

Examining the Role of Clickbait Headlines to Engage Readers with Reliable Health-related Information

Sima Bhowmik

School of Journalism and New Media
University of Mississippi
srbhowmi@go.olemiss.edu

Md Main Uddin Rony

College of Information Studies
University of Maryland, College Park
mrony@umd.edu

Md Mahfuzul Haque

Philip Merrill College of Journalism
University of Maryland, College Park
mhaque16@umd.edu

Kristen Alley Swain

School of Journalism and New Media
University of Mississippi
kaswain@olemiss.edu

Naeemul Hassan

Philip Merrill College of Journalism
University of Maryland, College Park
nhassan@umd.edu

Abstract

Clickbait headlines are frequently used to attract readers to read articles. Although this headline type has turned out to be a technique to engage readers with misleading items, it is still unknown whether the technique can be used to attract readers to reliable pieces. This study takes the opportunity to test its efficacy to engage readers with reliable health articles. A set of online surveys would be conducted to test readers' engagement with and perception about clickbait headlines with reliable articles. After that, we would design an automation system to generate clickbait headlines to maximize user engagement.

Introduction

Ordinary people are increasingly going on the Internet to seek health-related information. A Pew Research Center study found that 72% of adult internet users in the United States searched online for information about a range of health issues (Fox 2018). Both true and false information is vying for readers' attention on the online platforms. Research has found that misleading health-related information grabs more attention than evidence-based reports (Forster 2017; Raphael 2019).

When ordinary people come across misleading health-related information, many of them are likely to believe in it and take action accordingly (Shapiro 2018), a problem health professionals have constantly been facing. The trend offers room for more research to find out strategies to engage readers with reliable health-related information.

To this end this paper plans to apply a novel approach of examining the use of clickbait headlines to engage readers with reliable health news. Clickbait, a writing and linguistic technique applied to write a headline which aims to trick readers into clicking links (Chakraborty et al. 2016), has widely been used in unreliable health-related articles. For example, (Raphael 2019) found a vital role clickbait headlines played in attracting readers to click and share content on social media. The author analyzed the credibility of

the 100 most popular health articles shared on social media in 2018 to find that three quarters of the top 10 shared articles were either misleading or included some false information. Clickbait headlines were the prominent feature of these viral articles. (Dhoju et al. 2019) also found similar results that unreliable outlets used more clickbait headlines to spread health news online than reliable outlets.

Although clickbait headlines have predominantly been used in unreliable articles, legacy news media have also been using the technique to engage readers. Clickbait itself as a practice may not necessarily be objectionable; however, when it is used to lure readers to something that fails to keep its promise, then its usage becomes questionable.

As the objective of this paper is to increase reader engagement with reliable health-related information, it will examine how audiences would respond to clickbaits when it is used with reliable articles. To test engagement with health messages and promotion, previous studies have examined the use of social networking sites like Facebook and Twitter (Neiger et al. 2013a; Thrul, Klein, and Ramo 2015; Neiger et al. 2013b; Bhattacharya, Srinivasan, and Polgreen 2017), but no studies were found that applied the clickbait technique to examine whether it helps increase engagement with reliable health-related information.

Engagement in this study has been seen as a two-way process between an outlet and its audiences where readers would share, spend time, and comment (Maksimainen and Michaelmas 2017).

To examine the applicability of clickbaits to increase engagement, this study would employ three phases in its research design in which engagement with clickbaits would be tested through an online experiment survey in the first phase. Participants in the second phase would be asked about their perception of clickbaits. In the last phase automation would be applied to generate clickbait headlines.

The results of this study would contribute to increase engagement with reliable health-related information. Its results could be helpful for health agencies like the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) which aims to engage more people with reliable health-related information online to help them take informed decisions.

Its results also would potentially be applied to other domains like environment, politics, and so on.

Research Plan

In order to understand how clickbait headlines work to engage readers with reliable health-related information, we would employ the following three steps.

First Step - Engagement with clickbaits

In this step we would conduct an online experiment survey in which we would provide the participants with some health-related articles with headlines both in traditional format and clickbait to measure engagement. (Chakraborty et al. 2016; Chen, Conroy, and Rubin 2015) studied different stylistometric techniques used in clickbait articles which we would incorporate in designing different clickbait headlines for a single article. Moreover, we would also explore different clickbait types identified by (Biyani, Tsioutsoulouklis, and Blackmer 2016) to find out the most effective type of technique for better engagement. Before providing participants with articles, we would conduct a pre-experiment survey to find information about demographics, age, education, and political views. In the post-experiment survey, we would ask participants after reading the articles whether they like to click, share, give reaction, discuss with others both online and off-line.

Participants in the experiment survey would be recruited from the United States using the online crowdsourcing website, Amazon Mechanical Turk (MTurk). The topics of the health-related articles for the experiment would be chosen carefully to avoid confirmation bias; for example, topics related to vaccination would not be given, because many participants are likely to have some prior confirmation bias. Instead We would include topics such as nutrition, obesity, smoking, tobacco use, and so on.

Second Step: Perception about clickbaits

In this step we would measure how participants perceive the news articles with clickbait headlines. We would ask readers whether they believe in the articles or not, the types of emotion it creates such as trust, fear, distrust, curiosity, excitement, disgust. We would also ask the participants about the usefulness of the articles.

Third Step: Automated clickbait headline generation

Our third step will focus on automatically generating a clickbait headline based on the content of the article which can ensure better user engagement. We plan to explore deep learning based natural text generation (NTG) models for headline generation. Based on the survey results, we will identify the suitable types and characteristics of the clickbait headlines that can ensure more engagement. The effective types of clickbait may vary with the topics of the health news, users' demographic properties, and also with the temporal and local dependencies. So, the factors which are influential in determining ideal clickbait headline can be consid-

ered as features for the generative models. There are several challenges involved in this task.

Clickbait type and characteristic selection Biyani et al. (Biyani, Tsioutsoulouklis, and Blackmer 2016) identified 8 types of clickbait and all of which might not be appropriate for the health news. For example, the ambiguous or factually wrong headlines might mislead the readers which can impact negatively. Moreover, all clickbait characteristics don't have the same contribution to make a headline effective (Kuiken et al. 2017). So, finding out the suitable type and characteristics of clickbaits will be challenging because the curiosity aroused by the headline may vary from topic to topic and also may depend on the users' demographic properties. Moreover, the temporal and locality characteristics may affect the efficacy of clickbait headlines to engage more users. Along with the textual content the ideal solution should also incorporate these local, temporal and user demographic properties as a feature to generate an effective headline which can drive more attention of the users. We are hopeful that the user study data collected in the first two phases would be useful in deciding these factors.

Generating non-misleading clickbait headlines The contextual gap between the generated headline and the main content should not be wide so that users get immediate and relevant satisfaction after visiting the landing page. Otherwise, the news site may suffer a high bounce rate leading to the organization's reputation risks. Even though the generated headlines will be attractive, it's unlikely that all the readers who come across the article while surfing social media will click it to access to the full content. As most of the people perceive news just skimming through the headlines only and even they share the articles before reading the full content (Gabelkov et al. 2016), we need to make sure that the users should not get any misconception about the content from the generated headline. So, it is important that the generated headline should reflect the original content properly. Although the task is challenging, Shu et al. (Shu et al. 2018) provided an outline of generating stylized and synthetic headlines preserving certain information of the documents using deep generative model.

Model Selection As we are planning to generate the headline from the content, it can be viewed as a sequence generation problem (Sutskever, Vinyals, and Le 2014). Although deep learning-based auto encoder-decoder models can generate a sequence of texts from a source text, the models need to be tuned significantly to achieve a good performance. To overcome this problem, researchers proposed some other text generation methods based on reinforcement learning and adversarial training but all the models seem to have some limitations (e.g. suffering from exposure bias problems, gradient vanishing, mode collapse problems, etc.) (Lu et al. 2018). To find a good performing model which will fit our problem easily can be a challenging task. But to begin with, we are particularly interested in trying Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) based Variational Auto-Encoder (VAE) proposed by Shen et al (Shen et al. 2017) where they developed a cross-aligned auto-encoder which can transfer

the style of sentences preserving the content of original sentences. As our main purpose is to generate engaging headlines which contain different clickbait styles and reflect the original content, the suggested model is worthy to try out.

Dataset Preparation To train our model we need a complete dataset containing clickbait of all types. Moreover, the clickbait headlines should be enriched with prominent clickbait features so that the generator model can learn them well. But in our best knowledge, such type of complete dataset is not available at this moment. Clickbait challenge dataset contains a sufficient number of clickbait and non-clickbait articles but the dataset contains all types of news, not particularly health-related articles. Moreover, the types of clickbait are also absent in the dataset. Dhoju et al. (Dhoju et al. 2019) curated a dataset of health news articles where the clickbait nature of the headline is also present. But this dataset also lacks the information of clickbait type. Yet this dataset can be a good starting point where we can manually label the clickbait type of a small dataset and explore the style transfer process outlined by Shu et al. (Shu et al. 2018) to generate the synthesized headlines to build our desired training set.

Related Work

Previous literature has examined the practice of using clickbaits in mainstream news media. For example, (Palau-Sampio 2016) examined the content of four online sections of the Spanish newspaper *El Pais* and identified various linguistic techniques were used in headlines of these articles such as orality markers and interaction (e.g., direct appeal to the reader), vocabulary and word games (e.g., informal language, generic or buzzwords), and morphosyntax (e.g., simple structures).

Chartbeat, an analytics firm that provides market intelligence to media organizations, tested 10,000 headlines from over 100 websites for their effectiveness in engaging users with content. The study examined 12 ‘common tropes’ in headlines a majority of them are considered clickbait techniques and found that some of these tropes are more effective than others (Breaux 2015).

Some studies also examined the role of clickbaits in engaging readers. (Rony, Hassan, and Yousuf 2017) examined the context of clickbait and non-clickbait articles and found that clickbait headlines generated more engagement than non-clickbaits. This study used headlines from different topics like politics, sports, environment, but not specifically about health.

(Vincent, Pal, and Chua 2018) found that cognitive, affective, and pragmatic elements are significantly related to clickbaits headline for healthcare personnel.

However, (Scacco and Muddiman 2016) found that question-based headlines lead to negative attitudes about the headline. Although this study did not consider the health-related articles, its findings would nevertheless be helpful for our study to test the efficacy of this type in the context of health-related articles.

(Berger and Milkman 2012) investigated the reasons behind certain pieces such as advertisements, videos, news ar-

ticles go more viral. The results indicate that positive content is more viral than negative content, but the relationship between emotion and social transmission is more complex than valence alone. Virality is partially driven by physiological arousal. Content that evokes high-arousal, positive or negative emotions, is more viral. Conversely, content that evokes low-arousal, or deactivating emotions is less viral.

(Eidnes 2015) represents an automated clickbait generation tool which uses an RNN model trained on two million headlines collected from BuzzFeed, Gawker, Jezebel, Huffington Post, and Upworthy. The model is then used to produce new clickbait headlines. (Shu et al. 2018) used deep generative models to generate synthetic headlines with specific style labels and explored their utilities to help improve clickbait detection.

Some other studies also examined the automated detection of clickbait headlines using natural language processing (Chakraborty et al. 2016; Anand, Chakraborty, and Park 2017; Potthast et al. 2016; Thakur 2016).

References

- [Anand, Chakraborty, and Park 2017] Anand, A.; Chakraborty, T.; and Park, N. 2017. We used neural networks to detect clickbaits: You wont believe what happened next! In *European Conference on Information Retrieval*, 541–547. Springer.
- [Berger and Milkman 2012] Berger, J., and Milkman, K. L. 2012. What makes online content viral? *Journal of marketing research* 49(2):192–205.
- [Bhattacharya, Srinivasan, and Polgreen 2017] Bhattacharya, S.; Srinivasan, P.; and Polgreen, P. 2017. Social media engagement analysis of us federal health agencies on facebook. *BMC medical informatics and decision making* 17(1):49.
- [Biyani, Tsioutsoulis, and Blackmer 2016] Biyani, P.; Tsioutsoulis, K.; and Blackmer, J. 2016. “8 amazing secrets for getting more clicks”: Detecting clickbaits in news streams using article informality. In *Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- [Breaux 2015] Breaux, C. 2015. Youll never guess how chartbeats data scientists came up with the single greatest headline.
- [Chakraborty et al. 2016] Chakraborty, A.; Paranjape, B.; Kakarla, S.; and Ganguly, N. 2016. Stop clickbait: Detecting and preventing clickbaits in online news media. In *2016 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM)*, 9–16. IEEE.
- [Chen, Conroy, and Rubin 2015] Chen, Y.; Conroy, N. J.; and Rubin, V. L. 2015. Misleading online content: Recognizing clickbait as false news. In *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM on Workshop on Multimodal Deception Detection*, 15–19. ACM.
- [Dhoju et al. 2019] Dhoju, S.; Main Uddin Rony, M.; Ashad Kabir, M.; and Hassan, N. 2019. Differences in health news from reliable and unreliable media. In *Compan-*

- ion *Proceedings of The 2019 World Wide Web Conference*, 981–987. ACM.
- [Eidnes 2015] Eidnes, L. 2015. Auto-generating clickbait with recurrent neural networks. <https://larseidnes.com/2015/10/13/auto-generating-clickbait-with-recurrent-neural-networks>. [Online]. Available from: <https://larseidnes.com/2015/10/13/auto-generating-clickbait-with-recurrent-neural-networks>. Accessed 10 August 2019.
- [Forster 2017] Forster, K. 2017. Revealed: How dangerous fake health news conquered facebook. [Online]. Available from: <https://www.independent.co.uk/life-style/health-fitness/healthcare/fake-health-news-conquered-facebook-a7848411.html>. Accessed 10 August 2019.
- [Fox 2018] Fox, S. 2018. The social life of health information. [Online]. Available from: <http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2014/01/15/health-information/>. Accessed 22 July 2019.
- [Gabiolkov et al. 2016] Gabiolkov, M.; Ramachandran, A.; Chaintreau, A.; and Legout, A. 2016. Social clicks: What and who gets read on twitter? *ACM SIGMETRICS Performance Evaluation Review* 44(1):179–192.
- [Kuiken et al. 2017] Kuiken, J.; Schuth, A.; Spitters, M.; and Marx, M. 2017. Effective headlines of newspaper articles in a digital environment. *Digital Journalism* 5(10):1300–1314.
- [Lu et al. 2018] Lu, S.; Zhu, Y.; Zhang, W.; Wang, J.; and Yu, Y. 2018. Neural text generation: past, present and beyond. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.07133*.
- [Maksimainen and Michaelmas 2017] Maksimainen, H., and Michaelmas, H. 2017. Improving the quality of health journalism: When reliability meets engagement. *Reuters Institute Fellowship Paper* 2017–09.
- [Neiger et al. 2013a] Neiger, B. L.; Thackeray, R.; Burton, S. H.; Giraud-Carrier, C. G.; and Fagen, M. C. 2013a. Evaluating social medias capacity to develop engaged audiences in health promotion settings: use of twitter metrics as a case study. *Health promotion practice* 14(2):157–162.
- [Neiger et al. 2013b] Neiger, B. L.; Thackeray, R.; Burton, S. H.; Thackeray, C. R.; and Reese, J. H. 2013b. Use of twitter among local health departments: an analysis of information sharing, engagement, and action. *Journal of medical Internet research* 15(8):e177.
- [Palau-Sampio 2016] Palau-Sampio, D. 2016. Reference press metamorphosis in the digital context: clickbait and tabloid strategies in elpais. com. *Communication & Society* 29(2).
- [Potthast et al. 2016] Potthast, M.; Köpsel, S.; Stein, B.; and Hagen, M. 2016. Clickbait detection. In *European Conference on Information Retrieval*, 810–817. Springer.
- [Raphael 2019] Raphael, R. 2019. A shockingly large majority of health news shared on facebook is fake or misleading. [Online]. Available from: <https://www.fastcompany.com/90301427/a-shockingly-large-majority-of-health-news-shared-on-facebook-is-fake-or-misleading>. Accessed 22 July 2019.
- [Rony, Hassan, and Yousuf 2017] Rony, M. M. U.; Hassan, N.; and Yousuf, M. 2017. Diving deep into clickbaits: Who use them to what extents in which topics with what effects? In *Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining 2017*, 232–239. ACM.
- [Scacco and Muddiman 2016] Scacco, J. M., and Muddiman, A. 2016. Investigating the influence of clickbait news headlines. *Engaging News Project Report*.
- [Shapiro 2018] Shapiro, N. 2018. The fake news epidemic in health with recurrent neural networks. <https://www.thedailybeast.com/the-fake-news-epidemic-in-health-with-recurrent-neural-networks>. Accessed 10 August 2019.
- [Shen et al. 2017] Shen, T.; Lei, T.; Barzilay, R.; and Jaakkola, T. 2017. Style transfer from non-parallel text by cross-alignment. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 6830–6841.
- [Shu et al. 2018] Shu, K.; Wang, S.; Le, T.; Lee, D.; and Liu, H. 2018. Deep headline generation for clickbait detection. In *2018 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM)*, 467–476. IEEE.
- [Sutskever, Vinyals, and Le 2014] Sutskever, I.; Vinyals, O.; and Le, Q. V. 2014. Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 3104–3112.
- [Thakur 2016] Thakur, A. 2016. Identifying clickbaits using machine learning.
- [Thrul, Klein, and Ramo 2015] Thrul, J.; Klein, A. B.; and Ramo, D. E. 2015. Smoking cessation intervention on facebook: which content generates the best engagement? *Journal of medical Internet research* 17(11):e244.
- [Vincent, Pal, and Chua 2018] Vincent, N. S. Y.; Pal, A.; and Chua, A. Y. 2018. Studying healthcare personnels intention to click clickbaits. In *Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists*, volume 1.