

A class of non-weight modules over the super-BMS₃ algebra

Haibo Chen, Xiansheng Dai, Ying Liu and Yucai Su

Abstract: In the present paper, a class of non-weight modules over the super-BMS₃ algebras \mathfrak{S}^ϵ ($\epsilon = 0$ or $\frac{1}{2}$) are constructed. Assume that $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}W_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}G_0$ and $\mathfrak{T} = \mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}W_0$ are the Cartan subalgebra (modulo center) of \mathfrak{S}^0 and $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, respectively. These modules over \mathfrak{S}^0 when restricted to the \mathfrak{t} are free of rank 1, while these modules over $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ when restricted to the \mathfrak{T} are free of rank 2. Then we determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for these modules being simple, as well as determining the necessary and sufficient conditions for two \mathfrak{S}^ϵ -modules being isomorphic.

Key words: super-BMS₃ algebra, non-weight module, simple module.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 17B10, 17B65, 17B68.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+$ and \mathbb{N} the sets of complex numbers, nonzero complex numbers, integers, nonnegative integers and positive integers, respectively. All vector superspaces (resp. superalgebras, supermodules) and spaces (resp. algebras, modules) are considered to be over the field of complex numbers.

The *super-BMS₃ algebra* \mathfrak{S}^ϵ ($\epsilon = 0$ or $\frac{1}{2}$) is defined as an infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{C} with basis $\{L_m, W_m, G_r, C_1, C_2 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \epsilon + \mathbb{Z}\}$ and satisfying the following non-trivial relations

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (n - m)L_{m+n} + \frac{n^3 - n}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}C_1, \\ [L_m, W_n] &= (n - m)W_{m+n} + \frac{n^3 - n}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}C_2, \\ [L_m, G_r] &= (r - \frac{m}{2})G_{m+r}, \\ [G_r, G_s] &= 2W_{r+s} + \frac{1}{3}(r^2 - \frac{1}{4})\delta_{r+s,0}C_2, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \epsilon = 0, \frac{1}{2}, r, s \in \epsilon + \mathbb{Z}$ and C_1, C_2 are central elements. In order to study the boundary conditions for the behaviour of gauge fields, the super-BMS₃ algebra was introduced in [1, 2]. Clearly, the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of \mathfrak{S}^ϵ is defined by $\mathfrak{S}^\epsilon = \mathfrak{S}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{S}_1^\epsilon$, where $\mathfrak{S}_0 = \{L_m, W_m, C_1, C_2 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_1^\epsilon = \{G_r \mid r \in \epsilon + \mathbb{Z}\}$. Notice that the subspace spanned by $\{L_m, C_1 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the Virasoro algebra, which is closely related to the quantum physics, conformal field theory, vertex algebras, and so on (see, e.g., [12, 13, 15]). The even part \mathfrak{S}_0 is exactly the W-algebra $W(2, 2) \mathcal{W}$, which was introduced in [23] for the study of the classification of vertex operator algebras generated by weight 2 vectors. The structure theory and representation theory over the super-BMS₃ algebra were studied in [3, 4, 9–11].

To illustrate the difference, \mathfrak{S}^0 and $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are respectively called Ramond type super-BMS₃ algebra and Neveu-Schwarz type super-BMS₃ algebra. It is clear that $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra of \mathfrak{S}^0 spanned by $\{L_m, W_m \mid m \in 2\mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{G_r \mid r \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1\} \cup \{C_1, C_2\}$.

As an important part of representation theory, non-weight module has drawn a lot of attention of researchers. In recent years, a class of non-weight modules on which the Cartan subalgebra acts freely were constructed and studied. This kind of non-weight modules are called free $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -modules by many authors, where $U(\mathfrak{h})$ is the universal enveloping algebra of the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} . It is worth mentioning that the free $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -modules were first constructed in [17] for the complex matrices algebra \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1} . In addition, these modules were introduced by a very different approach in [20] at the same. From then on, this kind of non-weight modules were widely investigated, such as the finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras [18], the Virasoro algebra [16, 19], the Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra [5, 7], the algebra $\text{Vir}(a, b)$ [14]. Furthermore, the super version of this kind of non-weight modules were also studied. In [8], $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -modules over the basic Lie superalgebras were investigated, which showed that $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$ is the only basic Lie superalgebra that admits such modules. The free $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -modules of rank 1 over the $N = 1$ Ramond algebra and free $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -modules of rank 2 over the $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz algebra were completely classified in [21]. The similar modules over $N = 2$ superconformal algebras were also investigated in [6, 22]. In this paper, we aim to study the free $U(\mathfrak{t})$ -modules of rank 1 and $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -modules of rank 2 respectively over the Ramond type and Neveu-Schwarz type of super-BMS₃ algebras.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we construct a class of non-weight modules over the super-BMS₃ algebra \mathfrak{S}^ϵ . Then the simplicity and isomorphism classes of these modules are determined. In Section 3, the free $U(\mathfrak{t})$ -modules of rank 1 over the Ramond type \mathfrak{S}^0 are classified. These modules can be regarded as free $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -modules of rank 2. In Section 4, the free $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -modules of rank 2 over the Neveu-Schwarz type $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are classified. Furthermore, we present that the category of free $U(\mathfrak{t})$ -modules of rank 1 over the Ramond type \mathfrak{S}^0 is equivalent to the category of free $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -modules of rank 2 over the Neveu-Schwarz type $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

2 Non-weight \mathfrak{S}^ϵ -modules $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^\epsilon}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$

In this section, we define a class of non-weight modules $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^\epsilon}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$ over \mathfrak{S}^ϵ .

Let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ be \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space. Any element $v \in V_0$ (resp. $v \in V_1$) is said to be even (resp. odd). Define $|v| = 0$ if v is even and $|v| = 1$ if v is odd. Elements in V_0 or V_1 are called homogeneous. Throughout this paper, all elements in superalgebras and modules are homogenous unless specified.

Assume that \mathcal{G} is a Lie superalgebra, a \mathcal{G} -module is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space V together

with a bilinear map $\mathcal{G} \times V \rightarrow V$, denoted $(x, v) \mapsto xv$ such that

$$x(yv) - (-1)^{|x||y|}y(xv) = [x, y]v$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{\bar{i}}V_{\bar{j}} \subseteq V_{\bar{i}+\bar{j}}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}, v \in V$. Thus there is a parity-change functor Π on the category of \mathcal{G} -modules to itself. We use $U(\mathcal{G})$ to denote the universal enveloping algebra. All modules for Lie superalgebras considered in this paper are \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded and all irreducible modules are non-trivial.

Fix any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$h_m(t) = mh(t) - m(m-1)\alpha \frac{h(t) - h(\alpha)}{t - \alpha}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & nh_n(t) - mh_m(t) + n(t - n\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(h_m(t)) - m(t - m\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(h_n(t)) \\ &= (n - m)h_{m+n}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\Omega_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda, \alpha, h) = \mathbb{C}[t, s]$ as a vector space and define the action of \mathcal{W} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_m(f(t, s)) &= \lambda^m(s + h_m(t))f(t, s - m) - m\lambda^m(t - m\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(f(t, s - m)), \\ W_m(f(t, s)) &= \lambda^m(t - m\alpha)f(t, s - m), \quad C_1(f(t, s)) = C_2(f(t, s)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$.

Now we recall some known results of $\Omega_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$.

Lemma 2.1. (see [5]). *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$, then*

- (1) $\Omega_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$ is a \mathcal{W} -module;
- (2) $\Omega_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$ is simple if and only if $\alpha \neq 0$.

Theorem 2.2. (see [5]). *Any free $U(\mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}W_0)$ -module of rank 1 over \mathcal{W} is isomorphic to some $\Omega_{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$.*

Let $V = \mathbb{C}[t^2, s] \oplus t\mathbb{C}[t^2, s]$. Then V is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space with $V_0 = \mathbb{C}[t^2, s]$ and $V_1 = t\mathbb{C}[t^2, s]$. A precise construction of an \mathfrak{S}^0 -module structure on V will be presented.

Proposition 2.3. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t], f(t^2, s) \in \mathbb{C}[t^2, s]$ and $tf(t^2, s) \in t\mathbb{C}[t^2, s]$, we define the action of Ramond type super-BMS₃ algebra \mathfrak{S}^0 on V as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_m f(t^2, s) &= \lambda^m (s + h_m(t^2)) f(t^2, s - m) \\ &\quad - m\lambda^m (t^2 - m\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} (f(t^2, s - m)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_m t f(t^2, s) &= \lambda^m (s - \frac{m}{2} + h_m(t^2)) t f(t^2, s - m) \\ &\quad - m\lambda^m (t^2 - m\alpha) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$W_m f(t^2, s) = \lambda^m (t^2 - m\alpha) f(t^2, s - m), \quad (2.4)$$

$$W_m t f(t^2, s) = \lambda^m (t^2 - m\alpha) t f(t^2, s - m), \quad (2.5)$$

$$G_m f(t^2, s) = \lambda^m t f(t^2, s - m), \quad (2.6)$$

$$G_m t f(t^2, s) = \lambda^m (t^2 - 2m\alpha) f(t^2, s - m), \quad (2.7)$$

$$C_i(f(t^2, s)) = C_i(t f(t^2, s)) = 0 \quad (2.8)$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, 2$. Then V is an \mathfrak{S}^0 -module under the action of (2.2)-(2.8), which is free of rank 1 as a module over $U(\mathfrak{t})$ and denoted by $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$.

Proof. By the trivial action of (2.8), we omit the central elements in the following proof. From Lemma 2.1 (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] f(t^2, s) &= L_m L_n f(t^2, s) - L_n L_m f(t^2, s), \\ [L_m, W_n] f(t^2, s) &= L_m W_n f(t^2, s) - W_n L_m f(t^2, s), \\ 0 &= (W_m W_n - W_n W_m) f(t^2, s), \end{aligned}$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows from (2.3) and (2.5) that we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_m L_n t f(t^2, s) &= L_m \left(\lambda^n (s - \frac{n}{2} + h_n(t^2)) t f(t^2, s - n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - n\lambda^n (t^2 - n\alpha) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - n) \right) \\ &= \lambda^{m+n} \left(s - \frac{m}{2} + h_m(t^2) \right) t \left(s - m - \frac{n}{2} + h_n(t^2) \right) f(t^2, s - m - n) \\ &\quad - m\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - m\alpha) t f(t^2, s - m - n) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} h_n(t^2) \\ &\quad - m\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - m\alpha) t \left(s - m - \frac{n}{2} + h_n(t^2) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -n\lambda^{m+n}\left(s - \frac{m}{2} + h_m(t^2)\right)t(t^2 - n\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial t^2}f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
& +mn\lambda^{m+n}(t^2 - m\alpha)t\frac{\partial}{\partial t^2}f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
& +mn\lambda^{m+n}(t^2 - m\alpha)t(t^2 - n\alpha)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2(t^2)}f(t^2, s - m - n), \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_m W_n t f(t^2, s) &= L_m \left(\lambda^n (t^2 - n\alpha) t f(t^2, s - n) \right) \\
&= \lambda^{m+n} \left(s - \frac{m}{2} + h_m(t^2) \right) t (t^2 - n\alpha) f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
&\quad - m\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - m\alpha) t f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
&\quad - m\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - m\alpha) t (t^2 - n\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n) \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
W_n L_m t f(t^2, s) &= W_n \left(\lambda^m \left(s - \frac{m}{2} + h_m(t^2) \right) t f(t^2, s - m) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - m\lambda^m (t^2 - m\alpha) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m) \right) \\
&= \lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - n\alpha) \left(s - n - \frac{m}{2} + h_m(t^2) \right) t f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
&\quad - m\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - n\alpha) (t^2 - m\alpha) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n). \tag{2.11}
\end{aligned}$$

Then for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, by (2.1) and (2.9), we compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [L_m, L_n] t f(t^2, s) \\
&= \lambda^{m+n} \left(s - \frac{m}{2} + h_m(t^2) \right) t \left(s - m - \frac{n}{2} + h_n(t^2) \right) f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
&\quad - m\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - m\alpha) t f(t^2, s - m - n) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} h_n(t^2) \\
&\quad - m\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - m\alpha) t \left(s - m - \frac{n}{2} + h_n(t^2) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
&\quad - n\lambda^{m+n} \left(s - \frac{m}{2} + h_m(t^2) \right) t (t^2 - n\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
&\quad + mn\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - m\alpha) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
&\quad + mn\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - m\alpha) t (t^2 - n\alpha) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2(t^2)} f(t^2, s - m - n)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\lambda^{m+n} \left(s - \frac{n}{2} + h_n(t^2) \right) t \left(s - n - \frac{m}{2} + h_m(t^2) \right) f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
& + n\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - n\alpha) t f(t^2, s - m - n) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} h_m(t^2) \\
& + n\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - n\alpha) t \left(s - n - \frac{m}{2} + h_m(t^2) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
& + m\lambda^{m+n} \left(s - \frac{n}{2} + h_n(t^2) \right) t (t^2 - m\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
& - mn\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - n\alpha) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
& - mn\lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - n\alpha) t (t^2 - m\alpha) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2(t^2)} f(t^2, s - m - n), \\
= & \lambda^{m+n} t f(t^2, s - m - n) \left(n \left(s - \frac{n}{2} \right) - m \left(s - \frac{m}{2} \right) + n h_n(t^2) - m h_m(t^2) \right) \\
& + \lambda^{m+n} t f(t^2, s - m - n) \left(n (t^2 - n\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} h_m(t^2) - m (t^2 - m\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} h_n(t^2) \right) \\
& + m^2 \lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - m\alpha) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
& - n^2 \lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - n\alpha) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
& + mn\lambda^{m+n} (n - m) \alpha t \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
= & (n - m) \lambda^{m+n} \left(s - \frac{m+n}{2} + h_{m+n}(t^2) \right) t f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
& - (n - m) (m + n) \lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - (m + n)\alpha) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
= & (n - m) L_{m+n} t f(t^2, s).
\end{aligned}$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, from (2.10) and (2.11), one can check that

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_m, W_n] t f(t^2, s) &= (n - m) \lambda^{m+n} (t^2 - (m + n)\alpha) t f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
&= (n - m) W_{m+n} t f(t^2, s).
\end{aligned}$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, by the similar computation, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [L_m, G_n] f(t^2, s) \\
= & \left(n - \frac{m}{2} \right) \lambda^{m+n} t f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
= & \left(n - \frac{m}{2} \right) G_{m+n} f(t^2, s),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [L_m, G_n]tf(t^2, s) \\
&= (n - \frac{m}{2})\lambda^{m+n}(t^2 - 2(m+n)\alpha)f(t^2, s - m - n) \\
&= (n - \frac{m}{2})G_{m+n}tf(t^2, s), \\
& [G_m, G_n]f(t^2, s) \\
&= \lambda^{m+n}(t^2 - 2m\alpha)tf(t^2, s - m - n) + \lambda^{m+n}(t^2 - 2n\alpha)tf(t^2, s - m - n) \\
&= 2W_{m+n}f(t^2, s), \\
& [G_m, G_n]tf(t^2, s) \\
&= \lambda^{m+n}(t^2 - 2n\alpha)tf(t^2, s - m - n) + \lambda^{m+n}(t^2 - 2m\alpha)tf(t^2, s - m - n) \\
&= 2W_{m+n}tf(t^2, s).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, it is easy to get that

$$[W_m, G_n]f(t^2, s) = [W_m, G_n]tf(t^2, s) = 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. Then $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$ is simple if and only if $\alpha \neq 0$.*

Proof. To prove this, we consider two cases in the following.

Case 1. $\alpha = 0$.

For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, denote $\pi_i := (t^2)^i\mathbb{C}[t^2, s] \oplus t\mathbb{C}[t^2, s]$. It is clear that for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, π_i is a submodule of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, 0, h)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$.

Case 2. $\alpha \neq 0$.

Let $P = P_0 \oplus P_1$ be a nonzero submodule of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 (2) that $P_0 = \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)_0$ is a simple \mathfrak{S}_0 -module. Taking any $f(t^2, s) \in P_0 = \mathbb{C}[t^2, s]$, we have $G_0f(t^2, s) = tf(t^2, s) \in P_1$ by (2.6). Consequently, $P = \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$, which implies that $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$ is a simple \mathfrak{S}^0 -module. \square

Remark 2.5. *For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the quotient module*

$$\bar{\pi}_i := (t^2)^i\mathbb{C}[t^2, s] \oplus t\mathbb{C}[t^2, s] / (t^2)^{i+1}\mathbb{C}[t^2, s] \oplus t\mathbb{C}[t^2, s]$$

is simple if and only if $i \neq h(0)$. In fact, for any nonzero $(t^2)^ig(s) \in \bar{\pi}_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_m((t^2)^ig(s)) &\equiv \lambda^m(s + mh(0))(t^2)^ig(s - m) - \lambda^m m i (t^2)^ig(s - m) \\
&\equiv \lambda^m(s + m(h(0) - i))(t^2)^ig(s - m) \pmod{(t^2)^{i+1}\mathbb{C}[t^2, s] \oplus t\mathbb{C}[t^2, s]}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$W_m((t^2)^ig(s)) = 0.$$

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, h(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. Then*

- (i) $\Pi(\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)) \not\cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda', \alpha', h')$ for any $\lambda', \alpha' \in \mathbb{C}, h'(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$;
- (ii) $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h) \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\mu, \beta, g)$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu, \alpha = \beta$ and $h = g$.

Proof. (i) Let

$$\psi : \Pi(\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda', \alpha', h')$$

be an isomorphism. Let $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1}'$ be the generators of the free $U(\mathfrak{t})$ -modules $\Pi(\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h))$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda', \alpha', h')$, respectively. We see that there exists some $c, d \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = ct\mathbf{1}'$ and $\psi(t\mathbf{1}) = d\mathbf{1}'$. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$G_r\psi(\mathbf{1}) = G_r(ct\mathbf{1}') = c\lambda^r(t^2 - 2r\alpha')\mathbf{1}' \text{ and } \psi(G_r\mathbf{1}) = d\lambda^r\mathbf{1}'.$$

Clearly, $G_r\psi(\mathbf{1}) \neq \psi(G_r\mathbf{1})$, which yields a contradiction.

(ii) The sufficiency is obvious. Suppose $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h) \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\mu, \beta, g)$ as \mathfrak{S}^0 -module. Then $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)_{\bar{0}} \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\mu, \beta, g)_{\bar{0}}$ as $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{0}}^0$ -modules. By Theorem 3.2 in [5], we have $\lambda = \mu, \alpha = \beta$ and $h = g$. \square

Assume that $M = \mathbb{C}[t, s] \oplus \mathbb{C}[y, x]$. Then M is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space with $M_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}[t, s]$ and $M_{\bar{1}} = \mathbb{C}[y, x]$. The $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -module structure on M will be characterized as follows.

Proposition 2.7. *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t], h(y) \in \mathbb{C}[y], f(t, s) \in \mathbb{C}[t, s]$ and $k(y, x) \in \mathbb{C}[y, x]$, we define the action of Neveu-Schwarz type super-BMS₃ algebra $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on M as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} L_m f(t, s) &= \lambda^m(s + h_m(t))f(t, s - m) \\ &\quad - m\lambda^m(t - m\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(f(t, s - m)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_m k(y, x) &= \lambda^m(x - \frac{m}{2} + h_m(y))k(y, x - m) \\ &\quad - m\lambda^m(y - m\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}k(y, x - m), \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

$$W_m f(t, s) = \lambda^m(t - m\alpha)f(t, s - m), \tag{2.14}$$

$$W_m k(y, x) = \lambda^m(y - m\alpha)k(y, x - m), \tag{2.15}$$

$$G_r f(t, s) = \lambda^{r-\frac{1}{2}}f(y, x - r), \tag{2.16}$$

$$G_r k(y, x) = \lambda^{r+\frac{1}{2}}(t - 2r\alpha)k(t, s - r), \tag{2.17}$$

$$C_i(f(t, s)) = C_i(k(y, x)) = 0, \tag{2.18}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, 2, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Then M is an $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -module under the action of (2.12)-(2.18), which is a free of rank 2 as a module over $U(\mathfrak{T})$ and denoted by $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$.

Proof. By the similar calculations appeared in Lemma 2.1 (1) and Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_m, L_n]f(t, s) &= L_m L_n f(t, s) - L_n L_m f(t, s), \\
[L_m, L_n]k(y, x) &= L_m L_n k(y, x) - L_n L_m k(y, x), \\
[L_m, W_n]f(t, s) &= L_m W_n f(t, s) - W_n L_m f(t, s), \\
[L_m, W_n]k(y, x) &= L_m W_n k(y, x) - W_n L_m k(y, x), \\
(W_m W_n - W_n W_m)f(t, s) &= (W_m W_n - W_n W_m)k(y, x) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [L_m, G_r]f(t, s) \\
&= L_m \left(\lambda^{r-\frac{1}{2}} f(y, x-r) \right) \\
&\quad - G_r \left(\lambda^m (s + h_m(t)) f(t, s-m) - m \lambda^m (t - m\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (f(t, s-m)) \right) \\
&= \left(r - \frac{m}{2} \right) \lambda^{m+r-\frac{1}{2}} f(y, x-m-r) \\
&= \left(r - \frac{m}{2} \right) G_{m+r} f(t, s).
\end{aligned}$$

For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}, r, q \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [L_m, G_r]k(y, x) \\
&= \left(r - \frac{m}{2} \right) \lambda^{m+r+\frac{1}{2}} (t - 2(m+r)\alpha) f(t, s-m-r) \\
&= \left(r - \frac{m}{2} \right) G_{m+r} k(y, x), \\
& [G_r, G_p]f(t, s) \\
&= 2\lambda^{r+p} (t - (r+p)\alpha) f(t, s-r-p) \\
&= 2W_{r+p} f(t, s), \\
& [G_r, G_p]k(y, x) \\
&= 2\lambda^{r+p} (y - (r+p)\alpha) k(y, x-r-p) \\
&= 2W_{r+p} k(y, x).
\end{aligned}$$

At last, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, it is easy to get

$$[W_m, G_r]f(t, s) = [W_m, G_r]k(y, x) = 0.$$

This proposition holds. □

Assume that $\sigma : \mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^0$ is a linear map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_m &\mapsto \frac{1}{2}L_{2m} + \frac{1}{16}\delta_{m,0}C_1, \\ W_m &\mapsto \frac{1}{2}W_{2m} + \frac{1}{16}\delta_{m,0}C_2, \\ G_r &\mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}G_{2r}, \\ C_1 &\mapsto 2C_1, \\ C_2 &\mapsto 2C_2 \end{aligned}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to show that σ is an injective Lie superalgebra homomorphism. Clearly, $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ can be regarded as a subalgebra of \mathfrak{S}^0 . Then $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$ can be regarded as an $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -module.

Proposition 2.8. $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$ is a simple $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -module if and only if it is a simple \mathfrak{S}^0 -module.

Proof. We only prove sufficiency. From

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(L_m)f(t^2, s) &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{2m}(s + h_{2m}(t^2))f(t^2, s - 2m) - m\lambda^{2m}(t^2 - 2m\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial t^2}(f(t^2, s - 2m)), \\ \sigma(W_m)f(t^2, s) &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{2m}(t^2 - 2m\alpha)f(t^2, s - 2m) \end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha \neq 0$, we see that $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)_{\bar{0}}$ is a simple $\sigma(\mathcal{W})$ -module. Then by $\sigma(G_r)f(t^2, s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda^{2r}tf(t^2, s - 2r)$, one has $t\mathbf{1} \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)_{\bar{1}}$, which yields that $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$ is a simple $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -module. \square

Proposition 2.9. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g(t), h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. Suppose that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ $g_m(\frac{1}{2}t) = \frac{1}{2}h_{2m}(t)$. Then as $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -modules, we have $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\lambda, \alpha, g) \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\sqrt{\lambda}, \alpha, h)$.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\lambda, \alpha, g) &\longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\sqrt{\lambda}, \alpha, h) \\ f(t, s) &\longmapsto f\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s\right) \\ k(y, x) &\longmapsto \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}tk\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s\right) \end{aligned}$$

be the linear map from $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\lambda, \alpha, g)$ to $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\sqrt{\lambda}, \alpha, h)$. Clearly, Ψ is bijective. Now we show that it is an $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -module isomorphism.

For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, g(t), h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t], f(t, s) \in \mathbb{C}[t, s], k(y, x) \in \mathbb{C}[y, x]$, we check that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(L_m f(t, s)) \\
= & \Psi\left(\lambda^m(s + g_m(t))f(t, s - m) \right. \\
& \left. - m\lambda^m(t - m\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(f(t, s - m))\right) \\
= & \lambda^m\left(\frac{s}{2} + g_m\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2\right)\right)f\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s - m\right) \\
& - m\lambda^m\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 - m\alpha\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial(\frac{1}{2}t^2)}\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s - m\right)\right), \\
& \Psi(L_m k(y, x)) \\
= & \Psi\left(\lambda^m\left(x - \frac{m}{2} + g_m(y)\right)k(y, x - m) \right. \\
& \left. - m\lambda^m(y - m\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}k(y, x - m)\right) \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\lambda^m\left(\frac{1}{2}s - \frac{m}{2} + g_m\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2\right)\right)tk\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s - m\right) \\
& - m\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\lambda^m\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 - m\alpha\right)t\frac{\partial}{\partial(\frac{1}{2}t^2)}k\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s - m\right), \\
& \Psi(W_m f(t, s)) \\
= & \Psi\left(\lambda^m(t - m\alpha)f(t, s - m)\right) = \lambda^m\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 - m\alpha\right)f\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s - m\right), \\
& \Psi(W_m k(y, x)) \\
= & \lambda^m(y - m\alpha)k(y, x - m) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\lambda^m\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 - m\alpha\right)tk\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s - m\right), \\
& \Psi(G_r f(t, s)) \\
= & \Psi\left(\lambda^{r-\frac{1}{2}}f(y, x - r)\right) = \frac{\lambda^r}{\sqrt{2}}tf\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s - r\right), \\
& \Psi(G_r k(y, x)) \\
= & \Psi\left(\lambda^{r+\frac{1}{2}}(t - 2r\alpha)k(t, s - r)\right) = \lambda^{r+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 - 2r\alpha\right)k\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s - r\right), \\
& \Psi(C_i(f(t, s))) = \Psi(C_i(k(y, x))) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Then by the following calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(L_m)\Psi(f(t, s)) = \frac{1}{2}L_{2m}f\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\lambda^m(s + h_{2m}(t^2))f\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}(s - 2m)\right) \\
&\quad - m\lambda^m(t^2 - 2m\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial(t^2)}\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}(s - 2m)\right)\right), \\
& \sigma(L_m)\Psi(k(y, x)) = \frac{1}{2}L_{2m}\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}tk\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s\right) \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\lambda^m\left(\frac{s}{2} - \frac{m}{2} + \frac{1}{2}h_{2m}(t^2)\right)tk\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s - m\right) \\
&\quad - m\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\lambda^m\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 - m\alpha\right)t\frac{\partial}{\partial(\frac{1}{2}t^2)}k\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s - m\right), \\
& \sigma(W_m)\Psi(f(t, s)) = \frac{1}{2}W_{2m}f\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s\right) \\
&= \lambda^m\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 - m\alpha\right)f\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{s}{2} - m\right), \\
& \sigma(W_m)\Psi(k(y, x)) = \frac{1}{2}W_{2m}\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}tk\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s\right) \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\lambda^m\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 - m\alpha\right)tk\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{s}{2} - m\right), \\
& \sigma(G_r)\Psi(f(t, s)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}G_{2r}f\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda^r f\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s - r\right), \\
& \sigma(G_r)\Psi(k(y, x)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}G_{2r}\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}tk\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s\right) \\
&= \lambda^{r+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2 - 2r\alpha\right)k\left(\frac{1}{2}t^2, \frac{1}{2}s - r\right), \\
& \sigma(C_i(f(t, s))) = \sigma(C_i(k(y, x))) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(L_m f(t, s)) = \sigma(L_m)\Psi(f(t, s)), \Psi(L_m k(y, x)) = \sigma(L_m)\Psi(k(y, x)), \\
& \Psi(W_m f(t, s)) = \sigma(W_m)\Psi(f(t, s)), \Psi(W_m k(y, x)) = \sigma(W_m)\Psi(k(y, x)), \\
& \Psi(G_r f(t, s)) = \sigma(G_r)\Psi(f(t, s)), \Psi(G_r k(y, x)) = \sigma(G_r)\Psi(k(y, x)), \\
& \Psi(C_i(f(t, s))) = \sigma(C_i(f(t, s))) = \Psi(C_i(k(y, x))) = \sigma(C_i(k(y, x))) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Thus, we conclude that Ψ is an $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -module isomorphism. \square

Now we give an example of the conditions in Proposition 2.9.

Example 2.10. Let $h(t) = t, g(\frac{1}{2}t) = t - \alpha$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$g_m(\frac{1}{2}t) = m(t + \alpha) - 2m^2\alpha = \frac{1}{2}h_{2m}(t).$$

According to Propositions 2.8, 2.9 and Theorem 2.4, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.11. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. Then $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$ is simple if and only if $\alpha \neq 0$.

By the similar arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.6, it yields the following theorem.

Theorem 2.12. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, h(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$. Then

- (i) $\Pi(\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\lambda, \alpha, h)) \not\cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\lambda', \alpha', h')$ for any $\lambda', \alpha' \in \mathbb{C}, h'(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$;
- (ii) $\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\lambda, \alpha, h) \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\mu, \beta, g)$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu, \alpha = \beta$ and $h = g$.

3 Classification of free $U(\mathfrak{t})$ -modules of rank 1 over \mathfrak{S}^0

Assume that $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ is an \mathfrak{S}^0 -module such that it is free of rank 1 as a $U(\mathfrak{t})$ -module, where $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}W_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}G_0$. From the definition of \mathfrak{S}^0 in (1.1), we have

$$L_0W_0 = W_0L_0, L_0G_0 = G_0L_0, W_0G_0 = G_0W_0 \text{ and } G_0^2 = W_0.$$

Thus $U(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathbb{C}[L_0, W_0] \oplus G_0\mathbb{C}[L_0, W_0]$. Choose a homogeneous basis element $\mathbf{1}$ in V . Without loss of generality, up to a parity-change, we may assume $\mathbf{1} \in V_0$ and

$$V = U(\mathfrak{t})\mathbf{1} = \mathbb{C}[L_0, W_0]\mathbf{1} \oplus G_0\mathbb{C}[L_0, W_0]\mathbf{1}$$

with $V_0 = \mathbb{C}[L_0, W_0]\mathbf{1}$ and $V_1 = G_0\mathbb{C}[L_0, W_0]\mathbf{1}$. We can suppose that $L_0\mathbf{1} = s\mathbf{1}, W_0\mathbf{1} = t^2\mathbf{1}, G_0\mathbf{1} = t\mathbf{1}$. In the following section, $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ is equal to $\mathbb{C}[t^2, s]\mathbf{1} \oplus t\mathbb{C}[t^2, s]\mathbf{1}$ with $V_0 = \mathbb{C}[t^2, s]\mathbf{1}$ and $V_1 = t\mathbb{C}[t^2, s]\mathbf{1}$.

Remark 3.1. The free $U(\mathfrak{t})$ -module V of rank 1 over \mathfrak{S}^0 can be viewed as a free $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -module of rank 2 by defining $\mathbf{1}_0 := \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}_1 := G_0\mathbf{1}$.

According to $\mathfrak{S}_0 \cong \mathcal{W}$, it is clear that V_0 can be regarded as a \mathcal{W} -module which is free of rank 1 as a $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -module. From Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}, f(t^2, s) \in \mathbb{C}[t^2, s]$, there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_m(f(t^2, s)) &= \lambda^m(s + h_m(t^2))f(t^2, s - m) - m\lambda^m(t^2 - m\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial t^2}(f(t^2, s - m)), \\ W_m(f(t^2, s)) &= \lambda^m(t^2 - m\alpha)f(t^2, s - m), \quad C_1(f(t^2, s)) = C_2(f(t^2, s)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Firstly, we give the following results.

Lemma 3.2. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f(t^2, s) \in \mathbb{C}[t^2, s]$, $tf(t^2, s) \in t\mathbb{C}[t^2, s]$, we obtain

- (i) $G_m tf(t^2, s)\mathbf{1} = f(t^2, s - m)G_m t\mathbf{1}$;
- (ii) $G_m f(t^2, s)\mathbf{1} = f(t^2, s - m)G_m \mathbf{1}$;
- (iii) $C_i tf(t^2, s)\mathbf{1} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. (i) It is easy to get that $G_m L_0 t\mathbf{1} = (L_0 - m)G_m t\mathbf{1}$ by the relations of \mathfrak{S}^0 . Recursively, we conclude that $G_m L_0^n t\mathbf{1} = (L_0 - m)^n G_m t\mathbf{1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence, $G_m tf(t^2, s)\mathbf{1} = f(t^2, s - m)G_m t\mathbf{1}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, we obtain (ii).

(iii) By (3.1), we know that $C_i f(t^2, s)\mathbf{1} = 0$, which gives $C_i \mathbf{1} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus $C_i tf(t^2, s)\mathbf{1} = tf(t^2, s)C_i \mathbf{1} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. \square

Lemma 3.3. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get $G_m \mathbf{1} = \lambda^m t\mathbf{1}$.

Proof. To prove this, we suppose

$$G_m \mathbf{1} = tf_m(t^2, s)\mathbf{1} \in t\mathbb{C}[t^2, s]\mathbf{1}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, by $G_m^2 \mathbf{1} = W_{2m} \mathbf{1}$, we have

$$f_m(t^2, s - m)(2\lambda^m(t^2 - m\alpha) - t^2 f_m(t^2, s)) = \lambda^{2m}(t^2 - 2m\alpha). \quad (3.2)$$

Considering the degree of s and t^2 in above equation, we conclude that $f_m(t^2, s - m) = f_m \in \mathbb{C}$. We rewrite (3.2) as

$$f_m((2\lambda^m - f_m)t^2 - 2m\lambda^m\alpha) = \lambda^{2m}(t^2 - 2m\alpha),$$

which implies $f_m = \lambda^m$. \square

Now we present the main result of this section, which gives a complete classification of free $U(\mathfrak{t})$ -modules of rank 1 over \mathfrak{S}^0 .

Theorem 3.4. Assume that V is an \mathfrak{S}^0 -module such that the restriction of V as a $U(\mathfrak{t})$ -module is free of rank 1. Up to a parity-change, we have $V \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^0}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ with the \mathfrak{S}^0 -module structure defined as in (2.2)-(2.8).

Proof. For any $f(t^2, s) \in \mathbb{C}[t^2, s]$, by Lemma 3.2 (ii) and Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$G_m f(t^2, s)\mathbf{1} = f(t^2, s - m)G_m \mathbf{1} = \lambda^m f(t^2, s - m)t\mathbf{1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& L_m t f(t^2, s) \mathbf{1} \\
&= L_m G_0 f(t^2, s) \mathbf{1} \\
&= G_0 L_m f(t^2, s) \mathbf{1} - \frac{m}{2} G_m f(t^2, s) \mathbf{1} \\
&= \lambda^m \left(s - \frac{m}{2} + h_m(t^2) \right) f(t^2, s - m) t \mathbf{1} - m \lambda^m (t^2 - m\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} (f(t^2, s - m)) t \mathbf{1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$W_m t f(t^2, s) \mathbf{1} = W_m G_0 f(t^2, s) \mathbf{1} = G_0 W_m f(t^2, s) \mathbf{1} = \lambda^m (t^2 - m\alpha) f(t^2, s - m) t \mathbf{1}.$$

Suppose $G_m t \mathbf{1} = g_m(t^2, s) \mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{C}[t^2, s] \mathbf{1}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. From $G_m G_m t \mathbf{1} = W_{2m} t \mathbf{1}$, it is easy to check that $g_m(t^2, s) = \lambda^m (t^2 - 2m\alpha)$. Thus $G_m t \mathbf{1} = \lambda^m (t^2 - 2m\alpha) \mathbf{1}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then by Lemma 3.2 (i), one has

$$G_m t f(t^2, s) \mathbf{1} = \lambda^m (t^2 - 2m\alpha) f(t^2, s - m) t \mathbf{1}.$$

□

4 Classification of free $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -modules of rank 2 over $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$

By the definition of $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we see that it has a 2-dimensional Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{T} = \mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}W_0$ (modulo center). Then we have $U(\mathfrak{T}) = \mathbb{C}[L_0, W_0]$. At the same time, we see that $\{W_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ can be generated by odd elements $G_{\frac{1}{2}+r}$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Considering the pure even or pure odd non-trivial $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -modules, we obtain that the action of $G_{\frac{1}{2}+r}$ on those modules is trivial for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that the action of W_m is also trivial for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, the pure even or pure odd non-trivial free $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -modules of rank 1 over $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are isomorphic to Virasoro modules. In the following section, we will classify the free $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -modules of rank 2 over $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Assume that $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$ is an $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -module such that it is free of rank 2 as a $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -module with two homogeneous basis elements v and w . If the parities of v and w are the same, for any $r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ then $G_{\pm r} v = G_{\pm r} w = 0$. Therefore,

$$W_0 v = \frac{1}{2} [G_r, G_{-r}] v = 0, W_0 w = \frac{1}{2} [G_r, G_{-r}] w = 0,$$

which gives a contradiction. Then we conclude that v and w have different parities. Set $v = \mathbf{1}_0 \in M_0$ and $w = \mathbf{1}_1 \in M_1$. As a vector space, we get $M_0 = \mathbb{C}[t, s] \mathbf{1}_0$ and $M_1 = \mathbb{C}[y, x] \mathbf{1}_1$.

By $\mathfrak{S}_{\bar{0}} \cong \mathcal{W}$, we know that $M_{\bar{0}}$ and $M_{\bar{1}}$ are both can be viewed as \mathcal{W} -modules. According to Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ and $g(y) \in \mathbb{C}[y]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_m f(t, s) &= \lambda^m (s + h_m(t)) f(t, s - m) \\ &\quad - m \lambda^m (t - m \alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (f(t, s - m)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

$$W_m f(t, s) = \lambda^m (t - m \alpha) f(t, s - m), C_i(f(t, s)) = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

for $i = 1, 2, f(t, s) \in \mathbb{C}[t, s]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L_m f(y, x) &= \mu^m (x + g_m(y)) f(y, x - m) \\ &\quad - m \mu^m (y - m \beta) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (f(y, x - m)), \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

$$W_m f(y, x) = \mu^m (y - m \beta) f(y, x - m), C_i(f(y, x)) = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

for $i = 1, 2, f(y, x) \in \mathbb{C}[y, x]$.

Now we give two preliminary results for later use.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, f(t, s) \in \mathbb{C}[t, s], k(y, x) \in \mathbb{C}[y, x]$. Then $\lambda = \mu, \alpha = \beta$ and there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that one of the following two cases occurs.*

$$(i) \quad G_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = c \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}}, G_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} = \frac{1}{c} \lambda (t - \alpha) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}};$$

$$(ii) \quad G_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = \frac{1}{c} \lambda (y - \alpha) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}}, G_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} = c \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}}.$$

Proof. Assume that $G_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = f(y, x) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}}$ and $G_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} = g(t, s) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}}$. According to $[G_{\frac{1}{2}}, G_{\frac{1}{2}}] \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = 2W_1 \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}}$, we get

$$G_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = f(W_0, L_0 - \frac{1}{2}) G_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} = f(t, s - \frac{1}{2}) g(t, s) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}}$$

and $G_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = W_1 \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = \lambda (t - \alpha)$, which imply $f(t, s - \frac{1}{2}) g(t, s) = \lambda (t - \alpha)$. Therefore,

$$f(t, s - \frac{1}{2}) = c, g(t, s) = \frac{1}{c} \lambda (t - \alpha) \quad \text{or} \quad f(t, s - \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{c} \lambda (t - \alpha), g(t, s) = c \quad (4.5)$$

for $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Similarly, by $G_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} = W_1 \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}}$, we see that $g(y, x - \frac{1}{2}) f(y, x) = \mu (y - \beta)$. Then by (4.5), we always obtain $g(y, x - \frac{1}{2}) f(y, x) = \lambda (y - \alpha)$, which gives $\lambda = \mu, \alpha = \beta$. \square

Due to Lemma 4.1, up to a parity-change, we can assume $\lambda = \mu, \alpha = \beta, G_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}}$ and $G_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} = \lambda (t - \alpha) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}}$ without loss of generality.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}, r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, we get*

$$(i) \quad g_m(y) - h_m(y) = -\frac{m}{2};$$

$$(ii) \quad G_r \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = \lambda^{r-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}};$$

$$(iii) \quad G_r \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} = \lambda^{r+\frac{1}{2}}(t - 2r\alpha) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}}.$$

Proof. (i) Fix $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \neq \frac{3}{2}$. Based on Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}r\right) G_r \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = [L_{r-\frac{1}{2}}, G_{\frac{1}{2}}] \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} \\ &= L_{r-\frac{1}{2}} G_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} - G_{\frac{1}{2}} L_{r-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} \\ &= L_{r-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} - G_{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\lambda^{r-\frac{1}{2}}(s + h_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t)) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} \\ &= \lambda^{r-\frac{1}{2}} \left(g_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(y) + \frac{1}{2} - h_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(y) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}r\right) G_r \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} = [L_{r-\frac{1}{2}}, G_{\frac{1}{2}}] \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} \\ &= L_{r-\frac{1}{2}} G_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} - G_{\frac{1}{2}} L_{r-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} \\ &= L_{r-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\lambda(t - \alpha) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} - G_{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\lambda^{r-\frac{1}{2}}(x + g_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(y)) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} \\ &= \lambda^{r+\frac{1}{2}} \left((h_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t) - g_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \frac{1}{2})(t - \alpha) - (r - \frac{1}{2})(t - (r - \frac{1}{2})\alpha) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

By (4.6) and (4.7), we check

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^{2r} \left(\left(g_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \frac{1}{2} - h_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \right) \left((h_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t) - g_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \frac{1}{2})(t - \alpha) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - (r - \frac{1}{2})(t - (r - \frac{1}{2})\alpha) \right) \right) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} \\ &= \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}r \right)^2 G_r^2 \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}r \right)^2 W_{2r} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} \\ &= \lambda^{2r} \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}r \right)^2 (t - 2r\alpha) \mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(g_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \frac{1}{2} - h_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \right) \left((h_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t) - g_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \frac{1}{2})(t - \alpha) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (r - \frac{1}{2})(t - (r - \frac{1}{2})\alpha) \right) = \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}r \right)^2 (t - 2r\alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Set $\tau_r(t) := g_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t) - h_{r-\frac{1}{2}}(t)$. We rewrite (4.8) as

$$(\tau_r(t) + \frac{1}{2}) \left((\frac{1}{2} - \tau_r(t))(t - \alpha) - (r - \frac{1}{2})(t - (r - \frac{1}{2})\alpha) \right) = (\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}r)^2(t - 2r\alpha).$$

Comparing the coefficients of t in above equation, we immediately obtain

$$(\tau_r(t) + \frac{1}{2})^2 - \frac{3-2r}{2}(\tau_r(t) + \frac{1}{2}) + (\frac{3-2r}{4})^2 = 0.$$

Thus $\tau_r(t) + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3-2r}{4}$ for $r \neq \frac{3}{2}$. From $[L_1, G_{\frac{1}{2}}]\mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = 0$, it is easy to get $g_1(y) - h_1(y) = -\frac{1}{2}$. Then $g_m(y) - h_m(y) = -\frac{m}{2}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) Using (i) in (4.6), we obtain $G_r\mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = \lambda^{r-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}}$ for any $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \neq \frac{3}{2}$. Moreover, we check that

$$-\frac{3}{2}G_{\frac{3}{2}}\mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = [L_2, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}]\mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = L_2G_{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} - G_{-\frac{1}{2}}L_2\mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = -\frac{3}{2}\lambda\mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}}.$$

Hence, part (ii) also holds for $r = \frac{3}{2}$.

By the similar discussion as (ii), the (iii) clears. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For any $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, $h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$, $f(t, s) \in \mathbb{C}[t, s]$, $g(y) \in \mathbb{C}[y]$, $f(y, x) \in \mathbb{C}[y, x]$, we have*

$$G_rf(t, s)\mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = \lambda^{r-\frac{1}{2}}f(y, x-r)\mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}}, \quad G_rf(y, x)\mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} = \lambda^{r+\frac{1}{2}}(t-2r\alpha)f(t, s-r)\mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}}.$$

Proof. According to $G_rL_0 = (L_0 - r)G_r$ and Lemma 4.2, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} G_rf(t, s)\mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} &= G_rf(W_0, L_0)\mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} = f(W_0, L_0 - r)G_r\mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}} = \lambda^{r-\frac{1}{2}}f(y, x-r)\mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}}, \\ G_rf(y, x)\mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} &= G_{\frac{1}{2}}f(W_0, L_0)\mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} = f(W_0, L_0 - r)G_r\mathbf{1}_{\bar{1}} = \lambda^{r+\frac{1}{2}}(t-\alpha)f(t, s-r)\mathbf{1}_{\bar{0}}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma holds. \square

We present the main result of this section, which gives a complete classification of free $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -modules of rank 2 over $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

From (4.1), (4.2), (4.3), (4.4) and Lemmas 4.1, 4.3, we immediately get the following results.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume that M is an $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -module such that the restriction of M as a $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -module is free of rank 2. Up to a parity-change, we have $M \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}}(\lambda, \alpha, h)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $h(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ with the $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ -module structure defined as in (2.12)-(2.18).*

Based on Proposition 2.8, Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 4.4, we obtain the corollary as follows.

Corollary 4.5. *The category of free $U(\mathfrak{t})$ -modules of rank 1 over \mathfrak{S}^0 is equivalent to the category of free $U(\mathfrak{T})$ -modules of rank 2 over $\mathfrak{S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Remark 4.6. *We note that these modules are new classes of simple modules over \mathfrak{S}^ϵ .*

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12171129, 11971350), and the Anhui Provincial Natural Science Research Program for Institutions of Higher Learning (NO. KJ2018A0976).

References

- [1] G. Barnich, L. Donnay, J. Matulich, R. Troncoso, Asymptotic symmetries and dynamics of three-dimensional flat supergravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2014), 071.
- [2] G. Barnich, L. Donnay, J. Matulich, R. Troncoso, Super-BMS₃ invariant boundary theory from three-dimensional at supergravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **29** (2017), 10.
- [3] X. Cheng, J. Sun, Super-biderivations and linear super-commuting maps on the super-BMS₃ algebra, *São Paulo J. Math. Sci.* **13** (2019), 615-627.
- [4] X. Cheng, J. Sun, H. Yang, Lie super-bialgebra structures on the super-BMS₃ algebra, *Algebra Colloq.* **26** (2019), 437-458.
- [5] H. Chen, X. Guo, Non-weight Modules over the Heisenberg-Virasoro and $W(2,2)$ algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* **16** (2017), 1750097.
- [6] H. Chen, X. Dai, M. Liu, A family of simple non-weight modules over the twisted $N = 2$ superconformal algebra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **226** (2022), 107030.
- [7] H. Chen, Y. Su, Irreducible twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro modules from tensor products *Results Math.* **74** (2019) 183.
- [8] Y. Cai, K. Zhao, Module structure on $U(H)$ for basic Lie superalgebras, *Toyama Math. J.* **37** (2015), 55-72.
- [9] M. Dilxat, L. Chen, D. Liu, Classification of simple Harish-Chandra modules over the Ovsienko-Roger superalgebra, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh A*, doi: 10.1017/prm.2023.20/S0308210523000203.

- [10] M. Dilxat, S. Gao, D. Liu, 2-Local superderivations on the super Virasoro algebra and the super $W(2, 2)$ algebra, *Commun. Alg.* **49** (12) (2021), 5423-5434.
- [11] M. Dilxat, S. Gao, D. Liu, Whittaker modules over the $N = 1$ super-BMS₃ algebra, *J. Alg. Appl.* 2023, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498824500889>.
- [12] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Senechal, Conformal Field Theory, Springer, New York, (1997).
- [13] P. Goddard, D. Olive, Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras in relation to quantum physics, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* **1** (1986), 303-414.
- [14] J. Han, Q. Chen, Y. Su, Modules over the algebras $Vir(a, b)$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **515** (2017), 11-23.
- [15] J. Lepowsky, H. Li, Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations, Birkhäuser, (2004).
- [16] R. Lü, K. Zhao, Irreducible Virasoro modules from irreducible Weyl modules, *J. Algebra* **414** (2014), 271-287.
- [17] J. Nilsson, Simple \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1} -module structures on $U(\mathfrak{h})$, *J. Algebra* **424** (2015), 294-329.
- [18] J. Nilsson, $U(\mathfrak{h})$ -free modules and coherent families, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **220** (2016), 1475-1488.
- [19] H. Tan, K. Zhao, W_n^+ and W_n -module structures on $U(\mathfrak{h}_n)$, *J. Algebra* **424** (2015), 357-375.
- [20] H. Tan, K. Zhao, Irreducible modules over Witt algebras W_n and over \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1} , *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **21** (2018), 787-806.
- [21] H. Yang, Y. Yao, L. Xia, A family of non-weight modules over the super-Virasoro algebras, *J. Algebra* **547** (2020), 538-555.
- [22] H. Yang, Y. Yao, L. Xia, On non-weight representations of the untwisted $N=2$ superconformal algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **225** (2021), 106529.
- [23] W. Zhang, C. Dong, W-algebra $W(2, 2)$ and the Vertex operator algebra $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **285** (2009), 991-1004.

Department of Mathematics, Jimei University, Xiamen, Fujian 361021, China
 Email-address: **hypo1025@163.com**

School of Mathematical Sciences, Guizhou Normal University, Guiyang 550001, China
 Email-address: **daisheng158@126.com**

School of Statistics and Mathematics, Shanghai Lixin University of Accounting and Finance, Shanghai 201209, China
 Email-address: **lymaths@126.com**

1. Department of Mathematics, Jimei University, Xiamen, Fujian 361021, China 2. School of Mathematical Sciences, Tongji University, Shanghai 200092
 Email-address: **ycsu@tongji.edu.cn**