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Abstract. It is proved that the finite Hilbert transform \( T : X \to X \), which acts continuously on every rearrangement invariant space \( X \) on \((-1, 1)\) having non-trivial Boyd indices, is already optimally defined. That is, \( T : X \to X \) cannot be further extended, still taking its values in \( X \), to any larger domain space.

1. Introduction and main result

The finite Hilbert transform \( T(f) \) of \( f \in L^1(-1, 1) \) is the well known principal value integral

\[
(T(f))(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \int_{-1}^{t-\varepsilon} + \int_{t+\varepsilon}^{1} \frac{f(x)}{x-t} \, dx, \right.
\]

which exists for a.e. \( t \in (-1, 1) \) and is a measurable function. It has important applications to aerodynamics via the airfoil equation, [3], [10], [13], [14], and to problems arising in image reconstruction; see, for example, [6], [11].

For each \( 1 < p < \infty \) the classical linear operator \( f \mapsto T(f) \) maps \( L^p(-1, 1) \) continuously into itself; denote this operator by \( T_p \). Tricomi showed that \( T_p \) is a Fredholm operator and exhibited inversion formulae, [13], except for the case when \( p = 2 \), [14, §4.3]. For \( T_2 \) the situation is significantly different, as already pointed out somewhat earlier in [12, p.44]. Partial operator theoretic results for \( T_2 \) on \( L^2(-1, 1) \) were obtained by Okada and Elliot, [8]; see also the references.

In [1] the finite Hilbert transform \( T \) was studied when acting on suitable rearrangement invariant (r.i., in short) spaces \( X \) on \((-1, 1)\); see below for the relevant definitions. Actually, \( T \) acts continuously on \( X \) (denote this operator by \( T_X \)) precisely when the Boyd indices of \( X \) are non-trivial, that is, when \( 0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \overline{\alpha}_X < 1 \); see the Proposition below. This class of r.i. spaces is the largest and most adequate replacement for the \( L^p \)-spaces when undertaking a further study of the finite Hilbert transform \( T \). This is due to the facts that \( T : X \to X \) is injective if and only if the function \( 1/\sqrt{1-x^2} \not\in X \) and (for the case of \( X \) separable) that \( T : X \to X \) has non-dense range if and only if \( 1/\sqrt{1-x^2} \) belongs to the associate space \( X' \) of \( X \). In terms of r.i. spaces the previous conditions can be phrased as follows: \( T : X \to X \) is injective if and only if \( L^2,\infty(-1, 1) \not\subseteq X \) and (for \( X \) separable) \( T : X \to X \) has a non-dense range if and only if \( X \subseteq L^{2,1}(-1, 1) \), where \( L^{2,1}(-1, 1) \) and
$L^{2,\infty}(-1,1)$ are the usual Lorentz spaces. Various types of inversion results of Tricomi for the operator $T_p$ (when $1 < p < 2$ and $2 < p < \infty$) were extended to $T_X$ when the Boyd indices of $X$ satisfy the condition $0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \overline{\alpha}_X < 1/2$ or $1/2 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \overline{\alpha}_X < 1$; see [4, Theorems 3.2 and 3.3]. It was also shown that $T$ is necessarily a Fredholm operator in such r.i. spaces, [4, Remark 3.4]. These results admit the possibility for a refinement of the solution of the airfoil equation; see [4, Corollary 3.5]. Additional operator theoretic results concerning $T_X$ in r.i. spaces (e.g., compactness, order boundedness, integral representation, etc.) occur in the recent article [5].

Regarding the possibility of extending the domain of $T_p$, with $T_p$ still maintaining its values in $L^p(-1,1)$, it was shown in [4, Example 4.21], for all $1 < p < 2$ and all $2 < p < \infty$, that there is no larger Banach function space (B.f.s. in short) containing $L^p(-1,1)$ such that $T_p$ has an $L^p(-1,1)$-valued continuous extension to this space. This result was generalized in [4, Theorem 4.7]. Namely, it is not possible to extend the finite Hilbert transform $T_X: X \rightarrow X$ for any r.i. space $X$ satisfying

\[(1) \quad 0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \overline{\alpha}_X < 1/2 \quad \text{or} \quad 1/2 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \overline{\alpha}_X < 1.\]

The arguments used in [4] for establishing the above result do not apply to $T_X$ for r.i. spaces $X$ which fail to satisfy (1). In particular, they do not apply to $T_2: L^2(-1,1) \rightarrow L^2(-1,1)$. However, in [4] it was also established, via a completely different approach, that at least $T_2$ does not have a continuous $L^2(-1,1)$-valued extension to any larger B.f.s., [4, Theorem 5.3].

Thus, the question of extendability of $T_X$ remains unanswered for a large sub-family of r.i. spaces which have non-trivial Boyd indices. Indeed, with the exception of $X = L^2(-1,1)$, this is the case for all those r.i. spaces $X$ satisfying $0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq 1/2 \leq \overline{\alpha}_X < 1$. In particular, this includes all the Lorentz spaces $L^{2,q}$ for $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ with $q \neq 2$. The aim of this note is to answer the above question for all r.i. spaces $X$ on which $T_X$ is continuous.

**Theorem.** Let $X$ be a r.i. space on $(-1,1)$ with non-trivial Boyd indices. The finite Hilbert transform $T_X: X \rightarrow X$ has no continuous, $X$-valued extension to any genuinely larger B.f.s. containing $X$.

2. **Preliminaries**

In this paper the relevant measure space is $(-1,1)$ equipped with its Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ and Lebesgue measure $m$ (restricted to $\mathcal{B}$). We denote by $L^0(-1,1) = L^0$ the space (of equivalence classes) of all $\mathbb{C}$-valued measurable functions, endowed with the topology of convergence in measure. The space $L^p(-1,1)$ is denoted simply by $L^p$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

A Banach function space (B.f.s.) $X$ on $(-1,1)$ is a Banach space $X \subseteq L^0$ satisfying the ideal property, that is, $g \in X$ and $\|g\|_X \leq \|f\|_X$ whenever $f \in X$, $g \in L^0$ and $|g| \leq |f|$ a.e. The associate space $X'$ of $X$ consists of all $g \in L^0$ satisfying $\int_{-1}^{1} |fg| < \infty$, for every $f \in X$, equipped with the norm $\|g\|_{X'} := \sup \{|\int_{-1}^{1} fg| : \|f\|_X \leq 1\}$. The space $X'$ is a closed subspace of the Banach space dual $X^*$ of $X$. The space $X$ satisfies the Fatou property if, whenever $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq X$ satisfies $0 \leq f_n \leq f_{n+1} \uparrow f$ a.e. with $\sup_n \|f_n\|_X < \infty$, then $f \in X$ and $\|f_n\|_X \rightarrow \|f\|_X$. In this paper all B.f.s.' $X$ are on $(-1,1)$ relative to Lebesgue measure and, as in [4], satisfy the Fatou property.
A rearrangement invariant (r.i.) space $X$ on $(-1, 1)$ is a B.f.s. such that if $g^* \leq f^*$ with $f \in X$, then $g \in X$ and $\|g\|_X \leq \|f\|_X$. Here $f^* : [0, 2] \to [0, \infty]$ is the decreasing rearrangement of $f$, that is, the right continuous inverse of its distribution function: $\lambda \mapsto m(\{t \in (-1, 1) : |f(t)| > \lambda\})$. The associate space $X'$ of a r.i. space $X$ is again a r.i. space. Every r.i. space $X$ on $(-1, 1)$ satisfies $L^p \subseteq X \subseteq L^1$. Moreover, if $f \in X$ and $g \in X'$, then $fg \in L^1$ and $\|fg\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_X \|g\|_{X'}$, i.e., Hölder’s inequality is available.

The family of r.i. spaces includes many classical spaces appearing in analysis, in particular the Lorentz $L^{p,q}$ spaces, \cite{P} Definition IV.4.1.

The dilation operator $E_t$ for $t > 0$ is defined, for each $f \in X$, by $E_t(f)(s) := f(st)$ for $-1 \leq st \leq 1$ and zero in other cases. The operator $E_t : X \to X$ is bounded with $\|E_t\|_{X \to X} \leq \max\{t, 1\}$. The lower and upper Boyd indices of $X$ are defined, respectively, by

$$\underline{\alpha}_X := \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{\log \|E_{1/t}\|_{X \to X}}{\log t} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\alpha}_X := \inf_{1 < t < \infty} \frac{\log \|E_{1/t}\|_{X \to X}}{\log t}.$$ 

\cite{P} Definition III.5.12]. They satisfy $0 \leq \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \overline{\alpha}_X \leq 1$. Note that $\underline{\alpha}_L^p = \overline{\alpha}_L^p = 1/p$.

For all of the above and further facts on r.i. spaces see \cite{P}, for example.

### 3. Proof of the Theorem

The proof follows the strategy devised to establish Theorems 4.7 and 5.3 in \cite{P}. There the following space was constructed, namely

$$[T, X] := \{f \in L^1 : T(h) \in X, \forall |h| \leq |f|\}$$

which, for a r.i. space $X$ satisfying $0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \overline{\alpha}_X < 1$, is a B.f.s. for the norm

$$\|f\|_{[T, X]} := \sup_{|h| \leq |f|} \|T(h)\|_X, \quad f \in [T, X].$$

\cite{P} Proposition 4.5]. We point out that the proof of this fact uses, in an essential way, a deep result of Talagrand concerning $L^0$-valued measures. The space $[T, X]$ is the largest B.f.s. containing $X$ to which $T_X : X \to X$ has a continuous, linear, $X$-valued extension, \cite{P} Theorem 4.6]. Thus, in order to show that no genuine extension of $T_X$ is possible it suffices to show that $[T, X] \subseteq X$.

Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Given $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}$ and disjoint sets $A_1, \ldots, A_N$ in $\mathcal{B}$, define the simple function

$$\phi := \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \chi_{A_n}.$$ 

On $\Lambda := \{1, -1\}^N$ consider the probability measure $d\sigma$, which is the product measure of $N$ copies of the uniform probability on $\{1, -1\}$. Define the bounded measurable function $F$ on $\Lambda$ by

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_N) \in \Lambda \mapsto F(\sigma) := \left\| T_X \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \sigma_n a_n \chi_{A_n} \right) \right\|_X.$$ 

On the one hand, by an analogous argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.2 in \cite{P} for the case of $L^2$, we have that

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty(\Lambda)} \leq \sup_{|\theta| = 1} \|T(\theta \phi)\|_X \leq \sup_{|h| \leq |\phi|} \|T(h)\|_X = \|\phi\|_{[T, X]}.$$
On the other hand, an application of Fubini’s theorem yields

\[ \| F \|_{L^\infty(\Lambda)} \geq \| F \|_{L^1(\Lambda)} \]

\[ = \int_{\Lambda} |F(\sigma)| \, d\sigma \]

\[ = \int_{\Lambda} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n a_n T(\chi_{A_n}) \right| \, d\sigma \]

\[ = \int_{\Lambda} \left( \sup_{\|g\|_{X'} = 1} \int_{-1}^{1} |g(t)| \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n a_n T(\chi_{A_n}) (t) \right| \, dt \right) \, d\sigma \]

\[ \geq \sup_{\|g\|_{X'} = 1} \int_{\Lambda} \left( \int_{-1}^{1} |g(t)| \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n a_n T(\chi_{A_n}) (t) \right| \, dt \right) \, d\sigma \]

\[ = \sup_{\|g\|_{X'} = 1} \int_{-1}^{1} |g(t)| \left( \int_{\Lambda} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n a_n T(\chi_{A_n}) (t) \right| \, d\sigma \right) \, dt. \]

(3)

Consider now the inner integral over \( \Lambda \) in the last term (3) of the previous expression. For \( t \in (-1, 1) \) fixed, set

\[ \beta_n := a_n T(\chi_{A_n}) (t), \quad n = 1, \ldots, N. \]

It is known that the coordinate projections

\[ P_n : \sigma \in \Lambda \mapsto \sigma_n \in \{-1, 1\}, \quad n = 1, \ldots, N, \]

form an orthonormal set, that is,

\[ \int_{\Lambda} P_j P_k \, d\sigma = \int_{\Lambda} \sigma_j \sigma_k \, d\sigma = \delta_{j,k}, \quad j, k = 1, \ldots, N. \]

Then, for the inner integral in (3), we have

\[ \int_{\Lambda} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n a_n T(\chi_{A_n}) (t) \right| \, d\sigma = \int_{\Lambda} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \beta_n P_n(\sigma) \right| \, d\sigma. \]

Apply the Khinchine inequality for \( \{P_n\}_{n=1}^{N} \) yields

\[ \int_{\Lambda} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \beta_n P_n(\sigma) \right| \, d\sigma \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\beta_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \]

Accordingly,

(4)

\[ \int_{\Lambda} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_n a_n T(\chi_{A_n}) (t) \right| \, d\sigma \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2 |T(\chi_{A_n}) (t)|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \]
Then, from (3) and (4), it follows that
\[
\|F\|_{L^\infty(A)} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sup_{|g|_{X'}} \int_{-1}^{1} |g(t)| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2 |T(\chi_{A_n})(t)|^2 \right) \frac{1}{2} dt
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2 |T(\chi_{A_n})|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]
(5)

We recall the following consequence of the Stein-Weiss formula for the distribution function of the Hilbert transform \(H\) on \(\mathbb{R}\) of a characteristic function, due to Laeng, [7, Theorem 1.2]. Namely, for \(A \subseteq \mathbb{R}\) with \(m(A) < \infty\) (where \(m\) also denotes Lebesgue measure in \(\mathbb{R}\)), we have
\[
m(\{x \in A : |H(\chi_{A})(x)| > \lambda\}) = \frac{2m(A)}{e^\pi + 1}, \quad \lambda > 0.
\]
In particular, for any set \(A \subseteq (-1, 1)\) it follows, for each \(\lambda > 0\), that
\[
m(\{x \in A : |T(\chi_{A})(x)| > \lambda\}) = m(\{x \in A : |H(\chi_{A})(x)| > \lambda\}) = \frac{2m(A)}{e^\pi + 1}.
\]
That is,
(6)
\[
m(\{x \in A : |T(\chi_{A})(x)| > \lambda\}) = \frac{2m(A)}{e^\pi + 1}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}, \quad \lambda > 0.
\]
Set \(\lambda = 1\) and \(\delta := 2/(e^\pi + 1) < 1\). For each \(n = 1, \ldots, N\), define
\[
A^1_n := \{x \in A_n : |T(\chi_{A_n})(x)| > 1\}.
\]
Then (6) implies that
(7)
\[
m(A^1_n) = \frac{2m(A^1_n)}{e^\pi + 1} = \delta m(A_n), \quad n = 1, \ldots, N.
\]
Since the sets \(A_1, \ldots, A_N\) are pairwise disjoint, so are their subsets \(A^1_1, \ldots, A^1_N\). Note that \(|T(\chi_{A_n})(x)| > 1\) for \(x \in A^1_n\), for \(n = 1, \ldots, N\). Thus, on \((-1, 1)\) we have the pointwise estimates
\[
\left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2 |T(\chi_{A_n})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2 |T(\chi_{A_n})|^2 \chi_{A_n} \right)^{1/2}
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n| |T(\chi_{A_n})| \chi_{A_n}
\]
\[
\geq \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n| \chi_{A^1_n}.
\]
(8)
Since \(\| \cdot \|_{X}\) is a lattice norm, (5) yields
\[
\left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|^2 |T(\chi_{A_n})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{X} \geq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n| \chi_{A^1_n} \right\|_{X} = \| \varphi \|_{X},
\]
(9)
where \( \varphi \) is the simple function

\[
\varphi := \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \chi_{A_n^1}.
\]

From (7) it follows that

\[
(10) \quad m(\{x \in (-1, 1) : |\varphi(x)| > \lambda\}) = \delta m(\{x \in (-1, 1) : |\phi(x)| > \lambda\}), \quad \lambda > 0.
\]

Let \( \tilde{X} \) be a r.i. space on \( (0, 2) \) given by the Luxemburg representation for \( X \), [1, Theorem II.4.10], and \( E_\delta : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \) be the dilation operator for \( \delta < 1 \) (as defined above), that is, \( E_\delta(t) = f(\delta t) \), for \( t > 0 \). For the decreasing rearrangements \( \phi^* \) and \( \varphi^* \) of \( \phi \) and \( \varphi \), respectively, it follows from (10) that

\[
\phi^* = E_\delta(\varphi^*).
\]

Consequently, with \( \|E_\delta\| \) denoting the operator norm of \( E_\delta : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \), we have

\[
(11) \quad \|\phi\|_X = \|\phi^*\|_{\tilde{X}} = \|E_\delta(\varphi^*)\|_{\tilde{X}} \leq \|E_\delta\| \cdot \|\varphi^*\|_{\tilde{X}} = \|E_\delta\| \cdot \|\varphi\|_X.
\]

It follows, from (2), (5), (9) and (11) that

\[
\|\phi\|_X \leq \|E_\delta\| \cdot \|\varphi\|_X
\leq \|E_\delta\| \cdot \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left| a_n \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|T(\chi_{A_n})\|_X
\leq \sqrt{2} \|E_\delta\| \cdot \|\phi\|_{[T,X]}.
\]

That is, there exists a constant \( M > 0 \), depending exclusively on \( X \), such that

\[
(12) \quad M\|\phi\|_X \leq \|\phi\|_{[T,X]},
\]

for all simple functions \( \phi \).

In order to extend (12) to all functions in \([T, X]\) fix \( f \in [T, X] \). For every simple function \( \phi \) satisfying \( |\phi| \leq |f| \) it follows from (12) that

\[
M\|\phi\|_X \leq \|\phi\|_{[T,X]} \leq \|f\|_{[T,X]}.
\]

Taking the supremum with respect to all such \( \phi \) yields, via the Fatou property of \( X \), that \( f \in X \) and

\[
M\|f\|_X \leq \|f\|_{[T,X]}.
\]

In particular, \([T, X] \subseteq X\). Consequently, \([T, X] = X\) with equivalent norms. Thus, no genuine \( X \)-valued extension of \( T_X : X \rightarrow X \) is possible. \( \square \)

For the Hilbert transform on \( \mathbb{R} \) the following result is well known, [2].

**Proposition.** Let \( X \) be a r.i. space on \((-1, 1)\) and \( T \) be the finite Hilbert transform

\[
(Tf)(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{f(t)}{t-x} dt, \quad -1 < x < 1.
\]

Then \( T \) is bounded on \( X \) if and only if \( X \) has non-trivial Boyd indices.
Proof. The fact that $0 < \alpha_X \leq \bar{\alpha}_X < 1$ implies the continuity of $T: X \to X$ follows from Boyd's theorem; see [4, §3].

For the converse implication, we show that the proof of Theorem 3.7 in [2] can be adapted to the case when $X$ is a r.i. space on $(-1, 1)$.

Again let $X$ be a r.i. space on $(0, 2)$ given by the Luxemburg representation for $X$. Suppose that $T: X \to X$ is bounded. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $X$ is a r.i. space on $(0, 1)$ (by applying a contraction with a factor of 1/2). Denote $T: X \to X$ by $T_X$.

**Step 1.** [2] Lemma 2.2. Consider the positive operator

$$(Su)(t) := \int_0^1 \frac{u(s)}{s + t} \, ds, \quad 0 < t < 1.$$ 

We show that $S: X \to X$ boundedly (denoted by $S_X$). Let $u \in X$ be a non-negative and decreasing function in which case also $Su$ is non-negative and decreasing. Define

$$f(x) := \chi_{(-1,0)}(x)u(-x), \quad x \in (-1, 1).$$

Then $f^*(t) = u(t)$, for $0 < t < 1$. Note, for $0 < x < 1$, that

$$(Tx f)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^0 \frac{u(-t)}{t - x} \, dt = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{u(t)}{t + x} \, dt = -\frac{1}{\pi} (Su)(x).$$

Let

$$g(x) := \pi(Tx f)(x) = -(Su)(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) := 0, \quad -1 < x < 0.$$ 

Then $g^*(t) = (Su)(t)$ for $0 < t < 1$, and

$$|g(x)| \leq \pi|(Tx f)(x)|, \quad -1 < x < 1.$$ 

Thus

(13) \hspace{1cm} \|Su\|_{X'} = \|g^*\|_{X'} = \|g\|_X \leq \pi \|Tx f\|_X \leq \pi \|Tx\| \|f\|_X = \pi \|Tx\| \|u\|_{X'}.$$

For a general $u \in X$ observe that $|Su| \leq S|u|$. Moreover, for $0 < t < 1$, the function $s \mapsto 1/(s + t)$ is non-negative and decreasing on $(0,1)$ and so the Hardy-Littlewood inequality [1] Theorem II.2.2] implies that $S|u| \leq Su^*$. Then (13) applied to $u^*$ and the identity $\|u\|_X = \|u^*\|_{X'}$ imply that $\|Su\|_{X'} \leq \pi \|Tx\| \|u\|_{X'}$. Hence, $S: X \to X$ boundedly.

Denote $S': X' \to X'$ by $S_X'$. Fix $u \in X$ and $g \in X'$. Then $\langle S_X u, g \rangle = \langle u, S_X' g \rangle$. Similar arguments as above yield

$$|\langle u, S_X' g \rangle| \leq \|S_X' u\|_{X'} \|g\|_{X'} \leq \|S_X\| \|u\|_{X'} \|g\|_{X'}.$$ 

It follows that $S_X g \in X'$, for $g \in X'$, and $\|S_X'\| \leq \|S_X\| \leq \pi \|Tx\|$. So, $S_X'$ is also a bounded operator.

**Step 2.** [2] p.603. Consider, for a measurable function $f$ and $0 < t < 1$, the functions

$$(P f)(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(s) \, ds, \quad \text{and} \quad (P' f)(t) = \int_t^1 f(s) \frac{ds}{s},$$

whenever they are meaningfully defined. The claim is that the corresponding operators $P, P': X \to X$ boundedly. Clearly they are positive operators.
Let \( u \in \tilde{X} \) with \( u \geq 0 \). For \( 0 < t < 1 \), it follows that
\[
0 \leq \max \left\{ (Pu)(t), (P'u)(t) \right\} \leq (Pu)(t) + (P'u)(t)
\]
\[
= \int_0^t \frac{u(s)}{t} \, ds + \int_t^1 \frac{u(s)}{s} \, ds = \int_0^1 u(s) \min \left\{ \frac{1}{t}, \frac{1}{s} \right\} \, ds
\]
\[
= 2 \int_0^1 u(s) \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2t^2}, \frac{1}{2s^2} \right\} \, ds \leq 2 \int_0^1 u(s) \, ds = 2(Su)(t).
\]
So, \( Pu \leq 2Su \) and \( P'u \leq 2Su \). Since \( \tilde{X} \) is a lattice, the above estimates together with the boundedness of \( S: \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X} \) (see Step 1) imply that both operators \( P, P': \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X} \) boundedly.

Similar arguments, now applied to \( u \in \tilde{X}' \) with \( u \geq 0 \), show that \( Pu \leq 2S\tilde{X}_u \) and \( P'u \leq 2S\tilde{X}_u \). Since also \( S\tilde{X}_u \) is bounded, it follows that \( P', P: \tilde{X}' \to \tilde{X}' \) boundedly.

Step 3. [2, Theorem 3.1]. Let \( a \) be a measurable function on \((0, \infty)\). For a measurable function \( f \) define
\[
(Af)(t) := \int_0^\infty a(s) f(st) \, ds, \quad t > 0,
\]
whenever it is meaningful to do so. Suppose that there exists \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
\int_0^\infty |a(s)| \cdot \|E_s\| \, ds \leq C,
\]
where \( E_s \) is the dilation operator \( f \mapsto f(\cdot s) \) on \( \tilde{X} \) and \( \|E_s\| \) is its operator norm. Then \( A: \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X} \) (briefly \( A_{\tilde{X}} \)) and \( \|A_{\tilde{X}}\| \leq C \). To prove this fix \( f \in \tilde{X} \) and \( g \in \tilde{X}' \). Note, since \( f \) is defined on \((0, 1)\) that, for a given \( t > 0 \), \( f(st) \) is defined only when \( 0 < st < 1 \); in other cases it is understood to be 0. Then
\[
\langle A_{\tilde{X}}(|f|), |g| \rangle = \int_0^1 |g(t)| \left( \int_0^\infty |a(s)| |f(st)| \, ds \right) \, dt
\]
\[
= \int_0^\infty |a(s)| \left( \int_0^1 |g(t)| |f(st)| \, dt \right) \, ds = \int_0^\infty |a(s)| |\langle E_s(|f|), |g| \rangle| \, ds
\]
\[
\leq \int_0^\infty |a(s)| \|E_s\| \|f\|_{\tilde{X}} \|g\|_{\tilde{X}'} \, ds \leq C \|f\|_{\tilde{X}} \|g\|_{\tilde{X}'}.
\]
Since \( \tilde{X} \) is a lattice and \( |A_{\tilde{X}}(f)| \leq A_{\tilde{X}}(|f|) \), it follows that
\[
|\langle A_{\tilde{X}}(f), g \rangle| \leq C \|f\|_{\tilde{X}} \|g\|_{\tilde{X}'}.
\]
Taking the supremum with respect to \( g \in \tilde{X}' \) satisfying \( \|g\|_{\tilde{X}'} \leq 1 \), and using the fact that the closed subspace \( \tilde{X}' \subseteq X^* \) is norming for \( X \), [11, Theorem I.2.9], it follows that \( A_{\tilde{X}}(f) \in \tilde{X} \). Hence, \( A_{\tilde{X}}: \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X} \) and \( \|A_{\tilde{X}}(f)\|_{\tilde{X}} \leq C \|f\|_{\tilde{X}} \) for \( f \in \tilde{X} \). That is, \( \|A_{\tilde{X}}\| \leq C \).

For a measurable function \( a \) on \((0, \infty)\) and \( A \) as given by (14) assume that there exists \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
\int_0^\infty |a(s)| \cdot \|E_s\| \, ds \leq C,
\]
where $E_s'$ denotes the dilation operator acting from $\tilde{X}'$ to $\tilde{X}'$. Then $A: \tilde{X}' \to \tilde{X}'$ boundedly (briefly $A_{\tilde{X}}$) and $|A_{\tilde{X}}| \leq C$. Indeed, for $f \in \tilde{X}$ and $g \in \tilde{X}'$, the analogous calculations as above yield

$$\langle |f|, A_{\tilde{X}}(|g|) \rangle \leq C \|f\|_{\tilde{X}} \|g\|_{\tilde{X}},$$

from which it follows that $\|A_{\tilde{X}}(g)\| \leq C \|f\|_{\tilde{X}} \|g\|_{\tilde{X}}$. We can conclude that $\|A_{\tilde{X}}(g)\| \leq C \|g\|_{\tilde{X}}$. Hence, $A_{\tilde{X}}$ is bounded and $\|A_{\tilde{X}}\| \leq C$.

**Step 4.** [2, Lemma 3.3(a)]. Consider the operator $A$ defined in (14) for a measurable function $a \geq 0$ on $(0, \infty)$. Suppose that $A: \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$ (i.e., $A_{\tilde{X}}$) is bounded and let $\|A_{\tilde{X}}\|$ be its operator norm. Then

$$\left( \int_0^s a(x) \, dx \right) \cdot \|E_s\| \leq \|A_{\tilde{X}}\|, \quad s > 0. \tag{15}$$

To see this let both $f \in \tilde{X}$ and $g \in \tilde{X}'$ be non-negative and decreasing. Then

$$\langle A_{\tilde{X}}(f), g \rangle = \int_0^1 (A(f))(t)g(t) \, dt = \int_0^1 g(t) \left( \int_0^\infty a(s) f(st) \, ds \right) dt$$

$$= \int_0^\infty a(s) \left( \int_0^1 g(t) (E_s f(t)) \, dt \right) ds = \int_0^\infty a(s) \langle E_s(f), g \rangle ds.$$ 

Since the function $s \mapsto \langle E_s f, g \rangle$ is decreasing, for $s > 0$ it follows that

$$\langle E_s(f), g \rangle \int_0^s a(x) \, dx \leq \int_0^s a(x) \langle E_x(f), g \rangle \, dx \leq \int_0^\infty a(x) \langle E_x(f), g \rangle \, dx$$

$$= \langle A_{\tilde{X}}(f), g \rangle \leq \|A_{\tilde{X}}\| \|f\|_{\tilde{X}} \|g\|_{\tilde{X}}. \tag{16}$$

Let $f \in \tilde{X}$ and $g \in \tilde{X}'$ be arbitrary. By the Hardy-Littlewood inequality,

$$\langle E_s(f), g \rangle \leq \langle E_s(f^*), g^* \rangle, \quad s > 0.$$ 

Since $\|f\|_{\tilde{X}} = \|f^*\|_{\tilde{X}}$ and $\|g\|_{\tilde{X}} = \|g^*\|_{\tilde{X}}$, we arrive at (16) with $f^*, g^*$ in place of $f, g$. Consequently

$$\langle E_s(f), g \rangle \int_0^s a(x) \, dx \leq \|A_{\tilde{X}}\| \|f\|_{\tilde{X}} \|g\|_{\tilde{X}}.$$ 

Taking the supremum over $g \in X'$ with $\|g\|_{\tilde{X}} \leq 1$ and over $f \in X$ with $\|f\|_{\tilde{X}} \leq 1$, we arrive at (15).

Consider the operator $A$ in (14) for $a \geq 0$. Suppose that $A: \tilde{X}' \to \tilde{X}'$ (i.e., $A_{\tilde{X}}$) is bounded and let $\|A_{\tilde{X}}\|$ denote its operator norm. Then, with the notation $E_s'$ as in Step 3, we have

$$\|E'_s\| \left( \int_0^s a(x) \, dx \right) \leq \|A_{\tilde{X}}\|, \quad s > 0. \tag{17}$$

Indeed, similar arguments as above imply, for arbitrary $f \in \tilde{X}$, $g \in \tilde{X}'$, that

$$\langle f, E'_s(g) \rangle \int_0^s a(x) \, dx \leq \|A_{\tilde{X}}\| \|f\|_{\tilde{X}} \|g\|_{\tilde{X}},'$$

from which, as argued above, the claim follows.
Step 5. [2, Theorem 3.4]. Suppose that $P': \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$, denoted by $P'_{\tilde{X}}$, is bounded. Then

(18) \[ \int_1^\infty \|E_s\| \frac{ds}{s} \leq 2\sqrt{2}\|P'_{\tilde{X}}\|. \]

To verify (18) we consider $Q := (P'_{\tilde{X}})^2$. Then $Q: \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$ (i.e., $Q_{\tilde{X}}$) is bounded and $\|Q_{\tilde{X}}\| \leq \|P'_{\tilde{X}}\|^2$. Let $f \in \tilde{X}$. For $0 < t < 1$, we have via Fubini's theorem that

$$(Q_{\tilde{X}} f)(t) = \int_t^1 (P'_{\tilde{X}} f)(s) \frac{ds}{s} = \int_t^1 \left( \int_s^1 f(u) \frac{du}{u} \right) \frac{ds}{s}$$

$$= \int_t^1 f(u) \left( \int_t^u \frac{ds}{s} \right) \frac{du}{u} = \int_t^1 f(u) \log(u/t) \frac{du}{u}$$

$$= \int_t^1 f(ut) \log(v) \frac{dv}{v} = \int_1^\infty f(ut) \log(v) \frac{dv}{v},$$

because $f(ut) = 0$ whenever $ut > 1$. Applying Step 4, it follows for $s > 1$, that

$$\|E_s\| \left( \int_1^s \frac{\log(x)}{x} dx \right) \leq \|P'_{\tilde{X}}\|^2,$$

which implies that

$$\|E_s\| \leq 2\|P'_{\tilde{X}}\|^2/(\log(s))^2.$$

Since, for $s > 1$ we have $\|E_s\| \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\|E_s\| \leq \min\left\{1, 2\|P'_{\tilde{X}}\|^2/(\log(s))^2\right\}, \quad s > 1.$$

Let $a > 1$ satisfy $\log a = \sqrt{2}\|P'_{\tilde{X}}\|$. Then

$$\int_1^\infty \|E_s\| \frac{ds}{s} \leq \int_1^a \frac{ds}{s} + \int_a^\infty \frac{2\|P'_{\tilde{X}}\|^2}{(\log(s))^2} \frac{ds}{s}$$

$$= \log a + 2\|P'_{\tilde{X}}\|^2/\log a = 2\sqrt{2}\|P'_{\tilde{X}}\|.$$

Suppose now that $P': \tilde{X}' \to \tilde{X}'$ (denoted by $P'_{\tilde{X}'}$) is bounded. Then

(19) \[ \int_1^\infty \|E'_s\| \frac{ds}{s} \leq 2\sqrt{2}\|P'_{\tilde{X}'}\|. \]

Indeed, let $Q_{\tilde{X}'} = (P'_{\tilde{X}'})^2$. The analogous calculation as above, for $g \in \tilde{X}'$, gives

$$(Q_{\tilde{X}'})(t) = \int_0^\infty g(ut)a(v) \, dv.$$

Now use Step 4 (with $a(x) := (\log(x)/x)\chi_{(1,\infty)}(x)$) to deduce that

$$\|E'_s\| \cdot \left( \int_1^s \frac{\log(x)}{x} dx \right) \leq 2\|P'_{\tilde{X}'}\|.$$

With this inequality we can proceed along the above lines to deduce (19).
Step 6. [2, Theorem 3.4]. Suppose that $P_X: \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$ is bounded. Then

$$
\int_0^1 \| E_s \| \, ds \leq 2\sqrt{2} \| P_X \|.
$$

Indeed, for suitable functions $f, g$ we have $\langle P_X f, g \rangle = \langle f, P'_X g \rangle$, from which it follows that $\| P_X \| \geq \| P'_X \|$. Thus, we can apply Step 5 to $P'_X$ to obtain

$$
\int_1^\infty \| E'_s \| \, ds \leq 2\sqrt{2} \| P'_X \|.
$$

Using the general fact, [2, Lemma 3.2(a)], that

$$
\int_1^\infty \| E'_s \| \, ds = \int_0^1 \| E_s \| \, ds,
$$

the inequality (20) follows.

Step 7. Condition (18) is condition (i) in Lemma 3.6(b) of [2], which is equivalent to condition (iv) in the same Lemma; this is precisely $\alpha_X > 0$.

Condition (20) is condition (i) in Lemma 3.6(a) of [2], which is equivalent to condition (iv) in the same Lemma; this is precisely $\alpha_X < 1$. \hfill \Box
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