Time dependence of few-body Förster interactions among ultracold Rydberg atoms

Zhimin Cheryl Liu,1,2 Nina P. Inman,1 Thomas J. Carroll,3 and Michael W. Noel1

1Department of Physics, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, PA 19010.
2Department of Physics, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309.
3Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ursinus College, Collegeville, PA 19426.
(Dated: November 22, 2019)

Rydberg Rydberg atoms in either |m_j⟩-sublevel of the 36p_{3/2} state can exchange energy via Stark-tuned Förster resonances, including two-, three-, and four-body dipole-dipole interactions. Three-body interactions of this type were first reported and categorized by Faoro, et al. [Nat. Commun. 6, 8173 (2015)] and their Borromean nature was confirmed by Tretyakov, et al. [Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 173402 (2017)]. We report the time dependence of the N-body Förster resonance N × 36p_{3/2}|m_j⟩=1/2 → 36s_{1/2} + 37s_{1/2} + (N−2) × 36p_{3/2}|m_j⟩=−3/2, for N = 2, 3, and 4, by measuring the fraction of initially excited atoms that end up in the 37s_{1/2} state as a function of time. The essential features of these interactions are captured in an analytical model that includes only the many-body matrix elements and neighboring atom distribution. A more sophisticated simulation reveals the importance of beyond-nearest-neighbor interactions and of always-resonant interactions.

Understanding few-body and many-body interactions is of near universal importance, with relevance to problems in atomic, condensed matter, and nuclear physics. Experiments with ultracold atoms and molecules have significantly advanced that understanding. For example, the spin lattice that forms when polar molecules are confined with an optical lattice may be useful in modeling quantum magnetism and topological insulators [1, 2]. Precise control over the interactions in systems of ultracold atoms has recently been realized in a variety of experiments. The few body universal quantum states predicted by Efimov [3] have been observed and studied extensively in ultracold gases [4, 5]. Progress with dipolar quantum gases includes the observation of stable quantum droplets in a dysprosium Bose-Einstein condensate [6], the observation of angular oscillations of quantum droplets, analogous to the behavior of nuclei, induced by the dipole-dipole interaction [7], and the discovery of a regime with super-solid properties [8].

Many-body effects have been studied extensively in cold Rydberg gases over the past two decades. The linewidth of resonant energy exchange observed in early experiments was much broader than expected when considering the two body interaction strength and the typical atom spacing [9, 10]. Much of this broadening is accounted for by considering the distribution of atom spacings, with close pairs dominating the width [11, 12]. In addition, always resonant interactions can broaden the resonant exchange [13, 14]. The time evolution of the many-body dynamics of the dipole-dipole-mediated energy exchange in amorphous systems has been explored in imaging experiments [15, 16]. In addition to resonant energy exchange, long range Rydberg interactions can lead to an excitation blockade and thus many-body Rabi oscillations in a mesoscopic sample [17]. Long range interactions in the Rydberg system can also be used to entangle atoms for the purpose of quantum computing and simulation [18–21].

Resonant few-body dipole-dipole interactions with Rydberg atoms have been discovered and studied only recently. Gurian, et al. observed a four-body resonant interaction in cesium that lies between, and relies on, a pair of two-body interactions [22]. Faoro, et al. reported on a simpler three-body process in cesium and developed a theory for a class of similar few-body interactions applicable to many Rydberg atoms [23]. More recently, Tretyakov, et al. observed the same type of three-body interaction in rubidium Rydberg atoms while conclusively demonstrating the Borromean nature of the energy exchange [24]. Additional work has studied coherence of this interaction and its suitability for use in a quantum gate [25, 26].

In this Letter, we report on the time dependence of the two-, three-, and four-body dipole-dipole interactions in rubidium

\[ p + p \rightarrow s + s' \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)  
\[ p + p + p \rightarrow s + s' + p' \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)  
\[ p + p + p + p \rightarrow s + s' + p' + p' \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where the state labels have been abbreviated \( p = 36p_{3/2}|m_j⟩=1/2, \ s = 37s_{1/2}, \ p' = 36p_{3/2}|m_j⟩=3/2, \) and \( s' = 36s_{1/2}. \) The resonant interactions are indicated by the solid arrows in the Stark map of Fig. 1(a).

The two-body exchange is resonant at an electric field of 3.29 V/cm. Tuning to higher field introduces an energy defect that is equal to \( E_p - E_{p'} \) at 3.52 V/cm. At that field, a third \( p \) atom can account for the defect via either of the equally detuned two-body exchanges \( p + s' \rightarrow s' + p' \) or \( p + s \rightarrow s + p'. \) Similarly, the energy defect is \( 2(E_p - E_{p'}) \) at 3.80 V/cm which requires a fourth \( p \) atom. One of many possible four-body examples is shown in Fig. 1(b). While the few-body energy exchange can be perturbatively calculated as a sequence of two-body interactions, it is essentially Borromean in nature and requires all atoms to participate simultane-
Comparison of the rates of population transfer for these interactions reveals insight into the dynamics of the energy exchange. We present a simple analytical model that includes the interactions of “nearest-neighbor” clusters of atoms and the strength of the interaction via the many-body matrix elements. This model neglects always-resonant and beyond-nearest-neighbor interactions, but it is nonetheless able to correctly describe the shape of the time dependence curve. However, the model is unable to simultaneously fit the two-, three-, and four-body time dependence curves at a given density. In contrast, a full many-body simulation is much better able to match the experiment, revealing the importance of these physical processes.

In our experiment, $^{85}$Rb atoms are trapped in a magneto-optical trap (MOT) and then excited from the 5$s$ state into the 36$p$ state by three diode lasers. Highly excited atoms then exchange energy through a dipole-dipole interaction and the fraction of atoms in each state after the interaction is quantified using directed field ionization (DFI).

Our MOT traps and cools about $10^6$ atoms in a volume of diameter $\approx 0.5$ mm. The trapping laser at 780 nm cycles atoms between the 5$s$ and 5$p$ states. A 776 nm laser drives the 5$p$ to 5$d$ transition and a 1265 nm laser excites atoms to the 36$p$ state. A set of coaxial cylinders surrounding the MOT allows us to apply static and time varying electric fields. To separate the 36$p$ $|m_j|=3/2$ and 1/2 states, atoms are excited in an electric field of 5 V/cm. A square voltage pulse, the interaction pulse, whose length and amplitude can be varied, is then applied to one cylinder, which allows atoms to exchange energy through the dipole-dipole interaction.

To determine the strength of this energy exchange, we measure the fraction of atoms that end up in the 37$s$ state. The time resolved field ionization signal from the 37$s$ and 36$p$ states obtained using standard selective field ionization (SFI) are almost completely overlapping. We therefore use DFI to better resolve these states. Using a genetic algorithm, DFI optimizes a small perturbation that is added to an SFI ramp. This perturbation directs a fraction of the s-state signal along a pathway through the Stark map that ionizes early in time relative to that of the p-state signal, allowing us to quantify the fraction of atoms that end up in the 37$s$ state. We use a genetic algorithm to find the perturbation that best separates the s and p states. During this optimization, the delay between excitation and field ionization is set to zero and no interaction pulse is present. After optimization we add a fixed delay of 10 $\mu$s between excitation and DFI to provide room for an interaction pulse of varying amplitude and/or length. During this 10 $\mu$s window, blackbody radiation can drive transitions to neighboring states, which leads to a constant 1.5% transfer to the s state in the absence of any dipole-dipole interaction. We subtract this blackbody signal from our dipole-dipole fraction measurements.

With the width of the interaction pulse fixed at 9 $\mu$s, we scan the amplitude to tune various interactions into resonance. The fraction of atoms that end up in the s state due to dipole-dipole interaction is shown in Fig. 1(c). In this scan (solid line) we can clearly identify two, three and four body resonant energy exchanges. We also see a feature at the location of the $p+p' \rightarrow s+s'$ resonance, which is marked by the dashed arrows in Fig. 1(a). We associate this with an off resonant energy exchange.
First, the resonant exchange populates the \( s + s' \) state. From this state the \( p + p' \) state is populated through the off resonant process \( s + s' \rightarrow p + p' \), upon which the resonant \( p + p' \rightarrow s + s' \) process can proceed. In contrast to the resonant few-body interactions studied here, this is an inefficient off-resonant multi-step process that seeds the \( p + p' \rightarrow s + s' \) exchange.

Other features in the signal have yet to be understood. In particular, the broad tail to the low field side of the 2-body exchange along with the small peak near 2.7 V/cm. The dotted line in Fig. (c) shows the complementary set of resonances, marked with dotted arrows in Fig. (a), that occur when the sample is initially excited to the \( p' \) state.

While we do not independently measure the density of our excited atoms, our simulation results suggest that our Rydberg density is about \( 6 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3} \). This corresponds to a typical interatomic spacing of about 12 \( \mu \text{m} \). By averaging over many random arrangements of atoms at this density, we calculate average interaction strengths of \( \omega_2 = 210 \text{ kHz}, \omega_3 = 6 \text{ kHz}, \text{ and } \omega_4 = 2 \text{ kHz} \) for the two-, three-, and four-body interactions, respectively.

We have also investigated the time dependence of the two, three, and four body interactions. To collect this data, we scan the time that the interaction field is applied for each of the three values that tune these interactions into resonance at 3.29, 3.52, and 3.80 V/cm. The fraction of atoms in the \( s \) state as a function of time is shown by the solid lines in Fig. 2 for each of these three electric fields along with a field of 4.25 V/cm, for which no interaction is observed. For two atoms at fixed separation, the time-dependence should be given by Rabi oscillations; in fact, Ravets et al. have directly observed Rabi oscillations between a pair of Rydberg atoms [30]. A more complicated, but still coherent, oscillation is expected for few-body interactions in a close triplet or quadruplet [24]. However, in the present experiment, we have a different amorphous sample of atoms on each shot of our laser, which averages out the Rabi oscillations. In this case, the early time behavior of Fig. 2 is dominated by high frequency oscillations among closely spaced atoms, which drive the relatively rapid increase in \( s \)-state fraction. This is followed by a gradual approach to saturation.

The shape of the time dependence curves for the \( N \)-body interactions can be understood by considering three main factors. First, as \( N \) increases, the saturation population transfer decreases so that one expects the \( s \)-state fraction to eventually plateau at 0.25, 0.16, and 0.125 for \( N = 2, N = 3, \text{ and } N = 4 \) respectively. Second, the matrix elements decrease as \( N \) increases since each additional two-body step brings in another factor of the detuning. This is, however, somewhat mitigated by the increasing number of paths from initial state to final state as \( N \) increases. Finally, in an amorphous sample of atoms the distance between a typical close pair of atoms will be less than the average distance among typical close triplets or quadruplets.

One can construct a simple analytical model comprised of these three factors. We assume that the \( N \)-body interaction is dominated by contributions from clusters of \( N \) atoms. The \( j^{\text{th}} \)-nearest neighbor probability distribution is given by

\[
g(r_{0j} \mid r_{0(j-1)}) = 4 \pi r_{0j}^2 \rho e^{-\frac{4}{3} \pi \rho (r_{0j}^3 - r_{0(j-1)}^3)}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( r_{0j} \) is the distance from the central atom to its \( j^{\text{th}} \)-nearest neighbor and \( \rho \) is the density [31, 32]. Beyond-nearest-neighbor atoms may be closer to each other than to the central atom. Since the \( r^{-3} \) dependence of the dipole-dipole interaction lends much greater weight to closely spaced atoms and \( r_{i(\geq 1)} \) could be significantly less than \( r_{0j} \), we average over the distances \( r_{i(\geq 1)} \).

The matrix elements can be calculated perturbatively by summing over all paths from the initial state \( |i\rangle \) to the
final state $|f\rangle$ with

$$\omega_2 = \frac{\langle f|\hat{\sigma}_{fi}|i\rangle}{r_{fi}^3},$$  

(5)

$$\omega_3 = \frac{\sum_j \langle j|\hat{\sigma}_{fj}|i\rangle \langle i|\hat{\sigma}_{ji}|j\rangle}{\delta_j r_{fj}^3 r_{ij}^3},$$  

(6)

$$\omega_4 = \frac{\sum_j \sum_k \langle j|\hat{\sigma}_{fj}|k\rangle \langle k|\hat{\sigma}_{kj}|j\rangle}{\delta_j \delta_k r_{fj}^3 r_{kj}^3 r_{kj}^3},$$  

(7)

where $|j\rangle$ and $|k\rangle$ are intermediate states, $\delta_j$ is the detuning of the $j$th intermediate state, and $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ is the operator that takes the $j$th state to the $i$th state. For this simple model, we ignore the angular dependence and simply multiply the summands in Eq. (6) and Eq. (7) by the total number of possible paths from a given initial to a given final state. We also consider $\delta$ to be fixed for every step, yielding

$$\omega_2 = \frac{\mu \nu}{r_{12}^3}, \quad \omega_3 = \frac{2(\mu \nu)^2}{\delta r_{13}^2 r_{23}^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_4 = \frac{16(\mu \nu)^3}{\delta^2 r_{12}^2 r_{23}^3 r_{34}^3},$$  

(8)

where $\theta_{ij}$ is the angle between $\vec{r}_{ij}$ and $\vec{r}_{ij}$, $\rho$ is the Rydberg atom density, and the numerical pre-factor includes the saturation level. The two-body result of Eq. (5) can be integrated analytically [33, 34]. The three- and four-body results in Eqs. (10) and (11) must be integrated numerically and the integration is terminated at a maximum radius chosen to be sufficiently large so that integration converges.

The time dependence of Eqs. (9)-(11) is shown by the dashed lines in Fig. (a) for density $\rho = 6.8 \times 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$, which was chosen to match the initial slope of the model’s two-body time dependence to the experimental data. This model correctly predicts the general shape of the experimental time dependence curves. However, in attempting to match the two-body data, it significantly under-predicts the three- and four-body interaction strengths. This simple model ignores the fact that the experimental three- and four-body time-dependence each include a contribution from the shoulder of the two-body interaction. However, the small contribution from the two-body shoulder in the experiment could account for some, but not all, of this difference.

We have also simulated the interactions by constructing the Hamiltonian matrix using Eqs. (5)-(7) while averaging over the angular dependence [30, 35], under the assumption that the Rydberg atoms remain frozen in place for the duration of the experiment. We randomly place 40 atoms in a spherical volume of radius 34 µm, which corresponds to a Rydberg density of $2.4 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$. We calculate the time evolution by directly solving the Schrödinger equation on a supercomputer for each atom and its closest 8 neighbors, resulting in a Hamiltonian matrix of rank 48620. The results are averaged over all 40 atoms and the process is repeated so that a few hundred random instances are averaged. The simulated results, which agree well with the experimental data, are shown by the dashed lines in Fig. (b). The success of the simulation points to the importance of always-resonant and beyond-nearest-neighbor interactions.

We have presented experimental data showing the time
dependence of the two-, three-, and four-body interactions in an amorphous ultracold sample of Rydberg atoms. While the matrix elements for the three- and four-body interactions are reduced because the detuning, these interactions are stronger than one might expect because of the many paths from initial state to final state. Comparison of our simple model to our simulation results reveals information about the dynamics of the energy exchange. There are two physical processes missing from our simple analytical model. First, there are no beyond-nearest-neighbor interactions, which have been shown to play a role in the dipole-dipole energy exchange in a three-Rydberg-atom chain. Second, there are no always-resonant interactions. The simulation includes both of these important features and is able to simultaneously match the data for all three resonant processes.

Since each of the resonant interactions will scale differently with density, one could potentially fit simulations to the data to measure the Rydberg density of the sample. This would require both a more detailed experimental study of the density dependence of these interactions and further numerical work to check the convergence of the simulations. Experimental studies at different principal quantum number, where the electric field spacing of the interactions is different, might also reveal additional few-body interactions with different density dependences.

The four-body interaction presented here, which is fairly well-separated from the two-body resonance, could be useful in studies of many-body thermalization and localization. Recently, Nandkishore and Sondhi showed that many-body localization should be possible even in systems with long-range interactions, which have been shown to play a role in the dipole-dipole energy exchange in a three-Rydberg-atom chain. Second, there are no always-resonant interactions. The simulation includes both of these important features and is able to simultaneously match the data for all three resonant processes.
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