

COMBINATORIAL MODEL FOR m -CLUSTER CATEGORIES IN TYPE E

BING DUAN, LISA LAMBERTI, JIAN-RONG LI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we revisit the geometric description of cluster categories in type E in terms of colored diagonals in a polygon given in [17]. We then explain how the model generalizes to m -cluster categories of the same type. As an application, we relate colored diagonals in a polygon to semi-standard Young tableaux, in type E_6, E_7, E_8 . This provides a new compatibility description of semi-standard Young tableaux in Grassmannian cluster algebras in type E_6, E_8 and in a sub-cluster algebra of type E_7 .

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries on m -cluster categories and Grassmannian cluster algebras	2
3. Geometric m -cluster categories of type F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8	9
4. Examples of small m -cluster categories	12
5. Cluster tilting objects in $\mathcal{C}_{F_4}, \mathcal{C}_{E_6}, \mathcal{C}_{E_7}, \mathcal{C}_{E_8}$	13
6. Semi-standard Young tableaux and colored diagonals	17
References	25

1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding combinatorial patterns governing colored almost positive roots, i.e. copies of positive roots together with negative simple roots, in root systems is an interesting problem in the theory of cluster algebras. This problem has a wide range of implications, for instance, finding such descriptions allows to approximate similar patterns in larger root systems. The patterns we are referring to, are the ones arising in generalized cluster complexes and Coxeter combinatorics, or in m -cluster categories (also called higher cluster categories) explored in a series of papers, see for example [8, 25, 28, 3, 4]. Despite various advancements in the field, to describe the usually infinite collection of maximally compatible sets of almost positive roots, resp. of colored almost positive roots in general remains a difficult task, well understood only for classical type root systems.

In this paper, we make some progress in extending to the exceptional root systems in type E and F , combinatorial results obtained for the classical types $ABCD$. Specifically, in the first part (Section 3 and 4) we explore the link between colored almost positive roots in type E and colored oriented m -diagonals in polygons. In this way, we generalize to m -cluster categories of type E work of Thomas [25] and Baur–Marsh [3], relating m -diagonals in a polytope to m -cluster categories of type A , and work of Lamberti [17] describing cluster categories of type E in similar geometric terms.

In the second part, we revisit the relatively simple geometric model of colored diagonals suggested in [17] and related it to Young tableaux models. More specifically, Jensen, King, and Su in [13] gave a description of cluster variables in types E_6, E_8 using some

combinatorial objects called profiles. We describe cluster variables in types E_6, E_7, E_8 using semi-standard Young tableaux, see Section 6. In particular, we present how the geometric model can be used to deduce compatibility of tableaux (Section 5 together with Section 6). Compatibility for almost positive roots is called cluster adjacency in physics, [7, 18, 20] and has important applications to the theory of scattering amplitude in physics.

Acknowledgements. B. Duan is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11771191). L. Lamberti would like to thank B. Zhu for a question asked at the Korean Institute for Advanced Studies during a Conference on Cluster Algebras and Representation Theory in 2014 leading to the geometric model for m -cluster categories presented in Section 3. J.-R. Li is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): M 2633-N32 Meitner Program.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON m -CLUSTER CATEGORIES AND GRASSMANNIAN CLUSTER ALGEBRAS

In this section we define orbit categories of representations of valued quivers following [28] closely. In this work, however, we will mostly focus on representations of ordinary simply-laced Dynkin quivers in types E_6, E_7 and E_8 . Results for m -cluster categories in type F_4 are deduced by a folding argument.

2.1. Definition of m -cluster categories. Let (G, d) be a valued graph. That is, a finite set of vertices $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ together with nonnegative integers d_{ij} for all pairs of vertices $i, j \in [n] \times [n]$ such that $d_{ii} = 0$ and positive integers $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i \in G}$ satisfying

$$d_{ij}\epsilon_j = d_{ji}\epsilon_i$$

for all $i, j \in [n]$. An edge in (G, d) is a pair $\{i, j\}$ with $d_{ij} \neq 0$. An orientation Σ of (G, d) is given by assigning to each edge in (G, d) an order. Denote an oriented valued quiver by (G, Σ) . Throughout it is assumed that (G, Σ) has no oriented cycles. Let Φ be the root system of the Kac–Moody Lie algebra corresponding to G . If for each arrow in (G, Σ) one has that $\epsilon_j = \epsilon_i$, (G, Σ) is an ordinary quiver, simply denoted by Q .

Let k be an algebraically closed field and let $M = (F_i, {}_iM_j)_{i,j \in G}$ be a reduced k -species of (G, Σ) . That is, for all $i, j \in [n]$, ${}_iM_j$ is an $F_i - F_j$ -bimodule, where F_i and F_j are division rings which are finite dimensional vector spaces over k and $\dim({}_iM_j) = d_{i,j}$ and $\dim_k F_i = \epsilon_i$. Let the category of finite-dimensional representations of (G, Σ, M) be denoted by \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})$ be the bounded derived category of the abelian category \mathcal{H} endowed with shift functor $\Sigma : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and Auslander–Reiten translation $\tau : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, defined as $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, -)^* \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(-, \Sigma\tau X)$, for all $X \in \mathcal{D}$.

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, define the m -cluster category of type Φ as the orbit category:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Phi}^m = \mathcal{D} / \langle \tau^{-1}\Sigma^m \rangle.$$

Objects are the $(\tau^{-1}\Sigma^m)$ -orbits of objects in \mathcal{D} and morphisms are defined by

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\Phi}^m}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}((\tau^{-1}\Sigma^m)^i X, Y)$$

where \tilde{X} and \tilde{Y} are representatives of the $\tau^{-1}\Sigma^m$ -orbits of X and Y respectively. In the above, Σ^m denotes the composition of Σ with itself m -times. It is known that \mathcal{C}_{Φ}^m is again a triangulated category, [14, Theorem 1], with shift Σ and Serre functor $\tau\Sigma$ induced from \mathcal{D} . Moreover, \mathcal{C}_{Φ}^m is Krull-Schmidt with finite dimensional Hom-spaces and $(m+1)$ -Calabi Yau, see for instance [28, Prop 2.2].

2.2. Isomorphisms of stable translation quivers. A quiver Γ without loops nor multiple edges, together with a bijective map $\tau : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$, is a stable translation quiver (Γ, τ) , in the sense of [22], if τ is such that for all vertices x in Γ the set of starting points of arrows which end in x is equal to the set of end points of arrows which start at $\tau(x)$. The map τ is called translation. For a stable translation quiver (Γ, τ) one defines the mesh category of (Γ, τ) as the quotient category of the additive path category of Γ by the mesh ideal, see [15] for details on this construction.

Let Q be an ordinary quiver. Let $(\mathbb{Z}Q, \tau)$ be the stable translation quiver given by the repetitive quiver of Q , defined as in [12, I, 5.6]. Let $\tau : \mathbb{Z}Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}Q$ be defined on the vertices (n, i) of $\mathbb{Z}Q$ by $\tau(n, i) = (n - 1, i)$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, i a vertex in Q .

We recall, the Auslander–Reiten quiver of any Krull–Schmidt category \mathcal{K} is a quiver whose vertices are the (isomorphism classes of) indecomposable objects in \mathcal{K} . The number of arrows between two vertices X and Y is given by the dimension of the space of irreducible morphisms between X and Y :

$$\text{Irr}_{\mathcal{K}}(X, Y) := \text{rad}(X, Y) / \text{rad}_2(X, Y).$$

Here $\text{rad}(X, Y) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(X, Y)$ consists of all non-isomorphisms, and $\text{rad}_2(X, Y) \subset \text{rad}(X, Y)$ consists of non-isomorphisms admitting a non-trivial factorization. Denote the Auslander–Reiten quiver of the orbit category \mathcal{C}_{Φ}^m with Auslander–Reiten translation τ by (Γ_{Φ}^m, τ) . If $m = 1$, the index m will be omitted.

For Q of type E_6 let $\rho : \mathbb{Z}E_6 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}E_6$ be the order two involution given by the horizontal reflexion along the center line of $\mathbb{Z}E_6$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, let ρ^m be the composition of ρ with itself m -times.

Lemma 2.1. Let Q be of type E_6, E_7, E_8 , then the following are isomorphisms of stable translation quivers:

- $(\Gamma_{E_6}^m, \tau) \cong (\mathbb{Z}E_6 / \tau^{-(6m+1)} \rho^m, \tau)$
- $(\Gamma_{E_7}^m, \tau) \cong (\mathbb{Z}E_7 / \tau^{-(9m+1)}, \tau)$
- $(\Gamma_{E_8}^m, \tau) \cong (\mathbb{Z}E_8 / \tau^{-(15m+1)}, \tau)$

Proof. The claim follows from Happel’s result, see [12, I.5.5] together with the description of the induced action of the functors τ and Σ on $\mathbb{Z}Q$, first given in [21, Chap. 4]. Specifically, the induced action of τ on $\mathbb{Z}Q$ is always an horizontal shift to the left. The induced action of Σ on $\mathbb{Z}A_n$ can be described by a shift of $\frac{n+1}{2}$ steps to the right, composed with a reflection along the horizontal central line of $\mathbb{Z}A_n$. When n is even, the steps are not required to be integer units. The action of Σ on $\mathbb{Z}E_6$ coincides with the action of $\rho\tau^{-6}$ on $\mathbb{Z}E_6$. Moreover, Σ acts as τ^{-9} on $\mathbb{Z}E_7$, and as τ^{-15} on $\mathbb{Z}E_8$. \square

The case of $(\Gamma_{F_4}^m, \tau)$ follows from $(\Gamma_{E_6}^m, \tau)$ via a standard folding argument.

2.3. Cluster tilting theory in m -cluster categories. Work of Thomas [25] (for simply laced cases) and of Zhu’s [28] (general case) describe the combinatorics of cluster tilting objects in m -cluster categories associated to valued quivers. We now recollect a few results from [25, 28].

Definition 2.2.

- An object $T \in \mathcal{C}_{\Phi}^m$ is called m -rigid if it is the direct sum of non isomorphic indecomposable objects T_1, \dots, T_t such that $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\Phi}^m}^i(T_j, T_k) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $1 \leq j, k \leq t$. It is called maximal m -rigid if it is m -rigid and maximal with respect to this property.

- An object $T \in \mathcal{C}_\Phi^m$ is an m -cluster tilting object which is maximal m -rigid and $X \in \text{add}T$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_\Phi^m}^i(T, X) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

To exhaustively search for m -cluster tilting objects in m -cluster categories, it is crucial to know how many objects of this type there are in a fixed m -cluster category. The next result gives an explicit answer for m -cluster categories associated to valued quivers.

Theorem 2.3 ([8, 28]). Let Q be an orientation of a connected Dynkin diagram and Φ the corresponding root system. The number of m -cluster tilting objects in \mathcal{C}_Φ^m is

$$\prod_i \frac{mh + e_i + 1}{e_i + 1}$$

where h is the Coxeter number of Φ , and e_1, \dots, e_n are the exponents of Φ .

Theorem 2.3 follows from [8, Prop. 8.4] as described in [28, Cor. 5.8]. The exponents in the next Table are extracted from [8].

Φ	h	Exponents	2-cluster tilting objects
E_6	12	1,4,5,7,8,11	16.588
F_4	12	1,5,7,11	780
E_7	18	1,5,7,9,11,13,17	144.210
E_8	30	1,7,11,13,17,19,23,29	15.209.220

2.4. Colored almost positive roots. Let Φ be an irreducible root system of rank n , associated to a valued graph, or quiver. Let I be an n -element indexing set. Let $\Phi_{>0}$ be the set of positive roots and let $\{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$ be the set of simple roots in Φ . Following [8] we define the set of almost positive roots in Φ as

$$\Phi_{\geq -1} = \Phi_{>0} \cup \{-\alpha_i : i \in I\}.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the set of colored almost positive roots consists of m -copies of the set $\Phi_{>0}$ together with one copy of the negative simple roots in Φ , i.e.:

$$\Phi_{\geq -1}^m = \underbrace{\Phi_{>0} \cup \dots \cup \Phi_{>0}}_{m\text{-copies}} \cup \{-\alpha_i : i \in I\}.$$

When $m = 1$, the index in $\Phi_{\geq -1}^m$ is omitted. The compatibility degree of m -colored almost positive roots is defined in [8, Def 3.1].

On the one side, work of Thomas [25, §.6] describes a correspondence between m -colored almost positive roots and indecomposable objects in a geometrically defined m -cluster category associated to Φ . The compatibility degree of m -colored almost positive roots can then equivalently be computed via Ext-functors in m -cluster categories [25, Prop. 2]. The non-simply laced case was described in work of Zhu [28, §.5] and [28, §.5, Def. 5.2]. When $m = 1$ and Q is of finite Dynkin type, this property is shown in [5, Prop. 4.2], [27].

On the other side, work of Fomin–Reading [8, §.5] implies that there is a one-to-one correspondence between m -diagonals in certain polygons Π and m -colored almost positive roots $\Phi_{\geq -1}^m$ of type $ABCD$. See also work of Tzanaki [26] for types AB .

In the above settings, two colored almost positive roots α and β are compatible, if the corresponding m -diagonals D_α and D_β do not cross. When $m = 1$, these descriptions coincide with the ones given in [10, §.3.5].

2.5. The m -power of a translation quiver. Colored almost positive roots in a root system of type A can be identified with a subset of almost positive roots in a larger root system of the same type, see for instance [25, 8, 26]. In [3] this relationship is described in terms of an m -power operation. We now extend this approach to m -cluster categories of type E .

Definition 2.4. Let (Γ, τ) be a translation quiver. The quiver $(\Gamma)^m$ whose vertices are the same as the ones from Γ and whose arrows are sectional paths of length m is called the m -th power of Γ . A path $(x = x_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_{m-1} \rightarrow x_m = y)$ in Γ is said to be sectional if $\tau x_{i+1} \neq x_{i-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ (for which τx_{i+1} is defined).

Lemma 2.5. If (Γ, τ) is a stable translation quiver, then $((\Gamma)^m, \tau^m)$ for $\tau^m := \tau \circ \dots \circ \tau$, composed m times, is again a stable translation quiver.

Proof. This is shown in Theorem 6.1 in [3] and Corollary 6.2 in [3]. \square

Let $T_{r,s,t}$ be an orientation of a finite connected graph with $r + s + t + 1$ vertices and three legs. Assume that one vertex of $T_{r,s,t}$ has three neighbors and that the legs of $T_{r,s,t}$ have r , resp. s , resp. t vertices. A tree $T_{r,s,t}$ is symmetric if two legs have the same number of vertices.

Below, for $i \in \{6, 7, 8\}$, let $(\Gamma_{E_i}^m, \tau)$ be as described in Lemma 2.1.

Proposition 2.6. The following quivers are connected components of the m -power of the given stable translation quivers:

- $(\Gamma_{E_6}^m, \tau^m) \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}T_{2m-1, 2m, 2m} / \tau^{-(6m+1)} \rho^m)^m$
- $(\Gamma_{E_7}^m, \tau^m) \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}T_{2m-1, 2m, 3m} / \tau^{-(9m+1)})^m$
- $(\Gamma_{E_8}^m, \tau^m) \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}T_{2m-1, 2m, 4m} / \tau^{-(15m+1)})^m$

Proof. Lemma 2.1 describes the shapes of the quiver $(\Gamma_{E_i}^m, \tau)$, for $i \in \{6, 7, 8\}$. Reversing the m -power procedure, by adding meshes in the quivers $\Gamma_{E_i}^m$, for $i \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ gives rise to the larger quivers in the claim. \square

2.5.1. Geometric categories associated to tree diagrams. Let $T_{r,s,t}$ be a fixed tree diagram. For $n \geq \max\{r + s + 1; r + t + 1\}$ let $\Pi_{r,s,t}$ be a regular $(n+3)$ -gon with vertices numbered in the clockwise order by the group $\mathbb{Z}/(n+3)\mathbb{Z}$.

For the vertices i, j, k in $\Pi_{r,s,t}$ we write $i \leq j \leq k$ if the vertex j is between i and k in the clockwise order. A diagonal in $\Pi_{r,s,t}$ joining the vertices i and j is denoted by (i, j) and an oriented diagonal in $\Pi_{r,s,t}$ starting at i and ending in j is denoted by $[i, j]$. Boundary segments are not considered.

Following [17], we associate to each leg in $T_{r,s,t}$ a set of diagonals in $\Pi_{r,s,t}$. To do so, we double the set of all oriented diagonals in $\Pi_{r,s,t}$, and distinguish them with colors, red and blue, and subscripts R, B . Specifically, for every vertex i in $\Pi_{r,s,t}$ we then form $(r+1)$ pairs of colored oriented diagonals:

$$\begin{aligned} [i, i+2]_P &= [i+2, i]_P := \{[i, i+2]_R, [i+2, i]_B\} \\ [i, i+3]_P &= [i+3, i]_P := \{[i, i+3]_R, [i+3, i]_B\} \\ &\dots \\ [i, i+r+2]_P &= [i+r+2, i]_P := \{[i, i+r+2]_R, [i+r+2, i]_B\}. \end{aligned}$$

As before, coordinates are viewed as elements of $\mathbb{Z}/(n+3)\mathbb{Z}$. Once colored oriented diagonals are paired, they stop existing as single diagonals in $\Pi_{r,s,t}$. In the following, we color paired diagonals in green.

Next, one defines a subset of the diagonals of $\Pi_{r,s,t}$ consisting of $(r+1)(n+3)$ paired, $s(n+3)$ single red and $t(n+3)$ single blue oriented diagonals:

$$P_{r,s,t} := \left\{ [i, i+2]_G, \dots, [i, i+r+2]_G, \right. \\ [i, i+r+3]_R, \dots, [i, i+r+s+2]_R, \\ \left. [i+r+3, i]_B, \dots, [i+r+t+2, i]_B, \quad i \text{ vertex of } \Pi_{r,s,t} \right\}.$$

The set of red, blue and green diagonals of $\Pi_{r,s,t}$ are disjoint. Consequently, orientations can now be omitted. Elements in $P_{r,s,t}$ are henceforth called colored diagonals.

2.5.2. Automorphisms on the set of colored diagonals. Let ρ, τ_0 and τ be automorphisms acting on the set of colored diagonals $P_{r,s,t}$ of $\Pi_{r,s,t}$ associated to a tree $T_{r,s,t}$. The first two automorphisms are non-trivial only when $T_{r,s,t}$ is symmetric. While the automorphism $\tau : P_{r,s,t} \rightarrow P_{r,s,t}$ is always given as the anti-clockwise rotation through $\frac{2\pi}{n+3}$ around the center of Π .

For $c \in \{R, B, G\}$, let $\rho : P_{r,t,t} \rightarrow P_{r,t,t}$ be the automorphism of order two given by

$$\rho([i, j]_c) := \begin{cases} [j, i]_B & \text{if } c = R, \\ [j, i]_R & \text{if } c = B, \\ [i, j]_G & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The definition of $\tau_0 : P_{r,t,t} \rightarrow P_{r,t,t}$ is designed to accommodate the action of the shift functor on the m -cluster category of type E_6 :

$$\tau_0([i, j]_c) := \begin{cases} \rho([i-1, j-1]_c) & \text{if } [i, j]_c \in P_{r,t,t}|_1 \\ [i-1, j-1]_c & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $P_{r,t,t}|_1 \subset P_{r,t,t}$ denotes the set of diagonals starting, resp. ending, at the fixed vertex $i = 1$.

2.5.3. Minimal clockwise rotations. The definition of minimal clockwise rotation of elements of $\Pi_{r,s,t}$ changes in the presence of ρ . First, consider the case where $T_{r,s,t}$ is not symmetric, or when $T_{r,t,t}$ is symmetric and τ is the standard anti-clockwise rotation. Then the minimal clockwise rotations are the standard ones. That is, for k, j non-neighboring vertices and for $c \in \{R, B, G\}$, the minimal clockwise rotation among diagonals in $P_{r,s,t}$ is defined by: $[k, j]_c \rightarrow [k, j+1]_c$ and $[k, j]_c \rightarrow [k+1, j]_c$ and if $j \in \{k+r+2, k+r+3\}$ by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & [k, k+r+3]_R & \\ \nearrow & & \searrow \\ [k, k+r+2]_G & & [k+1, k+r+3]_G \\ \searrow & & \nearrow \\ & [k+r+3, k]_B & \end{array}.$$

Second, when $T_{r,t,t}$ is symmetric and the translation is τ_0 , the minimal clockwise rotations are defined as before except when $[k, j]_c, [k, k+r+2]_G$ are in $\tau_0(P_{r,t,t}|_1)$, then one combines the minimal clockwise rotation with ρ .

2.5.4. *Quivers of single and paired colored oriented diagonals.* Let $\Gamma_{r,s,t}^{n+3}$ be the quiver whose vertices are the elements of $P_{r,s,t}$. An arrow between two vertices of $\Gamma_{r,s,t}^{n+3}$ is drawn whenever there is a minimal clockwise rotation linking them. No arrow is drawn otherwise. In this way $\Gamma_{r,s,t}^{n+3}$ lies on a cylinder, unless when $s = t$ and the translation is given by τ_0 . Then $\Gamma_{r,t,t}^{n+3}$ lies on a Möbius strip.

Lemma 2.7. [17, Lemma 4.1] The quivers $(\Gamma_{r,s,t}^{n+3}, \tau)$ and $(\Gamma_{r,t,t}^{n+3}, \tau_0)$ are stable translation quivers. \square

2.5.5. *Folded quiver $\Gamma_{r,t}^{n+3}$.* When the quiver $(\Gamma_{r,t,t}^{n+3}, \tau)$, resp. $(\Gamma_{r,t,t}^{n+3}, \tau_0)$, are symmetric along its horizontal central line, folding produces a new translation quiver which we denote by $(\Gamma_{r,t}^{n+3}, \tau)$. More precisely, consider again the graph automorphism ρ . The vertices of $\Gamma_{r,t}^{n+3}$ are the ρ -orbits of vertices of $\Gamma_{r,t,t}^{n+3}$. The arrows in $\Gamma_{r,t}^{n+3}$ are always single and coincide with minimal clockwise rotation around a common vertex of $\Pi_{r,t,t}$ linking pairs of colored diagonals. The translation τ on $\Gamma_{r,t}^{n+3}$ is the induced one and given by the anti clockwise rotation through $\frac{2\pi}{n+3}$ around the center of $\Pi_{r,t,t}$.

2.6. **Semi-standard Young tableaux and the BFZMS twist.** Denote the set of semi-standard Young tableaux of rectangular shape with n rows and with entries in $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$ by $\text{SSYT}(n, [m])$. We will write a tableau as a matrix.

In [6], it is shown that every cluster variable in $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$ corresponds to some tableau $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$. Note that not every tableau $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ corresponds to some cluster variable. The tableaux corresponding to cluster variables form a proper subset of $\text{SSYT}(n, [m])$. We denote the cluster variable corresponding to T by $\text{ch}(T)$. An explicit formula for $\text{ch}(T)$ is given in [6]. We recall the formula $\text{ch}(T)$.

In this paper, we are interested in computing the BFZMS twist (removing all frozen factors) of a cluster variable. It is proved in [11, Theorem 6], [19] that the Auslander–Reiten translation τ corresponds to a twist map (we call it BFZMS twist and denote it also by τ) on $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$ defined by Berenstein, Fomin, and Zelevinsky in [1, 2] and Marsh and Scott in [19]. Therefore it suffices to use the following version of the formula for $\text{ch}(T)$.

Denote by $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m, \sim)]$ the quotient of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$ by the inhomogeneous ideal

$$(1) \quad \langle P_{i,i+1,\dots,i+n-1} - 1, \quad i \in [m - n + 1] \rangle.$$

For $S, T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$, we denote by $S \cup T$ the row-increasing tableau whose i th row is the union of the i th rows of S and T (as multi-sets). It is shown in [6] that $S \cup T$ is semi-standard if S, T are semi-standard.

We call S a factor of T and write $S \subset T$ if the i th row of S is contained in that of T (as multi-sets), for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. A tableau $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ is called trivial if each entry of T is one less than the entry below it.

An equivalence relation \sim on $\text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ is defined in [6] as follows. For any $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$, we denote by T_{red} the tableau with the minimal number of columns such that $T = T_{\text{red}} \cup S$ for a trivial tableau S . For $S, T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$, define $S \sim T$ if $S_{\text{red}} = T_{\text{red}}$. We use the same notation for a tableau T and its equivalence class.

For a Plücker coordinate $P = P_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$, the gap weight of P is defined as $\sum_{j=2}^n (i_j - i_{j-1} - 1)$, [6]. The gap weight of a tableau T is the sum of the gap weights of P_{T_i} , $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, where T_i 's are columns of T . A tableau $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ has small gap if each of its columns has gap weight exactly 1. For every $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$, there is a unique small gap tableau $T' \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ such that $T \sim T'$.

Given $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ with gap weight k , let $T' \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ be the small gap tableau equivalent to T . Let $\mathbf{i}_T = i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k$ be the entries in the first row of T' . Then the a th column of T' has content $[i_a, i_a + n] \setminus \{r_a\}$ for some r_a . Let $\mathbf{j}_T = j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_k$ be the elements r_1, \dots, r_k written in weakly increasing order. There is a unique maximal length $w_T \in S_k$ such that $\{[i_{w_T(a)}, i_{w_T(a)} + n] \setminus \{j_a\}\}_{a \in \{1, \dots, k\}}$ are the entries of columns of T' .

Let T be a small gaps tableau with k columns, with $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{i}_T, \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}_T \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ the weakly increasing sequences just defined. For $u \in S_k$, define $P_{u;T} \in \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$ as follows. If $j_a \in [i_{u(a)}, i_{u(a)} + n]$ for all $a \in [k]$, then the tableau $\alpha(u; T)$ is the semi-standard tableau whose columns have content $[i_{u(a)}, i_{u(a)} + n] \setminus \{j_a\}$ for $a \in [k]$, and $P_{u;T} = P_{\alpha(u;T)} \in \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$ to be the corresponding standard monomial. If $j_a \notin [i_{u(a)}, i_{u(a)} + n]$ for some a , then the tableau $\alpha(u; T)$ is undefined and $P_{u;T} = 0$.

Let $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ with gap weight k and let $T' \sim T$ be the small gap tableau equivalent to T . Then

$$(2) \quad \text{ch}(T) = \sum_{u \in S_k} (-1)^{\ell(uw_T)} p_{uw_0, w_T w_0}(1) P_{u;T'},$$

where $p_{u,v}(q)$ is the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial [16].

There is an order “ \geq ” called dominance order on the set of partitions. For two partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell)$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_\ell \geq 0$ and $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_\ell \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq \mu$ if and only if $\sum_{j \leq i} \lambda_j \geq \sum_{j \leq i} \mu_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$.

For a tableau T , let $\text{sh}(T)$ denote the shape of T . For $i \in [m]$, denote by $T[i]$ the restriction of $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ to the entries in $\{1, \dots, i\}$. There is a natural order on the set $\text{SSYT}(n, [m])$: for $T, T' \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$,

$$(3) \quad T \geq T' \text{ if and only if for } i = 1, \dots, m, \text{sh}(T[i]) \geq \text{sh}(T'[i])$$

in the dominance order on partitions.

For a one-column tableau T , denote by P_T the Plücker coordinate with indices which are the entries of T . For $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ with columns T_1, \dots, T_k , let $P_T \in \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$ denote the monomial in Plücker coordinates $P_{T_1} \cdots P_{T_k}$.

The monomials $\{P_T\}$, where $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$, are a basis for $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$ known as the standard monomial basis [24]. Thus any $x \in \mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$ can be written as $x = \sum_T c_T P_T$ for some $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ and $c_T \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. It is shown in [6] that the map $\text{Top}(x)$ taking the largest tableaux in $x = \sum_T c_T P_T$:

$$\text{Top}(x) = \max\{T : T \text{ appears in } x = \sum_T c_T P_T\}$$

is well defined.

Example 2.8.

$$\text{Top}(P_{124}P_{356} - P_{123}P_{456}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 4 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}^k$, the generalized cross product $v_1 \times \dots \times v_{k-1}$ is the unique vector in \mathbb{C}^k such that

$$(v_1 \times \dots \times v_{k-1})^T v = \det(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v).$$

Marsh and Scott defined a twist map τ on $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$, [19]. For a $n \times m$ matrix p , the twist p' of p is the $n \times m$ matrix whose i th column vector is

$$(p')_i = \epsilon_i \cdot (p_{\sigma^{k-1}(i)} \times p_{\sigma^{k-2}(i)} \times \cdots \times p_{\sigma(i)}),$$

where $\sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ is the map given by $i \mapsto i - 1 \pmod{n}$ and

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i(k-i)}, & i \leq k-1, \\ 1, & i \geq k. \end{cases}$$

The twist map on the set of $n \times m$ matrices induces a twist map τ on $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$. It is shown in [19, Proposition 8.10] that τ sends a cluster variable to a cluster variable (possibly multiply by some frozen variables).

All mutations in a Grassmannian cluster algebra can be described using tableaux, [6]. Starting from the initial seed of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, n + \ell + 1, \sim)]$, at each mutation step, when one mutates at the vertex k with a cluster variable $\text{ch}(T_k)$, one obtains a new cluster variable $\text{ch}(T'_k)$, where

$$\text{ch}(T_k)\text{ch}(T'_k) = \prod_{k \rightarrow i} \text{ch}(T_i) + \prod_{i \rightarrow k} \text{ch}(T_i), \quad T'_k = T_k^{-1} \max\{\cup_{i \rightarrow k} T_i, \cup_{k \rightarrow i} T_i\},$$

and \max is to take the largest tableau with respect to “ \leq ” defined in (3) and $A^{-1}B$ is the tableau obtained by deleting the elements in the j th row of A from that of B (as multi-sets), $j \in [n]$.

3. GEOMETRIC m -CLUSTER CATEGORIES OF TYPE F_4 , E_6 , E_7 , E_8

The geometric model we propose in this section relates to the geometric model for m -cluster categories of type A given by Thomas in [25] and independently by Baur–Marsh in [3].

We then describe how colored almost positive roots associated to the m -cluster categories of type E relate to both almost positive roots of cluster categories associated to larger tree diagrams and to almost positive roots associated to repetitive cluster categories of type A . In this way, we find new links among the geometric models describing the above categories.

3.1. The quiver of m -diagonals. Consider the set $P_{1,k_1,k_2}^{n+3,m} \subseteq P_{r,s,t}$ of m -colored diagonals given by

$$P_{1,k_1,k_2}^{n+3,m} := \left\{ [i, i + r + 2 - m]_G, [i, i + r + 2]_G, \right. \\ [i, i + r + 2 + m]_R, [i, i + r + 2 + 2m]_R, \dots, [i, i + r + 2 + k_1 m]_R, \\ [i + r + 2 + m, i]_B, [i + r + 2 + 2m, i]_B \dots, [i + r + 2 + k_2 m, i]_B : \\ \left. i \text{ vertex of } \Pi_{r,s,t} \right\}.$$

As before, coordinates are viewed as elements of $\mathbb{Z}/(n+3)\mathbb{Z}$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $\Gamma_{1,k_1,k_2}^{n+3,m}$ be the quiver with vertices given by the elements of $P_{1,k_1,k_2}^{n+3,m}$ and with arrows induced from $\Gamma_{r,s,t}^{n+3}$. Let $\tau^m : \Gamma_{1,k_1,k_2}^{n+3,m} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1,k_1,k_2}^{n+3,m}$, resp. $\tau_0^m : \Gamma_{1,k_1,k_1}^{n+3,m} \rightarrow \Gamma_{1,k_1,k_1}^{n+3,m}$ be the translation induced from $(\Gamma_{r,s,t}^{n+3}, \tau)$, resp. from $(\Gamma_{r,t,t}^{n+3,m}, \tau_0)$.

Lemma 3.1. The following are isomorphisms of stable translation quivers:

- $(\Gamma_{1,2,2}^{6m+1,m}, \tau_0^m) \cong (\mathbb{Z}E_6/\tau^{-(6m+1)}\rho^m, \tau)$




FIGURE 1. Two slices of the Auslander–Reiten quiver of \mathcal{C}_{E_6}

- $(\Gamma_{1,2,3}^{9m+1,m}, \tau^m) \cong (\mathbb{Z}E_7/\tau^{-(9m+1)}, \tau)$
- $(\Gamma_{1,2,4}^{15m+1,m}, \tau^m) \cong (\mathbb{Z}E_8/\tau^{-(15m+1)}, \tau)$

□

Denote the mesh category associated to the translation quiver (Γ, τ) by $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma, \tau)$.

Theorem 3.2. The following are equivalences of additive categories:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{1,2,2}^{6m+1,m}, \tau_0^m) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{E_6}^m \\ \mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{1,2,3}^{9m+1,m}, \tau^m) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{E_7}^m \\ \mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{1,2,4}^{15m+1,m}, \tau^m) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{E_8}^m. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Given Lemma 2.7 all we need to show is that there is an isomorphism of stable translation quivers between $(\Gamma_{r,s,t}^{n+3,m}, \tau_l^m)$ and the Auslander–Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{C}_{E_u}^m$, for the appropriate values of l, n, r, s, t and $u \in \{6, 7, 8\}$. For the shape of the Auslander–Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{C}_{E_u}^m$, see Lemma 2.1. □

Remark 3.3. When $m = 1$, Theorem 3.2 becomes Theorem 4.3 in [17].

Two slices from the quivers $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{1,2,2}^7, \tau_0)$, $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{1,2,3}^{10}, \tau)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_{1,2,4}^{16}, \tau)$ are illustrated in Figure 1 and Figure 2. The remaining slices are obtained from these by applying the translation map.

3.2. Colored almost positive roots, and colored m -diagonals. In Prop. 3.4 we explain how the non-crossing property of m -diagonals in polygons, resp. of compatibility of colored almost positive roots in type A , translates to geometric m -cluster categories of type E . From this result, it will follow that maximal sets of non-crossing m -colored diagonals describe only a subset of all possible maximal compatible sets of colored almost positive roots in type E . To describe the remaining sets is much more difficult. In Section 4 and Section 5 further descriptions of these sets will be provided.

Proposition 3.4. For any pair of m -colored almost positive real Schur roots α, β of type $\Phi \in \{E_6, E_7, E_8\}$ the compatibility degree $(\alpha || \beta)_{\mathcal{C}_{\Phi}^m}$ agrees with the number of m -curves of D_{α} intersecting with D_{β} .

Proof. (Sketch). For type E_6 and when $m = 1$ the claim is shown in [17, Prop.5.3]. For m -cluster categories every such root corresponds to an indecomposable object in \mathcal{C}_{Φ}^m . The compatibility degree of α, β then agrees with the dimension of $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_{\Phi}^m}(I_{\alpha}, I_{\beta})$ as described




FIGURE 2. Two slices of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of \mathcal{C}_{E_7} (on the left) and of \mathcal{C}_{E_8} (on the right).

in [25, Prop. 2]. Here $I_\alpha, I_\beta \in \mathcal{C}_\Phi^m$ are the indecomposable objects parametrized by α, β . The dimension of these groups can then be found by computing the support of $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}_\Phi^m}(-, -)$ on the AR-quiver of \mathcal{C}_Φ^m . This is done via starting, resp. ending functions, as described in [5, §.8.]. By Thm. 3.2 the AR-quiver of \mathcal{C}_Φ^m is isomorphic to the quiver of m -diagonals. This implies that there is a geometric description of the support of the Ext-functor. This amounts in specifying rotations for the m -diagonal D_α through curves and generalizes the approach of [17, §.5] to the setting of m -diagonals. \square

For a regular $(n+3)$ -gon Π , $n \geq \max\{r+s+1; r+t+1\}$, let $(\Gamma_{r,s,t}^{n+3}, \tau)$ be the stable translation quiver of diagonals associated to colored diagonals in Π defined above.

Theorem 3.5. The following quivers are connected components of the m -power of the given stable translation quivers:

- $(\Gamma_{E_6}^m, \tau_0^m) \subseteq (\Gamma_{2m-1, 2m, 2m}^{(6m+1)}, \tau_0)^m$
- $(\Gamma_{E_7}^m, \tau^m) \subseteq (\Gamma_{2m-1, 2m, 3m}^{(9m+1)}, \tau)^m$
- $(\Gamma_{E_8}^m, \tau^m) \subseteq (\Gamma_{2m-1, 2m, 4m}^{(15m+1)}, \tau)^m$

Proof. The claim follows by combining Prop. 2.6 with Lemma 3.1. Notice that the components need not to be unique. \square

Two observations are in order. First, Theorem 3.5 implies that colored almost positive roots in type E_6, E_7 and E_8 relate to almost positive roots in type

$$T_{2m-1, 2m, 2m}, T_{2m-1, 2m, 3m}, T_{2m-1, 2m, 4m}.$$

Second, colored almost positive roots in type E_6, E_7 and E_8 also relate to almost positive roots in larger roots system of type A , in the following sense. On the one hand, colored almost positive roots in type E_6, E_7 and E_8 correspond to m -colored diagonals in a certain polygon Π , via Thm. 3.2. Such m -colored diagonals are obtained by judiciously combining two sets of oriented m -diagonals in Π . By definition oriented m -diagonals are a subset

of all oriented diagonals in Π . On the other hand, it is shown in [17, §2.5] that oriented diagonals in any polygon Π , together with minimal rotations among them, define a 2-repetitive cluster category of type A . Generally, a p -repetitive cluster category of type Φ is the triangulated orbit category:

$$\mathcal{C}_{p\Phi} = \mathcal{D} / \langle (\tau^{-1}\Sigma)^p \rangle,$$

first defined in [29]. Since indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{C}_{p\Phi}$ correspond to p -copies of almost positive roots of type Φ and the claim follows.

Example 3.6. Let Φ be a root system of type E_6 and let Ψ be a root system of type A_{10} . The set of two-colored almost positive roots of type E_6 by definition is

$$\Phi_{\geq -1}^2 = \{-\alpha_1, -\alpha_2, \dots, -\alpha_6\} \cup \Phi_0 \cup \Phi_0,$$

where Φ_0 is the set of positive roots in Φ . By the above argument, the set $\Phi_{\geq -1}^2$ is contained in four copies (two for the red oriented diagonals, two for the blue oriented diagonals) of the set

$$\Psi_{\geq -1} = \{-\alpha_1, -\alpha_2, \dots, -\alpha_{10}\} \cup \{\alpha_i + \dots + \alpha_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq 10\}$$

of almost positive roots of type A_{10} :

$$\{\Psi_{\geq -1} \cup \Psi_{\geq -1}\} \cup \{\Psi_{\geq -1} \cup \Psi_{\geq -1}\}.$$

The roots in $\{\Psi_{\geq -1} \cup \Psi_{\geq -1}\}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the indecomposable objects in a 2-repetitive cluster category of type A_{10} .

4. EXAMPLES OF SMALL m -CLUSTER CATEGORIES

4.1. The 2-cluster category of type E_6 . Let $m = 2$ and let Π^{13} be a regular 13-gon with vertices numbered as above by the group $\mathbb{Z}/13\mathbb{Z}$. First, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/13\mathbb{Z}$, let Π_i^{12} be a regular 12-gon inside Π^{13} with vertices numbered by $i, i+2, i+2+1, \dots, i+12$. A diagonal (i, j) of Π^{13} is called a 2-diagonal, if (i, j) is a 2-diagonal inside $\Pi_i^{12} \subset \Pi^{13}$. This convention is similar to the one adopted in the D_n case in [4]. Second, we double the set of all 2-diagonals of Π and distinguish the sets using colors, red and blue, and subscripts R, B . E.g. $(i, j)_R = (j, i)_R$ denotes a red 2-diagonal of Π^{13} linking the vertex i to j . Third, for every vertex i of Π^{13} we form the following green colored 2-diagonals:

$$\begin{aligned} (i, i+4)_G &= (i+4, i)_G := \{(i, i+4)_R, (i+4, i)_B\} \\ (i, i+6)_G &= (i+6, i)_G := \{(i, i+6)_R, (i+6, i)_B\} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Gamma_{1,2,2}^{13}$ be the quiver whose vertices are the 2-diagonals of Π^{13} and an arrow between two vertices is drawn whenever there is a minimal clockwise rotation linking them. No arrow is drawn otherwise.

The following two theorems are obtained by computations.

Theorem 4.1. A 2-cluster tilting object in type F_4 consists of two blue-red arrows in one of the graph in Figure 3 up to rotation and two green arrows in one of the graph in Figure 4 up to rotation such that the blue-red arrows do not cross green arrows. The mutation of an arrow is replacing it with another arrow such that the resulting graph satisfies the above conditions.

Theorem 4.2. A 2-cluster tilting object in type E_6 consists of 6 arrows with colors red, blue, and green in a 13-gon which satisfy the following properties.

- (1) The red arrows are of the form $[i, i+8]$ or $[i, i+10]$. The blue arrows are of the form $[i, i+3]$ or $[i, i+5]$. The green arrows are of the form $[i, i+4]$ or $[i, i+6]$.




FIGURE 3. All possible pairs of blue-red arrows appearing in a 2-cluster tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{F_4}^2$ up to rotation.




FIGURE 4. All possible pairs of green arrows appearing in a 2-cluster tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{F_4}^2$ or $\mathcal{C}_{E_6}^2$ up to rotation.




FIGURE 5. All possible pairs of red arrows appearing in a 2-cluster tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{E_6}^2$ up to rotation. Dually, one finds a lists of all possible pairs of blue arrows with opposite orientation (not drawn) appearing in a 2-cluster tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{E_6}^2$ up to rotation.

- (2) If there are two or more red (resp. blue, resp. green) arrows, then any pair of red arrows are in one of the graphs in Figure 5 (resp. in the dual set of blue arrows with opposite orientation (not drawn), resp. Figure 4) up to rotation.
- (3) The blue and red arrows do not cross green arrows.
- (4) Any pair of blue and red arrows is in one of the graphs in Figure 6 up to rotation.

The mutation of an arrow is replacing it with another arrow such that the resulting graph satisfies the above conditions.

Example 4.3. In Figure 8, the mutation of the arrow $[5, 11]_P$ in the left cluster gives the clusters on the right. Similarly, in Figure 9, the mutation of the arrow $[1, 9]_R$ in the left cluster gives the clusters on the right.

5. CLUSTER TILTING OBJECTS IN \mathcal{C}_{F_4} , \mathcal{C}_{E_6} , \mathcal{C}_{E_7} , \mathcal{C}_{E_8}

In this section we provide new descriptions of all cluster tilting objects in \mathcal{C}_{F_4} , \mathcal{C}_{E_6} extend the results obtained in [17]. These descriptions are also new for symmetric-clusters and clusters in $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(3, 7)]$, via [5, Prop.4.1] together with [23, Thm.5].




FIGURE 6. All possible pairs of one blue and one red arrows appearing in a 2-cluster tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{E_6}^2$ up to rotation.




FIGURE 7. Examples of 2-cluster tilting objects in $\mathcal{C}_{F_4}^2$.

5.1. **Cluster tilting objects in \mathcal{C}_{F_4} and \mathcal{C}_{E_6} .** Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.3 are obtained by direct computations.

Theorem 5.1. A cluster tilting object in type F_4 consists of two blue-red arrows, as in Figure 10 up to rotation, and two green arrows, as in Figure 11 up to rotation. The




FIGURE 8. An example of mutations in $\mathcal{C}_{F_4}^2$.




FIGURE 9. An example of mutations in $\mathcal{C}_{E_6}^2$.




FIGURE 10. All possible pairs of blue-red arrows in a cluster tiling object in \mathcal{C}_{F_4} up to rotation.




FIGURE 11. All possible pairs of green arrows in a cluster tiling object in \mathcal{C}_{F_4} or \mathcal{C}_{E_6} up to rotation.

arrows always combine, in such a way that the blue-red arrows do not cross green edges. However, blue-red arrows and green arrows can overlap.

The mutation of a blue-red arrow is to replace it with a blue-red arrow which satisfies the above conditions. The mutation of a green arrow is to replace it with a green arrow which satisfies the above conditions.

Figure 12 are all cluster tilting objects (up to rotation) in type F_4 .

Remark 5.2. In Figure 12, the diagonals $[1, 6]$ and $[4, 6]$ in the last cluster have both a green arrow and a blue-red arrow. The diagonal $[1, 6]$ in the second cluster in the third line has both a green arrow and a blue-red arrow.

Theorem 5.3. A cluster tilting object in type E_6 consists of 6 arrows with colors red, blue, and green in a heptagon (the vertices are labelled clockwise) which satisfy the following properties.

- (1) The red edges are of the form $[i, i + 4]_R$ or $[i, i + 5]_R$. The blue edges are of the form $[i, i + 2]_B$ or $[i, i + 3]_B$. The green edges are of the form $[i, i + 2]_P$ or $[i, i + 3]_P$.




FIGURE 12. All cluster tilting objects in \mathcal{C}_{F_4} up to rotation. All clusters have four diagonals, but some are overlapped.




FIGURE 13. All possible pairs of red arrows in a cluster tiling object in \mathcal{C}_{E_6} up to rotation. Dually, one finds a lists of all possible pairs of blue arrows with opposite orientation (not drawn) appearing in a cluster tilting object in \mathcal{C}_{E_6} up to rotation.

- (2) If there are two or more red (resp. blue, green) arrows, then any pair of the red arrows is in one of the graph in Figure 13 (resp. in the dual set of blue arrows with opposite orientation, resp. Figure 11) up to rotation.
- (3) The blue and red arrows do not cross green arrows. A blue arrow can overlap with a green arrow and a red arrow can be the opposite arrow of a green arrow.
- (4) Any pair of blue and red arrows is in one of the graphs in Figure 14 up to rotation.

A mutation of an arrow in a cluster is replacing the diagonal with another arrow such that the resulting graph satisfies all the above conditions.

In Figure 15 thirty examples of cluster tilting objects in \mathcal{C}_{E_6} , are given. More cluster tilting objects can be deduced from them via $\frac{\pi}{7}$ -rotations.

5.2. Cluster tilting objects in \mathcal{C}_{E_7} and \mathcal{C}_{E_8} . The cases of types E_7 , E_8 are complicated and only some examples of cluster tilting objects and their mutations will be provided.

Example 5.4. In Figure 16, the mutation of the arrow $[9, 5]_R$ in the left cluster gives the cluster on the right hand side. In Figure 17, the mutation of the arrow $[3, 5]_R$ in the left cluster gives the cluster on the right hand side.




FIGURE 14. All possible pairs of one blue and one red arrows in a cluster tiling object in \mathcal{C}_{E_6} up to rotation.




FIGURE 15. Examples of clusters tiling objects in \mathcal{C}_{E_6} .

Similarly, in Figure 18, the mutation of the arrow $[9, 13]_R$ in the left cluster gives the cluster on the right hand side. In Figure 19, the mutation of the arrow $[10, 12]_R$ in the left cluster gives the cluster on the right hand side.

6. SEMI-STANDARD YOUNG TABLEAUX AND COLORED DIAGONALS

In this section we link semi-standard Young tableaux to indecomposable objects of cluster categories of type E_6 , E_7 and E_8 . To do so, we exploit the fact that indecomposable objects in these categories are in 1-1 correspondence with almost positive roots in the root system of the same type [5, Prop. 4.1] and hence with cluster variables in cluster algebras




FIGURE 16. A mutation in \mathcal{C}_{E_7} .




FIGURE 17. A mutation in \mathcal{C}_{E_7} .




FIGURE 18. A mutation in \mathcal{C}_{E_8} .




FIGURE 19. A mutation in \mathcal{C}_{E_8} .

of the same type [9]. We then use the fact that every cluster variable in $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$ corresponds to some tableau $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$, as described in [6].

6.1. Tableaux and colored diagonals in \mathcal{C}_{E_6} .

Definition 6.1. For $T \in \text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ which corresponds to a cluster variable in the ring $\mathbb{C}[\text{Gr}(n, m)]$, we define $\tau(T)$ to be the tableau in $\text{SSYT}(n, [m])$ such that $\tau(\text{ch}(T))$ is equal to $\text{ch}(\tau(T))$ (possibly multiply by some frozen variables).

There are 28 rank 1 cluster variables and 14 rank 2 cluster variables in \mathcal{C}_{E_6} .

In [23], Scott studied the correspondence between almost positive roots and cluster variables in type E_6 , E_8 explicitly. The cluster

$$\mathbf{x} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

corresponds to the negative simple roots $(-\alpha_6, -\alpha_5, -\alpha_4, -\alpha_3, -\alpha_2, -\alpha_1)$. We identify the cluster $([1, 6]_R, [1, 5]_R, [2, 5]_G, [5, 1]_B, [2, 4]_G, [6, 1]_B)$ with \mathbf{x} . In this way, the following two maps agree:

$$\tau \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow \tau([1, 6]_R) = \rho([7, 5]_R) = [5, 7]_B.$$

In this way, one can associate to every colored diagonal a tableaux, by repeatedly applying the τ -twist. Below, we describe this correspondence explicitly, see also Figures 1 and 21. We denote by T_D the tableau corresponding to a diagonal D . We also write $D = T_D$.

For red diagonals, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[1, 6]_R &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, [2, 7]_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, [3, 1]_R = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 6 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, [4, 2]_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, [5, 3]_R = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \\
[6, 4]_R &= \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 6 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, [7, 5]_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, [1, 5]_R = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, [2, 6]_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, [3, 7]_R = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, \\
[4, 1]_R &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 \\ 4 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, [5, 2]_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, [6, 3]_R = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 6 \\ 5 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, [7, 4]_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

For blue diagonals, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[6, 1]_B &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, [7, 2]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, [1, 3]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, [2, 4]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, [3, 5]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, \\
[4, 6]_B &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, [5, 7]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, [5, 1]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, [6, 2]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, [7, 3]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 4 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \\
[1, 4]_B &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, [2, 5]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 6 \\ 5 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, [3, 6]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, [4, 7]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 7 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

For a sequence of numbers $i_1 < \dots < i_m$, we say that i_j ($j \in [m]$) is the predecessor of i_{j+1} and i_{j+1} is the successor of i_j , where we use the convention that $i_{m+1} = i_1$. Denote by $s(T)$ the sequence (from small to large) consisting of entries of a tableau T .

For green diagonals, we have the following. For $i \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$, $[i, i+2]_G$ corresponds to the one-column tableau with entries $\{a, b, c\}$, where a is the common element in both $[i+2, i]_R$ and $[i, i+2]_B$, b is the successor of a in $s(T_{[i+2, i]_R})$, and c is the predecessor of a in $s(T_{[i, i+2]_B})$. For example, $[2, 4]_G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}$. For $i \in [7]$, exactly one of $[i+3, i]_R$

and $[i, i+3]_B$ is a two column tableaux. Denote the tableau by $\begin{pmatrix} i_1 & j_1 \\ i_2 & j_2 \\ i_3 & j_3 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$[i, i+3]_G = \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i_2 \\ j_1 & i_3 \\ j_2 & j_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A set of tableaux are called compatible if they are in the same cluster. The geometric model of cluster categories can be used to obtain results about the compatibility of tableaux. For example, in the first graph in Figure 15, the diagonals $[1, 6]_R$, $[1, 5]_R$, $[7, 5]_R$, $[5, 1]_B$, $[1, 4]_G$, $[2, 4]_G$ form a cluster. Therefore the corresponding tableaux

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix},$$

are compatible.




FIGURE 20. The initial cluster for \mathcal{C}_{E_7} .

6.2. Tableaux and colored diagonals in \mathcal{C}_{E_7} . In order to have a correspondence between oriented diagonals and tableaux in the case of E_7 , we froze P_{167} in the initial quiver in Figure 20. Note that the Plücker coordinate P_{i_1, \dots, i_k} corresponds to the one column tableau with entries i_1, \dots, i_k .

There are 33 rank 1 cluster variables, 29 rank 2 cluster variables, and 8 rank 3 cluster variables in \mathcal{C}_{E_7} . They can be obtained from the initial quiver in Figure 20 by mutations.

We identify the cluster $([10, 6]_R, [1, 6]_R, [1, 5]_R, [2, 5]_G, [5, 1]_B, [2, 4]_G, [6, 1]_B)$ with the cluster

$$\mathbf{x} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 \\ 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

The correspondence between tableaux and diagonals can be deduced by combining the Auslander–Reiten quiver of \mathcal{C}_{E_7} with the stable translations graph given in Figure 22 and Figure 23. The first two slices from the Auslander–Reiten quiver of \mathcal{C}_{E_7} are illustrated on the left in Figure 2.

6.3. Tableaux and colored diagonals in \mathcal{C}_{E_8} . There are 48 rank 1 cluster variables, 56 rank 2 cluster variables, and 24 rank 3 cluster variables in \mathcal{C}_{E_8} .

In [23], Scott verified that in type E_8 , the cluster

$$\mathbf{x} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \\ 8 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 8 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

correspond to the negative simple roots $(-\alpha_8, -\alpha_7, -\alpha_6, -\alpha_5, -\alpha_4, -\alpha_3, -\alpha_2, -\alpha_1)$. We identify the cluster $([16, 7]_R, [16, 6]_R, [1, 6]_R, [1, 5]_R, [2, 5]_G, [5, 1]_B, [2, 4]_G, [6, 1]_B)$ with \mathbf{x} .

The correspondence between tableaux and diagonals can be deduced by combining the Auslander–Reiten quiver of \mathcal{C}_{E_8} , with initial slices given as in Figure 2, with the stable translations graph given in Figure 24, Figure 25 and Figure 26.

Proposition 6.2. In the Auslander–Reiten quivers of \mathcal{C}_{E_6} , \mathcal{C}_{E_7} , \mathcal{C}_{E_8} , for every mesh of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T_1 & \\ \nearrow & & \searrow \\ S_1 & \succ \vdots \succ & S_2 \\ \searrow & & \nearrow \\ & T_k & \end{array}$$

where $k \in \{2, 3\}$, we have that $S_1 \cup S_2 = T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_k$ up to permutation of entries in $S_1 \cup S_2$.

Proof. The proposition follows from the explicit descriptions of the Auslander–Reiten quivers of \mathcal{C}_{E_6} , \mathcal{C}_{E_7} , \mathcal{C}_{E_8} . \square




FIGURE 21. Auslander–Reiten quiver of \mathcal{C}_{E_6} with vertices labelled by tableaux.




FIGURE 22. Auslander-Reiten quiver of \mathcal{C}_{E_7} with vertices labelled by tableaux, Part 1.




FIGURE 23. Auslander-Reiten quiver of \mathcal{C}_{E_7} with vertices labelled by tableaux, Part 2.




FIGURE 24. Auslander-Reiten quiver of \mathcal{C}_{E_8} with vertices labelled by tableaux, Part 1.




FIGURE 25. Auslander-Reiten quiver of \mathcal{C}_{E_8} with vertices labelled by tableaux, Part 2.




FIGURE 26. Auslander-Reiten quiver of \mathcal{C}_{E_8} with vertices labelled by tableaux, Part 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin, and A. Zelevinsky, Parametrizations of canonical bases and totally positive matrices. *Adv. Math.* **122** (1996), no. 1, 49–149.
- [2] A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, Total positivity in Schubert varieties. *Comm. Math. Helv.* **72** (1997), no. 1, 128–166.
- [3] K. Baur and R. J. Marsh. A geometric description of m -cluster categories. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), no. 11, 5789–5803.
- [4] K. Baur and R. J. Marsh. A Geometric Description of the m -cluster Categories of Type D_n , *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2007), Volume 2007, 1073–7928.
- [5] A. B. Buan, R. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten, and G. Todorov, Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics. *Adv. Math.* **204** (2006), no. 2, 572–618.
- [6] W. Chang, B. Duan, C. Fraser, and J.-R. Li, Quantum affine algebras and Grassmannians. *arXiv:1907.13575*, 2019.
- [7] J. Drummond, J. Foster and Ö. Gürdöğün, Cluster Adjacency Properties of Scattering Amplitudes in $N = 4$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, no. 16, 161601 (2018).
- [8] S. Fomin and N. Reading. Generalized cluster complexes and Coxeter combinatorics. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2005), no. 44, 2709–2757.
- [9] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. I. Foundations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (2002), no. 2, 497–529.
- [10] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. Y -systems and generalized associahedra. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **158** (2003), no. 3, 977–1018.
- [11] C. Geiss, B. Leclerc, and J. Schröer, Generic bases for cluster algebras and the Chamber ansatz. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **25** (2012), no. 1, 21–76.
- [12] D. Happel, Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras. *Lond. Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series*, (1988), **119**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [13] B. T. Jensen, A. D. King, X. P. Su, A categorification of Grassmannian cluster algebras. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* (2016), **113** no. 2, 185–212.
- [14] B. Keller, Calabi-Yau triangulated categories. In *Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics*, (2008), EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 467–489. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich.
- [15] B. Keller, Cluster algebras, quiver representations and triangulated categories. In T. Holm, P. Jørgensen, and R. Rouquier (Eds.), *Triangulated categories*, 76–160, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* **375**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2010).
- [16] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras. *Invent. Math.* **53** (1979), no. 2, 165–184.
- [17] L. Lamberti. Combinatorial model for the cluster categories of type E. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **41** (2015), no. 4, 1023–1054.
- [18] T. Lukowski, M. Parisi, M. Spradlin, and A. Volovich, Cluster adjacency for $m = 2$ yangian invariants. *arXiv:1908.07618*, (2019).
- [19] R. Marsh and J. S. Scott, Twists of Plücker coordinates as dimer partition functions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **341** (2016), no. 3, 821–884.
- [20] J. Mago, A. Schreiber, M. Spradlin, and A. Volovich, Yangian invariants and cluster adjacency in $n = 4$ Yang-Mills. *arXiv:1906.10682*, (2019).
- [21] J.-i. Miyachi and A. Yekutieli, Derived Picard groups of finite-dimensional hereditary algebras. *Compositio Math.* **129** (2001), no. 3, 341–368.
- [22] C. Riedtmann, Algebren, Darstellungsköcher, Überlagerungen und zurück. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **55** (1980), no. 2, 199–224.
- [23] J. S. Scott, Grassmannians and cluster algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **92** (2006), no. 2, 345–380.
- [24] C. S. Seshadri, Introduction to the theory of standard monomials, Second edition. *Texts and Readings in Mathematics* **46**, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, (2014).
- [25] H. Thomas, Defining an m -cluster category. *J. Algebra* **318** (2007), no. 1, 37–46.
- [26] E. Tzanaki, Polygon dissections and some generalizations of cluster complexes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **113** (2006), no. 6, 1189–1198.
- [27] B. Zhu, Equivalences between cluster categories. *J. Algebra* **304** (2006), no. 2, 832–850.
- [28] B. Zhu, Generalized cluster complexes via quiver representations. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **27** (2008), no. 1, 35–54.

- [29] B. Zhu, Cluster-tilted algebras and their intermediate coverings. *Comm. Algebra*, **39** (2011), no. 7. 2437–2448.

BING DUAN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LANZHOU UNIVERSITY, LANZHOU, CHINA
E-mail address: `duan890818@163.com`

LISA LAMBERTI, DEPARTMENT OF BIOSYSTEMS SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, ETH ZÜRICH, BASEL, SWITZERLAND; SIB SWISS INSTITUTE OF BIOINFORMATICS, BASEL SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: `lisa.lamberti@bsse.ethz.ch`

JIAN-RONG LI, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ, GRAZ, AUSTRIA
E-mail address: `lijr07@gmail.com`