

THE GROTHENDIECK RING OF THE PERIPLECTIC LIE SUPERGROUP AND SUPERSYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

MEE SEONG IM, SHIFRA REIF, AND VERA SERGANOVA

ABSTRACT. We show that the Grothendieck ring of finite-dimensional representations of the periplectic Lie supergroup $P(n)$ is isomorphic to the ring of symmetric polynomials in $x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}$ whose evaluation $x_1 = x_2^{-1} = t$ is independent of t .

ABSTRACT. Nous démontrons que l'anneau de Grothendieck des représentations de dimension finie du super groupe de Lie périplectique $P(n)$ est isomorphe à l'anneau des polynômes symétriques en $x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}$ pour lesquels l'évaluation $x_1 = x_2^{-1} = t$ est indépendante de t .

1. INTRODUCTION

Supersymmetric polynomials are polynomials in $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n$ which are doubly symmetric and satisfy the additional property that the evaluation $x_1 = y_1 = t$ is independent of t . Many properties of symmetric polynomials generalize to the supersymmetric case: It follows from [Ste85] that the ring of supersymmetric polynomials is spanned by the supercharacters of $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -modules which lie in the tensor algebra $T(V)$ of the natural representation V . The super-Schur polynomials were shown in [BR87, Ser84] to be supercharacters of simple $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -modules that can be realized using Young tableaux lying in the $(m|n)$ -hook.

For semisimple Lie algebras, the ring of characters is isomorphic to the Grothendieck ring, that is, the free abelian group on the objects of the category, modulo the relation $[B] = [A] + [C]$ for every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$. The ring structure is given by tensor products in the category. For the general linear group, the Grothendieck ring $K[GL(n)]$ of the category of finite-dimensional representations is isomorphic to the ring of symmetric Laurent polynomials

$$K[GL(n)] \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]^{S_n}$$

(see for example, [FH91, Sec. 23–24]). Moreover, Schur polynomials are images of irreducible representations under this isomorphism.

This description generalizes to all semisimple complex Lie algebras. In this case, the category admits complete reducibility and the characters of irreducible representations are given explicitly by the Weyl character formula. The Grothendieck ring is then isomorphic to the ring $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W$ of W -invariants in the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}[P]$, where P is the corresponding weight lattice and W is the Weyl group. The isomorphism is given by the character map.

For modules over Lie superalgebras, one has a parity shift functor Π which does not change the action of the Lie superalgebra. Hence, it is natural to consider one of the two

Date: November 26, 2021.

Key words and phrases. Duflo–Serganova functor, Grothendieck ring, periplectic Lie superalgebra, supercharacters, thin Kac modules, translation functors, parabolic induction.

This project is partially supported by ISF Grant No. 1221/17, NSF grant 1701532, and United States Military Academy's Faculty Research Fund.

quotients of the ring, either by the relation $[M] = [\Pi M]$ or $[M] = -[\Pi M]$. These quotients are isomorphic to the ring of characters and the ring of supercharacters, respectively.

Describing the ring of characters is harder in the super case. For the general linear Lie superalgebra, not all finite-dimensional modules lie in the tensor algebra $T(V)$. In general, the category of finite-dimensional modules over a simple Lie superalgebra is more subtle: it is not semisimple, characters (and supercharacters) of simple modules are not realized using Young tableaux and are hard to compute. Nevertheless, it was shown by Sergeev and Veselov in [SV11], that for basic classical Lie superalgebras, the ring of supercharacter can be realized using supersymmetric functions.

The theorem of A.N. Sergeev and A.P. Veselov states that the ring of supercharacters is equal to the subring of $\mathbb{Z}[P]^W$, admitting an extra condition which corresponds to the isotropic roots of the Lie superalgebra. In the case of the general linear Lie supergroup $GL(m|n)$, the ring of supercharacters is isomorphic to the ring of supersymmetric Laurent polynomials, namely, functions f in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}, y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_n^{\pm 1}]^{S_m \times S_n}$ for which $f|_{x_1=y_1=t}$ is independent of t .

The periplectic Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{p}(n)$ imposes further difficulties than basic classical Lie superalgebras due to the lack of an invariant bilinear form. It was only recently that its representations were understood and translation functors were computed in [BDEA⁺18b] and [BDEA⁺18a].

In this paper, we describe the ring of supercharacters of the periplectic Lie supergroup $P(n)$. We show that it is isomorphic to the ring of supersymmetric functions with a suitable supersymmetry condition, that is

Theorem 1.0.1. *The ring of supercharacters of $P(n)$ is isomorphic to*

$$J_n := \{f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]^{S_n} : f|_{x_1=x_2^{-1}=t} \text{ is independent of } t\}.$$

The inclusion from left to right for Theorem 1.0.1 is obtained by restriction to rank-one subalgebras as done in [SV11, Prop. 4.3]. The other inclusion is much more involved. A key tool is the ring homomorphism $ds_n : J(P(n)) \rightarrow J(P(n-2))$ induced from the Duflo–Serganova functor. We use the realization of ds_n as the evaluation map $f \mapsto f|_{x_n=x_{n-1}^{-1}=t}$, proven in [HR18] as well as the description of its kernel. The main step is to prove that ds_n is surjective in order to apply an inductive argument. We construct preimages of ds_n using Euler characteristics of parabolic inductions given in [GS10] and translation functors given in [BDEA⁺18b].

2. THE PERIPECTIC LIE SUPERALGEBRA AND ITS REPRESENTATIONS

2.1. Lie superalgebras. Given a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector superspace $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$, the parity of a homogeneous (even) vector $v \in V_{\bar{0}}$ is defined as $\bar{v} = \bar{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$ while the parity of an odd vector $v \in V_{\bar{1}}$ is defined as $\bar{v} = \bar{1}$. If the parity of a vector v is $\bar{0}$ or $\bar{1}$, we say that v has degree 0 or 1, respectively. We always assume that v is homogeneous whenever the notation \bar{v} appears in expressions. By Π we denote the switch of parity functor.

The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$ is defined to be the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}})$, where $\dim V_{\bar{0}} = \dim V_{\bar{1}} = n$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$, where

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}} = \text{End}(V_{\bar{0}}) \oplus \text{End}(V_{\bar{1}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}} = \text{Hom}(V_{\bar{0}}, V_{\bar{1}}) \oplus \text{Hom}(V_{\bar{1}}, V_{\bar{0}}).$$

Let $[x, y] = xy - (-1)^{\bar{x}\bar{y}}yx$, where x and y are homogeneous elements of \mathfrak{g} , and extend $[\ , \]$ linearly to all of \mathfrak{g} . By fixing a basis of $V_{\bar{0}}$ and $V_{\bar{1}}$, the superalgebra \mathfrak{g} can be realized as

the set of $2n \times 2n$ matrices, where

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} : A, D \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}) \right\} \text{ and } \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} : B, C \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}) \right\},$$

and $M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$ are $n \times n$ complex matrices. Recall that the supertrace is defined by

$$\mathbf{str} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathrm{tr}(A) - \mathrm{tr}(D).$$

2.1.1. Periplectic Lie superalgebra. Let V be an $(n|n)$ -dimensional vector superspace equipped with a nondegenerate odd symmetric form

$$\beta : V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \beta(v, w) = \beta(w, v), \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(v, w) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \overline{v} = \overline{w}. \quad (1)$$

Then $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ inherits the structure of a vector superspace from V . Let $\mathfrak{p}(n)$ be the Lie superalgebra of all $X \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ preserving β , i.e., β satisfies the condition

$$\beta(Xv, w) + (-1)^{\overline{X}\overline{v}}\beta(v, Xw) = 0.$$

With respect to a fixed bases for V , the matrix of $X \in \mathfrak{p}(n)$ has the form $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix}$, where A, B, C are $n \times n$ matrices such that B is symmetric and C is antisymmetric.

For the remainder of this manuscript, we will write $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{p}(n)$. Note that $\mathbf{str} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a one-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{g} . We will also use the \mathbb{Z} -grading $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ where $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \simeq \mathfrak{gl}(n)$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}$ is the annihilator of $V_{\overline{0}}$ (respectively, $V_{\overline{1}}$). By G we denote the algebraic supergroup $P(n)$.

2.2. Root systems. For the periplectic Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} , fix the standard Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of diagonal matrices in \mathfrak{g}_0 with its standard dual basis $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$. So we have a root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha})$, where $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}) \cup \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_0) \cup \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_1)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_0) &= \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}, \\ \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_1) &= \{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}) = \{-(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

The set of simple roots is chosen to be

$$\Pi = \{-2\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n\}.$$

This implies that $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_0) = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Our Borel subalgebra is then $\mathfrak{b}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, where $\mathfrak{b}_0 = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_0)} (1 - e^{-\alpha}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_{-1} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})} (1 - e^{-\alpha}).$$

For $W = S_n$, the Weyl group of the even subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}(n)$, \mathcal{R}_{-1} is W -invariant and $e^{\rho}\mathcal{R}_0$ is W -anti-invariant.

2.3. Weight spaces. Let \mathcal{C}_n be the category of finite-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{g} and \mathcal{F}_n be the category of finite-dimensional representation of G . Both are abelian symmetric rigid tensor categories. The latter category is equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules, integrable over the underlying algebraic group $G_0 = GL(n)$, see [Ser11].

The Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} is abelian, so it acts locally-finitely on a finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module M . This yields a decomposition of M as a direct sum of generalized weight spaces $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M_{\lambda}$ where $M_{\lambda} = \{v \in M : (h - \lambda(h))^m v = 0 \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\} \neq \{0\}$ for some sufficiently large m . If M is a G -module, it is semisimple over \mathfrak{g}_0 and hence \mathfrak{h} acts diagonally on M .

Suppose that $M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M_\mu$ is weight space decomposition of a \mathfrak{g} -module M . Define the character of M as

$$\text{ch}(M) := \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \dim(M_\mu) e^\mu,$$

while the supercharacter is defined as

$$\text{sch}(M) := \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \text{sdim}(M_\mu) e^\mu.$$

Weights of modules in the abelian category \mathcal{F}_n of finite-dimensional representations of the periplectic Lie supergroup $P(n)$ are denoted as

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i \varepsilon_i, \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Define the parity of λ as $p(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i \pmod{2}$ if $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i$ is even and $p(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i + 1) \pmod{2}$ if $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i$ is odd. Note that the standard ordering of the weights for our choice of positive roots is $\varepsilon_i > \varepsilon_j$ when $i < j$ and $\varepsilon_i < 0$ for all i .

A weight λ is dominant if and only if $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. We will denote Λ_n as the set of dominant integral weights. Simple objects in \mathcal{F}_n (up to isomorphism and parity-switch) are parametrized by Λ_n . Denote by $L(\lambda)$ the simple module with highest weight λ with respect to the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, where the parity is taken such that the parity of the highest weight vector is $p(\lambda)$.

2.4. Thin Kac modules. Let $V(\lambda)$ be a simple \mathfrak{g}_0 -module with highest weight λ with respect to the fixed Borel \mathfrak{b}_0 of \mathfrak{g}_0 . Given a dominant integral weight λ , the thin Kac module corresponding to λ is

$$\nabla(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n(n-1)/2} \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1}^{\mathfrak{g}} V(\lambda - \gamma) \simeq \text{Coind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1}^{\mathfrak{g}} V(\lambda),$$

where $\gamma = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})} \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n (1-n) \varepsilon_i$. We will also write $\nabla_{P(n)}(\lambda)$ to specify that the thin Kac module is a representation over the algebraic supergroup $P(n)$.

Let $\rho := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (n-i) \varepsilon_i$. We have:

Lemma 2.4.1. *The supercharacter of the thin Kac module $\nabla(\lambda)$ with weight λ is*

$$\text{sch } \nabla(\lambda) = (-1)^{p(\lambda)} \frac{\mathcal{R}_{-1}}{e^\rho \mathcal{R}_0} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\lambda + \rho)}. \quad (2)$$

Proof. Since $\nabla(\lambda) \cong \bigwedge(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*) \otimes V(\lambda)$ as \mathfrak{h} -modules, the supercharacter of $\bigwedge(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*)$ and the character of $V(\lambda)$ are

$$\text{sch } \bigwedge(\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*) = \prod_{\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})} (1 - e^{-\beta}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ch } V(\lambda) = (e^{\rho_0} \mathcal{R}_0)^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{\ell(w)} w(e^{\lambda + \rho_0}),$$

respectively, where $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_0)} \alpha$. Since $-\rho_0 + w\rho_0 = \rho - w\rho$, we obtain (2). \square

2.5. Weight diagrams. Let $\{\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\lambda + \rho = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\lambda}_i \varepsilon_i$. The weight diagram d_λ corresponding to a dominant weight λ is the labeling of the line of integers by symbols \bullet and \circ , where i has label \bullet if $i \in \{\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_n\}$, and \circ if $i \notin \{\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_n\}$. For example, ds_0 is

$$\dots \quad \circ_{-1} \quad \bullet_0 \quad \bullet_1 \quad \dots \quad \bullet_{n-1} \quad \circ_n \quad \circ_{n+1} \quad \dots$$

and $d_{-3\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{n-1}}$ is

$$\dots \quad \circ_{-4} \quad \bullet_{-3} \quad \circ_{-2} \quad \circ_{-1} \quad \bullet_0 \quad \circ_1 \quad \bullet_2 \quad \bullet_3 \quad \dots \quad \bullet_{n-1}.$$

4

Note that $\lambda \leq \mu$ if and only if $\lambda_i \geq \mu_i$ for each i . In terms of weight diagrams, the i -th black ball in d_λ (counted from left) lies further to the right of the i -th black ball of d_μ .

2.6. The Grothendieck ring. Let $K(P(n))$ be the Grothendieck ring of \mathcal{F}_n , and define

$$J(P(n)) = K(P(n)) / \langle [M] + [\Pi M] : M \in \mathcal{F}_n \rangle. \quad (3)$$

The ring $J(P(n))$ is isomorphic to the reduced Grothendieck ring $K(P(n)) / \langle [M] - [\Pi M] : M \in \mathcal{F}_n \rangle$, with the isomorphism given by $[L(\lambda)] \mapsto (-1)^{p(\lambda)} [L(\lambda)]$, where $L(\lambda)$ is the simple module of highest weight λ .

One may identify $J(P(n))$ as the ring of supercharacters as follows: let $\Lambda \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the abelian group of integral weights of \mathfrak{g}_0 and W be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g}_0 . The supercharacter function $\text{sch} : J(P(n)) \rightarrow \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{e^\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, sends $[M] \mapsto \text{sch}(M)$. Since isomorphic modules have the same supercharacter and $\text{sch}(M) = -\text{sch} \Pi M$, sch is well-defined. Furthermore, sch is injective since two irreducible modules have the same character if and only if they are isomorphic.

Throughout this manuscript, we will also write $x_i := e^{\varepsilon_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The ring

$$J_n := \{f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]^{S_n} : f|_{x_i = x_j^{-1} = t} \text{ is independent of } t \text{ for } i \neq j\}$$

is then identified with a subring of $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{e^\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.

The following lemma is proved using restriction to subalgebras of the form $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ where α is an odd root and 2α is not a root.

Lemma 2.6.1 ([SV11, Prop. 4.3]). *We have $J(P(n)) \subseteq J_n$.*

2.7. Translation functors. Let $\Theta' = - \otimes V : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n$ be an endofunctor. Consider the involutive anti-automorphism $\sigma : \mathfrak{gl}(n|n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$ defined as

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^\sigma := \begin{pmatrix} -D^t & B^t \\ -C^t & -A^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can see that $\mathfrak{p}(n) = \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(n|n) : x^\sigma = x\}$, and we set $\mathfrak{p}(n)^\perp := \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(n|n) : x^\sigma = -x\}$.

Since $\mathfrak{p}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{p}(n)^\perp$ form maximal isotropic subspaces with respect to the form $\text{str} XY$ we obtain a nondegenerate bilinear $\mathfrak{p}(n)$ -invariant pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{p}(n) \otimes \mathfrak{p}(n)^\perp \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Choose \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous bases $\{X_i\}$ in $\mathfrak{p}(n)$ and $\{X^i\}$ in $\mathfrak{p}(n)^\perp$ such that $\langle X^i, X_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. We define the fake Casimir element as

$$\Omega := 2 \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \otimes X^i \in \mathfrak{p}(n) \otimes \mathfrak{p}(n)^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{p}(n) \otimes \mathfrak{gl}(n|n).$$

Given a $\mathfrak{p}(n)$ -module M , let $\Omega_M : M \otimes V \rightarrow M \otimes V$ be the linear map

$$\Omega_M(m \otimes v) = 2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (-1)^{\overline{X_i m}} X_i m \otimes X^i v,$$

where $m \in M$ and $v \in V$ are homogeneous. By [BDEA⁺18b, Lemma 4.1.4], we see that Ω_M commutes with the action of $\mathfrak{p}(n)$ on $M \otimes V$ for any $\mathfrak{p}(n)$ -module M .

For $k \in \mathbb{C}$, define a functor $\Theta'_k : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n$ as $\Theta' = - \otimes V$ followed by the projection onto the generalized k -eigenspace for Ω , i.e.,

$$\Theta'_k(M) := \bigcup_{m>0} \ker(\Omega - k \text{Id})^m \Big|_{M \otimes V}.$$

Since $\Theta'_k = 0$ if $k \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we set $\Theta' = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Theta'_k$, and $\Theta_k := \prod_{k' \neq k} \Theta'_{k'}$ when $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The endofunctors Θ_k of \mathcal{F}_n for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are exact.

The following is [BDEA⁺18b, Prop. 5.2.2].

Proposition 2.7.1 (Translation of thin Kac modules). *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

(1) $\Theta'_k \nabla(\lambda) = \nabla(\mu'')$ if d_λ looks as follows at positions $k-1, k, k+1$, with $d_{\mu''}$ displayed underneath:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} d_\lambda = & & \bullet & & \bullet & & \circ \\ & & k-1 & & k & & k+1 \\ d_{\mu''} = & & \bullet & & \circ & & \bullet \\ & & k-1 & & k & & k+1 \end{array}$$

(2) $\Theta'_k \nabla(\lambda) = \Pi \nabla(\mu')$ if d_λ looks as follows at positions $k-1, k, k+1$, with $d_{\mu'}$ displayed underneath:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} d_\lambda = & & \circ & & \bullet & & \bullet \\ & & k-1 & & k & & k+1 \\ d_{\mu'} = & & \bullet & & \circ & & \bullet \\ & & k-1 & & k & & k+1 \end{array}$$

(3) In case d_λ looks locally at positions $k-1, k, k+1$ as below, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \nabla(\mu'') \rightarrow \Theta'_k \nabla(\lambda) \rightarrow \Pi \nabla(\mu') \rightarrow 0,$$

where $d_{\mu'}$ and $d_{\mu''}$ are obtained from d_λ by moving one black ball away from position k (to position $k-1$, respectively, $k+1$) as follow:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} d_\lambda = & & \circ & & \bullet & & \circ \\ & & k-1 & & k & & k+1 \\ d_{\mu'} = & & \bullet & & \circ & & \circ \\ & & k-1 & & k & & k+1 \\ d_{\mu''} = & & \circ & & \circ & & \bullet \\ & & k-1 & & k & & k+1 \end{array}$$

(4) $\Theta'_k \nabla(\lambda) = 0$ in all other cases.

2.8. Parabolic induction. We consider the standard scalar product on \mathfrak{h}^* such that $(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. Let γ be some weight. Set

$$\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{(\alpha, \gamma)=0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{r} := \bigoplus_{(\alpha, \gamma)>0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{q} := \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{r}.$$

The subalgebra \mathfrak{q} is called a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} with the Levi subalgebra \mathfrak{k} and the nilpotent radical \mathfrak{r} . If Q is the corresponding parabolic subgroup of G , then G/Q is a generalized flag supervariety.

Let λ be a weight such that $\lambda(\mathfrak{h} \cap [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]) = 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}(-\lambda)$ the line bundle on G/Q induced by the one dimensional representation of Q with weight $-\lambda$. Set

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{sch } H^i(G/P, \mathcal{O}(-\lambda)).$$

By definition $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ is in $J(P(n))$. By [GS10, Prop. 1],

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{e^\rho \mathcal{R}_0} \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^w w \left(e^{\lambda + \rho} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_1(\mathfrak{r})} (1 - e^{-\alpha}) \right)$$

where $\Delta_1(\mathfrak{r}) := \{\alpha \in \Delta_1 : (\alpha, \gamma) > 0\}$.

3. DUFLO–SERGANOVA HOMOMORPHISM FOR $P(n)$

We show that the Duflo–Serganova functor induces a homomorphism between the rings of supercharacters, and discuss the kernel of this homomorphism (cf. [HR18]).

3.1. The ds_n homomorphism. Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ such that $[x, x] = 0$. Then x^2 is zero in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and for every \mathfrak{g} -module M , we define $M_x = \ker_M x / xM$, and similarly, $\mathfrak{g}_x = \mathfrak{g}^x / [x, \mathfrak{g}]$, where $\mathfrak{g}^x = \{g \in \mathfrak{g} : [x, g] = 0\}$. By [DS05, Lemma 6.2], M_x carries a natural \mathfrak{g}_x -module structure. Moreover, the Duflo–Serganova functor $DS_x : M \mapsto M_x$ is a symmetric monoidal functor from the category of \mathfrak{g} -modules to the category of \mathfrak{g}_x -modules. We consider a special case of the DS functor:

$$DS_n : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n-2}, \quad DS_x(M) = M_x,$$

defined as follows. Suppose $x = x_\beta$ for $\beta \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$. By [EAS18, Lemma 5.1.2], $\mathfrak{g}_x \cong \mathfrak{p}(n-2)$. We embed \mathfrak{g}_x in \mathfrak{g} such that the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_x of \mathfrak{g}_x is contained in $\{h \in \mathfrak{h} : \beta(h) = 0\}$. By [HR18, Sec. 3], the map

$$ds_x : J(P(n)) \rightarrow J(P(n-2)), \quad \text{where } ds_x(\text{sch } M) = \text{sch}(DS_x(M)), \quad (4)$$

is well-defined and equal to $(\text{sch } M)|_{\mathfrak{h}_x}$. Since $\beta = -\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$ and $f \in J(P(n))$ satisfies that $f|_{x_i=x_j^{-1}=t}$ is independent of t , we get that $f|_{\mathfrak{h}_x} = f|_{\{h \in \mathfrak{h} : \varepsilon_i(h) = -\varepsilon_j(h)\}} = f|_{x_i=x_j^{-1}}$.

Let $-\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{-1})$, and define

$$ds_n : J(P(n)) \rightarrow J(P(n-2)), \quad ds_n = p \circ ds_{x_\alpha}, \quad (5)$$

where p is a bijection $p : \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i, j\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n-2\}$. Since the elements in $J(P(n))$ are S_n -invariant, ds_n is independent of i and j . Moreover, the map ds_n extends naturally to J_n by the evaluation $ds_n(f) = f|_{x_{n-1}=x_n^{-1}=t}$ (eventually we show that $J(P(n)) = J_n$ but for now $J_n \subseteq J(P(n))$).

We define

$$ds_n^{(k)} := ds_{n-2k+2} \circ \dots \circ ds_n. \quad (6)$$

Note that applying $ds_n^{(k)}$ is the same as applying ds_x for x of higher rank.

3.2. The kernel of ds_n . The following proposition is a straightforward generalization of [HR18, Thm. 17].

Proposition 3.2.1. *The kernel of ds_n is spanned by the supercharacters of thin Kac modules.*

Proof. Suppose $f \in \ker ds_n$. Then f is divisible by $1 - x_{n-1}x_n$. Since f is W -invariant, f is also divisible by $\prod_{i < j} (1 - x_i x_j)$ and hence $f = \prod_{i < j} (1 - x_i x_j) g = \mathcal{R}_{-1} \cdot g$, where g is also W -invariant. Write g as a linear combinations of Schur functions

$$g = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*}^{\text{finite}} a_\lambda e^{-\rho} \mathcal{R}_0^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} (\text{sgn } w) w(e^{\lambda + \rho}).$$

Thus $f = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*}^{\text{finite}} a_\lambda \nabla(\lambda)$. □

3.3. Translation functors and DS_n . We will need the following statement, see [EAS19, Cor. 3.0.2].

Lemma 3.3.1. *The functor DS_n commutes with translations functors Θ'_k .*

Corollary 3.3.2. *If $[M] \in \text{Im } ds_n$, then $[\Theta_i(M)] \in \text{Im } ds_n$ for every translation functor Θ_i .*

Proof. Suppose that $DS_n(A) = M$ for some finite-dimensional $P(n)$ -module A . By Lemma 3.3.1, we have $ds_n([\Theta_i(A)]) = [DS_n(\Theta_i(A))] = [\Theta_i(DS_n(A))] = [\Theta_i(M)]$. □

4. SURJECTIVITY OF THE DUFLO-SERGANOVA HOMOMORPHISM

As explained in Proposition 3.2.1, the kernel of ds_n is well-understood. To prove Theorem 1.0.1, we need to understand the image of ds_n as well:

Theorem 4.0.1. *The map $ds_n : J(P(n)) \rightarrow J(P(n-2))$ is surjective.*

We prove Theorem 4.0.1 in three steps. We first show that if $[\nabla(0)]$ is in the image of $ds_n^{(k)}$ for some $k \geq 0$ (see (6) for the definition), then $[\nabla(\mu)]$ is also in the image of $ds_n^{(k)}$ for every μ . We then show that $[\nabla(0)]$ is in the image of ds_n by explicitly constructing its preimage. Finally, we show that the fact that $[\nabla(\mu)]$ is in the image of $ds_n^{(k)}$ for every μ implies that the map is surjective.

The three steps are given in the following propositions. First we show:

Proposition 4.0.2. *If $[\nabla(0)] \in \text{Im } ds_n^{(k)}$ for some $k \geq 0$, then $[\nabla(\mu)] \in \text{Im } ds_n^{(k)}$ for all $\mu \in \Lambda_n$.*

This proposition is proved using the action of translation functors and Corollary 3.3.2. We make an inductive argument on the distance between the symbols \bullet in the weight diagrams. Thus, we obtain that $[\nabla(\mu)]$ is in the image of for all $\mu \in \Lambda_n$.

Next we prove that:

Proposition 4.0.3. *One has $[\nabla(0)] \in \text{Im } ds_n^{(k)}$.*

The preimage of $[\nabla(0)]$ is constructed using parabolic induction. We choose the parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{q} associated with $\gamma = -\sum_{l=2k+1}^n \varepsilon_l$ and take $\lambda = a(\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{2k})$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see Section 2.8). Then

$$\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = \sum_{w \in S_n} w \left(e^\lambda \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n, 2k < j \leq n, i < j} (1 - x_i x_j)}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - x_i^{-1} x_j)} \right).$$

and it is possible to show that it evaluates to $\text{sch } \nabla(0)$ under the map ds_n^k .

Finally, we show that:

Proposition 4.0.4. *If $\text{Span}\{[\nabla(\lambda)] : \lambda \in \Lambda_{n-2}\} \subseteq \text{Im } ds_n$, then $J(P(n-2)) \subseteq \text{Im } ds_n$.*

This is proved using an inductive argument on the associated graded algebra of $J(P(n-2))$ with respect to the filtration

$$0 = \ker ds_n^{(0)} \subseteq \ker ds_n^{(1)} \subseteq \ker ds_n^{(2)} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \ker ds_n^{(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)} = J(P(n)).$$

We show that $\ker ds_n^{(k)} / \ker ds_n^{(k-1)}$ is in the image of ds_n by induction on n , using the fact that $\ker ds_{n-2k}$ is in the image of ds_{n-2k+2} . This follows since $\ker ds_{n-2k}$ is spanned by supercharacters of thin Kac modules by Proposition 3.2.1 and supercharacters of thin Kac modules are in the image by Proposition 4.0.4 and Proposition 4.0.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.0.1. By Lemma 2.6.1, we have that $J(P(n)) \subseteq J_n$. Let us show the reverse inclusion. Suppose by induction that $J(P(n-2)) = J_{n-2}$. By Theorem 4.0.1, the evaluation map

$$ds_n : J_n \rightarrow J(P(n-2))$$

given by $ds_n(f) = f|_{x_{n-1}=x_n^{-1}=t}$ is surjective when restricted to $J(P(n))$. Thus, every element of J_n is a sum of elements from $J(P(n))$ and $\ker ds_n$. By Proposition 3.2.1, $\ker ds_n \subseteq J(P(n))$ and the claim follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [BDEA⁺18a] Martina Balagovic, Zaij Daugherty, Inna Entova-Aizenbud, Iva Halacheva, Johanna Hennig, Mee Seong Im, Gail Letzter, Emily Norton, Vera Serganova, and Catharina Stroppel, *The affine VW supercategory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.04178 (2018).
- [BDEA⁺18b] ———, *Translation functors and decomposition numbers for the periplectic lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{p}(n)$* , arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.08470, to appear in Math. Res. Lett. (2018).
- [BR87] Allan Berele and Amitai Regev, *Hook Young diagrams with applications to combinatorics and to representations of Lie superalgebras*, Adv. in Math. **64** (1987), no. 2, 118–175.
- [DS05] Michel Duflo and Vera Serganova, *On associated variety for Lie superalgebras*, arXiv preprint math/0507198 (2005).
- [EAS18] Inna Entova-Aizenbud and Vera Serganova, *Deligne categories and the periplectic Lie superalgebra*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.09478 (2018).
- [EAS19] ———, *Kac–wakimoto conjecture for the periplectic Lie superalgebra*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.04712 (2019).
- [FH91] William Fulton and Joe Harris, *Representation theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, A first course, Readings in Mathematics.
- [GS10] Caroline Gruson and Vera Serganova, *Cohomology of generalized supergrassmannians and character formulae for basic classical Lie superalgebras*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **101** (2010), no. 3, 852–892.
- [HR18] Crystal Hoyt and Shifra Reif, *Grothendieck rings for Lie superalgebras and the Duflo–Serganova functor*, Algebra Number Theory **12** (2018), no. 9, 2167–2184.
- [Ser84] Alexander N. Sergeev, *Tensor algebra of the identity representation as a module over the Lie superalgebras $Gl(n, m)$ and $Q(n)$* , Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **123(165)** (1984), no. 3, 422–430.
- [Ser11] Vera Serganova, *Quasireductive supergroups*, New developments in Lie theory and its applications, Contemp. Math., vol. 544, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 141–159.
- [Ste85] John R. Stembridge, *A characterization of supersymmetric polynomials*, J. Algebra **95** (1985), no. 2, 439–444.
- [SV11] Alexander N. Sergeev and Alexander P. Veselov, *Grothendieck rings of basic classical Lie superalgebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **173** (2011), no. 2, 663–703.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY, WEST POINT, NY 10996 USA

E-mail address: meeseongim@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL

E-mail address: shifra.reif@biu.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT BERKELEY, BERKELEY, CA 94720 USA

E-mail address: serganov@math.berkeley.edu