SELF-SIMILAR BLOW-UP PROFILES FOR SLIGHTLY SUPERCRITICAL NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS

YAKINE BAHRI, YVAN MARTEL, AND PIERRE RAPHAËL

ABSTRACT. We construct radially symmetric self-similar blow-up profiles for the mass supercritical nonlinear Schrödinger equation $i\partial_t u + \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^d , close to the mass critical case and for any space dimension $d \geq 1$. These profiles bifurcate from the ground state solitary wave. The argument relies on the classical matched asymptotics method suggested in [33] which needs to be applied in a degenerate case due to the presence of exponentially small terms in the bifurcation equation related to the log-log blow-up law observed in the mass critical case.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The energy subcritical problem.** We consider the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = 0, \quad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d\\ u_{|t=0} = u_0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

in any space dimension $d \geq 1$.

– Local Cauchy theory. For energy subcritical nonlinearities, *i.e.* under the restriction

$$1 when $d \ge 3$$$

(no restriction on p > 1 when d = 1, 2), the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is wellposed in the energy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$: for any $u_0 \in H^1$, there exists a unique maximal solution $u \in \mathcal{C}([0,T), H^1)$ of (1.1) (see [4, 9]). Moreover, if the maximal time of existence T is finite, then $\lim_{t\uparrow T} ||u(t)||_{H^1} = \infty$.

- Conservation laws. Such H^1 solutions satisfy the conservation of mass and energy:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2} &= \|u_0\|_{L^2}, \\ E(u(t,\cdot)) &= \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{p+1}}^{p+1} = E(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

- Scale invariance and critical space. The scaling

$$u_{\lambda}(t,x) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \quad \lambda > 0,$$

acts on the space of solutions by leaving the critical Sobolev norm invariant

$$||u_{\lambda}(t,\cdot)||_{\dot{H}^{s_c}} = ||u(\lambda^2 t,\cdot)||_{\dot{H}^{s_c}} \text{ for } s_c = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}.$$

– Global existence versus blow up. On the one hand, for the mass subcritical case, *i.e.* $s_c < 0$, the conservation of mass and energy combined with the Cauchy theory ensure from classical arguments (the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, see *e.g.* [4])

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q55 (primary), 34E20, 35B44.

that all H^1 solutions are global and bounded in H^1 . On the other hand, for the intercritical case $0 \le s_c < 1$, a consequence of the classical virial law

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int |x|^2 |u(t,x)|^2 dx \le 4d(p-1)E(u_0)$$

implies that any negative energy initial data with finite variance $(xu_0 \in L^2)$ yield blowup in finite time. By such a contradiction argument, the only qualitative information $||u(t)||_{H^1} \ge C(T-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-s_c)}$ on the blow-up solution u close to the blow-up time T is provided by the Cauchy theory (see e.g. [4]).

- Ground state. For 1 , the existence, uniqueness and further properties of the ground state solitary wave <math>Q, positive radial H^1 solution of

$$\Delta Q - Q + Q^p = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d,$$

are well-known. See *e.g.* [33, §4.2] and [4]. The function Q is seen as a function of $r = |x| \ge 0$, and it is standard to check that it satisfies, for two constants $\kappa, C > 0$ depending on the dimension d, for all $r \ge 1$,

$$\left|Q(r) - \kappa r^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-r}\right| + \left|Q'(r) + \kappa r^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-r}\right| \le C r^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-r}.$$
 (1.2)

1.2. Description of blowup. Let us recall the main known results concerning the qualitative description of blow-up solutions of (NLS) for $0 \le s_c < 1$.

– Mass critical case $s_c = 0$. In this case, the stable blow-up regime formally predicted in [15] corresponds to a log-log deviation from self-similarity, with rate of concentration

$$\lambda(t) \sim \sqrt{\frac{T - t}{\log|\log(T - t)|}} \quad \text{as } t \sim T^{-}.$$
 (1.3)

The series of works [23, 24, 25, 26, 29] provides a complete description of such singularity formation for initial data near the ground state solitary wave Q. The corresponding solutions blow up by concentrating the profile Q

$$u(t,x) \sim \frac{e^{i\gamma(t)}}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}}(t)} Q\left(\frac{x-x(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right)$$

at a blow-up rate $\lambda(t)$ satisfying (1.3) which is not self-similar since

$$\lim_{t\uparrow T}\frac{\lambda(t)}{\sqrt{T-t}}=0.$$

Such behavior is often called type II blow up. Other (unstable) blow-up solutions, obtained through the pseudo-conformal transform enjoy a distinct blow-up rate $||u(t)||_{H^1} \sim (T-t)^{-1}$. We refer to [22] for multi-bubbles blow-up scenario and to [19, 20, 21] for the case of the mass critical generalized KdV equation, which displays a similar critical structure.

– Intercritical case $0 < s_c < 1$. In contrast with the mass critical case, on the basis of formal arguments and numerical simulations, the existence of blow-up bubbles with self-similar concentration rate $\lambda(t) \sim \sqrt{T-t}$ is conjectured in the intercritical case $0 < s_c < 1$; see [32, 35] and references therein. More precisely, given $T \in \mathbb{R}$ and b > 0, the ansatz

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{(2b(T-t))^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} \exp\left(-i\frac{\log(T-t)}{2b}\right) \Psi\left(\frac{x}{(2b(T-t))^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$$

maps equation (1.1) exactly onto the time independent problem

$$\Delta \Psi - \Psi + \mathrm{i}b\left(\frac{2}{p-1}\Psi + x \cdot \nabla \Psi\right) + |\Psi|^{p-1}\Psi = 0 \quad \mathrm{on } \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{1.4}$$

The existence and stability of such type I blow-up regimes $\lambda(t) \sim \sqrt{T-t}$ have been proved in [28] for (NLS) with $0 < s_c \ll 1$, using a deformation argument of the stability analysis performed in [23, 25] for the mass critical case. However, this approach cannot address the sharp description of the singularity and the local asymptotic stability of the blow-up profile which is observed numerically in [32]. The missing piece in the analysis is precisely the proof of existence of finite energy solutions to equation (1.4), and the determination of the spectral properties of the associated linearized operators. We also mention that for the full intercritical range $0 < s_c < 1$, completely different non self-similar type II blow-up solutions have been constructed; see [8, 27].

1.3. **Statement of the result.** The aim of the present paper is to complete the first step towards a complete description of self-similar blowup, by constructing rigorously finite energy solutions of the stationary self-similar equation (1.4).

Theorem 1 (Existence of a finite energy self-similar profile for $0 < s_c \ll 1$). Let $d \ge 1$. Let p_* be the mass critical exponent

$$p_* = 1 + \frac{4}{d}$$

There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any p satisfying

$$0$$

there exist b = b(p) > 0 and a non zero radially symmetric solution Ψ to (1.4)

$$\Psi \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad E(\Psi) = 0.$$

Moreover, it holds, as $p \downarrow p_*$:

1. Law for the nonlinear eigenvalue: $b = b_{s_c}(1 + o(1))$ where b_{s_c} is defined by

$$s_c = \frac{\kappa^2}{N_c} b_{s_c}^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{b_{s_c}}\right), \quad N_c = \int_0^\infty Q^2(r) r^{d-1} dr.$$
(1.6)

- 2. Bifurcation from the soliton profile: $\|\Psi Q\|_{\dot{H}^1} = o(1)$.
- 3. Non oscillatory behavior for the outgoing wave:

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} r^{\frac{2}{p-1}} |\Psi(r)| = \rho_{s_c} (1 + o(1)), \quad \limsup_{r \to \infty} r^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} |\Psi'(r)| < \infty,$$

where

$$\rho_{s_c} = (2N_c)^{\frac{1}{2}} s_c^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{2}\kappa b_{s_c}^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b_{s_c}}\right).$$

Comments on Theorem 1.

1. Matched asymptotics and the log-log law. Theorem 1 and the computation of the asymptotics of the nonlinear eigenvalue (1.6) as $p \downarrow p_*$ is explicitly conjectured in [32]. This law is deeply connected to the log-log law (1.3). The formal argument in [33, Chapter 8], see also [14, 15, 16, 17, 31, 32], is performed on the near critical dimension problem for p = 3 and $d \downarrow 2$:

$$\mathrm{i}\partial_t u + \partial_{rr} u + (d-1)\frac{\partial_r u}{r} + |u|^2 u = 0.$$

For equation (1.4), following the classical matched asymptotic approach, we aim at finding directly the law b(p) such that we can glue the non oscillatory outgoing solution at $r \to +\infty$ which has finite energy, with the smooth radially symmetric solution which emanates from the origin in space as a small deformation of the ground state Q. A similar strategy, in a situation where it was simpler to implement, has been used to construct self-similar blow-up profiles for the energy supercritical nonlinear heat equation; see [1, 6]. See [11, 12, 30, 35] for studies of the asymptotic behavior of self-similar (NLS) solutions. We also refer to [2, 3, 34] for formal and numerical investigations on multiple bumps solutions.

2. Global bifurcation. For the slightly mass supercritical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation, which displays a similar structure, an even larger set of profiles is constructed in the very nice work [13]. The author uses an abstract Lyapunov-Schmidt bifurcation argument which is more general since it applies to PDE as well, while gluing is an ODE tool. Conceptually, the approach of [13] could be applied to prove Theorem 1. However, let us stress that the presence of exponential smallness in the bifurcation law (1.6) makes the analysis delicate (compare with Theorem 2 in [13]). In such a context, one advantage of the gluing method is to make the dominant terms and the matching procedure appear more naturally and explicitly. It also allows a flexible functional framework in order to treat separately the neighborhood of the origin and the neighborhood of ∞ . A classical difficulty is to analyse the behavior of the solution near the turning point $r = \frac{2}{b}$, and here we shall adapt the quantitative WKB approach (see e.g. [7]) as very nicely explained in [10].

We see two main open problems in the continuation of the present work. First, understand the dynamical properties of (NLS) in the vicinity of the profiles provided by Theorem 1 and prove that these profiles are asymptotic attractors of the flow after renormalization. Second, extend such results to the full intercritical range $0 < s_c < 1$, which is a challenging problem.

1.4. **Outline of the proof.** We outline the strategy of the proof of Theorem 1 (see the extended formulation in Theorem 2, Section 5).

step 1. One dimensional equations and turning point. Looking for a radial solution $\Psi \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R})$ of (1.4), we change variables

$$\Psi(x) = \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{br^2}{4}\right)P(r), \quad r = |x| \ge 0.$$
(1.7)

The equation for $P: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ becomes

$$\begin{cases} P'' + \frac{d-1}{r}P' + \left(\frac{b^2r^2}{4} - 1 - ibs_c\right)P + |P|^{p-1}P = 0, \quad r > 0, \\ P'(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that the condition (1.5) for $\epsilon > 0$ small is equivalent to assuming that $s_c > 0$ is small. More generally, we will consider the equation

$$\begin{cases} P'' + \frac{d-1}{r}P' + \left(\frac{b^2r^2}{4} - 1 - ib\sigma\right)P + |P|^{p-1}P = 0, \quad r > 0, \\ P'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(1.8)

for any $p \ge p_*$ and any $\sigma > 0$ small enough, not necessarily related (see the general existence result Theorem 2 in Section 5). The problem of existence of a suitable solution P can be seen as a nonlinear spectral problem, where only one specific value of b (depending on σ and p) provides an admissible solution P close to Q, *i.e.* such that the corresponding Ψ belongs to $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R})$, see [33, Remark p.135]. Setting

$$P(r) = r^{-\frac{a-1}{2}}U(r),$$

the nonlinear equation (1.8) will also be considered under the following form

$$U'' + \left(\frac{b^2 r^2}{4} - 1 - \frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4r^2} - ib\sigma\right)U + r^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)}|U|^{p-1}U = 0 \quad (1.9)$$

which makes the turning point $r \sim \frac{2}{b}$ apparent.

step 2. A priori control of the free parameters. We consider b in the interval

$$b \in \left[b_{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} b_{\sigma}^{\frac{13}{6}}, b_{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} b_{\sigma}^{\frac{13}{6}} \right],$$
(1.10)

where $b_{\sigma} > 0$ is defined by the relation

$$\sigma = \frac{\kappa^2}{N_c} b_{\sigma}^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{b_{\sigma}}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad N_c = \int_0^\infty Q^2(r) r^{d-1} dr. \tag{1.11}$$

Note that using (1.10), one has

$$\left|\exp\left(\frac{\pi}{b} - \frac{\pi}{b_{\sigma}}\right) - 1\right| \le C \left|\frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{b_{\sigma}}\right| \le C b_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{6}}, \quad \sigma \le C b^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{b}\right).$$
(1.12)

Additional free parameters denoted by $\rho > 0, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ will be needed in the construction, under the following constraints

$$\rho \in \left[\frac{1}{2}\rho_{\sigma}, \frac{3}{2}\rho_{\sigma}\right],\tag{1.13}$$

$$\gamma \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\sigma}, \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\sigma}\right],\tag{1.14}$$

$$\theta \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}\theta_{\sigma}, \frac{1}{2}\theta_{\sigma}\right],\tag{1.15}$$

where $\rho_{\sigma} > 0$, $\gamma_{\sigma} > 0$ and $\theta_{\sigma} > 0$ are fixed as follows:

$$\rho_{\sigma} = \sqrt{2} N_c^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\sigma} = \sqrt{2} \kappa b_{\sigma}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b_{\sigma}}\right),$$
$$\gamma_{\sigma} = b_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{6}} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{b_{\sigma}}}\right),$$
$$\theta_{\sigma} = b_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{6}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{b_{\sigma}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{b_{\sigma}}}\right).$$

Since σ is to be taken small, we work under the following smallness conditions, tacitly used throughout the article

$$0 < \sigma \ll 1, \quad 0 < b \ll 1, \quad 0 < \rho \ll 1, \quad |\gamma| \ll 1, \quad |\theta| \ll 1.$$
 (1.16)

step 3. Three regimes and the matching. We decompose $[0, \infty)$ into three regions I, J and K, defined as follows

$$r_K = b^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad r_J = 2b^{-1}, \quad r_I = b^{-2},$$

 $K = [0, r_K], \quad J = [r_K, r_I], \quad I = [r_I, \infty).$

First, we construct a family of solutions on I whose asymptotics as $r \to \infty$ is admissible, see (5.1)-(5.2). The free parameter ρ is related to the amplitude of each solution - see Proposition 2.1. Second, we extend this family of solutions to the region $J \cup I$ including the *turning point* (this is the point r close to r_J where the real part of the coefficient of U in (1.9) vanishes) - see Proposition 3.1. We obtain a family of solutions P_{ext} on $J \cup I$ whose specific form at r_K is given by (3.7)-(3.10). Here we shall be particularly careful when tracking exponentially small terms. Last, the resolution of the equation in the interval K involves the construction of an approximate solution close to Q. For this, we use the well-known properties of the linearized operator (see (4.1) and (4.2)) around Q. See Proposition 4.1. In this step, we introduce two additional free parameters: γ related to a zero direction of the linearized operator, and θ related to the phase invariance of equation (1.8).

A key point to match P_{ext} and P_{int} is that the general forms obtained for the solutions P_{ext} , P_{int} and their derivatives P'_{ext} , P'_{int} coincide at $r = r_K$; compare (3.7)-(3.10) and (4.9)-(4.12). Thus, one only needs to adjust the four free small

parameters b, ρ , γ and θ to exactly match P_{ext} and P_{int} . This is done using the Brouwer Fixed-Point Theorem and the continuous dependence of the solutions P_{ext} and P_{int} in the free parameters b, ρ , γ and θ (see Section 5).

Notation. We denote for $r \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\langle r \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |r|^2}.$$

Let $d \geq 1$. Let $\bar{p} > p_* > 1$ and $p \in [p_*, \bar{p}]$. All constants C > 0 are independent of $p, \sigma, b, \rho, \gamma$ and θ but may depend on d and \bar{p} . For any $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, and for any functions $g: A \to \mathbb{C}, f: A \to [0, \infty)$, the notation g(r) = O(f(r)) means that there exists a constant C > 0, independent of $p, \sigma, b, \rho, \gamma, \theta$ and A, such that it holds $|g(r)| \leq Cf(r)$ for any $r \in A$.

Acknowledgements. Y.B. is partially supported by the ERC-2014-CoG 646650 SingWave. P.R. is supported by the ERC-2014-CoG 646650 SingWave. Y.M. would like to thank the DPMMS, University of Cambridge for its hospitality. P.R. would like to thank the Université de la Côte d'Azur where part of this work was done for its kind hospitality. The authors thank E. Lombardi (Toulouse) and T. Cazenave (Paris 6) for enlightening discussions. The authors are also grateful to S. Aryan (École polytechnique) for his careful reading of the manuscript.

2. Solutions of the nonlinear equation on I

In this section, we construct a family of solutions U of (1.9) on the interval $I = [b^{-2}, \infty)$, with admissible behavior at ∞ , see Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.3. The first step is to investigate the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the corresponding linear problem (also neglecting for now the term $\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4r^2}$).

2.1. Construction of approximate linear profiles at infinity. We construct approximate solutions of the equation

$$V'' + \left(\frac{b^2 r^2}{4} - 1 - ib\sigma\right) V = 0$$
(2.1)

of the form

$$V(r) = \exp\left(\frac{\theta(s)}{b}\right), \quad s = br.$$
 (2.2)

where θ is a function to be chosen. Using the form (2.2) into the equation (2.1) gives

$$(\theta')^2 + b\theta'' + \frac{s^2}{4} - 1 - ib\sigma = 0.$$
(2.3)

Set

$$\theta = \mathrm{i}\theta_0 + b\theta_1.$$

Identifying terms of order b^0 , we find

$$(\theta'_0)^2(s) = \frac{1}{4}(s^2 - 4), \quad s \ge 2$$

(i) We choose $\theta'_0(s) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{s^2 - 4}$, which can be integrated explicitly as

$$\theta_0(s) = \frac{s}{4}\sqrt{s^2 - 4} - \ln(\sqrt{s^2 - 4} + s), \quad s \ge 2.$$

Inserting the explicit form of θ_0 in (2.3), and identifying terms of order b^1 , we find the equation of θ_1

$$\theta_1' = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta_0''}{\theta_0'} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{s^2 - 4}}, \quad s > 2,$$

which can also be integrated explicitly. We set

$$\theta_1^+(s) = -\frac{1}{4}\ln(s^2 - 4) + \sigma\ln(\sqrt{s^2 - 4} + s), \quad s > 2.$$

Setting $\theta^+ = i\theta_0 + b\theta_1^+$, (2.3) is not exactly solved but we have obtained instead

$$\left[(\theta^+)'\right]^2 + b(\theta^+)'' + \frac{s^2}{4} - 1 - \mathrm{i}b\sigma = b^2 f^+,$$

where

$$f^+(s) = \left[(\theta_1^+)'\right]^2 + (\theta_1^+)''.$$

The function f^+ depends on σ , but on $[4, \infty)$, it holds $f^+(s) = O(s^{-2})$ and more generally, $(f^+)^{(k)} = O(s^{-2-k})$, for any $k \ge 0$.

(ii) Setting $\theta^- = -i\theta_0 + b\theta_1^-$, where

$$\theta_1^-(s) = -\frac{1}{4}\ln(s^2 - 4) - \sigma\ln(\sqrt{s^2 - 4} + s), \quad s > 2$$

we obtain

$$\left[(\theta^{-})'\right]^{2} + b(\theta^{-})'' + \frac{s^{2}}{4} - 1 - \mathrm{i}b\sigma = b^{2}f^{-}, \quad f^{-} = \left[(\theta_{1}^{-})'\right]^{2} + (\theta_{1}^{-})'',$$

and so, on $[4, \infty)$, $(f^{-})^{(k)} = O(s^{-2-k})$, for any $k \ge 0$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\sigma > 0$ be small and let b be as in (1.10). There exist smooth functions $V^{\pm} : (\frac{2}{b}, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

(i) Equation of V^{\pm} :

$$(V^{\pm})'' + \left(\frac{b^2 r^2}{4} - 1 - \mathrm{i}b\sigma\right) V^{\pm} = b^2 f^{\pm}(br) V^{\pm}, \quad r > \frac{2}{b}, \tag{2.4}$$

where on $\left[\frac{4}{b},\infty\right)$,

$$(f^{\pm})^{(k)} = O(s^{-2-k}), \text{ for any } k \ge 0.$$

(ii) Asymptotics of V^{\pm} : on the interval I, it holds

$$V^{\pm} = r^{-\frac{1}{2}\pm\sigma} \exp\left(\pm ib\frac{r^2}{4}\right) \exp\left(\mp i\frac{\ln r}{b}\right) \left(1 + O(b^{-3}r^{-2})\right), \tag{2.5}$$

$$(V^{\pm})' = \pm \frac{i}{2} b r^{\frac{1}{2} \pm \sigma} \exp\left(\pm i b \frac{r^2}{4}\right) \exp\left(\mp i \frac{\ln r}{b}\right) \left(1 + O(b^{-3} r^{-2})\right), \qquad (2.6)$$

$$|V^{\pm}| = r^{-\frac{1}{2} \pm \sigma} \left(1 + O(b^{-2}r^{-2}) \right), \qquad (2.7)$$

and

$$(V^{\pm})' \mp i \frac{br}{2} V^{\pm} = O(b^{-1}r^{-1}|V^{\pm}|) = O(b^{-1}r^{-\frac{3}{2}\pm\sigma}).$$
(2.8)

(iii) Wronskian of V^{\pm} :

$$\mathcal{W}(V^+, V^-) = V^+(V^-)' - (V^+)'V^- = -\mathbf{i}b - \frac{2b^2\sigma}{b^2r^2 - 4}.$$
(2.9)

Moreover, the maps $(\sigma, b, r) \mapsto (V^{\pm}[\sigma, b](r), V^{\pm}[\sigma, b]'(r))$ are continuous.

Remark 2.1. The Wronskian $\mathcal{W}(V^+, V^-)$ is not constant since V^+ and V^- do not satisfy the same linear equation; however, it does not vanish and it has a non zero limit as $r \to \infty$.

Remark 2.2. The point of constructing explicitly approximate solutions V^{\pm} of (2.1) is to be able to track very precisely their asymptotic behavior for $r > \frac{2}{b}$, as well as the size of the error term, in terms of the small parameters b and σ . The error term will be easily absorbed by the fixed-point procedure needed anyway for the full nonlinear problem (1.9).

Proof. Proof of (i)-(ii). We set

$$V_0(r) = \exp\left(i\frac{\theta_0(br)}{b}\right), \quad V_1^+(r) = \exp\left(\theta_1^+(br)\right), \quad V_1^-(r) = \exp\left(\theta_1^-(br)\right).$$

We study the asymptotics of V_0 and V_1^{\pm} . First, for V_0 , by Taylor expansion, we observe

$$\frac{\theta_0(br)}{b} = \frac{r}{4}\sqrt{b^2r^2 - 4} - \frac{1}{b}\ln(\sqrt{b^2r^2 - 4} + br)$$
$$= b\frac{r^2}{4} - \frac{\ln r}{b} - \frac{1}{2b} - \frac{\ln(2b)}{b} + O(b^{-3}r^{-2})$$

Thus,

$$V_0(r) = \exp\left(\mathrm{i}b\frac{r^2}{4}\right)\exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\ln r}{b}\right)\exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{1+2\ln(2b)}{2b}\right)\left(1+O(b^{-3}r^{-2})\right).$$

Moreover, since $V'_0(r) = i\theta'_0(br)V_0(r) = i\frac{1}{2}(b^2r^2 - 4)^{\frac{1}{2}}V_0(r)$, we also have

$$V_0'(r) = \mathrm{i}\frac{br}{2}\exp\left(\mathrm{i}b\frac{r^2}{4}\right)\exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\ln r}{b}\right)\exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{1+2\ln(2b)}{2b}\right)\left(1+O(b^{-3}r^{-2})\right).$$

Second, we have

$$V_1^+(r) = (b^2 r^2 - 4)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sqrt{b^2 r^2 - 4} + br \right)^{\sigma} = (br)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2br)^{\sigma} \left(1 + O(b^{-2}r^{-2}) \right),$$

$$V_1^-(r) = (b^2 r^2 - 4)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sqrt{b^2 r^2 - 4} + br \right)^{-\sigma} = (br)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2br)^{-\sigma} \left(1 + O(b^{-2}r^{-2}) \right)$$

Moreover, since $(V_1^+)'(r) = b(\theta_1^+)'(br)V_1^+(r)$, it holds $(V_1^+)' = O(r^{-1}V_1^+)$. Similarly, $(V_1^-)' = O(r^{-1}V_1^-)$.

We set

$$V^{+} = \exp\left(i\frac{1+2\ln(2b)}{2b}\right)b^{\frac{1}{2}}(2b)^{-\sigma}V_{0}V_{1}^{+},$$
$$V^{-} = \exp\left(-i\frac{1+2\ln(2b)}{2b}\right)b^{\frac{1}{2}}(2b)^{\sigma}\overline{V}_{0}V_{1}^{-}.$$

(Observe that V^- is also obtained by taking the complex conjugate of V^+ and changing σ into $-\sigma$.) The continuity property is clear by the explicit expression of V^{\pm} . By the computations preceding the lemma, the functions V^{\pm} satisfy (2.4). Moreover, the above asymptotic computations imply the asymptotics (2.5)-(2.6). Since $|V_0| = 1$, we also have

$$|V^{\pm}(r)| = b^{\frac{1}{2}}(2b)^{\mp\sigma}|V_1^{\pm}(r)| = r^{-\frac{1}{2}\pm\sigma} \left(1 + O(b^{-2}r^{-2})\right),$$

which is (2.7). Last, we observe that

$$(V^{+})' - i\frac{br}{2}V^{+} = V^{+} \left(i\theta'_{0}(br) - i\frac{br}{2} + b(\theta^{+}_{1})'(br)\right)$$
$$= V^{+} \left(i\frac{br}{2}\left[(1 - 4b^{-2}r^{-2})^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1\right] + b(\theta^{+}_{1})'(br)\right) = O(b^{-1}r^{-1}|V^{+}|).$$

Together with a similar computation for V^- , this proves the estimates in (2.8). Proof of (iii) We recall that $V_0 \overline{V}_0 = |V_0|^2 = 1$ $V_0^+ V_0^- = (b^2 r^2 - 4)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$\mathcal{W}(V^+, V^-) = b \left[V_0 V_1^+ \left(\overline{V}'_0 V_1^- + \overline{V}_0 (V_1^-)' \right) - \left(V'_0 V_1^+ + V_0 (V_1^+)' \right) (\overline{V}_0 V_1^-) \right]$$

$$= -ib + b^{2}(b^{2}r^{2} - 4)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left((\theta_{1}^{-})'(br) - (\theta_{1}^{+})'(br) \right) = -ib - \frac{2b^{2}\sigma}{b^{2}r^{2} - 4},$$

etes the proof of the lemma.

which completes the proof of the lemma.

2.2. Construction of a family of solutions of (1.9) on *I*. Using the method of variation of constants and the approximate solutions of the linear problem given in Lemma 2.1, we construct by a fixed-point procedure a family of solutions of (1.9) whose asymptotic behavior follows the one of the function V^+ . The additional free parameter ρ introduced in the following result corresponds to the amplitude of each solution of the family.

Proposition 2.1. For $\sigma > 0$ small enough and for any b, ρ satisfying (1.10), (1.13), there exists a C^2 solution U of (1.9) on I satisfying

$$U = \rho r^{-\frac{1}{2}+\sigma} \exp\left(\mathrm{i}b\frac{r^2}{4}\right) \exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{\ln r}{b}\right) \left(1 + O(b^{-3}r^{-2})\right), \qquad (2.10)$$

$$U' = i\frac{b}{2}\rho r^{\frac{1}{2}+\sigma} \exp\left(ib\frac{r^{2}}{4}\right) \exp\left(-i\frac{\ln r}{b}\right) \left(1 + O(b^{-3}r^{-2})\right), \qquad (2.11)$$

and

$$U' - i\frac{br}{2}U = O(b^{-1}r^{-1}|U|) = O(\rho b^{-1}r^{-\frac{3}{2}+\sigma}).$$
(2.12)

Moreover, the map $(\sigma, b, \rho) \mapsto (U[\sigma, b, \rho](r_I), U[\sigma, b, \rho]'(r_I))$ is continuous.

Remark 2.3. We check that with such asymptotics, the function Ψ defined on I by

$$\Psi(r) = r^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}U(r)\exp\left(-\mathrm{i}\frac{br^2}{4}\right)$$

does not belong to $L^2(|x| > r_I)$, but belongs to $\dot{H}^1(|x| > r_I)$. Indeed, it holds

$$\Psi'(r) = \left(-\frac{d-1}{2}r^{-1}U(r) + U'(r) - i\frac{br}{2}U(r)\right)r^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}\exp\left(-i\frac{br^2}{4}\right)$$

and so by (2.12), $\Psi' = O(\rho b^{-1} r^{-\frac{d-1}{2} - \frac{3}{2} + \sigma})$. Thus,

$$\int_{r_I}^{\infty} |\Psi'(r)|^2 r^{d-1} dr \le C\rho^2 b^{-2} \int_{r_I}^{\infty} r^{-3+2\sigma} dr \le C\rho^2 b^{-2} r_I^{-2+2\sigma} \le C\rho^2 b^{2-4\sigma}.$$

Proof. We apply the method of variation of constants, looking for a solution U of (1.9) under the form

$$\begin{cases} U = \lambda^{+}V^{+} + \lambda^{-}V^{-} \\ U' = \lambda^{+}(V^{+})' + \lambda^{-}(V^{-})'. \end{cases}$$
(2.13)

Recall from (2.9) that $w(r) = \mathcal{W}(V^+, V^-) = -ib - \frac{2b^2\sigma}{b^2r^2-4}$ never vanishes. By standard computations and (2.4), we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda^+)'V^+ + (\lambda^-)'V^- = 0\\ (\lambda^+)'(V^+)' + (\lambda^-)'(V^-)' = F(r, \lambda^+ V^+ + \lambda^- V^-) \end{cases}$$
(2.14)

where

$$F(r,U) = -\lambda^{+}b^{2}f^{+}(br)V^{+} - \lambda^{-}b^{2}f^{-}(br)V^{-} + \frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4r^{2}}U - r^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)}|U|^{p-1}U.$$

The system (2.14) writes equivalently

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda^{+})' = -\frac{V^{-}}{w}F(r,\lambda^{+}V^{+}+\lambda^{-}V^{-}) \\ (\lambda^{-})' = \frac{V^{+}}{w}F(r,\lambda^{+}V^{+}+\lambda^{-}V^{-}). \end{cases}$$
(2.15)

For $\rho \in (0, 1]$, we set

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{+}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}] &= \rho + \Gamma_{1}^{+}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}] + \Gamma_{2}^{+}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}] + \Gamma_{3}^{+}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}] + \Gamma_{4}^{+}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}], \\ \Gamma^{-}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}] &= \Gamma_{1}^{-}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}] + \Gamma_{2}^{-}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}] + \Gamma_{3}^{-}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}] + \Gamma_{4}^{-}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}], \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_1^+[\lambda^+,\lambda^-] &= -\int_r^\infty \frac{V^-(r')}{w(r')} \lambda^+(r') b^2 f^+(br') V^+(r') dr', \\ \Gamma_2^+[\lambda^+,\lambda^-] &= -\int_r^\infty \frac{V^-(r')}{w(r')} \lambda^-(r') b^2 f^-(br') V^-(r') dr', \\ \Gamma_3^+[\lambda^+,\lambda^-] &= \int_r^\infty \frac{V^-(r')}{w(r')} \frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4(r')^2} (\lambda^+ V^+ + \lambda^- V^-)(r') dr', \\ \Gamma_4^+[\lambda^+,\lambda^-] &= -\int_r^\infty \frac{V^-(r')}{w(r')} (r')^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)} |\lambda^+ V^+ + \lambda^- V^-|^{p-1} (\lambda^+ V^+ + \lambda^- V^-)(r') dr', \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_1^-[\lambda^+,\lambda^-] &= \int_r^\infty \frac{V^+(r')}{w(r')} \lambda^+(r') b^2 f^+(br') V^+(r') dr', \\ \Gamma_2^-[\lambda^+,\lambda^-] &= \int_r^\infty \frac{V^+(r')}{w(r')} \lambda^-(r') b^2 f^-(br') V^-(r') dr', \\ \Gamma_3^-[\lambda^+,\lambda^-] &= -\int_r^\infty \frac{V^+(r')}{w(r')} \frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4(r')^2} (\lambda^+ V^+ + \lambda^- V^-)(r') dr', \\ \Gamma_4^-[\lambda^+,\lambda^-] &= \int_r^\infty \frac{V^+(r')}{w(r')} (r')^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)} |\lambda^+ V^+ + \lambda^- V^-|^{p-1} (\lambda^+ V^+ + \lambda^- V^-)(r') dr'. \end{split}$$

We work in the following complete metric space

$$E_I = \{(\lambda^+, \lambda^-) : I \to \mathbb{C}^2 \text{ is continuous and satisfies } \|(\lambda^+, \lambda^-)\|_I \le 2\rho\}$$

equipped with the distance associated to the norm

$$\|(\lambda^+,\lambda^-)\|_I = \sup_I \left(|\lambda^+| + |\lambda^-|\right).$$

Using (2.7), we have the bounds, on I,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_1^+[\lambda^+,\lambda^-]| + |\Gamma_2^+[\lambda^+,\lambda^-]| + |\Gamma_3^+[\lambda^+,\lambda^-]| &\leq C \|(\lambda^+,\lambda^-)\|_I b^{-1} \int_r^\infty (r')^{-3} dr' \\ &\leq C \|(\lambda^+,\lambda^-)\|_I b^{-1} r^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term Γ_4^+ , we first observe using (2.7) that

$$|V^{-}|(|\lambda^{+}||V^{+}| + |\lambda^{-}||V^{-}|)^{p} \leq C ||(\lambda^{+}, \lambda^{-})||_{I}^{p} r^{-\frac{p+1}{2} + \sigma(p-1)}.$$

Thus, using also $p \ge p_* = 1 + \frac{4}{d}$, one has

$$\begin{split} |\Gamma_4^+[\lambda^+,\lambda^-]| &\leq C \|(\lambda^+,\lambda^-)\|_I^p b^{-1} \int_r^\infty (r')^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)} (r')^{-\frac{p+1}{2}+\sigma(p-1)} dr' \\ &\leq C \|(\lambda^+,\lambda^-)\|_I^p b^{-1} \int_r^\infty (r')^{-3+\sigma(p-1)} dr' \\ &\leq C \|(\lambda^+,\lambda^-)\|_I b^{-1} r^{-2+\sigma(p-1)}. \end{split}$$

Moreover, using the inequality

$$\left| |U|^{p-1}U - |\tilde{U}^{p-1}|\tilde{U}| \le C(|U|^{p-1} + |\tilde{U}|^{p-1})|U - \tilde{U}|, \right|$$

for any (λ^+, λ^-) , $(\tilde{\lambda}^+, \tilde{\lambda}^-) \in E_I$, and the above estimates, we have

$$\Gamma_{4}^{+}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}] - \Gamma_{4}^{+}[\tilde{\lambda}^{+},\tilde{\lambda}^{-}]| \leq C \|(\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}) - (\tilde{\lambda}^{+},\tilde{\lambda}^{-})\|_{I} b^{-1} r^{-2}.$$
(2.16)

We also have

I

$$\begin{split} |\Gamma_{1}^{-}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}]| + |\Gamma_{2}^{-}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}]| + |\Gamma_{3}^{-}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}]| \\ &\leq C \|(\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-})\|_{I}b^{-1}\int_{r}^{\infty} (r')^{-3+\sigma(p+1)}dr' \\ &\leq C \|(\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-})\|_{I}b^{-1}r^{-2+\sigma(p+1)}; \end{split}$$

Moreover, as before

$$\begin{split} |\Gamma_{4}^{-}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}]| &\leq C \|(\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-})\|_{I}^{p} b^{-1} \int_{r}^{\infty} (r')^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)} (r')^{-\frac{p+1}{2}+\sigma(p+1)} dr' \\ &\leq C \|(\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-})\|_{I}^{p} b^{-1} \int_{r}^{\infty} (r')^{-3+\sigma(p+1)} dr' \\ &\leq C \|(\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-})\|_{I} b^{-1} r^{-2+\sigma(p+1)}. \end{split}$$

and

$$|\Gamma_{4}^{-}[\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}] - \Gamma_{4}^{-}[\tilde{\lambda}^{+},\tilde{\lambda}^{-}]| \le C \|(\lambda^{+},\lambda^{-}) - (\tilde{\lambda}^{+},\tilde{\lambda}^{-})\|_{I} b^{-1} r^{-2+\sigma(p+1)}.$$
(2.17)

Recall that we work here for $r \in I$, *i.e.* $r \geq b^{-2}$. It follows from these estimates that for b and σ small enough, (Γ^+, Γ^-) maps E_I into E_I . Moreover, by linearity of Γ_1^{\pm} , Γ_2^{\pm} and Γ_3^{\pm} in (λ^+, λ^-) , and by the estimates (2.16) and (2.17), it follows that (Γ^+, Γ^-) is a contraction on E_I (for b small enough).

The application $(\Gamma^+, \Gamma^-) : E_I \to E_I$ thus admits a unique fixed point (λ^+, λ^-) on *E*. Moreover, (λ^+, λ^-) are of class \mathcal{C}^1 on *I*, satisfy (2.15) (equivalently (2.14)) and

$$|\lambda^+(r) - \rho| \le C\rho b^{-1}r^{-2}, \quad |\lambda^-(r)| \le C\rho b^{-1}r^{-2+\sigma(p+1)}.$$

Inserting the above estimates into (2.13) yields

$$U = \rho V^+ (1 + O(b^{-1}r^{-2})), \quad U' = \rho (V^+)' (1 + O(b^{-1}r^{-2})).$$

Using (2.5) and (2.6), we find (2.10) and (2.11). Using (2.8), we also find (2.12).

The continuity of the map $(\sigma, b, \rho, r) \mapsto (U[\sigma, b, \rho](r), U[\sigma, b, \rho]'(r))$ follows from the continuity of the functions F, V^{\pm} and their derivatives, and the application of the Banach Fixed-Point theorem with parameters.

3. Solution of the nonlinear equation on $J \cup I$

In this section, we prove that the solutions of (1.9) constructed on $I = [b^{-2}, \infty)$ in Proposition 2.1 actually extend to the interval $J \cup I = [b^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \infty)$, and we describe precisely their behavior at $r = r_K = b^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. A classical difficulty is that the equation (1.9) has a turning point around $r_J = 2b^{-1}$. To deal with this, we follow the approach described in [32, 33], [7] and implemented in a similar context in [10]. It consists in changing variables to reduce to the use of classical Airy functions, independent solutions of y''(s) = s y(s). An alternative approach is to use a richer class of special functions (hypergeometric functions, solutions of the Kummer equations), as in [14], [30] and [31]. As in the previous section, we have preferred to reduce to the simplest possible setting to track precisely the dependence on the small parameters. 3.1. Preliminary on Airy functions. Recall that the classical Airy function $Ai:\mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{Ai}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\eta} \exp\left(i\left(z\xi + \frac{\xi^3}{3}\right)\right) d\xi, \quad \eta > 0,$$

expression which is independent of $\eta > 0$. Define

$$\mathbf{B}(z) = 2\pi \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{6}} \operatorname{Ai}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2\mathrm{i}\pi}{3}} z\right).$$

We recall some properties of Ai and B seen as functions of a real variable (see *e.g.* [7] and [18]).

Lemma 3.1. (i) The Airy function on \mathbb{R} . The function Ai on \mathbb{R} is real-valued. $Moreover,\ it\ satisfies\ the\ following\ asymptotics:$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Ai}(s) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} |s|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \Re \left\{ e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}i|s|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \right\} \left(1 + O(|s|^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right) \quad on \ (-\infty, -1], \\ \mathbf{Ai}'(s) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} |s|^{\frac{1}{4}} \Im \left\{ e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}i|s|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \right\} \left(1 + O(|s|^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right) \quad on \ (-\infty, -1], \\ and \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{Ai}(s) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} s^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}s^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \left(1 + O(s^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right) \quad on \ [1, \infty),$$
$$\mathbf{Ai}'(s) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} s^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}s^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \left(1 + O(s^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right) \quad on \ [1, \infty).$$

(ii) The complex-valued Airy function on \mathbb{R} . The function **B** on \mathbb{R} does not vanish. Moreover, it satisfies the following asymptotics:

$$\mathbf{B}(s) = \sqrt{\pi} |s|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}\mathrm{i}|s|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \left(1 + O(|s|^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right) \quad on \ (-\infty, -1],$$
$$\mathbf{B}'(s) = -\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\pi} |s|^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}\mathrm{i}|s|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \left(1 + O(|s|^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right) \quad on \ (-\infty, -1],$$

and

$$\mathbf{B}(s) = \sqrt{\pi}s^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}s^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \left(1 + O(s^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right) \quad on \ [1, \infty),$$
$$\mathbf{B}'(s) = \sqrt{\pi}s^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}s^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \left(1 + O(s^{-\frac{3}{2}})\right) \quad on \ [1, \infty).$$

(iii) Relations between Ai and B. The functions Ai and B are independent solutions of the linear equation y'' = sy on \mathbb{R} . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{i}\,\mathbf{B}' - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{i}'\,\mathbf{B} = 1,\tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\Im \mathbf{B} = \pi \operatorname{Ai}. \tag{3.2}$$

Remark 3.1. In particular, setting

$$\omega(s) = \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}s_+^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \quad \text{where } s_+ = \max(0, s),$$

there exists C > 1 such that, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\operatorname{Ai}(s)| \le C\langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{4}} [\omega(s)]^{-1}, \qquad (3.3)$$

$$\frac{1}{C} \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{4}} \omega(s) \le |\mathbf{B}(s)| \le C \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{4}} \omega(s), \tag{3.4}$$

$$|\operatorname{Ai}(s) \mathbf{B}(s)| \le C\langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3.5)

3.2. Construction of a family of solutions on J. To construct solutions of the nonlinear equation (1.9) on J, we adapt the strategy of the proof of Lemma 1 in [10] (see also [7], Chapter 4). We perform several changes of variables to deal with the (approximate) turning point $r_J = 2b^{-1}$ of the equation (1.9), using the classical Airy functions. We reduce to the method of variation of constants using **Ai** and **B**, and to a fixed-point procedure to deal with the nonlinear term and some linear error terms. Once general solutions of (1.9) are constructed on J, we use them to extend the solutions constructed in Proposition 2.1 on $J \cup I$. Last, we relate the special asymptotic behavior of these solutions to the special form (3.7)-(3.10) at the point $r = r_K$, after a change of phase and tracking precisely the (exponentially small) dependence in the parameter b.

Proposition 3.1. For $\sigma > 0$ small enough and for any b, ρ satisfying (1.10), (1.13), the solution U of (1.9) on I constructed in Proposition 2.1 extends to a solution of (1.9) on $J \cup I$. Moreover, there exists a real $\theta_{\text{ext}} \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that the function P_{ext} defined by

$$P_{\text{ext}}(r) = e^{i\theta_{\text{ext}}} r^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} U(r), \quad \text{for any } r \in J \cup I,$$
(3.6)

is a solution of (1.8) on $J \cup I$ and satisfies

$$\Re(P_{\text{ext}}(r_K)) = \frac{\rho b^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{d-1}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})), \tag{3.7}$$

$$\Re(P'_{\text{ext}}(r_K)) = -\frac{\rho b^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{d-1}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})), \qquad (3.8)$$

$$\Im(P_{\text{ext}}(r_K)) = \frac{\rho b^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{d-1}{4}}}{2\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})), \quad (3.9)$$

$$\Im(P'_{\text{ext}}(r_K)) = \frac{\rho b^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{d-1}{4}}}{2\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})).$$
(3.10)

Moreover, the map $(\sigma, b, \rho) \mapsto (P_{\text{ext}}[\sigma, b, \rho](r_K), P_{\text{ext}}[\sigma, b, \rho]'(r_K))$ is continuous.

Proof. We proceed in two steps as described above. First, we give a general construction argument on J, for any given small data at r_I . Second, we extend the solutions given by Proposition 2.1 and describe precisely their behavior at r_K .

Step 1. Construction of a family of solutions on J. Let

$$\Sigma_b = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right).$$

Let $u_I, \tilde{u}_I \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$|u_I| \le b\Sigma_b, \quad |\tilde{u}_I| \le \Sigma_b. \tag{3.11}$$

In this step, we construct a solution U_J of (1.9) on J satisfying

$$U_J(r_I) = u_I, \quad U'_J(r_I) = \tilde{u}_I.$$
 (3.12)

For the equation (1.9) with $d \neq 1$ and $d \neq 3$, the turning point is not exactly at r_J , but we still use the following change of variable

$$\tau = 2 - br, \quad br = 2 - \tau, \quad \tau \le 2,$$

 $W(\tau) = W(2 - br) = U(r), \quad U''(r) = b^2 W''(\tau).$

Setting

$$h(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{4-\tau},$$

the equation of W writes, for $\tau > 2$,

$$b^{2}W'' - \tau h^{2}(\tau)W - b^{2}\frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4(2-\tau)^{2}}W - ib\sigma W + \left(\frac{b}{2-\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)}|W|^{p-1}W = 0.$$

Define the function

$$\zeta(\tau) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{3}{2} \int_0^\tau \sqrt{\tau'} h(\tau') d\tau'\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, & \tau \in [0, 2], \\ -\left(\frac{3}{2} \int_\tau^0 \sqrt{|\tau'|} h(\tau') d\tau'\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, & \tau \in (-\infty, 0]. \end{cases}$$
(3.13)

The function ζ can be determined explicitly but we will not need its expression. Note that

$$\zeta_0 = \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad \zeta(2) = \zeta_0, \quad \zeta'(2) = \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$
 (3.14)

Moreover,

$$\begin{split} \zeta(\tau) &= \zeta(2) - (2 - \tau)\zeta'(2) + O\left((2 - \tau)^2\right) \quad \text{on } [1, 2], \\ \zeta(\tau) &= \tau(1 + O(|\tau|)) \quad \text{on } [-1, 1] \\ \zeta(\tau) &= -\frac{3^{\frac{2}{3}}}{4} |\tau|^{\frac{4}{3}} \left(1 + O(|\tau|^{-1})\right) \quad \text{on } (-\infty, -1], \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'(\tau) &= \sqrt{|\tau|} h(\tau) |\zeta(\tau)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 3^{-\frac{1}{3}} |\tau|^{\frac{1}{3}} (1 + O(|\tau|^{-1})) \quad \text{on } (-\infty, -1], \qquad (3.15) \\ \zeta''(\tau) &= -\frac{1}{2} |\tau|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (4 - \tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2 - \tau) |\zeta(\tau)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{8} |\tau| (4 - \tau) |\zeta(\tau)|^{-2} \\ &= -3^{-\frac{4}{3}} |\tau|^{-\frac{2}{3}} (1 + O(|\tau|^{-1})) \quad \text{on } (-\infty, -1]. \end{aligned}$$

We define the function X of the variable ζ by

$$X(\zeta(\tau)) = W(\tau)\sqrt{\zeta'(\tau)}.$$

Inserting $W(\tau) = \frac{X(\zeta(\tau))}{\sqrt{\zeta'(\tau)}}$ in the equation of W, we obtain in the variable $\tau \in (-\infty, 2)$, the following equation

$$\begin{split} b^2 X''(\zeta) &= \zeta X(\zeta) + \frac{b^2}{2} \left(\frac{\zeta'''}{(\zeta')^3} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{(\zeta'')^2}{(\zeta')^4} \right) X(\zeta) + b^2 \frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4(2-\tau)^2(\zeta')^2} X(\zeta) \\ &+ \mathrm{i} \frac{b\sigma}{(\zeta')^2} X(\zeta) - \left(\frac{b}{2-\tau} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)} (\zeta')^{-\frac{p+3}{2}} |X(\zeta)|^{p-1} X(\zeta). \end{split}$$

Define g, q and m three real-valued functions of ζ such that for any τ ,

$$g(\zeta(\tau)) = \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\zeta'''}{(\zeta')^3} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{(\zeta'')^2}{(\zeta')^4}\right) + \frac{(d-1)(d-3)}{4(2-\tau)^2(\zeta')^2}\right](\tau),$$

$$q(\zeta(\tau)) = [\zeta'(\tau)]^{-2},$$

$$m(\zeta(\tau)) = \left(\frac{1}{2-\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)} [\zeta'(\tau)]^{-\frac{p+3}{2}}.$$

Then, the equation of X writes, in the ζ variable,

$$b^{2}X'' = \zeta X + b^{2}g(\zeta)X + ib\sigma q(\zeta)X - b^{\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)}m(\zeta)|X|^{p-1}X.$$

Moreover, the functions g, q and m have the following asymptotics in ζ :

$$g(\zeta) = O\left(|\zeta - \zeta_0|^{-2}\right) \quad \text{on } [0, \zeta_0], \tag{3.17}$$

$$g(\zeta) = O\left(|\zeta\rangle^{-2}\right) \quad \text{on } [0, \zeta_0], \tag{3.17}$$

$$g(\zeta) = O\left(\langle \zeta \rangle^{-2}\right) \quad \text{on } (-\infty, 0], \tag{3.18}$$

$$q(\zeta) = O\left(\langle \zeta \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \quad \text{on } (-\infty, \zeta_0], \tag{3.19}$$

$$m(\zeta) = O\left(|\zeta - \zeta_0|^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)}\right) \quad \text{on } [0,\zeta_0], \tag{3.20}$$

$$m(\zeta) = O\left(\langle \zeta \rangle^{-\frac{3}{8}(d-1)(p-1) - \frac{p+3}{8}}\right) \quad \text{on } (-\infty, 0].$$
(3.21)

Last, we set

$$\begin{split} s &= b^{-\frac{2}{3}}\zeta, \quad \zeta = b^{\frac{2}{3}}s, \\ Y(s) &= X(\zeta), \quad X''(\zeta) = b^{-\frac{4}{3}}Y''(s). \end{split}$$

The equation of Y writes

$$Y'' - sY = G(s, Y)$$
(3.22)

where

$$G(s,Y) = b^{\frac{4}{3}}g(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s)Y + ib^{\frac{1}{3}}\sigma q(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s)Y - b^{\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)-\frac{2}{3}}m(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s)|Y|^{p-1}Y.$$
 (3.23)

Recall that the equation (1.9) of U is to be solved on the interval $J = [r_K, r_I]$, where $r_K = b^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $r_I = b^{-2}$. Note first that

$$r \in J \iff \tau \in [\tau_I, \tau_K] \quad \text{where } \tau_I = 2 - b^{-1}, \, \tau_K = 2 - b^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Second,

$$r \in J \iff \zeta \in [\zeta_I, \zeta_K]$$

where

$$\zeta_I = \zeta(2 - b^{-1}) = -\frac{3^{\frac{2}{3}}}{4} b^{-\frac{4}{3}} \left(1 + O(b)\right), \qquad (3.24)$$

$$\zeta_K = \zeta(2 - b^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \zeta_0 - \zeta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} b^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right).$$
(3.25)

Note also from (3.13)-(3.14) and $\tau_K = 2 - b^{\frac{1}{2}}$ that

$$\zeta'(\tau_K) = \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{2}})) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta''(\tau_K) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{-\frac{4}{3}} (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{2}})). \quad (3.26)$$

Last, setting

st, setting

$$s_0 = b^{-\frac{2}{3}} \zeta_0, \tag{3.27}$$

we see that

$$r \in J \iff s \in M$$
 where $M = [s_I, s_K],$

and s_I, s_K satisfy

$$s_I = -\frac{3^{\frac{2}{3}}}{4}b^{-2}\left(1 + O(b)\right), \qquad (3.28)$$

$$s_K = s_0 - \zeta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} b^{-\frac{1}{6}} \left(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{2}}) \right).$$
(3.29)

We also justify for future use that

$$\omega(s_K) = \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}s_K^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \le C \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) \le C \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right) \Sigma_b^{-1}.$$
 (3.30)

Indeed, by an elementary Taylor expansion, it holds

$$\int_{0}^{2-x} \sqrt{\tau} \sqrt{4-\tau} d\tau = \pi - 2x + O(x^3),$$

and thus, using (3.25), we obtain the following refinement of the expansion (3.29)

$$\frac{2}{3}s_{K}^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{2}{3}b^{-1}\zeta_{K}^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{2}{3}b^{-1}\left[\zeta(2-b^{\frac{1}{2}})\right]^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{1}{2b}\int_{0}^{2-b^{\frac{1}{2}}}\sqrt{\tau}\sqrt{4-\tau}d\tau$$
which yields
$$\frac{2}{3}s_{K}^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{\pi}{2b} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} + O(b^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$
(3.31)

$$\frac{2}{3}s_K^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{\pi}{2b} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} + O(b^{\frac{1}{2}}),\tag{3}$$

and proves (3.30).

Recall from Lemma 3.1 that the functions Ai and B are two independent solutions of the equation Y'' = sY on \mathbb{R} whose Wronskian $\operatorname{Ai} \mathbf{B}' - \operatorname{Ai}' \mathbf{B}$ was normalized to 1. We use these functions to apply the method of variation of constants to the equation (3.22) of Y on M. Let

$$\begin{cases} Y = \alpha \operatorname{Ai} + \beta \mathbf{B} \\ Y' = \alpha \operatorname{Ai}' + \beta \mathbf{B}', \end{cases}$$

where α and β are unknown complex-valued functions. By standard computation, from (3.22) and (3.23), we obtain the system

$$\begin{cases} \alpha' \operatorname{Ai} + \beta' \mathbf{B} = 0\\ \alpha' \operatorname{Ai}' + \beta' \mathbf{B}' = G(s, \alpha \operatorname{Ai} + \beta \mathbf{B}), \end{cases}$$
(3.32)

which writes equivalently

$$\begin{cases} \alpha' = -\mathbf{B} G(s, \alpha \operatorname{Ai} + \beta \mathbf{B}) \\ \beta' = \operatorname{Ai} G(s, \alpha \operatorname{Ai} + \beta \mathbf{B}). \end{cases}$$
(3.33)

Let $\alpha_I, \beta_I \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$|\alpha_I| \le \Sigma_b, \quad |\beta_I| \le \Sigma_b, \quad |\alpha_I| + |\beta_I| \ne 0.$$
(3.34)

Set

$$\Gamma_{\alpha}[\alpha,\beta] = \alpha_{I} + \Gamma_{\alpha,1}[\alpha,\beta] + \Gamma_{\alpha,2}[\alpha,\beta] + \Gamma_{\alpha,3}[\alpha,\beta],$$

$$\Gamma_{\beta}[\alpha,\beta] = \beta_{I} + \Gamma_{\beta,1}[\alpha,\beta] + \Gamma_{\beta,2}[\alpha,\beta] + \Gamma_{\beta,3}[\alpha,\beta],$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\alpha,1}[\alpha,\beta](s) &= -\int_{s_I}^s \mathbf{B}(s') b^{\frac{4}{3}} g(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s')(\alpha \operatorname{Ai} + \beta \operatorname{B})(s') ds', \\ \Gamma_{\alpha,2}[\alpha,\beta](s) &= -\int_{s_I}^s \mathbf{B}(s') \mathrm{i} b^{\frac{1}{3}} \sigma q(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s')(\alpha \operatorname{Ai} + \beta \operatorname{B})(s') ds', \\ \Gamma_{\alpha,3}[\alpha,\beta](s) &= \int_{s_I}^s \mathbf{B}(s') b^{\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)-\frac{2}{3}} m(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s') |\alpha \operatorname{Ai} + \beta \operatorname{B}|^{p-1}(\alpha \operatorname{Ai} + \beta \operatorname{B})(s') ds', \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\beta,1}[\alpha,\beta](s) &= \int_{s_I}^s \mathbf{A}\mathbf{i}(s')b^{\frac{4}{3}}g(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s')(\alpha\,\mathbf{A}\mathbf{i}+\beta\,\mathbf{B})(s')ds',\\ \Gamma_{\beta,2}[\alpha,\beta](s) &= \int_{s_I}^s \mathbf{A}\mathbf{i}(s')\mathbf{i}b^{\frac{1}{3}}\sigma q(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s')(\alpha\,\mathbf{A}\mathbf{i}+\beta\,\mathbf{B})(s')ds',\\ \Gamma_{\beta,3}[\alpha,\beta](s) &= -\int_{s_I}^s \mathbf{A}\mathbf{i}(s')b^{\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)-\frac{2}{3}}m(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s')|\alpha\,\mathbf{A}\mathbf{i}+\beta\,\mathbf{B}\,|^{p-1}(\alpha\,\mathbf{A}\mathbf{i}+\beta\,\mathbf{B})(s')ds'. \end{split}$$

The problem of solving equation (3.22) reduces to find a fixed point (α, β) of $(\Gamma_{\alpha}, \Gamma_{\beta})$. We work in the following complete metric space

 $E_M = \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) : M \to \mathbb{C}^2 \text{ is continuous and satisfies } \|(\alpha, \beta)\|_M \le 1 \right\}$

equipped with the distance associated to the norm

$$\|(\alpha,\beta)\|_{M} = \sup_{s \in M} \max\left\{ (2|\alpha_{I}| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_{I}|)^{-1} [\omega(s)]^{-2} |\alpha(s)|; (b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_{I}| + 2|\beta_{I}|)^{-1} |\beta(s)| \right\}.$$

We estimate Γ_{α} and Γ_{β} on the interval M for $(\alpha, \beta) \in E_M$, using the asymptotics of Lemma 3.1.

Estimate for $\Gamma_{\alpha,1}$. First, for $s \in M$, $s \leq 0$, using $\omega(s') = 1$ for any $s' \in (-\infty, 0]$, the bound $|\operatorname{Ai} \mathbf{B}| \leq C$ from (3.5), (3.18) and the change of variable $\zeta = b^{\frac{2}{3}}s$, it holds

$$|\Gamma_{\alpha,1}[\alpha,0](s)| \le Cb^{\frac{2}{3}}(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) \int_{-\infty}^0 |g(\zeta)| d\zeta \le Cb^{\frac{2}{3}}(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|).$$

Similarly, for $s \in M, s \leq 0$,

$$|\Gamma_{\alpha,1}[0,\beta](s)| \le Cb^{\frac{2}{3}}(2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|).$$

Second, for $s \in M$, $s \ge 0$, using (3.5) and (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\alpha,1}[\alpha,0](s)| &\leq |\Gamma_{\alpha,1}[\alpha,0](0)| + (2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) \int_0^s \langle s' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s'-s_0|^{-2} [\omega(s')]^2 ds' \\ &\leq C(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) \left(b^{\frac{2}{3}} + b^{\frac{1}{2}} [\omega(s)]^2\right) \\ &\leq Cb^{\frac{1}{2}} (2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) [\omega(s)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, we have used that for $0 \le s' \le s$, $|s'| \le \langle s' \rangle$, $\omega(s') \le \omega(s)$ so that

$$\int_0^s \langle s' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s' - s_0|^{-2} [\omega(s')]^2 ds' \le [\omega(s)]^2 \int_0^{s_K} |s'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s' - s_0|^{-2} ds' = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{s_K} |s'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s' - s_0|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s' - s_0|^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds' \le \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{s_K} |s'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s' - s_0|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s' - s_0|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

and next, by the change of variable $\zeta = b^{\frac{2}{3}}s'$,

$$\int_{0}^{s_{K}} |s'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s' - s_{0}|^{-2} ds' = b \int_{0}^{\zeta_{K}} |\zeta|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\zeta - \zeta_{0}|^{-2} d\zeta$$

which yields using (3.25)

$$\int_{0}^{s_{K}} |s'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s' - s_{0}|^{-2} ds' = b \int_{0}^{\zeta_{K}/2} + b \int_{\zeta_{K}/2}^{\zeta_{K}} \le Cb(1 + (\zeta_{0} - \zeta_{K})^{-1}) \le b^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (3.35)

Similarly, for $s \in M$, $s \ge 0$, using (3.4) and (3.35),

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\alpha,1}[0,\beta](s)| &\leq |\Gamma_{\alpha,1}[0,\beta](0)| + (2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|) \int_0^s \langle s' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s' - s_0|^{-2} [\omega(s')]^2 ds' \\ &\leq C b^{\frac{1}{2}} (2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|) [\omega(s)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for all $s \in M$,

$$|\Gamma_{\alpha,1}[\alpha,\beta](s)| \le Cb^{\frac{1}{4}}(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|)[\omega(s)]^2.$$
(3.36)

Estimate for $\Gamma_{\beta,1}$. First, arguing as for $\Gamma_{\alpha,1}$ we have, for $s \in M$, $s \leq 0$,

$$|\Gamma_{\beta,1}[\alpha,0](s)| \le Cb^{\frac{2}{3}}(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|),$$

and

$$|\Gamma_{\beta,1}[0,\beta](s)| \le Cb^{\frac{2}{3}}(2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|).$$

Second, for $s \in M$, $s \ge 0$, using (3.3) and (3.35)

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\beta,1}[\alpha,0](s)| &\leq |\Gamma_{\beta,1}[\alpha,0](0)| + (2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) \int_0^{s_K} \langle s' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s'-s_0|^{-2} ds' \\ &\leq C b^{\frac{1}{2}} (2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|). \end{aligned}$$

and using (3.5) and (3.35)

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\beta,1}[0,\beta](s)| &\leq |\Gamma_{\beta,1}[0,\beta](0)| + (2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|) \int_0^{s_K} \langle s' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} |s' - s_0|^{-2} ds' \\ &\leq C b^{\frac{1}{2}} (2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for all $s \in M$,

$$|\Gamma_{\beta,1}[\alpha,\beta](s)| \le Cb^{\frac{1}{4}}(2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|).$$
(3.37)

We deduce from (3.36)-(3.37) and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_M$ that, for any (α, β) , $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) \in E_M$,

$$\|(\Gamma_{\alpha,1},\Gamma_{\beta,1})[\alpha,\beta]\|_M \le Cb^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Therefore, by linearity of $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\Gamma_{\alpha,1}, \Gamma_{\beta,1})[\alpha, \beta]$, it hold

$$\|(\Gamma_{\alpha,1},\Gamma_{\beta,1})[\alpha,\beta]\|_M \le Cb^{\frac{1}{4}}\|(\alpha,\beta)\|_M,\tag{3.38}$$

and

$$\|(\Gamma_{\alpha,1},\Gamma_{\beta,1})[\alpha,\beta] - (\Gamma_{\alpha,1},\Gamma_{\beta,1})[\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}]\|_{M} \le Cb^{\frac{1}{4}}\|(\alpha,\beta) - (\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta})\|_{M}.$$
(3.39)
Estimate for $\Gamma_{\alpha,2}$. First, for $s \in M$ $s \le 0$, using (3.4), (3.5) and (3.19), one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\alpha,2}[\alpha,0](s)| &\leq C\sigma(2|\alpha_{I}| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_{I}|)b^{\frac{1}{3}} \int_{s_{I}}^{0} \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle b^{\frac{2}{3}}s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ &\leq C\sigma(2|\alpha_{I}| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_{I}|) \int_{s_{I}}^{0} \langle s \rangle^{-1} ds \leq C\sigma |\ln b|(2|\alpha_{I}| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_{I}|) \end{aligned}$$

(we have used $\langle b^{\frac{2}{3}}s \rangle \geq b^{\frac{2}{3}}\langle s \rangle$). Similarly,

$$|\Gamma_{\alpha,2}[0,\beta](s)| \le C\sigma |\ln b|(2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|).$$

Second, for $s \in M$, $s \ge 0$, using (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\alpha,2}[\alpha,0](s)| &\leq |\Gamma_{\alpha,2}[\alpha,0](0)| + (2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|)\sigma b^{\frac{1}{3}} \int_0^s \langle s' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\omega(s')]^2 ds' \\ &\leq C(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) \left(\sigma|\ln b| + \sigma[\omega(s)]^2\right) \\ &\leq C\sigma|\ln b|(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|)[\omega(s)]^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used, for $0 \le s \le s_K \le Cb^{-\frac{2}{3}}$,

$$\int_{0}^{s} \langle s' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\omega(s')]^{2} ds' \le [\omega(s)]^{2} \int_{0}^{s} \langle s' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds' \le C \langle s \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} [\omega(s)]^{2} \le C b^{-\frac{1}{3}} [\omega(s)]^{2}.$$
(3.40)

Similarly, for $s \in M$, $s \ge 0$, using (3.5) and (3.40),

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\alpha,2}[0,\beta](s)| &\leq |\Gamma_{\alpha,2}[0,\beta](0)| + (2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|)\sigma b^{\frac{1}{3}} \int_0^s \langle s' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\omega(s')]^2 ds' \\ &\leq C\sigma |\ln b| (2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|) [\omega(s)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for all $s \in M$,

$$|\Gamma_{\alpha,2}[\alpha,\beta](s)| \le C\sigma b^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) [\omega(s)]^2.$$
(3.41)

Estimate for $\Gamma_{\beta,2}$. First, arguing as for $\Gamma_{\alpha,2}$, for $s \in M$ $s \leq 0$, one has using (3.3), (3.5) and (3.19),

$$|\Gamma_{\beta,2}[\alpha,0](s)| \le C\sigma |\ln b|(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|)$$

and

$$|\Gamma_{\beta,2}[0,\beta](s)| \le C\sigma |\ln b|(2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|).$$

Second, for $s \in M$ $s \ge 0$, using (3.3) and (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\beta,2}[\alpha,0](s)| &\leq |\Gamma_{\beta,2}[\alpha,0](0)| + (2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|)\sigma b^{\frac{1}{3}} \int_0^s \langle s' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds' \\ &\leq C(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) \left(\sigma|\ln b| + \sigma b^{\frac{1}{3}} s_K^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq C\sigma|\ln b|(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\beta,2}[0,\beta](s)| &\leq |\Gamma_{\beta,2}[0,\beta](0)| + (2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|)\sigma b^{\frac{1}{3}} \int_0^s \langle s' \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds' \\ &\leq C\sigma |\ln b| (2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for all $s \in M$,

 $|\Gamma_{\beta,2}[\alpha,\beta](s)| \leq C\sigma b^{-\frac{1}{4}} |\ln b|(2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|) \leq C\sigma b^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|).$ (3.42) By linearity of $(\alpha,\beta) \mapsto (\Gamma_{\alpha,2},\Gamma_{\beta,2})[\alpha,\beta]$, we deduce from (3.41)-(3.42), that, for any $(\alpha,\beta), (\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}) \in E_M$,

$$\|(\Gamma_{\alpha,2},\Gamma_{\beta,2})[\alpha,\beta]\|_M \le C\sigma b^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|(\alpha,\beta)\|_M,\tag{3.43}$$

and

 $\|(\Gamma_{\alpha,2},\Gamma_{\beta,2})[\alpha,\beta] - (\Gamma_{\alpha,2},\Gamma_{\beta,2})[\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}]\|_{M} \le C\sigma b^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|(\alpha,\beta) - (\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta})\|_{M}.$ (3.44)

Estimate for $\Gamma_{\alpha,3}$. First, we note that for any $(\alpha,\beta) \in E_M$, by (3.3) and then (3.34), (3.30), it holds on M,

$$|\alpha \operatorname{Ai}| \le C(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{4}} \omega(s) \le C \Sigma_b \omega(s_K) \le C \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right).$$

Similarly, by (3.4), and then (3.34), (3.30),

$$|\beta \mathbf{B}| \le C(2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|) \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{4}} \omega(s) \le C \Sigma_b \omega(s_K) \le C \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right).$$

These estimates imply the following uniform estimate on M,

$$\left(\left|\alpha \operatorname{\mathbf{Ai}}\right| + \left|\beta \operatorname{\mathbf{B}}\right|\right)^{p-1} \le C \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right).$$
(3.45)

From (3.20)-(3.21) and (3.28)-(3.29), for all $s \in M$, it holds

$$b^{\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1)-\frac{2}{3}}|m(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s)| \le Cb^{\frac{1}{4}(d-1)(p-1)-\frac{2}{3}} \le Cb^{-\frac{2}{3}}.$$
(3.46)

Thus, using also (3.4)-(3.5), again (3.28)-(3.29), and (3.45),

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\alpha,3}[\alpha,0|(s)| &\leq C(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right) b^{-\frac{2}{3}} \int_{s_I}^s [\omega(s')|^2 ds' \\ &\leq C(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right) b^{-\frac{8}{3}} [\omega(s)]^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\alpha,3}[0,\beta|(s)| &\leq C(2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|) \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right) b^{-\frac{2}{3}} \int_{s_I}^s [\omega(s')|^2 ds' \\ &\leq C(2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|) \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right) b^{-\frac{8}{3}} [\omega(s)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for any $s \in M$,

$$|\Gamma_{\alpha,3}[\alpha,\beta](s)| \le C \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{4\sqrt{b}}\right) (2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|)[\omega(s)]^2.$$
(3.47)

Estimate for $\Gamma_{\beta,3}$. Similarly, using (3.3), (3.5), (3.46) and (3.45), one has,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\beta,3}[\alpha,0|(s)| &\leq C(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right) b^{-\frac{2}{3}} \int_{s_I}^{s_K} ds' \\ &\leq C(2|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\beta_I|) \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right) b^{-\frac{8}{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\beta,3}[0,\beta|(s)| &\leq C(2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|) \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right) b^{-\frac{2}{3}} \int_{s_I}^{s_K} ds' \\ &\leq C(2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|) \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{2\sqrt{b}}\right) b^{-\frac{8}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for any $s \in M$,

$$|\Gamma_{\beta,3}[\alpha,\beta](s)| \le C \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{4\sqrt{b}}\right) (2|\beta_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}}|\alpha_I|).$$
(3.48)

We deduce from (3.47)-(3.48), for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in E_M$, by homogeneity,

$$\|(\Gamma_{\alpha,3},\Gamma_{\beta,3})[\alpha,\beta]\|_{M} \le C \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{4\sqrt{b}}\right) \|(\alpha,\beta)\|_{M}^{p}.$$
(3.49)

For any $(\alpha, \beta), (\tilde{\alpha}, \beta) \in E_M$, using the inequality

$$||Y|^{p-1}Y - |\tilde{Y}|^{p-1}\tilde{Y}| \le C(|Y|^{p-1} + |\tilde{Y}|^{p-1})|Y - \tilde{Y}|,$$

and the above estimates (in particular, (3.45) for (α, β) and $(\tilde{\alpha}, \beta)$ in E), we obtain

$$\|(\Gamma_{\alpha,3},\Gamma_{\beta,3})[\alpha,\beta] - (\Gamma_{\alpha,3},\Gamma_{\beta,3})[\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}]\|_{M}$$

$$\leq C \exp\left(-\frac{p-1}{4\sqrt{b}}\right) \left(\|(\alpha,\beta)\|_{M}^{p-1} + \|(\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta})\|_{M}^{p-1}\right) \|(\alpha,\beta) - (\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta})\|_{M}. \quad (3.50)$$

Using the definitions of $\omega(s)$ and of the norm $\|\cdot\|_M$, one has $\|(\alpha_I, \beta_I)\|_M \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Combining (3.38), (3.43) and (3.49), for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in E_M$, we have

$$\|(\Gamma_{\alpha},\Gamma_{\beta})[\alpha,\beta]\|_{M} \leq \frac{1}{2} + C(b^{\frac{1}{4}} + \sigma b^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Thus, using (1.16), for σ small enough, $(\Gamma_{\alpha}, \Gamma_{\beta})$ maps E_M into E_M .

Similarly, using (3.39), (3.44) and (3.50), for any $(\alpha, \beta), (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) \in E_M$, we have

$$\|(\Gamma_{\alpha},\Gamma_{\beta})[\alpha,\beta] - (\Gamma_{\alpha},\Gamma_{\beta})[\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta}]\|_{M} \le C(b^{\frac{1}{4}} + \sigma b^{-\frac{1}{2}})\|(\alpha,\beta) - (\tilde{\alpha},\tilde{\beta})\|_{M},$$

and thus, for b small enough, $(\Gamma_{\alpha}, \Gamma_{\beta})$ is a contraction on E_M .

The application $(\Gamma_{\alpha}, \Gamma_{\beta}) : E_M \to E_M$ thus admits a unique fixed point (α, β) on E_M . The corresponding continuous functions α and β satisfy, for all $s \in M$,

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(s) = \alpha_I - \int_{s_I}^s \mathbf{B}(s') G\left(s', \alpha(s') \operatorname{Ai}(s') + \beta(s') \mathbf{B}(s')\right) ds' \\ \beta(s) = \beta_I + \int_{s_I}^s \operatorname{Ai}(s') G\left(s', \alpha(s') \operatorname{Ai}(s') + \beta(s') \mathbf{B}(s')\right) ds'. \end{cases}$$

In particular, the functions α and β are of class C^1 on M, and satisfy (3.33) and equivalently (3.32).

Therefore, for any α_I , $\beta_I \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying (3.34), the function $Y = \alpha \operatorname{Ai} + \beta \operatorname{B}$ satisfies (3.22), with

$$Y(s_I) = \alpha_I \operatorname{Ai}(s_I) + \beta_I \operatorname{B}(s_I),$$

$$Y'(s_I) = \alpha_I \operatorname{Ai}'(s_I) + \beta_I \operatorname{B}'(s_I).$$

Consider the solution Y constructed above for given α_I and β_I satisfying (3.34), and the corresponding function U obtained from Y by the above changes of variables. The following relation between $Y(s_I)$ and $U(r_I)$ holds

$$Y(s_I) = [\zeta'(\tau_I)]^{\frac{1}{2}} U(r_I).$$
(3.51)

To relate $Y'(s_I)$ to $U(r_I)$ and $U'(r_I)$, we first observe from $X(\zeta(\tau)) = \sqrt{\zeta'(\tau)}W(\tau)$ that

$$\zeta'(\tau)X'(\zeta(\tau)) = \sqrt{\zeta'(\tau)}W'(\tau) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\zeta''(\tau)}{\sqrt{\zeta'(\tau)}}W(\tau).$$

Using also $Y'(s_I) = b^{\frac{2}{3}} X'(\zeta_I)$, $W(\tau_I) = U(r_I)$ and $-bW'(\tau_I) = U'(r_I)$, we obtain

$$Y'(s_I) = b^{\frac{2}{3}} [\zeta'(\tau_I)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} W'(\tau_I) + \frac{1}{2} b^{\frac{2}{3}} \zeta''(\tau_I) [\zeta'(\tau_I)]^{-\frac{3}{2}} W(\tau_I)$$

$$= -b^{-\frac{1}{3}} [\zeta'(\tau_I)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} U'(r_I) + \frac{1}{2} b^{\frac{2}{3}} \zeta''(\tau_I) [\zeta'(\tau_I)]^{-\frac{3}{2}} U(r_I).$$
(3.52)

Let now u_I , $\tilde{u}_I \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy (3.11). From (3.51) and (3.52), it is natural to set

$$\begin{cases} y_I = [\zeta'(\tau_I)]^{\frac{1}{2}} u_I \\ \tilde{y}_I = -b^{-\frac{1}{3}} [\zeta'(\tau_I)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{u}_I + \frac{1}{2} b^{\frac{2}{3}} \zeta''(\tau_I) [\zeta'(\tau_I)]^{-\frac{3}{2}} u_I, \end{cases}$$
(3.53)

so that

$$(U(r_I), U'(r_I)) = (u_I, \tilde{u}_I) \iff (Y(s_I), Y'(s_I)) = (y_I, \tilde{y}_I).$$

Next, we have from the system

$$Y(s_I) = \alpha_I \operatorname{Ai}(s_I) + \beta_I \operatorname{B}(s_I)$$
$$Y'(s_I) = \alpha_I \operatorname{Ai}'(s_I) + \beta_I \operatorname{B}'(s_I),$$

and (3.1), the relation

$$(Y(s_I), Y'(s_I)) = (y_I, \tilde{y}_I) \iff \begin{cases} \alpha_I = y_I \mathbf{B}'(s_I) - \tilde{y}_I \mathbf{B}(s_I) \\ \beta_I = -y_I \mathbf{Ai}'(s_I) + \tilde{y}_I \mathbf{Ai}(s_I). \end{cases}$$
(3.54)

Using the following estimates (consequences of $\tau_I = 2 - b^{-1}$, (3.15)-(3.16) and Lemma 3.1)

$$|\mathbf{Ai}(s_I)| + |\mathbf{B}(s_I)| \le Cb^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |\mathbf{Ai}'(s_I)| + |\mathbf{B}'(s_I)| \le Cb^{-\frac{1}{2}}, |\zeta'(\tau_I)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \le Cb^{\frac{1}{6}}, \quad |\zeta''(\tau_I)| \le Cb^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

we have

$$|y_I| \le Cb^{-\frac{1}{6}}|u_I|, \quad |\tilde{y}_I| \le Cb^{-\frac{1}{6}}(|\tilde{u}_I| + b|u_I|),$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_{I}| &\leq |y_{I}|| \mathbf{B}'(s_{I})| + |\tilde{y}_{I} \mathbf{B}(s_{I})| \leq C(b^{-\frac{1}{2}}|y_{I}| + b^{\frac{1}{2}}|\tilde{y}_{I}|) \leq Cb^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left(|u_{I}| + b|\tilde{u}_{I}|\right) \\ |\beta_{I}| &\leq |y_{I}|| \mathbf{Ai}'(s_{I})| + |\tilde{y}_{I} \mathbf{Ai}(s_{I})| \leq Cb^{-\frac{2}{3}} \left(|u_{I}| + b|\tilde{u}_{I}|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using also (3.11), we obtain for b small enough,

$$|\alpha_I| + |\beta_I| \le Cb^{\frac{1}{3}} \Sigma_b < \Sigma_b,$$

which means that (3.34) is satisfied. Therefore, we have proved the existence of a solution U_J satisfying equation (1.9) on J and the conditions (3.12) at $r = r_I$.

The continuity of U_J in $(\sigma, b, u_I, \tilde{u}_I, r)$ follows from standard arguments using the Fixed-Point Theorem with parameter.

Step 2. Here, we construct admissible solutions of (1.9) on $J \cup I = [r_K, \infty)$, and describe their behavior at the matching point $r_K = b^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Let U be a solution

of (1.9) constructed in Proposition 2.1 on I. In order to use the construction of Step 1 with $u_I = U(r_I)$ and $\tilde{u}_I = U'(r_I)$, it suffices to check that (3.11) holds, *i.e.*

$$|U(r_I)| \le b\Sigma_b, \quad |U'(r_I)| \le \Sigma_b.$$

But this is a direct consequence of (2.10)-(2.11) and (1.10)-(1.13), for σ small enough. Now, we will prove the existence of a real $\theta_{\text{ext}} \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that the function U_{ext} defined by

$$U_{\text{ext}}(r) = e^{i\theta_{\text{ext}}} U(r) \text{ for any } r \in J \cup I,$$

satisfies

$$\Re(U_{\text{ext}}(r_K)) = \frac{\rho\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})), \qquad (3.55)$$

$$\Re(U_{\text{ext}}'(r_K)) = -\frac{\rho\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{2}}\exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right)(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})), \qquad (3.56)$$

$$\Im(U_{\text{ext}}(r_K)) = \frac{\rho\sqrt{b}}{2\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})), \qquad (3.57)$$

$$\Im(U_{\text{ext}}'(r_K)) = \frac{\rho\sqrt{b}}{2\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})). \tag{3.58}$$

It is clear by phase invariance that U_{ext} is solution of (1.9) on $J \cup I$.

From the specific behavior (2.10)-(2.11) of the solution U at r_I and the relations (3.53) and (3.54), we give refined information on α_I and β_I and on the solution Y on $[s_I, s_K]$ (we follow the notation introduced in Step 1).

Lemma 3.2. It holds

$$|\beta_I| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \rho b^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(1 + O(b|\ln b|) \right), \quad |\alpha_I| \le C \rho b^{\frac{4}{3}}.$$
(3.59)

Moreover, for all $s \in [s_I, s_K]$,

$$Y(s) = \beta_I \mathbf{B}(s) \left(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}}) \right), \quad Y'(s) = \beta_I \mathbf{B}'(s) \left(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}}) \right), \tag{3.60}$$

where s_I , s_K are defined in (3.28), (3.29).

Proof. First, note that from (2.10)-(2.12), $r_I = b^{-2}$ and (1.10), we have

$$u_I = U(r_I) = \rho b \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4b^3}\right) \exp\left(\frac{2\mathrm{i}\ln b}{b}\right) \left(1 + O(b|\ln b|)\right),\tag{3.61}$$

$$\tilde{u}_I = U'(r_I) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\rho \exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4b^3}\right) \exp\left(\frac{2\mathrm{i}\ln b}{b}\right) \left(1 + O(b|\ln b|)\right),\tag{3.62}$$

$$\tilde{u}_I - \frac{i}{2}b^{-1}u_I = O(\rho b^2).$$
(3.63)

Second, from (3.15)-(3.16) and $\tau_I = 2 - b^{-1}$, one has

$$|\zeta'(\tau_I)|^{\frac{1}{2}} = 3^{-\frac{1}{6}}b^{-\frac{1}{6}}(1+O(b)), \quad \zeta''(\tau_I) = -3^{-\frac{4}{3}}b^{\frac{2}{3}}(1+O(b)).$$

Inserting in (3.53), this gives

$$\begin{cases} y_I = 3^{-\frac{1}{6}} b^{-\frac{1}{6}} u_I (1+O(b)) \\ \tilde{y}_I = -3^{\frac{1}{6}} b^{-\frac{1}{6}} \tilde{u}_I (1+O(b)) - \frac{1}{2} 3^{-\frac{5}{6}} b^{\frac{11}{6}} u_I (1+O(b)). \end{cases}$$
(3.64)

For α_I , using (3.54), Lemma 3.1 and next $|s_I|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}3^{\frac{1}{3}}b^{-1}(1+O(b))$, this yields

$$\alpha_I = y_I \mathbf{B}'(s_I) - \tilde{y}_I \mathbf{B}(s_I) = \left(-i|s_I|^{\frac{1}{2}} y_I(1+O(b^3)) - \tilde{y}_I\right) \mathbf{B}(s_I)$$

= $3^{\frac{1}{6}} b^{-\frac{1}{6}} \left(-\frac{i}{2} b^{-1} u_I(1+O(b)) + \tilde{u}_I(1+O(b))\right) \mathbf{B}(s_I).$

Using now (3.61)-(3.63) and $\mathbf{B}(s_I) = O(b^{\frac{1}{2}})$ (from Lemma 3.1) we obtain

$$\alpha_I = O\left(\rho b^{\frac{4}{3}}\right).$$

For β_I , using (3.54), Lemma 3.1 and next $|s_I|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}3^{\frac{1}{3}}b^{-1}(1+O(b))$, we have

$$\beta_I = -y_I \operatorname{Ai}'(s_I) + \tilde{y}_I \operatorname{Ai}(s_I) = \frac{1}{2} 3^{\frac{1}{3}} b^{-1} y_I \Im(\xi_I) (1 + O(b)) + \tilde{y}_I \Re(\xi_I),$$

where we have set

$$\xi_I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} |s_I|^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}i|s_I|^{\frac{3}{2}}\right),$$
$$|\xi_I| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} |s_I|^{-\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}} 3^{-\frac{1}{6}} b^{\frac{1}{2}} (1+O(b)).$$

Using (3.64), we obtain

$$\beta_I = \frac{1}{2} 3^{\frac{1}{6}} b^{-\frac{7}{6}} \left(\Im(\xi_I) (1 + O(b)) + \Re(\xi_I) O(b^3) \right) u_I - 3^{\frac{1}{6}} b^{-\frac{1}{6}} \Re(\xi_I) (1 + O(b)) \tilde{u}_I.$$

We continue using (3.61)-(3.62),

$$\beta_{I} = \frac{1}{2} 3^{\frac{1}{6}} \rho b^{-\frac{1}{6}} \exp\left(\frac{i}{4b^{3}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{2i\ln b}{b}\right) \\ \times \left[\Im(\xi_{I}) \left(1 + O(b|\ln b|)\right) - i\Re(\xi_{I}) \left(1 + O(b|\ln b|)\right)\right] \\ = -\frac{1}{2} 3^{\frac{1}{6}} \rho b^{-\frac{1}{6}} \exp\left(\frac{i}{4b^{3}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{2i\ln b}{b}\right) i\xi_{I} \left(1 + O(b|\ln b|)\right)$$

In particular,

$$|\beta_I| = \frac{1}{2} 3^{\frac{1}{6}} \rho b^{-\frac{1}{6}} |\xi_I| \left(1 + O(b|\ln b|) \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \rho b^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(1 + O(b|\ln b|) \right),$$

which completes the proof of (3.59). Observe that by (1.10) and (1.13), $|\beta_I| \neq 0$.

Proof of (3.60). It follows from estimates (3.36), (3.41), (3.47) and (3.37), (3.42), (3.48)) that, for all $s \in [s_I, s_K]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(s) &= \alpha_I + O\left((|\alpha_I| + b^{\frac{1}{4}} |\beta_I|) (b^{\frac{1}{4}} + \sigma b^{-\frac{1}{2}}) [\omega(s)]^2 \right), \\ \beta(s) &= \beta_I + O\left((b^{\frac{1}{4}} |\alpha_I| + |\beta_I|) (b^{\frac{1}{4}} + \sigma b^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.13), (1.16) and (3.59), for all $s \in [s_I, s_K]$, we obtain

$$|\alpha(s)| \le C\rho b^{\frac{5}{6}} [\omega(s)]^2 \le C b^{\frac{1}{2}} |\beta_I| [\omega(s)]^2, \quad |\beta(s) - \beta_I| \le C b^{\frac{1}{4}} |\beta_I|.$$

Going back to $Y = \alpha \operatorname{Ai} + \beta \operatorname{B}$ and $Y' = \alpha \operatorname{Ai}' + \beta \operatorname{B}'$, since by Lemma 3.1, $\omega^2 |\operatorname{Ai}| \leq C |\operatorname{B}|$ and $\omega^2 |\operatorname{Ai}'| \leq C |\operatorname{B}'|$ on \mathbb{R} , we obtain (3.60). \Box

In view of the definition of G in (3.23), we set

$$k(s) = s + b^{\frac{4}{3}}g(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s) - b^{\frac{1}{2}(d-1)(p-1) - \frac{2}{3}}m(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s)|Y(s)|^{p-1},$$

4

and

$$\ell(s) = b^{\frac{1}{3}} \sigma q(b^{\frac{2}{3}}s). \tag{3.65}$$

Note that the functions k and ℓ are real-valued. The equation (3.22) of Y writes

$$Y'' - kY = i\ell Y.$$

Now, we set (recall that $\beta_I \neq 0$ by (3.59))

$$Z(s) = \beta_I^{-1} Y(s), (3.66)$$

so that Z is also solution of the linear equation

$$Z'' - kZ = i\ell Z, \tag{3.67}$$

and satisfies on $[s_I, s_K]$,

$$Z(s) = \mathbf{B}(s) \left(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}}) \right), \quad Z'(s) = \mathbf{B}'(s) \left(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}}) \right).$$
(3.68)

We also set

$$R(s) = \Re(Z(s)),$$

solution of the linear equation

$$R'' - kR = -\ell\Im(Z), \tag{3.69}$$

and satisfing on $[s_I, s_K]$,

$$R(s) = \Re(\mathbf{B}(s)) \left(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})\right), \quad R'(s) = \Re(\mathbf{B}'(s)) \left(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})\right).$$
(3.70)

Last, we set for $s \in [s_I, s_K]$,

$$T(s) = -Z(s) \int_{s}^{s_{\kappa}} \frac{ds'}{Z^{2}(s')}, \quad S(s) = \Re(T(s)).$$

(Recall that from (3.68) and Lemma 3.1, Z does not vanish on $[s_I, s_K]$.) Since T is solution of $T'' - kT = i\ell T$, S is solution of

$$S'' - kS = -\ell \Im(T). \tag{3.71}$$

Moreover, by the definition of T and S, it follows that

$$\mathcal{W}(Z,T) = ZT' - Z'T = 1.$$

Lemma 3.3. It holds, on $[s_I, s_K]$,

$$\mathcal{W}(R,S) = RS' - R'S = 1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}}).$$
 (3.72)

Proof. From $S(s_K) = 0$ and $S'(s_K) = \Re(\frac{1}{Z(s_K)}) = \frac{\Re(Z(s_K))}{|Z(s_K)|^2}$, we compute

$$\mathcal{W}(R,S)(s_K) = R(s_K)S'(s_K) = \frac{[\Re(Z(s_K))]^2}{|Z(s_K)|^2} = (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})),$$

where the last estimate is obtained from (3.68) and Lemma 3.1.

Next, we compute

$$\frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{W}(R,S) = RS'' - R''S = \ell\left(\Im(Z)S - \Im(T)R\right) = \ell\left(\Im(Z)\Re(T) - \Im(T)\Re(Z)\right)$$
$$= -\ell\Im(\bar{Z}T) = -\ell|Z|^2\Im\left(\int_s^{s_K} \frac{ds'}{Z^2(s')}\right) = \ell|Z|^2\Im\left(\int_s^{s_K} \frac{\Im(Z^2(s'))}{|Z(s')|^4}ds'\right)$$

By (3.68) and (3.4), we estimate for $s \in [s_I, s_K]$,

$$\left|\frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{W}(R,S)\right| \le C|\ell|\langle s\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}[\omega(s)]^2 \int_s^{s_K} \langle s'\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}[\omega(s')]^{-2}ds'.$$

By integration, we have for $0 \le s \le s_K$,

$$\int_{s}^{s_{K}} \langle s' \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} [\omega(s')]^{-2} ds' \le C[\omega(s)]^{-2},$$

and for $s_I \leq s \leq 0$,

$$\int_{s}^{s_{K}} \langle s' \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} [\omega(s')]^{-2} ds' \leq \int_{s}^{0} + \int_{0}^{s_{K}} \leq C \langle s \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} + C \leq C \langle s \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

Thus, using also (3.19) and (3.65), we obtain, for $s \in [s_I, s_K]$ (see (3.28)-(3.27)),

$$\left|\frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{W}(R,S)\right| \le C\sigma b^{\frac{1}{3}} \langle b^{\frac{2}{3}}s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle s \rangle \le C\sigma \langle s \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \le Cb^{-1}\sigma.$$

Let any $s \in [s_I, s_K]$. Integrating on $[s, s_K]$ and then using (1.12) and (1.16), it follows that

$$|\mathcal{W}(R,S)(s) - \mathcal{W}(R,S)(s_K)| \le Cb^{-3}\sigma \le b^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

This completes the proof of (3.72).

We decompose $\Im(Z)$ using R and S:

$$\begin{cases} \Im(Z) = \eta R + \mu S\\ \Im(Z') = \eta R' + \mu S'. \end{cases}$$
(3.73)

Lemma 3.4. It holds

$$\eta(s_K) = O(b^{\frac{1}{4}}) \quad and \quad \mu(s_K) = -\pi(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})).$$
 (3.74)

Remark 3.2. This result is the key of the proof of the expected special behavior (3.55)-(3.58). Indeed, it relates the properties of the function Z at s_I given by (3.68) to a sharp property at s_K , *i.e.* on the other side of the turning point s = 0. For this, we exploit the structure of the equation of Z (k is real-valued and ℓ is small compared to σ) in a more refined way compared to the fixed-point argument in Step 1.

Proof. First, we estimate $\eta(s_K)$. Using $S(s_K) = 0$, (3.68) and then Lemma 3.1 (ii), it holds

$$\eta(s_K) = \frac{\Im(Z(s_K))S'(s_K) - \Im(Z'(s_K))S(s_K)}{\mathcal{W}(R(s_K), S(s_K))} = \frac{\Im(Z(s_K))}{\Re(Z(s_K))} = \frac{\Im(\mathbf{B}(s_K)(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}}))}{\Re(\mathbf{B}(s_K)(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})))} = O(b^{\frac{1}{4}}).$$

Next, we estimate $\mu(s_I)$, using (3.68), Lemma 3.1 and (3.72)

$$\mu(s_I) = \frac{\Im(Z'(s_I))R(s_I) - \Im(Z(s_I))R'(s_I)}{W(R(s_I), S(s_I))}$$

= $\frac{\Im(Z'(s_I))\Re(Z(s_I)) - \Im(Z(s_I))\Re(Z'(s_I))}{W(R(s_I), S(s_I))}$
= $\frac{\Im(\bar{Z}(s_I)Z'(s_I))}{W(R(s_I), S(s_I))} = -\pi(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})).$

Last, we estimate $\mu(s_K)$ using the equation of $\mu'(s)$. From (3.73), (3.67), (3.69) and (3.71), we have

$$\begin{cases} \eta' R + \mu' S = 0\\ \eta' R' + \mu' S' = \ell \left(\eta \Im(Z) + \mu \Im(T) + R \right). \end{cases}$$
(3.75)

We claim the following identity

$$\eta \Im(Z) + \mu \Im(T) + R = \frac{R}{\mathcal{W}(R,S)}.$$
(3.76)

Indeed, from (3.73), we have first,

$$\eta = \frac{\Im(Z)S' - \Im(Z')S}{\mathcal{W}(R,S)}, \quad \mu = \frac{\Im(Z')R - \Im(Z)R'}{\mathcal{W}(R,S)}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(R,S)\left(\eta\mathfrak{F}(Z) + \mu\mathfrak{F}(T) + R\right) &= \mathfrak{F}(Z)\mathfrak{F}(Z)\mathfrak{F}(T') - \mathfrak{F}(Z)\mathfrak{F}(Z')\mathfrak{F}(T) \\ &+ \mathfrak{F}(Z')\mathfrak{F}(Z)\mathfrak{F}(T) - \mathfrak{F}(Z)\mathfrak{F}(Z')\mathfrak{F}(T) \\ &+ \mathfrak{F}(Z)\mathfrak{F}(Z)\mathfrak{F}(T') - \mathfrak{F}(Z)\mathfrak{F}(Z')\mathfrak{F}(T) \\ &= |Z|^2\mathfrak{F}(T') - \mathfrak{F}(\bar{Z}Z')\mathfrak{F}(T) + \mathfrak{F}(\bar{Z}Z')\mathfrak{F}(T) \\ &= \mathfrak{F}\left(|Z|^2T' - \bar{Z}Z'T\right). \end{aligned}$$

But multiplying $\mathcal{W}(Z,T) = 1$ by \overline{Z} , we deduce the identity $|Z|^2 T' - \overline{Z}Z'T = \overline{Z}$, so that $\Re \left(|Z|^2 T' - \overline{Z}Z'T \right) = \Re(\overline{Z}) = R$. Thus, (3.76) is proved.

From (3.75) and (3.76), we have obtained

$$\mu' = \frac{\ell R^2}{[\mathcal{W}(R,S)]^2}.$$

We deduce from (3.70), (3.72) and (3.4) that for any $s \in [s_I, s_K]$,

$$|\mu'(s)| \le C |\ell| \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\omega(s)]^2.$$

Using (3.40),

$$\int_{0}^{s_{K}} \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\omega(s)]^{2} ds \le C b^{-\frac{1}{3}} [\omega(s_{K})]^{2}$$

and using $\omega(s') = 1$ for $s' \le 0$,

$$\int_{s_I}^0 \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\omega(s)]^2 ds \le C b^{-1}$$

Thus, using $|\ell| \leq C\sigma$ from the definition of ℓ in (3.65) and (3.19), next using (3.30), (1.12), (1.16) and we obtain

$$|\mu(s_I) - \mu(s_K)| \le C\sigma[w(s_K)]^2 \le Cb^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) \le b^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

This completes the proof of (3.74).

Lemma 3.5. There exists θ_K with $\theta_K = O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})$ such that the function

$$\tilde{Z}(s) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta_K} Z(s)$$

satisfies

$$\Re(\tilde{Z}(s_K)) = \Re(\mathbf{B}(s_K))(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})), \qquad (3.77)$$

$$\Re(\tilde{Z}'(s_K)) = \Re(\mathbf{B}'(s_K))(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})), \qquad (3.78)$$

$$\Im(\tilde{Z}(s_K)) = \pi \operatorname{Ai}(s_K), \qquad (3.79)$$

$$\Im(\ddot{Z}'(s_K)) = \pi \operatorname{Ai}'(s_K)(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})).$$
(3.80)

Remark 3.3. This result means that the above solution \tilde{Z} of equation (3.67) mimics the behavior (3.2) of the function **B** at the main order. As explained before, this result is directly related to the fact that the function k in (3.67) is real-valued and that the smallness of the function ℓ is related to σ .

Proof. We claim that there exists $\theta_K = O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})$ such that

$$\sin \theta_K + \eta(s_K) \cos \theta_K = \pi \frac{\operatorname{Ai}(s_K)}{\Re(Z(s_K))}.$$
(3.81)

Indeed, we first set $\tilde{\theta}_K = -\arctan(\eta(s_K))$, so that the equation on θ_K becomes

$$\sin(\theta_K - \tilde{\theta}_K) = \sin(\theta_K)\cos(\tilde{\theta}_K) - \cos(\theta_K)\sin(\tilde{\theta}_K) = \pi\cos(\tilde{\theta}_K)\frac{\operatorname{Ai}(s_K)}{\Re(Z(s_K))}.$$

Note that $\tilde{\theta}_K = O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})$ by (3.74). Note also from (3.70), Lemma 3.1 and then (3.29)-(3.27), that (for *b* small enough)

$$\left|\frac{\operatorname{Ai}(s_K)}{\Re(Z(s_K))}\right| \le C \exp\left(-\frac{4}{3}s_K^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \le C \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \le b^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Thus, θ_K defined by

$$\theta_K = \tilde{\theta}_K + \arcsin\left(\pi\cos(\tilde{\theta}_K)\frac{\mathbf{Ai}(s_K)}{\Re(Z(s_K))}\right)$$

is solution of (3.81) and satisfies $\theta_K = O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})$. We set $\tilde{Z}(s) = e^{i\theta_K}Z(s)$.

Proof of (3.77)-(3.78). By (3.73), $S(s_K) = 0$, (3.74), $\theta_K = O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})$ and (3.70), it holds

$$\Re(\tilde{Z}(s_K)) = \Re(Z(s_K)) \cos \theta_K - \Im(Z(s_K)) \sin \theta_K$$
$$= (\cos \theta_K - \eta(s_K) \sin \theta_K) \Re(Z(s_K)) = \Re(\mathbf{B}(s_K))(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})).$$

Similarly, by (3.73), (3.74), $\theta_K = O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})$, (3.70) and (from (3.68) and Lemma 3.1)

$$S'(s_K) = \frac{\Re(Z(s_K))}{|Z(s_K)|^2} = \frac{1}{\Re(\mathbf{B}(s_K))} (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})),$$

it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\tilde{Z}'(s_K)) &= \Re(Z'(s_K))\cos\theta_K - \Im(Z'(s_K))\sin\theta_K \\ &= (\cos\theta_K - \eta(s_K)\sin\theta_K)\,\Re(Z'(s_K)) - \mu(s_K)\sin(\theta_K)S'(s_K) \\ &= \Re(\mathbf{B}'(s_K))(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (3.79)-(3.80). By (3.73), $S(s_K) = 0$ and (3.81), it holds

$$\Im(\tilde{Z}(s_K)) = \Re(Z(s_K)) \sin \theta_K + \Im(Z(s_K)) \cos \theta_K$$

= $(\sin \theta_K + \eta(s_K) \cos \theta_K) \Re(Z(s_K)) = \pi \operatorname{Ai}(s_K).$

By (3.73), (3.74) and (3.81), it also holds

$$\begin{aligned} \Im(\ddot{Z}'(s_K)) &= \Re(Z'(s_K))\sin\theta_K + \Im(Z'(s_K))\cos\theta_K \\ &= (\sin\theta_K + \eta(s_K)\cos\theta_K)\,\Re(Z'(s_K)) + \mu(s_K)\cos(\theta_K)S'(s_K) \\ &= \pi\operatorname{Ai}(s_K)\frac{\Re(Z'(s_K))}{\Re(Z(s_K))} - \pi S'(s_K)(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})). \end{aligned}$$

Note that by (3.68), (3.70) and Lemma 3.1

$$\mathbf{Ai}(s_K)\frac{R'(s_K)}{R(s_K)} = \mathbf{Ai}(s_K)\Re\left(\frac{\mathbf{B}'(s_K)}{\mathbf{B}(s_K)}\right)(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) = -\mathbf{Ai}'(s_K)(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})),$$

$$S'(s_K) = \frac{\Re(Z(s_K))}{|Z(s_K)|^2} = \frac{1}{\Re(\mathbf{B}(s_K))}(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) = -2\mathbf{Ai}'(s_K)(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})).$$

Thus, $\Im(\tilde{Z}'(s_K)) = \pi \operatorname{Ai}'(s_K)(1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})).$

Now, we set

$$U_{\text{ext}}(r) = e^{i\theta_{\text{ext}}} U(r) \quad \text{where} \quad e^{i\theta_{\text{ext}}} = \frac{\beta_I}{|\beta_I|} e^{i\theta_K}, \quad \theta_{\text{ext}} \in [0, 2\pi),$$
(3.82)

and we prove that estimates (3.55)-(3.58) for U_{ext} follow from (3.77)-(3.80). Indeed, by the definition of θ_{ext} in (3.82) and the definition of Z in (3.66), we observe that

$$|\beta_I|\tilde{Z}(s_K) = |\beta_I|e^{\mathrm{i}\theta_K}Z(s_K) = \beta_I e^{\mathrm{i}\theta_{\mathrm{ext}}}Z(s_K) = e^{\mathrm{i}\theta_{\mathrm{ext}}}Y(s_K),$$
$$|\beta_I|\tilde{Z}'(s_K) = e^{\mathrm{i}\theta_{\mathrm{ext}}}Y'(s_K).$$

Therefore, using the definition of U_{ext} in (3.82), similarly as in (3.51) and (3.52), we have

$$|\beta_I|\tilde{Z}(s_K) = [\zeta'(\tau_K)]^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{\text{ext}}(r_K), \qquad (3.83)$$

$$|\beta_I|\tilde{Z}'(s_K) = -b^{-\frac{1}{3}}[\zeta'(\tau_K)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}U'_{\text{ext}}(r_K) + \frac{1}{2}b^{\frac{2}{3}}\zeta''(\tau_K)[\zeta'(\tau_K)]^{-\frac{3}{2}}U_{\text{ext}}(r_K).$$
(3.84)

First, from (3.83), (3.59), (3.26) next (3.77)-(3.80) and Lemma 3.1, last using (3.31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(U_{\text{ext}}(r_K)) &= \frac{\rho b^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \Re(\tilde{Z}(s_K))(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) \\ &= \frac{\rho b^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \Re(\mathbf{B}(s_K))(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) \\ &= \frac{\rho b^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} s_K^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}s_K^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) (1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) \\ &= \frac{\rho \sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Im(U_{\text{ext}}(r_K)) &= \frac{\rho b^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \Im(\tilde{Z}(s_K))(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) \\ &= \frac{\rho b^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \pi \operatorname{Ai}(s_K)(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) \\ &= \frac{\rho b^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} s_K^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}s_K^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) (1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) \\ &= \frac{\rho \sqrt{b}}{2\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})). \end{split}$$

Second, from (3.59), next (3.77)-(3.80) and Lemma 3.1, last (3.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -|\beta_I|b^{\frac{1}{3}}[\zeta'(\tau_K)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Re(\tilde{Z}'(s_K)) &= -\frac{\rho b^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} \Re(\mathbf{B}'(s_K))(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) \\ &= -\frac{\rho b^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} s_K^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{2}{3}s_K^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) (1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) \\ &= -\frac{\rho \sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$b\Re(U_{\text{ext}}(r_K)) = O\left(\rho b^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right)\right),$$

we obtain from (3.84),

$$\Re(U'_{\text{ext}}(r_K)) = -\frac{\rho\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})).$$

Last, using the same estimates as before,

$$\begin{aligned} -|\beta_I|b^{\frac{1}{3}}[\zeta'(\tau_K)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Im(\tilde{Z}'(s_K)) &= -\frac{\rho b^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} \pi \operatorname{Ai}'(s_K)(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) \\ &= \frac{\rho b^{\frac{2}{3}}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}} s_K^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{3}s_K^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) (1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) \\ &= \frac{\rho\sqrt{b}}{2\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$b\Im(U_{\text{ext}}(r_K)) = O\left(\rho b^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right)\right),$$

we obtain from (3.84),

$$\Im(U'_{\text{ext}}(r_K)) = \frac{\rho\sqrt{b}}{2\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})).$$

We have proved (3.55)-(3.58). Finally, note that (3.7)-(3.10) then follow immediately from the definition of P_{ext} in (3.6).

4. Solutions of the nonlinear equation on K

The objective of this section is to construct a family of solutions P_{int} of (1.8) on the interval K with sufficiently many free parameters to perform later the matching at $r = r_K$ with the solutions P_{ext} of (1.8) on the interval $J \cup I$ constructed in Proposition 3.1. It is also important to obtain precise information on the general form of these solutions at the matching point $r = r_K$ that coincide with (3.7)-(3.10). The expected solutions P_{int} are close to the ground state solitary wave Q, and we will construct them by fixed-point using the properties of the linearized operator around Q.

4.1. Preliminaries on linearized operators. Let

$$L_{+} = -\partial_{rr} - \frac{d-1}{r}\partial_{r} + 1 - pQ^{p-1}, \qquad (4.1)$$

$$L_{-} = -\partial_{rr} - \frac{d-1}{r}\partial_{r} + 1 - Q^{p-1}.$$
(4.2)

We gather in the next lemmas standard information concerning L_+ and L_- .

Lemma 4.1. There exist C^2 functions $A : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $D : (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ that are independent solutions of the equation $L_+y = 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ and satisfy the following properties.

(i) The function A satisfies A(0) = 1, A'(0) = 0 and for a constant $\kappa_A \neq 0$

$$A(r) = \kappa_A r^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} e^r \left(1 + O(r^{-1}) \right) \quad on \ [1, \infty),$$

$$A'(r) = \kappa_A r^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} e^r \left(1 + O(r^{-1}) \right) \quad on \ [1, \infty).$$

(ii) The function D satisfies

$$D(r) = \begin{cases} (2-d)^{-1}r^{2-d} (1+O(r)) & \text{for } d \ge 3\\ \log r (1+O(r)) & \text{for } d = 2\\ r (1+O(r)) & \text{for } d = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$D'(r) = r^{-d+1}(1+O(r)) \quad \text{on } (0,1],$$

and

$$D(r) = -(2\kappa_A)^{-1} r^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} e^{-r} \left(1 + O(r^{-1})\right) \quad on \ [1,\infty),$$

$$D'(r) = (2\kappa_A)^{-1} r^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} e^{-r} \left(1 + O(r^{-1})\right) \quad on \ [1,\infty).$$

(iii) Moreover, for all r > 0,

$$\mathcal{W}(A,D) = AD' - A'D = r^{-d+1}$$

Proof. (i) We consider the equation $L_+A = 0$ with initial condition at r = 0, A(0) = 1 and A'(0) = 0. This problem rewrites under the following integral form

$$A(r) = 1 + \int_0^r s^{-(d-1)} \int_0^s \tau^{d-1} (A - pQ^{p-1}A)(\tau) d\tau, \quad r \ge 0.$$

This equation is solved locally around r = 0 by a usual fixed point method. For r > 0, the equation is not anymore singular and standard methods apply. In particular, the local solution extends globally for $r \ge 0$ since the equation is linear. By standard ODE techniques, if A converges to zero as $r \to \infty$, then it decays exponentially. This is ruled out by Lemma 2.1 of [5] which describes the spectrum of the operator $-\Delta + 1 - pQ^{p-1}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The exact behavior of A as $r \to \infty$ then follows from standard ODE techniques.

(ii)-(iii) We define D on $(0,\infty)$ by

$$D(r) = \begin{cases} -A(r) \int_r^\infty \left(s^{-d+1}/A(s) \right) ds & \text{for } r > 0 \text{ such that } A(r) \neq 0, \\ -r^{-d+1}/A'(r) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easily checked that D is a solution of $L_+y = 0$ on $(0, \infty)$ that also satisfies $\mathcal{W}(A, D)(1) = 1$. The asymptotic behavior of D and D' as $r \to \infty$ and as $r \to 0$ are direct consequences of the definition of D.

Next, we define the following norms

$$\mathcal{N}_{+}(f) = \|f/Q\|_{L^{\infty}(K)},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{-}(f) = \|Hf\|_{L^{\infty}(K)}, \quad H(r) = (1+r)^{d-1}Q(r).$$

For future use, we remark that since $r_K = b^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, by (1.2),

$$\mathcal{N}_{+}(f) \le \|1/(QH)\|_{L^{\infty}(K)} \mathcal{N}_{-}(f) \le Ce^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}} \mathcal{N}_{-}(f).$$
 (4.3)

Lemma 4.2. For any continuous function $f : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, let

$$[\mathcal{I}_{+}(f)](r) = -\left\{A(r)\int_{r}^{r_{K}} f(s)D(s)s^{d-1}ds + D(r)\int_{0}^{r} f(s)A(s)s^{d-1}ds\right\}.$$

Then, it holds

$$L_+(\mathcal{I}_+(f)) = f.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{N}_{+}(\mathcal{I}_{+}(f)) \le Cb^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{N}_{+}(f), \quad \mathcal{N}_{+}(\mathcal{I}_{+}(f)) \le C\mathcal{N}_{+}((1+r^{2})f),$$
(4.4)

$$\mathcal{N}_{+}([\mathcal{I}_{+}(f)]') \le Cb^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{N}_{+}(f), \quad \mathcal{N}_{+}([\mathcal{I}_{+}(f)]') \le C\mathcal{N}_{+}((1+r^{2})f),$$
(4.5)

Proof. The equation $L_+(\mathcal{I}_+(f)) = f$ is obtained by direct computations from the definition of $\mathcal{I}_+(f)$ and the properties of A and D: $L_+A = L_+D = 0$ and $AD' - A'D = r^{-d+1}$.

By the definition of $\mathcal{N}_+(f)$, (1.2), and the bounds on A and D from Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{A(r)}{Q(r)}\int_{r}^{r_{K}}f(s)D(s)s^{d-1}ds\right| &\leq C\mathcal{N}_{+}(f)\frac{|A(r)|}{Q(r)}\int_{r}^{r_{K}}Q(s)|D(s)|s^{d-1}ds\\ &\leq C\mathcal{N}_{+}(f)\mathrm{e}^{2r}\int_{r}^{r_{K}}\mathrm{e}^{-2s}ds \leq C\mathcal{N}_{+}(f), \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < r < r_K$. Similarly,

$$\left|\frac{D(r)}{Q(r)}\int_0^r f(s)A(s)s^{d-1}ds\right| \le C\mathcal{N}_+(f)\frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)}\int_0^r Q(s)|A(s)|s^{d-1}ds$$
$$\le Cr_K\mathcal{N}_+(f) \le Cb^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{N}_+(f),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{D(r)}{Q(r)} \int_0^r f(s) A(s) s^{d-1} ds \right| \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} \int_0^r \frac{Q(s)|A(s)|}{1+s^2} s^{d-1} ds \leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) ds \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} \int_0^r \frac{Q(s)|A(s)|}{1+s^2} s^{d-1} ds \leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) ds \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} \int_0^r \frac{Q(s)|A(s)|}{1+s^2} s^{d-1} ds \leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) ds \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} \int_0^r \frac{Q(s)|A(s)|}{1+s^2} s^{d-1} ds \leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) ds \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} \int_0^r \frac{Q(s)|A(s)|}{1+s^2} s^{d-1} ds \leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) ds \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} \int_0^r \frac{Q(s)|A(s)|}{1+s^2} s^{d-1} ds \leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) ds \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} \int_0^r \frac{Q(s)|A(s)|}{1+s^2} s^{d-1} ds \leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) ds \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} \int_0^r \frac{Q(s)|A(s)|}{1+s^2} s^{d-1} ds \leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) ds \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} \int_0^r \frac{Q(s)|A(s)|}{1+s^2} s^{d-1} ds \leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) ds \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} \int_0^r \frac{Q(s)|A(s)|}{1+s^2} s^{d-1} ds \leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} ds \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} \int_0^r \frac{Q(s)|A(s)|}{1+s^2} s^{d-1} ds \leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac{|D(r)|}{Q(r)} ds \\ &\leq C \mathcal{N}_+ ((1+r^2)f) \frac$$

for any $0 < r < r_K$. Those estimates prove (4.4). Next, we observe that

$$[\mathcal{I}_{+}(f)]'(r) = -\left\{A'(r)\int_{r}^{r_{K}} f(s)D(s)s^{d-1}ds + D'(r)\int_{0}^{r} f(s)A(s)s^{d-1}ds\right\}$$

and similar estimates yield (4.5). In particular, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| Q^{-1}(r)D'(r) \int_0^r f(s)A(s)s^{d-1}ds \right| &\leq C\mathcal{N}_+(f)\frac{|D'(r)|}{Q(r)} \int_0^r Q(s)|A(s)|s^{d-1}ds \\ &\leq Cr_K\mathcal{N}_+(f) \leq Cb^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{N}_+(f), \end{aligned}$$

where for $d \ge 2$, the stronger singularity of the function D'(r) at r = 0 is compensated by the multiplication by $\int_0^r Q(s)|A(s)|s^{d-1}ds \le Cr^d$.

Lemma 4.3. For any continuous function $f: [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, let

$$[\mathcal{I}_{-}(f)](r) = -Q(r) \int_{0}^{r} \left\{ \int_{0}^{s} f(\tau)Q(\tau)\tau^{d-1}d\tau \right\} \frac{ds}{Q^{2}(s)s^{d-1}}$$

$$lds$$

Then, it hold

$$L_{-}(\mathcal{I}_{-}(f)) = f.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{N}_{-}(\mathcal{I}_{-}(f)) \leq Cb^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{-}(f), \quad \mathcal{N}_{-}(\mathcal{I}_{-}(f)) \leq C \mathcal{N}_{-}((1+r^{2})f) \leq C \mathcal{N}_{+}(f), \quad (4.6)$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{-}([\mathcal{I}_{-}(f)]') \leq Cb^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{-}(f), \quad \mathcal{N}_{-}([\mathcal{I}_{-}(f)]') \leq C \mathcal{N}_{-}((1+r^{2})f) \leq C \mathcal{N}_{+}(f).$$
(4.7)

Proof. Note that the equation of Q rewrites $L_{-}Q = 0$. By the definition of $\mathcal{I}_{-}(f)$ and direct computation, we obtain the relation $L_{-}(\mathcal{I}_{-}(f)) = f$.

By the definition of $\mathcal{N}_{-}(f)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H(r)\mathcal{I}_{-}(f)| &\leq C\mathcal{N}_{-}(f)\mathrm{e}^{-2r} \int_{0}^{r} \left\{ \int_{0}^{s} \frac{Q(\tau)}{H(\tau)} \tau^{d-1} d\tau \right\} \mathrm{e}^{2s} \left(\frac{1+s}{s} \right)^{d-1} ds \\ &\leq C\mathcal{N}_{-}(f) \mathrm{e}^{-2r} \int_{0}^{r} \left\{ \int_{0}^{s} \left(\frac{\tau}{1+\tau} \right)^{d-1} d\tau \right\} \mathrm{e}^{2s} \left(\frac{1+s}{s} \right)^{d-1} ds \\ &\leq C\mathcal{N}_{-}(f) \mathrm{e}^{-2r} \int_{0}^{r} s \mathrm{e}^{2s} ds \leq Cb^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{-}(f), \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < r < r_K$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |H(r)\mathcal{I}_{-}(f)| \\ &\leq C\mathcal{N}_{-}((1+r^{2})f)\mathrm{e}^{-2r}\int_{0}^{r}\left\{\int_{0}^{s}\frac{Q(\tau)}{H(\tau)}\frac{\tau^{d-1}}{1+\tau^{2}}d\tau\right\}\mathrm{e}^{2s}\left(\frac{1+s}{s}\right)^{d-1}ds \\ &\leq C\mathcal{N}_{-}((1+r^{2})f)\mathrm{e}^{-2r}\int_{0}^{r}\mathrm{e}^{2s}ds \leq C\mathcal{N}_{-}((1+r^{2})f) \leq C\mathcal{N}_{+}(f), \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < r < r_K$, which proves (4.6).

Next, we have

$$\begin{split} [\mathcal{I}_{-}(f)]'(r) &= -Q'(r) \int_{0}^{r} \left\{ \int_{0}^{s} f(\tau)Q(\tau)\tau^{d-1}d\tau \right\} \frac{ds}{Q^{2}(s)s^{d-1}} \\ &- \frac{1}{Q(r)r^{d-1}} \int_{0}^{r} f(\tau)Q(\tau)\tau^{d-1}d\tau, \end{split}$$

where the first term on the right-hand side is estimated exactly as $\mathcal{I}_{-}(f)$. For the second term, we proceed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{H(r)}{Q(r)r^{d-1}} \int_0^r f(\tau)Q(\tau)\tau^{d-1}d\tau \right| &\leq C\mathcal{N}_-(f)\left(\frac{1+r}{r}\right)^{d-1} \int_0^r \frac{Q(\tau)}{H(\tau)}\tau^{d-1}d\tau \\ &\leq Cb^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{N}_-(f), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\frac{H(r)}{Q(r)r^{d-1}} \int_0^r f(\tau)Q(\tau)\tau^{d-1}d\tau \bigg| \le C\mathcal{N}_-((1+r^2)f),$$

 r_K , which implies (4.7).

for any $0 < r < r_K$, which implies (4.7).

Lemma 4.4. There exists a C^2 solution $B : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ of the equation $L_-y = -Q$ on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying the following properties: B(0) = B'(0) = 0 and

$$B(r) = \kappa_B r^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} e^r \left(1 + O(r^{-1}) \right) \quad on \ [1, \infty),$$

$$B'(r) = \kappa_B r^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} e^r \left(1 + O(r^{-1}) \right) \quad on \ [1, \infty),$$

where

$$\kappa_B = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_0^\infty Q^2(r) r^{d-1} dr = \frac{N_c}{2\kappa} > 0.$$
 (4.8)

Proof. We define

$$B(r) = -[\mathcal{I}_{-}(Q)](r) = Q(r) \int_{0}^{r} \left\{ \int_{0}^{s} Q^{2}(\tau) \tau^{d-1} d\tau \right\} \frac{ds}{Q^{2}(s)s^{d-1}}$$

so that

$$B'(r) = Q'(r) \int_0^r \left\{ \int_0^s Q^2(\tau) \tau^{d-1} d\tau \right\} \frac{ds}{Q^2(s)s^{d-1}} \\ + \frac{1}{Q(r)r^{d-1}} \int_0^r Q^2(s)s^{d-1} ds.$$

From Lemma 4.2, we have $L_B = -Q$. The values B(0) = 0 and B'(0) = 0 follow from the expressions of B and B'.

Next, we have the bound

$$\int_0^r \left\{ \int_0^s Q^2(\tau) \tau^{d-1} d\tau \right\} \frac{ds}{Q^2(s)s^{d-1}} \le C \int_0^r e^{2s} ds \le C e^{2r},$$

which implies $|B(r)| + |B'(r)| \le Cr^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}e^r$. Note that

$$\left| \int_0^r \left\{ \int_s^\infty Q^2(\tau) \tau^{d-1} d\tau \right\} \frac{ds}{Q^2(s)s^{d-1}} \right| \le C(r+1),$$

and thus using the definition of κ_B in (4.8),

$$\left| B(r) - 2\kappa\kappa_B Q \int_0^r \frac{ds}{Q^2(s)s^{d-1}} \right| \le C(r+1)Q(r).$$

Moreover, by (1.2)

$$\int_0^r \left| \frac{1}{Q^2(s)s^{d-1}} - \kappa^{-2} e^{2s} \right| ds \le C \int_0^r (1+s)^{-1} e^{2s} ds \le C(1+r)^{-1} e^{2r}.$$

The estimate

$$\left| B(r) - \kappa_B r^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^r \right| \le C r^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^r,$$

and a similar estimate for B' then follow using (1.2) again.

4.2. Construction of a family of solutions on K. We construct a family of solutions of (1.8) on K close to the ground state solitary wave Q.

Proposition 4.1. For $\sigma > 0$ small enough and for any b, γ satisfying (1.10) and (1.14), there exists a solution $P_{\text{int}} = P_{\text{int}}[\sigma, b, \gamma]$ of (1.8) on K satisfying

$$\Re(P_{\rm int}(r_K)) = \kappa b^{\frac{d-1}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{3}})) + \kappa_A \gamma b^{\frac{d-1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right), \tag{4.9}$$

$$\Re(P'_{\rm int}(r_K)) = -\kappa b^{\frac{d-1}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{3}})) + \kappa_A \gamma b^{\frac{d-1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right), \quad (4.10)$$

$$\Im(P_{\rm int}(r_K)) = \kappa_B \sigma b^{1+\frac{d-1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})), \tag{4.11}$$

$$\Im(P'_{\rm int}(r_K)) = \kappa_B \sigma b^{1 + \frac{d-1}{4}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})), \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\|P_{\rm int} - Q\|_{\dot{H}^1(K)} \le Cb^{\frac{1}{12}}.$$
(4.13)

Moreover, the map $(\sigma, b, \gamma) \mapsto (P_{\text{int}}[\sigma, b, \gamma](r_K), P_{\text{int}}[\sigma, b, \gamma]'(r_K))$ is continuous.

Remark 4.1. To prove Proposition 4.1, we directly work on the equation (1.8). The function B introduced in Lemma 4.4 appears naturally in the imaginary part of the constructed solution P_{int} to absorb at the main order the term $-ib\sigma Q$ present in the equation after the linearization P = Q + small (see the exact ansatz in (4.14)). Note that the special behavior of the imaginary part of P_{ext} described in (3.9)-(3.10) will exactly coincide with the exponential growth of B and prescribe the free parameter σ .

Concerning real parts, we observe that the special behavior of the real part of P_{ext} in (3.7)-(3.8) will correspond at the main order to the ground state Q itself and will prescribe the choice of the small parameter ρ . Moreover, even if the function A introduced in Lemma 4.1 has an exponentially growing behavior, it is essential to introduce it in the construction, in relation with the additional parameter γ to have enough free parameters for the matching. The growth of the function A will be compensated by the smallness of γ .

Proof. We look for a solution P of (1.8) on the interval K of the form

$$P = (Q + \gamma A + \phi_{+}) + i(b\sigma B + \phi_{-}), \qquad (4.14)$$

where ϕ_+ , ϕ_- are small (in some sense) continuous real-valued functions on K to be determined by a fixed point argument.

The equation (1.8) for P rewrites

$$\begin{split} 0 &= Q'' + \frac{d-1}{r}Q' + \left(\frac{b^2r^2}{4} - 1 - \mathrm{i}b\sigma\right)Q + Q^p \\ &+ \gamma \left\{A'' + \frac{d-1}{r}A' + \left(\frac{b^2r^2}{4} - 1 - \mathrm{i}b\sigma\right)A + pQ^{p-1}A\right\} \\ &+ \phi_+'' + \frac{d-1}{r}\phi_+' + \left(\frac{b^2r^2}{4} - 1 - \mathrm{i}b\sigma\right)\phi_+ + pQ^{p-1}\phi_+ \\ &+ \mathrm{i}b\sigma \left\{B'' + \frac{d-1}{r}B' + \left(\frac{b^2r^2}{4} - 1 - \mathrm{i}b\sigma\right)B + Q^{p-1}B\right\} \\ &+ \mathrm{i}\left\{\phi_-'' + \frac{d-1}{r}\phi_-' + \left(\frac{b^2r^2}{4} - 1 - \mathrm{i}b\sigma\right)\phi_- + Q^{p-1}\phi_-\right\} \\ &+ N_+ + \mathrm{i}N_-, \end{split}$$

where N_+ and N_- are the real and imaginary parts of the nonlinear error term

$$N_{+} + \mathrm{i} N_{-} = |P|^{p-1}P - \left\{Q^{p} + pQ^{p-1}(\gamma A + \phi_{+}) + \mathrm{i}Q^{p-1}(b\sigma B + \phi_{-})\right\}$$

Using the equation of Q and $L_+A = 0$, $L_-B = -Q$, we deduce that P satisfies (1.8) if and only if

$$0 = \frac{b^2 r^2}{4} Q + \left(\frac{b^2 r^2}{4} - ib\sigma\right) (\gamma A + \phi_+) - L_+ \phi_+ + i \left\{ \left(\frac{b^2 r^2}{4} - ib\sigma\right) (b\sigma B + \phi_-) - L_- \phi_- \right\} + N_+ + i N_-.$$

Therefore, setting

$$F_{+}(\phi_{+},\phi_{-}) = \frac{b^{2}r^{2}}{4}(Q + \gamma A + \phi_{+}) + b\sigma(b\sigma B + \phi_{-}) + N_{+},$$

$$F_{-}(\phi_{+},\phi_{-}) = -b\sigma(\gamma A + \phi_{+}) + \frac{b^{2}r^{2}}{4}(b\sigma B + \phi_{-}) + N_{-},$$

we are reduced to solve the system

$$\begin{cases} L_+\phi_+ = F_+(\phi_+,\phi_-) \\ L_-\phi_- = F_-(\phi_+,\phi_-). \end{cases}$$

We work in the following complete metric space

 $E_K = \left\{ (\phi_+, \phi_-) : K \to \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ is continuous and satisfies } \| (\phi_+, \phi_-) \|_K \le 1 \right\}$

equipped with the distance associated to the norm

$$\|(\phi_+,\phi_-)\|_K = \max\left\{b^{-\frac{1}{3}}\mathcal{N}_+(\phi_+); b^{-\frac{5}{4}}\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{N}_-(\phi_-)\right\}.$$

We look for a fixed point to the application

$$\Gamma_K: (\phi_+, \phi_-) \in E_K \mapsto (\mathcal{I}_+(F_+(\phi_+, \phi_-)), \mathcal{I}_-(F_-(\phi_+, \phi_-)))$$

By the Banach Fixed-Point Theorem, we only have to show that Γ_K maps E_K to itself and is a contraction on E_K for the norm $\|\cdot\|_K$.

Let $(\phi_+, \phi_-), (\tilde{\phi}_+, \tilde{\phi}_-) \in E_K$. From (1.2), (1.12), (1.14), we observe the following estimates concerning the terms in the definition of P in (4.14), for b small, on K,

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma A| &\leq C b^{\frac{1}{6}} e^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}} (1+r)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} e^{r} \leq C b^{\frac{1}{6}} Q \ll Q, \\ |\phi_{+}| + |\tilde{\phi}_{+}| &\leq C b^{\frac{1}{3}} Q \ll Q, \\ b|\sigma B| + |\phi_{-}| + |\tilde{\phi}_{-}| &\leq C b \sigma (1+r)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} e^{r} \leq C e^{-\frac{\pi}{b} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}} Q \ll Q. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.15)$$

Now, we estimate the terms in $\Gamma_K(\phi_+, \phi_-)$. First, by (4.4), $r_K = b^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and next (4.15), one has

$$\mathcal{N}_{+} \left(\mathcal{I}_{+} \left(\frac{b^{2}r^{2}}{4} (Q + \gamma A + \phi_{+}) \right) \right) \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathcal{N}_{+}(Q) + |\gamma| \mathcal{N}_{+}(A) + \mathcal{N}_{+}(\phi_{+}) \right) \\ \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + b^{\frac{1}{6}} + b^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Using also (4.3), for b small enough, one sees that

$$\mathcal{N}_{+} \left(\mathcal{I}_{+} \left(b\sigma(b\sigma B + \phi_{-}) \right) \right) \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma \left(b\sigma\mathcal{N}_{+}(B) + \mathcal{N}_{+}(\phi_{-}) \right)$$
$$\leq Cb^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma \left(e^{-\frac{\pi}{b} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}} + e^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}}\mathcal{N}_{-}(\phi_{-}) \right) \leq Ce^{-\frac{\pi}{b}}.$$

Second, by (4.6) and (4.15),

$$\mathcal{N}_{-}\left(\mathcal{I}_{-}\left(b\sigma(\gamma A+\phi_{+})\right)\right) \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma|\gamma|\mathcal{N}_{-}(A)+Cb\sigma\mathcal{N}_{+}(\phi_{+})\leq Cb^{\frac{4}{3}}\sigma$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_{-}\left(\mathcal{I}_{-}\left(\frac{b^2r^2}{4}(b\sigma B+\phi_{-})\right)\right) \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(b\sigma \mathcal{N}_{-}(B)+\mathcal{N}_{-}(\phi_{-})\right) \leq Cb^{\frac{3}{2}}\sigma.$$

Third, to treat the terms N_+ and N_- in the definition of F_+ and F_- , we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. If $(\phi_+, \phi_-) \in E_K$ then

$$|N_{+}| \leq C \left(|\gamma A| + |\phi_{+}|\right) \left(|\gamma A| + |\phi_{+}| + |b\sigma B| + |\phi_{-}|\right) Q^{p-2}, |N_{-}| \leq C \left(|b\sigma B| + |\phi_{-}|\right) \left(|\gamma A| + |\phi_{+}| + |b\sigma B| + |\phi_{-}|\right) Q^{p-2}.$$
(4.16)

Moreover, if $(\tilde{\phi}_+, \tilde{\phi}_-) \in E_K$ and \tilde{N}_{\pm} are defined by

$$\begin{split} \tilde{P} &= (Q + \gamma A + \tilde{\phi}_+) + \mathrm{i}(b\sigma B + \tilde{\phi}_-), \\ \tilde{N}_+ + \mathrm{i}\,\tilde{N}_- &= |\tilde{P}|^{p-1}\tilde{P} - \left\{Q^p + pQ^{p-1}(\gamma A + \tilde{\phi}_+) + \mathrm{i}Q^{p-1}(b\sigma B + \tilde{\phi}_-)\right\}, \end{split}$$

then

$$\begin{split} |N_{+} - \tilde{N}_{+}| &\leq C |\phi_{+} - \tilde{\phi}_{+}| \left(|\gamma A| + |b\sigma B| + |\phi_{+}| + |\phi_{-}| + |\tilde{\phi}_{+}| + |\tilde{\phi}_{-}| \right) Q^{p-2} \\ &+ C |\phi_{-} - \tilde{\phi}_{-}| \left(|\gamma A| + |b\sigma B| + |\phi_{+}| + |\phi_{-}| + |\tilde{\phi}_{+}| + |\tilde{\phi}_{-}| \right) Q^{p-2}, \\ |N_{-} - \tilde{N}_{-}| &\leq C |\phi_{+} - \tilde{\phi}_{+}| \left(|b\sigma B| + |\phi_{-}| + |\tilde{\phi}_{-}| \right) Q^{p-2} \\ &+ C |\phi_{-} - \tilde{\phi}_{-}| \left(|\gamma A| + |b\sigma B| + |\phi_{+}| + |\phi_{-}| + |\tilde{\phi}_{+}| + |\tilde{\phi}_{-}| \right) Q^{p-2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.17)$$

Proof. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| \ll Q$, a Taylor expansion yields

$$|Q+z|^{p-1}(Q+z) = Q^p + pQ^{p-1}(\Re z) + iQ^{p-1}(\Im z) + (\Re z)O_{\mathbb{R}}(|z|Q^{p-2}) + i(\Im z)O_{\mathbb{R}}(|z|Q^{p-2}).$$
(4.18)

(The notation $g = O_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$ means that g is real-valued and $|g| \leq Cf$). Applying those estimates to $z = \gamma A + \phi_+ + i(b\sigma B + \phi_-)$ (note that $|z| \ll Q$ by (4.15)), we obtain (4.16).

Let Z be a complex-valued function close to Q such that $C_1Q \leq |Z| \leq C_2Q$ on K for $C_1, C_2 > 0$, and let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $|z| \ll Q$ on K. Using (4.18) with Q

replaced by |Z| and z replaced by $z\frac{\bar{Z}}{|Z|}$, we compute

$$|Z + z|^{p-1}(Z + z) = \frac{Z}{|Z|} \left| |Z| + z \frac{\bar{Z}}{|Z|} \right|^{p-1} \left(|Z| + z \frac{\bar{Z}}{|Z|} \right)$$

= $|Z|^{p-1}Z + p\Re(z\bar{Z})Z|Z|^{p-3} + i\Im(z\bar{Z})Z|Z|^{p-3}$
+ $\Re(z\bar{Z})ZO_{\mathbb{R}}(|z||Z|^{p-4}) + i\Im(z\bar{Z})ZO_{\mathbb{R}}(|z||Z|^{p-4}).$

Define

$$\begin{split} & \triangle = |Z+z|^{p-1}(Z+z) - \left\{ |Z|^{p-1}Z + p(\Re z)Q^{p-1} + \mathrm{i}(\Im z)Q^{p-1} \right\} \\ & = p \left\{ \Re(z\bar{Z})Z|Z|^{p-3} - \Re(z)Q^{p-1} \right\} + \mathrm{i} \left\{ \Im(z\bar{Z})Z|Z|^{p-3} - \Im(z)Q^{p-1} \right\} \\ & + \Re(z\bar{Z})Z O_{\mathbb{R}}(|z||Z|^{p-4}) + \mathrm{i}\Im(z\bar{Z})Z O_{\mathbb{R}}(|z||Z|^{p-4}) \\ & = \triangle_1 + \triangle_2 + \triangle_3 + \triangle_4. \end{split}$$

By direct computations, we observe

$$\begin{aligned} &\Re(z\bar{Z})Z = (\Re z)|\Re Z|^2 + (\Im z)(\Re Z)(\Im Z) + i\left\{(\Re z)(\Re Z)(\Im Z) + (\Im z)|\Im Z|^2\right\},\\ &\Im(z\bar{Z})Z = (\Im z)|\Re Z|^2 - (\Re z)(\Re Z)(\Im Z) + i\left\{(\Im z)(\Re Z)(\Im Z) - (\Re z)|\Im Z|^2\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$p^{-1} \triangle_1 = (\Re z) \left\{ |\Re Z|^2 |Z|^{p-3} - Q^{p-1} \right\} + (\Im z) (\Re Z) (\Im Z) |Z|^{p-3} + i \left\{ (\Re z) (\Re Z) (\Im Z) + (\Im z) |\Im Z|^2 \right\} |Z|^{p-3}.$$

Using $|Z| \leq CQ$, $\Im Z = O_{\mathbb{R}}(|Z-Q|)$ and $|\Re Z|^2 |Z|^{p-3} - Q^{p-1} = O_{\mathbb{R}}(|Z-Q|Q^{p-2})$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Re \triangle_1| &\leq C|z| |Z - Q| Q^{p-2}, \\ |\Im \triangle_1| &\leq C|\Re z| |\Im Z| Q^{p-2} + C|\Im z| |\Im Z|^2 Q^{p-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\Re \triangle_2| &\leq C|z||Z - Q|Q^{p-2}, \\ |\Im \triangle_2| &\leq C|\Im z||Z - Q|Q^{p-2} + C|\Re z||\Im Z|Q^{p-2} \end{aligned}$$

We estimate \triangle_3 and \triangle_4 as follows

$$\begin{split} |\Re \triangle_3| &\leq C |z|^2 Q^{p-2}, \quad |\Im \triangle_3| \leq C |z|^2 |\Im Z| Q^{p-3}, \\ |\Re \triangle_4| &\leq C |z|^2 Q^{p-2}, \quad |\Im \triangle_4| \leq C |z| |\Im z| Q^{p-2} + C |z|^2 |\Im Z| Q^{p-3}. \end{split}$$

Combining those estimates, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |\Re \triangle| &\leq C|z||Z - Q|Q^{p-2} + C|z|^2 Q^{p-2}, \\ |\Im \triangle| &\leq C|\Im z||Z - Q|Q^{p-2} + C|z||\Im Z|Q^{p-2} + C|\Im z||z|Q^{p-2}. \end{aligned}$$
(4.19)

We observe that $(N_+ + iN_-) - (\tilde{N}_+ + i\tilde{N}_-)$ is equal to \triangle with the following choices

$$Z = \tilde{P} = (Q + \gamma A + \tilde{\phi}_{+}) + i(b\sigma B + \tilde{\phi}_{-}),$$

$$z = P - \tilde{P} = (\phi_{+} - \tilde{\phi}_{+}) + i(\phi_{-} - \tilde{\phi}_{-}).$$

Estimates (4.17) thus follow from (4.19).

Observe from (4.15) that

 $\|\gamma A\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|b\sigma B\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|\phi_{-}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \|\tilde{\phi}_{-}\|_{L^{\infty}} \le C e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}}, \quad |\phi_{+}| + |\tilde{\phi}_{+}| \le C b^{\frac{1}{3}} Q.$ (4.20) Set $\bar{p} = \min(p, 2) > 1$. It follows from (4.16), (4.15) and then (4.20) that

$$\begin{split} |N_{+}| &\leq C \left(|\gamma A| + |\phi_{+}| \right) \left(|\gamma A| + |b\sigma B| + |\phi_{-}| \right) Q^{p-2} + C |\phi_{+}|^{2} Q^{p-2} \\ &\leq C \left(|\gamma A| + |\phi_{+}| \right) \left(|\gamma A| + |b\sigma B| + |\phi_{-}| \right)^{\bar{p}-1} + C |\phi_{+}|^{2} Q^{p-2} \\ &\leq C e^{-\frac{\bar{p}-1}{\sqrt{b}}} \left(|\gamma A| + |\phi_{+}| \right) + C b^{\frac{1}{3}} |\phi_{+}| Q^{p-1}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, using (4.4) and (4.20), it holds, for b small enough,

$$\mathcal{N}_{+}(\mathcal{I}_{+}(N_{+})) \leq Cb^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{p-1}{\sqrt{b}}} \left(\mathcal{N}_{+}(\gamma A) + \mathcal{N}_{+}(\phi_{+}) \right) + Cb^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathcal{N}_{+}((1+r^{2})Q^{p-1}\phi_{+})$$
$$\leq C\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\bar{p}-1}{2\sqrt{b}}} + Cb^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathcal{N}_{+}(\phi_{+}) \leq Cb^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Again, it follows from (4.16), (4.15) and then (4.20) that

$$|N_{-}| \leq C \left(|b\sigma B| + |\phi_{-}| \right) \left(\left(|\gamma A| + |b\sigma B| + |\phi_{-}| \right)^{\bar{p}-1} + |\phi_{+}|Q^{p-2} \right)$$
$$\leq C \left(|b\sigma B| + |\phi_{-}| \right) \left(e^{-\frac{\bar{p}-1}{\sqrt{b}}} + b^{\frac{1}{3}}Q^{p-1} \right).$$

Therefore, using (4.6) and (4.15), it holds

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}_{-}(\mathcal{I}_{-}(N_{-})) &\leq Cb^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\bar{p}-1}{\sqrt{b}}} \left(b\sigma \mathcal{N}_{-}(B) + \mathcal{N}_{-}(\phi_{-}) \right) \\ &+ b^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(b\sigma \mathcal{N}_{-}((1+r^{2})Q^{p-1}B) + \mathcal{N}_{-}((1+r^{2})Q^{p-1}\phi_{-}) \right) \\ &\leq b^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(b\sigma \mathcal{N}_{-}(B) + \mathcal{N}_{-}(\phi_{-}) \right) \leq Cb^{\frac{4}{3}}\sigma. \end{split}$$

Gathering all the previous estimates, we have proved that

$$\|\Gamma_K(\phi_+,\phi_-)\|_K = \|(\mathcal{I}_+(F_+(\phi_+,\phi_-)),\mathcal{I}_-(F_-(\phi_+,\phi_-)))\|_K \le Cb^{\frac{1}{12}}.$$
 (4.21)

In particular, for b small enough, Γ_K maps E_K to itself.

We turn to the estimate of $\Gamma_K(\phi_+, \phi_-) - \Gamma_K(\tilde{\phi}_+, \tilde{\phi}_-)$. First, we see that

$$F_{+}(\phi_{+},\phi_{-}) - F_{+}(\tilde{\phi}_{+},\tilde{\phi}_{-}) = \frac{b^{2}r^{2}}{4}(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+}) + b\sigma(\phi_{-}-\tilde{\phi}_{-}) + (N_{+}-\tilde{N}_{+})$$

It follows from the previous arguments (using (4.3) and (4.4)) that

$$\mathcal{N}_{+}\left(\mathcal{I}_{+}\left(\frac{b^{2}r^{2}}{4}(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+})\right)\right) \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{N}_{+}(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+}),$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{+}\left(\mathcal{I}_{+}\left(b\sigma(\phi_{-}-\tilde{\phi}_{-})\right)\right) \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma e^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}}\mathcal{N}_{-}(\phi_{-}-\tilde{\phi}_{-})$$

Next, from (4.17) and (4.20), it follows that

$$|N_{+} - \tilde{N}_{+}| \leq C \left(e^{-\frac{\tilde{p}-1}{\sqrt{b}}} + |\phi_{+}|Q^{p-2} + |\tilde{\phi}_{+}|Q^{p-2} \right) \left(|\phi_{+} - \tilde{\phi}_{+}| + |\phi_{-} - \tilde{\phi}_{-}| \right)$$
$$\leq C \left(e^{-\frac{\tilde{p}-1}{\sqrt{b}}} + b^{\frac{1}{3}}Q^{p-1} \right) \left(|\phi_{+} - \tilde{\phi}_{+}| + |\phi_{-} - \tilde{\phi}_{-}| \right).$$

Thus, proceeding as before, using (4.4) and then (4.3), one obtains

$$\mathcal{N}_{+}(\mathcal{I}_{+}(N_{+}-\tilde{N}_{+})) \leq C\left(b^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\tilde{p}-1}{\sqrt{b}}} + b^{\frac{1}{3}}\right) \left(\mathcal{N}_{+}(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+}) + \mathcal{N}_{+}(\phi_{-}-\tilde{\phi}_{-})\right)$$
$$\leq Cb^{\frac{1}{3}}\left(\mathcal{N}_{+}(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+}) + \mathrm{e}^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}}\mathcal{N}_{-}(\phi_{-}-\tilde{\phi}_{-})\right).$$

In particular,

$$b^{-\frac{1}{3}}\mathcal{N}_{+}(\mathcal{I}_{+}(N_{+}-\tilde{N}_{+})) \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{3}} \|(\phi_{+},\phi_{-})-(\tilde{\phi}_{+},\tilde{\phi}_{-})\|_{K}.$$

Last, we observe that

$$F_{-}(\phi_{+},\phi_{-}) - F_{-}(\tilde{\phi}_{+},\tilde{\phi}_{-}) = -b\sigma(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+}) + \frac{b^{2}r^{2}}{4}(\phi_{-}-\tilde{\phi}_{-}) + N_{-}-\tilde{N}_{-}.$$

The estimates

$$\mathcal{N}_{-}\left(\mathcal{I}_{-}\left(b\sigma(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+})\right) \leq Cb\sigma\mathcal{N}_{+}(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+}),\right.$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{-}\left(\mathcal{I}_{-}\left(\frac{b^{2}r^{2}}{4}(\phi_{-}-\tilde{\phi}_{-})\right)\right) \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{N}_{-}(\phi_{-}-\tilde{\phi}_{-})$$

follow from (4.6) and previous arguments. Next, from (4.17), (4.15) and (4.20), it follows that

$$|N_{-} - \tilde{N}_{-}| \le C |\phi_{+} - \tilde{\phi}_{+}| \left(b\sigma H^{-1}Q^{p-2} \right) + C |\phi_{-} - \tilde{\phi}_{-}| \left(e^{-\frac{\bar{p}-1}{\sqrt{b}}} + b^{\frac{1}{3}}Q^{p-1} \right).$$

Note that (4.6) and the definitions of \mathcal{N}_+ and \mathcal{N}_- imply

$$\mathcal{N}_{-}(\mathcal{I}_{-}(H^{-1}Q^{p-2}(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+})) \leq C\mathcal{N}_{-}((1+r^{2})H^{-1}Q^{p-2}(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+}))$$
$$\leq C\mathcal{N}_{+}((1+r^{2})Q^{p-1}(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+})) \leq C\mathcal{N}_{+}(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+}).$$

Using (4.6), proceeding as before,

$$\mathcal{N}_{-}\left(\left(e^{-\frac{\bar{p}-1}{\sqrt{b}}}+b^{\frac{1}{3}}Q^{p-1}\right)(\phi_{-}-\tilde{\phi}_{-})\right) \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{3}}\mathcal{N}_{-}(\phi_{-}-\tilde{\phi}_{-}).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_{-}(\mathcal{I}_{-}(N_{-}-\tilde{N}_{-})) \leq Cb\sigma\mathcal{N}_{+}(\phi_{+}-\tilde{\phi}_{+}) + Cb^{\frac{1}{3}}\mathcal{N}_{-}(\phi_{-}-\tilde{\phi}_{-}).$$

In particular,

$$b^{-\frac{5}{4}}\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{N}_{-}(\mathcal{I}_{-}(N_{-}-\tilde{N}_{-})) \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{12}} \|(\phi_{+},\phi_{-})-(\tilde{\phi}_{+},\tilde{\phi}_{-})\|_{K}.$$

Gathering the previous estimates, we have proved

$$\|\Gamma_{K}(\phi_{+},\phi_{-}) - \Gamma_{K}(\tilde{\phi}_{+},\tilde{\phi}_{-})\|_{K} \le Cb^{\frac{1}{12}} \|(\phi_{+},\phi_{-}) - (\tilde{\phi}_{+},\tilde{\phi}_{-})\|_{K},$$

which implies that the map $\Gamma_K : E_K \to E_K$ is a contraction for the norm $\|\cdot\|_K$ provided that *b* is small enough. The Banach Fixed-Point Theorem shows the existence of a unique fixed point (ϕ_+, ϕ_-) of Γ_K in E_K .

Note that

$$\phi'_{+} = [\mathcal{I}_{+}(F_{+}(\phi_{+},\phi_{-}))]', \quad \phi'_{-} = [\mathcal{I}_{-}(F_{-}(\phi_{+},\phi_{-})))]'$$

Since (4.5) and (4.7) are the same estimates for $[\mathcal{I}_+(f)]'$ and $[\mathcal{I}_-(f)]'$ as (4.4) and (4.6) for $\mathcal{I}_+(f)$ and $\mathcal{I}_-(f)$, the bound $\|(\phi'_+, \phi'_-)\|_K \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{12}} \leq 1$ follows readily from the proof of (4.21).

By (4.14), we obtain a solution P of (1.8) on K, whose estimates (4.9)-(4.12) at $r = r_K$ follow directly from the asymptotic behaviors of Q, A and B as $r \to \infty$ as described in (1.2), Lemmas 4.1 and 4.4, and the fact that $\|(\phi_+, \phi_-)\|_K \leq 1$ and $\|(\phi'_+, \phi'_-)\|_K \leq 1$. Note that these estimates, the definitions of \mathcal{N}_+ , \mathcal{N}_- and the definition of P in (4.14) also show that $\|P - Q\|_{\dot{H}^1(K)} \leq Cb^{\frac{1}{12}}$.

The continuity statement follows from usual arguments.

5. Matching

The goal of this final section is to prove the following general existence result, obtained by matching at the point $r_K = b^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ the solution P_{ext} constructed in Proposition 3.1 and the solution P_{int} constructed in Proposition 4.1. In Remark 4.1, we have already pointed out the main analogies between the behaviors of $P_{\text{ext}}(r_K)$ (3.7)-(3.10) and of $P_{\text{int}}(r_K)$, (4.9)-(4.12).

Theorem 2. Let $d \geq 1$ and $p_* < \overline{p}$. There exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that for any $\sigma \in (0, \sigma_0)$ and $p \in [p_*, \overline{p}]$, there exist b, ρ, γ and θ satisfying (1.10), (1.13), (1.14) and (1.15), such that the solutions $P_{\text{ext}}[\sigma, b, \rho]$ of (1.8) on $I \cup J$ given by Proposition 3.1 and $P_{\text{int}}[\sigma, b, \gamma]$ of (1.8) on K given by Proposition 4.1 satisfy the following matching conditions:

$$P_{\text{int}}(r_K) = e^{i\theta} P_{\text{ext}}(r_K)$$
 and $P'_{\text{int}}(r_K) = e^{i\theta} P'_{\text{ext}}(r_K)$.

In particular, the function P defined on $[0,\infty)$ by

$$P(r) = \begin{cases} e^{i\theta} P_{\text{ext}}(r) & \text{for } r \in I \cup J, \\ P_{\text{int}}(r) & \text{for } r \in K, \end{cases}$$

is a \mathcal{C}^2 solution of (1.8) on $[0,\infty)$ satisfying the asymptotics: for r large,

$$|P(r)| = |\rho|r^{-\frac{d}{2}+\sigma}(1+O(b^{-3}r^{-2})),$$
(5.1)

$$P'(r) - i\frac{br}{2}P(r) = O(\rho b^{-1}r^{-\frac{d}{2}-1+\sigma}).$$
(5.2)

Proof. Let θ satisfy (1.15). Matching (3.7)-(3.10) with (4.9)-(4.12), removing some multiplicative factors, using $\cos \theta = 1 + O(\theta_{\sigma}^2)$ and $\sin \theta = \theta + O(\theta_{\sigma}^3)$, we obtain the following four equivalent conditions:

$$\frac{\rho b^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) = \kappa (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{3}})) + \kappa_A \gamma \exp\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}\right), \tag{5.3}$$

$$\frac{\rho b^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})) = \kappa (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{3}})) - \kappa_A \gamma \exp\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}\right), \tag{5.4}$$

$$\frac{\rho}{2\sqrt{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b}\right)\left(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})\right) + \frac{\theta\rho}{\sqrt{2}}\exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}\right)\left(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})\right)$$
$$=\kappa_B\sigma b^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})),\tag{5.5}$$

$$\frac{\rho}{2\sqrt{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b}\right)\left(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})\right) - \frac{\theta\rho}{\sqrt{2}}\exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2b}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}\right)\left(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})\right)$$
$$= \kappa_B\sigma b^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})), \tag{5.6}$$

where the notation $O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})$ (and similarly $O(b^{\frac{1}{3}})$) stands for different real-valued continuous functions of the parameters b, ρ, γ and θ , that are bounded by $Cb^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Recall from Lemmas 4.1 and 4.4 that $\kappa_A \neq 0$ and $\kappa_B \neq 0$.

We observe that (5.3)-(5.4) are equivalent to

$$\rho = \sqrt{2\kappa}b^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2b}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})), \tag{5.7}$$

$$\gamma = O\left(b^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}\right)\right). \tag{5.8}$$

Inserted in (5.5)-(5.6), we also obtain, using notation from (4.8) and (1.11),

$$\sigma = \frac{\kappa^2}{N_c} b^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{b}\right) (1 + O(b^{\frac{1}{4}})),$$
(5.9)

$$\theta = O\left(b^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{b}\right) \exp\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{b}}\right)\right).$$
(5.10)

Define

$$\tilde{b} = \frac{b - b_{\sigma}}{b_{\sigma}^{\frac{13}{6}}}.$$

From the definition of b_{σ} in (1.11) and (5.9), we obtain (see also (1.12))

$$\exp\left(\frac{\pi}{b} - \frac{\pi}{b_{\sigma}}\right) - 1 \bigg| \le Cb_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad \text{and so} \quad |\tilde{b}| \le Cb_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{12}}.$$

Define also

$$\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\rho - \rho_{\sigma}}{\rho_{\sigma}}, \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{\sigma}}, \quad \tilde{\theta} = \frac{\theta}{\theta_{\sigma}}.$$

The estimates (5.7), (5.8) and (5.10) imply respectively

$$|\tilde{\rho}| \le Cb_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad |\tilde{\gamma}| \le Cb_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{12}}, \quad |\tilde{\theta}| \le Cb_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{12}}.$$

Therefore, the matching relations (5.3)-(5.6) rewrite equivalently as

$$(\tilde{b}, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\theta}) = \Phi(\tilde{b}, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\theta}),$$
(5.11)

where the function $\Phi: [-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ is continuous and satisfies the bound

$$\left| \Phi(\tilde{b}, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\theta}) \right| \le C b_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{12}} \quad \text{for all } (\tilde{b}, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\theta}) \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right]^4$$

In particular, for σ small enough, $\Phi\left(\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^4\right) \subset \left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^4$. By the Brouwer Fixed-Point Theorem, there exists at least a fixed-point of the function Φ in $\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]^4$. This fixed-point $(\tilde{b},\tilde{\rho},\tilde{\gamma},\tilde{\theta})$ satisfies (5.11) and the estimate

$$|\tilde{b}| + |\tilde{\rho}| + |\tilde{\gamma}| + |\tilde{\theta}| \le C b_{\sigma}^{\frac{1}{12}}.$$

The corresponding values of b, ρ , γ and θ satisfy (5.3)-(5.6). This completes the matching argument. The second part of the statement of Theorem 2 follows from standard arguments.

Proof of Theorem 1. We apply Theorem 2 with $p > p_*$ close enough to $p_* = 1 + \frac{4}{d}$ and $\sigma = s_c = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{2}{p-1}$. Let Ψ be defined by (1.7) where P is given by Theorem 2. The fact that Ψ satisfies (1.4) is easily checked from the equation of P. The facts that $\Psi \in \dot{H}^1$, $\|\Psi - Q\|_{\dot{H}^1} = o(1)$ as $p \to p_*$, and the asymptotic behavior of $\Psi(r)$ as $r \to \infty$, follow from the property (4.13) of P_{int} in Proposition 4.1 and the asymptotics (5.1)-(5.2). See also Remark 2.3.

Remark 5.1. We believe that the regularity of the solutions Ψ of Theorem 1 with respect to the exponent p can be obtained from our computations and standard arguments. However, it would require significant additional work and we have not pursued this issue.

References

- Biernat, P.; Bizoń, P., Shrinkers, expanders, and the unique continuation beyond generic blowup in the heat flow for harmonic maps between spheres, *Nonlinearity* 24 (2011), 2211– 2228.
- [2] Budd, C. J.; Chen, S.; Russell, R.D., New self-similar solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with moving mesh computations, J. of Comp. Physics 152 (1999), 756–789.
- [3] Budd, C. J., Asymptotics of multibump blow-up self-similar solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 62 (2002), 801–830.
- [4] Cazenave, T., Semilinear Schrödinger Equations, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics 10, New York University. CIMS, New York; AMS, Providence, RI (2003).
- [5] Chang S.-M.; Gustafson S.; Nakanishi K.; Tsai T.-P., Spectra of linearized operators for NLS solitary waves, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 39 (2007/08), 1070–1111.
- [6] Collot, C.; Raphaël, P.; Szeftel, J., On the stability of self similar blow up for the energy super critical heat equation, to appear in *Memoirs AMS*.
- [7] Fedoryuk, M. V., Asymptotic analysis. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993. Linear ordinary differential equations, Translated from the Russian by A. Rodick.
- [8] Fibich, G.; Gavish, N.; Wang, X-P., Singular ring solutions of critical and supercritical nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Physica D* 231 (2007), 55–86.

- [9] Ginibre, J.; Velo, G., On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. I. The Cauchy problem, general case, J. Funct. Anal. 32 (1979), 1–32.
- [10] Godet, N., Blow up on a curve for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation on Riemannian surfaces, Dynamics of PDE, 10 (2013), 99–155.
- [11] Johnson, R.; Pan, X., On an elliptic equation related to the blow-up phenomenon in the nonlinear Schrdinger equation, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A Mathematics*, **123** (1993), 763–782.
- [12] Kavian, O.; Weissler, F. B., Self-similar solutions of the pseudo-conformally invariant nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Michigan Math. J.*, 41 (1994), 151–173.
- [13] Koch, H., Self-similar solutions to super-critical gKdV, Nonlinearity 28 (2015), 545–575.
- [14] Kopell, N.; Landman, M., Spatial structure of the focusing singularity of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation: a geometrical analysis, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 55 (1995), 1297–1323.
- [15] Landman, M.; Papanicolaou, G.G.; Sulem, C.; Sulem, P.-L., Rate of blowup for solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation at critical dimension, *Phys. Rev. A* 38 (1988), 3837–3843.
- [16] Le Mesurier, B.G.; Papanicolaou, G.G.; Sulem, C.; Sulem, P.-L., Focusing and multi-focusing solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Phys. D* **31** (1988), 78–102.
- [17] Le Mesurier, B.G.; Papanicolaou, G.G.; Sulem, C.; Sulem, P.-L., Local structure of the self-focusing singularity of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Phys. D* 32 (1988), 210–226.
 [18] Lerner, N., *Fonctions classiques.* Lectures notes, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 2017.
- [19] Martel, Y.; Merle, F.; Raphaël, P., Blow up for the critical gKdV equation III: exotic regimes,
- Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 14 (2015), 575–631.
- [20] Martel, Y.; Merle, F.; Raphaël, P., Blow up for the critical gKdV equation II: minimal mass blow up, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 17 (2015), 1855–1925.
- [21] Martel, Y.; Merle, F.; Raphaël, P., Blow up for the critical gKdV equation I: dynamics near the solitary wave, Acta Math. 212 (2014), 59–140.
- [22] Martel, Y.; Raphaël, P., Strongly interacting blow up bubbles for the mass critical NLS, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 51 (2018), 701–737.
- [23] Merle, F.; Raphaël, P., Blow up dynamic and upper bound on the blow up rate for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Ann. Math. 161 (2005), 157–222.
- [24] Merle, F.; Raphaël, P., On universality of blow-up profile for L^2 critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Invent. Math.* **156** (2004) 565–672.
- [25] Merle, F.; Raphaël, P., Sharp lower bound on the blow up rate for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (2006), 37–90.
- [26] Merle, F.; Raphaël, P., Profiles and quantization of the blow up mass for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Comm. Math. Phys. 253 (2005), 675–704.
- [27] Merle, F.; Raphaël, P.; Szeftel, J., Collapsing ring blow up solutions to the L² super critical NLS, Duke Math. J. 163 (2014), 369–431.
- [28] Merle, F.; Raphaël, P.; Szeftel, J., Stable self-similar blow-up dynamics for slightly L² supercritical NLS equationsn Geom. Funct. Anal. 20 (2010), 1028–1071.
- [29] Perelman, G., On the formation of singularities in solutions of the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Ann. Henri Poincaré 2 (2001), 605–673.
- [30] Plech, P.; Verk, V., On self-similar singular solutions of the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 54 (2001), 1215–1242.
- [31] Rottschfer, V.; Kaper, T.J., Blowup in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation near critical dimension, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 268 (2002), 517–549.
- [32] Sulem, C.; Sulem, P.L., Focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation and wave-packet collapse, Proceedings of the Second World Congress of Nonlinear Analysts, Part 2 (Athens, 1996). Nonlinear Anal. 30 (1997), 833–844.
- [33] Sulem, C.; Sulem, P.-L., The nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Self-focusing and wave collapse. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 139. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [34] Yang, K.; Roudenko, S.; Zhao, Y., Blow-up dynamics in the mass super-critical NLS equations, Physica D, 396 (2019), 47–69.
- [35] Zakharov, V. E., Collapse of self-focusing Langmuir waves, Soviet Physics JETP, 35 (1972), 908–914.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Canada $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{ybahri@uvic.ca}$

CMLS, ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, CNRS, INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE DE PARIS, 91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: yvan.martel@polytechnique.edu

DPMMS, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, U.K.

E-mail address: pr463@cam.ac.uk