Single-Particle Decoherence Can Improve Spin-Squeezing Generated In Collective Dynamics
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We study the generation of spin-squeezing in arrays of long-lived dipoles subject to collective emission, coherent drive, elastic interactions, and spontaneous emission. Counter-intuitively, for fixed parameters it is found that the introduction of spontaneous emission leads to an enhancement of the achievable spin-squeezing, relative to that which emerges in the steady-state of the idealized collective dynamics. This behavior is connected to the dynamical self-tuning of the system through a dissipative phase transition that is present in the collective system alone. Our findings will be applicable to next-generation quantum sensors harnessing correlated quantum matter, including cavity-QED and trapped ion systems.

Introduction – The preparation of entangled and non-classical quantum states is a vital task for many quantum technologies, including metrology [1] and quantum information [2, 3]. Conventional protocols generate entanglement via coherent dynamics and seek to minimize the decoherence induced by couplings to the environment [4, 5]. However, it has been established that dissipation can itself be a powerful resource for entanglement generation under appropriate conditions. In particular, quantum reservoir engineering has established the potential to generate pure entangled steady-states by carefully tailored couplings between the system and the environment [6–12].

While these engineered dissipative systems can lead to rich physics, their realization is difficult. Ultracold atoms coupled to optical cavities and trapped ion arrays, however, are emerging as a convenient platform where both coherent and dissipative dynamics can be engineered with great controllability [13–27]. In fact, these systems have garnered tremendous theoretical attention for many years [28–41] given the emergent new behaviors, critical phenomena, and quantum phases of matter that they can feature. For example, non-equilibrium phase transitions in collective models, featuring highly entangled steady states around critical points, have been identified as an appealing resource for quantum metrology [33–41]. However, a drawback is that the timescales required to reach the steady-state are typically extremely long [29, 33], specifically with respect to common experimental sources of technical noise and single-particle decoherence which are often neglected in the theoretical models. In view of this, the widely-held expectation is that single-particle decoherence will strongly limit any entanglement generated by the collective dynamics.

Here, we demonstrate that the introduction of single-particle decoherence in a collective open system can in fact generate improved entanglement with respect to the idealized collective steady-state. Specifically, we show that a system subject to collective drive and dissipation, spin-squeezing can be improved by single-particle decoherence with respect to the idealized collective steady-state at a fixed set of parameters. The mechanism driv-
ing this phenomena is the destruction of collective coherence due to single-particle decoherence, which allows the system to dynamically traverse the corresponding non-equilibrium phase-diagram [see Fig. 1(a)], and in turn access regimes that display large transient squeezing. While our analysis and understanding of this phenomenon is framed from a cavity-QED perspective, we note that similar conclusions can be drawn in more general models including arrays of trapped ions [38] and superconducting qubits [43, 44].

Model – We consider an ensemble of \(N\) atoms in an optical lattice supported by a standing wave optical cavity, illustrated in Fig. 1(b). A single common mode of the cavity couples two internal states of the atoms, \(|\uparrow\rangle\) and \(|\downarrow\rangle\), which encode a spin-1/2 degree of freedom. To realize coherent driving of the dipoles the cavity is pumped with an external coherent field that is tuned resonant to the atomic transition, and upon adiabatic elimination of the intracavity field [45] (which we assume evolves rapidly compared to relevant timescales) the dynamics of the atomic degrees of freedom can be described by a master equation for the atomic density operator \(\hat{\rho}\) [33]

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} = -i\frac{\hbar}{2}\{\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}\} + L_c[\hat{\rho}] + L_s[\hat{\rho}],
\]

where \(\hat{H}\) corresponds to a collective exchange interaction, realized by detuning the cavity from the atomic transition, and the second is the coherent drive, characterized by \(\chi\) and \(\Omega\) respectively. The dissipative part of Eq. (S1) includes a collective decay term with rate \(\Gamma\) given by \(L_c[\hat{\rho}] = \Gamma L(\hat{J}_\perp)[\hat{\rho}]\) and single particle spontaneous emission at rate \(\gamma_s\) given by \(L_s[\hat{\rho}] = \gamma_s \sum_{n=1}^{2} L(\hat{\sigma}_n^-)[\hat{\rho}]\), where the Lindblad superoperator is \(L(O)[\hat{\rho}] = O\hat{\rho}O^\dagger - \{O^\dagger O, \hat{\rho}\}/2\) for a given operator \(\hat{O}\). The former can arise due to leakage of the intracavity field via the mirrors while the latter is a result of the collective dissipation [see Fig. 1(d)]. Specifically, as \(\Upsilon\) approaches the threshold \(\Upsilon_c\) from below, the system relaxes into an increasingly squeezed state with \(\xi^2 < 1\). However, for \(\Upsilon > \Upsilon_c\), the squeezing is abruptly lost beyond an early transient. It should be noted that for \(\Upsilon < \Upsilon_c\) a careful selection of initial conditions becomes necessary to reach the squeezed steady-state quickly, and to avoid an oscillatory phase known to exist near the critical point when \(\chi > 0\) [33].

Effects of single particle dissipation – When \(\gamma_s \neq 0\), the collective \(J^2\) symmetry is broken by the single-particle decoherence. This means the dynamics are free to explore a larger portion of the full Hilbert space of \(2^N\) states, compared to the limited \(N + 1\) states of the collective model. Due to this increased complexity, an analytic formula for the steady-state is not available. However, a mean-field analysis can give useful insight into the steady-state phase diagram of the system, including the position of critical transitions and transient behavior. These predictions can be confirmed by efficient numerical simulation [49–51] of the full quantum dynamics described by the master equation [Eq. (S1)], which also allows us to investigate quantum features such as spin squeezing. In the mean-field approximation, we generate

\[
\Upsilon_c = \sqrt{\Gamma^2 + 4\chi^2}.
\]
also marks the boundary between a squeezed steady state and in the transient dynamics. Naively, one might expect that single-particle dissipation only leads to a degradation of the squeezing generated by the collective dynamics. Indeed, this prediction bears out when examining the steady-state phase-diagram wherein we observe that for $\Upsilon < \Upsilon_c$ (region (i) in Fig. 1(a)] there exists a steady-state with an amount of squeezing that is small relative to the achievable squeezing in the collective case, whilst for $\Upsilon > \Upsilon_c$ (region (ii) in Fig. 1(a)] there is no squeezing beyond a rapid transient dominated by collective physics. However, between these regimes $\Upsilon_c' < \Upsilon < \Upsilon_c$ (striped region (ii) Fig. 1(a)] we find appreciable squeezing develops in the transient dynamics on a time-scale for which both collective and single-particle effects are relevant. In particular, the predicted squeezing exceeds what is seen in the collective steady-state for $\gamma_s = 0$.

Figure 2(a,b) illustrates the spin-squeezing dynamics in this region $\Upsilon_c' < \Upsilon < \Upsilon_c$ for several values of $\gamma_s/\Gamma$. We observe two distinct timescales where squeezing occurs. The first is short-lived and occurs early in the dynamics [see also Fig. 2(a)], where collective behavior dominates and the squeezing is independent of $\gamma_s/\Gamma$. A second transient occurs at timescales $\sim 1/\gamma_s$ and is intrinsically connected to single-particle effects. We find the achievable squeezing is enhanced with respect to the purely collective steady-state ($\gamma_s = 0$) and so focus on understanding the cause of this transient behavior in the following.

**Squeezing mechanism** – The transient squeezing for $\Upsilon_c' < \Upsilon < \Upsilon_c$ occurring at timescales $\sim 1/\gamma_s$ can be understood within the framework of the collective steady-state. Specifically, the enhancement can be understood as a subtle consequence of the destruction of collective coherence by single-particle dissipation. We argue that reducing the collective coherence leads to an effective increase of $\Upsilon$ over time $[\Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon_{\text{eff}(t)}]$, which allows the system to dynamically traverse the collective phase-diagram into regions with higher spin-squeezing.

Our argument is illustrated by plotting in Fig. 2(b), the time-evolution of an effective atom-number related to the total spin $\hat{J}^2$ as $N_{\text{eff}(t)} = 2\Omega/\Gamma_{\text{eff}(t)}$ for several values of $\gamma_s/\Gamma$. The mean-field analysis indicates that many of the qualitative features of the collective physics, particularly the steady-state behaviour, remain when single-particle spontaneous emission is included. Specifically, for $\gamma_s \neq 0$ there is a critical point $\Upsilon_c' \equiv \Upsilon_c/\sqrt{2}$ delineating superradiant and normal phases characterized by the long-time limit of collective observables. Moreover, numerical simulations of the full quantum dynamics reveal that $\Upsilon_c'$ also marks the boundary between a squeezed steady state and the absence of long-time squeezing in the normal phase (see SM). This transition is illustrated in Fig. 1(a).

**Enhanced squeezing** – We now turn our focus to a quantitative analysis of the effects of spontaneous emission on the achievable spin-squeezing, both in the steady-state and in the transient dynamics. Indeed, this prediction bears out when examining the steady-state phase-diagram wherein we observe that for $\Upsilon < \Upsilon_c'$ (region (i) in Fig. 1(a)] there exists a steady-state with an amount of squeezing that is small relative to the achievable squeezing in the collective case, whilst for $\Upsilon > \Upsilon_c$ (region (ii) in Fig. 1(a)] there is no squeezing beyond a rapid transient dominated by collective physics. However, between these regimes $\Upsilon_c' < \Upsilon < \Upsilon_c$ (striped region (ii) Fig. 1(a)] we find appreciable squeezing develops in the transient dynamics on a time-scale for which both collective and single-particle effects are relevant. In particular, the predicted squeezing exceeds what is seen in the collective steady-state for $\gamma_s = 0$. 

The mean-field analysis indicates that many of the qualitative features of the collective physics, particularly the steady-state behaviour, remain when single-particle spontaneous emission is included. Specifically, for $\gamma_s \neq 0$ there is a critical point $\Upsilon_c' \equiv \Upsilon_c/\sqrt{2}$ delineating superradiant and normal phases characterized by the long-time limit of collective observables. Moreover, numerical simulations of the full quantum dynamics reveal that $\Upsilon_c'$ also marks the boundary between a squeezed steady state in the superradiant phase and the absence of long-time squeezing in the normal phase (see SM). This transition is illustrated in Fig. 1(a).

**Enhanced squeezing** – We now turn our focus to a quantitative analysis of the effects of spontaneous emission on the achievable spin-squeezing, both in the steady-state and in the transient dynamics. Naively, one might expect that single-particle dissipation only leads to a degradation of the squeezing generated by the collective dynamics. Indeed, this prediction bears out when examining the steady-state phase-diagram wherein we observe that for $\Upsilon < \Upsilon_c'$ (region (i) in Fig. 1(a)] there exists a steady-state with an amount of squeezing that is small relative to the achievable squeezing in the collective case, whilst for $\Upsilon > \Upsilon_c$ (region (ii) in Fig. 1(a)] there is no squeezing beyond a rapid transient dominated by collective physics. However, between these regimes $\Upsilon_c' < \Upsilon < \Upsilon_c$ (striped region (ii) Fig. 1(a)] we find appreciable squeezing develops in the transient dynamics on a time-scale for which both collective and single-particle effects are relevant. In particular, the predicted squeezing exceeds what is seen in the collective steady-state for $\gamma_s = 0$.

Figure 2(a,b) illustrates the spin-squeezing dynamics in this region $\Upsilon_c' < \Upsilon < \Upsilon_c$ for several values of $\gamma_s/\Gamma$. We observe two distinct timescales where squeezing occurs. The first is short-lived and occurs early in the dynamics [see also Fig. 2(a)], where collective behavior dominates and the squeezing is independent of $\gamma_s/\Gamma$. A second transient occurs at timescales $\sim 1/\gamma_s$ and is intrinsically connected to single-particle effects. We find the achievable squeezing is enhanced with respect to the purely collective steady-state ($\gamma_s = 0$) and so focus on understanding the cause of this transient behavior in the following.

**Squeezing mechanism** – The transient squeezing for $\Upsilon_c' < \Upsilon < \Upsilon_c$ occurring at timescales $\sim 1/\gamma_s$ can be understood within the framework of the collective steady-state. Specifically, the enhancement can be understood as a subtle consequence of the destruction of collective coherence by single-particle dissipation. We argue that reducing the collective coherence leads to an effective increase of $\Upsilon$ over time $[\Upsilon \rightarrow \Upsilon_{\text{eff}(t)}]$, which allows the system to dynamically traverse the collective phase-diagram into regions with higher spin-squeezing.

Our argument is illustrated by plotting in Fig. 2(b), the time-evolution of an effective atom-number related to the total spin $\hat{J}^2$ as $N_{\text{eff}(t)} = 2\Omega/\Gamma_{\text{eff}(t)}$ for several values of $\gamma_s/\Gamma$. The mean-field analysis indicates that many of the qualitative features of the collective physics, particularly the steady-state behaviour, remain when single-particle spontaneous emission is included. Specifically, for $\gamma_s \neq 0$ there is a critical point $\Upsilon_c' \equiv \Upsilon_c/\sqrt{2}$ delineating superradiant and normal phases characterized by the long-time limit of collective observables. Moreover, numerical simulations of the full quantum dynamics reveal that $\Upsilon_c'$ also marks the boundary between a squeezed steady state and the absence of long-time squeezing in the normal phase (see SM). This transition is illustrated in Fig. 1(a).
terminated from $\Upsilon^{\text{eff}}(t^*) = \Upsilon_c$ and indicated by a dashed horizontal line in Fig. 2(b)] and the loss of squeezing for $t > t^*$ for the different $\gamma_s$. This provides evidence that squeezing is dynamically lost as the system effectively transitions from the superradiant to normal phases, corresponding to the crossover from squeezed to unsqueezed regimes in the collective model.

However, in Fig. 2(b), we observe a small quantitative disagreement between these timescales. To confirm the idea that squeezing disappears as a result of $\Upsilon^{\text{eff}}$ crossing to the normal phase and demonstrate that the observed deviation in the averaged quantities is a result of quantum noise, in Figure 2(c) we perform investigation of individual trajectories, which mimic a typical experimental realization. We use these trajectories to simulate the open system dynamics via a Monte-Carlo wavefunction method which unravels the evolution of the density matrix [Eq. (S1)] into an ensemble of pure state wavefunctions evolving accordingly to a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. Dissipation is further incorporated within each of these independent trajectories by stochastic jumps that project the wavefunction (see SM). As shown in Figure 2(c), in a single trajectory squeezing features an abrupt change in behavior exactly for $t > t^*$.

In Fig. 3(a) we investigate the minimum squeezing obtained in the transient dynamics, after the initial collective minimum, as a function of the normalized drive amplitude $\Upsilon$ and spontaneous emission rate $\gamma_s/\Gamma$. The introduction of spontaneous emission (\(\gamma_s/\Gamma \neq 0\)) clearly improves the attainable squeezing within the region of $\Upsilon' < \Upsilon < \Upsilon_c$ relative to the collective case ($\gamma_s/\Gamma = 0$, shown in the lower strip). This improvement occurs for even relatively small values of $\gamma_s$, although as $\gamma_s$ is increased the best transient squeezing, attained for $\Upsilon$ approaching $\Upsilon_c$, gradually degrades. On the other hand, for $\Upsilon < \Upsilon'_c$ a stable steady-state is quickly reached and squeezing is not enhanced by introducing single-particle decoherence. For computational reasons the numerical results of Fig. 3(a) were obtained using a truncated cumulant expansion (see SM).

While our qualitative understanding of the mechanism driving the enhanced squeezing has so far not required discussion of the collective exchange interactions, the best achievable squeezing does quantitatively depend on $\chi$ for $\Upsilon < \Upsilon_c$. This is demonstrated in Fig. 3(b), where we plot the minimum transient squeezing as a function of $\chi/\Gamma$ for $\gamma_s/\Gamma = 50$. It is apparent that increasing the interaction strength $\chi$ leads to an appreciable improvement in the optimal squeezing, particularly in the region $0 < \chi/\Gamma \lesssim 2$. However, the inset of Fig. 3(b) indicates that an increased interaction strength does not remarkably change the qualitative dynamics of the squeezing, beyond obtaining the optimal value at earlier times.

**Experimental realization and outlook** – The spin model we have discussed could be realized by coupling an optical cavity to the narrow linewidth optical clock transitions available in alkaline earth atoms [13, 14]. We require that $\kappa \gg g\sqrt{N}$ and $\kappa \gg \gamma_s$ (bad cavity limit) with $2g$ the single photon Rabi frequency and $\kappa$ the cavity linewidth, to ensure that the intracavity field can be adiabatically eliminated and thus realize the desired spin model [Eqs. (1) and (2)]. In this limit, spin-spin interactions can be engineered by detuning the cavity from the atomic transition by $\Delta_c$ which leads to a tunable interac-
tion strength $\chi = 4g^2\Delta / (4\Delta^2 + \kappa^2)$ \cite{23}. Similarly, the collective dissipation arises due to photon leakage and is characterized by $\Gamma = 4g^2\kappa / (4\Delta^2 + \kappa^2)$ \cite{13, 52}. These features have previously been demonstrated using both the $^{1}\text{S}_0-^{3}\text{P}_0$ transition in $^{87}\text{Sr}$ \cite{13, 62} and $^{1}\text{S}_0-^{3}\text{P}_1$ transition in $^{88}\text{Sr}$ \cite{14}. The former has a natural linewidth of $\gamma \approx 2\pi \times 1$ mHz and $2\gamma = 2\pi \times 8$ Hz \cite{13}. State-of-the-art AMO experiments have demonstrated coherence of the $^{1}\text{S}_0-^{3}\text{P}_0$ transition of up to $1/\gamma \approx 10$ s \cite{23} which corresponds to $\gamma / \Gamma \approx 200$ for $\Delta = \kappa = 2\pi \times 150$ kHz and thus $\chi / \Gamma \approx 1$. For $N \sim 10^4$ atoms, dissipatively enhanced squeezing of $\xi^2 \approx 9$ dB is then in principle achievable on timescales $t \sim 2$ s. A similar implementation can also be realized in trapped ion arrays, where a pseudospin-1/2 is encoded in the hyperfine states of the ion. In this case, resonant microwaves can be used to coherently drive the spins \cite{21}. The collective interactions proportional to $\chi$ can be engineered via coupling to a common motional mode of the ion crystal \cite{23}, and collective dissipation can be implemented by coupling to a second ionic species \cite{12}.

In summary, we have identified an intriguing and experimentally relevant situation where relatively large single-particle decoherence can enhance spin-squeezing as long as collective decoherence remains the dominant dissipative process. We expect our results to have immediate applications for quantum metrology, specifically in the generation of squeezing on long-lived optical transitions for next-generation optical atomic clocks, whilst also being relevant for quantum simulation.
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Supplemental Material: Single-Particle Decoherence Can Improve Spin-Squeezing Generated In Collective Dynamics

In this supplemental material we summarize supporting details related to the numerical analysis used in the manuscript. We cover the mean-field analysis in Sec. , a cumulant expansion in Sec. and briefly summarize a Monte Carlo wavefunction approach in Sec. .

MEAN-FIELD ANALYSIS

We use a mean-field approach to analyse the system for $\gamma_s > 0$, for which no analytic expression steady-state exists. This enables us to gain insight into the steady-state phase diagram of the system. Moreover, we use numerical simulations of the master equation for small system sizes ($N \sim 1000$) to confirm the feasibility of the mean-field predictions. For completeness, the master equation investigated in the main text is given by

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] + L_c[\hat{\rho}] + L_s[\hat{\rho}], \quad (S1)$$

$$\hat{H} = \chi \hat{J}_z \hat{J}_+ + \Omega \hat{J}_z.$$

In the remainder of this supplemental material we set $\hbar = 1$.

In the mean-field approximation, we derive equations of motion for the expectations of individual particle Pauli operators, $\partial_t \langle \hat{\sigma}_i^\alpha(t) \rangle = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho} \partial_t \hat{\sigma}_i^\alpha]$, from the master equation [Eq. (S1)]. Due to the permutational symmetry of the master equation, and assuming the same symmetry applies to the initial state, these equations will be identical for all particles.

Under the mean-field assumption $\rho = \bigotimes_i \rho_i$, where each $\rho_i$ is a single particle density, the equations can be closed as second-order expectations can be factored as $\langle \hat{\sigma}_i^\alpha(t) \hat{\sigma}_j^\beta(t) \rangle = \langle \hat{\sigma}_i^\alpha(t) \rangle \langle \hat{\sigma}_j^\beta(t) \rangle$ when $i \neq j$. When $i = j$, second order expectations can be handled in one of two ways. The first approach would be to use commutation relations to resolve the product of Pauli operators into a single operator before taking the expected value, i.e. $\langle \hat{\sigma}_i^\alpha \hat{\sigma}_i^\beta \rangle \rightarrow \delta_{\alpha\beta} + i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \langle \hat{\sigma}_i^\gamma \rangle$. The second approach is to factor in the same way as for unlike particles, i.e. $\langle \hat{\sigma}_i^\alpha \hat{\sigma}_j^\beta \rangle \rightarrow \langle \hat{\sigma}_i^\alpha \rangle \langle \hat{\sigma}_j^\beta \rangle$. Previous work [29] has shown that the former approach aligns with the exact solution when $\hat{J}_z$ is not conserved, and that the latter is accurate otherwise. Since we are interested in the case where $\gamma_s > 0$ and total spin is not conserved, we factor only unlike particles. Dropping the subscripts due to particle symmetry and defining $\langle \sigma^+ \rangle \equiv r e^{i \phi}$, and $\langle \sigma_z \rangle \equiv z$, we arrive at the mean-field equations

$$\dot{r} = -\frac{\Gamma + \gamma_s}{2} r + \frac{\Gamma}{2} (N-1) z r - \frac{\Omega}{2} z \sin \phi \quad (S2)$$

$$\dot{\phi} = -\chi (N-1) z - \frac{\Omega}{2 r} z \cos \phi + \chi \quad (S3)$$

$$\dot{z} = -2 \Gamma (N-1) r^2 - (\Gamma + \gamma_s)(1 + z) + 2 \Omega r \sin \phi. \quad (S4)$$

We determine the steady-state at the mean-field level by setting the LHS of Eqs. [S2] to zero and solving for $(r, \phi, z)$. We begin by deriving a steady-state expression for $z$ in terms of the other variables. From the $r$ equation, we get

$$0 = -\frac{\Gamma + \gamma_s}{2} r + \frac{\Gamma}{2} (N-1) z r - \frac{\Omega}{2} z \sin \phi$$

which implies that

\[-2(N-1)\Gamma r^2 + 2\Omega r \sin \phi = -2(\Gamma + \gamma_s) \frac{r^2}{z},\]

where we have assumed \(z \neq 0\). Plugging this into the \(z\) equation gives

\[0 = -2(\Gamma + \gamma_s) \frac{r^2}{z} - (\Gamma + \gamma_s)(1 + z)\]

\[\Rightarrow 0 = z^2 + z + 2r^2,\]

and subsequently,

\[z = -\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - 8r^2}.\]  

(S5)

Here, we identify that there are two steady-state values of \(z\). A linear stability analysis reveals that the branch with larger absolute value is stable, while the smaller is unstable. We also see that we can expect a bifurcation when \(r\) passes through \(1/\sqrt{8}\) from below.

We now turn our attention to the steady-state value of \(r\). From the \(z\) equation, we see that

\[4r^2\Omega^2 \sin^2 \phi = 2(N-1)\Gamma r^2 + (\Gamma + \gamma_s)(1 + z)^2,\]

(S6)

and from the \(\phi\) equation

\[4r^2\Omega^2 \cos^2 \phi = 4r^2(1 - \sin^2 \phi)\]

\[= \left[ -\chi(N-1) r^2 + \chi \frac{r^2}{z} \right]^2.\]

(S7)

Combining Eqs. (S6) and (S7) we get

\[4r^2\Omega^2 - \left[ 2(N-1)\Gamma r^2 + (\Gamma + \gamma_s)(1 + z) \right]^2\]

\[= \left[ -\chi(N-1) r^2 + \chi \frac{r^2}{z} \right]^2,\]

(S8)

where \(z\) is given in terms of \(r\) by Eq. (S5). Note that if we now restrict our attention to leading order in \(N\), the above implies

\[4r^2\Omega^2 - 4N^2\Gamma^2 r^4 = N^2 \chi^2 r^4\]

\[\Rightarrow r^2 = \frac{\Omega^2}{N^2(\Gamma^2 + 4\chi^2)} = \frac{\gamma^2}{4\gamma_c^2},\]

(S9)

a familiar result that shows that we expect an increasing value of \(r\) as we increase the Rabi frequency of the drive \(\Omega\). Recall, however, that Eq. (S5) predicts a phase transition when \(r = 1/\sqrt{8}\). This corresponds to

\[\Upsilon = \frac{\Upsilon_c}{\sqrt{2}} \equiv \Upsilon_c'.\]

(S10)

Beyond this transition, equation (S5) predicts complex \(z\), which is not a valid steady-state. Recall, however, that equation (S5) assumed \(z \neq 0\). Numerical simulations confirm that for \(\Upsilon > \Upsilon_c'\) the steady-state value of \(z\) is, in fact, zero. This aligns with the transition between superradiant and normal phases that we were expecting. We will see that this transition exists beyond the mean-field level when we look at numerical solutions to the cumulant expansion equations in the next section.

**CUMULANT EXPANSION**

To obtain a model that can be numerically integrated efficiently but also allows for quantum correlations, we turn our attention to a cumulant expansion approximation. Specifically, we extend the mean-field analysis by computing equations of motion for expectation values of products of two Pauli operators, e.g., \(\partial_t \langle \hat{\sigma}_a^\gamma \hat{\sigma}_b^\beta \rangle = \text{Tr}[\hat{\sigma}_a^\gamma \hat{\sigma}_b^\beta \partial_t \hat{\rho}]\), in addition to the equations of motion for the expectations of individual Pauli operators. Together these form a hierarchy of equations which we truncate by assuming that third order expectations can be factorized into products of lower-order terms. Specifically, we assume they factorize according to

\[\langle \hat{\sigma}_a^\alpha \hat{\sigma}_b^\beta \hat{\sigma}_c^\gamma \rangle \approx \langle \hat{\sigma}_a^\alpha \hat{\sigma}_b^\beta \rangle \langle \hat{\sigma}_c^\gamma \rangle + \langle \hat{\sigma}_b^\beta \hat{\sigma}_c^\gamma \rangle \langle \hat{\sigma}_a^\alpha \rangle + \langle \hat{\sigma}_c^\gamma \hat{\sigma}_a^\alpha \rangle \langle \hat{\sigma}_b^\beta \rangle - 2\langle \hat{\sigma}_a^\alpha \rangle \langle \hat{\sigma}_b^\beta \rangle \langle \hat{\sigma}_c^\gamma \rangle,\]

(S11)

in the case where \(a, b\) and \(c\) are distinct. Operator products for the same particle are resolved using the usual Pauli relations. Combining this factorization with the particle symmetry present in the master equation, and assuming all particles start with the same initial conditions, this results in a closed system of six complex ordinary differential equations:
We numerically integrate these cumulant equations to obtain the dynamics of the driven-dissipative system with $\gamma_s > 0$. In Fig. S1(a) we plot the best squeezing attained after a given threshold timescale (related to the early transient collective squeezing), for a range of $\chi/\Gamma$ and $2\Omega/\Gamma N T$ values with $N = 2000$ and $\gamma_s/\Gamma = 20$ Note that minimum values greater than zero have been cut off at zero so as to avoid saturating the color plot. The two phase boundaries $\Omega_c$ and $\Omega'_c$ are clearly visible, bracketing the region where spontaneous emission enhanced squeezing is allowed to develop.

Panels (b)-(e) of Fig. S1 show a comparison of results from the cumulant expansion along with those from the MCWF method (which is numerically exact in the limit of infinite trajectories, and further discussed in the following section). It can be seen that there is close agreement up to the crossing of the dynamical phase transition, making the result obtained from the cumulant equations a reasonable indicator of the true extent and timing of maximum squeezing.

**EXACT SOLVER**

While the cumulant approximation is attractive as it allows us to obtain numerical results rapidly for a large
system, there are also other numerical methods to solve the full quantum dynamics of the system in a reasonably efficient manner. In particular, Ref. [49] recently demonstrated that a Monte Carlo wavefunction approach can be implemented to efficiently solve the dissipative dynamics of spin systems exhibiting permutational symmetry for $N \sim O(10^3)$ and even up to $N \sim O(10^5)$ in special cases. While we point the interested reader to Ref. [49] for full details of the numerical method, we summarize here the key aspects and advantages.

The MCWF method unravels the density matrix into an ensemble of pure state wave functions that evolve independently of one another in time, where dissipation is handled by random jumps. The full time evolution of one member of this ensemble is referred to as a trajectory. The time evolution of the density matrix is recovered by taking the average of the pure state density matrices at each point in time, resulting in the mixed state solution to the master equation [Eq. (S1)].

The advantages of this method are three-fold. First, as shown in [49], even though spontaneous emission breaks the $\hat{J}_x^2 + \hat{J}_y^2 + \hat{J}_z^2$ symmetry in the master equation, each quantum trajectory lies within a single eigenspace of total spin at any given time. This means that each trajectory can be efficiently integrated, as the dimensionality of the Hilbert space in which it exists is only $O(N)$. Second, the trajectories evolve in time independently of one another, allowing for the parallel simulation of different trajectories and thus rapid evaluation of ensemble averages. Finally, analyzing the time evolution of individual trajectories can provide insight that is not altogether obvious from the evolution of the density matrix resulting from the ensemble averages, as was discussed in the main text.

Following the discussion in the main text, in Fig. ?? we plot an example of the squeezing and effective particle number versus time from the ensemble average and also individual trajectories. We observe that the squeezing improves until enough trajectories approach the critical $N^{\text{eff}}$ threshold. After a sufficient number of trajectories cross the threshold and lose their squeezing, the overall squeezed state is then quickly lost. This critical number of trajectories can be reached even before the ensemble average $N^{\text{eff}}$ crosses the critical threshold, as is the case in the figure.

![FIG. S2. Spin-squeezing and effective particle number versus time for the full state (red) and a number of individual trajectories (grey) where $N = 2000$, $\Omega/\Gamma = 2000$, $\chi/\Gamma = 1$, and $\gamma_s/\Gamma = 2$. Initial conditions are the coherent spin state in the $-x$-direction. The black horizontal line indicates the critical $N^{\text{eff}}$ where $\Upsilon^{\text{eff}}_c = \Upsilon$ and the red vertical line is the point in time where it is crossed.](image)