

Quantization of Liénard's nonlinear harmonic oscillator and its solutions in the framework of supersymmetric quantum mechanics

A. Abdellaoui^{1,2} and F. Benamira,¹

1. *Laboratoire de Physique Théorique,*

Département de Physique, Faculté des Sciences Exactes,

Université Frères Mentouri Constantine 1,

Route d'Ain-El-Bey, Constantine (25000), Algeria and

2. *Département de Physique, Faculté des Sciences Exactes,*

Université Larbi Ben M'hidi, Oum el Bouaghi (04200), Algeria

(Dated: 02.07.2018; Received textdate; Revised textdate; Accepted textdate; Published textdate)

Abstract

Liénard-type nonlinear one-dimensional oscillator is quantized using van Roos symmetric ordering recipe for the kinetic-like part of the new derived Hamiltonian. The corresponding Schrödinger equation is exactly solved in momentum space via the approach of supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSYQM). The bound-states energy spectra and corresponding wave functions are given explicitly in terms of the ambiguity parameters. The limiting case of no deformation agrees exactly with the eigenenergies and eigenfunctions of the ordinary quantum harmonic oscillator.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of quantum mechanical systems with a position-dependent effective mass (PDEM) [1] has witnessed a certain degree of importance due to their importance in describing the physics of many microstructures and mesoscopic structures of current interest [2]. However, a new formulation in momentum-space to common problems starts to gain interest in quantum mechanics [3–5].

Recently an attempt to quantify the nonlinear Liénard-type one dimensional differential equation

$$\ddot{x} + kx\dot{x} + \frac{k^2}{9}x^3 + \omega^2x = 0; \quad (\cdot \equiv \frac{d}{dt}), \quad (1)$$

defined on the real axis ($x \in \mathbb{R}$) where k and ω are, *a priori*, arbitrary positive parameters, has been carried out in that space, [4, 5]. This equation, which may be seen as a deformation of the linear harmonic oscillator (*lho*) equation, namely $\ddot{x} + \omega^2x = 0$, by k -dependent terms, admits a periodic solution [6]

$$x(t) = \frac{A \sin(\omega t + \delta)}{1 - \frac{kA}{3\omega} \cos(\omega t + \delta)}, \quad 0 \leq A < \frac{3\omega}{k}, \quad (2)$$

that converges to the latter for $k = 0$; i.e. in the absence of deformation. For this reason, and in addition to the conditions that must satisfy any physical solution of the Schrödinger equation, the quantum version of eq.(1) must coincide with the quantum harmonic oscillator in the absence of deformation ($k \rightarrow 0$). A condition that is not satisfied neither by the spectrum nor by the wave functions expressions derived in Ref. [5]. Indeed, though the chosen Lagrangian in Ref. [5] leads to eq.(1), it doesn't reduce to the *lho* in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, the obtained results do not fulfill the required condition in the absence of deformation.

Our goal in this work is to propose a suitable Lagrangian for eq.(1) that is not affected by this inconsistency, to deduce the corresponding quantum Hamiltonian and to give the exact solution of the corresponding Schrödinger equation for the bound-states.

In section II, we use Jacobi Last Multiplier (JLM) method and its relationship to the Lagrangian description of second order differential equations [7, 8]. Thus, a suitable Lagrangian with the corresponding classical Hamiltonian of eq.(1) are deduced. In section III, the classical Hamiltonian is quantized using von Roos recipe. In section IV, the corresponding Schrödinger equation is solved for bound-states in momentum-space using the

approach of SUSYQM after a brief review of the latter. Then the bound-states spectrum is deduced algebraically and the corresponding wave functions are determined. Finally, a special case concerning the results in the limit of no deformation is discussed and the conclusion is established.

II. LAGRANGIAN AND HAMILTONIAN FORMULATION OF THE LIÉNARD-TYPE EQUATION

The Lagrangian functions corresponding to eq.(1) may be determined by means of the JLM method [5, 7, 8]. Indeed, one can show that for the general second order differential equation

$$\ddot{x} + \dot{x}f(x) + g(x) = 0, \quad (3)$$

particular (basic) Lagrangians are obtained as

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{1}{(2 - \sigma^{-1})(1 - \sigma^{-1})} \left(\dot{x} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \right)^{2 - \frac{1}{\sigma}}, \quad (4)$$

provided that $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ satisfy the condition

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \right) = \sigma(1 - \sigma)f(x) \quad \text{with } \sigma \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5)$$

Substituting in eq.(5) $f(x) = kx$ and $g(x) = \frac{k^2}{9}x^3 + \omega^2x$, corresponding to eq.(1), it follows that σ takes two values: $\sigma = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$, such that two basic Lagrangians are possible. Using the usual properties of Lagrange's functions [9], for each value of σ , more general Lagrangians are given by

$$L = \frac{K}{(2 - \sigma^{-1})(1 - \sigma^{-1})} \left(\dot{x} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \right)^{2 - \frac{1}{\sigma}} + \frac{d}{dt}G(x, t), \quad (6)$$

where K is an arbitrary nonzero constant and $G(x, t)$ is an arbitrary function. However, to obtain a suitable Lagrangian, which is reduced to the *lho* in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$ (in the absence of the deformation), K and $G(x, t)$ have to be chosen judiciously.

In this work, we will treat the case with $\sigma = \frac{2}{3}$ and set $K = \sqrt{\frac{27\omega^6}{8k^3}}$ and $G(x, t) = \frac{9\omega^4}{k^2}t + \frac{3\omega^2x}{k}$, such that our Lagrangian reads

$$L(x, \dot{x}) = \frac{9\omega^4}{k^2} \left[- \left(1 + \frac{2k}{3\omega^2} \dot{x} + \frac{k^2x^2}{9\omega^2} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{k}{3\omega^2} \dot{x} + 1 \right], \quad (7)$$

and is reduced in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$ to the *lho*, as it should be, namely $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} L(x, \dot{x}) = L^{lho}(x, \dot{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}^2 - \omega^2 x^2)$.

A straightforward calculation shows that for $L(x, \dot{x})$ to remain a real function and that the resulting Euler-Lagrange equation is equivalent to eq.(1), one must impose the following constraint on the phase variables,

$$1 + \frac{2k\dot{x}}{3\omega^2} + \frac{k^2 x^2}{9\omega^2} > 0. \quad (8)$$

The conjugate momentum p resulting from $L(x, \dot{x})$ is given by

$$p = \frac{\partial L(x, \dot{x})}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{3\omega^2}{k} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{2k}{3\omega^2} \dot{x} + \frac{k^2 x^2}{9\omega^2} \right)^{-1/2} \right], \quad (9)$$

which, by virtue of eq.(8), must satisfy the constraint

$$p \leq \frac{3\omega^2}{k}. \quad (10)$$

Thus, the classical Hamiltonian, $H(x, p) = p\dot{x} - L(x, \dot{x})$, associated to eq.(1), can be written as a function of the canonical variables x and p as

$$H(x, p) = \frac{1}{2(1 - \frac{k}{3\omega^2} p)} p^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{k}{3\omega^2} p \right) \omega^2 x^2, \quad (11)$$

which is defined for $p \leq \frac{3\omega^2}{k}$. As it should be, it is reduced in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$ to the classical Hamiltonian of the *lho*, namely $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} H(x, p) = H_{lho}(x, p) = \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + \omega^2 x^2)$.

III. HAMILTONIAN QUANTIZATION ACCORDING TO VON ROOS RECIPE

The Hamiltonian (11) is of nonstandard-type. However, it may be written in a suitable form similar to that of a standard position-dependent mass Hamiltonian in the form

$$H(x, p) = \frac{x^2}{2m(p)} + U(p), \quad (12)$$

where

$$m(p) = \frac{1}{\omega^2 \left(1 - \frac{k}{3\omega^2} p \right)}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$U(p) = \frac{p^2}{2 \left(1 - \frac{k}{3\omega^2} p \right)}. \quad (14)$$

Hence, by interchanging the roles of the canonical variables x and p , $U(p)$ may be seen as the potential energy and $\frac{x^2}{2m(p)}$ as the kinetic-like part with a p -dependent mass function $m(p)$. So, $H(x, p)$ looks like a Hamiltonian with position-dependent mass, defined on the interval $p \leq \frac{3\omega^2}{k}$.

In order to be quantized one and obtain a Hermitian operator, we first make use of von Roos recipe [10] to the kinetic-like part and write it in the following symmetrical form

$$\left\{ \frac{x^2}{2m(p)} \right\} = \frac{1}{4} [m^\alpha(p) x m^\beta(p) x m^\gamma(p) + m^\gamma(p) x m^\beta(p) x m^\alpha(p)], \quad (15)$$

where α, β and γ , called ambiguity parameters, are real and satisfy the condition $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = -1$. Hence, considering the quantization in p -representation, i.e. $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$, with $\hat{p} \equiv p$ and $\hat{x} = i\hbar \frac{d}{dp}$, and after some algebraic manipulations, the quantized Hamiltonian associated to the classical form (eq.(12)) may be put in the form

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dp} \frac{1}{m(p)} \frac{d}{dp} + V(p), \quad (16)$$

where $V(p)$, that we call the effective potential, expresses in terms of two free ambiguity parameters (α and γ) and also of some mass terms in the form

$$V(p) = U(p) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left[\alpha\gamma \frac{(m'(p))^2}{m^3(p)} + (\alpha + \gamma) \left(\frac{(m'(p))^2}{m^3(p)} - \frac{m''(p)}{2m^2(p)} \right) \right], \quad (17)$$

with $(')$ denotes differentiation with respect to p ($'(= \frac{d}{dp})$). Substituting eqs.(13) and (14) into eqs.(17) and (16), one obtains

$$V(p) = \frac{1}{2(1 - \frac{k}{3\omega^2}p)} \left[p^2 + \alpha\gamma \left(\frac{\hbar k}{3\omega} \right)^2 \right] \text{ for } p \leq \frac{3\omega^2}{k}, \quad (18)$$

and consequently,

$$H = -\frac{(\hbar\omega)^2}{2} \frac{d}{dp} \left(1 - \frac{k}{3\omega^2}p \right) \frac{d}{dp} + \frac{1}{2(1 - \frac{k}{3\omega^2}p)} \left[p^2 + \alpha\gamma \left(\frac{\hbar k}{3\omega} \right)^2 \right] \text{ for } p \leq \frac{3\omega^2}{k}. \quad (19)$$

This is our proposed quantum Hermitian Hamiltonian in momentum representation corresponding to eq.(1).

IV. SUSYQM APPROACH AND EXACT SOLUTION OF THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

A. Brief review of SUSYQM approach for PDEM Hamiltonians

In connection to the approach of SUSYQM for constant mass supersymmetric Hamiltonians [11–13], the formalism can be extended to PDEM Hamiltonians [14]. Considering the general Hermitian Hamiltonian (16), one defines two associated intertwined partner Hamiltonians, H_- and H_+ , as

$$H_- = A^+ A, \quad (20)$$

and

$$H_+ = A A^+, \quad (21)$$

where the adjoint operators A and $A^+ = A^\dagger$ are defined in terms of the mass function $m(p)$ and the superpotential $W(p)$ as

$$A = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m(p)}} \frac{d}{dp} + W(p), \quad A^+ = -\frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{d}{dp} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m(p)}} + W(p). \quad (22)$$

The partner Hamiltonians H_\mp may be put in the following forms

$$H_- = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dp} \frac{1}{m(p)} \frac{d}{dp} + V_-(p), \quad (23)$$

and

$$H_+ = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \frac{d}{dp} \frac{1}{m(p)} \frac{d}{dp} + V_+(p), \quad (24)$$

where the effective partner potentials $V_\mp(p)$ are given by

$$V_-(p) = W^2(p) - \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{W(p)}{m^{1/2}(p)} \right)', \quad (25)$$

and

$$V_+(p) = W^2(p) + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{W'(p)}{m^{1/2}(p)} + \frac{W(p)m'(p)}{2m^{3/2}(p)} \right) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left(\frac{3}{4} \frac{m'^2(p)}{m^3(p)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{m''(p)}{m^2(p)} \right). \quad (26)$$

Denoting by ε_n^\mp and $\psi_n^\mp(p)$ respectively the bound-states eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of H_\mp , namely

$$H_- \psi_n^-(p) = A^+ A \psi_n^-(p) = \varepsilon_n^- \psi_n^-(p), \quad (27)$$

and

$$H_+ \psi_n^+ (p) = AA^+ \psi_n^+ (p) = \varepsilon_n^+ \psi_n^+ (p), \quad (28)$$

it follows that the spectra are semi-positive definite, i.e. $\varepsilon_n^\mp \geq 0$. Furthermore, by setting $\varepsilon_n^- = 0$, the ground-state eigenfunction $\psi_0^- (p)$ is given in terms of the superpotential $W (p)$ by

$$\psi_0^- (p) = N_0 \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\hbar} \int^p \sqrt{m(p')} W(p') dp' \right), \quad (29)$$

where N_0 is the normalisation constant. When the symmetry is not spontaneously broken, i.e. if $\psi_0^- (p)$ is square integrable on the domain of p , the eigenvalues and the corresponding normalized eigenfunctions for all physical states of the partner Hamiltonians are linked by

$$\varepsilon_n^+ = \varepsilon_{n+1}^- > 0, \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (30)$$

and

$$\psi_n^+ (p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n+1}^-}} A \psi_n^- (p), \text{ with } n = 0, 1, \dots. \quad (31)$$

The partner potentials $V_\pm(p)$ are said to be shape-invariant potentials if they satisfy [15]

$$V_+(p; \{\widehat{a}_1\}) = V_-(p; \{\widehat{a}_2\}) + R(\{\widehat{a}_1\}), \quad (32)$$

where $\{\widehat{a}_1\}$ and $\{\widehat{a}_2\}$ are two sets of real parameters related by a certain function ($\{\widehat{a}_2\} = f(\{\widehat{a}_1\})$) and the remainder function $R(\{\widehat{a}_1\})$ is independent of p .

If the requirement (32) is satisfied, the full energy spectrum ε_n^- can be deduced algebraically [12, 13, 15]:

$$\varepsilon_0^- = 0, \quad \varepsilon_n^- = \sum_{i=1}^n R(\{\widehat{a}_i\}) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (33)$$

where $\{\widehat{a}_i\} = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f}_{(i-1) \text{ times}}(\{\widehat{a}_1\})$.

In addition, the normalized excited states eigenfunctions are given by the recurrence formula [16]:

$$\psi_n^- (p; \{\widehat{a}_1\}) = A^+ (p; \{\widehat{a}_1\}) \psi_{n-1}^- (p; \{\widehat{a}_2\}) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (34)$$

B. Energy spectrum and corresponding wave functions

Our goal is to solve the time-independent Schrödinger equation associated to the derived Hamiltonian (19) for bound-states, using SUSYQM approach,

$$H\psi_n(p) = \varepsilon_n\psi_n(p). \quad (35)$$

Then, the challenge is to find the superpotential $W(p)$ so that the Hamiltonians H and H_- , given respectively by eqs.(19) and (23), are related by

$$H - \varepsilon_0 = H_-, \quad (36)$$

where ε_0 is the ground-state energy of H . Otherwise, H and H_- share the same eigenfunctions, $\psi_n(p) = \psi_n^-(p)$, and the energy spectra are related by

$$\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_n^-. \quad (37)$$

Inserting eqs.(16), (23), (25) into eq.(36), the superpotential $W(p)$ must satisfy the following Riccati-like nonlinear differential equation

$$W^2(p) - \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{W(p)}{\sqrt{m(p)}} \right)' = V(p) - \varepsilon_0, \quad (38)$$

where $V(p)$ is given by eq.(18). We suggest the superpotential in the form

$$W(p; a, b) = \frac{ap + b}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{k}{3\omega^2}p}}, \quad (39)$$

depending on two real parameters a and b , to be fixed in such way that satisfy eq.(38) and so that the resulting normalized ground-state eigenfunction (eq.(29)):

$$\psi_0(p; a, b) = N_0 \left(1 - \frac{k}{3\omega^2}p \right)^{\frac{3\sqrt{2}\omega}{\hbar k} \left(b + \frac{3\omega^2}{k}a \right)} \exp \left(\frac{3\sqrt{2}\omega a}{\hbar k}p \right), \quad (40)$$

is square integrable in the interval $p \leq \frac{3\omega^2}{k}$.

To satisfy the latter requirement, $\psi_0(p; a, b)$ must verify the boundary conditions $\psi_0(-\infty; a, b) = \psi_0\left(\frac{3\omega^2}{k}; a, b\right) = 0$, which require that $a > 0$ and $b > -\frac{3\omega^2}{k}a$. On the other hand, substituting eqs.(39) and (18) into eq.(38), it is straightforward to deduce that the parameters a and b are given by

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad b = \frac{\hbar k}{3\sqrt{2}\omega} (\lambda - a), \quad (41)$$

where we use the notations

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\mathbf{a}^2 + \alpha\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{a} = \left(\frac{9\omega^3}{\hbar k^2}\right), \quad (42)$$

with the following constraint on the ambiguity parameters

$$\alpha\gamma \geq -\mathbf{a}^2. \quad (43)$$

Consequently, the resulting ground-state energy, ε_0 , that we obtain from eq.(38), is given by

$$\varepsilon_0 = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda - \mathbf{a}\right) \hbar\omega. \quad (44)$$

1. Energy spectrum ε_n

Inserting eqs.(13) and (39) into eqs.(25), (26), and after some algebra, one can show that $V_{\mp}(p; a, b)$ may be put in the compact forms

$$V_{-}(p; a, b) = \frac{(ap + b)^2}{\left(1 - \frac{k}{3\omega^2}p\right)} - \frac{\hbar\omega}{\sqrt{2}}a, \quad (45)$$

and

$$V_{+}(p; a, b) = \frac{\left(ap + b + \frac{\hbar k}{6\sqrt{2}\omega}\right)^2}{\left(1 - \frac{k}{3\omega^2}p\right)} + \frac{\hbar\omega}{\sqrt{2}}a. \quad (46)$$

Thus, taking $a_1 = a_2 = a$, $b_1 = b$ and $b_2 = b_1 + \frac{\hbar k}{6\sqrt{2}\omega}$, one can be easily convinced that the shape invariance condition (32) is satisfied for the partner $V_{\mp}(p; a, b)$ and that the remainder function depend only on the parameter a as

$$R(a_1, b_1) = \sqrt{2}a\hbar\omega. \quad (47)$$

Thus, combining eqs.(33), (37), (41) and (44) the spectrum is given by

$$\varepsilon_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \lambda - \mathbf{a}\right) \hbar\omega \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots. \quad (48)$$

Note that the eigenenergies ε_n differ from those of the quantum harmonic oscillator only by a constant shift $(\lambda - \mathbf{a})$ that is depending explicitly on deformation and ambiguity parameters. Obviously, this shift is not important since it can be absorbed in the definition of the quantum Hamiltonian. What is important physically is that the energy levels are equidistant with a width

$$\Delta\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_{n+1} - \varepsilon_n = \hbar\omega, \quad (49)$$

just like the nondeformed linear quantum oscillator. This of course means that neither deformation nor von Roos symmetrisation modifies the physical character of the problem, irrespective of the choice of deformation and ambiguity parameters.

In addition, the shift is zero in case $k \rightarrow 0$ (no deformation) whatever the choice of the ambiguity parameters α, γ and is also zero for $\alpha\gamma = 0$ whatever the choice of the deformation parameter k :

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_n|_{\alpha\gamma=0} = (n + \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega. \quad (50)$$

2. Wave functions $\psi_n(p)$

While the eigenfunctions $\psi_n(p)$ can be deduced from the recurrence formula eq.(34), we shall deduce them by direct calculation. By using the variable change $y = 2\mathbf{a} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbf{a}\hbar\omega}}p\right)$ where $0 \leq y < \infty$, the Hamiltonian (19) may be written in a compact form as

$$H = -\hbar\omega \left(y \frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{d}{dy} - \frac{\lambda^2}{y} - \frac{y}{4} + \mathbf{a} \right), \quad (51)$$

with \mathbf{a} and λ were defined previously. Now, setting the normalized wave functions in the form

$$\psi_n(p) = N_n y^\lambda e^{-\frac{y}{2}} \varphi_n(y) \quad (52)$$

where N_n are the normalisation constants, and making use of the energy spectrum expression (48), it follows from the Schrödinger equation (35), after some straightforward algebra, that the new functions $\varphi_n(y)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$y\varphi_n''(y) + (1 + 2\lambda - y)\varphi_n'(y) + n\varphi_n(y) = 0, \quad (53)$$

which is only the differential equation of the associated Laguerre polynomials, $L_n^{2\lambda}(y)$ [17]. Consequently, the corresponding normalized wave functions are given by

$$\psi_n(p; \mathbf{a}, \lambda) = N_n \left(2\mathbf{a} \left(1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{\mathbf{a}\hbar\omega}} \right) \right)^\lambda \exp \left(-\mathbf{a} \left(1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{\mathbf{a}\hbar\omega}} \right) \right) L_n^{2\lambda} \left(2\mathbf{a} \left(1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{\mathbf{a}\hbar\omega}} \right) \right), \quad (54)$$

where N_n may be straightforwardly evaluated [18],

$$N_n = \sqrt{\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\hbar\omega}} \frac{2n!}{\Gamma(2\lambda + n + 1)}}. \quad (55)$$

The eigenfunctions (54) explicitly depend on the deformation and ambiguity parameters as expected. However, they express in terms of Laguerre polynomials, which suggests that the original problem is related to the isotonic oscillator. However, for the latter, though the energy levels are also equidistant, their width is twice that of the linear oscillator, namely [19–21]

$$\Delta\varepsilon_n|_{\text{isotonic}} = 2\hbar\omega.$$

In fact, the isotonic character of the eigenfunctions comes from the representation used to express them. Since for $k \neq 0$ the momentum p varies on a half axis ($p \leq \frac{3\omega^2}{k}$), we can not expect the corresponding eigenfunctions to be expressed in terms of Hermite polynomials, which are defined on the whole axis. It turns out that in momentum representation, the eigenfunctions are expressed in terms of Laguerre polynomials which are well defined on a half-axis. However, as we shall see later, in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$, i.e. in the absence of deformation, the range of variation of the momentum p will extend to the entire axis and consequently the eigenfunctions are expressed in terms of Hermite polynomials.

a. Special case We have shown that in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$, the eigenvalues ε_n coincide with those of the quantum harmonic oscillator, eq.(50). Let's see what it is about the corresponding eigenfunctions. We have $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \lambda \approx \mathbf{a} \rightarrow \infty$, such that in that limit the eigenfunctions are independent of the ambiguity parameters and read

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n^{lho}(p) = \lim_{\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n(p; \mathbf{a}, \lambda) = \lim_{\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\hbar\omega}}} \sqrt{\frac{n!}{\Gamma(2\mathbf{a} + n + 1)}} \left(2\mathbf{a} \left(1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{\mathbf{a}\hbar\omega}}\right)\right)^{\mathbf{a}} \times \\ \left\{ \exp\left(-\mathbf{a} \left(1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{\mathbf{a}\hbar\omega}}\right)\right) L_n^{2\mathbf{a}}\left(2\mathbf{a} - 2\sqrt{\mathbf{a}} \left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{\hbar\omega}}\right)\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

In order to evaluate $\lim_{\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n(p; \mathbf{a}, \lambda)$, we have to proceed as follows:

(i)- Fixing n while $\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \infty$, using the Gamma function functional equation (see [17]), we have by recurrence:

$$\Gamma(2\mathbf{a} + n + 1) \underset{\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} (2\mathbf{a})^{n+1} \Gamma(2\mathbf{a}). \quad (57)$$

In addition, $\Gamma(2\mathbf{a})$ may be expressed in the asymptotic region as [17]:

$$\ln \Gamma(2\mathbf{a}) = \left(2\mathbf{a} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln(2\mathbf{a}) - 2\mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{2} \ln 2\pi + O\left(\sim (2\mathbf{a})^{-(2i+1)}\right) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (58)$$

where $O\left(\sim (2\mathbf{a})^{-(2i+1)}\right)$ are correction terms that tend to zero as $\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, eq.(57)

leads to

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(2a + n + 1)} \underset{a \rightarrow \infty}{=} \frac{1}{(2a)^{n+1}} \left(\frac{a}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} (2a)^{-2a} \exp(2a). \quad (59)$$

(ii)- Next, the second term in eq.(56) may be written in a more compact form as

$$\left(2a \left(1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{a\hbar\omega}}\right)\right)^a \exp\left(-a \left(1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{a\hbar\omega}}\right)\right) = (2a)^a e^{-a} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{a\hbar\omega}}}{\exp(-\frac{p}{\sqrt{a\hbar\omega}})}\right)^a. \quad (60)$$

Also, for $a \rightarrow \infty$, one can write

$$1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{a\hbar\omega}} = \exp\left(\ln\left(1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{a\hbar\omega}}\right)\right) \underset{a \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} \exp\left(-\frac{p}{\sqrt{a\hbar\omega}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{p^2}{a\hbar\omega}\right), \quad (61)$$

such that

$$\frac{1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{a\hbar\omega}}}{\exp(-\frac{p}{\sqrt{a\hbar\omega}})} \underset{a \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} \exp\left(-\frac{p^2}{2a\hbar\omega}\right), \quad (62)$$

and consequently

$$\left(2a \left(1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{a\hbar\omega}}\right)\right)^a \exp\left(-a \left(1 - \frac{p}{\sqrt{a\hbar\omega}}\right)\right) \underset{a \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} (2a)^a e^{-a} \exp\left(-\frac{p^2}{2\hbar\omega}\right). \quad (63)$$

(iii)- Finally, an asymptotic calculation [18] shows that the Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{2a}\left(2a - 2\sqrt{a}\left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{\hbar\omega}}\right)\right)$ may be reduced to the Hermite polynomials $H_n\left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{\hbar\omega}}\right)$ via:

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} (2\sqrt{a})^{-n} L_n^{2a}\left(2a - 2\sqrt{a}\left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{\hbar\omega}}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} H_n\left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{\hbar\omega}}\right), \quad (64)$$

where now, according to eq.(10), $p \in]-\infty, \infty[$.

Hence, combining equations (59), (63) and (64), we deduce that $\psi_n^{lho}(p)$ in eq.(56) is given by

$$\psi_n^{lho}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!} \sqrt{\pi \hbar \omega}} \exp\left(-\frac{p^2}{2\hbar\omega}\right) H_n\left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{\hbar\omega}}\right), \quad (65)$$

which is, obviously, the eigenfunction of the quantum harmonic oscillator corresponding to the eigenenergy (50).

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have investigated the bound-states solutions of the quantum version of the Liénard-type nonlinear oscillator. We have first used JLM method in order to obtain a suitable Lagrangian for the problem. It turned out then that the corresponding Hamiltonian

is not of the standard type since it requires the inversion of the roles of the canonical variables to put it in a form typical to the position-dependent mass Hamiltonians. Thus, its quantization required the use of von Roos recipe to write it in Hermitian form, depending on two ambiguity parameters.

To solve the corresponding Schrödinger equation, we used the SUSYQM approach which allowed us to deduce the spectrum in an elegant algebraic way. The corresponding eigenfunctions are obtained directly using a direct resolution method based on an appropriate point transformation. It turned out then that even if the spectrum depends explicitly on the ambiguity parameters, the latter do not modify the physics of the problem, which remains equivalent to the quantum harmonic oscillator.

What follows from the investigation of this problem is that from the energetic point of view, Liénard's nonlinear oscillator remains equivalent to the ordinary quantum harmonic oscillator since they share relatively the same spectrum. However, its eigenfunctions in momentum representation mimic those of the isotonic quantum oscillator.

-
- [1] J. F. Cariñena, M. F. Rañada, and M. Santander, *Ann. Phys.* **322**, 434 (2007). J. Yu and S. H. Dong, *Phys. Lett. A* **325**, 194 (2004). B. Bagchi, P. Gorain, C. Quesne, and R. Choudhury, *Europhys. Lett.* **72**, 155 (2005). A. Arda, and R. Sever, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **56**, 51 (2011). B. Bagchi, S. Das, S. S. Ghosh, and S. Poria, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46**, 032001 (2013).
 - [2] M. R. Geller, and W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 3103 (1993). L. Serra, and E. Lipparini, *Europhys. Lett.* **40**, 667 (1997). P. Ring, and P. Schuck, *The Nuclear many Body Problem*, Springer, NY (1980). A. Puente, L. Serra, and M. Casas, *Z. Phys. D* **31**, 283 (1994). A. de Saavedra, F. Boronat, A. Polls, and A. Fabrocini, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 4248 (1994).
 - [3] V. K. Chandrasekar, M. Senthilvelan, and M. Lakshmanan, *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 066203 (2005). V. K. Chandrasekar, J. H. Sheeba, R. G. Prodeep, R. S. Divyasree, and M. Lakshmanan, *Phys. Lett. A* **376**, 2188 (2012).
 - [4] V. Chithiika Ruby, M. Senthilvelan, and M. Lakshmanan, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45**, 382002 (2012).
 - [5] B. Bagchi, A. G. Choudhury, and P. Guha, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **56**, 012105 (2015).

- [6] M. Lakshmanan, and V. K. Chandrasekar, The European Physical Journal Special Topics. **222**, 665 (2013).
- [7] A. G. Choudhury, P. Guha, and B. Khanra, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **360**, 651 (2009).
- [8] A. G. Choudhury, and P. Guha, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **46**, 165202 (2013).
- [9] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Mechanics*, 3rd edition, Butterworth-Heinemann (1976).
- [10] O. von Roos, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 7547 (1983).
- [11] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B. **188**, 513 (1981). E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B. **202**, 253 (1982).
- [12] F. Cooper, and B. Freedmann, Ann. Phys **146**, 262 (1983). C. V. Sukmar, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **18**, 2917 (1985).
- [13] H. Nicolai, J. Phys. A. Marg. Gen. **9**, 1497 (1976). F. Cooper, A. Khare, and U. Sukhtame, *Supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanics*, World Scientific (2001). B. K. Bagchi, *Supersymmetry in quantum and classical mechanics*, Chapman & Hall/CRC (2001).
- [14] B. Bagchi, A. Banerjee, C. Quesne, and V. M. Tkachuk, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38**, 2929 (2005).
- [15] L. E. Gendenshtein, JETP. Lett **38**, 356 (1983).
- [16] R. Dutt, A. Khare, and U. P. Sukhtame, Phys. Lett. **38**, 356 (1983). J. W. Dabrowska, A. Khare, and U. P. Sukhtame, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **21**, L 195 (1988).
- [17] A. F. Nikiforv, and V. B. Uvarov, *Special Functions of Mathematical Physics*, Birkhäuser, Basel (1988).
- [18] I.S. Gradshteyn, and I.M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, New York (2007).
- [19] D. Zhu, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **20** 4331 (1987).
- [20] SH. Dong, M. Lozada-Cassou, J. Yu, F. Jimenez-Angeles, and AL Rivera, Int J Quant. Chem **107** 366 (2007).
- [21] D. Bermudez, D. J. Fernandez, and J. Negro, J Phys A: Math Theor **49** 335203 (2016).