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Abstract

For the partial theta function

$$\theta(q, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{(j+1)/2} z^j, \quad q, z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |q| < 1,$$

we prove that its zero set is connected. This set is smooth at every point $(q^\flat, z^\flat)$ such that $z^\flat$ is a simple or double zero of $\theta(q^\flat, .)$. For $q \in (0, 1)$, $q \to 1^-$ and $a \geq e^\pi$, there are $o(1/(1 - q))$ and $(\ln(a/e^\pi))/2(1 + q) + o(1/(1 + q))$ real zeros of $\theta(q, .)$ in the intervals $[-e^\pi, 0)$ and $[-a, -e^{-\pi}]$ respectively (and none in $[0, \infty)$). For $q \in (-1, 0)$, $q \to -1^+$ and $a \geq e^{\pi/2}$, there are $o(1/(1 + q))$ real zeros of $\theta(q, .)$ in the intervals $[-e^{\pi/2}, e^{\pi/2}]$ and $(\ln(a/e^{\pi/2}))/2 + o(1/(1 + q))$ in each of the intervals $[-a, -e^{\pi/2}]$ and $[e^{\pi/2}, a]$.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Definition of the partial theta function

For $q \in D_1$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, where $D_r$ stands for the open disk of radius $r$ centered at $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, one defines the partial theta function by the formula

$$\theta(q, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{(j+1)/2} z^j. \quad (1)$$

This terminology is explained by the resemblance of formula (1) with the one defining the Jacobi theta function $\Theta(q, z) := \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^j z^j$; the word “partial” refers to the summation in the case of $\theta$ taking place only over the nonnegative values of $j$. One has $\theta(q^2, z/q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j^2} z^j$. We consider $q$ as a parameter and $z$ as a variable. For each $q$ fixed, $\theta(q, .)$ is an entire function.

The function $\theta$ has been studied as Ramanujan-type series in [28]. Its applications in statistical physics and combinatorics are explained in [27]. Other fields, where $\theta$ is used, are the theory of (mock) modular forms (see [4]) and asymptotic analysis (see [2]). Asymptotics, modularity and other properties of partial and false theta functions are considered in [5] with regard to conformal field theory and representation theory, and in [3] when asymptotic expansions of regularized characters and quantum dimensions of the $(1, p)$-singlet algebra modules are studied.

A recent domain of interest for $\theta$ (in the case when the parameter $q$ is real) is the theory of section-hyperbolic polynomials, i.e. real univariate polynomials of degree $\geq 2$ with all roots real negative and such that, when their highest-degree monomial is deleted, this gives again a polynomial having only real negative roots. The classical results of Hardy, Petrovitch and Hutchinson in this direction (see [6], [26] and [7]) have been continued in [25], [8] and [24]. Various analytic properties of $\theta$ are studied in [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20] and [21]. See more about $\theta$ in [1].
1.2 The zero set and the spectrum of the partial theta function

In the present paper we consider the zero set of θ, i.e. the set \( S := \{(q, z) \in \mathbb{D}_1 \times \mathbb{C}, \theta(q, z) = 0\} \).

In Section 2 we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** The set \( S \) is a connected analytic subset of \( \mathbb{D}_1 \times \mathbb{C} \). It is smooth at every point \((q^0, z^0)\) such that \( z^0 \) is a simple or double zero of \( \theta(q^0, ..) \).

**Remarks 2.**
1. B. Z. Shapiro has introduced the notion of spectrum of \( \theta \) as the set of values of \( q \) for which \( (q, ..) \) has a multiple zero, see [24]. Suppose that \( q \) is real, i.e. \( q \in (-1, 0) \cup (0, 1) \) (the case \( q = 0 \) is of little interest since \( \theta(0, z) \equiv 1 \)). If \( q \in (0, 1) \), then \( \theta(q, ..) \) has infinitely-many real zeros and they are all negative. There are also infinitely-many spectral numbers \( 0 < \hat{q}_1 < \hat{q}_2 < \cdots < \hat{q}_k < \cdots < 1, \lim_{k \to \infty} \hat{q}_k = 1^+ \), see [10].

   (2) For \( q \in (0, \hat{q}_1) \) (where \( \hat{q}_1 = 0.3092 \ldots \)), all zeros of \( \theta(q, ..) \) are real, negative and distinct: \( \ldots < \xi_2 < \xi_1 < 0; \) one has \( \theta(q, x) > 0 \) for \( x \in (\xi_{2j+1}, \xi_{2j}) \) and \( \theta(q, x) < 0 \) for \( x \in (\xi_{2j}, \xi_{2j-1}) \). For \( q \in (\hat{q}_k, \hat{q}_{k+1}) \subset (0, 1), k \in \mathbb{N}, \hat{q}_0 := 0, \) the function \( \theta(q, ..) \) has exactly \( k \) pairs of complex conjugate zeros (counted with multiplicity). When \( q \in (0, 1) \) increases and passes through the spectral value \( \hat{q}_k \), the two zeros \( \xi_{2k-1} \) and \( \xi_{2k} \) coalesce and then form a complex conjugate pair, see [10]. The index \( j \) of the zero \( \xi_j \) is meaningful as long as \( \xi_j \) is real, i.e. for \( q \in (0, \hat{q}_{(j+1)/2}] \), where \([ \cdot ]\) stands for “the integer part of”.

   (3) Asymptotic expansions of the numbers \( \hat{q}_k \) are proved in [11] and [24]. The formula of [21] reads:

   \[
   \hat{q}_k = 1 - \pi/2k + (\ln k)/8k^2 + O(1/k^3), \quad \hat{y}_k = -e^\pi e^{-(\ln k)/4k + O(1/k)}
   \]  

   where \( e^\pi = 23.1407 \ldots \) and \( \hat{y}_k < 0 \) is the double zero of \( \theta(\hat{q}_k, ..) \). It is the rightmost of its real zeros and \( \theta(\hat{q}_k, ..) \) has a minimum at \( \hat{y}_k \).

   (4) For \( k \in \mathbb{N}, \) one has \( \theta(q, -q^{-k}) \in (0, q^k) \), see Proposition 9 in [10]. For \( q > 0 \) small enough, one has \( \text{sgn}(\theta(q, -q^{-k-1/2})) = (-1)^k \) and \( |\theta(q, -q^{-k-1/2})| > 1 \), see Proposition 12 in [10].

**Remarks 3.**
1. If \( q \in (-1, 0) \) is sufficiently small, then \( \theta(q, ..) \) has infinitely-many real negative and infinitely-many real positive zeros: \( \ldots < \xi_4 < \xi_2 < 0 < \xi_1 < \xi_3 < \cdots \); one has \( \theta(q, x) < 0 \) for \( x \in (\xi_{4j+4}, \xi_{4j+2}) \) and \( x \in (\xi_{4j+1}, \xi_{4j+3}) \) and \( \theta(q, x) > 0 \) for \( x \in (\xi_{4j+2}, \xi_{4j}), x \in (\xi_{4j+3}, \xi_{4j+5}) \) and \( x \in (\xi_2, 1) \). For \( q \in (-1, 0) \), there are also infinitely-many spectral numbers, see [15]. We denote them by \( \check{q}_k \), where \( -1 < \check{q}_k < 0 \).

   (2) For \( s \geq 1, \) one has \( -1 < \check{q}_{2s+1} < \check{q}_{2s-1} < 0 \), see Lemma 4.11 in [15]. For \( k \) sufficiently large, one has \( \check{q}_{k+1} < \check{q}_k \), see Lemmas 4.10, 4.11 and 4.17 in [15]. The inequality \( \check{q}_{k+1} < \check{q}_k \) being proved only for \( k \) sufficiently large we admit the possibility finitely-many equalities of the form \( \check{q}_i = \check{q}_j \) to hold true, where at least one of the numbers \( i \) and \( j \) is even.

   (3) When \( q \in (-1, 0) \) decreases and passes through a spectral value \( \check{q}_k \), then for \( k = 2s - 1 \) (resp. \( k = 2s \), \( s \in \mathbb{N} \)), the zeros \( \xi_{4s-2} \) and \( \xi_{4s} \) (resp. \( \xi_{4s-1} \) and \( \xi_{4s+1} \)) coalesce. Thus for \( q \in (\check{q}_{k+1}, \check{q}_k) \subset (-1, 0) \) and \( k \) sufficiently large, the function \( \theta(q, ..) \) has exactly \( k \) pairs of complex conjugate zeros (counted with multiplicity). The zero \( \xi_1 \) remains real and simple for any \( q \in (-1, 0) \).

   (4) Asymptotic expansions of the numbers \( \check{q}_k \) are proved in [15]:

   \[
   \check{q}_k = 1 - (\pi/8k) + o(1/k), \quad |\check{y}_k| = e^{\pi/2} + o(1),
   \]

   where \( \check{y}_k \) is the double zero of \( \theta(\check{q}_k, ..) \) and \( e^{\pi/2} = 4.81477382 \ldots \). For \( k \) odd (respectively, \( k \) even) \( \theta(\check{q}_k, ..) \) has a local minimum (respectively, maximum) at \( \check{y}_k \), and \( \check{y}_k \) is the rightmost of the real negative zeros of \( \theta(\check{q}_k, ..) \) (respectively, for \( k \) sufficiently large, \( \check{y}_k \) is the second from the left of the real positive zeros of \( \theta(\check{q}_k, ..) \)).
Remarks 4. (1) All coefficients in the series 11 are real. Hence a priori spectral numbers are either real or they form complex conjugate pairs. It is proved in 16 that there exists at least one such pair which equals 0.4353184958 ± i 0.1230440086... Numerical results suggest that one should expect there to be infinitely-many such pairs.

(2) In any set of the form \( \mathbb{D}_r \setminus \{0\}, \) \( r \in (0,1) \), the number of spectral values of \( \theta \) is finite (because the spectrum is locally a codimension 1 analytic subset in \( \mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \{0\} \)). For any spectral number \( q \), the function \( \theta(q,.) \) has finitely-many multiple zeros, see [13]. The number \( q_1 = 0.3092... \) is the only spectral number of \( \theta \) in the disk \( \mathbb{D}_{0.31}. \)

(3) For all spectral numbers \( q_j \in (0,1) \) and, for \( k \) sufficiently large, for all spectral numbers \( q_k \in (-1,0) \), it is true that the function \( \theta(q_k,.) \), resp. \( \theta(q_k,.) \), has exactly one double zero all its other zeros being simple (see [10] and [15]). It would be interesting to (dis)prove that this is the case of any spectral number. If true, this would mean in particular (see Theorem 1) that \( S \) is globally smooth and connected. If false, it would be of interest to describe the eventual singularities of \( S \).

1.3 The limit distribution of the real zeros

In the present subsection we consider the case \( q \in \mathbb{R}, i.e. q \in (-1,0) \cup (0,1). \)

Notation 5. For \( q \in (-1,0) \cup (0,1), \) given a finite interval \( J \subset \mathbb{R}, \) we denote by \( Z_J(q) \) the number of zeros of \( \theta(q,.) \) (counted with multiplicity) belonging to \( J. \) For \( q \in (0,1) \) and \( a \geq e^\pi, \) we set \( \ell_a(q) := \sum_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z}_{[-a, -e^\pi]}(q). \) For \( q \in (-1,0) \) and \( a \geq e^\pi/2, \) we set \( n_a(q) := \sum_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z}_{[-a, -e^\pi/2]}(q) \) and \( p_a(q) := \mathbb{Z}_{[e^\pi/2, a]}(q). \)

Theorem 6. (1) For \( q \in (0,1), \) one has \( \sum_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z}_{[-e^\pi, 0]}(q) = o(1/(1 - q)). \)

(2) The set of zeros of \( \theta(q,.) \) (over all \( k \in \mathbb{N}^* \)) is everywhere dense in \( (-\infty, -e^\pi]. \) One has \( \lim_{q \to -1} \mathbb{Z}_{[-a, -e^\pi]}(q) = \ln(a/e^\pi). \)

(3) For \( q \in (-1,0), \) one has \( \sum_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z}_{[-e^\pi/2, 0]}(q) = o(1/(1 + q)). \)

(4) The set of zeros of \( \theta(q_2s-1,.) \) (over all \( s \in \mathbb{N}^* \)) is everywhere dense in \( (-\infty, -e^\pi/2). \) One has \( \lim_{q \to -1} n_a(q)(1 + q) = \ln(a/e^\pi/2^2)/2. \)

(5) For \( q \in (-1,0), \) one has \( \sum_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Z}_{[0, e^\pi/2]}(q) = o(1/(1 + q)). \)

(6) The set of zeros of \( \theta(q_2s,.) \) (over all \( s \in \mathbb{N}^* \)) is everywhere dense in \( [e^\pi/2, \infty). \) One has \( \lim_{q \to -1} p_a(q)(1 + q) = \ln(a/e^\pi^2)/2. \)

The theorem is proved in Section 3

Remark 7. The quantity \( 1/a = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} ((\ln((a + \varepsilon)/e^\pi) - \ln(a/e^\pi)/\varepsilon) \) can be interpreted as limit density of the real zeros of \( \theta(q,.) \) as \( q \to 1^- \) at the point \(-a \leq -e^\pi. \) Similarly for the quantity \( 1/(2a) \) at \( \pm a, a \geq e^\pi/2, \) as \( q \to -1^+. \) For the rest of the real line the limit density is 0. Indeed, for \( q \in (0,1), \) there are no nonnegative zeros of \( \theta(q,.) \); for \( 0 < a < e^\pi, \) see part (1) of Theorem 5. For \( q \in (-1,0), \) see parts (3) and (5) of Theorem 5.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

2.1 Smoothness

We prove the smoothness first. If \( z^b \) is a simple zero of \( \theta(q^b,.) \), then \( (\partial\theta/\partial z)(q^b, z^b) \neq 0 \) hence

\[
\operatorname{Grad}(\theta)(q^b, z^b) \neq 0
\]
and $S$ is smooth at $(q^b, z^b)$. The function $\theta$ satisfies the following differential equation (see (11)):

$$2q(\partial \theta / \partial q) = z(\partial^2 / \partial z^2)(z \theta) .$$

The right-hand side equals $2z(\partial \theta / \partial z) + z^2(\partial^2 \theta / \partial z^2)$. If $z^b$ is a double zero of $\theta(q^b, \cdot)$, then

$$\theta(q^b, z^b) = (\partial \theta / \partial z)(q^b, z^b) = 0 \neq (\partial^2 \theta / \partial z^2)(q^b, z^b) .$$

One has neither $q^b = 0$, because $\theta(0, \cdot) \equiv 1 \neq 0$, nor $z^b = 0$, because $\theta(q, 0) \equiv 1$. Hence

$$(\partial \theta / \partial q)(q^b, z^b) = ((z^b)^2 / 2q^b)(\partial^2 \theta / \partial z^2)(q^b, z^b) \neq 0 .$$

Therefore one has (4), so $S$ is smooth at $(q^b, z^b)$.

### 2.2 Separation in modulus

For fixed $q \in D_1 \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by $C_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the circumference in the z-space $|z| = |q|^{-k-1/2}$. When $q$ is close to 0, one can enumerate the zeros of $\theta$, because there exists exactly one zero such that $\xi_k \sim -q^{-k}$ (see Proposition 10 in [10]). For $0 < |q| \leq c_0 := 0.2078750206 \ldots$, one has

$$|q|^{-k+1/2} \leq |\xi_k| < |q|^{-k-1/2} ,$$

see Lemma 1 in [16]. In this sense we say that for $q \in D_{c_0} \setminus \{0\}$, the zeros of $\theta$ are separated in modulus (that is, their moduli are separated by the circumferences $C_k$). We say that, for given $q$, strong separation of the zeros of $\theta$ takes place for $k \geq k_0$, if for any $k \geq k_0$, there exists exactly one zero $\xi_k$ of $\theta$ satisfying conditions (6).

Set $\alpha_0 := \sqrt{3}/2\pi = 0.2756644477 \ldots$. The following result can be found in [16]:

**Theorem 8.** For $n \geq 5$ and for $|q| \leq 1 - 1/(\alpha_0 n)$, strong separation of the zeros of $\theta$ takes place for $k \geq n$.

Theorem 8 has several important corollaries:

- **i)** For each path $\gamma \subset D_1 \setminus \{0\}$ in the $q$-space which avoids the spectral numbers of $\theta$, one can define by continuity the zeros of $\theta$ as functions of $q$ as $q$ varies along $\gamma$. One can find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gamma \subset D_{1-1/(\alpha_0 k)}$. For $n \geq k$, the zero $\xi_n$ is an analytic function in $q \in D_{1-1/(\alpha_0 k)}$. Thus the indices of the zeros $\xi_n$ are meaningful for $n \geq k$ and $q \in D_{1-1/(\alpha_0 k)}$.

- **ii)** Denote by $\Gamma$ the spectrum of $\theta$. If $\gamma \subset D := D_{1-1/(\alpha_0 k)} \setminus \{\Gamma \cup \{0\}\}$ is a loop, then the zeros of $\theta$ lying inside $C_k$ might undergo a monodromy as $q$ varies along $\gamma$, i.e. a permutation which depends on the class of homotopy equivalence of $\gamma$ in $D$. Therefore it might not be possible to correctly define the indices of these zeros for $q \in D$.

- **iii)** For no $q_s \in D_1 \setminus \{0\}$ does a zero of $\theta$ go to infinity as $q \to q_s$. That is, zeros are not born and do not disappear at infinity.

- **iv)** For $(0, 1) \ni q = \tilde{q}_j \in \Gamma$, the function $\theta(q, \cdot)$ has one double zero and infinitely-many simple zeros, see part (3) of Remarks 4 and part (3) of Remarks 2. The double zero is a Morse critical point for $\theta$. Suppose that $\gamma$ is a small loop in $D_1 \setminus \{0\}$ circumventing $\tilde{q}_j$. Then the two zeros $\xi_{2j-1}$ and $\xi_{2j}$ of $\theta(q, \cdot)$ which coalesce for $q = \tilde{q}_j$ are exchanged as $q$ varies along $\gamma$. For $(-1, 0) \ni q = \tilde{q}_k \in \Gamma$, $k = 2s-1$ or $2s$, where $s \geq 1$ is sufficiently large, the same remark applies to the zeros $\xi_{4s-2}$ and $\xi_{4s}$ or $\xi_{4s-1}$ and $\xi_{4s+1}$, see part (3) of Remarks 3. For the remaining values of $k$, if, say, $p$ spectral values $\tilde{q}_j$ coincide, then the function $\theta(\tilde{q}_j, \cdot)$ has $p$ double real zeros (its other real zeros are simple) and the monodromy defined by the class of homotopy equivalence
of \( \gamma \) exchanges the zeros in \( p \) non-intersecting couples of zeros (which are close to the double zeros of \( \theta(\tilde{q}_i, \ldots) \)).

\( v) \) Theorem \( 8 \) implies that the monodromy around \( 0 \in \mathbb{D}_1 \) is trivial.

### 2.3 Connectedness of \( S \)

When \( q \in \mathbb{D}_{c_0} \setminus \{0\} \), the zeros \( \xi_j \) can be considered as analytic functions in \( q \). We discuss the possible monodromies which they can undergo when the parameter \( q \) runs along certain loops in \( \mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \{0\} \). First of all we recall that for \( q \in (0, \tilde{q}_j) \), the zeros \( 0 > \xi_{2j-1} > \xi_{2j} > \xi_{2j+1} > \ldots \) are simple, real negative and continuously depending on \( q \), see part (2) of Remarks \( 2 \) for \( q = \tilde{q}_j \); the zeros \( \xi_{2j-1} \) and \( \xi_{2j} \) coalesce.

Suppose that \( a \in (0, c_0) \) and that \( C^\circ \subset \mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \{0\} \) is a small circumference of radius \( \varepsilon \) centered at the spectral number \( \tilde{q}_j \), see parts (1) and (2) of Remarks \( 2 \) no spectral number other than \( \tilde{q}_j \) belongs to the circumference \( C^\circ \) or to its interior. Define \( \gamma_j \subset \mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \{0\} \) as the path consisting of the segment \( \sigma_+ := [a, \tilde{q}_j - \varepsilon] \subset \mathbb{R} \), the circumference \( C^\circ \) (which is run, say, counterclockwise) and the segment \( \sigma_- := [\tilde{q}_j - \varepsilon, a] \). Hence if one considers the analytic continuation of the function \( \xi_{2j-1} \) (resp. \( \xi_{2j} \)) along the loop \( \gamma_j \), the result will be the function \( \xi_{2j} \) (resp. \( \xi_{2j-1} \)), see \( iv) \) in Subsection \( 2.2 \). We denote this symbolically by \( \gamma_j : \xi_{2j-1} \leftrightarrow \xi_{2j} \). If we need to indicate only the image of \( \xi_{2j-1} \) we might write \( \gamma_j : \xi_{2j-1} \mapsto \xi_{2j} \).

**Remark 9.** For \( j > 1 \), the two segments \( \sigma_\pm \) of the path \( \gamma_j \) pass through the spectral numbers \( \tilde{q}_1, \ldots, \tilde{q}_{j-1} \). If one insists the path \( \gamma_j \) bypass all spectral numbers \( \tilde{q}_j \), then one should modify \( \gamma_j \). Namely, parts of the two segments \( \sigma_\pm \) which are segments of the form \( \sigma_s := [\tilde{q}_s - \varepsilon', \tilde{q}_s + \varepsilon'] \), \( 0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon \), \( 1 \leq s < j - 1 \), should be replaced by small half-circumferences with diameters \( \sigma_s \) which bypass the spectral numbers \( \tilde{q}_s \) from above or below.

Suppose that \( q \in (-1, 0) \). We will make use of Remarks \( 8 \). We construct a path \( \delta_j \) consisting of a segment \( \tau_- := [-a, \tilde{q}_j + \varepsilon] \subset \mathbb{R} \), \( -c_0 < -a < 0 \) \((c_0) \) is defined at the beginning of Subsection \( 2.2 \)\), a circumference \( C^{\Delta} \subset \mathbb{D}_1 \setminus \{0\} \) of radius \( \varepsilon \) centered at \( \tilde{q}_j \) (and run, say, counterclockwise) and the segment \( \tau_+ := [\tilde{q}_j + \varepsilon, -a] \). If \( \tilde{q}_{j1} \neq \tilde{q}_j \), then the spectral number \( \tilde{q}_{j1} \) does not belong to \( C^{\Delta} \) or to its interior.

Suppose that \( j = 2s - 1 \) (resp. \( j = 2s \)). If one considers the analytic continuation of the functions \( \xi_{4s-2} \) and \( \xi_{4s} \) (resp. \( \xi_{4s-2} \) and \( \xi_{4s+1} \)) along the loop \( \delta_j \), the result will be that the functions \( \xi_{4s-2} \) and \( \xi_{4s} \) (resp. \( \xi_{4s-1} \) and \( \xi_{4s+1} \)) exchange their values, see \( iv) \) in Subsection \( 2.2 \). We denote this symbolically by \( \delta_{2s-1} : \xi_{4s-2} \leftrightarrow \xi_{4s} \) or \( \delta_{2s} : \xi_{4s-1} \leftrightarrow \xi_{4s+1} \).

**Remark 10.** Similarly to what was done with the path \( \gamma_j \), see Remark \( 9 \) one can modify the path \( \delta_j \) so that it should pass through no spectral value of \( \theta \). We do not claim, however, that an equality of the form \( \tilde{q}_{j1} = \tilde{q}_{j2}, j_1 \neq j_2 \), does not take place (this is not proved in \( 15 \); see parts \( 2 \) of Remarks \( 8 \)). Nevertheless, even if such an equality holds true, then it does not affect our reasoning, because when \( q \) runs along \( C^{\Delta} \) close to the coinciding spectral numbers \( \tilde{q}_{j1}, \tilde{q}_{j2} \), the exchange of zeros \( \xi_i \) which occurs concerns two couples of zeros with no zero in common.

By combining the monodromies defined by the paths \( \gamma_j \) and \( \delta_j \) one can obtain any monodromy \( \xi_k \mapsto \xi_m \). Indeed, denote by \( \eta_+ \) a half-circumference centered at \( 0 \), of radius \( a \), belonging to the upper half-plane (hence the segment \( [-a, a] \) is its diameter) and run counterclockwise, by \( \eta_- \) the same half-circumference run clockwise, by \( \gamma_j \gamma_\ell \) the concatenation of the paths \( \gamma_j \) and \( \gamma_\ell \) (defined for one and the same value of \( a \), \( \gamma_j \) is followed by \( \gamma_\ell \) and similarly for the loops (all with base point \( a \); \( \gamma_j \eta_+ \delta_\eta_\eta_-, \eta_+ \delta_\eta_\eta_- \gamma_j \)) etc. Thus for \( s \geq 1 \), one obtains the monodromies.
real zeros of \( \theta \) are not more than double zero \( \tilde{\eta} \), conjugate pair. Therefore \( \theta \) is connected. The theorem is proved.

Part (1). It follows from part (4) of Remarks 2 that for \( \tilde{\eta} \) real and the zeros \( \xi \in \mathbb{R} \) one has \( 1 - \tilde{\eta} = O(1/k) \). We prove first the following lemma:

Lemma 11. (1) For every \( r \in (0,1) \), there exists \( K_r \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for every \( q \in (0,r] \), one has \( Z_{[-e^{-r},0]}(q) \leq K_r \).

(2) When the zeros \( \xi_{2s-1} \) and \( \xi_{2s} \) are real (see part (2) of Remarks 2), they belong to the interval \( (-q^{-2s},-q^{-2s+1}) \).

(3) For \( q \in [q, q+1) \), one has \( Z_{[-e^{-r},0]}(q) = o(k) \).

Proof. Part (1). It follows from part (4) of Remarks 2 that for \( q > 0 \) small enough, all zeros \( \xi_j \) of \( \theta(q,.) \) are real and the zeros \( \xi_{2s-1} \) and \( \xi_{2s} \) belong to the interval \( (-q^{-2s},-q^{-2s+1}) \), so they are smaller than \(-r^{-2s+1}\). And in the same way, for any \( q \in (0,1) \), the zeros \( \xi_{2s-1} \) and \( \xi_{2s} \), when they are real, belong to the interval \( (-q^{-2s},-q^{-2s+1}) \) (which proves part (2)).

When \( q \) increases and becomes equal to \( \tilde{q} \), the zeros \( \xi_{2s-1} \) and \( \xi_{2s} \) coalesce. For \( q > \tilde{q} \), they form a complex conjugate pair, see part (2) of Remarks 2. For \( q \in (0,1) \) and \( 2s-1 > \pi/\ln(1/r) \), i.e. \( q^{-2s+1} > r^{-2s+1} > e^\pi \) hence \(-q^{-2s+1} < -e^\pi \), the zero \( \xi_j \), \( j \geq 2s-1 \), is either smaller than \(-e^\pi \) or it has given birth (together with \( \xi_{j-1} \) or \( \xi_{j+1} \) depending on the parity of \( j \)) to a complex conjugate pair. Therefore \( Z_{[-e^{-r},0]}(q) \leq [\pi/\ln(1/r)] + 1 \) and one can set \( K_r := [\pi/\ln(1/r)] + 1 \).

Part (3). Suppose first that \( q = \tilde{q} \). The interval \( I := [-e^\pi, \tilde{q}] \) contains all real zeros of \( \theta(\tilde{q},.) \) belonging to the interval \( J := [-e^\pi,0] \). The rightmost of these zeros which is in \( I \) is the double zero \( \tilde{\eta} \), which is the result of the confluence of \( \xi_{2s-1} \) and \( \xi_{2s} \), see parts (2) and (3) of Remarks 2. Denote by \( s_0 \) the smallest of the numbers \( s \) for which \(-\tilde{q}_k)^{-2s+1} < -e^\pi \). Hence there are no more than

\[
t_0 := 2(s_0 - 1) - 2(k - 1) + 1 = 2(s_0 - k) + 1
\]

real zeros of \( \theta(\tilde{q}_k,.) \) in \( I \) (counted with multiplicity), see the proof of part (1) of the present lemma. One has \( \tilde{q}_k = 1 - \pi/2k + o(1/k) \), see Remarks 2. Therefore

\[
-(\tilde{q}_k)^{-2s_0+1} < -e^\pi \iff (-2s_0 + 1) \ln(\tilde{q}_k) > \pi \iff 2s_0 - 1 > \pi/(\ln(1/\tilde{q}_k)) = 2k + o(k).
\]
On the other hand it follows from the definition of $s_0$ that $2s_0 - 3 \leq \pi/(\ln(1/\tilde{q}_k)) = 2k + o(k)$. Thus $s_0 = k + o(k)$ and $t_0 = o(k)$. Suppose now that $q \in (\tilde{q}_k, \tilde{q}_{k+1})$. Hence when one counts the real zeros of $\theta(q, \cdot)$ in $J$, one should take into account that:

1) The double root $\tilde{q}_k$ gives birth to a complex conjugate pair of zeros, i.e. two real zeros are lost; for $q \in (\tilde{q}_k, \tilde{q}_{k+1})$, these are the only real zeros that are lost, see part (2) of Remarks [2]

2) Denote by $s_*(q)$ the smallest of the numbers $s$ for which one has $-q^{-2s+1} < -e^\pi$ (hence $s_*(\tilde{q}_k) = s_0$). For fixed $s$, the number $-q^{-2s+1}$ increases with $q$, so $s_*(q)$ also increases, i.e. new real zeros might enter the interval $J$ from the left.

Thus for $q \in (\tilde{q}_k, \tilde{q}_{k+1})$, one has $Z_J(q) \leq t_1 + 2$, where $t_1$ is the quantity $t_0$ defined for $k + 1$ instead of $k$, hence $Z_J(q) = o(k)$. Indeed, the numbers $-q^{-2s+1}$ increase with $q$. We cannot claim that if for $s = s_*(q) - 1$, one has $-q^{-2s+1} \geq -e^\pi$, then the zeros $\xi_{2s-1}$ and $\xi_{2s}$ are larger or smaller than $-e^\pi$; this is why $2$ is added to $t_1$.

The proof of part (1) of Theorem 6 results from part (3) of Lemma 11. Indeed, one has $k = O(1/(1 - \tilde{q}_k))$, see (2).

Part (2). The function $\theta$ satisfies the following functional equation:

$$\theta(q, x) = 1 + qx\theta(q, qx). \quad (7)$$

For $q = \tilde{q}_k \in \Gamma$, we denote by $\cdots < x_2 < x_1 < x_0 < 0$ the numbers $x_0 = \tilde{q}_k$, $x_s = x_{s-1}/\tilde{q}_k$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$ (i.e. $x_s = \tilde{y}_k/(\tilde{q}_k)^s$). Hence $\theta(\tilde{q}_k, x_0) = 0$, $\theta(\tilde{q}_k, x_1) = 1 + x_0\theta(\tilde{q}_k, x_0) = 1$ (see (7)), and for $s > 1$,

(i) if $\theta(\tilde{q}_k, x_s) < 0$, then $\theta(\tilde{q}_k, x_{s+1}) = 1 + x_s\theta(\tilde{q}_k, x_s) > 1$;

(ii) if $\theta(\tilde{q}_k, x_s) \geq 1$ (this is the case for $s = 1$), then for $k$ sufficiently large, one has $x_s < -e^\pi/2$ (see (2), $\tilde{q}_k \in (0,3,1)$ (see parts (1) and (2) of Remarks 2) hence $\tilde{y}_k x_s < -0.3 \times e^\pi/2 < -3$ and

$$\theta(\tilde{q}_k, x_{s+1}) = 1 + \tilde{q}_k x_s \theta(\tilde{q}_k, x_s) < 1 - 3 = -2 < 0.$$  

Thus for $k$ sufficiently large, we have $\theta(\tilde{q}_k, x_s) < 0$ for $s \geq 2$ even and $\theta(\tilde{q}_k, x_s) > 0$ for $s \geq 3$ odd. Hence each interval $(x_{s+1}, x_s)$ contains a zero of $\theta$. For a fixed interval $[-a, -e^\pi]$, consider the intervals $(x_{s+1}, x_s)$ which are its subintervals. As $k \to \infty$ (hence $\tilde{q}_k \to 1^{-}$) the lengths of these intervals tend uniformly to 0. Indeed, the largest of them is the last one and its length is $\leq (a - aq) = (1 - q)a$. Therefore for any $a > e^\pi$, the set of zeros of $\theta(\tilde{q}_k, \cdot)$ (over all $k$ sufficiently large) is everywhere dense in the interval $[-a, -e^\pi]$. This proves the first claim of part (2) of the theorem. To prove the second one we first consider the case $q = \tilde{q}_k \in \Gamma$. We define the quantities $u_0, u_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ by the conditions

$$|\tilde{y}_k/q^{u_0}| = |x_{u_0}| \leq e^\pi < |x_{u_0+1}| = |\tilde{y}_k/q^{u_0+1} \quad \text{and}$$

$$|\tilde{y}_k/q^{u_1}| = |x_{u_1}| \leq a < |x_{u_1+1}| = |\tilde{y}_k/q^{u_1+1}.$$  

Hence (remember that $\ln q < 0$)

$$(u_0 + 1) \ln q < \ln(|\tilde{y}_k/e^\pi|) \leq u_0 \ln q \quad \text{and} \quad (u_1 + 1) \ln q < \ln(|\tilde{y}_k|/a) \leq u_1 \ln q$$

which, taking into account that as $q \to 1^-$, one has $\ln q = \ln(1 + (q - 1)) = (q - 1) + o(q - 1)$, implies
\[ u_0(q - 1) = \ln(|\tilde{y}_k|/e^x) + o(q - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad u_1(q - 1) = \ln(|\tilde{y}_k|/a) + o(q - 1). \]

It is clear that \( \ell_a(q) = u_1 - u_0 + O(1). \) Thus

\[ \ell_a(q)(1 - q) = (u_1 - u_0)(1 - q) + O(1)(1 - q) = \ln(a/e^x) + O(1 - q). \]

Now suppose that \( q \in (\tilde{q}_k, \tilde{q}_k + 1). \) Our reasoning is similar to the one in the proof of Lemma 11. The double zero \( \tilde{y}_k \) gives birth to a complex conjugate pair, so two real zeros are lost. If for \( q = q_* \in (\tilde{q}_k, \tilde{q}_k + 1), \) the interval \((-q_*^{-2s}, -q_*^{-2s+1})\) is a subset of the interval \([-a, 0), \) then the same is true for \( q = \tilde{q}_k + 1. \) Thus

\[ \ell_a(q_*) \leq Z_{[-a,0]}(\tilde{q}_k + 1) + 2. \tag{8} \]

One adds 2 in order to take into account the two zeros of \( \theta(\tilde{q}_k+1, \cdot) \) of the not more than one interval \((-q_*^{-2s}, -q_*^{-2s+1})\) which belongs partially, but not completely, to \([-a, 0). \) The number 2 of lost zeros and the number 2 in (8) are \( o(1/(1 - q_*)) \). According to part (1) of the theorem

\[ Z_{[-a,0]}(\tilde{q}_k + 1) = \ell_a(\tilde{q}_k + 1) + o(1/(1 - q_*)), \]

and for \( q = \tilde{q}_k + 1, \) it was shown that \((1 - \tilde{q}_k + 1)\ell_a(\tilde{q}_k + 1) = \ln(a/e^x) + o(1), \) so \( \ell_a(q_*) = \ell_a(\tilde{q}_k + 1) + o(1/(1 - q_*)) \) and \((1 - q_*)\ell_a(q_*) = \ln(a/e^x) + o(1) \) which proves part (2) of the theorem.

\[ \square \]

Part (3). We need the following lemma:

**Lemma 12.** Suppose that \( q \in (-1, 0) \) and set \( \rho := |q|. \) Then:

1. For \( \rho > 0 \) small enough, one has

\[ \xi_{4s} \in (-\rho^{-4s-1}, -\rho^{-4s+1}), \quad \xi_{4s+2} \in (-\rho^{-4s-3}, -\rho^{-4s-1}), \]

\[ \xi_{4s-1} \in (\rho^{-4s+2}, \rho^{-4s}) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{4s+1} \in (\rho^{-4s}, \rho^{-4s-2}). \tag{9} \]

Moreover, the mentioned zeros \( \xi_j \) are the only zeros of \( \theta(q, \cdot) \) in the indicated intervals.

2. For \( \rho \in (0, 1), \) one has \( \theta(q, -q^{-2k}) = \theta(q, -\rho^{-2k}) \in (0, \rho^{2k} + \rho^{4k+1}). \)

3. For \( q \in [\tilde{q}_{2s-1}, 0), \) the zeros \( \xi_{4s-2} \) and \( \xi_{4s} \) belong to the interval \( I^* := (-\rho^{-4s}, -\rho^{-4s+2}). \)

**Proof of Lemma 12.** Part (1). We consider the following four series:

\[ \theta^\circ := \theta(-\rho, -\rho^{-4s+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j, \quad d_j := (-1)^j \rho^{j(j+3)/2} \rho^{-(4s-1)j+j(j+1)/2}, \]

\[ \theta^\vee := \theta(-\rho, -\rho^{-4s-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j, \quad h_j := (-1)^j \rho^{j(j+3)/2} \rho^{-(4s+1)j+j(j+1)/2}, \]

\[ \theta^\diamond := \theta(-\rho, \rho^{-4s}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_j, \quad r_j := (-1)^j \rho^{j(j+1)/2} \rho^{-4sj+j(j+1)/2}, \]

\[ \theta^* := \theta(-\rho, \rho^{-4s+2}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j, \quad \lambda_j := (-1)^j \rho^{j(j+1)/2} \rho^{-(4s-2)j+j(j+1)/2}. \]

For the first series, its terms of largest modulus are \( d_{4s-1} \) and \( d_{4s-2}; \) one has \( d_{4s-1} = d_{4s} = -\rho^{-8s^2+6s-1}. \) The moduli of the terms decrease rapidly as \( j > 4s - 1 \) increases or as \( j < 4s - 2 \) decreases. In this series the sign \((-1)^{j(j+3)/2}\) is positive for \( j = 4\nu \) and \( j = 4\nu + 1 \) and negative for \( j = 4\nu + 2 \) and \( j = 4\nu + 3. \) Hence for \( \rho \) small enough, the sign of \( \theta^\circ \) is the same as the one of \( d_{4s-1} + d_{4s-2}, \) i.e. one has \( \theta^\circ < 0. \)
For the other three series the largest modulus terms are respectively $h_{4s} = h_{4s+1} = \rho^{-8s^2-2s} > 0$, $r_{4s-1} = r_{4s} = \rho^{-8s^2+2s} > 0$ and $A_{4s-3} = \lambda_{4s-2} = -\rho^{-8s^2+10s-3} < 0$, so in the same way $\theta^v > 0$, $\theta^\circ > 0$ and $\theta^* < 0$. Hence there is at least one zero of $\theta$ in the interval $(-\rho^{-4s-1}, -\rho^{-4s+1})$. In fact, there is exactly one zero, and this is $\xi_{4s}$. Indeed, for $\rho$ small enough this is true, because one has $\xi_m \sim -q^{-m}$, see [22] (the zeros $\xi_{4s-1}$ and $\xi_{4s+1}$ are positive, so only $\xi_{4s}$ belongs to $(-\rho^{-4s-1}, -\rho^{-4s+1})$). For any $\rho \in (0, 1)$, this follows from the fact that as $\rho$ increases, new complex conjugate pairs are born, but the inverse does not take place, see part (2) of Remarks [2]. In the same way one proves the rest of part (1) of the lemma.

Part (2). One checks directly that

$$\theta(q, -\rho^{-2k}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j (j+1)/2 (\rho^{-2k})^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j (j+3)/2 \rho^{-2kj+j(j+1)/2} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j (j+3)/2 \rho^{-2kj+j(j+1)/2}.$$  

The last of these equalities follows from the fact that the first $4k$ terms of the series cancel (the first with the $(4k)$th, the second with the $(4k-1)$st etc.). The signs of the terms of the last of these series are $+, +, -, -, +, +, -,-, \cdots$ and the exponents $-2kj + j(j+1)/2$ are increasing for $j \geq 4k$. Hence the series is the sum of two Leibniz series with positive first terms, so its sum is positive and not larger than the sum of the first terms of these two series. The latter sum is $\rho^{2k} + \rho^{4k+1}$ which proves part (2).

Part (3). For $\rho$ sufficiently small, the zeros $\xi_{4s-2}$ and $\xi_{4s}$ belong to $I^*$. Indeed, by part (2) of the present lemma, at the endpoints of $I^*$ the function $\theta(q,.)$ is positive while it is negative at $-\rho^{-4s+1}$ (we showed already that $\theta^\circ < 0$). As $\theta(q,.)$ is positive at the endpoints for any $q \in (-1,0)$, the zeros $\xi_{4s-2}$ and $\xi_{4s}$ belong to $I^*$ exactly for $q \in [\bar{q}_{2s-1}, 0)$, see part (3) of Remarks [3] This proves part (3) of Lemma [12].

Suppose first that $q = \bar{q}_{2\nu-1}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. The rightmost of the negative zeros of $\theta(\bar{q}_{2\nu-1},.)$ is the double zero $\bar{q}_{2\nu-1} = \xi_{4\nu-2} = \xi_{4\nu}$, see part (3) of Remarks [3]. Denote by $s^\dagger = s^\dagger(\bar{q}_{2s-1})$ the largest of the numbers $s \in \mathbb{N}$ for which one has $-(\bar{q}_{2\nu-1})^{-4s} \geq e^{\pi/2}$. Hence the zero $\xi_{4s^\dagger}$ is in the interval $[-e^{\pi/2}, 0)$ and the zero $\xi_{4(s^\dagger+1)}$ is to its left, i.e. outside it. Thus the number $\bar{N}(\bar{q}_{2\nu-1}) := Z_{[-e^{\pi/2}, 0]}(\bar{q}_{2\nu-1})$ (the zeros in $[-e^{\pi/2}, 0)$ have only even indices $i$, see Remarks [3] is

$$\bar{N}(\bar{q}_{2\nu-1}) = (4s^\dagger - 4\nu + 2)/2 + u = 2(s^\dagger - \nu) + 1 + u,$$

where $u \leq 1$ (the presence of the number $u$ reflects the fact that we do not say whether the zero $\xi_{4s^\dagger+2}$ belongs or not to the interval $[-e^{\pi/2}, 0)$). The conditions

$$-(\bar{q}_{2\nu-1})^{-4(s^\dagger+1)} < -e^{\pi/2} \leq -(\bar{q}_{2\nu-1})^{-4(s^\dagger)}$$

are equivalent to $-4(s^\dagger + 1) \ln |\bar{q}_{2\nu-1}| > \pi/2 \geq -4s^\dagger \ln |\bar{q}_{2\nu-1}|$ or to

$$\begin{cases} 4(s^\dagger + 1) > (\pi/2)/(\ln(1/|\bar{q}_{2\nu-1}|)) = (\pi/2)/(\ln(1 + \pi/(8(2\nu - 1)) + o(1/\nu))) = 4\nu + O(1), \\ 4s^\dagger \leq (\pi/2)/(\ln(1/|\bar{q}_{2\nu-1}|)) , \end{cases}$$

see the first of formulae [3]. Thus

$$s^\dagger = \nu + O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{N}(\bar{q}_{2\nu-1}) = O(1).$$

One can also write $\bar{N}(\bar{q}_{2\nu-1}) = o(\nu) = o(1/(1 + \bar{q}_{2\nu-1}))$. Hence $\bar{N}(\bar{q}_{2\nu+1}) = o(\nu)$.
Now suppose that $q \in (\bar{q}_{2\nu+1}, \bar{q}_{2\nu-1})$. When counting the zeros $\xi_i$ in the interval $[-e^{\pi/2}, 0)$ one takes into account that the double zero $\xi_{4\nu-2} = \xi_{4\nu}$ is lost (it gives birth to a complex conjugate pair). The numbers $-\rho^{-4s}$ (which are left endpoints of intervals $I^*$) increase, so new zeros $\xi_i$ might enter the interval $[-e^{\pi/2}, 0)$ from the left. The number of such intervals $I^*$ which belong entirely to $[-e^{\pi/2}, 0)$ is not greater than their number for $q = \bar{q}_{2\nu+1}$. There is at most one interval $I^*$ which belongs only partially to $[-e^{\pi/2}, 0)$, so ignoring it means not counting at most 2 zeros $\xi_i \in [-e^{\pi/2}, 0)$. Therefore $\bar{N}(q) = \bar{N}(\bar{q}_{2\nu+1}) + O(1) = o(\nu) = o(1/(1+q))$. Part (3) of Theorem 6 is proved.

Part (4). Consider an interval of the form $[-a, -e^{\pi/2}]$ and its subinterval $(-a^\Delta, -a^\Delta)$, $e^{\pi/2} < a^\Delta < a$. For $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, the double zero $\bar{y}_{2\nu-1} = \xi_{4\nu-2} = \xi_{4\nu}$ of $\theta(\bar{q}_{2\nu-1},.)$ is to the right of $-a^\Delta$ (see the second of formulae (13)) and there exists an interval of the form $I^*$ (see Lemma 12) such that $I^* \subset (-a^\ast, -a^\Delta)$). Indeed, the length of $I^*$ equals $\rho^{-4s}(1 - \rho^2)$. For each $s$ sufficiently large, one can choose $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$-\rho^{-4s} \in (-a^\ast, (a^- - a^\Delta)/2) \quad (11)$$

If one chooses a larger $s$, then one can achieve condition (11) by choosing $\rho$ closer to 1. This means that, as $\rho^{-4s}$ remains bounded, the length of $I^*$ tends to 0 and one can attain both conditions (11) and $-\rho^{-4s+2} \in (-a^\ast, -a^\Delta)$. Thus $\xi_{4s-2}, \xi_{4s} \in (-a^\ast, -a^\Delta)$, see part (3) of Lemma 12. This proves the first claim of part (4) of Theorem 6.

To prove the second claim, for $q^* \in (-1, 0)$, we denote by $s^\sharp(q^*)$ the value of $s \in \mathbb{N}$ corresponding to the leftmost of the numbers $-(q^*)^{-4s}$ belonging to the interval $[-a, 0)$. In the proof of part (4) of Theorem 6 we set $\rho := |q^*|$, so $-(q^*)^{-4s} = -\rho^{-4s}$. Hence

$$\lim_{\rho \to 1^-} (-\rho^{-4s^\sharp(q^*)}) = -a \quad , \quad -\rho^{-4s^\sharp(q^*)} > -a \quad \text{and} \quad -\rho^{-4(s^\sharp(q^*)+1)} < -a .$$

From the latter two inequalities, having in mind that $\ln(1/\rho) = (1 - \rho) + o(1 - \rho)$, one gets

$$s^\sharp(q^*) \sim (\ln a)/(4(1 - \rho)) \quad . \quad (12)$$

Now we partition the zeros of $\theta(q^*,.)$ with negative real parts in several sets (we remind that there are no zeros of $\theta(q^*,.)$ on the imaginary axis for any $q^* \in (-1, 0)$, see [23]):

1) The set $S_\infty$ of zeros $\xi_j$ belonging to the intervals $I^*$ with $s \geq s^\sharp(q^*) + 2$. These zeros (when considered as depending continuously on $q \in [q^*, 0]$) are real and do not belong to the interval $[-a, 0)$ for any $q \in [q^*, 0)$.

2) The set $S_0$ of the two zeros of the interval $I^*$ with $s = s^\sharp(q^*) + 1$.

3) The set $S_R$ of the other real negative zeros of $\theta(q^*,.)$. We subdivide this set into $S_R([-a, -e^{\pi/2}])$ and $S_R((-e^{\pi/2}, 0))$ of zeros belonging to the respective intervals.

4) The set $S_I$ of the complex conjugate pairs of zeros of $\theta(q^*,.)$ which have negative real parts. For $q^* \in (\bar{q}_{2\nu+1}, \bar{q}_{2\nu-1})$, their number is $\nu$. For $q^* < 0$ close to zero, the zeros of the set $S_I$ are real and belong to intervals $I^*$, and as $q^*$ decreases, they form complex conjugate pairs, see Remarks 3.

By abuse of notation we denote by the same symbols sets (e.g. $S_I, S_R$ etc.) and the number of zeros of $\theta$ which they contain. We remind that the numbers $n_d(q^*)$ and $s^\sharp(q^*)$ are defined in Notation 5 and in the proof of part (3) of the present theorem respectively; the number $s^\sharp(q^*)$ satisfies the first of conditions (10). Hence for $q^* \in (\bar{q}_{2\nu+1}, \bar{q}_{2\nu-1})$, one has
\[ n_a(q^*) = S_R([-a, -e^{\pi/2}]) + A , \] (13)

where \( A = 0, 1 \) or 2 is the number of zeros of the set \( S_0 \) which belong to the interval \([-a, -e^{\pi/2}]\). On the other hand,

\[ S_R([-a, -e^{\pi/2}]) = 2s^*(q^*) - S_R((e^{\pi/2}, 0)) - S_I . \] (14)

Recall that \( S_I = 2\nu \). By the first of equations (10) one has \( \nu = s^1(q^*) + O(1) \), and by part (3) of the present theorem one has \( S_R((e^{\pi/2}, 0)) = o(\nu) \). That’s why equations (13) and (14) imply

\[ n_a(q^*) = 2s^2(q^*) - 2s^1(q^*) + o(\nu) . \] (15)

The factor 2 corresponds to the fact that there are two zeros \( \xi \) in the interval \( I^* \). One can apply formula (12) with \( a = e^{\pi/2} \) to obtain \( s^1(q^*) \sim \ln(e^{\pi/2})/(4(1 - \rho)) \) and from (15) one concludes that \( n_a(q^*) = (\ln(a/e^{\pi/2}))/((2(1 - \rho)) + o(1/(1 - \rho)) \) from which part (4) of the theorem follows.

\( \square \)

Parts (5) and (6). We begin by proving the first claim of part (6); in this part of the proof we write \( q \) instead of \( \bar{q}_{2a} \). For any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists \( s^\nu \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for \( s \geq s^\nu \), one has \( \bar{y}_{2s} \in (e^{\pi/2} - \varepsilon, e^{\pi/2} + \varepsilon) \), see formulae (13). We assume that \( \varepsilon < 1/2 \), so \( \bar{y}_{2s} > 3 \). For \( s \geq s^\nu \), we set \( x_j := \bar{y}_{2s}/q^j, j \in \mathbb{N} \). One has \( \theta(q, x_0) = 0 \), \( x_{2m} > 0 \), \( x_{2m+1} < 0 \) and \( |x_j| > 3 \). Therefore

\[ \theta(q, x_1) = 1 + x_0\theta(q, x_0) = 1 > 0 , \]

\[ \theta(q, x_2) = 1 + x_1\theta(q, x_1) = 1 + x_1(1 + x_0\theta(q, x_0)) < 1 - 3 = -2 < 0 , \]

\[ \theta(q, x_3) = 1 + x_2\theta(q, x_2) < -2 < 0 \quad \text{and} \]

\[ \theta(q, x_4) = 1 + x_3\theta(q, x_3) > 2 > 0 . \]

In the same way one shows that \( \theta(q, x_{4m+2}) < -2 < 0 \) and \( \theta(q, x_{4m+4}) > 2 > 0 \). Hence at least one zero of \( \theta(q, \cdot) \) belongs to the interval \((x_{4m+2}, x_{4m+4})\). The longest of these intervals for which \( x_{4m+2} \in \mathbb{E}^{\pi/2} + \varepsilon, a \) is the last one, i.e. the one with largest value of \( m \). Its length is \( \leq a((1/q^2) - 1) \) which quantity tends to 0 as \( q \to -1^+ \) (i.e. as \( s \to \infty \)). Hence the zeros of \( \theta(q, \cdot) \) are everywhere dense in the interval \([e^{\pi/2} + \varepsilon, a] \), and as \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is arbitrary, they are everywhere dense in \([e^{\pi/2}, a] \). This proves the first claim of part (6).

To prove part (5) we observe that for \( x \in (\xi_{4a+4}, \xi_{4a+2}) \), one has \( \theta(q, x) \leq 0 \) and according to (7), \( \theta(q, qx) = \theta(q, x)/(qx) - 1/(qx) < 0 \) (because \( qx > 0 \)). Hence \( (q\xi_{4a+2}, q\xi_{4a+4}) \subset (\xi_{4a+1}, \xi_{4a+3}) \), see Fig. 3 in [15] (in [15] the latter inclusion is proved only for \( q \in (-0.108, 0) \); for any \( q \in (-1, 0) \), provided that the zeros \( \xi_{4a+1}, \xi_{4a+2}, \xi_{4a+3} \) and \( \xi_{4a+4} \) are real, it follows by continuity). Thus

\[ Z_{(0,e^{\pi/2})}(q) = Z_{[-e^{\pi/2}/|q|, 0]}(q) + B = Z_{[-e^{\pi/2}/|q|, -e^{\pi/2}]}(q) + B , \]

where \( B = -1, 0 \) or 1 indicates that the count might not concern the leftmost zero in \([-e^{\pi/2}/|q|, 0]\) and/or the rightmost zero in \((0, e^{\pi/2}]\). By parts (3) and (4) of the present theorem each of the summands \( Z_{[-e^{\pi/2}/|q|]}(q) \) and \( Z_{[-e^{\pi/2}/|q|, -e^{\pi/2}]}(q) \) is \( o(1/(1 + q)) \) which proves part (5). In the same way one proves the second claim of part (6) as well:

\[ Z_{[e^{\pi/2}, a]}(q) = Z_{[-a/|q|, -e^{\pi/2}/|q|]}(q) + B = Z_{[-a/|q|, -e^{\pi/2}]}(q) - Z_{[-e^{\pi/2}/|q|, -e^{\pi/2}]}(q) + B , \]
where $Z_{(-e^{\pi/2}/|q|,-e^{\pi/2})}(q) = o(1/(1 + q))$ and

$$Z_{[-a/|q|,-e^{\pi/2})}(q) = \frac{\ln((a/|q|)/e^{\pi/2})/2}{1 + q} = \frac{\ln(a/e^{\pi/2}/|q|)}{1 + q} + o(1/(1 + q)).$$

The theorem is proved.
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