

Quantum differential equations and helices

Giordano Cotti

Abstract. These notes are a short and self-contained introduction to the isomonodromic approach to quantum cohomology, and Dubrovin's conjecture. An overview of recent results obtained in joint works with B. Dubrovin and D. Guzzetti [6], and A. Varchenko [9] is given.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 53D45; 18E30.

Keywords. Quantum cohomology, Frobenius manifolds, monodromy data, exceptional collections, Dubrovin's conjecture.

1. Quantum cohomology

1.1. Notations and conventions

Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} with vanishing odd-cohomology, i.e. $H^{2k+1}(X, \mathbb{C}) = 0$, for $k \geq 0$. Fix a homogeneous basis (T_1, \dots, T_n) of the complex vector space $H^\bullet(X) := \bigoplus_k H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$, and denote by $\mathbf{t} := (t^1, \dots, t^n)$ the corresponding dual coordinates. Without loss of generality, we assume that $T_1 = 1$. The *Poincaré pairing* on $H^\bullet(X)$ will be denoted by

$$\eta(u, v) := \int_X u \cup v, \quad u, v \in H^\bullet(X), \quad (1.1)$$

and we put $\eta_{\alpha\beta} := \eta(T_\alpha, T_\beta)$, for $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$, to be the Gram matrix wrt the fixed basis. The entries of the inverse matrix will be denoted by $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$, for $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$. In all the paper, the Einstein rule of summation over repeated indices is used. General references for this Section are [5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 27, 29, 31].

1.2. Gromov-Witten invariants in genus 0

For a fixed $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion}$, denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(X, \beta)$ the Deligne-Mumford moduli stack of k -pointed stable rational maps with target X of degree β :

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(X, \beta) := \{f: (C, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow X, f_*[C] = \beta\} / \text{equivalencies}, \quad (1.2)$$

where C is an algebraic curve of genus 0 with at most nodal singularities, $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is a k -tuple of pairwise distinct marked points of C , and equivalencies are automorphisms of $C \rightarrow X$ identical on X and the markings.

Gromov-Witten invariants (GW-invariants for short) of X , and their *descendants*, are defined as intersection numbers of cycles on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(X, \beta)$, by the integrals

$$\langle \tau_{d_1} \gamma_1, \dots, \tau_{d_k} \gamma_k \rangle_{k, \beta}^X := \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(X, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}} \prod_{i=1}^k \text{ev}_i^* \gamma_i \wedge \psi_i^{d_i}, \quad (1.3)$$

for $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k \in H^\bullet(X)$, $d_i \in \mathbb{N}$. In formula (1.3),

$$\text{ev}_i: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(X, \beta) \rightarrow X, \quad f \mapsto f(x_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \quad (1.4)$$

are evaluation maps, and $\psi_i := c_1(\mathcal{L}_i)$ are the first Chern classes of the universal cotangent line bundles

$$\mathcal{L}_i \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(X, \beta), \quad \mathcal{L}_i|_f = T_{x_i}^* C, \quad i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (1.5)$$

The *virtual fundamental cycle* $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(X, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}$ is an element of the Chow ring $A_\bullet(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(X, \beta))$, namely

$$[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(X, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} \in A_D(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(X, \beta)), \quad D := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X - 3 + k + \int_{\beta} c_1(X).$$

See [1] for its construction.

1.3. Quantum cohomology as a Frobenius manifold

Introduce infinitely many variables $\mathbf{t}_\bullet := (t_p^\alpha)_{\alpha, p}$ with $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 1.1. The *genus 0 total descendant potential* of X is the generating function $\mathcal{F}_0^X \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{t}_\bullet]]$ of descendant GW-invariants of X defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_0^X(\mathbf{t}_\bullet) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k=1}^n \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_{p_1}^{\alpha_1} \dots t_{p_k}^{\alpha_k}}{k!} \langle \tau_{p_1} T_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \tau_{p_k} T_{\alpha_k} \rangle_{k, \beta}^X.$$

Setting $t_0^\alpha = t^\alpha$ and $t_p^\alpha = 0$ for $p > 0$, we obtain the *Gromov-Witten potential* of X

$$F_0^X(\mathbf{t}) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k=1}^n \frac{t^{\alpha_1} \dots t^{\alpha_k}}{k!} \langle T_{\alpha_1}, \dots, T_{\alpha_k} \rangle_{k, \beta}^X. \quad (1.6)$$

Let $\Omega \subseteq H^\bullet(X)$ be the domain of convergence of $F_0^X(\mathbf{t})$, assumed to be non-empty. We denote by $T\Omega$ and $T^*\Omega$ its holomorphic tangent and cotangent bundles, respectively. Each tangent space $T_p\Omega$, with $p \in \Omega$, is canonically identified with the space $H^\bullet(X)$, via the identification $\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha} \mapsto T_\alpha$. The Poincaré metric η defines a flat non-degenerate \mathcal{O}_Ω -bilinear pseudo-riemannian metric on Ω . The coordinates \mathbf{t} are manifestly flat. Denote by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of η .

Definition 1.2. Define the tensor $c \in \Gamma(T\Omega \otimes \odot^2 T^*\Omega)$ by

$$c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha := \eta^{\alpha\lambda} \nabla_{\lambda\beta\gamma}^3 F_0^X, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.7)$$

and let us introduce a product $*$ on vector fields on Ω by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\beta} * \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\gamma} := c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha}, \quad \beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.8)$$

Theorem 1.3 ([27, 31]). *The Gromov-Witten potential $F_0^X(t)$ is a solution of WDVV equations*

$$\frac{\partial^3 F_0^X(t)}{\partial t^\alpha \partial t^\beta \partial t^\gamma} \eta^{\gamma\delta} \frac{\partial^3 F_0^X(t)}{\partial t^\delta \partial t^\epsilon \partial t^\phi} = \frac{\partial^3 F_0^X(t)}{\partial t^\delta \partial t^\beta \partial t^\gamma} \eta^{\gamma\delta} \frac{\partial^3 F_0^X(t)}{\partial t^\delta \partial t^\epsilon \partial t^\alpha}, \quad (1.9)$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \epsilon, \phi = 1, \dots, n$.

On each tangent space $T_p\Omega$, the product $*_p$ defines a structure of associative, commutative algebra with unit $\frac{\partial}{\partial t^1} \equiv 1$. Furthermore, the product $*$ is compatible with the Poincaré metric, namely

$$\eta(u * v, w) = \eta(u, v * w), \quad u, v, w \in \Gamma(T\Omega). \quad (1.10)$$

This endows $(T_p\Omega, *_p, \eta_p, \frac{\partial}{\partial t^1}|_p)$ with a complex *Frobenius algebra* structure.

Definition 1.4. The vector field

$$E = c_1(X) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \deg T_\alpha\right) t^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha}, \quad (1.11)$$

is called *Euler vector field*. Here, $\deg T_\alpha$ denotes the cohomological degree of T_α , i.e. $\deg T_\alpha := r_\alpha$ if and only if $T_\alpha \in H^{r_\alpha}(X, \mathbb{C})$. We denote by \mathcal{U} the $(1, 1)$ -tensor defined by the multiplication with the Euler vector field, i.e.

$$\mathcal{U}: \Gamma(T\Omega) \rightarrow \Gamma(T\Omega), \quad v \mapsto E * v. \quad (1.12)$$

Proposition 1.5 ([11, 13]). *The Euler vector field E is a Killing conformal vector field, whose flow preserves the structure constants of the Frobenius algebras:*

$$\mathfrak{L}_E \eta = (2 - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X) \eta, \quad \mathfrak{L}_E c = c. \quad (1.13)$$

The structure $(\Omega, c, \eta, \frac{\partial}{\partial t^1}, E)$ gives an example of analytic *Frobenius manifold*, called *quantum cohomology of X* and denoted by $QH^\bullet(X)$, see [11, 12, 13, 29].

1.4. Extended deformed connection

Definition 1.6. The *grading operator* $\mu \in \text{End}(T\Omega)$ is the tensor defined by

$$\mu(v) := \frac{2 - \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X}{2} v - \nabla_v E, \quad v \in \Gamma(T\Omega). \quad (1.14)$$

Consider the canonical projection $\pi: \mathbb{C}^* \times \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, and the pull-back bundle $\pi^*T\Omega$. Denote by

1. \mathcal{T}_Ω the sheaf of sections of $T\Omega$,
2. $\pi^*\mathcal{T}_\Omega$ the pull-back sheaf, i.e. the sheaf of sections of $\pi^*T\Omega$
3. $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{T}_\Omega$ the sheaf of sections of $\pi^*T\Omega$ constant on the fibers of π .

All the tensors $\eta, c, E, \mathcal{U}, \mu$ can be lifted to $\pi^*T\Omega$, and their lifts will be denoted by the same symbols. The Levi-Civita connection ∇ is lifted on $\pi^*T\Omega$, and it acts so that

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} v = 0 \quad \text{for } v \in (\pi^{-1}\mathcal{T}_\Omega)(\Omega), \quad (1.15)$$

where z is the coordinate on \mathbb{C}^* .

Definition 1.7. The *extended deformed connection* is the connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ on the bundle $\pi^*T\Omega$ defined by

$$\widehat{\nabla}_w v = \nabla_w v + z \cdot w * v, \quad (1.16)$$

$$\widehat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} v = \nabla_{\partial_z} v + \mathcal{U}(v) - \frac{1}{z} \mu(v), \quad (1.17)$$

for $v, w \in \Gamma(\pi^*T\Omega)$.

Theorem 1.8 ([11, 13]). *The connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ is flat.*

1.5. Semisimple points and orthonormalized idempotent frame

Definition 1.9. A point $p \in \Omega$ is *semisimple* if and only if the corresponding Frobenius algebra $(T_p\Omega, *_p, \eta_p, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_p)$ is without nilpotents. Denote by Ω_{ss} the open dense subset of Ω of semisimple points.

Theorem 1.10 ([24]). *The set Ω_{ss} is non-empty only if X is of Hodge-Tate¹ type, i.e. $h^{p,q}(X) = 0$ for $p \neq q$.*

On Ω_{ss} there are n well-defined idempotent vector fields $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n \in \Gamma(T\Omega_{ss})$, satisfying

$$\pi_i * \pi_j = \delta_{ij} \pi_i, \quad \eta(\pi_i, \pi_j) = \delta_{ij} \eta(\pi_i, \pi_i), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.18)$$

Theorem 1.11 ([10, 11, 13]). *The idempotent vector fields pairwise commute: $[\pi_i, \pi_j] = 0$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Hence, there exist holomorphic local coordinates (u_1, \dots, u_n) on Ω_{ss} such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} = \pi_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Definition 1.12. The coordinates (u_1, \dots, u_n) of Theorem 1.11 are called *canonical coordinates*.

Proposition 1.13 ([11, 13]). *Canonical coordinates are uniquely defined up to ordering and shifts by constants. The eigenvalues of the tensor \mathcal{U} define a system of canonical coordinates in a neighborhood of any semisimple point of Ω_{ss} .*

Definition 1.14. We call *orthonormalized idempotent frame* a frame $(f_i)_{i=1}^n$ of $T\Omega_{ss}$ defined by

$$f_i := \eta(\pi_i, \pi_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \pi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.19)$$

for arbitrary choices of signs of the square roots. The Ψ -matrix is the matrix $(\Psi_{i\alpha})_{i,\alpha=1}^n$ of change of tangent frames, defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^n \Psi_{i\alpha} f_i, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.20)$$

Remark 1.15. In the orthonormalized idempotent frame, the operator \mathcal{U} is represented by a diagonal matrix, and the operator μ by an antisymmetric matrix:

$$U := \text{diag}(u_1, \dots, u_n), \quad \Psi \mathcal{U} \Psi^{-1} = U, \quad (1.21)$$

$$V := \Psi \mu \Psi^{-1}, \quad V^T + V = 0. \quad (1.22)$$

¹Here $h^{p,q}(X) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$, with Ω_X^p the sheaf of holomorphic p -forms on X , denotes the (p, q) -Hodge number of X .

2. Quantum differential equation

The connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ induces a flat connection on $\pi^*(T^*\Omega)$. Let $\xi \in \Gamma(\pi^*(T^*\Omega))$ be a flat section. Consider the corresponding vector field $\zeta \in \Gamma(\pi^*(T\Omega))$ via musical isomorphism, i.e. such that $\xi(v) = \eta(\zeta, v)$ for all $v \in \Gamma(\pi^*(T\Omega))$.

The vector field ζ satisfies the following system² of equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha} \zeta = z \mathcal{C}_\alpha \zeta, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \zeta = \left(\mathcal{U} + \frac{1}{z} \mu \right) \zeta. \quad (2.2)$$

Here \mathcal{C}_α is the $(1, 1)$ -tensor defined by $(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)_\gamma^\beta := c_{\alpha\gamma}^\beta$.

Definition 2.1. The *quantum differential equation* (*qDE*) of X is the differential equation (2.2).

The *qDE* is an ordinary differential equation with rational coefficients. It has two singularities on the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$:

1. a Fuchsian singularity at $z = 0$,
2. an irregular singularity (of Poincaré rank 1) at $z = \infty$.

Points of Ω are parameters of deformation of the coefficients of the *qDE*. Solutions $\zeta(\mathbf{t}, z)$ of the joint system of equations (2.1), (2.2) are “multivalued” functions wrt z , i.e. they are well-defined functions on $\Omega \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}^*$, where $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is the universal cover of \mathbb{C}^* .

2.1. Solutions in Levelt form at $z = 0$ and topological-enumerative solution

Theorem 2.2 ([5, 11, 13]). *There exist fundamental systems of solutions $Z_0(\mathbf{t}, z)$ of the joint system (2.1), (2.2) with expansions at $z = 0$ of the form*

$$Z_0(\mathbf{t}, z) = F(\mathbf{t}, z) z^\mu z^R, \quad R = \sum_{k \geq 1} R_k, \quad F(\mathbf{t}, z) = I + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j(\mathbf{t}) z^j \quad (2.3)$$

where $(R_k)_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ only if $\mu_\alpha - \mu_\beta = k$. The series $F(\mathbf{t}, z)$ is convergent and satisfies the orthogonality condition

$$F(\mathbf{t}, -z)^T \eta F(\mathbf{t}, z) = \eta. \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 2.3. A fundamental system of solutions $Z_0(\mathbf{t}, z)$ of the form described in Theorem 2.3 are said to be in *Levelt form* at $z = 0$.

Remark 2.4. Fundamental systems of solutions in Levelt form are not unique. The exponent R is not uniquely determined. Moreover, even for a fixed exponent R , the series $F(\mathbf{t}, z)$ is not uniquely determined, see [5]. It can be proved that the matrix R can be chosen as the matrix of the operator $c_1(X) \cup (-): H^\bullet(X) \rightarrow H^\bullet(X)$ wrt the basis $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^n$ [13, Corollary 2.1].

²We consider the joint system (2.1), (2.2) in matrix notations (ζ a column vector whose entries are the components $\zeta^\alpha(\mathbf{t}, z)$ wrt $\frac{\partial}{\partial t^\alpha}$). Bases of solutions are arranged in invertible $n \times n$ -matrices, called *fundamental systems of solutions*.

Remark 2.5. Let $Z_0(\mathbf{t}, z)$ be a fundamental system of solutions in Levelt form (2.3). The monodromy matrix $M_0(\mathbf{t})$, defined by

$$Z_0(\mathbf{t}, e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}z) = Z_0(\mathbf{t}, z)M_0(\mathbf{t}), \quad z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}^*, \quad (2.5)$$

is given by

$$M_0(\mathbf{t}) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu) \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}R). \quad (2.6)$$

In particular, M_0 does not depend on \mathbf{t} .

Definition 2.6. Define the functions $\theta_{\beta,p}(\mathbf{t}, z)$, $\theta_\beta(\mathbf{t}, z)$, with $\beta = 1, \dots, n$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, by

$$\theta_{\beta,p}(\mathbf{t}) := \left. \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_0^X(\mathbf{t}_\bullet)}{\partial t_0^1 \partial t_p^\beta} \right|_{t_p^\alpha = 0 \text{ for } p > 1, \quad t_0^\alpha = t^\alpha \text{ for } \alpha = 1, \dots, n}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\theta_\beta(\mathbf{t}, z) := \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \theta_{\beta,p}(\mathbf{t}) z^p. \quad (2.8)$$

Define the matrix $\Theta(\mathbf{t}, z)$ by

$$\Theta(\mathbf{t}, z)_\beta^\alpha := \eta^{\alpha\lambda} \frac{\partial \theta_\beta(\mathbf{t}, z)}{\partial t^\lambda}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.9)$$

Theorem 2.7 ([5, 13]). *The matrix $Z_{\text{top}}(\mathbf{t}, z) := \Theta(\mathbf{t}, z) z^\mu z^{c_1(X) \cup}$ is a fundamental system of solutions of the joint system (2.1)-(2.2) in Levelt form at $z = 0$.*

Definition 2.8. The solution $Z_{\text{top}}(\mathbf{t}, z)$ is called *topological-enumerative solution* of the joint system (2.1), (2.2).

2.2. Stokes rays and ℓ -chamber decomposition

Definition 2.9. We call *Stokes rays* at a point $p \in \Omega$ the oriented rays $R_{ij}(p)$ in \mathbb{C} defined by

$$R_{ij}(p) := \left\{ -\sqrt{-1}(\overline{u_i(p)} - \overline{u_j(p)})\rho : \rho \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $(u_1(p), \dots, u_n(p))$ is the spectrum of the operator $\mathcal{U}(p)$ (with a fixed arbitrary order).

Fix an oriented ray ℓ in the universal cover $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^*$.

Definition 2.10. We say that ℓ is *admissible* at $p \in \Omega$ if the projection of the ray ℓ on \mathbb{C}^* does not coincide with any Stokes ray $R_{ij}(p)$.

Definition 2.11. Define the open subset O_ℓ of points $p \in \Omega$ by the following conditions:

1. the eigenvalues $u_i(p)$ are pairwise distinct,
2. ℓ is admissible at p .

We call ℓ -chamber of Ω any connected component of O_ℓ .

2.3. Stokes fundamental solutions at $z = \infty$

Fix an oriented ray $\ell \equiv \{\arg z = \phi\}$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^*}$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the sectors in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^*}$

$$\Pi_{L,m}(\phi) := \left\{ z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}^*} : \phi + 2\pi m < \arg z < \phi + \pi + 2\pi m \right\}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\Pi_{R,m}(\phi) := \left\{ z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}^*} : \phi - \pi + 2\pi m < \arg z < \phi + 2\pi m \right\}. \quad (2.12)$$

Definition 2.12. The *coalescence locus* of Ω is the set

$$\Delta_\Omega := \{p \in \Omega : u_i(p) = u_j(p), \text{ for some } i \neq j\}. \quad (2.13)$$

Theorem 2.13 ([11, 13]). *There exists a unique formal solution $Z_{\text{form}}(\mathbf{t}, z)$ of the joint system (2.1), (2.2) of the form*

$$Z_{\text{form}}(\mathbf{t}, z) = \Psi(\mathbf{t})^{-1} G(\mathbf{t}, z) \exp(zU(\mathbf{t})), \quad (2.14)$$

$$G(\mathbf{t}, z) = I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^k} G_k(\mathbf{t}), \quad (2.15)$$

where the matrices $G_k(\mathbf{t})$ are holomorphic on $\Omega \setminus \Delta_\Omega$.

Theorem 2.14 ([11, 13]). *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. There exist unique fundamental systems of solutions $Z_{L,m}(\mathbf{t}, z)$, $Z_{R,m}(\mathbf{t}, z)$ of the joint system (2.1), (2.2) with asymptotic expansion*

$$Z_{L,m}(\mathbf{t}, z) \sim Z_{\text{form}}(\mathbf{t}, z), \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty, \quad z \in \Pi_{L,m}(\phi), \quad (2.16)$$

$$Z_{R,m}(\mathbf{t}, z) \sim Z_{\text{form}}(\mathbf{t}, z), \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty, \quad z \in \Pi_{R,m}(\phi), \quad (2.17)$$

respectively.

Definition 2.15. The solutions $Z_{L,m}(\mathbf{t}, z)$ and $Z_{R,m}(\mathbf{t}, z)$ are called *Stokes fundamental solutions* of the joint system (2.1), (2.2) on the sectors $\Pi_{L,m}(\phi)$ and $\Pi_{R,m}(\phi)$ respectively.

2.4. Monodromy data

Let $\ell \equiv \{\arg z = \phi\}$ be an oriented ray in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^*}$ and consider the corresponding Stokes fundamental systems of solutions $Z_{L,m}(\mathbf{t}, z)$, $Z_{R,m}(\mathbf{t}, z)$, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.16. We define the *Stokes* and *central connection* matrices $S^{(m)}(p)$, $C^{(m)}(p)$, with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, at the point $p \in O_\ell$ by the identities

$$Z_{L,m}(\mathbf{t}(p), z) = Z_{R,m}(\mathbf{t}(p), z) S^{(m)}(p), \quad (2.18)$$

$$Z_{R,m}(\mathbf{t}(p), z) = Z_{\text{top}}(\mathbf{t}(p), z) C^{(m)}(p). \quad (2.19)$$

Set $S(p) := S^{(0)}(p)$ and $C(p) := C^{(0)}(p)$.

Definition 2.17. The *monodromy data* at the point $p \in O_\ell$ are defined as the 4-tuple $(\mu, R, S(p), C(p))$, where

- μ is the (matrix associated to) the grading operator,
- R is the (matrix associated to) the operator $c_1(X) \cup : H^\bullet(X) \rightarrow H^\bullet(X)$,
- $S(p), C(p)$ are the Stokes and central connection matrices at p , respectively.

Remark 2.18. The definition of the Stokes and central connection matrices is subordinate to several non-canonical choices:

1. the choice of an oriented ray ℓ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^*$,
2. the choice of an ordering of canonical coordinates u_1, \dots, u_n on each ℓ -chamber,
3. the choice of signs in (1.19), and hence of the branch of the Ψ -matrix on each ℓ -chamber.

Different choices affect the numerical values of the data (S, C) , see [5]. In particular, for different choices of ordering of canonical coordinates, the Stokes and central connection matrices transform as follows:

$$S \mapsto \Pi S \Pi^{-1}, \quad C \mapsto C \Pi^{-1}, \quad \Pi \text{ permutation matrix.} \quad (2.20)$$

Definition 2.19. Fix a point $p \in O_\ell$ with canonical coordinates $(u_i(p))_{i=1}^n$. Define the oriented rays $L_j(p, \phi)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, in the complex plane by the equations

$$L_j(p, \phi) := \left\{ u_j(p) + \rho e^{\sqrt{-1}(\frac{\pi}{2} - \phi)} : \rho \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\}. \quad (2.21)$$

The ray $L_j(p, \phi)$ is oriented from $u_j(p)$ to ∞ . We say that $(u_i(p))_{i=1}^n$ are in ℓ -lexicographical order if $L_j(p, \phi)$ is on the left of $L_k(p, \phi)$ for $1 \leq j < k \leq n$.

In what follows, it is assumed that the ℓ -lexicographical order of canonical coordinates is fixed at all points of ℓ -chambers.

Lemma 2.20 ([5, 13]). *If the canonical coordinates $(u_i(p))_{i=1}^n$ are in ℓ -lexicographical order at $p \in O_\ell$, then the Stokes matrices $S^{(m)}(p)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, are upper triangular with 1's along the diagonal.*

By Remarks 2.4 and 2.5, the matrices μ and R determine the monodromy of solutions of the qDE ,

$$M_0 := \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu) \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}R). \quad (2.22)$$

Moreover, μ and R do not depend on the point p . The following theorem furnishes a refinement of this property.

Theorem 2.21 ([5, 11, 13]). *The monodromy data (μ, R, S, C) are constant in each ℓ -chamber. Moreover, they satisfy the following identities:*

$$CS^T S^{-1} C^{-1} = M_0, \quad (2.23)$$

$$S = C^{-1} \exp(-\pi\sqrt{-1}R) \exp(-\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu) \eta^{-1} (C^T)^{-1}, \quad (2.24)$$

$$S^T = C^{-1} \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}R) \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}\mu) \eta^{-1} (C^T)^{-1}. \quad (2.25)$$

Theorem 2.22 ([5]). *The Stokes and central connection matrices S_m, C_m , with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, can be reconstructed from the monodromy data (μ, R, S, C) :*

$$S^{(m)} = S, \quad C^{(m)} = M_0^{-m} C, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.26)$$

Remark 2.23. Points of O_ℓ are semisimple. The results of [4, 5, 7, 8] imply that the monodromy data (μ, R, S, C) are well defined also at points $p \in \Omega_{ss} \cap \Delta_\Omega$, and that Theorem 2.21 still holds true.

Remark 2.24. From the knowledge of the monodromy data (μ, R, S, C) the Gromov-Witten potential $F_0^X(t)$ can be reconstructed via a Riemann-Hilbert boundary value problem, see [5, 6, 13, 23]. Hence, the monodromy data may be interpreted as a *system of coordinates* in the space of solutions of *WDVV* equations.

2.5. Action of the braid group \mathcal{B}_n

Consider the braid group \mathcal{B}_n with generators $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}$ satisfying the relations

$$\beta_i \beta_j = \beta_j \beta_i, \quad |i - j| > 1, \quad (2.27)$$

$$\beta_i \beta_{i+1} \beta_i = \beta_{i+1} \beta_i \beta_{i+1}. \quad (2.28)$$

Let \mathcal{U}_n be the set of upper triangular $(n \times n)$ -matrices with 1's along the diagonal.

Definition 2.25. Given $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ define the matrices $A^{\beta_i}(U)$, with $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, as follows

$$(A^{\beta_i}(U))_{hh} := 1, \quad h = 1, \dots, n, \quad h \neq i, i+1, \quad (2.29)$$

$$(A^{\beta_i}(U))_{i+1, i+1} = -U_{i, i+1}, \quad (2.30)$$

$$(A^{\beta_i}(U))_{i, i+1} = (A^{\beta_i}(U))_{i+1, i} = 1, \quad (2.31)$$

and all other entries of $A^{\beta_i}(U)$ are equal to zero.

Lemma 2.26 ([5, 11, 13]). *The braid group \mathcal{B}_n acts on $\mathcal{U}_n \times GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{U}_n \times GL(n, \mathbb{C}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_n \times GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \\ (\beta_i, U, C) &\longmapsto (A^{\beta_i}(U) \cdot U \cdot A^{\beta_i}(U), C \cdot A^{\beta_i}(U)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $(U, C)^{\beta_i}$ the action of β_i on (U, C) .

Fix an oriented ray $\ell \equiv \{\arg z = \phi\}$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^*}$, and denote by $\bar{\ell}$ its projection on \mathbb{C}^* . Let $\Omega_{\ell,1}, \Omega_{\ell,2}$ be two ℓ -chambers and let $p_i \in \Omega_{\ell,i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. The difference of values of the Stokes and central connection matrices (S_1, C_1) and (S_2, C_2) , at p_1 and p_2 respectively, can be described by the action of the braid group \mathcal{B}_n of Lemma 2.26.

Theorem 2.27 ([5, 11, 13]). *Consider a continuous path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ such that*

- $\gamma(0) = p_1$ and $\gamma(1) = p_2$,
- there exists a unique $t_o \in [0, 1]$ such that ℓ is not admissible at $\gamma(t_o)$,
- there exist $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, with $|i_a - i_b| > 1$ for $a \neq b$, such that the rays³ $(R_{i_j, i_{j+1}}(t))_{j=1}^r$ (resp. $(R_{i_j, i_{j+1}}(t))_{j=r+1}^k$) cross the ray $\bar{\ell}$ in the clockwise (resp. counterclockwise) direction, as $t \rightarrow t_o^-$.

³Here the labeling of Stokes rays is the one prolonged from the initial point $t = 0$.

Then, we have

$$(S_2, C_2) = (S_1, C_1)^\beta, \quad \beta := \left(\prod_{j=1}^r \beta_{i_j} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{h=r+1}^k \beta_{i_h} \right)^{-1}. \quad (2.32)$$

Remark 2.28. In the general case, the points p_1 and p_2 can be connected by concatenations of paths γ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2.27.

Remark 2.29. The action of \mathcal{B}_n on (S, C) also describes the analytic continuation of the Frobenius manifold structure on Ω , see [13, Lecture 4].

3. Derived category, exceptional collections, helices

3.1. Notations and basic notions

Denote by $\text{Coh}(X)$ the abelian category of coherent sheaves on X , and by $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ its bounded derived category. Objects of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ are bounded complexes A^\bullet of coherent sheaves on X . Morphisms are given by *roofs*: if A^\bullet, B^\bullet are two bounded complexes, a morphism $f: A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ is the datum of

- a third object C^\bullet in $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$,
- two homotopy classes of morphisms of complexes $q: C^\bullet \rightarrow A^\bullet$ and $g: C^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$,
- the morphism q is required to be a *quasi-isomorphism*, i.e. it induces isomorphism in cohomology.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C^\bullet & \\ q \swarrow & & \searrow g \\ A^\bullet & \dashrightarrow \quad \quad \quad & B^\bullet \\ & f & \end{array} \quad (3.1)$$

The derived category $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ admits a triangulated structure, the *shift functor* $[1]: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ being defined by

$$A^\bullet[1] := A^{\bullet+1}, \quad A^\bullet \in \mathcal{D}^b(X). \quad (3.2)$$

Denote by $\text{Hom}^\bullet(A^\bullet, B^\bullet) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(A^\bullet, B^\bullet[k])$. General references for this Section are [17, 20, 21, 32].

3.2. Exceptional collections

Definition 3.1. An object $E \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ is called *exceptional* iff

$$\text{Hom}^\bullet(E, E) \cong \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.3)$$

Definition 3.2. An *exceptional collection* is an ordered family (E_1, \dots, E_n) of exceptional objects of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ such that

$$\text{Hom}^\bullet(E_j, E_i) \cong 0 \quad \text{for } j > i. \quad (3.4)$$

An exceptional collection is *full* if it generates $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ as a triangulated category, i.e. if any full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ containing all the objects E_i 's is equivalent to $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ via the inclusion functor.

Example. In [2] A. Beilinson showed that the collection of line bundles

$$\mathfrak{B} := (\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n)) \quad (3.5)$$

on \mathbb{P}^n is a full exceptional collection. M. Kapranov generalized this result in [25], where full exceptional collections on Grassmannians, flag varieties of group SL_n , and smooth quadrics are constructed.

Denote by $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$ the Grassmannian of k -dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n , by S^\vee the dual of its tautological bundle. Let \mathbb{S}^λ be the Schur functor (see [15]) labelled by a Young diagram λ inside a rectangle $k \times (n - k)$. The collection $\mathfrak{K} := (\mathbb{S}^\lambda S^\vee)_\lambda$ is full and exceptional in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{G}(k, n))$. The order of the objects of the collection is the partial order defined by inclusion of Young diagrams.

3.3. Mutations and helices

Let E be an exceptional object in $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$. For any $X \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$, we have natural evaluation and co-evaluation morphisms

$$j^*: \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E, X) \otimes E \rightarrow X, \quad j_*: X \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X, E)^* \otimes E. \quad (3.6)$$

Definition 3.3. The *left* and *right mutations* of X with respect to E are the objects $\mathbb{L}_E X$ and $\mathbb{R}_E X$ uniquely defined by the distinguished triangles

$$\mathbb{L}_E X[-1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E, X) \otimes E \xrightarrow{j^*} X \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_E X, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\mathbb{R}_E X \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{j_*} \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(X, E)^* \otimes E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_E X[1], \quad (3.8)$$

respectively.

Remark 3.4. In general, the third object of a distinguished triangle is not canonically defined by the other two terms. Nevertheless, the objects $\mathbb{L}_E X$ and $\mathbb{R}_E X$ are uniquely defined *up to unique isomorphism*, because of the exceptionality of E , see [6, Section 3.3].

Definition 3.5. Let $\mathfrak{E} = (E_1, \dots, E_n)$ be an exceptional collection. For any $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ define the *left* and *right mutations*

$$\mathbb{L}_i \mathfrak{E} := (E_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_{E_i} E_{i+1}, E_i, \dots, E_n), \quad (3.9)$$

$$\mathbb{R}_i \mathfrak{E} := (E_1, \dots, E_{i+1}, \mathbb{R}_{E_{i+1}} E_i, \dots, E_n). \quad (3.10)$$

Theorem 3.6 ([20, 32]). *For all $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ the collections $\mathbb{L}_i \mathfrak{E}$ and $\mathbb{R}_i \mathfrak{E}$ are exceptional. Moreover, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_i \mathbb{R}_i &= \mathbb{R}_i \mathbb{L}_i = \mathrm{Id}, \quad \mathbb{L}_{i+1} \mathbb{L}_i \mathbb{L}_{i+1} = \mathbb{L}_i \mathbb{L}_{i+1} \mathbb{L}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \mathbb{L}_i \mathbb{L}_j &= \mathbb{L}_j \mathbb{L}_i, \quad |i - j| > 1. \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 3.6, we have a well-defined action of \mathcal{B}_n on the set of exceptional collections of length n in $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$: the action of the generator β_i is identified with the action of the mutation \mathbb{L}_i for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Definition 3.7. Let $\mathfrak{E} = (E_1, \dots, E_n)$ be a full exceptional collection. We define the *helix* generated by \mathfrak{E} to be the infinite family $(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of exceptional objects obtained by iterated mutations

$$E_{n+i} := \mathbb{R}_{E_{n+i-1}} \dots \mathbb{R}_{E_{i+1}} E_i, \quad E_{i-n} := \mathbb{L}_{E_{i-n+1}} \dots \mathbb{L}_{E_{i-1}} E_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Any family of n consecutive exceptional objects $(E_{i+k})_{k=1}^n$ is called a *foundation* of the helix.

Lemma 3.8 ([20]). *For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E_i, E_j) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E_{i-n}, E_{j-n})$.*

3.4. Exceptional bases in K -theory

Consider the Grothendieck group $K_0(X) \equiv K_0(\mathcal{D}^b(X))$, equipped with the Grothendieck-Euler-Poincaré bilinear form

$$\chi([V], [F]) := \sum_k (-1)^k \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}(V, F[i]), \quad V, F \in \mathcal{D}^b(X). \quad (3.11)$$

Definition 3.9. A basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ of $K_0(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called *exceptional* if $\chi(e_i, e_i) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\chi(e_j, e_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $(E_i)_{i=1}^n$ be a full exceptional collection in $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$. The K -classes $([E_i])_{i=1}^n$ form an exceptional basis of $K_0(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

The action of the braid group on the set of exceptional collections in $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ admits a K -theoretical analogue on the set of exceptional bases of $K_0(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$, see [6, 20].

4. Dubrovin's conjecture

4.1. Γ -classes and graded Chern character

Let V be a complex vector bundle on X of rank r , and let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r$ be its Chern roots, so that $c_j(V) = s_j(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r)$, where s_j is the j -th elementary symmetric polynomial.

Definition 4.1. Let Q be an indeterminate, and $F \in \mathbb{C}[[Q]]$ be of the form $F(Q) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n Q^n$. The F -class of V is the characteristic class $\hat{F}_V \in H^\bullet(X)$ defined by $\hat{F}_V := \prod_{j=1}^r F(\delta_j)$.

Definition 4.2. The Γ^\pm -classes of V are the characteristic classes associated with the Taylor expansions

$$\Gamma(1 \pm Q) = \exp \left(\mp \gamma Q + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (\mp 1)^m \frac{\zeta(m)}{m} Q^m \right) \in \mathbb{C}[[Q]], \quad (4.1)$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and ζ is the Riemann zeta function.

If $V = TX$, then we denote $\hat{\Gamma}_X^\pm$ its Γ -classes.

Definition 4.3. The *graded Chern character* of V is the characteristic class $\mathrm{Ch}(V) \in H^\bullet(X)$ defined by $\mathrm{Ch}(V) := \sum_{j=1}^r \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\delta_j)$.

4.2. Statement of the conjecture

Let X be a Fano variety. In [12] Dubrovin conjectured that many properties of the qDE of X , in particular its monodromy, Stokes and central connection matrices, are encoded in the geometry of exceptional collections in $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$. The following conjecture is a refinement of the original version in [12].

Conjecture 4.4 ([6]). *Let X be a smooth Fano variety of Hodge-Tate type.*

1. *The quantum cohomology $QH^\bullet(X)$ has semisimple points if and only if there exists a full exceptional collection in $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$.*
2. *If $QH^\bullet(X)$ is generically semisimple, for any oriented ray ℓ of slope $\phi \in [0, 2\pi[$ there is a correspondence between ℓ -chambers and helices with a marked foundation.*
3. *Let Ω_ℓ be an ℓ -chamber and $\mathfrak{E}_\ell = (E_1, \dots, E_n)$ the corresponding exceptional collection (the marked foundation). Denote by S and C Stokes and central connection matrices computed in Ω_ℓ .*

- (a) *The matrix S is the inverse of the Gram matrix of the χ -pairing in $K_0(X)_\mathbb{C}$ wrt the exceptional basis $[\mathfrak{E}_\ell]$,*

$$(S^{-1})_{ij} = \chi(E_i, E_j); \quad (4.2)$$

- (b) *The matrix C coincides with the matrix associated with the \mathbb{C} -linear morphism*

$$\Pi_X^- : K_0(X)_\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow H^\bullet(X) \quad (4.3)$$

$$F \longmapsto \frac{(\sqrt{-1})^{\bar{d}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \hat{\Gamma}_X^- \exp(-\pi\sqrt{-1}c_1(X)) \text{Ch}(F), \quad (4.4)$$

where $d := \dim_\mathbb{C} X$, and \bar{d} is the residue class $d \pmod{2}$. The matrix is computed wrt the exceptional basis $[\mathfrak{E}_\ell]$ and the pre-fixed basis $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^n$ of $H^\bullet(X)$.

Remark 4.5. Conjecture 4.4 relates two different aspects of the geometry of X , namely its *symplectic structure* (GW-theory) and its *complex structure* (the derived category $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$). Heuristically, Conjecture 4.4 follows from Homological Mirror Symmetry Conjecture of M. Kontsevich, see [6, Section 5.5].

Remark 4.6. In the paper [26] it was underlined the role of Γ -classes for refining the original version of Dubrovin's conjecture [12]. Subsequently, in [14] and [16, Γ -conjecture II] two equivalent versions of point (3.b) above were given. However, in both these versions, different choices of solutions in Levelt form of the qDE at $z = 0$ are chosen wrt the natural ones in the theory of Frobenius manifolds, see Remark 2.4, and [6, Section 5.6].

Remark 4.7. If point (3.b) holds true, then automatically also point (3.a) holds true. This follows from the identity (2.24) and Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch Theorem, see [6, Corollary 5.8].

Remark 4.8. Assume the validity of points (3.a) and (3.b) of Conjecture 4.4. The action of the braid group \mathcal{B}_n on the Stokes and central connection matrices (Lemma 2.26) is compatible with the action of \mathcal{B}_n on the marked foundations attached at each ℓ -chambers. Different choices of the branch of the Ψ -matrix correspond to shifts of objects of the marked foundation. The matrix M_0^{-1} is identified with the canonical operator $\kappa: K_0(X)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow K_0(X)_{\mathbb{C}}$, $[F] \mapsto (-1)^d[F \otimes \omega_X]$. Equations (2.26) imply that the connection matrices $C^{(m)}$, with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, correspond to the matrices of the morphism Π_X^- wrt the foundations $(\mathfrak{E}_\ell \otimes \omega_X^{\otimes m})[md]$. The statement $S^{(m)} = S$ coincides with the periodicity described in Lemma 3.8, see [6, Theorem 5.9].

Remark 4.9. Point (3.b) of Conjecture 4.4 allows to identify K -classes with solutions of the joint system of equations (2.1), (2.2). Under this identification, Stokes fundamental solutions correspond to exceptional bases of K -theory. In the approach of [9, 33], where the equivariant case is addressed, such an identification is more fundamental and *a priori*, see Section 6.

5. Results for Grassmannians

Conjecture 4.4 has been proved for complex Grassmannians $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$ in [6, 16]. See also [22, 34]. The proof is based on direct computation of the monodromy data of the qDE at points of the *small quantum cohomology*, namely the subset $H^2(\mathbb{G}(k, n), \mathbb{C})$ of Ω . Here we summarize the main results obtained.

Remark 5.1. If⁴ $\pi_1(n) \leq k \leq n - \pi_1(n)$, the small quantum locus of $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$ is contained in the coalescence locus Δ_Ω , see [3]. In these cases, the computation of the monodromy data is justified by the results of [4, 5, 7, 8]. See also Remark 2.23.

5.1. The case of projective spaces

Denote by $\sigma \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C})$ the hyperplane class and fix the basis $(\sigma^k)_{k=0}^{n-1}$ of $H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$. The joint system (2.1), (2.2) for \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , restricted at the point $t\sigma \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C})$, with $t \in \mathbb{C}$, is

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} = z\mathcal{C}(t)Z, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial Z}{\partial z} = \left(\mathcal{U}(t) + \frac{1}{z}\mu \right) Z, \quad (5.2)$$

with

$$\mathcal{U}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & nq \\ n & 0 & & & \\ & n & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & n & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q := e^t, \quad \mathcal{C}(t) = \frac{1}{n}\mathcal{U}(t), \quad (5.3)$$

$$\mu = \text{diag} \left(-\frac{n-1}{2}, -\frac{n-3}{2}, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2} \right). \quad (5.4)$$

⁴Here $\pi_1(n)$ denotes the smallest prime number which divides n .

The canonical coordinates are given by the eigenvalues of the matrix $\mathcal{U}(t)$,

$$u_h(t) = ne^{\frac{2\pi i(h-1)}{n}} q^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad h = 1, \dots, n. \quad (5.5)$$

Fix the orthonormalized idempotent vector fields, $f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t)$, given by

$$f_h(t) := \sum_{\ell=1}^n f_h^\ell(t) \sigma^{\ell-1}, \quad f_h^\ell(t) := n^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{n+1-2\ell}{2n}} e^{(1-2\ell)i\pi \frac{(h-1)}{n}} \quad h, \ell = 1, \dots, n,$$

and consider the following branch of the Ψ -matrix,

$$\Psi(t) := \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} f_1^1(t) & \dots & f_n^1(t) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_1^n(t) & \dots & f_n^n(t) \end{array} \right)^{-1}. \quad (5.6)$$

Theorem 5.2 ([6]). *Fix the oriented ray ℓ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^*$ of slope $\phi \in [0, \frac{\pi}{n}[$. For suitable choices of the signs of the columns of the Ψ -matrix (5.6), the central connection matrix computed at $0 \in H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ coincides with the matrix attached to the morphism*

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}^- : K_0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$$

computed wrt the exceptional bases

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right), \bigwedge^1 \mathcal{T}\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right), \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right), \bigwedge^3 \mathcal{T}\left(\frac{n}{2}-2\right), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-1), \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{T} \quad (5.7)$$

for n even, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right), \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right), \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{T}\left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right), \\ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n+3}{2}\right), \bigwedge^4 \mathcal{T}\left(\frac{n-5}{2}\right), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-1), \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{T} \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

for n odd. In particular, Conjecture 4.4 holds true for \mathbb{P}^{n-1} .

Remark 5.3. Exceptional collections (5.7) and (5.8) are related to Beilinson's exceptional collection (3.5) by mutations and shifts. For different choices of the ray ℓ , the exceptional collections attached to the monodromy data computed at $0 \in H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ are given (up to shifts) by the following list, see [6, 9].

1. **Case n odd:** an exceptional collection either of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}\left(-k - \frac{n-1}{2}\right), \mathcal{T}\left(-k - \frac{n-1}{2} - 1\right), \mathcal{O}\left(-k - \frac{n-1}{2} + 1\right), \\ \bigwedge^3 \mathcal{T}\left(-k - \frac{n-1}{2} - 2\right), \mathcal{O}\left(-k - \frac{n-1}{2} + 2\right), \dots, \bigwedge^{n-4} \mathcal{T}(-k - n + 2), \\ \mathcal{O}(-k - 1), \bigwedge^{n-2} \mathcal{T}(-k - n + 1), \mathcal{O}(-k), \end{aligned}$$

or of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}\left(-k - \frac{n-1}{2}\right), \mathcal{O}\left(-k - \frac{n-1}{2} + 1\right), \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{T}\left(-k - \frac{n-1}{2} - 1\right), \\ \mathcal{O}\left(-k - \frac{n-1}{2} + 2\right), \bigwedge^3 \mathcal{T}\left(-k - \frac{n-1}{2} - 2\right), \dots, \mathcal{O}(-k - 1), \\ \bigwedge^{n-3} \mathcal{T}(-k - n + 2), \mathcal{O}(-k), \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{T}(-k - n + 1), \end{aligned}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

2. **Case n even:** an exceptional collection either of the form

$$\mathcal{O}\left(-k - \frac{n}{2}\right), \mathcal{O}\left(-k - \frac{n}{2} + 1\right), \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{T}\left(-k - \frac{n}{2} - 1\right), \mathcal{O}\left(-k - \frac{n}{2} + 2\right), \dots, \\ \dots, \bigwedge^{n-4} \mathcal{T}(-k - n + 2), \mathcal{O}(-k - 1), \bigwedge^{n-2} \mathcal{T}(-k - n + 1), \mathcal{O}(-k),$$

or of the form

$$\mathcal{O}\left(-k - \frac{n}{2} + 1\right), \mathcal{T}\left(-k - \frac{n}{2}\right), \mathcal{O}\left(-k - \frac{n}{2} + 2\right), \bigwedge^3 \mathcal{T}\left(-k - \frac{n}{2} - 1\right), \dots, \\ \dots, \mathcal{O}(-k - 1), \bigwedge^{n-3} \mathcal{T}(-k - n + 2), \mathcal{O}(-k), \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{T}(-k - n + 1),$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5.2. The case of Grassmannians

Denote by \mathbb{G} the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$ parametrizing k -dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n , and by \mathbb{P} the projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . Let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k be the Chern roots of the dual of the tautological bundle \mathcal{S} on \mathbb{G} , and denote by $h_j(\xi)$ the j -th complete symmetric polynomial in ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k . An additive basis of the cohomology ring

$$H^\bullet(\mathbb{G}) \cong \mathbb{C}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k]^{\mathfrak{S}_k} / \langle h_{n-k+1}, \dots, h_n \rangle, \quad (5.9)$$

is given by the Schubert classes $(\sigma_\lambda)_{\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)}$, labelled by partitions λ with Young diagram inside a $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle. Under the presentation (5.9), the Schubert classes are given by Schur polynomials in ξ ,

$$\sigma_\lambda := \frac{\det\left(\xi_i^{\lambda_j + k - j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}}{\prod_{i < j} (\xi_i - \xi_j)}. \quad (5.10)$$

Denote by $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\eta_{\mathbb{G}}$ the Poincaré metrics on $H^\bullet(\mathbb{P})$ and $H^\bullet(\mathbb{G})$ respectively. The metric $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}$ induces a metric $\eta_{\mathbb{P}}^{\wedge k}$ on the exterior power $\bigwedge^k H^\bullet(\mathbb{P})$:

$$\eta_{\mathbb{P}}^{\wedge k}(\alpha_1 \wedge \dots, \wedge \alpha_k, \beta_1 \wedge \dots, \wedge \beta_k) := \det(\eta_{\mathbb{P}}(\alpha_i, \beta_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}. \quad (5.11)$$

Theorem 5.4 ([6, 16]). *We have a \mathbb{C} -linear isometry*

$$\mathcal{I}: \left(\bigwedge^k H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}), (-1)^{\binom{k}{2}} \eta_{\mathbb{P}}^{\wedge k} \right) \rightarrow (H^\bullet(\mathbb{G}), \eta_{\mathbb{G}}), \quad \sigma^{\nu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma^{\nu_k} \mapsto \sigma_{\tilde{\nu}},$$

where $n-1 \geq \nu_1 > \nu_2 > \dots > \nu_k \geq 0$ and $\tilde{\nu} := (\nu_1 - k + 1, \nu_2 - k + 2, \dots, \nu_k)$.

Consider the domain $\Omega_{\mathbb{G}} \subset H^\bullet(\mathbb{G})$ (resp. $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}} \subset H^\bullet(\mathbb{P})$) where the GW -potential $F_0^{\mathbb{G}}$ (resp. $F_0^{\mathbb{P}}$) converges. Let $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and consider the points

$$p := t\sigma_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{C}), \quad \hat{p} := (t + \pi\sqrt{-1}(k-1))\sigma \in H^2(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{C}), \quad (5.12)$$

in the small quantum cohomology of \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{P} respectively. Theorem 5.4 allow us to identify⁵ the tangent spaces $T_p\Omega_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $\bigwedge^k T_{\hat{p}}\Omega_{\mathbb{P}}$.

Lemma 5.5 ([6, 16]). *Let $\Psi^{\mathbb{P}}(t)$ be the Ψ -matrix defined by (5.6). Then the matrix $\Psi^{\mathbb{G}}(t) := (\sqrt{-1})^{\binom{k}{2}} \bigwedge^k \Psi^{\mathbb{P}}(t + \pi\sqrt{-1}(k-1))$ defines a branch of the Ψ -matrix for \mathbb{G} .*

⁵In what follows, if A is a $n \times n$ -matrix, we denote by $\bigwedge^k A$ the matrix of $k \times k$ -minors of A , ordered in lexicographical order.

The following results show that under the identification of Theorem 5.4, solutions and monodromy data of the joint system (2.1), (2.2) for \mathbb{G} can be reconstructed from solutions for the joint system for \mathbb{P} .

Theorem 5.6 ([6]). *Let $Z^{\mathbb{P}}(t, z)$ be a solution of the joint system (5.1), (5.2). The function*

$$Z^{\mathbb{G}}(t, z) := \bigwedge^k (Z^{\mathbb{P}}(t + \pi\sqrt{-1}(k-1), z)) \quad (5.13)$$

is a solution for the joint system for \mathbb{G} , namely

$$\frac{\partial Z^{\mathbb{G}}}{\partial t} = z\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{G}}(t)Z^{\mathbb{G}}, \quad (5.14)$$

$$\frac{\partial Z^{\mathbb{G}}}{\partial z} = \left(\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{G}}(t) + \frac{1}{z}\mu_{\mathbb{G}} \right) Z^{\mathbb{G}}. \quad (5.15)$$

Corollary 5.7 ([6]). *Fix an oriented ray ℓ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^*$ admissible at both points p, \hat{p} in (5.12). Denote by $S^{\mathbb{P}}(\hat{p}), S^{\mathbb{G}}(p)$ and $C^{\mathbb{P}}(\hat{p}), C^{\mathbb{G}}(p)$ the Stokes and central connection matrices at \hat{p} and p , respectively. We have*

$$S^{\mathbb{G}}(p) = \bigwedge^k S^{\mathbb{P}}(\hat{p}), \quad (5.16)$$

$$C^{\mathbb{G}}(p) = (\sqrt{-1})^{-\binom{k}{2}} \left(\bigwedge^k C^{\mathbb{P}}(\hat{p}) \right) \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}(k-1)\sigma_1 \cup). \quad (5.17)$$

Proof. Denote by

- $Z_{\text{top}}^{\mathbb{P}}(t, z)$ and $Z_{\text{top}}^{\mathbb{G}}(t, z)$ the topological-enumerative solutions for \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{G} respectively, restricted at their small quantum cohomologies;
- $Z_{L/R, m}^{\mathbb{P}/\mathbb{G}}(t, z)$, with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Stokes fundamental solutions of the joint systems (2.1), (2.2) for \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{G} respectively.

We have

$$Z_{\text{top}}^{\mathbb{G}}(t, z) = \left(\bigwedge^k Z_{\text{top}}^{\mathbb{P}}(t + \pi\sqrt{-1}(k-1), z) \right) \cdot \exp(-\pi\sqrt{-1}(k-1)\sigma_1 \cup),$$

$$Z_{L/R, m}^{\mathbb{G}}(t, z) = (\sqrt{-1})^{-\binom{k}{2}} \bigwedge^k Z_{L/R, m}^{\mathbb{P}}(t + \pi\sqrt{-1}(k-1), z).$$

See [6] for proofs of these identities. \square

Corollary 5.8 ([6]). *The central connection matrix computed at $0 \in H^{\bullet}(\mathbb{G})$ coincides with the matrix attached to the morphism*

$$\Pi_{\mathbb{G}}^{-} : K_0(\mathbb{G})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow H^{\bullet}(\mathbb{G})$$

computed wrt an exceptional basis of $K_0(\mathbb{G})_{\mathbb{C}}$. Such a basis is the projection in K -theory of an exceptional collection of $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{G})$ related by mutations and shifts to the twisted Kapranov exceptional collection

$$(\mathbb{S}^{\lambda} \mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{L}), \quad \mathcal{L} := \det \left(\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{S}^{\vee} \right). \quad (5.18)$$

In particular, Conjecture 4.4 holds true for \mathbb{G} .

6. Results on the equivariant qDE of \mathbb{P}^{n-1}

Gromov-Witten theory, as described in Section 1.2, can be suitably adapted to the equivariant case [18]. Given a variety X equipped with the action of a group G , a quantum deformation of the equivariant cohomology algebra $H_G^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$ can be defined.

Consider the projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-1} equipped with the diagonal action of the torus $\mathbb{T} := (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Although the isomonodromic system (5.1), (5.2) does not admit an equivariant analog, the differential equation (5.1) only can be easily modified. By change of coordinates $q := \exp(t)$, setting $z = 1$, and replacing the quantum multiplication $*_q$ by the corresponding equivariant one $*_{q, \mathbf{z}}$, equation (5.1) takes the form

$$q \frac{d}{dq} Z = \sigma *_{q, \mathbf{z}} Z. \quad (6.1)$$

Here the equivariant parameters $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ correspond to the factors of \mathbb{T} , and $Z(q, \mathbf{z})$ takes values in $H_{\mathbb{T}}^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C})$. Equation (6.1) admits a compatible system of difference equations, called qKZ difference equations

$$Z(q, z_1, \dots, z_i - 1, \dots, z_n) = K_i(q, \mathbf{z}) Z(q, \mathbf{z}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (6.2)$$

for suitable linear operators K_i 's, introduced in [33]. The joint system (6.1), (6.2) is a suitable limit of an analogue one for the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, see [19, 30]. The existence and compatibility of such a joint system for more general Nakajima quiver varieties is justified by the general theory of D. Maulik and A. Okounkov [28].

In [33], the study of the monodromy and Stokes phenomenon at $q = \infty$ of solutions of the joint system (6.1), (6.2) is addressed. Furthermore, elements of $K_0^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})_{\mathbb{C}}$ are identified with solutions of the joint system (6.1), (6.2): Stokes bases of solutions correspond to exceptional bases.

In [9], the authors describe relations between the monodromy data of the joint system of the equivariant qDE (6.1) and qKZ equations (6.2) and characteristic classes of objects of the derived category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}^b(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$ of equivariant coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . Equivariant analogs of results of [6, Section 6] are obtained.

The B-Theorem of [9] is the equivariant analog of Theorem 5.2. Moreover, in [9] the Stokes bases of solutions of the joint system (6.1), (6.2) are identified with explicit \mathbb{T} -full exceptional collections in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}^b(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$, which project to those listed in Remark 5.3 via the forgetful functor $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{T}}^b(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$. This refines results of [33]. Finally, in [9] it is proved that the Stokes matrices of the joint system (6.1), (6.2) equal the Gram matrices of the equivariant Grothendieck-Euler-Poincaré pairing on $K_0^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})_{\mathbb{C}}$ wrt the same exceptional bases.

Acknowledgment

These notes partly touch the topic of the talk given by the author at the XXXVIII *Workshop on Geometric Methods in Physics*, hold in June-July

2019 in the inspiring atmosphere of Białowieża, Poland. The author is thankful to the organizers of the Workshop for invitation. He also thanks the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn, Germany, for support.

References

- [1] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi. *The intrinsic normal cone*. Invent. Math., 128:45–88, 1997.
- [2] A. Beilinson. *Coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P}^n and problems in linear algebra*, (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 12(3),68–69, 1978.
- [3] G. Cotti. *Coalescence Phenomenon of Quantum Cohomology of Grassmannians and the Distribution of Prime Numbers*. arXiv:1608.06868v2, 2016.
- [4] G. Cotti, B. Dubrovin, and D. Guzzetti. *Isomonodromy Deformations at an Irregular Singularity with Coalescing Eigenvalues*. Duke Math. J. 168(6):967–1108, 2019.
- [5] G. Cotti, B. Dubrovin, and D. Guzzetti. *Local Moduli of Semisimple Frobenius Coalescent Structures*. arXiv:1712.08575v2, 2017.
- [6] G. Cotti, B. Dubrovin, and D. Guzzetti. *Helix Structures in Quantum Cohomology of Fano Varieties*. arXiv:1811.09235v2, 2018.
- [7] G. Cotti, and D. Guzzetti. *Analytic geometry of semisimple coalescent Frobenius structures*. Random Matrices Theory Appl. 6, 1740004, 36 pp., 2017.
- [8] G. Cotti, and D. Guzzetti. *Results on the extension of isomonodromy deformations to the case of a resonant irregular singularity*. Random Matrices Theory Appl. 7, 184003, 27 pp., 2018.
- [9] G. Cotti, and A. Varchenko. *Equivariant quantum differential equation and qKZ equations for a projective space: Stokes bases as exceptional collections, Stokes matrices as Gram matrices, and E -Theorem*. arXiv:1909.06582, 2019.
- [10] B. Dubrovin. *Integrable systems in topological field theory*. Nucl. Phys. B, 379:627–689, 1992.
- [11] B. Dubrovin. *Geometry of Two-dimensional topological field theories*. Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups, volume Springer Lecture Notes in Math., pages 120–348, 1996.
- [12] B. Dubrovin. *Geometry and analytic theory of Frobenius manifolds*. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Berlin, 1998), number Extra Vol. II, pages 315–326, 1998.
- [13] B. Dubrovin. *Painlevé Transcendents in two-dimensional topological field theories*. The Painlevé property, One Century later. Springer, 1999.
- [14] B. Dubrovin. *Quantum Cohomology and Isomonodromic Deformation*. Lecture at “Recent Progress in the Theory of Painlevé Equations: Algebraic, asymptotic and topological aspects”, Strasbourg, November 2013.
- [15] W. Fulton. *Young Tableaux, with Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry*. Cambridge University Press, 1997,
- [16] S. Galkin, V. Golyshev, and H. Iritani. *Gamma classes and quantum cohomology of Fano manifolds: Gamma conjectures*. Duke Math. J., 165(11):2005–2077, 2016.

- [17] S. I. Gelfand, and Yu. I. Manin. *Methods of homological algebra*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, second edition, 2003.
- [18] A. Givental. *Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants*. Int. Math. Res. Not., 13:613–663, 1996.
- [19] V. Gorbounov, R. Rimányi, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko. *Quantum cohomology of the cotangent bundle of a flag variety as a Yangian Bethe algebra*. J. Geom. Phys., 74:56–86, 2013.
- [20] A. L. Gorodentsev, and S. A. Kuleshov. *Helix theory*. Mosc. Math. J., 4(2):377–440, 535, 2004.
- [21] A. L. Gorodentsev, and A. N. Rudakov. *Exceptional vector bundles on projective spaces*. Duke Math. J., 54(1):115–130, 1987.
- [22] D. Guzzetti. *Stokes matrices and monodromy of the quantum cohomology of projective spaces*. Comm. Math. Phys., 207(2):341–383, 1999.
- [23] D. Guzzetti. *Inverse problem and monodromy data for three-dimensional Frobenius manifolds*. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom., 4(3):245–291, 2001.
- [24] C. Hertling, Yu. I. Manin, and C. Teleman. *An update on semisimple quantum cohomology and F -manifolds*. Tr. Math. Inst. Steklova, 264:69–76, 2009.
- [25] M. Kapranov. *On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math. 92(3), 479–508, 1988.
- [26] L. Katzarkov, M. Kontsevich, and T. Pantev. *Hodge theoretic aspects of mirror symmetry*. From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT: tt^* -geometry, volume 78 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., pages 87–174. Amer. Math. Soc., 2008.
- [27] M. Kontsevich and Yu. I. Manin. *Gromov-Witten classes, Quantum Cohomology, and Enumerative Geometry*. Comm. Mat. Phys., 164(3):525–562, 1994.
- [28] D. Maulik and A. Okounkov. *Quantum groups and quantum cohomology*. Astérisque, (408):ix+209, 2019.
- [29] Yu. I. Manin. *Frobenius manifolds, Quantum Cohomology, and Moduli Spaces*. Amer. Math. Soc., 1999.
- [30] R. Rimányi, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko. *Partial flag varieties, stable envelopes, and weight functions*. Quantum Topol., 6(2):333–364, 2015.
- [31] Y. Ruan, and G. Tian. *A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology*. J. Diff. Geom. 42, 259–367, 1995.
- [32] A. N. Rudakov, et al. *Helices and vector bundles: Séminaire Rudakov*. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [33] V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko. *Equivariant quantum differential equation, Stokes bases, and K -Theory for a Projective Space*. arXiv:1901.02990v1, 2019.
- [34] K. Ueda. *Stokes matrices for the quantum cohomologies of Grassmannians*. Int. Math. Res. Not., (34):2075–2086, 2005.

Giordano Cotti

Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik

Vivatsgasse 7

53111 Bonn

Germany

e-mail: gcotti@sissa.it, gcotti@mpim-bonn.mpg.de