A NOTE ON TYPE OF WEAK-$L^1$ AND WEAK-$\ell^1$ SPACES

ANNA KAMIŃSKA

Abstract. We present a direct proof of the fact that the weak-$L^1$ and weak-$\ell^1$ spaces do not have type 1.

It has been known for some time that the weak-$L^1$ space is not normable, that is there does not exist a norm equivalent to the standard quasi-norm $\|f\|_{1,\infty}$ in the weak-$L^1$ space [2]. In [4, Proposition 2.3] it was proved more, namely that the weak-$L^1$ space does not have type 1. This was obtained indirectly as a corollary of more general investigations. Here we present a direct proof by constructing suitable sequences of functions that contradicts type 1 property in weak-$L^1$ or weak-$\ell^1$ spaces.

Let $r_n : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, be Rademacher functions, that is $r_n(t) = \text{sign} (\sin 2^n \pi t)$. A quasi-Banach space $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ has type 1 [3, 7] if there is a constant $K > 0$ such that, for any choice of finitely many vectors $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ from $X$,

$$\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(t)x_k \right\| dt \leq K \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|.$$ 

Clearly if $X$ is a normable space then $X$ has type 1. For theory of quasi-Banach spaces see [5].

If $f$ is a real-valued measurable function on $I$, where $I = (0, 1)$ or $I = (0, \infty)$, then we define the distribution function of $f$ by $d_f(\lambda) = |\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f(x)| > \lambda\}|$ for each $\lambda \geq 0$, where $| \cdot |$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$, and the decreasing rearrangement of $f$ is defined as

$$f^*(t) = \inf \{s > 0 : d_f(s) \leq t\}, \quad t \in I.$$ 

The weak-$L^1$ space on $I$, called also the Marcinkiewicz space and denoted by $L_{1,\infty}(I)$ [1, 6], is the collection of all real valued measurable functions on $I$ such that

$$\|f\|_{1,\infty} = \sup_{t \in I} t f^*(t) < \infty.$$ 

The space $L_{1,\infty}(I)$ equipped with the quasi-norm $\| \cdot \|_{1,\infty}$ is complete.

Analogously we define a sequence weak-$\ell^1$ space. Given a bounded real-valued sequence $x = \{x(n)\}$, consider the function $f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x(n)\chi_{[n-1,n)}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, and define a decreasing rearrangement $x^* = \{x^*(n)\}$ of the sequence $x$ as follows

$$x^*(n) = f^*(n-1), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

Then the weak-$\ell^1$ space denoted as $\ell_{1,\infty}$ consists of all sequences $x = \{x(n)\}$ such that

$$\|x\|_{1,\infty} = \sup_n n x^*(n) < \infty,$$

and $\ell_{1,\infty}$ equipped with $\| \cdot \|_{1,\infty}$ is a quasi-Banach space.
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Lemma 1. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence $(g_{jn})_{j=1}^{n} \subseteq L_{1,\infty}(0,1)$ such that
\[ \|g_{j(n-1)}\|_{1,\infty} \leq 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \]
and for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every choice of signs $\eta_j = \pm 1, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,$
\[ \frac{1}{2} n \log n \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_j g_{j(n-1)} \right\|_{1,\infty} \leq n \log n. \]

Proof. Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. Define for $t \in (0,1)$,
\[ f_{ki}(t) = \frac{1}{t + \frac{1}{n^k} - \frac{1}{t n^k}} \chi_\left(\left(\frac{1}{n^k}, \frac{1}{n^k} \right)\right) + \frac{1}{t - \frac{1}{t n^k}} \chi_\left(\left(\frac{1}{n^k}, \frac{1}{n^k} \right)\right). \]

Setting
\[ F_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f_{kj}, \]
we have
\[ F_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t + \frac{1}{n^k}} \chi_\left(\left(\frac{1}{n^k}, \frac{1}{n^k} \right)\right) \right) \quad t \in (0,1). \]

We will show that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $n \geq 10$,
\[ \frac{1}{2} n \log n \leq \|F_k\|_{1,\infty} \leq n \log n. \]

In fact, if $\frac{1}{n^k} < t \leq \frac{1}{n^k} + \frac{1}{n}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n - 1,$ then
\[ n^k (\log n - 1) \leq F_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t + \frac{1}{n^k}} \leq n^k \log n. \]

Hence for all $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{n^k} (1 - \frac{1}{n})$,
\[ n^k (\log n - 1) \leq F_k^*(t) \leq n^k \log n, \]
and so
\[ \|F_k\|_{1,\infty} \leq \frac{1}{n^k-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) n^k \log n \leq n \log n \]
and for all $n \geq 10$,
\[ \|F_k\|_{1,\infty} \geq \frac{1}{n^k-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) n^k (\log n - 1) \geq \frac{1}{2} n \log n. \]

Let $m = 1, \ldots, 2^{n-1}$ and $\varepsilon^m = (\varepsilon^m_1, \ldots, \varepsilon^m_{n-1})$ be a sequence of signs $\varepsilon^m_j = \pm 1, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. We assume here that $\varepsilon^m_1 \neq \varepsilon^m_2$ if $m_1 \neq m_2$. Define now the following functions
\[ G_m = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon^m_j f_{mj}. \]

Notice that the supports of $G_m$ are disjoint and that $G_{m_0} = F_{m_0}$ whenever $\varepsilon^m_j = 1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. Therefore
\[ \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} G_m \right\|_{1,\infty} \geq \|G_{m_0}\|_{1,\infty} = \|F_{m_0}\|_{1,\infty} \geq \frac{1}{2} n \log n. \]
On the other hand observe that
\[ \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} F_m \leq \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} n^m \log n \chi \left( \frac{1}{n^m}, \frac{1}{n^{m+1}} \right). \]

Then
\[ \left( \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} F_m \right) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} n^m \log n \chi \left( \frac{1}{n^m}, \frac{1}{n^m}, \frac{1}{n^{m+1}} \right), \]
and so
\[ \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} F_m \right\|_{1,\infty} \leq \max_{m=1,\ldots,2^{n-1}} \sup_{t \in \left( n^{-m-1}, n^{-m+1} - 2^{n-1} \right]} tn^m \log n \leq n \log n. \]

Hence
\[ \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} G_m \right\|_{1,\infty} \leq \left\| \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} F_m \right\|_{1,\infty} \leq n \log n. \]

Now, let for \( j = 1, \ldots, n-1, n \in \mathbb{N}, \)
\[ g_{j(n-1)} = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} \varepsilon_j^m f_{mj}. \]

For any \( \eta_j = \pm 1, j = 1, \ldots, n-1, \) we have
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_j g_{j(n-1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_j \left( \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} \varepsilon_j^m f_{mj} \right) = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_j \varepsilon_j^m f_{mj} \right). \]

Setting now \( \alpha_j^m = \eta_j \varepsilon_j^m, m = 1, \ldots, 2^{n-1}, j = 1, \ldots, n-1, \) we get
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_j g_{j(n-1)} = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j^m f_{mj} \right) = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} G_m. \]

Hence by the previous inequalities, for every choice of signs \( \eta_j = \pm 1 \) and for sufficiently large \( n, \) we have
\[ (0.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} n \log n \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_j g_{j(n-1)} \right\|_{1,\infty} \leq n \log n. \]

The functions \( f_{mj} \) have disjoint supports with respect to \( m = 1, \ldots, 2^{n-1} \) for each \( j = 1, \ldots, n-1. \) Hence
\[ |g_{j(n-1)}| = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} f_{mj} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp} |g_{j(n-1)}| = (n^{-2^{n-1}}, 1]. \]

It follows in view of the construction of the sequence \((f_{mj})\) that for \( j = 1, \ldots, n-1, t \in (0,1) \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) we have
\[ g_{j(n-1)}^*(t) = \frac{1}{t + n^{-2^{n-1}}} x_{(0,1-n^{-2^{n-1}}]}(t). \]

Hence for all \( j = 1, \ldots, n-1 \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \)
\[ (0.2) \quad \|g_{j(n-1)}\|_{1,\infty} = \sup_{t \in (0,1)} t g_{j(n-1)}^*(t) = \frac{t}{t + n^{-2^{n-1}}} x_{(0,1-n^{-2^{n-1}}]}(t) \leq 1. \]

In view of \((0.1)\) and \((0.2)\) the proof is completed.
Lemma 1 remains also true for the sequence space $\ell_{1,\infty}$.

**Theorem 3.** The spaces $L_{1,\infty}(I)$ and $\ell_{1,\infty}$ do not have type 1. In particular, $L_{1,\infty}(I)$ and $\ell_{1,\infty}$ are not normable.

**Proof.** Applying Lemma 1 we get

$$\frac{\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j(t)g_{jn} \right\|_{1,\infty} dt}{\sum_{j=1}^n \left\| g_{jn} \right\|_{1,\infty}} = \frac{2^{-n} \sum_{\eta_j=\pm 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j g_{jn} \right\|_{1,\infty}}{2n} \geq \frac{(n + 1) \log (n + 1)}{2n} \to \infty,$$

as $n \to \infty$, which shows that the space $L_{1,\infty}(I)$ does not have type 1. By Remark 2 the proof also holds for sequence case. \qed
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