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ABSTRACT. We consider representations of the Cuntz algebras \( \mathcal{O}_N \) as constructed by [2] and use these to define a faithful action of the analytic loop group \( L_{an}U(n) \) on \( \mathcal{O}_N \) for \( N \geq n \). This extends to a faithful action on the infinite Cuntz algebra \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \), and we show that this action can be used to explicitly construct \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K \)-bundles over spaces, which are geometric twists in higher twisted \( K \)-theory.

1. Introduction

The Cuntz algebras \( \mathcal{O}_N \) were first introduced by Cuntz in [4] and have come to be of great importance in the theory of operator algebras and a multitude of other areas. Cuntz algebras and their generalisations such as Cuntz-Krieger algebras arise frequently when working with dynamical systems, topological Markov chains and even signal processing, which is where the link with the multiresolution analysis construction in wavelet theory appears. As such, it is critical to the development of these fields to have concrete representations of the Cuntz algebras in various Hilbert spaces, and to obtain actions on the Cuntz algebras to further investigate their properties. Locally compact group actions on Cuntz algebras have been the object of interest in the work of various authors, [1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 12, 15]. In this paper, we define the action of infinite dimensional loop groups on Cuntz algebras for the first time.

Another field in which Cuntz algebras have found application in recent years is higher twisted \( K \)-theory. This is the twisted cohomology theory of topological \( K \)-theory which corresponds to all twists from the perspective of homotopy theory, as opposed to just those twists classified by third-degree integral cohomology. This area was introduced by Pennig in [13], and is based on work done by Dadarlat and Pennig in developing a Dixmier–Douady theory for strongly self-absorbing \( C^* \)-algebras in [6]; the Cuntz algebras \( \mathcal{O}_2 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \) are both examples of such algebras. The authors show that the full set of twists of \( K \)-theory over a manifold \( M \) are classified by algebra bundles over \( M \) with fibre \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K \), where \( K \) denotes the algebra of compact operators on some infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space. They also prove that when \( M \) has torsion-free cohomology, such bundles are classified by the odd-degree integral cohomology of \( M \). As such, it is of great interest to explicitly construct such bundles corresponding to cohomology classes, and this is an application of the action on \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \) that we will explore.

The main results of this paper are as follows. In Lemma 3.1 we show that the map defined at the beginning of Section 3 is a representation of \( \mathcal{O}_N \) on \( L^2(X, \mathbb{C}^n) \). The result of Theorem 4.1 is that \( L_{an}U(n) \) acts on \( \mathcal{O}_N \) by automorphisms, and in Theorem 4.2 we refine this
to an embedding of the real analytic loop group $L_{an}U(n)$ into the automorphism group of $\mathcal{O}_N$. Finally, Theorem 5.2 applies this embedding to produce $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$-algebra bundles with specified higher Dixmier–Douady invariants, and Theorem 5.3 provides a more elementary construction in the case that the Dixmier–Douady class decomposes into the cup product of a 2-class and a 3-class.

2. Preliminaries

We introduce the elementary definitions and notation that we will require in the remainder of this paper. Recall from [4] that $\mathcal{O}_N$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is defined to be the $C^*$-algebra generated by isometries $s_1, \ldots, s_N$ such that

(1) \[ s_i^* s_j = \delta_{ij} I \]

and

(2) \[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i s_i^* = I. \]

The definition is similar for $\mathcal{O}_\infty$, using an infinite sequence of isometries with the second condition modified to

(3) \[ \sum_{i=1}^{r} s_i s_i^* \leq I \]

for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$. We define a more general class of representations than in [2] by considering those realised on Hilbert spaces $L^2(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$ where $X$ is a measure space with probability measure $\mu$. Recall that $L^2(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all $L^2$-integrable functions $\xi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ in the sense that

$$\int_X \|\xi(x)\|_{\mathbb{C}^n}^2 d\mu < \infty$$

where $\| \cdot \|_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ denotes the standard norm on $\mathbb{C}^n$, modulo equality almost everywhere. This space, when equipped with the inner product

$$\langle \xi | \eta \rangle_{L^2} = \int_X \langle \xi(x) | \eta(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} d\mu,$$

becomes a Hilbert space and so we will represent the Cuntz algebras via a map $\mathcal{O}_N \hookrightarrow B(L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C}^n))$.

We use the same notation as [2] and summarise it here. Let $\sigma_i : X \rightarrow X$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$ be maps such that $\sigma_i(X) \cap \sigma_j(X)$ has measure zero if $i \neq j$ and the measures of $\sigma_i(X)$ sum to 1. Let $\rho_i = \mu(\sigma_i(X))$ and assume that

(4) \[ \int_X f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho_i \int_X f \circ \sigma_i d\mu \]

for all $f \in L^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$. This is equivalent to requiring that $\mu(\sigma_i(Y)) = \rho_i \mu(Y)$ for all measurable $Y \subset X$, which implies that the $\sigma_i$ are injections up to measure zero. Thus we may define a map $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ by $\sigma \circ \sigma_i = \text{id}$, and this will be well-defined up to measure zero. We defer the construction of the representations until the next section.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 3 extends a class of representations of $\mathcal{O}_N$ in $L^2(X)$ developed by Bratteli and Jorgensen in [2] to the Hilbert space $L^2(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$, and we
show that the conditions posed and computations performed in the paper apply analogously in this case. We then restrict our attention to the case $X = S^1$ which is of specific interest, and give a simple form for an explicit representation of $\mathcal{O}_N$ in $L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Section 4 then investigates an action of $LU(n)$ on $\mathcal{O}_N$ using this representation, and we see that this allows $LU(n)$ to be embedded as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_n)$. In order to extend this to an appropriate action on $\mathcal{O}_N$ for $N > n$ and $\mathcal{O}_\infty$, we restrict to the class of real analytic loops $L_{an}U(n)$ instead, and obtain an embedding $L_{an}U(n) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_N)$ for $N > n$, including the case $N = \infty$. Finally, we apply this result in Section 5 to construct algebra bundles with fibre the stabilised infinite Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ which possess a specified higher Dixmier–Douady invariant, and discuss the relevance of this in the context of higher twisted $K$-theory. A special case is considered in Subsection 5.1, in which the Dixmier–Douady class is taken to be the cup product of a 2-class and a 3-class.

3. Representations of $\mathcal{O}_N$ on $L^2(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$

We begin by constructing a more general class of representations than we will use, as representations of $\mathcal{O}_N$ are of interest in operator theory. Our representations are defined in terms of measurable functions $m_1, \ldots, m_N : X \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and in order to ensure that they are representations, we require that the $nN \times nN$ matrix

\begin{equation}
\begin{pmatrix}
\sqrt{\rho_1}m_1(\sigma_1(x)) & \sqrt{\rho_2}m_1(\sigma_2(x)) & \cdots & \sqrt{\rho_N}m_1(\sigma_N(x)) \\
\sqrt{\rho_1}m_2(\sigma_1(x)) & \sqrt{\rho_2}m_2(\sigma_2(x)) & \cdots & \sqrt{\rho_N}m_2(\sigma_N(x)) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sqrt{\rho_1}m_N(\sigma_1(x)) & \sqrt{\rho_2}m_N(\sigma_2(x)) & \cdots & \sqrt{\rho_N}m_N(\sigma_N(x))
\end{pmatrix}
\end{equation}

is unitary. We then define the representation $\mathcal{O}_N \hookrightarrow B(L^2(X, \mathbb{C}^n))$ to be $s_i \mapsto S_i$ where

\begin{equation}
(S_i\xi)(x) = m_i(x)\xi(\sigma(x)).
\end{equation}

Note that this is of the exact same form as the representation $\mathcal{O}_N \hookrightarrow B(L^2(X))$ in [2], but the crucial difference is that the $m_i$ are now matrix-valued functions. We show that these are indeed representations, i.e. that the $S_i$ satisfy the Cuntz relations (1) and (2).

**Lemma 3.1.** The operators $S_1, \ldots, S_N$ satisfy the Cuntz relations.

**Proof.** We firstly need to find an expression for the adjoint operators $S_i^*$. For $\xi, \eta \in L^2(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$ we have

\[
\langle S_i\eta | \xi \rangle_{L^2} = \int_X \langle m_i(x)\eta(\sigma(x)) | \xi(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \, d\mu
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^N \rho_k \int_X \langle m_i(\sigma_k(x))\eta(\sigma(\sigma_k(x))) | \xi(\sigma_k(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \, d\mu
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^N \rho_k \int_X \left\langle \eta(x) \left| \frac{m_i(\sigma_k(x))}{m_i(\sigma_k(x))} \right| T \right\rangle \xi(\sigma_k(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \, d\mu
\]

\[
= \int_X \left\langle \eta(x) \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \rho_k m_i(\sigma_k(x)) \xi(\sigma_k(x)) \right| \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \, d\mu
\]
where (4) was used to introduce the sum, and therefore

\[(7) \quad (S^*_i \xi)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho_k m_i(\sigma_k(x))^T \xi(\sigma_k(x)).\]

Next, it can be seen that

\[(S^*_i S_j \xi)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho_k m_i(\sigma_k(x))^T (S_j \xi)(\sigma_k(x))\]

\[= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho_k m_i(\sigma_k(x))^T m_j(\sigma_k(x)) \xi((\sigma \circ \sigma_k)(x))\]

\[= \delta_{ij} \xi(x)\]

by the unitarity of (5). This proves the relation (1). To prove the second relation, observe that

\[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|S^*_i \xi\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle S^*_i \xi | S^*_i \xi \rangle_{L^2}\]

\[= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} \rho_j \rho_k \int_X \left\langle m_i(\sigma_j(x))^T \xi(\sigma_j(x)) | m_i(\sigma_k(x))^T \xi(\sigma_k(x)) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} d\mu\]

\[= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} \sqrt{\rho_j \rho_k} \int_X \left\langle \xi(\sigma_j(x)) | \sqrt{\rho_j \rho_k} m_i(\sigma_j(x))^T \xi(\sigma_k(x)) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} d\mu\]

\[= \sum_{j,k=1}^{N} \sqrt{\rho_j \rho_k} \int_X \langle \xi(\sigma_j(x)) | \delta_{jk} I \xi(\sigma_k(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} d\mu\]

\[= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \rho_j \int_X \langle \xi(\sigma_j(x)) | \xi(\sigma_j(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} d\mu\]

\[= \int_X \|\xi(x)\|^2_{L^2} d\mu\]

\[= \|\xi\|^2_{L^2},\]

where both (4) and the unitarity of (5) have been employed. Thus (2) follows. \[\square\]

We will now choose a specific set of functions $m_i$ which will give a particularly simple representation, and allow the proposed action of $LU(n)$ on $O_N$ to be expressed in a straightforward manner. Letting $\rho_k = \frac{1}{N}$ for all $k$ and defining $m_i$ via

\[(8) \quad m_i(x) = \sqrt{N} \chi_{\sigma_i(X)}(x) I,\]

we see that matrix (5) simply reduces to the identity matrix and so these functions do provide a representation of $O_N$ of the form

\[(9) \quad (T_i \xi)(x) = \sqrt{N} \chi_{\sigma_i(X)}(x) \xi(\sigma(x)).\]
So far, we have constructed a general class of representations of $\mathcal{O}_N$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(X, \mathbb{C}^n)$ which are likely to be of interest in the world of operator algebras. In particular, it may be possible to relate the results about wavelets studied in [2] to these more general representations, allowing for further insight into this area. We now restrict our attention to the case of interest, where $X = S^1$ is taken equipped with the normalised Haar measure, and study the action of $LU(n)$ on $\mathcal{O}_N \hookrightarrow B(L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C}^n))$.

Now that we are working with an explicit space $X = S^1$, we can make the representations described above more tangible. We define $\sigma_i$ such that $\{\sigma_1(S^1), \cdots, \sigma_N(S^1)\}$ partitions the circle into $N$ disjoint arcs, namely
\begin{equation}
\sigma_k(e^{2\pi i \theta}) = e^{2\pi i (\theta + k)/N}
\end{equation}
for $\theta \in [0, 1)$. Then the map $\sigma : S^1 \to S^1$ is given by $\sigma(z) = z^N$, and the formulas (6), (7) become
\begin{align}
(S_i \xi)(z) &= m_i(z) \xi(z^N); \\
(S_i^* \xi)(z) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} m_i(e^{2\pi ik/N})^T \xi(e^{2\pi ik/N}).
\end{align}
In particular, the simple representation given in (9) takes the form
\begin{equation}
(T_i \xi)(z) = \sqrt{N} \chi_{\sigma_i(S^1)}(z) \xi(z^N).
\end{equation}

4. An action of $LU(n)$ on $\mathcal{O}_N$

Given this representation $\mathcal{O}_N \hookrightarrow B(L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C}^n))$, we can now define the continuous action of $LU(n)$ on $\mathcal{O}_N$ with $N \geq n$. The choice of $X = S^1$ becomes apparent here – this is precisely the domain of a loop $\gamma \in LU(n)$.

**Theorem 4.1.** A loop $\gamma \in LU(n)$ will act on $T_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ to produce the operator
\begin{equation}
(\gamma \cdot T_i)(\xi)(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma(z)_{ij} T_j(\xi)(z) = \sqrt{N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{\sigma_j(S^1)}(z) \gamma(z)_{ij} \right) \xi(z^N),
\end{equation}
and if $i > n$ then $\gamma$ acts as the identity on $T_i$. Extending this action to $\mathcal{O}_N$ by requiring it to preserve linearity, multiplicity and adjoints allows $LU(n)$ to act on $\mathcal{O}_N$ by automorphisms.

**Proof.** Firstly, it is clear that this is an action: the loop constant at the identity matrix will act as the identity and compatibility follows from associativity of matrix multiplication. It is also apparent that if $\gamma \in LU(n)$ acts on an element of $\mathcal{O}_N$, the resulting element of $B(L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C}^n))$ will still be in $\mathcal{O}_N$ since all elements of the generating set $\{T_1, \cdots, T_N\}$ are mapped into $\mathcal{O}_N$ by the action of $\gamma$.

We must now show that $LU(n)$ acts by automorphisms. Denote the map induced on $\mathcal{O}_N$ by $\gamma \in LU(n)$ by $\alpha_\gamma$. Clearly $\alpha_\gamma$ is an algebra homomorphism by the way in which the action is extended to $\mathcal{O}_N$ from the generators, and $\alpha_{\gamma^{-1}}$ will be an inverse to this homomorphism. Hence $\alpha$ is a map $LU(n) \to \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_N)$ as required. \qed

For our purposes, we desire an action which embeds $LU(n)$ inside $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_N)$ as a subgroup. In order to ensure this, however, we need to make an adjustment to the type of loops which we consider. As can be observed in the following proof, to ensure that this map is an embedding we need to be able to conclude that a loop in $U(n)$ which is constant on a subinterval of $S^1$
is a constant loop. A suitable class of loops that can be considered is the real analytic loops $L_{an}U(n)$, discussed in Section 3.5 of [14], which are those possessing a convergent Taylor series representation in some annulus. If such a loop was constant on a subinterval of $S^1$, then the convergence of the Taylor series guarantees that it will be a constant loop. In order to endow $L_{an}U(n)$ with a suitable notion of topology, we view it as the direct limit of the Banach Lie groups $L_{an,r}U(n)$ consisting of functions which are holomorphic in the annulus $r \leq |z| \leq r^{-1}$ for $r < 1$, where these groups have the topology of uniform convergence.

We now show that the action described above allows $L_{an}U(n)$ to be embedded as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(O_N)$.

**Theorem 4.2.** The homomorphism $\alpha : L_{an}U(n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(O_N)$ is injective.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\gamma \in L_{an}U(n)$ acts as the identity on $O_N$, i.e. $\gamma \cdot T_i = T_i$ for all $T_i$. Then

$$\sqrt{N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{\sigma_j(S^1)}(z) \gamma(z)_{ij} \right) \xi(z^N) = \sqrt{N} \chi_{\sigma_1(S^1)}(z) \xi(z^N)$$

for all $\xi \in L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $z \in S^1$. In particular, if $z \in \sigma_k(S^1)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$, this shows that

$$\gamma(z)_{ik} \xi(z^N) = \delta_{ik} \xi(z^N)$$

and hence $\gamma(z) = I$ for all $z \in \gamma_k(S^1)$. Since $n \geq 1$, this means that $\gamma(z) = I$ for all $z$ contained inside some subinterval of $S^1$, and as discussed above this implies that $\gamma$ is the constant loop at the identity since $\gamma$ is a real analytic loop. \qed

**Remark 4.1.** It can be seen that if $n = N$ then $\gamma(z) = I$ for all $z \in S^1$ without resorting to real analytic loops, and so the map $LU(n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(O_n)$ is an embedding. For our purposes, however, we wish to extend this action to $O_{\infty}$ and so this case is not of interest.

This is a somewhat surprising result, as we are aiming towards a differential geometric construction which utilises this action, and the real analytic loops are not a common point of study in differential geometry. It is, however, valid to replace $LU(n)$ by $L_{an}U(n)$ for our purposes. The Whitney Approximation Theorem (see Theorem 6.26 of [9]) states that every continuous map between smooth manifolds is homotopic to a smooth map, and therefore the inclusion $L_{an}U(n) \hookrightarrow LU(n)$ is a homotopy equivalence. Hence these spaces have the same topology, and since we are particularly concerned with the cohomology of the loop group and using this to classify principal bundles, we are able to use $L_{an}U(n)$ in place of $LU(n)$.

Now, we are particularly interested in an action on $O_{\infty}$, and so we use the embedding $O_N \hookrightarrow O_{\infty}$ given simply by inclusion of isometries to extend our action of $L_{an}U(n)$ to $O_{\infty}$ by requiring a real analytic loop $\gamma$ to act trivially on $T_i$ for any $i > n$. By the results above, this will yield an embedding $L_{an}U(n) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(O_{\infty})$.

5. **Explicit constructions of $O_{\infty} \otimes K$ bundles**

We use Theorem 4.2 to define an action of $L_{an}U(n)$ on $O_{\infty}$ in order to construct $O_{\infty} \otimes K$-algebra bundles which are geometric twists in higher twisted $K$-theory.
Take a principal $L^e_{\text{an}}U(n)$-bundle
\[ L^e_{\text{an}}U(n) \longrightarrow P \]
\[ \pi \quad \downarrow \]
\[ M, \]
where $L^e_{\text{an}}U(n)$ denotes real analytic loops in $U(n)$ that are based at the identity $e$.

**Lemma 5.1.** The inclusion $L^e_{\text{an}}U(n) \hookrightarrow L^eU(n)$ is a homotopy equivalence.

**Proof.** By the Weierstrass approximation theorem, every continuous loop in $U(n)$ can be uniformly approximated as closely as desired by a polynomial loop in $U(n)$, and therefore is homotopic to it, c.f. [9] for details. \(\square\)

Therefore such a bundle in (15) is classified up to isomorphism by the homotopy class of a map $f : M \to U(n)$ since the based loop space of the classifying space of a group is of the same homotopy type as the group itself. In particular, every cohomology class in $f^*(H^{\text{odd}}(U(n), \mathbb{Z})) \subset H^{\text{odd}}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is a characteristic class of the $L^e_{\text{an}}U(n)$-bundle $P$. Furthermore, when $n$ is in the stable range, i.e. greater than half of the dimension of $M$, the set of homotopy classes of maps $[M, U(n)]$ will be equal to $[M, U(\infty)] = K^1(M)$, and so a $L^e_{\text{an}}U(n)$-bundle over $M$ will be classified by an element of $K^1(M)$. Then using the Chern character map
\[ ch : K^1(M) \to H^{\text{odd}}(M), \]
which is a rational isomorphism, we see that these $L^e_{\text{an}}U(n)$-bundles over $M$ will give rise to “most” odd cohomology classes of $M$.

We can now construct algebra bundles with fibre $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ over a manifold $M$ via the associated bundle construction as follows. Define
\[ \mathcal{E} = P \times_{L^e_{\text{an}}U(n)} (\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}) \to M \]
where we use Theorem 4.2 to define an action of $L^e_{\text{an}}U(n)$ on $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$, acting trivially on $\mathcal{K}$. Such bundles are geometric twists for higher twisted $K$-theory. The characteristic classes of $\mathcal{E}$ are precisely those of $P$, therefore we have constructed topologically nontrivial $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$-algebra bundles over $M$.

We have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let $\delta \in K^1(M)$ and $P_{\delta}$ a principal $L^e_{\text{an}}U(n)$-bundle over $M$ with characteristic class $\delta$, where $n$ is in the stable range. Then the associated bundle $\mathcal{E} = P_{\delta} \times_{L^e_{\text{an}}U(n)} (\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K})$ over $M$ will be an $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$-algebra bundle with higher Dixmier–Douady invariant $\delta$.

This result is of fundamental importance in higher twisted $K$-theory, as there have not yet been any explicit constructions to produce $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$-algebra bundles corresponding to higher Dixmier–Douady invariants. This result could be used to perform computations in cases which were previously not possible.

5.1. **The decomposable case.** A special case in which Theorem 4.2 may be used in a simpler construction is when the class $\delta \in H^5(M)$ is decomposable. The construction can be generalised to higher odd degree classes, but will not be carried out here. The construction given here is the degree 5 analog of the decomposable degree 3 twist construction given in §8.2 in [10], see also [11].
We let \( \delta \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cup H^3(M, \mathbb{Z}) \), and take \( \alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}^N) \) and \( \beta \in H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}^N) \) such that \( \delta = \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle \) where \( \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle \) is the pairing \( H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}^N) \times H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}^N) \to H^5(X, \mathbb{Z}) \) given by the cup product and the standard inner product \( \mathbb{Z}^N \times \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{Z} \). We then identify \( \alpha \) with a map \( \alpha : M \to U(1)^N \) and \( \beta \) with a map \( \beta : M \to PU^N \), and form the principal torus bundle

\[
U(1)^N \longrightarrow P_\alpha \\
\downarrow \\
M
\]

with Chern class \( \alpha \) and the principal \( PU^N \)-bundle

\[
PU^N \longrightarrow Q_\beta \\
\downarrow \\
M
\]

with Dixmier–Douady invariant \( \beta \). Taking the fibred product of these principal bundles yields the principal bundle

\[
U(1)^N \times PU^N \longrightarrow P_\alpha \times_M Q_\beta \\
\downarrow \\
M
\]

with invariant \( \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \delta \), and so in order to construct an associated algebra bundle with fibre \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K} \) possessing higher Dixmier–Douady invariant \( \delta \) we require an embedding \( U(1)^N \times PU^N \hookrightarrow Aut(\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}) \).

Since \( PU \) is isomorphic to the automorphism group of \( \mathcal{K} \) by conjugation, we have the obvious action \( PU \cong Aut(\mathcal{K}) \). Then by Corollary T.5.19 of [16], which implies that the tensor product of two automorphisms of \( C^* \)-algebras is itself an automorphism of the tensor product algebra, we have a map \( PU^N \hookrightarrow Aut(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \). Finally, the nuclearity of \( \mathcal{K} \) provides a map \( PU^N \hookrightarrow Aut(\mathcal{K}) \).

Now, Theorem 4.2 provides an embedding \( L_{an} U(N) \hookrightarrow Aut(\mathcal{O}_\infty) \), and restricting to constant diagonal loops gives \( U(1)^N \hookrightarrow Aut(\mathcal{O}_\infty) \). Then using Corollary T.5.19 of [16] again we obtain a map \( U(1)^N \times PU^N \to Aut(\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}) \), and this will be injective as required since \( PU^N \) acts on \( \mathcal{K} \) independently of \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \) and \( U(1)^N \) acts on \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \) independently of \( \mathcal{K} \).

Finally, we use the associated bundle construction to form

\[
\mathcal{E} = (P_\alpha \times_M Q_\beta) \times_{U(1)^N \times PU^N} (\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}),
\]

which is an algebra bundle over \( M \) whose fibres are isomorphic to \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K} \), and whose characteristic classes are equal to those of \( P_\alpha \times_M Q_\beta \). Hence we have proved the following.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \( M \) be a manifold and \( \delta \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cup H^3(M, \mathbb{Z}) \) with \( \alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}^N) \) and \( \beta \in H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}^N) \) such that \( \delta = \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle \). Let \( P_\alpha \) be a principal \( U(1)^N \)-bundle over \( M \) with Chern class \( \alpha \) and \( Q_\beta \) be a principal \( PU^N \)-bundle over \( M \) with Dixmier–Douady invariant \( \beta \). Then the associated bundle to the fibred product bundle

\[
\mathcal{E} = (P_\alpha \times_M Q_\beta) \times_{U(1)^N \times PU^N} (\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K})
\]

will be an \( \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K} \)-algebra bundle over \( M \) with higher Dixmier–Douady invariant \( \delta \).
This illustrates a more straightforward application of the action presented here in constructing explicit realisations of the geometric higher twists of $K$-theory. This method may prove particularly useful in simplifying computations for higher twisted $K$-theory groups with twists given by decomposable 5-classes.
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