BERBERIAN EXTENSION AND ITS S-SPECTRA IN A QUATERNIONIC HILBERT SPACE
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Abstract. For a bounded right linear operators $A$, in a right quaternionic Hilbert space $V^R_H$, following the complex formalism, we study the Berberian extension $A^\circ$, which is an extension of $A$ in a right quaternionic Hilbert space obtained from $V^R_H$. In the complex setting, the important feature of the Berberian extension is that it converts approximate point spectrum of $A$ into point spectrum of $A^\circ$. We show that the same is true for the quaternionic $S$-spectrum. As in the complex case, we use the Berberian extension to study some properties of the commutator of two quaternionic bounded right linear operators.

1. Introduction

In 1962 Berberian extended a bounded linear operator $A$ on a complex Hilbert space $X$ to an operator $A^\circ$ on a complex Hilbert space obtained from $X$. An important feature of this extension is that it converts approximate point spectrum of $A$ into point spectrum of $A^\circ$ [3]. This extension is also a useful tool in studying the spectrum of commutator of two bounded linear operators [10].

In the complex theory this extension goes as follows. Let $X$ be a complex Hilbert space. Let $l^\infty(X)$ denotes the space of all bounded sequence of elements of $X$, and let $c_0(X)$ denote the space of all null sequences in $X$. Endowed with the canonical norm, the space $X = l^\infty(X)/c_0(X)$ is a Hilbert space into which $X$ can be isometrically embedded. Every operator $A \in B(X)$, the set of all bounded linear operators on $X$, defines by component wise action an operator on $l^\infty(X)$ which leaves $c_0(X)$ invariant, and hence induces an operator $A^\circ \in B(X)$. It is immediate that $A^\circ$ is an extension of $A$ when $X$ is regarded as a subspace of $X$, and that the mapping that assigns to each $A \in B(X)$ its Berberian extension $A^\circ \in B(X)$ is an isometric algebra homomorphism.

In this note we shall study the Berberian extension of a quaternionic right linear operator $A$ on a right quaternionic Hilbert space and show that the approximate point $S$-spectrum of $A$ coincides with the point $S$-spectrum of the Berberian extension $A^\circ$. Following the complex formalism given in [10], we shall also study certain $S$-spectral properties of the commutator of two quaternionic bounded right linear operators.

In the complex setting, in a complex Hilbert space or Banach space $H$, for a bounded linear operator, $A$, the spectrum is defined as the set of complex numbers $\lambda$ for which the operator $Q_\lambda(A) = A - \lambda I_H$, where $I_H$ is the identity operator on $H$, is not invertible.
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In the quaternionic setting, let $V_{RH}^R$ be a separable right quaternionic Hilbert space or Banach space, $A$ be a bounded right linear operator, and $R_q(A) = A^2 - 2 \text{Re}(q)A + |q|^2 I_{V_{RH}^R}$, with $q \in \mathbb{H}$, the set of all quaternions, be the pseudo-resolvent operator. The S-spectrum is defined as the set of quaternions $q$ for which $R_q(A)$ is not invertible. In the complex case various classes of spectra, such as approximate point spectrum, surjectivity spectrum etc. are defined by placing restrictions on the operator $Q_\lambda(A)$. In this regard, in the quaternionic setting, these spectra are also defined by placing the same restrictions to the operator $R_q(A)$ [11, 13].

Due to the non-commutativity, in the quaternionic case there are three types of Hilbert spaces: left, right, and two-sided, depending on how vectors are multiplied by scalars. This fact can entail several problems. For example, when a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is one-sided (either left or right) the set of linear operators acting on it does not have a linear structure. Moreover, in a one sided quaternionic Hilbert space, given a linear operator $A$ and a quaternion $q \in \mathbb{H}$, in general we have that $(qA)^\dagger \neq qA^\dagger$ (see [12] for details). These restrictions can severely prevent the generalization to the quaternionic case of results valid in the complex setting. Even though most of the linear spaces are one-sided, it is possible to introduce a notion of multiplication on both sides by fixing an arbitrary Hilbert basis of $\mathcal{H}$. This fact allows to have a linear structure on the set of linear operators, which is a minimal requirement to develop a full theory.

2. Mathematical preliminaries

In order to make the paper self-contained, we recall some facts about quaternions which may not be well-known. For details we refer the reader to [1, 9, 14].

2.1. Quaternions. Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the field of all quaternions and $\mathbb{H}^*$ the group (under quaternionic multiplication) of all invertible quaternions. A general quaternion can be written as

$$q = q_0 + q_1 i + q_2 j + q_3 k,$$

where $i, j, k$ are the three quaternionic imaginary units, satisfying $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$ and $ij = k = -ji$, $jk = i = -kj$, $ki = j = -ik$. The quaternionic conjugate of $q$ is

$$\overline{q} = q_0 - iq_1 - jq_2 - kq_3,$$

while $|q| = (q\overline{q})^{1/2}$ denotes the usual norm of the quaternion $q$. If $q$ is non-zero element, it has inverse $q^{-1} = \overline{q} |q|^2$.

2.2. Quaternionic Hilbert spaces. In this subsection we discuss right quaternionic Hilbert spaces. For more details we refer the reader to [11 9 14].

2.2.1. Right quaternionic Hilbert Space. Let $V_{RH}^R$ be a vector space under right multiplication by quaternions. For $\phi, \psi, \omega \in V_{RH}^R$ and $q \in \mathbb{H}$, the inner product

$$\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{V_{RH}^R} : V_{RH}^R \times V_{RH}^R \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$$

satisfies the following properties

(i) $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle_{V_{RH}^R} = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle_{V_{RH}^R}$
(ii) $|\phi|^2_{V_{RH}^R} = \langle \phi | \phi \rangle_{V_{RH}^R} > 0$ unless $\phi = 0$, a real norm
(iii) $\langle \phi | \psi + \omega \rangle_{V_{RH}^R} = \langle \phi | \psi \rangle_{V_{RH}^R} + \langle \phi | \omega \rangle_{V_{RH}^R}$
where \( \overline{q} \) stands for the quaternionic conjugate. It is always assumed that the space \( V_{HR} \) is complete under the norm given above and separable. Then, together with \( \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{V_{HR}} \) this defines a right quaternionic Hilbert space. Quaternionic Hilbert spaces share many of the standard properties of complex Hilbert spaces. Every separable quaternionic Hilbert space possesses a basis. It should be noted that once a Hilbert basis is fixed, every left (resp. right) quaternionic Hilbert space also becomes a right (resp. left) quaternionic Hilbert space \([9, 14]\).

The field of quaternions \( \mathbb{H} \) itself can be turned into a left quaternionic Hilbert space by defining the inner product \( \langle q | q' \rangle = \overline{q}q' \) or into a right quaternionic Hilbert space with \( \langle q | q' \rangle = \overline{qq'} \).

3. Right Quaternionic Linear Operators and Some Basic Properties

In this section we shall define right \( \mathbb{H} \)-linear operators and recall some basis properties. Most of them are very well known. In this manuscript, we follow the notations in \([2]\) and \([9]\). We shall also recall some results pertinent to the development of the paper.

**Definition 3.1.** A mapping \( A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq V_{HR} \rightarrow V_{HR} \), where \( \mathcal{D}(A) \) stands for the domain of \( A \), is said to be right \( \mathbb{H} \)-linear operator or, for simplicity, right linear operator, if

\[
A(\phi a + \psi b) = (A\phi)a + (A\psi)b, \quad \text{if } \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(A) \text{ and } a, b \in \mathbb{H}.
\]

The set of all right linear operators from \( V_{HR} \) to \( V_{HR} \) will be denoted by \( \mathcal{L}(V_{HR}) \) and the identity linear operator on \( V_{HR} \) will be denoted by \( I_{V_{HR}} \). For a given \( A \in \mathcal{L}(V_{HR}) \), the range and the kernel will be

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ran}(A) &= \{ \psi \in V_{HR} \mid A\phi = \psi \text{ for } \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A) \} \\
\text{ker}(A) &= \{ \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A) \mid A\phi = 0 \}.
\end{align*}
\]

We call an operator \( A \in \mathcal{L}(V_{HR}) \) bounded if

\[
\|A\| = \sup_{\|\phi\|_{V_{HR}} = 1} \|A\phi\|_{V_{HR}} < \infty,
\]

or equivalently, there exist \( K \geq 0 \) such that \( \|A\phi\|_{V_{HR}} \leq K\|\phi\|_{V_{HR}} \) for all \( \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A) \). The set of all bounded right linear operators from \( V_{HR} \) to \( V_{HR} \) will be denoted by \( B(V_{HR}) \). Assume that \( V_{HR} \) is a right quaternionic Hilbert space, \( A \) is a right linear operator acting on it. Then, there exists a unique linear operator \( A^\dagger \) such that

\[
\langle \psi | A\phi \rangle_{V_{HR}} = \langle A^\dagger \psi | \phi \rangle_{V_{HR}}; \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A), \psi \in \mathcal{D}(A^\dagger),
\]

where the domain \( \mathcal{D}(A^\dagger) \) of \( A^\dagger \) is defined by

\[
\mathcal{D}(A^\dagger) = \{ \psi \in V_{HR} \mid \exists \varphi \text{ such that } \langle \psi | A\phi \rangle_{V_{HR}} = \langle \varphi | \phi \rangle_{V_{HR}} \}.
\]

**Proposition 3.2.** \([9]\) Let \( A, B \in B(V_{HR}) \) then

(a) \( (A + B)^\dagger = A^\dagger + B^\dagger \),

(b) \( (AB)^\dagger = B^\dagger A^\dagger \).

We shall need the following results which are already appeared in \([9, 11]\).

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( A \in B(V_{HR}) \). Then

\[
\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{V_{HR}} = \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{V_{HR}}.
\]
(a) \( \text{ran}(A)^\perp = \ker(A^\dagger) \).
(b) \( \ker(A) = \text{ran}(A^\dagger)^\perp \).
(c) \( \ker(A) \) is closed subspace of \( V_H^R \).

**Theorem 3.4.** \( (\text{Bounded inverse theorem}) \) Let \( A \in B(V_H^R) \), then the following results are equivalent.

(a) \( A \) has a bounded inverse on its range.
(b) \( A \) is bounded below.
(c) \( A \) is injective and has a closed range.

**Proposition 3.5.** \( (\text{Bounded inverse theorem}) \) Let \( A \in B(V_H^R) \). Then,

(a) \( A \) is invertible if and only if it is injective with a closed range (i.e., \( \ker(A) = \{0\} \) and \( \text{ran}(A) = \text{ran}(A^\dagger) \)).
(b) \( A \) is left (right) invertible if and only if \( A^\dagger \) is right (left) invertible.

**Proposition 3.6.** \( (\text{Bounded inverse theorem}) \) \( A \in B(V_H^R) \) is surjective if and only if \( A \) is right invertible.

**Proposition 3.7.** \( A \in B(V_H^R) \) is injective if and only if \( A \) is left invertible.

**Proof.** From point (b) of proposition 3.5, point (b) of proposition 3.3, and proposition 3.6, we have, \( A \) is left invertible \( \iff A^\dagger \) is right invertible \( \iff \text{ran}(A) = V_H^R \iff \ker(A) = \{0\} \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

### 3.1. Left Scalar Multiplications on \( V_H^R \)

We shall extract the definition and some properties of left scalar multiples of vectors on \( V_H^R \) from \( [2] \) as needed for the development of the manuscript. The left scalar multiple of vectors on a right quaternionic Hilbert space is an extremely non-canonical operation associated with a choice of preferred Hilbert basis. Since \( V_H^R \) is a separable Hilbert space, \( V_H^R \) has a Hilbert basis

\[
\mathcal{O} = \{ \varphi_k \mid k \in N \},
\]

where \( N \) is a countable index set. The left scalar multiplication on \( V_H^R \) induced by \( \mathcal{O} \) is defined as the map \( \mathbb{H} \times V_H^R \ni (q, \phi) \mapsto q\phi \in V_H^R \) given by

\[
q\phi := \sum_{k \in N} \varphi_k q\langle \varphi_k \mid \phi \rangle_{V_H^R},
\]

for all \( (q, \phi) \in \mathbb{H} \times V_H^R \).

**Proposition 3.8.** \( (\text{Left product defined in the equation}) \) The left product defined in the equation satisfies the following properties. For every \( \phi, \psi \in V_H^R \) and \( p, q \in \mathbb{H} \),

(a) \( q(\phi + \psi) = q\phi + q\psi \) and \( q(\phi p) = (q\phi)p \).
(b) \( \|q\phi\|_{V_H^R} = |q|\|\phi\|_{V_H^R} \).
(c) \( q(p\phi) = (q p)\phi \).
(d) \( \langle q\phi \mid \psi \rangle_{V_H^R} = \langle \phi \mid q\psi \rangle_{V_H^R} \).
(e) \( r\phi = \phi r \), for all \( r \in \mathbb{R} \).
(f) \( \varphi_k p = \varphi_k q \), for all \( k \in N \).

**Remark 3.9.** (1) The meaning of writing \( p\phi \) is \( p \cdot \phi \), because the notation from the equation may be confusing, when \( V_H^R = \mathbb{H} \). However, regarding the field \( \mathbb{H} \) itself as a right \( \mathbb{H} \)-Hilbert space, an orthonormal basis \( \mathcal{O} \) should consist only of a singleton, say \( \{\varphi_0\} \), with \( |\varphi_0| = 1 \), because we clearly have \( \theta = \varphi_0\langle \varphi_0 \mid \theta \rangle \), for all \( \theta \in \mathbb{H} \). The equality from (f) of proposition 3.8 can be written as \( p\varphi_0 = \varphi_0 p \), for all \( p \in \mathbb{H} \). In fact, the left
hand may be confusing and it should be understood as \( p \cdot \varphi_0 \), because the true equality \( p \varphi_0 = \varphi_0 p \) would imply that \( \varphi_0 = \pm 1 \). For the simplicity, we are writing \( p \varphi \) instead of writing \( p \cdot \phi \).

(2) Also one can trivially see that \((p + q)\phi = p\phi + q\phi\), for all \( p, q \in \mathbb{H} \) and \( \phi \in V^R_H \).

Furthermore, the quaternionic left scalar multiplication of linear operators is also defined in [5, 9]. For any fixed \( q \in \mathbb{H} \) and a given right linear operator \( A : V^R_H \rightarrow V^R_H \), the left scalar multiplication of \( A \) is defined as a map \( qA : V^R_H \rightarrow V^R_H \) by the setting

\[
(qA)\phi := q(A\phi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_k q(\varphi_k | A\phi)_{V^R_H},
\]

for all \( \phi \in V^R_H \). It is straightforward that \( qA \) is a right linear operator. We can define right scalar multiplication of the right linear operator \( A : V^R_H \rightarrow V^R_H \) as a map \( Aq : V^R_H \rightarrow V^R_H \) by the setting

\[
(Aq)\phi := A(q\phi),
\]

for all \( \phi \in V^R_H \). It is also right linear operator. One can easily see that

\[
(qA)^\dagger = A^\dagger \overline{q} \quad \text{and} \quad (Aq)^\dagger = \overline{q} A^\dagger.
\]

3.2. \( S \)-Spectrum. For a given right linear operator \( A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq V^R_H \rightarrow V^R_H \) and \( q \in \mathbb{H} \), we define the operator \( R_q(A) : \mathcal{D}(A^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \) by

\[
R_q(A) = A^2 - 2\text{Re}(q)A + |q|^2 I_{V^R_H},
\]

where \( q = q_0 + iq_1 + jq_2 + kq_3 \) is a quaternion, \( \text{Re}(q) = q_0 \) and \( |q|^2 = q_0^2 + q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2 \). In the literature, the operator is called pseudo-resolvent since it is not the resolvent operator of \( A \) but it is the one related to the notion of spectrum as we shall see in the next definition. For more information, on the notion of \( S \)-spectrum the reader may consult e.g. [4, 5, 8], and [9].

**Definition 3.10.** Let \( A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq V^R_H \rightarrow V^R_H \) be a right linear operator. The \( S \)-resolvent set (also called spherical resolvent set) of \( A \) is the set \( \rho_S(A) (\subseteq \mathbb{H}) \) such that the three following conditions hold true:

(a) \( \ker(R_q(A)) = \{0\} \).

(b) \( \text{ran}(R_q(A)) \) is dense in \( V^R_H \).

(c) \( R_q(A)^{-1} : \text{ran}(R_q(A)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A^2) \) is bounded.

The \( S \)-spectrum (also called spherical spectrum) \( \sigma_S(A) \) of \( A \) is defined by setting \( \sigma_S(A) := \mathbb{H} \setminus \rho_S(A) \). For a bounded linear operator \( A \) we can write the resolvent set as

\[
\rho_S(A) = \{ q \in \mathbb{H} | R_q(A) \text{ has an inverse in } B(V^R_H) \} = \{ q \in \mathbb{H} | \ker(R_q(A)) = \{0\} \} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ran}(R_q(A)) = V^R_H \}
\]

and the spectrum can be written as

\[
\sigma_S(A) = \mathbb{H} \setminus \rho_S(A) = \{ q \in \mathbb{H} | R_q(A) \text{ has no inverse in } B(V^R_H) \} = \{ q \in \mathbb{H} | \ker(R_q(A)) \neq \{0\} \} \text{ or } \text{ran}(R_q(A)) \neq V^R_H \}.
\]

The spectrum \( \sigma_S(A) \) decomposes into three major disjoint subsets as follows:

(i) the spherical point spectrum of \( A \):

\[
\sigma_{ps}(A) := \{ q \in \mathbb{H} | \ker(R_q(A)) \neq \{0\} \}.
\]
Proposition 3.19. If \( C \) defined in 3.1, is a quaternionic two-sided Banach algebra with unity \( \| \cdot \| \), then the set
\[
\sigma_c(A) = \{ q \in \mathbb{H} | \ker(R_q(A)) = \{0\}, \text{ran}(R_q(A)) \notin V^R_{\mathbb{H}} \}.
\]

Theorem 3.20. Let \( A \) product, with the adjunction
\[
A^\dagger\text{and } A^\ast = \text{ involution and with the norm defined in 3.2}.
\]

(iii) the spherical continuous spectrum of \( A \):
\[
\sigma_c(A) = \{ q \in \mathbb{H} | \ker(R_q(A)) = \{0\}, \text{ran}(R_q(A)) = V^R_{\mathbb{H}}, R_q(A)^{-1} \notin B(V^R_{\mathbb{H}}) \}.
\]

If \( A\phi = \phi q \) for some \( q \in \mathbb{H} \) and \( \phi \in V^R_{\mathbb{H}} \setminus \{0\} \), then \( \phi \) is called an eigenvalue of \( A \) with right eigenvalue \( q \). The set of right eigenvalues coincides with the point \( S \)-spectrum, see [1], proposition 4.5.

Proposition 3.11. Let \( A \in B(V^R_{\mathbb{H}}) \), the resolvent set \( \rho_S(A) \) is a non-empty open set and the spectrum \( \sigma_S(A) \) is a non-empty compact set.

Remark 3.12. For \( A \in B(V^R_{\mathbb{H}}) \), since \( \sigma_S(A) \) is a non-empty compact set so is its boundary. That is, \( \partial \sigma_S(A) = \partial \rho_S(A) \neq \emptyset \).

Proposition 3.13. Let \( A \in B(V^R_{\mathbb{H}}) \). Then \( \ker(R_q(A)) \neq \{0\} \) if and only if \( q \) is a right eigenvalue of \( A \). In particular every right eigenvalue belongs to \( \sigma_S(A) \).

Definition 3.14. Let \( A \in B(V^R_{\mathbb{H}}) \). The approximate \( S \)-point spectrum of \( A \), denoted by \( \sigma_{ap}^S(A) \), is defined as
\[
\sigma_{ap}^S(A) = \{ q \in \mathbb{H} | \text{there is a sequence } \{ \phi_n \}^{\infty}_{n=1} \text{ such that } \| \phi_n \| = 1 \text{ and } \| R_q(A)\phi_n \| \to 0 \}.
\]

Proposition 3.15. Let \( A \in B(V^R_{\mathbb{H}}) \), then \( \sigma_{ps}(A) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}^S(A) \).

Definition 3.16. The spherical compression spectrum of an operator \( A \in B(V^R_{\mathbb{H}}) \), denoted by \( \sigma_c^S(A) \), is defined as
\[
\sigma_c^S(A) = \{ q \in \mathbb{H} | \ker(R_q(A)) \text{ is not dense in } V^R_{\mathbb{H}} \}.
\]

Definition 3.17. Let \( A \in B(V^R_{\mathbb{H}}) \). The surjectivity \( S \)-spectrum of \( A \) is defined as
\[
\sigma_{su}^S(A) = \{ q \in \mathbb{H} | \text{ran}(R_q(A)) \notin V^R_{\mathbb{H}} \}.
\]

Clearly we have
\[
(3.8) \quad \sigma_c^S(A) \subseteq \sigma_{su}^S(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_S(A) = \sigma_{ps}(A) \cup \sigma_{su}^S(A).
\]

Proposition 3.18. Let \( A \in B(V^R_{\mathbb{H}}) \). Then \( A \) has the following properties.
(a) \( \sigma_{ps}(A) \subseteq \sigma_c^S(A^\dagger) \) and \( \sigma_c^S(A) = \sigma_{ps}(A^\dagger) \).
(b) \( \sigma_{su}^S(A) = \sigma_{ap}^S(A^\dagger) \) and \( \sigma_{ap}^S(A) = \sigma_{su}^S(A^\dagger) \).
(c) \( \sigma_S(A) = \sigma_s(A^\dagger) \).

Proposition 3.19. Let \( A \in B(V^R_{\mathbb{H}}) \) and \( q \in \mathbb{H} \), then the following statements are equivalent.
(a) \( q \notin \sigma_{ap}^S(A) \).
(b) \( \ker(R_q(A)) = \{0\} \) and \( \text{ran}(R_q(A)) \) is closed.
(c) There exists a constant \( c \in \mathbb{R}, c > 0 \) such that \( \| R_q(A)\phi \| \geq c\| \phi \| \) for all \( \phi \in D(A^2) \).

Theorem 3.20. Let \( V^R_{\mathbb{H}} \) be a right quaternionic Hilbert space equipped with a left scalar multiplication. Then the set \( B(V^R_{\mathbb{H}}) \) equipped with the point-wise sum, with the left and right scalar multiplications defined in equations (3.3) and (3.4) with the composition as product, with the adjunction \( A \to A^\dagger \), as in (3.2), as \( * \) - involution and with the norm defined in (3.2) is a quaternionic two-sided Banach \( C^* \)-algebra with unity \( \mathbb{I}_{V^R_{\mathbb{H}}} \).
We have the following properties for $\Phi$.

3.4. Suppose that $\Phi$ becomes a quaternionic right linear vector space. The left scalar multiplication, on $B$, is defined as the map $\mathbb{H} \times B \ni (q, s) \mapsto qs \in B$ given by

$$qs := \{q\phi_n\},$$

for all $(q, s) = (q, \{\phi_n\}) \in \mathbb{H} \times B$, where for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $q\phi_n$ is given by the definition $\Phi(s, t) = \lim_{n} \langle \phi_n | \psi_n \rangle_{V_R^H}$.

(B) Since $\langle \phi_n | \psi_n \rangle_{V_R^H} = \langle \psi_n | \phi_n \rangle_{V_R^H}$, we have $\Phi(s, t) = \Phi(t, s)$. That is, $\Phi$ is symmetric.

(c) $\Phi$ is a bilinear functional, in the sense that $\Phi$ is left-antilinear with respect to the first variable,

$$\Phi(rp + sq, t) = \overline{p}\Phi(r, t) + \overline{q}\Phi(s, t), \quad \text{for all } p, q \in \mathbb{H} \text{ and } r, s, t \in B,$$

and $\Phi$ is right-linear with respect to the second variable,

$$\Phi(s, rp + tq) = \Phi(s, r)p + \Phi(s, t)q, \quad \text{for all } p, q \in \mathbb{H} \text{ and } r, s, t \in B.$$

From the Schwarz's inequality we have

$$|\Phi(s, t)|^2 \leq \Phi(s, s)\Phi(t, t).$$
Let
\[ \mathfrak{N} = \{ s \in \mathcal{B} \mid \Phi(s, s) = 0 \} = \{ s \in \mathcal{B} \mid \Phi(s, t) = 0 \quad \forall \ t \in \mathcal{B} \}. \]

Clearly \( \mathfrak{N} \) is a right linear subspace of \( \mathcal{B} \). Write \([s] = s + \mathfrak{N}\) for a coset. The quotient right linear vector space \( \mathfrak{P} = \mathcal{B}/\mathfrak{N} \) becomes an inner product space by defining
\[ \langle [s] \mid [t] \rangle_{\mathfrak{P}} = \Phi(s, t). \]

If \( u = \{[\phi_n]\} = \{\phi_n\} + \mathfrak{N} \) and \( v = \{[\psi_n]\} = \{\psi_n\} + \mathfrak{N} \), then
\[ (4.2) \quad \langle u \mid v \rangle_{\mathfrak{P}} = \langle [\phi_n] \mid [\psi_n]\rangle_{\mathfrak{P}} = \text{glim} \langle \phi_n \mid \psi_n \rangle_{V^R_{\mathfrak{H}}}. \]

Using the left scalar multiplication defined on \( \mathcal{B} \), by the equation \( 4.1 \) we can define a left scalar multiplication on \( \mathfrak{P} \) by the map \( \mathbb{H} \times \mathfrak{P} \ni (q, s) \mapsto q[s] \in \mathfrak{P} \) given by
\[ (4.3) \quad q[s] := qs + \mathfrak{N}, \]

for all \((q, [s]) = (q, s + \mathfrak{N}) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathfrak{P}\). Following proposition provides some properties of the above defined left scalar multiplication:

**Proposition 4.1.** The left product defined in the equation \( 4.3 \) satisfies the following properties. For every \([s], [t] \in \mathfrak{P}\) and \(p, q \in \mathbb{H}\),

(a) \( q([s] + [t]) = q[s] + q[t] \) and \( q([s] p) = (q[s]) p \).
(b) \( \| q[s] \|_\mathfrak{P} = |q| \| [s] \|_\mathfrak{P} \).
(c) \( q([p] s) = (q p)[s] \).
(d) \( \langle q[s] \mid [t] \rangle_{\mathfrak{P}} = \langle [s] \mid q[t]\rangle_{\mathfrak{P}} \).
(e) \( r[s] = |s| r, \) for all \( r \in \mathbb{H} \).

**Proof.** The proof immediately follows from the proposition \( 3.8 \) together with the equations \( 4.1 \) and \( 4.3 \). \( \square \)

Let \( \phi \in V^R_{\mathfrak{H}} \), we write \( \{\phi\} \) for the sequence all of whose terms are \( \phi \) and \( \phi' \) for the coset \( \{\phi\} = \{\phi\} + \mathfrak{N} \). Evidently
\[ \langle [\phi] \mid [\psi]\rangle_{\mathfrak{P}} = \langle \phi \mid \psi \rangle_{V^R_{\mathfrak{H}}}, \]

and \( \phi \mapsto [\phi] \) is an isometric right linear mapping of \( V^R_{\mathfrak{H}} \) onto a closed linear subspace \( V^R_{\mathfrak{H}} \) of \( \mathfrak{P} \). Regard \( \mathfrak{P} \) as a linear subspace of its Hilbert space completion \( \mathfrak{H} \). Then \( V^R_{\mathfrak{H}} \) is a closed linear subspace of \( \mathfrak{H} \) and \( \mathfrak{P} \) is a dense linear subspace of \( \mathfrak{H} \).

**4.2. A representation of** \( B(V^R_{\mathfrak{H}}) \). Every operator \( A \in V^R_{\mathfrak{H}} \) determines an operator \( A^\circ \) in \( \mathfrak{H} \) as follows.

If \( s = \{\phi_n\} \in \mathcal{B} \) then the relation \( \|A\phi_n\|_{V^R_{\mathfrak{H}}} \leq \|A\| \|\phi_n\|_{V^R_{\mathfrak{H}}} \) shows that \( \{A\phi_n\} \in \mathcal{B} \).

Define
\[ A_0 : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \quad \text{by} \quad A_0 s = \{A\phi_n\}, \]

then \( A_0 \) is a right linear mapping such that
\[ \Phi(A_0 s, A_0 s) \leq \|A\| \Phi(s, s). \]

In particular, if \( s \in \mathfrak{N} \), that is \( \Phi(s, s) = 0 \), then \( A_0 s \in \mathfrak{N} \). it follows that
\[ (4.4) \quad A^\circ : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P} \quad \text{by} \quad \{[\phi_n]\} \mapsto \{[A\phi_n]\} \]

is a well-defined right linear map. Thus
\[ A^\circ s' = (A_0 s)' \]

and the inequality
\[ \langle A^\circ u | A^\circ u \rangle_{\mathfrak{P}} \leq \|A\|^2 \langle u | u \rangle_{\mathfrak{P}} \]
is valid for all \( u \in \mathfrak{P} \). That is, \( \| A^o u \|_\mathfrak{P} \leq \| A \| \| u \|_\mathfrak{P} \), for all \( u \in \mathfrak{P} \). Hence \( A^o \) is bounded (continuous), and \( \| A^o \|_o \leq \| A \|_o \) is the norm on \( B(\mathfrak{H}) \). The left scalar multiplication of \( A^o \) by any \( q \in \mathfrak{H} \) is defined as a map \( qA^o : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P} \) by the setting

\[
(4.5) \quad (qA^o)\{\phi_n\} := \{[q(A\phi_n)]\},
\]

for all \( \{\phi_n\} \in \mathfrak{P} \). It is straightforward that \( qA^o \) is a right linear operator. We also have the following properties for the operators:

**Proposition 4.2.** For \( A, B \in B(V^R_H) \) and \( q \in \mathfrak{H} \), we have

(a) \( (A + B)^o = A^o + B^o \),

(b) \( (qA)^o = qA^o \),

(c) \( (AB)^o = A^o B^o \),

(d) \( (A^t)^o = (A^o)^t \),

(e) \( I_{V^R_H}^o = I_{V^R_H} \),

(f) \( \| A^o \|_o = \| A \| \).

**Proof.** Proofs of (a), (c) and (e) are straightforward from the definition of \( A^o \). Assertion (b) immediately follows from the (definition) equation \( 4.5 \) as follows: for any \( \{\phi_n\} \in \mathfrak{P} \),

\[
(qA^o)\{\phi_n\} = \{[q(A\phi_n)]\} = \{[qA\phi_n]\} = (qA)\{\phi_n\} = (qA^o)\{\phi_n\}.
\]

To verify (d), let \( C = (A^o)^t \) and \( u = \{\phi_n\} \) and \( v = \{\psi_n\} \). Then

\[
\langle A^o u | v \rangle_\mathfrak{P} = \langle u | C v \rangle_\mathfrak{P}.
\]

This implies that

\[
\langle u | C v \rangle_\mathfrak{P} = \langle A^o u | v \rangle_\mathfrak{P} = \lim (\langle A\phi_n | \psi_n \rangle_{V^R_H}) = \lim (\langle \phi_n | A^t \psi_n \rangle_{V^R_H}) = \langle u | (A^t)^o v \rangle_\mathfrak{P}.
\]

Therefore \( (A^o)^t = C = (A^o)^t \), and this completes the proof of (d). Finally let us establish the equality \( \| A^o \|_o = \| A \| \). Firstly note that for any \( \phi \in V^R_H \), from the equation \( 4.2 \) we have \( \| \phi \|_{\mathfrak{P}} = \| \phi \|_{V^R_H} \).

\[
\| A^o \|_o = \sup_{\| \phi' \|_{\mathfrak{P}} = 1} \| A^o \phi' \|_\mathfrak{P} = \sup_{\| \phi \|_{V^R_H} = 1} \| (A\phi)^o \|_{\mathfrak{P}} = \sup_{\| \phi \|_{V^R_H} = 1} \| A\phi \|_{V^R_H} = \| A \|.
\]

Therefore the assertion (f) follows.

The continuous right linear mapping \( A^o \) extends to a unique right linear operator in \( \mathfrak{H} \), which we also denote \( A^o \). Also in the theorem 3.20 \( B(V^R_H) \) with left multiplication is a \( C^* \)-algebra with unity \( I_{V^R_H} \). In the same manner, \( B(\mathfrak{H}) \) with left multiplication is a \( C^* \)-algebra with the same unity \( I_{V^R_H} \). Also note that, no matter which Hilbert basis we choose to define a left multiplication the spaces \( B(V^R_H) \) and \( B(\mathfrak{H}) \) becomes \( C^* \)-algebras, and hence the results provided in this note are independent of the basis chosen.

**Theorem 4.3.** The mapping

\[
B(V^R_H) \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{H}) \quad \text{by} \quad A \mapsto A^o
\]

is a faithful \( * \)-representation.

**Proof.** The assertion (c) of proposition 4.2 verifies that the above map is a homomorphism. To check the injectivity of this map, suppose that \( A, B \in B(V^R_H) \) with \( A^o = B^o \). Then for any \( \{\phi_n\} \in \mathfrak{H} \), we have

\[
\{[A\phi_n]\} = \{[B\phi_n]\} \Rightarrow \{(A - B)\phi_n\} \in \mathfrak{H} \Rightarrow \lim (\{(A - B)\phi_n \} | (A - B)\phi_n)_{V^R_H} = 0.
\]
Let $\phi \in V_{\mathbb{H}}^R$, and choose $\phi_n = \phi$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\|(A - B)\phi\|_{V_{\mathbb{H}}^R} = 0$. This concludes that $A = B$. Therefore the above map is injective. Hence the theorem follows.

Suppose $A \geq 0$, that is $\langle A\phi|\phi \rangle_{V_{\mathbb{H}}^R} \geq 0$ for all $\phi \in V_{\mathbb{H}}^R$. If $u = \{\phi_n\}' \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\langle A\phi_n|\phi_n \rangle_{V_{\mathbb{H}}^R} \geq 0$ for all $n$, hence

$$\langle A^\circ u|u \rangle_{\mathfrak{S}} = \lim\langle A\phi_n|\phi_n \rangle_{V_{\mathbb{H}}^R} \geq 0.$$ 

Hence $\langle A^\circ v|v \rangle_{\mathfrak{S}} \geq 0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus clearly for an operator $A$ in $V_{\mathbb{H}}^R$ we have

\begin{equation}
A \geq 0 \iff A^\circ \geq 0.
\end{equation}

**Proposition 4.4.** If $A \in B(V_{\mathbb{H}}^R)$, then $\sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A^\circ) = \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A)$.

**Proof.** Let $q \in \mathbb{H}$. Then, $q \notin \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A)$ if and only if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $R_q(A^\dagger)R_q(A) \geq \epsilon I_{V_{\mathbb{H}}^R}$. By equation 4.6, this condition is equivalent to $R_q((A^\circ)^\dagger)R_q(A^\circ) \geq \epsilon I_{V_{\mathbb{H}}^R}$ thus $q \notin \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A^\circ)$. □

The following theorem is the key result of Berberian extension.

**Theorem 4.5.** For every operator $A \in B(V_{\mathbb{H}}^R)$, we have $\sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A) = \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A^\circ) = \sigma_{\text{ps}}(A^\circ)$.

**Proof.** From propositions 4.3, 4.4 the relation $\sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A) = \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A^\circ) \supseteq \sigma_{\text{ps}}(A^\circ)$ is clear. Let $q \in \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{\phi_n\} \subseteq V_{\mathbb{H}}^R$ with $\|\phi_n\|_{V_{\mathbb{H}}^R} = 1$ such that $\|R_q(A)\phi_n\|_{V_{\mathbb{H}}^R} \to 0$. Set $u = \{\phi_n\}'$, clearly $\|u\|_{\mathfrak{S}} = 1$. Also

$$\|R_q(A^\circ)u\|_{\mathfrak{S}} = \lim\|R_q(A)\phi_n\|_{V_{\mathbb{H}}^R} \to 0.$$ 

Therefore, by proposition 4.3 $q$ is a right eigenvalue of $A^\circ$. Hence $q \in \sigma_{\text{ps}}(A^\circ)$, which completes the proof. □

5. APPLICATION TO COMMUTATORS IN THE QUATERNIONIC SETTING

In the complex setting, the Berberian extension is very useful in studying spectral properties of commutators \[10\]. Following the complex formalism, in this section, we shall study some properties of S-spectrum of commutators in the quaternionic setting.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let $A, B \in B(V_{\mathbb{H}}^R)$ such that $AB = BA$, then

(a) $\sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A + B) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A) + \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(B),$

(b) $\sigma_{\text{su}}^S(A + B) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{su}}^S(A) + \sigma_{\text{su}}^S(B),$

(c) $\sigma_{\text{s}}(A + B) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{s}}(A) + \sigma_{\text{s}}(B).$

**Proof.** (a) Since $AB = BA$ we have $A^\circ B^\circ = B^\circ A^\circ$. Let $q \in \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A + B) = \sigma_{\text{ps}}(A^\circ + B^\circ)$. Let $Z = \ker(R_q(A^\circ + B^\circ))$, then $Z \neq \emptyset$. Let $\psi \in A^\circ Z$, then $\psi = A^\circ \phi$ for some $\phi \in Z$ and also $R_q(A^\circ + B^\circ)\phi = 0$. Now

$$R_q(A^\circ + B^\circ)\psi = R_q(A^\circ + B^\circ)A^\circ \phi = A^\circ R_q(A^\circ + B^\circ)\phi = 0.$$ 

Therefore $\psi \in Z$, hence $A^\circ Z \subseteq Z$. That is, $Z$ is invariant under $A^\circ$, and therefore $\sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A^\circ|Z) \neq \emptyset$. Let $p \in \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A^\circ|Z) = \sigma_{\text{ps}}(A^\circ|Z)$, hence $A^\circ - pI_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$. Since $q \in \sigma_{\text{ps}}(A^\circ + B^\circ)$, we have $A^\circ + B^\circ - qI_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$, that is $B^\circ = qI_{\mathcal{H}} - A^\circ$. Therefore,

$$B^\circ - (q - p)I_{\mathcal{H}} = -(A^\circ - p)I_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \text{ on } Z.$$ 

Thus $q - p \in \sigma_{\text{ps}}(B^\circ|Z)$. Hence, from proposition 4.3

$$q = p + (q - p) \in \sigma_{\text{ps}}(A^\circ) + \sigma_{\text{ps}}(B^\circ) = \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A^\circ) + \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(B^\circ) = \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(A) + \sigma_{\text{ap}}^S(B).$$
This completes the proof of (a).
(b) Since \( AB = BA \), we have \( A^\dagger B^\dagger = B^\dagger A^\dagger \), and therefore (a) holds for \( A^\dagger, B^\dagger \). Further from proposition \([3.18]\) part (b), \( \sigma_{su}(A) = \sigma_{ap}^S(A^\dagger) \). Thus (b) follows.
(c) For any \( A \in B(V^R_H) \), from equation \([3.8]\) proposition \([3.15]\) we have \( \sigma_S(A) = \sigma_{ps}(A) \cup \sigma_{su}(A) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}^S(A) \cup \sigma_{su}(A) \). And clearly \( \sigma_{ap}(A), \sigma_{su}(A) \subseteq \sigma_S(A) \). Therefore, from (a) and (b), we have
\[
\sigma_{ap}^S(A + B) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}^S(A) + \sigma_{ap}^S(B) \subseteq \sigma_S(A) + \sigma_S(B)
\]
and
\[
\sigma_{su}^S(A + B) \subseteq \sigma_{su}^S(A) + \sigma_{su}^S(B) \subseteq \sigma_S(A) + \sigma_S(B).
\]
Thus
\[
\sigma_S(A + B) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}^S(A + B) \cup \sigma_{su}^S(A + B) \subseteq \sigma_S(A) + \sigma_S(B).
\]
Hence the inclusion (c) holds.
\[\square\]

**Definition 5.2.** Given \( S, T \in B(V^R_H) \), the commutator \( C(S, T) : B(V^R_H) \rightarrow B(V^R_H) \) is the mapping
\[
C(S, T)(A) = SA - AT = L_S(A) - R_T(A), \text{ for all } A \in B(V^R_H),
\]
where \( L_S(A) = SA \) and \( R_T(A) = AT \). It is clear that \( A \in B(V^R_H) \) intertwines the pair \((S, T)\) precisely when \( C(S, T) = 0 \).

**Remark 5.3.** It is worth noting the following results: for any \( q \in H \) and \( S, T \in B(V^R_H) \),
\begin{enumerate}
  \item \( L_S R_T = R_T L_S \),
  \item \( R_q(L_S) = R_q(S) \),
  \item \( R_q(R_T)A = AR_q(T) \); for all \( A \in B(V^R_H) \),
  \item \( L_{R_q}(S) = R_q(L_S) \),
  \item \( R_{R_q}(T) = R_q(R_T) \).
\end{enumerate}
The verifications of these results are elementary.

The next proposition gathers some useful identities to prove the S-spectral properties of commutators which are provided in Theorem \([5.5]\).

**Proposition 5.4.** For arbitrary operators \( S, T \in B(V^R_H) \) the following assertions hold true:
\begin{enumerate}
  \item \( \sigma_{ap}^S(L_S) = \sigma_{ap}^S(S) \),
  \item \( \sigma_{ap}^T(R_T) = \sigma_{su}^T(T) \),
  \item \( \sigma_{ap}^S(L_S) = \sigma_{su}^S(S) \),
  \item \( \sigma_{su}^T(R_T) = \sigma_{ap}^T(T) \).
\end{enumerate}

**Proof.** (a) To prove (a), let \( q \in \sigma_{ap}^S(L_S) \), then there exists a sequence \( \{A_n\} \subseteq B(V^R_H) \) with \( \|A_n\| = 1 \) such that \( \|R_q(L_S)A_n\| \rightarrow 0 \). That is, \( \|R_q(S)A_n\| \rightarrow 0 \). Set \( \psi_n = \frac{\phi}{\|A_n\|} \), for all \( n \) and for some \( 0 \neq \phi \in V^R_H \). Then \( \|A_n \psi_n \|_V^R = 1 \), for all \( n \) and \( \|R_q(S)A_n \psi_n \|_V^R \rightarrow 0 \). Thus \( q \in \sigma_S(S) \) and \( \sigma_S(L_S) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}^S(S) \). To see the other inclusion, let \( q \in \sigma_{ap}(S) \), then there exists a sequence \( \{\psi_n\} \subseteq V^R_H \) with \( \|\psi_n\|_V^R = 1 \) and \( \|R_q(S)\psi_n \|_V^R \rightarrow 0 \). Pick a linear functional \( \Phi \) in \((V^R_H)^* \) which is the dual of \( V^R_H \) with \( \|\Phi\|^* = 1 \), where \( \|\cdot\|^* \) is a norm on the dual of \( V^R_H \). Define, for each \( n \), an operator \( A_n \in B(V^R_H) \) by
\[
A_n \phi = \psi_n \Phi(\phi), \text{ for all } \phi \in V^R_H.
\]
Then \( \|A_n\| = 1 \) for all \( n \), and
\[
\|R_q(L_S)A_n\| = \|R_q(S)A_n\| = \|R_q(S)\psi_n\|_{V^R} \to 0.
\]

Thus \( q \in \sigma^S_{ap}(L_S) \) and therefore \( \sigma^S_{ap}(L_S) = \sigma^S(S) \).

(b) To establish the equality \( \sigma^S_{ap}(R_T) = \sigma^S_{su}(T) \), let \( q \notin \sigma^S_{su}(T) \), that is \( R_q(T) \) is surjective. Now for each \( A \in B(V^R_{H}) \),
\[
\|R_q(R_T)A\| = \|AR_q(T)\| \geq \|AR_q(T)\phi\|_{V^R_{H}}, \quad \text{for all } \phi \in V^R_{H}.
\]

That is, as \( R_q(T) \) is surjective, \( \|R_q(R_T)A\| \geq \|A\|\phi\|_{V^R_{H}} \), for all \( \psi = R_q(T)\phi \in V^R_{H} \). Hence
\[
\|R_q(R_T)A\| \geq \sup_{\|\phi\|=1} \|A\|\phi\|_{V^R_{H}} = \|A\|, \quad \text{for all } A \in B(V^R_{H}).
\]

Therefore \( R_q(R_T) \) is bounded below, and hence by proposition 3.19 \( q \notin \sigma^S_{ap}(R_T) \). Conversely suppose that \( R_q(R_T) \) is bounded below. Then there exists \( c > 0 \) such that \( c\|A\| \leq \|R_q(R_T)A\| = \|AR_q(T)\| \), for all \( A \in B(V^R_{H}) \); where \( \|\cdot\| \) is the norm on \( B(B(V^R_{H})^*) \). Choose a unit vector \( \psi \in V^R_{H} \). For arbitrary linear functional \( \Phi \in (V^R_{H})^* \), let \( A_{\Phi} \in B(V^R_{H}) \) given by
\[
A_{\Phi}(\phi) = \psi(\Phi(\phi)), \quad \text{for all } \phi \in V^R_{H}.
\]

Then
\[
c\|\Phi\| = c\|A_{\Phi}\| \leq \|A_{\Phi}R_q(T)\| = \|\Phi \circ R_q(T)\|, \quad \text{for all } \Phi \in (V^R_{H})^*.
\]

Hence \( R_q(T)^\dagger \) is bounded below. That is, by proposition 3.4 \( R_q(T)^\dagger \) is injective. Therefore by propositions 3.3 \( \text{ran}(R_q(T))^{\dagger} = \text{ker}(R_q(T))^{\dagger} = \{0\} \), and so \( R_q(T) \) is surjective. Thus we have \( \sigma^S_{ap}(R_T) = \sigma^S_{su}(T) \).

(c) Now
\[
q \notin \sigma^S_{su}(L_S) \iff R_q(L_S) \text{ is surjective} \iff R_q(S) \text{ is surjective} \iff q \notin \sigma^S_{su}(S).
\]

Therefore \( \sigma^S_{su}(L_S) = \sigma^S_{su}(S) \).

(d) In order to verify the inclusion \( \sigma^S_{su}(R_T) \subseteq \sigma^S_{ap}(T) \), let \( q \notin \sigma^S_{ap}(T) \), then by proposition 3.19 \( R_q(T) \) is bounded below on \( V^R_{H} \). Therefore, by proposition 3.4 \( R_q(T) \) is injective. Thus by proposition 3.7 \( R_q(T) \) is left invertible on \( V^R_{H} \). Therefore, there exist \( P \in B(V^R_{H}) \) such that \( PR_q(T) = I_{V^R_{H}} \). This implies that
\[
R_q(R_T)R_{PA} = R_{PA}(R_q(T) = APA_Rq(T) = A, \quad \text{for all } A \in B(V^R_{H}).
\]

That is, \( R_q(R_T) \) is right invertible on \( B(V^R_{H}) \), thus by proposition 3.6 \( R_q(R_T) \) is surjective. Hence \( q \notin \sigma^S_{su}(R_T) \), and we get \( \sigma^S_{su}(R_T) \subseteq \sigma^S_{ap}(T) \). To verify the other inclusion \( \sigma^S_{ap}(T) \subseteq \sigma^S_{su}(R_T) \), suppose that \( q \notin \sigma^S_{su}(R_T) \), then \( R_q(R_T) \) is surjective. This implies that for each \( A \in B(V^R_{H}) \), there exists \( B \in B(V^R_{H}) \) such that \( R_q(R_T)B = A \). That is, \( BR_q(T) = A \). Assuming \( A, B \neq 0 \) without loss of generality, we get
\[
\|R_q(T)\| \geq \frac{\|A\|}{\|B\|}.
\]

This gives that \( R_q(T) \) is bounded below, and \( q \notin \sigma^S_{ap}(T) \). Therefore the equality \( \sigma^S_{su}(R_T) = \sigma^S_{ap}(T) \) holds. \( \square \)

The following theorem is the main result about the S-spectral properties of commutators which we provide in this note.
Theorem 5.5. For arbitrary operators \( S, T \in B(V^R_\mathbb{H}) \) the following assertions hold true for their commutator \( C(S,T) \).

(a) \( \sigma_S(C(S,T)) = \sigma_S(S) - \sigma_S(T) \),
(b) \( \sigma_{ap}(C(S,T)) = \sigma_{ap}(S) - \sigma_{ap}(T) \),
(c) \( \sigma_{su}(C(S,T)) = \sigma_{su}(S) - \sigma_{su}(T) \).

Proof. To prove (b), in order to establish \( \sigma_{ap}^S(S) - \sigma_{ap}^S(T) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}^S(C(S,T)) \), let \( q \in \sigma_{ap}^S(S) \) and \( p \in \sigma_{ap}^S(T) \). It follows, from (a) and (b) in the proposition 5.4, that \( q \in \sigma_{ap}^S(L_S) \) and \( p \in \sigma_{ap}^S(R_T) \). By proposition 4.5, we have

\[
q \in \sigma_{ap}^S(L_S) = \sigma_{ap}^S(L_S^0) = \sigma_{ps}(L_S^0).
\]

Therefore, there exists \( A \in B(V^R_\mathbb{H}) \) such that \( A \neq 0 \) and \( (L_S^0 - q)A = 0 \). That is, \( (S^o - q)A = 0 \). Again by proposition 4.5,

\[
p \in \sigma_{ap}^S(R_T) = \sigma_{ap}^S(R_T^0) = \sigma_{ps}(R_T^0).
\]

Therefore there exists \( B \in B(V^R_\mathbb{H}) \) such that \( B \neq 0 \) and \( (R_T^0 - p)B = 0 \). That is \( B(T^o - p) = 0 \). Consider

\[
(C(S,T)^o - q + p)AB = (L_S^o - R_T^o - q + p)AB
\]

Thus

\[
q - p \in \sigma_{ap}(C(S,T)^o) = \sigma_{ap}^S(C(S,T)^o) = \sigma_{ap}^S(C(S,T)).
\]

Therefore \( \sigma_{ap}^S(S) - \sigma_{ap}^S(T) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}^S(C(S,T)) \). Now since \( \sigma_{ap}^S(L_S) = \sigma_{ap}^S(S) \) and \( \sigma_{ap}^S(R_T) = \sigma_{ap}^S(T) \), we get from part (a) (b) of proposition 5.4

\[
\sigma_{ap}^S(C(S,T)) = \sigma_{ap}^S(L_S - R_T) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}^S(L_S) = \sigma_{ap}^S(R_T) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}^S(S) - \sigma_{ap}^S(T).
\]

This concludes the proof for (b).

(c) Applying \( \sigma_{su}(L_S) = \sigma_{su}(S) \), and \( \sigma_{su}^S(R_T) = \sigma_{ap}^S(T) \) in part (b) of proposition 5.1 the inclusion \( \subseteq \) in assertion (c) is established. Next to show that \( \sigma_{ap}^S(S) - \sigma_{ap}^S(T) \subseteq \sigma_{ap}^S(C(S,T)) \), let \( q \in \sigma_{su}^S(S) \) and \( p \in \sigma_{ap}^S(T) \). It follows from (a) and (b) in proposition 5.4 and proposition 4.5 that

\[
q \in \sigma_{ap}^S(R_S) = \sigma_{ap}^S(R_S^0) = \sigma_{ps}(R_S^0) \quad \text{and} \quad p \in \sigma_{ap}^S(L_T) = \sigma_{ap}^S(L_T^0) = \sigma_{ps}(L_T^0).
\]

Thus by the definition of point spectrum \( \overline{q} \in \sigma_{ps}(R_S^0) \) and \( \overline{p} \in \sigma_{ps}(L_T^0) \). Therefore, there exists \( A, B \in B(V^R_\mathbb{H}) \) with \( A \neq 0 \) and \( B \neq 0 \) such that \( A(S^o - \overline{q}) = 0 \) and \( (T^o - \overline{p})B = 0 \). Hence, by proposition 3.2 we have \( ((S^o)^\dagger - q)A^\dagger = 0 \) and \( B^\dagger((T^o)^\dagger - p) = 0 \). Hence, by proposition 3.2

\[
((C(S,T)^o)^\dagger - q + p)A^\dagger B^\dagger = ((L_S^o)^\dagger - q)A^\dagger B^\dagger - ((R_T^o)^\dagger - p)A^\dagger B^\dagger = ((S^o)^\dagger - q)A^\dagger B^\dagger - A^\dagger B^\dagger((T^o)^\dagger - p) = 0.
\]

Therefore \( q - p \in \sigma_{ps}((C(S,T)^o)^\dagger) \). By proposition 4.2 \( q - p \in \sigma_{ps}((C(S,T)^o)^\dagger) = \sigma_{ap}^S((C(S,T)^o)^\dagger) \). Now by propositions 4.5 and 3.18 we have

\[
q - p \in \sigma_{ps}((C(S,T)^\dagger)^\dagger) = \sigma_{ap}^S(C(S,T)^\dagger) = \sigma_{su}^S(C(S,T)).
\]

Hence \( \sigma_{su}(S) - \sigma_{ap}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{su}^S(C(S,T)) \), which completes the proof of (c).

To establish (a), let \( S, T \in B(V^R_\mathbb{H}) \). Since \( L_SR_TA = R_TL_SA = SAT \) for all \( A \in \)}. 

BERBERIAN EXTENSION 13
Let \( q \in \sigma_S(L_S) \), then if \( \ker(L_S) \neq \{0\} \), there exists \( A \in \mathcal{B}(V_H^R) \) such that \( A \neq 0 \) and \( R_q(L_S)(A) = 0 \). That is
\[
S^2 A - 2 \text{Re}(q) S A + |q|^2 A = (S^2 - 2 \text{Re}(q) S + |q|^2) A = 0.
\]
Hence \((S^2 - 2 \text{Re}(q) S + |q|^2) A \phi = 0\), for some \( \phi \in V_H^R \) as \( A \neq 0 \), and therefore \( \ker(R_q(S)) \neq \{0\} \). If \( \text{ran}(R_q(L_S)) \neq \mathcal{B}(V_H^R) \), then there exists \( B \in \mathcal{B}(V_H^R) \) such that \( R_q(L_S)(A) = B \) for all \( A \in B(V_H^R) \). That is, \( S^2 A - 2 \text{Re}(q) S A + |q|^2 A \neq B \) for all \( A \in B(V_H^R) \). In other words, \( R_q(S) \phi \neq B \phi \) for all \( A \in B(V_H^R) \) and \( \phi \in V_H^R \). Hence \( \ker(R_q(S)) \neq V_H^R \). As a conclusion \( q \in \sigma_S(S) \) and hence \( \sigma_S(L_S) \subseteq \sigma_S(S) \).

Now let \( q \in \sigma_S(R_T) \). If \( \ker(R_q(R_T)) \neq \{0\} \), then there exists \( A \in B(V_H^R) \) such that \( A \neq 0 \) and \( R_q(R_T)(A) = 0 \), that is \( AR_q(T) = 0 \). Thus \( R_q(T) \phi = 0 \), for some \( \phi \in V_H^R \), and therefore \( \ker(R_q(T)) \neq \{0\} \). If \( \text{ran}(R_q(R_T)) \neq \mathcal{B}(V_H^R) \), then there exists \( B \in \mathcal{B}(V_H^R) \) such that \( R_q(R_T)(A) \neq B \) for all \( A \in B(V_H^R) \). That is \( AR_q(T) \neq B \), for all \( A \in B(V_H^R) \), and hence \( B \neq R_T(R_q(T)) \). Therefore \( \text{ran}(R_q(T)) \neq V_H^R \). Hence we can conclude that \( q \in \sigma_S(T) \) and \( \sigma_S(R_T) \subseteq \sigma_S(T) \). Because \( C(S, T) = L_S - R_T \), by part (c) of proposition \ref{prop:5.1} we have
\[
\sigma_S(C(S, T)) \subseteq \sigma_S(L_S) - \sigma_S(R_T) \subseteq \sigma_S(S) - \sigma_S(T).
\]
This establishes the inclusion \( \subseteq \) in assertion (a). Now for each \( A, B \in B(V_H^R) \), we have
\[
C(L_S, R_T)AB = L_S AB - AR_T B = SAB - AB T = C(S, T) AB.
\]
This implies that
\[
C(L_S, R_T) = C(S, T), \ \forall S, T \in B(V_H^R).
\]

On the other hand, using equation \ref{eq:5.1} from part (c),
\[
\sigma_{su}(C(S, T)) = \sigma_{su}(C(L_S, R_T)) \supseteq \sigma_{su}(L_S) - \sigma_{su}(R_T) = \sigma_{su}(S) - \sigma_{su}(T)
\]
as \( \sigma_{ap}(R_T) = \sigma_{su}(T) \) and \( \sigma_{su}(L_S) = \sigma_{su}(S) \). Similarly from part (b), we get
\[
\sigma_{ap}(C(S, T)) = \sigma_{ap}(C(L_S, R_T)) \supseteq \sigma_{ap}(L_S) - \sigma_{ap}(R_T) = \sigma_{ap}(S) - \sigma_{ap}(T)
\]
as \( \sigma_{ap}(L_S) = \sigma_{ap}(S) \) and \( \sigma_{ap}(R_T) = \sigma_{ap}(T) \). Now the inclusions \ref{eq:5.2} and \ref{eq:5.3} guarantee that the other inclusion in assertion (a) holds,
\[
\sigma_S(C(S, T)) \supseteq \sigma_S(L_S) - \sigma_S(R_T) \subseteq \sigma_S(S) - \sigma_S(T).
\]
Therefore the assertion (a) follows. Hence the theorem holds.
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