

Yang–Mills Theory of Gravity

Malik AL Matwi

Department of Mathematical Science, Ritsumeikan University, 4-2-28,

Kusatsu-Shi, Shiga, 525-0034, Japan

malik.matwi@gmail.com

This version includes some corrections to the
published paper: physics 2019, 1(3), 339-359

Contents

1	Solutions using spin currents	3
2	Modifying by adding Yang–Mills Lagrangian	11
3	Decomposition Space–Time Manifold M into $R \times \Sigma$	13
4	Conclusions	21
5	Appendix A	21
6	Appendix B	22

Abstract

The canonical formulation of general relativity is based on decomposition space–time manifold M into $R \times \Sigma$, this decomposition has to preserve the invariance of general relativity, invariance under general coordinates, and local Lorentz transformations. These symmetries associate with conserved currents that are coupled to gravity. In this paper, we try to solve

the equations of motion of general relativity in self-dual formalism using only the spin currents (Lorentz currents), in static case, and without needing using the Einstein's equation, that makes the general relativity similar to Yang-Mills theory of gauge fields. We give an example, matter located at a point, so we have spherical symmetric system. Then we add Yang-Mills Lagrangian to general relativity Lagrangian. Finally we use the decomposition of the space-time manifold $M = R \times \Sigma$ to find that Σ_i^{0a} is a conjugate momentum of A_a^i and $\Sigma_i^{ab} F_{ab}^i$ is energy density.

Keywords: Lorentz invariance; spin currents; complex connection A^i .

Introduction

Gravity can be formulated based on gauge theory by gauging the Lorentz group $SO(3,1)$ [1]. For this purpose, we need to fix some base space and consider that the Lorentz group $SO(3,1)$ acts locally on Lorentz frames which are regarded as a frame bundle over a fixed base space M . We can consider this base space as an arbitrary space-time manifold M with coordinates (x^μ) , and consider the local Lorentz frame as an element in the tangent frame bundle over M . By that we have two symmetries; invariance under continuous transformations of local Lorentz frame, $SO(3,1)$ group, and invariance under diffeomorphism of the space-time M , which is originally considered as a base space [2].

The local Lorentz invariance gives a conserved current, call it spin current, or Lorentz current. In flat coordinates (x^I) , the spin current for arbitrary field φ is ([15], section 22)

$$M^{IJK} = x^J T^{IK} - x^K T^{IJ},$$

the conservation law is $\partial_I M^{IJK} = 0$, with conservation of energy-momentum tensor $\partial_I T^{IJ} = 0$. When the field carries spinor indices, like φ^α , this adds a term like

$$M^{IJK} = x^J T^{IK} - x^K T^{IJ} + \pi_\alpha^I (S^{JK})^\alpha_\beta \varphi^\beta.$$

In arbitrary coordinates (x^μ) , we write $(x^I = e_\mu^I x^\mu)$, where x^I becomes tangent vector, also $T^{\mu\nu} = e_I^\mu e_J^\nu T^{IJ}$. One can write

$$M^{\mu IJ} = e_\nu^I x^\nu T^{\mu J} - e_\nu^J x^\nu T^{\mu I}.$$

Therefore $D_\mu M^{\mu IJ} = 0$ when $D_\mu e_\nu^I = 0$ and $D_\mu T^{\mu I} = 0$. The current $M^{\mu IJ}$ couples to the spin connection ω_μ^{IJ} for local symmetry of Lorentz group $SO(3, 1)$. The equation $D_\mu e_\nu^I = 0$ defines affine connection ∇ on $TM \times TM$ and spin connection ω on $TM \times \mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$. In this paper, we try to solve the equation of motion of the Plebanski Lagrangian

$$L = B_i^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^i + \phi_{ij} B^{\mu\nu i} B_{\mu\nu}^j + L_{matter}, \quad (1)$$

using only the spin connection, without needing using the affine connection ∇ (avoiding using it).

1 Solutions using spin currents

By regarding the local Lorentz symmetry as a gauge symmetry with spin connection $\omega \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{so}(3, 1))$ (or $A \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{so}(3, \mathbb{C}))$) as gauge fields, we recognize Yang–Mills theory in gravity. But not full gravity, since in the Yang–Mills theory, the variables are connections and conserved currents, while in the gravity the metric is also variable. The local Lorentz symmetry produces locally conserved currents, and those currents are coupled to spin connection ω^{IJ} . This makes the local Lorentz symmetry a gauge symmetry with the Lorentz group as a gauge group. Also, these currents must be conserved and vanish in the vacuum. We try in this section to solve the equations of motion of general relativity in self-dual formalism using only the spin current (Lorentz current), in static case, and without needing solving the Einstein’s equation. We give an example, matter located at a point, so we have spherical symmetric system.

In self-dual formalism of general relativity, the independent variables are $B_i^{\mu\nu}$ and A_μ^i , they take values in Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3, \mathbb{C})$. The non-zero spin current $\delta L_{matter}/\delta A_\mu^i$ implies $D_\mu B^{\mu\nu i} \neq 0$ (here $D_\mu = \nabla_\mu + A_\mu$). Therefore we write $B^{\mu\nu i} = K^i_j \Sigma^{\mu\nu i}$, for some 3×3 complex matrix K^i_j of scalar functions on M in order to get $D_\mu \Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = 0$ and $\Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = P^{IJ} e_I^\mu e_J^\nu$, with gravitational field e_μ^I satisfying $D_\nu e_\mu^I = 0$, here $D_\mu = \nabla_\mu + \omega_\mu$, where ∇ is affine connection on M , we avoid using it. The gravitational field e_μ^I defines a metric $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^J e_{J\nu}$, it satisfies $\nabla_\rho g_{\mu\nu} = (D_\rho e_\mu^J) e_{J\nu} + e_\mu^J (D_\rho e_{J\nu}) = 0$. The field e_I^μ is inversion of e_μ^I , that is $e_\mu^I e_J^\mu = \delta_J^I$ and $e_\mu^I e_I^\nu = \delta_\mu^\nu$.

We try to find the solutions of K^i_j and $\Sigma^{\mu\nu i}$, so obtaining the spin connection A^i_μ from $D_\mu \Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = 0$. By that we obtain the curvature of A^i_μ and the field $B^{\mu\nu i} = K^i_j \Sigma^{\mu\nu j}$.

The general relativity Lagrangian in self-dual formalism including matter is

$$L_{dual\ GR} + L_{matter} = B_i^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^i + \phi_{ij} B^{\mu\nu i} B_{\mu\nu}^j + L_{matter}, \quad (2)$$

where ϕ is traceless matrix. The equation of motion of $B_i^{\mu\nu}$ is

$$F_{\mu\nu}^i + \phi^i_j B_{\mu\nu}^j + \frac{\delta L_{matter}}{\delta B_i^{\mu\nu}} = 0.$$

The two forms $F_{\mu\nu}^i$ and $B_{\mu\nu}^i$ takes values in Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3, \mathbb{C})$, so there are always matrices ψ and ψ' of scalar functions, so writing

$$F^i = \psi^i_j B^j + \xi^i_j \bar{B}^j. \quad (3)$$

The equations of motion asserts that the matrices ψ and ξ are symmetric.

The equation of motion of the spin connection A^i_μ is

$$-D_\mu B^{\mu\nu i} + J^{\nu i} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Now we try to solve the equation (4) using $B^{\mu\nu i} = K^i_j \Sigma^{\mu\nu j}$ with $D_\mu \Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = 0$. Therefore

$$-(D_\mu K^i_j) \Sigma^{\mu\nu j} + J^{\nu i} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Using $B^i = K^i_j \Sigma^j$ in (3), one finds that the matrix K must also be symmetric in order to satisfy the general relativity constraints using Σ^i and $F^i = (\psi K)^i_j \Sigma^j$ in the vacuum ($\psi'^i_j = 0$), where we let Σ^i be a solution. By $SO(3, \mathbb{C})$ transformation using an invertible matrix U^i_j , we can get $K^i_j = K^i \delta^i_j$, for some scalar functions K^i . Latter we find that K^i satisfies $\partial^2 K^i = 0$.

The equation (5) means that the spin current becomes a source for $D_\mu K^i_j$ instead of being source for $D_\mu B^{\mu\nu i}$. The new basis $\Sigma^{\mu\nu i}$ has to be given using gravitational field e^I_μ with the inversion e^μ_I , so writing $\Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = P^{iIJ} e^\mu_I e^\nu_J$, the field e^I_μ satisfies $D_\nu e^I_\mu = 0$. The matrix K^i_j has to be symmetric as mentioned before.

Using $\Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = P^{iIJ} e_I^\mu e_J^\nu$ and $J^{\nu i} = P_{IJ}^i J^{\nu IJ}$ in (5), we obtain

$$(D_\mu K^i_j) P_{IJ}^j e^{I\mu} e^{J\nu} = P_{IJ}^i J^{\nu IJ},$$

and using $J^{\nu IJ} = e^{I\mu} e^{\rho J} J_{\mu\rho}^\nu$ which is possible since $e_{I\mu} e_{J\rho} J^{\nu IJ} = J_{\mu\rho}^\nu$ exists, we find

$$(D_\mu K^i_j) P_{IJ}^j e^{I\mu} e^{J\nu} = P_{IJ}^i e^{I\mu} e^{\rho J} J_{\mu\rho}^\nu,$$

so

$$(D_\mu K^i_j + v_{\mu j}^i) P_{IJ}^j e^{J\nu} = P_{IJ}^i e^{\rho J} J_{\mu\rho}^\nu, \quad \text{for } v_{\mu j}^i P_{IJ}^j e^{I\mu} e^{J\nu} = 0.$$

Since K^i_j is real symmetric matrix, we let $v_{\mu j}^i$ be also real and symmetric in i, j . The equation $v_{\mu j}^i P_{IJ}^j e^{I\mu} e^{J\nu} = 0$ implies $v_{\mu j}^i P_{IJ}^j e^{I\mu} = 0$. We can make $SO(3) \times SO(3, 1)$ transformation on $v_{\mu j}^i P_{IJ}^j e^{I\mu} = 0$ to get $v_{\mu j}^i = v_\mu^i \delta_j^i$. And according to the calculations below, we find that $v_\mu^i \delta_j^i P_{IJ}^j e^{I\mu} = 0$ implies $v_\mu^i = 0$. So we drop $v_{\mu j}^i$ from now.

From the conservation $D_\nu J^{\nu IJ} = D_\nu (e^{I\mu} e^{\rho J} J_{\mu\rho}^\nu) = e^{I\mu} e^{\rho J} D_\nu J_{\mu\rho}^\nu = 0$ (using $D_\nu e_\mu^I = 0$), one obtains

$$P_{IJ}^j e^{J\nu} D_\nu (D_\mu K^i_j) = P_{IJ}^i e^{\rho J} D_\nu J_{\mu\rho}^\nu = 0,$$

so

$$P_{IJ}^j e^{J\nu} (D_\nu D_\mu K^i_j) = 0, \quad \text{for each } I = 0, 1, 2, 3 \text{ and } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

The matrix K^i_j is symmetric, so we can make $SO(3) \times SO(3, 1)$ transformation using a matrix U^i_j to get $K^i_j = K^i \delta_j^i$, so

$$D_\mu K^i_j = (\partial_\mu K^i) \delta_j^i + K^i D_\mu \delta_j^i.$$

But $D_\mu \delta_j^i = 0$, thus

$$D_\mu K^i_j = (\partial_\mu K^i) \delta_j^i,$$

Therefore

$$P_{IJ}^i e^{J\nu} (\partial_\nu \partial_\mu K^i) = 0 \quad \text{for each } I = 0, 1, 2, 3 \text{ and } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

For $I = 0$, we have $P_{0j}^i = -i\delta^i_j/2$, it implies $e^{i\nu}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu K^i = 0$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3$. And for $I = j$, we have $P_{jk}^i = \epsilon^i_{jk}/2$, it implies $e^{i\nu}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu K^j = e^{j\nu}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu K^i$ for each $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. The first equation $e^{i\nu}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu K^i = 0$ implies the proportionality $\partial_\nu\partial_\mu K^i \sim \epsilon^i_{jk}e_\nu^j$ (or $\sim \epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\gamma}e^{\rho i}$), using it in the second equation leads to

$$e^{i\nu}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu K^j \sim \epsilon^j_{\ell k}e^{i\nu}e_\nu^\ell = \epsilon^j_{\ell k}\delta^{\ell i} = -\epsilon^{ij}_k$$

which is anti-symmetric in i, j , this is contradict with the symmetric in the second equation $e^{i\nu}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu K^j = e^{j\nu}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu K^i$. Therefore we choose $e^{I\nu}(\partial_\nu\partial_\mu K^i) = 0$, so

$$e_I^\mu e^{I\nu}(\partial_\nu\partial_\mu K^i) = g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu K^i = \partial^2 K^i = 0, \quad (6)$$

where we used the metric $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^J e_{J\nu}$, it satisfies $\nabla_\rho g_{\mu\nu} = (D_\rho e_\mu^J)e_{J\nu} + e_\mu^J(D_\rho e_{J\nu}) = 0$.

We note that we used a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ defined by $e_{J\mu}e_\nu^J$, but the equation $\partial^2 K^i = 0$ is invariant under any coordinates transformation $x \rightarrow x'$, $\psi'^i(x') = \psi^i(x)$, so the equation $\partial^2 K^i = 0$ is valid for any other metric $g'_{\mu\nu}$ (at least locally). Also we let the affine connection ∇_μ be flat connection, therefore we can make coordinates transformation to obtain euclidean metric on M , and so $\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu$. Another treatment of $e^{I\nu}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu K^i = 0$ is multiplying it with $e_{I\rho}$ and sum over I to get $\partial_\rho\partial_\mu K^i = 0$ which can be solved by letting K^i depend only on one coordinate (like r in spherical coordinates), we obtain $\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu K^i = 0$, for Lorentz metric η . By that the functions K^i do not depend on the metric $e_{J\mu}e_\nu^J$ on M , but they have to depend only on one coordinate on M .

To get a solution, we first solve $\partial^2 K^i = 0$ (by letting K^i depend only on one coordinate), then using it in $-(\partial_\mu K^i)\Sigma^{\mu\nu i} + J^{\nu i} = 0$, the equation (5), to get the solution of $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^i$ in terms of given spin current $J^{\nu i}$, and so getting $B_{\mu\nu}^i$, where the spin current $J^{i\mu}$ is assumed to be given as a function on M . Using the solution of $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^i$, we obtain the connection $A \in \Omega^1(M; \mathfrak{so}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ using $D\Sigma^i = 0$. So obtaining the curvature $F^i(A) = dA^i + \epsilon^i_{jk}A^j \wedge A^k$.

In $3+1$ decomposition of the space-time manifold $M = \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$, let Σ_t be the space-like slice of constant time t with the coordinates $(x^a)_{a=1,2,3}$ (and 0 is time index). The equation $D_\mu \Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = 0$ decomposes to two equations,

$$D_a E^{ai} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon^{abc} D_b B_c^i = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad \epsilon^{abc} = \epsilon^{0abc}, \quad (7)$$

in which we introduce the vector field E^i and the 1-form B^i ,

$$E^{ai} = \Sigma^{0ai}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma^{abi} = \epsilon^{abc} B_c^i, \quad (8)$$

on the space-like slice Σ_t (the field E^{ai} is conjugate to the connection A_a^i).

By that the equation $-(\partial_\mu K^i) \Sigma^{\mu\nu i} + J^{\nu i} = 0$ yields (with $\partial_0 K^i = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} J^{ai} &= (\partial_b K^i) \Sigma^{bai} = (\partial_b K^i) \epsilon^{bac} B_c^i = \epsilon^{bac} (\partial_b K^i) B_c^i, \\ \text{and} \\ J^{0i} &= (\partial_a K^i) \Sigma^{a0i} = -(\partial_a K^i) \Sigma^{0ai} = -(\partial_a K^i) E^{ai}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where J^{ai} are vector currents and $J^{0i} = Q^i$ are charges.

In the static case $J^{ai} = 0$, we can solve the first equation in terms of $\partial_a K^i$ by writing

$$B_a^i = f(\partial_a K^i) b^i, \quad \text{for } b^i \in \Gamma(M; \mathfrak{so}(3, \mathbb{C})), \quad (10)$$

and f is scalar function on M . But when $J^{ai} \neq 0$, we let $B_a^i = f(\partial_a G^i) b^i$ for some scalar functions $G^i \neq K^i$. The equation $\epsilon^{abc} D_b B_c^i = 0$ implies $Db^i = 0$ and $df = 0$.

Regarding the second equation of (9), when $J^{0i} = 0$ (in the vacuum), we can solve it in terms of $\partial_a K^i$ by writing

$$E^{ai} = g \epsilon^{abc} (\partial_b K^i) v_c^i, \quad (11)$$

for some 1-form $v \in \Gamma(M; T^* \Sigma \times \mathfrak{so}(3, \mathbb{C}))$, and g is scalar function on M . The equation $D_a E^{ai} = 0$ implies $Dv = 0$ and $dg = 0$.

And when $J^{0i} \neq 0$ (existence of matter), we let $E^{ai} = g^{ab} (\partial_b K^i) u^i$, for some vector field $u \in \Gamma(M; \mathfrak{so}(3, \mathbb{C}))$. By that

$$Q^i = J^{0i} = -g^{ab} (\partial_a K^i) (\partial_b K^i) u^i. \quad (12)$$

Thus there are two solutions of E^{ai} (for vacuum and for matter), the total solution is $E^{ai} = g^{ab} (\partial_b K^i) u^i + g \epsilon^{abc} (\partial_b K^i) v_c^i$. The equation $D_a E^{ai} = 0$ implies $Du = 0$ since $\partial^2 K^i = 0$

as showed before.

Using the self-duality [22, 11]

$$\frac{1}{2!}e^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\Sigma_{\rho\sigma}^i = (*\Sigma^i)^{\mu\nu} = (-i\Sigma^i)^{\mu\nu} = -i\Sigma^{\mu\nu i}, \quad e = \det(e_\mu^I), \quad (13)$$

where we used the Hodge duality theory between the forms and the tensor fields, here $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^i$ is 2-form and $\Sigma^{i\mu\nu}$ is (0,2) tensor field. Regarding the equations (7), (10), (11) and (13), one can take the solutions

$$\Sigma_{0a}^i = -\Sigma_{a0}^i = (\partial_a K^i)b^i, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_{ab}^i = -\Sigma_{ba}^i = (\partial_{[a} K^i)v_{b]}^i. \quad (14)$$

In order to write Σ^{IJ} as $e^I \wedge e^J$ in the solutions (14), as required to get gravity theory, we have to let $v_a^i = v_a b^i$, for some 1-form $v \in \Gamma(M; T^*\Sigma)$. Therefore the solutions (14) become

$$\Sigma_{0a}^i = -\Sigma_{a0}^i = (\partial_a K^i)b^i, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_{ab}^i = -\Sigma_{ba}^i = (\partial_{[a} K^i)v_{b]}^i, \quad (15)$$

for

$$b^i \in \Gamma(M; \mathfrak{so}(3, \mathbb{C})), \quad Db^i = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad dv = 0.$$

And because of $v_a E^{ai} = 0$, we let $v^a = g^{ab}v_b$ be Killing vector satisfying $v^a \partial_a K^i = 0$, so $v^a B_a^i = 0$. By that and according to self-dual projection, there is vector fields b^I and K^I satisfying $K^i b^i = P_{IJ}^i b^I (K^J b^J)$, therefore $\Sigma_{ab}^{IJ} = (b^I v_{[a} D_{b]} (K^J b^J))$, then we can write $e_a^I = v_a b^I$ and $e_b^J = (\partial_b K^J) b^J$. And from $\Sigma_{0a}^{IJ} = u^I (\partial_a K^J) u^J$, we get $e_a^J = (\partial_a K^J) u^J$ and $e_0^I = v_0 u^I$, for $v_0 = 1$. A more general case is to find three vector fields b_1^I, b_2^I and K^I satisfying $K^i b^i = P_{IJ}^i b_1^I (K^J b_2^J)$, therefore $\Sigma_{ab}^{IJ} = (b_1^I v_{[a} D_{b]} (K^J b_2^J))$, then we can write $e_a^I = v_a b_1^I$ and $e_b^J = (\partial_b K^J) b_2^J$. And from $\Sigma_{0a}^{IJ} = u_1^I (\partial_a K^J) u_2^J$, we get $e_a^J = (\partial_a K^J) u_2^J$ and $e_0^I = v_0 u_1^I$, for $v_0 = 1$. By that the solutions (15) can be written as $\Sigma^i = P_{IJ}^i \Sigma^{IJ}$ for $\Sigma^{IJ} = e^I \wedge e^J$. But we have to note that the solution (15) is a general solution and we have to find a special solution, like to choose $b^i = u^i$ and let it be constant field, as we will do in the following study.

If the charges $J^{0i} \neq 0$ are given as a functions on M , and in order to get a solution using them, we use the solutions of K^i (obtained from $\partial^2 K^i = 0$) in the equation (12), so obtaining the field $u^i = b^i$. And obtaining the vector v from $v^a \partial_a K^i = 0$ so obtaining the 1-form by $v_a = g_{ab}v^b$. We obtain Σ_{0a}^i and Σ_{ab}^i using the solutions (15), so getting the connection A^i from $D\Sigma^i = 0$. We note that $v^a E_a^i = 0$, $v_a B^{ai} = 0$ and $v^a \partial_a K^i = 0$ depend on the symmetry

of the system, for example, spherical symmetry, cylindrical symmetry, and so on.

Using the solutions (15), we get the connection $A \in \Omega^1(M; \mathfrak{so}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ using $D\Sigma^i = 0$, where $D = d + A$ is exterior covariant derivative, the good thing with it is that it does not include the affine connection ∇ on TM . The equation $D\Sigma^i = 0$ yields

$$\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\nu \Sigma_{\rho\sigma}^i + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \varepsilon^i{}_{jk} A_\nu^j \Sigma_{\rho\sigma}^k = 0.$$

The solution of the spin connection A^i is

$$A_\mu^i = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} V^\nu \Sigma^{\rho\sigma i}, \quad (16)$$

with

$$V_\gamma = \frac{-1}{4} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \Sigma_{i\gamma\mu} \partial_\nu \Sigma_{\rho\sigma}^i, \quad \text{for } \varepsilon^{0123} = -1, \quad \varepsilon_{0123} = 1.$$

The used metric is $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^I e_\nu^J \eta_{IJ}$ and $\Sigma_i^{\mu\nu}$ is inversion of $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^i$ (see appendix A for more details). By using

$$-i\Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = \frac{1}{2!} e^{-1} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \Sigma_{\rho\sigma}^i, \quad e = \det(e_\mu^I),$$

we get the inversion tensor $\Sigma^{\mu\nu i}$, and e_μ^I is obtained from $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^i = P_{IJ}^i e_\mu^I e_\nu^J$. We obtain the curvature $F^i(A) = dA^i + \varepsilon^i{}_{jk} A^j \wedge A^k$, then we satisfy the equation $\Sigma_i^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^i(A) = 0$ in the vacuum. Since $A_\mu^i \sim b^i$, the equation $Db^i = 0$ implies that b^i is constant field.

We try to give an example for obtaining that solutions. In spherical symmetry, where the matter located at a point. We use the spherically coordinates (r, θ, φ) on the space-like slice $\Sigma_t = \Sigma = \mathbb{R}^3$. We let the solutions depend only on the radius r , and start with solution of $\partial^2 K^i = 0$ (the equation (6)),

$$\nabla^2 K^i = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K^i \right) = 0 \Rightarrow K^i = \frac{c^i}{r}, \quad (17)$$

for some constants $c^i \in \mathbb{R}$. By that the functions K^i depend only on one coordinate, r , as discussed below of equation ((6)). Therefore

$$dK^i = c^i (dr \partial_r + d\theta \partial_\theta + d\varphi \partial_\varphi) \frac{1}{r} = -\frac{c^i}{r^2} dr,$$

thus the 1-form v ($dv = 0$, $g^{ab}v_a\partial_b K^i = 0$) is

$$v = a_1 d\theta + a_2 d\varphi, \quad a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

where in the spherical symmetry we let a_1 and a_2 do not depend on the coordinates θ and φ . The values of the constants a_1 and a_2 are not significant since $a^i = g^{ij}a_j$ is Killing vector, thus we set $a_1 = a_2 = 1$. The used metric g_{ab} here is the standard metric in the spherical coordinates, because a^i is Killing vector of K^i .

We get the solutions of the 1-form B^i and the vector field E^i using (15),

$$B^i = (dK^i)b^i = -\frac{b^i}{r^2}dr,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E^i &= \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abc}\Sigma_{bc}^i\partial_a = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abc}v_b(\partial_c K^i)b^i\partial_a = -\varepsilon^{\varphi\theta r}\frac{b^i}{2r^2}\partial_\varphi - \varepsilon^{\theta\varphi r}\frac{b^i}{2r^2}\partial_\theta \\ &= \varepsilon^{\varphi r\theta}\frac{b^i}{2r^2}\partial_\varphi + \varepsilon^{\theta r\varphi}\frac{b^i}{2r^2}\partial_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

By that we get

$$\Sigma_{0r}^i = -\frac{b^i}{r^2}, \quad \Sigma_{r\theta}^i = \frac{b^i}{2r^2}, \quad \Sigma_{r\varphi}^i = \frac{b^i}{2r^2}. \quad (18)$$

According to self-dual map, there are at least two constant fields b_1^I and b_2^I satisfying $b^i = P_{IJ}^i b_1^I b_2^J$. So from $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^i = P_{IJ}^i e_\mu^I e_\nu^J$, we get the gravitational fields

$$e_0^I = -\frac{b_1^I}{r}, \quad e_r^I = \frac{b_2^I}{r}, \quad e_\theta^I = -\frac{b_1^I}{2r}, \quad e_\varphi^I = -\frac{b_1^I}{2r}. \quad (19)$$

We let that matter be located at the origin $(0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, therefore the charge (12) is given by $Q^i(x) = Q_0^i \delta^3(x)$, so $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q_0^i \delta^3(x) = Q_0^i = \text{constant}$ (conservation of the charges).

Using the equation (12)

$$Q^i = J^{0i} = -g^{ab}(\partial_a K^i)(\partial_b K^i)u^i.$$

Using $K^i = c^i/r$, one finds

$$Q^i(r) = -\frac{c^i c^i}{r^4}u^i.$$

And in order to get $Q^i(x) = Q_0^i \delta^3(x)$, we let

$$Q^i(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 \sqrt{2}} \frac{\epsilon}{r^4 + \epsilon^4} Q_0^i, \quad \text{for } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Therefore

$$-c^i c^j u^i = \frac{1}{\pi^2 \sqrt{2}} \epsilon Q_0^i.$$

So we choose $c^i = 1$ and $u^i = -\epsilon Q_0^i / (\pi^2 \sqrt{2})$, and as we saw before the total solution of E^{ai} (for vacuum and for matter) is $E^{ai} = g^{ab}(\partial_b K^i)u^i + g\epsilon^{abc}(\partial_b K^i)v_c^i$, discussion below of (12). Therefore in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, the remaining solution is $E^{ai} = \epsilon^{abc}(\partial_b K^i)v_c b^i$.

There is multiplicity in the gravitational fields (19), therefore we let the non-zero fields be

$$e_0^0 = -\frac{b_1^0}{r}, \quad e_r^1 = \frac{b_2^1}{r}, \quad e_\theta^2 = -\frac{b_1^2}{2r}, \quad e_\varphi^3 = -\frac{b_1^3}{2r}.$$

We obtain the connection A_μ^i using (16), so getting the curvature $F^i(A) = dA^i + \varepsilon^i_{jk} A^j \wedge A^k$. The constants b_1^I and b_2^I have to be fixed in order to satisfy $\Sigma_i^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^i(A) = 0$ in the vacuum.

2 Modifying by adding Yang-Mills Lagrangian

In this section we get $D\Sigma^i = 0$ ($De^I = 0$) by adding a new term to the Lagrangian in self-dual formalism. We add Yang-Mills Lagrangian of the connection A^i to the Lagrangian to get

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L_{gravity}(\Sigma, A) + L_{Y-M}(A) + L_{matter} \\ &= \Sigma_i^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^i + \phi_{ij} \Sigma^{\mu\nu i} \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^j + \frac{k}{4} F_i^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^i + L_{matter}, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

the equation of motion of A_ν^i is (k is constant)

$$-P_{iIJ} D_\mu \Sigma^{\mu\nu i} - k D_\mu F^{\mu\nu i} + J^{\nu i} = 0.$$

Thus we can choose $D_\mu \Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = 0$, by that we get

$$-k D_\mu F^{\mu\nu i} + J^{\nu i} = 0, \quad \text{for } D = \nabla + A. \tag{21}$$

This is same equation of motion in Yang-Mills theory of gauge fields. This relates to the fact that we can regard the local Lorentz symmetry (internal symmetry) as a gauge symmetry with spin connection ω^{IJ} (or A^i) as a gauge field. The Lorentz current in 21 is conserved since

$$D_\nu D_\mu F^{\mu\nu i} = \frac{1}{2}[D_\nu, D_\mu]F^{\mu\nu i} = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^i{}_{jk}F_{\mu\nu}^j F^{\mu\nu k} = 0 \rightarrow D_\nu J^{\nu i} = 0.$$

We can get same current by using Riemann curvature tensor $R^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma}$ given in terms of the Levi-Civita connection ∇ . The Bianchi identity is

$$\nabla_\gamma R^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} + \nabla_\rho R^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma\gamma} + \nabla_\sigma R^\mu{}_{\nu\gamma\rho} = 0.$$

Multiplying it by δ_μ^ρ , yields to

$$\nabla_\gamma R^\mu{}_{\nu\mu\sigma} + \nabla_\mu R^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma\gamma} + \nabla_\sigma R^\mu{}_{\nu\gamma\mu} = 0,$$

or

$$\nabla_\gamma R^\mu{}_{\nu\mu\sigma} + \nabla_\mu R^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma\gamma} - \nabla_\sigma R^\mu{}_{\nu\mu\gamma} = 0,$$

the Ricci tensor $R_{\nu\sigma} = R^\mu{}_{\nu\mu\sigma}$ vanishes in the vacuum, so $\nabla_\mu R^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma\gamma}$ also vanishes in the vacuum, so $\nabla^\mu R_{\mu\nu\sigma\gamma} = \nabla^\mu R_{\sigma\gamma\mu\nu} \neq 0$ associates only with matter. The curvature of the spin connection ω relates with the Riemann curvature by

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}(\omega(e)) = e^{I\sigma} e^{J\gamma} R_{\sigma\gamma\mu\nu}(g(e)), \quad \text{with} \quad g_{\mu\nu}(e) = \eta_{IJ} e_\mu^I e_\nu^J,$$

therefore

$$\nabla^\mu R_{\sigma\gamma\mu\nu} \neq 0 (= 0) \rightarrow D^\mu R_{\mu\nu}^{IJ} \neq 0 (= 0), \quad D_\mu e_\nu^I = 0.$$

Thus $D^\mu R_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}$ associates only with matter and vanishes in the vacuum. Using the self-dual projection, $F_{\mu\nu}^i(A) = P_{IJ}^i R_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}(\omega)$, we find that

$$J_\nu^i = D^\mu F_{\mu\nu}^i(A) = P_{IJ}^i D^\mu R_{\mu\nu}^{IJ}(\omega)$$

also vanishes in the vacuum. Therefore this current associates only with matter and the equation (21) is well defined.

In Plebaniski formalism, we have the formula

$$F^i(A) = \psi^i_j \Sigma^j + \bar{\psi}^i_j \bar{\Sigma}^j, \quad \text{with} \quad \Sigma^i = P^i_{IJ} e^I \wedge e^J,$$

in the space (Σ^i, F^i) , where $Tr(\psi) = 0$, $\bar{\psi}^i_j = 0$ in the vacuum without cosmological constant [10]. By using this formula in Equation (21), we get (Appendix B)

$$(D_\mu \psi^i_j) \Sigma^{j\mu\nu} = \frac{3}{2} J^{i\nu}, \quad \text{for} \quad D_\mu \Sigma^{j\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (22)$$

This is same equation (5), so its solutions are same solutions we obtained in the previous section. By that we obtain the solutions of Σ^i and $F^i(A)$, and by inserting them in the equation of motion $\delta S / \delta \Sigma^i = 0$, we obtain the Lagrangian multiplier functions ϕ_{ij} .

3 Decomposition Space–Time Manifold M into $R \times \Sigma$

The formulation of general relativity based on decomposition space–time manifold M into $R \times \Sigma$ is needed for expressing the metric of space–time as a solution of an equation for time evolution, such as in the Hamiltonian formulation. Thus the time evolution is the changing of the geometry of this surface. This decomposition preserves the continuous symmetries (gauge invariance and diffeomorphism invariance) of general relativity and its canonical quantization, so we can use it for the gauge theory of general relativity [4, 12, 5, 6].

We get the energy density H and we set $\frac{d}{dt}H = 0$ when there is no external source. We see that $\frac{d}{dt}H = 0$ is same $d\theta = 0$ for 3-form θ on Σ . We define gravitational field as a one-form $e^I = e^I_\mu(x) dx^\mu$ that is related with metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ on an arbitrary space–time manifold M by $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{IJ} e^I_\mu e^J_\nu$, with spin connection $\omega^{IJ}(x) \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{so}(3, 1))$, where $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$ is Lie algebra of Lorentz group $SO(3, 1)$. The spin connection defines covariant derivative D_μ that acts on all fields which have Lorentz indices (I, J, \dots) :

$$D_\mu v^I = \partial_\mu v^I + \omega^I_{\mu J} v^J.$$

We start with the general relativity Lagrangian of the form

$$L(e, \omega) = (16\pi G)^{-1} e^\mu_I e^\nu_J (R_{\mu\nu})^{IJ} e, \quad (23)$$

where

$$R^{IJ} = d\omega^{IJ} + \omega^I_K \wedge \omega^{KJ}$$

is the Riemannian curvature tensor and e_I^μ satisfies $e_I^\mu e_J^\mu = \delta_J^I$.

By the decomposition $M \rightarrow R \times \Sigma$, we decompose this Lagrangian into

$$L(e, \omega) = (16\pi G)^{-1} e_I^a e_J^b (R_{ab})^{IJ} e + (16\pi G)^{-1} e_I^0 e_J^a (R_{0a})^{IJ} e. \quad (24)$$

The part

$$H = (16\pi G)^{-1} e_I^a e_J^b (R_{ab})^{IJ} e \quad (25)$$

takes the role of the energy density on the surface Σ_t of constant time, it does not include the time derivative, but it includes the spatial derivatives with respect to coordinates on Σ_t . It is invariant under the $SO(3, 1)$ transformation, thus we let $\frac{d}{dt}H = 0$ when there are no external sources. The second term

$$e_I^0 e_J^a (R_{0a})^{IJ} e = e e_I^0 e_J^a (\partial_0 \omega_a^{IJ} - \partial_a \omega_0^{IJ} + \omega_{0K}^I \omega_a^{KJ}),$$

has the term $e e_I^0 e_J^a \partial_0 \omega_a^{IJ}$ with represents the variables of the phase space $(e e_I^0 e_J^a, \omega_a^{IJ})$ on the surface Σ_t , where we let $e e_I^0 e_J^a = E_{IJ}^a$ be conjugate momentum to ω_a^{IJ} . The final term is

$$e e_I^0 e_J^a (-\partial_a \omega_0^{IJ} + \omega_{0K}^I \omega_a^{KJ} - \omega_{aK}^I \omega_0^{KJ}).$$

Integrating by parts, this term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_0^{IJ} \partial_a (e e_I^0 e_J^a) + (\omega_{0K}^I \omega_a^{KJ} - \omega_{aK}^I \omega_0^{KJ}) e e_I^0 e_J^a \\ &= \omega_0^{IJ} \partial_a (e e_I^0 e_J^a) + (\omega_{0K}^I \omega_a^{KJ} - \omega_0^{JK} \omega_{Ka}^I) e e_I^0 e_J^a \\ &= \omega_0^{IJ} \partial_a (e e_I^0 e_J^a) + \omega_0^{IK} \omega_{Ka}^J (e e_I^0 e_J^a) + \omega_0^{KJ} \omega_{Ka}^I (e e_I^0 e_J^a) \\ &= \omega_0^{IJ} \partial_a (e e_I^0 e_J^a) + \omega_0^{IJ} \omega_{Ja}^K (e e_I^0 e_K^a) + \omega_0^{IJ} \omega_{Ia}^K (e e_K^0 e_J^a) \\ &= \omega_0^{IJ} D_a (e e_I^0 e_J^a). \end{aligned}$$

The variable ω_0^{IJ} has no time derivative, so it is Lagrangian multiplier, it gives the constraint $D_a (e e_I^0 e_J^a) = D_a E_{IJ}^a = 0$.

In self-dual formalism of GR, we use the self-duality [22, 11]

$$\frac{1}{2!} e^{-1} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \Sigma_{\rho\sigma}^i = (*\Sigma^i)^{\mu\nu} = (-i\Sigma^i)^{\mu\nu} = -i\Sigma^{\mu\nu i}, \quad e = \det(e_\mu^I). \quad (26)$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$ (with indices I, J, \dots) is replaced by the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3, \mathbb{C})$ (with indices i, j, \dots). The field E_{IJ}^a becomes complex given by [9, 10]

$$E^{ia} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abc} P_{IJ}^i e_b^I e_c^J, \quad \text{with } E_{ab}^i = \Sigma_{ab}^i = P_{IJ}^i e_a^I e_b^J \quad (27)$$

where P_{IJ}^i is a self-dual map given by

$$P_{IJ}^i = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{jk}^i, \text{ for } I = i, J = j, \text{ and } P_{0j}^i = -P_{j0}^i = \frac{i}{2}\delta_j^i, \text{ for } I = 0, J = j \neq 0. \quad (28)$$

For example $E^{1a} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abc}(e_b^2 e_c^3 + i e_b^0 e_c^1)$. This map relates to the decomposition of Lie algebra of the Lorentz group $SO(1, 3)$ into two copies of Lie algebra of $SL(2, R)$ [11].

In the equation (27), we used the formula (26) to get

$$\varepsilon^{0abc} P_{IJ}^i e_b^I e_c^J / 2 = -i e P_{IJ}^i e^{0I} e^{aJ}.$$

Regarding the previous definition of the conjugate momentum $E_{IJ}^a = e e_I^0 e_J^a$ of ω_a^{IJ} , we ignore $-i$ and just write $E^{ia} = e P_{IJ}^i e^{0I} e^{aJ}$ which becomes conjugate momentum to complex connection A^i .

The obtained connection A^i is a three-complex one-form given by

$$\omega^{IJ} \mapsto A^i = P_{IJ}^i \omega^{IJ}, \quad (29)$$

The curvature which associates with this connection is

$$F^i = dA^i + \varepsilon_{jk}^i A^j \wedge A^k.$$

On the surface $\Sigma_t(\sigma^a)$, it is

$$F_{ab}^i = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_b A_a^i - \partial_a A_b^i + \varepsilon_{jk}^i A_a^j A_b^k).$$

Thus we have self-dual plus anti-self-dual map:

$$e_I^a e_J^b R(\omega)_{ab}^{IJ} \rightarrow \varepsilon^{abc} E_{ia} F_{bc}^i + \varepsilon^{abc} \bar{E}_{ia} \bar{F}_{bc}^i, \quad (30)$$

where \bar{E}_{ia} and \bar{F}_{bc}^i are the Hermitian conjugate of E_{ia} and F_{bc}^i .

For the Lagrangian part H on Σ_t , the equation (25), it becomes

$$H = \varepsilon^{abc} E_{ai} F_{bc}^i(A) e, \quad (31)$$

or

$$H d^3 \sigma = \varepsilon^{abc} E_{ai} F_{bc}^i(A) e d^3 \sigma,$$

where σ^a is coordinates on Σ_t . We can write $Hd^3\sigma$ as 3-form on Σ_t , like

$$\theta = Hd^3\sigma = E_i \wedge F^i(A). \quad (32)$$

By that the condition $\frac{d}{dt}H = 0$ becomes

$$(\Sigma(\sigma^a), d\theta) = dt \frac{d}{dt}H = 0.$$

Here (Σ, V) is a pairing of 4-form $V \in \wedge^4 T_p^*M$ with a surface Σ , the pairing of V with tangent basis in $T_p\Sigma$, defined below in equation (40).

$$\left(\Sigma(\sigma^a), dt \wedge \frac{d}{dt}\theta \right) = dt \frac{d}{dt}H = 0. \quad (33)$$

Since θ is three-form on $\Sigma(\sigma^a)$, so $d\sigma^a \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^a}\theta = 0$, if we add it to the last formula, we get

$$\left(\Sigma(\sigma^a), dt \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\theta + d\sigma^a \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^a}\theta \right) = (\Sigma(\sigma^a), d\theta) = 0. \quad (34)$$

Under arbitrary transformation $(t, \sigma^a) \rightarrow x^\mu$, $d\sigma^a = \frac{\partial \sigma^a}{\partial x^\mu} dx^\mu$, the basis on Σ transforms as

$$\partial_a \wedge \partial_b \wedge \partial_c \rightarrow \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \sigma^a} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \sigma^b} \frac{\partial x^\rho}{\partial \sigma^c} \partial_\mu \wedge \partial_\nu \wedge \partial_\rho. \quad (35)$$

Therefore, the components of three-form θ transforms as

$$\theta_{abc} \rightarrow \theta_{\mu\nu\rho} = \theta_{abc} \frac{\partial \sigma^a}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial \sigma^b}{\partial x^\nu} \frac{\partial \sigma^c}{\partial x^\rho}.$$

To keep the invariance under this transformation, we let the equation (34), $(\Sigma, d\theta) = 0$ still hold. We write the components of the curvature as

$$F_{ab}^i = \frac{1}{2} (D_a A_b^i - D_b A_a^i) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_a A_b^i - \partial_b A_a^i + \varepsilon^i_{jk} A_a^j A_b^k), \quad (36)$$

where

$$D_a A_b^i = \partial_a A_b^i + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^i_{jk} A_a^j A_b^k,$$

which motivates introducing a notation of the covariant derivative like [12]

$$DV^i = dV^i + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^i_{jk} A^j \wedge V^k,$$

or

$$D_\mu V_\nu^i = \partial_\mu V_\nu^i + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^i{}_{jk} A_\mu^j V_\nu^k = \partial_\mu V_\nu^i - \frac{i}{2} A_\mu^j (T_A^j)^{ik} V_\nu^k,$$

so

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2} A_\mu^j (T_A^j),$$

where the matrix elements $(T_A^j)^{ik} = -i\varepsilon^{jik}$ are the elements of the generators T_A^j in the adjoint representation of the group $SU(2)$ [13]. The coupling constant here is $g = 1$. In general we write this covariant derivative as

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2} g A_\mu^j (T_A^j). \quad (37)$$

By that, we write the 3-form (32) as

$$\theta(E, A) = (16\pi G)^{-1/2} E_i \wedge DA^i. \quad (38)$$

Its pairing with the surface $\Sigma_t(\sigma^a)$ is the energy

$$H = (\Sigma_t(\sigma^a), \theta) = (16\pi G)^{-1/2} \varepsilon^{abc} E_{ia} F_{bc}^i(A).$$

Regarding the equations (33) and (34), we let $(\Sigma_t(\sigma^a), d\theta) = 0$, this gives a continuity equation, as we see next.

Our condition $(\Sigma(\sigma^a), d\theta) = 0$ makes sense here because of the decomposition $R \times \Sigma$ and fixing a coordinate system σ^a on the hypersurface Σ , this yields to an equation of continuity on this surface. For this purpose, we take the pairing of the four-form $d\theta$ with a tangent basis on the surface $\Sigma_t(\sigma^a)$ at an arbitrary point, we get a one-form co-vector $(\Sigma(\sigma^a), d\theta)$ in the direction of the normal to this surface at that point. Then we set $(\Sigma(\sigma^a), d\theta) = 0$, we obtain an equation of continuity on $\Sigma_t(\sigma^a)$.

Now taking the exterior derivative of Equation (38), we obtain

$$(16\pi G)^{1/2} d\theta = d(E_i \wedge DA^i) = (DE_i) \wedge DA^i - E_i \wedge DDA^i, \quad (39)$$

where $E_{0i} = 0$. The tri-tangent basic on $\Sigma_t(\sigma^a)$ is $\partial_a \wedge \partial_b \wedge \partial_c$, we rewrite it as $(1/3!) \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_a \partial_b \partial_c$. The pairing of $d\theta$ with this basis is

$$(16\pi G)^{1/2} (d\theta, \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_a \partial_b \partial_c) = ((DE_i) \wedge DA^i, \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_a \partial_b \partial_c) - (E_i \wedge DDA^i, \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_a \partial_b \partial_c)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is contraction pairing defined by

$$(V_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho \wedge dx^\sigma, \varepsilon^{abc}\partial_a\partial_b\partial_c) = \varepsilon^{abc}V_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}dx^{[\mu}\delta_c^\nu\delta_b^\rho\delta_a^\sigma], \quad (40)$$

where the bracket [...] is anti-symmetrization of the indices. Although DDA^i is zero when the sum is over all indices like $\varepsilon^{abc}D_aD_bA_c^i = 0$, but here we do not sum over all indices of DDA^i alone, since we take common functions out of the sum.

For cotangent basis $\{dx^\mu\}$ and tangent basis $\{\partial_a\}$, this pairing can be defined simply by using inner product like [14]

$$(dx^\mu, \partial_a) = \delta_a^\mu,$$

in which we consider $dx^a = d\sigma^a$ for $a = 1, 2, 3$, so $E_{0i} = 0$ regarding to our gauge.

Starting with the first term

$$((DE_i) \wedge DA^i, \varepsilon^{abc}\partial_a\partial_b\partial_c) = \varepsilon^{abc}D_\mu E_{\nu i}D_\rho A_\sigma^i (dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho \wedge dx^\sigma, \partial_a\partial_b\partial_c)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \left((DE_i) \wedge DA^i, \varepsilon^{abc}\partial_a\partial_b\partial_c \right) = \\ -\varepsilon^{abc}D_aE_{bi}D_cA_\mu^i dx^\mu + \varepsilon^{abc}D_aE_{bi}D_\mu A_c^i dx^\mu - \varepsilon^{abc}D_aE_{\mu i}D_bA_c^i dx^\mu + \varepsilon^{abc}D_\mu E_{ai}D_bA_c^i dx^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The second term is

$$(E_i \wedge DDA^i, \varepsilon^{abc}\partial_a\partial_b\partial_c) = \varepsilon^{abc}E_{\mu i}D_\nu D_\rho A_\sigma^i (dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho \wedge dx^\sigma, \partial_a\partial_b\partial_c).$$

Doing the same thing, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \left(E_i \wedge DDA^i, \varepsilon^{abc}\partial_a\partial_b\partial_c \right) = \\ -\varepsilon^{abc}E_{ai}D_bD_cA_\mu^i dx^\mu + \varepsilon^{abc}E_{ai}D_bD_\mu A_c^i dx^\mu - \varepsilon^{abc}E_{ai}D_\mu D_bA_c^i dx^\mu + \varepsilon^{abc}E_{\mu i}D_aD_bA_c^i dx^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Adding the two terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4(16\pi G)^{1/2} (d\theta, \varepsilon^{abc}\partial_a\partial_b\partial_c) = \\ -\varepsilon^{abc}D_aE_{bi}D_cA_\mu^i dx^\mu + \varepsilon^{abc}D_aE_{bi}D_\mu A_c^i dx^\mu - \varepsilon^{abc}D_aE_{\mu i}D_bA_c^i dx^\mu + \varepsilon^{abc}D_\mu E_{ai}D_bA_c^i dx^\mu \\ + \varepsilon^{abc}E_{ai}D_bD_cA_\mu^i dx^\mu - \varepsilon^{abc}E_{ai}D_bD_\mu A_c^i dx^\mu + \varepsilon^{abc}E_{ai}D_\mu D_bA_c^i dx^\mu - \varepsilon^{abc}E_{\mu i}D_aD_bA_c^i dx^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

We define the curvature by using the covariant derivative from Equation (36) as

$$F_{\mu c}^i = \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu A_c^i - D_c A_\mu^i) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A_c^i - \partial_c A_\mu^i + g \varepsilon^i_{jk} A_\mu^j A_c^k), \quad (41)$$

therefore

$$F^{\rho\nu i} = g^{\rho\mu} g^{\nu c} F_{\mu c}^i = \frac{1}{2} (D^\rho A^{\nu i} - D^\nu A^{\rho i}); \quad D^\rho g^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

Its Hodge dual on the surface Σ with respect to the coordinates (σ^a) is

$$F^{ai} = \varepsilon^{abc} F_{bc}^i.$$

Also we define the complex two-form field from Equation (27) as

$$\Sigma_i^{bc} = E_i^{bc} = \varepsilon^{bca} E_{ai} = \varepsilon^{abc} E_{ai}.$$

Using them in the last formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 4(16\pi G)^{1/2} (d\theta, \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_a \partial_b \partial_c) &= 2\varepsilon^{abc} (D_a E_{bi}) F_{\mu c}^i dx^\mu - 2(D_a E_{\mu i}) F^{ai} dx^\mu \\ &\quad + 2(D_\mu E_{ai}) F^{ai} dx^\mu + 2E_i^{bc} D_b F_{c\mu}^i dx^\mu + 2E_{ai} D_\mu F^{ai} dx^\mu - 2E_{\mu i} D_a F^{ai} dx^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

And using

$$\varepsilon^{abc} (D_a E_{bi}) F_{\mu c}^i dx^\mu = -\varepsilon^{acb} (D_a E_{bi}) F_{\mu c}^i dx^\mu = -(D_a E_i^{ac}) F_{\mu c}^i dx^\mu,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2(16\pi G)^{1/2} (d\theta, \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_a \partial_b \partial_c) &= \\ &\quad - (D_a E_i^{ac}) F_{\mu c}^i dx^\mu - (D_a E_{\mu i}) F^{ai} dx^\mu + (D_\mu E_{ai}) F^{ai} dx^\mu - E_i^{bc} D_b F_{\mu c}^i dx^\mu \\ &\quad + E_{ai} D_\mu F^{ai} dx^\mu - E_{\mu i} D_a F^{ai} dx^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

With

$$-(D_a E_i^{ac}) F_{\mu c}^i dx^\mu - E_i^{bc} D_b F_{\mu c}^i dx^\mu = -D_a (E_i^{ac} F_{\mu c}^i dx^\mu),$$

it becomes

$$2(16\pi G)^{1/2} (d\theta, \varepsilon^{abc} \partial_a \partial_b \partial_c) = -D_a (E_i^{ac} F_{\mu c}^i dx^\mu) - D_a (E_{\mu i} F^{ai}) dx^\mu + D_\mu (E_{ai} F^{ai}) dx^\mu.$$

As we suggested before, we let the normal of the surface $\Sigma_t(\sigma^a)$ be in direction of the time dx^0 , so $(d\theta, \varepsilon^{abc}\partial_a\partial_b\partial_c)$ is in direction of the time. Therefore we set $\mu = 0$, thus we get

$$2(16\pi G)^{1/2} (d\theta, \varepsilon^{abc}\partial_a\partial_b\partial_c) = -D_a(E_i^{ac}F_{0c}^i)dx^0 - 2D_a(E_{0i}F^{ai})dx^0 + D_0(E_{ai}F^{ai})dx^0.$$

The vector $(d\theta, \varepsilon^{abc}\partial_a\partial_b\partial_c)$ is one-form in the direction of the normal to the surface $\Sigma_t(\sigma^a)$. It is zero as we mentioned before, thus we get

$$-D_a(E_i^{ac}F_{0c}^i)dx^0 - D_a(E_{0i}F^{ai})dx^0 + D_0(E_{ai}F^{ai})dx^0 = 0,$$

or

$$-D_a(E_i^{ac}F_{0c}^i) - D_a(E_{0i}F^{ai}) + D_0(E_{ai}F^{ai}) = 0.$$

For $De = 0$ on the surface Σ , where $e = \det(e_a^i)$, We write it as

$$D_a(e^2 E_i^{ac} F_{0c}^i) - D_a(E_{0i}F^{ai}) + D_0(e^2 E_{ai}F^{ai}) = 0.$$

The term $(E_{ai}F^{ai})$ is scalar, so $D_0(E_{ai}F^{ai}) = \partial_0(E_{ai}F^{ai})$, the vector $E_i^{ab}F_{b0}^i$ is a usual vector field on Σ , it does not carry a Lorentz index, so $D_a(E_i^{ab}F_{b0}^i) = \partial_a(E_i^{ab}F_{b0}^i)$ and $E_{0i} = 0$, thus we get

$$\partial_a(e^2 E_i^{ab} F_{b0}^i) + \partial_0(e^2 E_{ai}F^{ai}) = 0,$$

using $F^{ai} = \varepsilon^{abc}F_{bc}^i$, $\Sigma_i^{bc} = E_i^{bc} = \varepsilon^{bca}E_{ai}$ and $E^{ai} = ee^{ai}$, we have

$$e^2 E_{ai}F^{ai} = \frac{1}{2}e^2 \Sigma_i^{ab} F_{ab}^i = \frac{1}{4}e^2 e_i^a e_j^b F_{ab}^{ij} = \frac{1}{4}E_i^a E_j^b F_{ab}^{ij} = H,$$

so we obtain

$$\partial_a(e^2 \Sigma_i^{ab} F_{b0}^i) + \partial_0 H = 2(16\pi G)^{1/2} (d\theta, \varepsilon^{abc}\partial_a\partial_b\partial_c) = 0. \quad (42)$$

We have regarded $\frac{1}{4}E_i^a E_j^b F_{ab}^{ij}$ as energy density.

The equation

$$\partial_a(e^2 E_i^{ab} F_{b0}^i) + \partial_0 \left(\frac{1}{4} E_i^a E_j^b F_{ab}^{ij} \right) = 0.$$

We regard this equation as an equation of continuity with respect to a Lagrangian like $L(F^{0ai}, DA^i)$ that satisfies the action principle $\delta S(DA^i) = 0$ and the invariance under continuous symmetries of general relativity. Therefore we regard $\frac{1}{2}c\Sigma_i^{ab}F_{ab}^i$ as energy density T^{00} , and $c\Sigma_i^{ab}F_{b0}^i$ as momentum density T^{0a} , where c is constant for satisfying the units.

4 Conclusions

We have considered the 4-manifold M as a base space and consider that the Lorentz group $SO(3,1)$ act locally on Lorentz frames which are regarded as a frame bundle over a fixed base space, and consider the local Lorentz frame as an element in the tangent frame bundle over M . By that we have two symmetries; invariance under continuous transformations of local Lorentz frame, $SO(3,1)$ group, and invariance under diffeomorphism of the space-time M . The local invariance of matter Lagrangian under $SO(3,1)$ transformation produces a current, called spin current $J = \delta S_{matter}/\delta A$, this current couples to the spin connection, so we have gauge theory, that makes the general relativity similar to Yang-Mills theory of gauge fields. We have solved the equations of motion of general relativity in self-dual formalism using only the spin currents(Lorentz currents), in static case, and without needing using the Einstein's equation. We give an example, matter located at a point, so we have spherical symmetric system. We solved the equation $\delta S/\delta A_\nu^i = -D_\mu B_i^{\mu\nu} + J_i^\nu = 0$ by using $B^{\mu\nu i} = K^i_j \Sigma^{\mu\nu j}$ for $D_\mu \Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = 0$.

5 Appendix A

I- We verify that the (0,2) tensor field $\Sigma^{\mu\nu i}$ defined in(self duality)

$$-i\Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = \frac{1}{2!}e^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\Sigma_{\rho\sigma}^i, \quad e = \det(e_\mu^I), \quad \varepsilon^{0123} = -1, \quad \varepsilon_{0123} = 1, \quad (43)$$

is inversion of the 2-form $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^i$, that is $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^i \Sigma_j^{\mu\nu} = \delta_j^i$. Multiplying with $\Sigma_{\mu\nu j}$ and sum over contracted indices, we get

$$-i\Sigma_{\mu\nu j}\Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\Sigma_{\mu\nu j}\Sigma_{\rho\sigma}^i.$$

Then using $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}^i = P_{IJ}^i e_\mu^I e_\nu^J$, implies

$$-i\Sigma_{\mu\nu j}\Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-1}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}P_{jIJ}P_{KL}^i e_\mu^I e_\nu^J e_\rho^K e_\sigma^L.$$

Using $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}e_\mu^I e_\nu^J e_\rho^K e_\sigma^L = e\varepsilon^{IJKL}$, to get

$$-i\Sigma_{\mu\nu j}\Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-1}P_{jIJ}P_{KL}^i e\varepsilon^{IJKL} = \frac{1}{2}P_{jIJ}P_{KL}^i \varepsilon^{IJKL}.$$

Then we use the self-dual projection property

$$P_{IJ}^i \varepsilon^{IJKL} = -2i P^{iKL}$$

to obtain

$$-i \Sigma_{\mu\nu j} \Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = \frac{1}{2} P_{jIJ} (-2i P^{iIJ}) = P_{jIJ} (-i P^{iIJ}).$$

Therefore

$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu j} \Sigma^{\mu\nu i} = P_{jIJ} P^{iIJ} = \delta_j^i,$$

where we used the self-dual projection property $P_{jIJ} P^{iIJ} = \delta_j^i$. The sum is over the contracted indices.

6 Appendix B

We calculate $D^\nu F_{\nu\mu}^i$ by using

$$(*D * F^i)_\mu = D^\nu F_{\nu\mu}^i,$$

where the Hodge star operator

$$*F^i = *(\psi_j^i \Sigma^j + \bar{\psi}_j^i \bar{\Sigma}^j) = \psi_j^i (*\Sigma^j) + \bar{\psi}_j^i (*\bar{\Sigma}^j),$$

is with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. By using the properties of self-duality:

$$*\Sigma^j = -i\Sigma^j, \quad *\bar{\Sigma}^j = i\bar{\Sigma}^j,$$

we obtain

$$D^\nu F_{\nu\mu}^i = i \left(*(-D\psi_j^i \Sigma^j) + *(D\bar{\psi}_j^i \bar{\Sigma}^j) \right)_\mu.$$

The first term becomes

$$D(\psi_j^i \Sigma^j) = D(\psi_j^i \Sigma^j + \bar{\psi}_j^i \bar{\Sigma}^j) - D(\bar{\psi}_j^i \bar{\Sigma}^j), \text{ so } D(\psi_j^i \Sigma^j) = DF^i - D(\bar{\psi}_j^i \bar{\Sigma}^j),$$

and by Bianchi identity $DF^i = 0$, we obtain $D(\psi_j^i \Sigma^j) = -D(\bar{\psi}_j^i \bar{\Sigma}^j)$. Therefore

$$D^\nu F_{\nu\mu}^i = i \left(*(-D(\psi_j^i \Sigma^j) - *(D\bar{\psi}_j^i \bar{\Sigma}^j)) \right)_\mu = -2i(*D(\psi_j^i \Sigma^j))_\mu,$$

where we used $D\Sigma^j = 0$. Thus we obtain

$$D^\nu F_{\nu\mu}^i = -2i \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_\mu^{\nu\rho\sigma} (D_\nu \psi_j^i) \Sigma_{\rho\sigma}^j,$$

or

$$D^\nu F_{\nu\mu}^i = -\frac{2i}{3!} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (D^\nu \psi_j^i) \Sigma^{j\rho\sigma},$$

the raising and lowering is done by using the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. But

$$\frac{1}{2!} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \Sigma^{j\rho\sigma} = (*\Sigma^j)_{\mu\nu} = (-i\Sigma^j)_{\mu\nu},$$

so we obtain

$$D^\nu F_{\nu\mu}^i = \frac{-2i}{3} (D^\nu \psi_j^i) (-i\Sigma^j)_{\mu\nu} = \frac{-2}{3} (D^\nu \psi_j^i) \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^j = \frac{2}{3} (D^\nu \psi_j^i) \Sigma_{\nu\mu}^j.$$

From $D^\nu F_{\nu\mu}^i = J_\mu^i$, we get

$$(D^\nu \psi_j^i) \Sigma_{\nu\mu}^j = \frac{3}{2} J_\mu^i, \text{ so } (D_\nu \psi_j^i) \Sigma^{j\nu\mu} = \frac{3}{2} J^{i\mu}.$$

This is a linear equation in the basis $\{\Sigma^i\}$.

References

- [1] Montesinos, M.; González, D.; Celada, M.; Iáz, B. Reformulation of the symmetries of first-order general relativity. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2017**, *34*, 205002, doi:10.1088/1361-6382/aa89f3.
- [2] Weatherall, J.O. Fiber Bundles, Yang–Mills Theory, and General Relativity. *Synthese* **2016**, *193*, 2389, doi:10.1007/s11229-015-0849-3.
- [3] Fleischhack, C. On Ashtekar’s Formulation of General Relativity. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2012**, *360* 012022, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/360/1/012022.
- [4] Arnowitt, R.; Deser, S.; Misner, C.W. The Dynamics of General Relativity. *Gen Relat. Grav.* **2008**, *40*, 1997–2027, doi:10.1007/s10714-008-0661-1.
- [5] Wald, R.M. *General Relativity*; University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL, USA, 1984; ISBN-13:978-0226870335.

- [6] Ponomarev, V.N.; Barvinsky, A.O.; Obukhov, Y.N. *Gauge Approach and Quantization Methods in Gravity Theory*; Nauka: Moscow, Russia. 2017; ISBN 978-5-02-040047-4, doi:10.17513/np.288.
- [7] Rovelli, C. Ashtekar formulation of general relativity and loop-space nonperturbative quantum gravity: A report. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **1991**, *8*, 1613, doi:10.1088/0264-9381/8/9/002.
- [8] Rovelli, C. Area is the length of Ashtekar’s triad field. *Phys. Rev. D* **2013**, *87*, 089902, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.089902.
- [9] Rovelli, C. *Quantum Gravity*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2004; ISBN:978-0521715966.
- [10] Krasnov, K. Plebański formulation of general relativity: a practical introduction. *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **2011**, *43*, 1, doi:10.1007/s10714-010-1061-x.
- [11] Bennett, D.L.; Laperashvili, L.V.; Nielsen, H.B.; Tureanu, A. Gravity and Mirror Gravity in Plebanski Formulation. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **2013**, *28*, 1350035, doi:10.1142/S0217751X13500358.
- [12] Rosas-Rodriguez, R. Alternative variables for the dynamics of general relativity. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **2008**, *23*, 895–908, doi:10.1142/S0217751X08038573.
- [13] Georgi, H. *Lie Algebras in Particle Physics*; CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2000; ISBN: 9780429499210, doi:10.1201/9780429499210.
- [14] Pope, C. *Geometry and Group Theory*; Texas A&M University Press: College Station, TX, USA, 2008.
- [15] Srednicki, M. *Quantum Field Theory*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2007; ISBN:9780521864497.
- [16] Struckmeier, J.; Redelbach, A. Covariant Hamiltonian field theory. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **2008**, *17*, 435–491, doi:10.1142/S0218301308009458.
- [17] Zambrano, G.E.; Pimentel, B.M. Canonical structure of gauge invariance proca’s electrodynamics theory. *Momento* **2018**, *56*, 26–44, 2018, doi:10.15446/mo.n56.69823.

- [18] Creutz, M.; Muzinich, I.J.; Tudron, T.N. Gauge fixing and canonical quantization. *Phys. Rev. D* **1979**, *19*, 531, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.19.531.
- [19] Utiyama, R. Invariant Theoretical Interpretation of Interaction. *Phys. Rev.* **1956**, *101*, 1597, doi:10.1103/PhysRev.101.1597.
- [20] Engle, J.; Noui, K.; Perez, A.; Pranzetti, D. Black hole entropy from an SU(2)-invariant formulation of Type I isolated horizons. *Phys. Rev. D* **2010**, *82*, 044050, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.044050.
- [21] Engle, J.; Noui, K.; Perez, A. Black hole entropy and SU(2) Chern-Simons theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2010**, *105*, 031302, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.031302.
- [22] Felix Tennie; Mattias N.R. Wohlfarth. Consistent matter couplings for Plebanski gravity. *Phys.Rev.D* **2010** *28*, 104052, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.104052.
- [23] Eugenio Bianchi, Jonathan Guglielmon, Lucas Hackl, Nelson Yokomizo. Loop expansion and the bosonic representation of loop quantum gravity. *Phys. Rev. D* *94*, 086009 (2016), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.086009. arXiv:1609.02219 [gr-qc].
- [24] Bařkal, S.; Kim, Y.; Noz, M. Loop Representation of Wigner’s Little Groups. *Symmetry* **2017**, *9*, 97, doi:10.3390/sym9070097.
- [25] Herfray, Y. Pure Connection Formulation. Twistors and the Chase for a Twistor Action for General Relativity. *J. Math. Phys.* **2017**, *58*, 112505, doi:10.1063/1.5012268.
- [26] Herzog, F.; Ruijl, B.; Ueda, T.; Vermaseren, J.A.M.; Vogt, A. The five-loop beta function of Yang–Mills theory with fermions. *JHEP* **2017**, *1702*, 90, doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2017)090.
- [27] Cui, J.W.; Wu, Y.L. One-Loop Renormalization of Non-Abelian Gauge Theory and beta Function Based on Loop Regularization Method. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **2008**, *A23*, 2861–2913, doi:10.1142/S0217751X08040305.
- [28] Peskin, M.E.; Schroeder, D.V. *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*; Westview Press: Boulder, CO, USA, 1995; ISBN:9780201503975, 0201503972.