Abstract. As an application of the Bochner formula, we prove that if a 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold admits a non-trivial smooth tangent vector field $X$ then its Gauss curvature is the divergence of a tangent vector field, constructed from $X$, defined on the open subset out the zeroes of $X$. Thanks to the Whitney embedding theorem and a standard approximation procedure, as a consequence, we give a new proof of the following well-known fact: if on an orientable, connected and compact 2-dimensional smooth manifold there exists a continuous tangent vector field with no zeroes, then the manifold is diffeomorphic (or equivalently homeomorphic) to a torus.

1. Introduction

One of the most famous results in topology is the so-called “Hairy Ball Theorem” which states that every continuous tangent vector field defined on an even-dimensional sphere vanishes somewhere, a fact that can be rephrased in several forms and has some interesting consequences. For example, the result can be restated by claiming that, if $n = 2N$ is an even natural number, then there are no continuous unitary tangent vector fields on $S^n$, and can be used to demonstrate that every continuous map $f : S^{2N} \rightarrow S^{2N}$ has either a fixed point or an antipodal point (a point $x$ such that $f(x) = -x$) [21].

The best-known proof of the Hairy Ball Theorem is due to Brouwer [4] and is based on the homology of the spheres. Other proofs use degree theory [7] and other algebraic topology arguments [5, 6], but there are also analytic proofs [13], combinatorial proofs [10], proofs based on the vector analysis of differential forms [3], on differential topology [12, 18], etc. The proof we present in this paper is based on Riemannian geometry arguments, is restricted to the case $n = 2$ and, as far as we know, it is the first one of this type that exists.

The case $n = 2$ has special relevance and was first proved by Poincaré [18] using his index theorem, which characterizes Euler characteristic as the sum of the indices of a tangent vector field whose singularities are isolated. In particular, if a nowhere vanishing tangent vector field exists on a connected compact surface $M$, then $\chi(M) = 0$, which
represents an obstruction to the existence of such tangent vector fields on the sphere, since \( \chi(S^2) = 2 \). Note that Euler’s characteristic can be used to reformulate the result as a characterization of the topological torus. Indeed, if \( M \) is an orientable connected compact 2-dimensional smooth manifold, then \( \chi(M) = 2 - 2g \), where \( g \) is the genus of \( M \). Thus, \( M \) is a torus if and only if \( \chi(M) = 0 \), since \( g = 1 \) is the unique solution of \( 2 - 2g = 0 \). On the other hand, it is not difficult to construct nowhere vanishing tangent vector fields on the torus (a fact that, surprisingly, has relevant applications in fusion theory [15, Chapter 4]). Thus, it is natural to state Hairy Ball Theorem as follows:

**Theorem 1.** Assume \( M \) is a 2-dimensional orientable, connected and compact smooth manifold. Then the following are equivalent statements:

(a) \( M \) admits a continuous tangent vector field with no zeroes.

(b) \( M \) is diffeomorphic (or equivalently homeomorphic) to a torus.

In this note, we present a new proof of Theorem 1. The main ingredients of the proof are, after endowing \( M \) with a Riemannian metric \( g \), a combination of the Bochner technique, Gauss-Bonnet theorem, and a standard approximation argument. We only demonstrate the implication \( (a) \Rightarrow (b) \), since the other implication is an easy exercise that consists of the explicit definition of a nowhere vanishing tangent vector field on the torus.

### 2. Proof of the main result

One of the most important techniques in Riemannian geometry is the Bochner technique [16, Chapter 9], [22]. Roughly, it consists of relating the existence of certain non-trivial tangent vector fields on a Riemannian manifold with properties of the curvature of such a manifold. In the compact case, it leads to the vanishing of certain geometrically relevant tangent vector fields (Killing or conformal) under the assumption of positive or negative curvature everywhere [22].

Our argument for the proof of \( (a) \Rightarrow (b) \) in Theorem 1 will split into three steps. In the first one, given a 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold \( (M, g) \), if it admits a smooth tangent vector field with no zeroes, we use the well known Bochner formula (see, e.g., [22, 19]) to construct a tangent vector field on \( M \) whose divergence, with respect to \( g \), is equal to the Gauss curvature of \( g \). In the second step, we will start from a compact and connected 2-dimensional smooth manifold \( M \) which admits a nowhere zero continuous tangent vector field. We will endow \( M \) with a Riemannian metric \( g \). Then we will prove, by a classical approximation argument, that existence of the nowhere zero continuous tangent vector field leads to the existence of a smooth one also with no zeroes. Finally, in the last step, we will make use of the global Gauss-Bonnet theorem [1, 8] to get that \( M \) must be a torus.

**Step 1. An application of the Bochner formula**

Consider a compact, orientable and connected 2-dimensional manifold \( M \). The classical Whitney embedding theorem [2, Theorem 5.4.8] guarantees that we can get a smooth
embedding of $M$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$, for $n$ large enough. Then we can consider on $M$ the metric $g$ induced by the usual one of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and this is precisely what we do.

The Bochner formula states that

$$X(\text{div}(X)) = -\text{Ric}(X, X) + \text{div}(\nabla_X X) - \text{trace}(A_X^2),$$

for all smooth tangent vector field $X$, where Ric is the Ricci tensor of $(M, g)$, div denotes the divergence on $(M, g)$ and $A_X$ is the linear operator field defined by $A_X(v) = -\nabla_v X$, being $\nabla$ the Levi-Civita connection of $g$ and $v \in T_x M$, $x \in M$ [22] [19].

Now observe that

$$\text{div}(X) = -\text{trace}(A_X),$$

for any $X$ and any dimension of $M$.

On the other hand, when $\dim M = 2$, we have

$$\text{Ric}(X, Y) = K g(X, Y),$$

for all $X, Y$, where $K$ denotes the Gauss curvature of $g$.

We want to apply Bochner formula to the unitary tangent vector field $T := T/g(T, T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in the case $\dim M = 2$. Taking into account $g(T, T) = 1$, we have that the matrix of $A_T$ respect to an orthonormal basis $(T, E)$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Therefore, we have

$$\text{trace}(A_T^2) = (\text{trace}(A_T))^2 = (\text{div}(T))^2.$$  

Hence, we arrive to the following formula,

$$(1) \quad T(\text{div}(T)) = -K + \text{div}(\nabla_T T) - (\text{div}(T))^2.$$  

On the other hand, using the well known formula

$$\text{div}(f X) = X(f) + f\text{div}(X),$$

which holds true for any tangent vector field $X$ and any smooth function $f$ on $M$, we get

$$(2) \quad (\text{div}(T))^2 = \text{div}(\text{div}(T) T) - T(\text{div}(T)), $$

and substituting (2) in (1), we get

$$K = \text{div}(\nabla_T T - \text{div}(T) T)$$

$$= \text{div} \left( \nabla_T T - T \text{div}(T) \right).$$

Thus, taking $Y = \nabla_T T - \text{div}(T) T$ we have the announced formula

$$(3) \quad K = \text{div}(Y).$$
Step 2. The approximation argument

Every continuous tangent vector field $X$ on $M$ may be identified with a continuous map $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, satisfying that $X(x)$ lies in the tangent space $T_x(M)$ (contemplated into $\mathbb{R}^n$ via the embedding), for all $x \in M$. Now, assume a continuous tangent vector field $X$ that vanishes nowhere and consider $Z := \frac{X}{\|X\|} = (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$, where $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Note that every function $Z_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, is continuous.

On the other hand, compactness of $M$ implies that $M \subset \overline{B_0}(r) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| \leq r \}$, for some $r > 0$. Thus, Tietze’s extension theorem [11, 14] implies that there exist functions $F_i \in C(\overline{B_0}(r), \mathbb{R})$ such that $F_i|_M = Z_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Apply now the Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem [17, Theorem 4.1] (see also [20]) to the space of continuous functions $C(\overline{B_0}(r), \mathbb{R})$ and its subalgebra $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ to conclude that there are polynomials $P_i \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq r} |F_i(x) - P_i(x)| < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{x \in M} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |Z_i(x) - P_i(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sup_{\|x\| \leq r} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |F_i(x) - P_i(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left( n \max_{1 \leq i \leq n, \|x\| \leq r} |F_i(x) - P_i(x)| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left( n \frac{1}{4n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

In particular,

$$P = (P_1, \ldots, P_n) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n,$$

defines a smooth map. Let us now consider the tangential component $T$ of $P$. In other words, we consider, for each $x \in M$, the decomposition of $T_x \mathbb{R}^n$ as a direct sum $T_x \mathbb{R}^n = T_x M \oplus T^*_x M$ and decompose

$$P = T + U,$$
along the embedding, with $T(x) \in T_xM$ and $U(x) \in T_x^\perp M$, for each $x \in M$. It follows that $T(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in M$ since $T(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in M$ implies that
\[ \frac{1}{4} > \| P(x_0) - Z(x_0) \|^2 = \| U(x_0) - Z(x_0) \|^2 = \| U(x_0) \|^2 + 1 > 1, \]
which is impossible (note that in the formula above we have used that $U(x_0) \perp Z(x_0)$). Hence $T$ is a smooth tangent vector field with no zeroes on $M$.

**Remark.** Obviously, the same conclusion can be obtained for $M$ of any dimension.

**Step 3. The Gauss-Bonnet argument**

If we apply the classical divergence theorem taking in mind (3), we have that
\[ \int_M K \, dA = 0, \]
(compare with [8, Theorem p. 68]). However, from the Gauss-Bonnet theorem we know
\[ \int_M K \, dA = 2\pi \chi(M), \]
where $\chi(M)$ is the Euler number of $M$. Therefore, $\chi(M) = 0$ and consequently $M$ is a torus [9, Theorem 9.3.11].
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