

The Poisson cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$

Ioan Mărcuț, Florian Zeiser

December 30, 2021

Abstract

We compute the smooth Poisson cohomology of the linear Poisson structure associated with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The main result	5
3	Geometric interpretation	7
3.1	The algebra of Casimir functions	7
3.2	The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket	9
3.3	Poisson-diffeomorphisms	10
3.4	Deformations	13
3.5	The Koszul-Brylinski double complex	15
4	Formal Poisson cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$	16
4.1	Flat and formal Poisson cohomology	16
4.2	Poisson cohomology of linear Poisson structures	16
4.3	Formal Poisson cohomology of linear Poisson structures	18
5	Flat Poisson cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$	20
5.1	Foliated cohomology	20
5.2	Cohomology of codimension one symplectic foliations	21
5.3	A short exact sequence for the flat Poisson complex	23
5.4	Higher Poisson cohomology groups	24
6	Flat foliated cohomology	25
6.1	Averaging over S^1	25
6.2	Retraction to the “cohomological skeleton”	26
6.3	Homotopy operators	28
6.4	Explicit formula for the flow	30

7	The analysis	30
7.1	Partial derivatives, Leibniz rule, chain rule	31
7.2	Limits of families of smooth functions	31
7.3	Polynomial-type estimates	32
7.4	Estimates for g_t and \bar{g}_t	34
7.5	Estimates on the flow (proof of Lemma 6.5)	36
7.6	Estimates for the pull-back (proof of Lemma 6.6)	37

1 Introduction

Let M be a smooth manifold. The space of C^∞ -multi-vector fields on M :

$$\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M) := \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet TM)$$

carries a natural extension of the Lie bracket, called the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket (see e.g. [LGPV13]), which makes $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M)$ into a graded Lie algebra:

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{X}^{p+1}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}^{q+1}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{p+q+1}(M).$$

A Poisson structure on M is a bivector field $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$ satisfying

$$[\pi, \pi] = 0.$$

By the graded Jacobi identity, this equation is equivalent to

$$d_\pi^2 = 0, \quad \text{where } d_\pi := [\pi, \cdot] : \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet+1}(M).$$

The cohomology of the resulting chain complex

$$(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M), d_\pi),$$

is called the Poisson cohomology of (M, π) , and was introduced by Lichnerowicz [Lic77]. The Poisson cohomology groups, denoted by

$$H^\bullet(M, \pi),$$

encode infinitesimal information about the Poisson structure. In low degrees they have the following interpretations: $H^0(M, \pi)$ consists of so-called Casimir functions, which are the “smooth functions” on the leaf-space; $H^1(M, \pi)$ plays the role of the Lie algebra of the “Lie group” of outer automorphisms of the Poisson manifold, $H^2(M, \pi)$ is the “tangent space” to the Poisson-moduli-space, or the space of infinitesimal deformations of the Poisson structure, and in $H^3(M, \pi)$ we can find obstructions to extending infinitesimal deformations to actual deformations. However, these interpretations are mostly of a heuristic or formal nature, since there are no general results asserting them, and their validity is poorly understood.

Poisson cohomology is hard to compute due to the lack of general methods. The existing techniques are specialized to certain classes of Poisson structures, which we briefly outline below

- Mildly degenerate Poisson structures. As noticed already in [Lic77], for symplectic structures (i.e. non-degenerate Poisson) the Poisson complex is isomorphic to the de Rham complex, and so it computes the usual (real) cohomology of the manifold; this is also the only case when the Poisson differential is elliptic. Similar techniques apply also to Poisson structures which are almost everywhere non-degenerate and have “mild” singularities. For these one can use singular de Rham forms. This was first worked out in dimension 2: for linear singularities in [Rad02], for quadratic singularities in [Nak97], and for general singularities in [Mon02], and in general dimension: for log-symplectic structures in [MOT14, GMP14, Lan16a] and for higher order singularities in [Lan16b].
- Regular Poisson structures have a non-singular symplectic foliation, which induces a filtration on the Poisson complex; the first pages of the resulting spectral sequence are described in terms of foliated cohomology [Vai90]. For simple foliations, this technique can be used to obtain explicit results as in [Xu92], or as in [Gam02] where the Poisson cohomology of the regular part of certain duals of low-dimensional Lie algebras is calculated.
- Compact-type. For the linear Poisson structure on the dual of a compact semi-simple Lie algebra, Conn showed that the Poisson cohomology vanishes in first and second degree, and he used this in the proof of the linearization theorem [Con85]. The full Poisson cohomology associated to compact Lie algebras was calculated in [GW92]. The proof therein uses averaging over the fibers of a source compact Lie groupoid. This technique has been extended to “compact-type” Poisson manifolds, already in [Xu92] for simple, regular foliations, and more recently in [CFMT19] for Poisson manifolds which admit a source-proper Lie groupoid integrating them.
- Other categories. There are several calculations of Poisson cohomology in categories different from C^∞ , such as: formal, analytic, holomorphic, or algebraic Poisson cohomology. We will not discuss these results here, because the techniques involved are usually quite different and rarely of use in the C^∞ -setting.

In this paper we calculate the Poisson cohomology of the linear Poisson structure on the dual of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ (see Theorem 2.2):

$$(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi).$$

Our interest in calculating this cohomology originates in our study of the local structures of “generic Poisson structures” in odd-dimension, which transversely to the singular leaves are linearly approximated by $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathfrak{so}_3^*(\mathbb{R})$;

the second case being well understood [Con85]. There are several other reasons to consider specifically this example. First, $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ does not fit into any of the classes above for which techniques are known, and therefore its calculation requires some new insights and ideas. Secondly, semisimple Lie algebras have been considered many times in the Poisson framework, especially in relation to the problem of linearization [Wei83, Con84, Con85, Wei87, GW92]. Finally, the Poisson cohomology of $(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$ has a representation theoretic flavor, as it is isomorphic to the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with coefficients in the infinite-dimensional representation $C^\infty(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}))$.

As we will see in Section 3, all classes in $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$ have a clear geometric meaning, and therefore the construction of representatives is quite intuitive. The difficult part, which will occupy most of the paper, is proving that the elements we construct cover all cohomology classes. This is also reflected in the literature, as, in one way or another, representatives for all classes have appeared in various contexts. In [Wei83, Prop 6.3], Weinstein constructed a non-analytic deformation of $(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$ which is non-linearizable. In fact, by our main result, all infinitesimal deformations in $H^2(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$ are obtained by a similar procedure. Also with the aim of constructing deformations of semi-simple Lie algebras, the results in [Wei87] and in [DZ05, Thm 4.3.9] yield non-trivial classes in $H^1(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$, and to some extent, these classes have appeared also in [Nak91]. Let us mention also that the Poisson cohomology of the regular part of $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$ was considered in [Gam02], where it is proven to be infinite dimensional.

The formal and polynomial Poisson cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ can be calculated using standard representation theory (see e.g. [LGPV13, Pic06, Nak91]), and, most likely, the analytic Poisson cohomology can be deduced using methods from [Con84].

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we state our main result, and build representatives for all Poisson cohomology classes. In Section 3 we discuss the algebra of Casimir functions, we calculate the induced Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket in cohomology, we construct groups of outer automorphisms and deformations, and we study the action of outer-automorphisms on deformations. In Section 4, by using the formal Poisson cohomology, we reduce the problem to that of calculating flat Poisson cohomology. In Section 5 we introduce the flat foliated complex, which, as in the regular case, can be used to compute flat Poisson cohomology. In Section 6 we calculate the flat foliated cohomology. For this, we construct a retraction of the foliation to a subset, which represents the “cohomological skeleton” of the foliation, and show that the retraction is a homotopy equivalence. The retraction is built as the infinite flow of a vector field; the analysis of the flow of this vector field is left for Section 7.

Summarizing the main steps of the proof, we extract a general strategy for calculating Poisson cohomology (a version of this scheme was used in [Gin96] for a specific 2-dimensional Poisson structure):

1. Calculate the formal Poisson cohomology at the singularity of the foliation, and reduce the problem to calculating the cohomology of the “flat Poisson complex”;
2. Treat this subcomplex as it came from a regular Poisson structure, and reduce the problem to calculating flat foliated cohomology;
3. For calculating (flat) foliated cohomology, try to build a contraction to a “cohomological skeleton”.

In future work, we will attempt to use this strategy for other Poisson structures. In particular, we will continue the study of the Poisson cohomology associated to other semi-simple Lie algebras.

2 The main result

To state the results we identify $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$ with \mathbb{R}^3 in such a way that the linear Poisson structure is given by

$$\pi := x\partial_y \wedge \partial_z + y\partial_z \wedge \partial_x - z\partial_x \wedge \partial_y$$

The symplectic foliation of π can be described with using the following basic Casimir function, which will be used throughout the paper:

$$f(x, y, z) := x^2 + y^2 - z^2. \quad (1)$$

The symplectic leaves are the following families of submanifolds: the one-sheeted hyperboloids

$$S_\lambda := f^{-1}(\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0;$$

the two sheets of the hyperboloids

$$S_\lambda^\pm := f^{-1}(\lambda) \cap \{\pm z > 0\}, \quad \lambda < 0;$$

and the cone $f^{-1}(0)$ decomposes into three leaves;

$$S_0^\pm := f^{-1}(0) \cap \{\pm z > 0\}, \quad S_0 := \{0\}.$$

The leaf-space, denoted by \mathcal{Y} , is obtained by identifying points belonging to the same leaf, and taking the quotient topology. The regular part of \mathcal{Y} :

$$\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}} := \mathcal{Y} \setminus \{S_0\}$$

is a smooth 1-dimensional non-Hausdorff manifold. Its smooth structure is determined by the quotient map being a submersion. Explicitly, a smooth atlas is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(U^+, \varphi^+), (U^-, \varphi^-)\} \\ U^\pm &= \{S_\lambda^\pm \mid \lambda \leq 0\} \cup \{S_\lambda \mid \lambda > 0\} \subset \mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}, \\ \varphi^\pm : U^\pm &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}, \quad S_\lambda^\pm \mapsto \lambda, \quad S_\lambda \mapsto \lambda. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 1: The level sets of f

This atlas allows us to identify \mathcal{Y}^{reg} with two copies of \mathbb{R} glued along $(0, \infty)$:

$$\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}} \simeq \mathbb{R} \sqcup_{(0, \infty)} \mathbb{R}.$$




Figure 2: \mathcal{Y}^{reg}

The algebra of smooth functions on \mathcal{Y}^{reg} is

$$C^\infty(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}) = \{(h_1, h_2) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \mid h_1|_{(0, \infty)} = h_2|_{(0, \infty)}\}.$$

The 0-th Poisson cohomology group consists of smooth function constant along the symplectic leaves, also called Casimir functions, denoted by:

$$\text{Cas}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})) := H^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi).$$

In Subsection 3.1, we will prove the following:

Proposition 2.1. *The algebra of Casimir functions is isomorphic to the algebra of smooth functions on the regular part of the leaf-space:*

$$C^\infty(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}) \simeq \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})), \quad (2)$$

and the isomorphism is given:

$$h = (h_1, h_2) \mapsto \tilde{h},$$

$$\tilde{h}(x, y, z) := \begin{cases} h_1(f(x, y, z)), & z \geq 0 \\ h_2(f(x, y, z)), & z < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Denote the singular cone, its “outside” and its “inside”, respectively, by

$$Z := \{f = 0\}, \quad O := \{f > 0\}, \quad I := \{f < 0\}.$$

We introduce two Poisson vector fields T and N on $O \cup I$:

$$T|_O := \partial_z + \frac{z}{x^2 + y^2}(x\partial_x + y\partial_y), \quad T|_I := 0,$$

$$N|_O := \frac{1}{2(x^2 + y^2)}(x\partial_x + y\partial_y), \quad N|_I := \frac{1}{2(y^2 - z^2)}(y\partial_y + z\partial_z).$$

These formulas come from the special coordinate systems:

$$\text{on } O : \quad \theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right), \quad w = z, \quad f = x^2 + y^2 - z^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\text{on } I : \quad \xi = \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{z}\right), \quad v = x, \quad f = x^2 + y^2 - z^2, \quad (4)$$

in which:

$$\pi|_O = \partial_\theta \wedge \partial_w, \quad T|_O = \partial_w, \quad N|_O = \partial_f, \quad (5)$$

$$\pi|_I = \partial_\xi \wedge \partial_v, \quad T|_I = 0, \quad N|_I = \partial_f. \quad (6)$$

We will use the collection of flat Casimir functions:

$$C^{flat} = \{\chi \in \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})) \mid \eta \text{ vanishes flatly at } 0\}.$$

Proposition 2.1 implies that any $\chi \in C^{flat}$ vanishes flatly along the entire cone Z (see Subsection 3.1). Since the singularities of T and N along Z are given by rational functions, it follows that, for all $\chi \in C^{flat}$,

$$\chi T, \quad \chi N,$$

extend to smooth vector fields on \mathbb{R}^3 that vanish flatly on Z . We will also use the collection of Casimir functions with support outside of the cone:

$$C^{out} = \{\eta \in \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})) \mid \text{supp}(\eta) \subset \overline{O}\}.$$

We state now the main result of the paper:

Theorem 2.2. *The Poisson cohomology of $(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$ is given by:*

- *Every class in $H^1(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$ can be represented as*

$$\chi N + \eta T$$

for unique functions $\chi \in C^{flat}$ and $\eta \in C^{out}$.

- *Every class in $H^2(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$ can be represented as*

$$\eta N \wedge T$$

for a unique function $\eta \in C^{out}$.

- *For the third Poisson cohomology group we have*

$$H^3(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) \simeq \mathbb{R}[[f]] \quad \partial_x \wedge \partial_y \wedge \partial_z,$$

where $\mathbb{R}[[f]]$ denotes the ring of formal power series in f .

3 Geometric interpretation

In this section we prove Proposition 2.1 and we explore the geometric meaning of our calculation of the Poisson cohomology of $(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$. In particular, we calculate the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket in cohomology, we describe groups of Poisson-diffeomorphisms “integrating” the first Poisson cohomology Lie algebra, we build deformations corresponding to the second Poisson cohomology, and describe some identifications between the deformations. The entire discussion leaves many open questions, which hopefully will be answered in the future.

3.1 The algebra of Casimir functions




Figure 3: γ_1 and γ_2 intersecting the level sets of f in the y - z -plane

We begin with

Proof of Proposition 2.1. First, we show that the map is indeed defined, i.e. for any $h = (h_1, h_2) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}})$, the function \tilde{h} is indeed smooth. By subtracting $h_2 \circ f$ from \tilde{h} , we may assume that $h_2 = 0$. So let $h_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, with $h_1|_{(0,\infty)} = 0$, and note that:

$$\tilde{h}(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} h_1(f(x, y, z)), & z \geq 0 \\ 0, & z < 0 \end{cases}.$$

On $z \geq 0$, $h_1(f(x, y, z))$ is smooth and it vanishes on $f \geq 0$; in particular it vanishes flatly on the plane $z = 0$. Therefore its extension by 0 on $z < 0$ is a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^3 .

Next, we show that any Casimir function comes from an element in $C^\infty(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}})$. For this, we define two straight lines $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\gamma_1(t) = \frac{1}{2}(0, -t - 1, 1 - t), \quad \gamma_2(t) = -\gamma_1(t). \quad (7)$$

Both lines are transverse to the leaves of the foliation and satisfy:

$$f \circ \gamma_1(t) = t, \quad f \circ \gamma_2(t) = t. \quad (8)$$

Both lines cut leaves at most once; their intersections are indicated below:

	S_0	$S_\lambda, \lambda > 0$	$S_\lambda^-, \lambda \leq 0$	$S_\lambda^+, \lambda \leq 0$
γ_1	\times	\checkmark	\times	\checkmark
γ_2	\times	\checkmark	\checkmark	\times

Consider a Casimir function $g \in \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}))$, and denote by

$$h_1 := g \circ \gamma_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad h_2 := g \circ \gamma_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Since for $t > 0$, $\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t) \in S_t$, it follows that $h_1(t) = h_2(t)$, and so

$$h = (h_1, h_2) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}).$$

We have that $g = \tilde{h}$. This follows because both are Casimir functions, their compositions with the γ_1 and γ_2 , respectively, yield the same result, and the two lines cut all regular leaves. \square

Next, let us note that under the isomorphism (2), we have that

$$C^{\text{flat}} \simeq C_0^\infty(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}),$$

where $C_0^\infty(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}})$ consists of pairs $(h_1, h_2) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}})$ with the property that h_1 and h_2 vanish flatly at their 0s, respectively. This follows by comparing

the Taylor series at 0 of $h_1(t^2) = \tilde{h}(t, 0, 0)$; and similarly for h_2 . Hence Casimirs which vanish flatly at the origin, actually vanish flatly along Z .

Note also that, under the isomorphism (2), we have that

$$C^{out} \simeq C_{\rightarrow}^{\infty}(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}),$$

where $C_{\rightarrow}^{\infty}(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}})$ consists of pairs $(h_1, h_2) \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}})$ satisfying: $h_1 = h_2$ and $\text{supp}(h_1) \subset [0, \infty)$.

3.2 The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket

The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on multi-vector fields descends to a bracket on Poisson cohomology, which can be easily calculated for $(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$.

First, note that T is tangent to the symplectic foliation, therefore

$$\mathcal{L}_T(g) = 0, \quad \text{for all } g \in \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})).$$

This implies that

$$\mathfrak{g}_T := \{\eta T \mid \eta \in C^{out}\}$$

is an abelian subalgebra.

Next, note that N is transverse to the symplectic foliation on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z$, with

$$\mathcal{L}_N(f|_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z}) = 1.$$

Moreover, for $g \in \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}))$ we have that $\mathcal{L}_N(g)$ extends to a smooth Casimir on \mathbb{R}^3 . This follows because locally $N = \partial_f$, and so if g corresponds to the pair $h = (h_1, h_2) \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}})$, then $\mathcal{L}_N(g)$ corresponds to the pair $\partial_t h = (\partial_t h_1, \partial_t h_2) \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}})$. Thus, although N is only smooth on the set $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z$, its Lie derivative \mathcal{L}_N induces a derivation of the algebra of Casimir functions, denoted by

$$\partial_f : \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})),$$

which corresponds under the isomorphism (2) to ∂_t . We obtain that

$$\mathfrak{g}_N := \{\chi N \mid \chi \in C^{flat}\}$$

is a Lie subalgebra, which is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of vector fields on \mathcal{Y}^{reg} which are flat at the origin(s):

$$(\mathfrak{g}_N, [\cdot, \cdot]) \simeq (\mathfrak{X}_0^1(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}), [\cdot, \cdot]). \quad (9)$$

In the coordinates (5), it is obvious that on $O \cup I$

$$[N, T] = 0.$$

Using the Leibniz rule, this allows us to calculate other brackets, for example:

$$[\chi N, \eta N \wedge T] = (\chi \partial_f(\eta) - \eta \partial_f(\chi)) N \wedge T. \quad (10)$$

Remark 3.1. *It is somehow surprising that the representatives we found for Poisson cohomology in degree ≤ 2 are closed under the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket.*

Since all 3-vector fields that are flat at 0 are trivial in cohomology, we obtain the following:

Corollary 3.2. *The bracket induced from the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on Poisson cohomology*

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : H^p(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) \times H^q(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) \rightarrow H^{p+q-1}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$$

is non-zero only for $p+q \leq 3$, and in these degrees it is determined by the Leibniz identity and the following relations:

$$[N, g] = \partial_f(g), \quad [T, g] = 0, \quad [N, T] = 0,$$

for all $g \in \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^(\mathbb{R}))$.*

In particular, note that \mathfrak{g}_N and \mathfrak{g}_T span a Lie subalgebra, which is a semi-direct product $\mathfrak{g}_T \rtimes \mathfrak{g}_N$, because:

$$[\chi N, \eta T] = \chi \partial_f(\eta) T.$$

3.3 Poisson-diffeomorphisms

Denote the Lie algebra of Poisson vector fields by:

$$\mathfrak{poiss} := \{X \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})) \mid \mathcal{L}_X \pi = 0\},$$

and the ideal of Hamiltonian vector fields by:

$$\mathfrak{ham} := \{X_h := \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d} h) \mid h \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}))\}.$$

The quotient Lie algebra is the first Poisson cohomology:

$$H^1(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) = \mathfrak{poiss} / \mathfrak{ham} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_T \rtimes \mathfrak{g}_N.$$

Note that \mathfrak{poiss} has a 3-term filtration by ideals:

$$0 \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{ham} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{ham} \rtimes \mathfrak{g}_T \trianglelefteq (\mathfrak{ham} \rtimes \mathfrak{g}_T) \rtimes \mathfrak{g}_N = \mathfrak{poiss},$$

and $\mathfrak{ham} \rtimes \mathfrak{g}_T$ consists of the Poisson vector fields tangent to the foliation.

Next, we describe groups corresponding to these Lie algebras. It would be interesting to understand to what extent these groups are smooth or integrate the Lie algebras. Denote the Poisson-diffeomorphism group by

$$\text{Pois} := \{\varphi \in \text{Diff}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})), \quad \varphi_*(\pi) = \pi\},$$

and the (normal) Hamiltonian subgroup by:

$$\text{Ham} \leq \text{Poiss.}$$

The group Ham consists of diffeomorphisms φ that can be connected to the identity by a smooth family of diffeomorphisms

$$\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}, \quad \varphi_0 = \text{id}, \quad \varphi_1 = \varphi$$

that is generated by a smooth family of Hamiltonians $\{h_t \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}))\}_{t \in [0,1]}$:

$$\varphi'_t = X_{h_t} \circ \varphi_t, \quad X_{h_t} = \pi^\sharp(\text{d} h_t).$$

Next, we associate to \mathfrak{g}_T an abelian group:

$$G_T := \{ \exp(\eta T) := \text{time-one flow of } \eta T \mid \eta \in C^{out} \}.$$

To see that the vector fields ηT are indeed complete, and that G_T is indeed an abelian group, we use the coordinates from (5) $(\theta, w, f) \in S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$ on $O = \{f > 0\}$, in which:

$$\pi|_O = \partial_\theta \wedge \partial_w, \quad T|_O = \partial_w.$$

Then the flow of ηT , with $\eta = h \circ f \in C^{out}$, and $\text{supp}(h) \subset [0, \infty)$, is

$$\exp(t\eta T)(\theta, w, f) = (\theta, w + t h(f), f),$$

in particular, it is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Because it vanishes on \bar{I} , ηT is complete. Note that the flow preserves the leaves. The leaves in O are sent by the chart symplectomorphically to the cotangent bundle of the circle:

$$S_\lambda \simeq T^*S^1.$$

Under this identification, $\exp(\eta T)$ acts by translation with $h(\lambda) \text{d}\theta \in \Omega^1(S^1)$.

By the formula for the flow, the exponential is a group isomorphism:

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g}_T \xrightarrow{\sim} G_T, \quad \exp(\eta_1 T + \eta_2 T) = \exp(\eta_1 T) \circ \exp(\eta_2 T).$$

The subgroup corresponding to $\mathfrak{ham} \rtimes \mathfrak{g}_T$ is the semi-direct product:

$$\text{Ham} \rtimes G_T.$$

It would be interesting to know whether $\text{Ham} \rtimes G_T$ can be characterized geometrically by the following property:

Question 3.1. *Does a Poisson diffeomorphism that sends each leaf to itself belong to $\text{Ham} \rtimes G_T$?*

Recall that \mathfrak{g}_N is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of vector fields on \mathcal{Y}^{reg} that are flat at the origin(s) (9). Next, we build a group G_N corresponding to \mathfrak{g}_N , which will be isomorphic to the group of diffeomorphisms of \mathcal{Y}^{reg} which are flat at the origin(s). First consider the group

$$\text{Diff}_0^0(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}) \subset \text{Diff}(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}})$$

consisting of pairs (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) of diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{R} which fix the origin up to infinite jet (i.e. $\phi_i - \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ vanishes flatly at 0), and such that $\phi_1|_{(0,\infty)} = \phi_2|_{(0,\infty)}$. For $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \text{Diff}_0^0(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}})$, we build an element $\tilde{\phi} \in G_N$. In the chart (3) on O , define:

$$\tilde{\phi}(\theta, w, f) = (\theta, w, \phi_1(f)) = (\theta, w, \phi_2(f)),$$

in the chart (4) on $I \cap \{z > 0\}$, define:

$$\tilde{\phi}(\xi, v, f) = (\xi, v, \phi_1(f)),$$

and in the chart (4) on $I \cap \{z < 0\}$, define:

$$\tilde{\phi}(\xi, v, f) = (\xi, v, \phi_2(f)).$$

Note that these three expressions extend to $Z = \{f = 0\}$ as the identity map, and they coincide along Z with the identity up to infinite jet. Therefore $\tilde{\phi}$ is indeed smooth (one can also transform $\tilde{\phi}$ to usual coordinates to check this). The local expression of π in the charts (5) and (6), implies that $\tilde{\phi}$ is a Poisson diffeomorphism. Let G_N^0 be the collection of all $\tilde{\phi} \in \text{Poiss}$, with $\phi \in \text{Diff}_0^0(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}})$. Since $\tilde{\phi}$ induces ϕ on the regular leaf-space, we have that:

$$G_N^0 \simeq \text{Diff}_0^0(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}).$$

Next, consider the reflection:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : \quad \mathbb{R}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \\ (x, y, z) &\mapsto (x, -y, -z), \end{aligned}$$




Figure 4: τ acting on \mathcal{Y}

and note that τ is a Poisson involution, i.e.

$$\tau_*(\pi) = \pi, \quad \tau^2 = \text{id},$$

and it interchanges the leaves S_λ^+ and S_λ^- , $\lambda \leq 0$. We denote also by τ the diffeomorphism induced on \mathcal{Y}^{reg} . Note that τ normalizes G_N^0 and $\text{Diff}_0^0(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}})$, and in fact:

$$\tau \cdot \tilde{\phi} \cdot \tau = \widetilde{\tau \cdot \phi \cdot \tau}, \quad \tau \cdot (\phi_1, \phi_2) \cdot \tau = (\phi_2, \phi_1).$$

Therefore the following groups are isomorphic:

$$G_N := G_N^0 \cup G_N^0 \cdot \tau,$$

$$\text{Diff}_0(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}) := \text{Diff}_0^0(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}) \cup \text{Diff}_0^0(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}) \cdot \tau.$$

Note that G_N normalizes G_T :

$$\exp(\eta T) \cdot \tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi} \cdot \exp(\tilde{\phi}^*(\eta) T),$$

$$\exp(\eta T) \cdot \tau = \tau \cdot \exp(-\eta T),$$

where $\tilde{\phi}^*(\eta) = \widetilde{\phi^* h}$, for $\eta = \tilde{h}$.

We obtain the group $G_T \rtimes G_N$, which in principle is the group of outer-automorphisms of the Poisson manifold. It would be interesting to know whether this is a correct interpretation:

Question 3.2. *Is the natural map $G_T \rtimes G_N \rightarrow \text{Poiss}/\text{Ham}$ an isomorphism? Equivalently, is it true that:*

$$\text{Poiss} = \text{Ham} \rtimes G_T \rtimes G_N?$$

3.4 Deformations

The second Poisson cohomology has the heuristic interpretation of being the “tangent space” to the Poisson-moduli space. In our case, by Theorem 2.2, every class in $H^2(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$ has a unique representative of the form

$$\eta N \wedge T, \text{ with } \eta \in C^{\text{out}}.$$

Since the Schouten bracket is trivial on these elements, it follows that

$$\pi_\eta := \pi + \eta N \wedge T, \text{ with } \eta \in C^{\text{out}}$$

is a Poisson structure. In other words, infinitesimal deformations are unobstructed. Note that these are precisely the deformations of π constructed by Weinstein in [Wei83, Prop 6.3] to show that $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is smoothly degenerate. The Poisson structure π_η differs from π only on $O = \{f > 0\}$. Using the coordinates (θ, w, f) from (3) on O and writing $\eta = h \circ f$, with $\text{supp } h \subset [0, \infty)$, we have that:

$$\pi_\eta|_O = (\partial_\theta + h \circ f \partial_f) \wedge \partial_w.$$

Note that the leaves of π_η are perturbations of the cylinders S_λ . In order to understand their shape, note that the leaves of π_η cut the plane $z = 0$ in the flow lines of the Hamiltonian vector field of $-z$:

$$\begin{aligned} -\pi_\eta^\sharp(\text{d} z)|_{z=0} &= (\partial_\theta + h \circ f \partial_f)|_{z=0} = \partial_\theta + \frac{h(r^2)}{2r^2} r \partial_r \\ &= (x \partial_y - y \partial_x) + u(x^2 + y^2) (x \partial_x + y \partial_y), \end{aligned}$$

where $u(t) := h(t)/(2t)$ is smooth and vanishes flatly at $t = 0$. The shape of the flow lines depends on the behaviour of u ; for example, if $u(r^2) = 0$, then the circle of radius r is an orbit; if $u(t) < 0$ for $t \in (0, r^2)$, then the flow lines in the disk of radius r spiral towards the origin.

It would be interesting to know if all deformations are of this type:

Question 3.3. *Is every Poisson structure near π isomorphic to π_η for some $\eta \in C^{out}$?*

There are options in how to formulate this question precisely: for example, one can consider deformations on a small ball around 0, or one can consider global Poisson structures which are close with respect to the Whitney (open-open) C^∞ -topology. A related problem is:

Question 3.4. *Is every Poisson structure with isotropy Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ at a zero isomorphic to π_η , for some $\eta \in C^{out}$?*

Further, we note that different functions $\eta \in C^{out}$ can yield isomorphic Poisson structures π_η . Infinitesimally, this phenomenon arises because the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket in cohomology is non-trivial (see (10)) in degrees $(1, 2) \mapsto 2$, and this operation encodes the infinitesimal action of outer-automorphisms on deformations. In fact, only elements $\chi N \in \mathfrak{g}_N$, with $\chi \in C^{out}$ act non-trivially. Via the isomorphism (9), this subalgebra corresponds to the following subalgebra of vector fields on \mathcal{Y}^{reg} :

$$\mathfrak{X}_\rightarrow^1(\mathbb{R}) = \{h\partial_t \mid h|_{(-\infty, 0]} = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{X}_0^1(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}),$$

with corresponding subgroup:

$$\text{Diff}_\rightarrow(\mathbb{R}) = \{\phi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \phi|_{(-\infty, 0]} = \text{id}\} \subset \text{Diff}_0(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{reg}}),$$

where in both cases we use the diagonal inclusion. The action of $\tilde{\phi}$, with $\phi \in \text{Diff}_\rightarrow(\mathbb{R})$, on π_η , with $\eta = h \circ f$, is given by:

$$\tilde{\phi}^*(\pi_\eta) = \pi_{\eta'}, \quad \eta' = \frac{h \circ \phi}{\phi'} \circ f \in C^{out}.$$

Note also that τ acts non-trivially:

$$\tau^*(\pi_\eta) = \pi_{-\eta}.$$

It would be interesting to know whether these are all identifications:

Question 3.5. *For $i = 1, 2$, consider $h_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, with $\text{supp}(h_i) \subset [0, \infty)$, and let $\eta_i := h_i \circ f$. If the Poisson structures π_{η_1} and π_{η_2} are isomorphic, does there exist $\phi \in \text{Diff}_\rightarrow(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$h_2 = \frac{h_1 \circ \phi}{\phi'} \quad \text{or} \quad h_2 = -\frac{h_1 \circ \phi}{\phi'} ?$$

These formulas come from the adjoint action of $\text{Diff}_{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{R})$. Namely, if $\phi \in \text{Diff}_{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{R})$ and $h\partial_t \in \mathfrak{X}_{\rightarrow}^1(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\phi^*(h\partial_t) = \frac{h \circ \phi}{\phi'} \partial_t.$$

Thus, we obtain a bijection:

$$H^2(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)/G_N \simeq (\mathfrak{X}_{\rightarrow}^1(\mathbb{R})/\text{Diff}_{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{R}))/\{\pm 1\},$$

where the right hand-side can be thought of as adjoint orbits of $\text{Diff}_{\rightarrow}(\mathbb{R})$, up to ± 1 . Assuming that the answers to the last two questions are positive, this space is a model for the Poisson-moduli space around π .

3.5 The Koszul-Brylinski double complex

Dual to the Poisson complex of a Poisson manifold (M, π) , Koszul [Kos84] introduced a differential on differential forms:

$$\delta_{\pi} := \iota_{\pi} \circ d - d \circ \iota_{\pi} : \Omega^{\bullet}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet-1}(M), \quad \delta_{\pi}^2 = 0,$$

which yields the Poisson homology groups: $H_{\bullet}(M, \pi)$. Moreover, one has

$$d \circ \delta_{\pi} + \delta_{\pi} \circ d = 0,$$

and therefore we have a bidifferential complex $(\Omega^{\bullet}(M), d, \delta_{\pi})$. In [Bry88], Brylinski gave a more explicit formula of δ_{π} and studied this complex in more detail. Moreover, by [Xu99] and [ELW99], for an oriented, unimodular Poisson manifold, the contraction with an \mathfrak{ham} -invariant volume form μ gives an isomorphism between the Poisson cohomology complex and the Poisson homology complex:

$$\mu^{\flat} : (\mathfrak{X}^k(M), d_{\pi}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega^{m-k}(M), \delta_{\pi}).$$

For $(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$ the standard volume form $\mu = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$ is invariant. Applying contraction with μ on the representatives for Poisson cohomology from Theorem 2.2 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} H_3(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) &\simeq \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})) \cdot \mu, \\ H_2(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) &\simeq C^{out} \cdot df \wedge d\theta \oplus C^{flat} \cdot \bar{\omega}, \\ H_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) &\simeq C^{out} \cdot d\theta, \\ H_0(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) &\simeq \mathbb{R}[[f]], \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\omega} := \mu^{\flat}(N)$ is a closed extension to $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z$ of the leaf-wise symplectic form. Using this, we calculate the de Rham cohomology of the Poisson homology:

Corollary 3.3. *We have that:*

$$H_{DR}^k(H_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi), d) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}[[f]], & k = 0, \text{ or } k = 3; \\ 0, & k = 1, \text{ or } k = 2. \end{cases}$$

4 Formal Poisson cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$

We begin this section by introducing flat and formal Poisson cohomology. For the linear Poisson structure on the dual of a Lie algebra, we identify these cohomologies with the Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of the Lie algebra with coefficients in certain representations. Then we specialize to semi-simple Lie algebras, for which, using standard results from Lie theory, we calculate the formal Poisson cohomology (Proposition 4.3); and explicitly, for $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. An important consequence (Corollary 4.5) is that, for semi-simple Lie algebras, the calculation of Poisson cohomology can be reduced to that of flat Poisson cohomology.

4.1 Flat and formal Poisson cohomology

Let (M, π) be a Poisson manifold. Its Poisson cohomology $H^\bullet(M, \pi)$ is the cohomology of the chain complex:

$$(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M), d_\pi := [\pi, \cdot]).$$

For $p \in M$, let $\mathfrak{X}_p^\bullet(M)$ denote the set of multivector fields that are flat at p . Since $\mathfrak{X}_p^\bullet(M)$ is a Lie ideal in $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M)$, it is also a subcomplex with respect to d_π . The cohomology of this complex, denoted $H_p^\bullet(M, \pi)$, will be called the flat Poisson cohomology at p .

By Borel's Lemma on the existence of smooth functions with a prescribed Taylor series, we have the following identification for the quotient:

$$\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M)/\mathfrak{X}_p^\bullet(M) \simeq \wedge^\bullet T_p M \otimes \mathbb{R}[[T_p^* M]],$$

where $\mathbb{R}[[T_p^* M]]$ denotes the algebra of formal power series of functions at p . Thus, we obtain a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}_p^\bullet(M), d_\pi) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M), d_\pi) \xrightarrow{j_p^\infty} (\wedge^\bullet T_p M \otimes \mathbb{R}[[T_p^* M]], d_{j_p^\infty \pi}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where j_p^∞ is the infinite jet map. The cohomology of the quotient complex, denoted by $H_{F,p}^\bullet(M, \pi)$, will be called the formal Poisson cohomology at p . The short exact sequence induces a long exact sequence in cohomology:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{j_p^\infty} H_{F,p}^{q-1}(M, \pi) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_p^q(M, \pi) \rightarrow H^q(M, \pi) \xrightarrow{j_p^\infty} H_{F,p}^q(M, \pi) \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \quad (11)$$

4.2 Poisson cohomology of linear Poisson structures

A Poisson structure on a vector space is called linear if the set of linear functions is closed under the Poisson bracket. Such Poisson structures are in one-to-one correspondence with Lie algebra structures on the dual vector space. Namely, let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a real, finite-dimensional Lie algebra. The associated linear Poisson structure π on \mathfrak{g}^* is determined by the condition

that the map $l : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, which identifies \mathfrak{g} with $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^*$, is a Lie algebra homomorphism:

$$\{l_X, l_Y\} = l_{[X, Y]},$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Poisson bracket on $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ corresponding to π . In particular, $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ becomes a \mathfrak{g} -representation, with $X \cdot f := \{l_X, f\}$. Moreover, the Poisson complex of (\mathfrak{g}^*, π) is isomorphic to the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ [LGPV13, Prop 7.14]

$$(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*), d_\pi) \simeq (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*), d_{EC}). \quad (12)$$

This identification allows for the use of techniques from Lie theory in the calculation of Poisson cohomology, as we will do in the sequel.

Suppose that R is a representation of \mathfrak{g} , and denote by $R^\mathfrak{g} \subset R$ the set of \mathfrak{g} -invariant elements. Since $R^\mathfrak{g}$ is a trivial subrepresentation, we can identify

$$H^q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes R^\mathfrak{g} \simeq H^q(\mathfrak{g}, R^\mathfrak{g}).$$

Moreover, the inclusion $\iota : R^\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow R$ induces a map in cohomology:

$$\iota_* : H^q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes R^\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow H^q(\mathfrak{g}, R). \quad (13)$$

For $q = 0$ this map is always an isomorphism: $R^\mathfrak{g} \simeq H^0(\mathfrak{g}, R)$. In general, ι_* need not be injective nor surjective. However, by [HS53, Thm 13], if \mathfrak{g} is semisimple and R is finite-dimensional, then (13) is an isomorphism for all $q \geq 0$. The same conclusion holds also in the following more general situation, which we will use in the next subsection:

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple Lie algebra. Let $R = \prod_{\alpha \in A} R_\alpha$ be a direct product of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -representations. Then the map in (13) is an isomorphism for all $q \geq 0$.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{g} is finite-dimensional, the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of R is canonically isomorphic to the direct product of complexes:

$$(\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g} \otimes R, d_{EC}) \simeq \prod_{\alpha \in A} (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g} \otimes R_\alpha, d_{EC}), \quad (14)$$

which yields an isomorphism in cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, R) \simeq \prod_{\alpha \in A} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, R_\alpha)$. Moreover, under the isomorphism (14), the subcomplexes of invariant elements are in one-to-one correspondence:

$$(\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g} \otimes R^\mathfrak{g}, d_{EC}) \simeq \prod_{\alpha \in A} (\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g} \otimes R_\alpha^\mathfrak{g}, d_{EC}),$$

and therefore $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes R^\mathfrak{g} \simeq \prod_{\alpha \in A} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes R_\alpha^\mathfrak{g}$. This identifies the map ι_* for R with the direct product of the maps $\iota_{\alpha*}$ for R_α :

$$\iota_* \simeq \prod_{\alpha \in A} \iota_{\alpha*} : \prod_{\alpha \in A} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes R_\alpha^\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in A} H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, R_\alpha).$$

Since \mathfrak{g} is semisimple and all R_α 's are finite-dimensional, each $\iota_{\alpha*}$ is an isomorphism [HS53, Thm 13], and therefore so is their product ι_* . \square

We are interested in the representation $R = C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, whose space of invariants are the Casimir functions:

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi) = \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{g}^*) = C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

If \mathfrak{g} is semisimple, then the map (13) for $R = C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is an isomorphism for all $q \geq 0$ if and only if the Lie algebra is compact. Namely, by the construction in [Wei87], for all non-compact semisimple Lie algebra it fails at $q = 1$. For compact Lie algebras, this was proven in [GW92, Thm 3.2], and so, by (12), we have that:

$$H^q(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi) = H^q(\mathfrak{g}, C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)) \simeq H^q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{Cas}(\mathfrak{g}^*).$$

4.3 Formal Poisson cohomology of linear Poisson structures

Under the isomorphism (12), the subcomplex of multivector fields on \mathfrak{g}^* that are flat at 0 corresponds to the Eilenberg-Chevalley complex of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in the subrepresentation $C_0^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*) \subset C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ consisting of smooth functions that are flat at zero:

$$\mathfrak{X}_0^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*) \simeq \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes C_0^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*).$$

Therefore, the quotient complex is naturally identified with

$$\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathbb{R}[[\mathfrak{g}]],$$

where $\mathbb{R}[[\mathfrak{g}]] = C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)/C_0^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is the ring of formal power series of functions on \mathfrak{g}^* . Thus, the formal Poisson cohomology at $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, which for simplicity we denote by $H_F^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi)$, is naturally isomorphic to the cohomology of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in the representation $\mathbb{R}[[\mathfrak{g}]]$

$$H_F^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*) \simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{R}[[\mathfrak{g}]]).$$

As a representation, $\mathbb{R}[[\mathfrak{g}]]$ is isomorphic to the product of the symmetric powers of the adjoint representation:

$$\mathbb{R}[[\mathfrak{g}]] \simeq \prod_{k \geq 0} S^k(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Thus Lemma 4.1 implies:

Proposition 4.2. *For the formal Poisson cohomology at 0 of a semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} we have that:*

$$H_F^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi) \simeq H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \text{Cas}_F(\mathfrak{g}^*),$$

where $\text{Cas}_F(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \mathbb{R}[[\mathfrak{g}]]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the set of formal Casimir functions.

On the other hand, the space of formal Casimir functions is well-understood:

Proposition 4.3. *Let (\mathfrak{g}^*, π) be the linear Poisson structure associated to a semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then there exist $n = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \max \text{rank}(\pi)$ algebraically independent homogeneous polynomials f_1, \dots, f_n such that*

$$\text{Cas}_F(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \mathbb{R}[[f_1, \dots, f_n]] \subset \mathbb{R}[[\mathfrak{g}]],$$

where $\mathbb{R}[[f_1, \dots, f_n]]$ denotes formal power series in the polynomials f_i .

Proof. [Dix96, Thm 7.3.8] gives such polynomials f_1, \dots, f_n which generate the algebra of \mathfrak{g} -invariant polynomials $\mathbb{R}[\mathfrak{g}]^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Clearly $\mathbb{R}[[f_1, \dots, f_n]] \subset \text{Cas}_F(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. For the other inclusion, let $g \in \text{Cas}_F(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. Let $g_k \in S^k(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the homogeneous component of degree k of g . Since $g_k \in S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the f_i 's are algebraically independent, there is a unique polynomial $p_k \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $g_k = p_k(f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Note that each monomial of p_k has total degree at least k/D , where $D := \max \text{degree}(f_i)$. Therefore, $p = \sum_{k \geq 0} p_k$ represents an element in $\mathbb{R}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, which satisfies $g = p(f_1, \dots, f_n)$. \square

The invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$ are generated by the function f from (1). We conclude:

Corollary 4.4. *The formal Poisson cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$ at 0 is given by*

$$H_F^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) = \mathbb{R}[[f]], \quad H_F^1(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) = 0,$$

$$H_F^2(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) = 0, \quad H_F^3(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) = \mathbb{R}[[f]] \otimes \partial_x \wedge \partial_y \wedge \partial_z.$$

Proof. By the previous propositions $H_F^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) = H^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})) \otimes \mathbb{R}[[f]]$. Clearly $H^0(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})) = \mathbb{R}$, and it is easy to see that $H^3(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})) = \mathbb{R} \partial_x \wedge \partial_y \wedge \partial_z$. In degrees 1 and 2, the conclusion follows by the Whitehead lemma, which states that for a semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , $H^1(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ and $H^2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$. \square

The previous propositions reduce the calculation of Poisson cohomology of a semisimple Lie algebra to that of flat Poisson cohomology at 0:

Corollary 4.5. *For a semi-simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the Poisson cohomology of (\mathfrak{g}^*, π) fits into the short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H_0^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi) \xrightarrow{j_0^\infty} H_F^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Using the long exact sequence (11), it suffices to show that j_0^∞ is surjective in cohomology. By Proposition 4.2, every element in $H_F^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*, \pi)$ has a representative of the form $w = \sum_i \omega_i \otimes g_i$, where $\omega_i \in \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}$ are closed elements, and $g_i \in \text{Cas}_F(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. By Proposition 4.3 we can write

$g_i = h_i(f_1, \dots, f_n)$, for some $h_i \in \mathbb{R}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. By Borel's lemma, there are smooth functions $\bar{h}_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $j_0^\infty \bar{h}_i = h_i$. Therefore

$$\bar{w} = \sum_i \omega_i \otimes \bar{h}_i(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g} \otimes C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*) \simeq \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*)$$

is a closed element satisfying $j_0^\infty \bar{w} = w$. □

Remark 4.6. *The versions of Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 with polynomials instead of formal power series are also valid (see [LGPV13] and [Dir96, Thm 7.3.8]). We expect these results to hold also for the algebra of analytic functions $C^\omega(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, with a possible proof using the techniques from [Con84].*

5 Flat Poisson cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$

The Poisson manifold $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ is regular, of corank one, and unimodular. Such Poisson structures can be described in terms of foliated cohomology [Vai90, Gam02]. We will explain this in the first two subsections. In the third subsection, we introduce the flat foliated cohomology of $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$, which we use in the last subsection to calculate the flat Poisson cohomology. This, together with Corollaries 4.4 and 4.5, complete the description of the Poisson cohomology $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$ from Theorem 2.2. The calculation of the flat foliated cohomology will be left for Sections 6 and 7, and is the most technically involved part of the paper.

5.1 Foliated cohomology

We will follow [Oso15, Chp 1]. For a regular foliation $\mathcal{F} \subset TM$ on a manifold M , we denote the complex of foliated forms by

$$(\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{F}), d_{\mathcal{F}});$$

i.e. $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) := \Gamma(\wedge^\bullet \mathcal{F}^*)$ consists of smooth families of differential forms on the leaves of \mathcal{F} , and $d_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the leafwise de Rham differential. The resulting cohomology is called the foliated cohomology of \mathcal{F} , and is denoted by $H^\bullet(\mathcal{F})$. The normal bundle to \mathcal{F} , denoted by $\nu := TM/\mathcal{F}$, carries the Bott-connection

$$\nabla : \Gamma(\mathcal{F}) \times \Gamma(\nu) \rightarrow \Gamma(\nu), \quad \nabla_X(\bar{V}) := \overline{[X, V]},$$

which, via the usual Koszul-type formula, induces a differential on ν -valued forms $(\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{F}, \nu), d_{\nabla})$, which yields the cohomology of \mathcal{F} with values in ν , denoted $H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}, \nu)$. Similarly, the dual connection on ν^* gives rise to the complex $(\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*), d_{\nabla})$, with cohomology groups $H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*)$.

Assume now that \mathcal{F} has codimension one. Then we have the short exact sequence of complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow (\Omega^{\bullet-1}(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*), d_{\nabla}) \rightarrow (\Omega^{\bullet}(M), d) \xrightarrow{r} (\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}), d_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow 0, \quad (15)$$

where r is the restriction map, and an element η in the kernel of r is canonically identified with the element $u(\eta) \in \Omega^{\bullet-1}(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*)$, defined by:

$$\langle u(\eta), \bar{V} \rangle = r(i_V \eta), \quad \bar{V} \in \nu.$$

Assume in addition that ν^* is orientable, and let $\varphi \in \Gamma(\nu^*) \subset \Omega^1(M)$ be a defining 1-form for \mathcal{F} , i.e. φ is nowhere zero and $\mathcal{F} = \ker(\varphi)$. Then $\ker(r)$ is the differential ideal generated by φ

$$(\varphi \wedge \Omega^{\bullet-1}(M), d) \quad (16)$$

The foliation is called unimodular, if there exists a defining one-form φ which is closed: $d\varphi = 0$. Such a one-form is parallel for the dual of the Bott-connection, and it induces an isomorphism of complexes:

$$(\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}), d_{\mathcal{F}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*), d_{\nabla}), \quad \eta \mapsto \varphi \otimes \eta.$$

Similarly, the dual of φ gives a parallel section of ν , and we obtain an isomorphism of complexes:

$$(\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}, \nu), d_{\nabla}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}), d_{\mathcal{F}}), \quad \eta \mapsto \langle \varphi, \eta \rangle.$$

5.2 Cohomology of codimension one symplectic foliations

Let (M, π) be a regular Poisson manifold of corank one, and denote its symplectic foliation by (\mathcal{F}, ω) , where $\mathcal{F} = \pi^{\sharp}(T^*M)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{F})$ is the leafwise symplectic structure. The Poisson complex of π fits into a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}), d_{\mathcal{F}}) \xrightarrow{j} (\mathfrak{X}^{\bullet}(M), d_{\pi}) \xrightarrow{p} (\Omega^{\bullet-1}(\mathcal{F}, \nu), d_{\nabla}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (17)$$

Regarding the Poisson complex as the de Rham complex of the Lie algebroid T^*M , the map j is obtained by pulling back Lie algebroid forms via the Lie algebroid map $\pi^{\sharp} : T^*M \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$; explicitly,

$$j(\eta) = (-\pi^{\sharp})(\eta),$$

where we denoted by

$$(-\pi^{\sharp}) : \wedge^{\bullet} \mathcal{F}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^{\bullet} \mathcal{F}$$

the isomorphism induced by $-\pi^{\sharp}$. For the cokernel, we have the canonical isomorphism $\wedge^{\bullet} T^*M / \wedge^{\bullet} \mathcal{F} \simeq \nu \otimes \wedge^{\bullet-1} \mathcal{F}$; and the map p is obtained by using the isomorphism

$$(-\omega_b) = (-\pi^{\sharp})^{-1} : \wedge^{\bullet} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^{\bullet} \mathcal{F}^*.$$

Explicitly,

$$\langle \alpha, p(w) \rangle := (-\omega_b)(i_\alpha w), \quad \alpha \in \nu^*,$$

where we note that, $i_\alpha w \in \wedge^{k-1} \mathcal{F}$. Therefore there is a long exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\partial} H^k(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{j} H^k(M, \pi) \xrightarrow{p} H^{k-1}(\mathcal{F}, \nu) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{k+1}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots \quad (18)$$

The boundary morphism ∂ is up to a sign given by the cup-product with the class $d_\nu[\omega] \in H^2(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*)$, where $d_\nu : H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*)$ is the boundary map of the long exact sequence associated to (15). This class has the geometric interpretation of being the transverse variation of the leafwise symplectic form.

Assume now that \mathcal{F} is coorientable and unimodular, and let φ be a closed defining one-form. Then φ gives flat trivializations of the bundles ν and ν^* , and the cohomology of \mathcal{F} with trivial coefficients and with coefficients in these bundles can all be calculated using the subcomplex (16) of the de Rham complex, which will be useful in our situation. Thus (17) can be rewritten as the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (\varphi \wedge \Omega^\bullet(M), d) \xrightarrow{j_\varphi} (\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M), d_\pi) \xrightarrow{p_\varphi} (\varphi \wedge \Omega^{\bullet-1}(M), d) \rightarrow 0. \quad (19)$$

Unravelling the identifications made above, the maps j_φ and p_φ can be made explicitly. Namely, let V be a vector field on M such that $i_V \varphi = 1$, and let $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^2(M)$ be the unique extension of ω such that $i_V \tilde{\omega} = 0$. Then

$$j_\varphi = (-\pi^\sharp) \circ i_V, \quad p_\varphi = e_\varphi \circ (-\tilde{\omega}_b) \circ i_V,$$

where $e_\varphi(-) = \varphi \wedge (-)$ is the exterior product with φ . Even though it was convenient to use V (and $\tilde{\omega}$) to write these formulas, the maps j_φ and p_φ are independent of this choice. However, V allows us to build dual maps:

$$0 \leftarrow \varphi \wedge \Omega^\bullet(M) \xleftarrow{p_V} \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M) \xleftarrow{j_V} \varphi \wedge \Omega^{\bullet-1}(M) \leftarrow 0,$$

with

$$p_V = e_\varphi \circ (-\tilde{\omega}_b), \quad j_V = e_V \circ (-\pi^\sharp) \circ i_V, \quad (20)$$

which satisfy the homotopy relations:

$$p_V \circ j_V = 0, \quad p_V \circ j_\varphi = \text{Id}, \quad p_\varphi \circ j_V = \text{Id}, \quad \text{Id} = j_V \circ p_\varphi + j_\varphi \circ p_V. \quad (21)$$

It can be checked that the maps p_V and j_V are chain morphisms precisely when V is a Poisson vector field, which is also equivalent $\tilde{\omega}$ being closed; in this case the pair $(\varphi, \tilde{\omega})$ is a cosymplectic structure on M . In general, we can write $d\tilde{\omega} = \varphi \wedge \xi$, where $\xi = i_V d\tilde{\omega}$. Even though we will not use this later, let us remark that the boundary map for the long exact sequence in cohomology induced by (19) is given up to sign by the chain map:

$$e_\xi : (\varphi \wedge \Omega^{\bullet-1}(M), d) \rightarrow (\varphi \wedge \Omega^{\bullet+1}(M), d), \quad \eta \mapsto \xi \wedge \eta.$$

5.3 A short exact sequence for the flat Poisson complex

If we remove the origin from the Poisson manifold $(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$, we obtain a codimension one symplectic foliation (\mathcal{F}, ω) which is unimodular with defining one-form df . Therefore, the techniques from the previous section can be used to describe its cohomology. Consider the vector field on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$

$$V := \frac{1}{2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)}(x\partial_x + y\partial_y - z\partial_z),$$

and note that $df(V) = 1$. The unique extension $\tilde{\omega}$ of the leafwise symplectic structure satisfying $i_V \tilde{\omega} = 0$ is given by

$$\tilde{\omega} := -\frac{1}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}(x dy \wedge dz + y dz \wedge dx - z dx \wedge dy).$$

Therefore, the Poisson complex of $(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}, \pi)$ fits into the short exact sequence (19), with $\varphi = df$. However, since the singularities of V and $\tilde{\omega}$ are of finite order, we can apply the same reasoning and obtain a similar short exact sequence for the flat Poisson cohomology. Denote by $\Omega_0^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^3)$ the space of differential forms on \mathbb{R}^3 which are flat at zero. The following holds:

Proposition 5.1. *The flat Poisson complex of $\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})$ fits into the short exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow (df \wedge \Omega_0^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^3), d) \xrightarrow{j_{df}} (\mathfrak{X}_0^\bullet(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R})), d_\pi) \xrightarrow{p_{df}} (df \wedge \Omega_0^{\bullet-1}(\mathbb{R}^3), d) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $j_{df} = (-\pi^\sharp) \circ i_V$ and $p_{df} = e_{df} \circ (-\tilde{\omega}_b) \circ i_{df}$.

Proof. First, note that i_V is indeed well-defined: if η is a flat form at 0, then $i_V \eta$ extends smoothly at zero and is also flat. The same applies also to the map $\tilde{\omega}_b$, hence the maps j_{df} and p_{df} are well-defined. They are chain maps because they satisfy this condition away from 0. In order to show that the sequence is exact, note that also the maps p_V and j_V defined in (20) induce maps on flat forms/multi-vector fields. Relations (21) (which still hold, because they hold away from the origin) imply that the sequence in the statement is indeed exact. \square

We call the cohomology of the complex

$$(df \wedge \Omega_0^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^3), d) \tag{22}$$

the flat foliated cohomology, and denote it by

$$H_0^\bullet(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*).$$

Consider the angular one-form on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{x = y = 0\}$ by

$$d\theta = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2}(-y dx + x dy).$$

The proof of the following result will occupy Sections 6 and 7:

Theorem 5.2. *Cohomology classes in $H_0^k(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*)$ have unique representatives of the form:*

- for $k = 0$

$$\chi \, \mathrm{d} f, \quad \text{where } \chi \in C^{flat},$$

- for $k = 1$

$$\eta \, \mathrm{d} f \wedge \mathrm{d} \theta, \quad \text{where } \eta \in C^{out},$$

- and for $k \geq 2$, $H_0^k(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*) = 0$.

5.4 Higher Poisson cohomology groups

In this subsection we finish the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Since $H_0^2(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*) = 0$, the long exact sequence in cohomology induced by the short exact sequence in Proposition 5.1 yields:

In degree 0: $j_{\mathrm{d} f}$ induces an isomorphism:

$$H_0^0(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*) \simeq C^{flat}.$$

This isomorphism is simply $j_{\mathrm{d} f}(\chi \, \mathrm{d} f) = \chi$.

In degree 1: $j_{\mathrm{d} f}$ and $p_{\mathrm{d} f}$ induce a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H_0^1(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*) \rightarrow H_0^1(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) \rightarrow H_0^0(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*) \rightarrow 0, \quad (23)$$

The map $j_{\mathrm{d} f}$ acts on the representatives of $H_0^1(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*)$ as follows:

$$j_{\mathrm{d} f}(\eta \, \mathrm{d} f \wedge \mathrm{d} \theta) = -\eta \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d} \theta), \quad \text{where } \eta \in C^{out}.$$

Since $T|_O = \pi^\sharp(\mathrm{d} \theta)|_O$, the image of $j_{\mathrm{d} f}$ consists of all the elements

$$[\eta T], \quad \text{with } \eta \in C^{out}.$$

One other hand, since $\mathcal{L}_N f = 1$, note that

$$p_{\mathrm{d} f}(\chi N) = \chi \, \mathrm{d} f, \quad \text{for all } \chi \in C^{flat}.$$

Therefore the set consisting of classes of the form $[\chi N]$ is sent by $p_{\mathrm{d} f}$ onto $H_0^0(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*)$. Exactness of the sequence (23) and Theorem 5.2, imply that elements in $H_0^1(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$ can be uniquely represented as

$$\eta T + \chi N,$$

with $\eta \in C^{out}$ and $\chi \in C^{flat}$. By Corollaries 4.4 and 4.5,

$$H^1(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) = H_0^1(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi);$$

thus we obtain the description of $H^1(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$ from Theorem 2.2.

In degree 2: p_{df} induces an isomorphism:

$$H_0^2(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) \simeq H_0^1(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*).$$

We note that, for all $\eta \in C^{out}$,

$$p_{df}(\eta N \wedge T) = -\eta \, d f \wedge d \theta.$$

Hence, reasoning as in the previous case, we obtain the description of the second Poisson cohomology group $H^2(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$ from Theorem 2.2.

In degree 3: we have that:

$$H_0^3(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi) = 0.$$

By using again Corollaries 4.4 and 4.5, we obtain the description from Theorem 2.2 of $H^3(\mathfrak{sl}_2^*(\mathbb{R}), \pi)$.

6 Flat foliated cohomology

In this section we reduce the proof of Theorem 5.2 to two technical results, which will be proven in Section 7.

6.1 Averaging over S^1

In order to compute the flat foliated cohomology, it will be more convenient to work with S^1 -invariant forms, where we consider the natural action of S^1 on \mathbb{R}^3 by rotations around the z -axis. Since f is S^1 -invariant, and 0 is fixed by the action, the invariant part of (22) forms a subcomplex, denoted:

$$(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, d) := (d f \wedge \Omega_0^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^3)^{S^1}, d).$$

Note that averaging operator

$$Av : (d f \wedge \Omega_0^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^3), d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^\bullet, d),$$

$$Av(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (e^{i\theta})^* \alpha \, d\theta,$$

is a chain map and a projection onto \mathcal{C}^\bullet .

Lemma 6.1. *The map Av induces an isomorphism in cohomology.*

Proof. Let $\partial_\theta = x\partial_y - y\partial_x$ be the rotational vector field generating the S^1 -action. Then, for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{d}f \wedge \Omega_0^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{i\theta})^* \alpha - \alpha &= \int_0^\theta \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} (e^{it})^* \alpha \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^\theta \mathcal{L}_{\partial_\theta} (e^{it})^* \alpha \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \mathrm{d} \int_0^\theta i_{\partial_\theta} (e^{it})^* \alpha \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_0^\theta i_{\partial_\theta} (e^{it})^* \alpha \, \mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Integrating this equation from 0 to 2π , we obtain

$$Av(\alpha) - \alpha = \mathrm{d} \circ h(\alpha) + h \circ \mathrm{d}(\alpha), \quad (25)$$

where h denotes the homotopy operator:

$$\begin{aligned} h : \mathrm{d}f \wedge \Omega_0^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^3) &\rightarrow \mathrm{d}f \wedge \Omega_0^{\bullet-1}(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ h(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta \int_0^\theta i_{\partial_\theta} (e^{it})^* \alpha \, \mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (2\pi - t) i_{\partial_\theta} (e^{it})^* \alpha \, \mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned}$$

The homotopy relation (25) implies the statement. \square

6.2 Retraction to the “cohomological skeleton”

In order to calculate the cohomology of \mathcal{C}^\bullet , we use a retraction onto the set

$$X := \{x = y = 0\} \cup \{z = 0\}$$

along the leaves of the foliation. We think about X as a “cohomological skeleton” of the singular foliation. The leaves in I are diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 and X intersects them exactly in one point, and the leaves O are diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, and X intersects these in one circle. On the other hand, X does not intersect the two leaves in the cone, but, as we will see, these will not contribute to the flat cohomology. Define the retraction as follows:

$$p_X(x, y, z) := \begin{cases} (\frac{x}{r}\sqrt{f}, \frac{y}{r}\sqrt{f}, 0) & \text{on } O \\ (0, 0, 0) & \text{on } Z \\ (0, 0, \text{sign}(z)\sqrt{-f}) & \text{on } I \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

Note that p_X preserves $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z$, and it satisfies:

$$p_X(\mathbb{R}^3) = X, \quad \text{and} \quad p_X|_X = \text{id}_X.$$

Also, note that p_X is continuous on \mathbb{R}^3 , it is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z$, but it is not smooth on Z . However, since we are working with forms that are flat at 0, the following holds:

Lemma 6.2. *For every $\alpha \in \Omega_0^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the form $p_X^*(\alpha)|_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z}$ extends to a smooth form on \mathbb{R}^3 , which satisfies $p_X^*(\alpha) \in \Omega_0^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^3)$.*

The proof of this result is given at the end of Subsection 6.3.

We have that p_X^* is S^1 -equivariant, it commutes with d and with e_{df} ; these properties hold, because they are closed and they hold on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z$. Therefore, p_X^* induces a chain map:

$$p_X^* : (\mathcal{C}^\bullet, d) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^\bullet, d).$$

In the next subsection, we will show that this is an isomorphism in cohomology, more precisely:

Proposition 6.3. *There are linear maps*

$$h : \mathcal{C}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\bullet-1}$$

which satisfy the homotopy relation:

$$p_X^*(\alpha) - \alpha = d \circ h(\alpha) + h \circ d(\alpha).$$

Hence, $p_X^*(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)$ has the same cohomology as \mathcal{C}^\bullet . However:

Lemma 6.4. *For all $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\bullet$, we have that*

$$d p_X^*(\alpha) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^k$. On I , we have that

$$d p_X^*(\alpha)|_I = p_X^*(d \alpha|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}}) = 0,$$

because $d \alpha$ is at least a 2-form. Similarly, if $k > 0$, also on O we have that:

$$d p_X^*(\alpha)|_O = p_X^*(d \alpha|_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}}) = 0.$$

For $k = 0$, write $\alpha = \chi d f$. Note that $f|_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times 0} = r^2$. Since χ is S^1 -invariant, we can write $\chi|_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}} = h(r^2)$, for some flat function h ; hence $\alpha|_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}} = h(r^2) d r^2$, and so $d \alpha|_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}} = 0$. \square

We are ready now to prove Theorem 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. By Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.3, the subcomplex $p_X^*(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)$ computes $H_0^\bullet(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*)$. By Lemma 6.4, the differential on this subcomplex is trivial, and so every class in $H_0^\bullet(\mathcal{F}, \nu^*)$ has a unique representative in $p_X^*(\mathcal{C}^\bullet)$. Thus it suffices to determine the image of p_X^* .

In degree 0: this follows from Proposition 5.1.

In degree 1: let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^1$. As in the proof of Lemma 6.4, we have that $p_X^*(\alpha)|_I = 0$. Note that $d f \wedge d \theta|_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}} = 2 d x \wedge d y$, therefore we can write uniquely $\alpha|_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}} = g d f \wedge d \theta|_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}}$, and since α is S^1 -invariant and flat at 0, we can further decompose $g = h(r^2)$, where $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp } h \subset [0, \infty)$. Thus, for $\eta = h \circ f \in C^{out}$, we obtain:

$$p_X^*(\alpha) = \eta d f \wedge d \theta.$$

In degree 2: as in the proof of Lemma 6.4, $p_X^*(\alpha) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^2$. \square

6.3 Homotopy operators

In order to construct the homotopy operators from Proposition 6.3, we build a foliated homotopy between the identity map and the retraction p_X . We will do this using the flow of the vector field:

$$\begin{aligned} W &= -r^2 z \pi^\#(d\theta) = -r^2 z \partial_z - z^2 r \partial_r \quad (27) \\ &= -z^2 x \partial_x - z^2 y \partial_y - (x^2 + y^2) z \partial_z \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. Note that W has the following properties:

- W vanishes precisely on X ,
- W is tangent to the foliation:
 $\mathcal{L}_W f = 0$,
- W is S^1 -invariant,
- $\mathcal{L}_W(\theta) = d\theta(W) = 0$,
- $\mathcal{L}_W(R^2) = -4r^2 z^2 \leq 0$,
where $R^2 = r^2 + z^2$.

In particular i_W preserves \mathcal{C}^\bullet . The last property implies that the flow lines starting in a point inside the closed ball $\bar{B}_n(0)$ are trapped inside that ball; hence the flow is defined for all positive time, and will be denoted by:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \\ (t, x, y, z) &\mapsto \phi_t(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

The above properties of W imply that:

- ϕ_t fixes X ;
- $\phi_t^*(f) = f$;
- ϕ_t is S^1 -equivariant;
- $\phi_t^*(d\theta) = d\theta$.

In particular, ϕ_t^* preserves the complex (\mathcal{C}^\bullet, d) . By a similar calculation as (24), for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\bullet$, we have that

$$\phi_t^*(\alpha) - \alpha = d \circ h_t(\alpha) + h_t \circ d(\alpha), \quad (28)$$

where

$$h_t : \mathcal{C}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\bullet-1}, \quad h_t(\alpha) = \int_0^t i_W \phi_s^*(\alpha) ds.$$




Figure 5: Flow of W along the leaves to the “cohomological skeleton”

In order to prove Proposition 6.3 we will take the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (28). In the following subsection we will give explicit formulas for ϕ_t , which imply the point-wise limit:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t(x, y, z) = p_X(x, y, z), \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (29)$$

The following results are much more involved, and their proofs will occupy the last section of the paper:

Lemma 6.5. *On $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z$, we have that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t = p_X$$

with respect to the compact-open C^∞ -topology.

Lemma 6.6. *For any $\alpha \in \Omega_0^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the following limit exists:*

$$h(\alpha) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_t(\alpha) \in \Omega_0^{\bullet-1}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad (30)$$

with respect to the compact-open C^∞ -topology.

Recall that the existence of a limit with respect to the compact-open C^∞ -topology means that all partial derivatives converge uniformly on compact subsets; more details are given in the following section.

The results above suffice to complete our proofs:

Proofs of Lemma 6.2 and Proposition 6.3. Since the limit (30) is uniform on compact subsets with respect to all C^k -topologies, h satisfies

$$d h(\alpha) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d h_t(\alpha).$$

From (28), we obtain that for any $\alpha \in \Omega_0^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t^*(\alpha) = \alpha + d \circ h(\alpha) + h \circ d(\alpha)$$

holds for the compact-open C^∞ -topology. On the other hand, on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t = p_X$, and since this limit is also with respect to the compact-open C^∞ -topology, we have that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t^*(\alpha)|_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z} = p_X^*(\alpha)|_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z}.$$

Therefore, $p_X^*(\alpha)|_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus Z}$ extends to a smooth form on \mathbb{R}^3 . This implies Lemma 6.2 and the equation:

$$p_X^*(\alpha) - \alpha = d \circ h(\alpha) + h \circ d(\alpha).$$

Finally, since h_t is S^1 -equivariant and commutes with $e_d f$, and these conditions are closed, we have that $h(\mathcal{C}^\bullet) \subset \mathcal{C}^{\bullet-1}$. Thus, the above relation holds on \mathcal{C}^\bullet , and so we obtain also Proposition 6.3. \square

6.4 Explicit formula for the flow

Recall that in cylindrical coordinates $W = -(r^2 z \partial_z + z^2 r \partial_r)$. Therefore, its flow $\phi_t(r, \theta, z) = (r_t, \theta_t, z_t)$ satisfies

$$r'_t = -r_t z_t^2, \quad \theta'_t = 0, \quad z'_t = -z_t r_t^2.$$

As remarked before, $\theta_t = \theta$. The above system is equivalent to

$$(r_t^2)' = -2r_t^2 z_t^2, \quad (z_t^2)' = -2r_t^2 z_t^2.$$

Note that $(r_t^2 - z_t^2)' = 0$, hence, as remarked before, $f = r^2 - z^2$ is constant along the flow lines. Therefore, the system above is equivalent to a single ODE in $R_t^2 = r_t^2 + z_t^2$. Solving this ODE, we obtain the explicit formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= r \sqrt{\frac{r^2 - z^2}{r^2 - z^2 e^{-2t(r^2 - z^2)}}} = \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 + tz^2 \kappa(tf)}}, \\ z_t &= z \sqrt{\frac{z^2 - r^2}{z^2 - r^2 e^{2t(r^2 - z^2)}}} = \frac{z}{\sqrt{1 + tr^2 \kappa(-tf)}}, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where we have denoted by κ the following smooth function:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa : \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \\ x &\mapsto \int_0^2 e^{-sx} \, ds = \frac{1 - e^{-2x}}{x} \end{aligned}$$

These formulas give the point-wise limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi_t = p_X$ claimed in (29):

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (r_t, z_t) = \begin{cases} (\sqrt{f}, 0) & \text{if } 0 \leq f \\ (0, \text{sign}(z)\sqrt{-f}) & \text{if } f \leq 0 \end{cases}.$$

In Cartesian coordinates, we obtain:

Lemma 6.7. *For $t \geq 0$, the flow $\phi_t(x, y, z) = (x_t, y_t, z_t)$ of W is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + tz^2 \kappa(tf)}}, \\ y_t &= \frac{y}{\sqrt{1 + tz^2 \kappa(tf)}}, \\ z_t &= \frac{z}{\sqrt{1 + tr^2 \kappa(-tf)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

7 The analysis

In this last section we prove Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6.

7.1 Partial derivatives, Leibniz rule, chain rule

Denote the partial derivative corresponding to a multi-index

$$a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \quad \text{by} \quad D^a := \frac{1}{a_1!} \partial_{x_1}^{a_1} \dots \frac{1}{a_n!} \partial_{x_n}^{a_n}.$$

We will often use the general Leibniz rule:

$$D^a(f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot f_k) = \sum_{a^1 + \dots + a^k = a} D^{a^1}(f_1) \cdot \dots \cdot D^{a^k}(f_k),$$

for $f_1, \dots, f_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the general chain rule:

$$D^a(g(f_1, \dots, f_k)) = \sum_{1 \leq |b| \leq |a|} D^b(g)(f_1, \dots, f_k) \sum' \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{b_i} D^{a^{ij}}(f_i),$$

where $b = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$ and \sum' is the sum over all non-trivial decompositions

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} a^{ij}, \quad a, a^{ij} \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

7.2 Limits of families of smooth functions

We discuss some standard facts about the existence of limits of families of smooth functions.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. For a compact subset $K \subset U$, we define the corresponding C^k -semi-norm on $C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as:

$$\|F\|_k^K := \sum_{|a| \leq k} \sup_{x \in K} |D^a F(x)|,$$

where $|a| = a_1 + \dots + a_n$. The semi-norms

$$\{ \|\cdot\|_k^K \mid k \geq 0, K \subset U \},$$

endow $C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with the structure of a Fréchet space, and the resulting topology is called the compact-open C^∞ -topology.

Consider a family $F_t \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$, defined for $t \geq t_0$. Assume that, for each compact $K \subset U$ and each $k \geq 0$, we find a function

$$l_k^K : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty),$$

such that

$$\forall s \geq t : \|F_s - F_t\|_k^K \leq l_k^K(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} l_k^K(t) = 0.$$

Then, since $C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a Fréchet space, the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ exists:

$$F_\infty := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_t \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R}^m).$$

So all partial derivatives of F_t convergence uniformly on all compact subsets to those of F_∞ .

Assume further that F_t is smooth also in t . Writing

$$D^a(F_t - F_s) = \int_s^t D^a(F'_h) \, dh,$$

we obtain that

$$\|F_s - F_t\|_k^K \leq \int_s^t \|F'_h\|_k^K \, dh.$$

So, if we find a function

$$b_k^K : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty),$$

such that

$$\forall t \geq t_0 : \|F'_t\|_k^K \leq b_k^K(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_0}^\infty b_k^K(t) \, dt < \infty, \quad (33)$$

then we can conclude that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_t$ exists. We will use this criterion in the following subsections.

7.3 Polynomial-type estimates

In the following subsections, we will prove several inequalities, and in order to keep track of what is essential, we discuss here the nature of these estimates. The following two families of functions play a key role:

$$g_t, \bar{g}_t : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow (0, 1], \quad t \in [0, \infty),$$

$$g_t := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + tz^2\kappa(tf)}}, \quad \bar{g}_t := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + tr^2\kappa(-tf)}}.$$

These functions appeared in the explicit formula for the flow $\phi_t = (x_t, y_t, z_t)$

$$x_t = xg_t, \quad y_t = yg_t, \quad r_t = rg_t \quad z_t = z\bar{g}_t.$$

Note that they satisfy the following property:

$$g_t = \bar{g}_t e^{tf}, \quad (34)$$

As discussed in the previous subsection, given a smooth family $F_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $t \geq 0$, in order to show that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F_t$ exists, we need to estimate

the partial derivatives of F'_t . We will find bounds which are polynomials in the variables R , t , g_t and \bar{g}_t , and obtain inequalities of the form:

$$|D^a F'_t| \leq C \sum R^d t^k g_t^p \bar{g}_t^q, \quad \forall (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (35)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant, $R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$, and the sum is over a finite set of degrees (d, k, p, q) . Which degrees actually appear in this sum will play a crucial role. Namely, note first that, by (34), g_t is rapidly decreasing on I and \bar{g}_t is rapidly decreasing on O . So, for $p > 0$ and $q > 0$, $R^d t^k g_t^p \bar{g}_t^q$ goes rapidly to zero away from Z . However, higher exponents d , p and q are needed to obtain estimates as in (33) also along Z . For this we will use the following:

Lemma 7.1. *For $p > 0$ and $q > 0$ there is $C = C(p, q)$ such that:*

$$g_t^p \bar{g}_t^q \leq C R^{-(p+q)} t^{-\frac{p+q}{2}},$$

for all $t > 0$ and all $(x, y, z) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Proof. First we prove that, for $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$, $0 \leq s$, $0 \leq v$, the following holds:

$$\frac{\epsilon}{1 + v\kappa(s)} e^{-2\epsilon s} \leq \frac{1}{1 + 2(v + s)}. \quad (36)$$

This is equivalent to

$$l(v, s) := (s + v(1 - e^{-2s}))e^{2\epsilon s} - \epsilon s(1 + 2(v + s)) \geq 0.$$

Since l is linear in v , we need to check that $l(0, s) \geq 0$ and $\partial_v l(0, s) \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} l(0, s) &= s(e^{2\epsilon s} - \epsilon(1 + 2s)) \\ &\geq s(1 + 2\epsilon s - \epsilon(1 + 2s)) \\ &= s(1 - \epsilon) \geq 0, \\ \partial_v l(0, s) &= (1 - e^{-2s})e^{2\epsilon s} - 2\epsilon s \\ &= e^{2\epsilon s} - e^{-2(1-\epsilon)s} - 2\epsilon s \\ &\geq e^{2\epsilon s} - 1 - 2\epsilon s \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove the statement in the case $0 \leq |z| \leq r$, the other case follows similarly. Using (34), we write:

$$g_t^p \bar{g}_t^q = g_t^{p+q} e^{-tqf} = \left(g_t^2 e^{-t\frac{2q}{p+q}f} \right)^{\frac{p+q}{2}}.$$

By applying (36) with $s := t(r^2 - z^2)$, $v := tz^2$ and $\epsilon := \frac{q}{p+q}$, we obtain:

$$g_t^2 e^{-t\frac{2q}{p+q}f} = \frac{e^{-2\epsilon s}}{1 + v\kappa(s)} \leq \epsilon^{-1} \frac{1}{1 + 2(s + v)} = \frac{p + q}{q} \frac{1}{1 + 2tr^2},$$

and so:

$$g_t^p \bar{g}_t^q \leq \left(\frac{p+q}{q} \right)^{\frac{p+q}{2}} (1 + 2tr^2)^{-\frac{p+q}{2}}.$$

Using that $tR^2 \leq (1 + 2tr^2)$ we obtain the inequality from the statement. \square

For the estimates that will follow, we introduce polynomials $\sigma_{k,l}$ defined for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ as the sum of all monomials $t^j R^d$ with

$$d - 2j = l \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq j \leq k,$$

or, in a closed formula:

$$\sigma_{k,l} = \sum_{m \leq j \leq k} t^j R^{2j+l}, \quad m := \max(0, -l/2),$$

and we set $\sigma_{k,l} = 0$ if $m > k$ and $\sigma_{0,0} = 1$.

By comparing terms, the following is immediate:

$$\sigma_{k,l} \sigma_{k',l'} \leq C \sigma_{k+k',l+l'}, \quad (37)$$

for some constant $C = C(k, k', l, l')$. In particular:

$$R^d \sigma_{k,l} = \sigma_{0,d} \sigma_{k,l} \leq \sigma_{k,l+d}.$$

We will use these inequalities later on.

7.4 Estimates for g_t and \bar{g}_t

Here we will evaluate the partial derivatives of g_t and \bar{g}_t . Let

$$g(u, v) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + v\kappa(u - v)}}, \quad u, v \geq 0.$$

First, we prove an intermediate result about the function g .

Lemma 7.2. *For every $a \in \mathbb{N}^2$ there exists $C = C(a)$ such that:*

$$|D^a g(u, v)| \leq C g(u, v), \quad \forall u, v \geq 0. \quad (38)$$

Proof. Consider the function:

$$\iota(u, v) := 1 + v\kappa(u - v).$$

First note that

$$|\kappa^{(k)}(x)| = \int_0^2 s^k e^{-xs} \, ds \leq 2^k \kappa(x).$$

Using this, that $\kappa(s) \leq 2$ for $0 \leq s$, and the Leibniz rule, one finds for any $b \in \mathbb{N}^2$ a constant $C = C(b) > 0$ such that, for all $0 \leq v \leq u$:

$$|D^b(\iota(u, v))| \leq C\iota(u, v).$$

Next, using that

$$\left(x^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{(k)} = c_k x^{-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad c_k = (-1)^k \frac{2k-1}{2} \cdot \frac{2k-3}{2} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{1}{2},$$

and the chain rule, we obtain the following estimate for $0 \leq v \leq u$:

$$|D^a \iota^{-\frac{1}{2}}| = \left| \sum_{1 \leq k \leq |a|} c_k \iota^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{a^1 + \dots + a^k = a} D^{a^1}(\iota) \dots D^{a^k}(\iota) \right| \leq C \iota^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $g = \iota^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we obtain (38) on the domain $0 \leq v \leq u$. In order to prove the estimate also for $0 \leq u \leq v$, consider the function $\bar{g}(u, v) := g(v, u)$. Clearly, \bar{g} satisfies the version of inequality (38) for $0 \leq u \leq v$. Note the following relation (which is equivalent to (34)):

$$g(u, v) = e^{v-u} \bar{g}(u, v).$$

Using this, we obtain (38) also for $0 \leq u \leq v$:

$$\begin{aligned} |D^a(g(u, v))| &= \left| \sum_{a^1 + a^2 = a} D^{a^1}(e^{v-u}) D^{a^2}(\bar{g}(u, v)) \right| \\ &\leq C e^{v-u} \bar{g}(u, v) = C g(u, v). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We provide now estimates for the partial derivatives of g_t and \bar{g}_t .

Lemma 7.3. *For $a \in \mathbb{N}^3$, with $|a| = k$, there is $C = C(a)$ such that*

$$|D^a g_t| \leq C \sigma_{k, -k} g_t, \quad \text{and} \quad |D^a \bar{g}_t| \leq C \sigma_{k, -k} \bar{g}_t.$$

Proof. We have that $g_t = g(tr^2, tz^2)$. Therefore, by the chain rule:

$$|D^a g_t| = \left| \sum_{1 \leq |b| \leq |a|} D^b(g)(tr^2, tz^2) \sum' \Pi_{i=1}^{b_1} D^{a^{1i}}(tr^2) \Pi_{i=1}^{b_2} D^{a^{2i}}(tz^2) \right|,$$

where $b = (b_1, b_2)$ and the sum \sum' is over all decompositions

$$a = a^{11} + \dots + a^{1b_1} + a^{21} + \dots + a^{2b_2},$$

with $a^{ji} \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $1 \leq |a^{ji}|$. Note that $|a^{ji}| \leq 2$ since otherwise $D^{a^{1i}}(tr^2)$ and $D^{a^{2i}}(tz^2)$ are zero, respectively. Hence we have

$$b_j \leq \sum_{i=1}^{b_j} |a^{ji}| \leq 2b_j,$$

and therefore $|b| \leq k \leq 2|b|$, which means $\frac{k}{2} \leq |b| \leq k$. Moreover,

$$|D^{a^{1i}}(tr^2)| \leq 2tR^{2-|a^{1i}|}$$

and similarly for tz^2 . Thus we obtain the estimate:

$$|\Pi_{i=1}^{b_1} D^{a^{1i}}(tr^2) \Pi_{i=1}^{b_2} D^{a^{2i}}(tz^2)| \leq Ct^{|b|} R^{2|b|-k}.$$

Using now the previous lemma, we the first inequality:

$$|D^a g_t| \leq C \sum_{k/2 \leq j \leq k} t^j R^{2j-k} g_t = C\sigma_{k,-k} g_t.$$

The statement for \bar{g}_t is proven similarly. \square

7.5 Estimates on the flow (proof of Lemma 6.5)

Next, we estimate the partial derivatives of the flow:

Lemma 7.4. *For $a \in \mathbb{N}^3$, with $k = |a|$, there is $C = C(a)$ such that:*

$$|D^a x_t| \leq C\sigma_{k,1-k} g_t, \quad |D^a y_t| \leq C\sigma_{k,1-k} g_t, \quad |D^a z_t| \leq C\sigma_{k,1-k} \bar{g}_t.$$

Proof. Recall that $x_t = x g_t$. Therefore:

$$D^a(x_t) = x D^a(g_t) + D^b(g_t),$$

where $b = a - (1, 0, 0)$ and $D^b = 0$ if $b \notin \mathbb{N}^3$. Using that $|x| \leq R$ and (37), we obtain

$$|D^a x_t| \leq C(R\sigma_{k,-k} + \sigma_{k-1,1-k}) g_t \leq C\sigma_{k,1-k} g_t.$$

The other two estimates are proven in the same way. \square

We are now ready to show convergence of the flow away from Z :

Proof of Lemma 6.5. It suffices to consider compact sets of the form:

$$K_n^\epsilon := \bar{B}_n(0) \cap \{|f| \geq \epsilon\}.$$

By the discussion in Subsection 7.2 we need to bound the partial derivatives of $\phi'_t := \frac{d}{ds} \phi_s|_{s=t}$ on K_n^ϵ by a positive integrable function. Since ϕ_t is the flow of $W = -z^2 x \partial_x - z^2 y \partial_y - (x^2 + y^2) z \partial_z$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} x'_t &= -z_t^2 x_t, \\ y'_t &= -z_t^2 y_t, \\ z'_t &= -(x_t^2 + y_t^2) z_t. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, for $R \leq n$ and $1 \leq t$, there is $C = C(n, i, l)$ such that

$$\sigma_{i,l} \leq C t^i.$$

Therefore, using the Leibniz identity and Lemma 7.4, we find for any $a \in \mathbb{N}^3$ a constant $C = C(a)$ such that, for $t \geq 1$, the following hold on $\overline{B}_n(0)$

$$\begin{aligned} |D^a x'_t| &\leq C t^k g_t \bar{g}_t^2, \\ |D^a y'_t| &\leq C t^k g_t \bar{g}_t^2, \\ |D^a z'_t| &\leq C t^k g_t^2 \bar{g}_t. \end{aligned}$$

Next, note that (34) and $g_t \leq 1$ give:

$$g_t \bar{g}_t = e^{-tf} g_t^2 \leq e^{-ft},$$

and similarly, by exchanging their role, we obtain:

$$g_t \bar{g}_t = e^{tf} \bar{g}_t^2 \leq e^{ft}.$$

Thus, the following estimate holds:

$$g_t \bar{g}_t \leq e^{-t|f|}.$$

Therefore, we obtain for $t \geq 1$:

$$\|\phi'_t\|_k^{K_n^\epsilon} \leq C t^k e^{-\epsilon t}.$$

Since the right hand side is integrable, the conclusion follows. \square

7.6 Estimates for the pull-back (proof of Lemma 6.6)

We will prove all estimates on the closed ball of radius $n \geq 1$, denoted:

$$K := \overline{B}_n(0)$$

First, we estimate the pullback under ϕ_t of flat forms. Flatness will be used to increase the degrees of R , g_t and \bar{g}_t in our estimates.

Lemma 7.5. *For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $|a| = k$, there is a constant $C = C(d, a)$ such that, for any flat form $\alpha \in \Omega_0^i(K)$, and any $t \geq 0$:*

$$|D^a(\phi_t^* \alpha)| \leq C \|\alpha\|_{k+d}^K \sigma_{k+i,d} (g_t + \bar{g}_t)^{k+d+i},$$

holds on K .

Proof. Step 1: we first prove the estimate for $k = i = 0$, i.e. for a function $\chi \in C_0^\infty(K)$, and for $a = (0, 0, 0)$. If also $d = 0$, the estimate is obvious, since $\sigma_{0,0} = 1$. So let $d > 0$. Since χ is flat at 0, the Taylor formula with integral remainder gives:

$$\chi(v) = \sum_{|b|=d} v^b \int_0^1 d(1-s)^{d-1} (D^b \chi)(sv) \, ds,$$

where for $v = (x, y, z)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ we denoted $v^b = x^{b_1} y^{b_2} z^{b_3}$. Thus:

$$\phi_t^*(\chi)(v) = \sum_{|b|=d} (\phi_t(v))^b \int_0^1 d(1-s)^{d-1} (D^b \chi)(s\phi_t(v)) \, ds.$$

Since $\phi_t(v) = (xg_t, yg_t, z\bar{g}_t)$, we have that

$$|(\phi_t(v))^b| \leq R^d g_t^{b_1+b_2} \bar{g}_t^{b_3} \leq R^d (g_t + \bar{g}_t)^d.$$

On the other hand, since $s\phi_t(v) \in K$, we have that:

$$\left| \int_0^1 d(1-s)^{d-1} (D^b \chi)(s\phi_t(v)) \, ds \right| \leq C \|\chi\|_d^K.$$

Using these inequalities and $\sigma_{0,d} = R^d$ we obtain the estimate in this case.

Step 2: we prove now the estimate for a flat function χ , and $a \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $|a| = k \geq 1$. We use the chain rule to write:

$$D^a(\chi \circ \phi_t) = \sum_{1 \leq |b| \leq k} D^b(\chi) \circ \phi_t \sum' \prod_{j=1}^{b_1} D^{a^{1j}}(x_t) \prod_{j=1}^{b_2} D^{a^{2j}}(y_t) \prod_{j=1}^{b_3} D^{a^{3j}}(z_t),$$

where $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ and \sum' is the sum over all non-trivial decompositions:

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} a^{ij}.$$

Since $D^b(\chi)$ is flat at zero, we apply Step 1 with $d \leftarrow k - |b| + d$:

$$|D^b(\chi) \circ \phi_t| \leq C \|\chi\|_{k+d}^K \sigma_{0,k-|b|+d} (g_t + \bar{g}_t)^{k-|b|+d}.$$

Next, by applying Lemma 7.4 and (37), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \prod_{j=1}^{b_1} D^{a^{1j}}(x_t) \prod_{j=1}^{b_2} D^{a^{2j}}(y_t) \prod_{j=1}^{b_3} D^{a^{3j}}(z_t) \right| &\leq C \sigma_{k,|b|-k} g_t^{b_1+b_2} \bar{g}_t^{b_3} \\ &\leq C \sigma_{k,|b|-k} (g_t + \bar{g}_t)^{|b|}. \end{aligned}$$

Using again (37), we obtain the estimate in this case.

Step 3: let $\alpha \in \Omega_0^i(K)$, $i \geq 1$, and $a \in \mathbb{N}^3$. Note that the coefficients of $\phi_t^*(\alpha)$ are sums of elements of the form

$$\chi \circ \phi_t \cdot M(\phi_t),$$

where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(K)$ is a coefficient of α and $M(\phi_t)$ denotes the determinant of a minor of rank i of the Jacobian matrix of ϕ_t . By the Leibniz rule:

$$D^a(\chi \circ \phi_t \cdot M(\phi_t)) = \sum_{b+c=a} D^b(\chi \circ \phi_t) D^c(M(\phi_t)).$$

For the first term, we apply Step 2 with $d \leftarrow k - |b| + d = |c| + d$:

$$|D^b(\chi \circ \phi_t)| \leq C \|\alpha\|_{k+d}^K \sigma_{|b|, |c|+d}(g_t + \bar{g}_t)^{k+d}.$$

Note that $M(\phi_t)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i in the first order partial derivatives of x_t , y_t and z_t . By Lemma 7.4 each such partial derivatives satisfies:

$$\left| D^e \left(\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial v} \right) \right| \leq C \sigma_{|e|+1, -|e|}(g_t + \bar{g}_t),$$

where $u_t \in \{x_t, y_t, z_t\}$ and $v \in \{x, y, z\}$. Therefore, by applying the Leibniz rule and (37), we obtain:

$$|D^c M(\phi_t)| \leq C \sigma_{|c|+i, -|c|}(g_t + \bar{g}_t)^i.$$

These inequalities imply now the estimates from the statement. \square

Finally, we prove estimates for the derivative of the homotopy operator:

Lemma 7.6. *For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}^3$ with $|a| = k$, there is a constant $C = C(d, a)$ such that, for any flat form $\alpha \in \Omega_0^i(K)$, and all $t \geq 0$:*

$$|D^a(h'_t(\alpha))| \leq C \|\alpha\|_{k+d}^K \sigma_{k+i-1, d+3} g_t \bar{g}_t (g_t + \bar{g}_t)^{k+d+i},$$

holds on K .

Proof. Recall that

$$h'_t(\alpha) = i_W \phi_t^*(\alpha) = \phi_t^*(i_W \alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^3 u_t^j \phi_t^*(\alpha_j),$$

where

$$u_t^1 = -x_t z_t^2, \quad u_t^2 = -y_t z_t^2, \quad u_t^3 = -(x_t^2 + y_t^2) z_t$$

and

$$\alpha_1 = i_{\partial_x} \alpha, \quad \alpha_2 = i_{\partial_y} \alpha, \quad \alpha_3 = i_{\partial_z} \alpha.$$

First we apply the Leibniz rule:

$$D^a(u_t^j \phi_t^*(\alpha_j)) = \sum_{b+c=a} D^b(u_t^j) D^c(\phi_t^*(\alpha_j)).$$

By using Lemma 7.4, the Leibniz rule and (37), we obtain:

$$|D^b u_t^j| \leq C \sigma_{|b|, 3-|b|} g_t \bar{g}_t (g_t + \bar{g}_t).$$

By applying Lemma 7.5 with $d \leftarrow d + |b|$, we obtain:

$$|D^c(\phi_t^*(\alpha_j))| \leq C \|\alpha\|_{k+d}^K \sigma_{|c|+i-1, d+|b|} (g_t + \bar{g}_t)^{k+d+i-1}.$$

These inequalities imply now the estimates from the statement. \square

Finally, we obtain:

Proof of Lemma 6.6. Let $\alpha \in \Omega_0^i(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}^3$, with $|a| = k$. Lemma 7.6 with $d = k + i - 1$ gives the following on K :

$$\begin{aligned} |D^a(h_t'(\alpha))| &\leq C \|\alpha\|_{2k+i-1}^K \sigma_{k+i-1, k+i+2} g_t \bar{g}_t (g_t + \bar{g}_t)^{2(k+i)-1} \\ &= C \|\alpha\|_{2k+i-1}^K \sigma_{d, d+3} g_t \bar{g}_t (g_t + \bar{g}_t)^{2d+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of the polynomials $\sigma_{k,l}$, that $g_t + \bar{g}_t \leq 2$, and Lemma 7.1, we evaluate the last term for $t > 0$:

$$\sigma_{d, d+3} g_t \bar{g}_t (g_t + \bar{g}_t)^{2d+1} \leq C \sum_{j=0}^d t^j R^{2j+d+3} g_t \bar{g}_t (g_t + \bar{g}_t)^{2j+1} \leq C R^d t^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Since $R^d \leq n^d$, we obtain that there exists $C = C(n, k)$ such that for $t > 0$:

$$\|h_t'(\alpha)\|_k^K \leq C \|\alpha\|_{2k+i-1}^K t^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Thus (33) holds, and therefore $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_t(\alpha)$ exists with respect to the compact-open C^∞ -topology. \square

References

- [Bry88] J.-L. Brylinski. A differential complex for Poisson manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 28(1):93–114, 1988.
- [CFMT19] M. Crainic, R.L. Fernandes, and D. Martínez Torres. Poisson manifolds of compact types (PMCT 1). *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 756:101–149, 2019.
- [Con84] J. F. Conn. Normal Forms for Analytic Poisson Structures. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 19:577–601, 1984.

- [Con85] J. F. Conn. Normal Forms for Smooth Poisson Structures. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 121(3):565–593, 1985.
- [Dix96] J. Dixmier. *Enveloping Algebras*. American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [DZ05] J.-P. Dufour and N.T. Zung. *Poisson structures and their normal forms*, volume 242 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.
- [ELW99] S. Evens, J.-H. Lu, and A. Weinstein. Transverse measures, the modular class and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 50(200):417–436, 1999.
- [Gam02] A. Gammella. An approach to the tangential Poisson cohomology based on examples in duals of Lie algebras. *Pacific J. Math.*, 203(2):283–320, 2002.
- [Gin96] V. Ginzburg. Momentum mappings and Poisson cohomology. *Internat. J. Math.*, 7(3):329–358, 1996.
- [GMP14] V. Guillemin, E. Miranda, and A.R. Pires. Symplectic and Poisson geometry on b -manifolds. *Adv. Math.*, 264:864–896, 2014.
- [GW92] V. Ginzburg and A. Weinstein. Lie-Poisson structure on some Poisson Lie groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5(2):445–453, 1992.
- [HS53] G. Hochschild and J-P. Serre. Cohomology of Lie Algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 57(3):591–603, 1953.
- [Kos84] J.-L. Koszul. Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie. In *Élie Cartan et les mathématiques d’aujourd’hui. The mathematical heritage of Elie Cartan (Seminar), Lyon, June 25-29*. 1984.
- [Lan16a] M. Lanius. Poisson cohomology of a class of log symplectic manifolds. *preprint*, arXiv:1605.03854, 2016.
- [Lan16b] M. Lanius. Symplectic, poisson, and contact geometry on scattering manifolds. *preprint*, arXiv:1603.02994, 2016.
- [LGPV13] C. Laurent-Gengoux, A. Pichereau, and P. Vanhaecke. *Poisson Structures*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [Lic77] A. Lichnerowicz. Les variétés de Poisson et leurs algèbres de Lie associées. *J. Differential Geom.*, 12:253–300, 1977.
- [Mon02] P. Monnier. Poisson cohomology in dimension two. *Israel J. Math.*, 129:189–207, 2002.

- [MOT14] I. Mărcuț and B. Osorno Torres. Deformations of log-symplectic structures. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, (1):197–212, 2014.
- [Nak91] N. Nakanishi. On the structure of infinitesimal automorphisms of linear Poisson manifolds. I. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 31(1):71–82, 281–287, 1991.
- [Nak97] N. Nakanishi. Poisson cohomology of plane quadratic Poisson structures. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 33(1):73–89, 1997.
- [Oso15] B. Osorno Torres. *Codimension-one Symplectic Foliations: Constructions and Examples*. PhD thesis, Utrecht University, 2015.
- [Pic06] A. Pichereau. Poisson (co)homology and isolated singularities. *J. Algebra*, 299(2):747–777, 2006.
- [Rad02] O. Radko. A classification of topologically stable Poisson structures on a compact oriented surface. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 1(3):523–542, 2002.
- [Vai90] I. Vaisman. Remarks on the Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 40(4):951–963 (1991), 1990.
- [Wei83] A. Weinstein. The local structure of Poisson manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 18:523–557, 1983.
- [Wei87] A. Weinstein. Poisson geometry of the principal series and non-linearizable structures. *J. Differential Geom.*, 25:55–73, 1987.
- [Xu92] P. Xu. Poisson cohomology of regular Poisson manifolds. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 42(4):967–988, 1992.
- [Xu99] P. Xu. Gerstenhaber algebras and BV-algebras in Poisson geometry. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 200(3):545–560, 1999.