Galois symbol maps for abelian varieties over a *p*-adic field

Toshiro Hiranouchi

March 13, 2024

We study the Galois symbol map associated to the multiplicative group and an abelian variety which has good ordinary reduction over a *p*-adic field. As a byproduct, one can calculate the "class group" in the view of the class field theory for curves over a *p*-adic field.

1. Introduction

Let *k* be a *p*-adic field, that is, a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and its residue field is denoted by \mathbb{F} . An objective of the class field theory of a (projective smooth and geometrically connected) curve *X* over *k* with function field k(X) ([1], [15]) is to describe the abelian fundamental group $\pi_1^{ab}(X)$ by an abelian group

$$SK_1(X) = \operatorname{Coker}\left(K_2(k(X)) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} k(x)^{\times}\right)$$

(for the precise definition of $SK_1(X)$, see (7)) through the reciprocity map

$$\rho: SK_1(X) \to \pi_1^{ab}(X)$$

(cf. Thm. 2.4). The "geometric part" $\pi_1^{ab}(X)^{geo} := \text{Ker} \left(\pi_1^{ab}(X) \to \pi_1^{ab}(\text{Spec}(k)) \right)$ is approximated by

$$V(X) = \operatorname{Ker}\left(\partial : SK_1(X) \to k^{\times}\right),$$

where ∂ is defined by the tame symbols. It is known that the induced map $\tau : V(X) \to \pi_1^{ab}(X)^{geo}$ from ρ has the finite image and the kernel is the maximal divisible subgroup $V(X)_{div}$ of V(X). In particular, we have a decomposition

$$V(X) = V(X)_{\text{div}} \oplus V(X)_{\text{fin}},$$

for some finite group $V(X)_{\text{fin}}$. Now we further assume that X has good reduction and also $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. Recall that X said to have **good reduction** if the special fiber $\overline{\mathscr{X}} := \mathscr{X} \otimes_{O_k} \mathbb{F}$ of the regular model \mathscr{X} over O_k with $\mathscr{X} \otimes_{O_k} k \simeq X$ is also a smooth curve over \mathbb{F} . The Jacobian variety

 $\operatorname{Jac}(\mathscr{X})$ associated to \mathscr{X} has the generic fiber $J = \operatorname{Jac}(X)$ and the special fiber $\overline{J} = \operatorname{Jac}(\overline{\mathscr{X}})$. In particular, J has good reduction. This group $V(X)_{\text{fin}}$ is related to the G_k -coinvariant part of the Tate module $T(J) = \lim_{m \to \infty} J[m]$ as

$$V(X)_{\text{fin}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} T(J)_{G_k}.$$

In this setting, the prime to *p*-part of $V(X)_{\text{fin}}$ is known well as $V(X)/m \simeq \overline{J}(\mathbb{F})/m$ for any *m* prime to *p* (by S. Bloch, see Prop. 2.5). For the *p*-part, only the finiteness is proved ([1], Prop. 2.4). The aim of this note is *to study more explicitly on this* $V(X)_{\text{fin}}$ *by determining the group structure* under the following conditions:

(**Rat**) $J[p] \subset J(k)$,

(**Ord**) \overline{J} has ordinary reduction, and

(**Ram**) $k(\mu_{p^{N+1}})/k$ is a non-trivial totally ramified extension, where $\mu_{p^{N+1}}$ is the group of p^{N+1} -th roots of unity, and $N = \max \{n \mid J[p^n] \subset J(k)\}$.

The main result of this note is the following:

Theorem 1.1 (Cor. 4.9). Under the conditions (**Rat**), (**Ord**), and (**Ram**) for J = Jac(X) as above, we have

$$V(X)_{\text{fin}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^N)^{\oplus g} \oplus \overline{J}(\mathbb{F})$$

where $g = \dim J$.

Since the divisible part $V(X)_{div}$ is the kernel of the reciprocity map $\tau : V(X) \to \pi_1^{ab}(X)^{geo}$ and τ is surjective in the case where X has good reduction, we obtain the structure of the geometric part of the fundamental group as

$$\pi_1^{\mathrm{ab}}(X)^{\mathrm{geo}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^N)^{\oplus g} \oplus \overline{J}(\mathbb{F}).$$

The key tool to compute the group V(X) is the so called **Galois symbol map** of the following form

$$s_m: K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, J)/m \to H^2(k, \mu_m \otimes J[m]),$$

where $K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, J)$ is the Somekawa K-group which is isomorphic to V(X) and the map s_m is constructed by a similar way as the Galois symbol map on the Milnor K-group $K_2(k)/m \rightarrow H^2(k, \mu_m^{\otimes 2})$ (for the precise construction of the map s_m , see Definition 2.3).

Finally, we give some remarks on the conditions above. The condition (**Ram**) is technical. For example, let us consider an elliptic curve X = E over $k = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^M})$ for some $M \ge 1$ assuming that E has good ordinary reduction as in (**Ord**). Note that we have Jac(E) = E. From the Weil pairing, we have an inequality $N \le M$ in general (*cf.* [18], Chap. III, Cor. 8.1.1), where $N = \max \{n \mid E[p^n] \subset E(k)\}$. Thus, if $E[p^M] \subset E(k)$ then N = M. Consequently, the condition (**Ram**) above automatically holds. For the case M = 1 (from the above argument, (**Rat**) implies (**Ram**)) and p = 3, by computing SAGE [2], there are 683 elliptic curve E_0 over \mathbb{Q} with good ordinary reduction at p = 3, $\overline{E_0}(\mathbb{F}_3)[3] \ne 0$ and the conductor < 1000. Among them, 269 curves satisfy $E[3] \subset E(k)$ and hence the condition (**Ram**), where $E = E_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} k$ is the base change of E to $k = \mathbb{Q}_3(\mu_3)$.

Contents

The contents of this note is the following:

- Section 2: After recalling the definition of the Mackey functor and that of the product for two Mackey functors, we review the class field theory for curves over *p*-adic fields following Bloch [1] and Saito [15].
- Section 3: We determine the image of the Kummer map $A(k)/p^n \to H^1(k, A[p^n])$ (cf. (4)) associated to an abelian variety A with good ordinary reduction over k (Prop. 3.1). This extends the main theorem in [21] for an elliptic curve. The proof is essentially same as in *op. cit.*
- Section 4: We show that the Galois symbol map (defined in Def. 2.3) associated to the multiplicative group \mathbb{G}_m and an abelian variety *A* over a *p*-adic field is bijective (Thm. 4.2), and determine the group structure of the Somekawa *K*-group $K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)$ (Thm. 4.8). Using the isomorphism $K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, J) \simeq V(X)$ (*cf.* (9)) for a curve *X* as in Theorem 1.1 and $J = \operatorname{Jac}(X)$, we obtain the structure of $V(X)_{\text{fin}}$.

Notation

Throughout this note, we use the following notation

- k: a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p ,
- \mathbb{F} : the residue field of k, and
- $G_k = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$: the absolute Galois group of k.

For a finite extension K/k, we define

- O_K : the valuation ring of K,
- \mathbb{F}_K : the residue field of K,
- $U_K = O_K^{\times}$: the unit group, and
- $U_K^i = 1 + \mathfrak{m}_K^i$: the higher unit group.

For an abelian variety over k, we define

- $\pi: A \to \overline{A}$: the reduction map,
- \widehat{A} : the formal group law of A (cf. [6], Sect. C.2), and
- $A_1 := \text{Ker}(\pi : A \to \overline{A})$: the kernel of the reduction map π .

For an abelian group *G* and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we write *G*[*m*] and *G*/*m* for the kernel and cokernel of the multiplication by *m* on *G* respectively.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Professor Evangelia Gazaki and Dr. Isable Leal for their valuable comments and encouragement. This work was supported by KAKENHI 25800019.

2. Class field theory

Mackey functors

Definition 2.1 (*cf.* [14], Sect. 3). A **Mackey functor** \mathcal{M} (over *k*) (or a G_k -modulation in the sense of [13], Def. 1.5.10) is a contravariant functor from the category of étale schemes over *k* to the category of abelian groups equipped with a covariant structure for finite morphisms such that $\mathcal{M}(X_1 \sqcup X_2) = \mathcal{M}(X_1) \oplus \mathcal{M}(X_2)$ and if



is a Cartesian diagram, then the induced diagram

$$\mathcal{M}(X') \xrightarrow{g'_*} \mathcal{M}(X)$$
$$f'^* \uparrow \qquad \uparrow f^*$$
$$\mathcal{M}(Y') \xrightarrow{g_*} \mathcal{M}(Y)$$

commutes.

For a Mackey functor \mathcal{M} , we denote by $\mathcal{M}(K)$ its value $\mathcal{M}(\operatorname{Spec}(K))$ for a field extension K of k. For any finite extensions $k \subset K \subset L$, the induced homomorphisms from the canonical map $j : \operatorname{Spec}(L) \to \operatorname{Spec}(K)$ are denoted by

$$N_{L/K} := j_* : \mathscr{M}(L) \to \mathscr{M}(K), \text{ and } \operatorname{Res}_{L/K} := j^* : \mathscr{M}(K) \to \mathscr{M}(L).$$

The category of Mackey functors over k forms an abelian category with the following tensor product:

Definition 2.2 (*cf.* [8]). For Mackey functors \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} , their Mackey product $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ is defined as follows: For any finite field extension k'/k,

$$(\mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{N})(k') := \left(\bigoplus_{K/k': \text{ finite}} \mathscr{M}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{N}(K) \right) \middle| \text{ (PF)}, \tag{1}$$

where (**PF**) stands for the subgroup generated by elements of the following form: (**PF**) For finite field extensions $k' \subset K \subset L$,

$$N_{L/K}(x) \otimes y - x \otimes \operatorname{Res}_{L/K}(y) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{M}(L) \text{ and } y \in \mathcal{N}(K), \text{ and} x \otimes \operatorname{Res}_{L/K}(y) - N_{L/K}(x) \otimes y \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{M}(K) \text{ and } y \in \mathcal{N}(L).$$

For the Mackey product $\mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{N}$, we write $\{x, y\}_{K/k'}$ for the image of $x \otimes y \in \mathscr{M}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{N}(K)$ in the product $(\mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{N})(k')$. For any finite field extension k'/k, the push-forward

$$N_{k'/k} = j_* : (\mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{N})(k') \longrightarrow (\mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{N})(k)$$
⁽²⁾

is given by $N_{k'/k}(\{x, y\}_{K/k'}) = \{x, y\}_{K/k}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we define a Mackey functor \mathcal{M}/m by

$$(\mathscr{M}/m)(K) := \mathscr{M}(K)/m \tag{3}$$

for any finite extension K/k. We have

 $(\mathcal{M}/m \otimes \mathcal{N}/m)(k) \simeq (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})(k)/m \quad (= ((\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})/m)(k) \text{ in the sense of (3)}).$

Every G_k -module M defines a Mackey functor defined by the fixed sub module $M(K) := M^{\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{k}/K))}$ denoted by M. Conversely, assume a Mackey functor \mathcal{M} satisfies the **Galois descent**, meaning that, for every finite Galois extensions L/K, the restriction

$$\operatorname{Res}_{L/K} : \mathscr{M}(K) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathscr{M}(L)^{\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)}$$

is an isomorphism. This Mackey functor \mathscr{M} gives a G_k -module $\mathscr{M} = \lim_{K \to K/k} \mathscr{M}(K)$ (cf. [13], Chap. 1, Sect. 5, Ex. 1) denoted again by \mathscr{M} . For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the connecting homomorphism associated to the short exact sequence $0 \to \mathscr{M}[m] \to \mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{m} \mathscr{M} \to 0$ as G_k -modules gives

$$\delta_{\mathscr{M}} : \mathscr{M}(K)/m \hookrightarrow H^{1}(K, \mathscr{M}[m])$$
(4)

which is often called the Kummer map.

Definition 2.3 (*cf.* [19], Prop. 1.5). For Mackey functors \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} with Galois descent, the **Galois symbol map**

$$s_m^M : (\mathscr{M} \otimes \mathscr{N})(k)/m \to H^2(k, \mathscr{M}[m] \otimes \mathscr{N}[m])$$
(5)

is defined by the cup product and the corestriction as follows:

$$S_m^M(\{x, y\}_{K/k}) = \operatorname{Cor}_{K/k}(\delta_{\mathscr{M}}(x) \cup \delta_{\mathscr{N}}(y))$$

Somekawa K-group

For two semi-abelian varieties G_1 and G_2 over k, the G_k -modules $G_1(\overline{k})$ and $G_2(\overline{k})$ define a Mackey functors with Galois descent which we denote also by G_1 and G_2 . The **Somekawa** *K*-group $K(k; G_1, G_2)$ is a quotient of $(G_1 \otimes G_2)(k)$ (for the definition, see [19], [14]). The Galois symbol map $s_m^M : (G_1 \otimes G_2)(k)/m \to H^2(k, G_1[m] \otimes G_2[m])$ (Def. 2.3) factors through $K(k; G_1, G_2)$ and the induced map

$$s_m: K(k; G_1, G_2)/m \to H^2(k, G_1[m] \otimes G_2[m])$$

$$\tag{6}$$

is also called the **Galois symbol map**. Somekawa presented a "conjecture" in which the map s_m is injective (for arbitrary field). For the case $G_1 = G_2 = \mathbb{G}_m$, as $K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq K_2^M(k)$ the conjecture holds by the Merkurjev-Suslin theorem ([12]). Although it holds in some special cases ([23], [24], and [14]), Spieß and Yamazaki disproved this for some tori ([20], Prop. 7).

Class field theory

Following [1], [15], we recall the class field theory for a curve over k. Let X be a projective smooth and geometrically connected curve over k. Define

- X_0 : the set of closed points in X,
- k(X): the function field of X,
- k(x): the residue field at $x \in X_0$, and
- $k(X)_x$: the completion of k(X) at $x \in X_0$.

We define

$$SK_1(X) := \operatorname{Coker}\left(\partial : K_2(k(X)) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} k(x)^{\times}\right),$$
(7)

where the map ∂ is given by the direct sum of the boundary map $K_2(k(X)_x) \to K_1(k(x)) = k(x)^{\times}$ for $x \in X_0$. Note that the residue field k(x) is a finite extension field of k so that k(x) is also a *p*-adic field. The reciprocity maps $k(x)^{\times} \to \pi_1^{ab}(x)$ of the local class field theory of k(x) for $x \in X_0$ induce the **reciprocity map**

$$o: SK_1(X) \to \pi_1^{ab}(X).$$

The map ρ is compatible with the reciprocity map $\rho_k : k^{\times} \to G_k^{ab} = \text{Gal}(k^{ab}/k) = \pi_1^{ab}(\text{Spec}(k))$ of the base field k as in the following commutative diagram:

$$0 \longrightarrow V(X) \longrightarrow SK_{1}(X) \xrightarrow{N} k^{\times} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow^{\tau} \qquad \downarrow^{\rho} \qquad \downarrow^{\rho_{k}} \qquad (8)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_{1}^{ab}(X)^{geo} \longrightarrow \pi_{1}^{ab}(X) \xrightarrow{\varphi} G_{k}^{ab} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the map φ is induced from the structure map $X \to \operatorname{Spec}(k)$, N is induced from the norm maps $k(x)^{\times} \to k^{\times}$ for each $x \in X_0$, and the groups V(X) and $\pi_1^{ab}(X)^{geo}$ are defined by the exactness. The main theorem of the class field theory for *X* is the following:

Theorem 2.4 ([1], [15]). (i) π₁^{ab}(X)/Im(ρ) ≈ 2[⊕]r for some r ≥ 0, where Im(ρ) is the topological closure of the image Im(ρ) in π₁^{ab}(X).
(ii) Ker(ρ) = SK₁(X)_{div}, where SK₁(X)_{div} is the maximal divisible subgroup of SK₁(X).
(iii) Ker(τ) = V(X)_{div}, where V(X)_{div} is the maximal divisible subgroup of V(X).
(iv) Im(τ) is frait.

Note that the invariant r above is determined by the special fiber of the Néron model of the Jacobian variety J = Jac(X). In particular, we have r = 0 if X has good reduction. From the above theorem, the group V(X) has a decomposition

$$V(X) = V(X)_{\text{div}} \oplus V(X)_{\text{fin}},$$

where the reduced part $V(X)_{\text{fin}}$ is a finite subgroup.

From now on, we assume that $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. The geometric fundamental group $\pi_1^{ab}(X)^{geo}$ is written by the Tate module $T(J) = \lim_{m \to \infty} J[m]$ of the Jacobian variety J = Jac(X) as

$$\pi_1^{\rm ab}(X)^{\rm geo} \simeq T(J)_{G_k},$$

where $T(J)_{G_k}$ is the G_k -coinvariant quotient of T(J) ([16], Chap. II, Lem. 3.2). On the other hand, the group V(X) is written by the Somekawa *K*-group as

$$V(X) \simeq K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, J) \tag{9}$$

([19], Thm. 2.1, [14], Rem. 2.4.2 (c)). The reciprocity map τ in the diagram (8) coincides with the Galois symbol map associated with \mathbb{G}_m and J as in the following commutative diagram:

Here, the right vertical map is induced from $H^2(k, \mu_m \otimes J[m]) \simeq J[m]_{G_k}$ which is given by the local Tate duality theorem. From the construction, the composition $V(X)/m \simeq K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, J)/m \xrightarrow{s_m} H^2(k, \mu_m \otimes J[m])$ is compatible with the map $V(X) \to H^2(k, \mu_m \otimes J[m])$ given by Bloch ([1], Thm. 1.14). From the diagram above, we have $V(X)/m \simeq \text{Im}(s_m)$. For the prime to *p*-part of V(X), we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.5 ([1], Prop. 2.29). Assume that X has good reduction and $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. Then, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ prime to p, we have $V(X)/m \simeq \overline{J}(\mathbb{F})/m$, where \overline{J} is the reduction of J.

Note that if X has good reduction, then the Jacobian variety J = Jac(X) has also good reduction. But, the converse does not hold.

Prime to *p*-part

We extend Proposition 2.5 using the Somekawa *K*-group to an abelian variety following Bloch's proof of the above proposition essentially.

Proposition 2.6. Let A be an abelian variety over k which has good reduction. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ prime to p, we have $K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/m \simeq \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/m$.

Proof. The kernel of the reduction $\pi : A(k) \to \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})$ is isomorphic to the formal group $\widehat{A}(\mathfrak{m}_k) =: \widehat{A}(k)$ which has no prime to *p* torsion ([6], Prop. C.2.5, Thm. C.2.6). The reduction map induces $A(k)/m \simeq \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/m$ for each *m* prime to *p*.

First, we define $\psi : A(k)/m \to K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/m$ by

$$\psi(x) = \{ \pi, x \}_{k/k},$$

where π is a uniformizer of k. The map does not depend on the choice of π . In fact, for any $u \in O_k^{\times}$, by taking $\xi \in [m]^{-1}(x)$, $K = k(\xi)/k$ is unramified. By local class field theory, there exists $\mu \in K^{\times}$ such that $N_{K/k}\mu = u$. We have $\{u, x\}_{k/k} = \{N_{K/k}\mu, x\}_{k/k} = \{\mu, \operatorname{Res}_{K/k} x\}_{K/k} = \{\mu, m\xi\}_{k/k} = 0$ in $K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/m$.

Claim. ψ is surjective.

Proof. Take an element of the form $\{\mu \varpi^n, \xi\}_{K/k}$ in $K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/m$, where ϖ is the uniformizer in K, and $\mu \in O_K^{\times}$. As above, we have $\{\mu, \xi\}_{K/k} = 0$ by considering the unramified extension $K([m]^{-1}\xi)/K$. It is enough to show that the element $\{\varpi, \xi\}_{K/k}$ is generated by the elements of the form $\{\pi, x\}_{k/k}$.

Let k' be the maximal unramified subextension of K/k. $\pi' = \operatorname{Res}_{k'/k} \pi$ is also a uniformizer of k'. The extension K/k' is totally ramified so that we may take ϖ as $N_{K/k'} \varpi = \pi'$. Since the restriction $\operatorname{Res}_{K/k'} : A(k')/m \xrightarrow{\simeq} A(K)/m$ is bijective, there exists $x' \in A(k')/m$ such that $\operatorname{Res}_{K/k'} x' = \xi$. Therefore

$$\{ \varpi, \xi \}_{K/k} = \{ \varpi, \operatorname{Res}_{K/k'} x' \}_{K/k}$$

= $\{ N_{K/k'} \varpi, x' \}_{k'/k}$ (by the projection formula)
= $\{ \operatorname{Res}_{k'/k} \pi, x' \}_{k'/k}$
= $\{ \pi, N_{k'/k} x' \}_{k/k}$ (by the projection formula).

From these equalities, the map ψ is surjective.

Next, we show the map ψ is bijective by showing the following claim.

Claim. For any *m* prime to *p*, we have $\#K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/m \ge \#\overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/m$.

Proof. The direct limit of the Galois symbol map

$$\lim_{\longleftarrow} s_m : K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A) \to \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ m \ge 1}} H^2(k, \mu_m \otimes A[m]) \simeq T(A)_{G_k}$$

is known to be surjective ([4], Thm. A,1), where $T(A)_{G_k}$ is the G_k -coinvariant quotient of the Tate module $T(A) = \lim_{m \to m} A[m]$ of A and the latter isomorphism follows from the local Tate duality theorem. Write $T(A) = T_p(A) \times T'(A)$, where $T'(A) = \lim_{m \to m} A[m]$. As A has good reduction, the inertia subgroup $I \subset G_k$ acts trivially on T'(A) so that $T'(A)_{G_k} \simeq T'(\overline{A})_{G_F}$, where $T'(\overline{A}) = \lim_{m \to m} A[m]$. The Weil conjecture for abelian varieties implies that $T'(\overline{A})_{G_F}$ is isomorphic to the prime to p-part of the torsion subgroup of $\overline{A}(\mathbb{F})$ (*cf.* [1], Prop. 2.4; [9], Thm. 1 (ter)). For any m prime to p, we have

$$#K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/m \ge #T(A)_{G_k}/m = #T'(\overline{A})_{G_{\mathbb{F}}}/m = #\overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/m.$$

From the above claims, the surjective homomorphism

$$\overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/m \simeq A(k)/m \xrightarrow{\psi} K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/m$$

is bijective by comparing the cardinality.

3. Kummer map

In this section, let A be an abelian variety of dimension g over k assuming

(**Ord**) A has good ordinary reduction, in the sense that A has good reduction and its reduction \overline{A} has ordinary reduction, and

(**Rat**)
$$A[p] \subset A(k)$$
.

Let k^{ur} be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of k. The kernel of the reduction is

$$A_1(k^{\mathrm{ur}}) = \mathrm{Ker}\left(\pi : A(k^{\mathrm{ur}}) \to \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})\right) \simeq \widehat{A}(\mathfrak{m}_{k^{\mathrm{ur}}}) =: \widehat{A}(k^{\mathrm{ur}})$$

(cf. [6], Thm. C.2.6). It is known that we have $\widehat{A} \times_{O_k} \operatorname{Spf}(O_{k^{\mathrm{ur}}}) \simeq (\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)^{\oplus g}$, where $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$ is the multiplicative group ([11], Lem. 4.26, Lem. 4.27). Since we have $A[p] \subset A(k), A_1[p] \subset A_1(k)$ and hence we obtain isomorphisms

$$A_1[p] = A_1(k^{\mathrm{ur}})[p] \simeq \widehat{A}(k^{\mathrm{ur}})[p] \simeq \left((\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m)(k^{\mathrm{ur}})[p] \right)^{\oplus g} \simeq (\mu_p)^{\oplus g}.$$
(11)

Now we choose an isomorphism

$$A[p] \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mu_p)^{\oplus 2g} \tag{12}$$

of (trivial) Galois modules which makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{c} A_1[p] & \longrightarrow & A[p] \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ (\mu_p)^{\oplus g} & \underbrace{\quad (\mathrm{id}, 1)} & (\mu_p)^{\oplus g} \oplus (\mu_p)^{\oplus g} \end{array}$$

where the left vertical map is given in (11), and the bottom horizontal map is defined by

$$(\mu_p)^{\oplus g} \to (\mu_p)^{\oplus 2g}; (x_1, \dots, x_g) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_g, 1, \dots, 1).$$

In the following, the Kummer map on \mathbb{G}_m gives the isomorphism $\delta_{\mathbb{G}_m} : K^{\times}/p \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^1(K, \mu_p)$ for an extension K/k and we identify these groups. The fixed isomorphism (12) induces an isomorphism (\clubsuit) below

$$\delta_A^K : A(K)/p \stackrel{\delta_A}{\hookrightarrow} H^1(K, A[p]) \stackrel{(\bigstar)}{\simeq} H^1(K, \mu_p)^{\oplus 2g} = (K^{\times}/p)^{\oplus 2g}$$

Proposition 3.1. For any finite extension K/k, we have the following:

(i) The image of the Kummer map δ_A^K equals to

$$(\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g} \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\left(K^{\times}/p \xrightarrow{j} (K^{\operatorname{ur}})^{\times}/p\right)^{\oplus g},$$

where $\overline{U}_K := \operatorname{Im}(U_K \to K^{\times}/p)$, K^{ur} is the completion of the maximal unramified extension of K, and j is the map induced from the inclusion $K^{\times} \hookrightarrow (K^{\operatorname{ur}})^{\times}$.

(ii) The image of the composition $A_1(K)/p \to A(K)/p \xrightarrow{\delta_A^K} (K^{\times}/p)^{\oplus 2g}$ coincides with $(\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g}$. In particular, $\widehat{A}(K)/p \simeq A_1(K)/p \simeq (\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g}$.

In the following, we fix a finite extension K/k and prove the above proposition. First, we show the following lemma on $\delta_{A_1}^{K^{\text{ur}}} : A_1(K^{\text{ur}})/p \hookrightarrow H^1(K^{\text{ur}}, A_1[p]) \stackrel{(\diamond)}{\simeq} ((K^{\text{ur}})^{\times}/p)^{\oplus g}$, where the isomorphism (\diamond) is given by (11).

Lemma 3.2. (i)
$$\operatorname{Im}(\delta_{A_1}^{K^{\mathrm{ur}}}) \subset (\overline{U}_{K^{\mathrm{ur}}})^{\oplus g}$$

(ii) $\operatorname{Im}(\delta_A^{K^{\mathrm{ur}}}) \subset (\overline{U}_{K^{\mathrm{ur}}})^{\oplus g}$.

Proof. (i) Recall that we have $A_1(K^{ur}) \simeq \widehat{A}(K^{ur}) \simeq (\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m(K^{ur}))^{\oplus g}$ as noted above. The isomorphism (11) gives the following commutative diagram:

$$(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{m}(K^{\mathrm{ur}}))^{\oplus g} \longleftrightarrow (\mu_{p})^{\oplus g}$$

$$\simeq \uparrow \qquad \simeq \uparrow$$

$$A_{1}(K^{\mathrm{ur}}) \longleftrightarrow A_{1}[p].$$
(13)

The above diagram makes the square (\blacklozenge) commutative in the next diagram.

where ι is induced from $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m(K^{\mathrm{ur}}) = U_{K^{\mathrm{ur}}}^1 \hookrightarrow (K^{\mathrm{ur}})^{\times}$ and v is the valuation map. Since $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m(K^{\mathrm{ur}}) = U_{K^{\mathrm{ur}}}^1 \subset O_{K^{\mathrm{ur}}}^{\times}$, $v \circ \iota = 0$ in the diagrm (14). Hence, the claim $\mathrm{Im}(\delta_{A_1}^{K^{\mathrm{ur}}}) \subset (\overline{U}_{K^{\mathrm{ur}}})^{\oplus g} = \mathrm{Ker}(v : (K^{\mathrm{ur}}/p)^{\oplus g} \to (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\oplus g})$ holds.

(ii) Consider the following short exact sequence

$$A_1(K^{\mathrm{ur}})/p \to A(K^{\mathrm{ur}})/p \to \overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_{K^{\mathrm{ur}}})/p \to 0.$$

Since the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{K^{ur}} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{K}$ is algebraically closed, $\overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_{K^{ur}})/p = \overline{A}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{K})/p = 0$ and hence the natural map $A_1(K^{ur})/p \twoheadrightarrow A(K^{ur})/p$ is surjective. This map gives the commutative diagram below:

$$A(K^{\mathrm{ur}})/p \xrightarrow{\delta_A^{K^{\mathrm{ur}}}} ((K^{\mathrm{ur}})^{\times}/p)^{\oplus 2g}$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow^{(\mathrm{id},1)}$$

$$A_1(K^{\mathrm{ur}})/p \xrightarrow{\delta_{A_1}^{K^{\mathrm{ur}}}} ((K^{\mathrm{ur}})^{\times}/p)^{\oplus g}.$$

From this diagram, the image of $\delta_A^{K^{ur}}$ is contained in $(\overline{U}_{K^{ur}})^{\oplus g}$ as claimed.

Next, we study the image of

$$\delta_{A_1}^K : A_1(K)/p \hookrightarrow H^1(K, A_1[p]) \simeq H^1(K, \mu_p)^{\oplus g} = (K^{\times}/p)^{\oplus g},$$

Lemma 3.3. $\operatorname{Im}(\delta_{A_1}^K) = (\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g}$.

Proof. First, we show the following claim:

Claim. Im $(\delta_{A_1}^K) \subset (\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g}$.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram:

From Lemma 3.2 (i), the composition $v \circ \delta_{A_1}^{K^{ur}} = 0$ in the above diagram. The composition $v \circ \delta_{A_1}^K = 0$ in the top sequence and hence $\operatorname{Im}(\delta_{A_1}) \subset (\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g}$.

Next, we compare the orders of both of $\operatorname{Im}(\delta_{A_1}^K)$ and $(\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g}$. As $\overline{U}_K = \operatorname{Ker}(v : K^{\times}/p \to \mathbb{Z}/p)$, the last claim indicates

$$#A_1(K)/p \le #(\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g} = p^{g([K:\mathbb{Q}_p]+1)}$$

On the other hand, Mattuck's theorem ([10]) and the assumption $A[p] \subset A(K)$ say

$$A(K)/p \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\oplus g([K:\mathbb{Q}_p]+2)}$$

The short exact sequence

$$A_1(K)/p \to A(K)/p \to \overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_K)/p \to 0$$

and $\#\overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_K)/p = \#\overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_K)[p] = p^g$ as \overline{A} has ordinary reduction, we obtain the inequality

$$#A_1(K)/p \ge p^{g([K:\mathbb{Q}_p]+1)}$$

Therefore, the map $\delta_{A_1}^K : A_1(K)/p \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g}$ is bijective.

Proof of Prop. 3.1.

Claim. Im $(\delta_A^K) \subset (\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g} \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(j: K^{\times}/p \to (K^{\operatorname{ur}})^{\times}/p)^{\oplus g}$.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram:

From Lemma 3.2 (ii), the image of the composition $j \circ \delta_A^K$ is contained in $(\overline{U}_{K^{ur}})^{\oplus g}$. In particular, the image of φ the dotted arrow in the above diagram is 0 so that we obtain $\operatorname{Im}(\delta_A^K) \subset (\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g} \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(j)^{\oplus g}$.

(i) From Mattuck's theorem ([10]) and the assumption $A[p] \subset A(k)$,

$$A(K)/p \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\oplus g([K:\mathbb{Q}_p]+2)}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\operatorname{Ker}\left(K^{\times}/p \to (K^{\operatorname{ur}})^{\times}/p\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ker}\left(H^{1}(K,\mu_{p}) \to H^{1}(K^{\operatorname{ur}},\mu_{p})\right) \simeq H^{1}(K^{\operatorname{ur}}/K,\mu_{p}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p,$$

and hence

$$\#\left(\overline{U}_K \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\left(K^{\times}/p \to (K^{\operatorname{ur}})^{\times}/p\right)\right)^{\oplus g} = \#(K^{\times}/p)^{\oplus g} = p^{g([K:\mathbb{Q}_p]+2)}$$

By counting the cardinality, we obtain the equality $\operatorname{Im}(\delta_A^K) = (\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g} \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(K^{\times}/p \to (K^{\mathrm{ur}})^{\times}/p)^{\oplus g}$. (ii) From Lemma 3.3 and the commutative diagram below give the assertion.

L		
L		

-	_		
L		I	
-		-	

Now we define the sub Mackey functors $\mathscr{U}, \mathscr{V} \subset \mathbb{G}_m/p$ by

$$\mathscr{U}(K) := \overline{U}_K = \operatorname{Im}(U_K \to K^{\times}/p), \quad \mathscr{V}(K) := \operatorname{Ker}\left(j : K^{\times}/p \to (K^{\operatorname{ur}})^{\times}/p\right), \tag{16}$$

for any finite extension K/k. Note that, we have

$$\mathscr{V}(K) = \operatorname{Im}\left(U_K^{pe_0(K)} \to K^{\times}/p\right)$$

where $e_0(K) = e_K/(p-1)$ and e_K is the ramification index of K/\mathbb{Q}_p (cf. [21], Rem. 3.2). In fact, both of the subgroups of K^{\times}/p are annihilators of \overline{U}_K in the Hilbert symbol. By the fixed isomorphism (12), the following diagram is commutative

for any finite extensions L/K/k. We obtain the following isomorphisms of Mackey functors:

Corollary 3.4. There are isomorphisms

$$A/p \simeq \mathscr{U}^{\oplus g} \oplus \mathscr{V}^{\oplus g}, \quad and \quad \widehat{A}/p \simeq \mathscr{U}^{\oplus g}$$

A/p of Mackey functors.

4. Galois symbol map

Let *A* be an abelian variety over *k* which has good reduction. The formal group \widehat{A} defines a Mackey functor by the associated group $\widehat{A}(K) := \widehat{A}(\mathfrak{m}_K)$ for a finite extension K/k. Note that we have $\widehat{A}(K) \simeq A_1(K)$ ([HS], Thm. C.2.6). There is a short exact sequence of Mackey functors

$$0 \to \widehat{A} \to A \to \overline{\mathscr{A}} \to 0, \tag{17}$$

where $\overline{\mathscr{A}} = A/\widehat{A}$ is defined by the exactness (in the abelian category of Mackey functors). The Mackey functor $\overline{\mathscr{A}}$ has the following description (*cf.* [14], Sect. 3, (3.3)): For a finite extension K/k with residue field \mathbb{F}_K ,

$$\overline{\mathscr{A}}(K) \simeq \overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_K). \tag{18}$$

For finite extensions L/K/k with ramification index e(L/K), the restriction $\operatorname{Res}_{L/K} : \overline{\mathscr{A}}(K) \to \overline{\mathscr{A}}(L)$ and the norm map $N_{L/K} : \overline{\mathscr{A}}(L) \to \overline{\mathscr{A}}(K)$ can be identified with the restriction $\overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_L) \to \overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_K)$ and $e(L/K)N_{\mathbb{F}_L/\mathbb{F}_K} : \overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_L) \to \overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_K)$ respectively.

For each $n \ge 1$, we denote by

$$\widehat{\mathscr{M}}_n := (\mathbb{G}_m/p^n \otimes \widehat{A}/p^n)(k), \text{ and } \overline{\mathscr{M}}_n := (\mathbb{G}_m/p^n \otimes \overline{\mathscr{A}}/p^n)(k).$$

By applying $\mathbb{G}_m/p^n \otimes -$ (which is right exact) to the sequence (17), we have

where $\widehat{\mathscr{K}_n}$ is the image of the composition $\widehat{\mathscr{M}_n} \to (\mathbb{G}_m/p^n \otimes A/p^n)(k) \to K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/p^n$ and $\overline{\mathscr{K}_n}$ is defined by the exactness of the lower sequence.

Special fiber

Lemma 4.1. Let A be an abelian variety over k with good reduction. Then, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n = (\mathbb{G}_m/p^n \otimes \overline{\mathscr{A}}/p^n)(k) \simeq \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/p^n$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.

Proof. First, we define a Mackey functor \mathscr{Z} by $\mathscr{Z}(K) = \mathbb{Z}$ for each finite extension K/k and, for a finite extensions L/K/k, the norm $N_{L/K} : \mathscr{Z}(L) \to \mathscr{Z}(K)$ is multiplication by the residue degree f(L/K) of L/K and the restriction $\operatorname{Res}_{L/K} : \mathscr{Z}(K) \to \mathscr{Z}(L)$ is multiplication by the ramification index e(L/K) of L/K. The valuations give a morphism $v : \mathbb{G}_m \to \mathscr{Z}$ of Mackey functors defined by the valuation map

$$v: \mathbb{G}_m(K) = K^{\times} \to \mathscr{Z}(K) = \mathbb{Z},$$

of K, for any finite extension K/k. As in (16), we define a Mackey functor \mathcal{U}_n by

$$\mathscr{U}_n(K) := \operatorname{Im} \left(U_K \to K^{\times} / p^n \right)$$

which fits into the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathscr{U}_n \to \mathbb{G}_m/p^n \xrightarrow{v} \mathscr{Z}/p^n \to 0.$$

From the right exactness of $-\otimes \overline{\mathscr{A}}/p^n$ for $\overline{\mathscr{A}} = A/\widehat{A}$ (cf. (18)), we have

$$\mathscr{U}_n \otimes \overline{\mathscr{A}}/p^n \to \mathbb{G}_m/p^n \otimes \overline{\mathscr{A}}/p^n \to \mathscr{Z}/p^n \otimes \overline{\mathscr{A}}/p^n \to 0.$$
 (20)

Claim. $(\mathscr{Z}/p^n \otimes \overline{\mathscr{A}}/p^n)(k) \simeq \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/p^n$

Proof. By identifying $\overline{\mathscr{A}}(K) \simeq \overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_K)$ (cf. (18)), define

$$\varphi: (\mathscr{Z}/p^n \otimes \overline{\mathscr{A}}/p^n)(k) \to \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/p^n; \{a, x\}_{K/k} \mapsto N_{\mathbb{F}_K/\mathbb{F}}(ax)$$

and

$$\psi: \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/p^n \to (\mathscr{Z}/p^n \otimes \overline{\mathscr{A}}/p^n)(k); x \mapsto \{1, x\}_{k/k}$$

It is easy to see that these maps are well-defined and $\varphi \circ \psi = id$, where id is the identity map. To show $\psi \circ \varphi = id$, take an element of the form $\{a, x\}_{K/k} \in (\mathscr{Z}/p^n \otimes \overline{\mathscr{A}}/p^n)(k)$. Let $k' \subset K$ be the maximal unramified subextension of K/k. We have

$$\begin{split} \psi \circ \varphi(\{a, x\}_{K/k}) &= \{1, N_{\mathbb{F}_K/\mathbb{F}}(ax)\}_{k/k} \\ &= \{a, N_{k'/k}x\}_{k/k} \quad (\text{since } N_{k'/k} = N_{\mathbb{F}_K/\mathbb{F}} : \overline{\mathscr{A}}(K) = \overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_K) \to \overline{\mathscr{A}}(k) = \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})) \\ &= \{\operatorname{Res}_{k'/k} a, x\}_{k'/k} \quad (\text{by the projection formula}) \\ &= \{a, x\}_{k'/k} \quad (\text{by Res}_{k'/k} a = e(k'/k)a = a) \\ &= \{N_{K/k'}a, x\} \quad (\text{by } N_{K/k'}(a) = f(K/k')a = a) \\ &= \{a, \operatorname{Res}_{K/k'} x\}_{k'/k} \quad (\text{by the projection formula}) \\ &= \{a, x\}_{K/k} \quad (\text{since } \operatorname{Res}_{K/k'} = \operatorname{id} : \overline{\mathscr{A}}(k') = \overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_K) \to \overline{\mathscr{A}}(K) = \overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_K)). \end{split}$$

Claim. $(\mathscr{U}_n \otimes \overline{\mathscr{A}}/p^n)(k) = 0.$

Proof. Recall that $U_k^1 = 1 + \mathfrak{m}_k \subset U_k = O_k^{\times}$ induces $U_k/U_k^1 \simeq \mathbb{F}^{\times}$. The residue field \mathbb{F} is finite, in particular, perfect, so that we have $\mathscr{U}_n(k) = \operatorname{Im}(U_k^1 \to k^{\times}/p^n)$. From the norm arguments, it is enough to show that $\{a, x\}_{k/k} = 0$ in $(\mathscr{U}_n \otimes \overline{\mathscr{A}}/p^n)(k)$. For such an element $\{a, x\}_{k/k}$, there exists a finite unramified extension K/k such that $\operatorname{Res}_{K/k}(x) = p^n \xi$ for some $\xi \in \overline{\mathscr{A}}(K) \simeq \overline{A}(\mathbb{F}_K)$. Since the norm map $N_{K/k} : U_K^1 \to U_k^1$ is surjective ([17], Chap. V, Prop. 3), one can find $\alpha \in \mathscr{U}_n(K)$ such that $N_{K/k}(\alpha) = a$. From this, we obtain

$$\{a, x\}_{k/k} = \{N_{K/k}(\alpha), x\}_{k/k}$$

= $\{\alpha, \operatorname{Res}_{K/k}(x)\}_{K/k}$ (by the projection formula)
= $\{\alpha, p^n \xi\}_{K/k} = 0.$

The short exact sequence (20) and the above claims yield the assertion.

Mackey product and the Somekawa K-group

We define

$$N := \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0} \mid A[p^n] \subset A(k)\}.$$
(21)

In the following, we assume the abelian variety A satisfies the condition (**Rat**) in the last section. From this assumption (**Rat**), $N \ge 1$. We fix isomorphisms $A[p^n] \simeq (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus 2g}$ for all $n \le N$ as follows: First, take an isomorphism $A[p^N] \simeq (\mu_{p^N})^{\oplus 2g}$ which makes the diagram



commutes as in (12). For each $1 \le n < N$, we choose $A[p^n] \simeq (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus 2g}$ as the following diagram is commutative:

To simplify the notation, put

$$\mathcal{M}_n := (\mathbb{G}_m \otimes A)(k)/p^n \simeq (\mathbb{G}_m/p^n \otimes A/p^n)(k), \text{ and } \mathcal{H}_n := H^2(k, \mu_{p^n} \otimes A[p^n]).$$

Theorem 4.2. For any $n \ge 1$, the Galois symbol map $s_{p^n}^M : \mathcal{M}_n \to \mathcal{H}_n$ (Def. 2.3) is bijective.

Proof. The map $s_{p^n}^M$ is surjective ([4], Thm. A.1). We show that $s_{p^n}^M$ is injective by induction on n.

Claim. s_p^M is bijective.

Proof. By the fixed isomorphism $A[p] \simeq (\mu_p)^{\oplus 2g}$ as in (22), we have the isomorphism $A/p \simeq \mathscr{U}^{\oplus g} \oplus \mathscr{V}^{\oplus g}$

of Mackey functors (Cor. 3.4). The Mackey product $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathbb{G}_m / p \otimes A / p$ is decomposed as

$$\mathscr{M}_1 = \mathbb{G}_m/p \otimes \left(\mathscr{U}^{\oplus g} \oplus \mathscr{V}^{\oplus g} \right) \simeq (\mathbb{G}_m/p \otimes \mathscr{U})^{\oplus g} \oplus (\mathbb{G}_m/p \otimes \mathscr{V})^{\oplus g}.$$

Since the composition

$$(\mathbb{G}_m/p \otimes \mathscr{V})(k) \to (\mathbb{G}_m/p \otimes \mathscr{U})(k) \to (\mathbb{G}_m/p \otimes \mathbb{G}_m/p)(k) \simeq K_2^M(k)/p \simeq H^2(k, \mu_p^{\otimes 2})$$

is bijective ([7], Thm. 3.6), the Galois symbol map s_p^M is also bijective from the commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{s_p^M} & \mathscr{H}_1 \\ & \downarrow^{\simeq} & \downarrow^{\simeq} \\ (\mathbb{G}_m/p \otimes \mathscr{U})(k)^{\oplus g} \oplus (\mathbb{G}_m/p \otimes \mathscr{V})(k)^{\oplus g} \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2(k, \mu_p^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2g}. \end{split}$$

We consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows except possibly at \mathcal{M}_n (cf. [14], Proof of Lem. 4.2.2):



Here, the far left vertical map ϕ is given by

$$k^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A[p] \xrightarrow{\delta_{\mathbb{G}_m} \otimes \mathrm{id}} H^1(k,\mu_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(k,A[p]) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^1(k,\mu_p \otimes A[p])$$

and ψ is induced from $A[p] \hookrightarrow A(k) \twoheadrightarrow A(k)/p^n$. By the fixed isomorphism $A[p] \simeq (\mu_p)^{\oplus 2g}$ of trivial Galois modules, the map ϕ becomes

$$k^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A[p] \twoheadrightarrow (k^{\times}/p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mu_p)^{\oplus 2g} \simeq H^1(k, \mu_p^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2g} \simeq H^1(k, \mu_p \otimes A[p]).$$

In particular, ϕ is surjective. From the diagram chase and the induction hypothesis, $s_{p^{n+1}}^M$ is injective.

Corollary 4.3. (i) For any $n \ge 1$, we have $\mathscr{M}_n = (\mathbb{G}_m/p^n \otimes A/p^n)(k) \simeq K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/p^n$. (ii) For any $n \ge 1$, the Galois symbol map s_{p^n} is bijective. (iii) For any $n \le N$, we have $\mathscr{M}_n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus 2g}$.

Proof. As $s_{p^n}^M$ factors through s_{p^n} , the assertions (i) and (ii) follow from Theorem 4.2. If $n \le N$, we have

$$K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/p^n \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathscr{H}_n \simeq H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2g} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus 2g}.$$

The assertion (iii) follows from (i).

Note that we have $\mathcal{M}_n \simeq K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/p^n$ the middle vertical map in the diagram (19) is bijective (Cor. 4.3) and hence we have $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n \simeq \overline{\mathcal{K}}_n$.

Corollary 4.4.
$$\overline{\mathscr{K}}_n \simeq \overline{\mathscr{M}}_n \simeq \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/p^n$$
.

Proof. The latter isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n \simeq \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/p^n$ follows from Lemma 4.1.

Formal groups

Since the Mackey functor \widehat{A} defined by the formal group of A satisfies the Galois descent, we have the Galois symbol map (Def. 2.3) of the form

$$\widehat{s}_{p^n}^M:\widehat{\mathcal{M}_n}\simeq (\mathbb{G}_m\otimes\widehat{A})(k)/p^n\to H^2(k,\mu_{p^n}\otimes\widehat{A}[p^n])=:\widehat{\mathcal{H}_n}.$$

Lemma 4.5. For $n \leq N$, we have the following: (i) The Galois symbol map $\widehat{s}_{p^n}^M : \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_n \to \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_n$ is bijective. (ii) $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_n \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus g}$.

Proof. (i) Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

From the assumption $n \leq N$, we have $\widehat{\mathscr{H}_n} \simeq H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus g} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus g}$. By counting the orders, the left lower map in (23) is injective. By induction on n, it is enough to show that $\widehat{s}_p^M : \widehat{\mathscr{M}_1} \to \widehat{\mathscr{H}_1}$ is bijective. In this case, we have an isomorphism

$$\widehat{A}/p \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathscr{U}^{\oplus g} \tag{24}$$

of Mackey functors (Cor. 3.4). On the other hand, we have $\widehat{\mathscr{H}_1} \simeq H^2(k, \mu_p^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus g}$. The assertion now reduced to showing that the composition

$$(\mathbb{G}_m/p\otimes \mathscr{U})(k)\to (\mathbb{G}_m/p\otimes \mathbb{G}_m/p)(k)\xrightarrow{\simeq} H^2(k,\mu_p^{\otimes 2})$$

is bijective. This assertion follows from [7], Theorem 3.6 (i).

(ii) The Galois symbol map $s_{p^n} : K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/p^n \to \mathscr{H}_n = H^2(k, \mu_{p^n} \otimes A[p^n])$ is bijective from Theorem 4.2 and $\mathscr{H}_n \simeq H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2g})^{\oplus 2g} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus 2g}$. From the fixed commutative diagrams in (22), we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathscr{H}_n} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathscr{H}_n \\ & \downarrow \simeq & \downarrow \simeq \\ & H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus g} & \longleftrightarrow & H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})^{\oplus 2g} \end{split}$$

where $\widehat{\mathscr{H}}_n = H^2(k, \mu_{p^n} \otimes \widehat{A}[p^n])$. As the bottom horizontal map is the inclusion map, the map ι above is injective. Next, we consider the commutative diagram extended from (19):



Since $\widehat{s}_{p^n}^M : \widehat{\mathscr{M}_n} \to \widehat{\mathscr{H}_n}$ is bijective, the left vertical map in the above diagram is bijective. Therefore, the induced map $\widehat{\mathscr{H}_n} \to \widehat{\mathscr{H}_n}$ is also bijective.

Proposition 4.6. We further assume the following condition: (**Ram**) $k(\mu_{p^{N+1}})/k$ is a non-trivial and totally ramified extension. Then, we have $\widehat{\mathcal{M}_n} \simeq \widehat{\mathcal{K}_n} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^{\min\{N,n\}})^{\oplus g}$ for any $n \ge 1$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.5, we may assume $n \ge N$. First, we show the following claim:

Claim (*cf.* [5], Proof of Thm. 3.14). Fix $\zeta \in \mu_{p^N}$ a primitive p^N -th root of unity. Then, $\widehat{\mathcal{M}_1} = (\mathbb{G}_m/p \otimes \widehat{A}/p)(k)$ is generated by elements of the form $\{\zeta, w\}_{k/k}$, where $w \in \widehat{A}(k)/p$.

Proof. Recall that the Hilbert symbol $(-, -) : k^{\times} \otimes k^{\times} \to \mu_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p$ satisfies

$$(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow y \in N_{k(\sqrt[n]{x})/k}\left(k(\sqrt[n]{x})^{\times}\right), \quad \text{for } x, y \in k^{\times}$$
(25)

(cf. [22], Prop. 4.3). From the assumption (**Ram**), there exists $y \in \overline{U}_k = \text{Im}(U_k \to k^{\times}/p)$ such that $(\zeta, y) \neq 0$. In fact, putting $K = k(\sqrt[R]{\zeta}) = k(\mu_{p^{N+1}})$, we have $U_k/N_{K/k}U_K \simeq k^{\times}/N_{K/k}K^{\times}$ (cf. the proof of [17], Chap. V, Sect. 3, Cor. 7) and local class field theory says $k^{\times}/N_{K/k}K^{\times} \simeq \text{Gal}(K/k) \neq 0$ (cf. [17], Chap. XIII, Sect. 3). Thus, there exists $y \in U_k \setminus N_{K/k}U_K$ such that $(\zeta, y) \neq 0$ from (25). As $(\zeta, y) \neq 0$, the chosen element y is non-trivial in \overline{U}_k . We use the same notation y as an element in \overline{U}_k . For each $1 \leq i \leq g$, put

$$y^{(i)} := (1, \dots, 1, \overset{i}{\overset{\vee}{y}}, 1, \dots, 1) \in (\overline{U}_k)^{\oplus g}$$

and we denote by $w^{(i)} \in \widehat{A}(k)/p$ the element corresponding to $y^{(i)}$ through the isomorphism $\widehat{A}(k)/p \simeq (\overline{U}_k)^{\oplus g}$ as in (24). The Galois symbol map is compatible with the Hilbert symbol map ([17], Chap. XIV, Sect. 2, Prop. 5) as the following commutative diagram indicates:

Here, we identify the isomorphism $H^2(k, \mu_p^{\otimes 2}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p$, the map \widehat{s}_p^M is bijective (Thm. 4.2), and the map ι is given by $\iota(x \otimes w) = \{x, w\}_{k/k}$. The image of $\zeta \otimes w^{(i)} \in k^{\times}/p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{A}(k)/p$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\oplus g}$ via the lower left corner in (26) is

$$\xi^{(i)} := (0, \dots, 0, (\zeta, y), 0, \dots, 0) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\oplus g}.$$

These elements $\xi^{(i)}$ $(1 \le i \le g)$ generate $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\oplus g}$ and hence the symbols $\{\zeta, w^{(i)}\}_{k/k} = \iota(\zeta \otimes w^{(i)})$ $(1 \le i \le g)$ generate $\widehat{\mathcal{M}_1}$.

There is a short exact sequence

$$\widehat{\mathscr{M}_1} \xrightarrow{p^n} \widehat{\mathscr{M}_{n+1}} \to \widehat{\mathscr{M}_n} \to 0,$$

where the first map is given by the multiplication by p^n . By Lemma 4.5, we have $\widehat{\mathscr{H}}_N \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^N)^{\oplus g}$. From the above claim and the induction on $n \ge N$, we have $\widehat{\mathscr{M}}_{n+1} \simeq \widehat{\mathscr{M}}_n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^N)^{\oplus g}$. The surjective homomorphisms

$$\widehat{\mathscr{M}_n} \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\mathscr{K}_n} \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\mathscr{K}_N} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^N)^{\oplus g}$$

induce $\widehat{\mathscr{K}_n} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^N)^{\oplus g}$.

Remark 4.7. In the case where A = E is an elliptic curve with (**Ord**), we can show Proposition 3.6 by the essentially same proof, under the condition

(**Ram'**) $k(\widehat{E}[p^{N+1}])/k$ is a non-trivial extension instead of (**Ram**).

Proof of the main theorem

Theorem 4.8. Let A be an abelian variety over k of dimension g. We assume the condition (Ord), (Rat) and (Ram) (in Prop. 4.6). Then, we have

$$K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)_{\text{fin}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^N)^{\oplus g} \oplus \overline{A}(\mathbb{F}).$$

Proof. For each *m* prime to *p*, we have $K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/m \simeq \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/m$ (Prop. 2.6). **Claim.** $K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/p^n \simeq \widehat{\mathscr{K}_n} \oplus \overline{\mathscr{K}_n}$ for each $n \ge 1$.

Proof. The assertion is true for $n \le N$ by Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.5. For n > N, consider the following diagram:

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathscr{K}_{n}} \longrightarrow K(k; \mathbb{G}_{m}, A)/p^{n} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathscr{K}}_{n} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathscr{K}_{n+1}} \longrightarrow K(k; \mathbb{G}_{m}, A)/p^{n+1} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathscr{K}}_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By the induction hypothesis, the top sequence splits. From Proposition 4.6, the left vertical map is bijective so that the lower sequence also splits. \Box

From Cororally 4.4 and Proposition 4.6, we obtain

$$K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)/p^n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^{\min\{n, N\}})^{\oplus g} \oplus \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})/p^n$$

for any *n*. By taking the limit \varprojlim , we have $K(k; \mathbb{G}_m, A)_{\text{fin}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^N)^{\oplus g} \oplus \overline{A}(\mathbb{F})$.

Applying the above theorem to the Jacobian variety Jac(X), we obtain the following corollary as noted in Introduction.

Corollary 4.9. Let X be a projective smooth curve over k with $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. We assume the conditions (Rat), (Ord), and (Ram) for the Jacobian variety J = Jac(X) associated to X. Then, we have

$$V(X)_{\text{fin}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^N)^{\oplus g} \oplus \overline{J}(\mathbb{F})$$

 $V(X)_{\text{fin}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^N)^{\oplus g}$ where $g = \dim J$ and $N = \max \{ n \mid J[p^n] \subset J(k) \}.$

A. Albanese kernel

In this appendix, we compute the Albanese kernel for the product of curves over k as a generalization of [21]. Let A be an abelian variety over k assuming (**Ord**) and (**Rat**). For $n \ge 1$ with $A[p^n] \subset A(k)$, we choose an isomorphism

$$A[p^n] \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus 2g}$$

of (trivial) Galois modules as in (12). By the same proof as in Prop 3.1, the image of the Kummer map $\delta_A : A(k)/p^n \to H^1(k, A[p^n]) \simeq H^1(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\oplus 2g}) \simeq (k^{\times}/p^n)^{\oplus 2g}$ is determined as follows:

Proposition A.1. For any $n \ge 1$ with $A[p^n] \subset A(K)$ and a finite extension K/k, we have

$$A(K)/p^n \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g} \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(j: K^{\times}/p^n \to (K^{\operatorname{ur}})^{\times}/p^n)^{\oplus g},$$

 $A(K)/p^n \xrightarrow{\sim} (\overline{U}_K)^{\oplus g} \oplus \operatorname{Ker} (j : K^{\times}/p^n \to (m_{W^{\mathrm{ur}}})^{\times})$ where j is the map induced from the inclusion $K^{\times} \hookrightarrow (K^{\mathrm{ur}})^{\times}$.

The above isomorphism is extend to the isomorphism

$$A/p^n \simeq \mathscr{U}^{\oplus g} \oplus \mathscr{V}^{\oplus g}$$

of Mackey functors, where \mathscr{U}, \mathscr{V} is the sub Mackey functors of \mathbb{G}_m/p^n defined by

$$\mathscr{U}(K) := \operatorname{Im}(U_K \to K^{\times}/p^n), \quad \mathscr{V}(K) := \operatorname{Ker}\left(j : K^{\times}/p^n \to (K^{\operatorname{ur}})^{\times}/p^n\right),$$

for any finite extension K/k (cf. Cor. 3.4). Now we use the following notation:

• X_i : smooth projective curves over k with k-rational point $X_i(k) \neq \emptyset$ for i = 1, 2,

• $J_i := \text{Jac}(X_i)$: the Jacobian variety associated to X_i of dimension g_i .

The kernel of the degree map deg : $CH_0(X_1 \times X_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is denoted by $A_0(X_1 \times X_2)$. The kernel $T(X_1 \times X_2)$ of the Albanese map

alb :
$$A_0(X_1 \times X_2) \rightarrow \text{Alb}_{X_1 \times X_2}(k) = J_1(k) \oplus J_2(k)$$

which is called the Albanese kernel is also written by the Somekawa K-group as

$$T(X_1 \times X_2) \simeq K(k; J_1, J_2)$$

([14]). From the same computation as in [21], Theorem 4.1, we recover [3], Corollary 8.9.

Corollary A.2. Assume that J_1 , J_2 satisfy (**Ord**) and all p^n -torsion points of J_1 and J_2 are *k*-rational. Then, we have where $g_i = \dim J_i$.

$$T(X_1 \times X_2)/p^n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus g_1g_2}$$

Proof. From $K(k; J_1, J_2) \simeq T(X_1 \times X_2)$, it is enough to show $K(k; J_1, J_2)/p^n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus g_1g_2}$. We fix isomorphisms $J_i[p^n] \simeq (\mu_{p^n})^{\oplus 2g_i}$ of (trivial) Galois modules as above. We have

$$J_1/p^n \otimes J_2/p^n \simeq \left((\mathscr{U} \otimes \mathscr{U}) \oplus (\mathscr{U} \otimes \mathscr{V}) \oplus (\mathscr{V} \otimes \mathscr{U}) \oplus (\mathscr{V} \otimes \mathscr{V}) \right)^{\oplus g_1 g_2}.$$

The Galois symbol maps give the following commutative diagram:

Here, $s_{p^n}: K(k; J_1, J_2)/p^n \to H^2(k, J_1[p^n] \otimes J_2[p^n])$ is injective ([14], Rem. 4.5.8 (b)), and the bottom map is given by composing $s_{p^n}^M : (\mathbb{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbb{G}_m/p^n)(k) \to H^2(k, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})$ (Def. 2.3) after the natural map $(\mathscr{U} \otimes \mathscr{U})(k) \to (\mathbb{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbb{G}_m/p^n)(k), (\mathscr{U} \otimes \mathscr{V})(k) \to (\mathbb{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbb{G}_m/p^n)(k)$, or $(\mathscr{V} \otimes \mathscr{V})(k) \to (\mathbb{G}_m/p^n \otimes \mathbb{G}_m/p^n)(k)$. The Galois symbol map is written by the Hilbert symbol ([17], Chap. XIV, Sect. 2, Prop. 5) as follows:

For each finite extension K/k, the image of $\mathscr{U}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{U}(K)$ by the Hilbert symbol (the dotted arrow in the above diagram) is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}/p^n ([21], Prop. 2.5) so that

$$s_{p^n}^M((\mathscr{U}\otimes\mathscr{U})(k))\simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^n.$$

On the other hand, the image of $\mathscr{U}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{V}(K)$ and $\mathscr{V}(K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{V}(K)$ by the Hilbert symbol is trivial and hence

$$s_{p^n}^M\left((\mathscr{U}\otimes\mathscr{V})(k)\right)=s_{p^n}^M\left((\mathscr{V}\otimes\mathscr{V})(k)\right)=0.$$

From the above diagram (27), these computations imply

$$s_{p^n}^M((J_1/p^n \otimes J_2/p^n)(k)) \simeq K(k; J_1, J_2)/p^n \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^{\oplus g_1g_2}.$$

References

- S. Bloch, Algebraic K-theory and classfield theory for arithmetic surfaces, Ann. of Math.
 (2) 114 (1981), no. 2, 229–265.
- [2] The Sage Developers, Sagemath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 7.4), 2016, http://www.sagemath.org.
- [3] E. Gazaki, A finer Tate duality theorem for local Galois symbols, J. Algebra **509** (2018), 337–385.
- [4] _____, A Tate duality theorem for local Galois symbols II; The semi-abelian case, J. Number Theory **204** (2019), 532–560.
- [5] E. Gazaki and I. Leal, Zero cycles on a product of elliptic curves over a p-adic field, arXiv:1805.05496.
- [6] M. Hindry and J. H. Silverman, *Diophantine geometry: An introduction*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 201, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

- [7] T. Hiranouchi, *Milnor K-groups attached to elliptic curves over a p-adic field*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **54** (2016), no. 1, 39–55.
- [8] B. Kahn, *The decomposable part of motivic cohomology and bijectivity of the norm residue homomorphism*, Algebraic *K*-theory, commutative algebra, and algebraic geometry (Santa Margherita Ligure, 1989), Contemp. Math., vol. 126, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992, pp. 79–87.
- [9] N. M. Katz and S. Lang, *Finiteness theorems in geometric classfield theory*, Enseign. Math.
 (2) 27 (1981), no. 3-4, 285–319 (1982), With an appendix by Kenneth A. Ribet.
- [10] A. Mattuck, Abelian varieties over p-adic ground fields, Ann. of Math. (2) **62** (1955), 92–119.
- B. Mazur, *Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields*, Invent. Math. 18 (1972), 183–266.
- [12] A. S. Merkurjev and A. A. Suslin, *K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 46 (1982), no. 5, 1011–1046, 1135–1136.
- [13] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, and K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [14] W. Raskind and M. Spiess, *Milnor K-groups and zero-cycles on products of curves over p-adic fields*, Compositio Math. **121** (2000), 1–33.
- [15] S. Saito, Class field theory for curves over local fields, J. Number Theory 21 (1985), no. 1, 44–80.
- [16] _____, Unramified class field theory of arithmetical schemes, Ann. of Math. (2) 121 (1985), no. 2, 251–281.
- [17] J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1968, Deuxième édition, Publications de l'Université de Nancago, No. VIII.
- [18] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
- [19] M. Somekawa, On Milnor K-groups attached to semi-abelian varieties, K-Theory 4 (1990), no. 2, 105–119.
- [20] M. Spiess and T. Yamazaki, A counterexample to generalizations of the Milnor-Bloch-Kato conjecture, J. K-Theory 4 (2009), no. 1, 77–90. MR 2538717 (2010i:19008)
- [21] T. Takemoto, Zero-cycles on products of elliptic curves over p-adic fields, Acta Arith. 149 (2011), no. 3, 201–214. MR 2812424

- [22] J. Tate, Relations between K_2 and Galois cohomology, Invent. Math. 36 (1976), 257–274.
- [23] T. Yamazaki, On Chow and Brauer groups of a product of Mumford curves, Math. Ann. **333** (2005), 549–567.
- [24] _____, *Class field theory for a product of curves over a local field*, Math. Z. **261** (2009), no. 1, 109–121.