Quantum Interference in Real-Time Electron-Dynamics: Gaining Insights from Time-Dependent Configuration Interaction Simulations
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Femtosecond electron dynamics based on time-dependent configuration interaction (TDCI) is a numerically rigorous approach for quantitative modeling of electron-injection across molecular junctions. Our simulations of cyanobenzene thioliates—para- and meta-linked to an acceptor gold atom—corroborate aromatic resonance stabilization effects and show donor states conjugating with the benzene π-network to exhibit superior electron-injection dynamics across the para-linked isomer compared to the meta counterpart. For a non-conjugating initial state, we find electron-injection through the meta-channel to stem from non-resonant quantum mechanical tunneling. Furthermore, we demonstrate quantum interference to drive para- vs. meta-selectivity in the coherent evolution of superposed π(CN)- and σ(NC-C)-type wavepackets. Analyses reveal that in the para-linked molecule, σ and π MOs localized at the donor terminal are in-phase leading to constructive interference of electron density distribution while phase-flip of one of the MOs in the meta-linked molecule results in destructive interference. These findings suggest that a priori detection of orbital phase-flip and quantum coherence conditions can aid in molecular device design strategies.

I. INTRODUCTION

First-principles understanding of why, how and how much electric current flows across a given molecule holds the key to unlock challenges in designing electronic circuits of sub-nanometer dimensions with atomistic precision[1][8]. Pioneering efforts in the design of scanning tunneling microscope-based break junction (STM-BJ) experiments have made it feasible to accurately determine the through-molecule conductance, \( G = I/V \), of a device at vanishing bias-voltage when the molecular electronic structure is least perturbed[9]. A histogram of \( G \) is made by recurrently forming and breaking the contacts between molecules and the STM tip where spikes for values less than the quantum of conductance \( G_0 = 2e^2/h \approx 7.75 \times 10^{-5} \) S indicates flow of current through single molecule junctions[10]. As for first-principles modeling of quantum conductance, the standard approach is the Landauer formalism for coherent transport—valid for short junctions at low temperatures—wherein electrons flow across molecules through conduction channels that are related to the molecular orbitals (MOs)[11]. At zero bias voltage, conductance is calculated as \( G(E,V) = G_0 \sum_{i,j} T_{i,j}(E,V) \), where \( T_{i,j} \) is the probability that a charge carrier coming from a terminal in transverse channel \( i \) will be transmitted to another terminal in channel \( j \). This formalism has found wide applicability when used with MOs modeled at various quantum chemistry levels of theory ranging from the empirical Hückel MO (HMO) model Hamiltonian[12] to the Kohn–Sham density-functional theory (KS-DFT)[13].

Quantum interference (QI) is an experimentally quantifiable effect stemming from the phase differences of the current flowing through multiple pathways within a molecular junction[14][16]. Interest in QI had its beginnings from observations on a mesoscale metal ring (\( \approx \mu m \) in diameter) where the resistance as a function of applied magnetic field displayed oscillations characteristic of the Aharonov–Bohm effect[17]. One of the prime physical factors that destroy the QI effects is inelastic scattering during conduction. Minimizing such scattering effects requires the loop dimension to be of the size of the benzene molecule[4]. Subsequent investigations in this direction have largely been motivated by the experimental demonstration of meta-vs.-para (m-vs.-p) selectivity in conduction across benzene; for instance, Mayor et al. with their STM-BJ technique demonstrated current flowing through two m-linked benzene rings to be two orders of magnitude smaller than that flowing through the p-linked analogue[18]. Similar conclusions have been drawn also in somewhat more recent experiments on coupled benzene rings[19]. More recent experimental efforts have even established such subtle correlations like the effects bond topology and electronegativity of atomic sites can have on the degree and the location of QI features in molecular wires[20]. It is suggested that combination of stimuli-response and QI can be an efficient strategy to enhance isomer recognition and conductance switching in single-molecule junctions[21]. While conjugation has widely been considered as a major tool to control QI, recent synthetic efforts have shown that the effect can be manipulated through chemical modification of the molecular wire[22]. Exploiting QI for practical purposes requires that decoherence effects are minimal; it is an experimentally established fact that with increase in temperature, destructive interference effects are lost resulting in enhanced conductivity[24].

From a theoretical standpoint, a number of studies have established qualitative relationships between observed/predicted QI trends with conjugation patterns in hydrocarbons—destructive QI in cross-conjugated molecules and constructive QI in linearly conjugated...
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molecules such as cross-conjugated xanthone which shows 30 times slower charge-injection dynamics compared to the linearly-conjugated molecule trans-stilbene. In the former case, it has been argued that cross-conjugation strongly decreases the π orbital contribution to D-A ele-
tronic coupling so that electron transfer most likely uses the bridge σ system as its primary pathway [34].

It is the purpose of this article to complement continu-
ously evolving chemical intuitions about transport select-
vity across isomeric molecular junctions with electron dynamics modeling accounting for many-body coherence and electron correlation effects. To this end, the formally exact formalism of time-dependent configuration interaction (TDCI) [35, 36] has been employed to see electron dynamics in cyanobenzene and in m/p-linked benzoni-
trile thiolate (CN-C9H4-S-) molecules bonded to a gold (Au) atom serving as the acceptor terminal.

II. METHODS

A. Time-dependent configuration interaction

With in the scope and restrictions of the Born-
Oppenheimer approximation, any electronic property of a molecule, with a corresponding quantum mechanical operator \( \hat{P} \), can be calculated as a function of time once we have the time-dependent wave function \( \Psi_e(r,t) \) obey-
ing the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE)

\[
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi_e(r,t) = \hat{H}_e \Psi_e(r,t),
\]

where \( \Psi_e(r,t) \) and \( \hat{H}_e \) are the electronic wavefunction and the electronic Hamiltonian, respectively. The TDCI approach is formally exact as long as the CI wavefunction is expanded with all possible configuration state func-
tions (CSFs). This incurs very heavy computational re-
quirements and renders all but molecules of the size of wa-
ter fully tractable. In this study, we have truncated the CI expansion to up to singles and doubles substitution (i.e. CISD):

\[
|\Psi\rangle = \psi_0|\Psi_0^{\text{HF}}\rangle + \sum_{a,r} c_a^{r}|\Psi_a^r\rangle + \sum_{a<b<r<s} c_{a,b}^{r,s}|\Psi_{a,b}^{r,s}\rangle
\]
where $|\Psi_{0}^{HF}\rangle$ is the Hartree–Fock ground state; $|\Psi_{s}^{r}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{a,b}^{r,s}\rangle$ denote singly and doubly substituted Slater determinants, respectively, with $a, b$ going over the indices of occupied spin orbitals while $r, s$ are indices of the unoccupied spin orbitals. The total number of Slater determinants entering the expansion of the CISD wavefunction scales as $O(N_{D}N_{v})$ and $O(N_{D}^{2}N_{v}^{2})$ ($N_{D}$ and $N_{v}$ are number of occupied and virtual MOs) for singles and doubles substitution, respectively. Using the fact that the electronic states studied in this work are of singlet-spin symmetry, a more efficient approach is to represent $Ψ(r,t)$ in the variational space spanned by singlet spin-adapted CSFs [37]:

\[
|1\Psi_{a}^{r}\rangle = |\Psi_{a}^{r}\rangle + |\Psi_{a}^{s}\rangle|/\sqrt{2}
\]

\[
|1\Psi_{aa}^{r,s}\rangle = |\Psi_{aa}^{r,s}\rangle|/\sqrt{2}
\]

\[
|1\Psi_{ab}^{r,s}\rangle = |\Psi_{ab}^{r,s}\rangle + |\Psi_{ba}^{r,s}\rangle|/\sqrt{2}
\]

\[
|1\Psi_{ab}^{r,s}\rangle = [|\Psi_{ab}^{r,s}\rangle + |\Psi_{ba}^{r,s}\rangle] / \sqrt{2} - |\Psi_{ab}^{r,s}\rangle - |\Psi_{ab}^{r,s}\rangle / \sqrt{2}
\]

\[
|2\Psi_{ab}^{r,s}\rangle = 2|\Psi_{ab}^{r,s}\rangle + 2|\Psi_{ab}^{r,s}\rangle - |\Psi_{ab}^{r,s}\rangle - |\Psi_{ab}^{r,s}\rangle / \sqrt{2}
\]

The notation conveys that spin orbital indices with an overline denote beta-spin electrons and those without, alpha-spin electrons. The TDSE is solved as an initial value problem, where qualitative trends in electron dynamics depend on the choice of the initial state.

For the dynamics to result in an efficient CT process, the initial state, $|\Psi(0)\rangle$ must satisfy the following formal criteria: Firstly, since the process being simulated is a field-free evolution with conserved total energy, the initial state must be non-stationary, i.e., formally a wavepacket that is a linear superposition of the electronic energy eigenstates. Secondly, the real-space picture of the initial state must be such that in the neighborhood of the wavepacket’s energy, there is a net difference in the density-of-states (DOS) at geometric ends of the molecule. The donor terminal is typically that with an excess density of occupied MOs while that with excess unoccupied MOs mark the acceptor terminal. Experimentally, such an initial electronic configuration can be created in core-hole-clock spectroscopy, where typically, an electron from one of the heavy atoms such as nitrogen is excited to the $\pi^{*}$ MO localized at the CN terminal [38–40]. Finite size of the acceptor terminal or finiteness of the states that are localized on the acceptor terminal results in a situation where a residual electron density shuttles back and forth between the donor and acceptor ends as noted before in Li terminated D-B-A molecules [11]. In order to stabilize the CT dynamics, electron trapping is essential. This requires that this end is made either of a single transition metal with several unoccupied orbitals or a metal cluster with large number of vacant orbitals. Of utmost importance is also the fact that the bridge region of the molecule must have a large density of vacant MOs serving as the conduction band.

Finally, it is important to note that field-free D-B-A CT dynamics is symmetry controlled [39].

The time-dependent partial charge $q(t)$ on an atom $A$ can be computed by summing over all atomic orbitals (AOs) $\mu$ centered on that atom according to L"{o}wdin’s formula

\[
q_{A}(t) = Z_{A} - \sum_{\mu\in A} |S_{A}^{1/2}P(t)S_{A}^{1/2}|_{\mu\mu}
\]

where $S$ is the overlap matrix in the AO representation, and $P(t)$ is the time-dependent reduced charge-density bond-order (CDBO) matrix:

\[
P_{\mu,\nu}(t) = \langle \mu | T_{r_{2},...,N} | \Psi(r,t) \rangle \langle \Psi(r,t) | \nu \rangle
\]

These matrix elements can be computed for a many-body wavefunction by applying the Slater–Condon rules [37–39]. With the reduced CDBO matrix, one can plot the three-dimensional electron density as a function of time through

\[
\rho(r,t) = \sum_{\mu,\nu} \phi_{\mu}(r) P_{\mu,\nu}(t) \phi_{\nu}(r)
\]

where $\phi_{\mu}(r)$ is an AO.

**B. Computational details**

Minimum energy equilibrium structures of cyanobenzene (C$_{6}$H$_{5}$CN) and p/m-linked CN-C$_{6}$H$_{4}$-S-Au isomers were optimized at the KS-DFT level, PBE0 [43], using the quantum chemistry package NWChem (version 6.6) [44]. The split-valence basis set def2-SV(P) [45] containing a polarization function was employed for all atoms. For Au, an effective core potential, ECP60MWB [46], was used to replace the 60 core electrons while the remaining 19 electrons—accounting for the valence configuration 5s$^{2}$5p$^{6}$5d$^{10}$6s$^{1}$—were treated explicitly with the aforementioned basis set. All time-dependent (TD) and time-independent configuration interaction calculations have been performed using locally developed codes [11, 27]. The present implementation depends on one- and two-electron molecular integrals along with Hartree–Fock (HF) MOs computed using NWChem. Furthermore, all CI calculations have been performed in the framework of spin-adapted CSFs with the CI wavefunction expansion truncated by including up to double substitutions (i.e. CISD). Along with the HF Slater determinant $|\Psi_{0}\rangle$, we included all possible singly-substituted CSFs, $|\Psi_{1}^{r}\rangle$, and we restricted the active space for doubly-substituted CSFs, $|\Psi_{2}^{rs}\rangle$, $|\Psi_{2}^{sr}\rangle$, $|\Psi_{2}^{ab}\rangle$, $|\Psi_{2}^{ba}\rangle$, $|\Psi_{2}^{ab}\rangle$, $|\Psi_{2}^{ba}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{2}^{abcd}\rangle$, to $(N = 20, M = 60)$, where $N$ and $M$ are the number of valence electrons and number of spin orbitals, respectively. For cyanobenzene and m/p-CN-C$_{6}$H$_{4}$-S-Au isomers, the resulting restricted-active-space-CI (RASCI) [48] wavefunctions contain 22,666 and 25,337 CSFs (62,231 and 67,573 Slater determinants), respectively. All TD electronic wavepacket propaga-
FIG. 2. TDCI wavepacket dynamics of cyanobenzene: a) Creation of a non-stationary electronic state in cyanobenzene by an implicit $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ single-excitation, b) Electron density difference, $\Delta \rho(r, t) = \rho(r, t) - \rho(r, 0)$, is shown for the first few fs of electron dynamics.

...tions were performed with in the fixed-nuclei approximation, which is valid for ultrashort time scales [49]. We solved the TDCI equations [36] using the fourth-order Runge–Kutta method (RK4) with a finite time-step of $\Delta t = 0.001/4\pi cRy = 0.024$ attoseconds (as), 1 as $= 10^{-18}$ s.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Ultrafast electron dynamics in cyanobenzene

As a prototype model to illustrate TDCI-based electron dynamics, we begin with the simulation of a field-free time-evolution of an electronic wavepacket in the planar molecule $C_6H_5CN$. Preparation of an initial state for the TDCI dynamics is sketched in Fig. 2a. Since we would like to understand the participation of the out-of-plane $\pi$-type MOs on the benzene fragment—to quantify the relative role of the fragment MOs on the o-/$m$/$p$-$C$ atoms—we have chosen a CSF corresponding to the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ excitation, where an electron from the occupied $\pi$ MO is excited to the unoccupied $\pi^*$ MO. The symmetry of this CSF belongs to the $a''$ irreducible representation of the $C_s$ point group. Furthermore, $|\Psi(0)\rangle$ features an electronic arrangement that is suitable for CT dynamics satisfying the criteria discussed above. Specifically, at $E = \langle \Psi(0)|H|\Psi(0)\rangle$ the PDOS—corresponding to occupied MOs—is higher on the CN fragment (the donor terminal) compared to the PDOS on the benzene fragment (acceptor terminal).

Typically, a real-space picture of D-B-A electron dynamics shows electron-injection from the donor terminal to the acceptor end through regions of space localized on the molecular framework suggesting through-bond CT with sufficient directionality. To shed more light on this process, we have plotted the time-dependent electron density, $\rho(r, t)$, for the first few fs of time-evolution. The dynamics proceeds with a very rapid sub-fs event of refilling of MOs localized at the CN end by electron density from the benzene fragment. Such a rapid dynamical feature is characteristic of strongly coupled donor and acceptor states arising from good spatial overlap. By $t = 2$ fs, we note the electron density from the CN terminal to get injected into the $o$ and $m$-$C$ sites. Following brief oscillatory dynamics, by $t = 5$ fs, we also note the $p$ sites of benzene to be populated. Beyond 5 fs, as is common in a system with finite DOS localized at the acceptor terminal, the dynamics show recurrences with partial-revival lifetimes of the order of a few fs. Overall, the TDCI electron dynamics of cyanobenzene does not indicate $m$-vs-$p$ selectivity in the population transfer from the CN group to the $C$ sites of the benzene. The CT timescales to populate various sites is strongly dependent on the distance between these sites to the CN group.

B. $m$-vs-$p$ selectivity in electron dynamics of CN-$C_6H_4S$-Au

To induce CT selectivity in TDCI electron dynamics across linked-benzenes—an effect missing in cyanobenzene—we consider thiolate of benzonitrile bonded to an Au atom. To this end, we consider both $m$ and $p$ terminated isomers. First of all, inspecting the electronic structure of both these isomers through the DOS near the valence energy, reveals no apparent differences between the $p$ and $m$ isomers (see Fig. 3). Further, fragment-projected DOS reveal all the characteristic MOs localized on D and A fragments to have very similar energetics across both the isomers. By inspecting the three bonding-type MOs $\sigma(CN-C)$, $\pi_o(CN)$, $\pi_i(CN)$—subscripts $o$ and $i$ signify out-of-plane and in-plane w.r.t. the benzene plane—and their antibonding counterparts, we notice a flip in the phase of certain MOs of the $m$-isomer compared to the $p$ one.
FIG. 3. Electronic structure of m and p isomers of gold-benzonitrile thiolate (CN-C₆H₄-S-Au). For both molecules, projected density of states (PDOS) are plotted with insets showing selected MOs. Also given are the definitions of various singly substituted CSFs that are used as initial states in TDCI electron dynamics simulations.

It is a well known fact based on HMO that m and p substitutions on a benzene ring lead to different phases for selected MOs. In the present work, we note based on HF calculations, that both πₒ/π∗₀ MOs show a change in phase when the m link is replaced by a p one. On the other hand, π₁/π∗₁ MOs conserve their phase on both the isomers. Interesting trend is noted for the σ-type MOs; while the low-energy bonding-type MO shows a phase-flip, the anti-bonding-type MO does not suffer from phase changes. In the next section, we will illustrate how QI features, hence CT selectivity, can be controlled in many-electron wavepacket dynamics via phase-flip effects in MOs. Having identified the MOs of interest, we consider as initial states for CT dynamics, CSFs formed by exciting an electron from an occupied MO to an unoccupied one (see Fig. 3). It may be worthwhile to note that the energies of these CSFs are somewhat higher compared to the HOMO-to-LUMO excitation in these systems. All four CSFs considered here show characteristics suitable to result in stable CT dynamics: i) excess electron density at the CN donor end compared to the Au acceptor end, ii) availability of several unoccupied orbitals localized on Au essential for trapping of electron density at the acceptor end for a few fs, and iii) presence of MOs localized on the benzene fragment serving as the conduction band.

CT dynamics in m and p CN-C₆H₄-S-Au systems for three different initial states are illustrated in Fig. 4. Time evolution of the partial charge, q, on the Au atom reveals selective electron-injection trends. Overall, one notes the timescales of the events in all the cases to be of the order of 3-4 fs. As the most striking feature, we note CT mediated through the in-plane π (CN) orbitals to be more efficient in the m isomer than the p one. However, the same process when mediated either through the out-of-plane π or the σ MO is more efficient in the p isomer. This contrasting trend can be understood as follows: All three types of MOs are in resonance with the MOs localized at the Au acceptor terminal. In addition, the out-of-plane π and σ MOs are also in resonance with the MOs of same symmetry localized on the benzene fragment (the bridge) amounting to a resonant, through-bond CT process. This situation becomes more apparent through an inspection of the MOs plotted in Fig. 3, where one notes the densities of the out-of-plane π MOs to be predominantly localized at the donor end, while small but non-vanishing MO densities localized through the bridge until the Au end. On the other hand, the in-plane π MOs (bonding and anti-bonding) are strongly localized at the CN end without mixing of AOs from the benzene ring. Hence, CT mediated by the in-plane π MOs is a non-resonant tunneling process—the extent of which diminishes strongly with increase in the distance between the donor and acceptor terminals. Hence, in the p-isomer, one notes a drastic drop in the net electron transfer. The distance dependence of such a non-resonant tunneling process has been
demonstrated experimentally [50] for two paracyclophane systems: one where two benzene rings are connected at the para-ends by two methylene units (i.e. 22PCP) and another where the rings are connected by four methylene units in a para fashion (i.e. 44PCP). The inter-ring separation of the shorter and longer molecules are 3 and 4 Å, respectively. The CT process in the longer molecule has been found to be 20 times slower than in the shorter PCP [50].

### C. Quantum interference via linear superposition of many-body wavefunctions

Tsuji and Hoffmann [27] have shown and discussed with great clarity that in conduction calculations based on the HMO model Hamiltonian, QI can be predicted for a pair of atoms \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) using the zeroth-order Green’s function

\[
G^{0}_{\mu \nu}(E_F) = \sum_{k \in \text{MOs}} \frac{C_{\mu k} C_{\nu k}^{*}}{E_k - E_F + i\eta},
\]

where \( E_F \) is the Fermi energy, \( E_k \) is the energy of the \( k \)-th MO and \( \eta \) is a small positive number. The molecular junction features destructive QI when \( C^{0}_{\mu \nu}(E_F) \) vanishes for \( E_F = 0 \). For any pair of MOs (say \( i \)-th MO is \( \pi \) and \( j \)-th is \( \pi^* \)), with an assumption that \( E_F \) lies between the energy levels of the frontier MOs (FMOs), i.e., \( E_i < 0 \) and \( E_j > 0 \), \( G^{0}_{\mu \nu}(E_F) \) diminishes when \( \text{sgn}(C_{\mu i} C_{\nu j}^{*}) = \text{sgn}(C_{\mu j} C_{\nu i}^{*}) \), where \( \text{sgn}(\cdot) \) is the sign function. On the other hand, for the situation \( \text{sgn}(C_{\mu i} C_{\nu j}^{*}) = -\text{sgn}(C_{\mu j} C_{\nu i}^{*}) \), the contributions to the Green’s function from FMOs \( i \) and \( j \) add up amounting to a better transmission. Following this argument, it is rather straightforward to see how the \( m \)-vs.-\( p \) selectivity can be interpreted as arising from the phase-flip of one of the FMOs in the \( m \) isomer compared to the \( p \) counterpart.

To realize QI in real-time electron dynamics simulations, we consider initial states that are linear superpositions of CSFs. When following the time evolution of any quantum mechanical observable, interference effects arise from the off-diagonal (or coherence) terms in the expectation value. If the initial state is given by the symmetric linear combination \( |\Psi(0)\rangle = [|1\rangle + |2\rangle]/\sqrt{2} \), the expression for the expectation value of an observable \( O \) is given by

\[
<Q> = \langle \Psi(0)|O|\Psi(0)\rangle = \langle (|1\rangle + |2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}|O|(|1\rangle + |2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}\rangle.
\]

This can be understood through a consideration of the Slater–Condon rules [37] when evaluating matrix elements for a given operator \( O \). The expression for the expectation value of an observable \( O \) is given by

\[
\langle Q \rangle = \int d\psi_1 \psi_1^* \langle \Psi(0)|O|\Psi(0)\rangle \psi_1 d\psi_1 = \sum_{a,b} \langle \Psi_0^a|O|\Psi_0^b\rangle \langle \Psi_0^a|\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1|\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1|O|\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1|\Psi_0^b\rangle.
\]

To form initial states that are linear superpositions, we consider three CSFs [Fig.3] (|2\rangle corresponding to \( \pi_o \to \pi^*_o \) excitation), |3\rangle (corresponding to \( \sigma \to \sigma^* \) excitation), and |4\rangle (corresponding to \( \pi_o \to \sigma^* \) excitation). Fig.4 presents time-dependent partial charge on the Au acceptor terminal for two choices of initial states: \( |\Psi(0)\rangle = (|2\rangle + |3\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \) and \( |\Psi(0)\rangle = (|2\rangle + |4\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \). Starting with the first option, we see that both in the \( m \) and \( p \) isomers, CT dynamics follow the average of the dynamics exhibited separately by states \( |2\rangle \) and \( |3\rangle \) indicating vanishing contributions from the interference terms. This can be understood through a consideration of the Slater–Condon rules to evaluate matrix elements for a one-electron operator, \( O \), [37]. Accordingly, at \( t = 0 \) fs, the direct terms contributing to \( q(t) \) can be determined as

\[
\langle \Psi_0^a|O|\Psi_0^b\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle \psi_1|O|\psi_1\rangle = (|1\rangle + |2\rangle + |3\rangle + |4\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \langle \psi_1|O|\psi_1\rangle = \langle \psi_1|O|\psi_1\rangle + \langle \psi_1|O|\psi_1\rangle.
\]

The matrix element vanishes according to the Slater–Condon rules when \( a \neq b; r \neq s \). Non-vanishing contributions to interference terms can arise only when \( a = b; r \neq s \); \( \langle \Psi_0^a|O|\Psi_0^b\rangle = \langle \psi_1|O|\psi_1\rangle \) or \( a \neq b; r = s \); \( \langle \Psi_0^a|O|\Psi_0^b\rangle = \langle \psi_1|O|\psi_1\rangle \). While inspecting the time-evolution of net-charge gain, \( \Delta q \), at the Au terminal, when starting with the superposed initial state \( |\Psi(0)\rangle = (|2\rangle + |4\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \), we note that in the case of the \( p \) isomer, the CT dynamics shows superior behaviour compared to the average of the dynamics exhibited separately by \( |2\rangle \) and \( |4\rangle \). In contrast, for the \( m \) isomer, \( \Delta q \) drops noticeably compared to the average dynamics. This trend suggests that QI contributions in real-time dynamics with many-electron wavefunctions can feature both constructive and destructive QI effects depending on the sign of the coherence contributions to the time-dependent expectation values.
IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, following the electron dynamics in real-time with the TDCI method offers an exact, all-electron and many-body picture of ultrafast electron dynamics in D-B-A systems with linked-benzene bridges. The dynamics is sensitive to the choice of the initial state. In cyanobenzene, when starting with an initial state created by $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ excitation, electron density is injected into the benzene fragment within the first 5 fs. During the initial part of time-evolution, the CT rate is maximal at the $o$-position. By $t = 4$ and $t = 5$ fs, electron density reaches $m$- and $p$-sites, respectively, with essentially no preference for one site over the other beyond that demanded by distance. The CT process is oscillatory with very short timescales typical of wavepacket evolution in finite systems. Attaching the benzene molecule to an acceptor terminal linked at $m$ or $p$ positions stabilizes the CT process and delays revival of the wavepacket. Dynamics involving CN out-of-plane $\pi$ or $\sigma$ MOs show enhanced CT in the $p$ isomer compared to the $m$ one. On the other hand, dynamics along the in-plane $\pi$ channel shows counter-intuitive selectivity where the CT in the $m$ isomer is more efficient than the $p$ isomer due to non-resonant tunneling that drops rapidly with increase in the distance between D and A terminals. Compared to the Green’s function and density matrix formalisms, where QI features appear due to cancellation of phases in different paths, in the many body approach presented here, we see QI appearing due to phase cancellations of wavefunctions that are spread over all possible paths. The TDCI formalism can be adapted to model finite-bias conductance to study metal-molecule-metal junctions. Such a formalism based on localized density constraints to create a chemical potential bias has been developed in the framework of RT-DFT and has been shown to give $I-V$ curves of a molecular wire in agreement with Green’s function calculations[51]. This procedure when used with a many-body formalism like TDCI, besides providing quantitative state-selective details that are not accessible in DFT-based Green’s function calculations, can also address ambiguities that arise in single-determinant electron dynamics [52]. Modifications can also be made to the choice of the junction contacts by replacing the thiolate group with an amine group that has shown to result in more reproducible conductance values[53].
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