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ABSTRACT. We show that the holonomy group of the Cartan connection of a Riemannian manifold is compact if and only if the Riemannian manifold is a cone.

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this notes is to put in evidence a relation between Cartan Geometry and cones over Riemannian manifolds. For references about holonomy and cones we refer to the recent preprint [ACL19]. Any Riemannian manifold has a canonical Cartan Geometry associated to it as explained in [CS09, page 72, Example 1.5.1, (iii)] or [Sha00]. For the holonomy of a Cartan connection \( \Phi^\omega \) we follow [CGH14, page 1040, 2.2].

According to Robert Bryant, Elie Cartan pointed out in his original paper [C26] that the holonomy group \( \Phi^\omega \) is not just a rotation group and typically it includes non-trivial translations [B16, see last comment following R. Bryant’s answer].

We are going to show that \( \Phi^\omega \) is a rotation group if and only if the Riemannian manifold is a cone. More precisely:

**Theorem 1.** Let \( (M^n, g) \) be a Riemannian manifold and let \( (G, \omega) \) be the torsion free Cartan geometry of type \( (O(n) \times \mathbb{R}^n, O(n)) \) canonically associated to \( (M^n, g) \). Let \( \text{Hol}^\text{loc}_p (\hat{\omega}) \) be the local Cartan holonomy group of the tractor connection \( \hat{\omega} \) at \( p \in M^n \) and \( \text{Hol}^\text{loc}_p (g) \) that of the Levi-Civita connection. Then

\[
\text{Hol}^\text{loc}_p (\hat{\omega}) = \{ e \} \times \prod_{i=1}^k \text{Hol}^\text{loc}_{p_i} (\hat{\omega}_i),
\]

where \( \{ e \} \) is the holonomy group of the flat factor \( (M_0^{n_0}, g_0) \) and \( \hat{\omega}_i \) is the tractor connection of the irreducible factor \( (M_i^{n_i}, g_i) \) in the local De Rham
decomposition of $M^n$ around $p$. Moreover, for each $i = 1, \cdots, k$, the Cartan holonomy $\text{Hol}_{p_i}^{\text{loc}}(\hat{\omega}_i)$ is equal to either the Levi-Civita holonomy group $\text{Hol}_{p_i}^{\text{loc}}(g_i)$ or the semidirect product $\text{Hol}_{p_i}^{\text{loc}}(g_i) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$.

Furthermore, we have that $\text{Hol}^0(\hat{\omega})$ is compact if and only if $(M^n, g)$ is a product of cones. In particular, $\text{Hol}^0(\hat{\omega}) = \text{Hol}^0(g) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$ if $g$ is an Einstein (non-Ricci flat) metric.

Finally, all groups in Berger’s list of holonomies of irreducible Riemannian manifolds are realizable as $\text{Hol}(\hat{\omega})$ of a certain cone, except for the two groups $\text{SO}(2)$ and $\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \text{Sp}(1)$.

The last part of the above theorem holds in general pseudo-riemannian manifolds see [ACL19, pag. 7].

**Corollary 1.1.** If $(M, g)$ is a complete non flat Riemannian manifold then the Cartan holonomy group is non compact.

**Acknowledgments:** A.J. Di Scala want to thanks Guilherme Machado de Freitas with whom he discusses the main ideas of this paper in 2016.

### 2. The Cartan Connection of a Riemannian Manifold

#### 2.1. Definition of the Cartan Connection

In this section we summarize some well known basic facts about the Cartan connection naturally associated to a Riemannian manifold.

Let $(M, \langle \ , \ \rangle)$ be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ and let $\nabla$ be its Levi-Civita connection. We will first recall how $\nabla$ is associated to a connection on the principal $O(n)$-bundle of orthonormal frames on $M$ we refer to [KN63] for further details.

An orthonormal frame of $M$ at $p \in M$ is an orthonormal basis

$$u = (X_1, \cdots, X_n)$$

of $T_pM$. It can also be viewed as the linear isometry $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to T_pM$ such that $u(e_i) = X_i$, where $\{e_i\}$ is the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $P$ be the set of all orthonormal frames at every point of $M$ or, equivalently, the set of all linear isometries $u : \mathbb{R}^n \to T_pM$ varying $p \in M$. Then $O(n)$ acts naturally on the right on $P$ by composition, and this action is
free and transitive. In this way $P$ is a principal $O(n)$-bundle, where the
projection is given by $\pi(u) = p$ if $u$ is an orthonormal frame at $p \in M$. The
set $P_p = \pi^{-1}(p)$ is called the fiber over $p$, and the elements of $T_u P$ that are
tangent to the fiber over $\pi(u)$ are called vertical vectors at $u$.

If $c(t)$ is a curve in $M$ and we denote by $\tau_t$ the $\nabla$-parallel transport in
$M$ along $c$. Then we say that a curve $u(t) = (X_1(t), \ldots, X_n(t))$ in $P$ is
horizontal if $X_i(t) = \tau_t(X_i(0))$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $c(t) = \pi(u(t))$. In
other words, $u(t)$ is horizontal if and only if each $X_i(t)$ is a $\nabla$-parallel vector
field along $c$. It is well known that given $c$ and $u \in \pi^{-1}(c(0))$, there is a
unique horizontal curve $u(t)$ in $P$ such that $u(0) = u$ and $\pi(u(t)) = c(t)$,
called the horizontal lift of $c$ through $u$. Observe that if $v \in T_p M$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$
is such that $u(\xi) = v$ and we denote by $v(t)$ the parallel transport of $v$ along
c, then

$$v(t) = u(t)(\xi)$$

where $u(t)$ is the horizontal lift of $c$ through $u$.

A vector $X \in T_u P$ is said to be horizontal if $X = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_0 u(t)$ for some
horizontal curve $u(t)$ in $P$ with $u(0) = u$. Denote by $Q_u$ the set of all
horizontal vectors at $u$ in $P$. Then the map $Q : u \mapsto Q_u \subset T_u P$ is a
distribution on $P$, which defines a connection in $P$, i.e., it verifies:

$$(2) \quad T_u P = T_u(P_p) \oplus Q_u,$$

where $p = \pi(u) \in M$, and

$$(3) \quad Q_{ua} = (Ra)_* Q_u$$

where $R_a(u) = ua$. This is the principal connection on $P$ canonically asso-
ciated to the Levi-Civita connection on $M$.

As every connection on a principal fiber bundle, there is a connection
form $\tilde{\omega}$ associated to it. Recall that $\tilde{\omega}$ is defined in the following way. Given
an element $A \in so(n)$, the Lie algebra of $O(n)$, $A$ naturally defines a vertical
vector field $A^*$ on $P$ by

$$(4) \quad A_u^* := \frac{d}{dt}\big|_0 u \exp(tA).$$

The map $A \mapsto A_u^*$ is a linear isomorphism between $so(n)$ and $T_u(P_{\pi(u)})$.

Now, given any vector $X_u \in T_u P$ and according to the decomposition (2),
there is a unique element $A \in so(n)$ such that the vertical part of $X_u$ is $A_u^*$.
The connection form is then defined as $\tilde{\omega} : TP \mapsto so(n)$ such that

$$(5) \quad \tilde{\omega}(X_u) = A.$$
It has the two following characterizing properties:

\[\tilde{\omega}(A^*) = A, \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{so}(n), \quad (R_a)^*\tilde{\omega} = \text{Ad}(a^{-1})\tilde{\omega}, \quad \forall a \in O(n).\]

Observe that \(\tilde{\omega}(\overline{X}) = 0\) if and only if \(X\) is horizontal.

We now introduce the second element that we need to define the Cartan connection: the so-called \textit{canonical form} \(\theta\) of \(P\). Set

\[\theta(X_u) := u^{-1}(d\pi_u)(\overline{X_u}).\]

Then \(\theta\) is a \(\mathbb{R}^n\)-valued 1-form which is clearly independent of the metric connection and verifies that \(\theta(\overline{X}) = 0\) if and only if \(\overline{X}\) is a vertical vector. Therefore \(\theta|_{Q_u} : Q_u \to \mathbb{R}^n\) is a linear isomorphism.

Moreover, since \(\pi \circ R_a = \pi\), one easily gets that

\[(R_a)^*\theta = a^{-1}\theta\]

for every \(a \in O(n)\).

We are now in the condition of defining the Cartan connection associated to \((M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)\).

Let \(M\) be an arbitrary manifold, \(g\) a Lie algebra, \(\mathfrak{h} \subset g\) a Lie subalgebra and \(H\) a Lie group with Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{h}\). One says that \(\xi = (P, \omega)\) is a Cartan geometry modeled on \((g, \mathfrak{h})\) on \(M\) with group \(H\) if:

(i) \(P\) is a principal right \(H\) bundle over \(M\);
(ii) \(\omega\) is a \(g\)-valued 1-form on \(P\) that satisfies

(a) the linear map \(\omega_u : T_uP \to g\) is a linear isomorphism for each \(u \in P\);
(b) \((R_h)^*\omega = \text{Ad}(h^{-1})\omega\) for each \(h \in H\);
(c) \(\omega(A^*) = A\) for all \(A \in \mathfrak{h}\).

To associate a Cartan geometry to a Riemannian manifold \(M\), consider the principal \(O(n)\)-bundle \(P\) of othonormal frames of \(M\) and the euclidean group \(E(n) = O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n\). Set \(\mathfrak{e}(n) = \mathfrak{so}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n\) its Lie algebra. Finally, define

\[\omega = \tilde{\omega} + \theta\]

where \(\tilde{\omega}\) and \(\theta\) are defined by equations (5) and (7). Then from (6) and (8) it is clear that \((M, \omega)\) is a Cartan geometry, modeled on \((\mathfrak{e}(n), \mathfrak{so}(n))\) with group \(O(n)\) (one only has to observe that, via the usual identification of \(\mathbb{R}^n\) as a subalgebra of \(\mathfrak{e}(n)\), \(\text{Ad}(a)v = av\) for each \(a \in O(n)\) and \(v \in \mathbb{R}^n\)). \(\omega\) is called the Cartan connection of the Riemannian manifold \(M\).
2.2. The Cartan connection and the affine connection on $M$. Throughout this section, we will keep the notations introduced so far, always assuming that $M$ is a Riemannian manifold. We will now relate the Cartan connection of $M$ with its affine geometry.

Consider the principal bundle $\tilde{P}$ of affine orthonormal frames of $M$. If $p \in M$, an affine orthonormal frame at $p$ is an $(n+1)$-tuple $\tilde{u} = (v, X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ such that $v \in T_pM$ and $(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ is an orthonormal frame of $M$ at $p$. Again, we define $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{u}) = p$ if $\tilde{u}$ is an affine orthonormal frame at $p$ and we put $\tilde{P}_p = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(p)$.

Then any $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{P}_p$ can be viewed as the affine isometry $\tilde{u} : \mathbb{R}^n \to T_pM$ such that $\tilde{u}(0) = v$ and, with the usual identifications, $d\tilde{u}_0(e_i) = X_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Then $E(n)$ acts naturally on the right on $\tilde{P}$, making it a principal bundle.

$P$ is a subbundle of $\tilde{P}$ via the inclusion $i : P \to \tilde{P}$, $(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \mapsto (0_p, X_1, \cdots, X_n)$, where $0_p$ denotes the zero element of $T_pM$. If we have a connection $\tilde{Q}$ on $\tilde{P}$, its connection form $\omega^*$ will be a $\mathfrak{e}(n)$-valued one form on $\tilde{P}$ (and therefore, $i^*(\omega^*)$ is a good candidate for a Cartan connection on $P$).

It is well known that there exists a unique connection on $\tilde{P}$ such that its connection form $\omega^*$ satisfies

$$i^*(\omega^*) = \tilde{\omega} + \theta$$

(cf. [KN63][Ch III, §3]). It is called the affine connection of the Riemannian manifold $M$. $\omega^*$ is called the affine connection form of $M$.

Hence, the Cartan connection of $M$ is no other than the affine connection form of $M$, restricted to $P$, i.e,

$$\omega = i^*(\omega^*)$$

2.3. Affine parallel vector fields. Denote by $Q^*$ the affine connection of the Riemannian manifold $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. Given a curve $c : I \to M$, let $\tilde{u}(t)$ be the horizontal lift of $c$ through $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{P}$, i.e., $\tilde{u}(0) = \tilde{u}, \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{u}(t)) = c(t)$, $\tilde{u}'(t) \in Q^*_{\tilde{u}(t)}$. Given an element $v \in T_{c(0)}M$, let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\tilde{u}(\xi) = v$. Then the affine parallel transport of $v$ along $c$ is

$$V(t) := \tilde{u}(t)(v)$$

(cf. with (1)).
3. **Affine Killing vector fields**

Recall that a vector field on a Riemannian manifold $M$ is a *affine Killing vector field* if its flow $\{\varphi_t\}$ is given by affine transformations of $M$. They can be completely characterized in the following way:

**Lemma 3.1.** A vector field $X$ on a Riemannian manifold $M$ is an affine Killing vector field if and only if

$$\nabla^2_{Y,Z}X + R_{X,Y}Z = 0$$

for every $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where $\nabla^2_{Y,Z}X := \nabla Y \nabla Z X - \nabla Y \nabla X Z$.

**Proof.** Fix $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and let $\{\theta_t\}$ be the flow of $X$. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} (\theta_{-t})_* (\nabla_{(\theta_t)_*Y} (\theta_t)_*Z)) = [X, \nabla Y Z] - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z - \nabla Y [X, Z]$$

$$= \nabla X \nabla Y Z - \nabla \nabla_{Y,Z} X - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z - \nabla Y \nabla X Z + \nabla Y \nabla Z X$$

$$= \nabla^2_{Y,Z}X + R_{X,Y}Z$$

Then if $X$ is an affine Killing vector field it is immediate that equation (10) holds.

Conversely, put $Y_{t_0} = (\theta_{t_0})_* Y$, $Z_{t_0} = (\theta_{t_0})_* Z$. Then if $X$ satisfies equation (10) for every $Y, Z$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t_0} (\theta_{-t})_* (\nabla_{(\theta_t)_*Y} (\theta_t)_*Z)) = (\theta_{-t_0})_* \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} (\theta_{s})_* (\nabla_{(\theta_s)_*Y_{t_0}} (\theta_s)_*Z_{t_0})$$

$$= (\theta_{-t_0})_* (\nabla^2_{Y_{t_0},Z_{t_0}}X + R_{X,Y_{t_0}}Z_{t_0}) = 0$$

and so $X$ is an affine Killing vector field. \hfill $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** If $M$ is a Riemannian manifold, $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection and $X$ is a Killing vector field, then it is obviously an affine Killing vector field, and $X$ satisfies the well known equation (10).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold, $\nabla$ its Levi-Civita connection and $\text{Hol}(g)$ its holonomy group. Assume that $\text{Hol}(g)$ acts irreducible. Then if $X$ is an affine Killing vector field of $M$ and $\theta_t$ is its flow, $\theta_t$ is an homotety of $M$.

**Proof.** Consider the pull-back metric $\theta^*_t \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $M$. Since $\theta_t$ is an affine transformation, from the Koszul formulas is not difficult to see that $\nabla$ is also the Levi-Civita connection of $M$ with respect to the new metric. So the holonomy groups of $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ and $(M, \theta^*_t \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ coincide, and act irreducibly on each tangent space.
Therefore, $\theta_t^*\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = f(t) \cdot \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, with $f > 0$. \hfill \Box

4. The affine connection

Let $M$ be a connected Riemannian manifold. Denote by $\Phi_p^\omega$ the holonomy group of the affine connection of $M$ and by $\Phi_p$ and $\Phi_p^0$ the holonomy group and the restricted holonomy group resp. of $M$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ of $M$, based at $p \in M$.

Denote by $\tau_c^\omega$ and by $\tau_c$ the parallel transport with respect to the affine and Levi-Civita connection resp. along a curve $c$ in $M$. They are related in the following way. Let $c(t) = t Y_s = \tau_c|_{[s,t]}(c'(s))$, where $\tau_c|_{[s,t]}$ denotes the parallel transport along $c$ from $c(s)$ to $c(t)$. Let $C_t = \int_0^t Y_s ds$. Then for every $v \in T_{c(0)}M$,

$$\tau_c^\omega|_{[0,t]}(v) = \tau_c|_{[0,t]}(v) - C_t.$$  

(cf. [KN63][Lemma 1, Ch. IV])

A vector field $V$ is said to be affine parallel if $\tau_c^\omega(V(c(0))) = V(c(1))$ for every curve $c$ in $M$. Then from (11) one gets that $V$ is affine parallel if and only if,

$$\nabla_X V + X = 0, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $V$ be an affine parallel vector field and let $\theta_t$ denote its flow. Then:

1. For every $p \in M$, $\theta_t(p)$ is the reparametrization of a geodesic in $M$.
   More precisely, if $\gamma_p(t)$ is the geodesic by $p$ such that $\gamma'_p(0) = V_p$, then
   $$\theta_t(p) = \gamma_p(1 - e^{-t}).$$
2. $V$ is an affine Killing vector field and for every $x, y \in T_pM$,
   $$\langle (d\theta_t)_p x, (d\theta_t)_p y \rangle = e^{-2t} \langle x, y \rangle.$$

**Proof.** To prove the first statement, observe that from equation (12), the distribution in $M$ generated by $V$ is autoparallel and then its integral curves are totally geodesic submanifolds of $M$.

Let $\gamma_p$ be the geodesic by $p$ with $\gamma'_p(0) = V_p$. Then there exists a function $\lambda(t)$ such that $\lambda(0) = 0$ and

$$\gamma_p(t) = \theta_{\lambda(t)}(p).$$

Therefore, $\gamma'_p(t) = \lambda'(t) \cdot \frac{d}{ds}|_{\lambda(t)} \theta_s(p) = \lambda'(t) \cdot V(\theta_{\lambda(t)}(p)) = \lambda'(t) V(\gamma_p(t)).$
If \( q = \gamma_p(t_0) \) for some \( t_0 \), then from equation (11)

\[
V(q) = \tau_{\gamma_p} V(p) = \tau_{\gamma}(V(p)) = t_0 \gamma'_p(t_0) = (1 - t_0) \gamma'_p(t_0)
\]

Then we get that

\[
\lambda'(t) = \frac{1}{1 - t} \Rightarrow \lambda(t) = -\ln(1 - t).
\]

It follows immediately that

\[
\theta_t(p) = \gamma_p(1 - e^{-t}).
\]

To prove the second statement, observe that equation (12) implies that \( R_{X,Y}V = 0 \) and that \( \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} V = -\nabla X Y \), and so \( V \) is an affine Killing vector field. Then from Lemma 3.3, \( \theta_t \) is an homotety.

Fix now \( p \in M \) and \( x \in T_p M \). Let \( c(s) \) be any curve such that \( c'(0) = x \). Set \( X_t = (d\theta_t)p(x) \). Then \( X_t \) is a vector field along the curve \( \theta_t(p) \) and its covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection is given by

\[
\frac{D}{dt} X_t = \frac{D}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \theta_t(c(s)) = \frac{D}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_t(c(s)) = \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_t(c(s))} V = -d\theta_t(v).
\]

Then if we put

\[
h(t) = \langle d\theta_t(x), d\theta_t(x) \rangle
\]

we have

\[
h'(t) = 2\langle \frac{D}{dt} X_t, X_t \rangle = -2 \langle d\theta_t(x), d\theta_t(x) \rangle = -2h(t)
\]

Therefore, \( h(t) = e^{-2t} h(0) \) and so

\[
\langle d\theta_t(x), d\theta_t(y) \rangle = e^{-2t} \langle x, y \rangle
\]

for all \( x, y \in T_p M \).

\[\square\]

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( M \) be a Riemannian manifold whose affine holonomy group \( \Phi^\omega \) is compact. Then \( M \) is locally a cone, i.e, each point \( p \in M \) admits a coordinate neighborhood \((U, (r, x^1, \cdots, x^l)) \) such that the metric is given by

\[
ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 \sum g_{ij} dx^i dx^j
\]

where \( g_{ij} \) depend only on \( x^1, \cdots, x^l \).

**Proof.** Fix \( p \in M \). Since \( \Phi^\omega_p \) is compact, there is a vector \( v_p \in T_p M \) which is fixed under the action of \( \Phi^\omega_p \). Let \( q \) be any other point of \( M \) and let \( c \) be a curve joining \( p \) and \( q \). Set \( v_q := \tau^\omega_q(v_p) \). Then it is standard to see that \( v_q \) is independent of the choice of the curve \( c \), is fixed under \( \Phi^\omega_q \) and that

\[
q \mapsto V(q) := v_q
\]
defines an affine parallel vector field on $M$.

Consider now the function $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$, given by $f(q) = \|V(q)\|^2$.

Assume first that $v_p \neq 0$. Then there is a neighbourhood of $p$ such that $V \neq 0$. Consider the level sets of $f$

$$M^s := \{ q \in M : \|V(q)\|^2 = s \}.$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M^s)$. Then from (12) we get

$$\langle X, V \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} X(V, V) = 0$$

and so $V$ is everywhere perpendicular to $M^s$.

Let $\theta_t$ be the flow of $V$. Then from Lemma 4.1 $\theta_t$ maps the level set $M^s$ to $M^{s'}$, with $s' = e^{-2t}s$.

Set $r_0 = \|V(p)\|$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\tilde{U}$ be a neighbourhood of $p$ such that the map $(t, q) \mapsto \theta_t(q)$ is differentiable in $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times \tilde{U}$ and let $(U, \varphi_0)$ be a coordinate chart around $p$ on $M^s$ such that $U \subset \tilde{U}$. Set $X_i(q) := \partial x_i^q$ for each $q$ in $U \subset M^s$.

Set $W = r_0 \varphi_0(U)$, and define $f: (r_0 - \delta, r_0 + \delta) \times W \to M$ by

$$f(r, x) := \theta_{-\ln(r/r_0)}(\varphi_0^{-1}(r_0 x)).$$

Then, for some small $\delta$, $f$ is well defined and differentiable.

Observe that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} |_{(r,x)} = -\frac{1}{r} V(f(r,x))$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} |_{(r,x)} = (d\theta_{-\ln(r/r_0)}) |_{\varphi_0^{-1}(x)}(r_0 X_i(\varphi_0^{-1}(x))).$$

Then $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} |_{(r,x)}$ form a basis of the corresponding $T_{f(r,x)}M^s$ and are perpendicular to $\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} |_{(r,x)}$. So $f$ is a diffeomorphism and $\varphi = f^{-1}$ defines a coordinate chart of $M$ around $p$.

Now, from (13), (14) and Lemma (4.1) we easily get that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \right\| = 1, \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\rangle = r^2 \langle X_i, X_j \rangle$$

which proves the theorem.

Suppose now that $v_p = 0$. In this case the proof is similar to that of [KN63][Th. 7.2, Ch. IV]. We include it here for the sake of completeness.
Let \((U, \varphi = (x^1, \cdots, x^n))\) be a normal coordinate chart around \(p\). For each \(q \in U\), the radial geodesic joining \(p\) and \(q\) is given by
\[
\gamma(t) = \varphi^{-1}(t(x^1(q), \cdots, x^n(q))).
\]

Observe that in this case,
\[
V(q) = \tau^\varphi_*(v_p) = -\gamma'(1) = -\sum_{i=1}^n x^i(q) \partial x^i_q
\]
and so \(V\) is minus the position vector field in \(U\).

We will now prove that \(\langle \partial x^i, \partial x^j \rangle\) is constant along the integral curves of \(V\). In fact, we have
\[
V(\partial x^i, \partial x^j) = \langle \nabla_V \partial x^i, \partial x^j \rangle + \langle \partial x^i, \partial x^j \rangle \nabla_V \partial x^i + \langle [V, \partial x^i], \partial x^j \rangle + \langle \partial x^i, [V, \partial x^j] \rangle.
\]
Since \(\nabla_{\partial x^i} V = -\partial x^i\) and, from equation (15), \([V, \partial x^i] = \partial x^i\), we get that
\[
V(\partial x^i, \partial x^j) = 0.
\]
If we now consider the geodesic \(c(t) = \gamma(1-t)\) joining \(q\) and \(p\), we get that \(c'(0) = V(q)\). So, from Theorem 4.1, \(g_{ij} = \langle \partial x^i, \partial x^j \rangle\) are constant along the geodesic \(c_{[0,1]}\). Making \(t\) tend to 1, we get that \(g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\), i.e, \(U\) is flat and the usual polar coordinates in \(U\) give the cone metric. \(\square\)

5. Proof of the main results

5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.

As explained in Section 2.2, the Cartan holonomy \(\text{Hol}^\text{loc}_p(\hat{\omega})\) is the same as the affine holonomy \(\Phi^\omega\).

The splitting of \(\text{Hol}^\text{loc}_p(\hat{\omega})\) follows from the well-known local De Rham splitting of \((M^n, g)\) around \(p\):
\[
(M^n, g) = \prod_{i=0}^k (M^n_i, g_i)
\]

Now \(\text{Hol}^\text{loc}_p(\hat{\omega})\) is compact if and only if each factor \(\text{Hol}^\text{loc}_{p_i}(\hat{\omega}_i)\) is compact. That \((M^n_i, g_i)\) is a cone if \(\Phi^{\omega_i} = \text{Hol}^\text{loc}_{p_i}(\hat{\omega}_i)\) is compact was proved in Theorem 4.2.

Now if \((M^n_i, g_i)\) is a cone then the radial vector field \(V := -r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\) is affine parallel, see equation (12), hence \(\Phi^{\omega_i} = \text{Hol}^\text{loc}_{p_i}(\hat{\omega})\) has a fixed point. Then \(\text{Hol}^\text{loc}_{p_i}(\hat{\omega})\) is compact since it is isomorphic to the holonomy group of the Levi-Civita connection \(\text{Hol}^\text{loc}_{p_i}(g_i)\).
That all irreducible holonomies of Berger’s list but $\text{SO}(2)$ and $\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \text{Sp}(1)$ can be realized as holonomies of cones is proved in [ACL19, pag. 7]. Observe that the Ricci tensor of a cone vanishes in the radial direction. This rules out $\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \text{Sp}(1)$ since a manifold with such holonomy is Einstein non Ricci-flat. Observe that $\text{SO}(2)$ can not be the holonomy of a cone since a 2-dimensional cone is flat.

\[\square\]

5.2. Proof of Corollary 1.1.

The proof of the corollary is by contradiction as follows. Without loss of generality we can assume that $(M,g)$ is locally irreducible. So assume that $\Phi^\omega$ is compact and let $V$ be the affine parallel vector field constructed in the the proof of Theorem 4.2. If $V$ vanishes at some point $p$ then the last part of the proof of Theorem 4.2 shows that $(M,g)$ is flat around $p$, a contradiction. So $V$ is not identically zero and by Lemma 4.1 the flow of $V$ is by homothetic non isometric transformations. This contradicts [KN63, Lemma 2, page 242].

\[\square\]
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