Relativistic density functional theory with finite-light-speed correction for the Coulomb interaction
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The periodic table of the elements, one of the most fundamental information for general science, is determined by the electronic configuration, and represents periodicity of fundamental atomic properties, such as the ionization energy and the electron affinity. Recently, super-heavy elements (SHEs), such as nihonium (Z = 113)1, moscovium (Z = 115)2, tennessee (Z = 117)3, and oganess (Z = 118)4, were synthesized. Synthesis of heavier elements are still ongoing. However, the positions of the SHEs in the periodic table are yet tentative since their chemical properties have not been established.

A recent experimental measurement of the first ionization energy of lawrencium (Z = 103)5 casts doubt on the current placement of the SHEs in the periodic table. The measured value does not follow the tendency of the other 5f-block elements, which is common to the ionization energy of lutetium (Z = 71) among the 4f-block elements. In addition, compared with the vertically neighboring elements in the 4f and 5f blocks, the first ionization energy of lawrencium is smaller than that of lutetium, whereas those of the other 5f-block elements are larger than those of the corresponding 4f-block elements, respectively. With these anomalous features of lawrencium—we refer to this as “the puzzle of lawrencium” hereafter—it has been discussed in IUPAC 6 whether the suitable places of lawrencium and lutetium is the f or d block. In fact, a previous theoretical calculation assuming the electronic configurations referring to the current periodic table has failed to predict these features 7.

The above-mentioned results indicate that the heuristic alignment of the SHEs on the current periodic table may not generally reflect the actual electronic configurations in their atomic forms. Due to short half-lives of the SHEs, first-principles numerical simulations are essential tools complementary to experiments for its determination.

The first-principles approaches to the electronic properties are classified into two groups: density functional theory (DFT) 8,9 and wave-function theory. The latter includes the configuration interaction (CI) 10,11 and coupled cluster (CC) 12,13 methods. These methods are widely used but applicable only to atoms and small molecules. The former, on the other hand, enables us to simulate larger systems like solids with a compromise between the accuracy and numerical cost. Development of DFT is interesting as it could pave a way to study the electronic properties of molecular and even solid systems including SHEs.

In principle, the electromagnetic interaction between two electrons entering the Dirac Hamiltonian is derived from the Lagrangian of the quantum electrodynamics (QED) through the two-body scattering amplitude 14. After the calculation of the quantum field theory in the Coulomb gauge (div A = 0), in the atom with the atomic number Z, the electron-electron interaction with \( O \left( (Z \alpha)^2 \right) \) is called the Breit correction 15,16, whereas the Coulomb interaction between two electrons is \( O (Z \alpha) \). Here, \( \alpha = e^2 / (4\pi\varepsilon_0 \hbar c) \approx 1/137 \) is the fine-structure constant. The Breit correction is usually called as the relativistic effect or the finite-light-speed effect. The higher-order terms than the Breit correction are called as the QED effects, which are \( O ((Z \alpha)^n \alpha^m) \) with \( n \geq 2 \) and \( m \geq 1 \), and it is \( \alpha \) times or much smaller than the Breit correction 17. Note that the relativistic correction of the Coulomb potential due to the atomic nucleus is \( O \left( (Z \alpha)^n \alpha^m \left( m_e / M_{\text{Nuc}} \right)^l \right) \) with \( n \geq 2 \), \( m \geq 1 \), and \( l \geq 1 \), where \( m_e \) and \( M_{\text{Nuc}} \) are the masses of electrons and atomic nuclei, respectively, and because of \( m_e / M_{\text{Nuc}} < 1000 \) this effect is negligible 18.

The lowest order of relativistic effects incorporated by using the Dirac equation instead of the Schrödinger equa-
tion is also $O \left( (Z \alpha)^2 \right) \sim O \left( 1/c^2 \right)$. Once the relativistic effects incorporated by the Dirac equation is considered, the Breit correction between two electrons should also be considered to keep consistency. Hereafter, we refer to both the Breit correction and effects incorporated by using the Dirac equation as “relativistic effect” for simplicity.

So far, the electronic structure calculation based on wave-function theory with the relativistic effects in $O \left( 1/c^2 \right)$ have been performed \cite{22,23}. There, “the puzzle of lawrencium” has also been addressed \cite{22,24,25,26,27}, which suggested that the outer-most electron of lawrencium is p electron instead of d electron.

In contrast, DFT with consistent inclusion of the relativistic effects up to order $O \left( 1/c^2 \right)$ has yet been unprecedented. Up to now, there have been separate studies considering only the correction to the Hartree energy due to the current-current interaction term \cite{31}, and those considering only the correction to the exchange-correlation functional \cite{32,33}. The form of the Hartree term in DFT with the relativistic effects is constructed in this work, whereas the Coulomb-Breit interaction \cite{34} or the empirical generalized gradient approximation (GGA) \cite{31}. The accuracy of DFT is, in general, lower than that of wave-function theory. Nevertheless, it is therefore interesting to develop the DFT-based complete order $O \left( 1/c^2 \right)$ method and see how comparable it is to the wave function-based one in accuracy. Moreover, study of DFT with the relativistic effects is desired, since DFT is applicable to the larger systems, such as molecules and even solids.

In this paper, the relativistic effects in $O \left( 1/c^2 \right)$ are considered in DFT. The form of the Hartree term including the effects is constructed in this work, whereas the relativistic exchange-correlation functional derived by Kenny et al. \cite{35} is used. As a benchmark calculation, all-electron calculation of selected atoms including lutetium and lawrencium are performed, and “the puzzle of lawrencium” is revisited with DFT.

This paper is organized as follows: First, in Sec. II the theoretical framework of DFT with the Breit correction is introduced. Then, in Secs. IIIA and IIIB benchmark calculations for atoms are shown. In Sec. IIIC the possible reason of “the puzzle of lawrencium” is suggested. Finally, in Sec. IV the conclusion and perspectives of this paper are shown. In Appendix A the detailed discussion about the relativistic exchange-correlation functional is shown, and in Appendix B deviation of the relativistic Hartree term is shown.

## II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

In this section, the relativistic DFT with the Breit correction is formulated. We start from the Dirac equation instead of the Schrödinger equation, where the Breit correction is considered in the electron-electron interaction $V_{\text{int}}$. To use the Kohn-Sham scheme, the Hartree term $E_{\text{H}}$ and the exchange-correlation functional $E_{\text{xc}}$ should be reconstructed, since $V_{\text{int}}$ is no longer the original Coulomb interaction.

Hereafter, the Hartree atomic unit is used, i.e., $m_e = \hbar = 4\pi\varepsilon_0 = c^2 = 1$ and $e = 1/\alpha$, and the Coulomb-Breit interaction refers the electron-electron interaction with Breit correction as well as the Coulomb interaction.

### A. Original Hamiltonian

In general, the Dirac Hamiltonian in quantum many-body problems reads

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \sum_j V_{\text{ext}} (r_j) + \sum_{j<k} V_{\text{int}} (r_j, r_k),$$

where $\hat{T}$ is the kinetic operator, $V_{\text{ext}}$ is the external potential, and $V_{\text{int}}$ is the interaction between electrons. Note that the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ operates to the Dirac spinor and $\hat{T}$ is the Dirac kinetic operator $\hat{T}^D$ instead of the Schrödinger kinetic operator $\hat{T}^S$. The Dirac kinetic operator $\hat{T}^D$ is written in sum of the single-particle Dirac kinetic operator for electrons $\hat{T}_{\text{D}}$: \p{II}

$$\hat{T}^D = \sum_j \hat{T}_{\text{D}}^j,$$

where

$$\hat{T}_{\text{D}}^j = \beta_j c^2 + c \alpha_j \cdot p_j.$$ \p{III}

Here, $\alpha_j$ and $\beta_j$ are the Dirac matrix for the $j$th electron,

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} O_2 & \sigma_x & O_2 \\ \sigma_x & O_2 & \sigma_y \\ \sigma_y & O_2 & \sigma_z \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\sigma_x$, $\sigma_y$, and $\sigma_z$ are the Pauli matrices, and $O_2$ and $I_2$ are the $2 \times 2$ zero and identity matrices, respectively.

The Coulomb-Breit interaction

$$V_{\text{int}} (r_j, r_k) = \frac{1}{r_{jk}} - \frac{c \alpha_j \cdot c \alpha_k}{2e^2 r_{jk}} + \frac{(c \alpha_j \cdot r_{jk}) (c \alpha_k \cdot r_{jk})}{2e^2 r_{jk}^3}$$

is employed to the electron-electron interaction $V_{\text{int}}$, where $r_{jk} = r_j - r_k$, $r_{jk} = |r_{jk}|$. The first term is the original Coulomb interaction and the second term is...
the Breit correction \[19, 20\]. The kinetic operator for the nuclei is neglected and $V_{\text{ext}}$ is the interaction between the atomic nucleus and electrons. Only the Coulomb interaction is considered for $V_{\text{ext}}$, since the finite-light-speed correction to $V_{\text{ext}}$ is proportional to $m_e/M_{\text{nucl}}$ much smaller than that to $V_{\text{int}}$ \[21\]:

\[
V_{\text{ext}} (r_j) = -\frac{Z}{r_j}.
\]  

(6)

The exchange-correlation energy functional $E_{\text{xc}}$ is defined with this $F$ as mentioned later. The standard functionals, such as the PZ81 \[37\] and PBE \[38\] functionals, are applicable only to the Schrödinger scheme. In the present case, the exchange-correlation functional should be reconstructed on the basis of the Dirac Hamiltonian.

B. Non-Relativistic Reduction

According to the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem \[9\], the universal functional $F$ of the electron density $\rho$ with respect to the kinetic operator $T$ and the interaction $V_{\text{int}}$ gives the ground-state energy of the Schrödinger Hamiltonian via

\[
E [\rho] = F [\rho] + \int \rho (r) V_{\text{ext}} (r) \, dr.
\]  

(7)

The exchange-correlation energy functional $E_{\text{xc}}$ is defined with this $F$ as mentioned later. The standard functionals, such as the PZ81 \[37\] and PBE \[38\] functionals, are applicable only to the Schrödinger scheme. In the present case, the exchange-correlation functional should be reconstructed on the basis of the Dirac Hamiltonian.

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{FW}} = \hat{T}^S + \sum_j V_{\text{ext}} (r_j) + \sum_j V_1' (r_j, s_j) + \sum_{j<k} \frac{1}{r_{jk}} + V_2' (r_j, r_k, s_j, s_k),
\]  

(8)

where the correction terms $V_1'$ and $V_2'$ read

\[
V_1' (r_j, s_j) = -\frac{\nabla^4}{8c^4} + \frac{Z\pi}{2c^2} \delta (r_j) - \sum_{j=1}^Z \frac{Z}{2c^2} \frac{1}{r_j} \cdot [r_j \times i \nabla] j,
\]  

\[
V_2' (r_j, r_k, s_j, s_k) = -\sum_{j<k} \frac{\pi}{c^2} \delta (r_j - r_k) - \sum_{j<k} \frac{1}{2c^2} \frac{\nabla}{\cdot} j \cdot \left[ \frac{(r_j - r_k) (r_j - r_k)}{r_{jk}^3} + \frac{1}{r_{jk}} \right] \cdot \nabla_k
\]

\[
- \sum_{j<k} \frac{8\pi}{3c^2} \delta (r_j - r_k) s_j \cdot s_k - \sum_{j<k} \frac{1}{c^2} s_j \cdot \left[ \frac{3 (r_j - r_k) (r_j - r_k)}{r_{jk}^3} - \frac{1}{r_{jk}^5} \right] \cdot s_k
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{1}{r_{jk}^3} s_j \cdot [(r_k - r_j) \times i \nabla] + \sum_{j \neq k} \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{1}{r_{jk}^3} s_k \cdot [(r_k - r_j) \times i \nabla].
\]  

(9)

The first and second terms of $V_2'$ correspond to the electron-electron Darwin and retardation terms, respectively. As long as spin-unpolarized systems are considered, such as the closed-shell atoms are concerned, the second and third lines of Eq. (10) vanishes.

C. DFT with finite-light-speed correction

In order to reformulate DFT on the basis of $H_{\text{FW}}$, the Hartree term $E_H$ and the exchange-correlation functional $E_{\text{xc}}$ in this scheme is derived. Here, the universal functional $F$ in Eq. (7) is separable into four parts

\[
F [\rho] = T_0 [\rho] + \frac{1}{2} \int \int \rho (r) \rho (r') \frac{dr \, dr'}{|r - r'|} + E_{\text{Hart}} [\rho] + E_{\text{xc}} [\rho],
\]  

(11)

where $T_0$ is the kinetic energy for non-interacting systems, the second term is the Hartree term with the Coulomb interaction, the third term is the relativistic correction for the Hartree term, and the fourth term is the exchange-correlation term which includes the effects of $V_2'$ as well as the Coulomb interaction.

Hereafter, the Wigner-Seitz radius

\[
r_s = \left( \frac{3}{4\pi \rho} \right)^{1/3}
\]  

(12)
energy and potential correspond to the first term of Eq. \(10\) are terms of Eq. \(10\) represent the Darwin term and retardation effect, respectively. Relativistic correction to the Hartree PZ81 functional \([37]\) is used.

\[
\varepsilon_{xc}(r) = \varepsilon_{xc}^{\text{non-rel}}(r) + \frac{9}{8c^2r^3} f(r),
\]

where the exchange-correlation energy density \(\varepsilon_{xc}\) is written as

\[
f(r) = \begin{cases} 
0.9918 - 0.29020r_s + 0.14474r_s^2 - 0.02573r_s^3 + 0.001634r_s^4 & (r_s \leq 5), \\
0.75 + 0.044r_s & (r_s > 5),
\end{cases}
\]

where the exchange-correlation energy density \(\varepsilon_{xc}\) satisfies

\[
E_{xc}[\rho] = \int \varepsilon_{xc}(r_s(r)) \rho(r) \, dr.
\]

Here, \(\varepsilon_{xc}^{\text{non-rel}}\) is the LDA exchange-correlation energy density in the non-relativistic scheme, and in this calculation the PZ81 functional \([37]\) is used.

Relativistic correction of the Hartree term, \(E_{H\text{rel}}\), is constructed from the first line of Eq. \((10)\). The first and second terms of Eq. \((10)\) represent the Darwin term and retardation effect, respectively. Relativistic correction to the Hartree energy and potential correspond to the first term of Eq. \((10)\) are

\[
E_{H\text{rel}}[\rho] = -\frac{\pi}{2c^2} \int [\rho(r)]^2 \, dr, \\
V_{H\text{rel}}(r) = -\frac{\pi}{8c^2} \rho(r),
\]

respectively. In contrast, the contribution of the second term to \(E_{H\text{rel}}\) is proved to be zero (see Appendix \([13]\)). The physical meaning of this vanishment is that the retardation represents finite-energy transfer, while the Hartree term corresponds to zero-energy transfer.

For calculation of isolated atoms, the spherical symmetry is assumed to the effective Kohn-Sham potential \(V_{KS}\), since the \(V_{ext}\) has the spherical symmetry and is much stronger than the \(V_{int}\). In the one-body relativistic correction \(V'_{1}\), the delta function is included, and this term often causes numerical instability. In order to avoid this problem, the scalar-relativistic approximation \([44]\)

\[
\hat{h}_{KS} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \left[ \Delta_r + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right] + V_{KS}(r) - \frac{1}{4M^2c^2} \frac{dV_{KS}}{dr} \frac{d}{dr},
\]

is applied to the single-particle Schrödinger kinetic operator and the external potential, \(\hat{t}^S + V_{ext} + V'_{1}\), where \(M\) is the energy-dependent effective mass

\[
M = m_e + \frac{\varepsilon_j - V_{KS}}{2c^2},
\]

\(\Delta_r\) is the radial component of the Laplacian

\[
\Delta_r = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr},
\]

and \(l\) is the azimuthal quantum number. With this approximation, the one-body relativistic effects \(V'_{1}\) are included accurately. Hence, the Kohn-Sham effective potential does not include \(V'_{1}\) explicitly as

\[
V_{KS}(r) = V_{ext}(r) + \int \frac{\rho(r')}{|r - r'|} \, dr' + V_{H\text{rel}}(r) + V_{xc}(r),
\]

where \(V_{xc}\) is the exchange-correlation potential.

It should be noted that if the spin-orbit interaction is added to the scalar-relativistic Hamiltonian, the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian are exactly identical to those of the original Hamiltonian, whereas the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformed Hamiltonian not \([45]\).

### D. Spin-Orbit Interaction

The spin-orbit interaction, which is ignored with the scalar-relativistic approximation, is treated as follows. It is known that the spin-orbit interaction in electron systems is weak enough \([46]\), and hence, it is treated in the first-order perturbation theory. The spin-orbit interaction in this scheme is

\[
V_{SO}(r) = -\frac{1}{4M^2c^2} \frac{\kappa + 1}{r} \frac{dV_{KS}(r)}{dr},
\]
where \( j = l \pm 1/2 \) is the total angular momentum. Here, \( \kappa = + (j + 1/2) \) for \( j = l + 1/2 \) and \( \kappa = - (j - 1/2) \) for \( j = l - 1/2 \). Correction of the single-particle energy due to the first-order perturbation theory for the spin-orbit interaction is

\[
E_{n,l,j}^{SO} = \langle \psi_{n,l}\mid V_{SO}\mid \psi_{n,l}\rangle = -\frac{\kappa + 1}{4} \int \frac{1}{c + (\varepsilon_{n,l} - V_{KS})/2c^2} \frac{dV_{KS}(r)}{dr} |R_{n,l}(r)|^2 r dr, \tag{22}
\]

where \( \psi_{n,l} \) and \( \varepsilon_{n,l} \) are the Kohn-Sham single-particle orbitals and energies, respectively, and \( R_{n,l} \) are the radial part of \( \psi_{n,l} \).

### III. CALCULATIONS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, calculation results given by the present scheme are shown. Electronic properties of atomic systems are calculated as a benchmark. The electron density is approximated to be the spherical symmetric.

The scheme developed in this paper is called as “SRel-CB”, which is an abbreviation of the scalar-relativistic scheme with the Coulomb-Breit interaction. The results are compared to those with the non-relativistic, scalar-relativistic, and full-relativistic schemes without the Breit correction. The non-relativistic scheme means the original Schrödinger formalism, while the full-relativistic scheme means the original Dirac formalism, and the partial-relativistic scheme is approximated to be the spherical symmetric.

Electronic properties of atomic systems are calculated as a benchmark. The electron density in the SRel-CB scheme is delocalized than that in the other schemes. For comparison, those calculated in the non-relativistic (Non-rel) and scalar-relativistic (Scalar-rel) schemes are also shown.

The electronic single-particle energy of the radium atom calculated in the SRel-CB scheme with and without the spin-orbit interaction (SO) are shown in Table 1. For comparison, those calculated in the non-relativistic (Non-rel) and scalar-relativistic (Scalar-rel) schemes are also shown.

We show in Table 1 that the density in the SRel-CB scheme is delocalized than that in the Scalar-rel scheme. The single-particle energies summarized in Table 1 indicate that the density in the SRel-CB scheme is delocalized than that in the Scalar-rel scheme. Since the exchange-correlation energy contributes to the total energy less than 10%, even though that in the SRel-CB scheme is much smaller than those of the other schemes, while the other energies, \( \sum\varepsilon_j, T_0, E_H \), and \( E_{ext} \), of the SRel-CB scheme are almost the same as those of the Scalar-rel scheme. Since the exchange-correlation energy energy contributes to the total energy less than 10%, even though that in the SRel-CB scheme is changed drastically from those in the other relativistic calculations, the density distribution in this work is almost the same. More detailed discussion will be given in the next subsection.

#### A. Radium

The ground-state energy of a radium (\( Z = 88 \)) atom is calculated. The electronic configuration of the atom is [Rn] 7s^2. Therefore, the spin-orbit interaction in the first-order perturbation theory does not affect the total ground-state energy and density.

The electronic single-particle energy of the radium atom calculated in the SRel-CB scheme with and without the spin-orbit interaction (SO) are shown in Table 1. For comparison, those calculated in the non-relativistic (Non-rel) and scalar-relativistic (Scalar-rel) schemes are also shown.

We show in Table 1 that the density in the SRel-CB scheme is delocalized than that in the Scalar-rel scheme. The single-particle energies summarized in Table 1 indicate that the density in the SRel-CB scheme is delocalized than that in the Scalar-rel scheme. Since the exchange-correlation energy energy contributes to the total energy less than 10%, even though that in the SRel-CB scheme is much smaller than those of the other schemes, while the other energies, \( \sum\varepsilon_j, T_0, E_H \), and \( E_{ext} \), of the SRel-CB scheme are almost the same as those of the Scalar-rel scheme. Since the exchange-correlation energy energy contributes to the total energy less than 10%, even though that in the SRel-CB scheme is changed drastically from those in the other relativistic calculations, the density distribution in this work is almost the same. More detailed discussion will be given in the next subsection.

#### B. Groups 1, 2, and 18 Elements

In order to discuss the systematic behavior of relativistic effects in this scheme, properties of all the groups 1, 2, and 18 atoms are calculated. We do not address the hydrogen atom since it has only one electron and therefore the exchange-correlation term is zero. All the group 18 atoms are closed shell and all the group 1 and 2 atoms are closed shell plus s electrons. Therefore, the spin-orbit interaction does not affect the total energies in these atoms in the first-order perturbation theory.

The total energies calculated in the Non-rel, Scalar-rel, and SRel-CB schemes are shown in Table 1. It is seen that the relativistic effects of \( V_\alpha' \) is non-negligible in heavier atoms as well as those of \( V_1' \), and the former effects for the total energy is opposite to the latter.

We here analyze the contributions of the Hartree \( E_H \)
and exchange-correlation $E_{xc}$ energies and energy from the one-body term $T_0 + E_{ext}$ separately. The ratios of these values in the SRel-CB scheme, $E_{SRel-CB}$, to those in the Non-rel scheme, $E_{non-rel}$, are shown in Fig. 2 and ratios to those in the Scalar-rel, $E_{scalar-rel}$, are shown in Fig. 3. Those for the Hartree energy $E_H$, exchange-correlation energy $E_{xc}$, and energy from the one-body term $T_0 + E_{ext}$ are shown in solid, long-dashed, and dot-dashed lines, respectively. Since the one-body operator in this work is the same as that in the Scalar-rel scheme, the ratio of the one-body term $T_0 + E_{ext}$ is not shown.

The relativistic correction to the external potential $V_1^r$, which is the attractive force, makes the external attractive potential stronger, whereas that to the interaction $V_2^r$, which is also the attractive force, makes the repulsive interaction smaller. Thus, the relativistic effect makes the energy due to the potential, $E_{ext}$, larger, while that makes the energies due to the interaction, $E_H$ and $E_{xc}$, weaker. However, a lot of effects are entangled to each other in the self-consistent step, especially, the Kohn-Sham orbitals are changed, and eventually $E_H$ in the SRel-CB scheme is larger than that in the non-relativistic scheme.

Between $E_H$ and $E_{xc}$, $E_{SRel-CB}/E_{non-rel}$ for $E_H$ is smaller than $E_{xc}$. The interaction includes the finite-light-speed effect as well as effects coming from the Dirac equation, whereas the finite-light-speed effect of the Hartree term vanishes. Therefore, it can be concluded that the finite-light-speed correction is more significant than effects coming from the Dirac equation for the electron-electron interaction.

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orbits</th>
<th>Non-rel</th>
<th>Scalar-rel</th>
<th>Scalar-rel-SO</th>
<th>SRel-CB</th>
<th>SRel-CB + SO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1$s</td>
<td>$-332.71476$</td>
<td>$-3821.91003$</td>
<td>$-3821.91003$</td>
<td>$-3778.36010$</td>
<td>$-3778.36010$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2$s</td>
<td>$-577.00970$</td>
<td>$-702.12514$</td>
<td>$-702.12514$</td>
<td>$-695.88354$</td>
<td>$-695.88354$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2p$</td>
<td>$-557.51465$</td>
<td>$-591.70405$</td>
<td>$-560.59661$</td>
<td>$-654.11813$</td>
<td>$-589.63834$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3$s</td>
<td>$-142.63234$</td>
<td>$-174.15965$</td>
<td>$-174.15965$</td>
<td>$-172.79380$</td>
<td>$-172.79380$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3p$</td>
<td>$-133.12385$</td>
<td>$-143.17134$</td>
<td>$-136.05972$</td>
<td>$-157.39457$</td>
<td>$-142.75177$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3d$</td>
<td>$-115.30711$</td>
<td>$-114.39221$</td>
<td>$-112.28460$</td>
<td>$-117.55364$</td>
<td>$-114.28743$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4$s</td>
<td>$-34.52561$</td>
<td>$-42.70358$</td>
<td>$-42.70358$</td>
<td>$-42.36599$</td>
<td>$-42.36599$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4f$</td>
<td>$-11.18118$</td>
<td>$-10.20204$</td>
<td>$-9.89223$</td>
<td>$-10.19512$</td>
<td>$-10.05025$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5$s</td>
<td>$-7.13875$</td>
<td>$-8.90000$</td>
<td>$-8.90000$</td>
<td>$-8.82881$</td>
<td>$-8.82881$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5p$</td>
<td>$-5.54683$</td>
<td>$-5.86012$</td>
<td>$-5.46275$</td>
<td>$-6.65487$</td>
<td>$-5.85085$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5d$</td>
<td>$-2.81942$</td>
<td>$-2.54383$</td>
<td>$-2.46282$</td>
<td>$-2.66536$</td>
<td>$-2.55132$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6$s</td>
<td>$-1.05108$</td>
<td>$-1.29137$</td>
<td>$-1.29137$</td>
<td>$-1.28132$</td>
<td>$-1.28132$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6p$</td>
<td>$-0.634553$</td>
<td>$-0.613685$</td>
<td>$-0.557166$</td>
<td>$-0.726722$</td>
<td>$-0.614691$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7$s</td>
<td>$-0.113918$</td>
<td>$-0.125796$</td>
<td>$-0.125796$</td>
<td>$-0.125299$</td>
<td>$-0.125299$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and exchange-correlation $E_{xc}$ energies and energy from the one-body term $T_0 + E_{ext}$ separately. The ratios of these values in the SRel-CB scheme, $E_{SRel-CB}$, to those in the Non-rel scheme, $E_{non-rel}$, are shown in Fig. 2 and ratios to those in the Scalar-rel, $E_{scalar-rel}$, are shown in Fig. 3. Those for the Hartree energy $E_H$, exchange-correlation energy $E_{xc}$, and energy from the one-body term $T_0 + E_{ext}$ are shown in solid, long-dashed, and dot-dashed lines, respectively. Since the one-body operator in this work is the same as that in the Scalar-rel scheme, the ratio of the one-body term $T_0 + E_{ext}$ is not shown.
TABLE III. Total energies for selected atoms in the SRel-CB scheme. For comparison, total energies calculated in Non-rel and Scalar-rel schemes are also shown. All units are in the Hartree atomic unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atoms</th>
<th>$Z$</th>
<th>Non-rel</th>
<th>Scalar-rel</th>
<th>SRel-CB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helium</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-2.83435$</td>
<td>$-2.83448$</td>
<td>$-2.83439$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$-7.33420$</td>
<td>$-7.33499$</td>
<td>$-7.33457$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$-14.44637$</td>
<td>$-14.44920$</td>
<td>$-14.44800$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neon</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$-128.22811$</td>
<td>$-128.37290$</td>
<td>$-128.34637$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$-161.43435$</td>
<td>$-161.65367$</td>
<td>$-161.61680$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$-199.13369$</td>
<td>$-199.45449$</td>
<td>$-199.40493$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argon</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$-525.93971$</td>
<td>$-527.80802$</td>
<td>$-527.61130$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$-598.19357$</td>
<td>$-600.55712$</td>
<td>$-600.32072$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$-675.73508$</td>
<td>$-678.68967$</td>
<td>$-678.40788$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krypton</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$-2750.13629$</td>
<td>$-2786.82081$</td>
<td>$-2784.74430$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubidium</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>$-2936.32553$</td>
<td>$-2977.62068$</td>
<td>$-2975.3556$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strontium</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>$-3129.4131$</td>
<td>$-3175.78666$</td>
<td>$-3173.27751$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenon</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>$-7228.83884$</td>
<td>$-7441.14722$</td>
<td>$-7432.27164$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesium</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>$-7550.50003$</td>
<td>$-7780.59333$</td>
<td>$-7771.08692$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>$-7880.09328$</td>
<td>$-8129.04311$</td>
<td>$-8118.68679$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radon</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>$-21861.29405$</td>
<td>$-23538.40147$</td>
<td>$-23477.93363$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francium</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>$-22470.26526$</td>
<td>$-24239.48311$</td>
<td>$-24175.50669$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radium</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>$-23088.63112$</td>
<td>$-24954.19443$</td>
<td>$-24886.50050$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

spherical. Since there is strong spherical (central) external potential caused by the atomic nucleus, it is assumed that the single-particle energies and total energies are scarcely affected by the non-sphericity of $V_{KS}$.

The energies calculated with above-mentioned configuration are shown in Table IV. All energies are calculated in the Non-rel, Scalar-rel, and SRel-CB schemes. The smaller values for the respective approximations in each atom are shown with the bold font.

On the one hand, in lutetium atoms the electron prefers to occupy the $d$ orbital rather than the $p$ orbital with all schemes. On the other hand, in lawrencium atoms the electron prefers to occupy the $p$ orbital rather than the $d$ orbital in the SRel-CB scheme, whereas it still prefers the $d$ orbital in the Non-rel scheme like the lutetium case. In addition, the electron is unbound for lawrencium atoms in the Scalar-rel scheme.

The present results suggest that a valence electron occupies $p$ orbitals in lawrencium atoms, where the finite-light-speed correction to the Coulomb interaction has crucial role. The occupation of $p$ orbital could be the origin of the anomalous behavior of the lawrencium.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, density functional theory (DFT) with the Breit correction, which represents the finite-light-speed correction to the Coulomb interaction in $O\left((Z_{\alpha})^{2}\right) \sim O\left(1/c^{2}\right)$, is developed. Since in this paper the Hartree term with the Breit correction is derived, DFT becomes able to treat the finite-light-speed correction to the Coulomb interaction.

Relativistic effects of the two-body interaction, $V_2^r$, is opposite to that of the external potential, $V_{KS}$, for the Kohn-Sham potential. Relativistic effects of the two-body interaction makes the $s$ and $p$ orbitals bound more shallowly and $d$ and $f$ orbitals more deeply, whereas those of the one-body potential makes the $s$ and $p$ orbitals bound more deeply and $d$ and $f$ orbital more shallowly. For the two-body interaction, relativistic correction of the finite-light-speed effect is much smaller than that of the Dirac equation.

According to the calculation in this work, DFT with the finite-light-speed correction, the outer-most electron of lawrencium atoms occupies the $p$ orbital whereas that of lutetium atoms occupies the $d$ orbital. This different electronic configuration may cause the anomaly of the ionization energy of lawrencium atoms. This result is consistent with the previous works calculated by wave-function theory \[22, 24, 26, 29, 30\], while the computational cost is lower than those of previous works. It should be noted that the $p$-block elements are defined as those whose outer-most electrons occupy the $p$ orbitals \[48\]. Lawrencium, thus, belongs to the $p$ block, not $d$ according to the definition. It seems, however, more appropriate to regard that the concept of “block” becomes ambiguous for the heavy elements, as the electronic configuration of lawrencium is almost the same as that of lutetium, apart from the only difference of the outermost electron. Reconsideration of the classification appropriate for super-heavy elements would be mandatory.

For the atomic systems, the accurate wave-function theory such as the CI and CC methods are feasible, but they become impractical for molecular and solid systems compared with DFT. Since the relativistic effects are now implemented to DFT in this work and it provides consistent results with those by the wave-function methods, with which reliable calculation of properties of molecules...
and solids of super-heavy elements is expected to be feasible. These complementary methods may help to understand and predict the atomic properties of the super- and hyper-heavy elements. In the future, theoretical prediction of the periodic table of the elements may be attained with these complementary methods.

In addition, precise calculation and measurement of the super- and hyper-heavy elements will help to test the QED and the electric dipole moment of electrons and atomic nuclei, which is related to CP and T symmetries, as well as properties of the atoms itself.

It is known that some properties of solids are better reproduced by GGA instead of LDA. So far only the relativistic version of the B88 exchange functional has been known, which includes some empirical parameters. Thus, non-empirical relativistic exchange-correlation functionals within GGA is interesting.

Relativistic effects for the spin-polarized systems are
also interesting. In the two-body correction $V'_c$, the spin-orbit and spin-spin interactions between two electrons exist, while this term vanishes in the spin-unpolarized systems. This effect has never been considered and this may give rise to non-trivial phenomena. Also, the Hartree energy due to the retardation term $V'_e$, which is zero in the time-reversal symmetric case, can be nonzero. In order to consider these effects in the calculation of solids, construction of the pseudopotential is also required.
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**Appendix A: Two Relativistic Correction for the Exchange-Correlation Functionals**

The LDA form derived by Kenny et al. is used in this paper to consider the Breit correction for the exchange-correlation functional $E_{xc}$. This form has been constructed in the same way as the non-relativistic LDA exchange-correlation functional, PZ81, while the Coulomb-Breit interaction is used for the electron-electron interaction $V_{int}$ instead of the Coulomb interaction.

There is another relativistic correction for the exchange functional in LDA derived by MacDonald and Vosko. This functional is constructed in the same way as the non-relativistic LDA exchange functional, i.e., the Hartree-Fock-Slater approximation as

$$\varepsilon_s(\rho) = -\frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{3}{\pi}\right)^{1/3} \rho^{1/3} \left[1 - \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{3\pi^2\rho}{e^2}\right)^{2/3}\right].$$

(A1)

The relativistic correction to the correlation part is not considered in this functional.

In this appendix, the above-mentioned two relativistic corrections for $E_{xc}$ are discussed. The relativistic corrections derived by Kenny et al. and by MacDonald and Vosko are referred as “LDA-RK” and “LDA-RMV”, respectively.

In Table [V] the total energies calculated in the non-relativistic and relativistic LDA exchange-correlation functional are shown, where the PZ81 functional is used for the non-relativistic functional, while the LDA-RK and LDA-RMV functionals are used for the relativistic functional. For comparison the result with the non-relativistic GGA exchange-correlation functional is also shown, where the PBE functional is used. For the kinetic term and the Hartree term, the Non-rel scheme is used. In Fig. [4] ratio of the total energy calculated with LDA-RK, LDA-RMV, and PBE to that calculated with PZ81

$$\Delta E_{tot} = \frac{E_{tot} - E_{tot}^{PZ81}}{E_{tot}}$$

(A2)

are shown in solid, dashed, and dot-dashed lines, respectively.

On the one hand, LDA-RK includes the relativistic correction for the correlation energy together with that for the relativistic exchange energy. On the other hand, LDA-RMV includes only the correction for the exchange energy. Two functionals give almost the same results. Therefore, the relativistic correction for the correlation term is negligible.

In addition, even in $Z \approx 40$ region, the relativistic correction and the gradient correction for the total energy are comparable while the sign of $\Delta E_{tot}$ are opposite to each other. In $Z > 50$ region, the relativistic correction for the total energy is larger than the gradient correction. This example implies that the impact of the relativistic correction can be as significant as the gradient correction in a wide range of the systems.
functionals are used. For comparison the non-relativistic GGA exchange-correlation functional is also shown, where the PBE functional \([38]\) is used. For the kinetic term and the Hartree term, the Non-rel scheme is used.

The time-reversal symmetry ensures that any complex conjugate of the occupied eigenstate is also occupied eigenstate \((B2)\) since they are canceled by the exchange terms, and thus

\[
\sum_j V_j^{occ}(\mathbf{r})\psi_j(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \nabla \cdot \frac{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \cdot \nabla' \psi_j(\mathbf{r}) \psi_k(\mathbf{r}') \, d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r}',
\]

where the summation runs over the occupied states only. The \(j = k\) contribution can be included in Eqs. \((B1)\) and \((B2)\) since they are canceled by the exchange terms, and thus \(\sum_{j \neq k}\) can be replaced to \(\sum_{j, k}\). Here, we derive the density functional forms of \(E_{H1}\) and \(E_{H2}\).

The first term \(E_{H1}\) is straightforwardly transformed as

\[
E_{H1} = - \frac{\pi}{2c^2} \sum_{j \neq k}^{occ} \iint \psi_j^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_k^*(\mathbf{r}') \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \psi_j(\mathbf{r}) \psi_k(\mathbf{r}') \, d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r}'
\]

\[
= - \frac{\pi}{2c^2} \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \rho(\mathbf{r}') \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \, d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r}'
\]

\[
= - \frac{\pi}{2c^2} \int |\rho(\mathbf{r})|^2 \, d\mathbf{r}.
\]

Next, relativistic correction \(E_{H2}\) is derived with the assumption that the system has the time-reversal symmetry. Here, the density is written with the single-particle Kohn-Sham orbital as

\[
\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_j \psi_j^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j(\mathbf{r}).
\]

The time-reversal symmetry ensures that any complex conjugate of the occupied eigenstate is also occupied eigenstate.

### Appendix B: Relativistic Correction of Hartree Term

In this section, derivation of \(E_{H\text{rel}}\) (Eq. \((10)\)) is appended. We define the contribution from the first and second terms of \(V_2\) as \(E_{H1}\) and \(E_{H2}\), respectively, as \(E_{H\text{rel}} = E_{H1} + E_{H2}\):

\[
E_{H1} = \frac{\pi}{2c^2} \sum_{j \neq k}^{occ} \iint \psi_j^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_k^*(\mathbf{r}') \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \psi_j(\mathbf{r}) \psi_k(\mathbf{r}') \, d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r}',
\]

\[
E_{H2}[\rho] = \frac{1}{4c^2} \sum_{j \neq k}^{occ} \iint \psi_j^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_k^*(\mathbf{r}') \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \right] \cdot \nabla' \psi_j(\mathbf{r}) \psi_k(\mathbf{r}') \, d\mathbf{r} \, d\mathbf{r}',
\]
and here its index is denoted as \( j^* \): \( \psi^*_{j^*} =: \psi_j \). Thus, the component \( \sum_{j}^{\text{occ}} [\nabla \psi^*_j (r) \psi_j (r)] \) can be written as

\[
\sum_{j}^{\text{occ}} [\nabla \psi^*_j (r) \psi_j (r)] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j}^{\text{occ}} [\nabla \psi^*_j (r) \psi_j (r) + \nabla \psi_j (r) \psi^*_j (r)] \\
= \frac{1}{2} \nabla \sum_{j}^{\text{occ}} |\psi_j (r)|^2 \\
= \frac{1}{2} \nabla \rho (r).
\]  

(B5) 

Equation (B2), hence, reads

\[
E_{\text{H}2} [\rho] = -\frac{1}{4e^2} \sum_{j,k}^{\text{occ}} \int \int \psi^*_j (r) \psi^*_k (r') \left[ \frac{(r-r') \cdot (r-r')}{|r-r'|^3} + \frac{1}{|r-r'|} \right] \cdot \nabla' \psi_j (r) \rho (r') \, dr \, dr' \\
= -\frac{1}{4e^2} \sum_{j,k}^{\text{occ}} \int \int \psi^*_k (r') \left[ \frac{(r-r') \cdot \nabla \psi^*_j (r)}{|r-r'|^3} + \frac{\nabla \psi^*_j (r) \cdot \{ \nabla \psi_k (r') \}}{|r-r'|} \right] \cdot \psi_j (r) \, dr \, dr' \\
= -\frac{1}{16e^2} \int \int \left[ \frac{(r-r') \cdot \nabla \rho (r)}{|r-r'|^3} + \frac{\nabla \rho (r) \cdot \{ \nabla \rho (r') \}}{|r-r'|} \right] \rho (r) \, dr \, dr'.
\]  

(B6) 

Here, in the atomic systems, the density \( \rho \) satisfies \( \rho (r) \rightarrow 0 \) in \( r \rightarrow \infty \). Under this assumption, since

\[
\partial_j \frac{1}{|r-r'|} = -\frac{r_j - r_j'}{|r-r'|^3} \quad (j = x, y, z),
\]  

(B7) 

the second term of Eq. (B6) reads

\[
\int \int \frac{(r-r') \cdot \{ \nabla \rho (r') \}}{|r-r'|^3} \, dr \, dr' = -\int \int \rho (r) \nabla \rho (r') \, dr \, dr' = \int \int \rho (r) \frac{(r-r') \cdot \{ \nabla \rho (r') \}}{|r-r'|^3} \, dr \, dr'.
\]  

(B8) 

Since

\[
\partial_j \frac{(r_i - r_i') (r_j - r_j')}{|r-r'|^3} = \frac{r_j - r_j'}{|r-r'|^3} + \frac{r_i - r_i'}{|r-r'|^3} \delta_{ij} - 3 \frac{(r_i - r_i')^2 (r_j - r_j')}{|r-r'|^5}
\]  

(B9) 

and

\[
\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \frac{(r_i - r_i') (r_j - r_j')}{|r-r'|^3} \partial_{j} \rho (r') = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \left( \frac{r_j - r_j'}{|r-r'|^3} + \frac{r_i - r_i'}{|r-r'|^3} \delta_{ij} - 3 \frac{(r_i - r_i')^2 (r_j - r_j')}{|r-r'|^5} \right) \partial_{j} \rho (r') \\
= 3 \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{r_j - r_j'}{|r-r'|^3} \partial_{j} \rho (r') + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{r_i - r_i'}{|r-r'|^3} \partial_{i} \rho (r') - 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \frac{(r_i - r_i')^2 (r_j - r_j')}{|r-r'|^5} \partial_{j} \rho (r') \\
= 4 \frac{(r-r') \cdot \{ \nabla \rho (r') \}}{|r-r'|^3} - 3 \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \frac{(r-r') \cdot \{ \nabla \rho (r') \}}{|r-r'|^3},
\]  

(B10)
the first term of Eq. (B6) reads
\[
\int \int \frac{(r - r') \cdot \nabla \rho (r)}{|r - r'|^3} dr dr' = \int \int \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \left( (r_i - r'_i) \partial_i \rho (r) \right) \left( (r_j - r'_j) \partial_j \rho (r') \right)}{|r - r'|^3} dr dr'
\]
\[
= \int \int \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \left( (r_i - r'_i) (r_j - r'_j) \partial_i \rho (r) \partial_j \rho (r') \right)}{|r - r'|^3} dr dr'
\]
\[
= - \int \int \frac{\rho (r) \partial_i \left( r_i - r'_i \right) (r_j - r'_j) \partial_j \rho (r') dr dr'}{|r - r'|^3}
\]
\[
= - \int \int \rho (r) \frac{(r - r') \cdot \nabla \rho (r')}{|r - r'|^3} dr dr'.
\]
(B11)

Therefore, Eq. (B6) reads
\[
E_{H2} [\rho] = - \frac{1}{16c^2} \int \int \frac{\{ (r - r') \cdot \nabla \rho (r) \} \{ (r - r') \cdot \nabla \rho (r') \}}{|r - r'|^3} + \frac{\{ \nabla \rho (r) \} \{ \nabla \rho (r') \}}{|r - r'|^3} dr dr'
\]
\[
= - \int \rho (r) \frac{(r - r') \cdot \nabla \rho (r')}{|r - r'|^3} dr dr' + \int \rho (r) \frac{(r - r') \cdot \nabla \rho (r')}{|r - r'|^3} dr dr'
\]
\[
= 0.
\]
(B12)

Finally,
\[
E_{H1} = E_{H2} = - \frac{\pi}{2c^2} \int |\rho (r)|^2 dr
\]
(B13)

is followed.


