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#### Abstract

The Rényi and von Neumann entropies of various bipartite Gaussian states are derived analytically. We also discuss on the tripartite purification for the bipartite states when some particular conditions hold. The generalization to non-Gaussian states is briefly discussed.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

As IC (integrated circuit) becomes smaller and smaller, the effect of quantum mechanics becomes prominent more and more. As a result, quantum technology (technology based on quantum information theories[1]) becomes important more and more recently. The representative constructed by quantum technology is a quantum computer [2], which was realized recently by making use of superconducting qubits.

In quantum information processing entanglement[3, 4] plays an important role as a physical resource. It is used in various quantum information processing, such as quantum teleportation [5, 6], superdense coding [7], quantum cloning [8], quantum cryptography [9, 10], quantum metrology [11], and quantum computer [2, 12, 13]. Furthermore, with many researchers trying to realize such quantum information processing in the laboratory for the last few decades, quantum cryptography and quantum computer seem to approaching the commercial level[14, 15].

For last few decades many entanglement measures have been developed. For example, the entanglement of formation (EoF) [16], one of the measure for bipartite system, is defined as a von Neumann entropy of the substate in bipartite quantum state. In two qubit system the EoF of any pure and mixed states can be computed if one follows the Wootters procedure [17, 18]. However, computation of EoF in qudit or continuous-variable (CV) system is extremely difficult problem except few rare cases. In this reason recent quantum technology is developed by making use of qubits generated through various physical setup.

Although quantum information theories have been developed mainly with qubit or qudit system, entanglement of CV states was also studied for last few years. In qubit and qudit systems the necessary and sufficient criterion of separability for given quantum state is a positive partial transposition (PPT) [19 21], which is valid for only $2 \times 2$ qubit-qubit and $2 \times 3$ qubit-qudit states. However, as Ref. [22, 23] have shown, PPT also provides a necessary and sufficient criterion for the separability of Gaussian CV quantum states. Thus, the entanglement measure like negativity [24] can be used as a quantification of entanglement in the Gaussian states. Furthermore, the distillation protocols for partially entangled Gaussian state to a maximally entangled state have already been suggested in Duan et al. [25] and Giedke et al.[26]. Quantum information processing with CV was reviewed from both theoretical and experimental aspects [27, 28].

The analytical expression of von Neumann entropy was derived for a general real Gaussian density matrix in Ref. [29] and it was generalized to massless scalar field in Ref. [30]. Putting the scalar field system in the spherical box, the author in Ref. [30] has shown that the total entropy of the system is proportional to surface area. This may give some insight into the reason why the black hole entropy is proportional to the horizon area. Recently, the entanglement was computed in the coupled harmonic oscillator system using a Schmidt decomposition [31]. The von Neumann and Rényi entropies were also explicitly computed in the similar system, called two site Bose-Hubbard model[32]. More recently, the Rényi and von Neumann entropies in bipartite[33], tripartite [34], and thermal [35] harmonic oscillator systems were computed when the spring and coupling constants are arbitrarily time-dependent.

In this paper we will compute the Rényi and von Neumann entropies of various bipartite Gaussian states, which can be substates of muitipartite Gaussian states or their partial transpose states. Thus, the bipartite states we consider in this paper can be physically relevant or irrelevant. We categorize the bipartite Gaussian states into four types and compute their Rényi and von Neumann entropies. Probably, many bipartite Gaussian states belong to one of four types.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we compute the Rényi and von Neumann entropies for the most general single-party Gaussian states. The results of this section are used in next section if the eigenvalue equation of the bipartite density matrix can be factorized into two single-party eigenvalue equations. In section III we compute the Rényi and von Neumann entropies of four types of bipartite Gaussian states analytically. For type I, II, and III the entropies are exactly derived. For the case of type IV we cannot find a suitable change of variables, which factorizes the eigenvalue equation into two single-party eigenvalue equations. We compute the entropies of type IV under some assumption (see Eq. (3.2)). In Sec. IV a brief conclusion is given.

## II. THE MOST GENERAL SINGLE-PARTY GAUSSIAN STATE

Before we consider various bipartite Gaussian states in next section, we derive the entropies and spectral decomposition of the most general single-party Gaussian quantum state
given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}\left[x^{\prime}, x\right]=A e^{-a_{1} x^{2}-a_{2} x^{\prime 2}+2 b x x^{\prime}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=\sqrt{\frac{a_{1}+a_{2}-2 b}{\pi}}$. In order to compute the Rényi and von Neumann entropies for $\rho_{0}$ we should solve the following eigenvalue equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \rho_{0}\left[x^{\prime}, x\right] f_{n}(x) d x=\lambda_{n} f_{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n=0,1,2, \cdots$. One can show directly that the eigenfunction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=\mathcal{C}_{n}^{-1} H_{n}\left(\sqrt{\epsilon_{0}} x\right) e^{-\frac{\alpha_{0}}{2} x^{2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{0}=\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)^{2}-4 b^{2}}$ and $\alpha_{0}=\epsilon_{0}-\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)$, and $H_{n}(z)$ is $n^{t h}$-order Hermite polynomial. By making use of integral formula [36]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-y)^{2}} H_{m}(c x) H_{n}(c x) d x  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\sqrt{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\min (m, n)} 2^{k} k!\binom{m}{k}\binom{n}{k}\left(1-c^{2}\right)^{\frac{m+n}{2}-k} H_{m+n-2 k}\left(\frac{c y}{\sqrt{1-c^{2}}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and various properties of Gamma function[37], the normalization constant $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ can be written in a form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{n}^{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{0}}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} 2^{2 n-k}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{0}}{\alpha_{0}}-1\right)^{n-k} \frac{\Gamma^{2}(n+1) \Gamma\left(n-k+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma^{2}(n-k+1)} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a_{1}=a_{2}, \alpha_{0}=\epsilon_{0}$, which makes nonzero in $k$-summation of Eq. (2.5) only when $k=n$. Then, $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ becomes usual harmonic oscillator normalization constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{n}^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n} n!}}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{0}}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 4} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to show that the eigenvalues in Eq. (2.2) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=A \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)+\epsilon_{0}}}\left[\frac{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)-\epsilon_{0}}{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)+\epsilon_{0}}\right]^{n / 2}=\left(1-\xi_{0}\right) \xi_{0}^{n} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{0}=\frac{2 b}{a_{1}+a_{2}+\epsilon_{0}}=\frac{\sqrt{a_{1}+a_{2}+2 b}-\sqrt{a_{1}+a_{2}-2 b}}{\sqrt{a_{1}+a_{2}+2 b}+\sqrt{a_{1}+a_{2}-2 b}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (2.7) is consistent with $\operatorname{tr} \rho_{0}=1$ if $\left|\xi_{0}\right|<1$. Thus, $\rho_{0}$ is physical quantum state if $0<\xi_{0}<1$. If this condition does not hold, $\rho_{0}$ is unphysical Gaussian state. Anyway, the Rényi and von Neumann entropies for $\rho_{0}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0, \alpha}=\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \frac{\left(1-\xi_{0}\right)^{\alpha}}{1-\xi_{0}^{\alpha}} \quad S_{0, v o n}=-\ln \left(1-\xi_{0}\right)-\frac{\xi_{0}}{1-\xi_{0}} \ln \xi_{0} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the spectral decomposition of $\rho_{0}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}\left[x^{\prime}, x\right]=\sum_{n} \lambda_{n} f_{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right) f_{n}^{*}(x) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. SEVERAL BIPARTITE GAUSSIAN STATES

In this section we consider four types of bipartite Gaussian states $\rho_{j}\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}: x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ with $j$ $=$ I, II, III, IV. They can be physically relevant or irrelevant depending on their eigenvalues. In order to compute the Rényi and von Neumann entropies of $\rho_{j}$, we should solve the following eigenvalue equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d x_{1} d x_{2} \rho_{j}\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}: x_{1}, x_{2}\right] f_{m n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\lambda_{m n} f_{m n}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m, n=0,1,2, \cdots$. Since $\operatorname{tr} \rho_{j}=1$, the eigenvalue $\lambda_{m n}$ obeys $\sum_{m, n} \lambda_{m n}=1$. In this reason the eigenvalues of $\rho_{j}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{m n}=\left(1-\xi_{1}\right) \xi_{1}^{m}\left(1-\xi_{2}\right) \xi_{2}^{n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\left|\xi_{1}\right|,\left|\xi_{2}\right|<1$. If $0<\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}<1$, the bipartite Gaussian states are physically relevant. If not, they are unphysical states. Then, the Rényi and von Neumann entropies of $\rho_{j}$ become

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \operatorname{tr} \rho_{j}^{\alpha}=S_{1, \alpha}+S_{2, \alpha} \quad S_{v o n} \equiv \lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1} S_{\alpha}=S_{1, v o n}+S_{2, v o n} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k, \alpha}=\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \frac{\left(1-\xi_{k}\right)^{\alpha}}{1-\xi_{k}^{\alpha}} \quad S_{k, v o n}=-\ln \left(1-\xi_{k}\right)-\frac{\xi_{k}}{1-\xi_{k}} \ln \xi_{k} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k=1,2$. Thus, in the following we will derive $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ for each $\rho_{j}$ analytically.

## A. Type I Bipartite State

In this subsection we examine the following bipartite Gaussian state:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\rho_{I}\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}: x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=A \exp \left[-a_{1}\left(x_{1}^{\prime 2}+x_{1}^{2}\right)-a_{2}\left(x_{2}^{\prime 2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+2 b\left(x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2}\right)\right.  \tag{3.5}\\
\\
\left.+2 c\left(x_{1} x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}\right)+2 f\left(x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{2} x_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{array}
$$

where $A=2 \sqrt{\left(a_{1}-c\right)\left(a_{2}-c\right)-(b+f)^{2}} / \pi$. We will call this type of Gaussian states by type I. The purity function of $\rho_{I}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \rho_{I}^{2}=\sqrt{\frac{\left(a_{1}-c\right)\left(a_{2}-c\right)-(b+f)^{2}}{\left(a_{1}+c\right)\left(a_{2}+c\right)-(b-f)^{2}}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $c=f=0, \rho_{I}$ becomes pure state. For other cases it is mixed state.
Now, let us solve the eigenvalue equation (3.1) (with $j=I$ ) explicitly. First, we change the variables as

$$
\binom{X_{1}}{X_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta  \tag{3.7}\\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\tan ^{-1} \frac{2 b}{\sqrt{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+4 b^{2}}+\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of new variables the eigenvalue equation (3.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
A e^{-\mu_{+} X_{1}^{\prime 2}-\mu_{-} X_{2}^{\prime 2}} \int d X_{1} d X_{2} e^{-\mu_{+} X_{1}^{2}-\mu_{-} X_{2}^{2}+2 C_{1} X_{1} X_{1}^{\prime}+2 C_{2} X_{2} X_{2}^{\prime}+2 F\left(X_{1} X_{2}^{\prime}+X_{2} X_{1}^{\prime}\right)} f_{m n}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) \\
=\lambda_{m n} f_{m n}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{2}+4 b^{2}}\right]  \tag{3.10}\\
C_{1}=c-f \sin 2 \theta \quad C_{2}=c+f \sin 2 \theta \quad F=f \cos 2 \theta
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to simplify Eq. (3.9) some more we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=\sqrt{\mu_{+}} X_{1} \quad y_{2}=\sqrt{\mu_{-}} X_{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, Eq. (3.9) reduces to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{A}{\sqrt{\mu_{+} \mu_{-}}} e^{-y_{1}^{\prime 2}-y_{2}^{\prime 2}} \int d y_{1} d y_{2} \exp \\
{\left[-y_{1}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}+\frac{2 C_{1}}{\mu_{+}} y_{1} y_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{2 C_{2}}{\mu_{-}} y_{2} y_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{2 F}{\sqrt{\mu_{+} \mu_{-}}}\left(y_{1} y_{2}^{\prime}+y_{2} y_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]}  \tag{3.12}\\
\times f_{m n}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\lambda_{m n} f_{m n}\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally, we change the variables again as

$$
\binom{Y_{1}}{Y_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \varphi & -\sin \varphi  \tag{3.13}\\
\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi
\end{array}\right)\binom{y_{1}}{y_{2}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{-2 F / \sqrt{\mu_{+} \mu_{-}}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{C_{1}}{\mu_{+}}-\frac{C_{2}}{\mu_{-}}\right)^{2}+\frac{4 F^{2}}{\mu_{+} \mu_{-}}}+\left(\frac{C_{1}}{\mu_{+}}-\frac{C_{2}}{\mu_{-}}\right)}\right] \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the new coordinates Eq. (3.12) is simplified in a form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A}{\sqrt{\mu_{+} \mu_{-}}} e^{-Y_{1}^{\prime 2}-Y_{2}^{\prime 2}} \int d Y_{1} d Y_{2} e^{-Y_{1}^{2}-Y_{2}^{2}+2 \nu_{+} Y_{1} Y_{1}^{\prime}+2 \nu_{-} Y_{2} Y_{2}^{\prime}} f_{m n}\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)=\lambda_{m n} f_{m n}\left(Y_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{C_{1}}{\mu_{+}}+\frac{C_{2}}{\mu_{-}}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{C_{1}}{\mu_{+}}-\frac{C_{2}}{\mu_{-}}\right)^{2}+\frac{4 F^{2}}{\mu_{+} \mu_{-}}}\right] . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{m n}\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)=G_{1, m}\left(Y_{1}\right) G_{2, n}\left(Y_{2}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the eigenvalue equation (3.15) is solved if one solves the following two single-party eigenvalue equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-Y_{1}^{\prime 2}} \int d Y_{1} e^{-Y_{1}^{2}+2 \nu_{+} Y_{1} Y_{1}^{\prime}} G_{1, m}\left(Y_{1}\right)=p_{m} G_{1, m}\left(Y_{1}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3.18}\\
& e^{-Y_{2}^{\prime 2}} \int d Y_{2} e^{-Y_{2}^{2}+2 \nu_{-} Y_{2} Y_{2}^{\prime}} G_{2, n}\left(Y_{2}\right)=q_{n} G_{2, n}\left(Y_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Using the results of the previous section it is easy to show that the eigenvalues $p_{m}$ and $q_{n}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{m}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{1+\epsilon_{+}}}\left(\frac{1-\epsilon_{+}}{1+\epsilon_{+}}\right)^{m / 2} \quad q_{n}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{1+\epsilon_{-}}}\left(\frac{1-\epsilon_{-}}{1+\epsilon_{-}}\right)^{n / 2} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{ \pm}=\sqrt{1-\nu_{ \pm}^{2}}$. Then, the eigenvalue $\lambda_{m n}=A p_{m} q_{n} / \sqrt{\mu_{+} \mu_{-}}$can be represented as Eq. (3.2), where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=\frac{\nu_{+}}{1+\epsilon_{+}}=\frac{\sqrt{1+\nu_{+}}-\sqrt{1-\nu_{+}}}{\sqrt{1+\nu_{+}}+\sqrt{1-\nu_{+}}} \quad \xi_{2}=\frac{\nu_{-}}{1+\epsilon_{-}}=\frac{\sqrt{1+\nu_{-}}-\sqrt{1-\nu_{-}}}{\sqrt{1+\nu_{-}}+\sqrt{1-\nu_{-}}} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the Rényi and von Neumann entropies of $\rho_{I}$ can be explicitly computed by inserting $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ in Eq. (3.20) into Eq. (3.3) and (3.4). Applying the results of the previous section one can derive the normalized eigenfunction, whose explicit expression is
$f_{m n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{m} m!}}\left(\frac{2 \epsilon_{+}}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 4} H_{m}\left(\sqrt{2 \epsilon_{+}} Y_{1}\right) e^{-\epsilon_{+} Y_{1}^{2}}\right]\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n} n!}}\left(\frac{2 \epsilon_{-}}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 4} H_{n}\left(\sqrt{2 \epsilon_{-}} Y_{2}\right) e^{-\epsilon_{-} Y_{2}^{2}}\right]$.

Thus, the spectral decomposition of $\rho_{I}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{I}\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}: x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=\sum_{m, n} \lambda_{m n} f_{m n}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) f_{m n}^{*}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c= \pm f$, either $\xi_{1}$ or $\xi_{2}$ is zero depending on the signs of $c, f$, and $\left(\mu_{+}+\mu_{-}\right) \pm\left(\mu_{+}-\right.$ $\left.\mu_{-}\right) \sin 2 \theta$. This fact implies from Schmidt decomposition that $\rho_{I}$ can be purified to tripartite pure state. If, for example, $c=f \equiv z$, the tripartite pure state can be constructed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{A B C}\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}^{\prime}: x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]=\psi\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}^{\prime}\right) \psi^{*}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi^{3 / 4}} & \exp \left[-\left(a_{1}+z\right) x_{1}^{2}-\left(a_{2}+z\right) x_{2}^{2}-\frac{x_{3}^{3}}{8\left[\left(a_{1}-z\right)\left(a_{2}-z\right)-(b+z)^{2}\right]}\right. \\
& \left.+2(b-z) x_{1} x_{2}-\sqrt{\frac{z}{\left(a_{1}-z\right)\left(a_{2}-z\right)-(b+z)^{2}}}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) x_{3}\right] . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, $\rho_{I}$ is constructed by $\rho_{I}=\operatorname{tr}_{C} \sigma_{A B C}$. If $c=-f \equiv z, \psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ in Eq. (3.24) is changed into

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)= & \frac{1}{\pi^{3 / 4}}
\end{align*} \exp \left[-\left(a_{1}+z\right) x_{1}^{2}-\left(a_{2}+z\right) x_{2}^{2}-\frac{x_{3}^{3}}{8\left[\left(a_{1}-z\right)\left(a_{2}-z\right)-(b-z)^{2}\right]} .\right.
$$

## B. Type II Bipartite State

In this subsection we examine the following bipartite Gaussian state:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\rho_{I I}\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}: x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=A \exp \left[-a_{1}\left(x_{1}^{\prime 2}+x_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)-a_{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)+2 b_{1} x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}+2 b_{2} x_{1} x_{2}( \right.  \tag{3.26}\\
\\
\left.+2 c\left(x_{1} x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}\right)+2 f\left(x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{2} x_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{array}
$$

where $A=\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-2 c\right)^{2}-\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+2 f\right)^{2}} / \pi$. We will call this type of Gaussian states by type II. This type of Gaussian state does not arise in the usual harmonic oscillator systems. This means that this type of states cannot be obtained as a substate of mutipartite pure state in the usual harmonic oscillator system. However, this type appears in Ref. [35] as a thermal state in the two-coupled harmonic oscillator system. The purity function of $\rho_{I I}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \rho_{I I}^{2}=\sqrt{\frac{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-2 c\right)^{2}-\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+2 f\right)^{2}}{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c\right)^{2}-\left(b_{1}+b_{2}-2 f\right)^{2}}} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $c=f=0, \rho_{I I}$ is pure Gaussian state. If not, it is mixed state.
Now, let us solve the eigenvalue equation (3.1) (with $j=\mathrm{II}$ ) analytically. First we change the variables as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \quad y_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, Eq. (3.1) is simplified as

$$
\begin{gather*}
A e^{-\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right) y_{1}^{\prime 2}-\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right) y_{2}^{\prime 2}} \int d y_{1} d y_{2} e^{-\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) y_{1}^{2}-\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right) y_{2}^{2}+2(c+f) y_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}+2(c-f) y_{2}^{\prime} y_{2}} f_{m n}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \\
=\lambda_{m n} f_{m n}\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

If we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{m n}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=g_{m}\left(y_{1}\right) h_{n}\left(y_{2}\right) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (3.29) can be solved if one solves the following two single-party eigenvalue equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right) y_{1}^{\prime 2}} \int d y_{1} e^{-\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right) y_{1}^{2}+2(c+f) y_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}} g_{m}\left(y_{1}\right)=p_{m} g_{m}\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3.31}\\
& e^{-\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right) y_{2}^{\prime 2}} \int d y_{2} e^{-\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right) y_{2}^{2}+2(c-f) y_{2}^{\prime} y_{2}} h_{n}\left(y_{2}\right)=q_{n} h_{n}\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The solutions of Eq. (3.31) are analytically derived by using the results of the previous section. Then, eigenvalue of Eq. (3.1) is $\lambda_{m n}=A p_{m} q_{n}$, which can be represented as Eq. (3.2), where

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{1} & =\frac{2(c+f)}{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)+\epsilon_{1}}  \tag{3.32}\\
& =\frac{\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)+2(c+f)}-\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)-2(c+f)}}{\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)+2(c+f)}+\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)-2(c+f)}} \\
\xi_{2} & =\frac{2(c-f)}{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+b_{1}+b_{2}\right)+\epsilon_{2}} \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+b_{1}+b_{2}\right)+2(c-f)}-\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+b_{1}+b_{2}\right)-2(c-f)}}{\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+b_{1}+b_{2}\right)+2(c-f)}+\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+b_{1}+b_{2}\right)-2(c-f)}}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}=\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-b_{1}-b_{2}\right)^{2}-4(c+f)^{2}} \quad \epsilon_{2}=\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+b_{1}+b_{2}\right)^{2}-4(c-f)^{2}} . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the Rényi and von Neumann entropies of $\rho_{I I}$ can be explicitly computed by inserting $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ in Eq. (3.32) into Eq. (3.3) and (3.4).

Following the analysis in section II one can also derive the normalized eigenfunction, whose explicit expression is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{m n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(\mathcal{C}_{1, m}^{-1} H_{m}\left(\sqrt{\epsilon_{1}} y_{1}\right) e^{-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} y_{1}^{2}}\right)\left(\mathcal{C}_{2, n}^{-1} H_{n}\left(\sqrt{\epsilon_{2}} y_{2}\right) e^{-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} y_{2}^{2}}\right) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\epsilon_{1}+\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)-\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) \quad \alpha_{2}=\epsilon_{2}+\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the normalization constants $\mathcal{C}_{1, m}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2, n}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{C}_{1, m}^{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{1}}} \sum_{k=0}^{m} 2^{2 m-k}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{1}}{\alpha_{1}}-1\right)^{m-k} \frac{\Gamma^{2}(m+1) \Gamma(m-k+1 / 2)}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma^{2}(m-k+1)}  \tag{3.36}\\
& \mathcal{C}_{2, n}^{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{2}}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} 2^{2 n-k}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{2}}{\alpha_{2}}-1\right)^{n-k} \frac{\Gamma^{2}(n+1) \Gamma(n-k+1 / 2)}{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma^{2}(n-k+1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the spectral decomposition of $\rho_{I I}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{I I}\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}: x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=\sum_{m, n} \lambda_{m n} f_{m n}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) f_{m n}^{*}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us consider a case of $a_{1}=a_{2} \equiv a$ and $b_{1}=b_{2} \equiv b$. In this case $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{2}$ become $\epsilon_{1}=2 \sqrt{(a-b)^{2}-(c+f)^{2}}$ and $\epsilon_{2}=2 \sqrt{(a+b)^{2}-(c-f)^{2}}$. Thus, $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \mathcal{C}_{1, m}$, and $\mathcal{C}_{2, n}$ become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{1}=\frac{c+f}{(a-b)+\frac{\epsilon_{1}}{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{(a-b)+(c+f)}-\sqrt{(a-b)-(c+f)}}{\sqrt{(a-b)+(c+f)}+\sqrt{(a-b)-(c+f)}}  \tag{3.38}\\
& \xi_{2}=\frac{c-f}{(a+b)+\frac{\epsilon_{2}}{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{(a+b)+(c-f)}-\sqrt{(a+b)-(c-f)}}{\sqrt{(a+b)+(c-f)}+\sqrt{(a+b)-(c-f)}} \\
& \mathcal{C}_{1, m}^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{m} m!}}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{1}}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 4} \quad \mathcal{C}_{2, n}^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n} n!}}\left(\frac{\epsilon_{2}}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 4} .
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (3.38) implies that either $\xi_{1}$ or $\xi_{2}$ is zero if $c= \pm f$, which may indicate that the tripartite purification of $\rho_{I I}$ is possible. In fact, one can find a following tripartite pure state, whose substate coincides with $\rho_{I I}$. For $c=f \equiv z$ the pure state is

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi^{3 / 4}} \exp & {\left[-(a+z)\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)-\frac{x_{3}^{2}}{8\left[(a-z)^{2}-(b+z)^{2}\right]}\right.}  \tag{3.39}\\
& \left.+2(b-z) x_{1} x_{2}-\sqrt{\frac{z}{(a-z)^{2}-(b+z)^{2}}}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) x_{3}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

If $c=-f \equiv z$, the purification is changed into

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi^{3 / 4}} \exp & {\left[-(a+z)\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)-\frac{x_{3}^{2}}{8\left[(a-z)^{2}-(b-z)^{2}\right]}\right.}  \tag{3.40}\\
& \left.+2(b+z) x_{1} x_{2}-\sqrt{\frac{z}{(a-z)^{2}-(b-z)^{2}}}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) x_{3}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Even without the restriction $a_{1}=a_{2} \equiv a$ and $b_{1}=b_{2} \equiv b$, Eq. (3.32) implies that either $\xi_{1}$ or $\xi_{2}$ is zero at $c= \pm f$. Thus, one may conjecture that the tripartite purification of $\rho_{I I}$ might be possible at $c= \pm f$ even in this case. However, we cannot find any pure state $\sigma_{A B C}$, which gives $\rho_{I I}$ as $\rho_{I I}=\operatorname{tr}_{C} \sigma_{A B C}$. We think this is because of the fact that $\rho_{I I}$ cannot arise in the usual zero-temperature harmonic oscillator system.

## C. Type III Bipartite State

In this subsection we examine the following bipartite Gaussian state:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{I I I}\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}: x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=A \exp \left[-a_{1}\left(x_{1}^{\prime 2}+x_{1}^{2}\right)-a_{2}\left(x_{2}^{\prime 2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)\right.  \tag{3.41}\\
& \left.\quad+2 b\left(x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}\right)+2 c_{1} x_{1} x_{1}^{\prime}+2 c_{2} x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}+2 f x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}+2 f^{*} x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=2 \sqrt{\left(a_{1}-c_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}-c_{2}\right)-\left(b+f_{R}\right)^{2}} / \pi$, with $f_{R}=\operatorname{Re} f$. We will call this type of Gaussian state by type III. If $c_{1}=c_{2} \equiv c$ and $f=f^{*}, \rho_{I I I}$ reduces to $\rho_{I}$. The type III Gaussian state arises in the reduced state of three coupled harmonic oscillator system[34]. In this reference the eigenvalue equation (3.1) (with $j=\mathrm{III}$ ) is analytically solved by introducing the appropriate change of variables as we did in the previous sections. In this paper, however, we will compute the entropy of $\rho_{I I I}$ without solving the eigenvalue equation explicitly as follows. This method is useful in the next subsection.

First, we note that $\beta_{1}=\operatorname{tr} \rho_{I I I}^{2}$ and $\beta_{2}=\operatorname{tr} \rho_{I I I}^{3}$ become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{X_{1}}{X_{2}}} \quad \beta_{2}=\frac{4 X_{1}}{X_{1}+3 X_{2}-12 x} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{1}=4\left[\left(a_{1}-c_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}-c_{2}\right)-\left(b+f_{R}\right)^{2}\right]  \tag{3.43}\\
X_{2}=4\left[\left(a_{1}+c_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}+c_{2}\right)-\left(b-f_{R}\right)^{2}\right] \quad x=c_{1} c_{2}-|f|^{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to show $X_{2} \pm X_{1}=8 A_{ \pm}$and $X_{1} X_{2}=16 \tilde{A}$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{+}=a_{1} a_{2}+c_{1} c_{2}-b^{2}-f_{R}^{2} \quad A_{-}=a_{1} c_{2}+a_{2} c_{1}+2 b f_{R}  \tag{3.44}\\
\tilde{A}=\left(a_{1}^{2}-c_{1}^{2}\right)\left(a_{2}^{2}-c_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(b^{2}-f_{R}^{2}\right)^{2}-2\left(a_{1} a_{2}+c_{1} c_{2}\right)\left(b^{2}+f_{R}^{2}\right)-4 b f_{R}\left(a_{1} c_{2}+a_{2} c_{1}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

The eigenvalue of Eq. (3.1) is shown to be represented as Eq. (3.2) in Ref. [34]. Thus, the remaining task we should do is to compute $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ explicitly. Putting $\alpha=2$ or 3 in
$S_{\alpha}$ of Eq. (3.3), it is possible to derive the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(1-\xi_{1}\right)\left(1-\xi_{2}\right)}{\left(1+\xi_{1}\right)\left(1+\xi_{2}\right)}=\beta_{1} \quad \frac{\left(1-\xi_{1}\right)^{2}\left(1-\xi_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+\xi_{1}+\xi_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\xi_{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)}=\beta_{2} \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving Eq. (3.45), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}=\frac{1-\beta_{1}}{2\left(4 \beta_{1}^{2}-\beta_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}-3 \beta_{2}\right)}\left[-3 \beta_{2}\left(1+\beta_{1}\right)+\sqrt{3 \beta_{2}\left[16 \beta_{1}^{2}-\beta_{2}\left(3-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}\right]}\right]  \tag{3.46}\\
& v=\xi_{1} \xi_{2}=-1+\frac{1+\beta_{1}}{2\left(4 \beta_{1}^{2}-\beta_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}-3 \beta_{2}\right)}\left[-3 \beta_{2}\left(1+\beta_{1}\right)+\sqrt{3 \beta_{2}\left[16 \beta_{1}^{2}-\beta_{2}\left(3-\beta_{1}\right)^{2}\right]}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ for $\rho_{I I I}$ become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=\frac{u+\sqrt{u^{2}-4 v}}{2} \quad \xi_{2}=\frac{u-\sqrt{u^{2}-4 v}}{2} . \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the Rényi and von Neumann entropies of $\rho_{I I I}$ can be explicitly computed by inserting $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ in Eq. (3.47) into Eq. (3.3) and (3.4).

Inserting Eq. (3.42) into Eq. (3.46), one can show straightforwardly

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{A_{-}}{x}\left[1-\sqrt{1-\frac{2 x}{A_{+}+\sqrt{\tilde{A}}}}\right] \quad v=-1+\frac{A_{+}+\sqrt{\tilde{A}}}{x}\left[1-\sqrt{1-\frac{2 x}{A_{+}+\sqrt{\tilde{A}}}}\right] . \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c_{1} c_{2}=|f|^{2}$, it is easy to show $v=0$. Thus, Eq. (3.47) indicates that either $\xi_{1}$ or $\xi_{2}$ is zero depending on sign of $u$ when $c_{1} c_{2}=|f|^{2}$. For example, if $u$ is positive, $\xi_{2}=0$ and $\xi_{1}=A_{-} /\left(A_{+}+\sqrt{\tilde{A}}\right)$. If, in this case, $c_{1}=c_{2}=f_{R} \equiv c$ with $\operatorname{Im} f=0, \xi_{1}$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=\frac{c\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 b\right)}{\left(a_{1} a_{2}-b^{2}\right)+\sqrt{\left(a_{1} a_{2}-b^{2}\right)^{2}-c^{2}\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 b\right)^{2}}}, \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is exactly the same with $\xi_{1}$ of type I when $c=f$.
Above discussion implies that when $c_{1} c_{2}=|f|^{2}$, there may exist a tripartite pure state $\sigma_{A B C}=\psi\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}^{\prime}\right) \psi^{*}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, which satisfies $\rho_{I I I}=\operatorname{tr} \sigma_{A B C}$. In fact, one can construct two parameter $(\theta, \bar{x})$ family of $\psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, whose explicit form is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}: \theta, \bar{x}\right)  \tag{3.50}\\
& =\sqrt{\mathcal{N}} \exp \left[-r_{1} e^{-i \phi_{1}} x_{1}^{2}-r_{2} e^{-i \phi_{2}} x_{2}^{2}-\frac{\bar{x}}{2} x_{3}^{2}-2 r_{3} e^{-i \phi_{3}} x_{1} x_{2}-2 \sqrt{c_{1} \bar{x}} e^{-i \theta} x_{1} x_{3}-2 \sqrt{c_{2} \bar{x}} e^{-i\left(\theta+\theta_{f}\right)} x_{2} x_{3}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta_{f}=\arg f$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}=\frac{\sqrt{\bar{x}} \sqrt{4\left(a_{1}-c_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}-c_{2}\right)-\left(2 b+f+f^{*}\right)^{2}}}{\pi^{3 / 2}} \quad r_{1}^{2}=\left(a_{1}-c_{1}\right)^{2}+4 a_{1} c_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta \\
& r_{2}^{2}=\left(a_{2}-c_{2}\right)^{2}+4 a_{2} c_{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\theta+\theta_{f}\right) \quad r_{3}^{2}=c_{1} c_{2}+b^{2}-2 b \sqrt{c_{1} c_{2}} \cos \left(2 \theta+\theta_{f}\right) \\
& \tan \phi_{1}=\frac{c_{1} \sin 2 \theta}{c_{1} \cos 2 \theta+a_{1}} \quad \tan \phi_{2}=\frac{c_{2} \sin \left(2 \theta+2 \theta_{f}\right)}{c_{2} \cos \left(2 \theta+2 \theta_{f}\right)+a_{2}} \quad \tan \phi_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{c_{1} c_{2}} \sin \left(2 \theta+\theta_{f}\right)}{\sqrt{c_{1} c_{2}} \cos \left(2 \theta+\theta_{f}\right)-b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}=\frac{1}{4\left(a_{1}-c_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}-c_{2}\right)-\left(2 b+f+f^{*}\right)^{2}} \quad \theta=0, \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

the parameters in Eq. (3.51) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{N} & =\frac{1}{\pi^{3 / 2}} \quad r_{1}=a_{1}+c_{1} \quad r_{2}=\sqrt{\left(a_{2}-c_{2}\right)^{2}+4 a_{2} c_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{f}}  \tag{3.53}\\
r_{3} & =\sqrt{b^{2}+c_{1} c_{2}-2 b \sqrt{c_{1} c_{2}} \cos \theta_{f}} \quad \phi_{1}=0 \\
\phi_{2} & =\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{c_{2} \sin 2 \theta_{f}}{c_{2} \cos 2 \theta_{f}+a_{2}}\right] \quad \phi_{3}=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{\sqrt{c_{1} c_{2}} \sin \theta_{f}}{\sqrt{c_{2} c_{2}} \cos \theta_{f}-b}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

If $c_{1}=c_{2} \equiv c$ and $\theta_{f}=0$, it is easy to show that the pure state in Eq. (3.50) exactly coincides with Eq. (3.24).

## D. Type IV Bipartite State

In this subsection we examine the following bipartite Gaussian state:

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{I V}\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime},\right. & \left.: x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=A \exp \left[-a_{1}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)-a_{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime 2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)\right.  \tag{3.54}\\
& \left.+2 b\left(x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{1} x_{2}\right)+2 c\left(x_{1} x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}\right)+2 f_{1} x_{1} x_{2}^{\prime}+2 f_{2} x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $A=\sqrt{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-2 c\right)^{2}-\left(2 b+f_{1}+f_{2}\right)^{2}} / \pi$. The density matrix $\rho_{I V}$ is obtained from $\rho_{I I}$ by taking a partial transposition $\left(x_{1} \leftrightarrow x_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. In Ref. [35] it is shown that solving the eigenvalue equation (3.1) (with $j=\mathrm{IV}$ ) is highly difficult because we cannot find suitable change of variables to factorize Eq. (3.1) into the two single-party eigenvalue equations. In fact, we do not know whether the factorization is possible or not. Thus, we will compute the Rényi and von Neumann entropies of $\rho_{I V}$ without solving the eigenvalue equation explicitly as we did in the previous section. Here, we assume that the eigenvalues of $\rho_{I V}$ is also represented as Eq. (3.2).

First, we note

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\beta}_{1} \equiv \operatorname{tr} \rho_{I V}^{2}=\sqrt{\frac{Y_{1}}{Y_{2}}} \quad \tilde{\beta}_{2} \equiv \operatorname{tr} \rho_{I V}^{3}=\frac{4 Y_{1}}{Y_{1}+3 Y_{2}-12 y} \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}=\left(a_{1}+a_{2}-2 c\right)^{2}-\left(f_{1}+f_{2}+2 b\right)^{2} \quad Y_{2}=\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+2 c\right)^{2}-\left(f_{1}+f_{2}-2 b\right)^{2} \quad y=c^{2}-f_{1} f_{2} \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Y_{2} \pm Y_{1}=2 B_{ \pm}$and $\tilde{B}=Y_{1} Y_{2}$, where $B_{+}=\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)^{2}+4 c^{2}-\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right)^{2}-4 b^{2}$ and $B_{-}=4 c\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)+4 b\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right)$. Then, if one follows the procedure of previous subsection, $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ of $\rho_{I V}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=\frac{\bar{u}+\sqrt{\bar{u}^{2}-4 \bar{v}}}{2} \quad \xi_{2}=\frac{\bar{u}-\sqrt{\bar{u}^{2}-4 \bar{v}}}{2} \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=\frac{B_{-}}{4 y}\left[1-\sqrt{1-\frac{8 y}{B_{+}+\sqrt{\tilde{B}}}}\right] \quad \bar{v}=-1+\frac{B_{+}+\sqrt{\tilde{B}}}{4 y}\left[1-\sqrt{1-\frac{8 y}{B_{+}+\sqrt{\tilde{B}}}}\right] . \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $c^{2}=f_{1} f_{2}, \bar{u}$ and $\bar{v}$ become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=\frac{B_{-}}{B_{+}+\sqrt{\tilde{B}}} \quad \bar{v}=0 . \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in this case, either $\xi_{1}$ or $\xi_{2}$ is zero depending on the sign of $\bar{u}$. In spite of this fact we cannot find any tripartite pure state $\sigma_{A B C}$ whose substate coincides with $\rho_{I V}$. This seems to be due to the fact that $\rho_{I V}$ cannot arise in the usual zero-temperature harmonic oscillator system like $\rho_{I I}$.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we derive the Rényi and von Neumann entropies for four types of the bipartite Gaussian states. For the case of type I and type II we solve the eigenvalue equation (3.1) (with $j=$ I, II) analytically by factorizing it into two single-party eigenvalue equations. For the case of type III we use a different method. Since the derivation of Rényi and von Neumann entropies does not need the normalized eigenfunctions, we derive the eigenvalues of Eq. (3.1) (with $j=$ III) without deriving the eigenfunction explicitly. This method is valid because of the fact that the eigenvalue of $\rho_{I I I}$ is represented as Eq. (3.2) as shown in Ref. [34]. For the case of type IV we derive the entropies under the assumption that the eigenvalue of Eq. (3.1) (with $j=$ IV) is also represented as Eq. (3.2).

Of course, there might be many bipartite Gaussian states, which do not belong to these four types. We do not know whether the Rényi and von Neumann entropies of all bipartite Gaussian states can be exactly derived or not. Furthermore, we do not know how to solve the eigenvalue equation (3.1) for non-Gaussian state. For example, let us consider the general

Gaussian or non-Gaussian bipartite state $\rho\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}: x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. Then, its eigenvalues $\lambda_{m n}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left[\rho\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}: x_{1}, x_{2}\right]-\lambda_{m n} \delta\left(x_{1}-x_{1}^{\prime}\right) \delta\left(x_{2}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the determinant of Eq. (4.1) can be computed, it is possible to derive the Rényi and von Neumann entropies for general state $\rho$ without deriving the normalized eigenfunctions. Probably, the computation of the determinant may need to adopt some regularization scheme to escape the infinity arising due to $\delta$-functions. We hope to explore this issue in the future.
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