{j,1,1} - T^1_{j,1,\frac{1}{2}} \) by adding in functions \( f^j \) for \( j = 2, \ldots, m \) whose gradients dominate the gradient of \( f^{\leq 1} \) on this region.

For every 2-simplex \( D^2_j \) in the triangulation choose a small open tubular neighborhood \( T^2_{j,1,1} \) of

\[
D^2_j - \overline{T^{\leq 1}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}}
\]

with coordinates \((x_1, \ldots, x_m)\) centered at the basepoint \( p^2_j \in D^2_j \), where \((x_1, x_2)\) are coordinates on the simplex \( D^2_j \) and \((x_3, \ldots, x_m)\) are coordinates normal to \( D^2_j \).

Choose the tubular neighborhoods small enough so that \( \overline{T^2_{i,1,1}} \cap \overline{T^2_{j,1,1}} = \emptyset \) if \( i \neq j \). By making a change of coordinates on \( T^2_{j,1,1} \) we will assume that in the local coordinates \((x_1, \ldots, x_m)\) we have

\[
T^2_{j,1,1} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m)| x_1^2 + x_2^2 < 1 \text{ and } x_3^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 < 1 \},
\]

where \((0, \ldots, 0)\) corresponds to \( p^2_j \). Inside each coordinate neighborhood we have smaller open tubular neighborhoods \( T^{2}_{j,r,s} \subseteq T^2_{j,1,1} \) and 2-disks \( D^2_{j,r}(x_1, x_2) \), where \( r, s \in (0, 1] \).

\[
T^1_{j,r,s} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T^2_{j,1,1} | x_1^2 + x_2^2 < r^2 \text{ and } x_3^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 < s^2 \}
\]

\[
D^2_{j,r}(x_1, x_2) = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T^2_{j,1,1} | x_1^2 + x_2^2 < r^2 \text{ and } x_3 = \cdots = x_m = 0 \}
\]

By making another change of coordinates on \( T^2_{j,1,1} \) we will assume that the boundary of the 2-disk \( D^2_{j,1}(x_1, x_2) \) corresponds to points in \( \overline{T^{2}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}_{j,1}} \) on or near its boundary and the fibers of \( T^2_{j,\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} \) above the boundary points of \( D^2_{j,\frac{1}{2}}(x_1, x_2) \) are contained in \( \overline{T^{2}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}_{j,\frac{1}{2}}} \).

Modify the metric \( g^1 \) to a smooth metric \( g^2 \) that is the pullback of the standard metric on \( \mathbb{R}^m \) under the coordinate chart on \( T^2_{j,\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} \) and define a function

\[
f^2_j(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \rho(x_1^2 + x_2^2)\rho(x_3^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)(\rho_j^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)
\]
using the local coordinates on $T^2_{j,1,1}$, where $C^2$ is chosen large enough so that $f^{\leq 1} + f^2_j$ does not have any critical points in the fibers of $T^2_{j,1,1}$ above $D^2_{j,1}(x_1, x_2) - \{p^2_j\}$. The constant $C^2$ exists because for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T^2_{j,1,1}$ we have

\[
\frac{\partial f^2_j}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) > 0 \text{ if } x_i < 0
\]

and the magnitude of these partial derivatives at any point in the fibers of $T^2_{j,1,1}$ above $D^2_{j,1}(x_1, x_2) - \{p^2_j\}$ can be made arbitrarily large by increasing $C^2$. Note that

\[
f^2_j(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = C^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 \text{ for all } (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T^2_{j,1,1},
\]

and all the higher order partial derivatives of $f^2_j$ are zero on the boundary of $T^2_{j,1,1}$. Hence, we can extend $f^2_j$ to a smooth function on $M$ by setting it equal to 0 outside of $T^2_{j,1,1}$. For all $r, s \in (0, 1]$ define

\[
T^2_{r,s} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_2} T^2_{j,r,s}, \quad T^2_{r,s} = T^2_{r,s} \cup T^2_{r,s}, \quad f^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} f^2_j \quad \text{and} \quad f^{\leq 2} = f^{\leq 1} + f^2.
\]

The function $f^{\leq 2}$ is a smooth function on $M$ that is Morse-Smale with respect to the metric $g^2$ on $T^{\leq 2}_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}$. It has a critical point $p^1_j$ of index 0 at each 0-simplex $D^0_j$ in the triangulation, the closure of the unstable manifold $W^u(p^1_j)$ is homeomorphic to $D^1_j \approx \Delta^1$ for each critical point $p^1_j$ of index 1, and the closure of the unstable manifold $W^u(p^2_j)$ is homeomorphic to $D^2_j \approx \Delta^2$ for each critical point $p^2_j$ of index 2. Moreover,
there are Morse charts for $f \leq 2$ that are isometries with respect to $g^2$ and the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^m$ around every critical point $p_j^i$ in $T_{\frac{i}{j}}^{\leq 2}$.

Continuing in this fashion, for every $k = 0, \ldots, m - 1$ there are open tubular neighborhoods

$$T_{r,s}^{\leq k} = T_s^0 \cup T_{r,s}^1 \cup \cdots \cup T_{r,s}^k,$$

where $r, s \in (0, 1]$, a smooth metric $g^k$, and a smooth function $f^{\leq k} : M \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy the following.

- The function $f^{\leq k}$ is Morse-Smale with respect to $g^k$ on $T_{\frac{i}{j}}^{\leq k}$.
- The basepoints $p_j^i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, k$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n_i$ are the only critical points of $f^{\leq k}$ in $T_{\frac{i}{j}}^{\leq k}$, and there is a Morse chart for $f^{\leq k}$ around each $p_j^i$ that is an isometry with respect to $g^k$ and the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^m$.
- For all $i = 0, \ldots, k$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n_i$, the unstable manifold $W^u(p_j^i)$ of the critical point $p_j^i$ is homeomorphic to the simplex $D^i_j \approx \Delta^i$.

Finally, around every critical point $p_j^m$ we have the open neighborhood

$$T_{j,1}^m = D_j^m - \overline{T_{1,1}^{\leq m-1}},$$

with coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ centered at the basepoint $p_j^m \in D_j^m$. By making a change of coordinates on $T_{j,1}^m$ we will assume that in the local coordinates we have

$$T_{j,1}^m = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) | x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 < 1 \},$$

where $(0, \ldots, 0)$ corresponds to the point $p_j^m$. Inside each coordinate neighborhood we have smaller coordinate neighborhoods $T_{j,r}^m \subseteq T_{j,1}^m$, where $r \in (0, 1]$.

$$T_{j,r}^m = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T_{j,1}^m | x_1^2 + \cdots + x_m^2 < r^2 \}.$$ 

Modify $g^m$ on $T_{j,1}^m$ to a smooth metric $g^m = g$ that is the pullback of the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^m$ under the coordinate chart, and define a function

$$f_j^m(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \rho(x_2^2 + \cdots + x_m^2)((C_j^m - x_1^2 - \cdots - x_m^2)$$

using the local coordinates on $T_{j,1}^m$, where $C_j^m$ is chosen large enough so that $f^{\leq m-1} + f_j^m$ does not have any critical points in $T_{j,1}^m \setminus \{p_j^m\}$. Note that

$$f_j^m(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = C_j^m - x_1^2 - \cdots - x_m^2$$

for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T_{j,1}^m$ and for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in T_{j,1}^m$ we have

$$\frac{\partial f_j^m}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) > 0 \text{ if } x_i < 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f_j^m}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) < 0 \text{ if } x_i > 0.$$
for all \(i = 1, \ldots, m\). Moreover, all the higher order partial derivatives of \(f^m\) are zero on the boundary of \(T^m_{j,1}\), and hence we can extend \(f^m\) to a smooth function on \(M\) by setting it equal to zero outside of \(T^m_{j,1}\). Define

\[
f^m = \sum_{j=1}^{n} f^m_j \quad \text{and} \quad f = f^{\leq m} = f^{\leq m-1} + f^m.
\]

The pair \((f, g)\) is a smooth Morse-Smale pair on \(M\) whose unstable manifolds are homeomorphic to the simplices in the chosen triangulation of \(M\). Hence, the unstable manifolds of \((f, g)\) determine a regular CW-structure on \(M\). Moreover, \(g\) is the pullback of the Euclidean metric on \(\mathbb{R}^m\) under a Morse chart around every critical point of \(f\).

\[
\square
\]

**Remark 4.13.** The smooth Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) in Theorem 4.12 was constructed by starting with a sufficiently fine triangulation of \(M\) and then defining \(f\) and \(g\) so that the unstable manifolds of \((f, g)\) mimic the chosen triangulation. Hence, it seems likely that Theorem 4.12 will have applications to combinatorial Morse theory. For instance, Theorem 3.1 of [27] proves that given a generic Morse function \(f: M \to \mathbb{R}\) on a smooth closed oriented manifold \(M\) there exists a \(C^1\)-triangulation \(T\) of \(M\) and a combinatorial Morse vector field \(V\) on \(T\) that realizes the smooth Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex. Theorem 4.12 should be useful for proving a converse to this theorem.

**Remark 4.14.** To verify the identity \(#\mathcal{M}(q,p) = [e^k_q : e^k_{p-1}]\) directly for the function constructed in Theorem 4.12, first note that if \(\lambda_q - \lambda_p = 1\), then there is exactly one gradient flow line from \(q\) to \(p\) if \(p \in W^u(q)\) and no gradient flow lines from \(q\) to \(p\) otherwise.

If there are no gradient flow lines from \(q\) to \(p\), then \(e^k_{p-1} \neq e^k_q\) and the identity is trivial. If there is one gradient flow line from \(q\) to \(p\), then the incidence number \([e^k_q : e^k_{p-1}] = \pm 1\), since the CW-complex is regular and \(e^k_{p-1} < e^k_q\). So, the identity reduces to a claim concerning compatible orientations in this case.

The sign \(#\mathcal{M}(q,p)\) is determined by choosing orientations on \(W^u(q), W^u(p)\), orienting \(W(q,p)\) via the short exact sequence

\[
0 \xrightarrow{} T_q W(q,p) \xrightarrow{T_q W^u(q)|_{W(q,p)}} \nu_q(W(q,p), W^u(q))|_{W(q,p)} \xrightarrow{} 0,
\]

where the fibers of the normal bundle are canonically isomorphic to \(T_p W^u(p)\) via the gradient flow, and then setting \(#\mathcal{M}(q,p) = \pm 1\) depending on whether or not the resulting orientation on \(W(q,p)\) agrees with the orientation given by \(-\nabla f\). In other words, the vector field \(-\nabla f\) determines an outward pointing normal vector on the boundary of the closed \(k\)-disk \(W^u(q)\) at \(p \in \partial W^u(q)\), and \(#\mathcal{M}(q,p) = \pm 1\) depending on whether or not this outward pointing normal vector followed by a positive basis for \(T_p W^u(p)\) gives a positive basis for \(T_p W^u(q)\). Since \(\partial W^u(q) \approx S^{k-1}\) is oriented...
similarly using an outward pointing normal vector (see Section 2.2), the incidence number \([e^k_q : e^{k-1}_p]\) is also ±1 following the same rule, cf. Section 2.3 of [6] for the definition of incidence numbers.

**Example 4.15** (A regular CW-structure on a real projective space). The following diagram illustrates the gradient flow of the Morse-Smale function constructed in Theorem 4.12 for a minimal triangulation of \(\mathbb{R}P^2\) with ten 2-simplices. The triangulation in the diagram is one of two possible irreducible triangulations of \(\mathbb{R}P^2\), cf. Figure 3 in [11]. As in Example 2.15, diametrically opposed points on the boundary of the closed disk are identified.

Note that the five 2-simplices in the center of the diagram can be combined to create a single 2-cell. Moreover, the proof of Theorem 4.12 shows that the resulting regular CW-structure with six 2-cells is determined by the unstable manifolds of a Morse-Smale function on \(\mathbb{R}P^2\).
Proof of Theorem 4.1: By the Invariance Theorem (Theorem 3.9) and Theorem 4.12 we may assume that \((f,g)\) is a Morse-Smale pair whose unstable manifolds determine a regular CW-structure \(X\) on \(M\). Thus, by Lemmas 4.8 and 4.10 we have

\[
H_k(M; G) \approx H_k((CW_*(X; G), \partial_*)) \approx H_k((C_*(f; G), \partial^G_*))
\]

for all \(k = 0, \ldots, m\). \(\square\)

4.5. Local coefficient systems of \(R\)-modules and the Euler number. The Twisted Morse Homology Theorem (Theorem 4.1) was proved under the assumption that \(G\) is a bundle of abelian groups over \(M\), cf. Definition 2.1. However, some local coefficient systems have additional algebraic structures that may be of interest, cf. Remark 2.7. For instance, the fiber of the bundle might be a ring, a module over a ring, a vector space, or a field. In each case, the isomorphisms defining the local system should be isomorphisms in the appropriate category.

In this section we will consider bundles \(\mathcal{L}\) of free \(R\)-modules over \(M\) for some commutative ring \(R\) with unit. That is, let \(M\) be a topological space, and assume that for every point \(x \in M\) we have a free \(R\)-module \(\mathcal{L}_x\), and for every path \(\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M\) we have an \(R\)-module isomorphism \(\gamma_* : \mathcal{L}_{\gamma(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\gamma(0)}\) that satisfies the conditions listed in Definition 2.1. This additional algebraic structure allows us to consider the \textbf{rank} of the fiber \(\mathcal{L}_x\) as a module over \(R\), cf. Theorem 5.1 of [56].

In general, a submodule of a free module might not be free. So, we will assume that \(R\) is a principal ideal domain. This assumption guarantees that every submodule of a free \(R\)-module \(C\) is itself free, and the rank of the submodule is less than or equal to the rank of \(C\), cf. Theorem 6.5 of [56]. In general, the rank of a finitely generated \(R\)-module \(C\) is defined to be the rank of \(C/C_{\text{tor}}\), i.e. the rank of the module modulo its torsion submodule, cf. Theorem 6.8 of [56].

Lemma 4.16. Let \(R\) be a principal ideal domain, assume that \(C\) and \(D\) are finitely generated free \(R\)-modules, and let \(\partial : C \rightarrow D\) be an \(R\)-module homomorphism. Then,

\[
\text{rank } C = \text{rank } \ker \partial + \text{rank } \text{im } \partial.
\]

Moreover, if \(C' \subseteq C\), then

\[
\text{rank } C = \text{rank } C' + \text{rank } C/C'.
\]

Proof: For any commutative ring with unit, Theorem 5.6 of [56] implies that

\[
C \approx \ker \partial \oplus \text{im } \partial.
\]

Since \(R\) is a principal ideal domain and \(C\) is free and finitely generated, \(\ker \partial\) is free and finitely generated, cf. Theorem 6.5 of [56]. Similarly, \(\text{im } \partial\) is free and finitely generated. Therefore,

\[
\text{rank } C = \text{rank } \ker \partial + \text{rank } \text{im } \partial.
\]
Now consider the quotient map $C \to C/C'$. Since $C$ is finitely generated, the quotient module $C/C'$ is also finitely generated. Therefore,

$$\frac{C/C'}{(C/C')_{\text{tor}}}$$

is finitely generated and free since $R$ is a principal ideal domain, cf. Theorem 6.8 of [56]. Moreover,

$$\text{rank } \ker (C \to C/C') = \text{rank } \ker \left( C \to \frac{C/C'}{(C/C')_{\text{tor}}} \right),$$

because for any basis $\{c_1, \ldots, c_j\}$ for the kernel of the homomorphism on the right there are elements $r_1, \ldots, r_j \in R$ such that $\{r_1 c_1, \ldots, r_j c_j\}$ is a basis for the kernel of the homomorphism on the left. Thus, applying the first assertion of the lemma to the $R$-module homomorphism

$$C \to \frac{C/C'}{(C/C')_{\text{tor}}}$$

yields the second assertion.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 4.17 (Euler-Poincaré Theorem).** Let $R$ be a principal ideal domain, and let

$$0 \xrightarrow{\partial_{m+1}} C_m \xrightarrow{\partial_m} C_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} 0$$

be a finite chain complex of finitely generated free $R$-modules, i.e. assume that $C_k$ is a finitely generated free $R$-module and $\partial_k$ is an $R$-module homomorphism for all $k$. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \text{rank } C_k = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \text{rank } H_k((C_*, \partial_*)).$$

**Proof:** By Lemma 4.16 we have

$$\text{rank } C_k = \text{rank } \ker \partial_k + \text{rank } \text{im } \partial_k$$

and

$$\text{rank } H_k((C_*, \partial_*)) = \text{rank } \ker \partial_k - \text{rank } \text{im } \partial_{k+1}$$

for all $k$. Hence, the two sums are equal since $\text{im } \partial_{m+1}$ and $\text{im } \partial_0$ are both 0.

\[\square\]

**Definition 4.18.** Let $X$ be a finite regular CW-complex of dimension $m$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a bundle of finitely generated free $R$-modules over $X$, where $R$ is a principal ideal domain. The $\mathcal{L}$-twisted Euler number $\chi_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ is defined to be

$$\chi_{\mathcal{L}}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \text{rank } H_k(X; \mathcal{L}),$$
where \( \text{rank } H_k(X; \mathcal{L}) \) denotes the rank of the \( k \)-th singular homology group of \( X \) with coefficients in the bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) as a module over \( R \).

**Theorem 4.19** (Invariance of the Twisted Euler Number). *If \( X \) is a finite regular CW-complex and \( \mathcal{L} \) is a bundle of finitely generated free \( R \)-modules over \( X \), where \( R \) is a principal ideal domain, then the \( \mathcal{L} \)-twisted Euler number is well defined, and

\[
\chi_{\mathcal{L}}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \text{rank } H_k(X; \mathcal{L}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \text{rank } H_k(X; \mathcal{L}_{x_0}) = \chi_{\mathcal{L}_{x_0}}(X),
\]

where \( x_0 \in X \) is any basepoint and \( m \) is the dimension of \( X \). That is, the \( \mathcal{L} \)-twisted Euler number is the same as the (untwisted) Euler number for homology with coefficients in the fiber \( \mathcal{L}_{x_0} \).

Proof: Since \( X \) is a finite CW-complex and \( \mathcal{L} \) is a bundle of finitely generated free \( R \)-modules, the CW-chain group

\[
\text{CW}_k(X; \mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{e^k_\sigma} \mathcal{L}_{x(e^k_\sigma)}
\]

is a finitely generated free \( R \)-module for all \( k \), where the sum runs over the \( k \)-cells \( e^k_\sigma \) in \( X \). By Lemma 4.8, the singular boundary operator with coefficients in \( \mathcal{L} \) induces Steenrod’s CW-boundary operator with coefficients in \( \mathcal{L} \) on a regular CW-complex. Moreover, it’s clear that Steenrod’s boundary operator is an \( R \)-module homomorphism when the isomorphisms that \( \mathcal{L} \) associates to paths are \( R \)-module homomorphisms, cf. Definition 4.6. Similarly, the singular boundary operator with coefficients in \( \mathcal{L} \) is an \( R \)-module homomorphism, cf. Definition 4.2. Therefore, the \( R \)-module homology of Steenrod’s CW-chain complex with coefficients in \( \mathcal{L} \) is isomorphic to the \( R \)-module singular homology of \( M \) with coefficients in \( \mathcal{L} \), i.e.

\[
H_k((\text{CW}_*(X; \mathcal{L}), \partial_*)) \approx H_k(X; \mathcal{L})
\]

for all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \). This shows that \( H_k(X; \mathcal{L}) \) is a finitely generated \( R \)-module for all \( k \), and therefore \( \chi_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \) is well-defined.

The invariance of the \( \mathcal{L} \)-twisted Euler number follows from the Euler-Poincaré Theorem (Theorem 4.17). That is,

\[
\text{CW}_k(X; \mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{e^k_\sigma} \mathcal{L}_{x(e^k_\sigma)} \approx \bigoplus_{e^k_\sigma} \mathcal{L}_{x_0} = \text{CW}_k(X; \mathcal{L}_{x_0})
\]

for all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \), and therefore

\[
\chi_{\mathcal{L}}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \text{rank } \text{CW}_k(X; \mathcal{L}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \text{rank } \text{CW}_k(X; \mathcal{L}_{x_0}) = \chi_{\mathcal{L}_{x_0}}(X).
\]

\( \square \)
Corollary 4.20. If \( X \) is a finite regular CW-complex and \( L \) is a bundle of finitely generated free \( R \)-modules of rank one over \( X \), where \( R \) is a principal ideal domain, then
\[
\chi_L(X) = \chi(X),
\]
the classical Euler number of \( X \).

Proof: By the Invariance of the Twisted Euler Number (Theorem 4.19), the Euler-Poincaré Theorem (Theorem 4.17), and the assumption that the fiber \( L_{x_0} \) has rank one we have
\[
\chi_L(X) = \chi_{L_{x_0}}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \text{rank } CW_k(X; L_{x_0}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k |X^{(k)}|,
\]
where \( |X^{(k)}| \) denotes the number of \( k \)-cells in \( X \). The rightmost sum is \( \chi(X) \).

Every closed finite dimensional smooth manifold has a regular CW-structure, cf. Theorem 4.12. Thus, the previous corollary and the classical Morse inequalities, cf. Theorem 3.33 of [6], yield the following.

Corollary 4.21. Let \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \) be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold \( (M, g) \), and let \( L \) be a bundle of rank one \( R \)-modules over \( M \), where \( R \) is a principal ideal domain. Then
\[
\chi_L(M) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \nu_k,
\]
where \( \nu_k \) denotes the number of critical points of \( f \) of index \( k \).

Remark 4.22. The rest of the Morse inequalities, cf. Theorem 3.33 of [6], also hold for the twisted Betti numbers
\[
b_k(L) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{rank } H_k(M; L)
\]
when \( L \) is a bundle of finitely generated free \( R \)-modules of rank one on a closed finite dimensional smooth manifold \( M \). This follows from The Twisted Morse Homology Theorem (Theorem 4.1), The Euler-Poincaré Theorem (Theorem 4.17), and the proof of Theorem 3.33 in [6].

5. Twisted Morse cohomology and Lichnerowicz cohomology

Each of the chain complexes defined in the previous sections has an associated cochain complex, e.g. singular cochain complexes with coefficients in a bundle of abelian groups \( G \) [63], Steenrod’s CW-cochain complexes with coefficients in \( G \) (for regular CW-complexes) [67] Section 31], and Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complexes with coefficients in \( G \). In this section we will prove that the \( \eta \)-twisted Morse cohomology groups are isomorphic to the Lichnerowicz cohomology groups associated to \( -\eta \).
5.1. Twisted Morse cohomology.

**Definition 5.1** (Twisted Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complex). Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ of dimension $m < \infty$. Fix orientations on the unstable manifolds of $(f, g)$, and let $G$ be a bundle of abelian groups over $M$. For any $k = 0, \ldots, m$, a **Morse-Smale-Witten $k$-cochain with coefficients in** $G$ is defined to be a function $\theta$ that assigns to each critical point $p \in Cr_k(f)$ an element $\theta(p) \in G_p$. The $k^{th}$ **Morse-Smale-Witten cochain group** is the collection of $k$-cochains, where the group operation is pointwise application of the group operation in $G_p$. Hence,

$$C^k(f; G) \approx \bigoplus_{p \in Cr_k(f)} G_p.$$

The **Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complex with coefficients in** $G$ is the chain complex $(C^*(f; G), \delta^G)$ where $\delta^G_k : C^k(f; G) \to C^{k+1}(f; G)$ is defined on a $k$-cochain $\theta \in C^k(f; G)$ by

$$(\delta^G_k \theta)(q) = \sum_{p \in Cr_k(f)} \sum_{\nu \in M(q,p)} \epsilon(\nu)(\gamma_{\nu})_* (\theta(p)) \in G_q,$$

for any critical point $q \in Cr_{k+1}(f)$, where $\gamma_{\nu} : [0,1] \to M$ is any continuous path from $q$ to $p$ whose image coincides with the image of $\nu \in M(q,p)$ and $\epsilon(\nu) = \pm 1$ is the sign determined by the orientation on $M(q,p)$.

**Remark 5.2.** As in Definition 2.11, $-1 \cdot g$ denotes the inverse of $g \in G_q$. The proof that $(\delta^G)^2 = 0$ is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.13.

**Proposition 5.3.** If $G_1$ and $G_2$ are isomorphic bundles of abelian groups over $M$, then $H_k((C^*(f; G_1), \delta^G_1)) \approx H_k((C^*(f; G_2), \delta^G_2))$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.

**Proof:** By Definition 2.2 there is a family of isomorphisms $\Phi : G_1 \to G_2$ that commute with the homomorphisms associated to a path. Thus, for every $k = 0, \ldots, m$ there is an induced isomorphism $\Phi : C^k(f; G_1) \to C^k(f; G_2)$ given by mapping $\theta \in C^k(f; G_1)$ to $\Phi \circ \theta \in C^k(f; G_2)$ and $\theta \in C^k(f; G_2)$ to $\Phi^{-1} \circ \theta \in C^k(f; G_1)$. Moreover, $\Phi : (C^*(f; G_1), \delta^G_1) \to (C^*(f; G_2), \delta^G_2)$ is a chain map, because for all $\theta \in C^k(f; G_1)$ and $q \in Cr_{k+1}(f)$ we have

$$(\delta^G_k \Phi \circ \theta)(q) = \sum_{p \in Cr_k(f)} \sum_{\nu \in M(q,p)} \epsilon(\nu)(\gamma_{\nu})_* ((\Phi \circ \theta)(p))$$

$$= \Phi \left( \sum_{p \in Cr_k(f)} \sum_{\nu \in M(q,p)} \epsilon(\nu)(\gamma_{\nu})_* (\theta(p)) \right)$$

$$= \Phi ((\delta^G_k \theta)(q)) \in (G_2)_q.$$

A similar computation shows that $\Phi^{-1} : (C^*(f; G_2), \delta^G_2) \to (C^*(f; G_1), \delta^G_1)$ is a chain map, and hence $\Phi$ is a chain equivalence.
Let $G$ be a bundle of abelian groups over a closed smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ of dimension $m < \infty$, let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on $M$, and choose a basepoint $x_0 \in C_{r_0}(f)$ of $M$. Recall that if $M$ is connected, then the isomorphism class of $G$ is determined by a representation

$$\pi_1(M, x_0) \times G_{x_0} \to G_{x_0},$$

and let $H^*_x \subset G_{x_0}$ be the subgroup defined by

$$H^*_x = \{g \in G_{x_0} | \gamma_\ast(g) = g \text{ for all } [\gamma] \in \pi_1(M, x_0)\}.$$

The following theorem gives the 0-dimensional twisted Morse cohomology group of $M$ in terms of the above action, cf. Theorem VI.3.2 of [74].

**Theorem 5.4.** If $M$ is connected, then the 0-dimensional twisted Morse cohomology group of $M$ is isomorphic to $H^*_x$, i.e.

$$H_0((C^*(f; G), \delta^G_\ast)) \approx H^*_x.$$

Proof: Let $\theta$ be a Morse-Smale-Witten 0-cochain with coefficients in $G$, i.e. a function that assigns to each $p \in C_{r_0}(f)$ an element $\theta(p) \in G_p$. If $\delta^G_\ast \theta = 0$, then for all $q \in C_{r_1}(f)$ we have

$$(\delta^G_\ast \theta)(q) = (\gamma_{q_+})_\ast(\theta(p_+)) - (\gamma_{q_-})_\ast(\theta(p_-)) = 0 \in G_q,$$

where $\gamma_{q_+}$ is a path from $q$ to the positive end $p_+$ of $\overline{W^u(q)}$ and $\gamma_{q_-}$ is a path from $q$ to the negative end $p_-$ of $\overline{W^u(q)}$. In other words, the value of $\theta(p_+)$ in $G_{p_+}$ is determined by the value $\theta(p_-)$ in $G_{p_-}$ via the homomorphism associated to a path in $\overline{W^u(q)}$ from $p_+$ to $p_-$.

Since $M$ is connected, every critical point $p \in C_{r_0}(f)$ can be connected to the basepoint $x_0 \in C_{r_0}(f)$ by a path contained in the 1-skeleton of $f$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.16). Hence, if $\theta \in \ker \delta^G_\ast$, then $\theta(p)$ is determined by $\theta(x_0)$ for all $p \in C_{r_0}(f)$. Moreover, every loop based at $x_0$ can be homotoped through loops based at $x_0$ to a loop based at $x_0$ contained in the 1-skeleton of $f$, and hence $\gamma_\ast(\theta(x_0)) = \theta(x_0) \in G_{x_0}$ for all $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(M, x_0)$.

This shows that mapping $\theta \in \ker \delta^G_\ast$ to $\theta(x_0)$ gives an injective homomorphism $\ker \delta^G_\ast \to H^*_x$. To see that this map is surjective, simply note that if $g \in G_{x_0}$ satisfies $\gamma_\ast(g) = g$ for all $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(M, x_0)$, then there is a unique Morse-Smale-Witten 0-cochain $\theta \in \ker \delta^G_\ast$ such that $\theta(x_0) = g$.

In this section we are mainly interested in cohomology with coefficients in a flat line bundle $e^0$ determined by a closed 1-form $\eta$. Note that in this case, $e^0_q \approx \mathbb{R}$ for all $q \in M$. 

\[\square\]
Definition 5.5 (η-Twisted Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complex). Let \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \) be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold \((M, g)\). Fix orientations on the unstable manifolds of \((f, g)\), and let \( \eta \in \Omega^1_{\text{cl}}(M, \mathbb{R}) \). The \( \eta \)-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complex is the chain complex \((C^*(f; e^n), \delta^n)\), where \( \delta_k : C^k(f; e^n) \to C^{k+1}(f; e^n) \) is defined on a \( k \)-cochain \( \theta \in C^k(f; e^n) \) by

\[
(\delta_k^n \theta)(q) = \sum_{p \in C_{r_k}(f)} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(q, p)} \epsilon(\nu) \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\gamma'^{\nu})^*(\eta) \right) \theta(p) \in e^n_q,
\]

for any critical point \( q \in C_{r_{k+1}}(f) \), where \( \gamma' : \mathbb{R} \to M \) is any continuous path from \( p \) to \( q \) whose image coincides with the image of \( \nu \in \mathcal{M}(q, p) \) and \( \epsilon(\nu) = \pm 1 \) is the sign determined by the orientation on \( \mathcal{M}(q, p) \).

As a consequence of Claim 2.6 and Proposition 5.3 we have the following.

Corollary 5.6. If \( \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \Omega^1_{\text{cl}}(M, \mathbb{R}) \) are in the same de Rham cohomology class, then \( H_k((C^*(f; e^n), \delta^n)) \approx H_k((C^*(f; e^m), \delta^m)) \) for all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \).

5.2. Lichnerowicz cohomology and LCS manifolds. A closed 1-form \( \eta \in \Omega^1_{\text{cl}}(M, \mathbb{R}) \) on a finite dimensional smooth manifold \( M \) can be used to deform the differential of the de Rham cochain complex as follows. For any \( k \)-form \( \xi \in \Omega^k(M, \mathbb{R}) \) define

\[
d_{\eta} \xi = d \xi + \eta \wedge \xi.
\]

It is easy to verify that \( d_{\eta} \circ d_{\eta} = 0 \), and hence \( d_{\eta} \) defines a cochain complex

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega^0(M, \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{d_{\eta}} \Omega^1(M, \mathbb{R}) & & \xrightarrow{d_{\eta}} \Omega^2(M, \mathbb{R}) & & \xrightarrow{d_{\eta}} \cdots,
\end{align*}
\]

called the Lichnerowicz cochain complex. The homology of this complex is the Lichnerowicz cohomology, denoted by \( H^*_\eta(M) \); it is sometimes referred to as the adapted cohomology of the pair \((M, \eta)\) \([10] [28] [50] [69]\).

Remark 5.7. The differential \( d_{\eta} \) in the Lichnerowicz cochain complex can be viewed as a generalization of the Witten deformation \([75]\) to closed 1-forms. That is, if \( \eta = dh \) is exact, then \( d_{\eta} \xi = e^{-h} \partial(h \xi) \) for all \( \xi \in \Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}) \)

Lichnerowicz cohomology is an invariant used to study locally conformal symplectic manifolds and locally conformal (almost) Kähler manifolds \([68] [70]\).

Definition 5.8. A \textbf{locally conformal symplectic (LCS)} form \( \Omega \) on a finite dimensional smooth manifold \( M \) is a smooth nondegenerate 2-form such that there exists an open cover \( \mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I} \) of \( M \) and smooth positive functions \( \lambda_i > 0 \) on each \( U_i \) such that \( \lambda_i \Omega \big|_{U_i} \) is a symplectic form on \( U_i \), i.e., \( \lambda_i \Omega \big|_{U_i} \) is closed.

A \textbf{locally conformal almost Kähler manifold} is a triple \((M, J, g)\), where \( M \) is a finite dimensional smooth manifold, \( J \) is a smooth almost complex structure on
$M$, and $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Hermitian Riemannian metric on $M$, such that the 2-form defined by $\Omega(X, Y) = \mathfrak{g}(X, JY)$ is LCS.

Two LCS forms $\Omega$ and $\Omega'$ on $M$ are said to be **conformally equivalent** if and only if there exists a smooth positive function $h > 0$ such that $\Omega' = h\Omega$.

The connection between the conformal equivalence class of an LCS form $\Omega$ and the de Rham cohomology class of an associated closed 1-form $\eta \in \Omega^1_{\text{cl}}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is given by the following two propositions [11][68].

**Proposition 5.9.** Let $(M, \Omega)$ be an LCS manifold with an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ and associated smooth positive functions $\lambda_i > 0$ such that $\lambda_i \Omega|_{U_i}$ is a symplectic form. Then the forms $\{d(\ln \lambda_i)\}_{i \in I}$ fit together to give a smooth closed 1-form $\eta$ such that

$$d\Omega = -\eta \wedge \Omega,$$

and $\eta$ is uniquely determined by the nondegenerate 2-form $\Omega$.

Conversely, if $\Omega$ is a nondegenerate 2-form on a smooth manifold $M$ such that $d\Omega = -\eta \wedge \Omega$ for some closed 1-form $\eta$, then $\Omega$ is LCS.

**Proof:** Assume $\Omega_i = \lambda_i \Omega|_{U_i}$ is closed. Then $d\lambda_i \wedge \Omega|_{U_i} + \lambda_i d\Omega|_{U_i} = 0$, and hence

$$d\Omega|_{U_i} = -d(\ln \lambda_i) \wedge \Omega|_{U_i}.$$ 

Moreover, if $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ we have

$$d\Omega|_{U_i \cap U_j} = -d(\ln \lambda_i) \wedge \Omega|_{U_i \cap U_j} = -d(\ln \lambda_j) \wedge \Omega|_{U_i \cap U_j},$$

which implies that $d(\ln \lambda_i) = d(\ln \lambda_j)$ since $\Omega$ is nondegenerate. Thus, the forms $\{d(\ln \lambda_i)\}_{i \in I}$ fit together to give a closed 1-form $\eta$ such that $d\Omega = -\eta \wedge \Omega$.

Conversely, if there exists some $\eta \in \Omega^1_{\text{cl}}(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $d\Omega = -\eta \wedge \Omega$, then on any contractible open neighborhood $U_i$ we have $\eta|_{U_i} = df_i$ for some function $f_i$, and setting $\lambda_i = e^{f_i}$ we have $\eta|_{U_i} = d(\ln \lambda_i)$. Moreover,

$$d(\lambda_i \Omega|_{U_i}) = d\lambda_i \wedge \Omega|_{U_i} + \lambda_i d\Omega|_{U_i} = (d\lambda_i - \lambda_i(d\lambda_i/\lambda_i)) \wedge \Omega|_{U_i} = 0.$$

\[\square\]

**Definition 5.10.** The smooth closed 1-form $\eta$ in the preceding proposition is called the **Lee form** associated to the LCS 2-form $\Omega$.

**Proposition 5.11.** If $\Omega$ is an LCS form on a finite dimensional smooth manifold $M$ with associated Lee form $\eta$ and $\Omega' = h\Omega$ for some smooth positive function $h > 0$, i.e. $\Omega'$ is conformally equivalent to $\Omega$, then the Lee form associated to $\Omega'$ is $\eta - d(\ln h)$. Thus, the de Rham cohomology class of the Lee form $[\eta] \in H^*_d(M; \mathbb{R})$ is an invariant of the conformal class of $\Omega$. 

Proof:

\[
  d\Omega' = dh \wedge \Omega + h d\Omega
  = dh \wedge \Omega + h(-\eta \wedge \Omega)
  = \frac{dh}{h} \wedge h\Omega - \eta \wedge h\Omega
  = -\eta - d(\ln h) \wedge \Omega'
\]

\[\blacksquare\]

**Corollary 5.12.** Let \((M, \Omega)\) be a closed, smooth, finite dimensional LCS manifold with Lee form \(\eta \in \Omega^1(M, \mathbb{R})\). Then the \(\eta\)-twisted Morse homology groups \(H_*(\mathbb{C}^*(f) \otimes \mathbb{R}, \partial^\eta)\) and the \(\eta\)-twisted Morse cohomology groups \(H^*(\mathbb{C}^*(f; e^\eta), \delta^\eta)\) are invariants of the conformal class of \(\Omega\).

Proof: For homology this follows from Corollary 3.10. For cohomology this follows from Corollary 5.6.

\[\blacksquare\]

We now prove the following generalization of Proposition 4.4 of [42], which shows that the Lichnerowicz cohomology groups \(H^*_\eta(M)\) are also an invariant of the conformal class of the LCS manifold \((M, \Omega)\).

**Theorem 5.13.** For any finite dimensional smooth manifold \(M\), the Lichnerowicz cohomology groups \(H^*_\eta(M)\) depend only on the cohomology class \([\eta] \in H^1_{\text{dR}}(M; \mathbb{R})\). In particular, if \(\eta\) is exact then the Lichnerowicz cohomology groups are isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology groups, i.e. \(H^k_\eta(M) \approx H^k_{\text{dR}}(M; \mathbb{R})\) for all \(k = 0, \ldots, m\).

Proof: First note that every smooth exact 1-form \(df\) can be written as \(dh/h\) for some smooth positive function \(h > 0\) by setting \(h = e^f\), because \(df = d(ln h) = dh/h\). So, if \(\eta\) and \(\eta'\) are closed 1-forms on \(M\) with \([\eta] = [\eta'] \in H^1_{\text{dR}}(M; \mathbb{R})\), then there exists a smooth positive function \(h : M \to \mathbb{R}\) such that \(\eta' = \eta + dh/h\).

Now, define \(\phi : \Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}) \to \Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R})\) by \(\phi(\xi) = \xi/h\) and \(\psi : \Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}) \to \Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R})\) by \(\psi(\xi) = h\xi\). Clearly, \(\phi\) and \(\psi\) are isomorphisms on \(\Omega^k(M, \mathbb{R})\) for all \(k = 0, \ldots, m\) with \(\phi \circ \psi = id = \psi \circ \phi\). Moreover, \(\phi : (\Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}), d_\eta) \to (\Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}), d_{\eta'})\) is a chain map, because for all \(\xi \in \Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R})\) we have

\[
  d_{\eta'}(\phi(\xi)) = d\left(\frac{1}{h} \xi\right) + \left(\eta + \frac{dh}{h}\right) \wedge \frac{1}{h} \xi
  = \frac{1}{h^2} dh \wedge \xi + \frac{1}{h} d\xi + \eta \wedge \frac{1}{h} \xi + \frac{1}{h^2} dh \wedge \xi
  = \frac{1}{h} (d\xi + \eta \wedge \xi)
  = \phi(d_\eta \xi).
\]
Similarly, \( \psi : (\Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}), d_{\eta'}) \to (\Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}), d_\eta) \) is a chain map, because for all \( \xi \in \Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}) \) we have
\[
d_\eta(\psi(\xi)) = d(h\xi + \eta \wedge h\xi)
= dh \wedge \xi + h d\xi + \eta \wedge h\xi
= h \left( d\xi + \eta \wedge \xi + \frac{dh}{h} \wedge \xi \right)
= \psi(d_\eta'\xi).
\]
Thus, \( \phi \) is a chain equivalence with inverse \( \psi \), and
\[
\phi_* : H_k(\Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}), d_\eta) \to H_k(\Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}), d_{\eta'})
\]
is an isomorphism for all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \).

5.3. Mapping differential forms to Morse-Smale-Witten cochains. Let \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \) be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed smooth Riemannian manifold \( (M, g) \) of finite dimension \( m \). Fix orientations on the unstable manifolds of \( (f, g) \), and assume that the unstable manifolds determine a regular CW-structure on \( M \). In particular, for any \( p \in C^r(f) \) the closure of its unstable manifold \( U_p \overset{\text{def}}{=} W_u(p) \) is simply connected.

**Definition 5.14.** Fix any \( \eta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R}) \) and note that for any \( p \in C^r(f) \) the restriction \( -\eta|_{U_p} = d(\ln h) \) for some smooth positive function \( h : U_p \to \mathbb{R} \), since \( -\eta|_{U_p} \) is exact. For any \( \xi \in \Omega^k(M, \mathbb{R}) \), define \( \theta_\xi(p) = \xi(p) \) if \( k = 0 \) or
\[
\theta_\xi(p) = \frac{1}{h(p)} \int_{U_p} h\xi \in e^\eta_p
\]
if \( k = 1, \ldots, m \). Note that this definition is independent of the choice of \( h \) because \( -\eta|_{U_p} = d(\ln h) = d(\ln \tilde{h}) \) implies \( \tilde{h} = Ch \) for some \( C \in \mathbb{R} \). Thus, for any \( k = 0, \ldots, m \) a \( k \)-form \( \xi \) determines a well-defined Morse-Smale-Witten \( k \)-cochain \( \theta_\xi \), and \( F(\xi) = \theta_\xi \) defines a linear map \( F : \Omega^k(M, \mathbb{R}) \to C^k(f; e^\eta) \).

**Remark 5.15.** The map \( F : \Omega^k(M, \mathbb{R}) \to C^k(f; e^\eta) \) defined above is similar to the one used to prove the de Rham Theorem, cf. Section V.5 of [15], as well as the map defined in Section 3.3 of [5].

**Proposition 5.16.** \( F : (\Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}), d_{-\eta}) \to (C^*(f; e^\eta), \delta_\eta) \) is a chain map. That is, the map preserves degree and \( F \circ d_{-\eta} = \delta_\eta \circ F \).

Proof: Pick any \( q \in C_{r+1}(f) \), let \( -\eta|_{U_q} = d(\ln h) \) for some smooth positive function \( h \) on \( U_q = W_u(q) \approx \Delta^{k+1} \), and note that for any \( \xi \in \Omega^k(M, \mathbb{R}) \) we have
\[
d_{-\eta}\xi = d\xi + \frac{dh}{h} \wedge \xi = \frac{1}{h} d(h\xi)
\]
on $U_q$. Moreover, the orientations on the unstable manifolds and the signs $\epsilon(\nu) = \pm 1$ satisfy the relation
\[ \partial W^u(q) = \bigcup_{p \in C^k r(f, q, p)} \epsilon(\nu) W^u(p) \]
as oriented manifolds, cf. Remark 4.14. Hence,
\[
(F \circ d_{-\eta}(\xi))(q) = \theta_{d_{-\eta}}(q) = \frac{1}{h(q)} \int_{U_q} h d_{-\eta} \xi \\
= \frac{1}{h(q)} \int_{U_q} d(h \xi) \\
= \frac{1}{h(q)} \int_{\partial U_q} h \xi \\
= \frac{1}{h(q)} \sum_{p \in C^k r(f, q, p)} \sum_{\nu \in M(q, p)} \epsilon(\nu) h(p) \theta \xi(p) \\
= \sum_{p \in C^k r(f, q, p)} \sum_{\nu \in M(q, p)} \epsilon(\nu) e^{\ln h(p) - \ln h(q)} \theta \xi(p) \\
= \sum_{p \in C^k r(f, q, p)} \sum_{\nu \in M(q, p)} \epsilon(\nu) \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\gamma^\nu)^* (-d(\ln h)) \right) \theta \xi(p) \\
= \sum_{p \in C^k r(f, q, p)} \sum_{\nu \in M(q, p)} \epsilon(\nu) \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\gamma^\nu)^* (\eta) \right) \theta \xi(p) \\
= (\delta^\eta_k \circ F(\xi))(q),
\]
were $\gamma^\nu$ is any parameterization of $\nu$ from $p$ to $q$.

To prove that the chain map $F$ induces an isomorphism between the Lichnerowicz groups $H^*_{-\eta}(M)$ and the $\eta$-twisted Morse cohomology groups of $(M, f, g, \eta)$, we will use the following local version of the $\eta$-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complex.

**Definition 5.17** (Local $\eta$-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complex). For any open set $U \subseteq M$ and for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$ define $C^k_U(f, e^\eta) \subseteq C^k(f, e^\eta)$ to be the $k$-cochains $\theta$ such that $\theta(p) = 0$ for all $p \in C^k r(f) - U$, and for any $\theta \in C^k(f, e^\eta)$ define $\theta|_U \in C^k_U(f, e^\eta)$ to be
\[
\theta|_U(p) = \begin{cases} 
\theta(p) & \text{if } p \in C^k r(f) \cap U \\
0 & \text{if } p \in C^k r(f) - U.
\end{cases}
\]
Define $\delta^0_k|_U : C^k_U(f; e^n) \rightarrow C^{k+1}_U(f; e^n)$ by restricting the sum defining $\delta^0_k$ in Definition \ref{defn:morse-smale} to the critical points $p \in Cr_k(f) \cap U$ when evaluating $\delta^0_k|_U \theta$ on a critical point $q \in Cr_{k+1}(f) \cap U$.

We will call an open subset $U \subseteq M$ unstably closed if for every critical point $p \in Cr(f) \cap U$ we have $\overline{W^u(p)} \subseteq U$. We will call a set $U \subseteq M$ unstably 0-connected if for every $p_1, p_2 \in Cr_0(f) \cap U$ there exists $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n \in Cr_1(f) \cap U$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{W^u(q_i)}$ is the image of a continuous path from $p_1$ to $p_2$.

**Remark 5.18.** If $U \subseteq M$ is unstably closed, then for all $p, q \in Cr(f) \cap U$ such that $\lambda_q - \lambda_p$ is 1 or 2 we have $\text{Im}(\overline{M(q,p)}) \subset U$, cf. Corollary 6.27 of \cite{6}. Thus, $(\delta^0_q|_U)^2 = 0$ whenever $U$ is unstably closed, cf. Lemmas \ref{lem:1} and \ref{lem:2} and $(C^*_U(f; e^n), \delta^0|_U)$ is a cochain complex. The additional assumption that $U$ is unstably 0-connected implies that $H_0((C^*_U(f; e^n), \delta^0|_U)) \approx \mathbb{R}$.

**Lemma 5.19 (\eta-Twisted Morse Poincaré Lemma).** Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ such that the unstable manifolds of $(f, g)$ determine a regular CW-structure on $M$. If $U \subseteq M$ is an open contractible set that is unstably closed and unstably 0-connected, then

$$F_* : H^k_{\eta}(U) \rightarrow H_k((C^*_U(f; e^n), \delta^0|_U))$$

is an isomorphism for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$. Moreover, $H^0_{-\eta}(U) \approx \mathbb{R}$ and $H^k_{-\eta}(U) = 0$ for $k = 1, \ldots, m$.

**Proof:** Since $U$ is contractible and $\eta$ is closed, $H^k_{-\eta}(U) \approx H^k_{dR}(U; \mathbb{R})$ for all $k$ by Theorem \ref{thm:morse-smale} and the second assertion follows from the usual Poincaré Lemma.

Now, for every $k = 0, \ldots, m$ pick an open neighborhood $N_k$ of $Cr_k(f) \cap U$ consisting of a union of contractible neighborhoods $N_k^p$ around each critical point $p$ of index $k$ in $U$, small enough so that no component of $N_k$ contains more than one critical point and $N_j \cap N_k = \emptyset$ if $j \neq k$. For all $k = 1, \ldots, m$ let $\xi_{k-1}$ be a smooth $(k - 1)$-form with support in $N_k$ such that

$$\frac{1}{h(p)} \int_{U_p} h d_{-\eta} \xi_{k-1} = 1 \in e^n_p$$

for all $p \in Cr_k(f) \cap U$, where $U_p = \overline{W^u(p)}$ and $-\eta|_U = d(ln h)$.

For all $k = 0, \ldots, m$ and every $\theta_k \in C^k(f; e^n)$ let $\overline{\theta}_k$ be the extension of $\theta_k$ to $N_k$ that is constant on each component $N_k^p$ of $N_k$, i.e. $\overline{\theta}_k|_{N_k^p} \equiv \theta_k(p)$, and define a linear map $G : C^k(f; e^n) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$G(\theta_k) = \overline{\theta}_k d_{-\eta} \xi_{k-1} + \delta^0_k \overline{\theta}_k \xi_k,$$

where we define $\xi_{-1} = \xi_{m} = 0$. Note that $G(\theta_k)$ is a smooth $k$-form, even though $\overline{\theta}_k$ and $\delta^0_k \overline{\theta}_k$ are discontinuous, because $d_{-\eta} \xi_{k-1}$ is smooth with support in $N_k$ and $\xi_k$ is smooth with support in $N_{k+1}$. In other words, for every $k = 1, \ldots, m$, $N_k$ is a disjoint
union of contractible open sets \( N_k = \bigcup_{p \in Cr_k(f)} N_k^p \), and we can define \( \xi_{k-1}^p \) to be the smooth \((k-1)\)-form that is equal to \( \xi_{k-1} \) on the component \( N_k^p \) and zero outside of \( N_k \). Then

\[
G(\theta_k) = \sum_{p \in Cr_k(f)} \theta_k(p) d_{-\eta} \xi_{k-1}^p + \sum_{q \in Cr_{k+1}(f)} (\delta_{k}^q \theta_k)(q) \xi_k^q,
\]

which is a smooth \( k \)-form on \( M \).

For all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \) and any \( \theta_k \in C^k(f; e^n) \) we have

\[
d_{-\eta}(G(\theta_k)) = d_{-\eta} \left( \theta_k(d_{-\eta} \xi_{k-1} + \delta_{k}^\eta \theta_k \xi_k) \right)
= \theta_k d_{-\eta} \xi_{k-1} + \delta_{k}^\eta \theta_k d_{-\eta} \xi_k
= \delta_{k}^\eta \theta_k d_{-\eta} \xi_k + \delta_{k+1}^\eta \theta_k \xi_{k+1}
= G(\delta_{k}^\eta \theta_k).
\]

Thus, \( d_{-\eta} \circ G = G \circ \delta_{k}^\eta \), i.e. \( G : (C^*(f; e^n), \delta_{k}^\eta) \rightarrow (\Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}), d_{-\eta}) \) is a chain map. Moreover, for all \( k = 1, \ldots, m \) we have for any \( \theta_k \in C^k(f; e^n) \)

\[
((F \circ G)(\theta_k))(p) = F(\theta_k d_{-\eta} \xi_{k-1} + \delta_{k}^\eta \theta_k \xi_k)(p)
= \frac{1}{h(p)} \int_{U_p} h \theta_k d_{-\eta} \xi_{k-1}
= \theta_k(p) \frac{1}{h(p)} \int_{U_p} h d_{-\eta} \xi_{k-1}
= \theta_k(p) \in e_{\eta}^p,
\]

for all \( p \in Cr_k(f) \), and hence \((F \circ G)_* : H_k((C^*_U(f; e^n), \delta_{k}^\eta|_U)) \rightarrow H_k((C^*_U(f; e^n), \delta_{k}^\eta|_U))\) is the identity for all \( k = 1, \ldots, m \). This implies that \( F_* : H_{-\eta}^k(M) \rightarrow H_{-\eta}^k((C^*_U(f; e^n), \delta_{k}^\eta|_U))\) is surjective for \( k = 1, \ldots, m \), and hence \( F_* \) is the trivial isomorphism for all \( k = 1, \ldots, m \), since \( H_{-\eta}^k(M) = 0 \).

Now, if \( \xi \in \Omega^0(U) \), then

\[
d_{-\eta} \xi = d \xi + \frac{dh}{h} \wedge \xi = \frac{1}{h} d(h \xi)
\]

implies that \( \xi \in \ker d_{-\eta} \) if and only if \( \xi = C/h \) for some \( C \in \mathbb{R} \), where \( -\eta|_U = d(ln h) \).

Moreover, Proposition 5.16 and the assumption that \( U \) is unstably closed implies that if \( \xi \in \ker d_{-\eta} \), then \( \theta_\xi = F(\xi) \) satisfies \((\delta_{\eta}^0 \theta_\xi)(q) = 0\) for all \( q \in Cr_1(f) \cap U \), i.e. \( F : \ker d_{-\eta} \rightarrow \ker \delta_{\eta}^0 \). Note that \( F(C/h) = C/h|_U \), where \( h|_U \) denotes the restriction of \( h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) to \( Cr_0(f) \cap U \).

Define a map \( G : \ker \delta_{\eta}^0 \rightarrow \ker d_{-\eta} \) by \( G(\theta_0) = \theta_0(p) h(p)/h \) for any \( p \in Cr_0(f) \cap U \). To see that this definition is independent of \( p \in Cr_0(f) \cap U \), first note that if \( p_+, p_- \in Cr_0(f) \cap U \) are the boundary points of an unstable manifold \( W^u(q) \) with \( q \in Cr_1(f) \cap U \), then \( M(q, p_+) \) consists of a single element \( \nu_{p_+} \) and \( M(q, p_-) \) consists
of a single element $\nu_{p-}$. Moreover, $\epsilon(\nu_{p+}) = -\epsilon(\nu_{p-})$, and we can label the points so that $\epsilon(\nu_{p+}) = +1$. Thus,

$$0 = (\delta_{0}^{\theta} \theta_{0})(q)$$

$$= \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\gamma^{\nu_{p+}})^{*}(\eta) \right) \theta_{0}(p+) - \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\gamma^{\nu_{p-}})^{*}(\eta) \right) \theta_{0}(p-)$$

$$= e^{h(p+)-h(q)} \theta_{0}(p+) - e^{h(p-)-h(q)} \theta_{0}(p-),$$

where $\gamma^{\nu_{p+}}$ and $\gamma^{\nu_{p-}}$ are paths that end at $q$. Therefore, $h(p+)+h(p)=h(p-)+h(p)$.

The fact that $G(\theta_{0}) = \theta_{0}(p)h(p)/h$ does not depend on the choice of $p \in C_{r_{0}}(f) \cap U$ now follows inductively using the assumption that $U$ is unstably $0$-connected.

So, for any $\theta_{0} \in \ker \delta^{\eta}_{0}$ we have $(F \circ G)(\theta_{0}) = F(\theta_{0}(p)h(p)/h) = \theta_{0}(p)h(p)/h = \theta_{0}$, since $\theta_{0}(p)h(p)$ does not depend on $p \in C_{r_{0}}(f) \cap U$. Moreover, for any $C/h \in \ker d_{-\eta}$ we have $(G \circ F)(C/h) = G(C/h) = \frac{e^{h(p)}}{h(p)}h(p)/h = C/h$, and hence $(G \circ F) = G \circ F = id$. Therefore, $G : \ker \delta^{\eta}_{0} \to \ker d_{-\eta}$ is a well-defined group homomorphism with inverse $F$, and $F \ast : H_{0}^{\eta}(M) \to H_{0}^{\eta}(C^{*}(f; e^{\eta}), \delta^{\eta}_{0}(U))$ is an isomorphism.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 5.20** $(\eta$-Twisted Morse de Rham Theorem). Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. Fix orientations on the unstable manifolds of $(f, g)$ and assume that the unstable manifolds determine a regular CW-structure on $M$. For any $\eta \in \Omega_{r_{0}}^{1}(M, \mathbb{R})$, the $\eta$-twisted Morse cohomology groups are isomorphic to the Lichnerowicz cohomology groups defined by $-\eta$, i.e.

$$H_{k}(C^{*}(f; e^{\eta}), \delta^{\eta}_{k}) \approx H_{-\eta}^{k}(M)$$

for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.

Proof: Recall that for any two open sets $U, V$ in $M$ there is short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{k}(U \cup V) \longrightarrow \Omega^{k}(U) \oplus \Omega^{k}(V) \longrightarrow \Omega^{k}(U \cap V) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the second map is defined by $\xi \mapsto (\xi|_{U}, -\xi|_{V})$ and the third map is given by $(\xi, \xi') \mapsto \xi|_{U \cap V} + \xi'|_{U \cap V}$. Assuming that $U$ and $V$ are unstably closed, we can define a similar short exact sequence of cochain complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{k}^{U \cup V}(f; e^{\eta}) \longrightarrow C_{k}^{U}(f; e^{\eta}) \oplus C_{k}^{V}(f; e^{\eta}) \longrightarrow C_{k}^{U \cap V}(f; e^{\eta}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the second map is defined by $\theta \mapsto (\theta|_{U}, -\theta|_{V})$ and the third map is given by $(\theta, \theta') \mapsto \theta|_{U \cap V} + \theta'|_{U \cap V}$.
Moreover, the chain map $F : (\Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}), d_{-\eta}) \to (C^*(f; e^n), \delta^n)$ induces chain maps that fit into the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \Omega^k(U \cup V) & \rightarrow & \Omega^k(U) \oplus \Omega^k(V) & \rightarrow & \Omega^k(U \cap V) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow F & & \downarrow F \oplus F & & \downarrow F & & \\
0 & \rightarrow & C^k_{U \cup V}(f; e^n) & \rightarrow & C^k_U(f; e^n) \oplus C^k_V(f; e^n) & \rightarrow & C^k_{U \cap V}(f; e^n) & \rightarrow & 0,
\end{array}
$$

which in turn induces the following ladder commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\cdots & \rightarrow & H_{-\eta}^k(U \cup V) & \rightarrow & H_{-\eta}^k(U) \oplus H_{-\eta}^k(V) & \rightarrow & H_{-\eta}^k(U \cap V) & \rightarrow & \cdots \\
& & \downarrow F_* & & \downarrow F_* \oplus F_* & & \downarrow F_* & & \\
\cdots & \rightarrow & H_{U \cup V}^k(f; e^n) & \rightarrow & H_{U}^k(f; e^n) \oplus H_{V}^k(f; e^n) & \rightarrow & H_{U \cap V}^k(f; e^n) & \rightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

The 5-lemma applied to this diagram implies that if $F_*$ is an isomorphism on $U$, $V$, and, $U \cap V$ for $k = i - 1$ and $i$, then $F_*$ is an isomorphism on $U \cup V$ when $k = i$.

Now, note that both the union and intersection of unstably closed sets is unstably closed, and $F_*$ is an isomorphism for all $k$ on every open unstably closed set with components that are contractible and unstably 0-connected by Lemma 5.19. Thus, if $V_1$ and $V_2$ are open and unstably closed, and $V_1$, $V_2$, and $V_1 \cap V_2$ have components that are contractible and unstably 0-connected, then the above ladder commutative diagram implies that $F_*$ is an isomorphism for all $k$ on $V_1 \cup V_2$. Continuing by induction, assume that we have a finite collection $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of open unstably closed sets with components that are contractible and unstably 0-connected such that

- $(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} V_j) \cap V_n$ has components that are contractible and unstably 0-connected.
- $F_*$ is an isomorphism for all $k$ on $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} V_j$.

Then taking $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} V_j$ and $V = V_n$, Lemma 5.19 and the above ladder commutative diagram imply that $F_*$ is an isomorphism for all $k$ on $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} V_j$. This shows that $F_*$ is an isomorphism for all $k$ on any unstably closed set $U$ that can be written as a finite union $U = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_n$ of open unstably closed sets $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^n$ with components that are contractible and unstably 0-connected such that

$$(\ast) \quad (\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} V_j) \cap V_i \text{ has components that are contractible and unstably 0-connected for all } i = 2, \ldots, n.$$

To complete the proof, take a finite open cover $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of contractible sets that are unstably closed and unstably 0-connected consisting of a small thickening of $W^u(p_j)$ for each critical point $p_j$ of index $m = \dim M$. If $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$, then this intersection can be written as a finite union of open unstably closed sets $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^n$ with components that are contractible and unstably 0-connected satisfying condition $(\ast)$ because the unstable manifolds of $(f, g)$ determine a regular CW-structure on $M$. Thus, Lemma
and the above ladder commutative diagram imply that $F_*$ is an isomorphism for all $k$ on $U_1 \cup U_2$.

Now assume that we have shown that $F_*$ is an isomorphism for all $k$ on $U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_{i-1}$ for some $i = 2, \ldots, n$. The set $(\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} U_j) \cap U_i$ can be written as a finite union of open unstably closed sets $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^n$ with components that are contractible and unstably 0-connected satisfying condition $(\ast)$ since the unstable manifolds of $(f, g)$ determine a regular CW-structure. Therefore, Lemma 5.19 and the above ladder commutative diagram imply that $F_*$ is an isomorphism for all $k$ on $U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_i$ and hence on $U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n = M$.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 5.21.** The above proof is modeled on the proof of the de Rham Theorem found in Section V.9 of [15].

**Corollary 5.22.** The homology groups of the $\eta$-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complex $(C^*(f; e^\eta), \delta_\eta^*)$ do not depend on the pair $(f, g)$, as long as the unstable manifolds of $(f, g)$ determine a regular CW-structure on the Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$.

**Remark 5.23.** The preceding corollary can also be proved using the methods found in Section 3. Using that approach, we could remove the assumption that the unstable manifolds of $(f, g)$ determine a regular CW-structure.

**Corollary 5.24** (Invariance of the $\eta$-twisted Euler number). Let $M$ be a closed finite dimensional smooth manifold and $\eta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$ a closed 1-form on $M$. The $\eta$-twisted Lichnerowicz Euler number

\[ \mathcal{X}^\eta(M) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \dim H^k_\eta(M) \]

is well-defined and equal to the classical Euler number $\mathcal{X}(M)$.

Proof: Note that $(\Omega^*(M, \mathbb{R}), d_{-\eta})$ is a complex of $\mathbb{R}$-modules which is not finitely generated, whereas $(C^*(f; e^\eta), \delta_\eta^*)$ is a complex of finitely generated free $\mathbb{R}$-modules. Moreover, the map $F : \Omega^k(M, \mathbb{R}) \to C^k(f; e^\eta)$ from Definition 5.14 is an $\mathbb{R}$-module homomorphism. Therefore, the $\eta$-Twisted Morse de Rham Theorem (Theorem 5.20) implies that $H^k_{-\eta}(M)$ is a finite dimensional real vector space for all $k$ and all $\eta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$. This implies that the $\eta$-twisted Lichnerowicz Euler number

\[ \mathcal{X}^\eta(M) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \dim H^k_\eta(M) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \dim H_k((C^*(f; e^{-\eta}), \delta_{-\eta}^*)) \]

is well-defined. The fact that $\mathcal{X}^\eta(M) = \mathcal{X}(M)$ follows from the $\eta$-Twisted Morse de Rham Theorem (Theorem 5.20) and the Euler-Poincaré Theorem (Theorem 4.17), cf. Theorem 4.19.

\[ \square \]
Remark 5.25. Corollary 5.24 can also be proved for the complex \((\Omega^\ast(M, \mathbb{R}), d_\eta)\) using the index theory of elliptic operators. That is, in Section 6 of their classic paper [3] Atiyah and Singer note that on any closed finite dimensional smooth manifold \(M\) the de Rham complex of complex valued differential forms is elliptic, and its Euler characteristic is the usual Euler number. They then consider the operator \(d + d^*\), where \(d^*\) denotes the adjoint of \(d\) with respect to a Riemannian metric on \(M\). Restricting \(d + d^*\) to the even dimensional complex valued differential forms gives an elliptic differential operator of order 1 whose index is the Euler number of the de Rham complex, cf. Section III.4.D of [14] and Proposition 19.1.16 of [33]. Perturbing \(d\) to \(d_\eta\) is a lower order perturbation, and hence the index of \(d + d^*\) does not change under the perturbation. Therefore,

\[ \mathcal{X}(M) = \text{index}(d + d^*) = \text{index}(d_\eta + (d_\eta)^*) = \mathcal{X}_\eta(M). \]

5.4. Relationship to sheaf cohomology. Proposition 5.16 shows that the map \(F\) given in Definition 5.14 is a chain map from the Lichnerowicz cochain complex \((\Omega^\ast(M, \mathbb{R}), d_{-\eta})\) to the \(\eta\)-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complex \((C^\ast(f; e^\eta), \delta_\eta^*)\), and Theorem 5.20 shows that this chain map induces an isomorphism of the corresponding cohomology groups. A result due to Vaisman shows that the Lichnerowicz cohomology groups \(H^k_{-\eta}(M)\) are also isomorphic to the cohomology of \(M\) with coefficients in a sheaf [69].

Vaisman defines \(\mathcal{F}_\eta(M)\) to be the sheaf of germs of differentiable functions \(f : M \to \mathbb{R}\) such that

\[ d_{-\eta} f = df - \eta f = 0. \]

Letting \(\mathcal{A}^k(M)\) be the sheaf of germs of differentiable \(k\)-forms on \(M\) for all \(k = 0, \ldots, m\), he shows that

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{F}_\eta(M) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{A}^0(M) & \xrightarrow{d_{-\eta}} & \mathcal{A}^1(M) & \xrightarrow{d_{-\eta}} & \cdots
\end{array}
\]

is a fine resolution of \(\mathcal{F}_\eta(M)\) by proving that \(d_{-\eta}\) satisfies a Poincaré Lemma. This proves that \(H^k(M; \mathcal{F}_\eta(M))\), the \(k\)th-cohomology group of \(M\) with coefficients in the sheaf \(\mathcal{F}_\eta(M)\), is isomorphic to the Lichnerowicz cohomology group \(H^k_{-\eta}(M)\) for all \(k = 0, \ldots, m\) (Proposition 3.1 of [69]). Applying Theorem 5.20 we see that the \(\eta\)-twisted Morse cohomology group \(H^k((C^\ast(f; e^n), \delta_\eta^n))\) is also isomorphic to \(H^k(M; \mathcal{F}_\eta(M))\) for all \(k = 0, \ldots, m\).

Now, if \(X\) is a paracompact Hausdorff space that is locally path connected and semilocally 1-connected, then there is a bijection between equivalence classes of local coefficient systems on \(X\) and equivalence classes of locally constant sheaves on \(X\), cf. Proposition 2.5.1 of [21] or Exercises 6.F of [64]. The above cohomology isomorphisms suggest that the equivalence class of the sheaf \(\mathcal{F}_\eta(M)\) should correspond to the equivalence class of the local system \(e^n\) under this bijection. To prove this, first note that the fact that \(\mathcal{F}_\eta(M)\) is locally constant is implicit in Section 3 of [69]; we reformulate this explicitly in the following lemma.
Lemma 5.26 ([69]). If $M$ is a finite dimensional smooth manifold and $U$ is an open connected subset of $M$ such that $\eta|_U$ is exact, then
\[ \mathcal{F}_\eta(M)|_U \approx \mathbb{R}|_U. \]
Moreover, if $\eta|_U$ is not exact, then the only section of $\mathcal{F}_\eta(M)|_U$ is the zero section.

Proof: Suppose that $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function such that
\[ d_{-\eta} f = df - \eta|_U f = 0. \]
If $\eta|_U$ is exact, then $-\eta|_U = dh/h$ for some positive smooth function $h : U \to \mathbb{R}$ and
\[ d_{-\eta} f = df + \frac{dh}{h} f = \frac{1}{h} df(hf) = 0. \]
Therefore, $f = C/h$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$.

If $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in U$, then the first equation shows that $\eta|_U = df/f = d(\ln f)$, i.e. $\eta|_U$ is exact. Thus, if $\eta|_U$ is not exact, then $f(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in U$, which implies that $f \equiv 0$ on any open neighborhood $V$ of $x_0$ where $\eta|_V$ is exact. For any other point $x_1 \in U$ we can cover a path from $x_0$ to $x_1$ with finitely many open sets \( \{V_j\}_{j=1}^n \) such that $\eta|_{V_j}$ is exact for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and conclude that $f(x_1) = 0$. Therefore, the only section of $\mathcal{F}_\eta(M)|_U$ is the zero section when $\eta|_U$ is not exact.

Following Exercise 6.F.1 of [64], we now recall that the local system $e^n$ on $M$ determines a presheaf $\mathcal{F}_{e^n}$ on $M$ as follows: for any open set $U \subseteq M$, let $\mathcal{F}_{e^n}(U)$ be the set of all functions $f$ assigning to each $x \in U$ an element $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}_x$ with the property that for any continuous path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to U$ we have
\[ f(\gamma(1)) = e^{\int_0^1 \gamma^*(n)} f(\gamma(0)). \]
According to Exercise 6.F.1 of [64], the presheaf $\mathcal{F}_{e^n}$ satisfies the two additional axioms necessary to be a sheaf, cf. Section 6.7.5 of [64]. Moreover, if $\eta|_U$ is exact, then $-\eta|_U = d(\ln h)$ for some positive smooth function $h : U \to \mathbb{R}$ and we have
\[ f(\gamma(1)) = \frac{h(0)f(\gamma(0))}{h(1)}. \]
That is, $f = C/h$ for $C = h(0)f(\gamma(0))$ on any connected open set $U$ such that $-\eta|_U = d(\ln h)$, and hence $\mathcal{F}_{e^n}(U) \approx \mathbb{R}|_U \approx \mathcal{F}_\eta(M)|_U$. If $\eta|_U$ is not exact, then $e^{\int_0^1 \gamma^*(n)}$ can have different values on different paths in $U$, and hence $\mathcal{F}_{e^n}(U) \approx \{0\}$. This shows that $\mathcal{F}_{e^n}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_\eta(M)$, cf. Proposition 5.8 of [71].

Continuing with Exercise 6.F.7 of [64], we see that the Čech cohomology of $M$ with coefficients in the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\eta(M)$ is isomorphic to the singular cohomology of $M$ with coefficients in the local system $e^n$, i.e.
\[ H^k(M; \mathcal{F}_\eta(M)) \approx H^k(M; e^n) \]
for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$. To summarize, we have the following isomorphisms between $\eta$-twisted Morse cohomology, Lichnerowicz cohomology, sheaf cohomology, and singular cohomology with coefficients in the local system $e^\eta$.

**Theorem 5.27.** Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, and assume that the unstable manifolds determine a regular CW-structure on $M$. For any $\eta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$, 

$$H_k((C^*(f; e^\eta), \delta^\eta)) \approx H^k_{-\eta}(M) \approx H^k(M; \mathcal{F}_\eta(M)) \approx H^k(M; e^\eta)$$

for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.

**Remark 5.28.** The arguments in Section 3 can be used to remove the assumption that the unstable manifolds of $(f, g)$ determine a regular CW-structure, and the techniques used in Section 4 can be used to prove directly that the $\eta$-twisted Morse cohomology groups are isomorphic to the singular cohomology groups with coefficients in $e^\eta$.

### 6. Applications and computations

In this section we collect some applications of homology and cohomology with local coefficients and examine them within the context of twisted Morse homology and cohomology.

**6.1. Parallel 1-forms and Lichnerowicz cohomology computations.** Let $\eta$ be a closed 1-form on a finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ of dimension $m$. For any $\xi \in \Omega^k(M, \mathbb{R})$ let

$$d_\eta \xi = d\xi + \eta \wedge \xi$$

$$\delta \xi = (-1)^{mk+m+1} \ast (d(\ast \xi))$$

$$i_U(\xi) = (-1)^{mk+m} \ast (d(\ast \xi) + \eta \wedge \ast \xi)$$

$$\delta_\eta \xi = (\delta + i_U)\xi,$$

where $\ast$ is the Hodge star operator. Using the Hodge decomposition

$$\Omega^k(M, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{H}_\eta^k(M) \oplus d_\eta(\Omega^{k-1}(M)) \oplus \delta_\eta(\Omega^{k+1}(M))$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_\eta^k(M) = \{ \xi \in \Omega^k(M, \mathbb{R}) | d_\eta \xi = 0 \text{ and } \delta_\eta \xi = 0 \}$$

León, López, Marrero, and Padrón proved the following.

**Theorem 6.1 (Theorem 4.5 of [12]).** Let $M$ be a compact differentiable manifold and $\eta$ a closed 1-form on $M$ with $\eta \neq 0$. Supposed that $g$ is a Riemannian metric on $M$ such that $\eta$ is parallel with respect to $g$. Then, the cohomology $H^*_\eta(M)$ is trivial.

Combining the $\eta$-Twisted Morse de Rham Theorem (Theorem 5.20) with this result gives the following.
**Theorem 6.2.** Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. If $\eta$ is a nonzero closed 1-form on $M$ that is parallel with respect to $g$, then

$$H_k((C^*(f; e^n), \delta^n)) \approx 0$$

for all $k$.

Proof: The 1-form $\eta$ is parallel with respect to $g$ if and only if $-\eta$ is parallel with respect to $g$. Thus, Theorem 5.20, Remark 5.28, and Theorem 6.1 imply that

$$H_k((C^*(f; e^n), \delta^n)) \approx H^k_{\eta}(M) = 0$$

for all $k$.

\[\]

**Corollary 6.3 (Parallel 1-Form Obstruction).** Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $M$, and assume there exists a nonzero closed 1-form $\eta$ on $M$ such that $H_k((C^*(f; e^n), \delta^n)) \neq 0$ for some $k$. Then for any nonzero closed 1-form $\zeta$ on $M$ such that $[\zeta] = [\eta] \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ the 1-form $\zeta$ is not parallel with respect to any Riemannian metric on $M$.

Proof: By Theorem 5.13, Theorem 5.20, and Remark 5.28 we see that

$$H_k((C^*(f; e^n), \delta^n)) \neq 0 \Rightarrow H_k((C^*(f; e^\zeta), \delta^\zeta)) \neq 0.$$ 

By Theorem 5.20 and Remark 5.28 these homology groups are independent of the Riemannian metric used to define them, and hence the result follows from Theorem 6.2.

\[\]

**Corollary 6.4 (Euler Number Parallel 1-Form Obstruction).** If $M$ is a closed finite dimensional smooth manifold with nonzero Euler number, then there are no nonzero closed parallel 1-forms on $M$ with respect to any Riemannian metric on $M$.

Proof: Corollary 5.24 implies that for any nonzero closed 1-form $\eta \in \Omega_1(M; \mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{X}(M) = \mathcal{X}(M) \neq 0$. Therefore, $H^n_{\eta}(M) \neq 0$, and Theorem 6.1 implies that $\eta$ is not parallel with respect to any Riemannian metric on $M$.

\[\]

**Example 6.5 (The Lichnerowicz cohomology of a cylinder).** Let $(N, \bar{g})$ be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold and let $M = N \times S^1$. Define $\eta = \pi_2^*(d\theta)$ to be the 1-form on $M$ given by pulling back the closed 1-form $d\theta$ from Example 2.14 under the projection $\pi_2 : M \times S^1 \to S^1$ onto the second component. The 1-form $\eta$ is parallel on $M$ with respect to the Riemannian metric

$$\bar{g} = \pi_1^*(\bar{g}) + \eta \otimes \eta,$$
where \( \pi_1 : M \times S^1 \to M \) denotes projection onto the first factor. Therefore, by Theorem 6.2 we see that for any Morse-Smale function \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \) the \( \eta \)-twisted Morse cohomology groups

\[
H_k((C^\ast(f; e^\eta), \delta^\eta)) \approx 0
\]

for all \( k \).

Now assume in addition that \((N, g, \lambda)\) is a contact manifold, and define

\[
\Omega = \pi_1^\ast(d\lambda) + \pi_2^\ast(d\theta) \wedge \pi_1^\ast(\lambda).
\]

Then \( \Omega \) is a non-degenerate 2-form on \( M \) such that

\[
d\Omega = \pi_1^\ast(d^2\lambda) + \pi_2^\ast(d(d\theta)) \wedge \pi_1^\ast(\lambda) - \pi_2^\ast(d\theta) \wedge \pi_1^\ast(d\lambda) \]

\[
= -\pi_2^\ast(d\theta) \wedge \pi_1^\ast(d\lambda) 
\]

\[
= -\pi_2^\ast(d\theta) \wedge \Omega.
\]

Hence, \( M = N \times S^1 \) is a locally conformal symplectic (LCS) manifold with Lee form \( \eta = \pi_2^\ast(d\theta) \), cf. Proposition 5.9. Since \( \eta \) is parallel with respect to the Riemannian metric \( \tilde{g} \) on \( M \), this gives a class of LCS manifolds \((M, \Omega)\) with Lee form \( \eta \) such that the \( \eta \)-twisted Morse cohomology groups \( H_k((C^\ast(f; e^\eta), \delta^\eta)) \) are zero for all \( k \), cf. Example 4.10(2) of [42].

**Remark 6.6.** In the previous example the \( \eta \)-twisted Euler number

\[
\chi^n(M) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \dim H^k_n(M) = 0.
\]

Thus, Corollary 5.24 implies that the untwisted Euler number \( \chi(M) \) is also zero.

The fact that \( \chi(N \times S^1) = 0 \) can also be seen directly from the Kinneth Theorem, cf. Theorem VI.3.2 of [15], or the fact that \( \chi(N \times S^1) = \chi(N)\chi(S^1) \).

**Example 6.7** (The Lichnerowicz cohomology of a surface of genus 2). Consider a surface \( S \) of genus 2 as the connected sum of two tori. If we view each torus as a square whose opposite sides are identified, then the surface of genus 2 can be viewed as an octagon where every other edge on each half of the octagon is identified, cf. Section I.5 of [43]. By applying the construction used in the proof of Theorem 4.12 to the octagon representing \( S \) we can construct a Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) with one critical point of index 0, four critical points of index 1, and one critical point of index 2. The critical point \( p^0 \) of index 0 will correspond to the vertices of the octagon, which are all identified, the four critical points \( p_1^1, p_2^1, p_3^1, p_4^1 \), of index 1 will be on the edges of the octagon, which are identified in pairs, and the critical point \( p^2 \) of index 2 will be on the interior of the octagon.

As shown in the diagram, there are exactly two gradient flow lines between each pair of critical points of relative index 1, and they have opposite signs. Hence, the
(untwisted) coboundary operators $\delta_*$ are all zero in the Morse-Smale-Witten cochain complex.

$$
0 \rightarrow C^0(f; \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C^1(f; \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} C^2(f; \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} 0
$$

Therefore,

$$H_k(((C^*(f; \mathbb{R}), \delta_*)) \approx \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{R} & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} & \text{if } k = 1 \\
\mathbb{R} & \text{if } k = 2,
\end{cases}$$

and Theorem 5.13, Theorem 5.20, and Remark 5.28 imply that $H^1_{\text{dR}}(S; \mathbb{R}) \approx \mathbb{R}^4$. Moreover, there are smooth paths $\gamma_i$, such that $\text{Im}(\gamma_i) = W^u(p^*_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, that represent a basis for $H_1(S; \mathbb{R})$. Hence, $H^1_{\text{dR}}(S; \mathbb{R})$ has a basis represented by closed 1-forms $\eta_j \in \Omega_{\text{cl}}(M, \mathbb{R})$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ such that

$$\int_{\gamma_i} \eta_j = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } i = j \\
0 & \text{if } i \neq j,
\end{cases}$$

since the identification of $H^1_{\text{dR}}(S; \mathbb{R})$ with $\text{Hom}(H_1(S; \mathbb{R}), \mathbb{R})$ is given by integrating a representative of the de Rham cohomology class over a smooth representative of the homology class, cf. Sections V.5 and V.7 of [15].

To compute $H_k(((C^*(f; e^{\eta_j}), \delta^y_*)) \approx H^k_{\eta_j}(S)$ we need to consider the integrals of $\eta_j$ over the gradient flow lines from $p^2$ to the critical points of index 1. To address this we apply Stokes’ Theorem for smooth singular chains, cf. Theorem 8.4 of [65] or Theorem 4.7 of [71]. For instance, consider the integral of $\eta_1$ over the boundary of a smooth singular 2-cube $\sigma : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ whose four faces map injectively onto the following smoothly embedded loops and closed intervals in the counterclockwise direction shown in the diagram.
(1) The loop $W^u(p_1^i)$.
(2) The closure of the image of the positive gradient flow line from $p_2^1$ to $p^0$.
(3) The loop $W(p_2^2, p_1^1)$.
(4) The closure of the image of the positive gradient flow line from $p_2^1$ to $p^0$.

Since \[ \int_{\partial \sigma} \eta_1 = \int_{\sigma} d\eta_1 = 0, \] and the integrals over the closure of the image of the positive gradient flow line from $p_2^1$ to $p^0$ cancel out, we see that the integrals of $\eta_1$ over the loops $W^u(p_1^1)$ and $W(p_2^2, p_1^1)$ are opposite each other. Similarly, the integrals of $\eta_1$ over the loops $W(p_2^2, p_1^1)$, $W(p_2^2, p_1^3)$, and $W(p_2^2, p_1^4)$ are all zero, since the integrals of $\eta_1$ over the loops $W^u(p_2^2)$, $W^u(p_1^4)$, and $W^u(p_1^3)$ are zero. This gives enough information to compute $H_k((C^*(f; e^n), \delta^n_m)) \approx H_k(S)$ for all $k$.

To see this, let $\theta \in C^0(f; e^n)$, and recall from Definition 5.5 that

\[ (\delta_0^m \theta)(p_1^i) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(p_1^i, p^0)} \epsilon(\nu) \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\gamma^\nu)^*(\eta_1) \right) \theta(p^0) \in e^n_{p_1^i}, \]

for any critical point $p_1^i \in Cr_1(f)$, where $\gamma^\nu : \mathbb{R} \to M$ is any continuous path from $p^0$ to $p_1^i$ whose image coincides with the image of $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(p_1^i, p^0)$ and $\epsilon(\nu) = \pm 1$ is the sign determined by the orientation on $\mathcal{M}(p_1^i, p^0)$. For $i \neq 1$, the integral of $\eta_1$ over the loop $W^u(p_1^i)$ is zero, and hence the two integrals of $\eta_1$ in the above sum are the same. Thus, $(\delta_0^m \theta)(p_1^i) = 0$ for $i \neq 1$, since the two gradient flow lines from $p_1^i$ to $p^0$ have opposite signs. However, $(\delta_0^m \theta)(p_1^1) \neq 0$ for $\theta \neq 0$ because the two integrals have different values. Specifically, if the integral of $\eta_1$ along the positive gradient flow line is $a$, then the integral of $\eta_1$ along the negative gradient flow line will be $a \pm 1$, ...
where the sign is determined by whatever orientation is chosen for the generator $\gamma_1$ of $H_1(S; \mathbb{R})$. Therefore,

$$(\delta_0^n \theta)(p_1^1) = (e^a - e^{a \pm 1}) \theta(p_1^0) \neq 0$$

if $\theta(p_1^0) \neq 0$, and

$$H_0((C^*(f; e^n), \delta_0^n)) \approx 0.$$  

Moreover, if $\theta_j$ is a basis for $C^1(f; e^n)$ such that

$$\theta_j(p_1^1) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } i = j \\
0 & \text{if } i \neq j 
\end{cases}$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, then the above argument shows that $\theta_1$ generates $\text{Im} \, \delta_0^n$.

Now consider $\delta_1^n(\theta_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Using the notation from Definition 5.5 we have

$$(\delta_1^n \theta_j)(p^2) = \sum_{p_1^1 \in C_1(f)} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(p^2, p_1^1)} \epsilon(\nu) \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\gamma^\nu) (\eta_1) \right) \theta_j(p_1^1)$$

$$= \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(p^2, p_1^1)} \epsilon(\nu) \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\gamma^\nu) (\eta_1) \right) 1 \in e^n_{p^2}.$$ 

Since the integrals of $\eta_1$ over the loops $\overline{W}(p^2, p_1^1)$, $\overline{W}(p^2, p_3^1)$, and $\overline{W}(p^2, p_4^1)$ are zero and the two gradient flow lines from $p^2$ to $p_1^1$ have opposite signs, we see that $\theta_1, \theta_3, \theta_4 \in \ker \delta_1^n$. However, the integral of $\eta_1$ over the loop $\overline{W}(p^2, p_2^1)$ is $\pm 1$, where the sign is determined by whatever orientation is chosen for the generator $\gamma_1$ of $H_1(S; \mathbb{R})$. Therefore, $\delta_1^n(\theta_2) \neq 0$, and we have

$$H^k_{-\eta_1} (S) \approx H_k((C^*(f; e^n), \delta_1^n)) \approx \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} & \text{if } k = 1 \\
0 & \text{if } k = 2.
\end{cases}$$

It’s clear that the Lichnerowicz cohomology $H^k_{-\eta_1} (S) \approx H_k((C^*(f; e^n), \delta_1^n))$ is the same for $j = 2, 3, 4$. The Lichnerowicz cohomology $H^k_{-\eta_1} (S)$ for a general closed 1-form $\eta \in \Omega^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ can be computed using the invariance of the $\eta$-twisted Euler number (Corollary 5.24), i.e. $\mathcal{X}_\eta(S) = \mathcal{X}_0(S) = -2$, and the fact that $\{[\eta_1], [\eta_2], [\eta_3], [\eta_4]\}$ is a basis for $H^1_{\text{dR}}(S; \mathbb{R})$. That is, Corollary 5.24 and the placement of the 6 generators of $(C^*(f; e^n), \delta_2^n)$ imply that there are only 4 possibilities for $H^*_{-\eta}(S)$. We claim for any $\eta \in \Omega^1(S, \mathbb{R})$ with $[\eta] \neq 0$, $H^*_{-\eta}(S)$ is the same as $H^*_{-\eta_1}(S)$.

To see this, let $\eta = a_1 \eta_1 + a_2 \eta_2 + a_3 \eta_3 + a_4 \eta_4$ for some $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ and assume that $a_j \neq 0$ for some $j$, i.e. $\eta$ is not exact. Letting $\gamma_+^j$ and $\gamma_-^j$ be paths from $p^0$ to $p_j^1$ parameterizing the elements of $\mathcal{M}(p_j^1, p^0)$ with signs $+$ and $-$ respectively we
compute as follows. For any \( \theta \in C^0(f; e^n) \),
\[
(\delta^0_0 \theta)(p^1_j) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(p^1_j, p^0)} \epsilon(\nu) \exp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\gamma^\nu)^* (\eta) \right) \theta(p^0)
\]
\[
= \left( e^{\int_{\gamma^+} \eta} - e^{\int_{\gamma^-} \eta} \right) \theta(p^0)
\]
\[
= \left( e^{a_1 \int_{\gamma^+} \eta_1} e^{a_2 \int_{\gamma^+} \eta_2} e^{a_3 \int_{\gamma^+} \eta_3} e^{a_4 \int_{\gamma^+} \eta_4} - e^{a_1 \int_{\gamma^-} \eta_1} e^{a_2 \int_{\gamma^-} \eta_2} e^{a_3 \int_{\gamma^-} \eta_3} e^{a_4 \int_{\gamma^-} \eta_4} \right) \theta(p^0)
\]
\[
= e^A e^B e^C (e^{a_j a} - e^{a_j (a \pm 1)}) \theta(p^0)
\]
for some \( a, A, B, C \in \mathbb{R} \), because
\[
\int_{\gamma^i} \eta_i = \int_{\gamma^j} \eta_j \text{ if } i \neq j \text{ and } \int_{\gamma^i} \eta_j = a \pm 1 \text{ if } \int_{\gamma^j} \eta_j = a.
\]
This shows that \( H_0((C^* (f; e^n), \delta^*_0)) \approx 0 \).

Now consider the basis element \( \theta_1 \in C^1(f; e^n) \), where \( \overline{W(p^2, p^1_j)} \) is on the opposite side of the singular 2-cube from \( W^a(p^1_j) \), and let \( \gamma^+ \) and \( \gamma^- \) be paths from \( p^1_j \) to \( p^2 \) parameterizing the elements of \( \mathcal{M}(p^2, p^1_j) \) with signs + and − respectively.
for some \(a, A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}\), because
\[
\int_{\gamma^-} \eta_i = \int_{\gamma^+} \eta_i \quad \text{if } i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\gamma^-} \eta_j = a \pm 1 \quad \text{if } \int_{\gamma^+} \eta_j = a.
\]
Thus \(\delta_i^j \theta_i \neq 0\) when \(a_j \neq 0\), and \(H_2((C^*(f; e^n), \delta^*_n)) \approx 0\). Therefore,
\[
H^k_{\eta}(S) \approx H_k((C^*(f; e^n), \delta^*_n)) \approx \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} & \text{if } k = 1 \\
0 & \text{if } k = 2
\end{cases}
\]
for any \(\eta \in \Omega^1_\text{cl}(S, \mathbb{R})\) with \([\eta] \neq 0\), because \(X^n(S) = -2\).

6.2. **H-spaces.** Recall that an H-space is a topological space \(X\) together with a continuous map \(m: X \times X \to X\) and an element \(e \in X\) such that \(m(e, \cdot): X \to X\) and \(m(\cdot, e): X \to X\) are homotopic to the identity through maps that preserve \(e\). The map \(m\) is called multiplication and the element \(e\) is called the homotopy unit. This definition can be weakened by removing the assumption that the homotopies preserve \(e\) or strengthened by requiring that \(e\) be a strict identity, and all three definitions are equivalent for CW-complexes, cf. Section 3.C of [29]. Standard examples of H-spaces include the based loop space of a topological space, where the multiplication map is given by concatenation, and topological groups, i.e. topological spaces with a group structure such that both the multiplication and inverse maps are continuous. For both of these examples the multiplication map \(m\) is associative.

We will only consider H-spaces where \(m\) is associative up to homotopy because we are interested in the Pontryagin ring. For any commutative ring \(R\) with unit the multiplication map \(m\) on an H-space \(X\) induces what is known as the Pontryagin product on the homology:
\[
H_*(X; R) \otimes H_*(X; R) \xrightarrow{\times} H_*(X \times X; R) \xrightarrow{m_*} H_*(X; R),
\]
where the first map is the homology cross product. The assumption that \(m\) is associative up to homotopy implies that the Pontryagin product is associative, and hence \(H_*(X; R)\) is a graded ring with unit known as the Pontryagin ring, cf. Section VII.3 of [22] or Section III.7 of [74].

Recently, Albers, Frauenfelder, and Oancea extended the Pontryagin product to homology with local coefficients in a system of rank one \(R\)-modules \(\mathcal{L}\) on a path connected space \(X\), where \(R\) is a commutative ring with unit [2]. That is, \(\mathcal{L}\) is a bundle of abelian groups such that each fiber has the structure of a free rank one \(R\)-module and the homomorphisms \(\gamma_*\) are all \(R\)-module isomorphisms, i.e. \(\gamma_*\) is given by multiplication by an element of the group of invertible elements \(R^* \subset R\). Any bundle of abelian groups with fiber \(\mathbb{Z}\) is an example of a local coefficient system of rank one \(\mathbb{Z}\)-modules, and \(e^n\), where \(\eta\) is a closed smooth 1-form on a smooth manifold, is an example of a local coefficient system of rank one \(\mathbb{R}\)-modules (see Remark 2.7).
Proposition 6.8 (Proposition 1 [2]). Let $R$ be a commutative ring with unit and $X$ a path-connected associative $H$-space. For any local coefficient system of rank one $R$-modules $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$, the multiplication $m : X \times X \to X$ induces a unital ring structure on $H_*(X; \mathcal{L})$. The unit is represented by the class of a point.

The ring structure is defined at the chain level as the composition

$$C_*(X; \mathcal{L}) \otimes C_*(X; \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{B} C_*(X \times X; \text{pr}_1^*\mathcal{L} \otimes_R \text{pr}_2^*\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{m_*} C_*(X; \mathcal{L}),$$

where $B$ is the Eilenberg-MacLane shuffle map with local coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$, $\text{pr}_i : X \times X \to X$ is a projection map for $i = 1, 2$, and $m_*$ is induced by the multiplication $m$. The fact that $\mathcal{L}$ is a local system of rank one $R$-modules is central to the proof of Proposition 6.8 since this implies that there is an isomorphism

$$\text{pr}_1^*\mathcal{L} \otimes_R \text{pr}_2^*\mathcal{L} \cong m^*\mathcal{L}.$$ 

This fact is proved in Lemma 1 of [2] using the natural isomorphism $R \otimes_R R \cong R$ given by multiplication in $R$.

Albers, Frauenfelder, and Oancea used Proposition 6.8 to prove a vanishing result for the homology of an $H$-space with local coefficients in certain systems of rank one $R$-modules (Proposition 3 of [2]).

Proposition 6.9. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with unit and $\mathcal{L}$ a local coefficient system of rank one $R$-modules on a path connected associative $H$-space $X$ with homotopy unit $e \in X$. Assume there exists $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X, e)$ such that

1. $\gamma_*(s) = gs$ for some $g \in R_e^\times$ with $g \neq 1$, i.e. $\gamma_* \neq \text{id}$, and
2. $g^{-1} - 1 \in R_e^\times$, i.e. $g^{-1} - 1$ is invertible.

Then $H_*(X; \mathcal{L}) = 0$.

Proof: If $e \in X$ is the homotopy unit, then Proposition 6.8 says that $[1 \cdot e] \in H_0(X; \mathcal{L})$ is the unit in the Pontryagin ring $H_*(X; \mathcal{L})$, where $1 \in R_e$ (see Section 4.1). Thus, it suffices to show that (1) and (2) imply that $[1 \cdot e] = [0] \in H_*(X; \mathcal{L})$.

If $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$ is a loop based at $e$, then $\gamma_*(s) = gs$ for some $g \in R_e^\times$, and for any $s \in R_e$ we have $s \cdot \gamma \in C_1(X; \mathcal{L})$ with

$$\partial_1(s \cdot \gamma) = \gamma_*^{-1}(s) \cdot e - s \cdot e = (g^{-1}s - s) \cdot e$$

(see Definition 4.2). In particular, for $s = 1 \in R_e$ we have $\partial_1(1 \cdot \gamma) = (g^{-1} - 1) \cdot e$. Hence, if $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(M, e)$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2), we can set $s = (g^{-1} - 1)^{-1}$ in the above equation for $\partial_1(s \cdot \gamma)$ and we have

$$\partial_1((g^{-1} - 1)^{-1} \cdot \gamma) = (g^{-1}(g^{-1} - 1)^{-1} - (g^{-1} - 1)^{-1}) \cdot e$$

$$= ((g^{-1} - 1)(g^{-1} - 1)^{-1}) \cdot e$$

$$= 1 \cdot e.$$

Therefore, $[1 \cdot e] = [0] \in H_*(X; \mathcal{L})$. 
Note: If $R = \mathbb{Z}$, then conditions (1) and (2) cannot hold simultaneously because $\gamma_*(s) = \pm s$ when $R_e = \mathbb{Z}$ and $-2 \notin \mathbb{Z}^\times$. Similarly, if $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$, then condition (1) cannot hold. However, if $R$ is a field and $L$ is not simple, then there always exists some $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X, e)$ such that (1) and (2) hold. This observation leads to the following obstruction to the existence of an associative H-space structure.

**Corollary 6.10.** If $X$ is a path connected topological space and there exists a local coefficient system $L$ of rank one vector spaces on $X$, i.e. a line bundle over some field, such that

1. $L$ is not simple, i.e. $L$ is not isomorphic to a constant bundle, and
2. $H_*(X; L) \neq 0$.

then $X$ is not an associative H-space.

We now interpret and apply the above results within the context of twisted Morse homology.

**Theorem 6.11.** Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed path connected finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, and let $L$ be a local coefficient system of rank one $R$-modules on $M$, where $R$ is a commutative ring with unit. Assume that $M$ is an associative H-space with homotopy unit $e \in M$, and there exists $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X, e)$ such that

1. $\gamma_*(s) = gs$ for some $g \in R_e^\times$ with $g \neq 1$, i.e. $\gamma_* \neq \text{id}$, and
2. $g^{-1} - 1 \in R_e^\times$, i.e. $g^{-1} - 1$ is invertible.

Then $H_k((C_*(f; L), \partial^L_e)) = 0$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.

Proof: Note that if we “forget” the product structure, then $L$ is a bundle of abelian groups. So, the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex $(C_*(f; L), \partial^L_e)$ is defined, and by the Twisted Morse Homology Theorem (Theorem 4.1) the homology groups of $(C_*(f; L), \partial^L_e)$ are isomorphic to the singular homology groups of $M$ with coefficients in the bundle of abelian groups $L$. Moreover, the singular homology groups of $M$ with coefficients in the bundle of abelian groups obtained by “forgetting” the product structure on $L$ are isomorphic to the singular homology groups of $M$ with coefficients in the $R$-module $L$. The result now follows from Proposition 6.9.

**Corollary 6.12** (Associative H-space Obstruction). Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed path connected finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. If there exists a local coefficient system $L$ of rank one vector spaces on $M$, i.e. a line bundle over some field, such that

1. $L$ is not simple, i.e. $L$ is not isomorphic to a constant bundle, and
2. $H_k((C_*(f; L), \partial^L_e)) \neq 0$ for some $k$,


then \( M \) is not an associative H-space.

**Corollary 6.13** (Euler Number Associative H-space Obstruction). If \( M \) is a closed path connected finite dimensional smooth manifold with \( H^1_{dR}(M; \mathbb{R}) \neq 0 \) and \( \mathcal{X}(M) \neq 0 \), then \( M \) is not an associative H-space.

Proof: Pick a closed 1-form \( \eta \in \Omega^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \) such that the de Rham cohomology class \([\eta] \neq 0\). Then \( e^n\eta \) is a non-simple local coefficient system of rank one vector spaces on \( M \). Moreover, by Theorem 4.12 and Corollary 4.20 we have that \( H_*(M; e^n\eta) \neq 0 \), since \( \mathcal{X}_{e^n}(M) = \mathcal{X}(M) \neq 0 \). The result now follows from Corollary 6.10.

\( \square \)

**Note:** Since both based loop spaces and topological groups are associative H-spaces, Corollary 6.12 and Corollary 6.13 give obstructions to closed finite dimensional smooth manifolds being based loop spaces or topological groups, cf. [12].

**Example 6.14** (The twisted homology of a circle). The manifold \( S^1 \) is a topological group, and hence an associative H-space. Thus, Theorem 6.11 implies that the twisted Morse homology of \( S^1 \) vanishes for any non-simple local coefficient system \( \mathcal{L} \) of rank one vector spaces on \( S^1 \).

To see this directly, note that the isomorphism class of \( \mathcal{L} \) is determined by the invertible linear map \( \gamma_* : \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F} \) associated to the clockwise generator \([\gamma] \in \pi_1(S^1, q)\), i.e. an element \( a \in \mathbb{F}^* \) where \( \gamma_*(x) = ax \) and \( \mathbb{F} \) is the ground field for the vector space. Choosing the constant path at \( q \) and the path from \( q \) to \( p \) on the right half of \( S^1 \) to define a local system associated to the representation, we have

\[
\partial L^f(xq) = (\gamma^r_*(x) - \gamma^l_*(x)) \cdot p = (x - ax) \cdot p.
\]

Therefore, \( H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}), \partial L^f)) \approx 0 \) for all \( k \) whenever \( a \neq 1 \).
**Example 6.15** (The twisted homology of a torus). The torus $T^n = (S^1)^n$ is a topological group. Hence, for any Morse-Smale pair $(f, g)$ and any local coefficient system $\mathcal{L}$ of rank one $R$-modules such that there exists a $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(T^n, e)$ with

$$\gamma_*(s) = gs$$

for some $g \in R^*_e$ where $g^{-1} - 1$ is invertible,

$$H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}), \partial_*)^e) = 0$$

for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$ by Theorem 6.11.

For the local coefficient system of rank one vector spaces $e^n$ this can be seen directly using a computation similar to the one we present for the system of rank one Novikov modules on $T^2$ in Example 6.36. Note that this result is consistent with Example 6.5 although Example 6.5 was about twisted cohomology rather than twisted homology.

**Example 6.16** (A surface of genus 2 is not an associative H-space). In Example 6.7 we saw that the twisted Morse cohomology $H^*_{\eta_1}(S) \approx H_k((C^*(f; e^n), \delta^n))$ is nonzero, where $e^n$ is a non-trivial local coefficient system of real line bundles on a surface $S$ of genus 2. This suggests that the twisted Morse homology with coefficients in $e^n$ should also be nonzero. However, the standard Universal Coefficient Theorems, which compare homology and cohomology do not apply to homology and cohomology with local coefficients, cf. Section V.7 of [13].

So, consider the $\eta_1$-twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex

$$0 \overset{\partial_1}{\longrightarrow} C_2(f; e^n) \overset{\partial_2}{\longrightarrow} C_1(f; e^n) \overset{\partial_1}{\longrightarrow} C_0(f; e^n) \longrightarrow 0$$

for the function and metric studied in Example 6.7. Using the notation from Example 6.7, $C_2(f; e^n)$ consists of real multiples of $p^2$, $C_1(f; e^n)$ consists of real linear combinations of $p_1^1$, $p_1^2$, $p_1^3$, and $p_1^4$, and $C_0(f; e^n)$ consists of real multiples of $p^0$. Moreover, $\partial_2^n(p^2)$ is a nonzero multiple of $p_2^1$, the kernel of $\partial_1^n$ consists of real linear combinations of $p_2^1$, $p_3^1$, and $p_4^1$, and $\partial_1^n(p_1^4)$ is a nonzero multiple of $p^0$. Therefore,

$$H_k((C_*(f; e^n), \partial^n_*)) \approx \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} & \text{if } k = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 2, \end{cases}$$

and Corollary 6.12 implies that a surface of genus 2 is not an associative H-space. Note that this result also follows from Corollary 6.13.

**Example 6.17** ($\mathbb{R}P^{2n}$ is not an associative H-space). Consider $M = \mathbb{R}P^2$ with the Morse-Smale function and orientations from Example 2.15. Since $H^1(\mathbb{R}P^2, \mathbb{R}) = 0$, the local coefficient system $e^n$ is simple for every $\eta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(\mathbb{R}P^2, \mathbb{R})$. However, we can construct a non-simple local coefficient system $\mathcal{L}$ of rank one $\mathbb{R}$ vector spaces on $\mathbb{R}P^2$ using homomorphisms analogous to those defined in Example 2.15, where the non-trivial element $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(\mathbb{R}P^2, r)$ corresponds to the linear map $\gamma_* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by
With this non-simple local system we have
\[ H_2((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}), \partial_\mathcal{L})) \approx \mathbb{R}, \quad H_1((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}), \partial_\mathcal{L})) \approx 0, \quad H_0((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}), \partial_\mathcal{L})) \approx 0. \]
Therefore, Corollary 6.12 implies that \( \mathbb{R}P^2 \) is not an associative H-space.

A similar argument can be used to show that \( \mathbb{R}P^n \) is not an associative H-space when \( n \) is even, but the obstruction gives no information when \( n \) is odd. That is, the function \( \tilde{f} : S^n \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by
\[ \tilde{f}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{j=2}^n (j-1)x_j^2 \]
is a Morse-Smale function with respect to the standard Riemannian metric on \( S^n \), and it satisfies \( \tilde{f}(-x_1, \ldots, -x_n) = \tilde{f}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \). So, \( \tilde{f} \) induces a Morse-Smale function \( f : \mathbb{R}P^n \to \mathbb{R} \), which has one critical point \( p_k \) of index \( k \) for all \( k = 0, \ldots, n \). There are exactly two gradient flow lines between any two critical points of \( p_k \) and \( p_{k-1} \) of relative index one, and the signs associated to these two gradient flow lines will be the same when \( k \) is even and opposite when \( k \) is odd.

We can define a non-simple local coefficient system \( \mathcal{L} \) of rank one \( \mathbb{R} \) vector spaces on \( \mathbb{R}P^n \) as above, where for all \( k = 1, \ldots, n \) we have \( (\gamma_1)_*(x) = x \) for one of the gradient flow lines from \( p_k \) to \( p_{k-1} \) and \( (\gamma_2)_*(x) = -x \) for the other gradient flow line.
from $p_k$ to $p_{k-1}$. Then the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}$ is

$$
\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $\partial_k(x) = 0$ when $k$ is even and $\partial_k(x) = 2x$ when $k$ is odd. Therefore,

$$H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}), \partial^\xi)) \approx 0$$

for all $k$ when $n$ is odd, but

$$H_n((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}), \partial^\xi)) \approx \mathbb{R}$$

when $n$ is even. Thus, Corollary 6.12 implies that $\mathbb{R}P^{2n}$ is not an associative H-space.

**Note:** The real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^n$ is an H-space only if $n = 1, 3, or 7$, cf. Example 3C.3 of [29].

6.3. **Novikov homology.** The construction of the (twisted or untwisted) Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex only required an exact 1-form $df \in \Omega^1(M, \mathbb{R})$, rather than a function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$. That is, the critical points of $f$ are the zeros of $df$, and the gradient flow lines of $f$ are determined by $df$ and the Riemannian metric on $M$. This observation naturally leads one to ask if it is possible to construct a chain complex analogous to the Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex using a closed Morse 1-form $\zeta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$ in place of the exact Morse 1-form $df$, or at least obtain inequalities for $\zeta$ analogous to the Morse inequalities for $df$. These questions were first addressed by S.P. Novikov [47] [48], and later by several other authors, including M. Farber [24] [26], M. Farber and A. Ranicki [23], A. Pajitnov [51] [52], A. Ranicki [55], and D. Schütz [60], [61]. In this section we discuss how the homology groups associated to a closed 1-form, i.e. Novikov homology, can be viewed as a special case of twisted Morse homology.

6.3.1. **A covering space associated to a 1-form.** Novikov’s approach to constructing a chain complex associated to a closed 1-form $\zeta$ on a connected smooth manifold $M$ involves pulling back $\zeta$ to a covering space $\tilde{M}_\xi$ of $M$ where the pullback is exact. More specifically, if we pick a basepoint $x_0$ for $M$, then a closed 1-form $\zeta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$ defines a homomorphism of periods $\text{Per}_\xi : \pi_1(M, x_0) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\text{Per}_\xi([\gamma]) = \int_{\gamma} \zeta,$$

which only depends on the de Rham cohomology class $\xi = [\zeta] \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$. The kernel $\Delta_\xi \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{ker} \text{Per}_\xi$ is a subgroup of $\pi_1(M, x_0)$, and hence it determines a covering space $\pi : \tilde{M}_\xi \to M$ such that $\pi_# : \pi_1(\tilde{M}_\xi, \tilde{x}_0) \to \Delta_\xi \subseteq \pi_1(M, x_0)$ is an isomorphism, where $\tilde{x}_0 \in \tilde{M}_\xi$ is any basepoint satisfying $\pi(\tilde{x}_0) = x_0$. In fact, $\pi_1(M, x_0)$ acts on the universal cover of $M$ via deck transformations $\pi_1(M, x_0) \times \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{M}_\xi = \tilde{M}/\Delta_\xi$, cf. Theorem III.8.1 of [15]. Moreover, $\tilde{M}_\xi$ is a regular covering space of $M$ because
ker $\text{Per}_\xi$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(M, x_0)$, and the pullback $\tilde{\zeta} = \pi^*(\zeta)$ is exact on $\tilde{M}_\xi$ because the function $\tilde{f} : \tilde{M}_\xi \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} \tilde{\zeta},$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is any path from $\tilde{x}_0$ to $\tilde{x}$, is well-defined and satisfies $d\tilde{f} = \tilde{\zeta}$.

**Note:** If $\zeta$ is an integer valued 1-form, i.e. if $\xi = [\zeta] \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$, then there is a well-defined circle valued function $f : M \to S^1$ given by

$$f(x) = e^{2\pi i \tilde{f}(\tilde{x})},$$

where $\tilde{x}$ is any element of the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$. Moreover, every smooth circle valued function $f : M \to S^1$ determines a corresponding smooth integer valued closed 1-form by pulling back $\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta$ (see Example 2.11), where $\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta\right]$ is a generator for $H^1(S^1; \mathbb{Z})$. Thus, circle valued Morse theory can be viewed as a special case of Novikov’s theory for closed 1-forms, cf. Lemma 2.1 of [26], Section III of [47], or Section 2.1.4 of [51].

**Definition 6.18.** The rank of a cohomology class $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ (or a closed 1-form) is defined to be the rank of the group of periods of $\xi$, i.e. the rank of the finitely generated abelian group $\Gamma_\xi \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{Im} \ \text{Per}_\xi \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Since $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(M, x_0)$ modulo its commutator subgroup and $\mathbb{R}$ is commutative, the period homomorphism $\text{Per}_\xi$ factors through $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$. That is, there is a homomorphism $\text{Per}_\xi : H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}$ that makes the following diagram commute.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_1(M, x_0) & \xrightarrow{\text{Per}_\xi} & \mathbb{R} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Per}_\xi \\
H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) & & \\
\end{array}
\]

Hence, the rank of any cohomology class $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ is bounded above by the rank of $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$, i.e. the number of elements in a basis for $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ modulo its torsion subgroup.

**Remark 6.19.** If $\xi$ is a rank one cohomology class, then we can divide $\xi$ by the smallest positive element in $\Gamma_\xi$ to get an integral cohomology class. Thus, rank one cohomology classes are real multiples of integral cohomology classes. Any rational cohomology class $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is of rank one, because $\text{Im} \ \text{Per}_\xi$ is generated by a finite set of rational numbers $\frac{a_1}{b_1}, \frac{a_2}{b_2}, \ldots, \frac{a_r}{b_r}$, which is a subgroup of the cyclic group generated by $\frac{1}{b_1b_2\cdots b_r}$. Thus, rank one cohomology classes are dense in $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$, cf. Theorem 1.44 or Corollary 2.2 of [26]. Moreover, the Novikov homology of a general cohomology class $\xi = [\zeta] \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ can be constructed by perturbing a Morse form $\zeta$ to a rank one Morse form that agrees with $\zeta$ in a neighborhood of its critical points, cf. Section 4 of [61].
We now observe that the group of periods $\Gamma_\xi$ is the quotient of $\pi_1(M, x_0)$ by the normal subgroup $\Delta_\xi$, i.e.,

$$\pi_1(M, x_0)/\Delta_\xi \approx \Gamma_\xi,$$

since $\Delta_\xi$ and $\Gamma_\xi$ are the kernel and image of the homomorphism $\text{Per}_\xi : \pi_1(M, x_0) \to \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $\pi_1(M, x_0)/\Delta_\xi$ is isomorphic to the deck transformation group of $\tilde{M}_\xi$, cf. Corollary III.6.9 of [15] or Proposition 1.39 of [29], and hence the deck transformation group $\text{Aut}(\pi)$ of $\pi : M_\xi \to M$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma_\xi$. The homomorphism $\text{Aut}(\pi) \to \Gamma_\xi$ can be described as follows. Starting with a deck transformation $D \in \text{Aut}(\pi)$, take any path $\tilde{\gamma}$ from the basepoint $\tilde{x}_0 \in \tilde{M}_\xi$ to $D\tilde{x}_0$. The image of $\tilde{\gamma}$ under the projection $\pi : \tilde{M}_\xi \to M$ maps to a loop $\gamma$ in $M$ based at $x_0$, and $\text{Per}_\xi([\gamma]) \in \Gamma_\xi$, which independent of the choice of the path $\tilde{\gamma}$.

This leads to the following, cf. Section 14.6 of [67].

**Claim 6.20.** The fiber and the deck transformation group of the regular covering space $\pi : \tilde{M}_\xi \to M$ can be identified with the group of periods $\Gamma_\xi$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_\xi & \longrightarrow & \tilde{M}_\xi \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
\tilde{M}_\xi/\Gamma_\xi & \longrightarrow & M.
\end{array}
$$

Proof: Recall that $\tilde{M}_\xi$ is a regular cover because $\pi_\#(\pi_1(\tilde{M}_\xi, \tilde{x}_0)) = \Delta_\xi$ is the kernel of $\text{Per}_\xi$, and hence a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(M, x_0)$. Thus, the group of deck transformations of $\tilde{M}_\xi$ acts transitively on the fibers of $\pi : \tilde{M}_\xi \to M$. Moreover, a deck transformation is determined by where it maps the basepoint of $\tilde{M}_\xi$, cf. Lemma III.4.4 of [15], and hence there is a bijection between the group of deck transformations and the fiber containing the basepoint. The fact that $\Gamma_\xi$ is isomorphic to the deck transformation group of $\tilde{M}_\xi$ completes the proof.

$\square$

**Corollary 6.21.** If $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ is a rank one cohomology class, then $\pi : \tilde{M}_\xi \to M$ is a cyclic covering space.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{M}_\xi \\
& & \downarrow \pi \\
& & M
\end{array}
$$

6.3.2. *Novikov rings.* If $M$ is compact, then every Morse form $\zeta \in \Omega^1_{\text{cl}}(M, \mathbb{R})$ will have finitely many zeros. However, the pullback $\tilde{\zeta}$ to $\tilde{M}_\xi$ of any closed Morse 1-form $\zeta$ with $[\zeta] = \xi \neq 0$ will have an infinite number of zeros, i.e. the Morse function $\tilde{f} : \tilde{M}_\xi \to \mathbb{R}$
will have infinitely many critical points, since the fiber $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is infinite. To account for this Novikov introduced a ring $\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)$, where $\Gamma_\xi$ is the group of periods of $\zeta$.

**Definition 6.22.** Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an additive subgroup. The **Novikov ring** $\text{Nov}(\Gamma)$ is defined to be the ring of all formal power series of the form

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} n_\gamma t^\gamma$$

with integer coefficients $n_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that at most countably many $n_\gamma \neq 0$, and

for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid n_\gamma \neq 0 \text{ and } \gamma > c\}$ is finite.

**Note:** $\text{Nov}(\Gamma)$ is a commutative ring consisting of “half infinite” formal series with integer coefficients and exponents in $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. That is, every element $g \in \text{Nov}(\Gamma)$ can be written as $g = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i t^{\gamma_i}$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$, and $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2 > \gamma_3 > \cdots$. Addition and multiplication in $\text{Nov}(\Gamma)$ are defined formally, cf. Section 1.2.1 of [26].

An element of $\text{Nov}(\Gamma)$ is invertible if and only if its top coefficient is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}$, and $\text{Nov}(\Gamma)$ is a principal ideal domain, cf. Lemmas 1.9 and 1.10 of [26] or Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 of [32]. The ring $\text{Nov}(\mathbb{R})$ is denoted by $\text{Nov}$.

**Note:** If $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ is a rank one cohomology class, then $\Gamma_\xi \approx \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)$ is isomorphic to the Novikov ring $\text{Nov}(\mathbb{Z})$. That is, the ring with elements of the form

$$g = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} n_i t^i,$$

where only finitely many $n_i$ with $i > 0$ are nonzero.

6.3.3. **A local coefficient system of rank one Nov-modules.** If $\gamma$ is a closed loop based at $x_0$, then $[\gamma] \in H_1(M; \mathbb{R})$ and

$$< \xi, [\gamma] > = \int_{\gamma} \zeta,$$

where $\xi = [\zeta] \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $t^{< \xi, [\gamma] >} \in \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)$ is invertible with inverse $t^{< \xi, [\gamma^{-1}] >} \in \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)$. Thus, the cohomology class $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ determines a representation

$$\pi_1(M, x_0) \times \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \to \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)$$

defined by $(\gamma, g) \mapsto t^{< \xi, [\gamma] >} g$, and hence a bundle $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\xi)$ of rank one Nov(\Gamma_\xi)-modules on $M$ (defined up to isomorphism).

If we extend the above representation to a representation on the Novikov ring $\text{Nov} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{Nov}(\mathbb{R})$, then we can give the following explicit description of a bundle $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ in the isomorphism class $\mathcal{L}_\xi$ of bundles of rank one Nov-modules determined by $\xi = [\zeta] \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$. 
Definition 6.23. For any $\zeta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$, the local coefficient system $L_\zeta$ of rank one Nov-modules is defined as follows. The fiber at every point is Nov, and for every path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to M$, the isomorphism $\gamma_* : \text{Nov}_\gamma(1) \to \text{Nov}_\gamma(0)$ is defined to be multiplication by $t\int_0^1 \gamma^*(\zeta)$, i.e.

$$g \mapsto t\int_0^1 \gamma^*(\zeta)g.$$ 

Claim 6.24. Let $\zeta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$ with $[\zeta] = \xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$. The local coefficient system $L_\zeta$ is in the isomorphism class $L_\xi$ of local coefficient systems determined by the representation

$$\pi_1(M, x_0) \times \text{Nov} \to \text{Nov}$$

given by $(\gamma, g) \mapsto t<\xi, [\gamma]>g$. In particular, if $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$ represent the same de Rham cohomology class, then $L_{\zeta_1}$ is isomorphic to $L_{\zeta_2}$.

Proof: The local coefficient system associated to the representation is defined by assigning Nov as the fiber at every point in $M$ and fixing a homotopy class of paths rel endpoints from a basepoint $x_0 \in M$ to every point in $M$. Suppose that $\gamma_0$ represents the chosen homotopy class of paths from $x_0$ to $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma_1$ represents the chosen homotopy class of paths from $x_0$ to $\gamma(1)$. The map $\gamma_* : \text{Nov}_{\gamma(1)} \to \text{Nov}_{\gamma(0)}$ is defined to be the homomorphism that the representation associates to the concatenated loop $\gamma_0\gamma\gamma_1^{-1}$. (For more details see the proof of Theorem VI.1.12 in [74].) To complete the proof, note that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Nov}_{\gamma(1)} & \xrightarrow{x t \int_0^1 \gamma^*(\zeta)} & \text{Nov}_{\gamma(0)} \\
\downarrow{x t \int_0^1 \gamma^*(\zeta)} & & \downarrow{x t <[\zeta], [\gamma_0\gamma\gamma_1^{-1}>}} \\
\text{Nov}_{\gamma(1)} & \xrightarrow{x t <[\zeta], [\gamma_0\gamma\gamma_1^{-1}>}} & \text{Nov}_{\gamma(0)}
\end{array}$$

Note: The direction of integration for the 1-form in Definition 6.23 is opposite that for the 1-form $\eta$ in the local system $e^{\eta}$ defined in Example 2.5. The direction of integration for $e^{\eta}$ was chosen so that $\eta$ is integrated in the direction of the gradient flow lines in Definition 2.12, whereas the direction of integration for the form $\zeta$ in Definition 6.23 was chosen to agree with that of $<[\zeta], [\gamma_0\gamma\gamma_1^{-1}>]$.

6.3.4. Novikov homology. Let $\zeta$ be a closed Morse 1-form on a closed smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, and let $\Gamma_\zeta$ be its group of periods where $[\zeta] = \xi \in H^1_{dR}(M; \mathbb{R})$. Since $M$ is compact, the Morse form $\zeta$ has a finite number of zeros of Morse index $k$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$. However, the flow associated to $(\zeta, g)$ isn’t a gradient flow when $\zeta$ is not exact. Thus, the Morse index won’t necessarily decrease along the flow, e.g. there may be flow lines that begin and end at the same zero.

The lift of the form $\zeta$ and a generic metric $g$ on $M$ to the covering space $\tilde{M}_\zeta \to M$ associated to the kernel $\Delta_\zeta$ of the period homomorphism determines a Morse-Smale
function \( \tilde{f} : \tilde{M}_\xi \to \mathbb{R} \) whose critical points are the fibers above the zeros of \( \zeta \). In fact, the fiber above a zero of \( \zeta \) of Morse index \( k \) consists of critical points of \( \tilde{f} \) of Morse index \( k \). Moreover, the fiber is in bijective correspondence with the group of periods \( \Gamma_\xi \) (Claim 6.20). Thus, there are an infinite number of critical points of index \( k \) above every zero of index \( k \) whenever \( \zeta \) is not exact, i.e. when the rank of \( \zeta \) is greater than zero.

The Novikov complex retains the features of a free finitely generated chain complex of modules over a ring and the features of a boundary operator defined by a Morse-Smale flow. For each \( k = 0, \ldots, m \), the chain complex \( C_k(\zeta; \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)) \) is defined to be the free finitely generated \( \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \)-module on the index \( k \) zeros \( Z_k(\zeta) \) of \( \zeta \), i.e.

\[
C_k(\zeta; \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \sum_{q \in Z_k(\zeta)} gq \middle| g \in \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \right\} \approx \bigoplus_{q \in Z_k(\zeta)} \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi),
\]

and the boundary operator is defined intuitively using the Morse coefficients of the gradient flow of the Morse-Smale function \( \tilde{f} : \tilde{M}_\xi \to \mathbb{R} \) (or of an \( \tilde{f} \)-gradient or a “gradient-like” flow associated to \( \tilde{f} \)). However, when the form is of rank greater than one a flow on a related cyclic cover \( \bar{M} \) or the universal cover \( \tilde{M} \) is sometimes used instead.

More specifically, above each zero \( q \) of \( \zeta \) fix a critical point \( \tilde{q} \) in the fiber of the cover. The equivariant incidence coefficients are defined to be

\[
< q : p > = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} [\tilde{q} : \gamma \tilde{p}] t^\gamma,
\]

where \([\tilde{q} : \gamma \tilde{p}]\) denotes the number of flow lines from \( \tilde{q} \) to \( \gamma \tilde{p} \) counted with sign and we have identified \( \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) with the group of deck transformations of whatever cover is being used (see the discussion above Claim 6.20). After proving that

\[
< q : p > \in \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi),
\]

\( \partial^\xi_k : C_k(\zeta; \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)) \to C_{k-1}(\zeta; \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)) \) is defined on an elementary chain \( gq \in C_k(\zeta; \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)) \) by

\[
\partial^\xi_k(gq) = \sum_{p \in Z_{k-1}(\zeta)} < q : p > gp,
\]

cf. Section 2.2 of [26] or Definition 4.6 of [61].

The Novikov Principle relates the homology of the Novikov complex to the homology of \( \tilde{M}_\xi \) with coefficients in \( \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \), cf. Section 2.2 of [26], [47], [48], or Section 4 of [61]. Proofs of the Novikov Principle (some in special cases) have been given by Farber and Ranicki [23], Farber [24], Latour [39], Pazhitnov [52], Schütz [61], and others. Several of the proofs are for the special case of a rank one form \( \zeta \) or a circle valued Morse function \( f : M \to S^1 \), where the relevant cover \( \tilde{M}_\xi \) or \( \tilde{M} \) is a cyclic cover (Corollary 6.21). However, rank one cohomology classes are dense in \( H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) \).
(Remark 6.19), and it is possible to prove the Novikov Principle for a general closed Morse 1-form by perturbing the form to a rank one form, cf. Section 4 of [61].

**Theorem 6.25** (Novikov Principle). Given a closed 1-form $\zeta$ with Morse zeros on a finite dimensional closed smooth manifold $M$, let $\Gamma_{\zeta} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the group of periods of $\zeta$. There exists a chain complex $(C_{\ast}(\zeta; \text{Nov}(\Gamma_{\zeta})), \partial_{\zeta})$ of modules over the Novikov ring $\text{Nov}(\Gamma_{\zeta})$ such that

1. $C_{k}(\zeta; \text{Nov}(\Gamma_{\zeta}))$ is free and finitely generated with a basis that is in one-to-one correspondence with the zeros of $\zeta$ of Morse index $k$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.
2. $(C_{\ast}(\zeta; \text{Nov}(\Gamma_{\zeta})), \partial_{\zeta})$ is chain homotopy equivalent to the chain complex $\text{Nov}(\Gamma_{\zeta}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_{1}]} C_{\ast}(\tilde{M}_{\zeta})$, where $C_{\ast}(\tilde{M}_{\zeta})$ denotes the chain complex of cellular, simplicial, or singular, chains $\tilde{M}_{\zeta}$.

**Remark 6.26.** The Novikov Principle has been proved for rings more general than $\text{Nov}(\Gamma_{\zeta})$. The Novikov Principle has been proved for various completions of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_{1}]$, where $\pi_{1} = \pi_{1}(M, x_{0})$, including the rational part of the Novikov ring, cf. Section 1.3 of [26], the noncommutative Novikov-Sikorav completion $\mathbb{Z}\pi_{1}^{\ast}$, cf. Section 3.1.5 of [26], and the noncommutative universal Cohn localization $\Sigma_{\pi_{1}}^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_{1}])$, cf. [18] or Section 3.1.7 of [26]. The Cohn localization is used for the Universal Novikov Principle, which can be used to obtain the other applications of the Novikov Principle via extension of scalars. When using more general rings, the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ is used in place of $\tilde{M}_{\zeta}$. That is, the Novikov Principle for a ring $R$ says that there is a Novikov complex consisting of free $R$-modules $C_{k}^{\ast}$, with a basis in bijective correspondence with the zeros of index $k$ of a closed Morse 1-form $\zeta$, that is chain homotopy equivalent to $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_{1}]} C_{\ast}(\tilde{M})$.

Now suppose that $G \trianglelefteq \pi_{1}$ is a normal subgroup, where $\pi_{1} = \pi_{1}(M, x_{0})$. Let $\tilde{M}_{G} \approx \tilde{M}/G$ be the regular covering space of $M$ corresponding to $G$, and let $\Gamma \approx \pi_{1}/G$ be the group of covering transformations of $\tilde{M}_{G}$.

**Claim 6.27.** For any ring $R$ and any ring homomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{Z}[\pi_{1}] \to R$ that factors through the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ there is a chain equivalence

$$R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_{1}]} C_{k}(\tilde{M}) \simeq R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} C_{k}(\tilde{M}_{G})$$

for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$, where $\pi_{1} = \pi_{1}(M, x_{0})$.

Proof: Since $\tilde{M}_{G} = \tilde{M}/G$, an elementary singular chain $\sigma : \Delta^{k} \to \tilde{M}$ determines an elementary singular chain $\pi \circ \sigma : \Delta^{k} \to \tilde{M}_{G}$, where $\pi : \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}_{G}$ is the projection map. Moreover, every elementary singular chain in $\tilde{M}_{G}$ is of the form $\pi \circ \sigma$ for some elementary singular chain $\sigma : \Delta^{k} \to \tilde{M}$, cf. Corollary III.4.2 of [15]. Thus, the
projection map $\pi : \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}_G$ induces a surjective chain map $\tilde{\pi} : R \otimes Z C_k(\tilde{M}) \to R \otimes Z C_k(\tilde{M}_G)$.

The assumption that $\rho$ factors through $Z[\Gamma]$, where $\Gamma \approx \pi_1/G$, means that there is a map $\tilde{\rho}$ making the following diagram commute.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z[\pi_1] & \xrightarrow{\rho} & R \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Z[\Gamma] & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}} & R
\end{array}
$$

The chain group $R \otimes_{Z[\pi_1]} C_k(M)$ is the quotient of $R \otimes Z C_k(M)$ by the subgroup generated by elements of the form

$$
r \rho(\gamma) \otimes \sigma - r \otimes \gamma \cdot \sigma,
$$

where $\gamma \in \pi_1$, $r \in R$, and $\gamma \cdot \sigma$ denotes the action of the covering transformation determined by $\gamma$ on the elementary singular chain $\sigma : \Delta^k \to \tilde{M}$. Similarly, the chain group $R \otimes_{Z[\Gamma]} C_k(M_G)$ is the quotient of $R \otimes Z C_k(M_G)$ by the subgroup generated by the elements of the form

$$
r \tilde{\rho}([\gamma]) \otimes \pi \circ \sigma - r \otimes [\gamma] \cdot (\pi \circ \sigma) = r \rho(\gamma) \otimes \pi \circ \sigma - r \otimes \pi \circ (\gamma \cdot \sigma),
$$

where $[\gamma] \in \pi_1/G \approx \Gamma$, $r \in R$, and $[\gamma] \cdot (\pi \circ \sigma)$ denotes the action of the covering transformation determined by $[\gamma]$ on the elementary singular chain $\pi \circ \sigma : \Delta^k \to \tilde{M}_G$.

Thus, there is a chain equivalence $\tilde{\pi} : R \otimes_{Z[\pi_1]} C_* (\tilde{M}) \to R \otimes_{Z[\Gamma]} C_* (\tilde{M}_G)$ making the following diagram commute for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
R \otimes_{Z[\pi_1]} C_k(M) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & R \otimes_{Z[\Gamma]} C_k(M_G) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
R \otimes_{Z[\Gamma]} C_k(M) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} & R \otimes_{Z[\Gamma]} C_k(M_G)
\end{array}
$$

The following corollary shows that the Novikov homology of a closed Morse 1-form $\zeta \in \Omega^1(M, R)$ is isomorphic to the homology of $M$ with local coefficients in the bundle $L(\Gamma_\zeta)$, where $[\zeta] = \xi \in H^1_{\text{dR}}(M; R)$.

**Corollary 6.28.** If $M$ is a finite dimensional closed smooth manifold and $\xi \in H^1_{\text{dR}}(M; R)$, then the following homology groups are isomorphic for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.

$$H_k((C_* (\zeta ; \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\zeta)), \partial^*_*)) \approx H_k((\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\zeta) \otimes_{Z[\pi_1]} C_k(M), \tilde{\partial}_*)) \approx H_k(M; L(\Gamma_\zeta))$$

Proof: By the Novikov Principle (Theorem 6.25) and Claim 6.27, the following complexes are chain homotopy equivalent

$$(C_* (\zeta ; \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\zeta)), \partial^*_* ) \simeq (\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\zeta) \otimes_{Z[\Gamma_\zeta]} C_* (M), \tilde{\partial}_*) \simeq (\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\zeta) \otimes_{Z[\pi_1]} C_* (M), \tilde{\partial}_*)$$
and by Eilenberg’s Theorem (Theorem 4.3) there is an isomorphism between equivariant homology and homology with local coefficients, i.e.

\[ H_k((\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1] C_*(\overline{M}), \partial_*)) \approx H_k(M; \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\xi)) \]

for all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \).

\[ \square \]

The preceding corollary and the Twisted Morse Homology Theorem (Theorem 4.1) show that Novikov homology can be viewed as a special case of twisted Morse homology. However, the local coefficient system \( \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\xi) \) isn’t well suited for use with twisted Morse homology, because it’s only defined up to isomorphism and the exponents of the elements in \( \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \) are limited to the elements in \( \Gamma_\xi = \text{Im Per}_\xi \). For twisted Morse homology it’s more convenient to use the local coefficient system \( \mathcal{L}_\zeta \) from Definition 6.23, with fiber \( \text{Nov} \) instead of \( \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \), because we can then integrate \( \zeta \) over the gradient flow lines rather than loops created by arbitrarily picking homotopy classes of paths from a basepoint to the critical points of the Morse function, cf. Claim 6.24.

The following claim gives the relationship between \( H_*(M; \mathcal{L}_\xi) \), where \( \xi = \lfloor \zeta \rfloor \), and \( H_*(M; \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\xi)) \).

**Claim 6.29.** If \( M \) is a finite dimensional closed smooth manifold and \( \xi \in H^1_{dR}(M; \mathbb{R}) \), then there is an isomorphism

\[ H_k(M; \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\xi)) \otimes_{\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)} \text{Nov} \approx H_k(M; \mathcal{L}_\xi) \]

for all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \).

**Proof:** Fix a basepoint \( x_0 \in M \) and homotopy classes of paths rel endpoints from \( x_0 \) to every point in \( M \). Also, pick some \( \zeta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \lfloor \zeta \rfloor = \xi \in H^1_{dR}(M; \mathbb{R}) \). These choices determine a local coefficient system \( \mathcal{L}_\xi(\Gamma_\xi) \) in the equivalence class \( \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\xi) \) and a local coefficient system \( \mathcal{L}_\zeta(\mathbb{R}) \) in the equivalence class \( \mathcal{L}_\xi \), cf. Theorem VI.1.12 of [74]. The isomorphism \( \gamma_* : \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)_{\gamma(1)} \rightarrow \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)_{\gamma(0)} \) associated to a path \( \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M \) by \( \mathcal{L}_\xi(\Gamma_\xi) \) is the restriction of the isomorphism \( \gamma_* : \text{Nov}_{\gamma(1)} \rightarrow \text{Nov}_{\gamma(0)} \) associated to the path by \( \mathcal{L}_\zeta(\mathbb{R}) \). In both cases the isomorphism is given by multiplying by \( t^{<\xi, \lfloor \gamma_0 \gamma_1^{-1} \rfloor>} \), where \( \gamma_0 \) and \( \gamma_1 \) are paths in the chosen homotopy classes of paths rel endpoints from the basepoint \( x_0 \) to \( \gamma(0) \) and \( \gamma(1) \) respectively.

Note that \( \text{Nov} \) is a \( \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \)-module because \( \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \) is a subring of \( \text{Nov}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Nov} \).

Thus,

\[ \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \otimes_{\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)} \text{Nov} \approx \text{Nov}, \]

cf. Theorem 5.7 of [34] or Proposition 2.58 of [57]. So, picking any Morse-Smale pair \( (f, g) \) on \( M \) we have

\[ C_k(f; \mathcal{L}_\zeta(\Gamma_\xi)) \otimes_{\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)} \text{Nov} \approx C_k(f; \mathcal{L}_\zeta(\mathbb{R})), \]

and hence,

\[ H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\zeta(\Gamma_\xi)) \otimes_{\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)} \text{Nov}, \partial_*)) \approx H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\zeta(\mathbb{R})), \partial_*)) \]
for all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \), since \( \partial_{\xi}(\Gamma_\xi) \) is simply a restriction of \( \partial_\xi(\mathbb{R}) \).

Now recall that \( \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \) is a principle ideal domain that is torsion free, cf. Lemma 1.10 and Lemma 1.12 of [26]. Thus, \((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi(\Gamma_\xi)), \partial_\ast)\) is a complex of flat \( \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \)-modules whose subcomplex of boundaries is also flat, cf. Corollary 3.50 of [57]. Therefore, the Universal Coefficient Theorem, cf. Theorem 7.55 of [57], gives a short exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi(\Gamma_\xi)), \partial_\ast)) \otimes_{\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)} \text{Nov} \rightarrow H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi(\mathbb{R})), \partial_\ast)) \rightarrow 0,
\]

where \( \lambda_k([c] \otimes g) = [c \otimes g] \), and the \( \text{Tor}^{\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)}(H_{k-1}((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi(\Gamma_\xi)), \partial_\ast)), \text{Nov}) \) term is zero because \( \text{Nov} \) is flat as a \( \text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi) \)-module, cf. Lemma 1.12 of [26] and Theorem 7.2 of [57]. Therefore, \( H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi(\Gamma_\xi)), \partial_\ast)) \otimes_{\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)} \text{Nov} \approx H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi(\mathbb{R})), \partial_\ast)) \), and by the Morse Homology Theorem (Theorem 4.1) we have

\[
H_k(M; \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_\xi)) \otimes_{\text{Nov}(\Gamma_\xi)} \text{Nov} \approx H_k(M; \mathcal{L}_\xi)
\]

for all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \).

\[\square\]

6.3.5. Novikov numbers. The following lemma allows us to define the Novikov numbers associated to a cohomology class \( \xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) \), cf. Section 1.5 of [26].

**Lemma 6.30.** Let \( M \) be a connected closed finite dimensional smooth manifold of dimension \( m \), and let \( \xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) \). For all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \), \( H_k(M; \mathcal{L}_\xi) \) is a finitely generated \( \text{Nov} \)-module, and hence it is isomorphic to a finitely generated free \( \text{Nov} \)-module and finitely many cyclic torsion modules.

Proof: Picking any \( \zeta \in \Omega^1_M(M, \mathbb{R}) \) such that \([\zeta] = \xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})\) gives a bundle of rank one \( \text{Nov} \)-modules \( \mathcal{L}_\xi \) in the isomorphism class \( \mathcal{L}_\xi \). For any Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) the chain groups in the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex \( (C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi), \partial^{\mathcal{L}_\xi}_\ast) \) are finitely generated \( \text{Nov} \)-modules, and

\[
H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi), \partial^{\mathcal{L}_\xi}_\ast)) \approx H_k(M; \mathcal{L}_\xi)
\]

for all \( k = 0, \ldots, m \) by the Twisted Morse Homology Theorem (Theorem 4.1), whose proof also holds in the category of modules over a ring. Therefore, \( H_k(M; \mathcal{L}_\xi) \) is a finitely generated \( \text{Nov} \)-module. The second claim follows from the structure theorem for finitely generated modules over principal ideal domains, cf. Theorem 6.12 of [34] or Corollary 1.5.3 of [59].

\[\square\]
Definition 6.31. Let $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$. The Novikov numbers $b_k(\xi)$ and $q_k(\xi)$ are defined as follows for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.

\[
\begin{align*}
    b_k(\xi) &= \text{the rank of } H_k(M; L_\xi) \text{ as a module over Nov}. \\
    q_k(\xi) &= \text{the minimal number of generators of the torsion submodule of } H_k(M; L_\xi) .
\end{align*}
\]

The Novikov numbers generalize the Betti numbers and torsion numbers of a manifold.

Proposition 6.32 (Proposition 1.28 [26]). If $\xi = 0 \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$, then the Novikov number $b_k(\xi)$ coincides with the Betti number $b_k(M)$, i.e. the rank of $H_k(M; \mathbb{Z})$, and $q_k(\xi)$ coincides with the minimal number of generators of the torsion subgroup of $H_k(M; \mathbb{Z})$, for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.

Proof: The local coefficient system $L_0$ is constant, since the homomorphisms are given by multiplication by $t^0$, and hence $H_k(M; L_0) = H_k(M; \text{Nov})$ for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$. By the Universal Coefficient Theorem we have the following split short exact sequence of abelian groups, cf. Corollary 3A.4 of [29] or Theorem 7.55 of [57]

\[
0 \longrightarrow H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \text{Nov} \xrightarrow{\lambda_k} H_k(M; \text{Nov}) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(H_{k-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}), \text{Nov}) \longrightarrow 0,
\]

where the Tor term is zero since Nov is a torsion free abelian group, cf. Proposition 3A.5 of [29] or Proposition 3.1.4 of [73]. Moreover, $\lambda_k$ is defined by $\lambda_k([c] \otimes g) = [c \otimes g]$, which is a homomorphism of Nov-modules. Hence $\lambda_k$ gives an isomorphism of Nov-modules

\[
H_k(M; \text{Nov}) \approx H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \text{Nov}
\]

for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$. \hfill \qed

6.3.6. Novikov inequalities. A closed 1-form $\zeta \in \Omega^1_{\text{cl}}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is locally exact. In particular, for every zero $p \in M$ of $\zeta$ there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ such that $\zeta|_U = df$ for some function $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$. A zero $p$ of $\zeta$ is a critical point of $f$, and hence the notions of nondegenerate and index for critical points of a function carry over to the zeros of a closed 1-form. That is, a zero $p$ of $\zeta$ is called nondegenerate if and only if $p$ is a nondegenerate critical point of $f$, and the Morse index of the zero $p$ is defined to be the Morse index of $p$ as a critical point of $f$.

The significance of the Novikov numbers is indicated by the following theorem, which generalizes the Morse inequalities. The theorem was proved by M. Farber in the case where $\zeta$ has rank one in [25]. The theorem can then be proved for a closed 1-form $\zeta$ of higher rank by perturbing $\zeta$ to a closed form of rank one having the same zeros as $\zeta$, cf. Lemma 2.5 of [26]. The theorem also follows from the more general Novikov Principle (Theorem 6.25).
Theorem 6.33 (Novikov Inequalities). Let $\zeta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$, and assume that all the zeros of $\zeta$ are nondegenerate. If $c_k(\zeta)$ denotes the number of zeros of $\zeta$ with Morse index $k$, then

$$c_k(\zeta) \geq b_k(\xi) + q_k(\xi) + q_{k-1}(\xi)$$

for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$, where $\xi = [\zeta] \in H^1_{dR}(M; \mathbb{R})$.

6.3.7. Novikov numbers and twisted Morse homology. The Twisted Morse Homology Theorem (Theorem 4.1) and Claim 6.24 and imply that the Novikov numbers can be computed using a Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex with coefficients in the bundle of rank one Nov-modules $\mathcal{L}_\zeta$ defined in Definition 6.23.

Proposition 6.34. Let $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth Morse-Smale function on a closed finite dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$. If $\xi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ and $\zeta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $[\zeta] = \xi$, then

$$b_k(\xi) = \text{the rank of } H_k((C_* (f; \mathcal{L}_\zeta), \partial^\mathcal{L}_\zeta)) \text{ as a module over Nov},$$

$$q_k(\xi) = \text{the minimal number of generators of the torsion submodule of } H_k((C_* (f; \mathcal{L}_\zeta), \partial^\mathcal{L}_\zeta)),$$

for all $k = 0, \ldots, m$.

We now present a few concrete examples where we compute the Novikov numbers using twisted Morse homology.

Example 6.35 (The Novikov numbers of a circle). Consider a closed 1-form $\zeta$ on the unit circle $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Using the Morse-Smale function and orientations from Example 2.14 we can compute the Novikov numbers $b_k(\xi)$ and $q_k(\xi)$ using the following twisted
Morse-Smale-Witten complex with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}_\xi$, where $\xi = [\xi] \in H^1(S^1; \mathbb{R})$.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & C_1(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi) & \overset{\delta_{\mathcal{L}_\xi}}{\longrightarrow} & C_0(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi) & \longrightarrow 0 \\
\uparrow \approx & & \downarrow \approx & & \uparrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Nov}_q & \overset{\delta_{\mathcal{L}_\xi}}{\longrightarrow} & \text{Nov}_p & \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

We have

$$
\partial_{1}^{\mathcal{L}_\xi}(gq) = \left( t^{f_0^1(\gamma^*)^q(\cdot)} - t^{f_0^1(\gamma^*)^p(\cdot)} \right) p
$$

for all $g \in \text{Nov}$, and hence if $\xi$ is exact

$$
H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi), \partial_*^{\mathcal{L}_\xi})) \approx \begin{cases} 
\text{Nov} & \text{if } k = 0, 1 \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, $H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi), \partial_*^{\mathcal{L}_\xi})) \approx H_k(S^1; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \text{Nov}$ for all $k$ when $[\xi] = \xi = 0$, since $\mathbb{Z} \otimes \text{Nov} \approx \text{Nov}$, cf. Theorem 5.7 of [24]. Thus, $b_k(0)$ and $q_k(0)$ agree with the Betti numbers and torsion numbers of $S^1$ for all $k$, cf. Proposition 6.32.

Now consider the case where $\xi = d\theta$ is the non-exact closed 1-form from Example 2.14. For any $g \in \text{Nov}$ we have

$$
\partial_{1}^{\mathcal{L}_\xi}(gq) = \left( t^{f_0^1(\gamma^*)^q(\cdot) - t^{f_0^1(\gamma^*)^p(\cdot)}} \right) p = \left( (t^\pi - t^{-\pi}) \right) p,
$$

where $t^\pi - t^{-\pi}$ is invertible since

$$
\frac{1}{t^\pi - t^{-\pi}} = \frac{1}{t^\pi} \frac{1}{1 - t^{-2\pi}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^{-2\pi i - \pi} \in \text{Nov}.
$$

Hence, $\partial_{1}^{\mathcal{L}_\xi} : C_1(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi) \to C_0(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi)$ is surjective, and $H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\xi), \partial_*^{\mathcal{L}_\xi})) \approx 0$ for all $k$. Thus, $b_k([d\theta]) = q_k([d\theta]) = 0$ for all $k$. Similarly, one can show that $b_k(\xi) = q_k(\xi) = 0$ for all $k$ whenever $\xi \neq 0$.

**Note:** If we change the orientation of $W^u(q)$ in the previous example to be counterclockwise instead of clockwise, then for all $g \in \text{Nov}$

$$
\partial_{1}^{\mathcal{L}_\xi}(gq) = \left( t^{f_0^1(\gamma^*)^q(\cdot) - t^{f_0^1(\gamma^*)^p(\cdot)}} \right) p = \left( (t^{\pi - \pi}) \right) p,
$$

and the element $t^{\pi - \pi} \in \text{Nov}$ is still invertible because

$$
\frac{1}{t^{\pi - \pi}} = -\frac{1}{t^\pi} \frac{1}{1 - t^{-2\pi}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)t^{-2\pi i - \pi} \in \text{Nov}.
$$

In fact, as noted earlier, an element in $\text{Nov}$ is invertible if and only if its top coefficient is $\pm 1$, cf. Lemma 1.9 of [26].
Example 6.36 (The Novikov numbers of a torus). Consider a closed 1-form $\zeta$ on the torus $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$, viewed as a square with opposite edges identified. Using the construction detailed in the proof of Theorem 4.12 we can create a Morse-Smale pair $(f, g)$ on $T^2$ with one critical point $p$ of index 0, two critical points $q, r$ of index 1, and one critical point $s$ of index 2, such that there are exactly two gradient flow lines between each pair of critical points of relative index one. If we orient each $S^1$ clockwise, give $S^1 \times S^1$ the product orientation, and follow the orientation conventions in Section 2.2 for the moduli spaces, then the signs associated to the gradient flow lines are as indicated in the diagram. For a pair of critical points $(x_1, x_2)$ with $\lambda_{x_2} - \lambda_{x_1} = 1$, let $\gamma_{x_1x_2}^+$ and $\gamma_{x_1x_2}^-$ denote parameterizations of the gradient flow lines from $x_1$ to $x_2$ with the signs $+1$ and $-1$ respectively. The twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex $(C_* (f; L_{\zeta}), \partial^L_{\zeta})$ is

\[ 0 \rightarrow C_2 (f; L_{\zeta}) \overset{\partial^L_{\zeta}}{\rightarrow} C_1 (f; L_{\zeta}) \overset{\partial^L_{\zeta}}{\rightarrow} C_0 (f; L_{\zeta}) \overset{\partial^L_{\zeta}}{\rightarrow} 0 \]

where the boundary operator is given by

\[ \partial^L_{\zeta} (g x_2) = \sum_{x_1 \in C_{k-1} (f)} \left( tf_0^{\lambda_{x_1}^+ (\gamma_{x_1x_2})} (\zeta) g - tf_0^{\lambda_{x_1}^- (\gamma_{x_1x_2})} (\zeta) g \right) x_1, \]

for $k = \lambda_{x_2}$ and $g \in \text{Nov}$. If $\zeta$ is exact, then $\partial^L_{\zeta} = 0$ and

\[ H_k ((C_* (f; L_{\zeta}), \partial^L_{\zeta})) \approx H_k (T^2; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \text{Nov} \]

for all $k$, since $\mathbb{Z} \otimes \text{Nov} \approx \text{Nov}$, cf. Theorem 5.7 of [33]. Hence, $b_k (0)$ and $q_k (0)$ agree with the Betti numbers and torsion numbers of $T^2$ for all $k$, cf. Proposition 6.32.
Now consider the case where \( \zeta = \pi_1^*(d\theta) \) is the pullback of \( d\theta \), the non-exact closed 1-form from Example 2.14 under the projection onto the first factor of \( T^2 = S^1 \times S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \). In this case we have

\[
\partial_1^{\xi}(gq) = \left(t_{b_1}^0(\gamma_{\|\xi\|}^*)^*(\zeta) g - t_{f_0}^1(\gamma_{\|\xi\|}^*)^*(\zeta) \right) p = ((t^\pi - t^{-\pi}) g)p,
\]

and

\[
\partial_2^{\xi}(gs) = \left(t_{b_1}^0(\gamma_{\|\xi\|}^*)^*(\zeta) g - t_{f_0}^1(\gamma_{\|\xi\|}^*)^*(\zeta) \right) q = ((t^\pi - t^{-\pi}) g)r,
\]

and \( \partial_1^{\xi}(gr) = 0 \) for all \( g \in \text{Nov} \). Since \( t^\pi - t^{-\pi} \) is invertible in \( \text{Nov} \), this implies that

\[
H_k((C_*(f; L_\zeta), \partial_1^{\xi})) \approx 0
\]

for all \( k \), and hence, \( b_k([\zeta]) = q_k([\zeta]) = 0 \) for all \( k \) when \( \zeta = \pi_1^*(d\theta) \). This is also true when \( \zeta = \pi_2^*(d\theta) \), and in fact, for any \( \xi \in H^1(T^2; \mathbb{R}) \) with \( \xi \neq 0 \). This can be seen directly using a computation similar to the one presented above, or by using the fact that \( T^2 \) is an associative \( H \)-space and \( t^\pi - 1 \) is invertible in \( \text{Nov} \) for all \( \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( \gamma \neq 0 \), cf. Example 6.15.

**Example 6.37** (The Novikov numbers of a Klein bottle). Consider the Klein bottle \( K^2 \) as \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) modulo the properly discontinuous action of the group \( \Delta \) generated by

\[
\alpha(x, y) = (x + 1, y), \quad \beta(x, y) = (1 - x, y + 1).
\]

Then \( K^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\Delta \) is a compact smooth manifold with universal cover \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and deck transformation group \( \Delta \). Moreover, the fundamental group of \( K^2 \) is

\[
\pi_1(K^2, x_0) \approx \Delta \approx \{a, b\} \ b^{-1}ab = a^{-1},
\]

cf. Corollary III.7.3 and Example III.7.5 of [15]. Since \( H_1(K^2; \mathbb{Z}) \) is the abelianization of \( \pi_1(K^2, x_0) \), this implies that

\[
H_1(K^2; \mathbb{Z}) \approx \{a, b|a^2 = 1\} \approx \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2,
\]

and hence \( H_1(K^2; \mathbb{R}) \approx \mathbb{R} \). If we consider \( U = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) as a fundamental domain for the action of \( \Delta \) with basepoint \( x_0 = (0, 0) \), then \( \alpha \in \pi_1(K^2, x_0) \) corresponds to a path along the bottom edge of \( U \) and \( \beta \in \pi_1(K^2, x_0) \) corresponds to a diagonal in \( U \) path from \( (0, 0) \) to \( (1, 1) \), cf. Definition III.6.7 of [15]. The diagonal path from \( (0, 0) \) to \( (1, 1) \) is homotopic rel endpoints to a concatenated path along the boundary of \( U \) from \( (0, 0) \) to \( (0, 1) \) to \( (1, 1) \), and since homology with real coefficients has no torsion, a path \( \beta' \) along the left edge of \( U \) from \( (0, 0) \) to \( (0, 1) \) represents a generator of \( H_1(K^2; \mathbb{R}) \approx \mathbb{R} \).

Using the construction detailed in the proof of Theorem 4.12 we can create a Morse-Smale pair \((f, g)\) on \( K^2 \) with one critical point \( s \) of index 2, two critical points \( q, r \) of index 1, and one critical point \( p \) of index 0, such that there are exactly two gradient flow lines between each pair of critical points of relative index one. If \( \zeta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(K, \mathbb{R}) \)
is exact, then using the orientations shown in the diagram we have $\partial_1^Lζ = 0$ and for any $g \in \text{Nov}$

$$\partial_2^Lζ(gs) = (t^γ g - t^{-γ} g)r + (-t^γ g - t^{-γ} g)q = -2t^γ gq,$$

for some $γ_r, γ_q \in \mathbb{R}$, where $-2t^γ$ is not invertible in Nov. This implies that

$$H_k((C_*(f; Lζ), \partial^L_*ζ)) \approx \begin{cases} 
\text{Nov} & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\text{Nov} \oplus \text{Nov}/2\text{Nov} & \text{if } k = 1 \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}$$

Hence, $b_0(0) = b_1(0) = q_1(0) = 1$, and $b_k(0) = q_k(0) = 0$ for all other $k$, cf. Proposition 6.32.

Now let $ζ$ be a closed 1-form such that $\int_{β'} ζ = 2\pi$ and $\int_α ζ = 0$. The form $ζ$ exists because $β'$ represents the generator of $H_1(K^2; \mathbb{R})$. Explicitly, we can choose $ζ$ to be the pullback of the closed 1-form $dθ$ on $S^1$ from Example 2.14 along the projection onto the $y$-axis in the above diagram. With this choice of $ζ \in Ω_{cl}(K^2, \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\partial_1^Lζ(gr) = ((t^π - t^{-π})g)p \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_2^Lζ(gs) = ((-t^π - t^{-π})g)q,$$

for any $g \in \text{Nov}$, where both $t^π - t^{-π}$ and $-t^π - t^{-π}$ are invertible in Nov because their leading coefficients are invertible in $\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $H_k((C_*(f; Lζ), \partial^L_*ζ)) \approx 0$ for all $k$, and hence $b_k([ζ]) = q_k([ζ]) = 0$ for all $k$. The same is true when $ζ$ is any non-exact closed 1-form. Note that the fundamental difference between the computations when $ζ$ is exact and when $ζ$ is not exact is that $-2t^γ$ is not invertible in Nov for any $γ \in \mathbb{R}$, but $-t^γ - t^{-γ}$ is invertible in Nov for all $γ, a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \neq 0$.

**Example 6.38** (The Novikov numbers of a surface of genus 2). Let $S$ be the surface of genus 2 from Example 6.7 with the same Morse-Smale pair $(f, g)$ and basis of closed 1-forms $\{η_1, η_2, η_3, η_4\}$ for $H^1_{dR}(S; \mathbb{R})$. For a pair of critical points $(x_1, x_2)$ with
$\lambda_{x_2} - \lambda_{x_1} = 1$, let $\gamma_{1x_2}^+$ and $\gamma_{1x_2}^-$ denote parameterizations of the gradient flow lines from $x_1$ to $x_2$ with the signs $+1$ and $-1$ respectively. Let $\zeta$ be a closed 1-form on $S$ and consider the twisted Morse-Smale-Witten chain complex with coefficients in $L_\zeta$,

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & C_2(f; L_\zeta) & \stackrel{\partial_2^\zeta}{\longrightarrow} & C_1(f; L_\zeta) & \stackrel{\partial_1^\zeta}{\longrightarrow} & C_0(f; L_\zeta) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\approx & \downarrow & \approx & \downarrow & \approx & \downarrow & \approx & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Nov}_p^2 & \stackrel{\partial_2^\zeta}{\longrightarrow} & \text{Nov}_{p_1} \oplus \text{Nov}_{p_2} \oplus \text{Nov}_{p_3} \oplus \text{Nov}_{p_4} & \stackrel{\partial_1^\zeta}{\longrightarrow} & \text{Nov}_{p^0} & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

where the boundary operator is given by

$$
\partial_k^\zeta(gx_2) = \sum_{x_1 \in C_{r-1}(f)} \left( t f_0^{i}(\gamma_{1x_2}^+)\ast(\zeta) g - t f_0^{i}(\gamma_{1x_2}^-)\ast(\zeta) g \right) x_1
$$

for $k = \lambda_{x_2}$ and $g \in \text{Nov}$. If $\zeta$ is exact, then $\partial_k^\zeta = 0$ and

$$
H_k((C_*(f; L_\zeta), \partial_k^\zeta)) \approx H_k(S; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \text{Nov}
$$

for all $k$. Thus, $b_0(0) = 1$, $b_1(0) = 4$, $b_2(0) = 1$, $b_k(0) = 0$ for all $k > 2$, and $q_k(0) = 0$ for all $k$, cf. Proposition 6.32.

Now let $\zeta = \eta_i$, the closed 1-form whose integral over $W^u(p_i^1)$ is 1 when $i = 1$ and 0 when $i \neq 1$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_1^\zeta(p_i^1) & = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 1 \\
\partial_1^\zeta(p_1^0) & = (t^\gamma - t^{\gamma \pm 1})p^0 \text{ for some } \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \\
\partial_2^\zeta(p_2^0) & = (t^{\gamma'} - t^{\gamma' \pm 1})p_2^1 \text{ for some } \gamma' \in \mathbb{R},
\end{align*}
$$

\]
where \( t^\gamma - t^{\gamma \pm 1} \) is invertible in Nov for any \( \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \). Therefore,

\[
H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_{\eta_1}), \partial^*_{\xi})) \approx \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\text{Nov} \oplus \text{Nov} & \text{if } k = 1 \\
0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

and we see that \( b_1(\eta_1) = 2 \), \( b_k(\eta_1) = 0 \) for all \( k \neq 1 \), and \( q_k(\eta_1) = 0 \) for all \( k \).

It’s clear that same is true for any closed 1-form cohomologous to any of the basis elements \( \{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3, \eta_4\} \) for \( H^1_{dR}(S; \mathbb{R}) \). To compute the Novikov numbers of a general non-exact closed 1-form \( \eta \in \Omega^1_{cl}(S, \mathbb{R}) \) we will use the Invariance of the Twisted Euler Number (Corollary 4.12), which can be applied to the chain complex \( (C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\zeta), \partial^*_{\xi}) \) because of Theorems 3.9 and 4.12 and Lemma 4.10.

Assume that \( \zeta = a_1 \eta_1 + a_2 \eta_2 + a_3 \eta_3 + a_4 \eta_4 \) for some \( a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( a_j \neq 0 \) for some \( j \), i.e. \( \zeta \) is a non-exact closed 1-form, and let \( \overline{W}(p^2, p^2) \) be the loop on the opposite side of the singular 2-cube from \( \overline{W}^u(p^2_j) \) (see Example 4.7). For any \( g \in G_{p^2} \),

\[
\partial^\zeta_2(gp^2) = \sum_{p^1 \in CR_1(f)} \left( t_{p^1}^0(\gamma^+_p)^*(\zeta) \right) g - t_{p^1}^0(\gamma^-_p)^*(\zeta) g \right) p^1,
\]

where the coefficient in front of \( p^1 \) is

\[
\left( t_{p^1}^0(\gamma^+_p)^*(a_1 \eta_1 + a_2 \eta_2 + a_3 \eta_3 + a_4 \eta_4) \right) g - t_{p^1}^0(\gamma^-_p)^*(a_1 \eta_1 + a_2 \eta_2 + a_3 \eta_3 + a_4 \eta_4) g \right) \right) = t^A t^B t^C \left( t^a_j - t^j_a(a \pm 1) \right) g
\]

for some \( a, A, B, C \in \mathbb{R} \), because

\[
\int_{\gamma^+_p} \eta_i = \int_{\gamma^-_p} \eta_i \text{ if } i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\gamma^+_p} \eta_j = a \pm 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \int_{\gamma^-_p} \eta_j = a.
\]

Thus, \( \partial^\zeta_2(gp^2) \neq 0 \) if \( g \neq 0 \) and \( H_2((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\zeta), \partial^*_{\xi})) \approx 0 \). Now let \( g \in G_{p^2} \).

\[
\partial^\zeta_1(gp^2) = \left( t_{p^2}^0(\gamma^+_p)^*(\zeta) \right) g - t_{p^2}^0(\gamma^-_p)^*(\zeta) g \right) p^0
\]

\[
= \left( t_{p^2}^0(\gamma^+_p)^*(a_1 \eta_1 + a_2 \eta_2 + a_3 \eta_3 + a_4 \eta_4) \right) g p^0
\]

\[
= t^A t^B t^C \left( t^a_j - t^j_a(a \pm 1) \right) g p^0
\]

for some \( a, A, B, C \in \mathbb{R} \), because

\[
\int_{\gamma^+_p} \eta_i = \int_{\gamma^-_p} \eta_i \text{ if } i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\gamma^+_p} \eta_j = a \pm 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \int_{\gamma^-_p} \eta_j = a.
\]
Therefore \( \partial_{\xi} \) is surjective when \( a_j \neq 0 \), and hence \( H_0((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\zeta), \partial_{\xi}) \approx 0 \). Thus,

\[
H_k((C_*(f; \mathcal{L}_\zeta), \partial_{\xi})) \approx \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } k = 0 \\
\text{Nov} \oplus \text{Nov} & \text{if } k = 1 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}, 
\end{cases}
\]

because \( \chi_{\mathcal{L}_\zeta}(S) = -2 \). This shows that for any non-exact closed 1-form \( \zeta \) we have \( b_1(\zeta) = 2, b_k(\zeta) = 0 \) for all \( k \neq 1 \), and \( q_k(\zeta) = 0 \) for all \( k \).

Note that the Novikov inequalities (Theorem 6.33) are non-trivial and distinct from the Morse inequalities in this example. That is, the above computation implies that any non-exact closed Morse 1-form must have at least 2 zeros with Morse index 1.
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